

SEMICLASSICAL ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSIONS FOR FUNCTIONS OF THE BOCHNER-SCHRÖDINGER OPERATOR

YURI A. KORDYUKOV

ABSTRACT. The Bochner-Schrödinger operator $H_p = \frac{1}{p}\Delta^{L^p \otimes E} + V$ on tensor powers L^p of a Hermitian line bundle L twisted by a Hermitian vector bundle E on a Riemannian manifold of bounded geometry is studied. For any function $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, we consider the bounded linear operator $\varphi(H_p)$ in $L^2(X, L^p \otimes E)$ defined by the spectral theorem and describe an asymptotic expansion of its smooth Schwartz kernel in a fixed neighborhood of the diagonal in the semiclassical limit $p \rightarrow \infty$. In particular, we prove that the trace of the operator $\varphi(H_p)$ admits a complete asymptotic expansion in powers of $p^{-1/2}$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (X, g) be a complete Riemannian manifold of dimension d , (L, h^L) a Hermitian line bundle on X with a Hermitian connection ∇^L and (E, h^E) a Hermitian vector bundle on X with a Hermitian connection ∇^E . We suppose that (X, g) is a Riemannian manifold of bounded geometry and the bundles L and E have bounded geometry. This means that the curvatures R^{TX} , R^L and R^E of the Levi-Civita connection ∇^{TX} , connections ∇^L and ∇^E , respectively, and their covariant derivatives of any order are uniformly bounded on X in the norm induced by g , h^L and h^E , and the injectivity radius r_X of (X, g) is positive.

For any $p \in \mathbb{N}$, let $L^p := L^{\otimes p}$ be the p th tensor power of L and let

$$\nabla^{L^p \otimes E} : C^\infty(X, L^p \otimes E) \rightarrow C^\infty(X, T^*X \otimes L^p \otimes E)$$

be the Hermitian connection on $L^p \otimes E$ induced by ∇^L and ∇^E . Consider the induced Bochner Laplacian $\Delta^{L^p \otimes E}$ acting on $C^\infty(X, L^p \otimes E)$ by

$$(1.1) \quad \Delta^{L^p \otimes E} = (\nabla^{L^p \otimes E})^* \nabla^{L^p \otimes E},$$

where $(\nabla^{L^p \otimes E})^* : C^\infty(X, T^*X \otimes L^p \otimes E) \rightarrow C^\infty(X, L^p \otimes E)$ is the formal adjoint of $\nabla^{L^p \otimes E}$. Let $V \in C^\infty(X, \text{End}(E))$ be a self-adjoint endomorphism

Date: May 19, 2022.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 58J37; Secondary 35P20.

Key words and phrases. Bochner-Schrödinger operator, trace formula, semiclassical asymptotics, manifolds of bounded geometry.

of E . The Bochner-Schrödinger operator H_p is a second order differential operator acting on $C^\infty(X, L^p \otimes E)$ by

$$H_p = \frac{1}{p} \Delta^{L^p \otimes E} + V.$$

Such operators have been introduced and studied by Demailly in connection with the holomorphic Morse inequalities for the Dolbeault cohomology associated with high tensor powers of a holomorphic Hermitian line bundle over a compact complex manifold [9] (see also [1, 10, 19] and references therein). We will treat the parameter $\hbar = \frac{1}{p}$ as the semiclassical parameter and the limit $p \rightarrow \infty$ as the semiclassical limit, as well known, for instance, in geometric quantization. When the Hermitian line bundle (L, h^L) is trivial and (E, h^E) is the trivial Hermitian line bundle with the trivial connection ∇^E , the operator H_p is closely related with the semiclassical magnetic Schrödinger operator (see, for instance, [16, Remark 2]).

Since (X, g) is complete, the operator H_p is essentially self-adjoint in the Hilbert space $L^2(X, L^p \otimes E)$ with initial domain $C_c^\infty(X, L^p \otimes E)$, see [18, Theorem 2.4]. We still denote by H_p its unique self-adjoint extension. In [16], a rough asymptotic description of its spectrum in the semiclassical limit is given (see also [7, 11] for the case of compact manifolds). For any function $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, one can define by the spectral theorem a bounded linear operator $\varphi(H_p)$ in $L^2(X, L^p \otimes E)$ with smooth Schwartz kernel. Let $K_{\varphi(H_p)} \in C^\infty(X \times X, \pi_1^*(L^p \otimes E) \otimes \pi_2^*(L^p \otimes E)^*)$ be the Schwartz kernel of the operator $\varphi(H_p)$ with respect to the Riemannian volume form dv_X . (Here $\pi_1, \pi_2 : X \times X \rightarrow X$ are the natural projections.) Using the finite propagation speed property of solutions of hyperbolic equations, one can show that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,

$$|K_{\varphi(H_p)}(x, x')|_{C^k} = \mathcal{O}(p^{-\infty}), \quad d(x, x') > \varepsilon.$$

Here $d(x, x')$ is the geodesic distance and $|K_{\varphi(H_p)}(x, x')|_{C^k}$ denotes the point-wise C^k -seminorm of the section $K_{\varphi(H_p)}$ at a point $(x, x') \in X \times X$, which is the sum of the norms induced by h^L, h^E and g^{TX} of the derivatives up to order k of $K_{\varphi(H_p)}$ with respect to the connection $\nabla^{L^p \otimes E}$ and the Levi-Civita connection ∇^{TX} evaluated at (x, x') .

In this paper, we prove a complete asymptotic expansion for $K_{\varphi(H_p)}$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$ in a fixed neighborhood of the diagonal (independent of p). Such kind of expansion is called the full off-diagonal expansion following Marinescu's book [19, Chapter 4].

First, we introduce normal coordinates near an arbitrary point $x_0 \in X$. We denote by $B^X(x_0, r)$ and $B^{T_{x_0}X}(0, r)$ the open balls in X and $T_{x_0}X$ with center x_0 and radius r , respectively. We identify $B^{T_{x_0}X}(0, r_X)$ with $B^X(x_0, r_X)$ via the exponential map $\exp_{x_0}^X : T_{x_0}X \rightarrow X$. Furthermore, we choose trivializations of the bundles L and E over $B^X(x_0, r_X)$, identifying their fibers L_Z and E_Z at $Z \in B^{T_{x_0}X}(0, r_X) \cong B^X(x_0, r_X)$ with the spaces

L_{x_0} and E_{x_0} by parallel transport with respect to the connections ∇^L and ∇^E along the curve $\gamma_Z : [0, 1] \ni u \rightarrow \exp_{x_0}^X(uZ)$.

Consider the fiberwise product $TX \times_X TX = \{(Z, Z') \in T_{x_0}X \times T_{x_0}X : x_0 \in X\}$. Let $\pi : TX \times_X TX \rightarrow X$ be the natural projection given by $\pi(Z, Z') = x_0$. The kernel $K_{\varphi(H_p)}$ induces a smooth section $K_{\varphi(H_p), x_0}(Z, Z')$ of the vector bundle $\pi^*(\text{End}(E))$ on $TX \times_X TX$ defined for all $x_0 \in X$ and $Z, Z' \in T_{x_0}X$ with $|Z|, |Z'| < r_X$:

$$K_{\varphi(H_p), x_0}(Z, Z') = K_{\varphi(H_p)}(\exp_{x_0}^X(Z), \exp_{x_0}^X(Z')).$$

Let dv_{X, x_0} denote the Riemannian volume form of the Euclidean space $(T_{x_0}X, g_{x_0})$. We define a smooth function κ_{x_0} on $B^{T_{x_0}X}(0, r_X) \cong B^X(x_0, r_X)$ by the equation

$$(1.2) \quad dv_X(Z) = \kappa_{x_0}(Z)dv_{X, x_0}(Z), \quad Z \in B^{T_{x_0}X}(0, r_X).$$

The main result of the paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *For any $j, m, m' \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C > 0$ such that for any $p \geq 1$, $x_0 \in X$ and $Z, Z' \in T_{x_0}X$, $|Z|, |Z'| < r_X$,*

$$(1.3) \quad \sup_{|\alpha|+|\alpha'| \leq m} \left| \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|+|\alpha'|}}{\partial Z^\alpha \partial Z'^{\alpha'}} \left(p^{-\frac{d}{2}} K_{\varphi(H_p), x_0}(Z, Z') - \sum_{r=0}^j F_{r, x_0}(\sqrt{p}Z, \sqrt{p}Z') \kappa_{x_0}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(Z) \kappa_{x_0}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(Z') p^{-\frac{r}{2}} \right) \right|_{C_b^{m'}(X)} \\ \leq Cp^{-\frac{j-m+1}{2}} (1 + \sqrt{p}|Z| + \sqrt{p}|Z'|)^M (1 + \sqrt{p}|Z - Z'|)^{-N} + \mathcal{O}(p^{-\infty}),$$

where $F_{r, x_0}(Z, Z')$, $r = 0, 1, \dots$, is a smooth section of the vector bundle $\pi^*(\text{End}(E))$ on $TX \times_X TX$.

Here $C_b^{m'}(X)$ is the $C_b^{m'}$ -norm for the parameter $x_0 \in X$.

Putting $Z = Z' = 0$, we get on-diagonal expansion for $K_{\varphi(H_p)}$.

Corollary 1.2. *For any $j \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C > 0$ such that for any $p \geq 1$ and $x_0 \in X$,*

$$\left| p^{-\frac{d}{2}} K_{\varphi(H_p)}(x_0, x_0) - \sum_{r=0}^j f_r(x_0) p^{-\frac{r}{2}} \right| \leq Cp^{-\frac{j+1}{2}},$$

where f_r , $r = 0, 1, \dots$, is a smooth section of the vector bundle $\text{End}(E)$ on X given by

$$f_r(x_0) = F_{r, x_0}(0, 0).$$

As an immediate consequence of Corollary 1.2, we get the following semiclassical trace formula for the operator H_p in the case when X is compact.

Corollary 1.3. *There exists a sequence of distributions $f_r \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$, $r = 0, 1, \dots$, such that for any $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, we have an asymptotic expansion*

$$(1.4) \quad \mathrm{tr} \varphi(H_p) \sim p^{\frac{d}{2}} \sum_{r=0}^j \langle f_r, \varphi \rangle p^{-\frac{r}{2}}, \quad p \rightarrow \infty,$$

which means that for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\left| p^{-\frac{d}{2}} \mathrm{tr} \varphi(H_p) - \sum_{r=0}^j \langle f_r, \varphi \rangle p^{-\frac{r}{2}} \right| \leq Cp^{-\frac{j+1}{2}}, \quad p \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Explicit formulas for the leading coefficients F_{0,x_0} and f_0 involve particular second order differential operators (the model operators) associated with an arbitrary point $x_0 \in X$, introduced already by Demailly [9, 10]. They are obtained from H_p by freezing its coefficients at x_0 .

Let $x_0 \in X$. Consider the trivial Hermitian vector bundle E_0 over $T_{x_0}X$ with the fiber E_{x_0} . We introduce the connection

$$(1.5) \quad \nabla_v^{(x_0)} = \nabla_v + \frac{1}{2}R_{x_0}^L(w, v), \quad v \in T_w(T_{x_0}X), \quad w \in T_{x_0}X,$$

acting on $C^\infty(T_{x_0}X, E_0) \cong C^\infty(T_{x_0}X, E_{x_0})$, where ∇_v denotes the usual derivative of a vector-valued function along v and $R^L = (\nabla^L)^2$ is the curvature of the connection ∇^L . Its curvature is constant and equals $R_{x_0}^L$. Denote by $\Delta^{(x_0)}$ the associated Bochner Laplacian.

The model operator $\mathcal{H}^{(x_0)}$ on $C^\infty(T_{x_0}X, E_{x_0})$ is defined as

$$(1.6) \quad \mathcal{H}^{(x_0)} = \Delta^{(x_0)} + V(x_0).$$

Theorem 1.4. *The leading coefficient F_{0,x_0} in the asymptotic expansion (1.3) is the Schwartz kernel $K_{\varphi(\mathcal{H}^{(x_0)})}$ of the corresponding function $\varphi(\mathcal{H}^{(x_0)})$ of the model operator $\mathcal{H}^{(x_0)}$:*

$$(1.7) \quad F_{0,x_0}(Z, Z') = K_{\varphi(\mathcal{H}^{(x_0)})}(Z, Z').$$

as a consequence, we get

$$(1.8) \quad f_0(x_0) = K_{\varphi(\mathcal{H}^{(x_0)})}(0, 0).$$

One can compute the Schwartz kernel $K_{\varphi(\mathcal{H}^{(x_0)})}$ and get a more explicit formula for $f_0(x_0)$. Consider the real-valued closed 2-form \mathbf{B} given by

$$(1.9) \quad \mathbf{B} = iR^L.$$

For $x_0 \in X$, let $B_{x_0} : T_{x_0}X \rightarrow T_{x_0}X$ be a skew-adjoint operator such that

$$\mathbf{B}_{x_0}(u, v) = g(B_{x_0}u, v), \quad u, v \in T_{x_0}X.$$

Suppose that the rank of B_{x_0} equals $2n = 2n_{x_0}$. Its non-zero eigenvalues have the form $\pm ia_j(x_0)$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, with $a_j(x_0) > 0$ and, if $d > 2n$, zero is an eigenvalue of multiplicity $d - 2n$. Denote by $V_\mu(x_0)$, $\mu = 1, \dots, \mathrm{rank}(E)$, the eigenvalues of $V(x_0)$.

For $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ and $\mu = 1, \dots, \text{rank}(E)$, set

$$(1.10) \quad \Lambda_{\mathbf{k},\mu}(x_0) = \sum_{j=1}^n (2k_j + 1)a_j(x_0) + V_\mu(x_0).$$

Then, in the full-rank case $d = 2n$, we have

$$(1.11) \quad f_0(x_0) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \left(\prod_{j=1}^n a_j(x_0) \right) \sum_{\mathbf{k},\mu} \varphi(\Lambda_{\mathbf{k},\mu}(x_0)),$$

and, for $d > 2n$,

$$(1.12) \quad f_0(x_0) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d-n}} \left(\prod_{j=1}^n a_j(x_0) \right) \sum_{\mathbf{k},\mu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-2n}} \varphi(|\xi|^2 + \Lambda_{\mathbf{k},\mu}(x_0)) d\xi.$$

We refer the reader to Section 7 for a description of the structure of the lower order coefficients (see (7.13) and (7.14)).

Theorem 1.1 is the analog of Theorem 4.18' in [8] and Theorem 1 in [15] on the full off-diagonal expansions for the (generalized) Bergman kernels. Its proof is based, first of all, on the approach to the asymptotic analysis of generalized Bergman kernels developed in [8, 19, 20] and inspired by Bismut-Lebeau localization technique [2]. In addition, we use the functional calculus based on the Helffer-Sjostrand formula [13], that allows us to study more general functions of the operator. Such a strategy has been applied in a close setting in [24] and [22]. Note that it does not require the spectral gap property for the operator H_p as in [8, 19, 20] and, therefore, allows us to drop the assumption on the curvature R^L to be non-degenerate (and even constant-rank). Finally, we use the methods of [15] based on weighted estimates to get the full off-diagonal expansions (see also [18, 16] for the extension to manifolds of bounded geometry). In the case of Bergman kernels, the remainder estimates in asymptotic expansions are exponentially decreasing for large $|Z - Z'|$. It is clear that, for general functions from the Schwartz class, these remainder estimates should be only rapidly decreasing.

As mentioned above, the operator H_p was first studied by Demailly in [9, 10]. In particular, Demailly proved the Weyl asymptotic formula for the eigenvalue distribution function of the operator H_p without any restrictions on the curvature of L . The heat kernel proof of the Weyl asymptotic formula was given in [4]. We refer to [1, 4, 10, 19, 21] and references therein for the study of the heat kernel associated with H_p and to [23, 7] for the study of the Weyl asymptotic formula in the case when the curvature R^L is nondegenerate.

In the case when the Hermitian line bundle (L, h^L) is trivial and (E, h^E) is a trivial Hermitian line bundle with a trivial connection ∇^E , the formula (1.4) is a particular case of the Gutzwiller trace formula for the semiclassical magnetic Schrödinger operator and the zero energy level of the classical Hamiltonian, which is critical in this case. In [14], a similar formula has been

obtained for the Schrödinger operator $h^2\Delta + V$ in \mathbb{R}^n and a non-degenerate critical energy level (see also [5, 6] for a related study).

In the case when the curvature R^L is nondegenerate and the Fourier transform of φ is compactly supported, similar asymptotic expansions for the operators $\varphi(H_p)$ are proved in [3], using the theory of Fourier integral operators of Hermite type.

2. LOCALIZATION OF THE PROBLEM

In this section, we localize the problem, using the constructions of [20, Sections 1.1 and 1.2].

First, we will use normal coordinates near an arbitrary point $x_0 \in X$ and trivializations of vector bundles L and E defined in Introduction. Consider the trivial bundles L_0 and E_0 on $T_{x_0}X$ with fibers L_{x_0} and E_{x_0} , respectively. The above identifications induce the Riemannian metric g on $B^{T_{x_0}X}(0, \varepsilon)$ as the connections ∇^L and ∇^E and the Hermitian metrics h^L and h^E on the restrictions of L_0 and E_0 to $B^{T_{x_0}X}(0, \varepsilon)$.

Now we fix $\varepsilon \in (0, r_X)$ and we extend these geometric objects from $B^{T_{x_0}X}(0, \varepsilon)$ to $T_{x_0}X$ so that $(T_{x_0}X, g^{(x_0)})$ is a manifold of bounded geometry, L_0 and E_0 have bounded geometry and $V^{(x_0)} \in C_b^\infty(T_{x_0}X, \text{End}(E_0))$ be a self-adjoint endomorphism of E_0 . For instance, we can take a smooth even function $\rho : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ supported in $(-r_X, r_X)$ such that $\rho(v) = 1$ if $|v| < \varepsilon$ and introduce the map $\varphi : T_{x_0}X \rightarrow T_{x_0}X$ defined by $\varphi(Z) = \rho(|Z|_{g^{(x_0)}})Z$. Then we introduce the Riemannian metric $g_Z^{(x_0)} = g_{\varphi(Z)}$, $Z \in T_{x_0}X$, a Hermitian connection ∇^{L_0} on (L_0, h^{L_0}) by

$$\nabla_u^{L_0} = \nabla_{d\varphi(Z)(u)}^L, \quad Z \in T_{x_0}X, \quad u \in T_Z(T_{x_0}X),$$

where we use the canonical isomorphism $T_{x_0}X \cong T_Z(T_{x_0}X)$, and a Hermitian connection ∇^{E_0} on (E_0, h^{E_0}) by $\nabla^{E_0} = \varphi^*\nabla^E$. Finally, we set $V^{(x_0)} = \varphi^*V$.

Let $\Delta^{L_0^p \otimes E_0}$ be the associated Bochner Laplacian acting on $C^\infty(T_{x_0}X, L_0^p \otimes E_0)$. Introduce the operator $H_p^{(x_0)}$ acting on $C^\infty(T_{x_0}X, L_0^p \otimes E_0)$ by

$$H_p^{(x_0)} = \frac{1}{p} \Delta^{L_0^p \otimes E_0} + V^{(x_0)}.$$

It is clear that, for any $u \in C_c^\infty(B^{T_{x_0}X}(0, \varepsilon))$, we have

$$(2.1) \quad H_p u(Z) = H_p^{(x_0)} u(Z).$$

Let $dv^{(x_0)}$ be the Riemannian volume form of $(T_{x_0}X, g^{(x_0)})$ and

$$(2.2) \quad K_{\varphi(H_p^{(x_0)})} \in C^\infty(T_{x_0}X \times T_{x_0}X, \pi_1^*(L_0^p \otimes E_0) \otimes \pi_2^*(L_0^p \otimes E_0)^*)$$

the Schwartz kernel of the operator $\varphi(H_p^{(x_0)})$ with respect to the volume form $dv^{(x_0)}$. Recall that the Schwartz kernel $K_{\varphi(H_p)}$ induces a smooth section $K_{\varphi(H_p), x_0}(Z, Z')$ of the vector bundle $\pi^*(\text{End}(E))$ on $TX \times_X TX$ defined

for all $x_0 \in X$ and $Z, Z' \in T_{x_0}X$ with $|Z|, |Z'| < r_X$. We show that the kernels $K_{\varphi(H_p), x_0}(Z, Z')$ and $K_{\varphi(H_p^{(x_0)})}(Z, Z')$ are asymptotically close on $B^{T_{x_0}X}(0, \varepsilon)$ in the C^∞ -topology, as $p \rightarrow \infty$.

Proposition 2.1. *For any $\varepsilon_1 \in (0, \varepsilon)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$|K_{\varphi(H_p), x_0}(Z, Z') - K_{\varphi(H_p^{(x_0)})}(Z, Z')|_{C^k} \leq Cp^{-N}$$

for any $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_0 \in X$ and $Z, Z' \in B^{T_{x_0}X}(0, \varepsilon_1)$.

Proof. As in [20, Proposition 1.3], this follows from (2.1) and the finite propagation speed property of solutions of hyperbolic equations. Let us briefly recall the argument. Without loss of generality, we can assume that H_p and $H_p^{(x_0)}$ are positive operators. Then we can write

$$\varphi(H_p) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \hat{\varphi}(t) e^{it\sqrt{H_p}} dt, \quad \varphi(H_p^{(x_0)}) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \hat{\varphi}(t) e^{it\sqrt{H_p^{(x_0)}}} dt$$

By the finite propagation speed property of solutions of hyperbolic equations, the Schwartz kernel of $e^{it\sqrt{H_p}}$ is supported in the set

$$\{(x, x') \in X \times X : d_X(x, x') < \frac{t}{\sqrt{p}}\},$$

a similar statement holds for $e^{it\sqrt{H_p^{(x_0)}}}$. Moreover, for any $u \in C_c^\infty(X, L^p \otimes E)$ the restriction of $e^{it\sqrt{H_p}}u$ to $B^X(x_0, \varepsilon - \frac{t}{\sqrt{p}})$ depends only on the restriction of H_p and u to $B^X(x_0, \varepsilon)$.

Therefore, by (2.1), for any $\varepsilon_1 \in (0, \varepsilon)$, $u \in C_c^\infty(B^X(x_0, \varepsilon_1), L^p \otimes E) \cong C_c^\infty(B^{X_0}(0, \varepsilon_1), L^p \otimes E)$ and $\frac{t}{\sqrt{p}} < \varepsilon - \varepsilon_1$, we have

$$(2.3) \quad e^{it\sqrt{H_p}}u(Z) = e_p^{it\sqrt{H_p^{(x_0)}}}u(Z).$$

Take a cut-off function $\chi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $0 \leq \chi(t) \leq 1$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\chi(t) \equiv 1$ in a neighborhood of 0 and $\chi(t) = 0$ for $|t| > \varepsilon - \varepsilon_1$. Write

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(H_p) &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \chi\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{p}}\right) \hat{\varphi}(t) e^{it\sqrt{H_p}} dt + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (1 - \chi\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{p}}\right)) \hat{\varphi}(t) e^{it\sqrt{H_p}} dt \\ &= \varphi_{1,p}(H_p) + \varphi_{2,p}(H_p), \end{aligned}$$

similarly for $\varphi(H_p^{(x_0)})$. By (2.3), for any $u \in C_c^\infty(B^X(x_0, \varepsilon_1), L^p \otimes E) \cong C_c^\infty(B^{X_0}(0, \varepsilon_1), L^p \otimes E)$, we have

$$\varphi_{1,p}(H_p)u = \varphi_{1,p}(H_p^{(x_0)})u,$$

which implies that

$$K_{\varphi_{1,p}(H_p), x_0}(Z, Z') = K_{\varphi_{1,p}(H_p^{(x_0)})}(Z, Z'), \quad Z, Z' \in B^{T_{x_0}X}(0, \varepsilon_1).$$

On the other hand, using the fact that $\varphi_{2,p} = \mathcal{O}(p^{-\infty})$ in Schwartz class, it is easy to see that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$|K_{\varphi_{2,p}(H_p),x_0}(Z, Z')|_{C^k} + |K_{\varphi_{2,p}(H_p^{(x_0)})}(Z, Z')|_{C^k} \leq Cp^{-N}$$

for any $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_0 \in X$ and $Z, Z' \in B^{T_{x_0}X}(0, \varepsilon_1)$. \square

Proposition 2.1 reduces our considerations to the C_b^∞ -bounded family $H_p^{(x_0)}$ of second order elliptic differential operators acting on $C^\infty(T_{x_0}X, L_0^p \otimes E_0) \cong C^\infty(T_{x_0}X, E_{x_0})$ (parametrized by $x_0 \in X$). Note that, once we moved to the Euclidean space, we can consider p to be a real-valued parameter.

3. RESCALING AND FORMAL EXPANSIONS

We use the rescaling introduced in [20, Section 1.2].

Denote $t = \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}$. For $s \in C^\infty(T_{x_0}X, E_{x_0})$, set

$$S_t s(Z) = s(Z/t), \quad Z \in T_{x_0}X.$$

Consider the rescaling of the operator $H_p^{(x_0)}$ defined by

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{H}_t = S_t^{-1} \kappa^{\frac{1}{2}} H_p^{(x_0)} \kappa^{-\frac{1}{2}} S_t,$$

where $\kappa = \kappa_{x_0}$ is defined in (1.2).

By construction, it is a self-adjoint operator in $L^2(T_{x_0}X, E_{x_0})$, and its spectrum coincides with the spectrum of $H_p^{(x_0)}$.

From now on, we choose an orthonormal basis $\mathbf{e} = \{e_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, d\}$ of $T_{x_0}X$. It gives rise to an isomorphism $T_{x_0}X \cong \mathbb{R}^d$. We will consider each e_j as a constant vector field on $T_{x_0}X$ and use the same notation e_j for the corresponding vector field on \mathbb{R}^d . So $\{e_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, d\}$ is the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^d .

We get a family of second order differential operators on $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, E_{x_0})$, which depend on the orthonormal basis \mathbf{e} and will be also denoted by \mathcal{H}_t . For simplicity of notation, we will skip \mathbf{e} . The operator \mathcal{H}_t is given by the formula

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{H}_t = - \sum_{j,k=1}^d g^{jk}(tZ) \left[\nabla_{t,e_j} \nabla_{t,e_k} - t \sum_{\ell=1}^d \Gamma_{jk}^\ell(tZ) \nabla_{t,e_\ell} \right] + V(tZ),$$

where the functions Γ_{jk}^ℓ are defined by the equality $\nabla_{e_j} e_k = \sum_{\ell=1}^d \Gamma_{jk}^\ell e_\ell$ and the rescaled connection ∇_t is given by

$$(3.3) \quad \nabla_t = tS_t^{-1} \kappa^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla_0^L \kappa^{-\frac{1}{2}} S_t.$$

Let $\Gamma^{L_0} = \sum_{j=1}^d \Gamma_j^{L_0}(Z) dZ_j$, $\Gamma_j^{L_0} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and $\Gamma^{E_0} = \sum_{j=1}^d \Gamma_j^{E_0}(Z) dZ_j$, $\Gamma_j^{E_0} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, \text{End}(E_{x_0}))$, be the connection forms of ∇^{L_0} and ∇^{E_0} , respectively. Then

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_{t,e_j} &= \kappa^{\frac{1}{2}}(tZ) \left(\nabla_{e_j} + \frac{1}{t} \Gamma_j^{L_0}(tZ) + t \Gamma_j^{E_0}(tZ) \right) \kappa^{-\frac{1}{2}}(tZ) \\ &= \nabla_{e_j} + \frac{1}{t} \Gamma_j^{L_0}(tZ) + t \Gamma_j^{E_0}(tZ) - t (\kappa^{-1}(e_j \kappa))(tZ). \end{aligned}$$

Recall that

$$\Gamma_j^{L_0}(Z) = \frac{1}{2} R_{x_0}^L(\mathcal{R}, e_j) + O(|Z|^2).$$

This shows that the operators ∇_{t,e_j} depend smoothly on t up to $t = 0$, and the limit ∇_{0,e_j} of the connection ∇_{t,e_j} as $t \rightarrow 0$ coincides with the connection $\nabla^{(x_0)}$ given by (1.5):

$$(3.5) \quad \nabla_{0,e_j} = \nabla_{e_j} + \frac{1}{2} R_{x_0}^L(\mathcal{R}, e_j) = \nabla_{e_j}^{(x_0)}.$$

Now we expand the coefficients of the operator \mathcal{H}_t in Taylor series in t . For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we get

$$(3.6) \quad \mathcal{H}_t = \mathcal{H}^{(0)} + \sum_{j=1}^m \mathcal{H}^{(j)} t^j + \mathcal{O}(t^{m+1}),$$

where there exists $m' \in \mathbb{N}$ so that for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in [0, 1]$ the derivatives up to order k of the coefficients of the operator $\mathcal{O}(t^{m+1})$ are bounded by $C t^{m+1} (1 + |Z|)^{m'}$.

The leading term $\mathcal{H}^{(0)}$ coincides with the operator $\mathcal{H}^{(x_0)}$ given by (1.6):

$$\mathcal{H}^{(0)} = - \sum_{j=1}^d (\nabla_{0,e_j})^2 + V^{(x_0)}(0) = \mathcal{H}^{(x_0)}.$$

By [20, Theorem 1.4], the next terms $\mathcal{H}^{(j)}$, $j \geq 1$, have the form

$$(3.7) \quad \mathcal{H}^{(j)} = \sum_{k,\ell=1}^d a_{k\ell,j} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial Z_k \partial Z_\ell} + \sum_{k=1}^d b_{k,j} \frac{\partial}{\partial Z_k} + c_j,$$

where $a_{k\ell,j}$ is a homogeneous polynomial in Z of degree j , $b_{k,j}$ is a polynomial in Z of degree $\leq j+1$ (of the same parity with $j-1$) and c_j is a polynomial in Z of degree $\leq j+2$ (of the same parity with j). More precisely, for the operator $H_p = \frac{1}{p} \Delta_p$, the operator $\mathcal{H}^{(j)}$ coincides with the operator \mathcal{O}_j introduced in that theorem. In the general case, we have

$$\mathcal{H}^{(j)} = \mathcal{O}_j + \sum_{|\alpha|=j} (\partial^\alpha (V + \tau))_{x_0} \frac{Z^\alpha}{\alpha!}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots,$$

In [20, Theorem 1.4], explicit formulas are given for \mathcal{O}_1 and \mathcal{O}_2 . We refer the reader to [20, 19] for more details.

4. NORM ESTIMATES

In this section, we will establish norm estimates for the operators $\varphi(\mathcal{H}_t)$ and its derivatives of any order with respect to t . We start with the resolvent of \mathcal{H}_t .

For $t > 0$, set

$$\|s\|_{t,0}^2 = \|s\|_0^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |s(Z)|^2 dv_{X,x_0}(Z), \quad s \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, E_{x_0}),$$

and, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t > 0$,

$$\|s\|_{t,m}^2 = \sum_{\ell=0}^m \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_\ell=1}^d \|\nabla_{t,e_{j_1}} \cdots \nabla_{t,e_{j_\ell}} s\|_{t,0}^2, \quad s \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, E_{x_0}),$$

where ∇_t is the rescaled connection defined by (3.3).

Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{t,m}$ denote the inner product on $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, E_{x_0})$ corresponding to $\|\cdot\|_{t,m}^2$. Let H_t^m be the Sobolev space of order m with norm $\|\cdot\|_{t,m}$. For any integer $m < 0$, we define the Sobolev space H_t^m by duality. For any bounded linear operator $A : H_t^m \rightarrow H_t^{m'}$ with $m, m' \in \mathbb{Z}$, we denote by $\|A\|_t^{m,m'}$ its norm with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{t,m}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{t,m'}$.

For a fixed $t > 0$, the norm $\|\cdot\|_{t,m}$ is equivalent to the standard Sobolev norm given for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, by

$$\|s\|_{H^m(\mathbb{R}^d, E_{x_0})}^2 = \sum_{\ell=0}^m \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_\ell=1}^d \|\nabla_{e_{j_1}} \cdots \nabla_{e_{j_\ell}} s\|_0^2, \quad s \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, E_{x_0}).$$

Therefore, the space H_t^m coincides with the usual Sobolev space $H^m(\mathbb{R}^d, E_{x_0})$ as a topological vector space. But this norm equivalence is not uniform as $t \rightarrow 0$. In order to have control of the Sobolev norms by the norms $\|\cdot\|_{t,m}$, uniform up to $t = 0$, we introduce some weighted Sobolev norms with power weights as in [20].

For $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$, we will use the standard notation

$$Z^\alpha = Z_1^{\alpha_1} Z_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots Z_d^{\alpha_d}, \quad Z \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

For any $t > 0$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $M \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we set

$$\|s\|_{t,m,M} := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq M} \|Z^\alpha s\|_{t,m}, \quad s \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, E_{x_0}).$$

By (3.4), any operator of the form $\nabla_{e_{j_1}} \cdots \nabla_{e_{j_\ell}}$ can be written as

$$(4.1) \quad \nabla_{e_{j_1}} \cdots \nabla_{e_{j_\ell}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k} A_{t,i_1, \dots, i_k} \nabla_{t,e_{i_1}} \cdots \nabla_{t,e_{i_k}}, \quad t > 0,$$

where $A_{t,i_1, \dots, i_k} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, \text{End}(E_{x_0}))$ satisfies the following condition: for any $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$, there exists $C_\beta > 0$ such that

$$|\nabla_{e_1}^{\beta_1} \cdots \nabla_{e_d}^{\beta_d} A_{t,i_1, \dots, i_k}(Z)| < C_\beta (1 + |Z|)^\ell, \quad Z \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad t \in (0, 1].$$

By (4.1), it follows that, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$(4.2) \quad \|s\|_{H^m(\mathbb{R}^d, E_{x_0})} \leq C \|s\|_{t,m,m}, \quad t \in (0, 1], \quad s \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, E_{x_0}).$$

Moreover, we will use an approach to the proof of the full-diagonal expansions developed in [15]. Therefore, we introduce an additional family of weight functions parameterized by a point $W \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Unlike [15] where these weight functions are exponential, we will consider power weights.

For any $t > 0$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $M, N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $W \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we set

$$\|s\|_{t,m,M,N,W} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq M, |\beta| \leq N} \left\| Z^\alpha (Z - W)^\beta s \right\|_{t,m}, \quad s \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, E_{x_0}).$$

It is clear that

$$\|s\|_{t,m,M,0,W} = \|s\|_{t,m,M}.$$

Since \mathcal{H}_t is self-adjoint and uniformly elliptic, for any $t > 0$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$, the operator $\lambda - \mathcal{H}_t$ is invertible in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, E_{x_0})$, and the inverse operator $(\lambda - \mathcal{H}_t)^{-1}$ maps $H^m(\mathbb{R}^d, E_{x_0})$ to $H^{m+2}(\mathbb{R}^d, E_{x_0})$.

Theorem 4.1. *For any $t \in (0, 1]$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $M, N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $W \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\lambda = \mu + i\nu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$, we have*

$$(4.3) \quad \|(\lambda - \mathcal{H}_t)^{-1} s\|_{t,m+2,M,N,W} \leq C |\nu|^{-M-N-1} \|s\|_{t,m,M,N,W},$$

where $s \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, E_{x_0})$ and $C = C_{m,M,N} > 0$ is independent of $t \in (0, 1]$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$, $W \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $x_0 \in X$.

Proof. For any $t > 0$, we have an isometric isomorphism

$$U_t = t^n S_t^{-1} \kappa^{\frac{1}{2}} : L^2(T_{x_0}X, L_0^p \otimes E_0) \rightarrow H_t^0.$$

We have

$$\nabla_t = U_t \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \nabla^{L_0^p \otimes E_0} \right) U_t^{-1}, \quad \mathcal{H}_t = U_t H_p^{(x_0)} U_t^{-1}.$$

Therefore, U_t extends to an isometric isomorphism

$$U_t : H^m(T_{x_0}X, L_0^p \otimes E_0) \rightarrow H_t^m, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Therefore, the estimate (4.3) for $M = N = 0$ follows from a similar estimate for the operator $H_p^{(x_0)}$ proved in [16, Theorem 4]. We only note that the proof of the latter theorem does not use the non-degeneracy of the curvature R^L .

Now we proceed by induction. We assume that (4.3) holds for all $M, N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $M + N = k$ and for any $W \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Take $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$ with $|\alpha| = M$, $|\beta| = N$, $M + N = k + 1$. Then we write

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left\| Z^\alpha (Z - W)^\beta (\lambda - \mathcal{H}_t)^{-1} s \right\|_{t,m} \\ & \leq \left\| (\lambda - \mathcal{H}_t)^{-1} Z^\alpha (Z - W)^\beta s \right\|_{t,m} + \left\| [Z^\alpha (Z - W)^\beta, (\lambda - \mathcal{H}_t)^{-1}] s \right\|_{t,m} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4.5) \quad [Z^\alpha(Z - W)^\beta, (\lambda - \mathcal{H}_t)^{-1}] = (\lambda - \mathcal{H}_t)^{-1} [Z^\alpha(Z - W)^\beta, \mathcal{H}_t] (\lambda - \mathcal{H}_t)^{-1}.$$

By (3.2), the commutator $[Z^\alpha(Z - W)^\beta, \mathcal{H}_t]$ is a first order differential operator given by

$$\begin{aligned} & [Z^\alpha(Z - W)^\beta, \mathcal{H}_t] \\ &= - \sum_{j,k=1}^d g^{jk}(tZ) \left[[Z^\alpha(Z - W)^\beta, \nabla_{t,e_j}] \nabla_{t,e_k} + \nabla_{t,e_j} [Z^\alpha(Z - W)^\beta, \nabla_{t,e_k}] \right. \\ & \quad \left. - t \sum_{\ell=1}^d \Gamma_{jk}^\ell(tZ) [Z^\alpha(Z - W)^\beta, \nabla_{t,e_\ell}] \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Using the commutation relation

$$(4.6) \quad [Z_\ell, \nabla_{t,e_j}] = \delta_{j\ell},$$

we get

$$(4.7) \quad [Z^\alpha(Z - W)^\beta, \nabla_{t,e_j}] = \alpha_\ell Z^{\alpha-\delta_\ell} (Z - W)^\beta + \beta_\ell Z^\alpha (Z - W)^{\beta-\delta_\ell},$$

where $\delta_\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$ is given by $(\delta_\ell)_j = \delta_{\ell j}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, d$. Therefore, for any $t \in (0, 1]$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $M, N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $W \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have

$$(4.8) \quad \|[Z^\alpha(Z - W)^\beta, \nabla_{t,e_j}]s\|_{t,m} \leq C_1 (\|s\|_{t,m,M-1,N,W} + \|s\|_{t,m,M,N-1,W})$$

and

$$(4.9) \quad \|\nabla_{t,e_j} s\|_{t,m,M,N,W} \leq C_2 \|s\|_{t,m+1,M,N,W}, \quad s \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, E_{x_0}),$$

where $C_1, C_2 > 0$ are independent of $t \in (0, 1]$, $W \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $x_0 \in X$. Here we set $\|s\|_{t,m',-1,N,W} = \|s\|_{t,m',M,-1,W} = 0$.

Using (4.8) and (4.9), we conclude that, for any $t \in (0, 1]$, $m' \in \mathbb{N}$, $M, N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $W \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|[Z^\alpha(Z - W)^\beta, \mathcal{H}_t]s\|_{t,m'} \\ & \leq C (\|s\|_{t,m'+1,M-1,N,W} + \|s\|_{t,m'+1,M,N-1,W}). \end{aligned}$$

Using induction hypothesis, (4.5) and (4.10), we proceed in (4.4) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| Z^\alpha(Z - W)^\beta (\lambda - \mathcal{H}_t)^{-1} s \right\|_{t,m} \\ & \leq C |\nu|^{-1} \left(\|s\|_{t,m-2,M,N,W} + \left\| [Z^\alpha(Z - W)^\beta, \mathcal{H}_t] (\lambda - \mathcal{H}_t)^{-1} s \right\|_{t,m-2} \right) \\ & \leq C |\nu|^{-1} \left(\|s\|_{t,m-2,M,N,W} + \left\| (\lambda - \mathcal{H}_t)^{-1} s \right\|_{t,m-1,M-1,N,W} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left\| (\lambda - \mathcal{H}_t)^{-1} s \right\|_{t,m-1,M,N-1,W} \right) \\ & \leq C |\nu|^{-M-N-1} \|s\|_{t,m-2,M,N,W}, \end{aligned}$$

that completes the proof of (4.3). \square

By the Helffer-Sjostrand formula [13], we have

$$(4.11) \quad \varphi(\mathcal{H}_t) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\partial \tilde{\varphi}}{\partial \bar{\lambda}}(\lambda) (\lambda - \mathcal{H}_t)^{-1} d\mu d\nu,$$

where $\tilde{\varphi} \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{C})$ is an almost-analytic extension of φ satisfying

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{\varphi}}{\partial \bar{\lambda}}(\lambda) = O(|\nu|^\ell), \quad \lambda = \mu + i\nu, \quad \nu \rightarrow 0,$$

for any $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let us consider the function $\psi(\lambda) = \varphi(\lambda)(a - \lambda)^K$ with any $K \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a > 0$. Then its almost-analytic extension $\tilde{\psi}$ can be taken to be $\tilde{\psi}(\lambda) = \tilde{\varphi}(\lambda)(a - \lambda)^K$. If we apply the formula (4.11) to ψ , then we get

$$(4.12) \quad \varphi(\mathcal{H}_t) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\partial \tilde{\varphi}}{\partial \bar{\lambda}}(\lambda) (a - \lambda)^K (\lambda - \mathcal{H}_t)^{-1} (a - \mathcal{H}_t)^{-K} d\mu d\nu.$$

Proposition 4.2. *For any $t \in (0, 1]$ and $m, m' \in \mathbb{Z}$, the operator $\varphi(\mathcal{H}_t)$ extends to a bounded operator from H_t^m to $H_t^{m'}$ with the following norm estimate for any $M, N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $W \in \mathbb{R}^d$:*

$$(4.13) \quad \|\varphi(\mathcal{H}_t)s\|_{t, m', M, N, W} \leq C \|s\|_{t, m, M, N, W}, \quad s \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, E_{x_0}),$$

where $C = C_{M, N, m, m'} > 0$ is independent of $t \in (0, 1]$, $W \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $x_0 \in X$.

Proof. By Theorem 4.1, it follows that, for any $m', K \in \mathbb{N}$ and $M, N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, there exists $C > 0$ such that, for all $t \in (0, 1]$, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\lambda - \mathcal{H}_t)^{-1} (a - \mathcal{H}_t)^{-K} s\|_{t, m', M, N, W} \\ & \leq C |\nu|^{-M-N-1} \|s\|_{t, m', M+2(K+1), N, W}, \quad s \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, E_{x_0}). \end{aligned}$$

By the above estimates, the desired statement follows immediately from the formula (4.12) with appropriate K . \square

Theorem 4.3. *For any $r \geq 0$, $m, m' \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $M, N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, there exists $C > 0$ such that, for any $t \in (0, t_0]$ and $W \in \mathbb{R}^d$,*

$$\left\| \frac{\partial^r}{\partial t^r} \varphi(\mathcal{H}_t) s \right\|_{t, m, M, N, W} \leq C \|s\|_{t, m', M+2r, N, W}, \quad s \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, E_{x_0}).$$

Proof. We proceed as in the proof of [20, Theorem 1.10]. By (4.12), we have

$$(4.14) \quad \frac{\partial^r}{\partial t^r} \varphi(\mathcal{H}_t) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\partial \tilde{\varphi}}{\partial \bar{\lambda}}(a - \lambda)^K \frac{\partial^r}{\partial t^r} [(\lambda - \mathcal{H}_t)^{-1} (a - \mathcal{H}_t)^{-K}] d\mu d\nu,$$

We set

$$I_r = \left\{ \mathbf{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_j) : \sum_{i=1}^j r_i = r, r_i \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Then we write

$$(4.15) \quad \frac{\partial^r}{\partial t^r} (\lambda - \mathcal{H}_t)^{-1}$$

$$= \sum_{\mathbf{r} \in I_r} \frac{r!}{\mathbf{r}!} (\lambda - \mathcal{H}_t)^{-1} \frac{\partial^{r_1} \mathcal{H}_t}{\partial t^{r_1}} (\lambda - \mathcal{H}_t)^{-1} \dots \frac{\partial^{r_j} \mathcal{H}_t}{\partial t^{r_j}} (\lambda - \mathcal{H}_t)^{-1}.$$

By (3.4), we obtain that, for $r > 0$, $\frac{\partial^r}{\partial t^r} \nabla_{t, e_j}$ is a function of the form $f_r(tZ)Z^\beta$ with $|\beta| \leq r + 1$ and $f_r \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We also have that, for $r > 0$, $\frac{\partial^r}{\partial t^r}(g(tZ))$ is a function of the form $g_r(tZ)Z^\beta$ with $|\beta| \leq r$ and $g_r \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Using these facts, (3.2) and (4.6), we infer that for any r the operator $\frac{\partial^r \mathcal{H}_t}{\partial t^r}$ is a second order differential operator of the form

$$(4.16) \quad \frac{\partial^r \mathcal{H}_t}{\partial t^r} = \sum_{j,k=1}^d \sum_{|\beta| \leq r} A_\beta^{jk}(tZ) Z^\beta \nabla_{t, e_j} \nabla_{t, e_k} \\ + \sum_{\ell=1}^d \sum_{|\beta| \leq r+1} (B_\beta^\ell(tZ) + t\hat{B}_\beta^\ell(tZ)) Z^\beta \nabla_{t, e_\ell} + \sum_{|\beta| \leq r+2} (C_\beta(tZ) + t\hat{C}_\beta(tZ)) Z^\beta.$$

It follows that, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $M, N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, there exists $C > 0$ such that, for all $t \in (0, 1]$ and $W \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$(4.17) \quad \left\| \frac{\partial^r \mathcal{H}_t}{\partial t^r} s \right\|_{t, m, M, N, W} \leq C \|s\|_{t, m+2, M+r, N, W}, \quad s \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, E_{x_0}).$$

Using (4.15), (4.3) and (4.17), we obtain that for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $M, N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, there exists $C > 0$ such that for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$, $t \in (0, 1]$ and $W \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$(4.18) \quad \left\| \frac{\partial^r}{\partial t^r} [(\lambda - \mathcal{H}_t)^{-1} (a - \mathcal{H}_t)^{-K}] s \right\|_{t, m, M, N, W} \\ \leq C_{N, m, M} |\nu|^{-(M+r+1)} \|s\|_{t, m-2(K+1), M+2r, N, W}, \quad s \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, E_{x_0}).$$

Using (4.14) with appropriate K and (4.18), we complete the proof. \square

5. POINTWISE ESTIMATES

In this section, we prove a general result, which allows us to derive pointwise estimates of the Schwartz kernels of smoothing operators from their mapping properties in Sobolev spaces.

Theorem 5.1. *Assume that $\{K_t : C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, E_{x_0}) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, E_{x_0}) : t \in (0, 1]\}$ is a family of operators with smooth kernel $K_t(Z, Z')$ such that there exists $K > 0$ such that, for any $m, m' \in \mathbb{N}$ and $M, N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, there exists $C > 0$ such that, for any $t \in (0, 1]$ and $W \in \mathbb{R}^d$,*

$$(5.1) \quad \|K_t s\|_{t, m, M, N, W} \leq C \|s\|_{t, -m', M+K, N, W}, \quad s \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, E_{x_0}).$$

Then, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $M' > 0$ such that for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $C > 0$ such that, for any $t \in (0, 1]$ and $Z, Z' \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\sup_{|\alpha|+|\alpha'| \leq m} \left| \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|+|\alpha'|}}{\partial Z^\alpha \partial Z'^{\alpha'}} K_t(Z, Z') \right| \leq C(1 + |Z| + |Z'|)^{M'} (1 + |Z - Z'|)^{-N}.$$

Proof. The proof is based on the Sobolev embedding theorem. Denote by $C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, E_{x_0})$ the space of smooth functions on \mathbb{R}^d with values in E_{x_0} whose derivatives of any order are uniformly bounded in \mathbb{R}^d . So $a \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, E_{x_0})$ if, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$, we have

$$\sup_{Z \in \mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_{e_1}^{\alpha_1} \dots \nabla_{e_d}^{\alpha_d} a(Z)| < \infty.$$

The Sobolev embedding theorem states that, for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we have a continuous embedding

$$(5.2) \quad H^{m+[d/2]+1}(\mathbb{R}^d, E_{x_0}) \hookrightarrow C_b^m(\mathbb{R}^d, E_{x_0}),$$

where $[x]$ denotes the largest integer less than or equal to x .

For any $v \in E_{x_0}$, consider the delta-function $\delta_Z^v \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d, E_{x_0})$ at $Z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ defined by

$$\langle \delta_Z^v, s \rangle = \langle v, s(Z) \rangle_{hE_{x_0}}, \quad s \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, E_{x_0}).$$

Lemma 5.2. *For any $Z \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $v \in E_{x_0}$ and $t \in (0, 1]$, the delta-function δ_Z^v belongs to $H_t^{-([d/2]+1)}$. Moreover, there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$(5.3) \quad \|\delta_Z^v\|_{t, -([d/2]+1)} < C(1 + |Z|)^{[d/2]+1}|v|, \quad Z \in \mathbb{R}^d, v \in E_{x_0}, t \in (0, 1].$$

Proof. Take any function $\chi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ supported in the unit ball $B(0, 1)$ such that $\chi(0) = 1$. For $Z \in \mathbb{R}^d$, define a function $\chi_Z \in C_s^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by $\chi_Z(W) = \chi(W - Z)$ for $W \in \mathbb{R}^d$. By (5.2) and (4.2), for any $s \in C_s^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, E_{x_0})$, we have

$$|s(Z)| = |\chi_Z s(Z)| \leq C \|\chi_Z s\|_{H^{[d/2]+1}(\mathbb{R}^d, E_{x_0})} \leq C_1 \|\chi_Z s\|_{t, [d/2]+1, [d/2]+1}.$$

Since χ_Z is supported in $B(0, 1 + |Z|)$ and the multiplication operator by χ_Z defines a bounded operator from $H_t^{[d/2]+1}$ to $H_t^{[d/2]+1}$ with the norm uniformly bounded on $t \in (0, 1]$ and $Z \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we proceed as follows:

$$|s(Z)| \leq C(1 + |Z|)^{[d/2]+1} \|\chi_Z s\|_{t, [d/2]+1} \leq C_1(1 + |Z|)^{[d/2]+1} \|s\|_{t, [d/2]+1}$$

with the constant C_1 , independent of $Z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $t \in [0, 1]$. By definition of the dual norm, we have

$$\|\delta_Z^v\|_{t, -([d/2]+1)} = \sup_{s \in C_s^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, E_{x_0})} \frac{|\langle \delta_Z^v, s \rangle|}{\|s\|_{t, [d/2]+1}},$$

that immediately completes the proof. \square

Recall that, for any operator $A : C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, E_{x_0}) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, E_{x_0})$ with smooth kernel $K_A \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d, \text{End}(E_{x_0}))$ given, for $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, E_{x_0})$, by the formula

$$Au(Z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} K_A(Z, Z') u(Z') dZ', \quad Z \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

we have

$$K_A(Z, Z') v = [A \delta_{Z'}^v](Z), \quad Z, Z' \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad v \in E_{x_0}.$$

Moreover, using integration by parts, one can easily see that, for any $\alpha' \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$, the function $\frac{\partial^{|\alpha'|}}{\partial Z^{\alpha'}} K_A(Z, Z')$ is the kernel of the operator $AD'_{\alpha'}$. where $D'_{\alpha'} = (-1)^{|\alpha'|} \nabla_{e_1}^{\alpha'_1} \nabla_{e_2}^{\alpha'_2} \dots \nabla_{e_d}^{\alpha'_d}$. By (4.1), it follows that, for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $M, N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, there exists $C > 0$ such that, $t \in (0, 1]$ and $W \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$(5.4) \quad \|D'_{\alpha'} s\|_{t,m,M,N,W} \leq C \|s\|_{t,m+|\alpha'|,M+|\alpha'|,N,W}, \quad s \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, E_{x_0}).$$

Using these facts and (5.2), for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $Z, Z' \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $v \in E_{x_0}$, we proceed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{|\alpha'+|\alpha'| \leq m} \left| (1 + |Z - Z'|^2)^k \frac{\partial^{|\alpha'+|\alpha'|}}{\partial Z^\alpha \partial Z'^{\alpha'}} K_t(Z, Z') v \right| \\ & \leq C_1 \sup_{|\alpha'| \leq m} \left\| (1 + |W - Z'|^2)^k \frac{\partial^{|\alpha'|}}{\partial Z'^{\alpha'}} K_t(W, Z') v \right\|_{C_b^m(\mathbb{R}_W^d, E_{x_0})} \\ & = C_1 \sup_{|\alpha'| \leq m} \left\| (1 + |W - Z'|^2)^k K_t D'_{\alpha'} \delta_{Z'}^v \right\|_{C_b^m(\mathbb{R}_W^d, E_{x_0})} \\ & \leq C_2 \sup_{|\alpha'| \leq m} \left\| (1 + |W - Z'|^2)^k K_t D'_{\alpha'} \delta_{Z'}^v \right\|_{H^{m+\lfloor d/2 \rfloor + 1}(\mathbb{R}_W^d, E_{x_0})}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (5.1), (4.2), (5.3) and (5.4), we get for $|\alpha'| \leq m$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| (1 + |W - Z'|^2)^k K_t D'_{\alpha'} \delta_{Z'}^v \right\|_{H^{m+\lfloor d/2 \rfloor + 1}(\mathbb{R}_W^d, E_{x_0})} \\ & \leq C_1 \left\| (1 + |W - Z'|^2)^k K_t D'_{\alpha'} \delta_{Z'}^v \right\|_{t,m+\lfloor d/2 \rfloor + 1, m+\lfloor d/2 \rfloor + 1} \\ & \leq C_1 \|K_t D'_{\alpha'} \delta_{Z'}^v\|_{t,m+\lfloor d/2 \rfloor + 1, m+\lfloor d/2 \rfloor + 1, 2k, Z'} \\ & \leq C_2 \|D'_{\alpha'} \delta_{Z'}^v\|_{t, -(m+\lfloor d/2 \rfloor + 1), m+\lfloor d/2 \rfloor + K + 1, 2k, Z'} \\ & \leq C_3 \|\delta_{Z'}^v\|_{t, -(\lfloor d/2 \rfloor + 1), 2m+\lfloor d/2 \rfloor + K + 1, 2k, Z'} \\ & \leq C_4 (1 + |Z'|)^{2m+\lfloor d/2 \rfloor + K + 1} \|\delta_{Z'}^v\|_{t, -(\lfloor d/2 \rfloor + 1)} \\ & \leq C_5 (1 + |Z'|)^{M'} |v|, \end{aligned}$$

where $M' = 2m + d + K + 2$ that completes the proof. \square

Let $K_{\varphi(\mathcal{H}_t)}(Z, Z') = K_{\varphi(\mathcal{H}_{t,x_0})}(Z, Z')$ be the smooth Schwartz kernel of the operator $\varphi(\mathcal{H}_t)$ with respect to dZ . As an immediate consequence of Theorems 4.3 and 5.1, we get the following result.

Theorem 5.3. *For any $r \geq 0$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $C > 0$ and $M > 0$ such that for any $t \in (0, 1]$ and $Z, Z' \in \mathbb{R}^d$,*

$$\sup_{|\alpha'+|\alpha'| \leq m} \left| \frac{\partial^{|\alpha'+|\alpha'|}}{\partial Z^\alpha \partial Z'^{\alpha'}} \frac{\partial^r}{\partial t^r} K_{\varphi(\mathcal{H}_t)}(Z, Z') \right| \leq C (1 + |Z| + |Z'|)^M (1 + |Z - Z'|)^{-N}.$$

6. THE PROOF OF ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSIONS

In this section, we prove asymptotic expansions of Theorem 1.1. We start with the following analog of [20, Theorem 1.11].

Theorem 6.1. *For any $Z, Z' \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $r \geq 0$, there exists the limit*

$$(6.1) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial^r}{\partial t^r} K_{\varphi(\mathcal{H}_t)}(Z, Z') = F_r(Z, Z'),$$

for some $F_r = F_{r, x_0} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d, \text{End}(E_{x_0}))$.

Proof. The theorem follows from Theorem 5.3 and the following fact, which is an immediate consequence of the mean-value theorem: If f is a differentiable function on the interval $(0, 1)$ with values in a Banach space B such that $\sup_{t \in (0, 1)} \|f'(t)\|_B < \infty$. then there exists $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} f(t)$. \square

By Theorem 5.3, for any $r \geq 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $M > 0$ such that for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C > 0$ such that for any $Z, Z' \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\sup_{|\alpha|+|\alpha'| \leq m} \left| \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|+|\alpha'|}}{\partial Z^\alpha \partial Z'^{\alpha'}} F_r(Z, Z') \right| \leq C(1 + |Z| + |Z'|)^M (1 + |Z - Z'|)^{-N}.$$

Consider an operator $F_r : C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, E_{x_0}) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, E_{x_0})$ defined by the Schwartz kernel $F_r(Z, Z')$. By Theorem 4.3, for any $r \geq 0$, $m, m' \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\|F_r s\|_{0, m, N} \leq C \|s\|_{0, m', N+2r}, \quad s \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, E_{x_0}).$$

Theorem 6.2. *For any $j, m, m' \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $M > 0$ such that, for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C > 0$ such that for any $t \in (0, 1]$ and $Z, Z' \in \mathbb{R}^d$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{|\alpha|+|\alpha'| \leq m} \left| \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|+|\alpha'|}}{\partial Z^\alpha \partial Z'^{\alpha'}} \left(K_{\varphi(\mathcal{H}_t)}(Z, Z') - \sum_{r=0}^j F_r(Z, Z') t^r \right) \right|_{C_b^{m'}(X)} \\ \leq C t^{j+1} (1 + |Z| + |Z'|)^M (1 + |Z - Z'|)^{-N}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. In the case $m' = 0$, the statement follows immediately from the Taylor formula

$$\varphi(\mathcal{H}_t) - \sum_{r=0}^j \frac{1}{r!} F_r t^r = \frac{1}{j!} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^j \frac{\partial^{j+1} \varphi(\mathcal{H}_t)}{\partial t^{j+1}}(\tau) d\tau, \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

and Theorem 5.3.

To treat the case $m' \geq 1$, we proceed as in the proof of [20, Theorem 1.10]. Let us consider the operator \mathcal{H}_t as an operator in $C^\infty(T_{x_0} X, E_{x_0})$ given by (3.1). By differentiating the formula (4.12) with respect to x_0 , for any $U \in T_{x_0} X$, we get

$$\nabla_U \varphi(\mathcal{H}_t) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\partial \tilde{\varphi}}{\partial \bar{\lambda}} (a - \lambda)^K \nabla_U [(\lambda - \mathcal{H}_t)^{-1} (a - \mathcal{H}_t)^{-K}] d\mu d\nu.$$

The operator $\nabla_U[(\lambda - \mathcal{H}_t)^{-1}(a - \mathcal{H}_t)^{-K}]$ is given by a formula similar to (4.15). Finally, the operator $\nabla_U \mathcal{H}_t$ is a differential operator on $T_{x_0}X$ of the same structure as \mathcal{H}_t (cf. (4.16)). This allows us to extend all our considerations to the case of an arbitrary $m' \geq 1$. \square

By (3.1), we have

$$K_{\varphi(H_p^{(x_0)})}(Z, Z') = t^{-d} \kappa^{-\frac{1}{2}}(Z) K_{\varphi(\mathcal{H}_t)}(Z/t, Z'/t) \kappa^{-\frac{1}{2}}(Z'), \quad Z, Z' \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

that completes the proof of the asymptotic expansion (1.3) in Theorem 1.1.

7. COMPUTATION OF THE COEFFICIENTS

In this section, we derive explicit formulas for the leading coefficients in asymptotic expansions of Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2, proving Theorem 1.4 and the formulas (1.11) and (1.12), and describe the structure of the lower order coefficients.

Since $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial^j \mathcal{H}_t}{\partial t^j} = j! \mathcal{H}^{(j)}$, from (4.15), we get

$$(7.1) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial^r}{\partial t^r} (\lambda - \mathcal{H}_t)^{-1} = \sum_{\mathbf{r} \in I_r} r! (\lambda - \mathcal{H}^{(0)})^{-1} \mathcal{H}^{(r_1)} (\lambda - \mathcal{H}^{(0)})^{-1} \dots \mathcal{H}^{(r_j)} (\lambda - \mathcal{H}^{(0)})^{-1}.$$

By (4.14) with $K = 0$ and (6.1), we infer that

$$(7.2) \quad F_r = -\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{\mathbf{r} \in I_r} r! \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\partial \tilde{\varphi}}{\partial \bar{\lambda}}(\lambda) (\lambda - \mathcal{H}^{(0)})^{-1} \times \mathcal{H}^{(r_1)} (\lambda - \mathcal{H}^{(0)})^{-1} \dots \mathcal{H}^{(r_j)} (\lambda - \mathcal{H}^{(0)})^{-1} d\mu d\nu,$$

where F_r denotes the operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, E_{x_0})$ with Schwartz kernel $F_r(Z, Z')$.

For $r = 0$, we immediately get

$$F_0 = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\partial \tilde{\varphi}}{\partial \bar{\lambda}}(\lambda) (\lambda - \mathcal{H}^{(0)})^{-1} d\mu d\nu = \varphi(\mathcal{H}^{(0)}),$$

which proves Theorem 1.4.

To derive the formulas (1.11) and (1.12) and compute lower order coefficients, we will use the technique of creation and annihilation operators as in [20]. We choose an orthonormal base $e_j, j = 1, \dots, d$, in $T_{x_0}X$ such that

$$B_{x_0} e_{2j-1} = a_j e_{2j}, \quad B_{x_0} e_{2j} = -a_j e_{2j-1}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

$$B_{x_0} e_{2n+m} = 0, \quad m = 1, \dots, d - 2n.$$

It should be noted that, generally speaking, we can not choose the eigenvalues a_j 's and the orthonormal base $e_j, j = 1, \dots, d$, depending smoothly on x_0 . As a result, the smooth dependence of the formulas, which we get, is not obvious.

We write $T_{x_0}X \cong \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{R}^{d-2n}$ with coordinates (u, v) and introduce the complex coordinates $z \in \mathbb{C}^n \cong \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, $z_j = u_{2j-1} + iu_{2j}$, $j = 1, \dots, n$. Put

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{2j-1}} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{2j}} \right), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_j} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{2j-1}} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{2j}} \right).$$

Define first order differential operators b_j, b_j^+ , $j = 1, \dots, n$, on $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, E_{x_0})$ by the formulas

$$b_j = -2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + \frac{1}{2} a_j \bar{z}_j, \quad b_j^+ = 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_j} + \frac{1}{2} a_j z_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Then b_j^+ is the formal adjoint of b_j on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, E_{x_0})$, and

$$(7.3) \quad \mathcal{H}^{(0)} = \sum_{j=1}^n b_j b_j^+ - \sum_{m=1}^{d-2n} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial v_m^2} + \Lambda_0.$$

We have the commutation relations

$$(7.4) \quad [b_i, b_j^+] = b_i b_j^+ - b_j^+ b_i = -2a_i \delta_{ij}, \quad [b_i, b_j] = [b_i^+, b_j^+] = 0,$$

and, for any polynomial $g(z, \bar{z}, v)$ on z, \bar{z} and v ,

$$(7.5) \quad [g, b_j] = 2 \frac{\partial g}{\partial z_j}, \quad [g, b_j^+] = -2 \frac{\partial g}{\partial \bar{z}_j}, \quad [g, \frac{\partial}{\partial v_m}] = -\frac{\partial g}{\partial v_m},$$

By (7.3) and (7.4), we have

$$b_k^+ \mathcal{H}^{(0)} = (\mathcal{H}^{(0)} + 2a_k) b_k^+, \quad b_k \mathcal{H}^{(0)} = (\mathcal{H}^{(0)} - 2a_k) b_k, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial v_m} \mathcal{H}^{(0)} = \mathcal{H}^{(0)} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_m},$$

which implies that

$$(7.6) \quad b_k^+ (\lambda - \mathcal{H}^{(0)})^{-1} = (\lambda - 2a_k - \mathcal{H}^{(0)})^{-1} b_k^+,$$

$$(7.7) \quad b_k (\lambda - \mathcal{H}^{(0)})^{-1} = (\lambda + 2a_k - \mathcal{H}^{(0)})^{-1} b_k,$$

$$(7.8) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial v_m} (\lambda - \mathcal{H}^{(0)})^{-1} = (\lambda - \mathcal{H}^{(0)})^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_m}.$$

By (7.3) and (7.5), for any polynomial $g(z, \bar{z}, v)$ on z, \bar{z} and v with values in $\text{End}(E_{x_0})$, we have

$$(7.9) \quad g \mathcal{H}^{(0)} = \mathcal{H}^{(0)} g + \sum_{j=1}^n \left(2b_j^+ \frac{\partial g}{\partial z_j} - 2b_j \frac{\partial g}{\partial \bar{z}_j} - 4 \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_j} \right) + \sum_{m=1}^{d-2n} \left(2 \frac{\partial}{\partial v_m} \frac{\partial g}{\partial v_m} - \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial v_m^2} \right),$$

which implies that

$$(7.10) \quad (\lambda - \mathcal{H}^{(0)})^{-1} g = g (\lambda - \mathcal{H}^{(0)})^{-1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{j=1}^n \left(b_j^+ (\lambda + 2a_j - \mathcal{H}^{(0)})^{-1} (-2 \frac{\partial g}{\partial z_j}) (\lambda - \mathcal{H}^{(0)})^{-1} \right. \\
& \quad + b_j (\lambda - 2a_j - \mathcal{H}^{(0)})^{-1} 2 \frac{\partial g}{\partial \bar{z}_j} (\lambda - \mathcal{H}^{(0)})^{-1} \\
& \quad \left. - 4 (\lambda - \mathcal{H}^{(0)})^{-1} \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_j} (\lambda - \mathcal{H}^{(0)})^{-1} \right) \\
& - \sum_{m=1}^{d-2n} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial v_m} (\lambda - \mathcal{H}^{(0)})^{-1} 2 \frac{\partial g}{\partial v_m} (\lambda - \mathcal{H}^{(0)})^{-1} \right. \\
& \quad \left. - (\lambda - \mathcal{H}^{(0)})^{-1} \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial v_m^2} (\lambda - \mathcal{H}^{(0)})^{-1} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

We will apply the formula (7.10) to write the operator $(\lambda - \mathcal{H}^{(0)})^{-1}g$ in the form $D(\lambda - \mathcal{H}^{(0)})^{-1}$ with some differential operator D . We see that the first term in the right hand side of (7.10) already has the desired form, the other terms don't, but the degrees of polynomials entering these terms decrease at least by one. Applying the formula (7.10) to each of these terms, we decrease the degree of polynomials more. We can proceed further, and, after finitely many steps, we eliminate these bad terms, arriving at an expression of the form

$$(\lambda - \mathcal{H}^{(0)})^{-1}g = \sum_{j \in J_r} D_{j,g} \prod_{|\mathbf{k}| \leq r} (\lambda + 2\mathbf{k} \cdot a - \mathcal{H}^{(0)})^{-j_{\mathbf{k}}},$$

where r is the degree of g and the sum is taken over the set J_r of all collections $j = \{j_{\mathbf{k}} \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$ such that $|\mathbf{k}| \leq r$ and $\sum j_{\mathbf{k}} \leq r + 1$ and $D_{j,g}$ is a differential operator of weight r . Here we introduce a grading on the algebra of differential operators on $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, E_{x_0})$ with polynomial coefficients, setting the weight of each z_j, \bar{z}_k and v_m to be equal to 1 and the weight of each of operators b_j, b_k^+ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial v_m}$ to be equal to 1.

As a consequence, using (7.6), (7.7) and (7.8), for any differential operator A of weight p , we get

$$(\lambda - \mathcal{H}^{(0)})^{-1}A = \sum_{j \in J_p} D_{j,A} \prod_{|\mathbf{k}| \leq p} (\lambda + 2\mathbf{k} \cdot a - \mathcal{H}^{(0)})^{-j_{\mathbf{k}}},$$

where $D_{j,A}$ is a differential operator of weight p .

By (3.7), each $\mathcal{H}^{(r)}$ has weight $r + 2$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\lambda - \mathcal{H}^{(0)})^{-1} \mathcal{H}^{(r_1)} (\lambda - \mathcal{H}^{(0)})^{-1} \dots \mathcal{H}^{(r_j)} (\lambda - \mathcal{H}^{(0)})^{-1} \\
& = \sum_j D_j \prod_{|\mathbf{k}| \leq r+2j} (\lambda + 2\mathbf{k} \cdot a - \mathcal{H}^{(0)})^{-j_{\mathbf{k}}},
\end{aligned}$$

where the sum is taken over the set of all collections $j = \{j_{\mathbf{k}} : \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^n, |\mathbf{k}| \leq p\}$ such that $\sum j_{\mathbf{k}} \leq N(\mathbf{r})$ with some $N(\mathbf{r}) \in \mathbb{N}$ and D_j is a differential operator of weight $r + 2j$.

Now applying the partial fraction decomposition to each product of resolvents, we can rewrite the above formula as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\lambda - \mathcal{H}^{(0)})^{-1} \mathcal{H}^{(r_1)} (\lambda - \mathcal{H}^{(0)})^{-1} \dots \mathcal{H}^{(r_j)} (\lambda - \mathcal{H}^{(0)})^{-1} \\
&= \sum_{|\mathbf{k}| \leq r+2j} \sum_{\ell=1}^{N(\mathbf{r})} D_{\mathbf{k}, \ell, \mathbf{r}} (\lambda + 2\mathbf{k} \cdot a - \mathcal{H}^{(0)})^{-\ell},
\end{aligned}$$

where $D_{\mathbf{k}, \ell, \mathbf{r}}$ is a differential operator of weight $r + 2j \leq 3r$.

By (7.2), we conclude that

$$F_r = -\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{\mathbf{r} \in I_r} \sum_{|\mathbf{k}| \leq 3r} \sum_{\ell=1}^{N(\mathbf{r})} D_{\mathbf{k}, \ell, \mathbf{r}} r! \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\partial \tilde{\varphi}}{\partial \lambda} (\lambda) (\lambda + 2\mathbf{k} \cdot a - \mathcal{H}^{(0)})^{-\ell} d\mu d\nu.$$

By differentiating the Helffer-Sjostrand formula (4.11), we get

$$\varphi^{(k)}(\mathcal{H}^{(0)}) = -\frac{k!}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\partial \tilde{\varphi}}{\partial \lambda} (\lambda) (\lambda - \mathcal{H}^{(0)})^{-k-1} d\mu d\nu.$$

It follows that

$$F_r = \sum_{|\mathbf{k}| \leq 3r} \sum_{\ell=1}^{N_r} D_{\mathbf{k}, \ell} \varphi^{(\ell-1)}(\mathcal{H}^{(0)} - 2\mathbf{k} \cdot a),$$

where $D_{\mathbf{k}, \ell}$ is a differential operator of weight $3r$ and $N_r = \max_{\mathbf{r} \in I_r} N(\mathbf{r})$.

In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(7.11) \quad f_r(x_0) &= \text{tr } F_r(0, 0) \\
&= \sum_{|\mathbf{k}| \leq 3r} \sum_{\ell=1}^{N_r} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 3r} \text{tr } c_{\mathbf{k}, \ell, \alpha}(x_0) \nabla_Z^\alpha K_{\varphi^{(\ell-1)}(\mathcal{H}^{(0)} - 2\mathbf{k} \cdot a)}(0, 0),
\end{aligned}$$

where $c_{\mathbf{k}, \ell, \alpha}(x_0) \in \text{End}(E_{x_0})$ and $K_{\varphi(\mathcal{H}^{(0)})}(Z, Z')$ denotes the smooth kernel of the operator $\varphi(\mathcal{H}^{(0)})$.

Denote by $\pi_{\mu, x_0} \in \text{End}(E_{x_0})$ the spectral projection of the self-adjoint operator $V(x_0)$, corresponding to the eigenvalue $V_\mu(x_0)$, $\mu = 1, \dots, \text{rank}(E)$. Using separation of variables and the Fourier transform, we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
(7.12) \quad & K_{\varphi(\mathcal{H}^{(0)})}(Z, Z') \\
&= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d-2n}} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} \sum_{\mu=1}^{\text{rank}(E)} \mathcal{P}_{\Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}}(u, u') \pi_{\mu, x_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-2n}} e^{i(v-v')\xi} \varphi(\Lambda_{\mathbf{k}, \mu} + |\xi|^2) d\xi,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{P}_{\Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}}(u, u')$ is the Schwartz kernel of the spectral projection of the operator $\mathcal{L}_0 = \sum_{j=1}^n b_j b_j^+$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\Lambda_{\mathbf{k}} = \sum_{j=1}^n (2k_j + 1)a_j$.

By (7.12), taking into account that $\mathcal{P}_{\Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}}(0, 0) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \prod_{j=1}^n a_j$, for $d = 2n$, we get

$$K_{\varphi(\mathcal{H}^{(0)})}(Z, Z) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \prod_{j=1}^n a_j(x_0) \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} \sum_{\mu=1}^{\text{rank}(E)} \varphi(\Lambda_{\mathbf{k}, \mu}(x_0)) \pi_{\mu, x_0},$$

which gives (1.11) by (1.8), and, for $d > 2n$,

$$K_{\varphi(\mathcal{H}^{(0)})}(Z, Z) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d-n}} \prod_{j=1}^n a_j(x_0) \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} \sum_{\mu=1}^{\text{rank}(E)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-2n}} \varphi(\Lambda_{\mathbf{k},\mu}(x_0) + |\xi|^2) d\xi \pi_{\mu,x_0},$$

which gives (1.12) by (1.8).

For an arbitrary r , by (7.12) and (7.11), for $d = 2n$, we get

$$(7.13) \quad f_r(x_0) = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} \sum_{\mu=1}^{\text{rank}(E)} \sum_{\ell=1}^N P_{\mathbf{k},\mu,\ell}(x_0) \varphi^{(\ell-1)}(\Lambda_{\mathbf{k},\mu}(x_0)),$$

where $P_{\mathbf{k},\mu,\ell}$ has power growth in \mathbf{k} , and, for $d > 2n$, we get

$$(7.14) \quad f_r(x_0) = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} \sum_{\mu=1}^{\text{rank}(E)} \sum_{\ell=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-2n}} P_{\mathbf{k},\mu,\ell,x_0}(\xi) \varphi^{(\ell-1)}(\Lambda_{\mathbf{k},\mu}(x_0) + |\xi|^2) d\xi,$$

where $P_{\mathbf{k},\mu,\ell,x_0}(\xi)$ is a polynomial of degree $3r$.

REFERENCES

- [1] J.-M. Bismut, Demailly's asymptotic Morse inequalities: a heat equation proof. *J. Funct. Anal.* **72** (1987), 263–278.
- [2] J.-M. Bismut, G. Lebeau, Complex immersions and Quillen metrics. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **74** (1991).
- [3] D. Borthwick, A. Uribe, The semiclassical structure of low-energy states in the presence of a magnetic field. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **359** (2007), 1875–1888.
- [4] Th. Bouche, Convergence de la métrique de Fubini-Study d'un fibré linéaire positif. *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **49** (1990), 117–130.
- [5] R. Brummelhuis, T. Paul, A. Uribe, Spectral estimate near a critical level. *Duke Math. J.* **78** (1995) 477–530.
- [6] B. Camus, A semi-classical trace formula at a non-degenerate critical level, *J. Funct. Anal.* **208** (2004), 446–481.
- [7] L. Charles, On the spectrum of non degenerate magnetic Laplacian. Preprint arXiv:2109.05508, 2021.
- [8] X. Dai, K. Liu, X. Ma, On the asymptotic expansion of Bergman kernel, *J. Differential Geom.* **72** (2006), 1–41.
- [9] J.-P. Demailly, Champs magnétiques et inégalités de Morse pour la d'' -cohomologie. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **35** (1985), no. 4, 189–229.
- [10] J.-P. Demailly, Holomorphic Morse inequalities. In *Several complex variables and complex geometry, Part 2 (Santa Cruz, CA, 1989)*, 93–114, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 52, Part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1991.
- [11] F. Faure, M. Tsujii, Prequantum transfer operator for symplectic Anosov diffeomorphism. *Astérisque No.* **375** (2015)
- [12] V. Guillemin, A. Uribe, The Laplace operator on the n th tensor power of a line bundle: eigenvalues which are uniformly bounded in n . *Asymptotic Anal.* **1** (1988), 105–113.
- [13] B. Helffer, J. Sjöstrand, Équation de Schrödinger avec champ magnétique et équation de Harper. *Schrödinger operators (Sønderborg, 1988)*, 118–197, Lecture Notes in Phys., 345, Springer, Berlin, 1989

- [14] D. Khuat-Duy, A semi-classical trace formula at a critical level. *J. Funct. Anal.* **146** (1997), 299–351.
- [15] Yu. A. Kordyukov, On asymptotic expansions of generalized Bergman kernels on symplectic manifolds; translated from *Algebra i Analiz* **30** (2018), no. 2, 163–187 *St. Petersburg Math. J.* **30** (2019), no. 2, 267–283
- [16] Yu. A. Kordyukov, Semiclassical spectral analysis of the Bochner-Schrödinger operator on symplectic manifolds of bounded geometry, *Anal. Math. Phys.* **12** (2022), no. 1, Paper No. 22, 37 pp.
- [17] Yu. A. Kordyukov, Heat kernels estimates for Hermitian line bundles on manifolds of bounded geometry, *Mathematics*, **9(23)** (2021), 3060.
- [18] Yu. A. Kordyukov, X. Ma, G. Marinescu, Generalized Bergman kernels on symplectic manifolds of bounded geometry. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **44** (2019), 1037–1071.
- [19] X. Ma, G. Marinescu, Holomorphic Morse inequalities and Bergman kernels. *Progress in Mathematics*, 254. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2007.
- [20] X. Ma, G. Marinescu, Generalized Bergman kernels on symplectic manifolds. *Adv. Math.* **217** (2008), 1756–1815.
- [21] X. Ma, G. Marinescu, S. Zelditch, Scaling asymptotics of heat kernels of line bundles. *Analysis, complex geometry, and mathematical physics: in honor of Duong H. Phong*; *Contemp. Math.*, 644, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2015; pp. 175–202.
- [22] G. Marinescu and N. Savale, Bochner Laplacian and Bergman kernel expansion of semi-positive line bundles on a Riemann surface, preprint arXiv:1811.00992
- [23] L. Morin, A semiclassical Birkhoff normal form for symplectic magnetic wells. *J. Spectral Theory*, 2022 (to appear); preprint arXiv:1907.03493 (2019)
- [24] N. Savale, Koszul complexes, Birkhoff normal form and the magnetic Dirac operator. *Anal. PDE* **10** (2017), 1793–1844.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UFA FEDERAL RESEARCH CENTRE, RUSSIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, 112 CHERNYSHEVSKY STR., 450008 UFA, RUSSIA

Email address: yurikor@matem.anrb.ru