

# Nil<sub>\*</sub>-Noetherian rings

Xiaolei Zhang<sup>a</sup>

a. School of Mathematics and Statistics, Shandong University of Technology, Zibo 255049, China

E-mail: zxlrgjh@163.com

## Abstract

In this paper, we say a ring  $R$  is Nil<sub>\*</sub>-Noetherian provided that any nil ideal is finitely generated. First, we show that Hilbert basis theorem holds for Nil<sub>\*</sub>-Noetherian rings, and then we characterize the Nil<sub>\*</sub>-Noetherian property of the idealization. After computing the nil-radical of the bi-amalgamated algebras under some assumptions, we finally characterize Nil<sub>\*</sub>-Noetherian rings under some bi-amalgamated constructions. Besides, some examples are given to distinguish Nil<sub>\*</sub>-Noetherian rings, Nil<sub>\*</sub>-coherent rings and so on.

*Key Words:* Nil<sub>\*</sub>-Noetherian ring; Hilbert basis theorem; Idealization; Bi-amalgamated algebra.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 16P40;16N40.

Throughout this paper, all rings are commutative with identity and all modules are unitary. Let  $R$  be a ring, we denote by  $R[x]$  the polynomial ring in one variable over  $R$  and  $\text{Nil}(R)$  the set of all nilpotent elements in  $R$ . An ideal  $I$  of  $R$  is said to be a nil ideal provided that any element in  $I$  is nilpotent.

Recall that a ring  $R$  is called to be a Noetherian ring if any ideal is finitely generated. The concept of Noetherian rings, which was originally due to the mathematician Emmy Noether, is one of the most important topics that is widely used in ring theory, commutative algebra and algebraic geometry. The importance of Noetherian property was first shown in Hilbert basis theorem: if a ring  $R$  is a Noetherian ring, then  $R[x]$  is also Noetherian. It is well-known that the Hilbert theorem has a very useful application in the field of algebraic geometry: any algebraic set over a field is the zero set of only finite polynomial equations.

Several generalizations of Noetherian rings are introduced and studied by many algebraists. The famous generalization of the notion of Noetherian rings is that of coherent rings, i.e., rings in which any finitely generated ideal are finitely presented. For a further generalization, Xiang [9] introduced the notions of Nil<sub>\*</sub>-coherent rings in terms of nil ideals in 2014. A ring  $R$  is said to be Nil<sub>\*</sub>-coherent provided that any finitely generated nil ideal is finitely presented. Later in 2017, Alaoui Ismaili et

al.[1] studied the  $\text{Nil}_*$ -coherent properties via idealization and amalgamated algebras under several assumptions.

The main motivation of this paper is to introduce and study the  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian property of rings. Comparing with the concepts of Noetherian rings and  $\text{Nil}_*$ -coherent rings, we say a ring  $R$  is  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian provided that any nil ideal is finitely generated. It is important that Hilbert basis theorem holds for  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian rings (see Theorem 1.7). Utilizing this result, we study the idealization properties of  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian rings in Theorem 1.8. Then we find an example to show that a  $\text{Nil}_*$ -coherent ring need not be  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian (see Example 1.10). Surprisingly,  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian rings can also be not  $\text{Nil}_*$ -coherent (see Example 1.11). The second part of this paper is devoted to study the  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian properties in terms of bi-amalgamated algebras. We first compute the nil-radical of the bi-amalgamated algebras under some assumptions (see Lemma 2.2), and then characterize  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian rings by some bi-amalgamated algebras in Proposition 2.3 and Theorem 2.4.

## 1. BASIC PROPERTIES OF $\text{NIL}_*$ -NOETHERIAN RINGS

We begin with the concept of  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian rings.

**Definition 1.1.** A ring  $R$  is said to be a  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian ring provided that any nil ideal is finitely generated.

Trivially, reduced rings and Noetherian rings are  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian.

**Lemma 1.2.** *Let  $R$  be a  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian ring. If  $I$  is a nil ideal of  $R$ , then  $R/I$  is  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian.*

*Proof.* Let  $K$  be a nil ideal of  $R/I$ . Then  $K = J/I$  for some  $R$ -ideal  $J$  containing  $I$ . Then for any  $j \in J$ , there is an  $n$  such that  $j^n \in I$ . Since  $I$  is a nil ideal,  $j^{nk} = 0$  for some  $k$ . Hence  $J$  is a nil ideal of  $R$ , and so is finitely generated. Hence  $K$  is finitely generated  $R/I$ -ideal.  $\square$

Note that the condition “ $I$  is a nil ideal of  $R$ ” cannot be removed.

**Example 1.3.** Let  $S = k[x_1, x_2, \dots]$  be the polynomial ring over a field  $k$  with countably infinite variables. Then  $S$  is  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian. Set the quotient ring  $R = S/\langle x_i^2 \mid i \geq 1 \rangle$ . Then  $\text{Nil}(R) = \langle \overline{x_1}, \overline{x_2}, \dots \rangle$  is infinitely generated, where  $\overline{x_i}$  denotes the representative of  $x_i$  in  $R$  for each  $i$ . Hence  $R$  is not  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian.

**Proposition 1.4.** *A direct product of rings  $R = R_1 \times \dots \times R_n$  is  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian if and only if each  $R_i$  is  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ).*

*Proof.* It follows by  $\text{Nil}(R) = \text{Nil}(R_1) \times \cdots \times \text{Nil}(R_n)$  and  $\text{Nil}(R)$  is a Noetherian  $R$ -module if and only if each  $\text{Nil}(R_i)$  is a Noetherian  $R_i$ -module ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ).  $\square$

**Proposition 1.5.** *Let  $\phi : R \rightarrow S$  be a ring homomorphism making  $R$  a module retract of  $S$ . If  $S$  is  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian, then  $R$  is also  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian.*

*Proof.* We can assume that  $\phi$  is an inclusion map. Let  $\psi : S \rightarrow R$  be an  $R$ -homomorphism such that  $\psi \circ \phi = \text{Id}_R$ . Let  $I$  be a nil ideal of  $R$ . Then  $IS := \{\sum_{i=1}^t r'_i s'_i \mid r'_i \in I, s'_i \in S\}$  is a nil ideal of  $S$ , and thus is finitely generated, say by  $\{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$ . We will show  $I$  is generated by  $\{\psi(s_1), \dots, \psi(s_n)\}$  as an  $R$ -module. Indeed, let  $x$  be an element in  $I$ . Then  $x = x1 = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i s_i$  for some  $r_i \in R$ . Then  $x = \psi \circ \phi(x) = \psi \circ \phi(\sum_{i=1}^n r_i s_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i \psi \circ \phi(s_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i \psi(s_i)$ . Hence  $I$  is finitely generated. Consequently,  $R$  is a  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian ring.  $\square$

Next, we will focus on the  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian properties of polynomial rings.

**Lemma 1.6.** [8, Theorem 1.7.7(2)] *Let  $R$  be a ring. An element  $f = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i \in R[x]$  is a nilpotent element in  $R[x]$  if and only if each  $a_i$  is a nilpotent element in  $R$  ( $i = 0, \dots, n$ ).*

**Theorem 1.7.** (Hilbert Basis Theorem for  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian rings) *Let  $R$  be a ring. Then  $R$  is a  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian ring if and only if  $R[x]$  is a  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian ring.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $R[x]$  is a  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian ring. Let  $I$  be a nil ideal of  $R$ . Then  $I[x]$  is a nil ideal of  $R[x]$ , so is finitely generated, say by  $\{h_1, \dots, h_m\}$  as  $R[x]$ -ideal. Let  $a_i$  be the constant of  $h_i$ , then it is easy to verify that  $I$  is generated by  $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$ .

Suppose  $R$  is a  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian ring. Let  $J$  be a nil ideal of  $R[x]$ . Set  $I$  to be the set of leading coefficients of polynomials  $f$  in  $J$ . Then  $I$  is a nil ideal by Lemma 1.6, and hence finitely generated. Write  $I = Ra_1 + \cdots + Ra_k$  for each  $a_i \in R$  and let  $f_i \in J$  with the leading coefficient  $a_i$ . Then  $A = R[X]f_1 + \cdots + R[X]f_k \subseteq J$ . Set  $\deg(f_i) = n_i$  and  $n = \max\{n_1, \dots, n_k\}$ . For any  $f \in J$ , write  $f = aX^m + \cdots$ . Then  $a = r_1 a_1 + \cdots + r_k a_k, r_i \in R$ . If  $m \geq n$ , then  $f' := f - \sum_{i=1}^k r_i X^{m-n_i} f_i \in J$  with  $\deg(f') < m$ . If  $\deg(f') \geq n$ , we continue this process. Hence there are polynomials  $g \in A$  and  $h \in R[X]$  with  $\deg(h) < n$  such that  $f = g + h$ . Let  $M[n]$  be an  $R$ -submodule of  $R[X]$  generated by  $1, X, \dots, X^{n-1}$ . Then  $h = f - g \in M[n] \cap J$ . Thus  $J$  as an  $R$ -module is a sum of two  $R$ -submodules, that is,  $J = A + J \cap M[n]$ .

Claim that: **the  $R$ -module  $J \cap M[n]$  is finitely generated.**

Indeed, note that  $J \cap M[n] = \{f \in J \mid \deg(f) \leq n-1\} \cup \{0\}$ . we will show the claim by induction on  $n$ . If  $n = 1$ , then  $J \cap M[1]$  is a nil ideal of  $R$ , so is finitely

generated. If the claim holds for  $n = k$ , let  $n = k + 1$ . Consider the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow J \cap M[k] \rightarrow J \cap M[k + 1] \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0.$$

It is easy to verify that  $L = J \cap M[k + 1]/J \cap M[k]$  is isomorphic to a nil ideal of  $R$  by Lemma 1.6, so is finitely generated. Since  $J \cap M[k]$  is finitely generated by induction, we have  $J \cap M[k + 1]$  is also finitely generated, and the claim holds.

Hence  $J \cap M = Rg_1 + \cdots + Rg_t$ , where  $g_j \in J \cap M$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} J &\subseteq R[X]f_1 + \cdots + R[X]f_k + Rg_1 + \cdots + Rg_t \\ &\subseteq R[X]f_1 + \cdots + R[X]f_k + R[X]g_1 + \cdots + R[X]g_t \subseteq J. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,  $J = R[X]f_1 + \cdots + R[X]f_k + R[X]g_1 + \cdots + R[X]g_t$ , which is finitely generated.  $\square$

Some non-reduced rings are constructed by the idealization  $R(+M)$  where  $M$  is an  $R$ -module (see [5]). Let  $R(+M) = R \oplus M$  as an  $R$ -module, and define

- (1)  $(r, m) + (s, n) = (r + s, m + n)$ ,
- (2)  $(r, m)(s, n) = (rs, sm + rn)$ .

Under this construction,  $R(+M)$  becomes a commutative ring with identity  $(1, 0)$ .

**Theorem 1.8.** *Let  $R$  be a ring and  $M$  an  $R$ -module. Then  $R(+M)$  is a  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian ring if and only if  $R$  is a  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian ring and  $M$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module.*

*Proof.* For necessity, since  $R \cong R(+M)/0(+M)$  and  $0(+M)$  is a nil ideal,  $R$  is  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian by Lemma 1.2. Since  $0(+M)$  is a nil ideal, then  $0(+M)$  is finitely generated  $R(+M)$ -module. It is easy to check that  $M$  is also a finitely generated  $R$ -module.

For sufficiency, suppose  $M$  is generated by  $n$  elements. Set  $K = \ker(R^n \twoheadrightarrow M)$ . Then we have a short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow 0(+K) \rightarrow R(+R^n) \rightarrow R(+M) \rightarrow 0$  as  $R(+R^n)$ -modules. By [2, Proposition 2.2],  $R(+R^n) \cong R[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\langle x_i^2 \mid i = 1, \dots, n \rangle$  is a  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian by Theorem 1.7 and Lemma 1.2. Hence  $R(+M) \cong R(+R^n)/0(+K)$  is also a  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian by Lemma 1.2 again.  $\square$

Now, we give an example of  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian rings which are neither reduced nor Noetherian.

**Example 1.9.** Let  $S = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} k$  be a countable copies of direct product of a field  $k$ ,  $e_i$  is an element in  $S$  with the  $i$ -th component 1 and others 0. Set  $R = S(+)Se_i$ .

Then  $R$  is neither reduced nor Noetherian. However, since  $S$  is a reduced ring and  $\text{Nil}(R) = 0(+)\mathcal{S}e_i$  is a simple ideal,  $R$  is  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian by Theorem 1.8.

Recall from [9] that a ring  $R$  is called  $\text{Nil}_*$ -coherent provided that any finitely generated ideal in  $\text{Nil}(R)$  is finitely presented. Similar to the classical case,  $\text{Nil}_*$ -coherent rings are not  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian in general.

**Example 1.10.** Let  $D$  be a non-field Noetherian GCD domain and  $Q$  its quotient field. Set  $R = D(+)\mathcal{Q}/D$ . Then by Theorem 1.8,  $R$  is not  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian since  $\mathcal{Q}/D$  is not finitely generated. We will show  $R$  is  $\text{Nil}_*$ -coherent. Indeed, let  $I$  be a finitely generated nil ideal of  $R$ . Since  $\text{Nil}(R) = 0(+)\mathcal{Q}/D$ , we can assume  $I$  is generated by  $\{(0, \frac{t_1}{s_1} + D), \dots, (0, \frac{t_n}{s_n} + D)\}$  with all  $\gcd(s_i, t_i) = 1$  and  $s_i \neq 1$ . Consider the short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow K_n \rightarrow R^n \rightarrow I \rightarrow 0$ . We will show  $K_n$  is finitely generated by induction on  $n$ . Indeed, for each  $l \geq 1$ , set  $I_l = \langle (0, \frac{t_1}{s_1} + D), \dots, (0, \frac{t_l}{s_l} + D) \rangle$ . suppose  $n = 1$ , then  $K_1 = (0 :_R \frac{t_1}{s_1} + D) = \langle s_1 \rangle (+)\mathcal{Q}/D$  which is generated by  $(s_1, 0)$ . Suppose it hold for  $n = k \geq 1$ . That is there is a natural exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow K_k \rightarrow R^k \rightarrow I_k \rightarrow 0$ . If  $n = k + 1$ , set  $a = (0, \frac{t_{k+1}}{s_{k+1}} + D)$ . There is an  $R$ -module  $K_{k+1}$  such that the following commutative diagram have exact rows and columns:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & K_k & \longrightarrow & R^k & \longrightarrow & I_k & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & K_{k+1} & \longrightarrow & R^{k+1} & \longrightarrow & I_k + Ra & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & (I_k :_R Ra) & \longrightarrow & R & \longrightarrow & (I_k + Ra)/I_k & \longrightarrow & 0,
\end{array}$$

Since  $(s_{k+1}, 0) \in (I_k :_R Ra) - 0(+)\mathcal{Q}/D$ , we have  $(I_k :_R Ra) \supsetneq 0(+)\mathcal{Q}/D$ , and so  $(I_k :_R Ra) = J'(+)\mathcal{Q}/D$  for some nonzero finitely generated ideal  $J'$  of  $D$  by [2, Corollary 3.4]. Hence  $J'(+)\mathcal{Q}/D$  is finitely generated by [10, Proposition 2.6]. Consequently,  $K_{k+1}$  is also finitely generated. It follows that  $I$  is finitely presented, and thus  $R$  is  $\text{Nil}_*$ -coherent.

Surprisingly, different with the classical case,  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian rings can also be non- $\text{Nil}_*$ -coherent.

**Example 1.11.** Let  $S = k[x_1, x_2, \dots]$  be the polynomial ring over a field  $k$  with countably infinite variables. Set  $R = S/\langle x_1x_i \mid i \geq 1 \rangle$ . Then  $\text{Nil}(R) = \langle \bar{x}_1 \rangle$  is the only non-trivial nil ideal of  $R$ , where  $\bar{x}_i$  denotes the representative of  $x_i$  in  $R$  for each  $i$ . So  $R$  is  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian. However, since  $(0 :_R \bar{x}_1) = \langle \bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \dots \rangle$  is infinitely generated,  $\text{Nil}(R)$  is not finitely presented. Hence  $R$  is not  $\text{Nil}_*$ -coherent.

## 2. TRANSFER OF $\text{NIL}_*$ -NOETHERIAN RINGS TO BI-AMALGAMATED ALGEBRAS

We recall the bi-amalgamated algebras constructed in [6]. Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  and  $g : A \rightarrow C$  be two ring homomorphisms and let  $J$  and  $J'$  be two ideals of  $B$  and  $C$ , respectively, such that  $f^{-1}(J) = g^{-1}(J')$ . Denote by  $I_0 := f^{-1}(J) = g^{-1}(J')$ . The bi-amalgamated algebra of  $A$  with  $(B, C)$  along  $(J, J')$  with respect to  $(f, g)$  is the subring of  $B \times C$  given by:

$$A \bowtie^{f,g} (J, J') := \{(f(a) + j, g(a) + j') \mid a \in A, (j, j') \in J \times J'\}.$$

The bi-amalgamation is determined by the following pull-back:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \bowtie^{f,g} (J, J') & \twoheadrightarrow & f(A) + J \\ \downarrow & & \alpha \downarrow \\ g(A) + J' & \xrightarrow{\beta} & A/I_0, \end{array}$$

with  $\alpha(f(a) + j) = \beta(g(a) + j') = \bar{a}$  for any  $a \in A$ . The following proposition is useful for continuation.

**Proposition 2.1.** [6, Proposition 4.1] *Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  and  $g : A \rightarrow C$  be two ring homomorphisms and let  $J$  and  $J'$  be two ideals of  $B$  and  $C$ , respectively, such that  $f^{-1}(J) = g^{-1}(J') := I_0$ . Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $A$ . Then the following statements hold.*

- (1)  $\frac{A \bowtie^{f,g} (J, J')}{I \bowtie^{f,g} (J, J')} \cong \frac{A}{I + I_0}$ .
- (2)  $\frac{A \bowtie^{f,g} (J, J')}{0 \times J'} \cong f(A) + J$  and  $\frac{A \bowtie^{f,g} (J, J')}{J \times 0} \cong g(A) + J'$ .
- (3)  $\frac{A}{I_0} \cong \frac{A \bowtie^{f,g} (J, J')}{J \times J'} \cong \frac{f(A) + J}{J} \cong \frac{g(A) + J'}{J'}$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  and  $g : A \rightarrow C$  be two ring homomorphisms and let  $J$  and  $J'$  be two ideals of  $B$  and  $C$ , respectively, such that  $f^{-1}(J) = g^{-1}(J') := I_0$ . Suppose one of the following cases holds:*

- (1)  $I_0$  is nil.
- (2)  $J \subseteq \text{Im}(f)$  and  $\text{Ker}(f)$  is nil.

Then

$$\text{Nil}(A \bowtie^{f,g} (J, J')) = \text{Nil}(A) \bowtie^{f,g} (J \cap \text{Nil}(f(A) + J), J' \cap \text{Nil}(g(A) + J')).$$

*Proof.* (1) Let  $\xi := (f(a) + j, g(a) + j') \in \text{Nil}(A \bowtie^{f,g} (J, J'))$ . Then  $f(a) + j \in \text{Nil}(f(A) + J)$  and  $g(a) + j' \in \text{Nil}(g(A) + J')$ . So  $f(a^n) \in J$  for some positive integer  $n$ . So  $a^n \in I_0$ , and thus is nilpotent. Hence  $a \in \text{Nil}(A)$ . So  $f(a) \in \text{Nil}(f(A)) \subseteq \text{Nil}(f(A) + J)$ , and hence  $j = (f(a) + j) - f(a) \in J \cap \text{Nil}(f(A) + J)$ . Similarly,  $j' \in J' \cap \text{Nil}(g(A) + J')$ . Consequently,  $\xi \in \text{Nil}(A) \bowtie^{f,g} (J \cap \text{Nil}(f(A) + J), J' \cap \text{Nil}(g(A) + J'))$

On the other hand, let  $a \in \text{Nil}(A)$ ,  $j \in J \cap \text{Nil}(f(A) + J)$  and  $j' \in J' \cap \text{Nil}(g(A) + J')$ . Then there is  $k, m, n$  such that  $a^k = j^m = j'^n = 0$ . Set  $\xi := (f(a) + j, g(a) + j') \in A \bowtie^{f,g} (J, J')$ . Then  $\xi^{kmn} = 0$ , and hence  $\xi \in \text{Nil}(A \bowtie^{f,g} (J, J'))$ .

(2) Let  $\xi := (f(a) + j, g(a) + j') \in \text{Nil}(A \bowtie^{f,g} (J, J'))$ . Then  $f(a) + j \in \text{Nil}(f(A) + J)$  and  $g(a) + j' \in \text{Nil}(g(A) + J')$ . Since  $J \subseteq \text{Im}(f)$ , there is an  $x \in I_0$  such that  $f(x) = j$ . Note that  $g(x) \in J'$ . So  $\xi := (f(a + x), g(a + x) + j' - g(x))$ . Since  $\text{Ker}(f)$  is nil, we have  $a + x$  is nilpotent. Now, we claim that  $j' - g(x)$  is nilpotent. Indeed, since  $a + x$  is nilpotent,  $g(a) + g(x)$  is nilpotent. So  $j' - g(x) = (g(a) + j') - (g(a) + g(x))$  is nilpotent as  $g(a) + j'$  is nilpotent. Hence  $\xi \in \text{Nil}(A \bowtie^{f,g} (J \cap \text{Nil}(f(A) + J), J' \cap \text{Nil}(g(A) + J'))$ . The other hand is the same as (1).  $\square$

It was proved in [6, Proposition 4.2] that a ring  $A \bowtie^{f,g} (J, J')$  is Noetherian if and only if  $f(A) + J$  and  $g(A) + J'$  are Noetherian. The main purpose of the rest section is to study  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian properties on bi-amalgamated algebras.

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  and  $g : A \rightarrow C$  be two ring homomorphisms and let  $J$  and  $J'$  be two ideals of  $B$  and  $C$ , respectively, such that  $f^{-1}(J) = g^{-1}(J') := I_0$  is nil. Then  $A \bowtie^{f,g} (J, J')$  is  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian if and only if  $f(A) + J$  and  $g(A) + J'$  are  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian.*

*Proof.* Note that since  $I_0$  is nil,  $J$  and  $J'$  are both nil. Then  $J \times 0$  and  $0 \times J'$  are also nil ideals of  $A \bowtie^{f,g} (J, J')$ . Suppose  $A \bowtie^{f,g} (J, J')$  is  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian. Hence  $f(A) + J$  and  $g(A) + J'$  are  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian by Lemma 1.2 and Proposition 2.1(2). On the other hand, suppose  $f(A) + J$  and  $g(A) + J'$  are  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian. Let  $I$  be a nil ideal of  $A \bowtie^{f,g} (J, J')$  generated by  $\{(f(a_i) + j_i, g(a_i) + j'_i) \mid i \in \Lambda\}$ . Then the ideals  $\langle f(a_i) + j_i \mid i \in \Lambda \rangle \subseteq \text{Nil}(f(A) + J)$  and  $\langle g(a_i) + j'_i \mid i \in \Lambda \rangle \subseteq \text{Nil}(g(A) + J')$  by Lemma 2.2. So there is a finitely subset  $\Lambda_0 \subseteq \Lambda$  such that  $\langle f(a_i) + j_i \mid i \in \Lambda \rangle = \langle f(a_i) + j_i \mid i \in \Lambda_0 \rangle$  and  $\langle g(a_i) + j'_i \mid i \in \Lambda \rangle = \langle g(a_i) + j'_i \mid i \in \Lambda_0 \rangle$ . Hence  $I$  is a nil ideal of  $A \bowtie^{f,g} (J, J')$  generated by  $\{(f(a_i) + j_i, g(a_i) + j'_i) \mid i \in \Lambda_0\}$ . So  $A \bowtie^{f,g} (J, J')$  is  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  and  $g : A \rightarrow C$  be two ring homomorphisms and let  $J$  and  $J'$  be two ideals of  $B$  and  $C$ , respectively, such that  $f^{-1}(J) = g^{-1}(J')$ . If  $J \subseteq \text{Im}(f)$ ,  $J' \subseteq \text{Im}(g)$ ,  $\text{Ker}(f)$  and  $\text{Ker}(g)$  are nil, then  $A \bowtie^{f,g} (J, J')$  is  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian if and only if  $f(A)$  and  $g(A)$  are  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian.*

*Proof.* Since  $J \subseteq \text{Im}(f)$ , we have  $f(A) + J = f(A)$ . Similarly,  $g(A) + J' = g(A)$ . Suppose  $A \bowtie^{f,g} (J, J')$  is  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian. Let  $I$  be a nil ideal of  $f(A)$  generated by  $\{f(a_i) \mid a_i \in \Lambda\}$ . Since  $\text{Ker}(f)$  is nil, each  $a_i$  is also nilpotent. Consider the ideal  $K$  of  $A \bowtie^{f,g} (J, J')$  generated by  $\{(f(a_i), g(a_i)) \mid a_i \in \Lambda\}$ . Then  $K$  is nil and thus

finitely generated. So there is a finite subset  $\Lambda_0 \subseteq \Lambda$  such that  $K$  is generated by  $\{(f(a_i), g(a_i)) \mid a_i \in \Lambda_0\}$ . Thus  $I$  is generated by  $\{f(a_i) \mid a_i \in \Lambda_0\}$ . Hence  $f(A)$  is  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian. Similarly, we have  $g(A)$  is  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian.

Suppose  $f(A)$  and  $g(A)$  are  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian. Let  $I$  be a nil ideal of  $A \bowtie^{f,g} (J, J')$  generated by  $\{(f(a_i) + j_i, g(a_i) + j'_i) \mid i \in \Lambda\} \subseteq f(A) \times g(A)$ . Then the ideals  $\langle f(a_i) + j_i \mid i \in \Lambda \rangle \subseteq \text{Nil}(f(A) + J) = \text{Nil}(f(A))$  and  $\langle g(a_i) + j'_i \mid i \in \Lambda \rangle \subseteq \text{Nil}(g(A) + J') = \text{Nil}(g(A))$  with each  $a_i \in \text{Nil}(A)$  by Lemma 2.2. So there is a finitely subset  $\Lambda_0 \subseteq \Lambda$  such that  $\langle f(a_i) + j_i \mid i \in \Lambda \rangle = \langle f(a_i) + j_i \mid i \in \Lambda_0 \rangle$  and  $\langle g(a_i) + j'_i \mid i \in \Lambda \rangle = \langle g(a_i) + j'_i \mid i \in \Lambda_0 \rangle$ . Hence  $I$  is a nil ideal of  $A \bowtie^{f,g} (J, J')$  generated by  $\{(f(a_i) + j_i, g(a_i) + j'_i) \mid i \in \Lambda_0\}$ . So  $A \bowtie^{f,g} (J, J')$  is  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian.  $\square$

Recall from [3] that, by setting  $g = \text{Id}_A : A \rightarrow A$  to be the identity homomorphism of  $A$ , we denote by  $A \bowtie^f J = A \bowtie^{f, \text{Id}_A} (J, f^{-1}(J))$  and call it the amalgamated algebra of  $A$  with  $B$  along  $J$  with respect to  $f$ . Note that in this situation, the ring homomorphism  $i : A \rightarrow A \bowtie^f J$ , defined by  $i(a) = (a, f(a))$  for any  $a \in A$ , is an  $A$ -module retract.

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be a ring homomorphism and  $J$  an ideal of  $B$ . If  $A \bowtie^f J$  is  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian, so is  $A$ . Moreover, if  $J \subseteq \text{Im}(f)$  and  $\text{Ker}(f)$  is nil, or  $f^{-1}(J)$  is nil, then  $A \bowtie^f J$  is  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian if and only if  $A$  is  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $A \bowtie^f J$  is  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian. Then  $A$  is  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian by Proposition 1.5. Since  $f(A) \cong A/\text{Ker}(f)$ , the equivalence follows by Lemma 1.2, Theorem 2.4 and Proposition 2.3 respectively.  $\square$

Recall from [4] that, by setting  $f = \text{Id}_A : A \rightarrow A$  to be the identity homomorphism of  $A$ , we denote by  $A \bowtie J = A \bowtie^{\text{Id}_A} J$  and call it the amalgamated algebra of  $A$  along  $J$ . By Proposition 2.5, we obviously have the following result.

**Corollary 2.6.** *Let  $J$  be an ideal of  $A$ . Then  $A \bowtie J$  is  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian if and only if  $A$  is  $\text{Nil}_*$ -Noetherian.*

### Acknowledgement.

The author was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12061001).

### REFERENCES

- [1] K. Alaoui Ismaili, D. E. Dobbs and N. Mahdou, *Commutative rings and modules that are  $\text{Nil}_*$ -coherent or special  $\text{Nil}_*$ -coherent*, J. Algebra Appl, 16 (2017) 1750187.
- [2] D. D. Anderson, M. Winders, *Idealization of a module*, J. Commut. Algebra 1 (2009) 3-56.



- [3] M. D'Anna, C. Finocchiaro and M. Fontana, *Amalgamated algebras along an ideal*, in: M. Fontana, S. Kabbaj, B. Olberding, I. Swanson (Eds.), *Commutative Algebra and its Applications*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, (2009) 155-172.
- [4] M. D'Anna and M. Fontana, *An amalgamated duplication of a ring along an ideal: the basic properties*, *J. Algebra Appl.* **6**(3) (2007) 443-459.
- [5] J. A. Huckaba, *Commutative rings with Zero Divisors*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, **117**, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1988.
- [6] S. Kabbaj, K. Louartiti, and M. Tamekkante, *Bi-amalgamated algebras along ideals*, *J. Commut. Algebra* **9** (2017) 65-87.
- [7] B. Stenström, *Rings of Quotients*, Die Grundlehren Der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Berlin: Springer-verlag, 1975.
- [8] F. G. Wang, H. Kim, *Foundations of Commutative rings and Their Modules*, Singapore: Springer, 2016.
- [9] Y. Xiang and L. Ouyang,  *$Nil_*$ -coherent rings*, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* **51** (2014) 579-594.
- [10] X. L. Zhang, *A homological characterization of Prüfer  $v$ -multiplicaiton rings*, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* **59**(1) (2022) 213-226