

# MINIMAL PRIME AGES, WORDS AND PERMUTATION GRAPHS EXTENDED ABSTRACT

DJAMILA OUDRAR, MAURICE POUZET, AND IMED ZAGUIA\*

**ABSTRACT.** This paper is a contribution to the study of hereditary classes of finite graphs. We classify these classes according to the number of prime structures they contain. We consider such classes that are *minimal prime*: classes that contain infinitely many primes but every proper hereditary subclass contains only finitely many primes. We give a complete characterization of such classes. In fact, each one of these classes is a well quasi ordered age and there are uncountably many of them. Eleven of these ages remain well quasi ordered when labels in a well quasi ordering are added. Among the remaining ones, countably many remain well quasi ordered when one label is added.

Except for six examples, members of these ages we characterize are permutation graphs. In fact, every age which is not among the eleven ones is the age of a graph associated to a uniformly recurrent 0-1 word on the integers.

A characterization of minimal prime classes of posets and bichains is also provided.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

This paper is a contribution to the study of hereditary classes of finite graphs. We classify hereditary classes according to the number of prime structures they contain. We consider first hereditary classes of graphs that contain only finitely many prime members. Then, we consider those hereditary classes which contain infinitely many prime members, and we show that there are minimal ones with respect to set inclusion. We obtain some general results that we are able to refine in some special cases like graphs, ordered sets, and bichains.

This paper is mostly about graphs and posets. We will also consider binary relational structures, that is ordered pairs  $\mathcal{R} := (V, (\rho_i)_{i \in I})$  where each  $\rho_i$  is a binary relation or a unary relation on  $V$ . The sequence  $s := (n_i)_{i \in I}$  of arity  $n_i$  of  $\rho_i$  is the *signature* of  $\mathcal{R}$ . We denote by  $\Omega_s$  the collection of finite structures of signature  $s$ . In the sequel we will suppose the signature finite, i.e.  $I$  finite. We present the main notions in terms of graphs. Unless otherwise stated, the graphs we consider are undirected, simple and have no loops. That is, a *graph* is a pair  $G := (V, E)$ , where  $E$  is a subset of  $[V]^2$ , the set of 2-element subsets of  $V$ . Elements of  $V$  are the *vertices* of  $G$  and elements of  $E$  its *edges*. The *complement* of  $G$  is the graph  $\overline{G}$  whose vertex set is  $V$  and edge set  $\overline{E} := [V]^2 \setminus E$ . If  $A$  is a subset of  $V$ , the pair  $G \upharpoonright_A := (A, E \cap [A]^2)$  is the *graph induced by  $G$  on  $A$* . We compare graphs with the embeddability relation. A graph  $G$  is *embeddable* in a graph  $G'$  and we set  $G \leq G'$ , if  $G$  is

---

*Date:* May 19, 2022.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05C30, 06F99, 05A05, 03C13.

*Key words and phrases.* ordered set; relational structure; indecomposability; graph; permutation; permutation graph; age; hereditary class; well-quasi-order.

\*Corresponding author. Supported by Canadian Defence Academy Research Program, NSERC and LABEX MILYON (ANR-10-LABX-0070) of Université de Lyon within the program "Investissements d'Avenir (ANR-11-IDEX-0007" operated by the French National Research Agency (ANR).

isomorphic to an induced subgraph of  $G'$ . This defines a quasi order. We recall that a class  $\mathcal{C}$  of graphs is *hereditary* if it contains every graph  $G$  which embeds in some member of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Such a class is an initial segment of the class of graphs quasi ordered by embeddability. If  $G$  is a graph, then the *age* of  $G$  is the collection  $\text{Age}(G)$  of finite graphs  $H$ , considered up to isomorphy, which embed in  $G$ . A characterization of ages (also valid for classes of relational structures with a finite signature) was given by Fraïssé (see chapter 10 of [17]). Namely, a class  $\mathcal{C}$  of finite graphs is the age of some graph if and only if  $\mathcal{C}$  is an *ideal* of the class of finite graphs, that is a nonempty, hereditary and *up-directed* class (a class in which any pair of members of  $\mathcal{C}$  are embeddable in some element of  $\mathcal{C}$ ). We recall that an ordered  $P := (V, \leq)$  is *well quasi ordered* (w.q.o) if every sequence  $x_0, \dots, x_n, \dots$  contains an increasing subsequence  $x_{n_0} \leq \dots \leq x_{n_k}, \dots$  with respect to embeddability. If the class  $P$  does not contain infinite descending chains, this amounts to the nonexistence of infinite antichains. A class  $\mathcal{C}$  of graph or more generally of relational structures is *hereditary well-quasi-ordered* if the class of members of  $\mathcal{C}$  labelled by any w.q.o. is w.q.o. with respect to the embeddability quasi order. A *bound* of a hereditary class  $\mathcal{C}$  of finite structures is any minimal structure not in  $\mathcal{C}$ . We recall that a hereditary class  $\mathcal{C}$  of finite structures which is hereditary w.q.o. has finitely many bounds [41].

## 2. MINIMAL PRIME HEREDITARY CLASSES

We start with the notion of a module.

**Definition 1.** Let  $\mathcal{R} := (V, (\rho_i)_{i \in I})$  be a binary relational structure. A module of  $\mathcal{R}$  is any subset  $A$  of  $V$  such that

$$(x\rho_i a \Leftrightarrow x\rho_i a') \text{ and } (a\rho_i x \Leftrightarrow a'\rho_i x) \text{ for all } a, a' \in A \text{ and } x \notin A \text{ and } i \in I.$$

The empty set, the singletons in  $V$  and the whole set  $V$  are modules and are called *trivial*. (sometimes in the literature, modules are called *interval*, *autonomous* or *partitive sets*). If  $\mathcal{R}$  has no nontrivial module, it is called *prime* or *indecomposable*.

For example, if  $\mathcal{R} := (V, \leq)$  is a chain, its modules are the ordinary intervals of the chain. If  $\mathcal{R} := (V, \leq, \leq')$  is a bichain then  $A$  is a module of  $\mathcal{R}$  if and only if  $A$  is an interval of  $(V, \leq)$  and  $(V, \leq')$ .

The notion of module goes back to Fraïssé [16] and Gallai [19], see also [18]. A fundamental decomposition result of a binary structure into modules was obtained by Gallai [19] for finite binary relations (see [15] for further extensions). We recall the compactness result of Ille [21].

**Theorem 1.** A binary structure  $\mathcal{R}$  is prime if and only if every finite subset  $F$  of its domain extend to a finite sets  $F'$  such that  $\mathcal{R}_{\uparrow F'}$  is prime.

We consider the class  $\text{Prim}_s := \text{Prim}(\Omega_s)$  of finite binary structures of signature  $s$  which are prime. We set  $\text{Prim}(\mathcal{C}) := \text{Prim}_s \cap \mathcal{C}$  for every  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \Omega_s$ .

We say that a subclass  $\mathcal{D}$  of  $\text{Prim}_s$  is *hereditary* if it contains every member of  $\text{Prim}_s$  which can be embedded into some member of  $\mathcal{D}$ .

**2.1. Hereditary classes containing finitely many prime structures.** The following result (see Proposition 5.2 of [38]) improves a result of [1] for hereditary classes of finite permutations.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a hereditary class of finite binary structures containing only finitely many prime structures. Then  $\mathcal{C}$  is hereditarily w.q.o. In particular,  $\mathcal{C}$  has finitely many bounds.*

The following result, due independently to C. Delhommé [14] and McKay [35] extends Thomassé’s result on the w.q.o. character of series-parallel posets [56], which extends the famous Laver’s theorem [28] on the w.q.o. character of the class of countable chains.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a hereditary classes of  $\Omega_s$ . If  $\text{Prim}(\mathcal{C})$  is finite, then the collection of countable  $R$  such that  $\text{Age}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  is well-quasi-ordered by embeddability.*

**2.2. Hereditary classes containing infinitely many prime structures.** In this subsection, we report some results included in [36]. We consider hereditary classes containing infinitely many prime structures. We show that each such a class contains one which is minimal with respect to inclusion.

**Definition 2.** *A hereditary class  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $\Omega_\mu$  is minimal prime if it contains infinitely many prime structures, while every proper hereditary subclass contains only finitely many prime structures.*

This notion appears in the thesis of the first author [36] (see Theorem 5.12, p. 92, and Theorem 5.15, p. 94 of [36]).

Due to their definition, minimal prime ages ordered by inclusion form an antichain with respect to set inclusion.

An ordered set  $P$  is *Jónsson* if  $P$  is infinite and the cardinality of every proper initial segment of  $P$  is strictly less than the cardinality of  $P$  [22]. We say that  $P$  is *minimal* if it is infinite and every proper initial segment of  $P$  is finite. This amounts to say that  $P$  is a countable Jónsson poset. These posets appear quite naturally in symbolic dynamic. In fact, an infinite word  $u$  on some finite alphabet  $A$  is uniformly recurrent ([4], [29]) if and only if the set  $\text{Fac}(u)$  of its finite factors is minimal once it is ordered with the factor order.

We list below some equivalent properties see Proposition 4.1 of [40], or Proposition 3.1 of [5].

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $P$  be an infinite poset. Then, the following properties are equivalent:*

- (i) *Every proper initial segment of  $P$  is finite.*
- (ii)  *$P$  is w.q.o. and all ideals distinct from  $P$  are principal;*
- (iii)  *$P$  has no infinite antichain and all ideals distinct from  $P$  are finite;*
- (iv)  *$P$  is level-finite, of height  $\omega$ , and for each  $n < \omega$  there is  $m < \omega$  such that each element of height at most  $n$  is below every element of height at least  $m$ .*

We have immediately (cf. Théorème 5.14 p.93 of [36]).

**Theorem 5.** *A hereditary class  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $\Omega_s$  is minimal prime if and only if  $\text{Prim}(\mathcal{C})$  is a Jónsson poset which is cofinal in  $\mathcal{C}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a minimal prime class. By definition,  $\text{Prim}(\mathcal{C})$  is infinite. Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a proper hereditary subclass of  $\text{Prim}(\mathcal{C})$ . The initial segment  $\downarrow \mathcal{I}$  in  $\Omega_s$  is a proper subclass of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{I}$  is finite. Thus  $\text{Prim}(\mathcal{C})$  is Jónsson. Let  $\mathcal{C}' := \downarrow \text{Prim}(\mathcal{C})$ . If  $\mathcal{C}' \neq \mathcal{C}$  then since  $\mathcal{C}$  is minimal prime,  $\text{Prim}(\mathcal{C}') = \text{Prim}(\mathcal{C})$  is finite, which is impossible. This proves that the implication holds

Conversely, suppose that  $\text{Prim}(\mathcal{C})$  is a Jónsson poset which is cofinal in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}$  est infinite. If  $\mathcal{C}$  is not minimal prime there is a proper hereditary subclass  $\mathcal{C}'$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $\text{Prim}(\mathcal{C}')$  is infinite. Since  $\text{Prim}(\mathcal{C})$  is Jónsson,  $\text{Prim}(\mathcal{C}') = \text{Prim}(\mathcal{C})$ . Since  $\mathcal{C}' = \downarrow \text{Prim}(\mathcal{C}')$  and  $\text{Prim}(\mathcal{C})$  is cofinal in  $\mathcal{C}$ , this yields  $\mathcal{C}' = \mathcal{C}$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

We have:

**Theorem 6.** *Every hereditary subclass of finite graphs, and more generally of finite relational structures (with a given finite signature), which contains infinitely many prime structures contains a minimal prime hereditary subclass.*

With Theorem 1, one gets:

**Corollary 7.** *The age of any infinite prime structure contains a minimal prime age.*

With Theorems 4 and 2 we get:

**Theorem 8.** *Every minimal prime hereditary class is the age of some prime structure; furthermore this age is well-quasi-ordered.*

A non-trivial improvement of Theorem 8 is based on the notion of kernel (a notion introduced in [42] and studied it in several papers [43] [45], [44] Lemme IV-3.1 p. 37 and [46]).

The *kernel* of a relational structure  $\mathcal{R}$  with domain  $E$  is the subset  $K(\mathcal{R})$  of  $x \in E$  such that  $\text{Age}(\mathcal{R} \upharpoonright_{E \setminus \{x\}}) \neq \text{Age}(\mathcal{R})$ . As it is easy to see (cf [43][46]), the kernel of a relational structure  $R$  is empty if and only if for every finite subset  $F$  of  $E$  there is a disjoint subset  $F'$  such that the restrictions  $R \upharpoonright_F$  and  $R \upharpoonright_{F'}$  are isomorphic. Hence, relational structures with empty kernel are those for which their age has the *disjoint embedding property*, meaning that two arbitrary members of the age can be embedded into a third in such a way that their domain are disjoint.

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a minimal prime class. If the kernel of some structure  $\mathcal{R}$  such that  $\text{Age}(\mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{C}$  is non-empty, then  $\mathcal{C}$  is hereditarily wqo and, in particular, this kernel is finite.*

**Corollary 10.** *There are at most countably many minimal prime classes  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $\mathcal{C} = \text{Age}(\mathcal{R})$  and  $\text{Ker}(\mathcal{R}) \neq \emptyset$ .*

**Problem 1.** *Is it true that  $|\text{Ker}(\mathcal{R})| \leq 2$ ?*

As we will see, the answer is positive if one considers minimal prime classes of graphs. In this case, there are only four leading to nonempty kernel.

**2.3. A Proof of Theorem 6.** We will need the following lemma which is a special case of Theorem 4.6 of [5].

**Lemma 11.**  *$\text{Prim}_s$  is level finite.*

*Proof.* Suppose for a contradiction that there exists an integer  $n \geq 0$  such that the level  $\text{Prim}_s(n)$  of  $\text{Prim}_s$  is infinite and choose  $n$  smallest with this property. Define

$$\mathcal{C} := \{R \in \Omega_s : R < S \text{ for some } S \in \text{Prim}_s(n)\}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{C}$  is a hereditary class of  $\Omega_s$  containing only finitely many prime structures. It follows from Theorem 2 that  $\mathcal{C}$  is hereditary well-quasi-ordered and hence has finitely many bounds. This is not possible since the elements of  $\text{Prim}_s(n)$  are bounds of  $\mathcal{C}$ .  $\square$

2.3.1. *Another proof of Lemma 11.* We prove the finiteness of the levels of  $\text{Prim}_s$  via the properties of critical primality. A binary structure  $R := (V, (\rho_i)_{i \in I})$  is *critically prime* if it is prime and  $R_{V \setminus \{x\}}$  is not prime for every  $x \in V$ . Note that  $|R|$  has at least four elements. This notion of critical primality was introduced by Schmerl and Trotter [51]. Among results given in their paper, we have the following theorem (this is Theorem 5.9, page 204):

**Theorem 12.** *Let  $R := (V, (\rho_i)_{i \in I})$  be a prime binary structure of order  $n \geq 7$ . Then there are distinct  $c, d \in E$  such that  $V \setminus \{c, d\}$  is prime.*

In their paper, Schmerl and Trotter give examples of critically prime structures within the class of graphs, posets, tournaments, oriented graphs and binary relational structures. The set of critical prime structures within each of these classes is a finite union of chains.

Decompose  $\text{Prim}_s$  into levels; in level  $i$ , with  $i \leq 2$ , are the structures of order zero, one or two.

For structures  $R$  in  $\text{Prime}_s$  of order at least 2, we have the following relationship between the height  $h(R)$  in  $\text{Prime}_s$  and its order,  $|R|$  (which is the height of  $\mathcal{R}$  in  $\Omega_s$ ).

$$(1) \quad h(R) \leq |R| \leq 2(h(R) - 1).$$

The first inequality is obvious. For the second, we use induction on  $n := h(R) \geq 2$ . The basis step  $n = 2$  is trivially true. Suppose  $n > 2$ . Let  $S$  be prime such that  $S$  embeds in  $R$  with  $h(S) = n - 1$ . From the induction hypothesis,  $|S| \leq 2(h(S) - 1) = 2(n - 2)$ . According to Theorem 12,  $|R| - 2 \leq |S|$ . Hence  $|R| - 2 \leq 2(n - 2)$ . Therefore  $|R| \leq 2(n - 1)$ .

Lemma 11 follows from the second inequality in (1) since there are only finitely many structures of a given order.

**Lemma 13.** *Every infinite well-founded poset  $P$  which is level finite contains an initial segment which is Jónsson.*

*Proof.* We apply Zorn's Lemma to the set  $\mathcal{J}$  of infinite initial segments of  $P$  included in the first  $\omega$ -levels. For that, we prove that  $\mathcal{J}$  is closed under intersections of nonempty chains. Indeed, let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a non-empty chain (with respect to set inclusion) of members of  $\mathcal{J}$ . Set  $J := \cap \mathcal{C}$ . Let  $n < \omega$ , let  $P_n$  be the  $n$ -th level of  $P$  and  $\mathcal{C}_n := \{C \cap P_n : C \in \mathcal{C}\}$ . The members of  $\mathcal{C}_n$  are finite, nonempty and totally ordered by inclusion. Hence,  $J_n := \cap \mathcal{C}_n$  is non-empty. Since  $J = \cup \{J_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ ,  $J \in \mathcal{J}$ .  $\square$

The proof of Theorem 6 goes as follows. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a hereditary class of  $\Omega_s$  such that  $J := \text{Prim}_s(\mathcal{C})$  is infinite. Since  $\text{Prim}_s$  is level finite, Lemma 13 ensures that  $J$  contains an initial segment  $D$  which is Jónsson. According to Theorem 5,  $\downarrow D$  is minimal prime. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**2.4. A Proof of Theorem 8.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a minimal prime hereditary class. We first prove that it is the age of a prime structure. It follows from Theorem 5 that  $\mathcal{C} = \downarrow D$  where  $D$  is Jónsson. Since  $D$  is Jónsson, it is up-directed. Thus  $\mathcal{C}$  is an age. Since  $D$  is up-directed and countable, it contains a cofinal sequence  $R_0 \leq R_1 \leq \dots < R_n \leq \dots$ . We may define the limit  $R$  of these  $R_n$ . Since the  $R_n$ 's are prime,  $R$  is prime and  $\text{Age}(R) = \mathcal{C}$ .

Next we prove that  $\mathcal{C}$  is w.q.o. Since  $D$  is Jónsson, it is w.q.o. . To prove that  $\mathcal{C}$  is w.q.o., let  $R \in \mathcal{C}$  and consider  $\mathcal{C} \setminus (\uparrow \{R\})$ . In order to prove that  $\mathcal{C}$  is w.q.o. it is enough to prove that  $\mathcal{C} \setminus (\uparrow \{R\})$  is w.q.o. by embeddability. Indeed, an antichain that contains  $R$  must be

in  $\mathcal{C} \setminus (\uparrow \{R\})$ . Now to prove that  $\mathcal{C} \setminus (\uparrow \{R\})$  is w.q.o. we note that since  $\mathcal{C} \setminus (\uparrow \{R\})$  is a proper hereditary class in  $\mathcal{C}$ , hence it contains only finitely many primes. It follows from Theorem 2 that  $\mathcal{C} \setminus (\uparrow \{R\})$  is w.q.o.  $\square$

### 3. MINIMAL PRIME AGES OF GRAPHS

Our characterization of minimal prime ages is based on a previous characterization of unavoidable prime graphs in large finite prime graphs [12], and our study of graphs associated to 0-1 sequences.

Citing Chudnovsky and al [12] :

**Theorem 14.** *For all  $n$ , there exists  $N$  such that every prime graph with at least  $N$  vertices contains one of the following graphs or their complements as an induced subgraph:*

- (1) the graph obtained from  $K_{1,n}$  by subdividing every edge once,
- (2) the line graph of  $K_{2,n}$ ,
- (3) the line graph of the graph in (1),
- (4) the half-graph of height  $n$ ,
- (5) a prime graph induced by a chain of length  $n$ ,
- (6) two particular graphs obtained from the half-graph of height  $n$  by making one side a clique and adding one vertex.

It turns out that we can represent a chain by a word on the alphabet  $\{0, 1\}$ .

In this text, a 0-1 sequence is a map  $\mu$  from an interval  $I$  of the set  $\mathbb{Z}$  of integers into  $\{0, 1\}$ . The restriction of  $\mu$  to an interval of  $I$  is a *factor* of  $\mu$ . For  $i \in I$  we will sometimes denote  $\mu(i)$  by  $\mu_i$ . If  $I$  is finite, with  $n$  elements, we may view  $\mu$  as a word  $\mu := u_0 \dots u_{n-1}$ . If the sequence is infinite we may view it as a 0-1 sequence over  $\mathbb{N}$ , over  $\mathbb{N}^* := \{\dots, -n, \dots, -2, -1, 0\}$ , or over  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Definition 3.** *To  $\mu$  we associate the graph  $G_\mu$  whose vertex set  $V(G_\mu)$  is  $\{-1, 0, \dots, n-1\}$  if the domain of  $\mu$  is  $\{0, \dots, n-1\}$ ,  $\{-1\} \cup \mathbb{N}$  if the domain of  $\mu$  is  $\mathbb{N}$ , and  $\mathbb{N}^*$  or  $\mathbb{Z}$  if the domain of  $\mu$  is  $\mathbb{N}^*$  or  $\mathbb{Z}$ . For two vertices  $i, j$  with  $i < j$  we let  $\{i, j\}$  be an edge of  $G_\mu$  if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_j = 1 \text{ and } j = i + 1, \text{ or} \\ \mu_j = 0 \text{ and } j \neq i + 1. \end{aligned}$$

For instance, if  $\mu$  is the word defined on  $\mathbb{N}$  by setting  $\mu_i = 1$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $G_\mu$  is the infinite one way path on  $\{-1\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ . Note that if  $\mu'$  is the word defined on  $\mathbb{N}$  by setting  $\mu'_i = 1$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$  and  $\mu'_1 = 0$ , then  $G_{\mu'}$  is also the infinite one way path. In particular the graphs  $G_\mu$  and  $G_{\mu'}$  have the same age but  $\mu$  and  $\mu'$  do not have the same sets of finite factors.



FIGURE 1. 0-1 words of length two and their corresponding graphs.

This correspondence between 0-1 words and graphs was first considered in [53], [54]; see also [59] and [12].




FIGURE 2. Two distinct 0-1 sequences with isomorphic corresponding graphs.

**Remark 1.** If  $I$  is an interval of  $\mathbb{N}$  and  $\mu := (\mu_i)_{i \in I}$  is a 0-1 sequence, then  $\overline{G_\mu} = G_{\overline{\mu}}$ , where  $\overline{\mu} := (\overline{\mu}_i)_{i \in I}$  is the 0-1 sequence defined by  $\overline{\mu}(i) := \mu(i) + 1$  and  $+$  is the addition modulo 2.




FIGURE 3. 0-1 graphs nonrealizable by a sequence on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Remark 2.** Given a 0-1 graph defined on  $\mathbb{N} \cup \{-1\}$  or on  $\mathbb{N}^*$  there does not exist necessarily a 0-1 graph on  $\mathbb{Z}$  with the same age.

Indeed, (a) Let  $\mu := 100111\dots$  be an infinite word on  $\mathbb{N}$  (the corresponding graph is depicted in (a) of Figure 3). There does not exist a word  $\mu'$  on  $\mathbb{N}^*$  or  $\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\text{Age}(G_\mu) = \text{Age}(G_{\mu'})$ . (b) Let  $\nu := \dots 11100$  be an infinite word on  $\mathbb{N}^*$  (the corresponding graph is depicted in (b) of Figure 3). There does not exist a word  $\nu'$  on  $\mathbb{N}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\text{Age}(G_\nu) = \text{Age}(G_{\nu'})$ .

*Proof of (a):* Every vertex of the graph  $G_\mu$  has finite degree. Suppose for a contradiction that there exists a word  $\mu'$  on  $\mathbb{N}^*$  or  $\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\text{Age}(G_\mu) = \text{Age}(G_{\mu'})$ . Then there exists  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\mu'(i) = 0$  because otherwise  $G_{\mu'}$  would be a path and hence  $\text{Age}(G_\mu) \neq \text{Age}(G_{\mu'})$ . But then the vertex  $i$  of  $G_{\mu'}$  would have infinite degree which is impossible since every vertex of the graph  $G_\mu$  has finite degree. ■

*Proof of (b):* The graph  $G_\nu$  has two vertices of infinite degree. Suppose for a contradiction that there exists a word  $\nu'$  on  $\mathbb{N}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\text{Age}(G_\nu) = \text{Age}(G_{\nu'})$ . Then  $\nu'$  must take the value 0 on an infinite subset  $I$  of  $\mathbb{Z}$  because otherwise every vertex of  $G_{\nu'}$  would have finite degree which is impossible since  $\text{Age}(G_\nu) = \text{Age}(G_{\nu'})$ . Let  $I' \subseteq I$  be an infinite set of nonconsecutive integers. Then  $G_{\nu'}$  induces an infinite clique on  $I'$ . This is not possible since the only cliques of  $G_\nu$  have cardinality 3. ■

**Remark 3.** Given a word  $\nu$  we associate the graph  $G_\nu$  whose vertex set  $V(G_\nu)$  is  $\{-n + 1, \dots, 0, 1\}$  if the domain of  $\nu$  is  $\{-n + 1, \dots, 0\}$ ,  $\mathbb{N}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}$  if the domain of  $\nu$  is  $\mathbb{N}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}$  respectively, and  $\mathbb{N}^* \cup \{1\}$  if the domain of  $\nu$  is  $\mathbb{N}^*$ . For two vertices  $i, j$  with  $i < j$  we let

$\{i, j\}$  be an edge of  $G^\nu$  if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_i = 1 \text{ and } j = i + 1, \text{ or} \\ \nu_i = 0 \text{ and } j \neq i + 1. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\nu$  is of domain  $\{0, \dots, n-1\}$ ,  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathbb{N}^*$  or  $\mathbb{Z}$  define  $\nu^*$  to be the sequence of domain is  $\{-n+1, \dots, 0\}$ ,  $\mathbb{N}^*$ ,  $\mathbb{N}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}$  respectively by setting  $\nu^*(i) := \nu(-i)$ . Then  $G^{\nu^*}$  and  $G_\nu$  are isomorphic.

A graph  $G := (V, E)$  is a *permutation graph* if there is a total order  $\leq$  on  $V$  and a permutation  $\sigma$  of  $V$  such that the edges of  $G$  are the pairs  $\{x, y\} \in [V]^2$  which are reversed by  $\sigma$ . A graph is the comparability graph of a two-dimensional poset if and only if it is also the incomparability graph of a two-dimensional poset [13]. If the graph is finite, this amounts to the fact that this is a permutation graph.

During the last fifteen years, several studies have been devoted to permutation graphs and some variants, in relation with the Stanley-Wilf conjecture and its solution by Marcus and Tardös [33]. An emphasis was put on hereditary classes of finite permutation graphs and a classification of these classes, notably in terms of their profile. The role of the notions of primality and of well-quasi-order has been particularly investigated, see [25, 58].

**Theorem 15.** *For every 0-1 word  $\mu$  the age  $\text{Age}(G_\mu)$  consists of permutation graphs.*

Theorem 15 follows from the Compactness Theorem of First Order Logic and the following Lemma.

Let  $P : (V, \leq)$  be a poset. An element  $x \in V$  is *extremal* if it is maximal or minimal.

**Lemma 16.** *Let  $w := w_0 \dots w_{n-1}$  be a finite word with  $n \geq 2$  and  $w' := w_0 \dots w_{n-2}$ . Then every realizer  $(L_{w'}, M_{w'})$  of a transitive orientation of  $G_{w'}$  on  $\{-1, 0, \dots, n-2\}$  (if any) such that  $n-2$  is extremal in  $L_{w'}$  or in  $M_{w'}$  extends to a realizer  $(L_w, M_w)$  of a transitive orientation of  $G_w$  on  $\{-1, 0, \dots, n-1\}$  such that  $n-1$  is extremal in  $L_w$  or in  $M_w$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(L_{w'}, M_{w'})$  be a realizer of a transitive orientation  $P_{w'}$  of  $G_{w'}$  on  $\{-1, 0, \dots, n-2\}$  such that  $n-2$  is extremal in  $L_{w'}$  or in  $M_{w'}$ . We may assume without loss of generality that  $n-2$  is maximal in  $L_{w'}$  or in  $M_{w'}$ . Otherwise, consider  $P_{w'}^*$  and the pair  $(L_{w'}^*, M_{w'}^*)$ . Note that  $P_{w'}^*$  is a transitive orientation of  $G_{w'}$ , the pair  $(L_{w'}^*, M_{w'}^*)$  is a realizer of  $P_{w'}^*$ , and  $n-2$  is maximal in  $L_{w'}^*$  or in  $M_{w'}^*$  (this is because  $n-2$  is minimal in  $L_{w'}$  or in  $M_{w'}$ ). We then extend  $(L_{w'}^*, M_{w'}^*)$  to a realizer of  $P_{w'}^*$  with the desired property. The dual of this realizer is a realizer of  $P_w$  with the required property. We may also suppose that  $n-2$  is maximal in  $L_{w'}$ , because otherwise, we interchange the roles of  $L_{w'}$  and  $M_{w'}$ .

- If  $w_{n-1} = 1$ , then  $\{n-2, n-1\}$  is the unique edge of  $G_w$  containing  $n-1$ . Clearly  $P_w := P_{w'} \cup \{(n-1, n-2)\}$  is a transitive orientation of  $G_w$ . Let  $L_w$  be the total order obtained from  $L_{w'}$  so that  $n-1$  appears immediately before  $\max(L_{w'}) = n-2$  and larger than all other elements and let  $M_w$  be the total order obtained from  $M_{w'}$  by letting  $n-1$  smaller than all elements of  $M_{w'}$ . Clearly,  $(L_w, M_w)$  is a realizer of  $P_w$  and by construction  $n-1$  is minimal in  $P_w$  and in  $M_w$ .

- Else if  $w_{n-1} = 0$ , then  $\{n-2, n-1\}$  is the unique non edge of  $G_w$  containing  $n-1$ . Since  $n-2$  is maximal in  $P_{w'}$  we infer that  $P_w := P_{w'} \cup \{(x, n-1) : x \in \{-1, 0, \dots, n-3\}\}$  is a transitive orientation of  $G_w$  in which  $n-1$  and  $n-2$  are incomparable. Let  $L_w$  be the total order obtained from  $L_{w'}$  so that  $n-1$  appears immediately before  $\max(L_{w'}) = n-2$  and

larger than all other elements and let  $M_w$  be the total order obtained from  $M_{w'}$  by letting  $n-2$  larger than all elements of  $M_{w'}$ . Clearly,  $(L_w, M_w)$  is a realizer of  $P_w$  (indeed,  $n-2$  and  $n-1$  are incomparable in  $L_w \cap M_w$  and for all  $x \in \{-1, 0, \dots, n-3\}$ ,  $x < n-1$  in  $L_w \cap M_w$ ), proving that  $\{L_w, M_w\}$  is a realizer of  $P_w$ . By construction  $n-1$  is maximal in  $P_w$  and  $M_w$ . The proof of the lemma is now complete.  $\square$

It is easy to prove and well known that there are  $2^{\aleph_0}$  hereditary classes of finite permutation graphs. This is due to the existence of infinite antichains among finite permutation graphs.

In general, it is not true that two words with different sets of finite factors give different ages. Let us recall two basic notions of Symbolic Dynamic. A word  $u$  is *recurrent* if every finite factor occurs infinitely often; the word  $u$  is *uniformly recurrent* if for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that each factor  $u(p) \dots u(p+n)$  of length  $n$  occurs as a factor of every factor of length  $m$ .

**Theorem 17.** *Let  $\mu$  and  $\mu'$  be two words. If  $\mu$  is recurrent and  $\text{Age}(G_\mu) \subseteq \text{Age}(G_{\mu'})$ , then  $\text{Fac}(\mu) \subseteq \text{Fac}(\mu')$ .*

Using this result and the fact that there are  $2^{\aleph_0}$  0-1 recurrent words with distinct sets of factors, we obtain the following.

**Theorem 18.** *There are  $2^{\aleph_0}$  ages of permutation graphs.*

The ages we obtain in Theorem 18 are not necessarily well-quasi-ordered. To obtain well-quasi-ordered ages, we consider graphs associated to uniformly recurrent sequences.

**Theorem 19.** *Let  $\mu$  be a 0-1 sequence on an infinite interval of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . The following propositions are equivalent.*

- (i)  $\mu$  is uniformly recurrent.
- (ii)  $\mu$  is recurrent and  $\text{Age}(G_\mu)$  is minimal prime.

As it is well known, there are  $2^{\aleph_0}$  uniformly recurrent words with distinct sets of factors (e.g. Sturmian words with different slopes, see Chapter 6 of [50]). With Theorem 17 we get:

**Theorem 20.** *There are  $2^{\aleph_0}$  ages of permutation graphs which are minimal prime.*

Permutation graphs come from posets and from bichains. Let us recall that a *bichain* is relational structure  $R := (V, (\leq', \leq''))$  made of a set  $V$  and two linear orders  $\leq'$  and  $\leq''$  on  $V$ . If  $V$  is finite and has  $n$  elements, there is a unique permutation  $\sigma$  of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  for which  $R$  is isomorphic to the bichain  $C_\sigma := (\{1, \dots, n\}, \leq, \leq_\sigma)$  where  $\leq$  is the natural order on  $\underline{n} := \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $\leq_\sigma$  is the linear order defined by  $i \leq_\sigma j$  if  $\sigma(i) \leq \sigma(j)$ .

If we represent bichains by permutations, embeddings between bichains is equivalent to the *pattern containment* between the corresponding permutations, see Cameron [11].

To a bichain  $R := (V, (\leq', \leq''))$ , we may associate the intersection order  $o(R) := (V, \leq' \cap \leq'')$  and to  $o(R)$  its comparability graph.

The following is Theorem 67 from [48].

**Theorem 21.** (1) *Let  $P := (V, \leq)$  be a poset. Then  $\text{Age}(\text{Inc}(P))$  is minimal prime if and only if  $\text{Age}(\text{Comp}(P))$  is minimal prime. Furthermore,  $\text{Age}(P)$  is minimal prime if and only if  $\text{Age}(\text{Inc}(P))$  is minimal prime and  $\downarrow \text{Prim}(\text{Age}(P)) = \text{Age}(P)$ .*

(2) *Let  $B := (V, (\leq_1, \leq_2))$  be a bichain and  $o(B) := (V, \leq_1 \cap \leq_2)$ . Then  $\text{Age}(B)$  is minimal prime if and only if  $\text{Age}(o(B))$  is minimal prime and  $\downarrow \text{Prim}(\text{Age}(B)) = \text{Age}(B)$ .*

With (ii) of Theorem 21 and Theorem 20 we have:

**Theorem 22.** *There are  $2^{\aleph_0}$  ages of bichains and permutation orders which are minimal prime.*

We now are able to state our complete characterization of minimal prime graphs. The corresponding characterization of minimal prime posets and bichains will follow from Theorem 21 and a careful examination of our list of graphs to decide which graphs are comparability graphs.




FIGURE 4.




FIGURE 5.




FIGURE 6.

These graphs were considered first by the last two authors in [49]. It was shown in [49] that every prime graph with no infinite clique embeds one of the graphs depicted in Figure 4.

Let  $\mathcal{L} := \{\text{Age}(G_0), \text{Age}(\overline{G}_0), \text{Age}(G_1), \text{Age}(\overline{G}_1), \text{Age}(G_3), \text{Age}(\overline{G}_3), \text{Age}(G_4), \text{Age}(\overline{G}_4), \text{Age}(G_5), \text{Age}(G_6), \text{Age}(\overline{G}_6)\}$ .

The corresponding graphs are depicted in Figures 4, 5 and 6. It should be noted that the graphs  $G_5$ ,  $\overline{G}_5$  and  $G'_5$  have the same age. Also,  $G_6$ ,  $G'_6$  have the same age.

**Theorem 23.** *A hereditary class  $\mathcal{C}$  of finite graphs is minimal prime if and only if  $\mathcal{C} = \text{Age}(G_\mu)$  for some uniformly recurrent word on  $\mathbb{N}$ , or  $\mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{L}$ .*

*Proof.*  $\Leftarrow$ . Follows from Theorem 19 and Chapter 6 page 109 of the first author's thesis [36].

$\Rightarrow$  Follows essentially from Theorem 14. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a minimal prime age. Then  $\mathcal{C}$  contains infinitely many prime graphs of one of the types given in Theorem 14. If for an example,  $\mathcal{C}$  contains infinitely many chains, that is graphs of the form  $G_\mu$  for  $\mu$  finite, then, since it is minimal prime, this is the age of some  $G_\mu$  with  $\mu$  uniformly recurrent. For the other cases, use the structure of the infinite graphs described in Figures 4, 5 and 6.  $\square$

**Theorem 24.** (1) *A minimal prime hereditary class  $\mathcal{C}$  of finite graphs is hereditary well-quasi-ordered if and only if  $\mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{L}$ .*

(2) *A minimal prime hereditary class  $\mathcal{C}$  of finite graphs remains well-quasi-ordered when just one label is added if and only if  $\mathcal{C} = \text{Age}(G_\mu)$  for some periodic 0-1 word on  $\mathbb{N}$ , or  $\mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{L}$ .*

**Corollary 25.** (1) *A hereditary class  $\mathcal{C}$  of finite comparability graphs is minimal prime if and only if  $\mathcal{C} = \text{Age}(G_\mu)$  for some uniformly recurrent word on  $\mathbb{N}$ , or  $\mathcal{C} \in \{\text{Age}(G_0), \text{Age}(G_1), \text{Age}(\overline{G}_1), \text{Age}(G_3), \text{Age}(G_5), \text{Age}(G_6), \text{Age}(\overline{G}_6)\}$ .*

(2) *A hereditary class  $\mathcal{C}$  of finite permutation graphs is minimal prime if and only if  $\mathcal{C} = \text{Age}(G_\mu)$  for some uniformly recurrent word on  $\mathbb{N}$ , or  $\mathcal{C} \in \{\text{Age}(G_1), \text{Age}(\overline{G}_1), \text{Age}(G_5), \text{Age}(G_6), \text{Age}(\overline{G}_6)\}$ .*

#### 4. BOUNDS OF MINIMAL PRIME HEREDITARY CLASSES

We recall that a *bound* of a hereditary class  $\mathcal{C}$  of finite structures (e.g. graphs, ordered sets) is any structure  $\mathcal{R} \notin \mathcal{C}$  such that every proper induced substructure of  $\mathcal{R}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Theorem 26.** *Let  $\mu$  be a uniformly recurrent and non-periodic 0-1 word. Then  $\text{Age}(G_\mu)$  has infinitely many bounds.*

If  $\mu$  is periodic,  $\text{Age}(G_\mu)$  may have infinitely many bounds. This is the case if  $\mu$  is constant. For nonconstant 0-1 word, we propose the following conjecture.

**Conjecture:** If  $\mu$  is a periodic nonconstant 0-1 word, then  $G_\mu$  has finitely many bounds.

As for Theorem 26, we have.

**Theorem 27.** *Let  $\mu$  be a uniformly recurrent and non-periodic 0-1 word; let  $B_\mu$  be a bichain such that the comparability graph of the intersection order is  $G_\mu$  and  $P_\mu$  be a transitive orientation of  $G_\mu$ . Then  $\text{Age}(B_\mu)$  and  $\text{Age}(P_\mu)$  have infinitely many bounds.*

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M.H. Albert and M.D. Atkinson, *Simple permutations and pattern restricted permutations. Discrete Mathematics*, **300** (2005) 1–15.
- [2] M.H. Albert, M.D. Atkinson and M. Klazar, *The enumeration of simple permutations. Journal of integer sequences*, Vol. 6 (2003), Article 03.4.4.
- [3] M.H. Albert, M.D. Atkinson and V. Vatter, *Subclasses of the separable permutations. London Mathematical Society*, Volume 43, Issue 5 (2011), Pages 859–870.

- [4] Jean-Paul Allouche and Jeffrey Shallit, *Automatic Sequences: Theory, Applications, Generalizations*. Cambridge University Press. (2003). ISBN 978-0-521-82332-6.
- [5] R. Assous, M. Pouzet, *Jónsson posets*, Algebra Universalis, 79 (2018) no. 3, Art. 74, 26 pp.
- [6] Yehoshua Bar-Hillel, Micha A. Perles and Eli Shamir, *On formal properties of simple phrase structure grammars*, Zeitschrift für Phonetik, Sprachwissenschaft und Kommunikationsforschung, **14** (1961), 143–172.
- [7] D. Beauquier and M. Nivat, *About rational sets of factors of a bi-infinite word*. Automata, languages and programming (Nafplion, 1985), 33–42, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., 194, Springer, Berlin, 1985.
- [8] Berthé, Valérie; Rigo, Michel, eds. (2010). *Combinatorics, automata, and number theory*. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications. 135. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. ISBN 978-0-521-51597-9.
- [9] R. Brignall, M. Engen and V. Vatter, *A Counterexample Regarding Labelled Well-Quasi-Ordering*, Graphs and Combinatorics, **34** (2018), 1395–1409.
- [10] R. Brignall, A survey of simple permutations. Permutation patterns. 41–65, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 376, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [11] P.J. Cameron, *Homogeneous permutations*, Permutation patterns (Otago, 2003). Electron. J. Combin. **9** (2002/03), no. 2, Research paper 2, 9 pp.
- [12] M. Chudnovsky and R. Kim and S. Oum and P. Seymour, *Unavoidable induced subgraphs in large graphs with no homogeneous sets*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B **118** (2016), 1–12.
- [13] B. Dushnik and E.W. Miller, *Partially ordered sets*, Amer. J. Math. **63** (1941), 600–610.
- [14] C. Delhommé, *Nicely BQO grounded categories and 2-structures*, preprint, 2014.
- [15] A. Ehrenfeucht, T. Harju, G. Rozenberg, *The theory of 2-structures. A framework for decomposition and transformation of graphs*. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 1999.
- [16] R. Fraïssé, *On a decomposition of relations which generalizes the sum of ordering relations*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 59:389, 1953.
- [17] R. Fraïssé, Theory of relations. Revised edition. With an appendix by Norbert Sauer. Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Mathematics, 145. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 2000. ii+451.
- [18] R. Fraïssé, *L'intervalle en théorie des relations, ses généralisations, filtre intervallaires et clôture d'une relation.*, Annals of Discrete Math **23** (1984), 313–341, In "Orders, description and roles". Pouzet. M and Richard. D., éd. (L'Arbresle, 1982), 313–341, North-Holland Math. Stud., 99, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984.
- [19] T. Gallai, *Transitiv orientierbare Graphen*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. **18** (1967), 25–66 (English translation by F. Maffray and M. Preissmann in J.J. Ramirez-Alfonsin and B. Reed (Eds), Perfect graphs, Wiley 2001, pp. 25–66).
- [20] G. Higman, *Ordering by divisibility in abstract algebras*. Proc. London Math. Soc. **3** (1952), 326–336.
- [21] P. Ille, Indecomposable graphs, Discrete Math, 173 (1997) 71–78.
- [22] K. Kearnes, G. Oman, *Jónsson posets and unary Jónsson algebras*, Algebra Universalis **69** (2013), no. 2, 101–112.
- [23] D. Kelly, *Comparability graphs*, in Graphs and Orders, I.Rival ed., NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci. **147** (1985), 3–40.
- [24] D. Kelly, *The 3-irreducible partially ordered sets*, Can. J. Math., Vol. XXIX, No. **2** (1977), 367–383.
- [25] M. Klazar, *Overview of general results in combinatorial enumeration*, in Permutation patterns, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., **376**, (2010), 3–40, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge.
- [26] N. Korpelainen, V. Lozin and I. Razgon, *Boundary Properties of Well-Quasi-Ordered Sets of Graphs*, Order **30** (2013), 723–735.
- [27] N. Korpelainen and V. Lozin, *Bipartite Induced Subgraphs and Well-Quasi-Ordering*, J Graph Theory **67** (2011), 235–249.
- [28] R. Laver, *On Fraïssé's order type conjecture*, Ann. of Math. (2) **93** (1971) 89–111.
- [29] M. Lothaire. *Finite and Infinite Words*. Algebraic Combinatorics on Words. Cambridge University Press. 2002.
- [30] V. Lozin, R. Gábor, *Minimal universal bipartite graphs*, Ars Combin. 84 (2007), 345–356.
- [31] V. Lozin and C. Mayhill, *Canonical Antichains of Unit Interval and Bipartite Permutation Graphs*, Order **28** (2011), 513–522.

- [32] F. Maffray, M. Preissmann, *A translation of Gallai's paper: 'Transitiv Orientierbare Graphen'*, In: Perfect Graphs (J. L. Ramirez-Alfonsin and B. A. Reed, Eds.), Wiley, New York, 2001, pp. 25–66.
- [33] A. Marcus, G. Tardös *Excluded permutation matrices and the Stanley-Wilf conjecture*, *J. Combin. Theory*, Ser. A 107 (2004), 153–160.
- [34] M. Malliaris, C. Terry, On unavoidable-induced subgraphs in large prime graphs. *J. Graph Theory* 88 (2018), no. 2, 255–270
- [35] G.A. McKay, *On better-quasi-ordering classes of partial orders*, 56pp. to appear in part 1 of the special issue of the Journal of Multiple-Valued Logic and Soft Computing dedicated to Ivo Rosenberg and edited by Miguel Couceiro, and Lucien Haddad.
- [36] D. Oudrar, Sur l'énumération de structures discrètes: une approche par la théorie des relations. Thèse de doctorat, Université d'Alger USTHB à Bab Ezzouar, 28 sept. 2015, arXiv:1604.05839.
- [37] D. Oudrar, M. Pouzet, *Profile and hereditary classes of relational structures*, Proceedings ISOR'11, International Symposium on Operational Research, Algiers, Algeria, May 30-June 2, 2011, H.Ait Hadadene, I.Bouchemakh, M.Boudar, S.Bouroubi (Eds) LAID3.
- [38] D. Oudrar, M. Pouzet, *Profile and hereditary classes of relational structures*, *J. of MVLSC* Volume **27**, Number 5-6 (2016), 475–500.
- [39] M. Petkovšek, *Letter graphs and well-quasi-order by induced subgraphs*, *Discrete Mathematics* **244** (2002), 375–388.
- [40] M. Pouzet, N. Sauer, *From well-quasi-ordered sets to better-quasi-ordered sets*, *Electron. J. Combin.* **13**, (2006), no. 1, Research Paper 101, 27 pp. (electronic).
- [41] M. Pouzet, Un bel ordre d'abritement et ses rapports avec les bornes d'une multirelation, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Série A-B* **274** (1972), A1677–A1680.
- [42] M. Pouzet, *Sur la théorie des relations*, Thèse d'État, Université Claude-Bernard, Lyon 1, 1978.
- [43] M. Pouzet, Relation minimale pour son âge, *Z. Math. Logik Grundlag. Math.*, **25** (1979), 315–344.
- [44] M. Pouzet, Relations impartibles, *Dissertationes*, **103** (1981), 1–48.
- [45] M. Pouzet, Application de la notion de relation presque-enchaînable au dénombrement des restrictions finies d'une relation, *Z. Math. Logik Grundlag. Math.*, **27** (1981), 289–332.
- [46] M. Pouzet, M. Sobrani, Sandwiches of ages. Proceedings of the XIth Latin American Symposium on Mathematical Logic (Mérida, 1998). *Ann. Pure Appl. Logic* 108 (2001), no. 1-3, 295–326.
- [47] M. Pouzet and I. Zaguia, *Graphs containing finite induced paths of unbounded length*, *Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science DMTCS* vol. 23 :2, 2021, #3.
- [48] M. Pouzet and I. Zaguia, *Hereditary classes of ordered sets coverable by two chains*, arXiv, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.02633>.
- [49] M. Pouzet and I. Zaguia, *On Minimal Prime Graphs and Posets*, *Order* **16** (2009), 357–375.
- [50] Pytheas Fogg, N. (2002). Berthé, Valérie; Ferenczi, Sébastien; Mauduit, Christian; Siegel, Anne, eds. *Substitutions in dynamics, arithmetics and combinatorics*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. 1794. Berlin: Springer-Verlag. ISBN 3-540-44141-7.
- [51] J.H. Schmerl, W.T. Trotter, *Critically indecomposable partially ordered sets, graphs, tournaments and other binary relational structures*, *Discrete Math.*, 113 (1-3) (1993) 191–205.
- [52] N. J. A. Sloane, The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, sequence A111111.
- [53] M. Sobrani, *Structure d'ordre de la collection des âges de relations*, Thèse de doctorat, Université Claude-Bernard, Lyon, 18 déc. 1992.
- [54] M. Sobrani, *Sur les âges de relations et quelques aspects homologiques des constructions  $D+M$* , Thèse de doctorat d'état, Université S.M.Ben Abdallah-Fez, Fez, January 2002.
- [55] E. Szpilrajn, *Sur l'extension de l'ordre partiel*, *Fund. Math.*, **16** (1930), 386–389.
- [56] S. Thomassé, On better quasi ordering countable series-parallel orders, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **352** (no 6) (1999), 2491–2505.
- [57] W.T. Trotter, and J.I. Moore, Jr., *Characterization problems for graphs, partially ordered sets, lattices, and families of sets*, *Discrete Mathematics* **16** (1976)361–381.
- [58] V. Vatter. Permutation classes. In *Handbook of Enumerative Combinatorics*, pages 754–833. CRC Press, 2015.
- [59] I. Zverovich, *Extension of hereditary classes with substitutions*, *Discrete Appl.Math.*, 128(2-3):487–509, 2003.

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, USTHB, ALGIERS, ALGERIA  
*Email address: dabchiche@usthb.dz*

UNIV. LYON, UNIVERSITÉ CLAUDE-BERNARD LYON1, CNRS UMR 5208, INSTITUT CAMILLE JORDAN, 43, BD. DU 11 NOVEMBRE 1918, 69622 VILLEURBANNE, FRANCE ET DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY, CALGARY, ALBERTA, CANADA  
*Email address: pouzet@univ-lyon1.fr*

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS & COMPUTER SCIENCE, ROYAL MILITARY COLLEGE OF CANADA, P.O.BOX 17000, STATION FORCES, KINGSTON, ONTARIO, CANADA K7K 7B4  
*Email address: zaguia@rmc.ca*