

# MEAN CONVERGENCE THEOREMS WITH RESPECT TO ATTRACTIVE POINTS IN A HILBERT SPACE

KOJI AOYAMA AND MASASHI TOYODA

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we show a mean convergence theorem for a mapping with an attractive point in a Hilbert space by using a quasinonexpansive extension of the mapping and a mean convergence theorem for a quasinonexpansive mapping.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The aim of this paper is to obtain a mean convergence theorem for a mapping with an attractive point in the sense of Takahashi and Takeuchi [11] in a Hilbert space. The our main result is a generalization of a mean convergence result for quasinonexpansive mappings [2, Lemma 5.1]. However, we prove it by using [2, Lemma 5.2] itself and a quasinonexpansive extension of the given mapping (Lemma 3.1).

Takahashi and Takeuchi [11] introduced the notion of an attractive point of a mapping defined on a Hilbert space, and they proved existence and mean convergence results for the point of some nonlinear mapping [11, Theorem 3.1]. Moreover, they pointed out that we can obtain existence and convergence theorems for a fixed point of the mapping from the results for an attractive point. Thus, according to Takahashi and Takeuchi [11], the study of attractive points can be considered to include that of fixed points. We know some similar results for attractive points; see, for example, [1, 7, 12, 13].

In this paper, we deal with the reverse. That is to say, we derive a result of an attractive point from a known result of a fixed point. An important tool for achieving this is a lemma with respect to the existence of a quasinonexpansive extension (Lemma 3.1).

This paper is organized as follows: In §2, we recall some definitions and lemmas related to our results. Then, in §3, we prove a mean convergence theorem for a mapping with an attractive point in a Hilbert space (Theorem 3.2) by using a mean convergence theorem for a quasinonexpansive mapping. The result is a generalization of [8, Lemma 4.2]. Finally, in §4, from our main result we deduce a mean convergence theorem for a  $\lambda$ -hybrid mapping in the sense of [2] with an attractive point.

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47J25, 47J20, 47H09.

*Key words and phrases.* Attractive point, mean convergence theorem, quasinonexpansive extension.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout the present paper,  $H$  denotes a real Hilbert space,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  the inner product of  $H$ ,  $\|\cdot\|$  the norm of  $H$ ,  $C$  a nonempty subset of  $H$ , and  $\mathbb{N}$  the set of positive integers. Strong convergence of a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $H$  to  $z \in H$  is denoted by  $x_n \rightarrow z$  and weak convergence by  $x_n \rightharpoonup z$ .

Let  $T: C \rightarrow H$  be a mapping. Then the set of fixed points of  $T$  is denoted by  $F(T)$ , that is,  $F(T) = \{z \in C: Tz = z\}$ . A point  $z \in H$  is said to be an *attractive point* of  $T$  if  $\|Tx - z\| \leq \|x - z\|$  for all  $x \in C$ ; see [11]. The set of attractive points of  $T$  is denoted by  $A(T)$ , that is,

$$A(T) = \bigcap_{x \in C} \{z \in H: \|Tx - z\| \leq \|x - z\|\}.$$

It is clear from the definition that

$$(2.1) \quad C \cap A(T) = F(T) \cap A(T).$$

Moreover, since  $\|Tx - z\| \leq \|x - z\|$  is equivalent to  $-2\langle Tx - x, z \rangle \leq \|x\|^2 - \|Tx\|^2$ , it turns out that  $A(T)$  is closed and convex.

Let  $T: C \rightarrow H$  be a mapping and  $F$  a nonempty subset of  $H$ . Then  $T$  is said to be *quasinonexpansive with respect to  $F$*  [5] if  $\|Tx - z\| \leq \|x - z\|$  for all  $x \in C$  and  $z \in F$ ;  $T$  is said to be *quasinonexpansive* if  $F(T) \neq \emptyset$  and  $\|Tx - z\| \leq \|x - z\|$  for all  $x \in C$  and  $z \in F(T)$ ;  $T$  is said to be *nonexpansive* if  $\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|$  for all  $x, y \in C$ ;  $T$  is said to be  *$\lambda$ -hybrid* if

$$\|Tx - Ty\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2 + 2(1 - \lambda) \langle x - Tx, y - Ty \rangle$$

or equivalently

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|Tx - Ty\|^2 \\ & \leq \|x - Ty\|^2 + \|Ty - y\|^2 + 2 \langle \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)Tx - Ty, Ty - y \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in C$ , where  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ; see [2–4] for more details. One can easily verify the following:

- if  $A(T) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $T$  is quasinonexpansive with respect to  $A(T)$ ;
- if  $T$  is quasinonexpansive, then  $C \cap A(T) = F(T)$ ;
- if  $T$  is a  $\lambda$ -hybrid mapping, then  $F(T) \subset A(T)$ .

Moreover, under the assumption that  $C$  is closed and convex, we know that if  $T$  is quasinonexpansive, then  $F(T)$  is closed and convex; see [6, Theorem 1].

Let  $D$  be a closed convex subset of  $H$ . It is known that, for each  $x \in H$ , there exists a unique point  $x_0 \in D$  such that

$$\|x - x_0\| = \min\{\|x - y\| : y \in D\}.$$

Such a point  $x_0$  is denoted by  $P_D(x)$  and  $P_D$  is called the *metric projection* of  $H$  onto  $D$ . It is known that the metric projection is nonexpansive; see [10] for more details.

We know the following:

**Lemma 2.1** ([9, Lemma 3.2]). *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space,  $C$  a nonempty closed convex subset of  $H$ , and  $\{x_n\}$  a sequence in  $H$  such that  $\|x_{n+1} - y\| \leq \|x_n - y\|$  for all  $y \in C$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\{P_C(x_n)\}$  converges strongly to some  $z \in C$ .*

**Lemma 2.2** ([2, Lemma 5.1]). *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space,  $C$  a nonempty closed convex subset of  $H$ ,  $T: C \rightarrow C$  a quasinonexpansive mapping,  $x \in C$ , and  $\{z_n\}$  a sequence in  $C$  defined by  $z_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n T^{k-1}x$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $T^0$  is the identity mapping on  $H$ . Then the sequence  $\{P_{F(T)}T^n x\}$  converges strongly, and moreover, if every weak cluster point of  $\{z_n\}$  belongs to  $F(T)$ , then  $\{z_n\}$  converges weakly to the strong limit of  $\{P_{F(T)}T^n x\}$ .*

We also know the following:

**Lemma 2.3** ([11, Lemma 2.2]). *Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Hilbert space  $H$ ,  $T: C \rightarrow C$  a mapping, and  $z$  an attractive point of  $T$ . Then  $P_C(z) \in F(T)$ .*

Using Lemma 2.3, we obtain the following:

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Hilbert space  $H$  and  $T: C \rightarrow C$  a quasinonexpansive mapping. Then  $P_{F(T)}(x) = P_{A(T)}(x)$  for all  $x \in C$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in C$  be fixed. Since  $P_{A(T)}(x) \in A(T)$ , Lemma 2.3 implies that  $P_C P_{A(T)}(x) \in F(T)$ . Hence we have

$$\|P_{F(T)}(x) - x\| \leq \|P_C P_{A(T)}(x) - x\|.$$

Since  $x = P_C(x)$  and  $P_C$  is nonexpansive, it follows that

$$\|P_C P_{A(T)}(x) - x\| = \|P_C P_{A(T)}(x) - P_C(x)\| \leq \|P_{A(T)}(x) - x\|.$$

As a result, we have  $\|P_{F(T)}(x) - x\| \leq \|P_{A(T)}(x) - x\|$ . Taking into account  $C \cap A(T) = F(T)$ , we have  $P_{F(T)}(x) \in A(T)$ . Therefore we conclude that  $P_{F(T)}(x) = P_{A(T)}(x)$ .  $\square$

### 3. MEAN CONVERGENCE THEOREM

In this section, using a mean convergence result for a quasinonexpansive mapping (Lemma 2.2), we obtain a mean convergence theorem with respect to attractive points (Theorem 3.2).

We first prove that a mapping with an attractive point has a quasinonexpansive extension such that the set of fixed points is equal to that of attractive points.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space,  $C$  a nonempty subset of  $H$ ,  $T: C \rightarrow H$  a mapping with an attractive point, and  $\tilde{T}: H \rightarrow H$  a mapping defined by*

$$(3.1) \quad \tilde{T}x = \begin{cases} Tx, & x \in [C \setminus F(T)] \cup [F(T) \cap A(T)]; \\ P_{A(T)}(x), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $A(T) = F(\tilde{T})$  and  $\tilde{T}$  is quasinonexpansive (with respect to  $A(T)$ ).

*Proof.* Set  $D = [C \setminus F(T)] \cup [F(T) \cap A(T)]$ . We first show  $A(T) \subset F(\tilde{T})$ . Let  $z \in A(T)$ . Suppose that  $z \in D$ . Taking into account (2.1), we know that  $z \in F(T)$ . Thus we have  $\tilde{T}z = Tz = z$ , and hence  $z \in F(\tilde{T})$ . On the other hand, suppose that  $z \notin D$ . Since  $F(P_{A(T)}) = A(T)$ , it follows that  $\tilde{T}z = P_{A(T)}(z) = z$ , and hence  $z \in F(\tilde{T})$ . Therefore,  $A(T) \subset F(\tilde{T})$ .

We next show  $A(T) \supset F(\tilde{T})$ . Let  $z \in F(\tilde{T})$ . Suppose that  $z \in D$ . Then  $z = \tilde{T}z = Tz$ . Thus  $z \in F(T)$ , and hence  $z \in F(T) \cap A(T) \subset A(T)$ . On the other hand, suppose that  $z \notin D$ . Since  $F(P_{A(T)}) = A(T)$ , it follows that  $z = \tilde{T}z = P_{A(T)}(z)$ , and hence  $z \in A(T)$ . Therefore,  $A(T) \supset F(\tilde{T})$ .

We finally show that  $\tilde{T}$  is quasinonexpansive with respect to  $A(T)$ . Let  $x \in H$  and  $z \in A(T)$ . Suppose that  $x \in D$ . Since  $x \in C$  and  $z \in A(T)$ , we have  $\|\tilde{T}x - z\| = \|Tx - z\| \leq \|x - z\|$ . On the other hand, suppose that  $x \notin D$ . Since  $F(P_{A(T)}) = A(T)$  and  $P_{A(T)}$  is nonexpansive, we have

$$\|\tilde{T}x - z\| = \|P_{A(T)}(x) - P_{A(T)}(z)\| \leq \|x - z\|.$$

Therefore,  $\tilde{T}$  is quasinonexpansive with respect to  $A(T)$ .  $\square$

Using Lemmas 2.1, 2.2, and 3.1, we obtain the following theorem:

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space,  $C$  a nonempty subset of  $H$ ,  $T: C \rightarrow C$  a mapping with an attractive point,  $x \in C$ , and  $\{z_n\}$  a sequence in  $C$  defined by*

$$(3.2) \quad z_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n T^{k-1}x$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $T^0$  is the identity mapping on  $C$ . Then the sequence  $\{P_{A(T)}T^n x\}$  converges strongly, and moreover, if every weak cluster point of  $\{z_n\}$  belongs to  $A(T)$ , then  $\{z_n\}$  converges weakly to the strong limit of  $\{P_{A(T)}T^n x\}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $u \in A(T)$ . Then we see that

$$\|T^{n+1}x - u\| \leq \|T^n x - u\|$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus Lemma 2.1 shows that  $\{P_{A(T)}T^n x\}$  converges strongly.

We next show the moreover part. We assume that every weak cluster point of  $\{z_n\}$  belongs to  $A(T)$  and set  $D = [C \setminus F(T)] \cup [F(T) \cap A(T)]$ . We consider two cases: (i) Suppose that  $x \in D$ . Let  $\tilde{T}: H \rightarrow H$  be a mapping defined by (3.1) and  $\{\tilde{z}_n\}$  a sequence in  $H$  defined by

$$\tilde{z}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \tilde{T}^{k-1}x$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $\tilde{T}^0$  is the identity mapping on  $H$ . Then Lemma 3.1 implies that  $A(T) = F(\tilde{T})$  and  $\tilde{T}$  is quasinonexpansive with respect to  $A(T)$ . Thus

we deduce from Lemma 2.2 that  $\{\tilde{z}_n\}$  converges weakly to the strong limit of  $\{P_{F(\tilde{T})}\tilde{T}^n x\}$ . It is clear from the definition of  $\tilde{T}$  that  $\tilde{T}x = Tx$ . Hence  $\tilde{z}_n = z_n$  and  $P_{F(\tilde{T})}\tilde{T}^n x = P_{A(T)}T^n x$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore we get the conclusion. (ii) Suppose that  $x \notin D$ . Taking into account

$$C \setminus \{[C \setminus F(T)] \cup [F(T) \cap A(T)]\} = F(T) \setminus A(T),$$

we know that  $x \in F(T) \setminus A(T)$ . Since  $x$  is a fixed point of  $T$ , it follows that

$$z_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n T^{k-1}x = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n x = x$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence  $\lim_n z_n = x \notin A(T)$ , which contradicts the assumption that every weak cluster point of  $\{z_n\}$  belongs to  $A(T)$ .  $\square$

*Remark 3.3.* Taking into account Lemma 2.4, we know that Theorem 3.2 implies Lemma 2.2.

*Remark 3.4.* Using Theorem 3.2 and [8, Theorem 3.3], we obtain [8, Lemma 4.2].

#### 4. APPLICATION

In this section, using Theorem 3.2, we show a mean convergence theorem for a  $\lambda$ -hybrid mapping with an attractive point.

From the proof of [2, Theorem 4.1], we know the following fact. For the sake of completeness, we give the proof.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space,  $C$  a nonempty subset of  $H$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $T: C \rightarrow C$  a  $\lambda$ -hybrid mapping,  $x \in C$ , and  $\{z_n\}$  a sequence in  $C$  defined by (3.2) for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $T^0$  is the identity mapping on  $C$ . Suppose that  $\{T^n x\}$  is bounded. Then every weak cluster point of  $\{z_n\}$  is an attractive point of  $T$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $y \in C$  be given. Since  $T$  is  $\lambda$ -hybrid, it follows from (2.2) that

$$0 \leq \left\| T^{k-1}x - Ty \right\|^2 - \left\| T^k x - Ty \right\|^2 + \|Ty - y\|^2 + 2 \left\langle \lambda T^{k-1}x + (1 - \lambda)T^k x - Ty, Ty - y \right\rangle$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Summing these inequalities from  $k = 1$  to  $n$  and dividing by  $n$ , we have

$$(4.1) \quad 0 \leq \frac{1}{n} \left( \|x - Ty\|^2 - \|T^n x - Ty\|^2 \right) + \|Ty - y\|^2 + 2 \left\langle \lambda z_n + (1 - \lambda) \left( \frac{n+1}{n} z_{n+1} - \frac{x}{n} \right) - Ty, Ty - y \right\rangle.$$

Let  $z$  be a weak cluster point of  $\{z_n\}$ . Then there exists a subsequence  $\{z_{n_i}\}$  of  $\{z_n\}$  such that  $z_{n_i} \rightharpoonup z$ . Thus it follows from (4.1) that

$$(4.2) \quad 0 \leq \frac{1}{n_i} \|x - Ty\|^2 + \|Ty - y\|^2 + 2 \left\langle \lambda z_{n_i} + (1 - \lambda) \left( \frac{n_i + 1}{n_i} z_{n_i+1} - \frac{x}{n_i} \right) - Ty, Ty - y \right\rangle$$

for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since

$$z_{n_i+1} = \frac{n_i}{n_i + 1} z_{n_i} + \frac{1}{n_i + 1} T^{n_i} x$$

and  $\{T^{n_i} x\}$  is bounded,  $\{z_{n_i+1}\}$  also converges weakly to  $z$ . Taking the limit  $i \rightarrow \infty$  in (4.2), we have

$$0 \leq \|Ty - y\|^2 + 2 \langle \lambda z + (1 - \lambda)z - Ty, Ty - y \rangle = \|y - z\|^2 - \|Ty - z\|^2.$$

This shows that  $\|Ty - z\| \leq \|y - z\|$  for all  $y \in C$ , and hence every weak cluster point of  $\{z_n\}$  is an attractive point of  $T$ .  $\square$

Using Lemma 4.1, we obtain the following mean convergence theorem for a  $\lambda$ -hybrid mapping as a corollary of Theorem 3.2.

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space,  $C$  a nonempty subset of  $H$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $T: C \rightarrow C$  a  $\lambda$ -hybrid mapping with an attractive point,  $x \in C$ , and  $\{z_n\}$  a sequence in  $C$  defined by (3.2) for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $T^0$  is the identity mapping on  $C$ . Then  $\{z_n\}$  converges weakly to the strong limit of  $\{P_{A(T)} T^n x\}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $z \in A(T)$ . Then it turns out that

$$\|T^n x\| \leq \|T^n x - z\| + \|z\| \leq \|x - z\| + \|z\|$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus  $\{T^n x\}$  is bounded. Since  $T$  is  $\lambda$ -hybrid, it follows from Lemma 4.1 that every weak cluster point of  $\{z_n\}$  belongs to  $A(T)$ . Therefore Theorem 3.2 implies the conclusion.  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, a Joint Usage/Research Center located in Kyoto University.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] S. Akashi and W. Takahashi, *Strong convergence theorem for nonexpansive mappings on star-shaped sets in Hilbert spaces*, Appl. Math. Comput. **219** (2012), no. 4, 2035–2040. MR2983907
- [2] K. Aoyama, S. Iemoto, F. Kohsaka, and W. Takahashi, *Fixed point and ergodic theorems for  $\lambda$ -hybrid mappings in Hilbert spaces*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. **11** (2010), no. 2, 335–343. MR2682871 (2011i:47069)
- [3] K. Aoyama and F. Kohsaka, *Fixed point and mean convergence theorems for a family of  $\lambda$ -hybrid mappings*, J. Nonlinear Anal. Optim. **2** (2011), no. 1, 87–95. MR2981792
- [4] ———, *Uniform mean convergence theorems for hybrid mappings in Hilbert spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. (2012), 2012:193, 13. MR3017202

- [5] ———, *Strongly quasinonexpansive mappings, III*, Linear Nonlinear Anal. **6** (2020), no. 1, 1–12.
- [6] W. G. Dotson Jr., *Fixed points of quasi-nonexpansive mappings*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. **13** (1972), 167–170. MR0298499 (45 #7551)
- [7] S.-M. Guu and W. Takahashi, *Existence and approximation of attractive points of the widely more generalized hybrid mappings in Hilbert spaces*, Abstr. Appl. Anal. (2013), Art. ID 904164, 10. MR3073499
- [8] L.-J. Lin and W. Takahashi, *Attractive point theorems and ergodic theorems for nonlinear mappings in Hilbert spaces*, Taiwanese J. Math. **16** (2012), no. 5, 1763–1779. MR2970683
- [9] W. Takahashi and M. Toyoda, *Weak convergence theorems for nonexpansive mappings and monotone mappings*, J. Optim. Theory Appl. **118** (2003), no. 2, 417–428. MR2006529
- [10] W. Takahashi, *Introduction to nonlinear and convex analysis*, Yokohama Publishers, Yokohama, 2009. MR2548424 (2010j:49001)
- [11] W. Takahashi and Y. Takeuchi, *Nonlinear ergodic theorem without convexity for generalized hybrid mappings in a Hilbert space*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. **12** (2011), no. 2, 399–406. MR2858695
- [12] W. Takahashi, N.-C. Wong, and J.-C. Yao, *Attractive point and weak convergence theorems for new generalized hybrid mappings in Hilbert spaces*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. **13** (2012), no. 4, 745–757. MR3015118
- [13] ———, *Attractive points and Halpern-type strong convergence theorems in Hilbert spaces*, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. **17** (2015), no. 2, 301–311. MR3397117

(K. Aoyama) GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SOCIAL SCIENCES, CHIBA UNIVERSITY, 1-33,  
YAYOI-CHO, INAGE-KU, CHIBA-SHI, CHIBA 263-8522, JAPAN  
*Email address:* aoyama@bm.skr.jp

(M. Toyoda) DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION SCIENCE, TOHO UNIVERSITY, MIYAMA,  
FUNABASHI, CHIBA 274-8510, JAPAN  
*Email address:* mss-toyoda@is.sci.toho-u.ac.jp