

COX RINGS OF PROJECTIVIZED TORIC VECTOR BUNDLES AND TORIC FLAG BUNDLES

COURTNEY GEORGE, CHRISTOPHER MANON

ABSTRACT. Work of González, Hering, Payne, and Süß shows that it is possible to find both examples and non-examples of Mori dream spaces among projectivized toric vector bundles. This result, and the combinatorial nature of the data of projectivized toric vector bundles make them an ideal test class for the question: what makes a variety a Mori dream space? In the present paper we consider this question with respect to natural algebraic operations on vector bundles.

Suppose \mathcal{E} is a toric vector bundle such that the projectivization $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}$ is a Mori dream space, then when are the direct sum bundles $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{E})$, $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{E}) \dots$ also Mori dream spaces? We give an answer to this question utilizing a relationship with the associated full flag bundle $\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{E})$. We describe several classes of examples, and we compute a presentation for the Cox ring of the full flag bundle for the tangent bundle of projective space.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbb{K} be an algebraically closed field. We fix dual lattices N , $M = \text{Hom}(N, \mathbb{Z})$, and a complete, polyhedral fan $\Sigma \subseteq N_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Let T_N and $X(\Sigma)$ denote the corresponding algebraic torus and complete toric variety over \mathbb{K} . We assume throughout that $X(\Sigma)$ is both smooth and projective. A *toric vector bundle* over $X(\Sigma)$ is a vector bundle $\pi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow X(\Sigma)$ equipped with a T_N -action so that π is a map of T_N -spaces. The associated projective bundle $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}$ is known as a *projectivized toric vector bundle*.

Recall that a smooth, projective variety X is said to be a *Mori dream space* when the *Cox ring* $\mathcal{R}(X) = \bigoplus_{\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(X)} H^0(X, \mathcal{L})$ is finitely generated. Mori dream spaces were introduced by Hu and Keel [HK00] as those spaces whose rational contractions can be understood entirely using Variation of Geometric Invariant Theory (VGIT). Many examples of Mori dream spaces are known, along with notable non-examples [CT15], [CT06], [GK16]. See the book [ADHL15] and the article [Cas18] for an excellent survey of recent work on this topic. In [HMP10], Hering, Payne, and Mustața asked when a projectivized toric vector bundle $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}$ is a Mori dream space.

The class of projectivized toric vector bundles is a natural place to search for Mori dream spaces. They are more general than toric varieties, but still carry an essentially combinatorial description [Kly89], [KMa]. González, and Hausen and Süß obtained the first results along these lines, showing that the projectivizations of rank 2 bundles [Gon12], and tangent bundles of toric varieties [HS10], are Mori dream spaces. Subsequently, González, Hering, Payne, and Süß [GHPS12] (see also [Nod]), described larger classes of bundles with this property, and found multiple non-examples. More recently, the second author and Kaveh [KMa] have explored the Mori dream space question with methods from tropical geometry and computational commutative algebra. In what follows we say a bundle \mathcal{E} is a *Mori dream space bundle* when $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}$ is a Mori dream space, and we let $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E})$ denote the Cox ring of $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}$ when no confusion will arise.

Given Mori dream space bundles \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} , it's natural to ask if the direct sum $\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{F}$ is also a Mori dream space. We focus on the special case $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{F}$.

Question 1.1. *Given a Mori dream space bundle \mathcal{E} , when is $\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{E}$, and more generally $\mathcal{E} \otimes V$ a Mori dream space bundle, for a finite dimensional vector space V ?*

We find that this question is deeply related to the Mori dream space property for *flag bundles*. Let E be a vector space of dimension r . Recall that the flag variety $\mathcal{FL}_I(E)$ associated to a fixed, strictly increasing sequence of integers $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_d\}$ with $0 < i_j < r$ is the space of flags $0 \subset V_1 \subset \dots \subset V_d \subset E$, where V_j is a subspace of dimension i_j . Two distinguished cases are given by the Grassmannian variety $\text{Gr}_\ell(E)$ of ℓ -spaces, where $I = \{\ell\}$, and the full flag variety $\mathcal{FL}(E)$, where $I = \{1, \dots, r-1\}$. We set $\max(I) = \text{MAX}\{i \mid i \in I\}$. Bundles of flag varieties are natural generalizations of projectivized vector bundles, and have appeared recently in Brill-Noether theory [CP]. The latter work suggests that the geometry of the relative versions of the various important subvarieties of flag varieties, in particular the relative Richardson varieties, could be of independent interest. In this paper we focus on the case of flag bundles over toric varieties. A toric flag bundle over $X(\Sigma)$ is a bundle with fibers isomorphic to $\mathcal{FL}_I(E)$, equipped with a compatible T_N action, see Section 4.

Question 1.2. *When is $\mathcal{FL}_I(\mathcal{E})$ a Mori dream space?*

The following, proved in Section 4, links the answers to Questions 1.1 and 1.2.

Theorem 1.3. *Let \mathcal{E} be a toric vector bundle over $X(\Sigma)$, then the projective bundle $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \otimes V)$ is a Mori dream space for all $\dim(V) \leq \ell$ if and only if the bundle $\mathcal{FL}_I(\mathcal{E})$ is a Mori dream space for all $\max(I) \leq \ell$. Moreover, the full flag bundle $\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{E})$ is a Mori dream space if and only if $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \otimes V)$ is a Mori dream space for all finite dimensional vector spaces V .*

Using Theorem 1.3 and techniques introduced in [KMa] we find explicit examples of Mori dream space flag bundles in Section 5.

It is not always the case that $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \otimes V)$ is Mori dream space for all V when $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ is a Mori dream space. The Grassmannian bundle $\text{Gr}_{r-1}(\mathcal{E})$ is the projectivization of the exterior power bundle $\bigwedge^{r-1} \mathcal{E}$. The latter is isomorphic to the projectivization $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}^\vee$ of the dual bundle. If $\mathcal{E} \otimes V$ is always a Mori dream bundle, then $\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{E})$ is a Mori dream space, and $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}^\vee$ must also be a Mori dream space. In [GHPS12] an example is given of a toric three fold with non-Mori dream space cotangent bundle. Combined with Theorem 1.3 we get non-examples for Questions 1.1 and 1.2, namely a Mori dream space bundle whose full flag bundle and sum with itself are not Mori dream spaces. Theorem 1.3 also shows that full flag bundles have the sort of stability property we'd wish Mori dream space bundles to have.

Corollary 1.4. *For a vector bundle \mathcal{E} , $\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{E})$ is a Mori dream space if and only if $\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{E} \otimes W)$ is a Mori dream space for all finite dimensional vector spaces W .*

Proof. If $\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{E})$ is a Mori dream space, then $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \otimes W \otimes V)$ is a Mori dream space for all finite dimensional vector spaces V . By Theorem 1.3, $\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{E} \otimes W)$ is a Mori dream space. \square

Remark 1.5. Theorem 1.3 can also be used to give a sufficient condition for the pullback of a Mori dream space toric flag bundle $\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{E})$ under a toric blow-up to be a Mori dream space. By Theorem 1.3, the pullback of $\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{E})$ is a Mori dream space if and only if the pullback of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \otimes V)$ is a Mori dream space, where $\dim(V) = r - 1$. In principle, the latter can be checked with [KMa, Corollary 5.20].

1.1. Toric vector bundles and representation stability. If \mathcal{E} is a bundle satisfying Theorem 1.3 then we have a family of finitely generated commutative algebras $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E} \otimes V)$ as V varies. It's reasonable to ask which algebraic properties of $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E} \otimes V)$ hold independent of V .

Question 1.6. *Suppose $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \otimes V)$ is a Mori dream space for all finite dimensional vector spaces V . Is there a degree d , independent of $\dim(V)$, such that the graded components of $R(\mathcal{E} \otimes V)$ of degree $\leq d$ always form a generating set?*

Here “degree” is given by the grading of $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E})$ by the symmetric powers of \mathcal{E} , see Section 2. This question belongs to the general theory of *representation stability* [SS], [SS17], [Sam17], [ESS19]. The following classical theorem of Weyl ([Wey97]) is a result of this flavor.

Theorem 1.7. *[Weyl] Let E be finite dimensional representation of a group Γ . For a vector space V , let Γ act on $E \otimes V$ through E . If the invariant ring $\mathbb{K}[E \otimes E]^\Gamma$ is generated in degree d , then the invariant ring $\mathbb{K}[E \otimes V]^\Gamma$ is generated in degree d for all finite dimensional vector spaces V .*

The representation $E \otimes V$ is analogous to a direct sum of vector bundles, with corresponding symmetric powers $\mathbb{K}[E \otimes V] = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \text{Sym}^n(E \otimes V)$, and “ring of global sections” $\mathbb{K}[E \otimes V]^\Gamma$. The following, proved in Section 4, is the expected translation into our setting.

Theorem 1.8. *Let \mathcal{E} be a toric vector bundle over $X(\Sigma)$ with general fiber E . If the Cox ring of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \otimes E)$ is generated in degree d , then the Cox ring of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \otimes V)$ is generated in degree d for all finite dimensional vector spaces V .*

As a consequence, we immediately deduce that the pseudo-effective cones of the spaces $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \otimes V)$ stabilize at $V = E$ when $\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{E})$ is a Mori dream space.

Corollary 1.9. *Suppose that $\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{E})$ is a Mori dream space, and let $C_\ell \subset \text{CL}(X(\Sigma))_{\mathbb{Q}} \times \mathbb{Q}$ be the pseudo-effective cone of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \otimes V)$, where $\dim(V) = \ell$. Then $C_i \subseteq C_j$ when $i < j$, and $C_\ell = C_r$ for all $\ell \geq r$.*

Proof. The class group of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \otimes V)$ is always $\text{CL}(X(\Sigma)) \times \mathbb{Z}$, and the cone $C_\ell \subset \text{CL}(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \otimes V)) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is the convex span of the multi-degrees from a generating set of $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E} \otimes V)$. By Lemma 4.3 we must have $C_i \subseteq C_j$, and by Theorem 4.8 the multi-degrees of the a generating set of $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E} \otimes E)$ coincide with those of $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E} \otimes V)$ for any V with $\dim(V) = \ell \geq r$. \square

We prove Theorem 1.8 by showing that the functor $V \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E} \otimes V)$ is a *bounded twisted commutative algebra*, in the sense defined by Sam and Snowden in [SS]. More generally, a twisted algebra is a functor $A : \text{Vect}_{\mathbb{K}} \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathbb{K}}$. It is possible to show that properties in the family of algebras $A(V)$, $V \in \text{Vect}_{\mathbb{K}}$ hold independent of V by proving corresponding properties hold for the functor A . Accordingly, we refer to $V \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E} \otimes V)$ as the *twisted Cox ring* of $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}$.

It would be interesting to know when the tangent bundle \mathcal{T}_X bundle satisfies the equivalent conclusions of Theorem 1.3 for a Mori dream space X . The non-example [GHPS12] shows that this statement does not even hold for all toric varieties, however we answer this question in the positive for X a product of projective spaces in Section 5.

1.2. Projective spaces and other examples. In Section 5 we explore the consequences of Theorems 1.3 and 1.8 for several classes of examples. The class of *sparse uniform* bundles is defined in [KMb] by placing restrictions on the algebraic and tropical data used to classify vector bundles in *loc. cit.*. This classification involves a linear ideal L and an integer matrix D . For a sparse uniform bundle, L is a general linear ideal and D , up to certain equivalences, has at most one non-zero, positive entry in each row. We give sufficient conditions in terms of this data for the associated flag bundles of these vector bundles to be Mori dream spaces (Theorem 5.5).

We apply results on the class of uniform sparse bundles to the tangent bundle of a product of projective spaces (Corollary 6.3). Let \mathcal{T}_n denote the tangent bundle of \mathbb{P}^n . In Section 6 we give a presentation of $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T}_n \otimes \mathbb{K}^m)$ for $m \leq n$. For $m < n$, results on uniform sparse bundles

imply that $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T}_n \otimes \mathbb{K}^m)$ is a complete intersection. When $m = n$ a transition occurs (see 4.5), and $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T}_n \otimes \mathbb{K}^m)$ can be shown to be a deformation of the coordinate ring of a Zelevinsky quiver variety (Proposition 6.5). We also give a presentation of the Cox ring $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{FL}\mathcal{T}_n)$, and show that it comes equipped with a variant of the well-known Gel'fand-Zetlin degeneration (Theorem 6.9).

A twisted commutative algebra A has a *finite presentation* given by a pair of functors $F, G : \text{Vect}_{\mathbb{K}} \rightarrow \text{Vect}_{\mathbb{K}}$ and a natural transformation $r : G \rightarrow \text{Sym}(F)$ if there is an exact sequence of natural transformations:

$$0 \rightarrow r(G)\text{Sym}(F) \rightarrow \text{Sym}(F) \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0.$$

In particular, for any $V \in \text{Vect}_{\mathbb{K}}$ we get a presentation $A(V) \cong \text{Sym}(F(V))/\langle r(G)(V) \rangle$. It would be interesting to complete results in Section 6 to a finite presentation of the twisted Cox ring $V \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T}_n \otimes V)$.

Question 1.10. *When can finite presentations be found for the twisted Cox ring $V \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E} \otimes V)$ when \mathcal{E} is the tangent bundle of a toric variety or a rank 2 vector bundle?*

1.3. Flag Bundles For Other Groups. It is also possible to consider flag bundles for general semisimple algebraic groups G . In Section 3 we define the full flag bundle $\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{P})$ of a *toric G -principal bundle* \mathcal{P} , and prove the following analogue of Theorem 1.3. For a toric principal bundle \mathcal{P} we let $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P})$ denote the *total section algebra*, [KMa, Section 5].

Proposition 1.11. *Let \mathcal{P} be a toric principal bundle with total section algebra $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P})$, then $\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{P})$ is a Mori dream space if and only if $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P})$ is finitely generated.*

Various properties of toric principal bundles have been studied in [BDP20], [BDP18], [BDP16] and classifications of these bundles are given by Biswas, Dey, and Poddar in [BDP20], and by the second author and Kaveh in [KMb]. In particular, in the latter work it is shown that a toric principal bundle \mathcal{P} over a toric variety $X(\Sigma)$ corresponds to a piecewise-linear map $\Phi : |\Sigma| \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}(G)$, where $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}(G)$ is the cone over the spherical building of the group G . Buildings are simplicial complexes together with certain distinguished subcomplexes called *apartments*. In particular, for a reductive group G , each apartment of $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}(G)$ is isomorphic to the Coxeter complex of the Weyl group of G . For the basics on the geometry of buildings, see [AB08]. We use the classification in [KMb] to give a sufficient condition for $\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{P})$ to be a Mori dream space.

Theorem 1.12. *Let \mathcal{P} be the toric principal bundle corresponding to $\Phi : |\Sigma| \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}(G)$. If $\Phi(|\Sigma|)$ lies in a single apartment of $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}(G)$, then $\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{P})$ is a Mori dream space.*

With the conceit that a toric principal G -bundle and its associated flag variety can be captured by an arrangement of points on the building $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}(G)$, it's natural to ask which arrangements of points give bundles with favorable geometric properties.

Question 1.13. *When does $\Phi : |\Sigma| \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}(G)$ define a Mori dream space flag bundle $\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{P})$?*

2. THE SECTION RING OF A TORIC FLAT FAMILY

In this section we review background from [KMa, Section 5] on toric flat families and the total section ring. We let \mathcal{A} be a flat T_N -sheaf of algebras over a smooth, projective toric variety $X(\Sigma)$. We assume that $\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i$, where each \mathcal{A}_i is coherent. This implies that \mathcal{A}_i , and therefore \mathcal{A} itself, is locally free, and that we can treat \mathcal{A} as (the sheaf of sections of) a toric

vector bundle. The *total section ring* of \mathcal{A} is the direct sum:

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{A}) = \bigoplus_{\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(X(\Sigma))} H^0(X(\Sigma), \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{L}) = \bigoplus_{\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(X(\Sigma)), i \in I} H^0(X(\Sigma), \mathcal{A}_i \otimes \mathcal{L}).$$

The presence of the T_N action allows us to split each space $H^0(X(\Sigma), \mathcal{A}_i \otimes \mathcal{L})$ into a direct sum of isotypical spaces:

$$H^0(X(\Sigma), \mathcal{A}_i \otimes \mathcal{L}) = \bigoplus_{m \in M} H_m^0(X(\Sigma), \mathcal{A}_i \otimes \mathcal{L}).$$

Let A and A_i be the fibers of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A}_i $i \in I$ over $Id \in T_N$, respectively. The fiber A has the structure of a commutative algebra over \mathbb{K} , and there is a direct sum decomposition $A = \bigoplus_{i \in I} A_i$ which is compatible with $\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i$. Let $n = |\Sigma(1)|$, and recall that there is an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X(\Sigma)) \rightarrow 0$$

We choose a section $s : \text{Pic}(X(\Sigma)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n$, and view $H_m^0(X(\Sigma), \mathcal{A}_i \otimes \mathcal{L})$ as the space of T_N sections of $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{r}}$, where $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{r}}$ is a particular T_N -linearization of $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(X(\Sigma))$. The space $H_{T_N}^0(X(\Sigma), \mathcal{A}_i \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{r}})$ can be computed as the *Klaychko space* $F_{\mathbf{r}}(A_i) \subseteq A_i$, where \mathbf{r} ranges over $\mathbb{Z}^n \cong M \oplus s(\text{Pic}(X(\Sigma)))$. The spaces $F_{\mathbf{r}}(A_i)$ fit into a system of n algebra filtrations F^j of A , where $F_{\mathbf{r}}(A) = F_{r_1}^1 \cap \cdots \cap F_{r_n}^n$, see [KMa, Lemma 5.2]. In this way, $R(\mathcal{A})$ is seen to be the *Rees algebra* of the fiber A with respect to the filtrations F^j :

$$R(\mathcal{A}) = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{Z}^n} F_{\mathbf{r}}(A) = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{Z}^n, i \in I} F_{\mathbf{r}}(A_i)$$

Remark 2.1. Filtrations in the style of the F^j are used by Biswas, Dey, and Poddar to classify *toric principal G bundles* in [BDP20].

If all the fibers of \mathcal{A} are finitely generated algebras over \mathbb{K} , then we can find a finite algebra generating set $\mathcal{B} \subset A$ called a *Khovanskii basis* of A . Roughly speaking, this set has the property that its limits continue to generate the fiber \mathcal{A}_p for every $p \in X(\Sigma)$. Let $\mathcal{B} = \{b_1, \dots, b_m\}$ and $I \subset \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ be the ideal such that $\mathbb{K}[\mathbf{x}]/I \cong A$. In [KMa, Section 4] it is shown that there is a subfan $\mathcal{K}(I)$ of the *Gröbner fan* $\Sigma(I)$, and a collection of points $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n \in \mathcal{K}(I)$ such that F^j is the *weight filtration* of A with respect to ω_j . The matrix $D = [\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n]^t$ is called the *diagram* of the family \mathcal{A} with respect to the Khovanskii basis \mathcal{B} . If all of the fibers \mathcal{A}_p are domains, these points lie in the tropical variety $\text{Trop}(I) \subseteq \mathcal{K}(I)$. This happens if \mathcal{A} is a bundle. The following question is the algebraic analogue of asking when a space is a Mori dream space.

Question 2.2. *For which arrangements $D = \{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\} \subset \mathcal{K}(I)$ is the Rees algebra $\mathcal{R}(A, D) \cong \mathcal{R}(A)$ finitely generated?*

Cox rings of projectivized toric vector bundles appear when the algebra A is a polynomial ring $\bigoplus_{\ell \geq 0} \text{Sym}^\ell(E)$, the ideal is a linear ideal, say generated a subspace $L \subset \mathbb{K}^m$, and $\mathcal{K}(L)$ is the associated *tropicalized linear space* $\text{Trop}(L)$. The direct sum decomposition is by *Sym*-degree in this case. In this way, all toric vector bundles are associated to an arrangement of points on a tropicalized linear space. In [KMa] Kaveh and the second author introduce two methods for determining the finite generation of $\mathcal{R}(\text{Sym}(E), D)$. The first is [KMa, Algorithm 5.6], which builds a finite generating set of $\mathcal{R}(\text{Sym}(E), D)$, provided one exists. The second is [KMa, Theorem 1.5], which characterizes which sets of polynomials $\mathcal{B} \subset \text{Sym}(E)$ lift to generating sets of $\mathcal{R}(\text{Sym}(E), D)$. They also introduce a polyhedral fan $\Delta(L, \Sigma) \subset \mathbb{Q}^{m \times n}$ whose points are arrangements of n points on $\text{Trop}(L)$. Question 2.2 then asks which points on $\Delta(L, \Sigma)$ correspond to finitely generated Rees algebras of the polynomial ring $\text{Sym}(E)$? A polyhedral sufficient condition is given by [KMa, Proposition 5.23]

3. COX RINGS OF FLAG BUNDLES AND PROOFS OF MAIN RESULTS

In this section we introduce the necessary geometry for flag bundles and prove Proposition 1.11 and Theorem 1.12. We begin with a discussion of the *full flag bundle* $\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{P})$ of a toric principal G -bundle, and the total section ring $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P})$.

3.1. The flag bundle of a toric principal G -bundle. Fix a semisimple algebraic group G , and let $\pi : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow X(\Sigma)$ be a toric principal G -bundle. The group G is an affine variety, making \mathcal{P} affine over $X(\Sigma)$. Let $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P})$ be the corresponding sheaf of algebras over $X(\Sigma)$:

$$\text{Spec}_{X(\Sigma)}(\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P})) = \mathcal{P}.$$

In what follows we let $B_-, B_+ \subset G$ be a choice of Borel and opposite Borel subgroups, with maximal torus $T \subset G$. The set of weights and dominant weights are Λ and Λ_+ , respectively. Finally, V_λ denotes the irreducible representation of G with highest weight $\lambda \in \Lambda_+$. The following can also be found in [BDP20].

Proposition 3.1. *The sheaf $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P})$ has the following direct sum decomposition as a sheaf of right G -representations:*

$$\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda_+} \mathcal{V}_\lambda \otimes V_{\lambda^*},$$

where \mathcal{V}_λ is (the sheaf of sections of) a T_N vector bundle of rank $\dim(V_\lambda)$.

Proof. By assumption $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P})$ is itself a locally free sheaf equipped with a right, rational G -action. Let $X(\sigma) \subset X(\Sigma)$ be a toric affine chart. By [BDP20, Theorem 4.1], the pullback of \mathcal{P} to $X(\sigma)$ is the affine $T \times G$ scheme defined by the ring $\mathcal{O}(X(\sigma)) \otimes \mathcal{O}(G)$. We consider the sheaf $[\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}) \otimes V_\lambda]^G$ restricted to $X(\sigma)$ for an irreducible V_λ . We have that $[\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}) \otimes V_\lambda]^G[X(\sigma)] \cong \mathcal{O}(X(\sigma)) \otimes [\mathcal{O}(G) \otimes V_\lambda]^G \cong \mathcal{O}(X(\sigma)) \otimes V_\lambda$, so that $\mathcal{V}_\lambda = [\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}) \otimes V_\lambda]^G$ is a toric vector bundle

of rank $\dim(V_\lambda)$. The sum of these components is a subsheaf of $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P})$. However, by above it coincides with the restriction of $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P})$ over each $X(\sigma)$. \square

The next definition is central to this section.

Definition 3.2. The flag bundle associated to a toric principal G bundle is defined to be the quotient:

$$\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{P}) = \mathcal{P}/B_+$$

For any point $p : \text{Spec}(\mathbb{K}) \rightarrow X(\Sigma)$, the fiber $\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{P})_p$ is isomorphic to the flag variety $\mathcal{FL}(G) = G/B_+$. Moreover, for a regular weight ω there is a graded sheaf of algebras $\text{Sym}(\mathcal{V}_{\omega^*})$ on $X(\Sigma)$, and it is straightforward to show that $\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{P})$ embeds as a closed $X(\Sigma)$ -subscheme of $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{V}_\omega$. In particular, $\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{P})$ is a smooth, projective variety over \mathbb{K} , and up to isomorphism, $\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{P})$ does not depend on the choice of Borel subgroup B_+ . Line bundles over $\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{P})$ can be constructed from characters of the Borel B_+ as in the case of the flag variety $\mathcal{FL}(G)$.

Definition 3.3. Let \mathcal{J}_χ be the line bundle $(\mathcal{P} \times \mathbb{A}^1)/B_+$, where B_+ acts on the \mathbb{A}^1 component through the character $-\chi : B_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$.

The restriction of \mathcal{J}_χ to each fiber $\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{P})_p$ is isomorphic to the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_\chi = (G \times \mathbb{A}^1)/B_+$.

Proposition 3.4. For any character χ the pushforward $\pi_*\mathcal{J}_\chi$ is isomorphic to the subsheaf of $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P})^{B_+, -\chi} \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P})$ of sections which are semi-invariant with respect to the character $-\chi$. In particular, in the case of a dominant weight $\lambda \in \Lambda_+$, $\pi_*\mathcal{J}_\lambda \cong \mathcal{V}_\lambda$.

Proof. It suffices to pass to an affine chart $X(\sigma) \subset X(\Sigma)$. In this case, we reduce to the fact that the global sections $H^0(\mathcal{FL}(G), \mathcal{L}_\chi)$ are computed as the subspace $\mathcal{O}(G)^{B_+, -\chi} \subset \mathcal{O}(G)$. \square

Next we find that line bundles on $\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{P})$ are obtained from the \mathcal{J}_χ and pullbacks from $X(\Sigma)$.

Proposition 3.5. For a toric principal bundle \mathcal{P} with associated flag bundle $\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{P})$ we have:

$$\text{Pic}(\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{P})) \cong \text{Pic}(\mathcal{FL}(G)) \times \text{Pic}(X(\Sigma)).$$

Proof. Define $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{FL}(G)) \times \text{Pic}(X(\Sigma)) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{P}))$ by $\mathcal{L}_\chi, D_\psi \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_\chi \otimes \pi^*D_\psi$. If a pair of bundles is sent to the trivial bundle under this map, then by restriction to any fiber $\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{P})_p$ we conclude that $\chi = 0$. As pullback is an injection, the pair must be trivial. Now let \mathcal{J} be any line bundle on $\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{P})$, and let \mathcal{L} be the restriction to some fiber of π . The Picard variety of $\mathcal{FL}(G)$ is trivial, so the restriction to any fiber of π is isomorphic to a fixed line bundle $\mathcal{L}_\chi \in \text{Pic}(\mathcal{FL}(G))$. The line bundle $\mathcal{J}_\chi^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{J}$ is then trivial on every fiber, so it must be a pullback from $X(\Sigma)$. \square

Now we turn to computing the global sections of elements of $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{P}))$.

Proposition 3.6. Let \mathcal{J} be a line bundle over a toric flag bundle \mathcal{FL} , then $H^0(\mathcal{FL}, \mathcal{J}) \cong H^0(X(\Sigma), \pi_*\mathcal{J})$. In particular, if $\mathcal{J} \cong \mathcal{J}_\chi \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{L}$ for $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(X(\Sigma))$, then:

$$H^0(\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{P}), \mathcal{J}) = H^0(X(\Sigma), \mathcal{V}_\chi \otimes \mathcal{L}).$$

Proof. There is some character χ such that $\mathcal{J}|_p \cong \mathcal{L}_\chi$ as line bundles on $\mathcal{FL}_p \cong \mathcal{FL}(G)$ for any $p \in X(\Sigma)$. If \mathcal{J} is effective, then the restriction to some fiber of π must also be effective, so χ is a dominant weight. By Kempf's vanishing theorem [Kem76] for line bundles on flag varieties we have $H^i(\mathcal{FL}(G), \mathcal{L}_\chi) = 0$ for $i > 0$, so $\mathcal{R}^i \pi_* \mathcal{J} = 0$. As a consequence, we have $H^0(\mathcal{FL}, \mathcal{J}) \cong H^0(X(\Sigma), \pi_* \mathcal{J})$. If $\mathcal{FL} = \mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{P})$ and $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}_\chi \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{L}$, we have $H^0(X(\Sigma), \pi_* \mathcal{J}) = H^0(X(\Sigma), \mathcal{V}_\chi \otimes \mathcal{L})$ by the projection formula. \square

Let $U \subset B$ denote the unipotent radical of a Borel subgroup B . The following observation allows us to compute the Cox ring of $\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{P})$.

Theorem 3.7 (Proposition 1.11). *Let \mathcal{P} be a toric principal bundle, then:*

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{P})) \cong \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P})^{U_+} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda, \mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{Z}^n} F_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathcal{V}_\lambda)$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{P})$ is a Mori dream space if and only if $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P})$ is finitely generated.

Proof. By Proposition 3.6, $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{P}))$ is the space of global sections of the sheaf of algebras $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P})^{U_+} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda, \mathbf{r}} \mathcal{V}_\lambda \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{r}}$ on $X(\Sigma)$. Now take global sections to get $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{P})) = \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P})^{U_+}$. Next, we observe that the U_+ action on $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P})$ extends to the G -action; as a consequence, $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P})$ is finitely generated if and only if $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P})^{U_+}$ is finitely generated by [Gro97, Theorem 16.2]. In particular, if $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P})^{U_+}$ is finitely generated, then the invariant ring $[\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P})^{U_+} \otimes \mathcal{O}(G)^{U_-}]^T$ is also finitely generated. The latter is the *horospherical contraction* of the G -algebra $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P})$, [HMM17]. In particular, the algebra $[\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P})^{U_+} \otimes \mathcal{O}(G)^{U_-}]^T$ can be realized as an associated graded algebra of $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P})$, so if it is finitely generated, $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P})$ is finitely generated as well. \square

Let $Q \supset B_+$ be a parabolic subgroup of G , and let $\Lambda(Q) \subset \Lambda$ be the lattice of weights corresponding to characters of Q . The Cox ring of the partial flag bundle $\mathcal{FL}_Q(\mathcal{P}) = \mathcal{P}/Q$ is the subsum of $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{P}))$ where $\lambda \in \Lambda(Q)$. In particular, $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{FL}_Q(\mathcal{P}))$ is the ring of invariants in $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{P}))$ with respect to a certain algebraic torus. It follows that if $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P})$ is finitely generated, each partial flag bundle $\mathcal{FL}_Q(\mathcal{P})$ is a Mori dream space. We can also use a presentation of $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{P}))$ to find a presentation of $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P})$.

Corollary 3.8. *Let $\Omega \subset \Lambda_+$ be a set of dominant weights whose associated graded components generate $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{P}))$, then the corresponding components also generate $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P})$*

Proof. For a G -algebra R , the components which generate R^{U_+} also generate R [Gro97, Theorem 1.6], [HMM17]. Now take $R = \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P})$ and use Theorem 3.7. \square

3.2. Mori dream flag bundles and apartments. By abuse of notation, we let $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}(G)$ denote the polyhedral complex formed by replacing simplicies with simplicial cones in the construction of the spherical building for a semisimple group G . The space $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}(G)$ plays a prominent role in the classification of toric principal bundles in [KMb]. Recall that $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}(G)$ is a union of distinguished subcomplexes called *apartments*.

Theorem 3.9. [Kaveh, M] *The information of a framed toric principal bundle $\pi : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow X(\Sigma)$ is equivalent to a map $\Phi : |\Sigma| \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}(G)$ with the property that for any face $\sigma \in \Sigma$, the restriction $\Phi|_{\sigma} : |\sigma| \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}(G)$ is linear, and its image lies in some apartment.*

The integral points of $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}(G)$ are viewed as 1-parameter subgroups of G under a certain equivalence relation ([KMb, Definition 1.8]). The apartments $A \subseteq \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}(G)$ correspond to the maximal tori $T \subseteq G$, and the integral points of the apartment associated to a particular torus T are its set of cocharacters $\mathcal{X}^\vee(T)$.

Following [KMb, Definition 2.1], any map $\Phi : |\Sigma| \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}(G)$ as in Theorem 3.9 is said to be *piecewise-linear* ([KMb, Definition 2.1]). The image of Φ is determined by the image of the rays of Σ , and in particular the ray generators $p_1, \dots, p_n \in |\Sigma(1)| \subset N$. We let $\rho_i = \Phi(p_i)$. Each point $\Phi(p_i)$ corresponds to a particular 1-parameter subgroup of G . The following should be compared with [KMa, Proposition 5.5].

Theorem 3.10 (Theorem 1.12). *Let \mathcal{P} be a toric principal bundle over $X(\Sigma)$ corresponding to the piecewise-linear map Φ , and suppose further that there is an apartment $A \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}(G)$ such that $\Phi(|\Sigma|) \subset A$, then $\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{P})$ is a Mori dream space.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.7 we must show that $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P})$ is finitely generated. Let $T \subset G$ be the torus with $A = \mathcal{X}^\vee(T)$. Following Section 2, the information $\pi : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow X(\Sigma)$ is captured by a collection of $n = |\Sigma(1)|$ filtrations on the coordinate ring $\mathbb{K}[G]$. These filtrations are determined by the images $\Phi(p_i) \in A \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}(G)$. To describe these filtrations we equip the representations of G with the dominant weight classification given by the weights of T . The filtration F^i determined by $\Phi(p_i)$ is composed of the spaces:

$$F_r^i = \bigoplus_{\langle \Phi(p_i), \alpha \rangle \geq r} V_\alpha(\lambda) \otimes V(\lambda^*),$$

where α is a weight, λ is a dominant weight, $V(\lambda)$ is the irreducible representation corresponding to λ , and $V_\alpha(\lambda) \subseteq V(\lambda)$ is the α weight space. These filtrations also appear in the classification theorem of Biswas, Dey, and Poddar in [BDP20].

The assumption that $\Phi(p_i) \in A$ implies that the filtrations F^i are all composed of the *same weight spaces*. In particular, for any $r_1, \dots, r_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have:

$$F_{\mathbf{r}} = F_{r_1}^1 \cap \dots \cap F_{r_n}^n = \bigoplus_{\langle \Phi(p_i), \eta \rangle \geq r_i} V_\eta(\lambda) \otimes V(\lambda^*).$$

Let $b_j \in V_{\eta_j}(\lambda_j) \otimes V(\lambda_j^*)$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$ be T -homogeneous generators of $\mathbb{K}[G]$, and let $\tilde{b}_j \in F_{s_j}$ be the corresponding lifts to $R(\mathcal{P})$, where $s_{ij} = \langle \Phi(p_i), \eta_j \rangle$. The monomials b^a with $\sum a_j \eta_j = \eta$ span the η -isotypical component of $\mathbb{K}[G]$. It follows that the monomials b^a with $\langle \Phi(p_i), \sum a_j \eta_j \rangle \geq r_i$ for each $i \in [n]$ span $F_{\mathbf{r}} \subset \mathbb{K}[G]$.

Now define $x_i \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P})$ to be $1 \in F_{-\mathbf{e}_i} \subset \mathbb{K}[G]$. Multiplication by x_i works as inclusion $x_i F_{\mathbf{r}} \subseteq F_{\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{e}_i}$. Take any monomial $b^a \in F_{\mathbf{r}}$, and consider $\tilde{b}^a \in F_{\sum a_j \eta_j}$. We must have $\langle \Phi(p_i), \sum a_j \eta_j \rangle \geq r_i$, so $\langle \Phi(p_i), \sum a_j \eta_j \rangle - r_i = d_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. It follows that $\tilde{b}^a x^d = b^a \in F_{\mathbf{r}}$. As a consequence, $\{\tilde{b}_1, \dots, \tilde{b}_m, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is a generating set for $R(\mathcal{P})$. \square

Corollary 3.11. *If \mathcal{P} is a toric principal bundle over \mathbb{P}^1 , then $\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{P})$ is a Mori dream space.*

Proof. The fan of \mathbb{P}^1 has two rays, and any two points of $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}(G)$ must lie in a common apartment. \square

In the case of a toric vector bundle \mathcal{E} , the condition that $\{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n\}$ lie in a single apartment corresponds to the existence of T -splitting $\mathcal{E} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{L}_i$ into a direct sum of line bundles. It is observed in [GHPS12] that the projectivization of such a bundle is in fact a toric variety, and therefore a Mori dream space. We give the splitting condition corresponding to the image of Φ lying in a single apartment for a general group G . For a G -representation V we let $\mathcal{E}_V = \mathcal{P} \times_G V$ denote the associated toric vector bundle over $X(\Sigma)$.

Proposition 3.12. *Let \mathcal{P} be a toric principal bundle over $X(\Sigma)$ corresponding to the piecewise-linear map Φ , then the image of Φ lies in a single apartment of $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}(G)$ if and only if for any G -representation V , the associated vector bundle \mathcal{E}_V has a T_N -equivariant splitting into line bundles.*

Proof. The morphism $G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ corresponding to the representation structure on V induces a map on buildings $\psi_V : \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}(G) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}(\mathrm{GL}(V))$, see [KMb, Section 2]. The piecewise-linear map associated to the $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ -principal bundle $\mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{E}_V)$ is then $\psi_V \circ \Phi : |\Sigma| \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}(\mathrm{GL}(V))$ by [KMb, Theorem 2.2]. Let the image of Φ lie in an apartment A . The image $\psi_V(A) \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}(\mathrm{GL}(V))$ must be in an apartment of $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}(\mathrm{GL}(V))$. This implies that \mathcal{E}_V splits into a sum of T_N -equivariant line bundles. Conversely, suppose any associated vector bundle of \mathcal{P} splits. Let V be any faithful representation of G , then $\psi_V \circ \Phi(|\Sigma|)$ lies in an apartment $A' \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}(\mathrm{GL}(V))$. But $A' \cap \psi_V(\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}(G))$ is an apartment $A \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}(G)$, and $\Phi(|\Sigma|) \subseteq A$. \square

4. THE FLAG BUNDLE OF A TORIC VECTOR BUNDLE

In this section we prove Theorems 1.3 and 1.8.

4.1. Representations of $\mathrm{GL}(E)$. We review some background on the representation theory of the general linear group. The dominant weights of $\mathrm{GL}(E)$ are indexed by integral tuples $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r)$, where $r = \dim(E)$ and $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_r$. Of special importance are the weights with $\lambda_r \geq 0$, which correspond to the Young tableaux. By abuse of notation, we let λ denote the tableau whose i -th row i has length λ_i . If $\lambda_r \geq 0$, the corresponding irreducible $\mathrm{GL}(E)$ representation is obtained by evaluating the Schur functor $S_\lambda : \mathrm{Vect}_{\mathbb{K}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Vect}_{\mathbb{K}}$ at E . For example, the exterior power $\bigwedge^\ell E$ corresponds to the weight $\omega_\ell = (1, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ with ℓ 1's and $r - \ell$ 0's. Any irreducible V_λ can be realized as a tensor product of a Schur functor and a (possibly negative) power of the determinant: $V_\lambda \cong (\bigwedge^r E)^{\lambda_r} \otimes S_{\bar{\lambda}}(E)$. Here $\bar{\lambda} = \lambda - \lambda_r \omega_r$ corresponds to a tableau with $r - 1$ rows. Using this identification, the dual V_{λ^*} is $(\bigwedge^r E)^{-\lambda_r} \otimes S_{\bar{\lambda}^*}(E)$, where $\bar{\lambda}^* = \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} n_i \omega_{r-1-i}$ if $\bar{\lambda} = \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} n_i \omega_i$.

Let $|\lambda| = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i$; when $\lambda_r \geq 0$, this is the number of boxes in the tableau corresponding to λ . We let $\mathrm{row}(\lambda)$ be the number of rows in λ . The Cauchy identity gives the decomposition of $\mathrm{Sym}^\ell(E \otimes V)$ as a $\mathrm{GL}(E) \times \mathrm{GL}(V)$ representation:

$$\mathrm{Sym}^\ell(E \otimes V) = \bigoplus_{|\lambda|=\ell} S_\lambda(E) \otimes S_\lambda(V).$$

If $\mathrm{row}(\lambda) > \mathrm{MIN}\{\dim(E), \dim(V)\}$, then $S_\lambda(E) \otimes S_\lambda(V) = 0$. In this way, the Cauchy identity encodes the $\mathrm{GL}(E) \times \mathrm{GL}(V)$ isotypical decomposition of the polynomial ring generated by $E \otimes V$:

$$\mathrm{Sym}(E \otimes V) = \bigoplus_{\mathrm{row}(\lambda) \leq \mathrm{MIN}\{\dim(E), \dim(V)\}} S_\lambda(E) \otimes S_\lambda(V).$$

A choice of basis $\mathbb{B} = \{e_1, \dots, e_r\} \in E$ determines the maximal torus $T \subset \mathrm{GL}(E)$ of those $g \in \mathrm{GL}(E)$ which are diagonal when expressed in \mathbb{B} . Likewise, this choice determines the Borel subgroup B of upper triangular matrices, and its unipotent radical $U \subset B$. Any $S_\lambda(E)$ has a unique 1-dimensional subspace fixed by the action of U . This subspace is isomorphic to the 1-dimensional representation of B with weight λ .

4.2. Flag varieties of $\mathrm{GL}(E)$. The conventions involved when working with the projectivization $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}$ imply that the fiber $(\mathbb{P}\mathcal{E})_p$ for $p \in X(\Sigma)$ is actually the projective space $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}_p^\vee$. We prefer to work with the same convention, which also has the benefit of allowing us to work with the Schur functions $S_\lambda(\mathcal{E})$ of \mathcal{E} , rather than its dual. For this reason we use some non-standard conventions for $\mathrm{GL}(E)$.

The ring $\mathrm{Sym}(E \otimes E) = \bigoplus_{\mathrm{row}(\lambda) \leq \dim(E)} S_\lambda(E) \otimes S_\lambda(V)$ is the coordinate ring of the $\mathrm{GL}(E) \times \mathrm{GL}(E)$ variety $E^\vee \otimes E^\vee$. We view the latter as $E^\vee \otimes E \cong \mathrm{End}(E)$, where the right hand side action is composed with the inverse transpose map. Under the isomorphism with the $r \times r$ matrices, the summand $\bigwedge^r E \otimes \bigwedge^r E \subset \mathrm{Sym}^r(E \otimes E)$ can be thought of as the 1-dimensional span of the determinant form. Inverting this summand produces a commutative algebra which is isomorphic to the coordinate ring of $\mathrm{GL}(E) \subset \mathrm{End}(E)$.

Now fix a set of dimensions $I = \{d_1, \dots, d_\ell\}$, where $0 < d_1 < \dots < d_\ell < r$. We let $\mathcal{FL}_I(E^\vee)$ denote the moduli space of flags $V_1 \subset \dots \subset V_\ell \subset E^\vee$ with $\dim(V_i) = d_i$ realized as the quotient $\mathrm{GL}(E)/P_I$, where $P_I \subset \mathrm{GL}(E)$ is the corresponding parabolic subgroup. With these conventions we have the following description of the Cox ring of $\mathcal{FL}_I(E^\vee)$:

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{FL}_I(E^\vee)) = \bigoplus_{\lambda = \sum n_i \omega_{d_i}} S_\lambda(E)$$

The following lemma is immediate from this description and standard facts about flag varieties.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\dim(V) = \ell$, and let $U_V \subset \mathrm{GL}(V)$ denote a maximal unipotent subgroup, then*

$$\mathrm{Sym}(E \otimes V)^{U_V} = \bigoplus_{\mathrm{row}(\lambda) \leq \mathrm{MIN}\{r, \ell\}} S_\lambda(E).$$

In particular, if $\ell < r$ then $\mathrm{Sym}(E \otimes V)^{U_V} = \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{FL}_{[\ell]}(E^\vee))$. Moreover, if $\ell \geq r$ then $\mathrm{Sym}(E \otimes V)^{U_V} = \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{FL}(E^\vee))[t]$, where $\mathbb{K}t \cong \bigwedge^r E \otimes \bigwedge^r E$ has Sym degree r .

4.3. Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let \mathcal{E} be a toric vector bundle of rank r over $X(\Sigma)$. We let $\pi : \mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow X(\Sigma)$ denote the corresponding full flag bundle of \mathcal{E} . Over a point $p \in X(\Sigma)$ with fiber E , the fiber $\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{E})_p$ is the space $\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{E}_p^\vee)$.

To construct $\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{E})$ we consider the toric vector bundle $\mathcal{E} \otimes E$, where E is a model vector space of dimension r , and apply the Sym functor to obtain a sheaf of polynomial rings $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{E} \otimes E)$. Over a trivializing affine patch $X(\sigma) \subset X(\Sigma)$ we have $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{E} \otimes E)|_{X(\sigma)} \cong \mathcal{O}(X(\sigma)) \otimes \mathrm{Sym}(E \otimes E)$. The sheaf $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{E} \otimes E)$ is computed in terms of Schur functors using the Cauchy identity:

$$(1) \quad \mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{E} \otimes E) = \bigoplus_{\mathrm{row}(\lambda) \leq r} S_\lambda(\mathcal{E}) \otimes S_\lambda(E).$$

Here $S_\lambda(\mathcal{E})$ is the Schur functor associated to the tableau λ applied to \mathcal{E} , see [Gon12], [KMa].

The $\mathcal{O}(X(\Sigma))$ algebra $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{E} \otimes E)$ is naturally a subsheaf of the coordinate sheaf of the $\mathrm{GL}(E)$ principal *frame* bundle $\mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{E})$. The coordinate relative coordinate sheaf $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{E}))$ is obtained from $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{E} \otimes E)$ by locally inverting the determinant. In particular, let \mathcal{L} denote the invertible sheaf of sections of $\bigwedge^r \mathcal{E} \otimes \bigwedge^r E \subset \mathrm{Sym}^r(\mathcal{E} \otimes E)$. We form the $\mathcal{O}(X(\Sigma))$ -algebra $\bigoplus_{\ell \geq 0} \mathcal{L}^{-\ell} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{E} \otimes E)$. This algebra carries two distinguished types of global sections: the identity $1 : \mathcal{O}(X(\Sigma)) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^0 \otimes \mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{E} \otimes E)$, and a choice of isomorphism $c : \mathcal{O}(X(\Sigma)) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{E} \otimes E)$. We let $\mathcal{I} = \langle (c-1)\mathcal{O}(X(\Sigma)) \rangle \subset \bigoplus_{\ell \geq 0} \mathcal{L}^{-\ell} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{E} \otimes E)$ be the sheaf of $\bigoplus_{\ell \geq 0} \mathcal{L}^{-\ell} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{E} \otimes E)$ ideals generated by the difference of these sections. It is straightforward to verify that over an affine patch $X(\sigma) \subset X(\Sigma)$, the resulting algebra is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}(X(\sigma)) \otimes \mathbb{K}(\mathrm{GL}(E)) \cong \mathcal{O}(X(\sigma)) \otimes (\bigwedge^r E \otimes \bigwedge^r E)^{-1} \mathrm{Sym}(E \otimes E)$.

The flag bundle $\mathcal{FL}_I(\mathcal{E})$ is $\mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{E})/P_I$, in particular $\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{E}) = \mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{E})/B$ for $B \subset \mathrm{GL}(E)$ a Borel subgroup. A construction similar to Section 3.1 gives a distinguished collection of line bundles \mathcal{J}_λ on $\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{E})$, where λ is a weight of $\mathrm{GL}(E)$. For $\lambda = \omega_r$ we get the line bundle $\bigwedge^r \mathcal{E}$. Similarly, we get a computation of global sections:

$$(2) \quad H^0(\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{E}), \mathcal{J}_\lambda \otimes \pi^* D_\psi) = H^0(X(\Sigma), S_{\bar{\lambda}}(\mathcal{E}) \otimes (\bigwedge^r \mathcal{E})^{\lambda_r} \otimes D_\psi)$$

Theorem 4.2. *Let U be the unipotent radical of B . For any toric vector bundle \mathcal{E} we have:*

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E} \otimes E)^U \cong \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{E}))[t],$$

where t is a parameter of Sym degree r .

Proof. For any Young diagram with $\leq r$ rows we can write $S_\lambda(\mathcal{E}) = (\bigwedge^r \mathcal{E})^{\lambda_r} \otimes S_{\bar{\lambda}}(\mathcal{E})$, where $\bar{\lambda} = \lambda - \lambda_r \omega_r$. Let $\mathcal{D} = \bigoplus_{\mathrm{Pic}(X(\Sigma))} D$, then

$$\mathcal{D} \otimes S_\lambda(\mathcal{E}) \cong \mathcal{D} \otimes (\bigwedge^r \mathcal{E})^{\lambda_r} \otimes S_{\bar{\lambda}}(\mathcal{E}) \cong \mathcal{D} \otimes S_{\bar{\lambda}}(\mathcal{E}).$$

It follows that

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E} \otimes E)^U = \bigoplus_{\mathrm{row}(\lambda) \leq r} H^0(X(\Sigma), \mathcal{D} \otimes S_{\bar{\lambda}}(\mathcal{E})) \otimes S_\lambda(E)^U = \bigoplus_{\bar{\lambda}, \lambda_r} H^0(X(\Sigma), \mathcal{D} \otimes S_{\bar{\lambda}}(\mathcal{E})) t^{\lambda_r}$$

The right hand side is the ring $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{E}))[t]$. \square

We will need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $W \subseteq V$, then there is an inclusion $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E} \otimes W) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E} \otimes V)$ of $\mathrm{GL}(W)$ algebras. If $\dim(V) \geq \dim(W) \geq r$, then every $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ highest weight vector in $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E} \otimes V)$ is in $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E} \otimes W)$.*

Proof. The inclusion $W \rightarrow V$ induces a map of sheaves $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{E} \otimes W) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{E} \otimes V)$; this can be checked to be a monomorphism by passing to affine neighborhoods. For fixed d we have:

$$(4) \quad \mathrm{Sym}^d(\mathcal{E} \otimes W) = \bigoplus_{|\lambda|=d} S_\lambda(\mathcal{E}) \otimes S_\lambda(W) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{|\lambda|=d} S_\lambda(\mathcal{E}) \otimes S_\lambda(V) = \mathrm{Sym}^d(\mathcal{E} \otimes V)$$

We still have a monomorphism after tensoring (4) with any line bundle \mathcal{L} , and taking global sections commutes with direct sums, so we obtain a monomorphism $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E} \otimes W) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E} \otimes V)$.

Choosing compatible bases, we view $W \rightarrow V$ as the inclusion of the first $\dim(W)$ basis members, inducing the upper-left inclusion $\mathrm{GL}(W) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$. Any compatible ordering on this basis gives a choice of Borel subgroups in $\mathrm{GL}(W)$ and $\mathrm{GL}(V)$. The number of rows of the λ is bounded above by r , so all highest weight vectors corresponding to these Borel subgroups in the $S_\lambda(V)$ only involve the first r members of the basis. This implies that any such highest weight vector of $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E} \otimes V)$ lies in $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E} \otimes W)$. \square

Now we prove Theorem 1.3.

Proposition 4.4 (Theorem 1.3). *Let $\dim(V) = \ell$. The projective bundle $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \otimes V)$ is a Mori dream space if and only if the bundle $\mathcal{FL}_I(\mathcal{E})$ is a Mori dream space for all $|I| \leq \ell$.*

Proof. We consider $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{FL}_{[l]}(\mathcal{E})) = \bigoplus_{\mathrm{row}(\lambda) \leq l} H^0(X(\Sigma), S_\lambda(\mathcal{E}) \otimes D)$ for $l < r$. For $\dim(V) = \ell$, this is $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E} \otimes V)^{U_V}$, and coincides with a graded subring of $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E} \otimes E)^U$ under the inclusion in Lemma 4.3. Moreover, $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{FL}_I(\mathcal{E}))$ is a graded subring of $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{FL}_{[l]}(\mathcal{E}))$. These identities imply that $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{FL}_{[l]}(\mathcal{E}))$ is finitely generated if and only if $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{FL}_I(\mathcal{E}))$ is finitely generated for all $I \subseteq [l]$ if and only if $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E} \otimes V)$ is finitely generated. Now the case $l = r - 1$ is finitely generated if and only if $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E} \otimes E)$ is finitely generated if and only if $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E} \otimes V)$ is finitely generated for all $\dim(V) > r$ by Theorem 4.2 and Lemma 4.3. \square

Corollary 4.5. *Let $\Omega \subset \Lambda_+$ be a set of Young diagrams such that the corresponding summands generate $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{FL}_{[l]}(\mathcal{E}))$, then:*

- (1) *the Ω components generate $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E} \otimes V)$ if $\dim(V) = \ell < r$.*
- (2) *the $\Omega \cup \{\omega_r\}$ components generate $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E} \otimes V)$ if $\dim(V) = \ell \geq r$.*

Proof. For a G -algebra R , the components which generate R^{U+} also generate R . Now take $R = \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E} \otimes V)$ and use Theorem 4.2. \square

4.4. Proof of Theorem 1.8. Let \mathcal{E} be a toric vector bundle such that $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \otimes V)$ is a Mori dream space for all finite dimensional vector spaces V . By Corollary 4.5 we know that there is a fixed set of dominant weights $\Omega \subset \Lambda_+$ such that the corresponding Schur components generate the Cox ring $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E} \otimes V)$ independent of V . In this section we sharpen the description of these generators.

The theory of *twisted commutative algebras* [SS], and more broadly *representation stability* [SS17], [ESS19], [Sam17] provides a framework to view families of algebras indexed by an integer parameter $\ell \geq 0$ as a single object. With this kind of description it's possible to prove that bounds on presentation data for objects in the family hold independent of ℓ . The various cryptomorphic descriptions of twisted commutative algebras allow objects which superficially look quite different to be treated with similar methods. We use the following definition of twisted commutative algebra ([SS]). Let $\text{Vect}_{\mathbb{K}}$ be the category of finite dimensional \mathbb{K} -vector spaces, and let $\text{Alg}_{\mathbb{K}}$ be the category of commutative algebras over \mathbb{K} .

Definition 4.6. A twisted commutative algebra is a functor $R : \text{Vect}_{\mathbb{K}} \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathbb{K}}$.

The fact that R is a functor implies that any $R(V)$ for $V \in \text{Vect}_{\mathbb{K}}$ is a $\text{GL}(V)$ representation. We may therefore consider the isotypical decomposition of $R(V)$ as a function of V :

$$(5) \quad R(V) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda_+} M_{\lambda}(V) \otimes V_{\lambda}.$$

A twisted commutative algebra is said to be *bounded* ([SS]) if there is some r such that the λ with $M_{\lambda}(V) \neq 0$ always have less than r rows. Bounded twisted commutative algebras then have the stability properties as seen in Proposition 1.11.

We give a second proof of Theorem 1.8 along the lines of a modern treatment of Weyl's Theorem (Theorem 1.7), see e.g. [KP96, pg 73, Theorem A]. For a reductive group G , a G -representation V , and a subspace $N \subset V$, let $\langle N \rangle_G \subseteq V$ denote the subrepresentation generated by N . We say that $\langle N \rangle_G$ is the G -span of N .

Proposition 4.7. *Let $H \subseteq G$ be an inclusion of reductive groups, and suppose that $R \subseteq S$ is an inclusion of H representations such that the H action on S extends to an action by G . Moreover, suppose that every G -highest weight vector in S is in R , then if $M \subseteq S$ is a G representation, we have:*

$$M = \langle M \cap R \rangle_G$$

Proof. The inclusion $\langle M \cap R \rangle_G \subseteq M$ is clear. Let $M = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda_+} M_{\lambda} \otimes V_{\lambda}$ be the G -isotypical decomposition of M , where M_{λ} are the U_+ invariants in M of weight λ . For any $\lambda \in \Lambda_+$ we have $\langle M_{\lambda} \rangle_G = M_{\lambda} \otimes V_{\lambda}$. By assumption $M_{\lambda} \subseteq R$, so $M_{\lambda} \otimes V_{\lambda} = \langle M_{\lambda} \rangle_G \subseteq \langle M \cap R \rangle_G$. As a consequence we conclude that $M \subseteq \langle M \cap R \rangle_G$. \square

Theorem 4.8. *(Theorem 1.8) Let $\dim(V) \geq r$, then $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E} \otimes V)$ is generated by the $\text{GL}(V)$ -span of the generators of $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E} \otimes E) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E} \otimes V)$.*

Using twisted commutative algebras. Corollary 4.5 implies that the functor $V \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E} \otimes V)$ is a bounded twisted commutative algebra. The theorem now follows from [SS, Proposition 9.1.6]. \square

Using Proposition 4.7. From Lemma 4.3 we get an inclusion $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E} \otimes E) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E} \otimes V)$ such that $\langle \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E} \otimes E) \rangle_{\text{GL}(V)} = \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E} \otimes V)$. Let $F \subset \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E} \otimes E) \subset \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E} \otimes V)$ be the vector space spanned

by a \mathbb{K} -generating set of $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E} \otimes E)$. The subspace $\langle F \rangle_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}$ then generates the $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ subring $\mathbb{K}\langle F \rangle_{\mathrm{GL}(V)} \subseteq \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E} \otimes V)$. By construction, this subring is generated in the same degree as $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E} \otimes E)$. By Lemma 4.3 and Proposition 4.7 we have:

$$\mathbb{K}\langle F \rangle_{\mathrm{GL}(V)} = \langle \mathbb{K}\langle F \rangle_{\mathrm{GL}(V)} \cap \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E} \otimes E) \rangle_{\mathrm{GL}(V)} = \langle \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E} \otimes E) \rangle_{\mathrm{GL}(V)} = \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E} \otimes V)$$

□

Remark 4.9. Note that Theorem 1.3 shows that it is enough to check $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \otimes V)$ is a Mori dream space for $\dim(V) = r - 1$, but the stability of generators does not occur until one dimension more: $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \otimes E)$.

Remark 4.10. If $\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{E})$ is a Mori dream space, the functor $V \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{E} \otimes V))$ is a twisted commutative algebra which is unbounded, yet finitely generated for each V .

5. EXAMPLES

In this section we discuss the flag bundles of several families of toric vector bundles.

5.1. Rank 2. Suppose \mathcal{E} is rank 2, then a result of González (see also [GHPS12], [KMa], and [Nod]) says that $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{E})$ is a Mori dream space. It follows immediately from Theorem 1.3 that $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \otimes V)$ and $\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{E} \otimes V)$ are a Mori dream spaces for any vector space V .

Proposition 5.1. *Let \mathcal{E} be a rank 2 toric vector bundle, and let V be finite dimensional vector space, then $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E} \otimes V)$ is generated in Sym degrees 1 and 2.*

Proof. Let U be the maximal unipotent subgroup of upper triangular matrices in $\mathrm{GL}(V)$, then theorem 4.2 implies that $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E} \otimes V)^U \cong \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E})[t]$, where t is a parameter of dominant weight given by the partition $(1, 1)$ of $r = 2$. The algebra $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E})$ is always generated in degree 1 (see [KMa, Corollary 5.3]), so $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E} \otimes V)^U$ is generated by the sections of $S_{(1,0)}(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathcal{L}$ and $S_{(1,1)}(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathcal{L}$, where $\mathcal{L} \in \mathrm{Pic}(X(\Sigma))$. Using the Cauchy identities and Corollary 4.5, we see that $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E} \otimes V)$ is generated by sections of $\mathcal{E} \otimes V$ and $\mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathcal{E} \otimes V)$. □

Question 5.2. *If $\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{E})$ is a Mori dream space, is $\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{F})$ where \mathcal{F} is rank 1 or 2?*

5.2. Uniform sparse bundles. *Formal bundles* are introduced in [KMa] as the class of bundles \mathcal{E} with linear ideal $L \subset \mathbb{K}[y_1, \dots, y_s]$ and diagram $D \in \Delta(L, \Sigma)$ whose total coordinate ring $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E})$ is presented by the simplest expected relations: homogenizations of a minimal generating set of L . In particular, $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E})$ is always a complete intersection. For the following, let M be an $s \times d$ matrix of rank d such that L is generated by the rows of M . For a subset $A \subset [n]$ let M_A be the matrix obtained from M by omitting columns where the rows of the diagram D corresponding to A do not share a common minimal entry. Finally, let m_A be the rank of M_A . The following is [KMa, Proposition 5.10].

Proposition 5.3. *The bundle \mathcal{E} corresponding to $D \in \Delta(L, \Sigma)$ is formal if and only if for all $i \in A \subseteq [n]$, $1 + m_{\{i\}} < |A| + m_A$.*

This condition defines a finite polyhedral complex in $\Delta(L, \Sigma)$, see [KMa, Proposition 5.23].

The class of formal bundles contains two distinguished subclasses. First, we say a bundle \mathcal{E} with diagram D is *sparse* if each row of D has at most one non-zero entry. The class of sparse bundles contains all vector bundles of rank 2, all tangent bundles of smooth toric varieties, and more generally coincides with those bundles whose Klyachko filtrations contain at most

one step of dimension 1. The projectivizations of sparse bundles can be shown to belong to a distinguished class of Mori dream spaces called *arrangement varieties* (see [HHW19]).

Second, we say a bundle \mathcal{E} is *uniform* if the matrix M is general - ie has no vanishing minors. Not all uniform bundles are formal, but the condition in Proposition 5.3 simplifies considerably for uniform bundles. Such a bundle is formal if and only if $1 + d < |A| + n_A$ for all $i \in [A] \subseteq [n]$, where n_A is the minimum of d and the number of columns of M_A . We say a uniform bundle is of type U_r^s if the matroid corresponding to L is uniform of rank r on s elements.

If \mathcal{E} is formal and V is any vector space of dimension ℓ , then we can ask if $\mathcal{E} \otimes V$ is also formal. The following is straightforward using Proposition 5.3.

Proposition 5.4. *If \mathcal{E} is formal, then $\mathcal{E} \otimes V$ is formal if and only if for all $i \in A \subseteq [n]$, $1 + \ell m_{\{i\}} < |A| + \ell m_A$.*

We define the *formal stability* of a formal bundle to be the maximal ℓ such that $\mathcal{E} \otimes V$ is formal for V of dimension ℓ . If \mathcal{E} is given by M and D , Proposition 5.4 can compute the formal stability:

$$(6) \quad \text{fstab}(M, D) = \text{MIN}_{i \in A \subseteq [n]} \left\{ \left\lfloor \frac{|A| - 1}{m_{\{i\}} - m_A} \right\rfloor \right\} - 1$$

We compute the right hand side of (6) when \mathcal{E} is both uniform and sparse.

Theorem 5.5. *Let \mathcal{E} be a sparse U_s^r bundle with matrix M and diagram D , then $\text{fstab}(M, D) = \lfloor \frac{s-1}{s-r} \rfloor - 1$. In particular, if (r, s) satisfies $(\ell - 1)s < \ell r - 1$ (see Figure 1), then $\mathcal{E} \otimes V$ with $\dim(V) \leq \ell$ is formal, and any $\mathcal{FL}_I(\mathcal{E})$ with $|I| \leq \ell$ is a Mori dream space.*

Proof. Using Proposition 5.4, any ℓ as above must satisfy $1 + \ell(r - s) < |A| + \ell m_A$ for all $A \subseteq [n]$. This is because $m_{\{i\}} = d$ for any $i \in [n]$. Moreover, without loss of generality we can assume that the non-zero entries in the rows corresponding to A are in distinct locations, so that $m_A = \text{MIN}\{d, |A^c|\}$. We then get the inequality $\ell < \frac{s-x-1}{d-x}$ for all $1 \leq x \leq d$. This is minimal for $\frac{s-1}{s-r}$. \square

Example 5.6 (Example 5.14 from [KMa]). Let M be the 1×6 all 1's matrix, and let

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This information gives a bundle of rank 5 over \mathbb{P}^2 . The pair (M, D) satisfies the conditions of Proposition 5.3, but this is not the case for any higher sum.

Example 5.7. The point $(r, s) = (4, 6)$ in Figure 1 satisfies $2 < \frac{6-1}{6-4}$, but $3 \not< \frac{6-1}{6-4}$, this means that the sum $\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{E}$ of a uniform sparse bundle with matroid U_4^6 with itself is also formal, but $\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{E} \oplus \dots$ is not formal. For example, we can take M to be a generic 2×6 matrix, and D to be the 6×6 identity matrix, this is a point in $\Delta(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2, U_4^6)$. As a corollary of Theorem 5.5, this data defines a bundle \mathcal{E} over $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ with $\text{Gr}_2(\mathcal{E})$ a Mori dream space.

Continuing in this way, a generic $2 \times 2n$ matrix M and the $2n \times 2n$ identity matrix defines a rank $2(n - 1)$ bundle $\mathcal{E}(n)$ over $\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ with $\text{Gr}_2(\mathcal{E}(n)) \dots \text{Gr}_{n-1}(\mathcal{E}(n))$ Mori dream spaces. These bundles correspond to the circled points in Figure 1.

The case $s = r + 1$ are the sparse hypersurfaces, these bundles form an extremal family within the uniform sparse bundles. Any such bundle has M an all 1's row with $r + 1$ entries, and the associated sparse diagram D is always a point in $\Delta(U_r^{r+1}, \Sigma)$, when Σ is any fan with $r + 1$ rays. For any sparse hypersurface bundle \mathcal{E} , $\text{fstab}(M, D) = \lfloor \frac{r+1-1}{r+1-r} \rfloor - 1 = r - 1$. Theorem 1.3 and Proposition 5.4 immediately imply the following.

Corollary 5.8. *If \mathcal{E} is a sparse hypersurface bundle, then $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \otimes V)$ and $\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{E})$ are Mori dream spaces for any vector space V .*




Figure 1

6. THE TANGENT BUNDLE OF \mathbb{P}^n

In this section we describe presentations for the twisted Cox ring of the tangent bundle \mathcal{T}_n of projective space \mathbb{P}^n , and the Cox ring of its full flag bundle $\mathcal{FL}\mathcal{T}_n$.

6.1. Sums of the tangent bundle of \mathbb{P}^n . The tangent bundle \mathcal{T}_n is a sparse hypersurface bundle, where D is the $n+1 \times n+1$ identity matrix, and M is the $1 \times n+1$ all 1's matrix. By Corollary 5.8, $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{T}_n \otimes V)$, and $\mathcal{FL}\mathcal{T}_n$ are Mori dream spaces for any vector space V , along with any Grassmannian bundle $\text{Gr}_\ell(\mathcal{T}_n)$ by implication. We can extend these observations further with the next Lemma. It is a straightforward consequence of [KMa, Theorem 1.4].

Lemma 6.1. *Let \mathcal{E}_1 and \mathcal{E}_2 be vector bundles over toric varieties $Y(\Sigma_1)$ and $Y(\Sigma_2)$, respectively, and suppose that $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_1)$ and $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_2)$ are Mori dream spaces, then $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_1 \times \mathcal{E}_2)$ is a Mori dream space, where $\mathcal{E}_1 \times \mathcal{E}_2$ is the product bundle over $Y(\Sigma_1) \times Y(\Sigma_2)$.*

Corollary 6.2. *Let \mathcal{E}_1 and \mathcal{E}_2 be as above, and suppose that $\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{E}_1)$ and $\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{E}_2)$ are Mori dream spaces, then $\mathcal{FL}(\mathcal{E}_1 \times \mathcal{E}_2)$ and $\mathbb{P}((\mathcal{E}_1 \times \mathcal{E}_2) \otimes V)$ are Mori dream spaces for any V .*

Proof. We apply Lemma 6.1 to $(\mathcal{E}_1 \otimes V) \times (\mathcal{E}_2 \otimes V) \cong (\mathcal{E}_1 \times \mathcal{E}_2) \otimes V$. \square

Let $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_m)$ with $n_i > 0$, and let $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{n}}$ denote the tangent bundle of $\prod_{i=1}^m \mathbb{P}^{n_i}$.

Corollary 6.3. *For any V , $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{n}} \otimes V)$ and $\mathcal{FL}\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{n}}$ are a Mori dream spaces.*

The Cox ring $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T}_2)$ has the following presentation:

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T}_2) = \mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2, x_3, Y_1, Y_2, Y_3] / \langle x_1 Y_1 + x_2 Y_2 + x_3 Y_3 \rangle$$

The rank of \mathcal{T}_2 is 2, so by Theorem 4.5 we should expect higher degree generators and relations in the presentation of $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T}_2 \oplus \mathcal{T}_2)$. We can directly compute (using e.g. [KMa, Algorithm 5.6]) the Cox ring of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{T}_2 \oplus \mathcal{T}_2)$ to be the quotient of $\mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2, x_3, Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, Z_1, Z_2, Z_3, W]$ by the ideal:

$$I_{2,2} = \langle Y_3 Z_2 - Y_2 Z_3 - x_1 W, Y_3 Z_1 - Y_1 Z_3 + x_2 W, Y_2 Z_1 - Y_1 Z_2 - x_3 W, \\ x_1 Z_1 + x_2 Z_2 + x_3 Z_3, x_1 Y_1 + x_2 Y_2 + x_3 Y_3 \rangle.$$

After a change of indices, this ideal is recognizable as the Plücker ideal defining the Grassmannian variety $\text{Gr}_2(5) \subset \mathbb{P}^9$ in its Plücker embedding. In the grading by $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{T}_2 \oplus \mathcal{T}_2)) \cong \text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}^2) \times \mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$, $\deg(x_i) = (-1, 0)$, $\deg(Y_i) = \deg(Z_j) = (1, 1)$, and $\deg(W) = (3, 2)$. In particular, W has Sym degree 2 as in Proposition 5.1. The purpose of the rest of this section is to find the appropriate generalization of these observations. We start with the case $\mathcal{T}_n \otimes \mathbb{K}^m$ with $m < n$.

Proposition 6.4. *Let $1 \leq m < n$, then $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T}_n \otimes \mathbb{K}^m)$ has the following presentation:*

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T}_n \otimes \mathbb{K}^m) = \mathbb{K}[x_j, Y_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i \leq m, 0 \leq j \leq n] / \langle \sum x_j Y_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i \leq m \rangle$$

Proof. The bundles $\mathcal{T}_n, \mathcal{T}_n \otimes \mathbb{K}^2, \dots, \mathcal{T}_n \otimes \mathbb{K}^{n-1}$ are formal by Theorem 5.5. \square

In the grading by $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{T}_n \otimes \mathbb{K}^m)) \cong \text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}^n) \times \mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$, $\deg(x_j) = (-1, 0)$, $\deg(Y_{ij}) = (1, 1)$. By Corollary 4.5 we should expect the case $m = n$ to require an additional generator in degree n . We use [KMa, Theorem 1.4] and a close relationship with a particular *Zelevinsky quiver variety* to compute the presentation of $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T}_n \otimes \mathbb{K}^n)$. See [MS05, Chapter 17] for an introduction to Zelevinsky quiver varieties and their rank arrays. The quiver variety we need corresponds to the *rank array* \mathbf{r} :

$$\mathbf{r} = \begin{array}{ccc|c} 2 & 1 & 0 & \\ \hline & & n & 0 \\ & n+1 & n-1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 \end{array}$$

This is the rank array for the quiver:

$$\mathbb{K} \xrightarrow{\Phi_1} \mathbb{K}^{n+1} \xrightarrow{\Phi_2} \mathbb{K}^n,$$

where $\text{rank}(\Phi_1) \leq 1$, $\text{rank}(\Phi_2) \leq n-1$, and $\text{rank}(\Phi_2\Phi_1) \leq 0$. By [MS05, Theorem 17.23], the ideal:

$$I_{\mathbf{r}} = \langle \sum x_j Y_{ij}, \det Y(j) \rangle \subset \mathbb{K}[x_j, Y_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, 0 \leq j \leq n]$$

is prime and Cohen-Macaulay. Here $Y(j)$ denotes the $n \times n$ minor of the matrix $n \times n+1$ $Y = [Y_{ij}]$ obtained by forgetting the j -th column.

Following [KMa, Theorem 1.4], we start with a potential presentation of $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T}_n \otimes \mathbb{K}^n)$, given as a map Φ between polynomial rings. Letting $I = \ker(\Phi)$, in order to show that $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T}_n \otimes \mathbb{K}^n) = \text{Im}(\Phi)$ it is necessary and sufficient to show that $\langle I, x_j \rangle$ is a prime ideal for $0 \leq j \leq n$, where:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : \mathbb{K}[x_j, Y_{ij}, W] &\rightarrow \mathbb{K}[t_j^{\pm}, y_{ij}] \\ x_j &\rightarrow t_j^{-1} \\ W &\rightarrow \det[y(0)]t_0 \cdots t_n \\ Y_{i0} &\rightarrow \left(-\sum_{j=1}^n y_{ij}\right)t_0 \\ Y_{i1} &\rightarrow y_{i1}t_j \\ &\vdots \\ Y_{in} &\rightarrow y_{in}t_n \end{aligned}$$

First we must identify the kernel I .

Proposition 6.5. *The kernel of the map Φ is the ideal:*

$$\langle \sum x_j Y_{ij}, \det Y(j) - x_j W \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, 0 \leq j \leq n \rangle.$$

Proof. For now let $J = \langle \sum x_j Y_{ij}, \det Y(j) - x_j W \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, 0 \leq j \leq n \rangle$. It is straightforward to check that $J \subseteq I$. We define a partial term order δ by weighting the variables $x_j \rightarrow 0$, $Y_{ij} \rightarrow 0$, $W \rightarrow 1$. We have:

$$I_{\mathbf{r}} \subseteq \text{in}_{\delta}(J) \subseteq \text{in}_{\delta}(I)$$

The 0-locus of $\text{in}_{\delta}(I)$ has dimension equal to that of the 0-locus of I , which is $n^2 + n + 1$. This is the same as the dimension of the quiver variety defined by $I_{\mathbf{r}}$. It follows that $I_{\mathbf{r}} = \text{in}_{\delta}(J) = \text{in}_{\delta}(I)$, and $I = J$. \square

Next we must show that $\langle I, x_j \rangle$ is always prime. The fact that $\text{in}(I) = I_{\mathbf{r}}$ implies that I is a Cohen-Macaulay ideal and that $\langle I, x_i \rangle$ is also Cohen-Macaulay. It is straightforward to show that $\langle I, x_j \rangle$ is generically reduced. This implies that $\langle I, x_j \rangle$ is reduced and unmixed. We show that the corresponding variety is irreducible by arguing that it has one top-dimensional component. We require the following lemma.

Lemma 6.6. *Let $\emptyset \neq S \subseteq [n]$, and let $J_S = \langle \sum_{i \in S} Y_{ji}, \det Y(j) \mid 0 \leq j \leq n \rangle$ be the ideal of the variety F_S . The given generating set of J_S is a Gröbner basis, and $\dim(F_S) = n^2 - 1$.*

Proof. Consider the matrix of variables

$$\begin{bmatrix} Y_{10} & Y_{11} & \cdots & Y_{1n} \\ Y_{20} & Y_{21} & \cdots & Y_{2n} \\ Y_{30} & Y_{31} & \cdots & Y_{3n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ Y_{n0} & Y_{n1} & \cdots & Y_{nn} \end{bmatrix}.$$

under the term order:

$$Y_{10} \prec Y_{11} \prec \cdots \prec Y_{1n} \prec Y_{20} \prec Y_{21} \prec \cdots \prec Y_{2n} \prec Y_{30} \prec Y_{31} \prec \cdots \prec Y_{nn},$$

where the Y_{j0} element is the smallest in the row, but the ordering completes the row from left to right before moving on to the next row. Let $|S| = k$. Without loss of generality we can have these forms appear together and at the beginning of the matrix (starting in column two since we will consider deleting the first column below). Therefore, we wish to verify that the generators $f_j = \sum_{i=1}^k Y_{ji}$, $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $\det Y(j)$ form a Gröbner basis.

We verify that the generators are a Gröbner basis by computing the S-pairs. The f_j and the determinants each independently form their own respective Gröbner bases. In particular, the f_j are linear, and the minors are the usual generating set of the ideal of a determinantal variety. It remains to show that the the S-pair of one of the f_j and one $\det Y(j)$ reduces to zero.

Without loss of generality, we consider $\det Y(0)$. It is straightforward to show that the lead term $\text{LT}(\det Y(0)) = Y_{11}Y_{22}\cdots Y_{nn}$. Observe that $\text{LT}(\det Y(0))$ is disjoint from the lead term of

every f_j except for f_1 . Therefore, we only need to consider $S(f_1, \det Y(0))$:

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= S(f_1, \det Y(0)) = Y_{22}Y_{33}\dots Y_{nn}(Y_{11} + Y_{12} + \dots + Y_{1k}) - \det Y(0) \\ &= Y_{22}Y_{33}\dots Y_{nn}(Y_{12} + \dots + Y_{1k}) - (\det Y(0) - Y_{11}Y_{22}\dots Y_{nn}). \end{aligned}$$

There are $(n-1)!$ terms of the determinant with the coefficient Y_{11} , so the $\text{LT}(S_1)$ will also be one of these terms. In each of these determinant minors, the Y_{jj} term will lead, so $\text{LT}(S_1) = -Y_{11}Y_{22}Y_{33}\dots Y_{nn-1}Y_{n-1n}$. Notice $\text{LT}(f_1) | \text{LT}(S_1)$, so we have:

$$\begin{aligned} S_2 &= S_1 - (-Y_{22}\dots Y_{nn-1}Y_{n-1n})(f_1) \\ &= Y_{22}Y_{33}\dots Y_{nn}(Y_{12} + \dots + Y_{1k}) - (\det Y(0) + Y_{11}Y_{22}\dots Y_{nn}) \\ &\quad - (-Y_{22}\dots Y_{nn-1}Y_{n-1n})(Y_{11} + Y_{12} + \dots + Y_{1k}) \\ &= (Y_{22}Y_{33}\dots Y_{nn} - Y_{22}\dots Y_{nn-1}Y_{n-1n})(Y_{12} + \dots + Y_{1k}) - \det Y(0) \\ &\quad - Y_{11}Y_{22}\dots Y_{nn} + Y_{11}Y_{22}\dots Y_{nn-1}Y_{n-1n}. \end{aligned}$$

We continue in this way until we have accounted for all of the terms of the determinant that contain Y_{11} , giving:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{(n-1)!} &= (Y_{12} + Y_{13} + \dots + Y_{1k})(\det Y(0)_{1,1}) - (\det Y(0) - Y_{11}(\det Y(0)_{1,1})) \\ &= (f_1 - Y_{11})(\det Y(0)_{1,1}) - (\det Y(0) - Y_{11}(\det Y(0)_{1,1})), \end{aligned}$$

where $Y(0)_{1,1}$ is the minor of $Y(0)$ achieved from deleting the first row and first column. Then, $S_{(n-1)!}$ has no remaining terms that contain Y_{11} , so we move on to the next lowest term: Y_{12} . Notice that, per the term order, the next leading terms of the determinant will contain $Y_{12}Y_{21}$ since Y_{21} is the smallest term in the second row. In fact, the lead terms will appear in the same order as when we considered terms containing Y_{11} , just with the opposite sign and Y_{22} term replaced with Y_{21} . That is to say, $\text{LT}(S_{(n-1)!}) = -Y_{12}Y_{21}Y_{33}\dots Y_{nn}$, which is divisible by $\text{LT}(f_2) = Y_{21}$. This gives:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{((n-1)!+1)} &= S_{(n-1)!} - (-Y_{12}Y_{33}\dots Y_{nn})(f_2) \\ &= (f_1 - Y_{11})(\det Y(0)_{1,1}) - [\det Y(0) - Y_{11}(\det Y(0)_{1,1})] \\ &\quad - (-Y_{12}Y_{33}\dots Y_{nn})(Y_{21} + \dots + Y_{2k}) \\ &= (f_1 - Y_{11})(\det Y(0)_{1,1}) + (Y_{22} + \dots + Y_{2k})(Y_{12}Y_{33}\dots Y_{nk}) \\ &\quad - (\det Y(0) - Y_{11}(\det Y(0)_{1,1}) - Y_{12}Y_{21}Y_{33}\dots Y_{nn}). \end{aligned}$$

Continuing in this way, we'll get:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{2(n-1)!} &= (f_1 - Y_{11})(\det Y(0)_{1,1}) - (f_2 - Y_{21})(\det Y(0)_{1,2}) \\ &\quad - ((\det Y(0) - Y_{11}(\det Y(0)_{1,1}) + Y_{12}(\det Y(0)_{1,2})). \end{aligned}$$

At the end of each $k(n-1)!$ steps, we are pulling the cofactor $Y_{1k}(\det Y(0)_{1,k})$ off $\det Y(0)$ and adding $(f_k - \text{LT}(f_k))(\det Y(0)_{1,k})$. It follows that:

$$S_{n!} = (f_1 - Y_{11})(\det Y(0)_{1,1}) - (f_2 - Y_{21})(\det Y(0)_{2,1}) + \dots + (-1)^{n+1}(f_n - Y_{nn})(\det Y(0)_{nn}).$$

In particular:

$$S_{n!} = \det \left(\begin{bmatrix} (f_1 - Y_{11}) & Y_{12} & \dots & Y_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ (f_n - Y_{n1}) & Y_{n2} & \dots & Y_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \right) = 0.$$

as the first column is the sum of the $k-1$ columns used to create f_j , creating a linear dependence. We conclude that the collection of generators forms a Gröbner basis.

We now compute the dimension of F_S . Consider the collection $\{Y_{01} \dots Y_{nn}\}$ of $n(n+1)$ variables. We wish to determine the dimension of the initial ideal, $\text{in}(J_S)$, by determining the degree of the largest monomial, M , not divisible by any generator of $\text{in}(J_S)$. Notice that, from the f_j , $\text{in}(J_S)$ contains n degree 1 lead terms, $\{Y_{11}, \dots, Y_{1n}\}$, none of which can appear in M . However, the product of the remaining n^2 variables is still divisible by the lead terms of the determinants. In order to remove these, we consider how many variables could possibly end up in the top left corner of the $n \times n$ minor, Y . These terms come from the first two columns of our general matrix. However, the entire second column has been removed from consideration by the lead terms of the linear forms. Therefore, we consider only the first column. With n rows, there is 1 entry from the first column that could appear in the top left position, all of which appear in the subsequent lead term of the corresponding determinant. Therefore, these terms also cannot appear in M . This is all the terms that need to be removed since the lead term of all the determinants is the product of its diagonal entries, all of which contain an entry from the first two columns of the general $n \times (n+1)$ matrix. Therefore,

$$\dim(F_S) = \deg(M) = n(n+1) - (n) - 1 = n^2 - 1.$$

□

Proposition 6.7. *The ideal $\langle I, x_j \rangle$ is prime.*

Proof. It suffices to treat the case $j = 0$. The ideal $\langle I, x_0 \rangle$ is generated by $\sum_{j=1}^n x_j Y_{ij}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, the minor $\det Y(0)$, and $\det Y(j) - x_j W$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. The initial ideal $\text{in}_\delta \langle I, x_j \rangle$ contains $\langle I_{\mathbf{r}}, x_j \rangle$, and the dimensions of these varieties of these ideals coincide, so it suffices to check if $\langle I_{\mathbf{r}}, x_j \rangle$ is prime. Let V be the variety of this ideal, then there is a T^n equivariant extension $f^* : \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow \mathbb{K}[V]$. Let $\mathcal{O}(S) \subseteq \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^n$ be the orbit of T^n where $x_j \neq 0$ for $j \in S$, then the fibers of the map $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^n$ over any $\mathcal{O}(S)$ are all isomorphic. We use this to compute the dimension of $f^{-1}(\mathcal{O}(S)) = V_S$.

Starting with the smallest case $S = \emptyset$, we set all $x_j = 0$. The fiber is then the determinantal variety cut out by $\langle \det Y(j) \mid 0 \leq j \neq n \rangle$. This variety has dimension $(n-1)((n+1) + n - (n-1)) = n^2 + n - 2$. If $S \neq \emptyset$, we can consider the fiber F_S over the point p_S , where $X_j(p_S) = 1$ $j \in S$, $X_j(p_S) = 0$ $j \notin S$. We have $\dim(V_S) = \dim(F_S) + |S|$. The variety F_S is cut out by the ideal $\langle \sum_{j \in S} Y_{ij}, \det(Y(j)) \rangle$. By Lemma 6.6, $\dim(F_S)$ is $n^2 - 1$. As a consequence, $\dim(V_S) = n^2 - 1 + |S| < n^2 + n - 1 = \dim(V_{[n]})$.

We conclude that $V_{[n]}$ has strictly higher dimension than all other V_S , so the closure $\overline{V}_{[n]} \subseteq V$ is a top dimensional component. But the complement of this closure must be composed of constructible sets of strictly smaller dimension. It follows that $V = \overline{V}_{[n]}$, and that V is reduced and irreducible. \square

Now by [KMa, Theorem 1.4] we have:

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T}_n \otimes \mathbb{K}^n) = \mathbb{K}[x_j, Y_{ij}, W] / \langle \sum x_j Y_{ij}, \det Y(j) - x_j W \rangle.$$

By Theorem 1.8, $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T}_n \otimes \mathbb{K}^m)$ for $m \geq n$ is the image of the map:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_m : \mathbb{K}[x_j, Y_{ij}, W_\tau] &\rightarrow \mathbb{K}[t_j^\pm, y_{ij}] \\ x_j &\rightarrow t_j^{-1} \\ W_\tau &\rightarrow \det[y(0, \tau)] t_0 \cdots t_n \\ Y_{i0} &\rightarrow \left(-\sum_{j=1}^n y_{ij}\right) t_0 \\ Y_{i1} &\rightarrow y_{i1} t_j \\ &\vdots \\ Y_{in} &\rightarrow y_{in} t_n \end{aligned}$$

where $1 \leq i \leq m$, $0 \leq j \leq n$, and $\tau \in \Lambda^m[n] = \{S \subset [n] \mid |S| = n\}$. In the grading by $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{T}_n \otimes \mathbb{K}^m)) \cong \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$, the addition generators W_τ all have degree $(n+1, n)$. We can rephrase this by saying that there is a surjection of twisted commutative algebras:

$$\Phi_V : \text{Sym} \left(\mathbb{K}^{n+1} \oplus (\mathbb{K}^{n+1} \otimes V) \oplus \bigwedge^n V \right) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T}_n \otimes V).$$

Question 6.8. *Find a description of the functor $V \rightarrow \ker(\Phi_V)$.*

6.2. The full flag bundle $\mathcal{FL}\mathcal{T}_n$. By Theorem 1.3, the Cox ring $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{FL}\mathcal{T}_n)$ is the algebra of invariants $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T}_n \otimes \mathbb{K}^{n-1})^{U_{n-1}}$, where U_{n-1} is the group of $n-1 \times n-1$ lower-triangular matrices. The action of U_{n-1} on $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T}_n \otimes \mathbb{K}^{n-1})$ extends to an action on the presenting polynomial ring $\mathbb{K}[x_j, Y_{ij} \mid 0 \leq j \leq n, 1 \leq i \leq n-1]$, so we obtain a presentation by invariants:

$$\mathbb{K}[x_j, Y_{ij} \mid 0 \leq j \leq n, 1 \leq i \leq n-1]^{U_{n-1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{FL}\mathcal{T}_n) \rightarrow 0.$$

The algebra $\mathbb{K}[x_j, Y_{ij} \mid 0 \leq j \leq n, 1 \leq i \leq n-1]^{U_{n-1}} \subset \mathbb{K}[x_j, Y_{ij} \mid 0 \leq j \leq n, 1 \leq i \leq n-1]$ is a polynomial ring in $n+1$ variables over the Plücker algebra of minors of the matrix $[Y_{ij}]$. We present $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{FL}\mathcal{T}_n)$ as a quotient of the polynomial ring $\mathbb{K}[x_j, P_\tau, P_{0,\tau} \mid 0 \leq j \leq n, \tau \subset [n]]$. We make use of the realization of $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T}_n \otimes \mathbb{K}^{n-1})$ as a subalgebra of $\mathbb{K}[t_j, y_{ij}]$, to get that $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{FL}\mathcal{T}_n)$ is the image of the polynomial map $\Psi : \mathbb{K}[x_j, P_\tau, P_{0,\tau} \mid 0 \leq j \leq n, \tau \subset [n]] \rightarrow \mathbb{K}[t_j, y_{ij}]$, where:

$$\Psi(x_j) = t_j^{-1},$$

$$\Psi(P_\tau) = \det[y(\tau)]t^\tau,$$

$$\Psi(P_{0,\tau}) = \sum_{j=1}^n \det[y(j, \tau)]t_0 t^\tau.$$

Here $y(\tau)$ denotes the minor on the first $|\tau|$ rows and the τ columns of $[y_{ij}]$. The map Ψ factors through the quotient map from $\mathbb{K}[x_j, Y_{ij} \mid 0 \leq j \leq n, 1 \leq i \leq n-1]^{U_{n-1}}$, so the usual quadratic Plücker relations hold among the P_τ and $P_{0,\tau}$. Additionally, we have the relations $\sum_{j \notin \tau} x_j P_{j\tau} = 0$, which are consequences of the defining relations of $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T}_n \otimes \mathbb{K}^{n-1})$.

Theorem 6.9. *The ideal $\ker(\Psi)$ presenting $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{FL}\mathcal{T}_n)$ is generated by the Plücker relations among the P_τ and $P_{0,\tau}$, along with the quadratics $\sum_{j \notin \tau} x_j P_{j\tau} = 0$.*

For the proof of Theorem 6.9 we use a subduction argument [KM19, Algorithm 1.4] involving a modification of the semigroup GZ_n of Gel'fand-Zetlin patterns with n rows. A Gel'fand-Zetlin pattern $g \in GZ_n$ is an array of integers arranged in n rows, where the i -th row has $n+1-i$ entries g_{ij} . These integers satisfy additional *interlacing inequalities*: $g_{ij} \geq g_{i+1,j} \geq g_{i,j+1}$. Let GZ_n^+ be the set of patterns with $g_{1n} = 0$. It is well-known that the Cox ring $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{FL}(\mathbb{K}^n))$ has a discrete valuation \mathbf{v}_{GT} with value semigroup is GZ_n^+ . The generators of GZ_n^+ are in bijection with strict subsets $\tau \subset [n]$, and in turn, with the Plücker generators of $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{FL}(\mathbb{K}^n))$. The pattern $g(\tau)$ corresponding to τ is the unique pattern with $|\tau \cap [n-i+1]|$ 1's and $|[n-i+1] \setminus \tau|$ 0's in row i .

Proof of Theorem 6.9. We select a monomial ordering on $\mathbb{K}[t_j, y_{ij}]$ which satisfies $y_{ij} \prec t_\ell$ for all i, j, ℓ , and is diagonal on the y_{ij} . In particular, the initial form $\text{in}_\prec \det[y(\tau)]$ is the product of the diagonal terms. The following construction should be compared to the Gel'fand-Zetlin degeneration of the usual flag variety.

We identify the initial forms $\text{in}_\prec t_j^{-1}$, $\text{in}_\prec \det[y(\tau)]t^\tau$, $\text{in}_\prec \det[y(0, \tau)]t_0 t^\tau$ with certain extended Gel'fand-Zetlin patterns. The form $\text{in}_\prec x_j$ is sent to $(0, -\mathbf{e}_j) \in GZ_n \times \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$, and $\text{in}_\prec \det[y(\tau)]t^\tau$ is sent to $(g(\tau), \sum_{j \in \tau} \mathbf{e}_j) \in GZ_n \times \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$. The initial form $\text{in}_\prec \sum_{j=1}^n \det[y(j, \tau)]t_0 t^\tau$ requires some discussion. Observe that this sum can be rewritten as $\sum_{j \notin \tau} \det[y(j, \tau)]t_0 t^\tau$. The initial monomial from these minors will then be the diagonal term of the minor (ℓ, τ) where ℓ is the *first*

element of $[n]$ not in τ . We let τ^* denote $\tau \cup \{\ell\}$. Accordingly, we send $\text{in}_{\prec} \sum_{j=1}^n \det[y(j, \tau)] t_0 t^\tau$ to $(g(\tau^*), \mathbf{e}_0 + \sum_{j \in \tau} \mathbf{e}_j) \in GZ_n \times \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$.

We must compute a generating set of binomial relations on these extended Gel'fand-Zetlin patterns, then show that each relation can be lifted to an element in the ideal generated by the Plücker relations and the $\sum_{j \notin \tau} x_j P_{j\tau} = 0$. Following [KM19, Theorem 1.4], we have then shown that these relations generate $\ker(\Psi)$, and that $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{FLT}_n)$ has a full rank valuation with Khovanskii basis given by the x_j and P_τ .

To simplify notation, we let $(0, -\mathbf{e}_\ell)$ be denoted by $[-\ell]$, $(g(\tau), \sum_{j \in \tau} \mathbf{e}_j)$ be denoted by $[\tau, 0]$, and $(g(\tau^*), \mathbf{e}_0 + \sum_{j \in \tau} \mathbf{e}_j)$ be denoted by $[\tau^*, \ell]$, where ℓ is the element “replaced” by 0. Observe that $[\tau, a]$ makes sense if and only if $[a] \subset \tau$.

Now we have several natural classes of binomial relations. For any $a \in [n]$ with $[a] \subset \tau$ we have:

$$[-a][\tau, 0] = [0][\tau, a].$$

Next, for any $\tau, \eta \subset [n]$,

$$[\tau, 0][\eta, 0] = [\tau \cup \eta, 0][\tau \cap \eta, 0]$$

For any marked $[\tau, a][\eta, b]$ we can perform relations like this and there is always a compatible assignment of the markings a, b . We call relations of this type “union/intersection” relations. The first type of relation above lifts to $\sum_{j \notin \tau} x_j P_\tau = 0$, and the union/intersection lifts to a Plücker relation. Therefore, if we check that these relations suffice to generate the binomial ideal which vanishes on the initial forms, we have shown that the required relations generate $\ker(\Psi)$.

Let us suppose we have two words $A_1 \cdots A_n, B_1 \cdots B_n$ whose product maps to the same extended Gel'fand-Zetlin pattern. We must show that after applications of the above binomial relations, these words can be taken to a common word. If any element $[-a]$ corresponds to an $[a]$ not supported by a pattern elsewhere in the word, this can be read off the \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} component of the corresponding extended pattern. Moreover, any $[-a]$ for a which appear in some $(\tau, 0)$ can be turned into $[0]$ using the first relation above. The number of these elements can also be read off the extended pattern, so we may assume without loss of generality that both words do not contain any elements $[-a]$ for $a \in \{0\} \cup [n]$. Next, using the union/intersection relations, we can assume that the underlying Gel'fand-Zetlin patterns of the A_i and B_i are the same, with possibly different markings. Select a pattern on both sides, $A_1 = [\tau, a_1], B_1 = [\tau, b_1]$. If both markings are equal (including the case that they are 0), we may factor off this top element and appeal to induction. If not, say $a_1 < b_1$. We must conclude that $[a_1] \subset \tau$ and $[b_1] \subset \tau$. Moreover, there must be some other pattern $A_j = [\eta, b_1]$. Indeed, the set of markings can be deduced by comparing the total Gel'fand-Zetlin pattern of the word to its \mathbb{Z}^n component. Now we can form $[\tau, a_1][\eta, b_1] = [\tau, b_1][\eta, a_1]$ as $[a_1] \subset [b_1] \subset \eta$. We factor off the first element of both words, and once again appeal to induction. This completes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [AB08] Peter Abramenko and Kenneth S. Brown. *Buildings*, volume 248 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, New York, 2008. Theory and applications.

- [ADHL15] Ivan Arzhantsev, Ulrich Derenthal, Jürgen Hausen, and Antonio Laface. *Cox rings*, volume 144 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2015.
- [BDP16] Indranil Biswas, Arijit Dey, and Mainak Poddar. A classification of equivariant principal bundles over nonsingular toric varieties. *Internat. J. Math.*, 27(14):1650115, 16, 2016.
- [BDP18] Indranil Biswas, Arijit Dey, and Mainak Poddar. On equivariant Serre problem for principal bundles. *Internat. J. Math.*, 29(9):1850054, 7, 2018.
- [BDP20] Indranil Biswas, Arijit Dey, and Mainak Poddar. Tannakian classification of equivariant principal bundles on toric varieties. *Transform. Groups*, 25(4):1009–1035, 2020.
- [Cas18] Ana-Maria Castravet. Mori dream spaces and blow-ups. In *Algebraic geometry: Salt Lake City 2015*, volume 97 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 143–167. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2018.
- [CP] Melody Chan and Nathan Pflueger. Relative richardson varieties. arXiv:1909.12414 [math.AG].
- [CT06] Ana-Maria Castravet and Jenia Tevelev. Hilbert’s 14th problem and Cox rings. *Compos. Math.*, 142(6):1479–1498, 2006.
- [CT15] Ana-Maria Castravet and Jenia Tevelev. $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ is not a Mori dream space. *Duke Math. J.*, 164(8):1641–1667, 2015.
- [ESS19] Daniel Erman, Steven V. Sam, and Andrew Snowden. Big polynomial rings and Stillman’s conjecture. *Invent. Math.*, 218(2):413–439, 2019.
- [GHPS12] José González, Milena Hering, Sam Payne, and Hendrik Süß. Cox rings and pseudoeffective cones of projectivized toric vector bundles. *Algebra Number Theory*, 6(5):995–1017, 2012.
- [GK16] José Luis González and Kalle Karu. Some non-finitely generated Cox rings. *Compos. Math.*, 152(5):984–996, 2016.
- [Gon12] José Luis González. Projectivized rank two toric vector bundles are Mori dream spaces. *Comm. Algebra*, 40(4):1456–1465, 2012.
- [Gro97] Frank D. Grosshans. *Algebraic homogeneous spaces and invariant theory*, volume 1673 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.
- [HHW19] Jürgen Hausen, Christoff Hische, and Milena Wrobel. On torus actions of higher complexity. *Forum Math. Sigma*, 7:Paper No. e38, 81, 2019.
- [HK00] Yi Hu and Sean Keel. Mori dream spaces and GIT. *Michigan Math. J.*, 48:331–348, 2000. Dedicated to William Fulton on the occasion of his 60th birthday.
- [HMM17] Joachim Hilgert, Christopher Manon, and Johan Martens. Contraction of Hamiltonian K -spaces. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, 2017(20):6255–6309, 2017.
- [HMP10] Milena Hering, Mircea Mustață, and Sam Payne. Positivity properties of toric vector bundles. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 60(2):607–640, 2010.
- [HS10] Jürgen Hausen and Hendrik Süß. The Cox ring of an algebraic variety with torus action. *Adv. Math.*, 225(2):977–1012, 2010.
- [Kem76] George R. Kempf. Linear systems on homogeneous spaces. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 103(3):557–591, 1976.
- [Kly89] A. A. Klyachko. Equivariant bundles over toric varieties. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 53(5):1001–1039, 1135, 1989.
- [KMa] Kiumars Kaveh and Christopher Manon. Toric flat families, valuations, and tropical geometry over the semifield of piecewise linear functions. arXiv:1907.00543 [math.AG].
- [KMb] Kiumars Kaveh and Christopher Manon. Toric principal bundles, piecewise linear maps and buildings. arXiv:1806.05613 [math.AG].
- [KM19] Kiumars Kaveh and Christopher Manon. Khovanskii bases, higher rank valuations, and tropical geometry. *SIAM J. Appl. Algebra Geom.*, 3(2):292–336, 2019.
- [KP96] Hanspeter Kraft and C. Procesi. Classical invariant theory: a primer, 1996.
- [MS05] Ezra Miller and Bernd Sturmfels. *Combinatorial commutative algebra*, volume 227 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005.
- [Nod] Bernt Ivar Ustol Nodland. Some positivity results for toric vector bundles. arXiv:2002.00604 [math.AG].
- [Sam17] Steven V. Sam. Ideals of bounded rank symmetric tensors are generated in bounded degree. *Invent. Math.*, 207(1):1–21, 2017.
- [SS] Steven V. Sam and Andrew Snowden. Introduction to twisted commutative algebras. arXiv:1209.5122 [math.AC].
- [SS17] Steven V. Sam and Andrew Snowden. Gröbner methods for representations of combinatorial categories. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 30(1):159–203, 2017.

- [Wey97] Hermann Weyl. *The classical groups*. Princeton Landmarks in Mathematics. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1997. Their invariants and representations, Fifteenth printing, Princeton Paperbacks.