

SYMMETRIC PRODUCTS OF DG CATEGORIES AND SEMI-ORTHOGONAL DECOMPOSITIONS

NAOKI KOSEKI

ABSTRACT. In this article, we investigate semi-orthogonal decompositions of the symmetric products of dg-enhanced triangulated categories. Given a semi-orthogonal decomposition $\mathcal{D} = \langle \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \rangle$, we construct semi-orthogonal decompositions of the symmetric products of \mathcal{D} in terms of that of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} . This was originally stated by Galkin–Shinder, and answers the question raised by Ganter–Kapranov.

We give two applications of our main result. Firstly, combining the above result with the derived McKay correspondence, we obtain various interesting semi-orthogonal decompositions of the derived categories of the Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces. Secondly, we prove the compatibility of our semi-orthogonal decompositions with categorical Heisenberg actions on the symmetric products of dg-categories due to Gyenge–Koppensteiner–Logvinenko. Using this compatibility, we prove the blow-up formula for the Heisenberg representations on the Grothendieck groups of the Hilbert schemes of points.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	3
3. Proof of the main theorem	5
4. Heisenberg actions and semi-orthogonal decompositions	8
5. Geometric examples	10
References	12

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Motivation and Results. For a given (dg-enhanced) triangulated category \mathcal{D} , Ganter–Kapranov [GK14] defined its symmetric products $\mathrm{Sym}^n \mathcal{D}$ for all non-negative integer $n \geq 0$. This notion of symmetric products of dg categories provides interesting subjects in representation theory. Namely, Gyenge–Koppensteiner–Logvinenko [GKL21] constructed a *categorical Heisenberg action* on $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathrm{Sym}^n \mathcal{D}$. Their result generalizes and unifies various previous results in the literature, e.g., [CL12, Gro96, Kru18, Nak97].

When \mathcal{D} is the bounded derived category $D^b(S)$ of coherent sheaves on a smooth projective surface S , we have

$$(1.1) \quad \mathrm{Sym}^n D^b(S) \cong D^b([S^{\times n}/\mathfrak{S}_n]) \cong D^b(\mathrm{Hilb}^n(S)),$$

where the second equivalence is highly non-trivial and follows from the derived McKay correspondence [BKR01, Hai01]. In this special case, the construction of [GKL21] categorifies the famous Heisenberg action on the cohomology groups of the Hilbert schemes of points due to Grojnowski [Gro96] and Nakajima [Nak97].

The goal of the present paper is to understand the interaction between the notion of symmetric products and one of the most fundamental concepts in the dg category theory; *semi-orthogonal decompositions*. Semi-orthogonal decompositions of dg categories appear in various settings, especially in algebraic geometry [BO95, Kaw02, Kuz14].

The following is the main theorem in this paper:

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 3.3). *Let \mathcal{D} be a dg-enhanced triangulated category. Suppose that we have a semi-orthogonal decomposition $\mathcal{D} = \langle \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \rangle$.*

Then we have a semi-orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathrm{Sym}^n \mathcal{D} = \langle \mathrm{Sym}^{n-i} \mathcal{A} \bullet \mathrm{Sym}^i \mathcal{B} : i = 0, \dots, n \rangle$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

Remark 1.2. The above semi-orthogonal decomposition first appeared in [GS15, Equation (3)] without a proof.

In the above theorem, $(-) \bullet (-)$ is a version of a tensor product for dg categories introduced by [BLL04], see Section 2.1.2 for more detail. We can think of this result as a natural generalization of the following direct sum decomposition for vector spaces V, W :

$$\mathrm{Sym}^n(V \oplus W) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^n \mathrm{Sym}^{n-i} V \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^i W.$$

This would be a satisfying answer to the question raised by Ganter–Kapranov [GK14, Question 7.2.1]. The key ingredient of the proof is Elagin’s descent theory for semi-orthogonal decompositions, see [Ela12, Shi18].

The most interesting case for us is the case of $\mathcal{D} = D^b(S)$, where S is a smooth projective surface. Combined with the isomorphisms (1.1), Theorem 1.1 provides a useful way to construct various interesting semi-orthogonal decompositions on $D^b(\mathrm{Hilb}^n(S))$. In Section 5, we consider the following cases:

- (1) $S = \mathbb{P}^2$ or more generally a toric surface. In this case, $D^b(S)$ has a full exceptional collection. By Theorem 1.1, the same holds for $D^b(\mathrm{Hilb}^n(S))$ for all $n \geq 1$.
- (2) S is a fake projective plane. In this case, $D^b(S)$ contains a certain subcategory $\mathcal{A} \subset D^b(S)$ whose Hochschild homology vanishes. Such a category is called a *phantom*. Using Theorem 1.1, we will show that $\mathrm{Sym}^i \mathcal{A} \subset D^b(\mathrm{Hilb}^n(S))$ are phantom subcategories for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.
- (3) $S \rightarrow C$ is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle over a smooth projective curve C . In this case, we have $D^b(S) = \langle D^b(C), D^b(C) \rangle$. Combining Theorem 1.1 with some other results [PVdB19, Tod21], we prove that $D^b(\mathrm{Hilb}^n(S))$ has a semi-orthogonal decomposition whose components are derived categories of the products of the Jacobian $J(C)$ and $\mathrm{Sym}^i C$ for $0 \leq i \leq \min\{n, g(C) - 1\}$.

- (4) \hat{S} is the blow up of S at a point. Then we have a semi-orthogonal decomposition $D^b(\hat{S}) = \langle D^b(S), D^b(\text{pt}) \rangle$. By Theorem 1.1, $D^b(\text{Hilb}^n(\hat{S}))$ has a semi-orthogonal decomposition in terms of $D^b(\text{Hilb}^i(S))$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$.

In Section 4, we also see that the semi-orthogonal decomposition in Theorem 1.1 is ‘compatible’ with the Heisenberg action of [GKL21] in an appropriate sense. A particularly interesting case for us is when $\mathcal{D} = D^b(\hat{S})$ as in (4) above. In this case, we obtain the *blow-up formula for the Heisenberg representations*, see Section 5.3.4.

1.2. Relation with existing works.

- (1) As mentioned above, Theorem 1.1 already appeared in [GS15] without a proof. See also [KS15, Remark 4.7] for a special case but without using the notion of symmetric products of dg categories.

However, the author could not find rigorous proof in the existing works, so decided to write the present paper.

- (2) Semi-orthogonal decompositions of $D^b(\text{Hilb}^n(S))$ have already been constructed for several algebraic surfaces S . For example, the semi-orthogonal decomposition for the derived category $D^b(\text{Hilb}^n(\hat{S}))$, where \hat{S} is the blow-up of a smooth projective surface at a point, was constructed in the author’s recent paper [Kos21] via a completely different method.

The fact that $D^b(\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{P}^2))$ has a full-exceptional collection is obtained in [KS15, Proposition 1.3].

1.3. Plan of the paper. In Section 2, we recall some basic facts about dg categories and equivariant categories. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.1. In Section 4, we recall categorical Heisenberg actions due to [GKL21], and explain its relation with our semi-orthogonal decompositions. In Section 5, we treat various examples of symmetric products of the derived categories on smooth projective varieties.

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank Professors Arend Bayer and Yukinobu Toda for fruitful discussions. He would also like to thank Professors Andreas Krug and Evgeny Shinder for helpful conversations. This work was supported by ERC Consolidator grant WallCrossAG, no. 819864.

Notation and Convention. Throughout the paper, we work over the complex number field \mathbb{C} . We use the following notations:

- For a smooth projective variety X , $D^b(X)$ denotes the bounded derived category of coherent sheaves on X .
- For a dg category \mathcal{D} , we denote its homotopy category by $H^0(\mathcal{D})$.
- For a triangulated category \mathcal{D} , $K_{\text{num}}(\mathcal{D}) := K(\mathcal{D})/\ker \chi$ denotes the *numerical Grothendieck group*.
- We write $(-)_\mathbb{Q}$ for $(-) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Dg categories. In this section, we fix several notations from dg category theory. For details, we refer to the papers [BK91, Kel06].

2.1.1. *Pre-triangulated dg categories.* Given a dg category \mathcal{D} , there is a canonically defined dg category $\mathcal{D}^{\text{pre-tr}}$ with an embedding $\mathcal{D} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}^{\text{pre-tr}}$ such that the homotopy category $H^0(\mathcal{D}^{\text{pre-tr}})$ is a triangulated category [BK91]. The category $\mathcal{D}^{\text{pre-tr}}$ is called the *pre-triangulated hull* of \mathcal{D} . We say that a dg category \mathcal{D} is *pre-triangulated* if the induced functor $H^0(\mathcal{D}) \hookrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{D}^{\text{pre-tr}})$ is an equivalence.

A *dg enhancement* of a triangulated category \mathcal{T} is a pair of pre-triangulated dg category \mathcal{D} and an equivalence $H^0(\mathcal{D}) \cong \mathcal{T}$. If a triangulated category \mathcal{T} has a dg enhancement, we call \mathcal{T} a *dg-enhanced* triangulated category.

For a smooth projective variety X , its derived category $D^b(X)$ has a standard dg enhancement $I(X)$, the dg category of complexes of injective sheaves.

2.1.2. *•-product.* For a dg category \mathcal{D} , $\mathcal{D}^{\text{op-Mod}}$ denotes the dg category of right \mathcal{D} -modules, and $\text{Perf-}\mathcal{D}$ denotes the dg category of perfect modules. We have the following embeddings:

$$\mathcal{A} \subset \text{Perf-}\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}^{\text{op-Mod}}.$$

Note that $\text{Perf-}\mathcal{D}$ is pre-triangulated.

Definition 2.1 ([BLL04, Definition 4.2]). For dg categories \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} , we define the dg category $\mathcal{A} \bullet \mathcal{B}$ as

$$\mathcal{A} \bullet \mathcal{B} := \text{Perf-}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}).$$

The following is the case we are most interested in:

Theorem 2.2 ([BLL04, Theorem 5.5]). *Let X, Y be smooth projective varieties. Let $I(X), I(Y)$ be the standard dg enhancements of $D^b(X), D^b(Y)$, respectively. Then we have an equivalence*

$$H^0(I(X) \bullet I(Y)) \cong D^b(X \times Y).$$

The \bullet -product is well-behaved under semi-orthogonal decompositions:

Proposition 2.3 ([BLL04, Proposition 4.6]). *Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}$ be pre-triangulated dg categories. Suppose that we have a semi-orthogonal decomposition $H^0(\mathcal{C}) = \langle H^0(\mathcal{A}), H^0(\mathcal{B}) \rangle$. Then we have a semi-orthogonal decomposition*

$$H^0(\mathcal{C} \bullet \mathcal{D}) = \langle H^0(\mathcal{A} \bullet \mathcal{D}), H^0(\mathcal{B} \bullet \mathcal{D}) \rangle.$$

2.2. **Equivariant categories.** In this subsection, we recall basic facts about equivariant categories. We refer to [BO20, Ela14] for more details.

Let \mathcal{D} be a \mathbb{C} -linear category, G be a finite group. Recall that a G -action on \mathcal{D} consists of the following data:

- An autoequivalence ρ_g of \mathcal{D} for each $g \in G$,
- A natural isomorphism $\theta_{g,h}: \rho_g \rho_h \xrightarrow{\sim} \rho_{gh}$ for each pair $g, h \in G$ such that the following diagram commutes for all $g, h, k \in G$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \rho_g \rho_h \rho_k & \xrightarrow{\rho_g \theta_{h,k}} & \rho_g \rho_{hk} \\ \theta_{g,h} \rho_k \downarrow & & \downarrow \theta_{g,hk} \\ \rho_{gh} \rho_k & \xrightarrow{\theta_{gh,k}} & \rho_{ghk}. \end{array}$$

Given a G -action on the category \mathcal{D} , we define the *equivariant category* \mathcal{D}^G as follows:

- An object of \mathcal{D}^G is a data (E, ϕ_g) , where E is an object of \mathcal{D} and $\phi_g: E \xrightarrow{\sim} \rho_g E$ is an isomorphism for each $g \in G$, such that the following diagram commutes for each pair of elements $g, h \in G$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\phi_{gh}} & \rho_{gh}E \\ \phi_g \downarrow & & \uparrow \theta_{g,h} \\ \rho_g E & \xrightarrow{\rho_g \phi_h} & \rho_g \rho_h E. \end{array}$$

- A morphism between objects (E, ϕ_g) and (F, ψ_g) is a morphism $f: E \rightarrow F$ in the category \mathcal{D} such that the following diagram is commutative for every $g \in G$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{f} & F \\ \phi_g \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi_g \\ \rho_g E & \xrightarrow{\rho_g f} & \rho_g F. \end{array}$$

For a subgroup $H \subset G$, we have the *restriction* and the *induction* functors:

$$\text{Res}_G^H: \mathcal{D}^G \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^H, \quad \text{Ind}_H^G: \mathcal{D}^H \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^G.$$

The restriction functor is defined in an obvious way. The induction functor is defined by $\text{Ind}_H^G(E, \phi_h) := (\oplus_{[g_i] \in G/H} \rho_{g_i} E, \epsilon_g)$, where for $g \in G$, the isomorphism ϵ_g restricted to the summand $\rho_{g_j} E$ is the composition

$$\rho_{g_j} E \xrightarrow{\rho_{g_j} \phi_h} \rho_{g_j} \rho_h E \xrightarrow{\theta_{g_j, h}} \rho_{g_j h} E = \rho_{g g_k} E \xrightarrow{\theta_{g, g_k}^{-1}} \rho_g \rho_{g_k} E.$$

Here, the elements $h \in H$ and $g_k \in G$ are defined by $g_j h = g g_k$.

Suppose now that \mathcal{D} is a dg-enhanced triangulated category with a G -action. It is known that the equivariant category \mathcal{D}^G is again triangulated (cf. [Ela14, Corollary 6.10]).

The following Elagin's theorem is crucial for our purpose:

Theorem 2.4 ([Ela12], [Shi18, Theorem 6.2]). *Let \mathcal{D} be a dg-enhanced triangulated category, G be a finite group acting on \mathcal{D} . Suppose that we have a semi-orthogonal decomposition $\mathcal{D} = \langle \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \rangle$ whose components are preserved by the G -action.*

Then we have a semi-orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathcal{D}^G = \langle \mathcal{A}^G, \mathcal{B}^G \rangle.$$

3. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

3.1. Notations on symmetric products. Let \mathcal{D} be a dg category, $n > 0$ a positive integer. Following [GK14], we define the n -th *symmetric product* $\text{Sym}^n \mathcal{D}$ of \mathcal{D} to be the equivariant category $(\mathcal{D}^{\bullet n})^{\mathfrak{S}_n}$, where the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n acts on $\mathcal{D}^{\bullet n}$ by permutations of the components. For $n = 0$, we define $\text{Sym}^0 \mathcal{D} := \text{Mod}_{\mathbb{C}}$, the dg category of dg vector spaces.

For $i = 0, \dots, n$, we fix the embedding $\mathfrak{S}_{n-i} \times \mathfrak{S}_i \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{S}_n$, where $(\tau, \eta) \in \mathfrak{S}_{n-i} \times \mathfrak{S}_i$ acts on $\{1, \dots, n\}$ by

$$(\tau, \eta) \cdot \{1, \dots, n\} := \{\tau(\{1, \dots, n-i\}), \eta(\{n-i+1, \dots, n\})\}.$$

The quotient $\mathfrak{S}_n / (\mathfrak{S}_{n-i} \times \mathfrak{S}_i)$ has $\binom{n}{i}$ elements. We fix the representatives of cosets in $\mathfrak{S}_n / (\mathfrak{S}_{n-i} \times \mathfrak{S}_i)$:

$$\sigma_j \in \mathfrak{S}_n, \quad j \in \left[1, \binom{n}{i}\right] := \left\{1, \dots, \binom{n}{i}\right\} \text{ with } \sigma_1 = e.$$

3.2. Semi-orthogonal decompositions for symmetric products.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{D}$ be pre-triangulated dg categories. Suppose that we have a semi-orthogonal decomposition $H^0(\mathcal{D}) = \langle H^0(\mathcal{A}), H^0(\mathcal{B}) \rangle$.*

Then we have a semi-orthogonal decomposition

$$(3.1) \quad H^0(\mathcal{D}^{\bullet n}) = \left\langle \bigoplus_{j \in [1, \binom{n}{i}]} \sigma_j \cdot H^0(\mathcal{A}^{\bullet(n-i)} \bullet \mathcal{B}^{\bullet i}) : i = 0, \dots, n \right\rangle.$$

for each positive integer $n > 0$.

Proof. The assertion follows by induction on n , using Proposition 2.3. \square

Lemma 3.2. *Let i and n be integers with $0 \leq i \leq n$. There exists a quasi-equivalence*

$$\Phi: \left(\bigoplus_{j \in [1, \binom{n}{i}]} \sigma_j \cdot \mathcal{A}^{\bullet(n-i)} \bullet \mathcal{B}^{\bullet i} \right)^{\mathfrak{S}_n} \rightarrow \text{Sym}^{(n-i)} \mathcal{A} \bullet \text{Sym}^i \mathcal{B}.$$

Proof. To define the functor Φ , let us first consider the restriction functor

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}^{\mathfrak{S}_{n-i} \times \mathfrak{S}_i}: \left(\bigoplus_{j \in [1, \binom{n}{i}]} \sigma_j \cdot \mathcal{A}^{\bullet(n-i)} \bullet \mathcal{B}^{\bullet i} \right)^{\mathfrak{S}_n} \\ \rightarrow \left(\bigoplus_{j \in [1, \binom{n}{i}]} \sigma_j \cdot \mathcal{A}^{\bullet(n-i)} \bullet \mathcal{B}^{\bullet i} \right)^{\mathfrak{S}_{n-i} \times \mathfrak{S}_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the action of $\mathfrak{S}_{n-i} \times \mathfrak{S}_i$ on $\bigoplus_{j \in [1, \binom{n}{i}]} \sigma_j \cdot \mathcal{A}^{\bullet(n-i)} \bullet \mathcal{B}^{\bullet i}$ preserves the first direct summand (recall that we fixed $\sigma_1 = e$), we have a direct sum decomposition

$$\left(\bigoplus_{j \in [1, \binom{n}{i}]} \sigma_j \cdot \mathcal{A}^{\bullet(n-i)} \bullet \mathcal{B}^{\bullet i} \right)^{\mathfrak{S}_{n-i} \times \mathfrak{S}_i} = (\text{Sym}^{n-i} \mathcal{A} \bullet \text{Sym}^i \mathcal{B}) \oplus \mathcal{C}$$

for some category \mathcal{C} . Hence we have the projection functor

$$\text{pr}: \left(\bigoplus_{j \in [1, \binom{n}{i}]} \sigma_j \cdot \mathcal{A}^{\bullet(n-i)} \bullet \mathcal{B}^{\bullet i} \right)^{\mathfrak{S}_{n-i} \times \mathfrak{S}_i} \rightarrow \text{Sym}^{n-i} \mathcal{A} \bullet \text{Sym}^i \mathcal{B}.$$

Then we define the functor Φ to be the composition of the functors pr and $\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}^{\mathfrak{S}_{n-i} \times \mathfrak{S}_i}$. It has a left and right adjoint functor $\Psi := \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{S}_{n-i} \times \mathfrak{S}_i}^{\mathfrak{S}_n} \circ \iota$, where

$$\iota: \text{Sym}^{n-i} \mathcal{A} \bullet \text{Sym}^i \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \left(\bigoplus_{j \in [1, \binom{n}{i}]} \sigma_j \cdot \mathcal{A}^{\bullet(n-i)} \bullet \mathcal{B}^{\bullet i} \right)^{\mathfrak{S}_{n-i} \times \mathfrak{S}_i}$$

is the inclusion as a direct summand.

We claim that Φ and Ψ are inverse to each other. From the construction, it is obvious that

$$\Phi \circ \Psi \cong \text{id}: \text{Sym}^{n-i} \mathcal{A} \bullet \text{Sym}^i \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \text{Sym}^{n-i} \mathcal{A} \bullet \text{Sym}^i \mathcal{B}.$$

For the converse, pick an element

$$x = (E_j, \phi_\sigma) \in \left(\bigoplus_{j \in [1, \binom{n}{i}]} \sigma_j \cdot \mathcal{A}^{\bullet(n-i)} \bullet \mathcal{B}^{\bullet i} \right)^{\mathfrak{S}_n},$$

where $E_j \in \sigma_j \cdot \mathcal{A}^{\bullet(n-i)} \bullet \mathcal{B}^{\bullet i}$ for $j = 1, \dots, \binom{n}{i}$, and $\phi_\sigma: \bigoplus_j E_j \xrightarrow{\sim} \rho_\sigma(\bigoplus_j E_j)$ are isomorphisms for $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$. Then we have

$$\Psi \circ \Phi(x) = \left(\bigoplus_{j \in [1, \binom{n}{i}]} \rho_{\sigma_j} E_1, \epsilon_\sigma \right).$$

Recall that, for $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, the morphism ϵ_σ restricted to the summand $\rho_{\sigma_j} E_1$ is defined by the composition

$$(3.2) \quad \rho_{\sigma_j} E_1 \xrightarrow{\rho_{\sigma_j} \phi_\tau} \rho_{\sigma_j} \rho_\tau E_1 \xrightarrow{\theta_{\sigma_j, \tau}} \rho_{\sigma_j \tau} E_1 = \rho_{\sigma \sigma_k} E_1 \xrightarrow{\theta_{\sigma, \sigma_k}^{-1}} \rho_\sigma \rho_{\sigma_k} E_1,$$

where the elements $\sigma_k \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ and $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{n-i} \times \mathfrak{S}_i$ are defined by $\sigma_j \tau = \sigma \sigma_k$. We claim that the isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_j \phi_{\sigma_j}: \bigoplus_j E_j \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_j \rho_{\sigma_j} E_1$$

commutes with ϕ_σ and ϵ_σ , and hence x and $\Psi \circ \Phi(x)$ are isomorphic. Namely, we shall show that the following diagram commutes:

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus_j E_j & \xrightarrow{\bigoplus_j \phi_{\sigma_j}} & \bigoplus_j \rho_{\sigma_j} E_1 \\ \phi_\sigma \downarrow & & \downarrow \epsilon_\sigma \\ \rho_\sigma(\bigoplus_j E_j) & \xrightarrow{\rho_\sigma(\bigoplus_j \phi_{\sigma_j})} & \rho_\sigma(\bigoplus_j \rho_{\sigma_j} E_1). \end{array}$$

It is enough to show it for each direct summand. Fix $j_0 \in [1, \binom{n}{i}]$ and $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$. As above, we let $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{n-i} \times \mathfrak{S}_i$ and $k_0 \in [1, \binom{n}{i}]$ denote the elements satisfying $\sigma_{j_0} \tau = \sigma \sigma_{k_0}$. Then the restriction of the diagram (3.3) to the direct summand $\sigma_{j_0} \cdot \mathcal{A}^{\bullet(n-i)} \bullet \mathcal{B}^{\bullet i}$ becomes

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} E_{j_0} & \xrightarrow{\phi_{\sigma_{j_0}}} & \rho_{\sigma_{j_0}} E_1 \\ \phi_\sigma \downarrow & & \downarrow \epsilon_\sigma \\ \rho_\sigma E_{k_0} & \xrightarrow{\rho_\sigma \phi_{\sigma_{k_0}}} & \rho_\sigma \rho_{\sigma_{k_0}} E_1. \end{array}$$

To prove the commutativity of the diagram (3.4), consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & & E_{j_0} & \xlongequal{\quad} & E_{j_0} & \xrightarrow{\phi_\sigma} & \rho_\sigma E_{k_0} \\
& & \nearrow \phi_{\sigma_{j_0}} & \downarrow \phi_{\sigma_{j_0}\tau} & & \downarrow \phi_{\sigma\sigma_{k_0}} & & \downarrow \rho_\sigma \phi_{\sigma_{k_0}} \\
\rho_{\sigma_{j_0}} E_1 & \xrightarrow[\rho_{\sigma_{j_0}\phi_\tau}]{} & \rho_{\sigma_{j_0}} \rho_\tau E_1 & \xrightarrow[\theta_{\sigma_{j_0},\tau}]{} & \rho_{\sigma_{j_0}\tau} E_1 & \xlongequal{\quad} & \rho_{\sigma\sigma_{k_0}} E_1 & \xrightarrow[\theta_{\sigma,\sigma_{k_0}}^{-1}]{} & \rho_\sigma \rho_{\sigma_{k_0}} E_1.
\end{array}$$

The middle square is commutative as $\sigma_{j_0}\tau = \sigma\sigma_{k_0}$; the left triangle and the right square are commutative by the definition of equivariant objects. Hence the whole diagram commutes. Moreover, the bottom composition is exactly ϵ_σ (see (3.2)). We conclude that the diagram (3.4) is commutative, as claimed. \square

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{D}$ be pre-triangulated dg categories. Suppose that we have a semi-orthogonal decomposition $H^0(\mathcal{D}) = \langle H^0(\mathcal{A}), H^0(\mathcal{B}) \rangle$.*

Then we have a semi-orthogonal decomposition

$$H^0(\mathrm{Sym}^n(\mathcal{D})) = \langle H^0(\mathrm{Sym}^{n-i} \mathcal{A} \bullet \mathrm{Sym}^i \mathcal{B}) : i = 0, \dots, n \rangle$$

for every positive integer $n > 0$.

Proof. First, note that the semi-orthogonal component

$$\bigoplus_{j \in [1, \binom{n}{i}]} \sigma_j \cdot H^0(\mathcal{A}^{\bullet(n-i)} \bullet \mathcal{B}^{\bullet i}) \subset H^0(\mathcal{D}^{\bullet n})$$

in Lemma 3.1 is preserved by the action of \mathfrak{S}_n for each $i = 0, \dots, n$. Hence by Elagin's Theorem 2.4, it descends to a semi-orthogonal decomposition on the category $H^0(\mathrm{Sym}^n \mathcal{D})$. Combining with Lemma 3.2, we obtain the desired semi-orthogonal decomposition. \square

Remark 3.4. For each $i = 0, \dots, n$, the fully faithful embedding

$$H^0(\mathrm{Sym}^{n-i} \mathcal{A} \bullet \mathrm{Sym}^i \mathcal{B}) \hookrightarrow H^0(\mathrm{Sym}^n \mathcal{D})$$

coincides with (H^0 of) the following composition:

$$(3.5) \quad \Phi_i: \mathrm{Sym}^{n-i} \mathcal{A} \bullet \mathrm{Sym}^i \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sym}^{n-i} \mathcal{D} \bullet \mathrm{Sym}^i \mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathfrak{S}_{n-i} \times \mathfrak{S}_i}^{\mathfrak{S}_n}} \mathrm{Sym}^n \mathcal{D}.$$

4. HEISENBERG ACTIONS AND SEMI-ORTHOGONAL DECOMPOSITIONS

In this section, we recall the categorical Heisenberg action on the symmetric products of dg categories due to [GKL21]. We then discuss its relation with semi-orthogonal decompositions.

4.1. Heisenberg algebras. Let \mathcal{D} be a smooth proper dg category. We define the *Heisenberg algebra* $H_{\mathcal{D}}$ of \mathcal{D} to be an algebra generated by symbols

$$p_a^{(n)}, q_a^{(n)}, \quad a \in K_{\mathrm{num}}(\mathcal{D})_{\mathbb{Q}}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$$

with relations

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} [p_a^{(m)}, p_b^{(n)}] &= 0 = [q_a^{(m)}, q_b^{(n)}], \\ q_a^{(m)} p_b^{(n)} &= \sum_{k=0}^{\min\{m,n\}} s^k \chi(a, b) p_b^{(n-k)} q_a^{(m-k)} \end{aligned}$$

for all elements $a, b \in K_{\text{num}}(\mathcal{D})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, where we put $s^k x := \binom{x+k-1}{x}$ for a rational number x .

4.2. Heisenberg actions on symmetric products. Let \mathcal{D} be smooth proper dg categories. For each object $\alpha \in \mathcal{D}$ and a non-negative integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we define a functor $P_{\alpha}^{(n)} : H^0(\text{Sym}^N \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow H^0(\text{Sym}^{N+n} \mathcal{D})$ as the composition

$$(4.2) \quad H^0(\text{Sym}^N \mathcal{D}) \xrightarrow{\alpha^{\otimes n} \otimes (-)} H^0(\text{Sym}^n \mathcal{D} \bullet \text{Sym}^N \mathcal{D}) \xrightarrow{\text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{E}_n \times \mathfrak{E}_N}^{\mathfrak{E}_{N+n}}} H^0(\text{Sym}^{N+n} \mathcal{D}).$$

We denote its right adjoint by $Q_{\alpha}^{(n)}$. By [GKL21, Theorem 6.3], these functors satisfy the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\alpha}^{(m)} P_{\beta}^{(n)} &\cong P_{\beta}^{(n)} P_{\alpha}^{(m)}, & Q_{\alpha}^{(m)} Q_{\beta}^{(n)} &\cong Q_{\beta}^{(n)} Q_{\alpha}^{(m)}, \\ Q_{\alpha}^{(m)} P_{\beta}^{(n)} &\cong \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\min\{m,n\}} \text{Sym}^k \text{Hom}^*(\alpha, \beta) \otimes P_{\beta}^{(n-k)} Q_{\alpha}^{(m-k)}. \end{aligned}$$

This categorifies the relations (4.1). In particular, we have an action of the Heisenberg algebra $H_{\mathcal{D}}$ on

$$\mathbb{K}_{\mathcal{D}} := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} K_{\text{num}}(\text{Sym}^n \mathcal{D})_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

Suppose now that there exists a semi-orthogonal decomposition $H^0(\mathcal{D}) = \langle H^0(\mathcal{A}), H^0(\mathcal{B}) \rangle$. Let us take an object $\alpha \in H^0(\mathcal{A}) \subset H^0(\mathcal{D})$. To avoid confusion, we write ${}^{\mathcal{D}}P_{\alpha}^{(n)} = P_{\alpha}^{(n)}$, and let ${}^{\mathcal{A}}P_{\alpha}^{(n)}$ be the functor defined as in (4.2), with \mathcal{D} replaced by \mathcal{A} . By the constructions (3.5), (4.2) of the functors $\Phi_i, P_{\alpha}^{(n)}$, the following diagram commutes:

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Sym}^N \mathcal{D} & \xrightarrow{{}^{\mathcal{D}}P_{\alpha}^{(n)}} & \text{Sym}^{N+n} \mathcal{D} \\ \Phi_i \uparrow & & \uparrow \Phi_i \\ \text{Sym}^{N-i} \mathcal{A} \bullet \text{Sym}^i \mathcal{B} & \xrightarrow{{}^{\mathcal{A}}P_{\alpha}^{(n)} \bullet \text{id}} & \text{Sym}^{N-i+n} \mathcal{A} \bullet \text{Sym}^i \mathcal{B}. \end{array}$$

We also have similar commutative diagrams if we replace P with Q , and $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$.

On the other hand, we have a direct sum decomposition

$$(K_{\text{num}}(\mathcal{D}), \chi_{\mathcal{D}}) = (K_{\text{num}}(\mathcal{A}), \chi_{\mathcal{A}}) \oplus (K_{\text{num}}(\mathcal{B}), \chi_{\mathcal{B}}).$$

Hence by the definition of Heisenberg algebras, we have a canonical isomorphism

$$(4.4) \quad H_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes H_{\mathcal{B}} \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\mathcal{D}}.$$

Under this identification, the decategorification of the commutative diagram (4.3) gives:

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{D}$ be smooth proper dg categories. Suppose that we have a semi-orthogonal decomposition $H^0(\mathcal{D}) = \langle H^0(\mathcal{A}), H^0(\mathcal{B}) \rangle$.*

Under the identification (4.4), there exists a natural $H_{\mathcal{D}}$ -module homomorphism

$$(4.5) \quad \theta: \mathbb{K}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathbb{K}_{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_{\mathcal{D}}.$$

Proof. We define a linear map θ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta: K_{\text{num}}(\text{Sym}^{N-i} \mathcal{A})_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes K_{\text{num}}(\text{Sym}^i \mathcal{B})_{\mathbb{Q}} &\rightarrow K_{\text{num}}(\text{Sym}^N \mathcal{D})_{\mathbb{Q}}, \\ [x] \otimes [y] &\mapsto [\Phi_i(x \bullet y)]. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that the map θ is a morphism of $H_{\mathcal{D}}$ -modules. For $[\alpha] \in K_{\text{num}}(\mathcal{A})_{\mathbb{Q}} \subset K_{\text{num}}(\mathcal{D})_{\mathbb{Q}}$, $[x] \in K_{\text{num}}(\text{Sym}^{N-i} \mathcal{A})_{\mathbb{Q}}$, and $[y] \in K_{\text{num}}(\text{Sym}^i \mathcal{B})_{\mathbb{Q}}$, the commutative diagram (4.3) shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \theta \left(p_{[\alpha]}^{(n)} \cdot [x] \otimes [y] \right) &= \left[\Phi_i \left({}^{\mathcal{A}}P_{\alpha}^{(n)}(x) \bullet y \right) \right] \\ &= \left[{}^{\mathcal{D}}P_{\alpha}^{(n)}(\Phi_i(x \bullet y)) \right] \\ &= p_{[\alpha]}^{(n)} \cdot \theta([x] \otimes [y]). \end{aligned}$$

Using similar diagrams with P replaced by Q and/or $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ replaced by $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$, we can prove that the linear map θ is an $H_{\mathcal{D}}$ -module homomorphism. \square

Remark 4.2. In general, the homomorphism (4.5) is not an isomorphism. In Section 5.3.3 (resp. Section 5.3.4), we give an example where it is not an isomorphism (resp. it is an isomorphism).

5. GEOMETRIC EXAMPLES

In this section, we consider the case when the dg-category \mathcal{D} is the standard enhancement $I(X)$ of $D^b(X)$, where X is a smooth projective variety. In this case, we have $H^0(\text{Sym}^n I(X)) = D^b([X^{\times n}/\mathfrak{S}_n])$ for each positive integer $n > 0$.

5.1. $\dim X = 0$. In this case, we have

$$D^b([\text{pt}/\mathfrak{S}_n]) = \left\langle p(n)\text{-copies of } D^b(\text{pt}) \right\rangle,$$

where $p(n)$ is the number of partitions of n , which coincides with the number of irreducible representations of \mathfrak{S}_n .

5.2. $\dim X = 1$. Let $X = C$ be a smooth projective curve. Then its derived category $D^b(C)$ has a non-trivial semi-orthogonal decomposition only when $C = \mathbb{P}^1$. In this case, Theorem 3.3 implies

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} D^b([\mathbb{P}^1]^n/\mathfrak{S}_n) &= \left\langle D^b([\text{pt}/\mathfrak{S}_{n-i}] \times [\text{pt}/\mathfrak{S}_i]) : i = 0, \dots, n \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{i=0}^n p(n-i) \cdot p(i)\text{-copies of } D^b(\text{pt}) \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have the following result in all genera:

Theorem 5.1 ([PVdB19, Theorem B]). *Let C be a smooth projective curve, $n > 0$ a positive integer. Then we have a semi-orthogonal decomposition*

$$D^b([C^{\times n}/\mathfrak{S}_n]) = \left\langle D^b \left(\prod_{a_i} \mathrm{Sym}^{a_i} C \right) : a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \sum_{i=1}^n ia_i = n \right\rangle.$$

5.3. $\dim X = 2$. Let $X = S$ be a smooth projective surface. In this case, we have $D^b([S^{\times n}/\mathfrak{S}_n]) \cong D^b(\mathrm{Hilb}^n(S))$ by the derived McKay correspondence [BKR01, Hai01].

5.3.1. *Surfaces with full exceptional collections.* Suppose that $D^b(S)$ has a full exceptional collection of length l . Then by Theorem 3.3, $D^b(\mathrm{Hilb}^n(S))$ also has a full exceptional collection of length

$$(5.2) \quad q(n; l) := \sum_{\substack{i_1 + \dots + i_l = n \\ i_1, \dots, i_l \geq 0}} p(i_1) \cdots p(i_l).$$

This recovers the result of Elagin [Ela09, Theorem 2.3], see also [KS15, Proposition 1.3]. The construction generalizes to the case when an exceptional collection is not full. The case of Enriques surfaces is treated in [KS15]. We consider another interesting case of fake projective planes in the next subsection.

5.3.2. *Phantoms.* Let S be a fake projective plane considered in [GKMS15]. Then we have a semi-orthogonal decomposition

$$D^b(S) = \langle 3\text{-copies of } D^b(\mathrm{pt}), \mathcal{A} \rangle,$$

where \mathcal{A} satisfies $\mathrm{HH}_*(\mathcal{A}) = 0$. By Theorem 3.3, we have

$$D^b(\mathrm{Hilb}^n(S)) = \langle q(n-i; 3)\text{-copies of } \mathrm{Sym}^i \mathcal{A} : i = 0, \dots, n \rangle.$$

On the other hand, by Göttsche's formula [Göt90], the Betti numbers of $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(S)$ are determined by that of the surface S . In particular, we have $b_i(\mathrm{Hilb}^n(S)) = b_i(\mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{P}^2))$ for all $n > 0$ and $i \geq 0$. Hence by the HKR isomorphism, we have

$$\begin{aligned} q(n; 3) &= \dim \mathrm{HH}_*(\mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{P}^2)) = \sum_i b_i(\mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{P}^2)) \\ &= \sum_i b_i(\mathrm{Hilb}^n(S)) = \dim \mathrm{HH}_*(\mathrm{Hilb}^n(S)). \end{aligned}$$

Noting that $\mathrm{Sym}^0 \mathcal{A} = D^b(\mathrm{pt})$, we conclude that $\mathrm{Sym}^i \mathcal{A}$ are phantom subcategories for all $i \geq 1$, i.e., their Hochschild homology groups are zero.

5.3.3. *Ruled surfaces.* Let $S \rightarrow C$ be a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle over a curve C . Then we have a semi-orthogonal decomposition $D^b(S) = \langle D^b(C), D^b(C) \rangle$. Hence Theorems 3.3 and 5.1 imply

$$\begin{aligned} &D^b(\mathrm{Hilb}^n(S)) \\ &= \left\langle D^b \left([C^{\times(n-i)}/\mathfrak{S}_{n-i}] \times [C^{\times i}/\mathfrak{S}_i] \right) : i = 0, \dots, n \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle D^b \left(\prod_{a_j, b_k} \mathrm{Sym}^{a_j} C \times \mathrm{Sym}^{b_k} C \right) : \sum_j ja_j = n-i, \sum_k kb_k = i, \right. \\ &\quad \left. i = 0, \dots, n, \quad a_j, b_k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Compare it with the motivic formula in [Göt01, Example 4.9].

Moreover, by [Tod21, Corollary 5.12], the derived categories $D^b(\mathrm{Sym}^N C)$ for $N \geq g(C)$ further decompose into the derived categories of the Jacobian $J(C)$ and $\mathrm{Sym}^i C$ for $0 \leq i \leq g(C) - 1$. We conclude that $D^b(\mathrm{Hilb}^n(S))$ has a semi-orthogonal decomposition whose components are derived categories of the products of $J(C)$ and $\mathrm{Sym}^i C$ for $0 \leq i \leq \min\{n, g(C) - 1\}$.

Note that when $g(C) \geq 2$, the map (4.5) is not an isomorphism. Indeed, since $\rho(C \times C) > 2$, the map $K_{\mathrm{num}}(C)_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes K_{\mathrm{num}}(C)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow K_{\mathrm{num}}(C \times C)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ cannot be an isomorphism.

5.3.4. *Blow-ups.* Let $\hat{S} \rightarrow S$ be the blow-up at a point. We have a semi-orthogonal decomposition $D^b(\hat{S}) = \langle D^b(S), D^b(\mathrm{pt}) \rangle$. By Theorem 3.3, we have

$$D^b(\mathrm{Hilb}^n(\hat{S})) = \left\langle p(i)\text{-copies of } D^b(\mathrm{Hilb}^{n-i}(S)): i = 0, \dots, n \right\rangle.$$

This categorifies the blow-up formula for the Euler characteristics of the Hilbert schemes. Note that the same semi-orthogonal decomposition was obtained in the author's previous paper [Kos21, Theorem 1.1 (2)] via a completely different method.

Moreover, we have

$$K_{\mathrm{num}}(\mathrm{Sym}^i I(S) \bullet \mathrm{Sym}^j I(\mathrm{pt})) \cong K_{\mathrm{num}}(\mathrm{Sym}^i I(S)) \otimes K(\mathrm{Sym}^j I(\mathrm{pt}))$$

for all $i, j \geq 0$. This implies that the morphism (4.5) in Proposition 4.1 is an isomorphism. We regard it as the blow-up formula for Heisenberg representations.

REFERENCES

- [BK91] A. Bondal and M. Kapronov. Enhanced triangulated categories. *Math. USSR - Sbornik*, 70(1):93–107, 1991.
- [BKR01] T. Bridgeland, A. King, and M. Reid. The McKay correspondence as an equivalence of derived categories. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 14(3):535–554, 2001.
- [BLL04] A. I. Bondal, M. Larsen, and Valery A. Lunts. Grothendieck ring of pretriangulated categories. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, (29):1461–1495, 2004.
- [BO95] A. Bondal and D. Orlov. Semiorthogonal decomposition for algebraic varieties, 1995.
- [BO20] T. Beckmann and G. Oberdieck. On equivariant derived categories, 2020.
- [CL12] S. Cautis and A. Licata. Heisenberg categorification and Hilbert schemes. *Duke Math. J.*, 161(13):2469–2547, 2012.
- [Ela09] A. D. Elagin. Semi-orthogonal decompositions for derived categories of equivariant coherent sheaves. *Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat.*, 73(5):37–66, 2009.
- [Ela12] A. D. Elagin. Descent theory for semi-orthogonal decompositions. *Mat. Sb.*, 203(5):33–64, 2012.
- [Ela14] Alexey Elagin. On equivariant triangulated categories, 2014.
- [GK14] N. Ganter and M. Kapranov. Symmetric and exterior powers of categories. *Transform. Groups*, 19(1):57–103, 2014.
- [GKL21] Á. Gyenge, C. Koppensteiner, and T. Logvinenko. The heisenberg category of a category, 2021.
- [GKMS15] S. Galkin, L. Katzarkov, A. Mellit, and E. Shinder. Derived categories of Keum's fake projective planes. *Adv. Math.*, 278:238–253, 2015.
- [Göt90] L. Göttsche. The Betti numbers of the Hilbert scheme of points on a smooth projective surface. *Math. Ann.*, 286(1-3):193–207, 1990.
- [Göt01] L. Göttsche. On the motive of the Hilbert scheme of points on a surface. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 8(5-6):613–627, 2001.

- [Gro96] I. Grojnowski. Instantons and affine algebras. I. The Hilbert scheme and vertex operators. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 3(2):275–291, 1996.
- [GS15] S. Galkin and E. Shinder. On a zeta-function of a dg-category, 2015.
- [Hai01] M. Haiman. Hilbert schemes, polygraphs and the Macdonald positivity conjecture. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 14(4):941–1006, 2001.
- [Kaw02] Y. Kawamata. D -equivalence and K -equivalence. *J. Differential Geom.*, 61(1):147–171, 2002.
- [Kel06] B. Keller. On differential graded categories. In *International Congress of Mathematicians. Vol. II*, pages 151–190. Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2006.
- [Kos21] N. Koseki. Categorical blow-up formula for hilbert schemes of points, 2021.
- [Kru18] A. Krug. Symmetric quotient stacks and Heisenberg actions. *Math. Z.*, 288(1-2):11–22, 2018.
- [KS15] A. Krug and P. Sosna. On the derived category of the Hilbert scheme of points on an Enriques surface. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 21(4):1339–1360, 2015.
- [Kuz14] A. Kuznetsov. Semiorthogonal decompositions in algebraic geometry. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians—Seoul 2014. Vol. II*, pages 635–660. Kyung Moon Sa, Seoul, 2014.
- [Nak97] H. Nakajima. Heisenberg algebra and Hilbert schemes of points on projective surfaces. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 145(2):379–388, 1997.
- [PVdB19] A. Polishchuk and M. Van den Bergh. Semiorthogonal decompositions of the categories of equivariant coherent sheaves for some reflection groups. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 21(9):2653–2749, 2019.
- [Shi18] E. Shinder. Group actions on categories and Elagin’s theorem revisited. *Eur. J. Math.*, 4(1):413–422, 2018.
- [Tod21] Y. Toda. Semiorthogonal decompositions of stable pair moduli spaces via d-critical flips. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 23(5):1675–1725, 2021.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF EDINBURGH, JCMB, PETER GUTHRIE
TAIT ROAD, EDINBURGH EH9 3FD, UK.

Email address: `nkoseki@ed.ac.uk`