

Some infinite dimensional representations of certain Coxeter groups

Hongsheng Hu

Abstract

We construct some infinite dimensional complex irreducible representations of certain Coxeter groups utilizing some topological information of their Coxeter graphs.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Preliminaries	2
3	Representations via fundamental groups of Coxeter graphs	3
4	Representations via universal coverings of Coxeter graphs	5
5	Another example	8

§1 Introduction

Irreducible representations (over \mathbb{C}) of a finite Coxeter group are certainly finite dimensional. If W is an affine Weyl group, it is also well known that the irreducible representations are of finite dimension, see [3]. However, not much is known for an arbitrary Coxeter system (W, S) .

In [1], we constructed and classified a class of finite dimensional representations of W , generalizing the geometric representation. Inspired by the construction, in this paper we will construct some irreducible representations of infinite dimension of certain Coxeter groups. The main result is the following.

Theorem 1.1 *Let (W, S) be an irreducible Coxeter system of finite rank. Suppose one of the following is satisfied:*

- (1) *there are at least two circuits in the Coxeter graph;*
- (2) *there are at least one circuit in the Coxeter graph and $m_{st} \geq 4$ for some $s, t \in S$ (for arbitrary $s, t \in S$, denote by m_{st} the order of st).*

Then W admits infinite dimensional irreducible complex representations.

In case (1), since the fundamental group of the graph is a non-abelian free group, we will utilize an infinite dimensional irreducible representation of the free group to construct such a representation for W , see §3. In case (2), we use the universal covering of the Coxeter graph to achieve our goal, see §4.

In §5, we give another example of infinite dimensional irreducible representation when the Coxeter graph has no circuits. With these representations in hand, one might ask whether the following conjecture is true.

Keywords: Infinite dimensional irreducible representations, Coxeter groups, Fundamental groups of graphs, Universal coverings of graphs

MSC: primary 20C15; secondary 20F55

Conjecture 1.2 *All irreducible representations of (W, S) are of finite dimension if and only if W is a finite group or an affine Weyl group.*

If the conjecture holds, it would be a representation-theoretic criterion of a Coxeter system to be finite or affine. Besides the total classification of finite and affine Coxeter systems, there has been some other criterions. For example, a Coxeter system is of finite or affine type if and only if the bilinear form on the geometric representation is of positive type, see [2, Ch.2]. In [5] it is also proved that an infinite Coxeter group is affine if and only if the group algebra has nontrivial center.

Acknowledgement The author would like to thank professor Nanhua Xi for useful discussions.

§2 Preliminaries

In this section we recollect some notations and terminologies used in this article. We use e to denote the unity in a group. Coxeter systems considered throughout this paper are all irreducible and of finite rank.

2.1 Representations of dihedral groups The main idea in our construction of representations in theorem 1.1 is to glue together many copies of representations of different dihedral subgroups of W , so that they form a “big” representation of W . For a finite dihedral group $D_m := \langle r, t \mid r^2 = t^2 = (rt)^m = e \rangle$, denote by $\mathbb{1}$ and ε respectively the trivial and sign representation (i.e. $\mathbb{1} : r, t \mapsto 1$, $\varepsilon : r, t \mapsto -1$). If m is even, there are two more representations of dimension 1,

$$\varepsilon_r : r \mapsto -1, t \mapsto 1; \quad \varepsilon_t : r \mapsto 1, t \mapsto -1.$$

For any integer $1 \leq k < m/2$, let ρ_k denote the irreducible representation on $\mathbb{C}\beta_r \oplus \mathbb{C}\beta_t$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} r \cdot \beta_r &= -\beta_r, & r \cdot \beta_t &= \beta_t + 2 \cos \frac{k\pi}{m} \beta_r, \\ t \cdot \beta_t &= -\beta_t, & t \cdot \beta_r &= \beta_r + 2 \cos \frac{k\pi}{m} \beta_t. \end{aligned}$$

Above are all irreducible representations of D_m .

Remark 2.2 (1) The +1-eigenspaces of r and t in ρ_k are both one dimensional.

However, any nonzero vector cannot be fixed by r and t simultaneously. This fact will be frequently used in proving our “gluing” is feasible.

(2) If m is even and $k = m/2$, we may define $\rho_{m/2}$ as well by the same formulas, but $\rho_{m/2} \simeq \varepsilon_r \oplus \varepsilon_t$ is reducible.

The way we glue these representations will be encoded in some topological information of the Coxeter graph.

2.3 Graphs and the universal covering By definition, a (*undirected*) graph $G = (S, E)$ consists of a set S of vertices and a set E of edges, elements in E being the form $\{s, t\} \subseteq S$ (unordered). For our purpose, we only consider graphs without loops and multiple edges, i.e. there is no edge of the form $\{s, s\}$, and each pair $\{s, t\}$ occur at most once in E . In a Coxeter graph, m_{st} is regarded as a label on the edge rather than a multiplicity. Say G is a *finite graph* if S is a finite set.

Call (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) a *path* in G if $\{s_i\}_i \subseteq S$ and $\{s_i, s_{i+1}\} \in E, \forall i$. If $s_1 = s_n$, say such a path is a *closed path*. Further, if s_1, \dots, s_{n-1} are distinct in this closed path, call it a *circuit*.

If every two vertices can be connected by a path, say G is a connected graph. A connected graph without circuits is called a *tree*. If $T = (S, E_0)$ is a tree with the same vertices set S , and $E_0 \subseteq E$, then T is called a *spanning tree* of G . If G is a finite graph, this definition is equivalent to say $|E_0| = |S| - 1$. Any connected graph has a spanning tree, but not unique in general.

Let $G = (S, E)$ and $G' = (S', E')$ be two graphs. If $p : S' \rightarrow S$ is a map of sets, such that for any edge $\{s, t\}$ in G' , $p(s) \neq p(t)$, and $\{p(s), p(t)\}$ is also an edge in G , then we say that p is a *morphism* of graphs, written as $p : G' \rightarrow G$.

For any $s \in S$, denote

$$E_s := \{t \in S \mid \{s, t\} \in E\}.$$

Suppose G is a connected finite graph and $p : G' \rightarrow G$ is a morphism as above. If $p(S') = S$, and for any $s \in S'$, the restriction of p to E'_s gives rise to a bijection $E'_s \xrightarrow{\sim} E_{p(s)}$, then we call p a *covering*. G' and G can be viewed as locally finite simplicial complexes, and p is also a covering of topological spaces.

Conversely, view G as a topological space, and $p : X \rightarrow G$ is a covering of topological space, then X has a graph structure, see [4, Theorem 83.4]. In particular, if p is the universal covering, then X is a tree. Thus for any connected finite graph, we can talk about its universal covering graph.

2.4 The fundamental group Let $G = (S, E)$ be a connected graph, $T = (S, E_0)$ be a spanning tree. For any edge $\mathfrak{e} \in E \setminus E_0$, there is a unique circuit in $(S, E_0 \cup \{\mathfrak{e}\})$, denoted by $c'_\mathfrak{e}$. Fix an vertex $s_0 \in S$, for any $c'_\mathfrak{e}$, there is a path in T from s_0 to some vertex in $c'_\mathfrak{e}$, denote it by $p_\mathfrak{e}$. Define $c_\mathfrak{e}$ to be the concatenation of $p_\mathfrak{e}, c'_\mathfrak{e}, p_\mathfrak{e}^{-1}$, where $p_\mathfrak{e}^{-1}$ is the inverse path in the obvious sense. Then each $c_\mathfrak{e}$ is a closed path from s_0 to itself. View G as a topological space, we have the following result on its fundamental group $\pi_1(G)$.

Lemma 2.5 ([4, Theorem 84.7]) $\pi_1(G)$ is a free group with a set of free generators $\{c_\mathfrak{e} \mid \mathfrak{e} \in E \setminus E_0\}$. In particular, if there are more than one circuits in G , then $\pi_1(G)$ is non-abelian.

§3 Representations via fundamental groups of Coxeter graphs

From now on, suppose (W, S) is an irreducible Coxeter system of finite rank with Coxeter graph $G = (S, E)$, then G is a connected finite graph. In this section we assume there are at least two circuits in G , thus $\pi_1(G)$ is a non-abelian free group. We will use an infinite dimensional irreducible representation of $\pi_1(G)$ to construct another one for W , so as to prove the first part of theorem 1.1.

For convenience, we may further assume $m_{st} < \infty, \forall s, t \in S$. This is not essential. If some m_{st} 's are infinity, replace them by any integer larger than 2 (e.g. 3), then we obtain another Coxeter system (W_1, S) , and a surjective homomorphism $W \rightarrow W_1, s \mapsto s$. Ignoring labels on edges, the two Coxeter systems have the same Coxeter graph in topological sense. An irreducible representation of W_1 becomes an irreducible representation of W via pulling back by the homomorphism.

3.1 Fix $s_0 \in S$, let $T = (S, E_0)$ be a spanning tree of $G = (S, E)$, then we obtain a set of free generators of $\pi_1(G)$ by the method in 2.4, say c_1, \dots, c_l ($l < \infty$ since

G is a finite graph), with s_0 on each of them. Fix two edges

$$\{s_1, t_1\}, \{s_2, t_2\} \in E \setminus E_0.$$

We may assume $\{s_1, t_1\}, \{s_2, t_2\}$ lie in c_1, c_2 respectively, and c_1 goes through t_1 first and then s_1 , c_2 goes through t_2 first and then s_2 . In our choice of c_i , the edge $\{s_1, t_1\}$ appears in c_1 only once, while it doesn't appear in other c_i 's. Similar for $\{s_2, t_2\}$. The two edges might share a common vertex, like $s_1 = s_2$, but it doesn't matter. Let

$$V := \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, s \in S} \mathbb{C}\alpha_{s,n}.$$

For any $s, t \in S$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, define $s \cdot \alpha_{t,n}$ as follows,

- (1) if $s = t$, then $s \cdot \alpha_{s,n} := -\alpha_{s,n}$;
- (2) $s_1 \cdot \alpha_{t_1,n} := \alpha_{t_1,n} + 2 \cos \frac{\pi}{m_{s_1 t_1}} \alpha_{s_1, n+1}$,
 $t_1 \cdot \alpha_{s_1, n+1} := \alpha_{s_1, n+1} + 2 \cos \frac{\pi}{m_{s_1 t_1}} \alpha_{t_1, n}$;
 $s_2 \cdot \alpha_{t_2, n} := \alpha_{t_2, n} + 2^{n+1} \cos \frac{\pi}{m_{s_2 t_2}} \alpha_{s_2, n+1}$,
 $t_2 \cdot \alpha_{s_2, n+1} := \alpha_{s_2, n+1} + 2^{-n+1} \cos \frac{\pi}{m_{s_2 t_2}} \alpha_{t_2, n}$;
- (3) if the unordered pair $\{s, t\} \neq \{s_1, t_1\}$ and $\{s_2, t_2\}$, and $s \neq t$, then $s \cdot \alpha_{t,n} := \alpha_{t,n} + 2 \cos \frac{\pi}{m_{st}} \alpha_{s,n}$.

In case (2), $\{\alpha_{t_1, n}, \alpha_{s_1, n+1}\}$ and $\{\alpha_{t_2, n}, 2^n \alpha_{s_2, n+1}\}$ span irreducible representations isomorphic to ρ_1 of $\langle s_1, t_1 \rangle$ and $\langle s_2, t_2 \rangle$ respectively. In case (3), if $m_{st} = 2$, $s \cdot \alpha_{t,n} = \alpha_{t,n}$; if $m_{st} \geq 3$, $\{\alpha_{t,n}, \alpha_{s,n}\}$ span an irreducible representation isomorphic to ρ_1 of $\langle s, t \rangle$.

Lemma 3.2 V is a representation of W with the actions above.

Proof: Obviously s^2 acts by identity. If $s, t \in S$, $m_{st} = 2$, one can check directly that $st \cdot \alpha_{r,n} = ts \cdot \alpha_{r,n}$, $\forall r \in S$. Now assume $m_{st} \geq 3$, we need to verify that $(st)^{m_{st}} \cdot \alpha_{r,n} = \alpha_{r,n}$. If $r = s$ or $r = t$, this is also obvious. Assume further s, t, r are distinct, we have the following cases.

(1) If any two of s, t, r are not $\{s_1, t_1\}$ and $\{s_2, t_2\}$, then the 3 dimensional subspace spanned by $\alpha_{r,n}, \alpha_{s,n}, \alpha_{t,n}$, denoted by U , stays invariant under the action of s and t . Write $U_s := \{v \in U \mid s \cdot v = v\}$, $U_t := \{v \in U \mid t \cdot v = v\}$, then $\dim U_s = \dim U_t = 2$, thus there exists $0 \neq v_0 \in U$, such that $s \cdot v_0 = t \cdot v_0 = v_0$. Note that $3 \leq m_{st} < \infty$, $\mathbb{C}\alpha_{s,n} \oplus \mathbb{C}\alpha_{t,n}$ forms a representation isomorphic to ρ_1 of $\langle s, t \rangle$, hence $v_0 \notin \mathbb{C}\alpha_{s,n} \oplus \mathbb{C}\alpha_{t,n}$, and $\{v_0, \alpha_{s,n}, \alpha_{t,n}\}$ is a basis of U . Now we can see that $(st)^{m_{st}} \cdot \alpha_{r,n} = \alpha_{r,n}$.

(2) If $m_{rt} = 2$, then there exists $k \in \{n-1, n, n+1\}$ and $q \in \{k-1, k, k+1\}$ such that $\alpha_{r,n}, \alpha_{s,k}, \alpha_{t,q}$ span an s, t -invariant subspace. By the same argument in case (1), we have $(st)^{m_{st}} \cdot \alpha_{r,n} = \alpha_{r,n}$. The case $m_{rs} = 2$ is similar.

In the following cases we assume s, t, r don't commute with each other.

(3) If $s = s_1$, $t = t_1$, while s_2, t_2 don't both occur in s, r, t , then $\alpha_{s_1, n+1}, \alpha_{t_1, n}, \alpha_{r, n}, \alpha_{s_1, n}, \alpha_{t_1, n-1}$ span a 5 dimensional s, t -invariant subspace U . Define U_s, U_t as in case (1), then $\dim U_s = \dim U_t = 3$. The same argument shows $(s_1 t_1)^{m_{s_1 t_1}} \cdot \alpha_{r,n} = \alpha_{r,n}$.

(4) If $s = s_1$, $r = t_1$, while s_2, t_2 don't both occur in s, r, t , then $\alpha_{s_1, n}, \alpha_{t, n}, \alpha_{r, n}, \alpha_{s_1, n+1}, \alpha_{t, n+1}$ span an s, t -invariant subspace. The same argument works.

(5) If $s = s_1$, $t = t_1 = t_2$, $r = s_2$, then $\alpha_{r, n}, \alpha_{s, n}, \alpha_{t, n-1}$ span an s, t -invariant subspace. The same argument works.

(6) If $s = s_1$, $t = t_1 = s_2$, $r = t_2$, then $\alpha_{t_1, n-1}, \alpha_{s_1, n}, \alpha_{r, n}, \alpha_{s_2, n+1}, \alpha_{s_1, n+2}$ span an s, t -invariant subspace. The same argument works.

(7) If $s = s_1, t = s_2, r = t_1 = t_2$, then $\alpha_{s_1, n+1}, \alpha_{r, n}, \alpha_{s_2, n+1}$ span an s, t -invariant subspace. The same argument works.

(8) If $s = s_1, t = t_2, r = t_1 = s_2$, then $\alpha_{t_2, n+1}, \alpha_{s_1, n+1}, \alpha_{r, n}, \alpha_{t_2, n-1}, \alpha_{s_1, n-1}$ span an s, t -invariant subspace. The same argument works.

In the above cases if we exchange the letters s, t or indices 1, 2, the arguments are totally the same. Thus we always have $(st)^{m_{st}} \cdot \alpha_{r, n} = \alpha_{r, n}$. \square

Theorem 3.3 *Let (W, S) be an irreducible Coxeter system, assuming there are at least two circuits in its Coxeter graph G . Let V be defined as in 3.1, and*

$$V_0 := \{v \in V \mid s \cdot v = v, \forall s \in S\}.$$

Then the representation V/V_0 of W is irreducible of infinite dimension.

Proof: Denote $V_-^s := \{v \in V \mid s \cdot v = -v\}$, then $V_-^s = \bigoplus_n \mathbb{C}\alpha_{s, n}$. For any edge $\{s, t\}$ in G , $V_-^s \oplus V_-^t$ is a sub-representation of $\langle s, t \rangle$ in V , isomorphic to an infinite direct sum of ρ_1 . For any $v \in V_-^s$, let $f_{st}(v) := (t \cdot v - v)/2 \cos \frac{\pi}{m_{st}}$, then $f_{st}(v) \in V_-^t$, and the linear map $f_{st} : V_-^s \rightarrow V_-^t$ is a linear isomorphism of vector spaces. For example, when $\{s, t\} \neq \{s_1, t_1\}$ and $\{s_2, t_2\}$, $f_{st}(\alpha_{s, n}) = \alpha_{t, n}$. Moreover, $f_{st}(v)$ lies in the sub-representation generated by v .

Let $0 \neq v \in V$, denote by U the sub-representation generated by v . If $v \notin V_0$, say, $t \cdot v \neq v$, then $t \cdot v - v \in V_-^t \cap U$. Take a path $(r_0 = t, r_1, \dots, r_k = s_0)$ connecting t and s_0 , here s_0 is the vertex fixed in 3.1, then

$$0 \neq v_0 := f_{r_{k-1}r_k} \cdots f_{r_1r_2} f_{r_0r_1}(t \cdot v - v) \in V_-^{s_0} \cap U.$$

Apply the maps f_{**} 's along closed paths c_1, \dots, c_l chosen in 3.1, we obtain l linear isomorphisms of $V_-^{s_0}$, denoted by X_1, \dots, X_l respectively. This makes $V_-^{s_0}$ become a representation of the free group $\pi_1(G)$. Except X_1 and X_2 , other X_i 's are identity maps of $V_-^{s_0}$. We have

$$X_1(\alpha_{s_0, n}) = \alpha_{s_0, n+1}, \quad X_2(\alpha_{s_0, n}) = 2^n \alpha_{s_0, n+1}.$$

It is easy to verify that $V_-^{s_0}$ is an irreducible representation of $\pi_1(G)$. Notice that $0 \neq v_0 \in V_-^{s_0} \cap U$, $X_i^{\pm 1}(v_0) \in U$, thus $V_-^{s_0} \subseteq U$. Since G is connected, $V_-^{s_0}$ generates the whole representation V , hence $V = U$. We have proved that V/V_0 is irreducible.


Note that s_0 acts on $V_-^{s_0}$ by -1 , thus $V_-^{s_0} \cap V_0 = 0$, therefore $\dim V/V_0 = \infty$. \square

§4 Representations via universal coverings of Coxeter graphs

Now we assume that the Coxeter graph $G = (S, E)$ is not a tree, and there exists $s_1, s_2 \in S$ such that $m_{s_1 s_2} \geq 4$. In this section we use the universal covering of G to construct an infinite dimensional representation of W , then find an irreducible (sub)quotient in it. This is the second part of theorem 1.1.


As said in the beginning of §3, we may further assume $m_{st} < \infty, \forall s, t \in S$.

4.1 Let $p : G' \rightarrow G$ be the universal covering of G , $G' = (S', E')$. Fix an edge $\{s'_1, s'_2\}$ in G' such that $p(s'_1) = s_1, p(s'_2) = s_2$, as shown in the following picture,



Let $V := \bigoplus_{a \in S'} \mathbb{C}\alpha_a$. For any $s \in S$, define $s \cdot \alpha_a$ as follows,


- (1) if $s = p(a)$, then $s \cdot \alpha_a := -\alpha_a$;
- (2) if $s = s_1$, $a = s'_2$, then $s_1 \cdot \alpha_{s'_2} := \alpha_{s'_2} + 2 \cos \frac{2\pi}{m_{s_1 s_2}} \alpha_{s'_1}$;
- (3) if $s = s_2$, $a = s'_1$, then $s_2 \cdot \alpha_{s'_1} := \alpha_{s'_1} + 2 \cos \frac{2\pi}{m_{s_1 s_2}} \alpha_{s'_2}$;
- (4) if it is not in the cases above, and s is not adjacent to $p(a)$ in G , then $s \cdot \alpha_a := \alpha_a$;
- (5) if it is not in the cases above, and s is adjacent to $p(a)$ in G , as shown in the following picture, denote by b the vertex adjacent to a in $p^{-1}(s)$, $m_{s,p(a)} = m \geq 3$, then $s \cdot \alpha_a := \alpha_a + 2 \cos \frac{\pi}{m} \alpha_b$.



In particular, $\mathbb{C}\alpha_{s'_1} \oplus \mathbb{C}\alpha_{s'_2}$ forms a representation of the dihedral group $\langle s_1, s_2 \rangle$, isomorphic to ρ_2 (note that $m_{s_1 s_2} \geq 4$, and if $m_{s_1 s_2} = 4$, the representation splits, see 2.2), while for other pairs of adjacent vertices $\{a, b\}$ in G' , $\mathbb{C}\alpha_a \oplus \mathbb{C}\alpha_b$ forms an irreducible representation of $\langle p(a), p(b) \rangle$ isomorphic to ρ_1 .


Lemma 4.2 V is a representation of W under the definition above.

Proof: From the construction, it is clear that s^2 acts by identity. Suppose $s, t \in S$ satisfying $m_{st} < \infty$. Taking arbitrary $a \in S'$, if $p(a) = s$ or t , then α_a lies in a sub-representation of $\langle s, t \rangle$, thus we have $(st)^{m_{st}} \cdot \alpha_a = \alpha_a$. If $p(a) \neq s$ and $p(a) \neq t$, then the relationship of the three vertices $p(a), s, t$ in G is one of the following cases (ignoring labels like m_{st} on edges),




(In the case (3) or (5), exchanging letters s and t doesn't cause essential difference, so we omit them.) In cases (1) (3) (4), we may compute directly by definition that $st \cdot \alpha_a = ts \cdot \alpha_a$. In case (2), it is also clear that $(st)^{m_{st}} \cdot \alpha_a = \alpha_a$.

If we are in case (5), denote by s' the vertex adjacent to a in $p^{-1}(s)$, by t' the vertex adjacent to s' in $p^{-1}(t)$, then a is not adjacent to t' , as shown in the following picture,



The 3 dimensional subspace spanned by $\alpha_a, \alpha_{s'}, \alpha_{t'}$ stays invariant under the action of s and t . By the same reason in the proof of 3.2, it holds $(st)^{m_{st}} \cdot \alpha_a = \alpha_a$.

If we are in case (6), denote by s' the vertex adjacent to a in $p^{-1}(s)$, by t' the vertex adjacent to s' in $p^{-1}(t)$, by t'' the vertex adjacent to a in $p^{-1}(t)$, by s'' the vertex adjacent to t'' in $p^{-1}(s)$, then a, t', s'' are not adjacent to each other, as shown in the following picture,



Then $\alpha_a, \alpha_{s'}, \alpha_{s''}, \alpha_{t'}, \alpha_{t''}$ span an s, t -invariant subspace of dimension 5. The same argument in the proof of 3.2 shows $(st)^{m_{st}} \cdot \alpha_a = \alpha_a$. \square

4.3 Taking arbitrary two vertices a and b in G' , since G' is a tree, there is a unique path $(a = t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n = b)$ connecting a, b in which all t_i 's are distinct. Define $d(a, b) := n$ to be the distance between a and b . Write

$$S'_1 := \{a \in S' \mid d(a, s'_1) < d(a, s'_2)\}, \quad S'_2 := \{a \in S' \mid d(a, s'_2) < d(a, s'_1)\},$$

then one of them is a infinite set. Without loss of generality, assume $|S'_1| = \infty$. Let

$$V_1 := \bigoplus_{a \in S'_1} \mathbb{C}\alpha_a, \quad V_0 := \{v \in V \mid s \cdot v = v, \forall s \in S\},$$

then $\dim V_1 = \infty$. If $m_{s_1 s_2} = 4$, then V_1 is a sub-representation of W in V . Otherwise, just view V_1 as a linear subspace.

Lemma 4.4 (1) If $m_{s_1 s_2} > 4$ and $v \in V \setminus V_0$, then V is generated by v as a representation of W .

(2) If $m_{s_1 s_2} = 4$ and $v_1 \in V_1 \setminus V_0$, then V_1 is generated by v_1 as a representation of W .

Proof: (1) Suppose $s \cdot v \neq v$, $s \in S$, then by definition we know that $v - s \cdot v$ is of the form $\sum_{a \in p^{-1}(s)} x_a \alpha_a$, where each $x_a \in \mathbb{C}$ and there are only finitely many of them being nonzero. Write $u_0 := v - s \cdot v$, and U to be the sub-representation generated by v , then $u_0 \in U$. Take $a_0 \in p^{-1}(s)$ such that $x_{a_0} \neq 0$. Suppose the shortest path in G' connecting a_0 and s'_1 is

$$(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n = s'_1).$$

Write $t_i := p(a_i) \in S$, $u_i = u_{i-1} - t_i \cdot u_{i-1}$. Inductively, we can see that u_i is of the form $\sum_{b \in p^{-1}(t_i)} x_{i,b} \alpha_b$, where $x_{i,b} \in \mathbb{C}$. Since p is a covering map, there is only one vertex (namely, a_{i-1}) in $p^{-1}(t_{i-1})$ adjacent to a_i , thus in the expression of u_i the coefficient x_{i,a_i} of α_{a_i} is nonzero. In particular, taking $i = n$, we know that $u_n \in U$ and the coefficient of $\alpha_{s'_1}$ is nonzero.

View V as a representation of the finite dihedral group $D := \langle s_1, s_2 \rangle$. Since the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[D]$ is semisimple, V decomposes into a direct sum of some copies of irreducible representations of D . Form the proof of 4.2 and the construction of V , the possible irreducible representations of D occur in V are $\mathbb{1}, \rho_1, \rho_2$, and ρ_2 appears only once, namely, $\mathbb{C}\alpha_{s'_1} \oplus \mathbb{C}\alpha_{s'_2}$. Therefore, there is an element $d \in \mathbb{C}[D]$

such that $d \cdot u_n = \alpha_{s'_1}$, and hence $\alpha_{s'_1} \in U$. Note that G' is a connected graph, from the definition of V , $\alpha_{s'_1}$ generates the whole V , thus $U = V$.

(2) If $m_{s_1 s_2} = 4$, the proof is similar. As above take vertex a_0 such that α_{a_0} has nonzero coefficient in the linear expression of $v_1 - s \cdot v_1$, and do the same discussion along the shortest path connecting a_0 and s'_1 , then we know that in the sub-representation generated by v_1 there is a vector u_n with nonzero coefficient of $\alpha_{s'_1}$. Decompose V_1 into irreducible representations of D , only occur $\mathbb{1}, \rho_1, \varepsilon_{s_1}$, and ε_{s_1} has multiplicity one, spanned by $\alpha_{s'_1}$. Thus $\alpha_{s'_1}$ lies in the sub-representation generated by v_1 , while $\alpha_{s'_1}$ generates the whole representation V_1 . \square

Let

$$\bar{V} := V/V_0, \quad \bar{V}_1 := V_1/(V_1 \cap V_0).$$

Similarly, if $m_{s_1 s_2} \neq 4$, only regard \bar{V}_1 as a quotient of linear spaces. (V is defined in 4.1, V_1 and V_0 are defined in 4.3.)


Theorem 4.5 *Assumptions as in the beginning of this section. If $m_{s_1 s_2} > 4$, then \bar{V} above is an irreducible representation of W . If $m_{s_1 s_2} = 4$, then \bar{V}_1 is an irreducible representation of W . Moreover, they are all infinite dimensional.*

Proof: From 4.4 we already know that the representations mentioned in this theorem are irreducible, thus it suffices to show their dimension is infinity. Obviously, $\dim \bar{V} \geq \dim \bar{V}_1$, we only need to show $\dim \bar{V}_1 = \infty$. Taking any $s \in S$, $p^{-1}(s) \cap S'_1$ is an infinite set. Let

$$U := \bigoplus_{a \in p^{-1}(s) \cap S'_1} \mathbb{C} \alpha_a,$$

then $U \subseteq V_1$, $\dim U = \infty$. For any $0 \neq v \in U$, we have $s \cdot v = -v$, thus $U \cap V_0 = 0$, and $\bar{V}_1 = V_1/(V_1 \cap V_0)$ is infinite dimensional. \square


Remark 4.6 In the theorem, it is necessary to take quotient by V_0 . For example, consider the following universal covering,



where $p(s'_i) = s_i$, $p(s''_i) = s_i$, $\forall i$, and $m_{34} = m_{56} = \infty$, $m_{04} = m_{05} = 3$, $m_{01}, m_{02} \geq 3$, $m_{12} \geq 4$. In this situation $\alpha_{s'_3} + \alpha_{s'_4} - \alpha_{s'_5} - \alpha_{s'_6}$ is fixed by any s_i .

§5 Another example

If the Coxeter graph is a tree, there might also exist infinite dimensional irreducible representations of W . Let the Coxeter graph G of (W, S) be



W is isomorphic to $\mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$, see [2, §5.1]. Recall that the dihedral group $\langle s_1, s_2 \rangle$ has an irreducible representation ρ_1 of dimension two, inside which there is a basis $\{u, v\}$ such that $s_1 \cdot u = u$, $s_1 \cdot v = -v$. The action of s_2 can be chosen to be $s_2 \cdot u = (3v - u)/2$, $s_2 \cdot v = (u + v)/2$. Let

$$V := \mathbb{C}u_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}} (\mathbb{C}u_i \oplus \mathbb{C}v_i),$$

and let s_1, s_2 act on V by

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 \cdot u_0 &= s_2 \cdot u_0 = u_0, \\ s_1 \cdot u_i &= u_i, \quad s_1 \cdot v_i = -v_i, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}, \\ s_2 \cdot u_i &= \frac{3v_i - u_i}{2}, \quad s_2 \cdot v_i = \frac{u_i + v_i}{2}, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}. \end{aligned}$$

Then as a representation of $\langle s_1, s_2 \rangle$, V is a direct sum of a trivial representation $\mathbb{1}$ and infinite many ρ_1 's.

In order to make V be a representation of W , we only need to find an involution on V commuting with s_1 , and let s_3 act by this involution. Let

$$\begin{aligned} s_3 \cdot u_{2k} &= u_{2k+1}, \quad s_3 \cdot u_{2k+1} = u_{2k}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \\ s_3 \cdot v_{2k} &= v_{2k-1}, \quad s_3 \cdot v_{2k-1} = v_{2k}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}. \end{aligned}$$

Intuitively, s_3 permutes these base vectors:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} u_0 & \longleftrightarrow & u_1 & & u_2 & \longleftrightarrow & u_3 & & u_4 & \longleftrightarrow & \dots \\ & & | & & | & & | & & | & & \\ & & v_1 & \longleftrightarrow & v_2 & & v_3 & \longleftrightarrow & v_4 & & \dots \end{array}$$

Obviously, the action of s_3 is an involution, and commutes with that of s_1 , thus V becomes a representation of W .

Similar to the proof of 4.4, u_0 lies in any nonzero sub-representation of V . Note that u_0 generates the whole V , thus V is an irreducible representation of W .

Remark 5.1 Similar construction also works if we replace $m_{s_1 s_2} = 3$ by larger integers.

References

- [1] Hu H. Representations of coxeter groups and homology of coxeter graphs, 2022. arXiv:2112.03771v3
- [2] Humphreys J E. Reflection Groups and Coxeter Groups, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 29. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1990, xii+204 pp.
- [3] Kato S i. A realization of irreducible representations of affine Weyl groups. Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Indag. Math., 1983. 45(2): pp. 193–201
- [4] Munkres J R. Topology. 2nd ed. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall, Inc., 2000, xvi+537 pp.
- [5] Qi D. On irreducible, infinite, nonaffine Coxeter groups. Fund. Math., 2007. 193(1): pp. 79–93

ACADEMY OF MATHEMATICS AND SYSTEMS SCIENCE, CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, BEIJING, CHINA

Email address: huhongsheng16@mails.ucas.ac.cn