

AVERAGE ANALYTIC RANKS OF ELLIPTIC CURVES OVER NUMBER FIELDS

TRISTAN PHILLIPS

ABSTRACT. We give a conditional bound for the average analytic rank of elliptic curves over an arbitrary number field. In particular, under the assumptions that all elliptic curves over a number field K are modular and have L -functions which satisfy the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis, we show that the average analytic rank of isomorphism classes of elliptic curves over K is bounded above by $3 \deg(K) + 1/2$. A key ingredient in the proof of this result is to give asymptotics for the number of elliptic curves over an arbitrary number field with a prescribed local condition; these results will follow from general results for counting points of bounded height on weighted projective spaces with a prescribed local condition.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Average analytic ranks of elliptic curves.** Let E be an elliptic curve over a number field K . The Mordell–Weil theorem states that the set of K -rational points $E(K)$ of E forms a finitely generated abelian group $E(K) \cong E(K)_{\text{tor}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^r$, where $E(K)_{\text{tor}}$ is the finite torsion subgroup and $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ is the rank. The study of ranks of elliptic curves has become a central topic in number theory. Despite this attention, ranks remain a mystery in many ways.

Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer [BSD65] famously conjectured that the rank of an elliptic curve equals its *analytic rank* (i.e. the order of vanishing of its L -function at $s = 1$).

To get an understanding of ranks of elliptic curves in general, one can hope to determine the average rank of elliptic curves. The average analytic rank of elliptic curves over the rational numbers \mathbb{Q} was first bounded by Brumer [Bru92], who gave an upper bound of 2.3 under the assumption of the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis for elliptic L -functions. Under the same conditions this was later improved to 2 by Heath-Brown [HB04], and then to $25/14 \approx 1.8$ by Young [You06]. Remarkably, in 2015 Bhargava and Shankar [BS15] were able to prove an unconditional upper bound of 1.5 for the average rank of elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} .

Surprisingly little is known about average ranks of elliptic curves over number fields beyond \mathbb{Q} . In Shankar’s doctoral thesis [Sha13] he extends his work with Bhargava to show that the average rank of elliptic curves over number fields is also bounded above by 1.5. However, Shankar’s result counts only *reduced Weierstrass equations*. When the underlying number field has unique factorization, then this is the same as counting isomorphism classes of elliptic curves; but, when the underlying number field does not have unique factorization, then there

E-mail address: `tristanphillips@math.arizona.edu`

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11G05; Secondary 11G07, 11G35, 11G50, 11D45, 14G05.

can be multiple reduced Weierstrass equations within the same isomorphism class of elliptic curves.

In this article we prove a conditional bound for the average analytic rank of isomorphism classes of elliptic curves over an arbitrary number field. This appears to be the first known bound on average ranks of isomorphism classes of elliptic curves over arbitrary number fields, as well as the first bound for average analytic ranks of elliptic curves over number fields other than \mathbb{Q} .

Theorem 1.1.1. *Let K be a number field of degree d . Assume that all elliptic curves over K are modular and that their L -functions satisfy the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis. Then the average analytic rank of isomorphism classes of elliptic curves over K is bounded above by $3d + 1/2$.*

One of the main difficulties in extending methods for counting elliptic curves over the rational numbers to elliptic curves over more general number fields is that if the number field does not have unique factorization then one loses the bijection between reduced short Weierstrass models and isomorphism classes of elliptic curves. We will overcome this difficulty by exploiting the geometry of the moduli stack of elliptic curves as the weighted projective stack $\mathbb{P}(4, 6)$. Doing this allows one to turn questions about counting elliptic curves of bounded height into questions about counting points of bounded height on weighted projective spaces. Such questions can then be studied using various tools from Diophantine geometry.

One of the key ingredients in the proof of Theorem 1.1.1 is estimating the number of elliptic curves with prescribed local conditions over number fields. To state our results on counting elliptic curves we introduce some notation. Let K be a number field with, ring of integers \mathcal{O}_K , discriminant Δ_K , class number h_K , regulator R_K , and which contains ϖ_K roots of unity. Let $\text{Val}(K)$ denote the set of places of K , let $\text{Val}_0(K)$ denote the set of finite places, and let $\text{Val}_\infty(K)$ denote the set of infinite places. Let ζ_K denote the Dedekind zeta function of K . Also let $H(\cdot)$ denote the Kronecker-Hurwitz class number (see Subsection 5.1 for the definition).

Theorem 1.1.2. *Let K be a number field and let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal of \mathcal{O}_K of norm q such that $2 \nmid q$ and $3 \nmid q$. Let \mathcal{L} be one of the local conditions listed in Table 1. Then the number of elliptic curves over K with naive height less than B and which satisfy the local condition \mathcal{L} at \mathfrak{p} is*

$$\kappa' \kappa_{\mathcal{L}} B^{5/6} + O\left(\epsilon_{\mathcal{L}} B^{\frac{5}{6} - \frac{1}{3d}}\right)$$

where

$$\kappa' = \frac{h_K (2^{r_1+r_2} \pi^{r_2})^2 R_K 10^{r_1+r_2-1} \gcd(2, \varpi_K)}{\varpi_K |\Delta_K| \zeta_K(10)}.$$

and where $\kappa_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\epsilon_{\mathcal{L}}$ are as in Table 1.

Theorem 1.1.2 generalizes a result of Cho and Jeong [CJ20, Theorem 1.4] for elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} to elliptic curves over arbitrary number fields. For more results on counting elliptic curves with prescribed local conditions see the recent work of Cremona and Sadek [CS21].

| \mathcal{L} | $\kappa_{\mathcal{L}}$ | $\epsilon_{\mathcal{L}}$ |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| good | $(q^2 - q)/q^2$ | q |
| bad | $(q^{10} - q)/q^{11}$ | q |
| multiplicative | $(q - 1)/q^2$ | 1 |
| split multiplicative | $(q - 1)/2q^2$ | 1 |
| nonsplit multiplicative | $(q - 1)/2q^2$ | 1 |
| additive | $(q^9 - 1)/q^{11}$ | q |
| $a_p(E) = a$ | $(q - 1)H(a^2 - 4q)/q^2$ | $H(a^2 - 4q)$ |
| I _m | $(1 - 1/q)^2/q^m$ | q |
| II | $(1 - 1/q)/q^2$ | 1 |
| III | $(1 - 1/q)/q^3$ | 1 |
| IV | $(1 - 1/q)/q^4$ | 1 |
| I ₀ * | $(1 - 1/q)/q^5$ | q |
| I _m * | $(1 - 1/q)^2/q^{m+5}$ | q |
| II* | $(1 - 1/q)/q^9$ | $1/q^2$ |
| III* | $(1 - 1/q)/q^8$ | $1/q^3$ |
| IV* | $(1 - 1/q)/q^7$ | $1/q$ |

TABLE 1. Local conditions

1.2. Counting points on weighted projective spaces. Our results for counting isomorphism classes of elliptic curves are special cases of a more general theorem for counting points of bounded height on weighted projective spaces.

In 1979 Schanuel [Sch79] proved an asymptotic for the number of rational points of bounded height on projective spaces over number fields. This was generalized to weighted projective spaces by Deng in 1998 [Den98] (see also the work of Darda [Dar21], which gives a proof using height zeta functions). In this paper we will extend these results to counting points of bounded height which satisfy finitely many prescribed local conditions.

Theorem 1.2.1. *Let K be a degree d number field over \mathbb{Q} . Let $\mathbf{w} = (w_0, \dots, w_n)$ be an $(n + 1)$ -tuples of positive integers. Set $|\mathbf{w}| := \sum w_i$ and $w_{\min} := \min_i \{w_i\}$. Let $S \subset \text{Val}_0(K)$ be a finite set of finite places. Define subsets $\Omega_v \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w})(K_v)$ for each $v \in \text{Val}_\infty(K) \cup S$ such that $\Omega_\infty^{\text{aff}}$ is a bounded definable subset of K_∞^{n+1} and Ω_v^{aff} is a finite local condition for all $v \in \text{Val}_0(K)$. Writing $\Omega_v^{\text{aff}} = \prod_{j=0}^n \{x \in K_v : |x - a_{v,j}| \leq \omega_{v,j}\}$ we then have that*

$$\#\{x \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w})(K) : \text{Ht}_{\mathbf{w}}(x) \leq B, x \in \Omega\} = \kappa B^{|\mathbf{w}|} + \begin{cases} O(\epsilon_\Omega B \log(B)) & \text{if } \mathbf{w}=(1,1) \\ & \text{and } K=\mathbb{Q}, \\ O\left(\epsilon_\Omega B^{\frac{d|\mathbf{w}|-w_{\min}}{de(f)}}\right) & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

where the leading coefficient is

$$\kappa = \kappa_\Omega \frac{h_K (2^{r_1+r_2} \pi^{r_2})^{n+1} R_K |\mathbf{w}|^{r_1+r_2-1}}{\varpi_{K,\mathbf{w}} |\Delta_K|^{(n+1)/2} \zeta_K(|\mathbf{w}|)},$$

where κ_Ω is the following (non-zero) product of local densities

$$\kappa_\Omega = \prod_{v|\infty} \frac{m_v(\{x \in \Omega_v^{\text{aff}} : \text{Ht}_{\mathbf{w},v}(x) \leq 1\})}{m_v(\{x \in K_v^{n+1} : \text{Ht}_{\mathbf{w},v}(x) \leq 1\})} \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} m_{\mathfrak{p}}(\{x \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\text{aff}} \cap \mathcal{O}_{K,\mathfrak{p}}^{n+1}\}),$$

where the factor in the error term is the finite product

$$\epsilon_\Omega = \max_j \left\{ \prod_{v \in S} \omega_{v,j}^{-1} \right\} \prod_{v \in S} m_v(\Omega_v^{\text{aff}} \cap \mathcal{O}_{K,v}^{n+1}),$$

and where the implied constant is independent of the local conditions Ω_v with $v \in S$.

Remark 1.2.2. Let us briefly mention some additional results related to Theorem 1.2.1. Recently Manterola Ayala [MA21] has generalized Deng's result to count points of bounded height on a weighted projective space where the height can be taken with respect to a different weighted projective space via a morphism. Using the geometric sieve, Bright, Browning, and Loughran [BBL16] have proven a generalization of Schanuel's theorem which allows one to impose infinitely many local conditions on the points of projective space being counted. In [Phi22] the author combines these results to count points of bounded height on weighted projective spaces which satisfy infinitely many local conditions and where the height can be taken with respect to a different weighted projective space via a morphism. This result has applications to counting elliptic curves with certain prescribed level structures.

1.3. Organization. In Section 2 we cover basic facts about weighted projective spaces and heights defined on weighted projective spaces. In Section 3 we prove a result for counting points of bounded height on affine spaces over number fields satisfying prescribed local conditions. In Section 4 we prove Theorem 1.2.1 for counting points of bounded height on weighted projective spaces. In Section 5 we apply Theorem 1.2.1 to count elliptic curves with prescribed local conditions. This is where we prove Theorem 1.1.2. Finally, in Section 6 we use the explicit formula for L-functions together with our results for counting elliptic curves with prescribed local conditions to bound the average analytic rank of elliptic curves over number fields. This is where we prove Theorem 1.1.1.

1.4. Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Peter Bruin, Filip Najman, Irati Manterola Ayala, Peter Cho, Keunyoung Jeong, Brandon Alberts, Jordan Ellenberg, and John Voight for helpful conversations and comments on this work. Special thanks to Bryden Cais and Doug Ulmer for their encouragement throughout this project.

2. PRELIMINARIES ON WEIGHTED PROJECTIVE SPACES

In this section we recall basic facts about weighted projective spaces with an emphasis on heights.

2.1. Heights on weighted projective spaces. Given an $(n+1)$ -tuple of positive integers $\mathbf{w} = (w_0, \dots, w_n)$, the *weighted projective space* $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w})$ is the quotient stack

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}) = [(\mathbb{A}^{n+1} - \{0\})/\mathbb{G}_m],$$

where \mathbb{G}_m acts on $\mathbb{A}^{n+1} - \{0\}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{G}_m \times (\mathbb{A}^{n+1} - \{0\}) &\rightarrow (\mathbb{A}^{n+1} - \{0\}) \\ (\lambda, (x_0, \dots, x_n)) &\mapsto \lambda *_{\mathbf{w}} (x_0, \dots, x_n) := (\lambda^{w_0} x_0, \dots, \lambda^{w_n} x_n). \end{aligned}$$

In the special case when $\mathbf{w} = (1, \dots, 1)$, this recovers the usual projective space \mathbb{P}^n . Over fields of characteristic zero all weighted projective spaces are Deligne-Mumford stacks.

For each $v \in \text{Val}_0(K)$ let \mathfrak{p}_v be the correspond prime ideal and let π_v be a uniformizer for the completion K_v of K at v . For $x = [x_0 : \dots : x_n] \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w})(K)$ set $|x_i|_{\mathbf{w},v} := |\pi_v|_v^{\lfloor v(x_i)/w_i \rfloor}$ and $|x|_{\mathbf{w},v} := \max_i \{|x_i|_{\mathbf{w},v}\}$. Then the (exponential) height on $\mathbb{P}_K(\mathbf{w})$ is defined as

$$\text{Ht}_{\mathbf{w}}([x_0 : \dots : x_n]) = \prod_{v \in \text{Val}_0(K)} |x|_{\mathbf{w},v} \prod_{v \in \text{Val}_{\infty}(K)} \max_i \{|x_i|_v^{1/w_i}\}.$$

Define the *scaling ideal* of $x = [x_0 : \dots : x_n] \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w})(K)$ to be

$$\mathfrak{I}_{\mathbf{w}}(x) := \prod_{v \in \text{Val}_0(K)} \mathfrak{p}_v^{\min_i \{\lfloor v(x_i)/w_i \rfloor\}}.$$

The scaling ideal $\mathfrak{I}_{\mathbf{w}}(x)$ can be characterized as the intersection of all fractional ideals \mathfrak{a} of \mathcal{O}_K such that $x \in \mathfrak{a}^{w_0} \times \dots \times \mathfrak{a}^{w_n}$. It has the property that

$$\mathfrak{I}_{\mathbf{w}}(x)^{-1} = \{a \in K : a^{w_i} x_i \in \mathcal{O}_K \text{ for all } i\}.$$

The height can be written in terms of the scaling ideal as follows:

$$\text{Ht}_{\mathbf{w}}([x_0 : \dots : x_n]) = \frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{I}_{\mathbf{w}}(x))} \prod_{v \in \text{Val}_{\infty}(K)} \max_i \{|x_i|_v^{1/w_i}\}.$$

This height was first defined by Deng [Den98]. In the case of projective spaces $\mathbb{P}^n = \mathbb{P}(1, \dots, 1)$ this height corresponds to the usual Weil height. In more geometric terms, this height on weighted projective spaces can be viewed as the ‘stacky height’ associated to the tautological bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w})}(1)$ of $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w})$ (see [ESZB21, §3.3] for this and much more about heights on stacks).

Recall that the (compactified) moduli stack of elliptic curves, $\mathcal{X}_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}$, is isomorphic to the weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(4, 6)$. This isomorphism can be given explicitly as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})} &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{P}(4, 6) \\ y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B &\mapsto [A : B]. \end{aligned}$$

Under this isomorphism, the tautological bundle on $\mathbb{P}(4, 6)$ corresponds to the Hodge bundle on $\mathcal{X}_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}$. Then the usual *naive height* of an elliptic curve E over K with a reduced (integral) short Weierstrass equation $y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$,

$$\text{Ht}(E) = \prod_{v \in \text{Val}_{\infty}(K)} \max\{|A|_v^3, |B|_v^2\},$$

is the height with respect to the twelfth power of the Hodge bundle. For any short Weierstrass equation $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ of E the naive height is given by

$$\text{Ht}(E) = \text{Ht}_{(4,6)}([a : b])^{12}.$$

3. WEIGHTED GEOMETRY OF NUMBERS OVER NUMBER FIELDS

Let K be a number field. In this section we prove an asymptotic for the number of K -rational points with prescribed local conditions of bounded height on (weighted) affine spaces. For these asymptotic we give a power savings error term which is independent of the local conditions.

3.1. o-minimal geometry. We briefly cover the basics of o-minimal geometry which will be needed in this paper. Let m_∞ denote Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^n .

Definition 3.1.1. A (real) **semi-algebraic subset** of \mathbb{R}^n is a finite union of sets of the form

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : f_1(x) = \cdots = f_k(x) = 0 \text{ and } g_1(x) > 0, \dots, g_l(x) > 0\}$$

where $f_1, \dots, f_k, g_1, \dots, g_l \in \mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$.

Definition 3.1.2. A **structure** is a sequence $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{S}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$ where each \mathcal{S}_n is a set of subsets of \mathbb{R}^n with the following properties:

- (i) If $A, B \in \mathcal{S}_n$, then $A \cup B \in \mathcal{S}_n$ and $\mathbb{R}^n - A \in \mathcal{S}_n$ (i.e. \mathcal{S}_n is a Boolean algebra).
- (ii) If $A \in \mathcal{S}_m$ and $B \in \mathcal{S}_n$, then $A \times B \in \mathcal{S}_{n+m}$.
- (iii) If $\pi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is the projection to m distinct coordinates and $A \in \mathcal{S}_n$, then $\pi(A) \in \mathcal{S}_m$.
- (iv) All real semi-algebraic subsets of \mathbb{R}^n are in \mathcal{S}_n .

A subset is **definable** in \mathcal{S} if it is contained in some \mathcal{S}_n . Let $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. A function $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is said to be **definable** if its graph $\Gamma(f) = \{(x, f(x)) : x \in D\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{m+n}$ is definable in \mathcal{S} .

Definition 3.1.3. An **o-minimal structure** is a structure in which the following property holds:

- (v) The boundary of each set in \mathcal{S}_1 is a finite set of points.

The main structure we will use is \mathbb{R}_{exp} , which is defined to be the smallest structure in which the exponential function $\exp : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is definable.

Theorem 3.1.4 (Wilkie [Wil96]). *The structure \mathbb{R}_{exp} is o-minimal.*

From now on we will call a subset of \mathbb{R}^n **definable** if it is definable in some o-minimal structure.

3.2. Weighted geometry-of-numbers. The following Proposition is a version of the Principle of Lipschitz, which gives estimates for the number of lattice points in a weighted homogeneous space:

Proposition 3.2.1. *Let $R \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded set definable in an o-minimal structure. Let $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a rank n lattice with successive minima $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$. Set*

$$R(B) = B *_{\mathbf{w}'} R = \{B *_{\mathbf{w}'} x : x \in R\}.$$

Then

$$\#(\Lambda \cap R(B)) = \frac{m_\infty(R)}{\det \Lambda} B^{|\mathbf{w}'|} + O\left(\lambda_n \det(\Lambda)^{-1} B^{|\mathbf{w}'| - w'_{\min}}\right)$$

where the implied constant depends only on R and \mathbf{w}' .

Proof. This will follow from a general version of the Principle of Lipschitz due to Barroero and Widmer [BW14]. Since $m_\infty(R(B)) = B^{|\mathbf{w}'|} m_\infty(R)$, [BW14] gives the desired leading term. Let $V_j(R(B))$ denote the sum of the j -dimensional volumes of the orthogonal projections of $R(B)$ onto each j -dimensional coordinate subspace of \mathbb{R}^n . The error term given in [BW14] is

$$(3.1) \quad O\left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{V_j(R(B))}{\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_j}\right)$$

where the implied constant depends only on R . In our case, one observes that $V_i(R(B)) = O(V_j(R(B)))$ for all $i \leq j$. Moreover, $V_{n-1}(R(B)) = O(\sum_{i \leq n} B^{|\mathbf{w}'| - w'_i}) = O(B^{|\mathbf{w}'| - w'_{\min}})$, where the implied constant depends only on R and \mathbf{w}' . By Minkowski's second theorem,

$$\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_n \geq \frac{2^n}{n! \cdot m_\infty(\mathcal{B}_n)} \det(\Lambda)$$

where \mathcal{B}_n is the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n . Combining these observations with (3.1) we obtain the desired expression for the error term. \square

We define (\mathbb{Z}_p^n) -boxes $\mathcal{B}_p \subset \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ to be Cartesian products $\prod_{j=1}^n \mathcal{B}_{p,j}$ of closed balls $\mathcal{B}_{p,j} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}_p : |x - a|_p \leq b_{p,j}\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_p$, where $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $b_{p,j} \in \{p^k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. We define boxes $\mathcal{B} \subset \prod_{p \in S} \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ as a Cartesian product of \mathbb{Z}_p^n -boxes:

$$\mathcal{B} = \prod_{p \in S} \mathcal{B}_p = \prod_{p \in S} \prod_{j=1}^n \mathcal{B}_{p,j}.$$

Lemma 3.2.2 (Box Lemma). *Let $\Omega_\infty \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded subset definable in an o -minimal structure. Let S be a finite set of primes and $\mathcal{B} = \prod_{p \in S} \mathcal{B}_p$ a box. Then, for each $B \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \#\left\{x \in \mathbb{Z}^n \cap B *_{\mathbf{w}} \Omega_\infty : x \in \prod_{p \in S} \mathcal{B}_p\right\} &= \left(m_\infty(\Omega_\infty) \prod_{p \in S} m_p(\mathcal{B}_p)\right) B^{|\mathbf{w}|} \\ &\quad + O\left(\max_j \left\{\prod_{p \in S} b_{p,j}^{-1}\right\} \left(\prod_{p \in S} m_p(\mathcal{B}_p)\right) B^{|\mathbf{w}| - w_{\min}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

where the implied constant depends only on Ω_∞ and \mathbf{w} .

Proof. Note that the set of $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n \cap \prod_{p \in S} \mathcal{B}_p$ is a translate of a sub-lattice of \mathbb{Z}^n . This lattice has determinant $\prod_{p \in S} m_\infty(\mathcal{B}_p)^{-1}$ and its n -th successive minimum is

$$\max_j \left\{\prod_{p \in S} b_{p,j}^{-1}\right\}.$$

The lemma then follows from Proposition 3.2.1. \square

Let Λ be a free \mathbb{Z} -module of finite rank and set $\Lambda_\infty = \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ and $\Lambda_p = \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p$. Equip Λ_∞ and Λ_p with Haar measures m_∞ and m_p , normalized so that $m_p(\Lambda_p) = 1$ for all but finitely many primes p .

A *local condition* at a place $v \in \text{Val}(K)$ will refer to a subset $\Omega_v \subseteq \Lambda_v$. At a finite place $v \in \text{Val}_0(K)$ we will call a local condition Ω_v *finite* if it can be determined with only finite p_v -adic precision, or equivalently, if $\Omega_v \subseteq \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z} \subset \Lambda_p$, i.e., Ω_v has the form $\{x \in \Lambda_{p_v} : x \equiv a \pmod{p_v^k}\}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that the product of finitely many finite local conditions is a box, and conversely any box is a finite product of local conditions. The following Lemma counts the number of points in a lattice which satisfy finitely many finite local conditions.

Lemma 3.2.3. *Let Λ be a free \mathbb{Z} -module of finite rank n , let $\Omega_\infty \subset \Lambda_\infty$ be a bounded definable subset, and, for each prime p in a finite subset S , let $\Omega_p = \prod_j \{x \in \Lambda_p : |x - a_{p,j}|_p \leq \omega_{p,j}\} \subset \Lambda_p$ be a finite local condition. Let $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$ be an n -tuple of positive integers. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \#\{x \in \Lambda \cap B *_{\mathbf{w}} \Omega_\infty : x \in \Omega_p \text{ for all primes } p \in S\} \\ &= \left(\frac{m_\infty(\Omega_\infty)}{m_\infty(\Lambda_\infty/\Lambda)} \prod_{p \in S} \frac{m_p(\Omega_p)}{m_p(\Lambda_p)} \right) B^{|\mathbf{w}|} + O \left(\max_j \left\{ \prod_{p \in S} \omega_{p,j}^{-1} \right\} \left(\prod_{p \in S} m_p(\Omega_p) \right) \frac{B^{|\mathbf{w}| - w_{\min}}}{m_\infty(\Lambda_\infty/\Lambda)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the implied constant depends only on Λ , Ω_∞ , and \mathbf{w} .

Proof. Fix an isomorphism $\Lambda \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$. The measures m_∞ and m_p on Λ_∞ and Λ_p induce measures on \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbb{Z}_p^n which differ from the usual Haar measures by $m_\infty(\Lambda_\infty/\Lambda)$ and $m_p(\Lambda_p)$ respectively. It therefore suffices to prove the result in the case $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^n$ and m_∞ and m_p are the usual Haar measures; but this case is precisely the Box Lemma (Lemma 3.2.2). \square

If K is a number field of degree d over \mathbb{Q} and with discriminant Δ_K , then its ring of integers \mathcal{O}_K may naturally be viewed as a rank d lattice in $K_\infty := \mathcal{O}_K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} = \prod_{v|\infty} K_v$. This lattice has covolume $|\Delta_K|^{1/2}$ with respect to the usual Haar measure m_∞ on K_∞ (which differs from Lebesgue measure on $K_\infty \cong \mathbb{R}^{r_1+2r_2}$ by a factor of 2^{r_2}) [Neu99, Chapter I Proposition 5.2]. More generally, any integral ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ may be viewed as a lattice in K_∞ with covolume $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{a})|\Delta_K|^{1/2}$. For an n -tuple of positive integers $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$, define the lattice

$$\mathfrak{a}^{\mathbf{w}} := \mathfrak{a}^{w_1} \times \dots \times \mathfrak{a}^{w_n} \subset K_\infty^n.$$

This lattice has covolume $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{a})^{|\mathbf{w}|} |\Delta_K|^{n/2}$. For example, in the case that $\mathfrak{a} = \mathcal{O}_K$ and $w_i = 1$ for all i , one has the lattice $\mathfrak{a}^{\mathbf{w}} = \mathcal{O}_K^n$ of covolume $|\Delta_K|^{n/2}$.

For any rational prime p we have $\mathcal{O}_K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} \mathcal{O}_{K,\mathfrak{p}}$. Equip each $\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathfrak{p}}$ with the Haar measure $m_{\mathfrak{p}}$ normalized so that $m_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathfrak{p}}) = 1$. These measures induce measures on K_∞^n and $\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathfrak{p}}^n$, which will also be denoted by m_∞ and $m_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Applying Lemma 3.2.3 we obtain the following Proposition:

Proposition 3.2.4. *Let K/\mathbb{Q} be a number field of discriminant Δ_K and degree d over \mathbb{Q} . Let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ be an integral ideal. Let $\Omega_\infty \subset K_\infty^n$ be a bounded definable subset and let $\Omega_{\mathfrak{p}} = \prod_j \{x \in \mathcal{O}_{K,\mathfrak{p}} : |x - a_{\mathfrak{p},j}|_p \leq \omega_{\mathfrak{p},j}\} \subset \mathcal{O}_{K,\mathfrak{p}}^n$ be finite local conditions for prime ideals \mathfrak{p} of*

\mathcal{O}_K contained in a finite set S . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \#\{x \in \mathfrak{a}^{\mathbf{w}} \cap B *_{\mathbf{w}} \Omega_{\infty} : x \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ for all primes } \mathfrak{p} \in S\} \\ &= \frac{m_{\infty}(\Omega_{\infty})}{N(\mathfrak{a})^{|\mathbf{w}|} |\Delta_K|^{n/2}} \left(\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in S} m_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}) \right) B^{d|\mathbf{w}|} + O \left(\max_j \left\{ \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in S} \omega_{\mathfrak{p},j}^{-1} \right\} \left(\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in S} m_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}) \right) B^{d|\mathbf{w}| - w_{\min}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the implied constant depends only on K , Ω_{∞} , and \mathbf{w} .

4. COUNTING POINTS ON WEIGHTED PROJECTIVE SPACES

In this section we prove our results for counting points of bounded height on weighted projective spaces.

4.1. Finitely many local conditions. For any set of local conditions $(\Omega_v)_v$ define the sets

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega &:= \{x : x \in \Omega_v \text{ for all } v \in \text{Val}(K)\}, \\ \Omega_{\infty} &:= \{x : x \in \Omega_v \text{ for all } v \in \text{Val}_{\infty}(K)\}, \\ \Omega_0 &:= \{x : x \in \Omega_v \text{ for all } v \in \text{Val}_0(K)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.2.1. *Let K be a degree d number field over \mathbb{Q} . Let $S \subset \text{Val}_0(K)$ be a finite set of finite places. Define subsets $\Omega_v \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w})(K_v)$ for each $v \in \text{Val}_{\infty}(K) \cup S$ such that $\Omega_{\infty}^{\text{aff}}$ is a bounded definable subset of K_{∞}^{n+1} and Ω_v^{aff} is a finite local condition for all $v \in \text{Val}_0(K)$. Writing $\Omega_v^{\text{aff}} = \prod_{j=0}^n \{x \in K_v : |x - a_{v,j}| \leq \omega_{v,j}\}$ we then have that*

$$\#\{x \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w})(K) : \text{Ht}_{\mathbf{w}}(x) \leq B, x \in \Omega\} = \kappa B^{|\mathbf{w}|} + \begin{cases} O(\epsilon_{\Omega} B \log(B)) & \text{if } \mathbf{w}=(1,1) \\ & \text{and } K=\mathbb{Q}, \\ O\left(\epsilon_{\Omega} B^{\frac{d|\mathbf{w}| - w_{\min}}{de(f)}}\right) & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

where the leading coefficient is

$$\kappa = \kappa_{\Omega} \frac{h_K (2^{r_1+r_2} \pi^{r_2})^{n+1} R_K |\mathbf{w}|^{r_1+r_2-1}}{\varpi_{K,\mathbf{w}} |\Delta_K|^{(n+1)/2} \zeta_K(|\mathbf{w}|)},$$

where κ_{Ω} is the following (non-zero) product of local densities

$$\kappa_{\Omega} = \prod_{v|\infty} \frac{m_v(\{x \in \Omega_v^{\text{aff}} : \text{Ht}_{\mathbf{w},v}(x) \leq 1\})}{m_v(\{x \in K_v^{n+1} : \text{Ht}_{\mathbf{w},v}(x) \leq 1\})} \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} m_{\mathfrak{p}}(\{x \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\text{aff}} \cap \mathcal{O}_{K,\mathfrak{p}}^{n+1}\}),$$

where the factor in the error term is the finite product

$$\epsilon_{\Omega} = \max_j \left\{ \prod_{v \in S} \omega_{v,j}^{-1} \right\} \prod_{v \in S} m_v(\Omega_v^{\text{aff}} \cap \mathcal{O}_{K,v}^{n+1}),$$

and where the implied constant is independent of the local conditions Ω_v with $v \in S$.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{c}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{c}_h$ be a set of integral ideal representatives of the ideal class group \mathcal{C}_K of K . Then we get the following partition of $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w})(K)$ into points whose scaling ideals are in the same ideal class:

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w})(K) = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^h \{x \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}')(K) : [\mathcal{I}_f(x)] = [\mathfrak{c}_i]\}.$$

For each $\mathbf{c} \in \{\mathbf{c}_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_h\}$ consider the counting function

$$M(\Omega, \mathbf{c}, B) = \#\{x \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w})(K) : \text{Ht}_{\mathbf{w}}(x) \leq B, [\mathfrak{J}_{\mathbf{w}}(x)] = [\mathbf{c}], x \in \Omega\}.$$

Note that

$$\#\{x \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w})(K) : \text{Ht}_{\mathbf{w}}(x) \leq B, x \in \Omega\} = \sum_{i=1}^h M(\Omega, \mathbf{c}_i, B).$$

Consider the (weighted) action of the unit group \mathcal{O}_K^\times on $K^{n+1} - \{0\}$ given by

$$u *_{\mathbf{w}} (x_0, \dots, x_n) = (u^{w'_0} x_0, \dots, u^{w'_n} x_n),$$

and let $(K^{n+1} - \{0\})/\mathcal{O}_K^\times$ denote the corresponding set of orbits. Let Ω^{aff} be the affine cone of Ω (i.e. the pullback of Ω along the map $\mathbb{A}^{n+1} - \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}')$). We may describe $M(\Omega, \mathbf{c}, B)$ in terms of \mathcal{O}_K^\times -orbits of an affine cone. In particular, there is a bijection between

$$\{[x_0 : \dots : x_n] \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w})(K) : \text{Ht}_{\mathbf{w}}(x) \leq B, [\mathfrak{J}_{\mathbf{w}}(x)] = [\mathbf{c}], x \in \Omega\}$$

and

$$\{[(x_0, \dots, x_n)] \in (K^{n+1} - \{0\})/\mathcal{O}_K^\times : \frac{\text{Ht}_{\mathbf{w}, \infty}(x)}{N(\mathbf{c})} \leq B, \mathfrak{J}_{\mathbf{w}}(x) = \mathbf{c}, x \in \Omega^{\text{aff}}\}$$

given by

$$[x_0 : \dots : x_n] \mapsto [(x_0, \dots, x_n)].$$

Therefore

$$M(\Omega, \mathbf{c}, B) = \#\{x \in (K^{n+1} - \{0\})/\mathcal{O}_K^\times : \frac{\text{Ht}_{\mathbf{w}, \infty}(x)}{N(\mathbf{c})} \leq B, \mathfrak{J}_{\mathbf{w}}(x) = \mathbf{c}, x \in \Omega^{\text{aff}}\}.$$

Our general strategy will be to first find an asymptotic for the counting function

$$M'(\Omega, \mathbf{c}, B) = \#\{x \in (K^{n+1} - \{0\})/\mathcal{O}_K^\times : \frac{\text{Ht}_{\mathbf{w}, \infty}(x)}{N(\mathbf{c})} \leq B, \mathfrak{J}_{\mathbf{w}}(x) \subseteq \mathbf{c}, x \in \Omega^{\text{aff}}\}.$$

and then use Möbius inversion to obtain an asymptotic formula for $M(\Omega, \mathbf{c}, B)$.

We are now going to construct a fundamental domain for the (\mathbf{w} -weighted) action of the unit group \mathcal{O}_K^\times on $K_\infty^{n+1} - \{0\}$. This will be done using Dirichlet's Unit Theorem.

Theorem 4.1.2 (Dirichlet's Unit Theorem). *The image Λ of the map*

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda : \mathcal{O}_K^\times &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{r_1+r_2} \\ u &\mapsto (\log |u|_v)_{v \in \text{Val}_\infty(K)} \end{aligned}$$

is a rank $r := r_1 + r_2 - 1$ lattice in the hyperplane H defined by $\sum_{v \in \text{Val}_\infty(K)} x_v = 0$ and $\ker(\lambda) = \varpi(K)$.

For each $v \in \text{Val}_\infty(K)$ define a map

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_v : K_v^{n+1} - \{0\} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ (x_0, \dots, x_n) &\mapsto \log \max_i |x|_v^{1/w_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Combine these maps to obtain a single map

$$\eta : \prod_{v \in \text{Val}_\infty(K)} (K_v^{n+1} - \{0\}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{r_1+r_2}$$

$$x \mapsto (\eta_v(x_v)).$$

Let H be the hyperplane in Dirichlet's unit theorem and let

$$\text{pr} : \mathbb{R}^{r_1+r_2} \rightarrow H$$

be the projection along the vector $(d_v)_{v \in \text{Val}_\infty(K)}$, where $d_v = 1$ if v is real and $d_v = 2$ if v is complex. More explicitly,

$$(\text{pr}(x))_v = x_v - \left(\frac{1}{d} \sum_{v' \in \text{Val}_\infty(K)} x_{v'} \right) d_v.$$

Let $\{u_1, \dots, u_r\}$ be a basis for the image of \mathcal{O}_K^\times in the hyperplane H , and let $\{\check{u}_1, \dots, \check{u}_r\}$ be the dual basis. Then the set

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}} := \{y \in H : 0 \leq \check{u}_j(y) < 1 \text{ for all } j \in \{1, \dots, r\}\}$$

is a fundamental domain for H modulo Λ , and $\mathcal{F} := (\text{pr} \circ \eta)^{-1} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is a fundamental domain for the (\mathbf{w} -weighted) action of \mathcal{O}_K^\times on $\prod_{v \in \text{Val}_\infty(K)} (K_v^{n+1} - \{0\})$.

Define the sets

$$\mathcal{D}(B) := \{x \in \prod_{v \in \text{Val}_\infty(K)} (K_v^{n+1} - \{0\}) : \text{Ht}_{\mathbf{w}, \infty}(x) \leq B\},$$

and $\mathcal{F}(B) := \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{D}(B)$. The sets $\mathcal{D}(B)$ are \mathcal{O}_K^\times -stable, in the sense that if $u \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$ and $x \in \mathcal{D}(B)$ then $u *_{\mathbf{w}} x \in \mathcal{D}(B)$; this can be seen by the following computation:

$$\text{Ht}_{\mathbf{w}, \infty}(u *_{\mathbf{w}} x) = \prod_{v|\infty} \max_i |u^{w_i} x_{v,i}|_v^{1/w_i} = \prod_{v|\infty} |u|_v \prod_{v|\infty} \max_i |x_{v,i}|_v^{1/w_i} = \prod_{v|\infty} \max_i |x_{v,i}|_v^{1/w_i} = \text{Ht}_{\mathbf{w}, \infty}(x).$$

Similarly, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have that

$$\text{Ht}_{\mathbf{w}, \infty}(t *_{\mathbf{w}} x) = \prod_{v|\infty} \max_i |t^{w'_i} x_{v,i}|_v^{1/w_i} = \prod_{v|\infty} |t|_v \prod_{v|\infty} \max_i |x_{v,i}|_v^{1/w_i} = |t|^d \text{Ht}_{\mathbf{w}, \infty}(x).$$

This shows that $\mathcal{D}(B) = B^{1/d} \mathcal{D}(1)$ for all $B > 0$.

On the other hand, \mathcal{F} is stable under the weighted action of $t \in \mathbb{R}^\times$, in the sense that $t *_{\mathbf{w}} \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}$. To see this, note that for any $x \in \prod_{v|\infty} (K_v^{n+1} - \{0\})$,

$$\eta(t *_{\mathbf{w}} x) = (d_v)_{v|\infty} \log(|t|) + \eta(x).$$

Since pr is linear and annihilates the vector $(d_v)_{v|\infty}$, we have that

$$\text{pr} \circ \eta(t *_{\mathbf{w}} x) = \text{pr} \circ \eta(x),$$

as desired. From our observations we obtain the following lemma:

Lemma 4.1.3. *The regions $\mathcal{F}(B)$ are weighted homogeneous, in the sense that $\mathcal{F}(B) = B^{1/d} *_{\mathbf{w}} \mathcal{F}(1)$ for all $B > 0$.*

We are now going to count lattice points in $\mathcal{F}(B)$. In [Sch79] this is done by using the classical Principle of Lipschitz [Dav51] (see also [Lan94, VI §2 Theorem 2]). One of the cruxes of Schanuel's argument is verifying that a fundamental domain (analogous to our $\mathcal{F}(1)$) has Lipschitz parameterizable boundary, so that he can apply the Principle of Lipschitz. Though one can modify this part of Schanuel's argument to work in our case, we will instead take a slightly different route, using an o-minimal version of the Principle of Lipschitz (Proposition 3.2.1). This allows one to give a more streamline proof of this part of Schanuel's argument, which may be useful in future generalizations.

Lemma 4.1.4. *The set $\mathcal{F}(1)$ is bounded.*

Proof. Let $\tilde{H} \subset \prod_{v|\infty} \mathbb{R}_v$ be the subset defined by $\sum_{v|\infty} x_v \leq 0$. Note that $\mathcal{F}(1) = \eta^{-1}(\tilde{H} \cap \text{pr}^{-1}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}))$. It follows from the definition of η that, in order to show $\mathcal{F}(1)$ is bounded, it suffices to show that the closed set

$$S := \tilde{H} \cap \text{pr}^{-1}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}})$$

is bounded above. For this, note that any $x \in S$ can be written as

$$x = \text{pr}(x) + (d_v)_v \frac{1}{d} \sum_{v'|\infty} x_{v'},$$

and thus the components of S are bounded above, noting that the first term, $\text{pr}(x)$, has components bounded above, and the second term has negative components. \square

Lemma 4.1.5. *The set $\mathcal{F}(1)$ is definable in \mathbb{R}_{exp} .*

Proof. We make the following straightforward observations:

- The set $\mathcal{D}(1)$ is semi-algebraic.
- The set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} &= \left\{ x \in \prod_{v|\infty} (K_v^{n+1} - \{0\}) = \mathbb{R}^{r_1+r_2} : \text{pr} \circ \eta(x) \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{r_1+r_2} : 0 \leq \check{u}_j \left(\log(\max_i |x|_v^{1/w_i}) - d_v \left(\frac{1}{d} \sum_{v'|\infty} \log(\max_i |x|_{v'}^{1/w_i}) \right) \right) < 1 \forall j \right\} \end{aligned}$$

is definable in \mathbb{R}_{exp} , since it can be described in terms of polynomials and \log , and \log is definable in \mathbb{R}_{exp} .

It follows that the intersection $\mathcal{F}(1) = \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{D}(1)$ is definable in \mathbb{R}_{exp} . \square

We now analyze $M'(\Omega, \mathbf{c}, B)$. Note that if $\mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{w}}(x) \subseteq \mathbf{c}$, then we must have that x is contained in the lattice

$$\mathbf{c}^w := \mathbf{c}^{w_0} \times \dots \times \mathbf{c}^{w_n}.$$

Therefore we can write $M'(\Omega, \mathbf{c}, B)$ as

$$M'(\Omega, \mathbf{c}, B) = \#\{x \in (\mathbf{c}^w - \{0\})/\mathcal{O}_K^\times : \frac{\text{Ht}_{\mathbf{w}, \infty}(x)}{N(\mathbf{c})} \leq B, x \in \Omega^{\text{aff}}\}.$$

Note that each $\varpi(K)$ -orbit (with respect to the \mathbf{w} -weighted action) of an element of $(K - \{0\})^{n+1}$ contains $\varpi_{K, \mathbf{w}}$ elements. Thus

$$(4.1) \quad M'(\Omega, \mathbf{c}, B) = \frac{\#\{\Omega^{\text{aff}} \cap \mathcal{F}(B) \cap \mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{w}}\}}{\varpi_{K, \mathbf{w}}} + O(\epsilon_{\Omega} B^{|\mathbf{w}'| - w_{\min}/d}),$$

where the error term accounts for the points of $M'(\Omega, \mathbf{c}, B)$ contained in the subvariety of the affine cone of $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w})(K)$ consisting of points with at least one coordinate equal to zero; by Proposition 3.2.4 this error is at most $O(\epsilon_{\Omega} (B^{1/d})^{d|\mathbf{w}'| - w_{\min}})$.

By Lemma 4.1.4 and Lemma 4.1.5 we may apply Proposition 3.2.4, with $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{c}$ and the local conditions $\Omega_v^{\text{aff}} \cap \mathcal{F}(1)$ if $v|\infty$ and Ω_v^{aff} if $v \in S$, to obtain the following asymptotic:

$$(4.2) \quad M'(\Omega, \mathbf{c}, B) = \frac{m_{\infty}(\Omega_{\infty}^{\text{aff}} \cap \mathcal{F}(1))}{\varpi_{K, \mathbf{w}} |\Delta_K|^{(n+1)/2}} \left(\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in S} m_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}) \right) B^{|\mathbf{w}'|} + O(\epsilon_{\Omega} B^{|\mathbf{w}'| - w_{\min}/d}).$$

Note that as m_{∞} is the product of measures $(m_v)_{v|\infty}$, one has

$$\frac{m_{\infty}(\Omega_{\infty}^{\text{aff}} \cap \mathcal{F}(1))}{m_{\infty}(\mathcal{F}(1))} = \prod_{v|\infty} \frac{m_v(\{x \in \Omega_v^{\text{aff}} : \text{Ht}_v(x) \leq 1\})}{m_v(\{x \in K_v^{n+1} : \text{Ht}_v(x) \leq 1\})},$$

and thus

$$(4.3) \quad m_{\infty}(\Omega_{\infty}^{\text{aff}} \cap \mathcal{F}(1)) = m_{\infty}(\mathcal{F}(1)) \prod_{v|\infty} \frac{m_v(\{x \in \Omega_v^{\text{aff}} : \text{Ht}_v(x) \leq 1\})}{m_v(\{x \in K_v^{n+1} : \text{Ht}_v(x) \leq 1\})}.$$

Computing the volume of $\mathcal{F}(1)$ as in [Den98, Proposition 5.3], we obtain

$$m_{\infty}(\mathcal{F}(1)) = (2^{r_1+r_2} \pi^{r_2})^{n+1} R_K |\mathbf{w}'|^{r_1+r_2-1}.$$

This differs by a factor of $2^{(n+1)r_2}$ from Deng's result since we are using the usual Haar measure on K_{∞} rather than the Lebesgue measure.

Let κ' denote the leading coefficient of (4.2). Note that $\kappa' = \zeta_K(|\mathbf{w}'|) \kappa / h_K$, with κ as in the statement of Theorem 1.2.1.

Note that for $x \in \mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{w}}$, $\mathfrak{I}_{\mathbf{w}}(x) = \mathbf{a}\mathbf{c}$ for some ideal $\mathbf{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$. Then $\text{Ht}_{\mathbf{w}}(x) = \text{Ht}_{\mathbf{w}, \infty}(x) / N(\mathbf{a}\mathbf{c})$. Therefore

$$M'(\Omega, \mathbf{c}, B) = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K} M(\Omega, \mathbf{a}\mathbf{c}, B/N(\mathbf{a})) = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K \\ N(\mathbf{a}) \leq B}} M(\Omega, \mathbf{a}\mathbf{c}, B/N(\mathbf{a})).$$

We now apply Möbius inversion and use our asymptotic (4.2) for $M'(\Omega, \mathbf{c}, B)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
M(\Omega, \mathbf{c}, B) &= \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K \\ N(\mathfrak{a}) \leq B}} \mu(\mathfrak{a}) \left(\kappa' \left(\frac{B}{N(\mathfrak{a})} \right)^{|\mathbf{w}|} + O \left(\epsilon_\Omega \left(\frac{B}{N(\mathfrak{a})} \right)^{|\mathbf{w}| - w_{\min}/d} \right) \right) \\
&= \kappa' B^{|\mathbf{w}|} \left(\sum_{\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K} \mu(\mathfrak{a}) \frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{a})^{|\mathbf{w}|}} - \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K \\ N(\mathfrak{a}) > B}} \mu(\mathfrak{a}) \frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{a})^{|\mathbf{w}|}} \right) \\
&\quad + O \left(\epsilon_\Omega B^{|\mathbf{w}| - w_{\min}/d} \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K \\ N(\mathfrak{a}) \leq B}} \frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{a})^{|\mathbf{w}| - w_{\min}/d}} \right) \\
&= \kappa' B^{|\mathbf{w}|} \left(\frac{1}{\zeta_K(|\mathbf{w}|)} - O(B^{-|\mathbf{w}|+1}) \right) + \begin{cases} O(\epsilon_\Omega B \log(B)) & \text{if } \mathbf{w} = (1,1) \\ & \text{and } K = \mathbb{Q}, \\ O(\epsilon_\Omega B^{|\mathbf{w}| - w_{\min}/d}) & \text{else,} \end{cases} \\
&= \frac{\kappa}{h_K} B^{|\mathbf{w}|} + \begin{cases} O(\epsilon_\Omega B \log(B)) & \text{if } \mathbf{w} = (1,1) \text{ and } K = \mathbb{Q}, \\ O(\epsilon_\Omega B^{|\mathbf{w}| - w_{\min}/d}) & \text{else.} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Summing over the h_K ideal class representatives \mathbf{c}_i of \mathcal{C}_K we arrive at Theorem 1.2.1. \square

5. COUNTING ELLIPTIC CURVES

We now apply Theorem 1.2.1 to the moduli stack of elliptic curves, which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}(4, 6)$.

5.1. Counting elliptic curves with a prescribed local condition. An application of Theorem 1.2.1 gives the following result.

Corollary 5.1.1. *Let $\mathcal{E}_K(B)$ denote the set of isomorphism classes of elliptic curves over K with height less than B . Then*

$$\#\mathcal{E}_K(B) = \kappa B^{5/6} + O(B^{5/6-1/3d})$$

where

$$\kappa = \frac{h_K (2^{r_1+r_2} \pi^{r_2})^{n+1} R_K 10^{r_1+r_2-1} \gcd(2, \varpi_K)}{\varpi_K |\Delta_K| \zeta_K(10)}.$$

Proof. Recall that the moduli stack of elliptic curves, $\mathcal{X}_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}$, is isomorphic to the weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(4, 6)$. Also recall that the naive height on $\mathcal{X}_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}$ corresponds to the twelfth power of the height on $\mathbb{P}(4, 6)$ with respect to the tautological bundle, i.e. the height $\text{Ht}_{\mathbf{w}}$. Therefore the result follows from applying Theorem 1.2.1 to $\mathbb{P}(4, 6)$ with trivial local conditions (i.e. $S = \emptyset$). \square

Theorem 1.2.1 also allows one to count elliptic curves with prescribed local conditions. Before stating our result, we recall the definition of Kronecker-Hurwitz class numbers. Let K be an imaginary quadratic field with ring of integers \mathcal{O}_K and let \mathcal{O} be an order in K

with class number $h(\mathcal{O})$. The *Hurwitz-Kronecker class number* of \mathcal{O} , denoted $H(\text{disc}(\mathcal{O}))$, is defined as

$$H(\text{disc}(\mathcal{O})) := \sum_{\mathcal{O} \subset \mathcal{O}' \subset \mathcal{O}_K} \frac{h(\mathcal{O}')}{\#\mathcal{O}'^\times}.$$

This definition of the Hurwitz-Kronecker class number agrees with the definition given in [Len87], but is twice as large as it is sometimes defined in other places (such as in [Cox89]). A beautiful result, following from the work of Deuring [Deu41], expresses the number of elliptic curves over a finite field \mathbb{F}_q with a prescribed trace of Frobenius in terms of Hurwitz-Kronecker class numbers:

$$(5.1) \quad \{(b, c) \in \mathbb{F}_q \times \mathbb{F}_q : \Delta(b, c) \neq 0, a_q(E_{b,c}) = a\} = (q-1)H(a^2 - 4q).$$

See [Cox89, Theorem 14.18] for a proof of this formula in the case that $q = p$ is a prime.

We now prove our theorem for counting elliptic curves satisfying a prescribed local condition:

Theorem 1.1.2. *Let K be a number field and let $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ be a prime ideal of norm q such that $2 \nmid q$ and $3 \nmid q$. Let \mathcal{L} be one of the local conditions listed in Table 1. Then the number of elliptic curves over K with naive height less than B and which satisfy the local condition \mathcal{L} at \mathfrak{p} is*

$$\kappa' \kappa_{\mathcal{L}} B^{5/6} + O\left(\epsilon_{\mathcal{L}} B^{\frac{5}{6} - \frac{1}{3d}}\right)$$

where

$$\kappa' = \frac{h_K (2^{r_1+r_2} \pi^{r_2})^{n+1} R_K |\mathbf{w}|^{r_1+r_2-1}}{\deg(f) \varpi_{K, \mathbf{w}'} |\Delta_K|^{(n+1)/2} \zeta_K(|\mathbf{w}'|)},$$

and where $\kappa'_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\epsilon_{\mathcal{L}}$ are as in Table 1.

Proof. Good reduction case. Note that the number of elliptic curves over \mathbb{F}_q with good reduction at \mathfrak{p} is

$$\#\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{F}_q \times \mathbb{F}_q : 4a^3 + 27b^2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q}\} = q^2 - q.$$

For each pair (a, b) in the above set we consider the finite local condition

$$\Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\text{aff}} = \{(x_0, x_1) \in K_v^2 : |x_0 - a| \leq \frac{1}{q}, |x_1 - b| \leq \frac{1}{q}\},$$

which has \mathfrak{p} -adic measure

$$m_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\text{aff}} \cap \mathcal{O}_{K, \mathfrak{p}}^2) = \frac{1}{q^2}.$$

Applying Theorem 1.2.1 and summing over all the $q^2 - q$ possible (a, b) pairs, it follows that the number of elliptic curves of bounded height over K with good reduction at \mathfrak{p} is

$$\kappa' \kappa_{\mathcal{L}} B^{5/6} + O\left(q \frac{q^2 - q}{q^2} B^{\frac{5}{6} - \frac{1}{3d}}\right),$$

where $\kappa_{\mathcal{L}} = (q^2 - q)/q^2$. As the error term can be rewritten as $O(qB^{\frac{5}{6} - \frac{1}{3d}})$, we also have that $\epsilon_{\mathcal{L}} = q$.

Prescribed trace of Frobenius case. Fix an integer a satisfying $|a| \leq 2\sqrt{q}$. By (5.1) and Theorem 1.2.1 we have that the number of elliptic curves over K of bounded height and with trace of Frobenius at \mathfrak{p} equal to a is

$$\kappa' \kappa_{\mathcal{L}} B^{5/6} + O\left(q \frac{(q-1)H(a^2-4q)}{q^2} B^{\frac{5}{6}-\frac{1}{3d}}\right),$$

where $\kappa_{\mathcal{L}} = (q-1)H(a^2-4q)/q^2$. As the error term can be rewritten as $O(H(a^2-4q)B^{\frac{5}{6}-\frac{1}{3d}})$, we have that $\epsilon_{\mathcal{L}} = H(a^2-4q)$.

Kodaira type III* case. By Tate's algorithm [Tat75] an elliptic curve over $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ given in short Weierstrass form, $E : y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$, has type III* reduction if and only if $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(a) = 3$ and $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(b) \geq 5$. Note that

$$\#\{(a, b) \in \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}^5 \times \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}^5 : v_{\mathfrak{p}}(a) = 3, v_{\mathfrak{p}}(b) \geq 5\} = q(q-1).$$

Applying Theorem 1.2.1 to each pair in the above set and then summing over all pairs yields the following asymptotic for the number of elliptic curves of bounded height over K with reduction of Kodaira type III* at \mathfrak{p} :

$$\kappa' \kappa_{\mathcal{L}} B^{5/6} + O\left(q^5 \frac{1(q-1)}{q^{10}} B^{\frac{5}{6}-\frac{1}{3d}}\right)$$

where $\kappa_{\mathcal{L}} = q(q-1)/q^{10} = (q-1)/q^9$. As the error term can be written as $O(q^{-3}B^{\frac{5}{6}-\frac{1}{3d}})$, we have that $\epsilon_{\mathcal{L}} = 1/q^3$.

The other cases can be proven similarly to those given above. \square

6. AVERAGE ANALYTIC RANKS

In this section we use our results from the previous section on counting elliptic curves with prescribed local conditions in order to give a bound for the average analytic rank of elliptic curves over number fields. Our strategy will mainly follow that of Cho and Jeong [CJ21, §4.1].

6.1. L-functions of elliptic curves. In this subsection we recall basic facts about L -functions of elliptic curves.

Let E be an elliptic curve of discriminant Δ_E over a number field K . For each finite place $v \in \text{Val}_0(K)$, let E_v denote the reduction of E at v , let q_v be the order of the residue field k_v of K at v , let $N_v = \#E_v(\mathbb{F}_{q_v})$, and let $a_v = q_v + 1 - N_v$. If E has bad reduction at v , set

$$b_v = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } E \text{ has split multiplicative reduction at } v, \\ -1 & \text{if } E \text{ has nonsplit multiplicative reduction at } v, \\ 0 & \text{if } E \text{ has additive reduction at } v. \end{cases}$$

For each $v \in \text{Val}_0(K)$, define a polynomial $L_v(E/K, T)$ by

$$L_v(E/K, T) = \begin{cases} 1 - a_v T + q_v T^2 & \text{if } E \text{ has good reduction at } v, \\ 1 - b_v T & \text{if } E \text{ has bad reduction at } v. \end{cases}$$

The *Hasse-Weil L-function* $L(E/K, s)$ of E over K is defined as the Euler product

$$L(E/K, s) = \prod_{v \in \text{Val}_0(K)} L_v(E/K, q_v^{-s})^{-1}.$$

The logarithmic derivative of $L(E/K, s)$ is

$$\frac{L'}{L}(E/K, s) = - \sum_{\substack{v \in \text{Val}_0(K) \\ v(\Delta_E) > 0}} \frac{d}{ds} (\log(1 - b_v q_v^{-s})) - \sum_{\substack{v \in \text{Val}_0(K) \\ v(\Delta_E) = 0}} \frac{d}{ds} (\log(1 - a_v q_v^{-s} + q_v^{1-2s})).$$

The first sum can be rewritten as

$$- \sum_{\substack{v \in \text{Val}_0(K) \\ v(\Delta_E) > 0}} \frac{d}{ds} (\log(1 - b_v q_v^{-s})) = - \sum_{\substack{v \in \text{Val}_0(K) \\ v(\Delta_E) > 0}} \frac{d}{ds} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_v^k}{k q_v^{ks}} \right) = - \sum_{\substack{v \in \text{Val}_0(K) \\ v(\Delta_E) > 0}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_v^k \log(q_v)}{q_v^{ks}}.$$

For the second sum, factor $1 - a_v T + q_v T^2$ as $(1 - \alpha_v T)(1 - \beta_v T)$ where $\alpha_v + \beta_v = a_v$ and $\alpha_v \beta_v = q_v$. Then

$$- \sum_{\substack{v \in \text{Val}_0(K) \\ v(\Delta_E) = 0}} \frac{d}{ds} (\log(1 - a_v q_v^{-s} + q_v^{1-2s})) = - \sum_{\substack{v \in \text{Val}_0(K) \\ v(\Delta_E) = 0}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_v^k + \beta_v^k) \log(q_v)}{q_v^{ks}}.$$

We thus obtain the following expression for the logarithmic derivative of $L(E/K, s)$:

$$\frac{L'}{L}(E/K, s) = - \sum_{\substack{v \in \text{Val}_0(K) \\ v(\Delta_E) > 0}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_v^k \log(q_v)}{q_v^{ks}} - \sum_{\substack{v \in \text{Val}_0(K) \\ v(\Delta_E) = 0}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_v^k + \beta_v^k) \log(q_v)}{q_v^{ks}}.$$

Define the von Mangoldt function on integral ideals $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ as

$$\Lambda_K(\mathfrak{a}) = \begin{cases} \log(q_v) & \text{if } \mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}^k \text{ for some } k, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For prime powers, set

$$\widehat{a}_E(\mathfrak{p}^k) = \begin{cases} \alpha_v^k + \beta_v^k & \text{if } E \text{ has good reduction at } \mathfrak{p}_v, \\ b_v^k & \text{if } E \text{ has bad reduction at } \mathfrak{p}_v, \end{cases}$$

and for \mathfrak{a} not a power of a prime set $\widehat{a}_E(\mathfrak{a}) = 0$. Then our expression for the logarithmic derivative of $L(E/K, s)$ becomes

$$(6.1) \quad \frac{L'}{L}(E/K, s) = - \sum_{\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K} \frac{\widehat{a}_E(\mathfrak{a}) \Lambda_K(\mathfrak{a})}{N_K(\mathfrak{a})^s},$$

where the sum is over the non-zero integral ideals of \mathcal{O}_K .

Let $\Gamma(s) = \int_0^\infty t^{s-1} e^{-t} dt$ be the usual gamma function. Let $\Gamma_K(s)$ be the gamma factor

$$\Gamma_K(s) = ((2\pi)^{-s} \Gamma(s))^{[K:\mathbb{Q}]}. \quad 17$$

Let $\mathfrak{f}_{E/K}$ be the conductor of E over K , and define a constant

$$A_{E/K} = N_K(\mathfrak{f}_{E/K})\Delta_K^2.$$

Define the completed Hasse-Weil L -function $\Lambda(E/K, s)$ as

$$\Lambda(E/K, s) = A_{E/K}^{s/2} \Gamma_K(s) L(E/K, s).$$

We now assume that our elliptic curve E is modular over K , so that the L -function $L(E/K, s)$ is automorphic. This implies that the Hasse-Weil conjecture holds true for E , and thus

$$\Lambda(E/K, s) = \epsilon \Lambda(E/K, 2 - s),$$

where $\epsilon(E) \in \{\pm 1\}$ is the root number. We see that the logarithmic derivative of $\Lambda(E/K, s)$ is

$$(6.2) \quad \frac{\Lambda'}{\Lambda}(E/K, s) = \frac{\Gamma'_K}{\Gamma_K}(s) + \frac{L'}{L}(E/K, s) = -\frac{\Lambda'}{\Lambda}(E/K, 2 - s).$$

We now state the explicit formula for L -functions of Elliptic curves over number fields:

Proposition 6.1.1 (Explicit Formula). *Let ϕ be an even function on \mathbb{R} whose Fourier transform,*

$$\widehat{\phi}(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(x) e^{-2\pi i t x} dx,$$

is compactly supported. Let E be a modular elliptic curve over a number field K . Suppose that the L -function $L(E/K, s)$ associated to E satisfies the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis, so that all non-trivial zeros ρ_j of $L(E/K, s)$ are of the form

$$\rho_j = 1 + i\gamma \text{ for some } \gamma \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let $r(E) = \text{ord}_{s=1}(L(E/K, s))$ be the analytic rank of E . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} r(E)\phi(0) + \sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \phi(\gamma) \\ = \widehat{\phi}(0) \log(A_{E/K}) + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\Gamma'_K}{\Gamma_K}(1 + iy) \phi(y) dy - \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K} \frac{\widehat{a}_E(\mathfrak{a}) \Lambda(\mathfrak{a})}{N_K(\mathfrak{a})} \cdot \widehat{\phi}\left(\frac{\log(N_K(\mathfrak{a}))}{2\pi}\right). \end{aligned}$$

This proposition is a special case of [IK04, Theorem 5.12] (see also [Mes86] and [Mil02, Appendix A] for the specific case of L -functions of elliptic curves).

From the explicit formula it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} r(E)\phi(0) + \sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \phi\left(\gamma \frac{\log(X)}{2\pi}\right) &= \widehat{\phi}(0) \frac{\log(A_{E/K})}{\log(X)} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\Gamma'_K}{\Gamma_K}(1 + iy) \phi\left(y \frac{\log(X)}{2\pi}\right) dy \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{\log(X)} \sum_{\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K} \frac{\widehat{a}_E(\mathfrak{a}) \Lambda(\mathfrak{a})}{N_K(\mathfrak{a})} \cdot \widehat{\phi}\left(\frac{\log(N_K(\mathfrak{a}))}{\log(X)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

A classical estimate for the logarithmic derivative of the gamma function is

$$\left| \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}(1 + iy) \right| = O(\log(|y|) + 2).$$

From this it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\Gamma'_K}{\Gamma_K}(1+iy)\phi\left(y\frac{\log(X)}{2\pi}\right)dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi\left(y\frac{\log(X)}{2\pi}\right)dy + O\left(\frac{1}{\log(X)}\right).$$

From now on we shall assume $\phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, in which case

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\Gamma'_K}{\Gamma_K}(1+iy)\phi\left(y\frac{\log(X)}{2\pi}\right)dy = O\left(\frac{1}{\log(X)}\right).$$

Let E be an elliptic curve with minimal discriminant $\mathfrak{D}_{E/K}$ and of height less than or equal to X . For each such E we have that $N_K(\mathfrak{D}_{E/K}) \ll X$. But by Ogg's formula [Ogg67] (see also [Sil94, Ogg's Formula 11.1]) one has $N_K(\mathfrak{f}_{E/K}) \leq N_K(\mathfrak{D}_E)$, so that

$$\log(N_K(\mathfrak{f}_{E/K}))/\log(X) \leq 1 + O(1/\log X).$$

It follows that $\log(A_{E/K})/\log(X) \leq 1 + O(1/\log X)$.

From the above observations we have that

$$r(E)\phi(0) + \sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \phi\left(\gamma\frac{\log(X)}{2\pi}\right) \leq \widehat{\phi}(0) - \frac{2}{\log(X)} \sum_{\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K} \frac{\widehat{a}_E(\mathfrak{a})\Lambda(\mathfrak{a})}{N_K(\mathfrak{a})} \cdot \widehat{\phi}\left(\frac{\log(N_K(\mathfrak{a}))}{\log(X)}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{\log X}\right).$$

We further simplify by rewriting the sum on the right hand side as

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K} \frac{\widehat{a}_E(\mathfrak{a})\Lambda(\mathfrak{a})}{N_K(\mathfrak{a})} \cdot \widehat{\phi}\left(\frac{\log(N_K(\mathfrak{a}))}{\log(X)}\right) = \sum_{v \in \text{Val}_0(K)} \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{\widehat{a}_E(\mathfrak{p}_v^k) \log(q_v)}{q_v^k} \cdot \widehat{\phi}\left(\frac{k \log(q_v)}{\log(X)}\right)$$

and then using the Hasse bound, $|\widehat{a}_E(\mathfrak{p}_v^k)| \leq 2\sqrt{q_v}$, to bound the $k \geq 3$ part of the sum

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{v \in \text{Val}_0(K)} \sum_{k \geq 3} \frac{\widehat{a}_E(\mathfrak{p}_v^k) \log(q_v)}{q_v^k} \cdot \widehat{\phi}\left(\frac{k \log(q_v)}{\log(X)}\right) &\leq \sum_{v \in \text{Val}_0(K)} \sum_{k \geq 3} \frac{2^k \log(q_v)}{q_v^{k/2}} \|\widehat{\phi}\|_{\infty} \\ &\leq \sum_{v \in \text{Val}_0(K)} \sum_{k \geq 3} \frac{8 \log(q_v)}{q_v^{3/2}} \|\widehat{\phi}\|_{\infty} \\ &= O(1), \end{aligned}$$

where $\|f\|_{\infty}$ is the usual L^{∞} -norm defined as

$$\|f\|_{\infty} := \inf \{a \geq 0 : m_{\infty}(\{x : |f(x)| > a\}) = 0\},$$

where m_{∞} is the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} . Setting

$$U_k(E, \phi, X) = \sum_{v \in \text{Val}_0(K)} \frac{\widehat{a}_E(\mathfrak{p}_v^k) \log(q_v)}{q_v^k} \cdot \widehat{\phi}\left(\frac{k \log(q_v)}{\log(X)}\right),$$

we have that

$$r(E)\phi(0) + \sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \phi\left(\gamma\frac{\log(X)}{2\pi}\right) \leq \widehat{\phi}(0) - \frac{2}{\log(X)}(U_1(E, \phi, X) + U_2(E, \phi, X)) + O\left(\frac{1}{\log X}\right).$$

We now average over isomorphism classes of elliptic curves. Let $\mathcal{E}_K(B)$ denote the set of isomorphism classes of elliptic curves over K . Setting

$$\begin{aligned} S_k(\phi, B) &= \frac{2}{\log(B)\#\mathcal{E}_K(B)} \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_K(B)} U_k(E, \phi, B) \\ &= \frac{2}{\log(B)\#\mathcal{E}_K(B)} \sum_{v \in \text{Val}_0(K)} \frac{\log(q_v)}{q_v^k} \cdot \widehat{\phi}\left(\frac{k \log(q_v)}{\log(B)}\right) \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_K(B)} \widehat{a}_E(\mathfrak{p}_v^k), \end{aligned}$$

we have that

$$(6.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi(0) \frac{1}{\#\mathcal{E}_K(B)} \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_K(B)} r(E) + \frac{1}{\#\mathcal{E}_K(B)} \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_K(B)} \sum_{\gamma_E \neq 0} \phi\left(\gamma_E \frac{\log(B)}{2\pi}\right) \\ \leq \widehat{\phi}(0) - S_1(\phi, B) - S_2(\phi, B) + O\left(\frac{1}{\log(B)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

For our applications we shall use the test function

$$\phi(y) = \left(\frac{\sin(\pi\nu y)}{2\pi y}\right)^2,$$

whose Fourier transform is

$$\widehat{\phi}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\nu}{2} - \frac{|t|}{2}\right) \text{ for } |t| \leq \nu.$$

Note that $\phi(0) = \frac{\nu^2}{4}$ and $\widehat{\phi}(0) = \frac{\nu}{4}$.

Our strategy will be to show that

$$-S_1(\phi, B) - S_2(\phi, B) = \frac{\phi(0)}{2} + o(1).$$

This will imply the following bound for the average analytic rank of elliptic curves over the number field K :

$$r_K \leq \frac{\widehat{\phi}(0)}{\phi(0)} + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{\nu} + \frac{1}{2}.$$

6.2. Class number estimates. In this subsection we prove a proposition concerning sums of Kronecker-Hurwitz class numbers. This result will be used in the next subsection.

Proposition 6.2.1. *Let $q = p^n$ be a prime power. We have the following estimates:*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|a| \leq 2\sqrt{q}} H(a^2 - 4q) &= q + O(p^{n-1}), \\ \sum_{|a| \leq 2\sqrt{q}} aH(a^2 - 4q) &= 0, \\ \sum_{|a| \leq 2\sqrt{q}} a^2H(a^2 - 4q) &= q^2 + O(p^{2n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let p^n be a prime power and set

$$\delta(p^n, 2) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

By the classical Kronecker-Hurwitz class number relation [Hur85], we have that

$$\sum_{|a| \leq 2\sqrt{q}} H(a^2 - 4q) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{dd'=q \\ d, d' > 0}} \max(d, d') = q + \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{s=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} p^{n-s} \right) + \frac{1}{2} p^{n/2} \delta(q, 2) = q + O(p^{n-1}).$$

Pairing each elliptic curve over \mathbb{F}_q with its quadratic twist we see that

$$\sum_{|a| \leq 2\sqrt{q}} aH(a^2 - 4q) = 0.$$

Let $T_k(q)$ be the Hecke operator on the space of weight k cusp forms. Then the Eichler-Selberg trace formula (see the Appendix to Chapter III of [Lan95] by Zagier) implies

$$\sum_{|a| \leq 2\sqrt{q}} a^2 H(a^2 - 4q) = \frac{1}{2} q \delta(q, 2) - q \sum_{|a| \leq 2\sqrt{q}} H(a^2 - 4q) - \sum_{\substack{dd'=q \\ d, d' > 0}} \min(d, d')^3 - 2\text{Tr}(T_4(q)).$$

Applying our estimate for $\sum_{|a| \leq 2\sqrt{q}} H(a^2 - 4q)$ and using the Ramanujan-Petersson-Deligne bound for Hecke eigenvalues [Del74], we obtain

$$\sum_{|a| \leq 2\sqrt{q}} a^2 H(a^2 - 4q) = q^2 + O(p^{2n-1}).$$

□

6.3. Estimating $S_1(\phi, B)$ and $S_2(\phi, B)$.

Lemma 6.3.1. *Let $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ be a prime ideal of norm $N(\mathfrak{p}) = q$. Then we have the following upper bound:*

$$\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_K(B)} \widehat{a}_E(\mathfrak{p}) \ll \frac{1}{q} B^{5/6} + q B^{5/6-1/3d}.$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{E}_K^{\text{mult}}(B)$ denote the set of elliptic curves over K of naive height bounded by B and multiplicative reduction at \mathfrak{p} . Then we have

$$\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_K(B)} \widehat{a}_E(\mathfrak{p}) = \sum_{|a| \leq 2\sqrt{q}} \sum_{\substack{E \in \mathcal{E}_K(B) \\ a_{\mathfrak{p}}(E) = a}} \widehat{a}_E(\mathfrak{p}) + \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_K^{\text{mult}}(B)} \widehat{a}_E(\mathfrak{p}).$$

By Theorem 1.1.2 we have that

$$\left| \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_K^{\text{mult}}(B)} \widehat{a}_E(\mathfrak{p}) \right| \ll \frac{q-1}{q^2} B^{5/6} + B^{5/6-1/3d} \ll \frac{1}{q} B^{5/6} + B^{5/6-1/3d}.$$

By Theorem 1.1.2 and Proposition 6.2.1 we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|a| \leq 2\sqrt{q}} \sum_{\substack{E \in \mathcal{E}_K(B) \\ a_{\mathfrak{p}}(E) = a}} \widehat{a}_E(\mathfrak{p}) &= \sum_{|a| \leq 2\sqrt{q}} a \left(\kappa' \frac{(q-1)H(a^2-4q)}{q^2} B^{5/6} + O(H(a^2+4q)B^{5/6-1/3d}) \right) \\ &\ll qB^{5/6-1/3d}. \end{aligned}$$

The lemma now follows. \square

Lemma 6.3.2. *Let $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ be a prime ideal of norm $N(\mathfrak{p}) = q$. Then we have the following estimate:*

$$\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_K(B)} \widehat{a}_E(\mathfrak{p}^2) = -\kappa' q B^{5/6} + O\left(B^{\frac{5}{6}} + qB^{\frac{5}{6} - \frac{1}{3d}}\right).$$

Proof. Again let $\mathcal{E}_K^{\text{mult}}(B)$ denote the set of elliptic curves over K with multiplicative reduction at \mathfrak{p} and height bounded by B . In this case we have that

$$\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_K(B)} \widehat{a}_E(\mathfrak{p}^2) = \sum_{|a| \leq 2\sqrt{q}} \sum_{\substack{E \in \mathcal{E}_K(B) \\ a_{\mathfrak{p}}(E) = a}} \widehat{a}_E(\mathfrak{p}^2) + \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_K^{\text{mult}}(B)} \widehat{a}_E(\mathfrak{p}^2).$$

Since $\widehat{a}_E(\mathfrak{p}^2) = \widehat{a}_E(\mathfrak{p})^2 = 1$ for all $E \in \mathcal{E}_K^{\text{mult}}(B)$, we have that by Theorem 1.1.2

$$\left| \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_K^{\text{mult}}(B)} \widehat{a}_E(\mathfrak{p}) \right| = \#\mathcal{E}_K^{\text{mult}}(B) = \kappa' \frac{q-1}{q^2} B^{5/6} + O(B^{5/6-1/3d}).$$

In the case that E has good reduction at \mathfrak{p} a straightforward computation shows that $\widehat{a}_E(\mathfrak{p}^2)$ equals $a_{\mathfrak{p}}(E)^2 - 2q$. Therefore, by Theorem 1.1.2 and Proposition 6.2.1, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|a| \leq 2\sqrt{q}} \sum_{\substack{E \in \mathcal{E}_K(B) \\ a_{\mathfrak{p}}(E) = a}} \widehat{a}_E(\mathfrak{p}^2) &= \sum_{|a| \leq 2\sqrt{q}} \sum_{\substack{E \in \mathcal{E}_K(B) \\ a_{\mathfrak{p}}(E) = a}} (a_{\mathfrak{p}}(E)^2 - 2q) \\ &= \sum_{|a| \leq 2\sqrt{q}} (a^2 - 2q) \left(\kappa' \frac{(q-1)H(a^2-4q)}{q^2} B^{5/6} + O(H(a^2+4q)B^{5/6-1/3d}) \right) \\ &= \kappa' \frac{q-1}{q^2} \left(\sum_{|a| \leq 2\sqrt{q}} a^2 H(a^2-4q) - 2q \sum_{|a| \leq 2\sqrt{q}} H(a^2+4q) \right) B^{\frac{5}{6}} + O\left(qB^{\frac{5}{6} - \frac{1}{3d}}\right) \\ &= -\kappa' q B^{5/6} + O\left(B^{\frac{5}{6}} + qB^{\frac{5}{6} - \frac{1}{3d}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

The lemma now follows. \square

6.4. Bounding the average analytic rank of elliptic curves.

Theorem 1.1.1. *Let K be a number field of degree d . Assume that all elliptic curves over K are modular and that their L -functions satisfy the Riemann-Hypothesis. Then the average analytic rank of elliptic curves over K is bounded above by $3d + 1/2$.*

Proof. By Lemma 6.3.1 and the observation that $\hat{\phi}$ is supported on the interval $[-\nu, \nu]$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} S_1(\phi, B) &= \frac{2}{\log(B)\#\mathcal{E}_K(B)} \sum_{v \in \text{Val}_0(K)} \frac{\log(q_v)}{q_v} \cdot \hat{\phi}\left(\frac{\log(q_v)}{\log(X)}\right) \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_K(B)} \widehat{a}_E(\mathfrak{p}_v) \\ &\ll \frac{2}{\log(B)\#\mathcal{E}_K(B)} \sum_{v \in \text{Val}_0(K)} \frac{\log(q_v)}{q_v} \cdot \hat{\phi}\left(\frac{\log(q_v)}{\log(X)}\right) \left(\frac{1}{q_v} B^{5/6} + q_v B^{5/6-1/3d}\right) \\ &\ll \frac{2}{\log(B)\#\mathcal{E}_K(B)} \sum_{\substack{v \in \text{Val}_0(K) \\ q_v \leq B^\nu}} \frac{\log(q_v)}{q_v} \left(\frac{1}{q_v} B^{5/6} + q_v B^{5/6-1/3d}\right). \end{aligned}$$

By Corollary 5.1.1 we know that $\#\mathcal{E}_K(B) = \kappa B^{5/6} + O(B^{5/6-1/3d})$. Using this and the prime number theorem, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} S_1(\phi, B) &\ll \frac{2}{\log(B)} \sum_{\substack{v \in \text{Val}_0(K) \\ q_v \leq B^\nu}} \frac{\log(q_v)}{q_v} \left(\frac{1}{q_v} + q_v B^{-1/3d}\right) \\ &\ll \sum_{\substack{v \in \text{Val}_0(K) \\ q_v \leq B^\nu}} \left(\frac{\log(q_v)}{q_v^2} + \log(q_v) B^{-1/3d}\right) \\ &\ll B^{-\nu} + B^{\nu-1/3d}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have shown that

$$(6.4) \quad S_1(\phi, B) \ll B^{\nu-1/3d}.$$

By Lemma 6.3.2 we have that

$$\begin{aligned} S_2(\phi, B) &= \frac{2}{\log(B)\#\mathcal{E}_K(B)} \sum_{v \in \text{Val}_0(K)} \frac{\log(q_v)}{q_v^2} \cdot \hat{\phi}\left(\frac{k \log(q_v)}{\log(X)}\right) \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_K(B)} \widehat{a}_E(\mathfrak{p}_v^2) \\ &= \frac{2}{\log(B)\#\mathcal{E}_K(B)} \sum_{v \in \text{Val}_0(K)} \frac{\log(q_v)}{q_v^2} \cdot \hat{\phi}\left(\frac{k \log(q_v)}{\log(X)}\right) (-\kappa' q_v B^{5/6} + O(B^{5/6} + q_v B^{5/6-1/3d})). \end{aligned}$$

Using $\#\mathcal{E}_K(B) = \kappa B^{5/6} + O(B^{5/6-1/3d})$ and the prime number theorem, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} S_2(\phi, B) &= \frac{2}{\log(B)} \sum_{v \in \text{Val}_0(K)} \frac{\log(q_v)}{q_v^2} \cdot \hat{\phi}\left(\frac{k \log(q_v)}{\log(X)}\right) (-q_v + O(1 + q_v B^{-1/3d})) \\ &= \frac{-2}{\log(B)} \sum_{v \in \text{Val}_0(K)} \frac{\log(q_v)}{q_v} \cdot \hat{\phi}\left(\frac{k \log(q_v)}{\log(X)}\right) + O\left(\sum_{\substack{v \in \text{Val}_0(K) \\ q_v \leq B^{\nu/2}}} \left(\frac{\log(q_v)}{q_v^2} + \frac{\log(q_v)}{q_v} B^{-1/3d}\right)\right) \\ &= -\frac{\phi(0)}{2} + O(B^{-\nu/2} + B^{-1/3d}). \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\nu = 1/3d$ and combining the above estimate for S_2 with our bound (6.4) for S_1 , we obtain

$$-S_1(\phi, B) - S_2(\phi, B) = \frac{\phi(0)}{2} + o(1).$$

Substituting this into (6.3) and taking the limit as B goes to infinity gives the following bound for the average analytic ranks of elliptic curves:

$$\frac{1}{\nu} + \frac{1}{2} = 3d + \frac{1}{2}.$$

□

REFERENCES

- [BBL16] M. J. Bright, T. D. Browning, and D. Loughran. Failures of weak approximation in families. *Compos. Math.*, 152(7):1435–1475, 2016.
- [Bru92] Armand Brumer. The average rank of elliptic curves. I. *Invent. Math.*, 109(3):445–472, 1992.
- [BS15] Manjul Bhargava and Arul Shankar. Binary quartic forms having bounded invariants, and the boundedness of the average rank of elliptic curves. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 181(1):191–242, 2015.
- [BSD65] B. J. Birch and H. P. F. Swinnerton-Dyer. Notes on elliptic curves. II. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 218:79–108, 1965.
- [BW14] Fabrizio Barroero and Martin Widmer. Counting lattice points and O-minimal structures. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (18):4932–4957, 2014.
- [CJ20] Peter J. Cho and Keunyoung Jeong. On the distribution of analytic ranks of elliptic curves, 2020. Preprint, [arXiv:2003.09102](#).
- [CJ21] Peter J. Cho and Keunyoung Jeong. Average analytic rank of elliptic curves with prescribed torsion, 2021. Preprint, [arXiv:2005.06862](#).
- [Cox89] David A. Cox. *Primes of the form $x^2 + ny^2$* . A Wiley-Interscience Publication. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1989. Fermat, class field theory and complex multiplication.
- [CS21] J. E. Cremona and M. Sadek. Local and global densities for weierstrass models of elliptic curves, 2021. Preprint, [arXiv:2003.08454](#).
- [Dar21] Ratko Darda. Rational points of bounded height on weighted projective stacks, 2021. Preprint, [arXiv:2106.10120](#).
- [Dav51] H. Davenport. On a principle of Lipschitz. *J. London Math. Soc.*, 26:179–183, 1951.
- [Del74] Pierre Deligne. La conjecture de Weil. I. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (43):273–307, 1974.
- [Den98] An-Wen Deng. Rational points on weighted projective spaces, 1998. Preprint, [arXiv:9812082](#).
- [Deu41] Max Deuring. Die Typen der Multiplikatorenringe elliptischer Funktionenkörper. *Abh. Math. Sem. Hansischen Univ.*, 14:197–272, 1941.
- [ESZB21] Jordan S. Ellenberg, Matthew Satriano, and David Zureick-Brown. Heights on stacks and a generalized batyrev-manin-malle conjecture, 2021. Preprint, [arXiv:2106.11340](#).
- [HB04] D. R. Heath-Brown. The average analytic rank of elliptic curves. *Duke Math. J.*, 122(3):591–623, 2004.
- [Hur85] Adolf Hurwitz. Ueber Relationen zwischen Classenzahlen binärer quadratischer Formen von negativer Determinante. *Math. Ann.*, 25(2):157–196, 1885.
- [IK04] Henryk Iwaniec and Emmanuel Kowalski. *Analytic number theory*, volume 53 of *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.
- [Lan94] Serge Lang. *Algebraic number theory*, volume 110 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1994.
- [Lan95] Serge Lang. *Introduction to modular forms*, volume 222 of *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995. With appendixes by D. Zagier and Walter Feit, Corrected reprint of the 1976 original.

- [Len87] H. W. Lenstra, Jr. Factoring integers with elliptic curves. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 126(3):649–673, 1987.
- [MA21] Irati Manterola Ayala. Counting rational points on weighted projective spaces over number fields. Master’s thesis, University of Zurich, 2021.
- [Mes86] Jean-Francois Mestre. Formules explicites et minorations de conducteurs de variétés algébriques. *Compositio Math.*, 58(2):209–232, 1986.
- [Mil02] Steven Joel Miller. *1- and 2-level densities for families of elliptic curves: Evidence for the underlying group symmetries*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2002. Thesis (Ph.D.)–Princeton University.
- [Neu99] Jürgen Neukirch. *Algebraic number theory*, volume 322 of *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999. Translated from the 1992 German original and with a note by Norbert Schappacher, With a foreword by G. Harder.
- [Ogg67] A. P. Ogg. Elliptic curves and wild ramification. *Amer. J. Math.*, 89:1–21, 1967.
- [Phi22] Tristan Phillips. Rational points of bounded height on some genus zero modular curves over arbitrary number fields, 2022. Preprint, [arXiv:2201.1064](https://arxiv.org/abs/2201.1064).
- [Sch79] Stephen Hoel Schanuel. Heights in number fields. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 107(4):433–449, 1979.
- [Sha13] Arul Shankar. *The average rank of elliptic curves over number fields*. PhD thesis, Princeton University, 2013.
- [Sil94] Joseph H. Silverman. *Advanced topics in the arithmetic of elliptic curves*, volume 151 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.
- [Tat75] J. Tate. Algorithm for determining the type of a singular fiber in an elliptic pencil. In *Modular functions of one variable, IV (Proc. Internat. Summer School, Univ. Antwerp, Antwerp, 1972)*, pages 33–52. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 476, 1975.
- [Wil96] A. J. Wilkie. Model completeness results for expansions of the ordered field of real numbers by restricted Pfaffian functions and the exponential function. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 9(4):1051–1094, 1996.
- [You06] Matthew P. Young. Low-lying zeros of families of elliptic curves. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 19(1):205–250, 2006.