

ON A SUM INVOLVING GENERAL ARITHMETIC FUNCTIONS AND THE INTEGRAL PART FUNCTION

JING MA & RONGHUI WU

ABSTRACT. Let f be an arithmetic function satisfying some simple conditions. The aim of this paper is to establish an asymptotical formula for the quantity

$$S_f(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{f([x/n])}{[x/n]}$$

for $x \rightarrow \infty$, where $[t]$ is the integral part of the real number t . This generalises some recent results of Bordellès, Dai, Heyman, Pan and Shparlinski.

1. INTRODUCTION

As usual, we denote by $\varphi(n)$ the Euler totient function, by $[t]$ the integral part of the real number t , by \log_2 the iterated logarithm and by γ the Euler constant, respectively. Motivated by the following well-known results

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} \left[\frac{x}{n} \right] = x \log x + (2\gamma - 1)x + O(x^{1/3}),$$

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} \varphi(n) = \frac{3}{\pi^2} x^2 + O(x(\log x)^{2/3}(\log_2 x)^{4/3}),$$

for $x \rightarrow \infty$, using Bourgain's new exponent pair [3], Bordellès, Dai, Heyman, Pan and Shparlinski [2] proposed to investigate the asymptotical behaviour of the summation function

$$S_\varphi(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} \varphi\left(\left[\frac{x}{n}\right]\right),$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$. They proved

$$(1.3) \quad \left(\frac{2629}{4009} \cdot \frac{6}{\pi^2} + o(1) \right) x \log x \leq S_\varphi(x) \leq \left(\frac{2629}{4009} \cdot \frac{6}{\pi^2} + \frac{1380}{4009} + o(1) \right) x \log x$$

and conjectured that

$$(1.4) \quad S_\varphi(x) \sim \frac{6}{\pi^2} x \log x \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

The bounds in (1.3) have been sharpened by Wu [13] using the van der Corput inequality [5] simply. Zhai [15] resolved the conjecture (1.4) by combining the Vinogradov method with an idea of Goswami [4]. He established the following asymptotic formula

$$(1.5) \quad S_\varphi(x) = \frac{6}{\pi^2} x \log x + O(x(\log x)^{2/3}(\log_2 x)^{1/3})$$

Date: May 19, 2022.

Key words and phrases. General arithmetic function, Integral part, Asymptotic formula, Multiple exponential sums.

and showed that the error term in (1.5) is $\Omega(x)$. For part of the further related works see [6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 14, 15, 16, 1].

Furthermore, when $f(n) = \varphi(n)/n$, Bordellès-Dai-Heyman-Pan-Shparlinski [2, Corollary 2.4] derived that

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\varphi([x/n])}{[x/n]} = x \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{\varphi(m)}{m^2(m+1)} + O(x^{1/2}).$$

Subsequently, Wu [14] refined arguments of [2] and proved

$$(1.6) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\varphi([x/n])}{[x/n]} = x \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{\varphi(m)}{m^2(m+1)} + O(x^{1/3} \log x).$$

Stucky [12] further generalized Wu's result in [14]. He proved that if $f(n) = \sum_{d|n} g(d)$ and $\sum_{d \leq x} |g(d)| \ll x^\alpha (\log x)^\theta$ for some $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ and $\theta \geq 0$, then

$$(1.7) \quad S_f(x) = x \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{f(m)}{m(m+1)} + O\left(x^{\frac{1+\alpha}{3-\alpha}} (\log x)^\theta\right),$$

where the implied constant depends only on α . (If $\alpha = 0$, then θ should be replaced by $\max(1, \theta)$). It is well known that

$$\frac{\varphi(n)}{n} = \sum_{d|n} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{d \leq x} \left| \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \right| \leq \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{1}{d} \ll x^\varepsilon,$$

then applying (1.7), we get

$$(1.8) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\varphi([x/n])}{[x/n]} = x \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{\varphi(m)}{m^2(m+1)} + O(x^{1/3+\varepsilon}).$$

Subsequently, Ma and Sun [9] improved (1.8) by applying three-dimensional exponential sums and proved

$$(1.9) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{f([x/n])}{[x/n]} = x \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{f(m)}{m^2(m+1)} + O(x^{1/4+\varepsilon}),$$

where f is one of the Euler totient function φ , the Dedekind function Ψ , the sum-of-divisors function σ or the alternating sum-of-divisors function β .

In this paper, we will consider a general case of (1.9), and give a uniform treatment. Define $\text{id}(n) = n$ and $1(n) = 1$ for $n \geq 1$. Let f be an arithmetic function and g be the arithmetic function such that $f = \text{id} * g$. We propose g satisfies the following condition:

$$(1.10) \quad g(n) \ll_\varepsilon n^\varepsilon \quad (n \geq 1).$$

Our main result is as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $f = \text{id} * g$ be an arithmetic function with g satisfying (1.10). Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have*

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{f([x/n])}{[x/n]} = x \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{f(m)}{m^2(m+1)} + O(x^{1/4+\varepsilon})$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

Notice that

$$\varphi = \text{id} * \mu, \quad \sigma = \text{id} * 1, \quad \beta = \text{id} * (-1)^{\Omega(n)}, \quad \Psi = \text{id} * \mu^2,$$

where $\varphi(n)$ is the Euler totient function, $\sigma(n)$ is the sum-of-divisors function, $\beta(n)$ is the alternating sum-of-divisors function, and $\Psi(n)$ is the Dedekind function, respectively. Note that each of $\mu(n)$, $1(n)$, $(-1)^{\Omega(n)}$, $\mu^2(n)$ satisfies (1.10). Therefore, Theorem 1.1 implies the following corollary which is the main theorem in [9].

Corollary 1.2. *Let $f \in \{\sigma, \varphi, \beta, \Psi\}$. Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have*

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{f([x/n])}{[x/n]} = x \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{f(m)}{m^2(m+1)} + O(x^{1/4+\varepsilon})$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

Let \mathbb{P} be the set of all primes and define

$$(1.11) \quad 1_{\mathbb{P}}(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{P}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $1_{\mathbb{P}}(n)$ is an arithmetic function satisfying (1.10). Let $f = \text{id} * 1_{\mathbb{P}}(n)$. Then Theorem 1.1 implies the following corollary.

Corollary 1.3. *Let $f = \text{id} * 1_{\mathbb{P}}(n)$ be as above. Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have*

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{f([x/n])}{[x/n]} = x \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{f(m)}{m^2(m+1)} + O(x^{1/4+\varepsilon})$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

2. SOME LEMMAS

In this section, we will introduce two lemmas which will be needed in our proof. The first one is due to Valaler ([5, Theorem A.6]).

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\psi(t) := \{t\} - \frac{1}{2}$, where $\{t\}$ denotes the fractional part of the real number t . For $x \geq 1$ and $H \geq 1$, we have*

$$\psi(x) = - \sum_{1 \leq |h| \leq H} \Phi \left(\frac{h}{H+1} \right) \frac{e(hx)}{2\pi i h} + R_H(x),$$

where $e(t) := e^{2\pi i t}$, $\Phi(t) := \pi t(1 - |t|) \cot(\pi t) + |t|$, and the error term $R_H(x)$ satisfies

$$(2.1) \quad |R_H(x)| \leq \frac{1}{2H+2} \sum_{|h| \leq H} \left(1 - \frac{|h|}{H+1} \right) e(hx).$$

The second one is a direct corollary of [6, Proposition 3.1].

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0, \gamma > 0$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ be some constants. For $X > 0, H \geq 1, M \geq 1$, and $N \geq 1$, define*

$$(2.2) \quad S_{\delta} = S_{\delta}(H, M, N) := \sum_{h \sim H} \sum_{m \sim M} \sum_{n \sim N} a_{h,n} b_m e \left(X \frac{M^{\beta} N^{\gamma}}{H^{\alpha}} \frac{h^{\alpha}}{m^{\beta} n^{\gamma} + \delta} \right),$$

where $e(t) := e^{2\pi it}$, the $a_{h,n}$ and b_m are complex numbers such that $|a_{h,n}| \leq 1, |b_m| \leq 1$ and $m \sim M$ means that $M < m \leq 2M$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

(2.3) $S_\delta \ll ((X^\kappa H^{2+\kappa} M^{1+\kappa+\lambda} N^{2+\kappa})^{1/(2+2\kappa)} + HM^{1/2}N + H^{1/2}MN^{1/2} + X^{-1/2}HMN)X^\varepsilon$
uniformly for $M \geq 1, N \geq 1, H \leq N^{\gamma-1}M^\beta$ and $0 \leq \delta \leq 1/\varepsilon$, where (κ, λ) is an exponent pair and the implied constant depends on $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \varepsilon)$ only.

3. A KEY ESTIMATE

Define

$$\mathfrak{S}_\delta^f(x, D) := \sum_{D < d \leq 2D} \frac{f(d)}{d} \psi\left(\frac{x}{d+\delta}\right),$$

where $\psi(t) = \{t\} - \frac{1}{2}$. From $f = \text{id} * g$, we get

$$\frac{f(d)}{d} = \sum_{l|d} \frac{g(l)}{l},$$

so that we can decompose $\mathfrak{S}_\delta^f(x, D)$ into bilinear forms

$$\mathfrak{S}_\delta^f(x, D) = \sum_{D < dl \leq 2D} \frac{g(l)}{l} \psi\left(\frac{x}{dl+\delta}\right).$$

In this section we will get the following estimation of $\mathfrak{S}_\delta^f(x, D)$ which will play a key role in the proof of the main theorem.

Proposition 3.1. *Let f be as in Theorem 1.1. Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $0 \leq \delta \leq \varepsilon^{-1}$, we have*

$$(3.1) \quad \mathfrak{S}_\delta^f(x, D) \ll_\varepsilon ((x^2 D)^{1/12} + (x^{-1} D^2)^{1/2}) x^\varepsilon$$

uniformly for $x \geq 3$ and $1 \leq D \leq x$, where the implied constant depends on ε only.

Proof. Using Lemma 2.1 and splitting the interval of summation into dyadic intervals, we can write

$$(3.2) \quad \mathfrak{S}_\delta^f(x, D) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{H'} \sum_M \sum_N (\mathfrak{S}_{\delta,b}^f(H', M, N) + \overline{\mathfrak{S}_{\delta,b}^f(H', M, N)}) + \sum_M \sum_N \mathfrak{S}_{\delta,\dagger}^f(M, N),$$

where $H \leq D, MN \asymp D, M \geq D^{1/2}, a_h := \frac{H'}{h} \Phi\left(\frac{h}{H+1}\right) \ll 1$ and

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\delta,b}^f(H', M, N) = \frac{1}{H'} \sum_{h \sim H'} a_h \sum_{\substack{m \sim Mn \sim N \\ D < mn \leq 2D}} \frac{g(m)}{m} e\left(\frac{hx}{mn+\delta}\right),$$

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\delta,\dagger}^f(M, N) = \sum_{\substack{m \sim Mn \sim N \\ D < mn \leq 2D}} \frac{g(m)}{m} R_H\left(\frac{x}{mn+\delta}\right).$$

Here we can suppose $M \geq D^{1/2}$ in view of the symmetry of the variables m and n . $R_H(x)$ is the remainder term in the Fourier expansion of $\psi(x)$ in Lemma 2.1.

Firstly, we bound $\mathfrak{S}_{\delta,b}^f(H', M, N)$. We remove the extra multiplicative condition $D < mn \leq 2D$ at the cost of a factor $\log x$, and then apply (2.3) with $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 1$, $(X, H, M, N) = (xH'/MN, H', M, N)$ and $(\kappa, \lambda) = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}_{\delta,b}^f(H', M, N) &\ll \frac{x^\varepsilon}{H'} \sum_{m \sim M} \frac{g(m)}{m} \sum_{h \sim H'} \sum_{n \sim N} a_h e\left(\frac{hx}{mn + \delta}\right) \\ &\ll \frac{x^\varepsilon}{H'M} \sum_{m \sim M} \frac{Mg(m)}{m} \sum_{h \sim H'} \sum_{n \sim N} a_h e\left(\frac{hx}{mn + \delta}\right) \\ &\ll \left((xN^4M^{-3})^{1/6} + NM^{-1/2} + (H'^{-1}N)^{1/2} + (x^{-1}H'^{-1}MN^3)^{1/2} \right) x^\varepsilon \\ &\ll \left((x^2D)^{1/12} + D^{1/4} + (DH'^{-2})^{1/4} + (x^{-1}D^2)^{1/2} \right) x^\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

where we used $1 \leq H' \leq H \leq M$, $MN \asymp D$ and $M \geq D^{1/2}$. Noticing that $D \leq x$ we have

$$(3.3) \quad \mathfrak{S}_{\delta,b}^f(H', M, N) \ll \left((x^2D)^{1/12} + (x^{-1}D^2)^{1/2} \right) x^\varepsilon.$$

Secondly, we bound $\mathfrak{S}_{\delta,\dagger}^f(M, N)$. Using (2.1) of Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathfrak{S}_{\delta,\dagger}^f(M, N)| &\ll x^\varepsilon \sum_{m \sim M} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{n \sim N} \left| R_H \left(\frac{x}{mn + \delta} \right) \right| \\ &\ll \frac{x^\varepsilon}{2H+2} \sum_{0 \leq |h| \leq H} \left(1 - \frac{|h|}{H+1} \right) \sum_{m \sim M} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{n \sim N} e\left(\frac{hx}{mn + \delta}\right) \\ &\ll \frac{x^\varepsilon}{HM} \left(MN + \max_{1 \leq H' \leq H} \left| \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\delta,\dagger}^f(H', M, N) \right| \right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\delta,\dagger}^f(H', M, N) = \sum_{h \sim H'} \sum_{m \sim M} \sum_{n \sim N} \left(1 - \frac{|h|}{H+1} \right) e\left(\frac{hx}{mn + \delta}\right).$$

Clearly we can bound $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\delta,\dagger}^f(H', M, N)$ in the same way as $\mathfrak{S}_{\delta,b}^f(H', M, N)$ and get

$$(3.4) \quad \mathfrak{S}_{\delta,\dagger}^f(M, N) \ll (D^{1/2}H^{-1} + (x^2D)^{1/12} + (x^{-1}D^2)^{1/2})x^\varepsilon$$

for $H \leq M \leq D$ and $MN \asymp D \leq x$.

Inserting (3.3) and (3.4) into (3.2), and taking $H = D^{1/2}$, we prove the proposition. \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In this section, we will prove the main theorem.

Let $f = \text{id} * g$ and $N_f \in [1, x^{1/3})$ be a parameter to be chosen later. First, we write

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{f([x/n])}{[x/n]} = S_f^\dagger(x) + S_f^\sharp(x)$$

with

$$S_f^\dagger(x) = \sum_{n \leq N_f} \frac{f([x/n])}{[x/n]}, \quad S_f^\sharp(x) = \sum_{N_f < n \leq x} \frac{f([x/n])}{[x/n]}.$$

A. Bound of $S_f^\dagger(x)$

We have $f(n) \ll_\varepsilon n^{1+\varepsilon}$ for all $n \geq 1$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$. Thus

$$(4.2) \quad S_f^\dagger(x) \ll N_f x^\varepsilon.$$

B. Bound of $S_f^\sharp(x)$

In order to get the bound of $S_f^\sharp(x)$, we put $m = [x/n]$, so that $x/(m+1) < n \leq x/m$. Thus

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} S_f^\sharp(x) &= \sum_{N_f < n \leq x} \frac{f([x/n])}{[x/n]} = \sum_{m \leq x/N_f} \frac{f(m)}{m} \sum_{x/(m+1) < n \leq x/m} 1 \\ &= \sum_{m \leq x/N_f} \frac{f(m)}{m} \left(\frac{x}{m} - \psi\left(\frac{x}{m}\right) - \frac{x}{m+1} + \psi\left(\frac{x}{m+1}\right) \right) \\ &= x \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{f(m)}{m^2(m+1)} + O(N_f x^\varepsilon) - R_0^f(x) + R_1^f(x), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the following bounds

$$\begin{aligned} x \sum_{m > x/N_f} \frac{f(m)}{m^2(m+1)} &\ll_\varepsilon x \sum_{m > x/N_f} \frac{m^{1+\varepsilon}}{m^2(m+1)} \ll N_f x^\varepsilon, \\ \sum_{m \leq N_f} \frac{f(m)}{m} \psi\left(\frac{x}{m+\delta}\right) &\ll_\varepsilon \sum_{m \leq N_f} \frac{f(m)}{m} \ll N_f x^\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

and

$$R_\delta^f(x) = \sum_{N_f < m \leq x/N_f} \frac{f(m)}{m} \psi\left(\frac{x}{m+\delta}\right).$$

Letting $D_j := x/(2^j N_f)$, we have $N_f \leq D_j \leq x/N_f \leq x$ for $0 \leq j \leq \log(x/N_f^2)/\log 2$. Thus, we can apply (3.1) of Proposition 3.1 to get

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} R_\delta^f(x) &\leq \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \log(x/N_f^2)/\log 2} |\mathfrak{S}_\delta^f(x, D_j)| \\ &\ll \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \log(x/N_f^2)/\log 2} \left((x^2 D_j)^{1/12} + (x^{-1} D_j^2)^{1/2} \right) x^\varepsilon \\ &\ll ((x^3 N_f^{-1})^{1/12} + (x N_f^{-2})^{1/2}) x^\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Inserting this into (4.3) and taking $N_f = x^{1/4}$, we derive

$$(4.5) \quad S_f^\sharp(x) = x \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{f(m)}{m^2(m+1)} + O(x^{1/4+\varepsilon}).$$

Inserting (4.2) with $N_f = x^{1/4}$ and (4.5) into (4.1), we get the theorem.

Acknowledgments This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11771252).

REFERENCES

- [1] O. Bordellès, *On certain sums of number theory*, arXiv:2009.05751v2 [math.NT] 25 Nov 2020.
- [2] O. Bordellès, L. Dai, R. Heyman, H. Pan and I. E. Shparlinski, *On a sum involving the Euler function*, J. Number Theory **202** (2019), 278–297.
- [3] J. Bourgain, *Decoupling, exponential sums and the Riemann zeta function*, J. Am. Math. Soc. **30** (2017), 205–224.
- [4] A. Goswami, *On a partial sum related to the Euler totient function*, arXiv:1812.07556v1 [math.NT] 18 Dec 2018.
- [5] S. W. Graham and G. Kolesnik, *Van Der Corput’s method of exponential sums*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.
- [6] K. Liu, J. Wu and Z.-S. Yang, *A variant of the prime number theorem*, Indag. Mathematicae. **33** (2022), 388–396.
- [7] K. Liu, J. Wu and Z.-S. Yang, *On some sums involving the integral part function*, arXiv:2109.01382v1 [math.NT] 3 Sep 2021.
- [8] J. Ma and H.-Y. Sun, *On a sum involving the divisor function*, Period. Math. Hung. **83** (2021), 185–191.
- [9] J. Ma and H.-Y. Sun, *On a sum involving certain arithmetic functions and the integral part function*, arXiv:2109.02924v1 [math.NT] 7 Sep 2021.
- [10] J. Ma and J. Wu, *On a sum involving the Mangoldt function*, Period. Math. Hung. **83** (2021), 39–48.
- [11] J. Ma, J. Wu and F. Zhao, *On a generalisation of Bordellès-Dai-Heyman-Pan-Shparlinski’ conjecture*, J. Number Theory. **236** (2022), 334–348.
- [12] J. Stucky, *The fractional sum of small arithmetic function*, to appear in J. Number Theory.
- [13] J. Wu, *On a sum involving the Euler totient function*, Indag. Math. **30** (2019), 536–541.
- [14] J. Wu, *Note on a paper by Bordellès, Dai, Heyman, Pan and Shparlinski*, Period. Math. Hung. **80** (2020), 95–102.
- [15] W. Zhai, *On a sum involving the Euler function*, J. Number Theory **211** (2020), 199–219.
- [16] F. Zhao and J. Wu, *On the sum involving the sum-of-divisors function*, J. Math., Volume 2021.

JING MA, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, JILIN UNIVERSITY, CHANGCHUN 130012, P. R. CHINA
Email address: jma@jlu.edu.cn

RONGHUI WU, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, JILIN UNIVERSITY, CHANGCHUN 130012, P. R. CHINA
Email address: rhwu21@mails.jlu.edu.cn