

On non-monomial APcN permutations over finite fields of even characteristic

Jaeseong Jeong¹, Namhun Koo², Soonhak Kwon¹

Email: wotjd012321@naver.com, nhkoo@ewha.ac.kr, shkwon@skku.edu

¹Applied Algebra and Optimization Research Center, Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Korea

²Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Ewha Womans University, Seoul, Korea

Abstract

Recently, a new concept called the c -differential uniformity was proposed by Ellingsen et al. (2020), which allows to simplify some types of differential cryptanalysis. Since then, finding functions having low c -differential uniformity has attracted the attention of many researchers. However it seems that, at this moment, there are not many non-monomial permutations having low c -differential uniformity. In this paper, we present new classes of (almost) perfect c -nonlinear non-monomial permutations over a binary field.

Keywords. c -Differential Uniformity, Differential Uniformity, Permutation

Mathematics Subject Classification(2020) 94A60, 06E30

1 Introduction

In block ciphers, S-boxes with good cryptographic properties play important roles to resist several attacks. Recently, Ellingsen et. al. [8] proposed a new cryptographic tool, c -Difference Distribution Table (and the corresponding c -differential uniformity), which allows to simplify a new type differential cryptanalysis proposed in [3]. In [8], the c -differential uniformities of known PN functions and the multiplicative inverse function were investigated. Independently about the same time, Bartoli and Timpanella [2] introduced the notion of β -planar functions which are in fact PcN functions.

After the notion of c -differential uniformity was proposed, finding functions with low c -differential uniformity has attracted the attention of many researchers. In [9], the authors proposed PcN power functions for $c = -1$ in odd characteristic. Several PcN power functions and functions with low c -differential uniformity were studied in [13, 22, 24]. In [23], c -differential uniformity of ternary APN power functions over a cubic field is studied. Many classes of PcN and APcN functions were proposed in [21] by using the cyclotomic technique, switching method and AGW criterion. Very recently, several classes of PcN and APcN functions were proposed in [12] by using generalized Dillon's switching method. In [5], the authors studied c -differential uniformity of some piecewise defined functions.

For a binary field, a new class of APcN power functions was proposed in [19]. Also, in [10], the authors studied c -differential and boomerang uniformities of two classes of permutation polynomials over a binary field. In Table 1, we summarize the known PcN and APcN permutations over a binary field. In Table 2, we give a list (though may not be complete) of PcN and APcN functions over general finite fields, which are constructed from other PcN or APcN functions.

Since permutations are very useful in constructing invertible S-boxes, it is desirable to find permutations having good cryptographic properties such as low c -differential uniformity. However it seems that there are not many non-monomial APcN permutations over a binary field, except for the ones in [10, 21]. In this paper, we present three classes of non-monomial APcN permutations and a class of non-monomial PcN permutations over \mathbb{F}_{2^n} .

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give some notations and preliminaries. In Section 3, we present a new class of cubic APcN permutations. In Section 4, we show that the compositional inverse of the APcN permutation proposed in Section 3 is also APcN. We also study the c -differential uniformity of the involution proposed in Section 3 and its generalization. Finally, in Section 5, we give the concluding remarks.

Table 1: The known PcN and APcN functions over \mathbb{F}_{2^n}

$F(x)$	$c\Delta_F$	Permutation	Conditions	References
x^{2^n-2}	2	○	$\text{Tr}(c) = \text{Tr}(1/c) = 1, c \notin \mathbb{F}_2$	[8]
$x + \text{Tr}(\alpha x + x^{2^i+1})$	≤ 2	○	$\text{Tr}(\alpha) = 1, n > 1$ is odd, $\text{gcd}(n, i) = 1, c \notin \mathbb{F}_2$	[10]
x^{2^i+1}	1	○	$\frac{n}{d} > 1$ is odd, $d = \text{gcd}(n, i), c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$	[13]
$x^{q^3+q^2+q-1}$	2	○	$q = 2^i, n = q^4, c \in \mu_{q^2+1} \setminus \{1\}$	[19]
$(x + \text{Tr}(x^{2^i+1}))^{2^i+1}$	2	○	$d = \text{gcd}(n, i)$ is even, $\frac{n}{d} > 1$ is odd, $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$	Theorem 3.3
$x^{\frac{2^{in/d+1}}{2^i+1}} + \text{Tr}(x)$	2	○	$d = \text{gcd}(n, i)$ is even, $\frac{n}{d} > 1$ is odd, $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$	Theorem 4.7
$x + \text{Tr}(\alpha x + x^{2^i+1})$	1	○	$\text{Tr}(\alpha + 1) = 0, d = \text{gcd}(n, 2i),$ $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$	Theorem 4.9
	2	○	$\text{Tr}(\alpha + 1) = 0, d = \text{gcd}(n, 2i),$ $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \mathbb{F}_{2^d}$	
$(x + \text{Tr}(\alpha x + x^{2^i+1}))^{2^i+1}$	2	○	$\text{Tr}(\alpha + 1) = 0, d = \text{gcd}(n, i),$ $\frac{n}{d} > 1$ is odd, $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$	Theorem 4.12

– $\text{Tr}(\alpha + 1) = 0$ means either that $\text{Tr}(\alpha) = 1$ and n is odd or that $\text{Tr}(\alpha) = 0$ and n is even.

2 Preliminaries

Let \mathbb{F}_{2^n} denote the finite field with 2^n elements and let $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}^\times$ denote the multiplicative subgroup of \mathbb{F}_{2^n} . For positive integers d, m, n such that $n = dm$, we let $\text{Tr}_d^n : \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^d}$ and $\text{Nr}_d^n : \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^d}$

Table 2: A list of (A)PcN functions over general finite fields, which are constructed from other (A)PcN functions

$F(x)$	${}_c\Delta_F$	References
$b\phi(x) + \text{Tr}_q^{q^n}(g(x^q + x))$ $b\phi(x) + \text{Nr}_q^{q^n}(g(x^q + x))$	2	[1]
$L(x)(\sum_{i=1}^{l-1} L(x)^{(q-1)^i/l} + u)$	≤ 2	[21]
$f(x)(\text{Tr}_1^q(x) + 1) + f(x + \gamma) \text{Tr}_1^q(x)$	≤ 2	[21]
$L(x) + L(\gamma) \text{Tr}_q^{q^n}(x)^{q-1}$	1	[21]
$u\phi(x) + g(\text{Tr}_q^{q^n}(x))^q + g(\text{Tr}_q^{q^n}(x))$	1	[21]
$u(x^q + x) + g(\text{Tr}_q^{q^n}(x))$	1	[21]
$u\phi(x) + g(\text{Tr}_q^{q^n}(x))$	1	[21]
$u\phi(x) + \sum_{i=1}^t g(x^q + x)^{(q^n-1)/d_i}$	2	[21]
$f(x) + u \text{Tr}(vf(x))$	1	[12]
$L_1(x) + L_1(\gamma) \text{Tr}(L_2(x))$	1	[12]
$L(x) + \prod_{i=1}^s (\text{Tr}(x^{2^{k_i}+1} + \delta_i))^{g_i}$	≤ 2	[12]
$L(x) + \prod_{i=1}^s (\alpha_i \text{Tr}_m^{q^n}(x^{2^{k_i}+1} + \delta_i))^{g_i}$	≤ 2	[12]
$L(x) + u \sum_{i=1}^t (\text{Tr}_m^{q^n}(x)^{k_i} + \delta_i)^{s_i}$	1	[12]

denote the relative field trace and norm,

$$\text{Tr}_d^n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} x^{2^{dk}} = x + x^{2^d} + x^{2^{2d}} + \cdots + x^{2^{(m-1)d}},$$

$$\text{Nr}_d^n(x) = \prod_{k=0}^{m-1} x^{2^{dk}} = x^{1+2^d+2^{2d}+\cdots+2^{(m-1)d}}.$$

When $d = 1$ and n is clear from the context, we shall simply write Tr (resp. Nr) instead of Tr_d^n (resp. Nr_d^n). Also, when $i = d\ell$ such that $\gcd(n, i) = d$ (i.e., when $\gcd(m, \ell) = 1$), then it is easy to verify

$$\text{Tr}_d^n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} x^{2^{ik}} = x + x^{2^i} + x^{2^{2i}} + \cdots + x^{2^{(m-1)i}}, \quad (1)$$

$$\text{Nr}_d^n(x) = \prod_{k=0}^{m-1} x^{2^{ik}} = x^{1+2^i+2^{2i}+\cdots+2^{(m-1)i}}, \quad (2)$$

because there is unique $0 \leq j < m$ with $j \equiv \ell \pmod{m}$ such that $2^j \equiv 2^{d\ell} \pmod{2^n - 1}$.

Two functions $F, F' : \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ are said to be *extended affine equivalent* if there exist affine permutations $A_1, A_2 : \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ and an affine function $A : \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ satisfying $F' = A_1 \circ F \circ A_2 + A$. Also, F and F' are said to be *CCZ-equivalent* (introduced in [7]) if there exists an affine permutation \mathcal{L} on $\mathbb{F}_{2^n} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ such that $\mathcal{L}(G_F) = G_{F'}$ where G_F is the *graph of the function* F , i.e., $G_F = \{(x, F(x)) : x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}\} \subset \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$. Let $\#S$ denote the cardinality of the set S .

Definition 2.1. ([8]) *Let $F : \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ be a function and $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$. For $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$, we define*

${}_cD_aF(x) := F(x+a) + cF(x)$ and ${}_c\Delta_F(a,b) := \#\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} : {}_cD_aF(x) = b\}$. We call the quantity

$${}_c\Delta_F := \max \#\{{}_c\Delta_F(a,b) : a,b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}, \text{ and } a \neq 0 \text{ if } c = 1\}$$

the c -differential uniformity of F . A function F is called a perfect c -nonlinear (PcN) function and an almost perfect c -nonlinear (APcN) function if ${}_c\Delta_F = 1$ and ${}_c\Delta_F = 2$, respectively.

Remark 2.2. Recently, in an independent work, Bartoli and Timpanella [2] gave a generalization of planar functions as follows: For $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$, a function $F : \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ is called a β -planar function in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} if $F(x+\gamma) + \beta F(x)$ is a permutation on \mathbb{F}_{2^n} for all $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$. Therefore, β -planar functions are $P_\beta N$ functions.

We introduce a useful lemma.

Proposition 2.3. ([20]) Let $f(x) = x^3 + ax + b$ where $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$, $b \neq 0$ with even n . Then $f(x)$ is irreducible over \mathbb{F}_{2^n} if and only if $\text{Tr}(a^3/b^2) = 0$ and none of the roots of $x^2 + bx + a^3 = 0$ is a cube in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} .

3 A class of non-monomial APcN permutations on \mathbb{F}_{2^n}

Throughout this section, we are mainly interested in the case where n is even and $\gcd(2i, n) = \gcd(i, n) < n$. Equivalently, we let n, d, m, i, ℓ be positive integers such that

$$n = dm, \quad i = d\ell, \quad \gcd(m, 2\ell) = 1, \quad n > d, \quad n = \text{even}. \quad (3)$$

We will prove Theorem 3.3 using the above assumption. However, it should be mentioned that some of the lemmas and propositions that we will discuss later may not need such strong assumption, and we will explicitly state the necessary conditions in each case. Let G be a function defined as

$$G(x) = \begin{cases} x^{2^i+1} & \text{if } x \in T \\ (x+1)^{2^i+1} & \text{if } x \notin T, \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

where $T = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} : \text{Tr}(x^{2^i+1}) = 0\}$. The function G can also be written as

$$G(x) = (x + \text{Tr}(x^{2^i+1}))^{2^i+1} = x^{2^i+1} + (x^{2^i} + x + 1) \text{Tr}(x^{2^i+1}). \quad (5)$$

From the above form, we can verify that G is a cubic function. Indeed it holds that $G(x) = x^{2^i+1} + (x^{2^i} + x + 1) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x^{2^{i+j}+2^j}$, which has the term $x^{2^{i+1}+2^i+2}$. We will prove that, under the conditions in (3), G is an APcN permutation on \mathbb{F}_{2^n} for $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$.

Remark 3.1. $G(x)$ was already introduced by Budaghyan et al. [4] to derive the first example of EA-inequivalent but CCZ-equivalent function to x^{2^i+1} . However, c -differential uniformity is not preserved under EA-equivalence. While we are assuming that d is even in Theorem 3.3, when $d = 1$ (i.e., $\gcd(n, i) = 1$), the result in [4] implies that G is an APN function.

Remark 3.2. When $n = d = \text{even}$ (i.e., $m = 1$), then since $n|i = d\ell$, it holds that $2^i \equiv 1 \pmod{2^n - 1}$ and $x^{2^i+1} = x^1 \cdot x^1 = x^2$ over \mathbb{F}_{2^n} . Then one has $G(x) = (x + \text{Tr}(x^{2^i+1}))^{2^i+1} = (x + \text{Tr}(x^2))^2 = x^2 + \text{Tr}(x)$ and the result of Wu et al. [21, Theorem 4] implies that G is PcN for all $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$. Indeed if we choose $f(x) = x^2$ and $\gamma = 1$ in [21, Theorem 4], then $F(x) = f(x)(\text{Tr}(x) + 1) + f(x+1)\text{Tr}(x) = x^2(\text{Tr}(x) + 1) + (x+1)^2\text{Tr}(x) = x^2 + \text{Tr}(x) = G(x)$ is PcN where the fact $f(x) = x^2$ is PcN for all $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$ and $\text{Tr}(\gamma) = \text{Tr}(1) = 0$ is used.

Now we introduce the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3. Suppose that n is even with $d = \gcd(2i, n) = \gcd(i, n) < n$. Let G be the function defined in (5) and let $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$. Then G is an APcN permutation on \mathbb{F}_{2^n} .

It will turn out that, without much difficulty, the c -differential uniformity of G is bounded above by two and we show ${}_c\Delta_G \leq 2$ in Section 3.1. Proving that G is *not* PcN takes more effort and we show ${}_c\Delta_G = 2$ in Section 3.2.

3.1 The proof of ${}_c\Delta_G \leq 2$

We present some useful lemmas which will be used in both Section 3 and Section 4.

Lemma 3.4. The followings hold:

- (i) If $\gcd(2i, n) = \gcd(i, n)$, then $g(x) = x^{2^i+1}$ is a permutation on \mathbb{F}_{2^n} .
- (ii) If n is even, then $h(x) = x + \text{Tr}(x^{2^i+1})$ is an involution on \mathbb{F}_{2^n} . (i.e., $h \circ h(x) = x$ for all $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$.)
- (iii) Let $\mathcal{L}(x) = x^{2^i} + x^{2^{-i}}$ be a linear transformation on \mathbb{F}_{2^n} . If $\gcd(2i, n) = d$, then $\ker \mathcal{L} = \mathbb{F}_{2^d}$.
- (iv) Let $\gcd(2i, n) = \gcd(i, n) = d$ with $n = dm$ and $i = d\ell$. Then, for all $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$, one has

$$x^{\frac{2}{2^i+1}} = x^{\frac{2^{im}+1}{2^i+1}} = x^{2^{(m-1)i} - 2^{(m-2)i} + \dots - 2^i + 1}.$$

Proof. For (i), one has

$$\gcd(2^i + 1, 2^n - 1) = \frac{2^{\gcd(2i, n)} - 1}{2^{\gcd(i, n)} - 1} = \frac{2^d - 1}{2^d - 1} = 1,$$

where $d = \gcd(2i, n) = \gcd(i, n)$. For (ii), by expanding $h(h(x))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h(h(x)) &= x + \text{Tr}(x^{2^i+1}) + \text{Tr}\left((x + \text{Tr}(x^{2^i+1}))^{2^i+1}\right) \\ &= x + \text{Tr}(x^{2^i+1}) + \text{Tr}\left(x^{2^i+1} + x^{2^i}\text{Tr}(x^{2^i+1}) + x\text{Tr}(x^{2^i+1})^{2^i} + \text{Tr}(x^{2^i+1})^{2^i+1}\right) \\ &= x \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

because $\text{Tr}\left(x^{2^i}\text{Tr}(x^{2^i+1}) + x\text{Tr}(x^{2^i+1})^{2^i}\right) = \text{Tr}\left(x^{2^i}\text{Tr}(x^{2^i+1})\right) + \text{Tr}\left(x^{2^i}\text{Tr}(x^{2^i+1})\right) = 0$ and (since n is even) $\text{Tr}\left(\text{Tr}(x^{2^i+1})^{2^i+1}\right) = \text{Tr}(x^{2^i+1})^{2^i+1}\text{Tr}(1) = 0$. For (iii), the equation $x^{2^i} = x^{2^{-i}}$ is equivalent to $x^{2^{2i}} = x$ so that $\ker \mathcal{L} = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} : \mathcal{L}(x) = 0\} = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} : x^{2^{2i}} = x\} = \mathbb{F}_{2^{\gcd(2i, n)}} = \mathbb{F}_{2^d}$. Finally,

for (iv), it holds that m is odd because $1 = \gcd(2\ell, m)$ with $d = \gcd(2i, n) = \gcd(i, n)$. Therefore one has

$$(2^i + 1)(2^{(m-1)i} - 2^{(m-2)i} + \dots - 2^i + 1) = 2^{mi} + 1 = 2^{dm\ell} + 1 = 2^{n\ell} + 1,$$

which implies that $x^{(2^i+1)(2^{(m-1)i}-2^{(m-2)i}+\dots-2^i+1)} = x^{2^{n\ell}+1} = x^2$. Since the $\frac{1}{2^i+1}$ -th power is well-defined by the claim (i), we are done. \square

Corollary 3.5. *If n is even with $\gcd(2i, n) = \gcd(i, n)$, then G is a permutation on \mathbb{F}_{2^n} .*

Proof. $G(x) = (x + \text{Tr}(x^{2^i+1}))^{2^i+1} = g(h(x))$, where both $g(x) = x^{2^i+1}$ and $h(x) = x + \text{Tr}(x^{2^i+1})$ are permutations on \mathbb{F}_{2^n} by Lemma 3.4. \square

Lemma 3.6. *Let $a, x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$. Then one has $\text{Tr}(a^{2^i}x + ax^{2^i}) = \text{Tr}(\mathcal{L}(a)x) = \text{Tr}(\mathcal{L}(x)a)$. Moreover,*

(i) *Let $a \in T$. Then $x + a \in T$ if and only if $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{L}(a)x) = \text{Tr}(x^{2^i+1})$.*

(ii) *Let $a \notin T$. Then $x + a \in T$ if and only if $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{L}(a)x) = \text{Tr}(x^{2^i+1}) + 1$.*

Proof. One has

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(a^{2^i}x + ax^{2^i}) &= \text{Tr}(a^{2^i}x) + \text{Tr}(ax^{2^i}) = \text{Tr}(a^{2^i}x) + \text{Tr}(a^{2^{-i}}x) = \text{Tr}(\mathcal{L}(a)x) \\ &= \text{Tr}(ax^{2^{-i}}) + \text{Tr}(ax^{2^i}) = \text{Tr}(\mathcal{L}(x)a). \end{aligned}$$

By expanding $(x + a)^{2^i+1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}\left((x + a)^{2^i+1}\right) &= \text{Tr}(x^{2^i+1}) + \text{Tr}(a^{2^i+1}) + \text{Tr}(a^{2^i}x) + \text{Tr}(ax^{2^i}) \\ &= \text{Tr}(x^{2^i+1}) + \text{Tr}(a^{2^i+1}) + \text{Tr}(\mathcal{L}(a)x). \end{aligned}$$

Assuming that $a \in T$, we can obtain $\text{Tr}((x + a)^{2^i+1}) = \text{Tr}(x^{2^i+1}) + \text{Tr}(\mathcal{L}(a)x)$. Therefore $x + a \in T$ if and only if $0 = \text{Tr}((x + a)^{2^i+1}) - \text{Tr}(x^{2^i+1}) = \text{Tr}(\mathcal{L}(a)x)$, so we prove the first claim. The proof of the second claim follows similarly. \square

Proposition 3.7. [13] *Let $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$ with $d = \gcd(2i, n) = \gcd(i, n) < n$, and let $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$. For $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \in \mathbb{F}_2$, the equation*

$$(x + a + \epsilon_1)^{2^i+1} + c(x + \epsilon_2)^{2^i+1} = b$$

has a unique solution

$$x = \frac{a + \epsilon_1 + c\epsilon_2}{c + 1} + \left(\frac{c}{(c + 1)^2} \left(a^{2^i+1} + (a^{2^i} + a + 1)(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2) \right) + \frac{b}{c + 1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2^i+1}}.$$

Proof. This is in fact Theorem 4 of [13]. However since we actively use the equation (8) later, we provide a proof for better understanding. By expanding left-hand side of the given equation, one has

$$(x + a + \epsilon_1)^{2^i+1} + c(x + \epsilon_2)^{2^i+1} = (c + 1)x^{2^i+1} + a_1x^{2^i} + a_2x + a_3 \quad (7)$$

where $a_1 = a + \epsilon_1 + c\epsilon_2$, $a_2 = a^{2^i} + \epsilon_1 + c\epsilon_2$ and $a_3 = a^{2^i+1} + \epsilon_1 a^{2^i} + \epsilon_1 a + \epsilon_1 + c\epsilon_2$. Since $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d} \subset \mathbb{F}_{2^i}$, one has $c^{2^i} = c$, $a_1^{2^i} = a_2$ and the right-hand side of (7) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} (c+1)x^{2^i+1} + a_1x^{2^i} + a_2x + a_3 &= (c+1)x^{2^i+1} + a_1x^{2^i} + a_1^{2^i}x + a_3 \\ &= (c+1) \left(x + \frac{a_1}{c+1} \right)^{2^i+1} + \frac{a_1^{2^i+1}}{c+1} + a_3. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the original equation $(x + a + \epsilon_1)^{2^i+1} + c(x + \epsilon_2)^{2^i+1} = b$ can be written as

$$\left(x + \frac{a + \epsilon_1 + c\epsilon_2}{c+1} \right)^{2^i+1} = \frac{c}{(c+1)^2} \left(a^{2^i+1} + (a^{2^i} + a + 1)(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2) \right) + \frac{b}{c+1}. \quad (8)$$

□

To compute ${}_c\Delta_G(a, b)$, we divide the set $\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} : {}_cD_aG(x) = b\}$ into four disjoint subsets as follow:

$$\begin{aligned} \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} : {}_cD_aG(x) = b\} &= \{x \in T : {}_cD_aG(x) = b\} \cup \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus T : {}_cD_aG(x) = b\} \\ &= \bigcup_{\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}_2} \{x \in T : {}_cD_aG(x) = b, \text{Tr}(\mathcal{L}(a)x) = \epsilon\} \\ &\quad \cup \bigcup_{\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}_2} \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus T : {}_cD_aG(x) = b, \text{Tr}(\mathcal{L}(a)x) = \epsilon\}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Therefore it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} {}_c\Delta_G(a, b) &= \sum_{\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}_2} \#\{x \in T : G(x+a) + cG(x) = b, \text{Tr}(\mathcal{L}(a)x) = \epsilon\} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}_2} \#\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus T : G(x+a) + cG(x) = b, \text{Tr}(\mathcal{L}(a)x) = \epsilon\}. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

From the construction of G and by Lemma 3.6, the Equation (10) implies the following:

- For $a \in T$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} {}_c\Delta_G(a, b) &= \#\{x \in T : (x+a)^{2^i+1} + cx^{2^i+1} = b, \text{Tr}(\mathcal{L}(a)x) = 0\} \\ &\quad + \#\{x \in T : (x+a+1)^{2^i+1} + cx^{2^i+1} = b, \text{Tr}(\mathcal{L}(a)x) = 1\} \\ &\quad + \#\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus T : (x+a+1)^{2^i+1} + c(x+1)^{2^i+1} = b, \text{Tr}(\mathcal{L}(a)x) = 0\} \\ &\quad + \#\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus T : (x+a)^{2^i+1} + c(x+1)^{2^i+1} = b, \text{Tr}(\mathcal{L}(a)x) = 1\}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

- For $a \notin T$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} {}_c\Delta_G(a, b) &= \#\{x \in T : (x+a+1)^{2^i+1} + cx^{2^i+1} = b, \text{Tr}(\mathcal{L}(a)x) = 0\} \\ &\quad + \#\{x \in T : (x+a)^{2^i+1} + cx^{2^i+1} = b, \text{Tr}(\mathcal{L}(a)x) = 1\} \\ &\quad + \#\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus T : (x+a)^{2^i+1} + c(x+1)^{2^i+1} = b, \text{Tr}(\mathcal{L}(a)x) = 0\} \\ &\quad + \#\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus T : (x+a+1)^{2^i+1} + c(x+1)^{2^i+1} = b, \text{Tr}(\mathcal{L}(a)x) = 1\}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Let the sets $S_{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2}(a, b)$ and $S'_{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2}(a, b)$ be defined as

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2}(a, b) &:= \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} : (x + a + \epsilon_1)^{2^i+1} + c(x + \epsilon_2)^{2^i+1} = b, \\ &\quad \text{Tr}(\mathcal{L}(a)x) = \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2, \text{Tr}(x^{2^i+1}) = \epsilon_2\}, \\ S'_{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2}(a, b) &:= \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} : (x + a + \epsilon_1)^{2^i+1} + c(x + \epsilon_2)^{2^i+1} = b, \\ &\quad \text{Tr}(\mathcal{L}(a)x) = \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + 1, \text{Tr}(x^{2^i+1}) = \epsilon_2\}, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}, c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$ and $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \in \mathbb{F}_2$. Then (11) and (12) can be simply written as

$${}_c\Delta_G(a, b) = \begin{cases} \sum_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \in \mathbb{F}_2} \#S_{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2}(a, b) & \text{for } a \in T \\ \sum_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \in \mathbb{F}_2} \#S'_{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2}(a, b) & \text{for } a \notin T. \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

By Proposition 3.7, it holds that $\#S_{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2}(a, b) \leq 1$ for $a \in T$ and $\#S'_{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2}(a, b) \leq 1$ for $a \notin T$ so that one has ${}_c\Delta_G(a, b) \leq 4$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$. By further assuming that n is even, the following lemma implies that $\sum_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \in \mathbb{F}_2} \#S_{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2}(a, b) \leq 2$ (resp., $\sum_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \in \mathbb{F}_2} \#S'_{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2}(a, b) \leq 2$) when $a \in T$ (resp., when $a \notin T$) so that one has ${}_c\Delta_G \leq 2$.

Lemma 3.8. *Let $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$ with $d = \gcd(2i, n) = \gcd(i, n) < n$ and let n be even. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ and let $S_{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2}(a, b), S'_{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2}(a, b)$ be defined as in (13). Then the followings are satisfied:*

- (i) *At least one of $S_{00}(a, b)$ and $S_{11}(a, b)$ is the empty set.*
- (ii) *At least one of $S_{01}(a, b)$ and $S_{10}(a, b)$ is the empty set.*
- (iii) *At least one of $S'_{00}(a, b)$ and $S'_{11}(a, b)$ is the empty set.*
- (iv) *At least one of $S'_{01}(a, b)$ and $S'_{10}(a, b)$ is the empty set.*

Proof. We only prove the first claim, and the rest of the claims can be proved in a similar manner. It is obvious that x_0 is a solution of $(x + a)^{2^i+1} + cx^{2^i+1} = b$ if and only if $x_0 + 1$ is a solution of $(x + a + 1)^{2^i+1} + c(x + 1)^{2^i+1} = b$. By Proposition 3.7, the both equations have unique solutions, and $x_0 \in S_{00}(a, b)$ implies that $x_0 + 1$ is a unique solution of $(x + a + 1)^{2^i+1} + c(x + 1)^{2^i+1} = b$. Since n is even, it holds that $x_0 \in T$ if and only if $x_0 + 1 \in T$, which means that $S_{11}(a, b) = \phi$ and vice versa. \square

3.2 The proof of ${}_c\Delta_G = 2$

Lemma 3.8 combined with the equation (14) shows that ${}_c\Delta_G \leq 2$ for any $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$. To show that G is APcN (i.e., ${}_c\Delta_G = 2$), we need to prove that, for a given c , there exist $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ such that ${}_c\Delta_G(a, b) = 2$.

Lemma 3.9. *Suppose that $n = dm$ is even with $d = \gcd(2i, n) = \gcd(i, n) < n$. Let $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$ and $w \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^\times$. Considering \mathbb{F}_{2^n} as an m -dimensional vector space over \mathbb{F}_{2^d} , one has the followings.*

- (i) *If $m > 3$ with $3 \nmid m$, then $\left\{ \frac{1}{c}, \frac{1}{w^{2^i+1}}, w + w^{2^i} \right\}$ is linearly independent over \mathbb{F}_{2^d} for $w \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \mathbb{F}_{2^d}$.*

(ii) If $m > 3$ with $3 \mid m$, then $\left\{\frac{1}{c}, \frac{1}{w^{2^i+1}}, w + w^{2^i}\right\}$ is linearly independent over \mathbb{F}_{2^d} for $w \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \mathbb{F}_{2^{3d}}$.

(iii) If $m = 3$, then $\left\{\frac{1}{c}, \frac{1}{w^{2^i+1}}, w + w^{2^i}\right\}$ is linearly dependent over \mathbb{F}_{2^d} . More precisely,

$$w + w^{2^i} = c \operatorname{Tr}_d^n(w) \cdot \frac{1}{c} + \operatorname{Nr}_d^n(w) \cdot \frac{1}{w^{2^i+1}}.$$

Proof. For (i) and (ii), suppose that

$$\frac{a_1}{c} + \frac{a_2}{w^{2^i+1}} + a_3(w + w^{2^i}) = 0 \quad (15)$$

for some $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d}$. Letting $A = \frac{a_2}{w^{2^i+1}} + a_3(w + w^{2^i}) = \frac{a_1}{c} \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d} \subset \mathbb{F}_{2^i}$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= A^{2^i} + A = \frac{a_2}{w^{2^{2i}+2^i}} + a_3(w^{2^i} + w^{2^{2i}}) + \frac{a_2}{w^{2^i+1}} + a_3(w + w^{2^i}) \\ &= \frac{a_2 + a_2 w^{2^{2i}-1}}{w^{2^{2i}+2^i}} + a_3(w + w^{2^i}) \\ &= \frac{w^{2^{2i}-1} + 1}{w^{2^{2i}+2^i}} \left(a_2 + a_3 w^{2^{2i}+2^i+1} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Since $w \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \mathbb{F}_{2^d}$ and $\gcd(n, 2i) = d$, it holds that $w^{2^{2i}-1} + 1 \neq 0$, which implies that $a_2 + a_3 w^{2^{2i}+2^i+1} = 0$. When $m > 3$ and $3 \nmid m$, one gets $(w^{2^{2i}+2^i+1})^{2^i-1} = w^{2^{3i}-1} \neq 1$ and so that $w^{2^{2i}+2^i+1} \notin \mathbb{F}_{2^d}^\times$. Therefore $a_2 + a_3 w^{2^{2i}+2^i+1} = 0$ implies that $a_3 = a_2 = 0$ and consequently $a_1 = 0$, which proves (i). For the assertion (ii), using $3 \mid m > 3$ and $w \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \mathbb{F}_{2^{3d}}$, one gets $w^{2^{3i}-1} \neq 1$ and $w^{2^{2i}+2^i+1} \notin \mathbb{F}_{2^d}^\times$ in a similar manner, and therefore $a_3 = a_2 = a_1 = 0$.

For (iii), suppose that $m = 3$. By the equations (1) and (2), one has $\operatorname{Tr}_d^n(x) = x + x^{2^i} + x^{2^{2i}}$ and $\operatorname{Nr}_d^n(x) = x^{1+2^i+2^{2i}}$. Therefore it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} w + w^{2^i} &= w + w^{2^i} + w^{2^{2i}} + w^{2^{2i}} = w + w^{2^i} + w^{2^{2i}} + \frac{w^{1+2^i+2^{2i}}}{w^{1+2^i}} \\ &= \operatorname{Tr}_d^n(w) + \frac{\operatorname{Nr}_d^n(w)}{w^{1+2^i}} \\ &= c \operatorname{Tr}_d^n(w) \cdot \frac{1}{c} + \operatorname{Nr}_d^n(w) \cdot \frac{1}{w^{2^i+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the coefficients $c \operatorname{Tr}_d^n(w), \operatorname{Nr}_d^n(w)$ are in \mathbb{F}_{2^d} . □

Lemma 3.10. *Let $m = 3$ and d be even such that $n = 3d$. Let $H = \{x^3 : x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d}^\times\}$. Then the followings hold:*

(i) *For any $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d}^\times \setminus H$, one has $\mathbb{F}_{2^d}^\times = \zeta H + \zeta^2 H \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\zeta h_1 + \zeta^2 h_2 : h_1, h_2 \in H\}$.*

(ii) *For any $c' \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$, there exists $w \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \mathbb{F}_{2^d}$ such that $\operatorname{Tr}_d^n(w) = 0$ and $\operatorname{Nr}_d^n(w) = c'$.*

Proof. Claim (i) seems to be a folklore result and we provide a proof in Appendix A. Now, by the claim (i), for a given $c' \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$, it holds that $c' \in \zeta H + \zeta^2 H$ where $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d} \setminus H$. There exist $h_1, h_2 \in H$ such that $c' = \zeta h_1 + \zeta^2 h_2$ where ζh_1 and $\zeta^2 h_2$ are not cubes in \mathbb{F}_{2^d} . Since $\zeta^3 h_1 h_2$ is a cube, there exists $\tilde{c} \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d}$ such that $\tilde{c}^3 = \zeta^3 h_1 h_2$. Then we have the following factorization in $\mathbb{F}_{2^d}[x]$

$$x^2 + c'x + \tilde{c}^3 = (x + \zeta h_1)(x + \zeta^2 h_2),$$

which implies that the cubic $x^3 + \tilde{c}x + c'$ is irreducible over \mathbb{F}_{2^d} by Proposition 2.3. Since $m = 3$, there exists $w \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \mathbb{F}_{2^d}$ such that $x^3 + \tilde{c}x + c' = (x+w)(x+w^{2^i})(x+w^{2^{2i}}) = x^3 + \text{Tr}_d^n(w)x^2 + \tilde{c}x + \text{Nr}_d^n(w)$, which completes the proof of the claim (ii). \square

Lemma 3.11. *Let $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$ with $d = \gcd(2i, n) = \gcd(i, n) < n$ and let $n = dm$ be even. Then, one can choose $w, z \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ satisfying all of the following trace conditions:*

$$(i) \text{Tr}_d^n\left(\frac{z}{c}\right) = 1, \quad (ii) \text{Tr}_d^n\left(\frac{z}{w^{2^i+1}}\right) = 1, \quad (iii) \text{Tr}\left(\frac{z(w+w^{2^i})}{c(c+1)}\right) = 1.$$

Proof. Let $\beta_1 = \frac{1}{c}$, $\beta_2 = \frac{1}{w^{2^i+1}}$ and $\beta_3 = w + w^{2^i}$. We shall consider two cases: $m > 3$ and $m = 3$.

Case 1 : $m > 3$. By Lemma 3.9, for any $w \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \mathbb{F}_{2^{3d}}$, $\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3\}$ is a linearly independent subset over \mathbb{F}_{2^d} . Then one can find $\beta_4, \dots, \beta_m \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ such that $\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m\}$ is a basis of \mathbb{F}_{2^n} over \mathbb{F}_{2^d} . Also, there is a unique dual basis $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m\}$ (See [11]) for \mathbb{F}_{2^n} over \mathbb{F}_{2^d} such that

$$\text{Tr}_d^n(\beta_i \gamma_j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Choosing any $c' \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d}$ satisfying $\text{Tr}_1^d\left(\frac{c'}{c(c+1)}\right) = 1$ and letting $z = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + c'\gamma_3$, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}_d^n\left(\frac{z}{c}\right) &= \text{Tr}_d^n(\beta_1(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + c'\gamma_3)) = 1, \\ \text{Tr}_d^n\left(\frac{z}{w^{2^i+1}}\right) &= \text{Tr}_d^n(\beta_2(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + c'\gamma_3)) = 1, \\ \text{Tr}\left(\frac{z(w+w^{2^i})}{c(c+1)}\right) &= \text{Tr}\left(\frac{\beta_3(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + c'\gamma_3)}{c(c+1)}\right) = \text{Tr}_1^d\left(\text{Tr}_d^n\left(\frac{\beta_3(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + c'\gamma_3)}{c(c+1)}\right)\right) = \text{Tr}_1^d\left(\frac{c'}{c(c+1)}\right) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2 : $m = 3$. Choose any $c' \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d}$ such that $\text{Tr}_1^d\left(\frac{c'}{c(c+1)}\right) = 1$. Using Lemma 3.10, one can also find $w \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \mathbb{F}_{2^d}$ satisfying $\text{Tr}_d^n(w) = 0$ and $\text{Nr}_d^n(w) = c'$. By Lemma 3.9, it holds that

$$\beta_3 = c \text{Tr}_d^n(w)\beta_1 + \text{Nr}_d^n(w)\beta_2 = c'\beta_2.$$

Since $w \notin \mathbb{F}_{2^d}$, $\{\beta_1, \beta_2\}$ is linearly independent over \mathbb{F}_{2^d} . Thus one can find $\beta'_3 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ such that $\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta'_3\}$ is a basis of \mathbb{F}_{2^n} over \mathbb{F}_{2^d} . Letting $z = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2$ where $\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3\}$ is a unique dual basis of $\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta'_3\}$, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}_d^n\left(\frac{z}{c}\right) &= \text{Tr}_d^n(\beta_1(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)) = 1, \\ \text{Tr}_d^n\left(\frac{z}{w^{2^i+1}}\right) &= \text{Tr}_d^n(\beta_2(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)) = 1, \\ \text{Tr}\left(\frac{z(w+w^{2^i})}{c(c+1)}\right) &= \text{Tr}\left(\frac{\beta_3(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)}{c(c+1)}\right) = \text{Tr}_1^d\left(\text{Tr}_d^n\left(\frac{c'\beta_2(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)}{c(c+1)}\right)\right) = \text{Tr}_1^d\left(\frac{c'}{c(c+1)}\right) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

□

Let

$$f_a(x) = (x+a)^{2^i+1} + cx^{2^i+1} \quad (17)$$

where $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$ and $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$. Recall that Proposition 3.7 implies that f_a is bijective on \mathbb{F}_{2^n} . Therefore, for a given $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$, there exist unique b and y in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} satisfying

$$f_a(x) = b = f_{a+1}(y). \quad (18)$$

We show that one can choose $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ satisfying (18) and the following trace condition, which play an important role in the proof of Theorem 3.3.

Proposition 3.12. *Let $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$ with $d = \gcd(2i, n) = \gcd(i, n) < n$ and let $n = dm$ be even. Then, there exist $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ and $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ such that*

$$f_a(x) = f_{a+1}(y) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Tr} \left((c+1)(x^{2^i+1} + y^{2^i+1}) \right) = 1.$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.11, one can find $w, z \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ satisfying

$$\text{Tr}_d^n \left(\frac{z}{c} \right) = 1, \quad \text{Tr}_d^n \left(\frac{z}{w^{2^i+1}} \right) = 1, \quad \text{Tr} \left(\frac{z(w+w^{2^i})}{c(c+1)} \right) = 1.$$

Since m is odd, it holds that $\text{Tr}_d^n \left(\frac{z}{c} + 1 \right) = \text{Tr}_d^n \left(\frac{z}{w^{2^i+1}} + 1 \right) = 0$ and, by Hilbert Theorem 90, there exist $a, X \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \mathbb{F}_{2^d}$ satisfying

$$\frac{z}{c} = a^{2^i} + a + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{z}{w^{2^i+1}} = X^{2^i} + X + 1.$$

Letting $\theta = wX$ and $\tau = \theta + w$, one has

$$z = \theta^{2^i} w + \theta w^{2^i} + w^{2^i+1} = \theta^{2^i+1} + \tau^{2^i+1} \quad \text{and} \quad w = \theta + \tau. \quad (19)$$

Letting $b = \frac{\theta^{2^i+1} + c a^{2^i+1}}{c+1}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \theta^{2^i+1} &= (c+1)b + c a^{2^i+1}, \\ \tau^{2^i+1} &= \theta^{2^i+1} + z = (c+1)b + c a^{2^i+1} + c(a^{2^i} + a + 1) = (c+1)b + c(a+1)^{2^i+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Therefore, letting $x = \frac{a+\theta}{c+1}$ and $y = \frac{a+1+\tau}{c+1}$, we have the following equations

$$\begin{aligned} \left(x + \frac{a}{c+1} \right)^{2^i+1} &= \frac{\theta^{2^i+1}}{(c+1)^{2^i+1}} = \frac{c a^{2^i+1} + (c+1)b}{(c+1)^2}, \\ \left(y + \frac{a+1}{c+1} \right)^{2^i+1} &= \frac{\tau^{2^i+1}}{(c+1)^{2^i+1}} = \frac{c(a+1)^{2^i+1} + (c+1)b}{(c+1)^2}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $f_a(x) = b = f_{a+1}(y)$ by Proposition 3.7. Finally, using the property $\text{Tr}_d^n(u^{2^i} + u) = 0$ for all $u \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ repeatedly,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Tr} \left((c+1)(x^{2^i+1} + y^{2^i+1}) \right) &= \text{Tr}_1^d \left(\text{Tr}_d^n \left(\frac{(a+\theta)^{2^i+1} + (a+1+\tau)^{2^i+1}}{c+1} \right) \right) \\
&= \text{Tr}_1^d \left(\frac{1}{c+1} \text{Tr}_d^n \left((a+\theta)^{2^i+1} + (a+1+\tau)^{2^i+1} \right) \right) \\
&= \text{Tr}_1^d \left(\frac{1}{c+1} \text{Tr}_d^n \left(a^{2^i}(\theta+\tau) + a(\theta+\tau)^{2^i} + a^{2^i} + a + \theta^{2^i+1} + \tau^{2^i+1} + \tau^{2^i} + \tau + 1 \right) \right) \\
&= \text{Tr}_1^d \left(\frac{1}{c+1} \text{Tr}_d^n \left(a^{2^i}w + aw^{2^i} + z + 1 \right) \right) \quad (\because \text{by (19)}) \\
&= \text{Tr}_1^d \left(\frac{1}{c+1} \text{Tr}_d^n \left((a^{2^i} + a)(w + w^{2^i}) + z + 1 \right) \right) \\
&= \text{Tr}_1^d \left(\frac{1}{c+1} \text{Tr}_d^n \left(\frac{z}{c}(w + w^{2^i}) + z + 1 \right) \right) \\
&= \text{Tr}_1^d \left(\frac{1}{c+1} \text{Tr}_d^n \left(\frac{z}{c}(w + w^{2^i}) \right) + 1 \right) \quad (\because m \text{ is odd and } \text{Tr}_d^n(z+1) = c+1.) \\
&= \text{Tr} \left(\frac{z}{c(c+1)}(w + w^{2^i}) \right) = 1. \quad (\because d \text{ is even and by Lemma 3.11.})
\end{aligned}$$

□

Example 3.13.

1. Let $n = 10$, $d = 2$, $m = 5$ and $i = 2$. We choose the field $\mathbb{F}_{2^n} = \mathbb{F}_2[x]/\langle x^{10} + x^6 + x^5 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1 \rangle$ and a primitive root $\xi \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$, $c = \xi^{341} \in \mathbb{F}_4 \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$ and $c' = \xi^{682}$. Note that $\text{Tr}_1^d \left(\frac{c'}{c(c+1)} \right) = \text{Tr}_1^d(\xi^{682}) = 1$. Let $w = \xi \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \mathbb{F}_{2^d}$ and $\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3\} = \{1/c, 1/w^{2^i+1}, w + w^{2^i}\} = \{\xi^{682}, \xi^{1018}, \xi^{746}\}$. Then $\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3\}$ can be extended to a basis B for \mathbb{F}_{2^n} with its unique dual basis B^* :

$$B = \{\xi^{682}, \xi^{1018}, \xi^{746}, \xi^1, \xi^2\}, \quad B^* = \{\xi^{341}, \xi^{684}, \xi^{41}, \xi^{405}, \xi^{349}\}.$$

By Lemma 3.11, for $w = \xi$ and $z = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + c'\gamma_3 = \xi^{341} + \xi^{684} + \xi^{682} \cdot \xi^{41} = \xi^{916}$, it holds that

$$(i) \text{Tr}_d^n \left(\frac{z}{c} \right) = \text{Tr}_d^n(\xi^{575}) = 1; \quad (ii) \text{Tr}_d^n \left(\frac{z}{w^{2^i+1}} \right) = \text{Tr}_d^n(\xi^{911}) = 1; \quad (iii) \text{Tr} \left(\frac{z(w + w^{2^i})}{c(c+1)} \right) = \text{Tr}(\xi^{639}) = 1.$$

We can choose any $a \in \{\xi^{334}, \xi^{357}, \xi^{375}, \xi^{981}\}$ and $X \in \{\xi^{345}, \xi^{501}, \xi^{595}, \xi^{861}\}$ satisfying $z/c = a^{2^i} + a + 1$ and $z/w^{2^i+1} = X^{2^i} + X + 1$. We now pick a pair $(a, X) = (\xi^{334}, \xi^{345})$. Following the proof of Proposition 3.12, we get $\theta = wX = \xi^{346}$ and $\tau = \theta + w = \xi^{502}$. Therefore we have

$$x = \frac{a+\theta}{c+1} = \xi^{586}, \quad y = \frac{a+1+\tau}{c+1} = \xi^{718}, \quad b = \frac{\theta^{2^i+1} + ca^{2^i+1}}{c+1} = \xi^{303},$$

which satisfy $f_a(x) = b = f_{a+1}(y)$ and $\text{Tr} \left((c+1)(x^{2^i+1} + y^{2^i+1}) \right) = \text{Tr}(\xi^{807}) = 1$.

2. Let $n = 6$, $d = 2$, $m = 3$ and $i = 2$. We choose the field $\mathbb{F}_{2^6} = \mathbb{F}_2[x]/\langle x^6 + x^4 + x^3 + x + 1 \rangle$ and a primitive root $\xi \in \mathbb{F}_{2^6}$, $c = \xi^{21} \in \mathbb{F}_4 \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$ and $c' = \xi^{42}$. Then $\text{Tr}_d^n(w) = 0$ and $\text{Nr}_d^n(w) = c'$ if and only if $w \in \{\xi^{14}, \xi^{35}, \xi^{56}\}$. We now choose $w = \xi^{14}$ and $\{\beta_1, \beta_2\} = \{1/c, 1/w^{2^i+1}\} = \{\xi^{42}, \xi^{56}\}$. Then $\{\beta_1, \beta_2\}$ can be extended to a basis B for \mathbb{F}_{2^n} with its unique dual basis B^* :

$$B = \{\xi^{42}, \xi^{56}, \xi^2\}, \quad B^* = \{\xi^{37}, \xi^7, \xi^{14}\}.$$

By Lemma 3.11, for $w = \xi^{14}$ and $z = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 = \xi^{37} + \xi^7 = \xi^{12}$, it holds that

$$(i) \text{Tr}_d^n\left(\frac{z}{c}\right) = \text{Tr}_d^n(\xi^{54}) = 1; \quad (ii) \text{Tr}_d^n\left(\frac{z}{w^{2^i+1}}\right) = \text{Tr}_d^n(\xi^5) = 1; \quad (iii) \text{Tr}\left(\frac{z(w + w^{2^i})}{c(c+1)}\right) = \text{Tr}(\xi^{47}) = 1.$$

Similarly to the previous example, we can choose any $a \in \{\xi^9, \xi^{27}, \xi^{47}, \xi^{61}\}$ and $X \in \{\xi^3, \xi^{13}, \xi^{20}, \xi^{57}\}$. We now pick a pair $(a, X) = (\xi^9, \xi^3)$. We also get $\theta = wX = \xi^{17}$ and $\tau = \theta + w = \xi^{27}$. Therefore we get then

$$x = \frac{a + \theta}{c + 1} = \xi^{37}, \quad y = \frac{a + 1 + \tau}{c + 1} = 0, \quad b = \frac{\theta^{2^i+1} + ca^{2^i+1}}{c + 1} = \xi^9,$$

which satisfy $f_a(x) = b = f_{a+1}(y)$ and $\text{Tr}\left((c+1)(x^{2^i+1} + y^{2^i+1})\right) = \text{Tr}(\xi^{38}) = 1$.

The following proposition shows that there exist $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ such that ${}_c\Delta_G(a, b) \geq 2$.

Proposition 3.14. *Let G be the function defined in (5). Let $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$ with $d = \gcd(2i, n) = \gcd(i, n) < n$ and let n be even. Then the followings hold:*

(i) *If $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d}$, then ${}_c\Delta_G(a, b) = 1$ for all $b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$.*

(ii) *There exists $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \mathbb{F}_{2^d}$, $b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ such that ${}_c\Delta_G(a, b) \geq 2$.*

Proof. Since $h(x) = x + \text{Tr}(x^{2^i+1})$ defined in Lemma 3.4 is an involution, the image set of ${}_cD_aG$ is exactly equal to that of $({}_cD_aG) \circ h$. Therefore, to determine whether G is PcN or APcN, it suffices to consider the image of the map $({}_cD_aG) \circ h$. Since $G(x) = h(x)^{2^i+1}$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} ({}_cD_aG) \circ h(x) &= G(h(x) + a) + cG(h(x)) \\ &= \{h(h(x) + a)\}^{2^i+1} + c\{h(h(x))\}^{2^i+1} = \{h(h(x) + a)\}^{2^i+1} + cx^{2^i+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Now, the set \mathbb{F}_{2^n} can be written as a disjoint union

$$\mathbb{F}_{2^n} = V_a \cup W_a, \quad (22)$$

where

$$V_a = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} : \text{Tr}(\mathcal{L}(a)x) = \text{Tr}(a^{2^i+1})\} \quad \text{and} \quad W_a = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} : \text{Tr}(\mathcal{L}(a)x) = \text{Tr}(a^{2^i+1}) + 1\}. \quad (23)$$

Recall that $f_a(x) = (x + a)^{2^i+1} + cx^{2^i+1}$ is bijective for any $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ and $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$. For $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}_2$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} ({}_cD_{a+\epsilon}G \circ h)(x) = f_a(x) &\iff \{h(h(x) + a + \epsilon)\}^{2^i+1} = (x + a)^{2^i+1} \quad (\text{by (21)}) \\ &\iff h(h(x) + a + \epsilon) = x + a \quad (\text{by Lemma 3.4(i)}) \\ &\iff h(x + a) + h(x) = a + \epsilon \quad (h \text{ is an involution}) \\ &\iff \text{Tr}(\mathcal{L}(a)x) = \text{Tr}(a^{2^i+1}) + \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

In a similar way (or by replacing a with $a + 1$ and ϵ with $\epsilon + 1$), one has

$$({}_cD_{a+\epsilon}G \circ h)(x) = f_{a+1}(x) \iff h(x + a + 1) + h(x) = a + \epsilon \iff \text{Tr}(\mathcal{L}(a)x) = \text{Tr}(a^{2^i+1}) + 1 + \epsilon.$$

Consequently, one has

$$\begin{aligned} V_a &= \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} : ({}_cD_aG \circ h)(x) = f_a(x)\} = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} : ({}_cD_{a+1}G \circ h)(x) = f_{a+1}(x)\}, \\ W_a &= \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} : ({}_cD_aG \circ h)(x) = f_{a+1}(x)\} = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} : ({}_cD_{a+1}G \circ h)(x) = f_a(x)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we give a proof of the claim (i). For any $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d}$, it holds that $\mathcal{L}(a) = 0$ and $\text{Tr}(a^{2^i+1}) = \text{Tr}(a^2) = \text{Tr}(a)$ by Lemma 3.4. Therefore $V_a = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} : \text{Tr}(a) = 0\}$ and $W_a = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} : \text{Tr}(a) = 1\}$, which implies that one of V_a and W_a is \mathbb{F}_{2^n} , and the other is an empty set. Therefore one has either ${}_cD_aG \circ h = f_a$ or ${}_cD_aG \circ h = f_{a+1}$. Since both f_a and f_{a+1} are bijective, ${}_cD_aG \circ h$ is also bijective, which concludes the proof of the first claim.

Next we show the validity of the claim (ii). By Proposition 3.12, we can choose $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ and $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ such that

$$f_a(x) = f_{a+1}(y) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Tr}\left((c+1)(x^{2^i+1} + y^{2^i+1})\right) = 1. \quad (24)$$

Since $f_a(x) = f_{a+1}(y)$, one has

$$(c+1)x^{2^i+1} + ax^{2^i} + a^{2^i}x + a^{2^i+1} = (c+1)y^{2^i+1} + (a+1)y^{2^i} + (a+1)^{2^i}y + (a+1)^{2^i+1}.$$

By taking the absolute trace, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}((c+1)x^{2^i+1} + ax^{2^i} + a^{2^i}x + a^{2^i+1}) &= \text{Tr}((c+1)y^{2^i+1} + (a+1)y^{2^i} + (a+1)^{2^i}y + (a+1)^{2^i+1}) \\ \iff \text{Tr}((c+1)(x^{2^i+1} + y^{2^i+1})) &= \text{Tr}(\mathcal{L}(a)x + a^{2^i+1} + \mathcal{L}(a)y + a^{2^i+1} + y^{2^i} + y + a^{2^i} + a + 1) \\ \iff 1 = \text{Tr}((c+1)(x^{2^i+1} + y^{2^i+1})) &= \text{Tr}(\mathcal{L}(a)x + a^{2^i+1}) + \text{Tr}(\mathcal{L}(a)y + a^{2^i+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore one of $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{L}(a)x + a^{2^i+1})$ and $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{L}(a)y + a^{2^i+1})$ is zero and the other is one, or equivalently, one of x and y is in V_a and the other is in W_a (Hence $a \notin \mathbb{F}_{2^d}$.) If $x \in V_a$ and $y \in W_a$, then it holds that

$$({}_cD_aG \circ h)(x) = f_a(x) = f_{a+1}(y) = ({}_cD_aG \circ h)(y),$$

which implies that ${}_cD_aG$ is not a permutation. Consequently, there exists $b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ such that ${}_c\Delta_G(a, b) \geq 2$. If $x \in W_a$ and $y \in V_a$, then one has

$$({}_cD_{a+1}G \circ h)(x) = f_a(x) = f_{a+1}(y) = ({}_cD_{a+1}G \circ h)(y),$$

which means that ${}_cD_{a+1}G$ is not a permutation so that one concludes ${}_c\Delta_G(a+1, b) \geq 2$ for some $b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$. \square

Now we are ready to give a proof of Theorem 3.3.

Proof of Theorem 3.3:

Using Lemma 3.8 and the equation (14), for all $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$, one has

$${}_c\Delta_G(a, b) = \begin{cases} \sum_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \in \mathbb{F}_2} \#S_{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2}(a, b) \leq 2 & \text{for } a \in T \\ \sum_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \in \mathbb{F}_2} \#S'_{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2}(a, b) \leq 2 & \text{for } a \notin T, \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

which implies ${}_c\Delta_G \leq 2$. On the other hand, by Proposition 3.14, there exist $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \mathbb{F}_{2^d}$ and $b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ such that ${}_c\Delta_G(a, b) \geq 2$. Therefore one concludes that ${}_c\Delta_G = 2$ for any $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$. \square

4 More constructions of non-monomial PcN and APcN permutations on \mathbb{F}_{2^n}

In this section, we explain some applications of the result of the previous section and present more classes of non-monomial PcN and APcN permutations related with G and h .

4.1 c -differential uniformity of the compositional inverse of G

Though the differential uniformity is preserved under compositional inversion due to the symmetry of the difference equation, the situation for the c -differential uniformity is different, and there are plenty of permutations F such that ${}_c\Delta_F \neq {}_c\Delta_{F^{-1}}$ where F^{-1} is the compositional inverse of F . However, for any monomial permutation $F(x) = x^r$ and its inverse $F^{-1}(x) = x^s$ on \mathbb{F}_{2^n} with $rs \equiv 1 \pmod{2^n - 1}$, one has

Lemma 4.1. [22] ${}_c\Delta_F = {}_{c^s}\Delta_{F^{-1}}$ for $c \neq 0$.

It is well-known [13] that $g(x) = x^{2^i+1}$ is a PcN permutation for every $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$ in our parameter setting ($n = dm, i = d\ell, \gcd(m, 2\ell) = 1$ and $n > d$). Let $g^{-1}(x) = x^u$ be the inverse permutation of $g(x)$, that is $u \equiv (2^i + 1)^{-1} \pmod{2^n - 1}$. Recall that $2u \equiv \frac{2^{im}+1}{2^i+1} \pmod{2^n - 1}$ (See Lemma 3.4(iv)).

Corollary 4.2. [22] The monomials x^u and $x^{2u} = x^{\frac{2^{im}+1}{2^i+1}}$ are PcN permutations on \mathbb{F}_{2^n} for every $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$, where $n = dm, i = d\ell$ with $n > d$ and $\gcd(m, 2\ell) = 1$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.1, PcNness of $g(x) = x^{2^i+1}$ implies that $g^{-1}(x) = x^u$ is Pc^uN and $\{g^{-1}(x)\}^2 = x^{2u}$ is Pc^{2u}N. Since $\{c : c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2\} = \{c^u : c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2\} = \{c^{2u} : c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2\}$, we are done. \square

Even though one can also use Corollary 11 in [22] to derive above result, we state as above since the above explicit form is necessary for our proof of APcNness of $G^{-1}(x)$.

Now we are ready to explain the c -differential uniformity of the compositional inverse of G .

Proposition 4.3. Let $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$ with $d = \gcd(2i, n) = \gcd(i, n) < n$ and let n be even. Let $u \equiv (2^i + 1)^{-1} \pmod{2^n - 1}$. Then the compositional inverse of $G(x)$ is written as $G^{-1}(x) = x^u + \text{Tr}(x)$. Furthermore ${}_c\Delta_{G^{-1}} \leq 2$ for $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$.

Proof. Recall that $G = g \circ h$ where h is the involution in Lemma 3.4. Then $G^{-1}(x) = h^{-1} \circ g^{-1}(x) = h \circ g^{-1}(x) = h(x^u) = x^u + \text{Tr}(x)$. We now find the number of solutions of ${}_c D_a G^{-1}(x) = b$. For $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G^{-1}(x+a) + cG^{-1}(x) = b &\Leftrightarrow (x+a)^u + \text{Tr}(x+a) + cx^u + c\text{Tr}(x) = b \\ &\Leftrightarrow (x+a)^u + cx^u = (c+1)\text{Tr}(x) + b + \text{Tr}(a) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} {}_c \Delta_{G^{-1}}(a, b) &= \#\{x : (x+a)^u + cx^u = (c+1)\text{Tr}(x) + b + \text{Tr}(a)\} \\ &= \#\{x : (x+a)^u + cx^u = b + \text{Tr}(a), \text{Tr}(x) = 0\} \\ &\quad + \#\{x : (x+a)^u + cx^u = b + \text{Tr}(a) + c + 1, \text{Tr}(x) = 1\} \leq 1 + 1 = 2, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality comes from Corollary 4.2. \square

The above proposition says that $G^{-1}(x)$ is either PcN or APcN for $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$. To show ${}_c \Delta_{G^{-1}} = 2$, we need some preparations.

Remark 4.4. Note that in [9], Hasan et al. gave a necessary condition for $F(x) = x^{2^k+1} + \gamma \text{Tr}(x)$ to be PcN, but the complete conditions for which $F(x)$ is PcN or APcN still remain open.

Lemma 4.5. Let $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$ with $d = \gcd(2i, n) = \gcd(i, n) < n$ and let n be even. Let $u \equiv (2^i + 1)^{-1} \pmod{2^n - 1}$. Then, one has the followings:

(i) $c^{2u} = c$.

(ii) Letting $y = x^{2^i+1}$ (or $x = y^u$) with $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ and letting $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$, it holds that

$$(x+a)^{2^i+1} + cx^{2^i+1} = b \text{ if and only if } \left(y + \frac{b}{c}\right)^u + c^{-u}y^u = c^{-u}a.$$

Proof. For (i), we have $c^{2u} = (c^2)^u = (c^{2^i+1})^u = c$ because $c^{2^i} = c$. For (ii), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (x+a)^{2^i+1} + cx^{2^i+1} = b &\Leftrightarrow (x+a)^{2^i+1} + cy = b \Leftrightarrow x+a = (cy+b)^u \\ &\Leftrightarrow (cy+b)^u + y^u = a \Leftrightarrow (y+b/c)^u + c^{-u}y^u = c^{-u}a, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

To find $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ satisfying ${}_c \Delta_{G^{-1}}(a, b) = 2$, we give the following proposition which corresponds to Proposition 3.12.

Proposition 4.6. Let $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$ with $d = \gcd(2i, n) = \gcd(i, n) < n$ and let n be even. Let $u \equiv (2^i + 1)^{-1} \pmod{2^n - 1}$. Then, there exist $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ and $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ such that

$$(x+a)^u + cx^u = (y+a)^u + cy^u + c + 1 \text{ and } \text{Tr}(x+y) = 1.$$

Proof. Let $\tilde{c} = c^{-(2^i+1)}$. Then, by Proposition 3.12, we can find $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ and $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b} \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ such that

$$(\tilde{x} + \tilde{a})^{2^i+1} + \tilde{c}\tilde{x}^{2^i+1} = \tilde{b} = (\tilde{y} + \tilde{a} + 1)^{2^i+1} + \tilde{c}\tilde{y}^{2^i+1} \quad (26)$$

with $\text{Tr}((\tilde{c} + 1)(\tilde{x}^{2^i+1} + \tilde{y}^{2^i+1})) = 1$. Letting $x_0 = \tilde{x}^{2^i+1}$ and $y_0 = \tilde{y}^{2^i+1}$ and using Lemma 4.5-(ii), the equation (26) can be rewritten as

$$\left(x_0 + \frac{\tilde{b}}{\tilde{c}}\right)^u + \tilde{c}^{-u}x_0^u = \tilde{c}^{-u}\tilde{a}, \quad \left(y_0 + \frac{\tilde{b}}{\tilde{c}}\right)^u + \tilde{c}^{-u}y_0^u = \tilde{c}^{-u}(\tilde{a} + 1) \quad (27)$$

with $\text{Tr}((\tilde{c} + 1)(x_0 + y_0)) = 1$. Also letting $x = (\tilde{c} + 1)x_0$ and $y = (\tilde{c} + 1)y_0$, the equation (27) is written as

$$\left(\frac{x}{\tilde{c} + 1} + \frac{\tilde{b}}{\tilde{c}}\right)^u + \tilde{c}^{-u}\left(\frac{x}{\tilde{c} + 1}\right)^u = \tilde{c}^{-u}\tilde{a}, \quad \left(\frac{y}{\tilde{c} + 1} + \frac{\tilde{b}}{\tilde{c}}\right)^u + \tilde{c}^{-u}\left(\frac{y}{\tilde{c} + 1}\right)^u = \tilde{c}^{-u}(\tilde{a} + 1) \quad (28)$$

with $\text{Tr}(x + y) = 1$. Therefore, by multiplying $(\tilde{c} + 1)^u$ to above equations, one has

$$\left(x + \frac{\tilde{b}(\tilde{c} + 1)}{\tilde{c}}\right)^u + \tilde{c}^{-u}x^u = \left(\frac{\tilde{c} + 1}{\tilde{c}}\right)^u \tilde{a}, \quad \left(y + \frac{\tilde{b}(\tilde{c} + 1)}{\tilde{c}}\right)^u + \tilde{c}^{-u}y^u = \left(\frac{\tilde{c} + 1}{\tilde{c}}\right)^u (\tilde{a} + 1) \quad (29)$$

with $\text{Tr}(x + y) = 1$. Note that $\tilde{c} = c^{-(2^i+1)}$ (i.e., $c = \tilde{c}^{-u}$) implies that

$$\left(\frac{\tilde{c} + 1}{\tilde{c}}\right)^u = (1 + \tilde{c}^{-1})^u = (1 + c^{2^i+1})^u = (1 + c^2)^u = (1 + c)^{2u} = 1 + c,$$

where the last equality comes from Lemma 4.5-(i). Finally, letting $a = \frac{\tilde{b}(\tilde{c}+1)}{\tilde{c}}$ and $b = (c + 1)\tilde{a}$, the equation (29) can be written as

$$(x + a)^u + cx^u = b, \quad (y + a)^u + cy^u = b + c + 1 \quad \text{with } \text{Tr}(x + y) = 1,$$

which becomes

$$(x + a)^u + cx^u = (y + a)^u + cy^u + c + 1 \text{ and } \text{Tr}(x + y) = 1.$$

□

Now we are ready to give a proof of ${}_c\Delta_{G^{-1}} = 2$.

Theorem 4.7. *Let $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$ with $d = \gcd(2i, n) = \gcd(i, n) < n$ and let $n = dm$ be even. Let $u \equiv (2^i + 1)^{-1} \pmod{2^n - 1}$. Then, $G^{-1}(x) = x^u + \text{Tr}(x)$ is an APcN permutation on \mathbb{F}_{2^n} . Or equivalently, $x^{\frac{2^{im}+1}{2^i+1}} + \text{Tr}(x)$ is an APcN permutation on \mathbb{F}_{2^n} .*

Proof. Let $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$ be given. Then the proof of Proposition 4.3 says that, for any a and b in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} , one has

$$\begin{aligned} {}_c\Delta_{G^{-1}}(a, b) &= \#\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} : (x + a)^u + cx^u = b + \text{Tr}(a), \text{Tr}(x) = 0\} \\ &\quad + \#\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} : (x + a)^u + cx^u = b + \text{Tr}(a) + c + 1, \text{Tr}(x) = 1\} \leq 1 + 1 = 2. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Also, by Proposition 4.6, there exist $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ and $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ satisfying

$$(x + a)^u + cx^u = (y + a)^u + cy^u + c + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Tr}(x + y) = 1.$$

Since $\text{Tr}(x + y) = 1$, one has either $\text{Tr}(x) = 0$ and $\text{Tr}(y) = 1$ or $\text{Tr}(x) = 1$ and $\text{Tr}(y) = 0$. For the first case, by defining $b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ as

$$(x + a)^u + cx^u = b + \text{Tr}(a) = (y + a)^u + cy^u + c + 1,$$

the inequality in (30) becomes an equality. Similarly for the second case, by defining $b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ as

$$(x + a)^u + cx^u = b + \text{Tr}(a) + c + 1 = (y + a)^u + cy^u + c + 1,$$

the inequality in (30) becomes an equality. □

4.2 Generalization of h and G and their c -differential uniformities

Recall that, when n is even, $h(x) = x + \text{Tr}(x^{2^i+1})$ defined in Lemma 3.4 is an involution on \mathbb{F}_{2^n} . Moreover, it will turn out that h is PcN when $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$ and is APcN when $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \mathbb{F}_{2^d}$, where $d = \gcd(n, 2i)$ is even. However, we will prove the above statement in a more general setting where both even and odd n are considered. So, from now on, we assume that n is any positive integer > 1 .

Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ and let i be a positive integer. Define a polynomial

$$h_\alpha(x) = x + \text{Tr}(\alpha x + x^{2^i+1}) \tag{31}$$

over \mathbb{F}_{2^n} . Note that, if $\alpha = 0$, then $h_\alpha(x) = h(x)$. The following lemma gives a condition for which h_α becomes an involution.

Lemma 4.8. *If $\text{Tr}(\alpha + 1) = 0$ (i.e., if n is even and $\text{Tr}(\alpha) = 0$ or if n is odd and $\text{Tr}(\alpha) = 1$), then $h_\alpha(x)$ is an involution on \mathbb{F}_{2^n} .*

Proof. Using $(x + \text{Tr}(\alpha x + x^{2^i+1}))^{2^i+1} = x^{2^i+1} + (x^{2^i} + x + 1) \text{Tr}(\alpha x + x^{2^i+1})$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} & h_\alpha(h_\alpha(x)) \\ &= x + \text{Tr}(\alpha x + x^{2^i+1}) + \text{Tr} \left(\alpha \left(x + \text{Tr}(\alpha x + x^{2^i+1}) \right) + \left(x + \text{Tr}(\alpha x + x^{2^i+1}) \right)^{2^i+1} \right) \\ &= x + \text{Tr}(\alpha x + x^{2^i+1}) + \text{Tr} \left(\alpha x + \alpha \text{Tr}(\alpha x + x^{2^i+1}) \right) + \text{Tr} \left(x^{2^i+1} + (x^{2^i} + x + 1) \text{Tr}(\alpha x + x^{2^i+1}) \right) \\ &= x + \text{Tr}(\alpha x + x^{2^i+1}) + \text{Tr}(\alpha x) + \text{Tr}(\alpha) \text{Tr}(\alpha x + x^{2^i+1}) + \text{Tr}(x^{2^i+1}) + \text{Tr}(x^{2^i} + x + 1) \text{Tr}(\alpha x + x^{2^i+1}) \\ &= x + \text{Tr}(\alpha + x^{2^i} + x + 1) \text{Tr}(\alpha x + x^{2^i+1}) = x + \text{Tr}(\alpha + 1) \text{Tr}(\alpha x + x^{2^i+1}) = x. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 4.9. *Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ with $\text{Tr}(\alpha + 1) = 0$ and let $d = \gcd(n, 2i)$. Then, for the involution $h_\alpha(x) = x + \text{Tr}(\alpha x + x^{2^i+1})$, one has the followings.*

- (i) *If $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$, then $h_\alpha(x)$ is PcN on \mathbb{F}_{2^n} .*
- (ii) *If $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \mathbb{F}_{2^d}$, then $h_\alpha(x)$ is APcN on \mathbb{F}_{2^n} .*

In particular, for extreme cases of d ,

* if $d = n$ (e.g., $n = 2i$), $h_\alpha(x) = x + \text{Tr}(\alpha x + x^{2^{n/2}+1})$ is PcN for all $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$.

** if $d = 1$ (i.e., $\gcd(n, 2i) = 1$), $h_\alpha(x) = x + \text{Tr}(\alpha x + x^{2^i+1})$ is APcN for all $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$.

Proof. For $c \notin \mathbb{F}_2$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} {}_cD_a h_\alpha(x) &= (x+a) + \text{Tr}(\alpha(x+a) + (x+a)^{2^i+1}) + c(x + \text{Tr}(\alpha x + x^{2^i+1})) \\ &= (1+c)(x + \text{Tr}(\alpha x + x^{2^i+1})) + \text{Tr}((x+a)^{2^i+1} + x^{2^i+1}) + a + \text{Tr}(\alpha a) \\ &= (1+c)h_\alpha(x) + \left(\text{Tr}(\mathcal{L}(a)x) + \text{Tr}(\alpha a + a^{2^i+1}) \right) + a, \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

where $\mathcal{L}(a) = a^{2^i} + a^{2^{-i}}$ was introduced in Lemma 3.4. In a similar way as in the equation (22), we have $\mathbb{F}_{2^n} = V_{a,\alpha} \cup W_{a,\alpha}$ where

$$\begin{aligned} V_{a,\alpha} &= \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} : \text{Tr}(\mathcal{L}(a)x) = \text{Tr}(\alpha a + a^{2^i+1})\}, \\ W_{a,\alpha} &= \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} : \text{Tr}(\mathcal{L}(a)x) = \text{Tr}(\alpha a + a^{2^i+1}) + 1\}. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

From (32), one has

$${}_cD_a h_\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} (c+1)h_\alpha(x) + a & \text{if } x \in V_{a,\alpha} \\ (c+1)h_\alpha(x) + 1 + a & \text{if } x \in W_{a,\alpha} \end{cases} \quad (34)$$

and thus ${}_cD_a h_\alpha$ is one to one on each subset $V_{a,\alpha}$ and $W_{a,\alpha}$ since h_α is one to one. From this observation, one easily gets ${}_c\Delta_{h_\alpha} \leq 2$ because

$$\begin{aligned} {}_c\Delta_{h_\alpha}(a, b) &= \#\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} : {}_cD_a h_\alpha(x) = b\} \\ &= \#\{x \in V_{a,\alpha} : {}_cD_a h_\alpha(x) = b\} + \#\{x \in W_{a,\alpha} : {}_cD_a h_\alpha(x) = b\} \leq 2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if there exist $x \neq y \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ satisfying

$${}_cD_a h_\alpha(x) = {}_cD_a h_\alpha(y), \quad (35)$$

then one has either $x \in V_{a,\alpha}, y \in W_{a,\alpha}$ or $x \in W_{a,\alpha}, y \in V_{a,\alpha}$. We now suppose that $x \in V_{a,\alpha}$ and $y \in W_{a,\alpha}$ without loss of generality. Then, letting $J = (\mathcal{L}(a)x + \alpha a + a^{2^i+1}) + (\mathcal{L}(a)y + \alpha a + a^{2^i+1})$, one gets $\text{Tr}(J) = 0 + 1 = 1$. Also, the equation (35) becomes

$$(c+1)h_\alpha(x) = (c+1)h_\alpha(y) + 1.$$

Since h_α is an involution, letting $c' = \frac{1}{c+1}$, the above equation is rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} h_\alpha(y) &= h_\alpha(x) + c' \\ \Leftrightarrow y &= h_\alpha(h_\alpha(x) + c') \\ &= h_\alpha(x) + c' + \text{Tr}\left(\alpha(h_\alpha(x) + c') + (h_\alpha(x) + c')^{2^i+1}\right) \\ &= h_\alpha(x) + \text{Tr}\left(\alpha h_\alpha(x) + h_\alpha(x)^{2^i+1}\right) + \text{Tr}\left(h_\alpha(x)^{2^i} c' + h_\alpha(x) c'^{2^i} + c'^{2^i+1}\right) + c' + \text{Tr}(\alpha c') \\ &= h_\alpha(h_\alpha(x)) + \epsilon + c' + \text{Tr}\left(\alpha c' + c'^{2^i+1}\right) = x + \epsilon + h_\alpha(c'), \end{aligned}$$

where $\epsilon = \text{Tr}\left(h_\alpha(x)^{2^i}c' + h_\alpha(x)c'^{2^i}\right)$. Therefore one has $x + y = \epsilon + h_\alpha(c')$, where $x \in V_{a,\alpha}$ and $y \in W_{a,\alpha}$ satisfy (35). Then, using $\text{Tr}(J) = 1$ and $x + y = \epsilon + h_\alpha(c')$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} 1 = \text{Tr}(J) &= \text{Tr}(\mathcal{L}(a)(x + y)) = \text{Tr}\left(a^{2^i}(x + y) + a(x + y)^{2^i}\right) \\ &= \text{Tr}\left(a^{2^i}(\epsilon + h_\alpha(c')) + a(\epsilon + h_\alpha(c'))^{2^i}\right) \\ &= \text{Tr}\left(a^{2^i}h_\alpha(c') + ah_\alpha(c')^{2^i} + a^{2^i}\epsilon + a\epsilon^{2^i}\right) \\ &= \text{Tr}\left(\mathcal{L}(h_\alpha(c'))a\right) \quad (\because \epsilon \in \mathbb{F}_2). \end{aligned}$$

Now, for the claim (i), suppose that $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$ where $d = \gcd(n, 2i)$. Then it holds that $h_\alpha(c') = c' + \text{Tr}(\alpha c' + c'^{2^i+1}) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d}$. Since $\ker \mathcal{L} = \mathbb{F}_{2^d}$ by Lemma 3.4 (iii), one has $\mathcal{L}(h_\alpha(c')) = 0$ and consequently $\text{Tr}(J) = 0$, which is a contradiction. Thus, there exist no $x \neq y$ satisfying (35) if $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$, and one concludes that ${}_c D_a h_\alpha$ is a permutation for every $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$. For the claim (ii), suppose that $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \mathbb{F}_{2^d}$. (So $c' \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \mathbb{F}_{2^d}$ and $h_\alpha(c') \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \mathbb{F}_{2^d}$.) Since $\mathcal{L}(h_\alpha(c')) \neq 0$ again by Lemma 3.4 (iii), there exists $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ satisfying $\text{Tr}(J) = \text{Tr}(\mathcal{L}(h_\alpha(c'))a) = 1$. Hence for such a and given $x \in V_{a,\alpha}$, the equation (35) has two solutions $x \neq y$ with $y \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x + \epsilon + h_\alpha(c') \in W_{a,\alpha}$. (Note that $\epsilon + h_\alpha(c') \neq 0$ because $h_\alpha(c') \notin \mathbb{F}_{2^d}$.) \square

Remark 4.10. *Theorem 4.9 generalizes Theorem 4 in [10], where Hasan, Pal and Stănică showed the followings: If $n > 1$ is odd and $\text{Tr}(\alpha) = 1$ with $\gcd(n, i) = 1$, then one has ${}_c \Delta_{h_\alpha} \leq 2$ and c -DDT entry at (a, b) is given by*

$${}_c \Delta_{h_\alpha}(a, b) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } A = 1 \text{ and } B = 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } B = 0 \\ 2 & \text{if } A = 0 \text{ and } B = 1, \end{cases}$$

where $A = \text{Tr}\left(\frac{(a+b)(a^{2^i}+a^{2^{-i}})}{1+c} + \alpha a + a^{2^i+1}\right)$ and $B = \text{Tr}\left(\frac{a^{2^i}+a^{2^{-i}}}{1+c}\right)$. The assumption that n is odd and $\gcd(n, i) = 1$ in [10] is a special case of our Theorem 4.9 where $d = \gcd(n, 2i) = 1$. According to Theorem 4.9, one always has ${}_c \Delta_{h_\alpha} = 2$ for $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$ when $d = 1$, i.e., When $d = 1$, h_α cannot be PcN. In other words, there must exist $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ satisfying $A = 0$ and $B = 1$.

Letting $\alpha = 0$ and $n = \text{even}$ (i.e., $\text{Tr}(\alpha + 1) = \text{Tr}(1) = 0$), one also has the following corollary.

Corollary 4.11. *Let $d = \gcd(n, 2i)$ with n even and $h(x) = x + \text{Tr}(x^{2^i+1})$. Then*

- (i) *If $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$ then one has ${}_c \Delta_h = 1$.*
- (ii) *If $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \mathbb{F}_{2^d}$ then one has ${}_c \Delta_h = 2$.*

Using h_α , one may also define G_α as

$$G_\alpha(x) = g \circ h_\alpha(x) = \left(x + \text{Tr}(\alpha x + x^{2^i+1})\right)^{2^i+1}.$$

Then, under the same conditions of Theorem 4.9 and Lemma 3.4 (i), one can prove in a similar way as in Section 3 that G_α is also an APcN permutation on \mathbb{F}_{2^n} . That is, using the following modifications,

- (a) $T \rightarrow T_\alpha \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} : \text{Tr}(\alpha x + x^{2^i+1}) = 0\}$ in Lemma 3.6,
- (b) $\text{Tr}(x^{2^i+1}) \rightarrow \text{Tr}(\alpha x + x^{2^i+1})$ in Lemma 3.6 and in the definitions of $S_{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2}, S'_{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2}$ in eq. (13),
- (c) $V_a \rightarrow V_{a,\alpha}, W_a \rightarrow W_{a,\alpha}$ in eq. (23),

one has the following theorem.

Theorem 4.12. (Generalization of Theorem 3.3) *Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ with $\text{Tr}(\alpha + 1) = 0$. Suppose that $d = \gcd(2i, n) = \gcd(i, n) < n$. (i.e., $\frac{n}{d} > 1$ is odd with $d = \gcd(i, n)$.) Then, for $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$, $G_\alpha(x) = \left(x + \text{Tr}(\alpha x + x^{2^i+1})\right)^{2^i+1}$ is an APcN permutation on \mathbb{F}_{2^n} .*

Remark 4.13. *Unlike Theorem 4.7 where we showed that G^{-1} is also APcN, we only find that ${}_c\Delta_{G_\alpha^{-1}} \leq 4$ and G_α^{-1} is not APcN in general when $\alpha \neq 0$. We briefly explain why the compositional inverse of G_α may not be APcN. Since $G_\alpha^{-1}(x) = h_\alpha^{-1} \circ g^{-1}(x) = h_\alpha \circ g^{-1}(x) = h_\alpha(x^u)$ with $u \equiv (2^i + 1)^{-1} \pmod{2^n - 1}$, one has*

$$G_\alpha^{-1}(x) = x^u + \text{Tr}(x + \alpha x^u).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_\alpha^{-1}(x+a) + {}_cG_\alpha^{-1}(x) = b &\Leftrightarrow (x+a)^u + \text{Tr}(x+a + \alpha(x+a)^u) + cx^u + c\text{Tr}(x + \alpha x^u) = b \\ &\Leftrightarrow (x+a)^u + cx^u = \text{Tr}(x+a + \alpha(x+a)^u) + c\text{Tr}(x + \alpha x^u) + b \end{aligned}$$

and thus, by letting $f_1(x) = \text{Tr}(x+a + \alpha(x+a)^u)$ and $f_2(x) = \text{Tr}(x + \alpha x^u)$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} {}_c\Delta_{G_\alpha^{-1}}(a, b) &= \#\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} : (x+a)^u + cx^u = b, f_1(x) = 0, f_2(x) = 0\} \\ &\quad + \#\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} : (x+a)^u + cx^u = c+b, f_1(x) = 0, f_2(x) = 1\} \\ &\quad + \#\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} : (x+a)^u + cx^u = 1+b, f_1(x) = 1, f_2(x) = 0\} \\ &\quad + \#\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} : (x+a)^u + cx^u = 1+c+b, f_1(x) = 1, f_2(x) = 1\} \leq 4, \end{aligned}$$

where ${}_c\Delta_{x^u} = 1$ is used in the last inequality. Therefore unlike the equation (30) where $\alpha = 0$, we cannot guarantee that G_α^{-1} is APcN. Sage experiment shows that $3 \leq {}_c\Delta_{G_\alpha^{-1}} \leq 4$ when $\alpha \neq 0$.

Remark 4.14. *Very recently, in [12], Li, Riera and Stănică showed that ${}_c\Delta_{H_k} \leq 2$ for $c \notin \mathbb{F}_2$, where $H_k(x) = L(x) + \text{Tr}(x^{2^k+1})$ and $L(x)$ is a 2-linearized permutation with $L(1) = 1$.*

5 Conclusion

Permutations are very useful in the design of invertible S-boxes. However, not many classes of APcN permutations are reported so far, and most of known APcN permutations are monomials. In this paper, we presented new APcN permutations on \mathbb{F}_{2^n} ,

$$G(x) = \left(x + \text{Tr}(x^{2^i+1})\right)^{2^i+1}, \quad G^{-1}(x) = x^u + \text{Tr}(x) \left(G^{-1}(x)^2 = x^{\frac{2^{im}+1}{2^i+1}} + \text{Tr}(x)\right)$$

for all $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$, where $n = dm, i = dl, \gcd(n, i) = d$, d is even and $m > 1$ is odd. Though APcN property is not preserved under inversion in general, we proved that both G and G^{-1} are

APcN permutations for $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$. We also proved that, if $\text{Tr}(\alpha + 1) = 0$ with $d = \gcd(n, 2i)$, the involution $h_\alpha(x) = x + \text{Tr}(\alpha x + x^{2^i+1})$ is PcN for $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$, and is APcN for $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \mathbb{F}_{2^d}$. Moreover, we showed that $G_\alpha(x) = \left(x + \text{Tr}(\alpha x + x^{2^i+1})\right)^{2^i+1}$ is APcN for $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$, where $d = \gcd(2i, n) = \gcd(i, n) < n$ and $\text{Tr}(\alpha + 1) = 0$.

References

- [1] D. Bartoli, and M. Calderini, *On construction and (non)existence of c-(almost) perfect nonlinear functions*, Finite Fields Appl., Vol. 72, 101835, 2021. DOI : 10.1016/j.ffa.2021.101835
- [2] D. Bartoli, and M. Timpanella, *On a generalization of planar functions*, J. Algebr. Comb. Vol. 52, pp. 187-213, 2020.
- [3] N. Borisov, M. Chew, R. Johnson, and D. Wagner, *Multiplicative Differentials*, FSE 2002, Lect. Notes Comput. Sci. Vol. 2365, pp.17-33, 2021.
- [4] L. Budaghyan, C. Carlet, and A. Pott, *New classes of almost bent and almost perfect nonlinear functions*, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, Vol. 52, issue 3, pp. 1141-1152, 2006, DOI: 10.1109/TIT.2005.864481
- [5] D. Bartoli, M. Calderini, C. Riera, and P. Stănică, *Low c-differential uniformity for functions modified on subfields*, Cryptogr. Commun., in press. DOI : 10.1007/s12095-022-00554-x
- [6] T. D. Bending, and D. Fon-Der-Flaass, *Crooked functions, bent functions, and distance regular graphs*, Electron. J. Combin., Vol. 5, 1998, DOI: 10.37236/1372.
- [7] C. Carlet, P. Charpin, and V. Zinoviev, *Codes, Bent Functions, and Permutations Suitable For DES-like Cryptosystems*, Des. Codes Cryptogr. Vol. 15, pp. 125-156, 1998, DOI: 10.1023/A:1008344232130
- [8] P. Ellingsen, P. Felke, C. Riera, P. Stănică, and A. Tkachenko, *c-Differentials, Multiplicative Uniformity, and (Almost) Perfect c-Nonlinearity*, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, Vol. 66, issue 9, pp.5781-5789, 2020, DOI : 10.1109/TIT.2020.2971988
- [9] S. U. Hasan, M. Pal, C. Riera, and P. Stănică, *On the c-differential uniformity of certain maps over finite fields*, Des. Codes Cryptogr., Vol. 89, issue 2, pp.221-239, 2021. DOI : 10.1007/s10623-020-00812-0
- [10] S. U. Hasan, M. Pal, and P. Stănică, *On the c-differential uniformity and boomerang uniformity of two classes of permutation polynomials*, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, Vol. 68, No. 1, pp.679-691, 2022. DOI : 10.1109/TIT.2021.3123104
- [11] R. Lidl and H. Niederreiter, *Finite Fields*, Encyclopedia of Math. Appl. Vol. 20, Cambridge University Press, 2007
- [12] C. Li, C. Riera, and P. Stănică, *Low c-differentially uniform functions via an extension of Dillon's switching method*, a preprint, available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2204.08760>.

- [13] S. Mesnager, C. Riera, P. Stănică, H. Yan, and Z. Zhou, *Investigations on c -(Almost) Perfect Nonlinear Functions*, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, Vol. 67, No. 10, pp. 6916-6925, 2021. DOI : 10.1109/TIT.2021.3081348
- [14] K. Nyberg, *Differentially uniform mappings for cryptography*, EUROCRYPT '93, Lect. Notes Comput. Sci. Vol. 765, pp. 55-64, 1994. DOI : 10.1007/3-540-48285-7_6
- [15] P. Stănică, *Investigations on c -boomerang uniformity and perfect nonlinearity*, Discrete Appl. Math., Vol. 304, pp.297-314, 2021. DOI : 10.1016/j.dam.2021.08.002
- [16] P. Stănică, *Low c -differential and c -boomerang uniformity of the swapped inverse function*, Discrete Math., Vol. 344, 112543, 2021. DOI : 10.1016/j.disc.2021.112543
- [17] P. Stănică, *Using double Weil sums in finding the c -boomerang connectivity table for monomial functions on finite fields*, Appl. Algebra Eng. Commun. Comput., in press. DOI : 10.1007/s00200-021-00520-9
- [18] P. Stănică, *Low c -Differential Uniformity for the Gold Function Modified on a Subfield*, Security and Privacy, Lecture Notes in Electrical Engineering, Vol. 744, pp.131-137, 2021. DOI : 10.1007/978-981-33-6781-4_11
- [19] Z. Tu, X. Zeng, Y. Jiang, and X. Tang, *A class of APcN power functions over finite fields of even characteristic*, a preprint, available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2107.06464v1>.
- [20] K. Williams, *Note on cubics over $GF(2^n)$ and $GF(3^n)$* , J. Number Theory, Vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 361-365, 1975
- [21] Y. Wu, N. Li, and X. Zeng, *New PcN and APcN functions over finite fields*, Des. Codes Cryptogr., Vol. 89, issue 11, pp. 2637-2651, 2021. DOI : 10.1007/s10623-021-00946-9
- [22] X. Wang, and D. Zheng, *Several classes of PcN power functions over finite fields*, a preprint, available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2104.12942v1>.
- [23] H. Yan, *On (-1) -differential uniformity of ternary APN power functions*, Cryptogr. Commun., vol. 14, pp. 357–369, 2022. DOI : 10.1007/s12095-021-00526-7
- [24] Z. Zha, and L. Hu, *Some classes of power functions with low c -differential uniformity over finite fields*, Des. Codes Cryptogr., Vol. 89, Issue 6, pp.1193-1210, 2021. DOI : 10.1007/s10623-021-00866-8

A Proof of Lemma 3.10 (i)

Let $d = 2^s t$ where $s \geq 1$ and t is odd. We use induction on s . When $s = 1$ (i.e., $d = 2t$), any element of $\mathbb{F}_{2^d}^\times$ is uniquely written as ux , where $u \in U \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{u \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{2t}} : u^{2^t+1} = 1\}$ and $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^t}^\times$ because $\gcd(\#U, \#\mathbb{F}_{2^d}^\times) = \gcd(2^t + 1, 2^t - 1) = 1$. Since $3 \nmid 2^t - 1$, it holds that $\mathbb{F}_{2^t}^\times \subset H = \{x^3 : x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d}^\times\}$, so that

$$\zeta\mathbb{F}_{2^t}^\times + \zeta^2\mathbb{F}_{2^t}^\times \subset \zeta H + \zeta^2 H.$$

By the assumption $\zeta \notin H$, the fact $\mathbb{F}_{2^t}^\times \subset H$ implies that $\zeta \notin \mathbb{F}_{2^t}$. Then $\{\zeta, \zeta^2\}$ is a basis for the vector space \mathbb{F}_{2^d} over \mathbb{F}_{2^t} . Since $\#(\zeta\mathbb{F}_{2^t}^\times + \zeta^2\mathbb{F}_{2^t}^\times) = (2^t - 1)^2 = (2^{2t} - 1) - 2(2^t - 1) = \#\mathbb{F}_{2^{2t}}^\times - 2(2^t - 1)$ and since $\mathbb{F}_{2^{2t}}^\times = (\zeta\mathbb{F}_{2^t}^\times + \zeta^2\mathbb{F}_{2^t}^\times) \cup \zeta\mathbb{F}_{2^t}^\times \cup \zeta^2\mathbb{F}_{2^t}^\times$ is a disjoint union, to show $\mathbb{F}_{2^d}^\times = \zeta H + \zeta^2 H$, we need to show that $\zeta\mathbb{F}_{2^t}^\times \subset \zeta H + \zeta^2 H$ and $\zeta^2\mathbb{F}_{2^t}^\times \subset \zeta H + \zeta^2 H$. We choose any $u^3 \in U_3 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{u^3 : u \in U\} \subset H$. Then $\{\zeta u^3, \zeta^2 u^3\}$ is also a basis for $\mathbb{F}_{2^{2t}}$ over \mathbb{F}_{2^t} . Also it holds that $\zeta\mathbb{F}_{2^t}^\times \cap \zeta u^3\mathbb{F}_{2^t}^\times = \emptyset$ and $\zeta^2\mathbb{F}_{2^t}^\times \cap \zeta u^3\mathbb{F}_{2^t}^\times = \emptyset$ because $u \notin \mathbb{F}_{2^t}^\times$ and $\zeta \notin H$. Therefore we can obtain

$$\zeta\mathbb{F}_{2^t}^\times \subset \zeta u^3\mathbb{F}_{2^t}^\times + \zeta^2 u^3\mathbb{F}_{2^t}^\times \subset \zeta u^3 H + \zeta^2 u^3 H = \zeta H + \zeta^2 H, \quad \text{and similarly } \zeta^2\mathbb{F}_{2^t}^\times \subset \zeta H + \zeta^2 H$$

which implies $\mathbb{F}_{2^{2t}}^\times = \zeta H + \zeta^2 H$.

Now suppose that the lemma is true for all j with $1 \leq j \leq s$ where $d = 2^s t$ with t odd. We now show that the lemma is also true for $\mathbb{F}_{2^{2s+1}t} = \mathbb{F}_{2^{2d}}$. Since $\gcd(2^d - 1, 2^d + 1) = 1$, one also has $\mathbb{F}_{2^{2d}}^\times = \mathbb{F}_{2^d}^\times \cdot U \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{xu : x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d}^\times, u \in U\}$ where $U = \{u \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{2d}} : u^{2^d+1} = 1\}$. Let $H = \{x^3 : x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{2d}}^\times\}$ and $H' = \{x^3 : x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d}^\times\}$. Since d is even (i.e., $3 \nmid 2^d + 1$), one has $U = U_3 = \{u^3 : u \in U\}$ and thus $H = H' \cdot U$. Therefore any $\zeta \notin H = H' \cdot U$ is uniquely written as $\zeta = \zeta' u$ where $\zeta' \in \mathbb{F}_{2^d}^\times \setminus H'$ and $u \in U$. By induction hypothesis, one has $\mathbb{F}_{2^d}^\times = \zeta' H' + \zeta'^2 H'$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{F}_{2^{2d}}^\times &= \mathbb{F}_{2^d}^\times \cdot U = (\zeta' H' + \zeta'^2 H') \cdot U \\ &= \zeta' H' U + \zeta'^2 H' U \\ &= \zeta' u H' U + \zeta'^2 u^2 H' U = \zeta H + \zeta^2 H, \end{aligned}$$

hence the proof is complete. □