

Modulo factors with bounded degrees

MORTEZA HASANVAND

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, Iran

morteza.hasanvand@alum.sharif.edu

Abstract

Let G be a bipartite graph with bipartition (X, Y) , let k be a positive integer, and let $f : V(G) \rightarrow Z_k$ be a mapping with $\sum_{v \in X} f(v) \stackrel{k}{\equiv} \sum_{v \in Y} f(v)$. In this paper, we show that if G is $(3k-3)$ -edge-connected, then it admits a factor H such that for each vertex v , $d_H(v) \stackrel{k}{\equiv} f(v)$, and

$$\lfloor \frac{d_G(v)}{2} \rfloor - (k-1) \leq d_H(v) \leq \lceil \frac{d_G(v)}{2} \rceil + k - 1.$$

Next, we generalize this result to general graphs and derive a sufficient degree condition for a highly edge-connected general graph G to have a factor H such that for each vertex v , $d_H(v) \in \{f(v), f(v)+k\}$. Finally, we show that every $(4k-1)$ -edge-connected essentially $(6k-7)$ -edge-connected graph admits a bipartite factor whose degrees are positive and divisible by k .

Keywords: Modulo factor; edge-connected; partition-connected; bipartite graph; vertex degree.

1 Introduction

In this article, graphs may have loops and multiple edges. Let G be a graph. The vertex set, the edge set, and the minimum degree of the vertices of G are denoted by $V(G)$, $E(G)$, and $\delta(G)$, respectively. We denote by $d_G(v)$ the degree of a vertex v in the graph G . If G has an orientation, the out-degree and in-degree of v are denoted by $d_G^+(v)$ and $d_G^-(v)$. For a vertex set A of G with at least two vertices, the number of edges of G with exactly one end in A is denoted by $d_G(A)$. Also, we denote by $e_G(A)$ the number of edges with both ends in A and denote by $d_G(A, B)$ the number of edges with one end in A and one end in B , where B is a vertex set. We denote by $G[A]$ the induced subgraph of G with the vertex set A containing precisely those edges of G whose ends lie in A , and denote by $G[A, B]$ the induced bipartite factor of G with the bipartition (A, B) . The graph G is said to be *trivial*, if it has no edges. Let k be a positive integer. The cyclic group of order k is denoted by Z_k . For any integer n , we denote by $[n]_k^-$ the unique integer n_0 such that $n_0 \stackrel{k}{\equiv} n$ and $n_0 \in \{-1, 0, \dots, k-2\}$. For convenience, we write $[n]_k$ for $[n]_k^-$. An orientation of G is said to be *p-orientation*, if for each vertex v , $d_G^+(v) \stackrel{k}{\equiv} p(v)$, where $p : V(G) \rightarrow Z_k$ is a mapping. A graph G is called *m-tree-connected*, if it contains m edge-disjoint spanning trees. Note that by the result of

Nash-Williams [15] and Tutte [20] every $2m$ -edge-connected graph is m -tree-connected. In fact, the result of them says that a loopless graph G is m -tree-connected if and only if $e_G(P) \geq m(|P| - 1)$ for every partition P of $V(G)$, where $e_G(P)$ denotes the number of edges of G joining different parts of P . A graph G is said to be (m, l_0) -*partition-connected*, if it can be decomposed into an m -tree-connected factor and a factor F which admits an orientation such that for each vertex v , $d_F^+(v) \geq l_0(v)$, where l_0 is a nonnegative integer-valued function on $V(G)$. A graph is termed *essentially λ -edge-connected*, if all edges of any edge cut of size strictly less than λ are incident with a common vertex. A *parity (g, f) -factor* refers to a spanning subgraph H such that for each vertex v , $g(v) \leq d_H(v) \leq f(v)$ and $d_H(v) \stackrel{2}{\equiv} g(v) \stackrel{2}{\equiv} f(v)$, where g and f are two integer-valued functions on $V(G)$. The graphs H is also called *f -factor*, when $g = f$. Likewise, an *f -factor* refers to a spanning subgraph H such that for each vertex v , $d_H(v) \stackrel{k}{\equiv} f(v)$, where $f : V(G) \rightarrow Z_k$. For a graph G , we say that a mapping $f : V(G) \rightarrow Z_k$ is *compatible with G* with respect to a bipartition X, Y of $V(G)$, if $\sum_{v \in X} f(v) - 2x \stackrel{k}{\equiv} \sum_{v \in Y} f(v)$ for an integer x with $0 \leq x \leq e_G(X)$ or $\sum_{v \in X} f(v) \stackrel{k}{\equiv} \sum_{v \in Y} f(v) - 2y$ for an integer y with $0 \leq y \leq e_G(Y)$. Likewise, we say that a mapping f is *compatible with G* , if it is compatible with G with respect to every bipartition X, Y of $V(G)$. We will show that when G is bipartite and $(2k - 1)$ -edge-connected, f is compatible with G if and only if f is compatible with G with respect to the unique bipartition (X, Y) of G . The *bipartite index $bi(G)$* of a graph G is the smallest number of all $|E(G) \setminus E(H)|$ taken over all bipartite factors H . It is easy to see that if G has an f -factor, then f must be compatible with G . A *modulo k -regular* graph refers to a graph whose degrees are positive and divisible by k . For a graph G with the vertex u , we denote by χ_u the mapping $\chi_u : V(G) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ such that $\chi_u(u) = 1$ and $\chi_u(v) = 0$ for all vertices v with $v \neq u$. Moreover, we denote by $\bar{\chi}_u$ the mapping $1 - \chi_u$. Let Q be a trail-decomposition of the edges of a graph G and let $X \subseteq V(G)$. We say that Q is *X -parity trail-decomposition*, if every trail in Q of odd size has exactly one end in X and every trail in Q of even size whose both ends lie in either X or $V(G) \setminus X$. Throughout this article, all variables m are nonnegative integers and all variables k are positive integers.

In 2014 Thomassen introduced the concept of modulo factors by formulating the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1.([18]) *Let G be a bipartite graph, let k be an integer, $k \geq 2$, and let $f : V(G) \rightarrow Z_k$ be a compatible mapping. If G is $(3k - 3)$ -edge-connected, then it has an f -factor.*

In this paper, we improve Theorem 1.1 by giving a sharp bound on degrees as the following version.

Theorem 1.2. *Let G be a bipartite graph, let k be an integer, $k \geq 2$, and let $f : V(G) \rightarrow Z_k$ be a compatible mapping. If G is $(3k - 3)$ -edge-connected, then it has an f -factor H such that for each vertex v ,*

$$\lfloor \frac{d_G(v)}{2} \rfloor - (k - 1) \leq d_H(v) \leq \lceil \frac{d_G(v)}{2} \rceil + (k - 1).$$

In 2016 Thomassen, Wu, and Zhang generalized Theorem 1.1 to $(6k - 7)$ -edge-connected graphs with edge-connectivity at least $6k - 7$ and bipartite index at least $k - 1$ provided that k is odd. In Subsection 4.3, we develop their result to even integers k and improve it to the following bounded degree version. Note that we will observe in Section 4.1, that if $bi(G) \geq k - 1$ and $(k - 1) \sum_{v \in V(G)} f(v)$ is even, then f must

be compatible with G . In addition, we strengthen this result for highly edge-connected graphs by imposing a better upper bound stated in the above-mentioned theorem. In Subsection 4.3, we also give a sufficient degree condition for a highly edge-connected graph G to have a factor H such that for each vertex v , $d_H(v) \in \{f(v), f(v) + k\}$.

Theorem 1.3. *Let G be a graph, let k be an integer, $k \geq 2$, and let $f : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_k$ be a compatible mapping. If G is $(6k - 7)$ -edge-connected, then it has an f -factor H such that for each vertex v ,*

$$\lfloor \frac{d_G(v)}{2} \rfloor - (k - 1) \leq d_H(v) \leq \lfloor \frac{d_G(v)}{2} \rfloor + k.$$

In [18], Thomassen used Theorem 1.1 on even integers to deduce that every $(12k - 7)$ -edge-connected graph of even order has a bipartite modulo k -regular factor whose degrees are not divisible by $2k$. In Section 5, we prove that Thomassen's result holds also for $(10k - 3)$ -edge-connected essentially $(12k - 7)$ -edge-connected graphs. In addition, we improve the needed edge-connectivity to the following version for the existence of modulo k -regular factors.

Theorem 1.4. *Every $(4k - 1)$ -edge-connected essentially $(6k - 7)$ -edge-connected graph admits a bipartite modulo k -regular factor.*

In 1984 Alon, Friedland, and Kalai proposed the following elegant conjecture and proved it for the case that k is a prime power. Recently, Botler, Colucci, and Kohayakawa (2020) [3] confirmed a weaker version of this conjecture by replacing the lower bound of $24(k - 1/2)n$ based on Theorem 1.1 for even integers k , and applied it for their purpose. In this paper, we introduce a simpler technique to improve their result by replacing a better bound less than $4(k - 1)n$.

Conjecture 1.5.([1]) *Let G be a loopless graph of order n and let k be a positive integer. If $|E(G)| > (k - 1)n$, then G admits a modulo k -regular subgraph.*

2 Factors modulo 2

In this section, we consider the existence of parity factors. Our results are based on the following lemma which is a special case of a result due to Lovász (1970) who gave a criterion for the existence of parity (g, f) -factors.

Lemma 2.1.([11]) *Let G be a connected graph and let g and f be two integer-valued functions on $V(G)$ with $g \leq f$ satisfying $\sum_{v \in V(G)} f(v) \stackrel{2}{\equiv} 0$, and $f(v) \stackrel{2}{\equiv} g(v)$ for each vertex v . Then G has a parity (g, f) -factor, if for any two disjoint subsets A and B of $V(G)$ with $A \cup B \neq \emptyset$,*

$$\omega(G \setminus (A \cup B)) \leq 1 + \sum_{v \in A} f(v) + \sum_{v \in B} (d_G(v) - g(v)) - d_G(A, B).$$

It is known that edge-connectedness 1 is sufficient for a graph to have an f -factor modulo 2, see [5, 19]. The following theorem shows that edge-connectedness 2 is sufficient for a graph to have an f -factor modulo 2 whose degrees fall in predetermined short intervals.

Theorem 2.2. *Let G be a graph and let $f : V(G) \rightarrow Z_2$ be a mapping with $\sum_{v \in V(G)} f(v) \stackrel{2}{\equiv} 0$. If G is 2-edge-connected, then it has an f -factor H such that for each vertex v ,*

$$\lfloor \frac{d_G(v)}{2} \rfloor - 1 \leq d_H(v) \leq \lceil \frac{d_G(v)}{2} \rceil + 1.$$

Furthermore, for an arbitrary vertex z , $d_H(z)$ can be assigned to any plausible integer value in whose interval.

Proof. For each vertex v , define $g'(v) \in \{\lfloor d_G(v)/2 \rfloor - 1, \lfloor d_G(v)/2 \rfloor\}$ and $f'(v) \in \{\lceil d_G(v)/2 \rceil, \lceil d_G(v)/2 \rceil + 1\}$ such that $g'(v) \stackrel{2}{\equiv} f(v) \stackrel{2}{\equiv} f'(v)$. Obviously, $g'(v) \leq f'(v)$. Note that if $g'(z) < f'(v)$, we allow to replace $g'(z)$ by $g'(z) + 2$ or replace $f'(z)$ by $f'(z) - 2$ with respect to our purpose related to z . For the first choice, we have $|d_G(z)/2 - g'(z)| \leq 3/2$ and for the second choice, we have $|d_G(z)/2 - f'(z)| \leq 3/2$. Let A and B be two disjoint subsets of $V(G)$ with $A \cup B \neq \emptyset$. Since G is 2-edge-connected, it is not hard to check that

$$\omega(G \setminus (A \cup B)) \leq \sum_{A \cup B} \frac{1}{2} d_G(v) - e_G(A \cup B) \leq \sum_{A \cup B} \frac{1}{2} d_G(v) - d_G(A, B).$$

Therefore,

$$\omega(G \setminus (A \cup B)) < 2 + \sum_{v \in A} f'(v) + \sum_{v \in B} (d_G(v) - g'(v)) - d_G(A, B),$$

whether $z \in A \cup B$ or not. Thus by Lemma 2.1, the graph G has a parity (g', f') -factor and the proof is completed. \square

The following well-known result on Eulerian graphs is a special case of Theorem 2.2.

Corollary 2.3. *Every Eulerian graph G with $z \in V(G)$ has a factor H such that for each $v \in V(G) \setminus \{z\}$, $d_H(v) = d_G(v)/2$, and $d_H(z) \in \{d_G(z)/2, d_G(z)/2 + 1\}$.*

Proof. Since G is 2-edge-connected, by Theorem 2.2, the graph G has a factor H such that for each $v \in V(G) \setminus \{z\}$, $d_H(v) \stackrel{2}{\equiv} d_G(v)/2$ and $d_G(v)/2 - 1 \leq d_H(v) \leq d_G(v)/2 + 1$, and $d_G(z)/2 \leq d_H(z) \leq d_G(z)/2 + 1$. Hence H is the desired factor. \square

An interesting application of Theorem 2.2 is given in the following corollary.

Corollary 2.4. *Every connected $2r$ -regular graph G with $(r + 1)|V(G)|$ even can be decomposed into two factors whose degrees lie in the set $\{r - 1, r + 1\}$.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.2, the graph G has a factor such that for each vertex v , $d_H(v) \stackrel{k}{\equiv} r + 1$ and $r - 1 \leq d_G(v)/2 - 1 \leq d_H(v) \leq d_G(v)/2 + 1 \leq r + 1$. Hence H and its complement are the desired factors whose degrees lie in the set $\{r - 1, r + 1\}$. \square

Remark 2.5. Note that Theorem 2.2 can conclude Theorem 11 in [4]. A generalization of it is formulated in [7, Theorem 6.5].

In the following theorem, we develop Theorem 2.2 to a partition-connected version.

Theorem 2.6. *Let G be a graph and let $f : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ be a mapping with $\sum_{v \in V(G)} f(v) \stackrel{2}{\equiv} 0$. Let s , s_0 , and l_0 be three integer-valued functions on $V(G)$ satisfying $s + s_0 < d_G$ and $\max\{s, s_0\} \leq l_0$. If G is $(1, l_0)$ -partition-connected, then it has an f -factor H such that for each vertex v ,*

$$s(v) \leq d_H(v) \leq d_G(v) - s_0(v).$$

Proof. For each vertex v , define $g'(v) \in \{s(v), s(v) + 1\}$ and $f'(v) \in \{d_G(v) - s_0(v) - 1, d_G(v) - s_0(v)\}$ such that $g'(v) \stackrel{2}{\equiv} f(v) \stackrel{2}{\equiv} f'(v)$. Note that the condition $s(v) + s_0(v) < d_G(v)$ implies that $g'(v) \leq f'(v) + 1$ and hence $g'(v) \leq f'(v)$, because those have the same parity. By the assumption, the graph G can be decomposed into two factors T and F such that T is a spanning tree and F admits an orientation such that for each vertex v , $d_F^+(v) \geq l_0(v)$. Let A and B be two disjoint subsets of $V(G)$. It is not hard to check that

$$\omega(G \setminus (A \cup B)) \leq \omega(T \setminus (A \cup B)) = \sum_{A \cup B} (d_T(v) - 1) + 1 - e_T(A \cup B) \leq \sum_{A \cup B} (d_T(v) - 1) + 1 - d_T(A, B).$$

Since $d_F^+(v) \geq l_0(v) \geq \max\{s(v), s_0(v)\}$ for each vertex v , we must have

$$0 \leq \sum_{v \in A \cup B} d_F^-(v) - d_F(A, B) \leq \sum_{v \in A} (d_F(v) - s_0(v)) + \sum_{v \in B} (d_F(v) - s(v)) - d_F(A, B).$$

Therefore,

$$\omega(G \setminus (A \cup B)) \leq \sum_{v \in A} (d_G(v) - s_0(v) - 1) + \sum_{v \in B} (s(v) - 1) - d_G(A, B) + 1.$$

which implies that

$$\omega(G \setminus (A \cup B)) \leq 1 + \sum_{v \in A} f'(v) + \sum_{v \in B} (d_G(v) - g'(v)) - d_G(A, B).$$

Thus by Lemma 2.1, the graph G has a parity (g', f') -factor and the proof is completed. \square

3 Factors modulo k : Almost bipartite graphs

3.1 Tools: Orientations modulo k

For making some results on the existence of modulo factors with bounded degree, we need to apply some known results on the existence of modulo orientation with bounded out-degrees.

Theorem 3.1. ([6, 13]) *Let G be a loopless graph with $z_0 \in V(G)$, let k be an integer, $k \geq 3$, and let $p : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_k$ be a mapping with $|E(G)| \stackrel{k}{\equiv} \sum_{v \in V(G)} p(v)$. Let D_{z_0} be a pre-orientation of $E(z_0)$ that is the set of edges incident with z_0 . Assume that*

1. $d_G(z_0) \leq 2k - 1 + p(z_0)$, and the edges incident with z_0 are pre-directed such that $d_G^+(z_0) \stackrel{k}{\equiv} p(z_0)$.
2. $d_G(A) \geq 3k - 3$, for every proper vertex subset A of $V(G) \setminus \{z_0\}$ with $|A| \geq 2$.
3. $d_G(v) \geq 2k - 1 + [p(v)]_k$, for any vertex $v \in V(G) \setminus \{z_0\}$.

Then the pre-orientation D_{z_0} can be extended to a p -orientation D of G such that for each vertex v ,

$$|d_G^+(v) - d_G(v)/2| < k.$$

Corollary 3.2.([6, 13]) *Let G be a loopless graph, let k be an integer, $k \geq 3$, let $p : V(G) \rightarrow Z_k$ be a mapping with $|E(G)| \stackrel{k}{\equiv} \sum_{v \in V(G)} p(v)$. If G is essentially $(3k - 3)$ -edge-connected and for each vertex v , $d_G(v) \geq 2k - 1 + [p(v)]_k$, then G has a p -orientation such that for each vertex v ,*

$$\lfloor \frac{d_G(v)}{2} \rfloor - (k - 1) \leq d_G^+(v) \leq \lceil \frac{d_G(v)}{2} \rceil + (k - 1).$$

Furthermore, for an arbitrary vertex z , $d_G^+(z)$ can be assigned to any plausible integer value in whose interval.

The following theorem provides a tree-connected version for the above-mentioned corollary.

Theorem 3.3.([6]) *Let G be a graph with $z \in V(G)$, let k be an integer, $k \geq 3$, and let $p : V(G) \rightarrow Z_k$ be a mapping with $|E(G)| \stackrel{k}{\equiv} \sum_{v \in V(G)} p(v)$. Let s , s_0 , and l_0 be three integer-valued functions on $V(G)$ satisfying $s + s_0 + k - 1 \leq d_G$ and $\max\{s, s_0\} \leq l_0 + (k - 1)\chi_z$. If G is $(2k - 2, l_0)$ -partition-connected, then it has a p -orientation such that for each vertex v ,*

$$s(v) \leq d_G^+(v) \leq d_G(v) - s_0(v).$$

The following theorem provides another tree-connected version for the above-mentioned corollary.

Theorem 3.4.([6]) *Let G be a non-trivial graph, let k be an integer, $k \geq 3$, and let $p : V(G) \rightarrow Z_k$ be a mapping with $|E(G)| \stackrel{k}{\equiv} \sum_{v \in V(G)} p(v)$. If G is $(2k - 2)$ -tree-connected, then it has a p -orientation such that for each vertex v ,*

$$k/2 - 1 \leq d_G^+(v) \leq d_G(v) - k/2 + 1.$$

3.2 Bipartite graphs

There is a special one-to-one mapping between orientations and factors of any bipartite graph, which was utilized by Thomassen in [18] in order to establish Theorem 1.1. Using the same arguments, we derive the following strengthened version.

Theorem 3.5. *Let G be a bipartite graph, let k be a positive integer, and let $f : V(G) \rightarrow Z_k$ be a compatible mapping. If G is essentially $(3k - 3)$ -edge-connected and $d_G(v) \geq 2k - 1 + [f(v)]_k$ for each vertex v , then G has an f -factor H such that for each vertex v ,*

$$\lfloor \frac{d_G(v)}{2} \rfloor - (k - 1) \leq d_H(v) \leq \lceil \frac{d_G(v)}{2} \rceil + (k - 1).$$

Furthermore, for an arbitrary vertex z , $d_H(z)$ can be assigned to any plausible integer value in whose interval.

Proof. The special case $k = 2$ follows from Theorem 2.2. Assume $k \geq 3$. Let (X, Y) be the bipartition of G . For each $v \in X$, define $p(v) = f(v)$, and for each $v \in Y$, define $p(v) = d_G(v) - f(v)$. Since f is compatible with G , $\sum_{v \in X} f(v) \stackrel{k}{\equiv} \sum_{v \in Y} f(v)$ which can conclude that $|E(G)| \stackrel{k}{\equiv} \sum_{v \in V(G)} p(v)$. By the assumption for each $v \in X$, $d_G(v) \geq 2k - 1 + [p(v)]_k = 2k - 1 + [f(v)]_k$. Also, for each $v \in Y$, one can conclude that $d_G(v) \geq 2k - 1 + [d_G(v) - p(v)]_k = 2k - 1 + [f(v)]_k$, see [6]. Since G is essentially $(3k - 3)$ -edge-connected, for every vertex set A with $2 \leq |A| \leq |V(G)| - 2$, we have $d_G(A) \geq 3k - 3 \geq 2k - 1 + [p(A)]_k$. According to Corollary 3.2, the graph G has a p -orientation modulo k such that for each vertex v , $\lfloor d_G(v)/2 \rfloor - (k - 1) \leq d_G^+(v) \leq \lceil d_G(v)/2 \rceil + (k - 1)$. Take H to be the factor of G consisting of all edges directed from X to Y . Since for all vertices $v \in X$, $d_H(v) = d_G^+(v)$, and for all vertices $v \in Y$, $d_H(v) = d_G(v) - d_G^+(v)$, the graph H is the desired f -factor. The remaining case $k = 1$ can be proved similarly, because it is known that every graph G has an orientation such that for each vertex v , $\lfloor d_G(v)/2 \rfloor \leq d_G^+(v) \leq \lceil d_G(v)/2 \rceil$; in particular, we can arbitrarily have $d_G^+(z) = \lfloor d_G(z)/2 \rfloor$ or $d_G^+(z) = \lceil d_G(z)/2 \rceil$ by reversing the orientation (if necessary). \square

Remark 3.6. Recently, Bensmail, Merker, and Thomassen [2] applied Theorem 1.1 with a weaker version to deduce that every 16-edge-connected bipartite graph admits a decomposition into at most two locally irregular subgraphs. By the current improvement, their result can be refined a little.

Corollary 3.7. *Let G be a bipartite graph, let k be a positive integer, and let $f : V(G) \rightarrow Z_k$ be a compatible mapping. If G is $(3k - 3)$ -edge-connected, then it has an f -factor H such that for each vertex v ,*

$$\lfloor \frac{d_G(v)}{2} \rfloor - (k - 1) \leq d_H(v) \leq \lceil \frac{d_G(v)}{2} \rceil + (k - 1).$$

We have the following immediate conclusions similar to Corollaries 3.9 and 3.10 in [6]. Note the required edge-connectivity $3k - 3$ of the first one can be replaced by odd edge-connectivity $3k - 2$ and the second one can be replaced by the condition $d_G(A) \geq 6k - 2$, where A is a subset of $V(G)$ with odd size.

Corollary 3.8. *Let G be a bipartite graph and let k be an odd positive integer. If G is $(3k - 3)$ -edge-connected, then it has a factor H such that for each vertex v ,*

$$d_H(v) \in \left\{ \frac{d_G(v)}{2} - \frac{k}{2}, \frac{d_G(v)}{2}, \frac{d_G(v)}{2} + \frac{k}{2} \right\}.$$

Proof. For each vertex v , define $f(v) = d_G(v)/2 \pmod{k}$ when $d_G(v)$ is even, and define $f(v) = (d_G(v) + k)/2 \pmod{k}$ when $d_G(v)$ is odd. Let (X, Y) be the bipartition of G . Obviously, $\sum_{v \in X} f(v) \stackrel{k}{\equiv} |E(G)|/2 +$

$n_x k/2$ and $\sum_{v \in Y} f(v) \stackrel{k}{\equiv} |E(G)|/2 + n_y k/2$, where n_x and n_y are the number of vertices in X and Y with odd degrees, respectively. Since n_x and n_y have the same parity, $\sum_{v \in X} f(v) \stackrel{k}{\equiv} \sum_{v \in Y} f(v)$. Thus by Corollary 3.7, the graph G has an f -factor such that for each vertex v , $\lfloor d_G(v)/2 \rfloor - (k-1) \leq d_H(v) \leq \lceil d_G(v)/2 \rceil + (k-1)$. If $d_G(v)$ is even, then $d_H(v) = d_G(v)/2$. Otherwise, since $d_G(v)/2 - 3k/2 < d_H(v) < d_G(v)/2 + 3k/2$, we must have $d_H(v) \in \{d_G(v)/2 - k/2, d_G(v) + k/2\}$. Hence H is the desired factor. \square

Corollary 3.9. *Let G be a bipartite Eulerian graph of even order and let k be a positive integer. If G is $(6k-2)$ -edge-connected, then it has a factor H such that for each vertex v ,*

$$d_H(v) \in \left\{ \frac{d_G(v)}{2} - k, \frac{d_G(v)}{2} + k \right\}.$$

Proof. For each vertex v , define $f(v) = d_G(v)/2 + k \pmod{2k}$. Since f is compatible with G , by Corollary 3.7, the graph G has an f -factor H such that for each vertex v , $d_G(v)/2 - 3k < d_G(v)/2 - (2k-1) \leq d_H(v) \leq d_G(v)/2 + 2k - 1 < d_G(v)/2 + 3k$. Hence H is the desired factor. \square

The following corollary is an improved version of Lemma 4.1 in [14]. Note the required edge-connectivity $3k-3$ can be replaced by the condition $d_G(A) \geq 3k-3$, where A is a subset of $V(G)$ satisfying $0 < |A \cap Y| < |Y|$, by replacing Corollary 3.8 in [6] in the proof.

Corollary 3.10. *Let G be a $(3k-3)$ -edge-connected bipartite graph on classes X and Y , where each vertex in X has even degree. For every function $f : Y \rightarrow Z_k$ satisfying $\sum_{v \in Y} f(v) \stackrel{k}{\equiv} \frac{1}{2}|E(G)|$, there exists a factor H of G such that*

1. $d_H(v) = \frac{1}{2}d_G(v)$ for each $v \in X$.
2. $|d_H(v) - \frac{1}{2}d_G(v)| < k$ and $d_H(v) \stackrel{k}{\equiv} f(v)$ for each $v \in Y$.

Proof. For each $v \in X$, define $f(v) = d_G(v)/2 \pmod{k}$. According to the assumption, $\sum_{v \in X} f(v) \stackrel{k}{\equiv} \sum_{v \in X} d_G(v)/2 \stackrel{k}{\equiv} \frac{1}{2}|E(G)| \stackrel{k}{\equiv} \sum_{v \in Y} f(v)$. Thus by Corollary 3.7, the graph G has an f -factor H such that for each vertex v , $d_G(v)/2 + k < \lfloor d_G(v)/2 \rfloor - (k-1) \leq d_H(v) \leq \lceil d_G(v)/2 \rceil + (k-1) < d_G(v)/2 + k$ which implies that $|d_H(v) - \frac{1}{2}d_G(v)| < k$. For each $v \in X$, we therefore have $d_H(v) = d_G(v)/2$. This can complete the proof. \square

The following theorem provides a partition-connected version for Theorem 3.5.

Theorem 3.11. *Let G be a bipartite graph with $z \in V(G)$, let k be an integer, $k \geq 3$, and let $f : V(G) \rightarrow Z_k$ be a compatible mapping. Let s , s_0 , and l_0 be three integer-valued functions on $V(G)$ satisfying $s + s_0 + k - 1 \leq d_G$ and $\max\{s, s_0\} \leq l_0 + (k-1)\bar{\chi}_z$. If G is $(2k-2, l_0)$ -partition-connected, then it admits an f -factor H such that for each vertex v ,*

$$s(v) \leq d_H(v) \leq d_G(v) - s_0(v).$$

Proof. Let (X, Y) be a bipartition of G . For each $v \in X$, define $p(v) = f(v)$, and for each $v \in Y$, define $p(v) = d_G(v) - f(v)$. By the assumption, we must have $|E(G)| \stackrel{k}{\equiv} \sum_{v \in V(G)} p(v)$. By Theorem 3.3, the graph G has a p -orientation modulo k such that for each $v \in X$, $s(v) \leq d_G^+(v) \leq d_G(v) - s_0(v)$, and for each $v \in Y$, $s_0(v) \leq d_G^+(v) \leq d_G(v) - s(v)$. Take H to be the factor of G consisting of all edges directed from X to Y . Since for all vertices $v \in X$, $d_H(v) = d_G^+(v)$, and for all vertices $v \in Y$, $d_H(v) = d_G(v) - d_G^+(v)$, the graph H is the desired f -factor we are looking for. \square

We describe below an interesting application of Theorem 3.4 on modulo factors with the same fixed bound on all degrees.

Theorem 3.12. *Let G be a bipartite non-trivial graph, let k be an integer, $k \geq 3$, and let $f : V(G) \rightarrow Z_k$ be a compatible mapping. If G is $(2k - 2)$ -tree-connected, then it has an f -factor H such that for each vertex v , $k/2 - 1 \leq d_H(v) \leq d_G(v) - k/2 + 1$.*

Proof. Let (X, Y) be the bipartition of G . For each $v \in X$, define $p(v) = f(v)$, and for each $v \in Y$, define $p(v) = d_G(v) - f(v)$. By the assumption, we must have $|E(G)| \stackrel{k}{\equiv} \sum_{v \in V(G)} p(v)$. By Theorem 3.4, the graph G has a p -orientation such that for each vertex v , $k/2 - 1 \leq d_G^+(v) \leq d_G(v) - k/2 + 1$. Define H to be the factor of G consisting of all edges directed from X to Y . It is easy to check that H is the desired factor we are looking for. \square

3.3 Graphs with small bipartite index

In this subsection, we shall establish a non-bipartite version for Corollary 3.7. Before stating the main result, let us prove the following stronger but more complicated assertion.

Theorem 3.13. *Let G be a graph, let k be an integer, $k \geq 3$, and let $f : V(G) \rightarrow Z_k$ be a compatible mapping. Decompose G into two factors T and G_0 such that T admits an X -parity trail-decomposition and $e_{G_0}(X) + e_{G_0}(Y) = \min\{k - 1, e_G(X) + e_G(Y)\}$, where X, Y is a bipartition of $V(G)$. If G_0 is $(3k - 3)$ -edge-connected, then G has an f -factor H such that for each vertex v ,*

$$\lfloor \frac{d_G(v)}{2} \rfloor - (k - 1) \leq d_H(v) \leq \lceil \frac{d_G(v)}{2} \rceil + (k - 1).$$

Furthermore, for an arbitrary given vertex z of odd degree, the upper bound can be reduced by one.

Proof. Let \mathcal{T} be the graph with $V(\mathcal{T}) = V(G)$ obtained from an X -parity trail-decomposition Q of T by removing each trail and joining end vertices. Let $M = G_0[X] \cup G_0[Y]$ so that $|E(M)| \leq k - 1$. Decompose M into two factors \mathcal{M}_0 and \mathcal{M} . We are going to construct a new graph H_0 that plays an important role in the proof. First, add a new vertex z_0 to G_0 along with a directed edge z_0z which is directed from z_0 to z . Next, for each edge $uv \in E(M)$, add two directed edges z_0u and z_0v such that both of them directed toward z_0 , if either $u, v \in X$ and $uv \in E(\mathcal{M}_0)$ or $u, v \in Y$ and $uv \in E(\mathcal{M})$, and also directed away from

z_0 , if either $u, v \in X$ and $uv \in E(\mathcal{M})$ or $u, v \in Y$ and $uv \in E(\mathcal{M}_0)$. Call the resulting graph H_0 . For the case that G has not a vertex z of odd degree, one can construct H_0 without inserting such artificial edge zz_0 . Note that for each $v \in V(G)$, $d_G(v) + \chi_z(v) = d_{H_0}(v) + d_{\mathcal{T}}(v) + 2t(v)$, where $t(v) = (d_{\mathcal{T}}(v) - d_{\mathcal{T}}(v))/2$. Define $p(z_0) = 2e_{\mathcal{M}}(X) + 2e_{\mathcal{M}_0}(Y) + 1$, and for each $v \in V(H_0) \setminus \{z_0\}$, define

$$p(v) = \begin{cases} f(v) - t(v), & \text{if } v \in X; \\ d_{H_0}(v) + d_{\mathcal{T}}(v) - (f(v) - t(v)), & \text{if } v \in Y. \end{cases}$$

It is not hard to check that

$$\sum_{v \in V(H_0)} p(v) \stackrel{k}{\equiv} |E(H_0 \cup \mathcal{T})| + \sum_{v \in X} f(v) - 2e_{\mathcal{M}_0}(X) - \sum_{v \in Y} f(v) + 2e_{\mathcal{M}}(Y) - \sum_{v \in X} t(v) + \sum_{v \in Y} t(v).$$

Since f is compatible, there are two nonnegative integers x and y with $x \leq e_G(X)$ and $y \leq e_G(Y)$ such that $x + y \leq k - 1$ and $\sum_{v \in X} f(v) - 2x \stackrel{k}{\equiv} \sum_{v \in Y} f(v) - 2y$. Thus the graphs \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{M}_0 could be chosen such that the following equality holds: $\sum_{v \in V(H_0)} p(v) \stackrel{k}{\equiv} |E(H_0 \cup \mathcal{T})|$. Note that $d_{H_0}(z_0) \leq 2|E(M)| + 1 \leq 2k - 1 \leq 2k - 1 + p(z_0)$. Moreover, for every nonempty proper vertex subset of $V(H_0) \setminus \{z_0\}$, we have $d_{H_0}(A) \geq d_{G_0}(A) \geq 3k - 3$. Thus by Theorem 3.1, the pre-orientation of $E_{H_0}(z_0)$ can be extended to a p -orientation of $H_0 \cup \mathcal{T}$ such that for each vertex v ,

$$\lfloor \frac{d_{\mathcal{T}}(v) + d_{H_0}(v)}{2} \rfloor - (k - 1) \leq d_{\mathcal{T}}^+(v) + d_{H_0}^+(v) \leq \lceil \frac{d_{\mathcal{T}}(v) + d_{H_0}(v)}{2} \rceil + (k - 1).$$

Define F_0 to be the factor of G_0 consisting of all edges of $H_0 - z_0$ directed from X to Y . Let v_0, \dots, v_n be an arbitrary trail in Q such that the edge v_0v_n directed from v_0 to v_n in \mathcal{T} . If $v_0 \in X$, we select all edges $v_{2i}v_{2i+1}$ of this trail; otherwise, we select all edges $v_{2i+1}v_{2i+2}$. Let F be the factor of T consists of all selected edges. Since Q is an X -parity trail-decomposition, we must have $d_F(v) = d_{\mathcal{T}}^+(v) + t(v)$ for each $v \in X$, and $d_F(v) = d_{\mathcal{T}}^-(v) + t(v)$ for each $v \in Y$. Define $H = \mathcal{M}_0 \cup F_0 \cup F$. According to the construction of H , for each $v \in V(G)$, we have

$$d_H(v) = d_{\mathcal{M}_0}(v) + d_{F_0}(v) + d_F(v) = \begin{cases} d_{H_0}^+(v) + d_{\mathcal{T}}^+(v) + t(v), & \text{if } v \in X; \\ d_{H_0}^-(v) - \chi_z(v) + d_{\mathcal{T}}^-(v) + t(v), & \text{if } v \in Y. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, for each vertex v , $d_H(v) \stackrel{k}{\equiv} f(v)$, and

$$\lfloor \frac{d_G(v) + \chi_z(v)}{2} \rfloor - (k - 1) - \chi_z(v) \leq d_H(v) \leq \lceil \frac{d_G(v) + \chi_z(v)}{2} \rceil + (k - 1) - \chi_z(v).$$

Hence it is not hard to check that H is the desired f -factor we are looking for. \square

An improved version of Corollary 3.7 is given in the next corollary.

Corollary 3.14. *Let G be a graph, let k be a positive integer, and let $f : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_k$ be a compatible mapping. If $G[X, Y]$ is $(3k - 3)$ -edge-connected and $e_G(X) + e_G(Y) \leq k - 1$ for a bipartition of X, Y of $V(G)$, then G has an f -factor H such that for each vertex v ,*

$$\lfloor \frac{d_G(v)}{2} \rfloor - (k - 1) \leq d_H(v) \leq \lceil \frac{d_G(v)}{2} \rceil + (k - 1).$$

Furthermore, for an arbitrary given vertex z of odd degree, the upper bound can be reduced by one.

Proof. Apply Theorem 3.13 with the empty factor T . Notice that T admits an X -parity trail-decomposition. \square

4 Factors modulo k : General graphs

4.1 Compatible mappings

In the following, we shall provide some sufficient conditions for a mapping to be compatible. Before doing so, let us make the following lemma which can conclude that every $(2bi(G) + 1)$ -edge-connected graph G has a unique bipartition X, Y of $V(G)$ satisfying $e_G(X) + e_G(Y) = bi(G)$. In particular, every connected bipartite graph has a unique bipartition.

Lemma 4.1. *If G is an m -edge-connected graph and $m \geq 2bi(G) + 1$, then there is a unique bipartition X, Y of $V(G)$ satisfying $e_G(X) + e_G(Y) < m - bi(G)$.*

Proof. Let X, Y be a bipartition of $V(G)$ satisfying $e_G(X) + e_G(Y) = bi(G) < m - bi(G)$. Let X', Y' be another bipartition of $V(G)$ with $\{X', Y'\} \neq \{X, Y\}$. Set $A = (X' \cap X) \cup (Y' \cap Y)$. If A is empty, then it is easy to check that $X' = Y$ and $Y' = X$. Likewise, if $V(G) \setminus A$ is empty, then we must have $X' = X$ and $Y' = Y$. Thus A is a nonempty proper subset of $V(G)$. Therefore, $e_G(X') + e_G(Y') \geq d_G(A) - (e_G(X) + e_G(Y)) \geq m - bi(G)$. Hence the proof is completed. \square

The following theorem gives sufficient conditions for a mapping to be compatible with the main graph.

Theorem 4.2. *Let G be a graph, let k be a positive integer, and let $f : V(G) \rightarrow Z_k$ be a mapping with $(k - 1) \sum_{v \in V(G)} f(v)$ even. Then f is compatible with G , if one of the following conditions holds:*

1. k is even and $bi(G) \geq k/2 - 1$.
2. k is odd and $bi(G) \geq k - 1$; see [19].
3. G is $(2k - 2)$ -edge-connected and f is compatible with G with respect to a bipartition X, Y of $V(G)$ satisfying $e_G(X) + e_G(Y) \leq k - 1$.

Proof. Let X, Y be a bipartition of $V(G)$. Let $x, y \in \{0, \dots, k - 1\}$ be two integers such that $\sum_{v \in X} f(v) - \sum_{v \in Y} f(v) \stackrel{k}{\equiv} 2x$ and $\sum_{v \in Y} f(v) - \sum_{v \in X} f(v) \stackrel{k}{\equiv} 2y$. Obviously, $2x + 2y \stackrel{k}{\equiv} 0$. Thus if k is odd, then $x + y \stackrel{k}{\equiv} 0$ and hence $x + y \in \{0, k\}$. Likewise, if k is even, then $\sum_{v \in X} f(v) - \sum_{v \in Y} f(v)$ is even and hence $x + y \stackrel{k/2}{\equiv} 0$, which implies that $x + y \in \{0, k/2\}$. Since $e_G(X) + e_G(Y) \geq bi(G)$, by the assumption, we must have $e_G(X) \geq x$ or $e_G(Y) \geq y$. This implies that f is compatible with G with respect to X, Y . Hence the first two assertions hold. Now, assume that G is $(2k - 2)$ -edge-connected and f is compatible with G with respect

to a bipartition X, Y of $V(G)$ satisfying $e_G(X) + e_G(Y) \leq k - 1$. Let X', Y' be another bipartition of $V(G)$. By Lemma 4.1, we must have $e_G(X') + e_G(Y') \geq 2k - 2 - (e_G(X) + e_G(Y)) \geq (k - 2) = k - 1$. Thus one can similarly conclude that f must be compatible with G with respect to X', Y' . This can complete the proof. \square

4.2 Bipartite tree-connected factors

For developing Theorem 3.12 to general graphs, we need to refine Proposition 1 in [17] to the following tree-connected version. This lemma is a useful tool for finding edge-connected and tree-connected bipartite factors and will be used several times in the last section. This lemma is developed in [8] to find factors with bounded chromatic numbers.

Theorem 4.3. *Every $2m$ -tree-connected loopless graph G has an m -tree-connected bipartite factor H such that for every vertex set A , $d_H(A) \geq \lceil d_G(A)/2 \rceil$.*

Proof. Let H be a bipartite factor of G with the maximum $|E(H)|$. We claim that H is the desired factor. Suppose, to the contrary, that $d_H(A) < d_G(A)/2$ for a vertex set A . Define $X_0 = (X \setminus A) \cup (A \cap Y)$ and $Y_0 = (Y \setminus A) \cup (A \cap X)$, where (X, Y) is the bipartition of H . It is not difficult to see that the graph $G[X_0, Y_0]$ is a bipartite of G with more edges than H which is a contradiction. Now, let P be a partition of $V(G)$. Since G is $2m$ -tree-connected, we must have $e_G(P) \geq 2m(|P| - 1)$, where $e_G(P)$ denotes the number of edges of G joining different parts of G . Therefore, $e_H(P) = \sum_{A \in P} \frac{1}{2}d_H(A) \geq \sum_{A \in P} \frac{1}{4}d_G(A) = \frac{1}{2}e_G(P) \geq m(|P| - 1)$. Hence by the well-known result of Nash-Williams [15] and Tutte [20], the graph H must be m -tree-connected. \square

The following theorem gives a criterion for the existence of edge-disjoint odd cycles in tree-connected graphs in terms of bipartite index. The edge-connected version of this corollary is mentioned in [16, Section 7].

Corollary 4.4. *Let G be a $2k$ -tree-connected factor. Then $bi(G) \geq k$ if and only if G contains k edge-disjoint odd cycles.*

Proof. Let X, Y be a partition of $V(G)$. If C is an odd cycle, then obviously $e_C(X) + e_C(Y) \geq 1$. This implies that $e_G(X) + e_G(Y) \geq k$ provided that G contains k edge-disjoint odd cycles. Now, assume that $bi(G) \geq k$. By Theorem 4.3, there exists a bipartition X, Y of $V(G)$ such that $G[X, Y]$ is k -tree-connected. Since, $bi(G) \geq k$, we have $e_G(X) + e_G(Y) \geq k$. Let T_1, \dots, T_k be k edge-disjoint spanning trees of $G[X, Y]$ and let e_1, \dots, e_k be k distinct edges of the graph $G[X] \cup G[Y]$. Since T_i is a bipartite graph with the bipartition (X, Y) , the graph $T_i + e_i$ must contain an odd cycle C_i . Therefore, C_1, \dots, C_k are the desired odd cycles we are looking for. \square

Corollary 4.5. *Every $2k$ -tree-connected graph G satisfying $bi(G) \geq k$ contains a subgraph H with maximum degree at most $2k$ satisfying $bi(H) \geq k$*

Proof. By Corollary 4.4, the graph G contains k edge-disjoint odd cycles which the union of them is the desired subgraph. \square

Corollary 4.6. *Every $(2m+4)$ -tree-connected graph G can be decomposed into two factors G_1 and G_2 such that G_1 is Eulerian, $G_2[X, Y]$ is m -tree-connected for a bipartition X, Y of $V(G)$, and*

$$e_{G_2}(X) + e_{G_2}(Y) = \min\{k, bi(G)\}.$$

Proof. By Theorem 4.3, there exists a bipartition X, Y of $V(G)$ such that $G[X, Y]$ is $(m+2)$ -tree-connected. Decompose $G[X, Y]$ into two spanning trees T_0 and T and an m -tree-connected factor H . Since $e_G(X) + e_G(Y) \geq bi(G)$, we can decompose $G[X] \cup G[Y]$ into two factors M_0 and M such that $|E(M)| = \min\{k, bi(G)\}$. Let F be a spanning forest of T such that for each vertex v , $d_F(v)$ and $d_{T_0}(v) + d_{M_0}(v)$ have the same parity. It is enough to set $G_1 = T_0 \cup M_0 \cup F$ and $G_2 = G \setminus E(G_1)$ to complete the proof. \square

Corollary 4.7. *Let G be a graph with $bi(G) \geq k$. If G is $4k$ -tree-connected, then it has k edge-disjoint spanning Eulerian subgraphs with odd size.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.3, $G[X, Y]$ is $2k$ -tree-connected for a partition X, Y of $V(G)$. Thus $G[X, Y]$ contains $2k$ spanning trees $T_1, \dots, T_k, T'_1, \dots, T'_k$. By the assumption, $e_G(X) + e_G(Y) \geq k$. Thus we can take e_1, \dots, e_k to be k edges of $G[X] \cup G[Y]$. Define $H_i = T'_i + e_i$. Let F_i be a spanning forest of T_i such that for each vertex v , $d_{F_i}(v)$ and $d_{H_i}(v)$ have the same parity. It is enough to set $G_i = F_i \cup H_i$ to construct the desired Eulerian factors. \square

4.3 General graphs

Now, we are ready to prove the main result of this subsection.

Theorem 4.8. *Let G be a graph, let k be a positive integer, and let $f : V(G) \rightarrow Z_k$ be a compatible mapping. If G contains a $(3k-3)$ -edge-connected bipartite factor, then G has an f -factor H such that for each vertex v ,*

$$\lfloor \frac{d_G(v)}{2} \rfloor - (k-1) \leq d_H(v) \leq \lfloor \frac{d_G(v)}{2} \rfloor + k.$$

Proof. The special case $k=1$ is a well-known result. In addition, Theorem 2.2 confirms the case $k=2$. Assume $k \geq 3$. Let X, Y be a bipartition of $V(G)$ such that $G[X, Y]$ is $(3k-3)$ -edge-connected. Define G_0 to be a factor of G containing all edges of $G[X, Y]$ such that $e_{G_0}(X) + e_{G_0}(Y) = \min\{k-1, e_G(X) + e_G(Y)\}$. Let T be a factor of G by selecting edge-disjoint trails of length two from $G \setminus E(G_0)$ as long as possible. Since both ends of each selected trails lie either in X or in Y , the graph T admits an X -parity trail-decomposition. Let $M = G \setminus (E(G_0) \cup E(T))$. According to the construction of T , the graph M must be a matching. For

each vertex v , define $f'(v) = f(v) - d_M(v)$. By Theorem 3.13, the graph $G_0 \cup T$ has an f' -factor F such that for each vertex v , $\lfloor (d_G(v) - d_M(v))/2 \rfloor - (k-1) \leq d_F(v) \leq \lceil (d_G(v) - d_M(v))/2 \rceil + (k-1)$. Hence it is not hard to check that $F \cup M$ is the desired f -factor we are looking for. \square

Corollary 4.9. *Let G be a graph, let k be a positive integer, and let $f : V(G) \rightarrow Z_k$ be a mapping. Assume that $bi(G) \geq k-1$ and $(k-1) \sum_{v \in V(G)} f(v)$ is even. If G is $(6k-7)$ -edge-connected, then it has an f -factor H such that for each vertex v ,*

$$\lfloor \frac{d_G(v)}{2} \rfloor - (k-1) \leq d_H(v) \leq \lfloor \frac{d_G(v)}{2} \rfloor + k.$$

Moreover, we can replace the assumption $bi(G) \geq k/2 - 1$ when k is even.

Proof. By Theorem 4.3, the graph G has a bipartite $(3k-3)$ -edge-connected factor. Also, by Theorem 4.2, the mapping f is compatible with G . Thus the assertion follows from Theorem 4.8. \square

The following corollary is a supplement for Corollary 3.9 for the special case $k = 2$.

Corollary 4.10. *Every non-bipartite 18-edge-connected Eulerian graph G of even size admits a factor H such that for each vertex v ,*

$$d_H(v) \in \{d_G(v)/2 - 2, d_G(v)/2 + 2\}.$$

Proof. For each v , define $f(v) = d_G(v)/2 + 2 \pmod{4}$. Since G is non-bipartite and $|E(G)|$ is even, $bi(G) \geq 1 = 4/2 - 1$ and $\sum_{v \in V(G)} f(v) \equiv |E(G)| \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. This implies that f is compatible with G . Thus by applying Corollary 4.9 with $k = 4$, the graph G has an f -factor H such that for each vertex v , $d_G(v)/2 - 3 \leq d_H(v) \leq d_G(v)/2 + 4$. This can complete the proof. \square

The following result is an interesting application of Theorem 4.8. This result can be refined for $6k$ -tree-connected graphs by replacing Theorem 4.13 in the proof.

Corollary 4.11. *Let G be a $(6k-7)$ -edge-connected graph where k is a positive integer, and let f be a positive integer-valued function on $V(G)$. Assume that f is compatible with G (modulo k). If for each vertex v , $f(v) \leq \frac{1}{2}d_G(v) \leq f(v) + k - 1/2$, then G has a factor H such that for each vertex v ,*

$$d_H(v) \in \{f(v), f(v) + k\}.$$

Proof. By Theorem 4.8, the graph G has an f -factor $H \pmod{k}$ such that for each vertex v , $f(v) - (k-1) \leq \lfloor d_G(v)/2 \rfloor - (k-1) \leq d_H(v) \leq \lfloor d_G(v)/2 \rfloor + (k-1) \leq f(v) + 2k - 1$. Hence H is the desired factor. \square

We can also formulate the following theorem similar to Theorem 4.8.

Theorem 4.12. *Let G be a non-trivial graph, let k be an integer, $k \geq 3$, and let $f : V(G) \rightarrow Z_k$ be a compatible mapping. If G contains a $(2k-2)$ -tree-connected bipartite factor, then it has an f -factor H such that for each vertex v , $k/2 - 1 \leq d_H(v) \leq d_G(v) - k/2 + 1$.*

Proof. Apply Theorem 3.12 using a similar arguments stated in the proof of Theorem 4.8. □

4.4 General graphs with high enough tree-connectivity

In this section, we shall push down the upper bound in Theorem 4.8 on vertex degrees to a sharp bound by replacing a tree-connectivity condition. The following result improves the upper bound stated in Theorem 4.8 for highly edge-connected graphs.

Theorem 4.13. *Let G be a graph with $z \in V(G)$, let k be an integer, $k \geq 2$, and let $f : V(G) \rightarrow Z_k$ be a compatible mapping. If G is $(6k-2)$ -tree-connected, then G has an f -factor H such that for each vertex v ,*

$$\lfloor \frac{d_G(v)}{2} \rfloor - (k-1) \leq d_H(v) \leq \begin{cases} \lfloor \frac{d_G(v)}{2} \rfloor + k, & \text{when } v = z; \\ \lceil \frac{d_G(v)}{2} \rceil + (k-1), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, for the vertex z , the upper bound cannot be reduce to $\lceil d_G(z)/2 \rceil + (k-1)$, if and only if the following conditions hold:

- G is an Eulerian graph with odd size.
- k is odd and $f(v) \stackrel{k}{\equiv} d_G(v)/2$ for all $v \in V(G)$.

In the exceptional case, we can also apply the reduction but by increasing the lower bound to $\lceil d_G(z)/2 \rceil - k$.

Proof. We first note that to prove the last assertion, it is enough to apply the theorem and consider the complement of that explored factor. If $bi(G) \leq k-1$, then the theorem follows from Theorem 4.3 and Corollary 3.14. We may assume that $bi(G) \geq k$. By Corollary 4.6, the graph G can be decomposed into two factors G_1 and G_2 such that G_1 is Eulerian and $G_2[X, Y]$ is $(3k-3)$ -edge-connected, and $e_{G_2}(X) + e_{G_2}(Y) = k-1$. According to Corollary 2.3, the graph G_1 has a factor F_1 such that for each $v \in V(G) \setminus \{z\}$, $d_{F_1}(v) = d_{G_1}(v)/2$, and $d_{F_1}(z) \in \{d_{G_1}(z)/2, d_{G_1}(z)/2 + 1\}$. If $|E(G)|$ is even, then we must have $d_{F_1}(z) = d_{G_1}(z)/2$. For each vertex v , define $f'(v) = f(v) - d_{F_1}(v) \pmod{k}$. Since f is compatible with G , $(k-1) \sum_{v \in V(G)} f(v)$ is even. Thus by Theorem 4.2, f' must be compatible with G_2 whether k is even or not. According to Theorem 3.13, the graph G_2 has an f' -factor F_2 such that for each vertex v , $\lfloor d_{G_2}(v)/2 \rfloor - (k-1) \leq d_{F_2}(v) \leq \lceil d_{G_2}(v)/2 \rceil + (k-1)$, and also $d_{F_2}(z) \leq \lfloor d_{G_2}(z)/2 \rfloor + (k-1)$. Hence $H = F_1 \cup F_2$ is the desired factor we are looking for.

Assume that the upper bound cannot be reduced to $\lceil d_G(z)/2 \rceil + (k-1)$. Since $d_{F_1}(z) = d_{G_1}(z)/2 + 1$, $|E(G)|$ must be odd. If $d_G(z)$ is odd, then obviously the upper bound can be replaced by $\lceil d_G(z)/2 \rceil + (k-1)$.

Thus we may assume that all vertices of G have even degree (otherwise, we can select a vertex of odd degree for playing the role of z). If $f(z) \not\equiv d_G(z)/2 + k$, then obviously the upper bound can also be replaced by $\lceil d_G(z)/2 \rceil + (k - 1)$. Thus we may assume that $f(v) \equiv d_G(v)/2$ for all vertices v . If k is even, then $\sum_{v \in V(G)} f(v)$ is even and hence $|E(G)|$ is even, which is a contradiction. Therefore, k must be odd.

Conversely, assume that G is an Eulerian graph of odd size and $f(v) \equiv d_G(v)/2$ for all vertices v . Suppose, to the contrary, that the upper bound can be reduced to $\lceil d_G(z)/2 \rceil + (k - 1)$. Thus H is a factor satisfying $d_G(v)/2 - k < d_H(v) < d_G(v)/2 + k$ for all vertices v . This implies that $d_H(v) = d_G(v)/2$. Since $\sum_{v \in V(G)} d_H(v)$ is even, $|E(G)|$ must be even, which is a contradiction. Hence the proof is completed. \square

Remark 4.14. Note that the needed tree-connectivity of Theorem 4.13 can be reduced by one and two for odd and even integers k using a little extra efforts.

The following corollary is a refined version of Corollary 4.11 for highly tree-connected graphs.

Corollary 4.15. *Let G be a $(6k - 2)$ -tree-connected graph where k is a positive integer, and let f be a positive integer-valued function on $V(G)$. Assume that f is compatible with G (modulo k). If for each vertex v , $f(v) \leq \frac{1}{2}d_G(v) \leq f(v) + k$, then G has a factor H such that for each vertex v ,*

$$d_H(v) \in \{f(v), f(v) + k\}.$$

Proof. If G contains a vertex z such that $f(z) \equiv d_G(z)/2$, we set $Z = \{z\}$. Otherwise, we set $Z = \emptyset$. By Theorem 4.13, the graph G has an f -factor $H \pmod{k}$ such that for each $v \in V(G) \setminus Z$, $f(v) - (k - 1) \leq \lceil d_G(v)/2 \rceil - (k - 1) \leq d_H(v) \leq \lceil d_G(v)/2 \rceil + (k - 1) \leq f(v) + 2k - 1$. Moreover, if $z \in Z$ and $f(z) = d_G(z)/2$, we can have $f(z) - (k - 1) = d_G(z)/2 - (k - 1) \leq d_H(z) \leq d_G(z)/2 + k = f(z) + k$, and if $v \in Z$ and $f(z) = d_G(z)/2 - k$, we can have $f(z) = d_G(z)/2 - k \leq d_H(z) \leq d_G(z)/2 + (k - 1) = f(z) + k - 1$. Hence H is the desired factor. \square

5 Modulo k -regular factors and subgraphs

5.1 Bipartite modulo k -regular factors

The following well-known theorem gives a sufficient condition for the existence of even factors. In this section, we develop this result for the existence of bipartite modulo k -regular factors.

Theorem 5.1.(Lovász [12]) Every 2-edge-connected loopless graph G with $\delta(G) \geq 3$ admits a modulo 2-regular factor.

We begin with the following theorem which provides a bipartite version for Theorem 5.1. Note that this result is sharp by considering that there exists a class of 4-edge-connected graphs without bipartite modulo

2-regular factors. (For example, consider a number of copies of the complete graph of order four and add a new vertex and join it to all other vertices).

Corollary 5.2. *Every 3-edge-connected loopless graph G with $\delta(G) \geq 5$ admits a bipartite modulo 2-regular factor.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.3, the graph G has a bipartite factor H such that for every vertex set X , $d_H(X) \geq \lceil d_G(X)/2 \rceil$. If G is 3-edge-connected and $\delta(G) \geq 5$, then H must be 2-edge-connected and $\delta(H) \geq 3$. Hence by Theorem 5.1 the graph H admits a modulo 2-regular factor. \square

The following theorem gives sufficient edge-connectivity conditions for the existence of bipartite modulo k -regular factors.

Theorem 5.3. *Every $(4k - 1)$ -edge-connected essentially $(6k - 7)$ -edge-connected graph admits a bipartite modulo k -regular factor. In addition, this result is true for bipartite $2k$ -edge-connected essentially $(3k - 3)$ -edge-connected graphs.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.3, the graph G has a bipartite factor G_0 such that for every vertex set X , $d_{G_0}(X) \geq \lceil d_G(X)/2 \rceil$. Since G is $(4k - 1)$ -edge-connected and essentially $(6k - 7)$ -edge-connected, the graph G_0 must be $2k$ -edge-connected and essentially $(3k - 3)$ -edge-connected. For each vertex v , define $f(v) = 0$. Obviously, f is compatible with G . Thus by Theorem 3.5, the graph G_0 has an f -factor F modulo k such that for each vertex v , $d_F(v) \geq \lfloor d_{G_0}(v)/2 \rfloor - (k - 1) > 0$. Thus F is a bipartite modulo k -regular factor of G . \square

The following theorem provides a tree-connected version for Theorem 5.3.

Theorem 5.4. *Every $(4k - 4)$ -tree-connected graph admits a bipartite modulo k -regular factor. In addition, this result is true for bipartite $(2k - 2)$ -tree-connected graphs.*

Proof. If G is $(4k - 4)$ -tree-connected, then by Theorem 4.3, the graph G contains a $(2k - 2)$ -tree-connected bipartite factor G_0 . By Theorem 3.12, the bipartite graph G_0 contains a modulo k -regular factor, which can complete the proof. \square

Finally, we formulate the following improved version of Theorem 3 in [18].

Theorem 5.5. *Every $(10k - 3)$ -edge-connected essentially $(12k - 7)$ -edge-connected graph of even order admits a modulo k -regular factor whose degrees are not divisible by $2k$. In addition, this result is true for bipartite $(5k - 1)$ -edge-connected essentially $(6k - 3)$ -edge-connected graphs of even order.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.3, the graph G has a bipartite factor G_0 such that for every vertex set X , $d_{G_0}(X) \geq \lceil d_G(X)/2 \rceil$. Since G is $(10k - 3)$ -edge-connected and essentially $(12k - 7)$ -edge-connected, the graph G_0

must be $(5k - 1)$ -edge-connected and essentially $(6k - 3)$ -edge-connected. For each vertex v , define $f(v) = k \pmod{2k}$. Since G_0 has even order, f must be compatible with G_0 . Thus by Theorem 3.5, the graph G_0 has an f -factor F modulo $2k$. Note that for each vertex v , we have $d_{G_0}(v) \geq 5k - 1 = 2(2k) - 1 + [f(v)]_{2k}$. Thus F is the desired factor of G . \square

5.2 Bipartite modulo k -regular subgraphs

We shall below replace a weaker condition for the existence of bipartite modulo k -regular subgraphs. For this purpose, we need the following theorem which verifies Conjecture 1.5 for prime powers.

Theorem 5.6.([1]) *Let G be a loopless graph of order n and let q be a prime power. Then G admits a modulo q -regular subgraph, if*

$$|E(G)| > (q - 1)n.$$

In addition, the lower bound can be improved to $(q - 1)(n - 1)$ when G is bipartite, and the lower bound can be improved to $(q - 1)(n - 1/2)$ when k is a power of two.

Lemma 5.7. *Let k and q be two positive integers with $k \leq q$. Let G be a bipartite graph and let f be a nonnegative integer-valued function on $V(G)$. If G has a factor H satisfying $d_H(v) = qf(v)$ for each vertex v , then G has a factor F satisfying $d_F(v) = kf(v)$ for each vertex v .*

Proof. We split every vertex v of H into $f(v)$ vertices such that the resulting graph H_0 would be a q -regular bipartite graph. Thus H_0 has a k -regular factor F_0 using König Theorem [10]). Obviously, this factor F_0 induces a factor F of H satisfying $d_F(v) = kf(v)$ for each vertex v . Hence the proof is completed. \square

Now, we are in a position to form the following corollary. For every integer k with $k \geq 2$, one can easily show that there is an even prime power q less than $2k - 2$. By a computer search, we also observe that this upper bound can be pushed down to $\frac{11}{10}k + 1$ for small integers numbers k .

Corollary 5.8. *Let k be a positive integer and let q be a prime power with $k \leq q$. Then a loopless graph G of order n has a bipartite modulo k -regular subgraph, if*

$$|E(G)| > (2q - 2)(n - 1).$$

Proof. By Theorem 4.3, the graph G has a bipartite factor H such that for each vertex v , $d_H(v) \geq d_G(v)/2$ which implies that $|E(H)| \geq |E(G)|/2 > (q - 1)(n - 1)$. Thus by Theorem 5.6, the graph H has a q -regular subgraph F . According to Lemma 5.7, the bipartite graph F has a k -regular factor and so the graph G has a bipartite modulo k -regular subgraph. \square

References

- [1] N. Alon, S. Friedland, and G. Kalai, Regular subgraphs of almost regular graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 37 (1984) 79–91.
- [2] J. Bensmail, M. Merker, and C. Thomassen, Decomposing graphs into a constant number of locally irregular subgraphs, *European J. Combin.* 60 (2017) 124–134.
- [3] F. Botler, L. Colucci, and Y. Kohayakawa, The mod k chromatic index of graphs is $O(k)$, arXiv:2007.08324 (2020).
- [4] C. Bujtás, S. Jendrol', and Z. Tuza, On specific factors in graphs, *Graphs Combin.* 36 (2020) 1391–1399.
- [5] J. Edmonds and E.L. Johnson, Matching, Euler tours and the Chinese postman, *Mathematical Programming* 5 (1973) 88–124.
- [6] M. Hasanvand, Modulo orientations with bounded out-degrees, arXiv:1702.07039v3.
- [7] M. Hasanvand, Equitable factorizations of edge-connected graphs, arXiv:1906.04325.
- [8] M. Hasanvand, Bipartite partition-connected factors with small degrees, arXiv:1905.12161.
- [9] F. Jaeger, A note on sub-Eulerian graphs, *J. Graph Theory* 3 (1979) 91–93.
- [10] D. König, Über graphen und ihre anwendung auf determinantentheorie und mengenlehre, *Mathematische Annalen*, 77 (1916) 453–465.
- [11] L. Lovász, The factorization of graphs II, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* 23 (1972) 223–246.
- [12] L. Lovász, *Combinatorial Problems and Exercises*, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1979).
- [13] L.M. Lovász, C. Thomassen, Y. Wu, and C.-Q. Zhang, Nowhere-zero 3-flows and modulo k -orientations, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 103 (2013) 587–598.
- [14] M. Merker, Decomposing highly edge-connected graphs into homomorphic copies of a fixed tree, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 122 (2017) 91–108.
- [15] C.St.J.A. Nash-Williams, Edge-disjoint spanning trees of finite graphs, *J. London Math. Soc.* 36 (1961) 445–450.
- [16] C. Thomassen, The Erdős-Pósa property for odd cycles in graphs of large connectivity, *Combinatorica* 21 (2001) 321–333.
- [17] C. Thomassen, Edge-decompositions of highly connected graphs into paths, *Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hambg.* 78 (2008) 17–26.
- [18] C. Thomassen, Graph factors modulo k , *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 106 (2014) 174–177.

- [19] C. Thomassen, Y. Wu, and C.-Q. Zhang, The 3-flow conjecture, factors modulo k , and the 1-2-3-conjecture, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 121 (2016) 308–325.
- [20] W.T. Tutte, On the problem of decomposing a graph into n connected factors, *J. London Math. Soc.* 36 (1961) 221–230.