

# Lipschitz sub-actions for locally maximal hyperbolic sets of a $C^1$ flow

Xifeng Su <sup>\*</sup> Philippe Thieullen <sup>†</sup>

May 23, 2022

## Contents

1	Introduction and main results	2
2	The continuous positive Livšic criterion	4
3	The continuous Lax-Oleinik semigroup	18
	Appendices	27
A	Local hyperbolic flows	27
	A.1 Adapted local flow boxes . . . . .	27

## Abstract

Livšic theorem for flows asserts that a Lipschitz observable that has zero mean average along every periodic orbit is necessarily a coboundary, that is the Lie derivative of a Lipschitz function smooth along the flow direction. The positive Livšic theorem bounds from below the observable by such a coboundary as soon as the mean average along every periodic orbit is non negative. Previous proofs give a Hölder coboundary. Assuming that the dynamics is given by a locally maximal hyperbolic flow, we show that the coboundary can be Lipschitz.

**Keywords:** Anosov flow, weak KAM solutions, Lax-Oleinik operator, Lipschitz coboundary, positive Livšic criterion.

---

<sup>\*</sup>School of Mathematical Sciences, Beijing Normal University, No. 19, XinJieKouWai Street, HaiDian District, Beijing 100875, P. R. China, xfsu@bnu.edu.cn, 201821130020@mail.bnu.edu.cn

<sup>†</sup>Institut de Mathématiques de Bordeaux, Université de Bordeaux, 351 cours de la Libération, F 33405 Talence, France, philippe.thieullen@u-bordeaux.fr

# 1 Introduction and main results

A  $C^r$  flow,  $r \geq 1$ , is a triple  $(M, V, f)$  where  $M$  is a  $C^{r+1}$  manifold without boundary of dimension  $d + 1 \geq 3$ , not necessarily compact, equipped with a smooth Riemannian metric,  $V : M \rightarrow TM$  is a complete  $C^r$  vector field that never vanishes,  $f = (f^t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is a flow with infinitesimal generator  $V$ , that is a one-parameter group of  $C^r$  bijective maps satisfying

$$\forall s, t \in \mathbb{R}, \forall x \in M, \frac{d}{dt} f^t(x) = V \circ f^t(x), \quad f^0(x) = x, \quad f^{s+t} = f^s \circ f^t.$$

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $(M, V, f)$  be a  $C^r$  flow,  $r \geq 1$ , and  $\Lambda \subseteq M$  be a compact invariant set ( $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}, f^t(\Lambda) = \Lambda$ ).

- i.  $\Lambda$  is said to be *hyperbolic* if there exist constants  $C_\Lambda \geq 1$ ,  $\lambda^s < 0 < \lambda^u$ , and a continuous equivariant splitting of  $\Lambda$ ,  $T_x M = E_\Lambda^u(x) \oplus E_\Lambda^0(x) \oplus E_\Lambda^s(x)$  for every  $x \in \Lambda$ ,  $d^u := \dim(E_\Lambda^u) \geq 1$ ,  $d^s := \dim(E_\Lambda^s)$ , such that,

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x \in \Lambda, \quad T_x f^t(E^u(x)) &= E^u(f^t(x)), \quad T_x f^t(E^s(x)) = E^s(f^t(x)), \\ \forall x \in \Lambda, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad &\begin{cases} \forall v \in E_\Lambda^s(x), \quad \|T_x f^t(v)\| \leq C_\Lambda e^{t\lambda^s} \|v\|, \\ E_\Lambda^0(x) = V(x)\mathbb{R}, \quad T_x f^t(V(x)) = V \circ f^t(x), \\ \forall v \in E_\Lambda^u(x), \quad \|T_x f^t(v)\| \geq C_\Lambda^{-1} e^{t\lambda^u} \|v\|. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

- ii.  $\Lambda$  is said to be *locally maximal* if there exists an open neighborhood  $U \supseteq \Lambda$  of compact closure such that  $\Lambda = \bigcap_{t \in \mathbb{R}} f^t(U)$ .

We extend in the following definition the notion of ergodic minimizing value and the notion of subaction. See Garibaldi [5] or Jenkinson [8] for a complete review on the subject. We recall that the Lie derivative of a function  $u : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that is differentiable along the flow generated by a vector field  $V$  is the function,

$$\mathcal{L}_V[u](x) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} u \circ f^t(x).$$

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $(M, V, f)$  be a  $C^1$  flow,  $\Lambda \subseteq M$  be a compact invariant set,  $U \supseteq \Lambda$  be an open neighborhood of  $\Lambda$ , and  $\phi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a  $C^0$  bounded function.

- i. The *ergodic minimizing value* of  $\phi$  (restricted to  $\Lambda$ ) is the quantity

$$\bar{\phi}_\Lambda := \liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \phi \circ f^s(x) ds.$$

ii. A continuous function  $u : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be a  $C^0$  *integrated subaction* of  $\phi$  on  $(U, \Lambda)$  if for every  $x \in U$  and  $t > 0$  such that  $\forall s \in [0, t]$ ,  $f^s(x) \in U$ ,

$$u \circ f^t(x) - u(x) \leq \int_0^t (\phi \circ f^s(x) - \bar{\phi}_\Lambda) ds.$$

iii. A continuous function  $u : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be a  $C^0$  *subaction* of  $\phi$  on  $(U, \Lambda)$  if  $u$  is differentiable along the flow,  $\mathcal{L}_V[u]$  is  $C^0$ , and

$$\forall x \in U, \phi(x) - \bar{\phi}_\Lambda \geq \mathcal{L}_V[u](x).$$

Notice that, if we choose a fixed time of iteration  $\tau > 0$ , if we define a discrete map by  $F := f^\tau$  and an integrated observable by  $\Phi(x) := \int_0^\tau \phi \circ f^t(x) dt$ , if

$$\bar{\Phi}_\Lambda := \tau \bar{\phi}_\Lambda = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \inf_{x \in \Lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \Phi \circ F^k(x),$$

an integrated subaction  $u$  for  $\phi$  with respect to the flow is also a subaction for  $\Phi$  (item ii of Definition 1.2 of [11]) with respect to the map  $F$

$$\forall x \in \Lambda, \Phi(x) - \bar{\Phi}_\Lambda \geq u \circ F(x) - u(x).$$

Our main result is the following.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $(M, V, f)$  be a  $C^1$  flow,  $\Lambda \subseteq M$  be a locally maximal hyperbolic compact connected invariant set. Then there exist an open neighborhood  $\Omega$  of  $\Lambda$ , a constant  $K_\Lambda > 0$ , such that, for every Lipschitz continuous function  $\phi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , there exists  $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  on  $(\Omega, \Lambda)$  satisfying*

- i.  $u$  is Lipschitz continuous,  $\text{Lip}(u) \leq K_\Lambda \text{Lip}(\phi)$ ,
- ii.  $u$  is differentiable along the flow,
- iii.  $\mathcal{L}_V[u]$  is Lipschitz continuous,  $\text{Lip}(\mathcal{L}_V[u]) \leq K_\Lambda \text{Lip}(\phi)$ ,
- iv.  $\forall x \in \Omega, \phi(x) - \bar{\phi}_\Lambda \geq \mathcal{L}_V[u](x)$ .

*Proof.* The proof readily follows from the Theorems 2.3 and 3.3, and a smoothing technique using a regularizing operator. The proof is done at the end of section 3.  $\square$

The plan of the article is as follows. In section 2, we show that for locally maximal compact invariant set  $\Lambda$ , a Lipschitz continuous function satisfies a criterion that we call the “positive Livšic criterion”. In section 3, we do not assume that  $\Lambda$  is hyperbolic. We show that any Lipschitz continuous function satisfying the positive Livšic criterion admits a Lipschitz continuous subaction. We introduce a new tool: the “continuous Lax-Oleinik semigroup”. A fixed point of the Lax-Oleinik semigroup provides a Lipschitz continuous integrated subaction. We conclude the proof by using a regularizing operator.

The interest of splitting the proof in two distinct parts leads to the existence of a Lipschitz continuous subaction even in the case the flow is not uniformly hyperbolic, nor does it satisfy the shadowing lemma. The Lax-Oleinik semigroup gives an explicit construction of the subaction. Other proofs would use Schauder’s fixed point theorem that is not explicit. Other kinds of lower regularity were proved in the past, in Lopes, Roasa, Ruggiero [9], or in Lopes, Thieullen [10]. In both papers, the stable manifolds are used to construct the subaction and it is known that the stable manifolds is in general Hölder and not Lipschitz. Huang-Lian-Ma-Xu-Zhang [7] obtained a Lipschitz continuous integrated subaction  $u_T : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , for  $T$  sufficiently large in the sense

$$\forall x \in \Omega, \int_0^T \phi \circ f^s(x) ds \geq u_T \circ f^T(x) - u_T(x) + T\bar{\phi}_\Lambda.$$

There is no reason in their paper that  $u_T$  may be chosen independently of  $T$ . We show that  $u$  may be chosen independently of  $T$  and that the above inequality may be differentiated in  $T$ .

## 2 The continuous positive Livšic criterion

The *continuous positive Livšic criterion* below is a new concept similar to the notion of the discrete positive Livšic criterion introduced in Definition 3.2 of [11]. The fact that a Lipschitz function on a locally maximal compact invariant hyperbolic set satisfies the positive Livšic criterion is the key ingredient of the proof of the existence of a Lipschitz subaction (instead of a Hölder subaction as in [10]). The proof of this criterion is strongly related to a new shadowing lemma in the continuous setting, to the fact that a pseudo orbit (defined in the continuous setting in Definition 2.6) is shadowed by a true orbit.

The *weighted action of  $\phi$*  of weight  $C \geq 0$  over a continuous piecewise  $C^1$  path  $z : [0, T] \rightarrow M$  is the real number given by

$$\mathcal{A}_{\phi, C}(z) := \int_0^T [(\phi - \bar{\phi}_\Lambda) \circ z(s) + C\|V \circ z(s) - z'(s)\|] ds.$$

Notice that the second term in the weighted action, taken to the square,  $L(x, v) = \frac{1}{2}\|V(x) - v\|^2$ , is a standard way to embed the flow of a vector field  $V$  in a Lagrangian system.

**Definition 2.1** (Continuous positive Livšic criterion). Let  $(M, V, f)$  be a  $C^1$  flow,  $\Lambda$  be a compact connected invariant set,  $\Omega \supset \Lambda$  be an open neighborhood of  $\Lambda$  with compact closure, and  $C \geq 0$  be a non negative constant. Let  $\phi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a  $C^0$  bounded function and  $\bar{\phi}_\Lambda$  be the ergodic minimizing value of  $\phi$  restricted to  $\Lambda$ . We say that  $\phi$  satisfies the *positive Livšic criterion on  $(\Omega, \Lambda)$  with distortion constant  $C$*  if

$$\inf_{T>0} \inf_{z:[0,T] \rightarrow \Omega} \mathcal{A}_{\phi,C}(z) > -\infty,$$

where the infimum is realized over the set of continuous piecewise  $C^1$  paths  $z : [0, T] \rightarrow \Omega$ .

The following lemma justifies the introduction of the positive Livšic criterion in the case there exists a  $C^1$  subaction.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $(M, V, f)$  be a  $C^1$  flow and  $\phi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a  $C^0$  bounded function. Assume there exists a  $C^1$  function  $u : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\phi - \bar{\phi}_\Lambda \geq \mathcal{L}_V[u]$ . Then for every piecewise  $C^1$  continuous path  $z : [0, T] \rightarrow M$*

$$\mathcal{A}_{\phi,C}(z) \geq -2 \inf_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \|u - c\|_\infty,$$

with  $C := \|du\|_\infty$ .

*Proof.* For any  $C^1$  function  $u$ , the Lie derivative admits the equivalent form

$$\mathcal{L}_V[u](x) = du(x) \cdot V(x).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \left( \phi \circ z(s) - \bar{\phi}_\Lambda + \|du\|_\infty \|V \circ z(s) - z'(s)\| \right) ds \\ & \geq \int_0^T \left( du \circ z \cdot V \circ z + \|du\|_\infty \|V \circ z - z'\| \right) ds \\ & = \int_0^T \left( du \circ z \cdot (V \circ z - z') + \|du\|_\infty \|V \circ z - z'\| \right) ds + \int_0^T du \circ z \cdot z' ds \\ & \geq \int_0^T du \circ z \cdot z' ds = u \circ z(T) - u \circ z(0) \geq -2\|u\|_\infty. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

The following theorem shows that the conclusions of Lemma 2.2 are still valid without the assumption of the existence of a  $C^1$  subaction.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $(M, V, f)$  be a  $C^1$  flow,  $\Lambda$  be a locally maximal hyperbolic compact connected invariant set as in Definition 1.1. Then there exist an open neighborhood  $\Omega$  of  $\Lambda$  of compact closure and constants  $C_\Lambda \geq 0$ ,  $\delta_\Lambda \geq 0$ , such that for every Lipschitz continuous  $\phi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , for every piecewise  $C^1$  continuous path  $z : [0, T] \rightarrow \Omega$*

$$\int_0^T [(\phi - \bar{\phi}_\Lambda) \circ z(s) + C_\Lambda \text{Lip}(\phi) \|V \circ z(s) - z'(s)\|] ds \geq -\delta_\Lambda \text{Lip}(\phi).$$

The constant  $C_\Lambda$  depends only on the hyperbolicity of  $\Lambda$ ; it has the explicit formula given by the equation (2.1). The heart of the proof of Theorem 2.3 consists in writing the action  $\mathcal{A}_{\phi, C}(z)$  as a Birkhoff sum of weighted actions under the dynamics of a sequence of Poincaré return maps and apply the improved Anosov shadowing Lemma in local charts, Theorem 2.3 in [11].

We choose once for all a family of adapted local flow boxes  $\Gamma_\Lambda$  as in Definition A.2. We recall that for every  $x \in \Lambda$  the local flow box at  $x$  is denoted  $\gamma_x : (-2\tau, 2\tau) \times B_x(\rho) \rightarrow M$  where  $B_x(\rho) \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is equipped with the adapted local norm  $\|\cdot\|_x$ .

**Definition 2.4.** For every  $\epsilon < \frac{1}{2}\tau$ , a *local trap box of size  $(\tau, \epsilon)$*  located at some  $x \in \Lambda$  is the open set

$$D_x(\tau, \epsilon) = \gamma_x(\tilde{D}_x(\tau, \epsilon)) \text{ where } \tilde{D}_x(\tau, \epsilon) := (-2\epsilon, \tau) \times B_x(2\epsilon)$$

equipped with the norm  $\|(r, u)\|_x = \max(|r|, \|u\|_x)$ . A *local ball of size  $\epsilon$*  is the set

$$U_x(\epsilon) := \gamma_x((-\epsilon, \epsilon) \times B_x(\epsilon)).$$

We choose  $\epsilon$  small enough so that, if  $y \in \Lambda$  and is close to  $\gamma_x((\tau - \epsilon, \tau + \epsilon) \times B_x(2\epsilon))$ , then  $(x, y)$  is  $\Gamma_\Lambda$  forward admissible as in item ix in Definition A.2, that is

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_x((\tau - \epsilon, \tau + \epsilon) \times B_x(2\epsilon)) \cap U_y(\epsilon) &\neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \\ y \in U_y(\epsilon) &\subset \gamma_x((\tau - \rho, \tau + \rho) \times B_x(\rho)) \text{ and } f_{x,y}(0) \in B_y(\epsilon(\rho)). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, the local return time from  $\Sigma_x \cap U_x(\epsilon)$  to  $\Sigma_y$  belongs to the interval  $(\tau - 3\epsilon, \tau + 3\epsilon)$ . Let  $\partial^+ \tilde{D}_x(\tau, \epsilon) \sqcup \partial^- \tilde{D}_x(\tau, \epsilon)$  be the *forward and backward boundary* of  $\tilde{D}(\tau, \epsilon)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial^+ \tilde{D}_x(\tau, \epsilon) &:= \{\tau\} \times B_x(2\epsilon), \\ \partial^- \tilde{D}_x(\tau, \epsilon) &:= \{-2\epsilon\} \times \overline{B_x(2\epsilon)} \cup [-2\epsilon, \tau] \times \partial B_x(2\epsilon), \\ \partial^\pm D_x(\tau, \epsilon) &:= \gamma_x(\partial^\pm \tilde{D}_x(\tau, \epsilon)). \end{aligned}$$

We choose once for all a finite subset  $X_\Gamma \subset \Lambda$  such that  $\Lambda \subset \bigcup_{x \in X_\Gamma} U_x(\epsilon)$  and  $\epsilon_{AS} < \epsilon$  small enough so that

$$\Omega_{AS} := \{x \in M : d(x, \Lambda) < \epsilon_{AS}\} \subset \bigcup_{x \in X_\Gamma} U_x(\epsilon) \subset U,$$

where  $U$  is the open set that defines the locally maximal set  $\Lambda$  as in Definition 1.1. Let

$$\text{Lip}(\Gamma_\Lambda) := \sup_{x \in \Lambda} (\text{Lip}_x(\gamma_x), \text{Lip}(\gamma_x^{-1})).$$

We now consider a continuous piecewise  $C^1$  path  $z : [0, T] \rightarrow M$  whose image lies in some  $\Omega_{AS} \cap D_x(\tau, \epsilon)$ ,  $x \in X_\Gamma$ . We assume that the path  $z$  starts close to  $x$ ,

$$z(0) \in U_x(\epsilon).$$

We denote by  $\tilde{z} := \gamma_x^{-1} \circ z$  the pull backward of  $z$  by  $\gamma_x$  and discuss three cases.

- *The pseudo orbit case:* the path  $z$  exits at the forward boundary

$$z(T) \in \partial^+ D_x(\tau, \epsilon).$$

- *The escaped orbit case:* the path exits at the backward boundary

$$z(T) \in \partial^- D_x(\tau, \epsilon).$$

- *The trapped orbit case:* the path stays inside  $D_x(\tau, \epsilon)$ .

In the first case, we assume that there exists  $y \in \Lambda$  such that

$$z(T) \in U_y(\epsilon).$$

In that case  $(x, y)$  is  $\Gamma_\Lambda$  forward admissible, the local return time is well defined

$$\tilde{\tau}_{x,y} : B_x(\rho) \rightarrow (0, 2\tau).$$

The box coordinates (defined in different charts) of the two endpoints  $z(0)$  and  $z(T)$  are well defined

$$z(0) = \gamma_x(\tilde{r}_x, \tilde{q}_x), \quad z(T) = \gamma_y(\tilde{r}_y, \tilde{q}_y).$$

The local Poincaré return map is also well defined

$$f_{x,y}(q) = \gamma_{y,0}^{-1} \circ f^{\tilde{\tau}_{x,y}(q)} \circ \gamma_{x,0}(q) : B_x(\rho) \rightarrow B(1).$$




Figure 1: A trap box of size  $(\tau, \epsilon)$ . The drawing corresponds to the case where the path  $s \mapsto \tilde{z}(s)$  exits at the forward boundary  $\partial^+ \tilde{D}_x(\tau, \epsilon)$ . The two shaded regions correspond to  $\epsilon$ -neighborhoods in  $M$  at  $x, y \in \Lambda$  of  $z(0) \in U_x(\epsilon)$  and  $z(T) \in U_y(\epsilon)$ .  $\tilde{\Sigma}_x = \{0\} \times B(1)$  and  $\tilde{\Sigma}_y = \gamma_x^{-1}(\Sigma_y)$  are the local Poincaré sections observed in the flow box  $\tilde{D}_x(\tau, \epsilon)$ .

In the second and third cases we don't need to assume the existence of a point  $y \in \Lambda$  and to define a local return time. See Figure 1 for a schematic description of the trap box  $D_x(\tau, \epsilon)$ .

The following lemma is crucial and gives a lower bound of  $\mathcal{A}_{\phi, C}(z)$  in the three cases. The constant  $\mathcal{A}_*$  is set arbitrarily in the lemma but will be defined later in Lemma 2.10. A large value of  $\mathcal{A}_*$  forces  $C_2$  to be large. In the pseudo-periodic case, we bound from below the weighted action of the path  $z$  by an integrated weighted action between two Poincaré sections up to a coboundary.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $x \in \Lambda$ ,  $D_x(\tau, \epsilon)$  be a trap box as defined in Definition 2.4,  $T > 0$ , and  $z : [0, T] \rightarrow \Omega_{AS} \cap D_x(\tau, \epsilon)$  be a continuous piecewise- $C^1$  path. Let*

$$\begin{aligned}
C_1 &:= 6(1 + \tau)\text{Lip}(\phi)\text{Lip}(\Gamma_\Lambda)^2(1 + \text{diam}(\Omega_{AS})), \\
\mathcal{A}_* &\geq 8\tau(1 + \tau)\text{Lip}(\phi)\text{Lip}(\Gamma_\Lambda)(1 + \text{diam}(\Omega_{AS})), \\
C_2(\epsilon, \mathcal{A}_*) &:= \max\left(32\frac{\tau}{\epsilon}(1 + \tau)\text{Lip}(\phi)\text{Lip}(\Gamma_\Lambda)^2(1 + \text{diam}(\Omega_{AS})), 4\frac{\mathcal{A}_*}{\epsilon}\text{Lip}(\Gamma_\Lambda)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

We have

i. Assume  $z$  is a pseudo orbit:  $z(0) \in U_x(\epsilon)$  and  $\tilde{z}(T) \in \partial^+ \tilde{D}_x(\tau, \epsilon)$ . Define



$(\tilde{r}_x, \tilde{q}_x) = \gamma_x^{-1}(z(0))$ ,  $(\tilde{r}_y, \tilde{q}_y) = \gamma_y^{-1}(z(T))$ , and choose  $C \geq C_1$ . Then

$$\mathcal{A}_{\phi,C}(z) \geq \Phi_{x,y} + \Psi_y - \Psi_x + \frac{C}{\sqrt{8} \text{Lip}(\Gamma_\Lambda)^3} \|f_{x,y}(\tilde{q}_x) - \tilde{q}_y\|_y,$$

$$\text{where } \Phi_{x,y} := \int_0^{\tilde{r}_{x,y}(\tilde{q}_x)} (\phi - \bar{\phi}_\Lambda) \circ f^{s-\tilde{r}_x} \circ z(0) ds \quad \text{and}$$

$$\Psi_x := \int_0^{\tilde{r}_x} (\phi - \bar{\phi}_\Lambda) \circ f^{s-\tilde{r}_x}(z(0)) ds, \quad \Psi_y := \int_0^{\tilde{r}_y} (\phi - \bar{\phi}_\Lambda) \circ f^{s-\tilde{r}_y}(z(T)) ds.$$

The quantity  $\Phi_{x,y}$  plays the role of an integrated weighted action between two Poincaré sections, and the quantity  $\Psi_y - \Psi_x$  plays the role of a coboundary.

ii. Assume  $z$  is an escaped orbit:  $z(0) \in U_x(\epsilon)$ ,  $\tilde{z}(T) \in \partial^- \tilde{D}_x(\tau, \epsilon)$ , and  $C \geq C_2(\epsilon, \mathcal{A}_*)$ , then

$$\mathcal{A}_{\phi,C}(z) \geq \mathcal{A}_*.$$

iii. Assume  $z$  is a trapped orbit and  $C \geq C_1$ , then

$$\mathcal{A}_{\phi,C}(z) \geq -8\tau(1+\tau)\text{Lip}(\phi)\text{Lip}(\Gamma_\Lambda)(1+\text{diam}(\Omega_{AS})).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\tilde{z}(s) = \gamma_x^{-1} \circ z(s) = (r(s), q(s))$  be the local coordinates of  $\tilde{z}(s)$  for every  $s \in [0, T]$ . Define the reduced observable

$$\tilde{\psi} := (\phi - \bar{\phi}_\Lambda) \circ \gamma_x : \tilde{D}_x(\tau, \epsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

Both  $\|\tilde{\psi}\|_\infty$  and  $\text{Lip}_x(\tilde{\psi})$  are bounded from above by  $\text{Lip}(\phi)\text{Lip}(\Gamma_\Lambda)(1+\text{diam}(\Omega_{AS}))$ .

As  $\tilde{\psi} = (\phi - \bar{\phi}_\Lambda) \circ \gamma_x$  is Lipschitz continuous, it is differentiable almost everywhere, with a derivative in the distribution sense in  $L^\infty(\tilde{D}_x(\tau, \epsilon))$ .

*Part 1.* Assume  $\tilde{z}(T) \in \partial^+ \tilde{D}_x(\tau, \epsilon)$ . Define an antiderivative of  $\tilde{\psi}(\cdot, u)$  for  $u$  fixed by

$$\forall t \in (-2\epsilon, 2\tau), \forall u \in B(2\epsilon), F(t, u) := - \int_t^{\tilde{r}_{x,y}(u)} \tilde{\psi}(s, u) ds.$$

For every  $t \in [-\tau, 2\tau]$  and for almost everywhere  $u \in B_x(2\epsilon)$

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(t, u) = \tilde{\psi}(t, u), \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial u}(t, u) = -\nabla \tilde{r}_{x,y}(u) \tilde{\psi}(t, u) - \int_t^{\tilde{r}_{x,y}(u)} \frac{\partial \tilde{\psi}}{\partial u}(s, u) ds.$$

Using the estimate  $\tilde{\tau}_{x,y} \leq 2\tau$ ,  $-\tau \leq t \leq 2\tau$  and  $\|\nabla \tilde{\tau}_{x,y}\|_x \leq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \|DF\|_x &:= \left\| \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \right\|_x + \left\| \frac{\partial F}{\partial u} \right\|_x, \quad \left\| \frac{\partial F}{\partial u} \right\|_x := \sup_{(t,u) \in \tilde{D}(\tau,\epsilon)} \left\| \frac{\partial F}{\partial u}(t,u) \right\|_x, \\ \left\| \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \right\|_x &\leq \|\tilde{\psi}\|_\infty, \quad \left\| \frac{\partial F}{\partial u} \right\|_x \leq \|\tilde{\psi}\|_\infty + 3\tau \left\| \frac{\partial \tilde{\psi}}{\partial u} \right\|_x, \\ \|DF\|_x &\leq (2 + 3\tau)\text{Lip}(\phi)\text{Lip}(\Gamma_\Lambda)(1 + \text{diam}(\Omega_{AS})) \\ &\leq 3(1 + \tau)\text{Lip}(\phi)\text{Lip}(\Gamma_\Lambda)(1 + \text{diam}(\Omega_{AS})) = \frac{C_1}{2\text{Lip}(\Gamma_\Lambda)} \leq \frac{C}{2\text{Lip}(\Gamma_\Lambda)}. \end{aligned}$$

The key identity is to replace  $\tilde{\psi} \circ \tilde{z}$  by the derivative with respect to  $t$  of a function of the form  $F \circ \tilde{z}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\psi}(r(s), q(s)) &= \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(\tilde{z}(s)) \\ &= \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(\tilde{z}(s))(1 - r'(s)) - \frac{\partial F}{\partial u}(\tilde{z}(s))q'(s) + \frac{d}{ds}(F(\tilde{z}(s))). \end{aligned}$$

Using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality one obtains,

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 &:= (1, 0), \quad \|e_1 - \tilde{z}'(s)\|^2 = (1 - r'(s))^2 + q'(s)^2, \\ \left| \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(\tilde{z}(s))(1 - r'(s)) - \frac{\partial F}{\partial u}(\tilde{z}(s))q'(s) \right| &\leq \|DF\|_x \|e_1 - \tilde{z}'(s)\|_x, \\ \tilde{\psi}(\tilde{z}(s)) + \frac{C}{\text{Lip}(\Gamma_\Lambda)} \|e_1 - \tilde{z}'(s)\|_x &\geq \frac{d}{ds}(F(\tilde{z}(s))) + \frac{C}{2\text{Lip}(\Gamma_\Lambda)} \|e_1 - \tilde{z}'(s)\|_x. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating over  $[0, T]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \|e_1 - \tilde{z}'(s)\|_x ds &\geq \left\| \int_0^T (e_1 - \tilde{z}'(s)) ds \right\|_x \\ &= \|(T - r(T) + r(0), -q(T) + q(0))\|_x \\ &\geq \|q(T) - q(0)\|_x. \end{aligned}$$

Using  $\|\nabla \tilde{\tau}_{x,y}\|_x \leq 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{\tau}_{x,y}(q(T)) - \tilde{\tau}_{x,y}(q(0))| &\leq \|q(T) - q(0)\|_x, \\ \|q(T) - q(0)\|_x &\geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \|(\tilde{\tau}_{x,y}(q(T)) - \tilde{\tau}_{x,y}(q(0)), q(T) - q(0))\|_x. \end{aligned}$$

By definition of  $(\tilde{r}_x, \tilde{q}_x)$  and  $(\tilde{r}_y, \tilde{q}_y)$ , by definition of the local Poincaré section and the local return time  $\tilde{\tau}_{x,y}$ , we have

$$\begin{cases} \gamma_y(0, f_{x,y}(\tilde{q}_x)) = \gamma_x(\tilde{\tau}_{x,y}(q(0)), q(0)), \\ \gamma_y(0, \tilde{q}_y) = \gamma_x(\tilde{\tau}_{x,y}(q(T)), q(T)) \in \Sigma_y. \end{cases}$$

Using the local conjugacy to the constant flow  $e_1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} z(T) &= \gamma_y(\tilde{r}_y, \tilde{q}_y) = f^{\tilde{r}_y} \circ \gamma_y(0, \tilde{q}_y) \\ &= f^{\tilde{r}_y} \circ \gamma_x(\tilde{\tau}_{x,y}(q(T)), q(T)) = \gamma_x(\tilde{r}_y + \tilde{\tau}_{x,y}(q(T)), q(T)) \\ &= \gamma_x(r(T), q(T)). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $r(T) = \tilde{r}_y + \tilde{\tau}_{x,y}(q(T))$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\tilde{\tau}_{x,y}(q(T)) - \tilde{\tau}_{x,y}(q(0)), q(T) - q(0))\|_x &\geq \text{Lip}(\Gamma_\Lambda)^{-2} \|f_{x,y}(\tilde{q}_x) - \tilde{q}_y\|_y, \\ \|V \circ z(s) - z'(s)\| &\geq \text{Lip}(\Gamma_\Lambda)^{-1} \|e_1 - \tilde{z}'(s)\|_x, \\ \mathcal{A}_{\phi,C}(z) &= \int_0^T \left( \tilde{\psi} \circ \tilde{z}(s) + C \|V \circ z(s) - z'(s)\| \right) ds \\ &\geq \int_0^T \left( \tilde{\psi} \circ \tilde{z}(s) + \frac{C}{\text{Lip}(\Gamma_\Lambda)} \|e_1 - \tilde{z}'(s)\|_x \right) ds \\ &\geq \int_0^T \frac{d}{ds} (F(\tilde{z}(s))) ds + \frac{C}{2\text{Lip}(\Gamma_\Lambda)} \|q(T) - q(0)\|_x \\ &\geq F(\tilde{z}(T)) - F(\tilde{z}(0)) + \frac{C}{2\sqrt{2}\text{Lip}(\Gamma_\Lambda)^3} \|f_{x,y}(\tilde{q}_x) - \tilde{q}_y\|_y. \end{aligned}$$

Using the identity  $\gamma_x(s + \tilde{\tau}_{x,y}(q(T)), q(T)) = \gamma_y(s, \tilde{q}_y)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F(\tilde{z}(0)) &= - \int_{r(0)}^{\tilde{\tau}_{x,y}(q(0))} \tilde{\psi}(s, q(0)) ds \\ &= - \int_0^{\tilde{\tau}_{x,y}(\tilde{q}_x)} \tilde{\psi}(s, \tilde{q}_x) ds + \int_0^{\tilde{r}_x} (\phi - \bar{\phi}_\Lambda) \circ \gamma_x(\tilde{r}_x - s, \tilde{q}_x) ds = -\Psi_{x,y} + \Psi_x, \\ &= -\Phi_{x,y} + \int_0^{\tilde{r}_x} (\phi - \bar{\phi}_\Lambda) \circ f^{-s}(z(0)) ds \\ F(\tilde{z}(T)) &= - \int_{r(T)}^{\tilde{\tau}_{x,y}(q(T))} \tilde{\psi}(s, q(T)) ds = \int_0^{r(T) - \tilde{\tau}_{x,y}(q(T))} \tilde{\psi}(s + \tilde{\tau}_{x,y}(q(T)), q(T)) ds \\ &= \int_0^{\tilde{r}_y} (\phi - \bar{\phi}_\Lambda) \circ \gamma_y(s, \tilde{q}_y) \\ &= \int_0^{\tilde{r}_y} (\phi - \bar{\phi}_\Lambda) \circ f^{-s}(z(T)) ds = \Psi_y. \end{aligned}$$

*Part 2.* Assume  $\tilde{z}(T) \in \partial^- \tilde{D}_x(\tau, \epsilon)$ . Define a different antiderivative of  $\tilde{\psi}(\cdot, u)$  by

$$\forall t \in (-2\epsilon, \tau), \forall u \in B(2\epsilon), F(t, u) := \int_0^t \tilde{\psi}(s, u) ds.$$

Then as above we have almost everywhere

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(t, u) &= \tilde{\psi}(t, u), & \frac{\partial F}{\partial u}(t, u) &= \int_0^t \frac{\partial \tilde{\psi}}{\partial u}(s, u) ds, \\ \left\| \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \right\|_x &\leq \|\tilde{\psi}\|_\infty, & \left\| \frac{\partial F}{\partial u} \right\|_x &\leq 2\tau \left\| \frac{\partial \tilde{\psi}}{\partial u} \right\|_x, \\ \|DF\|_x &\leq (1 + 2\tau) \text{Lip}(\phi) \text{Lip}(\Gamma_\Lambda) (1 + \text{diam}(\Omega_{AS})) \\ &\leq 2(1 + \tau) \text{Lip}(\phi) \text{Lip}(\Gamma_\Lambda) (1 + \text{diam}(\Omega_{AS})) \leq \frac{C}{2\text{Lip}(\Gamma_\Lambda)}.\end{aligned}$$

Using the same estimate as before, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\psi}(r(s), q(s)) &= \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(\tilde{z}(s)) \\ &= \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(\tilde{z}(s))(1 - r'(s)) - \frac{\partial F}{\partial u}(\tilde{z}(s))q'(s) + \frac{d}{ds}(F(\tilde{z}(s))), \\ \mathcal{A}_{\phi, C}(z) &\geq F(\tilde{z}(T)) - F(\tilde{z}(0)) + \frac{C}{2\text{Lip}(\Gamma_\Lambda)} \|(T - r(T) + r(0), -q(T) + q(0))\|_x.\end{aligned}$$

Either  $\tilde{z}(T) \in [-2\epsilon, \tau] \times \partial B(2\epsilon)$ ,

$$\|(T - r(T) + r(0), q(T) - q(0))\|_x \geq \|q(T) - q(0)\|_x \geq \epsilon,$$

or  $\tilde{z}(T) \in \{-2\epsilon\} \times \overline{B(2\epsilon)}$ ,  $r(T) = -2\epsilon$ ,

$$\|(T - r(T) + r(0), q(T) - q(0))\|_x \geq |T - r(T) + r(0)| = (T + 2\epsilon - r(0)) \geq \epsilon.$$

In both cases, using  $\epsilon < \frac{\tau}{2}$  and  $C \geq C_2(\epsilon, \mathcal{A}_*)$ , one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}\|\tilde{z}(T) - \tilde{z}(0)\|_x &\leq \sqrt{(4\epsilon)^2 + (3\tau)^2} \leq 4\tau, \\ |F(\tilde{z}(T)) - F(\tilde{z}(0))| &\leq 8\tau(1 + \tau) \text{Lip}(\phi) \text{Lip}(\Gamma_\Lambda) (1 + \text{diam}(\Omega_{AS})) \leq \frac{C\epsilon}{4\text{Lip}(\Gamma_\Lambda)}, \\ \mathcal{A}_0^T(z) &\geq \frac{C\epsilon}{4\text{Lip}(\Gamma_\Lambda)} \geq \mathcal{A}_*.\end{aligned}$$

*Part 3.* In every cases, using the second antiderivative, we have

$$\mathcal{A}_{\phi, C}(z) \geq F(\tilde{z}(T)) - F(\tilde{z}(0)) \geq -8\tau(1 + \tau) \text{Lip}(\phi) \text{Lip}(\Gamma_\Lambda) (1 + \text{diam}(\Omega_{AS})).$$

□

We now prove the validity of the positive Livšic criterion (Definition 2.1) in the context of locally maximal compact hyperbolic invariant set. We first redefine the notion of pseudo orbit in the context of flows.

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $\Gamma_\Lambda$  be a family of adapted local flow boxes as defined in Definition A.2,  $\epsilon < \frac{1}{2}\tau$ , and  $(D_x(\tau, \epsilon))_{x \in \Lambda}$ ,  $(U_x(\epsilon))_{x \in \Lambda}$  be respectively the family of local trap boxes of size  $(\tau, \epsilon)$  and local balls of size  $\epsilon$  as defined in Definition 2.4. Let  $X \subseteq \Lambda$  be a finite set, and  $\Omega \supseteq \Lambda$  be an open set such that  $\Omega \subseteq \cup_{x \in X} U_x(\epsilon)$ . A  $(X, \epsilon)$ -pseudo orbit is a continuous piecewise  $C^1$  path  $z : [0, T] \rightarrow \Omega$  such that there exist  $N \geq 1$ , points  $x_0, \dots, x_N$  in  $X$  and an increasing sequence of times  $T_0 = 0 < T_1 < \dots < T_N = T$  such that

- i.  $\forall i \in \llbracket 0, N \rrbracket$ ,  $z(T_i) \in U_{x_i}(\epsilon)$ ,
- ii.  $\forall i \in \llbracket 0, N - 1 \rrbracket$ ,  $\forall t \in [T_i, T_{i+1}]$ ,  $z(t) \in D_{x_i}(\tau, \epsilon)$ ,
- iii.  $\forall i \in \llbracket 0, N - 1 \rrbracket$ ,  $z(T_{i+1}) \in \partial^+ D_{x_i}(\tau, \epsilon)$ .

The times  $(T_i)_{i=0}^N$  are called *cutting times*, and the points  $(x_i)_{i=0}^N$  the *cutting points*. A periodic  $(X, \epsilon)$ -pseudo orbit satisfies in addition

- iv.  $x_0 = x_N$ .

The following lemma is similar to Lemma 4.2 in [11]. We use essentially item i of Lemma 2.5 and the adapted Anosov shadowing lemma stated in Theorem 2.3 in [11] that we recall below. The proof consists in writing the weighted action  $\mathcal{A}_{\phi, C}(z)$  of a pseudo orbit  $z : [0, T] \rightarrow \Omega$  as a sum modulo a coboundary  $\Psi_{i+1} - \Psi_i$  of weighted actions  $\Phi_i(\tau_i, q_i)$  of true orbits  $t \in [0, \tau_i] \mapsto f^t(z_i) \in \Omega$  between two Poincaré sections  $\Sigma_{x_i}, \Sigma_{x_{i+1}}$  at successive cutting points  $x_i$ . The point  $z_i = \gamma_{x_i}(0, q_i)$  is a local projection of  $z(T_i)$  onto  $\Sigma_{x_i}$ . The time  $\tau_i = \tau_{x_i, x_{i+1}}(q_i)$  is the return time of  $z_i$  onto  $\Sigma_{x_{i+1}}$ . The term  $C\|V \circ z - z'\|$  is replaced by  $\tilde{C}_1 \|f^{\tau_i}(z_i) - z_{i+1}\|$  for some constant  $\tilde{C}_1$ . We then use the adapted Anosov shadowing lemma to show the existence of a periodic orbit  $(p_i)_{i=0}^N$  for the family of return maps  $(f_{x_i, x_{i+1}})_{i=0}^{N-1}$  that shadows the pseudo orbit  $(q_i)_{i=0}^N$ . We denote  $\hat{z}_i = \gamma_{x_i}(0, p_i)$  and  $\hat{\tau}_i = \tau_{x_i, x_{i+1}}(p_i)$ . The concatenation of the orbits  $t \in [0, \hat{\tau}_i] \mapsto f^t(\hat{z}_i) \in U$  gives a true periodic orbit and by definition of the ergodic minimizing value  $\bar{\phi}_\Lambda$ , the weighted action of  $\phi$  along that periodic orbit is non negative. Replacing  $(q_i)_{i=0}^N$  by  $(p_i)_{i=0}^N$  introduces an error  $\tilde{C}_2 \|f^{\tau_i}(z_i) - z_{i+1}\|$  that is eliminated provided we choose  $\tilde{C}_1 \geq \tilde{C}_2$ . The coboundary plays no role except at the two endpoints and we can bound from below  $\mathcal{A}_{\phi, C}(z)$  independently of the periodic pseudo-orbit  $z$ .

We recall the statement of Theorem 2.3 in [11] for pseudo-orbits of Poincaré sections.

**Lemma 2.7** (Adapted Anosov shadowing lemma, [11]). *Let  $\Gamma_\Lambda$  be a family of adapted local flow boxes,  $X \subseteq \Lambda$  be a finite set,  $(x_i)_{i=0}^N$  be a periodic sequence,  $x_N = x_0$ , of points of  $\Gamma_\Lambda$  so that all the couples  $(x_{i-1}, x_i)$  are forward admissible as in item ix in Definition A.2. Let  $B_i(\rho) = B_{x_i}(\rho)$  be the local balls and  $f_i =$*

$f_{x_i, x_{i+1}} : B_i(\rho) \rightarrow B_{i+1}(1)$  be the local Poincaré map. Then there exists a constant  $K_\Gamma \geq 1$  such that, for every periodic pseudo orbits  $(q_i)_{i=0}^N$  in the sense

$$\forall i \in \llbracket 0, N-1 \rrbracket, q_i, q_{i+1} \in B_i(\rho/2), f_i(q_i) \in B_{i+1}(\rho/2),$$

there exists a periodic orbit  $(p_i)_{i=0}^N$ ,  $p_N = p_0$  and  $\forall i \in \llbracket 0, N-1 \rrbracket$ ,  $f_i(p_i) = p_{i+1}$ , satisfying

$$\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \|q_i - p_i\|_i \leq K_\Gamma \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \|f_i(q_i) - q_{i+1}\|_{i+1}.$$

The proof of Theorem 2.3 is a consequence of the following three lemmas. In the first lemma we bound from below the weighted action  $\mathcal{A}_{\phi, C}(z)$  of a periodic pseudo orbit.

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let  $(\tau, \epsilon) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$ ,  $X_\Gamma \subset \Lambda$ ,  $\epsilon_{AS} < \epsilon$ ,  $T > 0$ , and  $\Omega_{AS} \subset \bigcup_{x \in X_\Gamma} U_x(\epsilon)$  as in Definition 2.4. Define*

$$C_3 := 12\sqrt{2}(1 + \tau)\tilde{K}_\Lambda \text{Lip}(\phi) \text{Lip}(\Gamma_\Lambda)^4 (1 + \text{diam}(\Omega_{AS})).$$

For every  $C \geq C_3$ , and every periodic  $(X_\Gamma, \epsilon)$ -pseudo orbit  $z : [0, T] \rightarrow \Omega_{AS}$ , we have

$$\mathcal{A}_{\phi, C}(z) \geq -2\epsilon \text{Lip}(\phi) \text{diam}(\Omega_{AS}).$$

*Proof.* Using the notations of Definition 2.6, by definition of a periodic  $(X_\Gamma, \epsilon)$ -pseudo orbit of length  $N$ , there exist a sequence of cutting points  $(x_i)_{i=0}^N$ ,  $x_i \in X_\Gamma$ ,  $x_N = x_0$ , there exists a sequence of cutting times  $(T_i)_{i=0}^N$ ,  $T_0 = 0 < T_1 < \dots < T_N$  such that  $z_i := z(T_i) \in U_{x_i}(\epsilon)$ . Let  $(r_i, q_i) := \gamma_{x_i}^{-1}(z_i)$  be the local coordinates of  $z_i$  in the chart  $\gamma_{x_i}$ , with  $|r_i| < \epsilon$  and  $q_i \in B_{x_i}(\epsilon)$ . Let  $\tau_i(q) := \tau_{x_i, x_{i+1}}(q)$  be the return time between the two Poincaré sections  $\Sigma_{x_i}$  and  $\Sigma_{x_{i+1}}$  passing through  $x_i$  and  $x_{i+1}$  respectively as in item vi of Definition A.2. Let  $f_i(q) = f_{x_i, x_{i+1}}(q) : B_{x_i}(\rho) \rightarrow B_{x_{i+1}}(1)$  be the local Poincaré map as in item vii in Definition A.2.

Using item i of Lemma 2.5, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_i &:= \int_{T_i}^{T_{i+1}} [(\phi - \bar{\phi}_\Lambda)z(s) + C\|V \circ z(s) - z'(s)\|] ds \\ &\geq \Phi_i(\tau_i(q_i), q_i) + \Psi_{i+1}(q_{i+1}) - \Psi_i(q_i) + \frac{C}{\sqrt{8} \text{Lip}(\Gamma_\Lambda)^3} \|f_i(q_i) - q_{i+1}\|_{i+1}, \end{aligned}$$

where for every  $t \in (-\tau, 2\tau)$  and  $q \in B_{x_i}(\epsilon)$ ,

$$\Phi_i(t, q) := \int_0^t (\phi - \bar{\phi}_\Lambda) \circ \gamma_{x_i}(s, q) ds, \quad \Psi_i(q) := \int_0^{r_i} (\phi - \bar{\phi}_\Lambda) \circ \gamma_{x_i}(s, q) ds.$$

Notice that, though  $x_0 = x_N$ , we may have  $r_0 \neq r_N$ . In particular we may have  $\Psi_0 \neq \Psi_N$ .

Then  $(q_i)_{i=0}^N$  is a periodic pseudo-orbit as in Lemma 2.7. There exists a periodic orbit  $(p_i)_{i=0}^N$ , that is a sequence of points satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} p_N &= p_0, \quad \forall i \in \llbracket 0, N-1 \rrbracket, \quad f_i(p_i) = p_{i+1}, \\ \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \|q_i - p_i\|_i &\leq K_\Gamma \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \|f_i(q_i) - q_{i+1}\|_{i+1}. \end{aligned}$$

The Lipschitz constants of  $\Phi_i$  and  $\Psi_i$  can be computed using the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{\partial \Phi_i}{\partial t} \right\|_i &\leq \text{Lip}(\phi) \text{diam}(\Omega_{AS}), \quad \left\| \frac{\partial \Phi_i}{\partial q} \right\|_i \leq 2\tau \text{Lip}(\phi) \text{Lip}(\Gamma_\Lambda), \\ \|\Phi_i(\tau_i(q), q) - \Phi_i(\tau_i(p), p)\|_i &\leq \left[ \left\| \frac{\partial \Phi_i}{\partial t} \right\|_i + \left\| \frac{\partial \Phi_i}{\partial q} \right\|_i \right] \|q - p\|_i, \\ \|\Psi_i(q) - \Psi_i(p)\|_i &\leq \left\| \frac{\partial \Phi_i}{\partial q} \right\|_i \|q - p\|_i. \end{aligned}$$

Summing over  $i$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} [\Phi_i(\tau_i(q_i), q_i) + \Psi_{i+1}(q_{i+1}) - \Psi_i(q_i)] \\ &\geq \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} [\Phi_i(\tau_i(p_i), p_i) + \Psi_{i+1}(p_{i+1}) - \Psi_i(p_i)] \\ &\quad - 6(1 + \tau) \text{Lip}(\phi) \text{Lip}(\Gamma_\Lambda) (1 + \text{diam}(\Omega_{AS})) \tilde{K}_\Lambda \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \|f_i(q_i) - q_{i+1}\|_{i+1}. \end{aligned}$$

As  $(p_i)_{i=0}^N$  is a (discrete) periodic orbit, denoting

$$w_i := \gamma_{x_i, 0}(p_i), \quad S := \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \tau_i(p_i),$$

one obtains  $f^{\tau_i(p_i)}(w_i) = w_{i+1}$ . Thus  $f^S(w_0) = w_N = w_0$ ,  $(f^t(w_0))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is a (continuous) periodic orbit of  $\Lambda$  of period  $S$ , and

$$\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \Phi_i(\tau_i(p_i), p_i) = \int_0^S (\phi - \bar{\phi}_\Lambda) \circ f^s(w_0) ds \geq 0.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \mathcal{A}_i &\geq \Psi_N(p_n) - \Psi_0(p_0) \\ &+ \left( \frac{C}{\sqrt{8} \text{Lip}(\Gamma_\Lambda)^3} - 6(1 + \tau) \text{Lip}(\phi) \text{Lip}(\Gamma_\Lambda) (1 + \text{diam}(\Omega_{AS})) \tilde{K}_\Lambda \right) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \|f_i(q_i) - q_{i+1}\|_{i+1}. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude the proof using the estimate on  $C$  which implies that the expression in parenthesis is non negative, and the fact that  $\|\Psi_i\|_\infty \leq \epsilon \text{Lip}(\phi) \text{diam}(\Omega_{AS})$ .  $\square$

We consider now a  $(X_\Gamma, \epsilon)$ -pseudo orbit that may not be periodic. Let  $(x_i)_{i=1}^N$  be the cutting points of that pseudo orbit. We show in the second lemma that we can select  $r \leq \text{Card}(X_\Gamma)$  distinct cutting points  $(x_{i_k})_{k=0}^{r-1}$  so that the sequence  $(x_i)_{i=1}^N$  is obtained as the concatenation of either a periodic sequence that contains at least two distinct indices of the form  $(x_{i_k}, x_{i_k+1}, \dots, x_{i_{k+1}-1})$  with  $x_{i_{k+1}-1} = x_{i_k}$  and  $i_{k+1} > i_k + 1$ , or a unique point of the form  $(x_{i_k})$  with  $i_{k+1} = i_k + 1$ .

**Lemma 2.9.** *Let  $(\tau, \epsilon) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$ ,  $T > 0$ ,  $X_\Gamma \subset \Lambda$  be a finite set,  $\epsilon_{AS} < \epsilon$ ,  $\Omega_{AS} \subset \bigcup_{x \in X_\Gamma} U_x(\epsilon)$  be a neighborhood of  $\Lambda$ , and  $N_\Gamma := \text{Card}(X_\Gamma)$  as in Definition 2.4. Let  $z : [0, T] \rightarrow \Omega_{AS} \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in X_\Gamma} U_x(\epsilon)$  be an  $(X_\Gamma, \epsilon)$ -pseudo orbit,  $x_0, \dots, x_N$  in  $X_\Gamma$  be the cutting points, and  $T_0 = 0 < \dots < T_N = T$  be the cutting times, as defined in Definition 2.6. Then there exist  $r \in \llbracket 1, N_\Gamma \rrbracket$  and  $i_0 = 0 < i_1 < \dots < i_r = N$ , such that*

- i.*  $x_{i_0}, x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{r-1}}$  are distinct,
- ii.* if  $k \in \llbracket 1, r-1 \rrbracket$  and  $i_k > i_{k-1} + 1$ , then  $x_{i_{k-1}} = x_{i_k-1}$ ,
- iii.* if  $i_r > i_{r-1} + 1$ , then  $x_{i_r} = x_{i_{r-1}}$ .

*Proof.* By definition of an  $(X_\Gamma, \epsilon)$ -pseudo orbit, we have

$$z(T_i) \in U_{x_i}(\epsilon), \quad \forall t \in [T_i, T_{i+1}], \quad z(t) \in D_{x_i}(\tau, \epsilon), \quad z(T_{i+1}) \in \partial^+ D_{x_i}(\tau, \epsilon).$$

In particular  $U_{x_i}(\epsilon) \cap U_{x_{i+1}}(\epsilon) = \emptyset$  and  $x_i \neq x_{i+1}$ . Assume by induction that we have constructed indices  $i_0, \dots, i_k$  in  $\llbracket 0, N-1 \rrbracket$  satisfying the 3 items and such that

$$\forall i \geq i_k, \quad x_i \notin \{x_{i_0}, \dots, x_{i_{k-1}}\}.$$

Let be  $I := \{i \geq i_k + 1 : x_i = x_{i_k}\}$ . If  $I = \emptyset$ , then  $i_{k+1} = i_k + 1$ . If  $I \neq \emptyset$ ,  $j = \max(I)$ , then  $j > i_k + 1$ . Either  $j < N$ , then  $i_{k+1} = j + 1$ ,  $x_{i_{k+1}-1} = x_j = x_{i_k}$ , or  $j = N$ , then  $k = r-1$ ,  $i_r = N$ ,  $x_{i_r} = x_{i_{r-1}}$ , and the induction step is proved.  $\square$



We prove in the third lemma a bound from below of the weighted action  $\mathcal{A}_{\phi,C}(z)$  of any pseudo orbit by concatenating periodic pseudo orbits and a finite number of paths included in a trap box. The number of trap boxes  $N_\Gamma$  that cover  $\Lambda$  is involved in the computation. The quantity  $\mathcal{A}_*$  is defined so that the bound from below in item iii of Lemma 2.5 can be used.

**Lemma 2.10.** *Let  $(\tau, \epsilon) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$ ,  $T > 0$ ,  $X_\Gamma \subset \Lambda$ ,  $\epsilon_{AS} < \epsilon$ ,  $\Omega_{AS} \subset \bigcup_{x \in X_\Gamma} U_x(\epsilon)$  as in Definition 2.4, and  $N_\Gamma := \text{Card}(X_\Gamma)$ . Let  $C \geq C_3$  as in Lemma 2.8 and*

$$\mathcal{A}_* := 9\tau(1 + \tau)\text{Lip}(\phi)\text{Lip}(\Gamma_\Lambda)(1 + \text{diam}(\Omega_{AS}))N_\Gamma.$$

Then for every  $(X_\Gamma, \epsilon)$ -pseudo orbit  $z : [0, T] \rightarrow \Omega_{AS}$ , we have

$$\mathcal{A}_{\phi,C}(z) \geq -\mathcal{A}_*.$$

*Proof.* Using the notations of Lemma 2.9, we decompose the pseudo orbit  $z : [0, T] \rightarrow \Omega_{AS}$  into  $r$  pseudo orbits  $z_k : [\tau_k, \tau_{k+1}] \rightarrow \Omega_{AS}$  where  $k \in \llbracket 0, r-1 \rrbracket$  and  $\tau_k := T_{i_k}$ . Denote  $y_k := x_{i_k}$ .

Either  $T_{i_{k+1}} = T_{i_k} + 1$ . Then  $z_k([\tau_k, \tau_{k+1}])$  belong to the trap box  $D_{y_k}(\tau, \epsilon)$  and item iii of Lemma 2.5 implies

$$\mathcal{A}_{\phi,C}(z_k) \geq -8\tau(1 + \tau)\text{Lip}(\phi)\text{Lip}(\Gamma_\Lambda)(1 + \text{diam}(\Omega_{AS})).$$

Or  $T_{i_{k+1}} > T_{i_k} + 1$ . Let  $\tau'_k := T_{i_{k+1}-1}$  if  $k < r-1$  and  $\tau'_{r-1} := T_{i_r} = T$ . Then both  $z(\tau_k), z(\tau'_k)$  belong to  $U_{y_k}(\epsilon)$ . The path  $z_k : [\tau_k, \tau_{k+1}] \rightarrow \Omega_{AS}$  is the concatenation of two paths (one path if  $k = r-1$ ). The initial path  $z'_k : [\tau_k, \tau'_k] \rightarrow \Omega_{AS}$  is a periodic  $(X_\Gamma, \epsilon)$ -pseudo orbit and Lemma 2.8 implies

$$\mathcal{A}_{\phi,C}(z'_k) \geq -2\epsilon\text{Lip}(\phi)\text{diam}(\Omega_{AS}).$$

The terminal path  $z''_k : [\tau'_k, \tau_{k+1}] \rightarrow \Omega_{AS}$  is included in the trap box  $D_{y_k}(\tau, \epsilon)$  and item iii of Lemma 2.5 implies

$$\mathcal{A}_{\phi,C}(z_k) \geq -8\tau(1 + \tau)\text{Lip}(\phi)\text{Lip}(\Gamma_\Lambda)(1 + \text{diam}(\Omega_{AS})).$$

We conclude the proof using  $\epsilon < \frac{\tau}{2}$  and the fact that the whole path  $z$  is the concatenation of  $N_\Gamma$  paths  $z_k$ .  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 2.3.** Let  $(\tau, \epsilon) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$ ,  $X_\Gamma \subset \Lambda$ ,  $\epsilon_{AS} < \epsilon$ ,  $\Omega_{AS} \subset \bigcup_{x \in X_\Gamma} U_x(\epsilon)$ , and  $N_\Gamma := \text{Card}(X_\Gamma)$  as in Definition 2.4. Define  $\mathcal{A}_*$  as in Lemma 2.10, and  $C_3$  as in Lemma 2.8. Define  $C_4 := C_\Lambda\text{Lip}(\phi)$  where

$$C_\Lambda := 36\frac{\tau}{\epsilon}(1 + \tau)\tilde{K}_\Lambda\text{Lip}(\Gamma_\Lambda)^4(1 + \text{diam}(\Omega_{AS}))N_\Gamma. \quad (2.1)$$

Notice that  $C_4 \geq \max(C_3, C_2(\epsilon, \mathcal{A}_*))$  where  $C_2$  has been defined in Lemma 2.5.

Let  $z : [0, T] \rightarrow \Omega_{AS}$  be a continuous piecewise  $C^1$  path. We first decompose  $z$  into  $N \geq 1$  subpaths  $z_k : [T_k, T_{k+1}] \rightarrow \Omega_{AS}$ , where  $T_0 = 0 < T_1 < \dots < T_N = T$ , such that there exists  $(x_k)_0^{N-1}$  points in  $X_\Gamma$  satisfying

- $\forall k \in \llbracket 0, N-1 \rrbracket$ ,  $z(T_k) \in U_{x_k}(\epsilon)$ ,
- $\forall k \in \llbracket 1, N-1 \rrbracket$ ,  $z(T_k) \in \partial D_{x_{k-1}}(\tau, \epsilon)$ ,
- $\forall k \in \llbracket 0, N-1 \rrbracket$ ,  $z([T_k, T_{k+1}]) \subseteq \overline{D_{x_k}(\tau, \epsilon)}$ .

Notice that  $z(T_N) = z(T)$  may not belong to the boundary of a trap box. We then concatenate the subpaths  $z_k$  into  $r \geq 1$  longer blocks  $z'_k : [T_{i_k}, T_{i_{k+1}}] \rightarrow \Omega_{AS}$ , where  $i_0 = 0 < i_1 < \dots < i_r = N$ , such that each  $z'_k$  is one of the following 3 types:

- type I:  $i_{k+1} = i_k + 1$ ,  $z(T_{i_{k+1}}) \in \partial^- D_{x_{i_k}}(\tau, \epsilon)$ , and  $z'_k$  is an escaped orbit,
- type II:  $i_{k+1} > i_k + 1$ ,  $z(T_{i_{k+1}}) \in \partial^- D_{x_{i_{k+1}-1}}(\tau, \epsilon)$ , the restriction of  $z'_k$  to the interval  $[T_{i_k}, T_{i_{k+1}-1}]$  is a  $(X_\Gamma, \epsilon)$ -pseudo orbit, and the restriction of  $z'_k$  to the interval  $[T_{i_{k+1}-1}, T_{i_{k+1}}]$  is an escaped orbit,
- type III:  $k = r-1$ , either  $i_r = i_{r-1} + 1$  and  $z'_{r-1}$  is a trapped orbit, or  $i_r > i_{r-1} + 1$ , and the restriction of  $z'_{r-1}$  to  $[T_{i_{r-1}}, T_{i_r}]$  is a  $(X_\Gamma, \epsilon)$ -pseudo orbit followed by the trapped orbit  $z'_{r-1} : [T_{i_{r-1}}, T_{i_r}] \rightarrow \Omega_{AS}$ .

Notice that type III may only happen as the terminal block  $z'_{r-1}$ . If  $z'_k$  is of type I, item ii of Lemma 2.5 implies that  $\mathcal{A}_{\phi, C_4}(z'_k) \geq \mathcal{A}_* \geq 0$ . If  $z'_k$  is of type II, using the estimate in Lemma 2.10, the concatenation of a  $(X_\Gamma, \epsilon)$  pseudo orbit followed by an escaped orbit implies that  $\mathcal{A}_{\phi, C_4}(z'_k) \geq 0$ . If  $z'_{r-1}$  is not of type III, then

$$\mathcal{A}_{\phi, C_4}(z) \geq 0.$$

If  $z'_{r-1}$  is of type III, item iii of Lemma 2.5 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{\phi, C_4}(z) &\geq \mathcal{A}_{\phi, C_4}(z'_{r-1}) \geq -\delta_\Lambda \text{Lip}(\phi), \\ \delta_\Lambda &= 8\tau(1+\tau)\text{Lip}(\Gamma_\Lambda)(1+\text{diam}(\Omega_{AS})). \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

We have proved that  $\phi$  satisfies the positive Livšic criterion on  $\Omega_{AS}$  with a distortion constant  $C_4$ .  $\square$

### 3 The continuous Lax-Oleinik semigroup

We consider in this section a  $C^1$  flow  $(M, V, f)$ , a compact connected invariant set  $\Lambda$ , and an open set  $U$  containing  $\Lambda$  of compact closure. We do not assume that  $\Lambda$  is hyperbolic. We show that any Lipschitz observable satisfying the continuous positive Livšic criterion on  $(U, \Lambda)$  admits a Lipschitz subaction on  $U$  as in Definition 1.2. The subaction is obtained as a fixed point of some nonlinear semigroup,

called *continuous Lax-Oleinik semigroup*. A similar definition exists in the context of weak KAM theory, see Fathi's monography [3].

As  $\Lambda$  is connected, we may choose  $U$  connected. We choose the following definition for the distance function between two points.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $U$  be a connected open set with compact closure. The *distance function between two points*  $p, q \in U$  is defined by

$$d_U(p, q) = \inf \left\{ \int_0^1 \|z'(s)\| ds : z : [0, 1] \rightarrow U \text{ is continuous and piecewise } C^1, \right. \\ \left. z(0) = p \text{ and } z(1) = q \right\}.$$

Notice that  $d_U(p, q)$  can be obtained by taking the infimum over paths defined on  $[0, t]$  instead of  $[0, 1]$ .

**Definition 3.2** (Continuous Lax-Oleinik Semigroup). Let  $\phi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a  $C^0$  bounded function and  $C \geq 0$  be a constant. Assume  $\phi$  satisfies the continuous positive Livšic criterion on  $(U, \Lambda)$  with distortion constant  $C$  as in Definition 2.1.

- i. The *continuous Lax-Oleinik semigroup on  $(U, \Lambda)$  of generator  $\phi$*  is the non-linear operator acting on bounded functions  $u : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined for every  $t > 0$  by

$$\forall q \in U, T^t[u](q) := \inf_{\substack{z : [-t, 0] \rightarrow U \\ z(0) = q}} \left\{ u \circ z(-t) + \int_{-t}^0 [\phi \circ z - \bar{\phi}_\Lambda + C \|V \circ z - z'\|] ds \right\}$$

where the infimum is taken over the set of continuous piecewise  $C^1$  paths  $z$  ending at  $q$ .

- ii. A *weak KAM solution* of the Lax-Oleinik semigroup is a bounded function  $u : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  solution of the equation

$$\forall t > 0, T^t[u] = u.$$

Notice that a weak KAM solution is a particular integrated subaction (item ii of Definition 1.2).

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $(M, V, f)$  be a  $C^1$  flow,  $\Lambda$  be a compact connected invariant set, and  $U \supseteq \Lambda$  be a connected open set of compact closure. Let  $\phi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a bounded Lipschitz continuous function and  $C \geq 0$  be a constant. Assume  $\phi$  satisfies the continuous positive Livšic criterion on  $(U, \Lambda)$  with distortion constant  $C$ . Then there exists a  $C$ -Lipschitz weak KAM solution  $u : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

The proof of Theorem 3.3 requires the following two lemmas. We first extends the definition of a weighted action between two points.

**Definition 3.4.** Let  $\phi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a bounded continuous function and  $C \geq 0$  be a constant. The *weighted action of  $\phi$  between two points  $p, q \in U$*  for a weight  $C$  and a time laps  $t > 0$  is the quantity

$$\mathcal{A}_{\phi, C}^t(p, q) := \inf_{\substack{z: [0, t] \rightarrow U \\ z(0)=p, z(t)=q}} \int_0^t [\phi \circ z - \bar{\phi}_\Lambda + C\|V \circ z - z'\|] ds,$$

where the infimum is realized over the set of piecewise  $C^1$  continuous paths.

We show in the first lemma that the weighted action between two points is  $C$ -Lipschitz.

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $\phi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a bounded Lipschitz function and  $C \geq 0$  be a constant. Then for every  $p, \tilde{p}, q, \tilde{q} \in U$*

- i.  $|\mathcal{A}_{\phi, C}^t(p, q) - Cd_U(p, q)| \leq t(\text{Lip}(\phi)\text{diam}(U) + C\|V\|_\infty),$
- ii.  $|\mathcal{A}_{\phi, C}^t(p, q) - \mathcal{A}_{\phi, C}^t(p, \tilde{q})| \leq Cd_U(q, \tilde{q}),$
- iii.  $|\mathcal{A}_{\phi, C}^t(p, q) - \mathcal{A}_{\phi, C}^t(\tilde{p}, q)| \leq Cd_U(p, \tilde{p}),$

where  $\text{diam}(U) = \sup_{p, q \in U} d_U(p, q)$ .

*Proof.* *Item i.* Let  $z : [0, t] \rightarrow U$  be a path joining  $p$  and  $q$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^t [\phi \circ z - \bar{\phi}_\Lambda + C\|V \circ z - z'\|] ds - C \int_0^t \|z'(s)\| ds \right| \\ \leq t(\text{Lip}(\phi)\text{diam}(U) + C\|V\|_\infty). \end{aligned}$$

We conclude the proof of item i by minimizing over such paths.

*Item ii.* Let  $\tilde{z} : [0, t] \rightarrow U$  be a path joining  $p$  and  $\tilde{q}$ , and  $\tau > 0, \epsilon > 0$  supposed to be small. By definition of the distance  $d_U$  as an infimum there exists a path  $w_\tau : [t - \tau, t] \rightarrow U$  joining  $\tilde{z}(t - \tau)$  and  $q$  such that

$$\int_{t-\tau}^t \|w'_\tau(s)\| ds \leq d_U(\tilde{z}(t - \tau), q) + \epsilon.$$

Let  $z : [0, t] \rightarrow U$  be the path obtained by concatenation of the restriction  $\tilde{z} : [0, t - \tau] \rightarrow U$  and  $w_\tau$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{\phi, C}^t(p, q) &\leq \int_0^t [\phi \circ z - \bar{\phi}_\Lambda + C\|V \circ z - z'\|] ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t [\phi \circ \tilde{z} - \bar{\phi}_\Lambda + C\|V \circ \tilde{z} - \tilde{z}'\|] ds \\ &\quad + 2\tau(\text{Lip}(\phi)\text{diam}(U) + C\|V\|_\infty) + \int_{t-\tau}^t [\|w'_\tau(s)\| + \|\tilde{z}'(s)\|] ds. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $\tau \rightarrow 0$  one obtains

$$\mathcal{A}_{\phi, C}^t(p, q) \leq \int_0^t [\phi \circ \tilde{z} - \bar{\phi}_\Lambda + C\|V \circ \tilde{z} - \tilde{z}'\|] ds + Cd_U(\tilde{q}, q) + \epsilon.$$

Letting  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  and minimizing over  $\tilde{z}$  one obtains

$$\mathcal{A}_{\phi, C}^t(p, q) \leq \mathcal{A}_{\phi, C}^t(p, \tilde{q}) + Cd_U(q, \tilde{q}).$$

We conclude the proof of item ii by permuting  $q$  and  $\tilde{q}$ .

*Item iii.* The proof is similar. We set  $\tau > 0$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  small. We choose a path  $\tilde{z} : [0, T]$  joining  $\tilde{p}$  and  $q$  such that

$$\int_0^t [\phi \circ \tilde{z} - \bar{\phi}_\Lambda + C\|V \circ \tilde{z} - \tilde{z}'\|] ds \leq \mathcal{A}_{\phi, C}(\tilde{p}, q) + \epsilon.$$

We choose a path  $w_\tau : [0, \tau] \rightarrow U$  that joins  $p$  and  $\tilde{z}(\tau)$  such that

$$\int_0^\tau \|w'_\tau(s)\| ds \leq d_U(\tilde{z}(\tau), p) + \epsilon.$$

Define  $z : [0, t] \rightarrow U$  as the concatenation of  $w_\tau$  and the restriction  $\tilde{z} : [\tau, t] \rightarrow U$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{\phi, C}^t(p, q) &\leq \int_0^t [\phi \circ z - \bar{\phi}_\Lambda + C\|V \circ z - z'\|] ds \\ &\leq \mathcal{A}_{\phi, C}(\tilde{p}, q) + 2\tau(\text{Lip}(\phi)\text{diam}(U) + C\|V\|_\infty) \\ &\quad + \int_0^\tau (\|w'_\tau(s)\| + \|\tilde{z}'(s)\|) ds + \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude as before by letting first  $\tau \rightarrow 0$  and then  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . □

We show in the second lemma basic properties of an action-like functional.

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $T$  be the continuous Lax-Oleinik semigroup on  $(U, \Lambda)$  of generator  $\phi$  as defined in Definition 3.2. Then for every  $s, t > 0$ , every bounded function  $u, v : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,*

- i.*  $T^t \circ T^s[u] = T^{t+s}[u]$ ,
- ii.*  $u \leq v \Rightarrow T^t[u] \leq T^t[v]$ ,
- iii.*  $\forall c \in \mathbb{R}, T^t[u + c] = T^t[u] + c$ ,
- iv.* *for every uniformly bounded family  $(u_t)_{t>0}$ ,*

$$\inf_{t>0} T^s[u_t](q) = T^s[\inf_{t>0} u_t](q).$$

*Proof.* *Item i.* We rewrite the Lax-Oleinik operator

$$T^t[u](q) = \inf_{p \in U} \{u(p) + \mathcal{A}_{\phi, C}^t(p, q)\}.$$

The weighted action between two points satisfies the inf-convolution property

$$\mathcal{A}_{\phi, C}^{s+t}(p, q) = \inf_{r \in U} \{\mathcal{A}_{\phi, C}^s(p, r) + \mathcal{A}_{\phi, C}^t(r, q)\}.$$

Then by permutting the two infimum

$$\begin{aligned} T^{s+t}[u](q) &= \inf_{p \in U} \inf_{r \in U} \{u(p) + \mathcal{A}_{\phi, C}^s(p, r) + \mathcal{A}_{\phi, C}^t(r, q)\} \\ &= \inf_{r \in U} \{T^s[u](r) + \mathcal{A}_{\phi, C}^t(r, q)\} = T^t \circ T^s[u](q). \end{aligned}$$

*Items ii, iii, and iv.* The proof of these items follows readily from the definition.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 3.3.** *Step 1.* Let  $u : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a bounded function and  $t > 0$ . We show that  $T^t[u]$  is  $C$ -Lipschitz uniformly in time  $t$ . Indeed for every  $p, q, \tilde{q}$

$$u(p) + \mathcal{A}_{\phi, C}^t(p, q) \leq u(p) + \mathcal{A}_{\phi, C}^t(p, \tilde{q}) + Cd_U(q, \tilde{q}).$$

Minimizing over  $p$  and permuting  $q$  and  $\tilde{q}$  one obtains

$$|T^t[u](q) - T^t[u](\tilde{q})| \leq Cd_U(q, \tilde{q}).$$

*Step 2.* Let  $v = \inf_{t>0} T^t[0]$ . We show that  $T^s[v] \geq v$  for every  $s > 0$ . Indeed using item iv of Lemma 3.6

$$T^s[v] = T^s[\inf_{t>0} T^t[u]] = \inf_{t>0} T^s[T^t[u]] = \inf_{t>0} T^{s+t}[u] = \inf_{t>s} T^t[u] \geq v.$$

In particular  $s \in (0, +\infty) \mapsto T^s[u](q) \in \mathbb{R}$  is non decreasing.

*Step 3.* Let  $u = \sup_{s>0} T^s[v] = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} T^n[u]$ . We show that  $u$  is a weak KAM solution

$$\forall q \in U, \forall t > 0, T^t[u](q) = u(q).$$

On the one hand,  $u \geq T^n[v]$  for every  $n \geq 1$ , then  $T^t[u] \geq T^{t+n}[v]$  for every  $n \geq 1$ . Letting  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , one obtains  $T^t[u] \geq u$ . On the other hand, let  $(\epsilon_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence of positive real numbers tending to 0, then there exists  $p_n \in U$  such that

$$T^n[v](p_n) + \mathcal{A}_{\phi, C}^t(p_n, q) \leq u(q) + \epsilon_n.$$

Let  $p \in \bar{U}$  be an accumulation point of  $(p_n)_{n \geq 1}$  and  $\tilde{p} \in U$  supposed to be closed to  $p$ . As  $T^n[v]$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{\phi, C}^t(\cdot, q)$  are  $C$ -Lipschitz, one obtains

$$T^n[v](\tilde{p}) + \mathcal{A}_{\phi, C}^t(\tilde{p}, q) \leq 2Cd_U(\tilde{p}, p_n) + u(q) + \epsilon_n.$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  one obtains

$$T^t[u](q) \leq u(\tilde{p}) + \mathcal{A}_{\phi, C}^t(\tilde{p}, q) \leq 2Cd_U(\tilde{p}, p) + u(q).$$

Minimizing over  $\tilde{p}$  we have  $T^t[u](q) \leq u(q)$  and therefore  $T^t[u] = u$ .  $\square$

We conclude the proof of our main result. We use a notion of regularizing operator that has been suggested to us by Bony [2]. Theorem 3.3 shows the existence of a Lipschitz integrated subaction (item ii of Definition 1.2). We construct a family of nonlinear operators  $(R_i)_{i=1}^N$  that sends an integrated subaction  $u$  to an integrated subaction  $v = R_i[u]$  satisfying in addition the two properties: 1)  $v$  is locally a subaction at every point of an open set  $D_i$ , and 2) if  $u$  is locally a subaction at  $x$ , then  $v$  is locally a subaction at  $x$ . We conclude the proof by covering  $\Lambda$  by such open sets  $(D_i)_{i=1}^N$ . If  $u_0$  is an integrated subaction on  $\Omega$ , then  $v = R_N \circ \dots \circ R_1[u_0]$  is a subaction on  $U = \bigcup_{i=1}^k D_i \subset \Omega$ .

**Proof of Theorem 1.3.** Theorem 2.3 implies there exists an open set  $\Omega$  of compact closure containing  $\Lambda$  such that  $\phi$  satisfies the continuous positive Livšic criterion on  $(\Omega, \Lambda)$  with a distortion constant  $C := C_\Lambda \text{Lip}(\phi)$ . Theorem 3.3 implies there exists a weak KAM solution  $u_0 : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that is  $C$ -Lipschitz. As previously observed,  $u_0$  is a Lipschitz continuous integrated subaction (item ii of Definition 1.2), that is

$\forall p \in \Omega, \forall t \geq 0$ , if  $f^s(p) \in \Omega$  for every  $s \in [0, t]$ ,

$$\text{then } u_0 \circ f^t(p) \leq u_0(p) + \int_0^t (\phi - \bar{\phi}_\Lambda) \circ f^s(p) ds.$$

We say that an integrated subaction  $u$  is *locally a subaction* at  $x \in \Omega$  if there exists a neighborhood  $W$  of  $x$  such that

$$\begin{cases} u \text{ is differentiable along the flow in } W, \\ \mathcal{L}_V[u] \text{ is Lipschitz continuous in } W, \\ \forall y \in W, \mathcal{L}_V[u](y) \leq \phi(y) - \bar{\phi}_\Lambda. \end{cases}$$

We consider a family of local flow boxes  $(D''_i)_{i=1}^N$  indexed by a finite subset of points  $\{x_1, \dots, x_N\} \subset \Lambda$

$$D''_i = \gamma_{x_i}(\tilde{D}''), \quad \tilde{D}'' = (-2\epsilon, \tau + 2\epsilon) \times B(3\epsilon).$$

(See item ii of Definition A.2 for the definition of a family of local flow boxes.) We also note

$$\begin{aligned} D'_i &= \gamma_{x_i}(\tilde{D}'), \quad \tilde{D}' = (-\epsilon, \tau + \epsilon) \times B(2\epsilon), \\ D_i &= \gamma_{x_i}(\tilde{D}), \quad \tilde{D} = (0, \tau) \times B(\epsilon), \\ D_i &\subset D'_i \subset D''_i, \end{aligned}$$

choose  $\epsilon > 0$  small enough and the number of points  $N$  large enough so that

$$\Lambda \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^N D_i \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcup_{i=1}^N D''_i \subset \Omega.$$

We construct a regularizing operator  $u \mapsto R_i[u]$  for every  $i \in \llbracket 1, N \rrbracket$ , possessing the following properties: if  $u$  is a Lipschitz continuous integrated subaction on  $\Omega$  and  $v = R_i[u]$  then

- $v$  is a Lipschitz continuous integrated subaction on  $\Omega$ ,
- $v$  is locally a subaction at every  $x \in D_i$ ,
- if  $u$  is locally a subaction at  $x \in \Omega$  then  $v$  is locally a subaction at  $x$ ,
- $u$  and  $v$  coincide on a neighborhood of  $\Omega \setminus D''_i$ .

Let  $\alpha : B(3\epsilon) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a smooth function satisfying  $\text{supp}(\alpha) \subset B(2\epsilon)$  and  $\alpha = 1$  on  $B(\epsilon)$ . Let  $\beta : (-2\epsilon, \tau + 2\epsilon) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a smooth function satisfying  $\text{supp}(\beta) \subset (-\epsilon, \tau + \epsilon)$  and  $\beta = 1$  on  $(0, \tau)$ . We define  $v$  in the following way

$$\begin{cases} v(z) = u(z), & \forall z \in \Omega \setminus D''_i, \\ v(z) = \tilde{v} \circ \gamma_{x_i}^{-1}, & \forall z \in D''_i, \end{cases}$$



for some  $\tilde{v} : \tilde{D}'' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that coincides with  $\tilde{u} := u \circ \gamma_{x_i}^{-1}$  on  $\tilde{D}'' \setminus \overline{\tilde{D}'}$ .

We now construct  $\tilde{v}$ . For every  $q \in B(3\epsilon)$ ,  $t \mapsto \tilde{u}(t, q)$  is Lipschitz continuous,  $\partial_t u(t, q)$  exists for almost every  $t$  and in the distribution sense. Moreover the two notions of differentiability, Lebesgue almost everywhere and in the distribution sense, coincide. We may moreover assume that  $\partial_t \tilde{u}$  is Borel with respect to  $(t, q) \in \tilde{D}''$ .

As  $u$  is an integrated subaction, denoting  $\tilde{\phi} := \phi \circ \gamma_{x_i} - \bar{\phi}_\Lambda$ ,

$$\forall q \in B(3\epsilon), \forall \text{ a.e. } t \in (-2\epsilon, \tau + 2\epsilon), \tilde{\phi}(t, q) - \partial_t \tilde{u}(t, q) \geq 0.$$

Define

$$\tilde{v}(t, q) = (1 - \alpha(q))\tilde{u}(t, q) + \alpha(q)\tilde{w}(t, q),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{w}(t, q) &= \tilde{u}(t, q) + \int_{-\epsilon}^t \beta(s)(\tilde{\phi}(s, q) - \partial_t \tilde{u}(s, q)) ds \\ &\quad - \left( \int_{-\epsilon}^t \beta(s) ds \right) \frac{\int_{-\epsilon}^{\tau+\epsilon} \beta(s)(\tilde{\phi}(s, q) - \partial_t \tilde{u}(s, q)) ds}{\int_{-\epsilon}^{\tau+\epsilon} \beta(s) ds}. \end{aligned}$$

The hypotheses on the support of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  imply that,

$$\forall t \in (-2\epsilon, \tau + 2\epsilon) \setminus [-\epsilon, \tau + \epsilon], \forall q \in B(3\epsilon) \setminus \overline{B(2\epsilon)}, \tilde{v}(t, q) = \tilde{u}(t, q).$$

We have proved that  $\tilde{v}$  coincide with  $\tilde{u}$  on  $\tilde{D}'' \setminus \overline{\tilde{D}'}$ .

For every  $t_1 < t_2$  in  $(-2\epsilon, \tau + 2\epsilon)$  and  $q \in B(3\epsilon)$ , as  $\beta$  takes values in  $[0, 1]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \beta(s)(\tilde{\phi}(s, q) - \partial_t \tilde{u}(s, q)) ds &\leq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (\tilde{\phi}(s, q) - \partial_t \tilde{u}(s, q)) ds \\ &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \tilde{\phi}(s, q) ds - (\tilde{u}(t_2, q) - \tilde{u}(t_1, q)). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\tilde{w}(t_2, q) - \tilde{w}(t_1, q) \leq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \tilde{\phi}(s, q) ds.$$

We have proved that  $\tilde{w}$  (and therefore  $\tilde{v}$ ) is an integrated subaction on  $\tilde{D}''$ . Moreover for every  $(t, q) \in \tilde{D}''$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{u}(t, q) + \int_{-\epsilon}^t \beta(s)(\tilde{\phi}(s, q) - \partial_t \tilde{u}(s, q)) ds \\ = (1 - \beta(t))\tilde{u}(t, q) + \int_{-\epsilon}^t (\beta(s)\tilde{\phi}(s, q) + \beta'(s)\tilde{u}(s, q)) ds, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\int_{-\epsilon}^{\tau+\epsilon} \beta(s)(\tilde{\phi}(s, q) - \partial_t u(s, q)) ds = \int_{-\epsilon}^{\tau+\epsilon} (\beta(s)\tilde{\phi}(s, q) + \beta'(s)u(s, q)) ds.$$

Combining the two previous equality, we have proved that  $\tilde{w}$  (and therefore  $\tilde{v}$ ) is Lipschitz continuous on  $\tilde{D}''$ .

For every  $t \in (0, \tau)$ , as  $\beta = 1$  on  $(0, \tau)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{w}(t, q) - \tilde{w}(0, q) &= \int_0^t \tilde{\phi}(s, q) ds - t \frac{\int_{-\epsilon}^{\tau+\epsilon} \beta(s)(\tilde{\phi}(s, q) - \partial_t u(s, q)) ds}{\int_{-\epsilon}^{\tau+\epsilon} \beta(s) ds}, \\ \partial_t \tilde{w}(t, q) &= \tilde{\phi}(t, q) - t \frac{\int_{-\epsilon}^{\tau+\epsilon} [\beta(s)(\tilde{\phi}(s, q) - \bar{\phi}_\Lambda) + \beta'(s)u(s, q)] ds}{\int_{-\epsilon}^{\tau+\epsilon} \beta(s) ds}. \end{aligned}$$

We have proved that  $v$  is locally a subaction at every point of  $\tilde{D}$  and that  $\mathcal{L}_V[v]$  is Lipschitz continuous on  $\tilde{D}$ .

Lastly if  $(t, q) \in \tilde{D}''$  and  $\tilde{u}$  is locally a subaction at  $(t, q)$ , then by construction of  $\tilde{w}$ ,  $\tilde{v}$  is also locally a subaction at  $(t, q)$ .

We now conclude the proof of Theorem 1.3. By induction

$$u_k := R_{D_k} \circ \cdots \circ R_{D_1}[u_0]$$

is a Lipschitz continuous integrated subaction that is locally a subaction at every point of  $\bigcup_{i=1}^k D_i''$ . The function  $u_N : \bigcup_{i=1}^N D_i'' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the desired Lipschitz continuous subaction.  $\square$

# Appendices

## A Local hyperbolic flows

We develop in this section the local theory of hyperbolic flows. Good monographies on the subject can be found in Hasselblatt, Katok [6], Bonatti, Diaz, Viana [1], and Fisher, Hasselblatt [4].

The notion of flow boxes is standard. We need nevertheless to describe properly the Poincaré sections and the constants of hyperbolicity of the corresponding Poincaré maps. As the Poincaré maps are also uniformly hyperbolic, we recall the notion of adapted local hyperbolic maps as written in Appendix A, Definition A.1 in [11].

### A.1 Adapted local flow boxes

We consider in the following definition a local Lipschitz map  $f : B(\rho) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  where  $B(\rho)$  is a ball of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  for some norm  $|\cdot|$  and the target space is  $\mathbb{R}^d$  equipped with a possibly different norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . We assume that the source and target spaces are direct sums of an unstable space and a stable space

$$\mathbb{R}^d = E^u \oplus E^s, \quad \mathbb{R}^d = \tilde{E}^u \oplus \tilde{E}^s.$$

We denote by  $P^u : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow E^u$ ,  $P^s : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow E^s$ , and  $\tilde{P}^u : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \tilde{E}^u$  and  $\tilde{P}^s : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \tilde{E}^s$ , the corresponding projections. We assume that the two norms are adapted to the splittings in the sense

$$\begin{cases} \forall v, w \in E^u \times E^s, |v + w| = \max(|v|, |w|), \\ \forall v, w \in \tilde{E}^u \times \tilde{E}^s, \|v + w\| = \max(\|v\|, \|w\|). \end{cases}$$

The balls are denoted by  $B(\rho)$ ,  $B^u(\rho)$ ,  $B^s(\rho)$  in the source space, and by  $\tilde{B}(\rho)$ ,  $\tilde{B}^u(\rho)$ ,  $\tilde{B}^s(\rho)$  in the target space. In particular  $B(\rho) = B^u(\rho) \times B^s(\rho)$ ,  $\tilde{B}(\rho) = \tilde{B}^u(\rho) \times \tilde{B}^s(\rho)$ .

**Definition A.1** (Adapted local hyperbolic map). Let  $(\sigma^s, \sigma^u, \eta, \rho)$  be positive real numbers called *constants of hyperbolicity* satisfying

$$\sigma^u > 1 > \sigma^s, \quad \eta < \min\left(\frac{\sigma^u - 1}{6}, \frac{1 - \sigma^s}{6}\right), \quad \epsilon(\rho) := \rho \min\left(\frac{\sigma^u - 1}{2}, \frac{1 - \sigma^s}{8}\right).$$

An *adapted local hyperbolic map with respect to the two norms and the constants of hyperbolicity* is a set of data  $(f, A, E^{u/s}, \tilde{E}^{u/s}, |\cdot|, \|\cdot\|)$  such that:

- i.  $f : B(\rho) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  is a Lipschitz map,

ii.  $A : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  is a linear map which may not be invertible and is defined into block matrices

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A^u & D^u \\ D^s & A^s \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{cases} (v, w) \in E^u \times E^s, \\ A(v + w) = \tilde{v} + \tilde{w}, \end{cases} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{cases} \tilde{v} = A^u v + D^u w \in \tilde{E}^u, \\ \tilde{w} = D^s v + A^s w \in \tilde{E}^s, \end{cases}$$

that satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \forall v \in E^u, \|A^u v\| \geq \sigma^u \|v\|, \\ \forall w \in E^s, \|A^s w\| \leq \sigma^s \|w\|, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{cases} \|D^u\| \leq \eta, \quad \text{Lip}(f - A) \leq \eta, \\ \|D^s\| \leq \eta, \quad \|f(0)\| \leq \epsilon(\rho), \end{cases}$$

where the Lip constant is computed using the two norms  $|\cdot|$  and  $\|\cdot\|$ .

We consider now a  $C^1$  flow  $(M, V, f)$  and an  $f$ -invariant compact hyperbolic set as defined in Definition 1.1.

**Definition A.2** (Adapted local flow boxes). A family of adapted local flow boxes is the set of data  $\Gamma_\Lambda = (\Gamma, \Sigma, E, N, F, A)$  and the set of constants  $(\sigma^u, \sigma^s, \eta, \rho, \tau)$  satisfying the following properties.

i. The constants  $(\sigma^u, \sigma^s, \eta, \rho, \tau)$  are chosen so that  $\tau > 0$  and

$$\exp\left(\frac{\tau\lambda^s}{2}\right) < \sigma^s < 1 < \sigma^u < \exp\left(\frac{\tau\lambda^u}{2}\right)$$

$$\eta < \min\left(\frac{\sigma^u - 1}{6}, \frac{1 - \sigma^s}{6}\right), \quad \epsilon(\rho) := \rho \min\left(\frac{\sigma^u - 1}{2}, \frac{1 - \sigma^s}{8}\right).$$

ii.  $\Gamma := (\gamma_x)_{x \in \Lambda}$  is a parametrized family of  $C^1$  diffeomorphisms such that

$$\gamma_x : \tilde{D} := (-2\tau, 2\tau) \times B(1) \rightarrow D_x \subseteq M, \quad B(1) \subset \mathbb{R}^d,$$

are onto  $D_x := \gamma_x(\tilde{D}_x)$  that is assumed to be open, where  $B(1)$  is the euclidean unit ball. Moreover for every  $x \in \Lambda$

(a)  $\gamma_x(0, 0) = x$ ,

(b) the  $C^1$  norm of  $\gamma_x$  and  $\gamma_x^{-1}$  is uniformly bounded with respect to  $x \in \Lambda$ , that is denoted by  $\text{Lip}(\Gamma_\Lambda)$  and chosen so that  $\text{Lip}(\Gamma_\Lambda) \geq 1$ ; the *local coordinates* of a point  $q \in D_x$  are denoted by

$$\gamma_x^{-1}(q) = (\tau_x(q), \pi_x(q)), \quad \begin{cases} \tau_x : D_x \rightarrow (-2\tau, 2\tau), \\ \pi_x : D_x \rightarrow B(1), \end{cases}$$

(c) the flow  $f$  is locally conjugated to the constant horizontal flow generated by the vector field  $e_1 = (1, 0)$ ,

$$\begin{cases} \forall (s, u) \in (-2\tau, 2\tau) \times B(1), T_{s,u}\gamma_x(1, 0) = V \circ \gamma_x(s, u), \text{ (equivalently)} \\ \forall (s, u) \in (-2\tau, 2\tau) \times B(1), f^s \circ \gamma_x(0, u) = \gamma_x(s, u). \end{cases}$$

The pair  $(D_x, \gamma_x^{-1})$  is called a *local flow box*.

- iii.  $\Sigma := (\Sigma_x)_{x \in \Lambda}$ , where  $\Sigma_x := \gamma_x(\{0\} \times B(1))$ , is the family of *local Poincaré sections at  $x$* . Let  $\gamma_{x,0} : B(1) \rightarrow \Sigma_x$  and  $\gamma_{x,0}^{-1} : \Sigma_x \rightarrow B(1)$  be the restriction of  $\gamma_x$  to  $\{0\} \times B(1)$  and its inverse to  $\Sigma_x$ .
- iv.  $E = (E_x^{u/s})_{x \in \Lambda}$  is a parametrized family of splitting  $\mathbb{R}^d = E_x^u \oplus E_x^s$  obtained by pulling backward the corresponding splitting  $T_x M = E_\Lambda^u(x) \oplus E_\Lambda^0(x) \oplus E_\Lambda^s(x)$  by the tangent map  $T_0 \gamma_x$  at the origin of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$T_0 \gamma_x(\{0\} \times E_x^{u/s}) = E_\Lambda^{u/s}(x).$$

We denote by  $P_x^u, P_x^s$  the corresponding projections.

- v.  $N := (\|\cdot\|_x)_{x \in \Lambda}$  is a family of  $C^0$  norms on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  adapted to the splitting  $\mathbb{R}^d = E_x^u \oplus E_x^s$ .  $B_x(\rho)$  denotes the ball centered at the origin and of radius  $\rho$  with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|_x$ . We assume  $\rho$  small enough so that

$$B_x(\rho) \subset B(1).$$

The norms  $\|\cdot\|_x$  are called *local norms*.

- vi. We assume that the two constants  $\rho < \frac{1}{3}\tau$  are chosen small enough so that, for every  $x, y \in \Lambda$  satisfying

$$y \in \gamma_x((-\tau - \rho, \tau + \rho) \times B_x(\rho)),$$

there exists a  $C^1$  function  $\tilde{\tau}_{x,y} : B_x(\rho) \rightarrow (-2\tau, 2\tau)$  such that

$$\begin{cases} \forall q \in B_x(\rho), \gamma_x((-2\tau, 2\tau) \times \{q\}) \cap \Sigma_y \text{ is a singleton } z_{x,y}(q), \\ \forall q \in B_x(\rho), z_{x,y}(q) = \gamma_x(\tilde{\tau}_{x,y}(q), q) = f^{\tilde{\tau}_{x,y}(q)}(\gamma_x(0, q)) \in \Sigma_y, \\ \|\nabla \tilde{\tau}_{x,y}\|_x \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

The function  $\tilde{\tau}_{x,y}$  is called *local return time*. We use also the notation

$$\tau_{x,y} = \tilde{\tau}_{x,y} \circ \gamma_{x,0}^{-1} : \gamma_{x,0}(B_x(\rho)) \subset \Sigma_x \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

- vii.  $F := (f_{x,y})_{x,y \in \Lambda}$  is the family of maps  $f_{x,y} : B_x(\rho) \rightarrow \gamma_{y,0}^{-1}(\Sigma_y) = B(1)$ , parametrized by the couples  $(x, y)$  satisfying

$$y \in \gamma_x((\tau - \rho, \tau + \rho) \times B_x(\rho)),$$

and defined by

$$\forall v \in B_x(\rho), f_{x,y}(v) = \gamma_{y,0}^{-1} \circ f^{\tilde{\tau}_{x,y}(v)} \circ \gamma_{x,0}(v) : B_x(\rho) \rightarrow B(1).$$

In the condition on  $(x, y)$ ,  $y$  should be thought close to  $\gamma_x(\{\tau\} \times B_x(\rho))$ . The map  $f_{x,y}$  is called the *local Poincaré map* and corresponds to the Poincaré map  $f^{\tau_{x,y}(\cdot)}(\cdot)$  between the two sections  $\Sigma_x, \Sigma_y$ .

viii.  $A := (A_{x,y})_{x,y \in \Lambda}$  is the family of tangent maps of  $f_{x,y}$  at the origin, where  $x, y$  satisfy  $y \in \gamma_x((\tau - \rho, \tau + \rho) \times B_x(\rho))$ ,

$$A_{x,y} := Df_{x,y}(0),$$

ix. A couple of points  $(x, y) \in \Lambda \times \Lambda$  is said to be  $\Gamma_\Lambda$  *forward admissible*, and we write  $x \xrightarrow{\Gamma_\Lambda} y$ , if

$$y \in \gamma_x((\tau - \rho, \tau + \rho) \times B_x(\rho)) \text{ and } f_{x,y}(0) \in B_y(\epsilon(\rho)).$$

x. For every  $\Gamma_\Lambda$  forward admissible  $x \xrightarrow{\Gamma_\Lambda} y$ , the set of data  $(f_{x,y}, A_{x,y}, E_x^{u/s}, E_y^{u/s}, \|\cdot\|_x, \|\cdot\|_y)$  and constants  $(\sigma^u, \sigma^s, \eta, \rho)$  is an adapted local hyperbolic map as in Definition A.1.

In less precise terms, if  $(x, y)$  is  $\Gamma_\Lambda$  forward admissible, the Poincaré map on the manifold  $[z \in \gamma_x(B_x(\rho)) \subseteq \Sigma_x \mapsto f^{\tilde{\tau}_{x,y}(z)}(z) \in \Sigma_y]$  is well defined; the local Poincaré map  $[v \in B_x(\rho) \mapsto f_{x,y}(v) \in B(1)]$  is a local hyperbolic map as in Definition A.1.

## Acknowledgement

We would like to thank J.-F. Bony for helping us at the last part of the proof of Theorem 1.3.

## References

- [1] Christian Bonatti, Lorenzo J. Diaz, Marcelo Viana. Dynamics Beyond Uniform Hyperbolicity. Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 102, Springer (2005).
- [2] J.-F. Bony. Personal communication.
- [3] A. Fathi. Weak KAM Theorem in Lagrangian Dynamics, Cambridge University Press (2020).
- [4] T. Fisher, B. Hasselblatt. Hyperbolic flows. European Mathematical Society (2019).
- [5] E. Garibaldi. Ergodic Optimization in the Expanding Case. Concepts, Tools and Applications. SpringerBriefs in Mathematics, (2017).
- [6] B. Hasselblatt, A. Katok, Introduction to the modern theory of dynamical systems, Cambridge university press (1995).

- [7] Wen Huang, Zeng Lian, Xiao Ma, Leiye Xu, and Yiwei Zhang. Ergodic optimization theory for axiom A flows. Preprint 2019.
- [8] O. Jenkinson. Ergodic optimization in dynamical systems. *Ergod. Th. and Dynam. Sys.*, Vol.39 (2019), 2593–2618.
- [9] Lopes, A. O., Roasa, V., Ruggiero, R. Cohomology and subcohomology for expansive geodesic flows. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* 17(2007), 403–422.
- [10] A.O. Lopes, Ph. Thieullen, Sub-actions for Anosov flows. *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*, Vol. 25, No. 2 (2005), 605–628.
- [11] Xifeng Su, Ph. Thieullen, Wenzhe Yu. Lipschitz sub-actions for locally maximal hyperbolic sets of a  $C^1$  maps. Preprint (2021).