

SOME CRITERIA FOR STABLY BIRATIONAL EQUIVALENCE OF QUADRATIC FORMS

SYLVAIN ROUSSEY

ABSTRACT. Let φ and ψ be quadratic forms over a field K of characteristic different from 2. In this paper, we give a criterion for isotropy of φ over the function field of ψ in terms of representations and we apply it to stably birational equivalence of φ and ψ . Then we use this criterion to investigate the case of stably birational equivalence of multiples of Pfister forms. Finally, we give a criterion of stably birational equivalence of quadratic forms in terms of isomorphisms of quotients of special Clifford groups by the kernel of the spinor norm.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let φ be a nondegenerate quadratic form over a field K of characteristic different from 2. The function field of φ , denoted by $K(\varphi)$, is the function field of the projective quadric defined by the equation $\varphi = 0$. An important and difficult question in the algebraic theory of quadratic forms asked by Lam in [21], chapter X, question 4.4B is the following :

Problem 1.1. *Consider a quadratic form φ , for which K -quadratic forms ψ is $\varphi_{K(\psi)}$ isotropic?*

We first present a characterization of isotropy analogous to Knebusch's norm theorem and to Pfister's third representation theorem. This criterion is equivalent to another characterization due to Witt ([26], 1956), Knebusch, ([16], 1973) and Bayer-Fluckiger ([1], 1995). More precisely, if we denote by $T_K(\varphi)$ the multiplicative group generated by $D_K(\varphi)$, the set of values represented by φ and if we denote by $N_K(\varphi)$ the multiplicative group generated by $D_K(\varphi)D_K(\varphi)$ in $T_K(\varphi)$, we get the following result :

Theorem 3.7. *Let φ and ψ be two anisotropic K -quadratic forms.*

If we write $X = X_1, \dots, X_{\dim(\psi)}$, then the following assertions are equivalent :

- (1) $\varphi_{K(\psi)}$ is isotropic ;
- (2) $D_F(\psi)D_F(\psi) \subset D_F(\varphi)D_F(\varphi)$ for every field extension F/K ;
- (3) $a\psi(X) \in D_{K(X)}(\varphi)D_{K(X)}(\varphi)$ for every $a \in D_K(\psi)$;
- (4) $N_F(\psi) \subset N_F(\varphi)$ for every field extension F/K ;
- (5) $a\psi(X) \in N_{K(X)}(\varphi)$ for every $a \in D_K(\psi)$;
- (6) $T_F(a\psi) \subset T_F(\varphi)$ for every field extension F/K and every $a \in D_K(\psi)$;
- (7) $a\psi(X) \in T_{K(X)}(\varphi)$ for every $a \in D_K(\psi)$.

Date: May 23, 2022.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11E04, 11E81, 11E88, 11E99, 15A66, 12F20, 14H05.

Key words and phrases. Quadratic form, stably birational equivalence, function field of quadratic forms, isotropy, representation of polynomials, spinor norm, Clifford group.

Moreover, since problem 1.1 concerns an order relation, it is natural to wonder about the induced equivalence relation. So we say that φ and ψ are stably birationally equivalent and we write $\varphi \underset{stb}{\sim} \psi$ if both $\varphi_{K(\psi)}$ and $\psi_{K(\varphi)}$ are isotropic, and a new question appears.

Problem 1.2. *For which K -quadratic forms φ and ψ do we have $\varphi \underset{stb}{\sim} \psi$?*

Theorem 3.7 easily implies the following characterisation of stably birational equivalence of quadratic forms :

Theorem 3.18. *Let φ and ψ be two anisotropic K -quadratic forms of dimension ≥ 2 , the following assertions are equivalent :*

- (1) $\varphi \underset{stb}{\sim} \psi$;
- (2) for every field extension F/K , $D_F(\psi)D_F(\psi) = D_F(\varphi)D_F(\varphi)$;
- (3) for every field extension F/K , $N_F(\psi) = N_F(\varphi)$.

If φ and ψ represent 1, these assertions are also equivalent to

- (4) for every field extension F/K , $T_F(\psi) = T_F(\varphi)$.

In [6], theorem 3.2, Fitzgerald has investigated the link between hyperbolicity of φ over $K(\psi)$ and the hyperbolicity of $\pi \otimes \varphi$ over $K(\pi \otimes \psi)$ where π is a n -fold Pfister form. Elman and Lam gave implicitly the same kind of criterion for isotropy ([5], proposition 2.2). We give a new proof to this result and propose a kind of converse to get :

Theorem 4.4. *Let φ and ψ be two anisotropic K -quadratic forms of dimensions ≥ 2 . φ is isotropic over $K(\psi)$, if and only if for every field extension F/K and for every form $\pi \in GP_n F$, $\pi \otimes \varphi$ is isotropic over $F(\pi \otimes \psi)$.*

Then, we apply theorem 4.4 to stably birational equivalence to prove :

Theorem 4.5. *Let φ and ψ be two anisotropic K -quadratic forms of dimensions ≥ 2 , then the following assertions are equivalent :*

- (1) $\varphi \underset{stb}{\sim} \psi$ over K ;
- (2) for every field extension F/K , $D_F(\psi)D_F(\psi) = D_F(\varphi)D_F(\varphi)$;
- (3) for every $n \geq 1$,

$$\langle\langle X_1, \dots, X_n \rangle\rangle \otimes \varphi \underset{stb}{\sim} \langle\langle X_1, \dots, X_n \rangle\rangle \otimes \psi$$

over $K(X_1, \dots, X_n)$;

- (4) for every field extension F/K , for every $n \geq 1$ and for every $\tau \in GP_n(F)$,

$$\varphi \otimes \tau \underset{stb}{\sim} \psi \otimes \tau.$$

We then apply theorem 3.7 and a work of Rost ([22]) to obtain a stable birational equivalence criterion for quadratic forms involving an isomorphism of modified Clifford groups of the considered forms. More precisely, using the notation of [22], we get the following result :

Theorem 5.2. *Let φ , ψ be two anisotropic K -quadratic forms, the following assertions are equivalent :*

- (1) $\varphi \underset{stb}{\sim} \psi$;
 (2) for every field extension F/K , there exists a group isomorphism

$$\xi_F : S\Gamma_F(\varphi)^\diamond \rightarrow S\Gamma_F(\psi)^\diamond$$

such that for every $x \in S\Gamma_F(\varphi)^\diamond$, $\text{sn}^\diamond(x) = \text{sn}^\diamond(\xi_F(x))$;

- (3) for every field extension F/K , there exists a group isomorphism

$$\bar{\xi}_F : \overline{S\Gamma_F(\varphi)}^\diamond \rightarrow \overline{S\Gamma_F(\psi)}^\diamond$$

such that for every $\bar{x} \in \overline{S\Gamma_F(\varphi)}^\diamond$, $\overline{\text{sn}}^\diamond(\bar{x}) = \overline{\text{sn}}^\diamond(\bar{\xi}_F(\bar{x}))$.

Note that, if $\dim(\varphi) = \dim(\psi) \leq 7$, all those stably birational equivalence conditions are also equivalent to $K(\varphi) \simeq_K K(\psi)$, as shown in [8], [7], [19], [14], [17], [9], [10], [18], [13], [15] and [23].

2. BASIC DEFINITIONS AND USEFUL RESULTS

Throughout this paper, we will only consider finite-dimensional nondegenerate quadratic forms, and we call them forms for short. We always assume fields to have characteristic different from 2. Most of the notations we use are borrowed from the books by Lam ([20] and [21]) and Scharlau ([24]). The reader can refer to those books for general background on quadratic forms.

Let φ and ψ be forms over K . If they are isometric, we write $\varphi \simeq \psi$. They are said to be similar if there exists $a \in K$ such that $\varphi \simeq a\psi$. We will denote the orthogonal sum of φ and ψ by $\varphi \perp \psi$ and their tensor product by $\varphi \otimes \psi$. We say that ψ is a subform of φ if there exists a form σ such that $\varphi \simeq \psi \perp \sigma$. In this case, we write $\psi \subset \varphi$.

Let \mathbb{H} denote the hyperbolic plane $\langle -1, 1 \rangle$. A form φ is said to be isotropic if it represents 0 non trivially or, equivalently, if $\mathbb{H} \subset \varphi$. It is called hyperbolic if $\varphi \simeq \mathbb{H} \perp \dots \perp \mathbb{H}$. If two forms φ and ψ are Witt-equivalent, we write $\varphi \sim \psi$, in particular, $\varphi \sim 0$ means that φ is hyperbolic.

If we consider φ as a form over an extension F/K , we write φ_F . $D_K(\varphi)$ is the set of elements of K^* represented by φ and $G_K(\varphi)$ is the set of similarity factors of φ , that is to say, the group of elements $a \in K^*$ such that $a\varphi \simeq \varphi$. The multiplicative subgroup generated by $D_K(\varphi)$ in K^* is denoted by $T_K(\varphi)$, note that this group contains $G_K(\varphi)$.

Let φ be a form of dimension ≥ 3 , then the function field of φ is denoted by $K(\varphi)$. In particular, if $\varphi \simeq \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$, then

$$K(\varphi) = K(X_2, \dots, X_{n-1}) \left(\sqrt{-\frac{1}{a_1}(a_2X_2^2 + \dots + a_{n-1}X_{n-1}^2 + a_n)} \right)$$

and if $\varphi \simeq \langle a_1, a_2 \rangle$, then $K(\varphi)$ is defined as $K(\sqrt{-a_1a_2})$, which yields K if φ is isotropic. We also put $K(\varphi) = K$ if $\dim(\varphi) \leq 1$. The affine function field of φ will be denoted by $K(\varphi)^\#$. This field is a transcendental extension of $K(\varphi)$ of transcendence degree 1. Note that for every a in K^* , we have $K(\varphi) = K(a\varphi)$. We will sometimes use that $K(\varphi)/K$ is a purely transcendental extension if and only if φ is isotropic over K .

An n -fold Pfister form over K is a form of type $\langle 1, -a_1 \rangle \otimes \dots \otimes \langle 1, -a_n \rangle$ where $a_i \in K^*$, it will be denoted by $\langle\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle\rangle$. The set of forms isometric (resp. similar) to n -fold Pfister form will be denoted by P_nK (resp. GP_nK).

3. ANALOGUES OF THE THIRD REPRESENTATION THEOREM

The well know Pfister's third representation theorem is the following ([24], chapter 4, Theorem 3.7) :

Theorem 3.1 (Pfister, 1965). *Let $\varphi \simeq \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ and $\psi \simeq \langle b_1, \dots, b_m \rangle$ two anisotropic forms over K , then the following assertions are equivalent :*

- (1) $\psi \subset \varphi$;
- (2) $D_F(\psi) \subset D_F(\varphi)$ for every field extension F/K ;
- (3) φ represents $\psi(t_1, \dots, t_m) = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i t_i^2$ over $K(t_1, \dots, t_m)$.

In particular, $\varphi \simeq \psi$ if and only if for every field extension F/K , $D_F(\psi) = D_F(\varphi)$.

This result gives sufficient and necessary conditions equivalent for φ to be a subform of ψ , and Knebusch's norm theorem, formulated in [16], gives an equivalence between hyperbolicity and a condition of the same type.

Theorem 3.2 (Knebusch, 1973). *Let φ and ψ be anisotropic forms such that ψ represents 1 and $\dim \psi = n \geq 2$. The following assertions are equivalent :*

- (1) $\psi(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in G_{K(X_1, \dots, X_n)}(\varphi)$;
- (2) $\varphi_{K(\psi)} \sim 0$.

Proof : See [16], Theorem 4.2. \square

We will say that a polynomial is unitary over the ring $K[X_1, \dots, X_m]$ if its leading coefficient with respect to the lexicographical ordering of monomials is 1. Then, we denote by $K(g)$ the field of fractions of $K[X_1, \dots, X_m]/(g)$ for an irreducible polynomial g of $K[X_1, \dots, X_m]$. Then the well known formulation of Knebusch's norm theorem above is actually a corollary of the more general following result :

Theorem 3.3 (Knebusch, 73). *Let φ be an anisotropic quadratic form such that $1 \in D_K(\varphi)$. Let $a \in K^*$ and let $f_1, \dots, f_r \in K[X_1, \dots, X_m]$ be distinct unitary irreducible polynomials, and let $f = a f_1 \dots f_r$.*

The following assertions are equivalent :

- (1) $f \in G_{K(X_1, \dots, X_m)}(\varphi)$;
- (2) $a \in G_K(\varphi)$ and $f_i \in G_{K(X_1, \dots, X_m)}(\varphi)$ for every $i = 1, \dots, r$;
- (3) $a \in G_K(\varphi)$ and $\varphi_{K(f_i)}$ is hyperbolic for all $i = 1, \dots, r$.

Proof : See [16], Theorem 4.2. \square

Note that if φ represents 1, the condition $\psi(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in G_{K(X_1, \dots, X_n)}(\varphi)$ in theorem 3.2 implies $\psi(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in D_{K(X_1, \dots, X_n)}(\varphi)$, just like $\varphi_{K(\psi)} \sim 0$ implies $\psi \subset \varphi$ (using the subform theorem from [25], theorem 2).

We can then ask if we can exhibit a similar kind of condition for the isotropy of φ over $K(\psi)$. Note first that, as early as 1956, Witt, in [26] (see [27]) had presented an isotropy criterion similar to the third representation theorem (a theorem which was not yet known). In Witt's formulation, the conditions only concerned irreducible polynomials in one variable represented by a quadratic form, but Knebusch noticed in [16] that the argument was valid for irreducible polynomials in m variables. We denote by $T_{K(X_1, \dots, X_m)}(\varphi)$ the multiplicative subgroup generated by $D_{K(X_1, \dots, X_m)}(\varphi)$ in $K(X_1, \dots, X_m)$. Bayer-Fluckiger developed Knebusch's proof to formulate in [1] the following theorem :

Theorem 3.4 (Witt, 1956 ; Knebusch, 1973 ; Bayer-Fluckiger, 1995).

Let φ be an anisotropic quadratic form which represents 1, let $a \in K^*$, let $f_1, \dots, f_r \in K[X_1, \dots, X_m]$ be distinct unitary irreducible polynomials, and let $f = af_1 \dots f_r$.

The following assertions are equivalent :

- (1) $f \in T_{K(X_1, \dots, X_m)}(\varphi)$;
- (2) $a \in T_K(\varphi)$ and $f_i \in T_{K(X_1, \dots, X_m)}(\varphi)$ for every $i = 1, \dots, r$;
- (3) $a \in T_K(\varphi)$ and $\varphi_{K(f_i)}$ is isotropic for every $i = 1, \dots, r$.

Proof : See [1], Theorem 1. \square

In particular, if $r = 1$ and f is a quadratic form, we get the following characterizations :

Theorem 3.5. Let φ and ψ be two anisotropic K -quadratic forms with $\dim \psi \geq 2$. Suppose that ψ and φ represent 1, then with the notation $X = X_1, \dots, X_{\dim(\psi)}$,

- (1) **(Knebusch, 1973).**
 φ is hyperbolic over $K(\psi)$ if and only if $\psi(X) \in G_{K(X)}(\varphi)$;
- (2) **(Pfister, 1965).**
 φ contains ψ if and only if $\psi(X) \in D_{K(X)}(\varphi)$;
- (3) **(Witt, 1956 ; Knebusch, 1973 ; Bayer-Fluckiger, 1995).**
 φ is isotropic over $K(\psi)$ if and only if $\psi(X) \in T_{K(X)}(\varphi)$.

Proof : See [1], Theorem 9. \square

3.1. An alternative solution. We are going to reformulate theorem 3.4 in the case of quadratic forms and we will consider sets that are in general smaller than $T_K(\varphi)$, namely $D_K(\varphi)D_K(\varphi)$ and the multiplicative group $N_K(\varphi)$ generated by $D_K(\varphi)D_K(\varphi)$ in $T_K(\varphi)$.

Note that $N_K(\varphi)$ is the group of norms of a quadric. Indeed, let X be a variety over K , then the group of norms $N_K(X)$ is generated by all norms $z \in N_{L/K}(L^*)$ where L runs over every finite extension of K for which X admits an L -rational point. If $X = Q$ is a quadric associated with a nonsingular quadratic form q of dimension ≥ 3 , then Colliot-Thélène and Skorobogatov showed in lemma 2.2 of [3] that $N_K(Q) = N_K(q)$.

Remark 3.6. Note that we have $[T_K(\varphi) : N_K(\varphi)] = 1$ or 2 , and $T_K(\varphi) = N_K(\varphi)$ if $1 \in D_K(\varphi)$.

For example, if $\varphi = \langle a \rangle$ with $a \in K^* \setminus K^{*2}$, then $T_K(\varphi) = K^{*2} \cup aK^{*2}$ whereas $N_K(\varphi) = K^{*2}$, hence $[T_K(\varphi) : N_K(\varphi)] = 2$. However, if, say, $K = K_0((X))(Y)$ is the iterated Laurent series field in two variables over a field K_0 of characteristic not 2, and if $\varphi = \langle X, Y, XY \rangle$, then $1 \notin D_K(\varphi)$. Nonetheless, $T_K(\varphi) = N_K(\varphi) = K^{*2} \cup XK^{*2} \cup YK^{*2} \cup XYK^{*2} = D_K(\langle \langle -X, -Y \rangle \rangle)$.

Theorem 3.7. Let φ and ψ be two anisotropic K -quadratic forms with $\dim \psi \geq 2$. If we write $X = X_1, \dots, X_{\dim(\psi)}$, then the following assertions are equivalent :

- (1) $\varphi_{K(\psi)}$ is isotropic ;
- (2) $D_F(\psi)D_F(\psi) \subset D_F(\varphi)D_F(\varphi)$ for every field extension F/K ;
- (3) $a\psi(X) \in D_{K(X)}(\varphi)D_{K(X)}(\varphi)$ for every $a \in D_K(\psi)$;
- (4) $N_F(\psi) \subset N_F(\varphi)$ for every field extension F/K ;
- (5) $a\psi(X) \in N_{K(X)}(\varphi)$ for every $a \in D_K(\psi)$;

- (6) $T_F(a\psi) \subset T_F(\varphi)$ for every field extension F/K and every $a \in D_K(\psi)$;
(7) $a\psi(X) \in T_{K(X)}(\varphi)$ for every $a \in D_K(\psi)$.

Lemma 3.8. *For every $a \in D_K(\varphi)$, $N_K(\varphi) = T_K(a\varphi)$.*

Proof : Let V be the underlying vector space of φ . If $a = \varphi(y)$ for some $y \in V$, and if $x \in T_K(a\varphi)$, then $x = \prod_{i=1}^r a\varphi(x_i)$ for some $x_1, \dots, x_r \in V$ and we have

$$x = \prod_{i=1}^r \varphi(y)\varphi(x_i) \in N_K(\varphi).$$

Conversely, let $x \in N_K(\varphi)$, then $x = \prod_{i=1}^r \varphi(y_i)\varphi(x_i) = \prod_{i=1}^r \varphi(y_i) \prod_{i=1}^r \varphi(x_i)$ for some $x_i, y_i \in V$, $1 \leq i \leq r$, and thus, we will have $x = \prod_{i=1}^r a\varphi(\frac{y_i}{a}) \prod_{i=1}^r a\varphi(x_i)$. Therefore $x \in T_K(a\varphi)$. \square

Lemma 3.9. *Let φ, ψ, ξ be quadratic forms over K with ψ isotropic over $K(\xi)$. If φ is isotropic over $K(\psi)$, then φ is isotropic over $K(\xi)$*

Proof : If φ is isotropic over $K(\psi)$, then it is so over $K(\psi)(\xi) = K(\xi)(\psi)$. But ψ is isotropic over $K(\xi)$, thus $K(\xi)(\psi)$ is purely transcendental over $K(\xi)$ and hence φ is already isotropic over $K(\xi)$. \square

Lemma 3.10. *Let φ and ψ be two K -forms of dimensions ≥ 2 . If φ is isotropic over $K(\psi)$, then for every field extension F/K , $D_F(\psi)D_F(\psi) \subset D_F(\varphi)D_F(\varphi)$.*

Proof : If φ is isotropic over K , it will be so over any extension F over K . Therefore $D_F(\varphi)D_F(\varphi) = F^*$ for every field extension F/K and the property is verified. If ψ is isotropic, then $K(\psi)$ is a purely transcendental extension of K . Since φ is a K -form isotropic over a purely transcendental extension of K , it is isotropic already over K . According to the previous case, the property is still verified.

Suppose that φ is anisotropic over K and isotropic over $K(\psi)$, then for every field extension F/K , φ will also be isotropic over $F(\psi)$. We may also assume that φ is anisotropic over F , otherwise the property is obvious. Let then $c \in D_F(\psi)$, we can write $\psi \simeq \langle c \rangle \perp c\tau$ and therefore the sets $cD_F(\psi)$, $D_F(c\psi)$ and $D_F(\langle 1 \rangle \perp \tau)$ are equal. Consider now an element a of $D_F(c\psi)$, we can write $a = x^2 + y$ with $x \in F$ and $y \in D_F(\tau)$ or $y = 0$.

If $y \neq 0$, then $c\psi \simeq \langle 1, y \rangle \perp \eta$. But then $\psi_{F(\langle 1, y \rangle)}$ is isotropic and $\varphi_{F(\langle 1, y \rangle)}$ is isotropic by lemma 3.9, which gives, using lemma 4.2 from [11], $\varphi \simeq b\langle 1, y \rangle \perp \xi$ for some $b \in F$. As a consequence, we will have $x^2 + y = (bx^2 + by)\frac{b}{b^2} \in D_F(\varphi)D_F(\varphi)$. If $y = 0$, for every $b \in D_F(\varphi)$, $a = x^2 = bx^2\frac{b}{b^2} \in D_F(\varphi)D_F(\varphi)$. For every $c \in D_F(\psi)$, we thus get that $cD_F(\psi) \subset D_F(\varphi)D_F(\varphi)$.

Then, if $d \in D_F(\psi)D_F(\psi)$, we can write $d = ef$ where $e, f \in D_F(\psi)$. But then it is clear that $d \in eD_F(\psi)$ and therefore, from the above, $d \in D_F(\varphi)D_F(\varphi)$. \square

Lemma 3.11. *Let $f \in K[X_1, \dots, X_m] = K[X]$ be an irreducible polynomial and φ a quadratic form. If there exists $a \in K^*$ such that $af \in T_{K(X)}(\varphi)$, then $\varphi_{K(f)}$ is isotropic.*

Proof : Say $\dim \varphi = n$. Since replacing f by af does not change anything regarding isotropy, we may assume that $a = 1$. Write $f = \prod_{i=1}^r \varphi\left(\frac{P_{i1}}{g_i}, \dots, \frac{P_{in}}{g_i}\right)$, with

$P_{i,j}, g_i \in K[X]$ and $g_i \neq 0$. Let $g = \prod_{i=1}^r g_i$. Then $fg^2 = \prod_{i=1}^r \varphi(P_{i1}, \dots, P_{in})$. If there exists i such that $\forall 1 \leq j \leq n$, $f|P_{ij}$, then $f^2|fg^2$. Then $f|g$ since f is irreducible and we may replace P_{ij} by $\frac{P_{ij}}{f} \in K[X]$ and g by $\frac{g}{f} \in K[X]$. Repeating this if necessary, we may eventually assume that to each $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ there exists $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $f \nmid P_{ij}$.

Since $f|\prod_{i=1}^r \varphi(P_{i1}, \dots, P_{in})$ and f irreducible, there exists $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ such that $f|\varphi(P_{i1}, \dots, P_{in})$.

Then there exists $h \in K[X]$ such that $\varphi(P_{i1}, \dots, P_{in}) = fh$.

Since not all P_{ij} divisible by f , this shows that φ is isotropic over the integral domain $K[X]/(f)$ and hence also over its quotient field $K(f)$. \square

Proof of theorem 3.7 : First, (1) \Rightarrow (2) is given by lemma 3.10.

We will now use that, by definition, $D_F(\varphi)D_F(\varphi) \subset N_F(\varphi) \subset T_F(\varphi)$ for every field extension F/K . This shows in particular that (3) \Rightarrow (5) \Rightarrow (7). Moreover, since $N_F(\varphi)$ is the multiplicative group generated by $D_F(\varphi)D_F(\varphi)$, we will always have (2) \Rightarrow (4). Finally, using lemma 3.8, we have $T_F(a\psi) = N_F(\psi)$. Then, as $N_F(\varphi) \subset T_F(\varphi)$ for every field extension F/K and for every $a \in D_K(\psi)$, we will have (4) \Rightarrow (6). As (3) and (7) are respectively special cases of (2) and (6), we will have (2) \Rightarrow (3) and (6) \Rightarrow (7). Thus, we get (1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (4) \Rightarrow (6) \Rightarrow (7) and (1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (5) \Rightarrow (7).

Since $\psi(X)$ is irreducible for $\dim \psi = 2$ and ψ anisotropic and for $\dim \psi \geq 3$, lemma 3.11 shows that (7) \Rightarrow (1). \square

3.2. More representation results. In her proof of the theorem 3.4, Bayer-Fluckiger uses the following result, a variant of which we will prove below :

Lemma 3.12. *Let φ be a quadratic form of dimension n and let $f \in K[X_1, \dots, X_m]$ be an irreducible polynomial such that its leading coefficient with respect to the lexicographical ordering is in $N_K(\varphi)$. If f divides a polynomial of the form $\varphi(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ with $p_i \in K[X_1, \dots, X_m]$ setwise coprime, then $f \in N_{K(X_1, \dots, X_m)}(\varphi)$.*

Proof : [1] §3, lemme. \square

It seems interesting to formulate the following variant because it leads to consider a simpler formulation of the third point of theorem 3.5. The set $\left\{ \prod_{i=1}^r a_i \mid a_i \in D_K(\varphi) \right\}$ of products of r elements of $D_K(\varphi)$ will be denoted by $D_K(\varphi)^r$.

Proposition 3.13. *Let $f \in K[X]$ be an irreducible unitary polynomial in one variable X . Let φ be a quadratic form of dimension n . If f divides a polynomial of the form $\varphi(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ with $p_i \in K[X]$ not all divisible by f , then $f \in D_{K(X)}(\varphi)^m$ with $m \leq \deg_X(f)$.*

proof : If $\dim(\varphi) = 1$ then $\varphi = \langle a \rangle$ and $ap^2 = fg$, so f divides p , which contradicts the hypothesis that the p_i are not all divisible by f . The case of dimension 1 is therefore excluded. If φ is isotropic, then we have $f \in D_{K(X)}(\varphi) = K(X)^*$. We may therefore assume that φ is anisotropic of dimension ≥ 2 . Let us consider the degree of f .

If $\deg_X(f) = 1$, then there exists $a \in K$ such that $f = X - a$. Suppose then

that $(X - a)g(X) = \varphi(p_1, \dots, p_n)$. In this case, $0 = \varphi(p_1(a), \dots, p_n(a))$. As φ is anisotropic, we will have $p_i(a) = 0$ for every i . But then every p_i is divisible by $f = X - a$, which contradicts our hypotheses.

If $\deg_X(f) = 2$, after a linear change of variable, we may assume that there exists $a \in K^*$ such that $f = X^2 - a$, an irreducible polynomial. We can then write $(X^2 - a)g(X) = \varphi(p_1, \dots, p_n)$. Let us consider $K(\sqrt{a})$ and evaluate in $X = \sqrt{a}$. If $p_i(\sqrt{a}) = 0$ for every i , then there exists $q_i \in K[X]$ such that $p_i = (X^2 - a)q_i$ for every i , which contradicts our hypothesis. So we may assume that there exists k such that $p_k(\sqrt{a}) \neq 0$. As $\varphi(p_1(\sqrt{a}), \dots, p_n(\sqrt{a})) = 0$ with the $p_i(\sqrt{a})$ not all zero, it follows that φ becomes isotropic over $K(\sqrt{a})$. From [24], chapter 2, lemma 5.1, we will then have $\varphi \simeq c\langle 1, -a \rangle \perp \varphi'$. So φ represents $\frac{1}{c}$ and $c(X^2 - a)$ over $K(X)$, so $f = X^2 - a \in D_{K(X)}(\varphi)D_{K(X)}(\varphi)$.

Let us then proceed by induction on the degree. We can divide the p_i by f to get $p_i = \xi_i f + \bar{p}_i$ where $\deg_X(\bar{p}_i) < \deg_X(f)$. Recall that, if $p = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$, then we will have by hypothesis $\varphi(p) = fg$ for a certain polynomial g . In this case, if $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$ and $\bar{p} = (\bar{p}_1, \dots, \bar{p}_n)$, then we can write :

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\bar{p}) &= \varphi(p) + f^2\varphi(\xi) - 2fb_\varphi(p, \xi) \\ &= \underbrace{f(g + f\varphi(\xi) - 2b_\varphi(p, \xi))}_{ah} \\ &= afh. \end{aligned}$$

with $a \in K^*$ and $h \in K[X]$ unitary. Consider $h = \prod h_j$ where the $h_j \in K[X]$ are irreducible unitary, then $\deg_X(f) + \sum \deg_X(h_j) \leq 2 \max_i(\deg_X(\bar{p}_i)) < 2 \deg_X(f)$. As a consequence, $\deg_X(h_j) \leq \underbrace{\sum \deg_X(h_j)}_{\deg_X(h)} < \deg_X(f)$.

Since not all $\bar{p}_i = 0$ and since φ is anisotropic, a simple degree argument yields that $\deg_X(\varphi(\bar{p}))$ is even, hence $\deg_X(h) \leq \deg_X(f) - 2$. If an h_k divides every \bar{p}_i then h_k^2 divides $\varphi(\bar{p}) = afh$, and thus h_k^2 divides h since both f and h_k are irreducible and $\deg_X(h_k) < \deg_X(f)$. After cancelling such an h_k^2 from h and h_k from each \bar{p}_i , we may in fact assume that we have $afh = af \prod_j h_j \varphi(p')$ with

$p' = (p'_1, \dots, p'_n) \in K[X]^n$, and the h_j irreducible unitary and not dividing every p'_i .

Let us first show that $a \in D_K(\varphi)$. As f and the h_j are unitary, the highest degree coefficient of $af \prod h_j$ is exactly a . Let's denote by a'_i the highest coefficient degree of each p'_i and let d be the maximum degree of p'_i , we may then write

$$\tilde{a}_i = \begin{cases} a'_i & \text{if } \deg_X(p'_i) = d \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{and } \tilde{a} = (\tilde{a}_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_n). \text{ Since } \varphi \text{ is anisotropic, the coef-}$$

ficient of highest degree of $\varphi(p')$ is $\varphi(\tilde{a})$. Thus, $a = \varphi(\tilde{a})$ and $a \in D_K(\varphi)$.

If $k = 1$, then $f = \frac{1}{a}\varphi(p') \in D_K(\varphi)D_{K(X)}(\varphi) \subset D_{K(X)}(\varphi)^2$ with $2 \leq \deg_X(f)$.

If $k \neq 1$, then as $\deg_X(h_j) < \deg_X(f)$ we can apply the induction hypothesis which implies that $h_j \in D_{K(X)}(\varphi)^{m_j}$ with $m_j \leq \deg_X(h_j)$, hence with $h = \prod h_j$ we get $f = \frac{1}{ah}\varphi(p') \in D_K(\varphi)D_{K(X)}(\varphi) \sum^{m_j} D_{K(X)}(\varphi)$ and thus $f \in D_{K(X)}(\varphi) \sum^{m_j+2}$ with $\sum m_j + 2 \leq \deg_X(h) \leq \deg_X(f)$. \square

We can also use the proposition 3.13 to show a more general property than the equivalence $1 \Leftrightarrow 3$ of the theorem 3.7, and more precise than the theorem 3.4 in the case of polynomials in one variable.

Proposition 3.14. *Let φ be an anisotropic quadratic form representing 1. Let $f \in K[X]$ be an irreducible unitary polynomial in one variable. Then the following assertions are equivalent :*

- (1) $f \in D_{K(X)}(\varphi)^m$ with $m \leq \deg_X(f)$;
- (2) $\varphi_{K(f)}$ is isotropic.

Lemma 3.15. *If a quadratic form φ of dimension n over K becomes isotropic over $K(f)$ where $f \in K[X_1, \dots, X_m] = K[X]$ is an irreducible polynomial, then f divides a polynomial of the form $\varphi(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ with the $p_i \in K[X_1 \dots X_m]$ not all divisible by f .*

Proof : Since φ is isotropic over $K(f) = \text{Quot}(K[X]/(f))$, after clearing denominators we can find $\overline{p}_1, \dots, \overline{p}_n \in K[X]/(f)$ not all $\overline{p}_i = 0$ such that $\varphi(\overline{p}_1, \dots, \overline{p}_n) = 0$ in $K[X]/(f)$, or, equivalently, we can find $p_1, \dots, p_n, h \in K[X]$, not every p_i divisible by f , such that $\varphi(p_1, \dots, p_n) = fh$. \square

Proof of the proposition 3.14 : (1) \Rightarrow (2) As $f \in D_{K(X)}(\varphi)^m$ with $m \leq \deg_X(\varphi)$, this implies that $f \in T_{K(X)}(\varphi)$ and it suffices to apply lemma 3.11.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) As $\varphi_{K(f)}$ is isotropic, according to lemma 3.15, f divides a polynomial of the form $\varphi(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ with the $p_i \in K[X]$ not all divisible by f . It is then sufficient to apply proposition 3.13. \square

In Corollary 1 of [1], Bayer-Fluckiger establishes that if φ is a form that represents 1 and $f \in K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ is an irreducible and unitary polynomial, φ is isotopic over $K(f)$ if and only if $f \in T_{K(X_1, \dots, X_n)}(\varphi)$. We will now present a finer version of this equivalence where a bound appears for the number of factors in $T_{K(X_1, \dots, X_n)}(\varphi)$. This result, which also refines the theorem 3.4 and implies (1) \Leftrightarrow (3) in the theorem 3.7, was obtained in collaboration with Detlev W. Hoffmann.

Theorem 3.16. *Let φ be an anisotropic quadratic form of dimension n which represents 1. We write $X = X_1, \dots, X_n$. Let $f \in K[X]$ be an irreducible polynomial where all X_i appear. If the degree of the term of highest degree for the lexicographical order is M and if f is unitary for the lexicographical order, then the following assertions are equivalent :*

- (1) $f \in D_{K(X)}(\varphi)^m$ with $m \leq M$;
- (2) $\varphi_{K(f)}$ is isotropic.

Lemma 3.17. *Let φ be an anisotropic quadratic form of dimension n over K , and let $a \in D_{K((Y))}(\varphi)$. Let $\nu_Y : K((Y)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \infty$ be the Y -adic valuation. We write $X = X_1, \dots, X_n \in K((Y))^m$ and $a = \varphi(X)$.*

Then $\nu_Y(a) = 2 \min\{\nu_Y(X_i) | 1 \leq i \leq n\}$.

In particular, if $a \in D_{K((Y))}(\varphi)^m \cap K$, then $a \in D_K(\varphi)^m$.

Proof : The fact that $\nu_Y(a) = 2 \min\{\nu_Y(X_i) | 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ follows easily from the anisotropy of φ with a standard argument comparing terms of lowest degree in the $X_i \in K((Y))$.

If $a \in D_{K((Y))}(\varphi)^m \cap K$, let $a_i \in D_{K((Y))}(\varphi)$ with $a = \prod_{i=1}^m a_i$. By the above, there

are $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\nu_Y(a_i^{2k_i}) = 0$. Put $a'_i = a_i Y^{2k_i} \in D_{K((Y))}(\varphi)$, $k = \sum_{i=1}^m 2k_i$. Then $\prod_{i=1}^m a'_i = a Y^k$ and $0 = \nu_Y\left(\prod_{i=1}^m a'_i\right) = \nu_Y(a) + k = k$ since $\nu_Y(a) = 0$. Hence $k = 0$ and $a = \prod_{i=1}^m a'_i$. Also by the above, we can now write $a'_i = \varphi(X_{i1}, \dots, X_{in})$ with $X_{ij} \in K((Y))$ and $\nu_Y(X_{ij}) \geq 0$, that is to say $X_{ij} \in K[[Y]]$. Passing to the residue field K (that is to say putting $Y = 0$) we get $a = a(0) = \prod_{i=1}^m a'_i(0)$ with $a'_i(0) = \varphi(X_{i1}, \dots, X_{in}(0)) \in D_K(\varphi)$, hence the claim. \square

proof of theorem 3.16 : We will write $X' = X_2, \dots, X_n$.

For (1) \Rightarrow (2) comes from lemma 3.11.

For (2) \Rightarrow (1), we proceed by induction on the number of variables, the case of one variable is treated by proposition 3.14.

Let $m = \deg_{X_1}(f)$ and $f = X_1^m f_m + \underbrace{\dots\dots\dots}_{\deg_{X_1} < m}$ where $f_m \in K[X']$.

By Gauss' lemma $F = \frac{f}{f_m} \in K(X')[X_1]$ is a unitary irreducible polynomial in X_1 over $K(X')$ of degree m , and the degree f_m for the lexicographical order is $M - m$. Note also that $K(X')(F) \simeq K(f)$, so by proposition 3.14, F can be written as a product of at most m elements in $D_{K(X)}(\varphi)$ and thus of m elements since $1 \in D_K(\varphi)$. Moreover, by multiplying F by G^2 for a suitable $G \in K[X]$, we may assume that FG^2 is a product of m elements of $D_{K[X]}(\varphi)$, that is $FG^2 = \varphi(p_{11}, \dots, p_{1n}) \dots \varphi(p_{m1}, \dots, p_{mn})$ with $p_{ij} \in K[X]$. Moreover, we may assume that if g is an irreducible factor of G , then there does not exist any k such that g divides every p_{k1}, \dots, p_{kn} , for otherwise we may divide every p_{kj} by g and G^2 by g^2 .

If $\deg(f_m) = 0$, then since f is unitary, $f_m = 1$ and the proof is complete.

If $\deg(f_m) > 0$, then, since φ is isotropic over $K(f)$, it is so also over the integral domain $K[X]/(f)$ and therefore there exists $p_1, \dots, p_n \in K[X]$, not all p_i divisible by f and $h \in K[X]$ such that $hf = \varphi(p_1, \dots, p_n)$. But, since φ is anisotropic, by comparing the coefficients of the highest degree terms in X_1 , we obtain polynomials $h', p'_i \in K[X']$ such that $h'f_m = \varphi(p'_1, \dots, p'_n)$. Then we may write $f_m = r^2 s$ where $r, s \in K[X']$ are unitary and s has no square factors.

If $s = 1$, then $f_m = r^2 \in D_{K(X)}(\varphi)$ and therefore $f = f_m \frac{f}{f_m}$ is a product of at most $m + 1 \leq m + \deg(f_m) = \deg(f) = M$ elements of $D_{K(X)}(\varphi)$, as desired.

If $s \neq 1$, let $t \in K[X']$ be an irreducible factor of s . Then by considering f as a polynomial in X_1 with coefficients in $K[X']$, the polynomial t does not divide all coefficients of f since f is irreducible.

Suppose that K is infinite, then there exists $c \in K$ such that $t = t(X')$ does not divide $f(c, X') \in K[X']$. In particular, $f(c, X') \neq 0$. But as $G^2 F$ is a product of m elements of $D_{K[X]}(\varphi)$ and $f_m \in K[X']$, then $G^2 f f_m = G^2 f_m^2 F$ is always a product of m elements of $D_{K[X]}(\varphi)$ and we can therefore substitute $X_1 = c$. So $G(c, X')^2 f_m(X') f(c, X')$ is always a product of m elements of $D_{K[X']}(\varphi) \cup \{0\}$.

If $G(c, X') = 0$, then $X_1 - c$ divides G and the anisotropy of φ over $K(X')$ implies that for at least one i , we have $p_{i1}(c, X') = \dots = p_{in}(c, X') = 0$. Therefore $X_1 - c$ divides p_{i1}, \dots, p_{in} and G , which contradicts the properties of G .

Thus, $G(c, X') \neq 0$ and therefore $G(c, X') f_m(X') f(c, X') \neq 0$.

So let us write $s = s't$ with $s' \in K[X']$, and $f'(X') = s'(X')f(c, X') \in K[X']$, and $l(X') = G(c, X')r(X') \in K[X']$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(c, X')^2 f_m(X') f(c, X') &= G(c, X')^2 r^2(X') s(X') f(c, X') \\ &= G(c, X')^2 r^2(X') s'(X') t(X') f(c, X') \\ &= l^2 f' t \end{aligned}$$

with t not dividing f' .

Let us set $p'_{ij} = p_{ij}(c, X')$. We then have $l^2 f' t = \varphi(p'_{11}, \dots, p'_{1n}) \dots \varphi(p'_{m1}, \dots, p'_{mn})$. If there is an i such that every p'_{i1}, \dots, p'_{in} is divisible by t , then t^2 divides $l^2 f' t$. Therefore, t divides l , and we can divide l and the p'_{ij} by t and we conclude by an induction on the number of irreducible factors of s . We can therefore assume that there does not exist any i such that every p'_{i1}, \dots, p'_{in} is divisible by t . But there exists k such that t divides $\varphi(p'_{k1}, \dots, p'_{kn})$, so φ becomes isotropic over the integral domain $K[X']/(t)$ and therefore also over its quotient field $K(t)$. By induction hypothesis, since t is irreducible and unitary, t can be written as a product of at most $\deg(t)$ factors in $D_{K(X')}(\varphi)$.

By repeating this process for every irreducible factor of s , it follows from adding the degrees of the factors of s that s and so $f_m = r^2 s$ can be written as a product of at most $\deg(s) \leq \deg(f_m) = M - m$ elements of $D_{K(X')}(\varphi)$. Then, since F is a product of at most m elements of $D_{K(X)}(\varphi)$, we can write $f = F f_m$ as a product of at most $\deg(F) + \deg(f_m) = M - m + m = M$ elements of $D_{K(X)}(\varphi)$.

If K is a finite field, then the field $L = K((Y))$ of formal series in Y over K is infinite. We can therefore apply the previous result which assures us that f is a product of at most M elements of $D_{K((Y))(X)}(\varphi)$. But $K((Y))(X) \subset K(X)((Y))$, and therefore $f \in D_{K(X)((Y))}(\varphi)^M$. Therefore, using lemma 3.17, we get that $f \in D_{K(X)}(\varphi)^M$. \square

3.3. Application to stably birational equivalence. Theorem 3.7 allows to obtain the following criterion in a simple way :

Theorem 3.18. *Let φ and ψ be two anisotropic K -forms of dimension ≥ 2 , then the following assertions are equivalent :*

- (1) $\varphi \underset{stb}{\sim} \psi$;
- (2) for every field extension F/K , $D_F(\psi)D_F(\psi) = D_F(\varphi)D_F(\varphi)$;
- (3) for every field extension F/K , $N_F(\psi) = N_F(\varphi)$.

If φ and ψ represent 1, these assertions are also equivalent to

- (4) for every field extension F/K , $T_F(\psi) = T_F(\varphi)$.

4. LINK BETWEEN THE ISOTROPY OF φ OVER $K(\psi)$ AND THE ISOTROPY OF $\varphi \otimes \pi$ OVER $K(\psi \otimes \pi)$

A Pfister multiple is given by a pair (φ, π) where $\pi \in P_n K$ and φ is a K -form. Given a Pfister form $\pi \in P_n K$, we can ask if the isotropy of φ over $K(\psi)$ has an influence on the behavior of $\varphi \otimes \pi$ over $K(\psi \otimes \pi)$. The same question arises also for the stably birational equivalence and hyperbolicity : are they preserved by multiplying by a Pfister form ? The case of hyperbolicity has been treated in [6] by Fitzgerald who proved the following result ([6], Theorem 3.2.) :

Theorem 4.1. *Let φ and ψ be two K -forms of dimensions ≥ 2 , if φ is hyperbolic over $K(\psi)$, then for every form $\pi \in GP_n K$, $\pi \otimes \varphi$ is hyperbolic over $K(\pi \otimes \psi)$.*

We propose to prove the following result, which was to our knowledge not written down explicitly, although it was already known as a consequence of a famous result by Elman and Lam ([5], proposition 2.2).

Theorem 4.2. *Let φ and ψ be two K -forms of dimensions ≥ 2 , if φ is isotropic over $K(\psi)$, then for any form $\pi \in GP_n K$, $\pi \otimes \varphi$ is isotropic over $K(\pi \otimes \psi)$.*

Lemma 4.3. *Let φ and ψ be two anisotropic K -forms of dimensions ≥ 2 , then the following assertions are equivalent :*

- (1) φ is isotropic over $K(\psi)$;
- (2) for every field extension F/K , $D_F(\psi)D_F(\psi) \subset D_F(\varphi)D_F(\varphi)$;
- (3) $\varphi \perp T\varphi$ is isotropic over $K(T)(\psi \perp T\psi)$;
- (4) $\varphi \perp T\varphi$ is isotropic over $K((T))(\psi \perp T\psi)$;
- (5) for every $n \geq 0$, $\langle\langle X_1, \dots, X_n \rangle\rangle \otimes \varphi_{K(X_1, \dots, X_n)(\langle\langle X_1, \dots, X_n \rangle\rangle \otimes \psi)}$ is isotropic ;
- (6) for every $n \geq 0$, $\langle\langle X_1, \dots, X_n \rangle\rangle \otimes \varphi_{K((X_1)) \dots ((X_n))(\langle\langle X_1, \dots, X_n \rangle\rangle \otimes \psi)}$ is isotropic.

Proof : (1) \Rightarrow (2) follows from lemma 3.10 and (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) \Leftrightarrow (4) follows from [12], lemma 5.3. (4) \Rightarrow (6) and (3) \Rightarrow (5) appear by iterations of the implications (1) \Rightarrow (3) and (1) \Rightarrow (4), noting that $\langle\langle -T \rangle\rangle \otimes \varphi$ becomes isotropic over $K(T)(\langle\langle -T \rangle\rangle \otimes \psi)$ in (3), and over $K((T))(\langle\langle -T \rangle\rangle \otimes \psi)$ in (4), but as $K(T) = K(-T)$ and $K((T)) = K((-T))$, we get (4) \Rightarrow (6) and (3) \Rightarrow (5). (4) and (3) are special cases of (5) and (6). So we have (1) \Rightarrow (2) and (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) \Leftrightarrow (4) \Leftrightarrow (5) \Leftrightarrow (6). To conclude, we can use theorem 3.7 to get (2) \Rightarrow (1). \square

Proof of theorem 4.2 : We may assume that $\pi \in P_n K$ and that the form $\pi \otimes \varphi$ is anisotropic over K . So let us write $\pi \simeq \langle\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle\rangle$. As φ is isotropic on $K(\psi)$, the form $\langle\langle X_1, \dots, X_n \rangle\rangle \otimes \varphi$ is isotropic over $K(X_1, \dots, X_n)(\langle\langle X_1, \dots, X_n \rangle\rangle \otimes \psi)$ according to lemma 4.3.

Let us write $T = X_n - a_n$, then $K(X_1, \dots, X_n) = K(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}, T)$. Consider the completion F of $K(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}, T)$ for the T -adic valuation.

Then $F = K(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1})(T)$ and its residue class field is $f = K(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1})$. Then $X_n \equiv a_n \pmod{T}$ and the forms $\pi \otimes \varphi$ and $\pi \otimes \psi$ are unimodular with residual forms over f given by $\langle\langle X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}, a_n \rangle\rangle \otimes \varphi$ (anisotropic by hypothesis) and $\langle\langle X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}, a_n \rangle\rangle \otimes \psi$. We can then apply [12], lemma 5.3 and deduce that $\langle\langle X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}, a_n \rangle\rangle \otimes \varphi$ is isotropic over $K(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1})(\langle\langle X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}, a_n \rangle\rangle \otimes \psi)$. By repeating this process, we conclude that $\pi \otimes \varphi$ is isotropic over $K(\pi \otimes \psi)$. \square

Note that, more precisely, we have shown the following result :

Theorem 4.4. *Let φ and ψ be two anisotropic K -forms of dimensions ≥ 2 . φ is isotropic over $K(\psi)$ if and only if for every field extension F/K and for every form $\pi \in GP_n F$, $\pi \otimes \varphi$ is isotropic over $F(\pi \otimes \psi)$.*

We can now deduce from the previous results the following :

Theorem 4.5. *Let φ and ψ be two anisotropic K -forms of dimensions ≥ 2 , then the following assertions are equivalent :*

- (1) $\varphi \underset{stb}{\sim} \psi$ over K ;
- (2) for every field extension F/K , $D_F(\psi)D_F(\psi) = D_F(\varphi)D_F(\varphi)$;

(3) for every $n \geq 1$,

$$\langle\langle X_1, \dots, X_n \rangle\rangle \otimes \varphi \underset{stb}{\sim} \langle\langle X_1, \dots, X_n \rangle\rangle \otimes \psi$$

over $K(X_1, \dots, X_n)$;

(4) for every field extension F/K , for every $n \geq 1$ and for every $\tau \in GP_n(F)$,

$$\varphi \otimes \tau \underset{stb}{\sim} \psi \otimes \tau.$$

Corollary 4.6. *Let φ and ψ be two anisotropic K -forms of dimensions ≥ 2 such that $\varphi \underset{stb}{\sim} \psi$, then for every $n \geq 1$ and for every form $\pi \in GP_n K$, $\pi \otimes \varphi \underset{stb}{\sim} \pi \otimes \psi$.*

5. STABLE BIRATIONAL EQUIVALENCE AND CLIFFORD GROUPS

5.1. Special Clifford group and spinor norm. Let φ be a quadratic form over K , $C(\varphi)$ its Clifford algebra, $C_0(\varphi)$ the even part of its Clifford algebra and $C_1(\varphi)$ its odd part. We borrow the following notations and results from [24], chapter 1 and 9. Considering $\varphi : V \rightarrow K$, we identify V with the subspace \bar{V} of $C(\varphi)$ given

$$\text{by the injection } \bar{i} : \begin{cases} V & \rightarrow C(\varphi) \\ x & \mapsto \bar{i}(x) = \bar{x} \end{cases}.$$

The group $O_K(\varphi) = \{\text{isometries } \sigma : (V, \varphi) \rightarrow (V, \varphi)\}$ is called the orthogonal group and $SO_K(\varphi) = \{\sigma \in O_K(\varphi) \mid \det \sigma = 1\}$ the special orthogonal group. Any $\sigma \in O_K(\varphi)$ defines an algebra automorphism $c(\sigma)$ of $C(\varphi)$. This induces a group homomorphism $c : O_K(\varphi) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C(\varphi))$. Consider the automorphism $\gamma = c(-id)$. It defines a grading of $C(\varphi)$ by $\gamma|_{C_0} = id$ and $\gamma|_{C_1} = -id$.

The Clifford group and the special Clifford group of φ over K are respectively defined by $\Gamma_K(\varphi) = \{\alpha \in C(\varphi) \mid \alpha \text{ invertible and } \gamma(\alpha)V\alpha^{-1} = V \in C(\varphi)\}$ and $ST_K(\varphi) = \{\alpha \in C_0(\varphi) \mid \alpha \text{ invertible and } \alpha V\alpha^{-1} = V \in C(\varphi)\}$.

We then get the following commutative diagram ([22], [2] 9, $n^{\circ}4$, theorems 2 and 3, $n^{\circ}5$ theorem 4) :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} K^* & \longrightarrow & ST_K(\varphi) & \longrightarrow & SO_K(\varphi) & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow \text{sn} & & \downarrow \text{sn} & & \\ & & K^* & \longrightarrow & K^*/(K^{*2}) & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

where sn is the spinor norm, given by $\text{sn}(\alpha) = \alpha^t \alpha$ and $ST_K(\varphi)$ acts on V by $\alpha(v) = \alpha v \alpha^{-1}$. We can then define the following group : $ST_K(\varphi)^\diamond = ST_K(\varphi) / \ker(\text{sn})$. We will denote by $\text{sn}^\diamond : ST_K(\varphi)^\diamond \rightarrow K^*$ the injective homomorphism induced by sn . The image of $\alpha \in ST_K(\varphi)$ in $ST_K(\varphi)^\diamond$ under the canonical surjection will be denoted by α^\diamond .

5.2. Structure of $ST_K(\varphi)^\diamond$ and $\overline{ST_K(\varphi)^\diamond}$. Following [22], we will say that an element $s \in ST_K(\varphi)$ is plane if $s \in ST_K(\varphi_0)$ for $\varphi_0 \subset \varphi$ of dimension 2. According to [4], Theorem IX.1, $ST_K(\varphi)$ is generated by its plane elements. An element $a \in ST_K(\varphi)^\diamond$ will be called plane if $a = \alpha^\diamond$ with α a plane element in $ST_K(\varphi)$.

As in [22] we will denote by $\overline{ST_K(\varphi)}$ the quotient of $ST_K(\varphi)$ by its commutator subgroup and elements of the form $\alpha\beta^{-1}$ where $\alpha, \beta \in ST_K(\varphi)$ are plane such that $\text{sn}(\alpha) = \text{sn}(\beta)$. So if we denote by $\overline{\text{sn}} : \overline{ST_K(\varphi)} \rightarrow K^*$ the homomorphism induced by sn , we can define the group $\overline{ST_K(\varphi)^\diamond} = \overline{ST_K(\varphi)} / \ker(\overline{\text{sn}})$ and the induced homomorphism $\overline{\text{sn}}^\diamond : \overline{ST_K(\varphi)^\diamond} \rightarrow K^*$. The image of an element α of $ST_K(\varphi)^\diamond$ in

$S\Gamma_K(\varphi)^\diamond$ by the canonical surjection will be denoted by $\overline{\alpha}^\diamond$. Finally, an element $a \in \overline{S\Gamma_K(\varphi)}^\diamond$ will be called plane if $a = \overline{\alpha}^\diamond$ with α plane in $S\Gamma_K(\varphi)$. Then, let us write $P_K(\varphi) = \{\text{sn}(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in S\Gamma_K(\varphi) \text{ plane}\} \subset K^*$ and consider $\widetilde{N}_K(\varphi)$, the multiplicative group generated by $P_K(\varphi)$ in K^* . Under these conditions, the structures of $S\Gamma_K(\varphi)^\diamond$ and $\overline{S\Gamma_K(\varphi)}^\diamond$ are given by the following statement :

Proposition 5.1.

- (1) $S\Gamma_K(\varphi)^\diamond \simeq \widetilde{N}_K(\varphi)$.
- (2) $\overline{S\Gamma_K(\varphi)}^\diamond \simeq \widetilde{N}_K(\varphi)$.

Proof :

(1) Consider $\Theta_\varphi : \widetilde{N}_K(\varphi) \rightarrow S\Gamma_K(\varphi)^\diamond$ defined by $\Theta_\varphi(\Pi_i \text{sn}(\alpha_i)) = \Pi_i \alpha_i^\diamond$. Θ_φ is then well defined on $\widetilde{N}_K(\varphi)$ by definition of $S\Gamma_K(\varphi)^\diamond$. Indeed, if $\Pi_i \text{sn}(\alpha_i) = \Pi_k \text{sn}(\beta_k)$, then $\Pi_i \text{sn}^\diamond(\alpha_i^\diamond) = \Pi_k \text{sn}^\diamond(\beta_k^\diamond)$ by definition of sn^\diamond , and therefore, as sn^\diamond is injective, $\Pi_i \alpha_i^\diamond = \Pi_k \beta_k^\diamond$. This means exactly that $\Theta_\varphi(\Pi_i \text{sn}(\alpha_i)) = \Theta_\varphi(\Pi_k \text{sn}(\beta_k))$.

The map Θ_φ is surjective since $S\Gamma_K(\varphi)$, and therefore $S\Gamma_K(\varphi)^\diamond$, are generated by their plane elements. This assures us that, for every $x \in S\Gamma_K(\varphi)^\diamond$, we can write $x = \Pi_i \alpha_i^\diamond = \Theta_\varphi(\Pi_i \text{sn}(\alpha_i))$ where $\Pi_i \text{sn}(\alpha_i) \in \widetilde{N}_F(\varphi)$.

Θ_φ is also injective. Indeed, suppose $\Theta_\varphi(x) = 1^\diamond$, and write $x = \Pi_i \text{sn}(\alpha_i)$. Consequently, we will have $\Theta_\varphi(\Pi_i \text{sn}(\alpha_i)) = 1^\diamond$ and therefore, by definition of Θ_φ , $\Pi_i \alpha_i^\diamond = 1^\diamond$. So $\text{sn}^\diamond(\Pi_i \alpha_i^\diamond) = \text{sn}^\diamond(1^\diamond) = 1$ but then $\Pi_i \text{sn}(\alpha_i) = \Pi_i \text{sn}^\diamond(\alpha_i^\diamond) = 1$, which gives $x = 1$. So the map Θ_φ is a group isomorphism.

(2) This part is shown as the previous one, defining $\overline{\Theta}_\varphi : \widetilde{N}_K(\varphi) \rightarrow \overline{S\Gamma_K(\varphi)}^\diamond$ by $\overline{\Theta}_\varphi(\Pi_i \text{sn}(\alpha_i)) = \Pi_i \overline{\alpha_i}^\diamond$. Injectivity of $\overline{\text{sn}}^\diamond$ will once again assure us that the homomorphism is well defined and the rest is similar. \square

5.3. Stable birational equivalence and group isomorphisms. Rost showed in lemma 8 of [22] that for every K -quadratic form φ , $D_K(\varphi)D_K(\varphi) = P_K(\varphi)$ where $P_K(\varphi)$ is the set previously defined. As a consequence, the sets $\widetilde{N}_K(\varphi)$ and $N_K(\varphi)$ coincide. Then, we can use theorem 3.18 to get the following criterion for stable birational equivalence of quadratic forms :

Theorem 5.2. *Let φ, ψ be two anisotropic K -quadratic forms, then the following assertions are equivalent :*

- (1) $\varphi \underset{stb}{\sim} \psi$;
- (2) *for every field extension F/K , there exists an group isomorphism*

$$\xi_F : S\Gamma_F(\varphi)^\diamond \rightarrow S\Gamma_F(\psi)^\diamond$$

such that for every $x \in S\Gamma_F(\varphi)^\diamond$, $\text{sn}^\diamond(x) = \text{sn}^\diamond(\xi_F(x))$;

- (3) *for every field extension F/K , there exists a group isomorphism*

$$\overline{\xi}_F : \overline{S\Gamma_F(\varphi)}^\diamond \rightarrow \overline{S\Gamma_F(\psi)}^\diamond$$

such that for every $\overline{x} \in \overline{S\Gamma_F(\varphi)}^\diamond$, $\overline{\text{sn}}^\diamond(\overline{x}) = \overline{\text{sn}}^\diamond(\overline{\xi}_F(\overline{x}))$.

Proof : (1) \Rightarrow (2) Theorem 3.18 shows that $\varphi \underset{stb}{\sim} \psi$ if and only if $N_F(\varphi) = N_F(\psi)$ for every field extension F/K and therefore if and only if $\widetilde{N}_F(\varphi) = \widetilde{N}_F(\psi)$ for every field extension F/K . Then, since Proposition 5.1 (1) gives $S\Gamma_K(\varphi)^\diamond \simeq \widetilde{N}_K(\varphi)$ and

$ST_K(\psi)^\diamond \simeq \tilde{N}_K(\psi)$, we have $ST_K(\varphi)^\diamond \simeq ST_K(\psi)^\diamond$. More precisely, the group isomorphism constructed in the proof of the proposition 5.1 (1) actually satisfies the additional following property : $\forall a \in ST_F(\varphi)^\diamond, \text{sn}^\diamond(a) = \text{sn}^\diamond(\xi(a))$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Consider Θ_φ as defined in the proof of 5.1. Since the isomorphism between $ST_F(\varphi)^\diamond$ and $ST_F(\psi)^\diamond$ satisfies $\forall a \in ST_F(\varphi)^\diamond, \text{sn}^\diamond(a) = \text{sn}^\diamond(\xi(a))$, then, for every $a \in N_F(\varphi)$, we have $\text{sn}^\diamond(\xi(\Theta_\varphi(a))) = a \in N_F(\psi)$. As a consequence, we have $N_F(\varphi) = N_F(\psi)$. Therefore, using Theorem 3.18 we get $\varphi \sim \psi$.

(1) \Leftrightarrow (3) is similar as (1) \Leftrightarrow (2), replacing $ST_F(\varphi)^\diamond$ by $\overline{ST_F(\varphi)}^\diamond$, sn^\diamond by $\overline{\text{sn}}^\diamond$, Θ_φ by $\overline{\Theta}_\varphi$ and ξ_F by $\overline{\xi}_F$. \square

REFERENCES

1. E. BAYER-FLUCKIGER, *Formes quadratiques devenant isotropes sur une extension*, L'Enseignement Mathématique **41** (1995), 111–122.
2. N. BOURBAKI, *Chapitre IX, formes sesquilineaires et formes quadratiques*, Hermann, 1959.
3. J.-L. COLLIOT-THÉLÈNE and A.N. SKOROBOGATOV, *Groupes de chow des zéro-cycles des fibrés en quadriques*, Journal of K-theory **7** (1993), 477–500.
4. R. DEHEUVELS, *Formes quadratiques et groupes classiques*, Presses Universitaires de France, 1981.
5. R. ELMAN and T.Y. LAM, *Quadratic forms and the u-invariant. i*, Math. Z. **131** (1973), 283–304.
6. R.W. FITZGERALD, *Function fields of quadratic forms*, Math. Z. **178** (1981), 63–76.
7. D.W. HOFFMANN, *On 6-dimensional quadratic forms isotropic over the functions field of a quadric*, Comm. in Algebra **22(6)** (1994), 1999–2014.
8. ———, *Isotropy of 5-dimensional quadratic forms over the functions field of a quadric*, Proceedings in Symposia in Pure Mathematics, vol. 58.2, 1995, pp. 217–225.
9. ———, *Isotropy of quadratic forms over the function field of a quadric*, Math. Z. **220** (1995), 461–476.
10. ———, *On quadratic forms of height two and a theorem of Wadsworth*, Transactions AMS **348** (1996), 3267–3281.
11. ———, *Isotropy of quadratic forms and field invariants*, Contemp. Math. **272** (2000), 73–102.
12. O.T. IZHBOLDIN, *On the isotropy of low-dimensional forms over the function field of a quadric*, St. Petersburg Math. J. **12** (2001), 791–805.
13. ———, *Some new results concerning isotropy of low dimensional forms (list of examples and results)*, Geometric Methods in the Algebraic Theory of Quadratic Forms : Summer School, Lens, 2000, Springer LNM 1835, 2004, pp. 143–150.
14. O.T. IZHBOLDIN and N.A. KARPENKO, *Isotropy of virtual Albert forms over function fields of quadrics*, Math. Nacht. **206** (1999), 111–122.
15. N.A. KARPENKO, *Izhboldin's results on stably birational equivalence of quadrics*, Geometric Methods in the Algebraic Theory of Quadratic Forms : Summer School, Lens, 2000, Springer LNM 1835, 2004, pp. 151–183.
16. M. KNEBUSCH, *Specialization of quadratic and symmetric bilinear forms, and a norm theorem*, acta arithmetica **XXIV** (1973), 279–299.
17. ———, *Generic splitting of quadratic forms, II*, Proc. London Math. Soc.(3) **34** (1977), 1–31.
18. A. LAGHRIBI, *Isotropie de certaines formes quadratiques de dimension 7 et 8 sur le corps des fonctions d'une quadrique*, Duke Math. J. **85** (1996), 397–410.
19. ———, *Formes quadratiques de dimension 6*, Math. Nachr. **204** (1999), 125–135.
20. T.Y. LAM, *The algebraic theory of quadratic forms*, Reading, Massachusetts : Benjamin, 1973.
21. ———, *Introduction to quadratic forms over fields*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Volume 67, AMS, 2005.
22. M. ROST, *On the spinor norm and $A_0(X, K_1)$ for quadrics*, <http://www.math.uiuc.edu/K-theory/0165/>, 1996.

23. S. ROUSSEY, *Birational equivalence of n -pster neighbors*, Comm. in Algebra **45(6)** (2017), 2452–2465.
24. W. SCHARLAU, *Quadratic and hermitian forms*, Springer, Berlin, heidelberg, New York, Tokyo, 1985.
25. A.R. WADSWORTH, *Similarity of quadratic forms and isomorphisms of their function fields*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **208** (1975), 352–358.
26. E. WITT, *Verschiedene Bemerkungen zur Theorie der quadratischen Formen über einem Körper*, colloque d’algèbre supérieure, Bruxelles (1956), 245–250.
27. ———, *Collected papers*, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York : Springer, 1998.

INSPE DE FRANCHE-COMTE, SITE DE BELFORT, 49 FAUBOURG DES ANCÊTRES, 90 000 BELFORT
Email address: sylvain.roussey@univ-fcomte.fr