

# THE CHARACTERISTIC POLYNOMIAL IN CALCULATION OF EXPONENTIAL AND ELEMENTARY FUNCTIONS IN CLIFFORD ALGEBRAS

ARTŪRAS ACUS<sup>1</sup> AND ADOLFAS DARGYS<sup>2</sup>

ABSTRACT. Formulas to calculate multivector exponentials in a base-free representation and in a orthonormal basis are presented for an arbitrary Clifford geometric algebra  $Cl_{p,q}$ . The formulas are based on the analysis of roots of characteristic polynomial of a multivector. Elaborate examples how to use the formulas in practice are presented. The results may be useful in the quantum circuits or in the problems of analysis of evolution of the entangled quantum states.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND NOTATION

In the Clifford geometric algebra  $Cl_{p,q}$  the exponential functions of multivector (MV) are frequently encountered [1, 2, 3]. It is enough to mention a famous half-angle rotor in the geometric algebra (GA) that finds a wide application from simple rotations of animated drawings up to applications in the 4-dimensional relativity theory. Two kinds of exponential rotors exist which are related to trigonometric and hyperbolic functions. In higher dimensional GAs a mixing of trigonometric and hyperbolic functions was found [4, 5]. In this paper the method to calculate the exponential functions of a general multivector MV in an arbitrary GA is presented applying for this purpose the characteristic polynomial in a form of MV. In Sec. 2 the methods to generate characteristic polynomials in  $Cl_{p,q}$  algebras characterized by arbitrary signature  $\{p, q\}$  and dimension  $n = p + q$  are discussed. The method of calculation of exponential is presented in Sec.3. In Sec. 4 we demonstrate that the obtained GA exponentials may be used to find GA elementary and special functions. Below, the notation used in the paper is described briefly.

In the orthonormalized basis used here the geometric product of basis vectors  $\mathbf{e}_i$  and  $\mathbf{e}_j$  satisfies the anti-commutation relation,  $\mathbf{e}_j + \mathbf{e}_j \mathbf{e}_i = \pm 2\delta_{ij}$ . The number of subscripts indicates the grade. The scalar has no index and is a grade-0 element, the vectors  $\mathbf{e}_i$  are the grade-1 elements, the bivectors  $\mathbf{e}_{ij}$  are grade-2 elements, etc. For mixed signature  $Cl_{p,q}$  algebra the squares of basis vectors, correspondingly, are  $\mathbf{e}_i^2 = +1$  and  $\mathbf{e}_j^2 = -1$ , where  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$  and  $j = p + 1, \dots, p + q$ . The sum  $n = p + q$  is the dimension of the vector space. The general MV is expressed as

$$A = a_0 + \sum_i a_i \mathbf{e}_i + \sum_{i < j} a_{ij} \mathbf{e}_{ij} + \sum_{i < j < k} a_{ijk} \mathbf{e}_{ijk} + \dots + \sum_{i < j < \dots < n = p+q} \underbrace{a_{ij\dots n}}_{p+q=n} \mathbf{e}_{ij\dots n} = a_0 + \sum_J^{2^n - 1} a_J \mathbf{e}_J,$$

where  $a_i, a_{ij}\dots$  are the real coefficients. Since the calculations have been done by GA package written in *Mathematica* language the following it appears convenient to write the basis elements  $\mathbf{e}_{ij}\dots$  and indices in the reverse degree lexicographic ordering, accordingly. For example, when  $p+q = 3$  then the basis elements and indices are listed in the order  $\{1, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_{12}, \mathbf{e}_{13}, \mathbf{e}_{23}, \mathbf{e}_{123} \equiv \mathbf{I}\}$  i.e., the basis element numbering always increases from left to right. During computation this ordering is generated by *Mathematica* program automatically. This is important because swapping of two adjacent indices changes basis element sign.

The ordered set of indices will be denoted by single capital letter  $J$  referred to as multi-index the values of which run over already mentioned list (also see the last expression in Eq. (1)). Note, that in the multi-index representation the scalar is deliberately excluded from summation as indicated by upper range  $2^n - 1$  in the sum in the last expression. The convention is useful since in the function formulas below the scalar term always has a simpler form. The highest degree element (pseudoscalar) will be denoted as  $I$ , and the corresponding coefficient as  $a_I$ .

We shall need three grade involutions: the reversion (e.g.,  $\widetilde{\mathbf{e}}_{12} = \mathbf{e}_{21} = -\mathbf{e}_{12}$ ), the inverse (e.g.,  $\widehat{\mathbf{e}}_{123} = (-\mathbf{e}_1)(-\mathbf{e}_2)(-\mathbf{e}_3) = \mathbf{e}_{123}$ ) and their combination called Clifford conjugation  $\overline{\mathbf{e}}_{123} = \widehat{\mathbf{e}}_{321} = -\mathbf{e}_{321} = \mathbf{e}_{123}$ ). Also we shall need the Hermitian conjugate MV  $A^\dagger$  and non-zero grade negation operation denoted by overline  $\overline{A}$ . The MV Hermitian conjugation expressed for basis elements  $\mathbf{e}_J$  in both real and complex GAs can be written as [3, 6]

$$A^\dagger = a_0^* + a_1^* \mathbf{e}_1^{-1} + \dots + a_{12}^* \mathbf{e}_{12}^{-1} + \dots + a_{123}^* \mathbf{e}_{123}^{-1} \dots = \sum_J a_J^* \mathbf{e}_J^{-1},$$

where  $a_J^*$  s the complex conjugated  $J$ -th coefficient.<sup>1</sup> For each multi-index that represents the basis vector with  $\mathbf{e}_J^2 = +1$  the Hermitian conjugation does nothing but changes signs if  $\mathbf{e}_J^2 = -1$ . Therefore, the basis elements  $\mathbf{e}_J$  and  $\mathbf{e}_J^\dagger$  can differ by sign only. The non-zero grade negation is an operation that changes signs of all grades to opposite except the scalar, i.e.  $\overline{A} = a_0 - \sum_J^{2^n - 1} a_J \mathbf{e}_J$ .

## 2. MV CHARACTERISTIC POLYNOMIAL AND EQUATION

The algorithm to calculate the exponential and associated functions presented below is based on a characteristic polynomial. There is a number of methods adapted to MVs, for example, based on MV determinant, recursive Faddeev-LeVerrier method adapted to GA and the method related to Bell polynomials [7, 8, 9]. In this section the methods are briefly summarized.

<sup>1</sup>There is a simple trick to find  $\mathbf{e}_J^{-1}$ . Formally raise all indices and then lower them down but now taking into account the considered algebra signature  $\{p, q\}$ . Finally, apply the reversion. For example, in  $Cl_{0,3}$  we have  $\mathbf{e}_{123} \rightarrow \mathbf{e}^{123} \rightarrow -\mathbf{e}_{123} \rightarrow -\widetilde{\mathbf{e}}_{123} \rightarrow \mathbf{e}_{123}$ . Thus,  $\mathbf{e}_{123}^\dagger = \mathbf{e}_{123}$ .

$Cl_{p,q}$	$\text{Det}(\mathbf{A})$
$p + q = 1, 2$	$\overline{\mathbf{A}\overline{\mathbf{A}}}$
$p + q = 3, 4$	$\frac{1}{3}(\overline{\mathbf{A}\overline{\mathbf{A}\overline{\mathbf{A}}}} + 2\overline{\mathbf{A}\overline{\mathbf{A}\overline{\mathbf{A}\overline{\mathbf{A}}}}})$
$p + q = 5, 6$	$\frac{1}{3}(\overline{\mathbf{H}\overline{\mathbf{H}\overline{\mathbf{H}\overline{\mathbf{H}}}}} + 2\overline{\mathbf{H}\overline{\mathbf{H}\overline{\mathbf{H}\overline{\mathbf{H}\overline{\mathbf{H}}}}}})$ with $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{A}\overline{\mathbf{A}}$

TABLE 1. Optimized expressions for determinant of MV  $\mathbf{A}$  in low dimensional GAs  $n = p + q \leq 6$ . The overbar denotes a negation of all grades except the scalar,  $\overline{\mathbf{A}} := \langle \mathbf{A} \rangle_0 - \sum_{k=1}^n \langle \mathbf{A} \rangle_k = 2\langle \mathbf{A} \rangle_0 - \mathbf{A}$ .

Every MV  $\mathbf{A} \in Cl_{p,q}$  has a characteristic polynomial  $\chi_{\mathbf{A}}(\lambda)$  of degree  $2^d$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ , where  $d = 2^{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil}$  is the integer,  $n = p + q$ . In particular,  $d = 2^{n/2}$  if  $n$  is even and  $d = 2^{(n+1)/2}$  if  $n$  is odd. The integer  $d$  may be also interpreted as a dimension of real or complex matrix representation of Clifford algebra in the 8-fold periodicity table [2]. The characteristic polynomial that follows from determinant of a MV  $\mathbf{A}$  is defined by

$$(3) \quad \chi_{\mathbf{A}}(\lambda) = -\text{Det}(\lambda - \mathbf{A}) = \sum_{k=0}^d C_{(d-k)}(\mathbf{A}) \lambda^k = C_{(0)}(\mathbf{A}) \lambda^d + C_{(1)}(\mathbf{A}) \lambda^{d-1} + \cdots + C_{(d-1)}(\mathbf{A}) \lambda + C_{(d)}(\mathbf{A}).$$

The variable in the characteristic polynomial will be always denoted by  $\lambda$  and the roots of  $\chi_{\mathbf{A}}(\lambda) = 0$  (called the characteristic equation) by  $\lambda_i$ , respectively.  $C_{(k)} \equiv C_{(k)}(\mathbf{A})$  are the real coefficients defined for the MV  $\mathbf{A}$ . The coefficient at the highest power of variable  $\lambda$  is always assumed  $C_{(0)} = -1$ . The coefficient  $C_{(1)}(\mathbf{A})$  is connected with MV trace,  $C_{(1)}(\mathbf{A}) = \text{Tr}(\mathbf{A}) = d\langle \mathbf{A} \rangle_0$ . The coefficient  $C_{(d)}(\mathbf{A})$  is related to MV determinant  $\text{Det} \mathbf{A} = -C_{(d)}(\mathbf{A})$ .

Table 1 shows how the MV determinant (which is a real number) can be calculated in low dimension GAs,  $n \leq 6$ . This table also may be used to find the coefficients  $C_{(k)}(\mathbf{A})$  in the characteristic polynomial (3). For a concrete algebra it is enough to replace  $\mathbf{A}$ 's in the Table 1 by  $(\lambda - \mathbf{A})$ . For example, in case of Hamilton quaternion algebra  $Cl_{0,2}$  we have  $\mathbf{A} = a_0 + a_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + a_2 \mathbf{e}_2 + a_{12} \mathbf{e}_{12}$  and  $\overline{\mathbf{A}} = a_0 - a_1 \mathbf{e}_1 - a_2 \mathbf{e}_2 - a_{12} \mathbf{e}_{12}$ , then

$$(4) \quad \chi_{\mathbf{A}}(\lambda) = -\text{Det}(\lambda - \mathbf{A}) = -(\lambda - \mathbf{A})(\lambda - \overline{\mathbf{A}}) = -(a_0^2 + a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_{12}^2) + 2a_0\lambda - \lambda^2.$$

Thus,  $C_{(0)} = -1$ ,  $C_{(1)} = 2a_0 = \text{Tr} \mathbf{A}$  and  $C_{(2)} = -(a_0^2 + a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_{12}^2) = -\text{Det} \mathbf{A}$  which is in accord with that calculated from quaternion matrix representation  $\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 + ia_1 & a_2 + ia_{12} \\ -a_2 + ia_{12} & a_0 - ia_1 \end{pmatrix}$ . The table can be also used to find values of other coefficients  $C_{(k)}(\mathbf{A})$  of polynomial (3). To this end it is enough to replace  $\text{Det}(\mathbf{A})$  in Table 1 by  $\text{Det}(\lambda - \mathbf{A})$  and then recursively differentiate with respect to  $\lambda$  a proper number of times,

$$(5) \quad C_{(k-1)}(\mathbf{A}) = -\frac{1}{d - (k-1)} \left. \frac{\partial C_{(k)}(\lambda - \mathbf{A})}{\partial \lambda} \right|_{\lambda=0} \quad k = d, \dots, 1,$$

which is a straightforward method to obtain the coefficient at  $\lambda^{d-k}$  for any polynomial.

In Faddeev-Leverrier method and its modifications [7, 10, 11, 12] the coefficients  $C_{(k)}(\mathbf{A})$  in polynomial (3) are calculated recursively, beginning from  $C_{(1)}(\mathbf{A})$  and ending with  $C_{(d)}(\mathbf{A})$ . We start from multivector  $\mathbf{A}_{(1)}$  by setting  $\mathbf{A}_{(1)} = \mathbf{A}$ . Then compute the coefficient  $C_{(k)}(\mathbf{A}) = \frac{d}{k} \langle \mathbf{A}_{(k)} \rangle_0$  and in the next step the new MV  $\mathbf{A}_{(k+1)} = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{A}_{(k)} - C_{(k)})$ :

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}_{(1)} = \mathbf{A} &\rightarrow C_{(1)}(\mathbf{A}) = \frac{d}{1} \langle \mathbf{A}_{(1)} \rangle_0, \\ \mathbf{A}_{(2)} = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{A}_{(1)} - C_{(1)}) &\rightarrow C_{(2)}(\mathbf{A}) = \frac{d}{2} \langle \mathbf{A}_{(2)} \rangle_0, \\ &\vdots \\ \mathbf{A}_{(d)} = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{A}_{(d-1)} - C_{(d-1)}) &\rightarrow C_{(d)}(\mathbf{A}) = \frac{d}{d} \langle \mathbf{A}_{(d-1)} \rangle_0. \end{aligned}$$

The determinant of MV then is  $\text{Det}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{A}_{(d)} = -C_{(d)} = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{A}_{(d-1)} - C_{(d-1)})$ . This algorithm if adapted to GA allows to compute characteristic polynomials for MV of arbitrary algebra  $Cl_{p,q}$ . In alternative recursive method [7] one starts from  $C'_{(0)} = 1$  rather<sup>2</sup> than  $C_{(0)}(\mathbf{A}) = -1$  and initial MV  $\mathbf{B}_0 = 1$ , and uses the following iterative procedure,

$$(7) \quad C'_{(k)} = -\text{Tr}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}_{k-1})/k, \quad \mathbf{B}_k = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}_{k-1} + C'_{(k)}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, d.$$

The trace may be calculated after multiplication of MVs and taking the scalar part of the result,  $\text{Tr}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}_{k-1}) = d\langle \mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}_{k-1} \rangle_0$ , or using the trace formula for products of MVs [8, 9].

Also the coefficients of characteristic polynomial can be deduced from complete Bell polynomials. In this approach a set of scalars is used [8, 9],

$$(8) \quad S_{(k)}(\mathbf{A}) := (-1)^{k-1} d(k-1)! \langle \mathbf{A}^k \rangle_0, \quad k = 1, \dots, d,$$

where  $\langle \mathbf{A}^k \rangle_0$  is the scalar part of MV raised to  $k$  power. The needed coefficients are given by

$$(9) \quad C_{(0)}(\mathbf{A}) = -1; \quad C_{(k)}(\mathbf{A}) = \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k!} B_k(S_{(1)}(\mathbf{A}), S_{(2)}(\mathbf{A}), S_{(3)}(\mathbf{A}), \dots, S_{(k)}(\mathbf{A})), \quad k = 1, \dots, d,$$

<sup>2</sup>This means that all characteristic coefficients computed with this formula are of opposite sign than obtained by (6), i.e.  $C'_{(k)} = -C_{(k)}$ .

where  $B_k(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  are the complete Bell polynomials, The first Bell polynomials<sup>3</sup> are defined by relations

$$\begin{aligned}
(10) \quad & B_0 = 1, \quad B_1(x_1) = B_0 x_1 = x_1, \\
& B_2(x_1, x_2) = B_1 x_1 + B_0 x_2 = x_1^2 + x_2, \\
& B_3(x_1, x_2, x_3) = B_2 x_1 + \binom{2}{1} B_1 x_2 + B_0 x_3 = x_1^3 + 3x_1 x_2 + x_3, \\
& B_4(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = B_3 x_1 + \binom{3}{1} B_2 x_2 + \binom{3}{2} B_1 x_3 + B_0 x_4 = x_1^4 + 6x_1^2 x_2 + 4x_1 x_3 + 3x_2^2 + x_4, \\
& B_5(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = B_4 x_1 + \binom{4}{1} B_3 x_2 + \binom{4}{2} B_2 x_3 + \binom{4}{3} B_1 x_4 + B_0 x_5 = x_1^5 + 6x_1^3 x_2 + 4x_1^2 x_3 + 3x_1 x_4 + x_5,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\binom{n}{r}$  is the binomial coefficient. This sequence can be easily extended to higher orders. The complete Bell polynomials also can be represented in a form matrix determinant [13].

The coefficients of characteristic equation satisfy the following properties

$$(11) \quad \frac{\partial C_{(k)}(tA)}{\partial t} = kt^{k-1} C_{(k)}(tA), \quad \frac{\partial C_{(1)}(tA^k)}{\partial t} = kt^{k-1} C_{(1)}(tA^k),$$

where  $t$  is a scalar parameter. We will utilize these properties when proving the exponential formula.

Since provided below formulas contain the sums over roots of characteristic polynomial, it is worth to remind generalized Viète's formulas that relate coefficients of characteristic polynomial to specific sums over the roots  $r_i$ :

$$(12) \quad r_1 + r_2 + \dots + r_{d-1} + r_d = (-1)^1 \frac{C_{(1)}}{C_{(0)}}$$

$$(13) \quad (r_1 r_2 + r_1 r_3 + \dots + r_1 r_d) + (r_2 r_3 + r_2 r_4 + \dots + r_2 r_d) + \dots + r_{d-1} r_d = (-1)^2 \frac{C_{(2)}}{C_{(0)}}$$

⋮

$$(14) \quad r_1 r_2 \dots r_d = (-1)^d \frac{C_{(d)}}{C_{(0)}}.$$

The other interesting identity [11], which is important for integral representation of functions is

$$(15) \quad \text{Tr } \mathcal{L}(e^{tA}) = \frac{\chi'_A(\lambda)}{\chi_A(\lambda)},$$

where  $\mathcal{L}$  denotes Laplace transform  $\mathcal{L}(e^{tA}) = (\lambda - A)^{-1}$  of MV  $A$  and  $\chi'_A(\lambda)$  is derivative of the characteristic polynomial  $\chi_A(\lambda)$  (see (3)) with respect to polynomial variable  $\lambda$ .

In matrix theory very important polynomial is a minimal polynomial  $\mu_A(\lambda)$ . It establishes the conditions of diagonalizability of matrix  $A$ . Similar polynomial  $\mu_A(\lambda)$  may be defined for MV. In particular, it is well-known that matrix is diagonalizable (aka nondefective) if and only if the minimal polynomial of the matrix does not have multiple roots, i.e., when the minimal polynomial is a product of distinct linear factors. It is also well-known that the minimal polynomial divides the characteristic polynomial. If roots of characteristic equation are all different, then matrix/MV is diagonalizable. The converse, unfortunately, is not true, i.e., MV, the characteristic polynomial of which has multiple roots, may be diagonalizable. It is also established [14] that in case of matrices over the complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ , almost every matrix is diagonalizable, i.e., the set of complex  $d \times d$  matrices that are not diagonalizable over  $\mathbb{C}$  – considered as a subset of  $\mathbb{C}^{d \times d}$  – has the Lebesgue measure zero. An algorithm how to compute minimal polynomial of MV without doing reference to matrix representation of the MV is given in Appendix 6.

### 3. MV EXPONENTIALS IN $Cl_{p,q}$ ALGEBRA

#### 3.1. Exponential of MV in coordinate (orthogonal basis) form.

**Theorem 1** (Exponential in coordinate form). *The exponential of a general  $Cl_{p,q}$  MV  $A$  given by Eq. (1) is the multivector*

$$(16) \quad \exp(A) = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=1}^d \exp(\lambda_i) \left( 1 + \sum_J \mathbf{e}_J \frac{\sum_{m=0}^{d-2} \lambda_i^m \sum_{k=0}^{d-m-2} C_{(k)}(A) C_{(1)}(\mathbf{e}_J^\dagger A^{d-k-m-1})}{\sum_{k=0}^{d-1} (k+1) C_{(d-k-1)}(A) \lambda_i^k} \right)$$

$$(17) \quad = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=1}^d \exp(\lambda_i) \left( 1 + \sum_J \mathbf{e}_J b_J(\lambda_i) \right), \quad b_J(\lambda_i) \in \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}.$$

Here  $\lambda_i$  and  $\lambda_i^j$  denotes, respectively, the root of a characteristic equation and the root raised to power  $j$ . The sum is over all roots  $\lambda_i$  of characteristic equation  $\chi_A(\lambda) = 0$ , where  $\chi_A(\lambda)$  is the characteristic polynomial of MV  $A$  expressed as  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^d C_{(d-i)}(A) \lambda^i$ , and where  $C_{(d-i)}(A)$  are the coefficients at variable  $\lambda$  raised to power  $i$ . The symbol  $C_{(1)}(\mathbf{e}_J^\dagger A^k) = d \langle \mathbf{e}_J^\dagger A^k \rangle_0$  denotes the first coefficient (the coefficient at  $\lambda^{d-1}$ ) in the characteristic polynomial that consists of geometric product of the hermitian conjugate basis element  $\mathbf{e}_J^\dagger$  and  $k$ -th power of initial MV:  $\mathbf{e}_J^\dagger A^k = \mathbf{e}_J^\dagger \underbrace{AA \dots A}_{k \text{ terms}}$ .

Note, because the roots of characteristic equation in general are the complex numbers, the individual terms in sums are complex. However, the result  $\exp(A)$  always simplifies to a real number (see subsection 3.3).

<sup>3</sup>Mathematica v.10 already has function for partial Bell polynomials BellY[*]*. The Bell Complete Polynomial then can be computed as BellCP[x\_List]:=Sum[BellY[Length[x], k, x], {k,1,Length[x]}], where x\_List is a list of variables  $x_i$ .

*Proof.* The proof is based on checking the following defining equation below for MV exponential presented in Eq. (16)

$$(18) \quad \left. \frac{\partial \exp(At)}{\partial t} \right|_{t=1} = A \exp(A) = \exp(A)A,$$

where the MV  $A$  is assumed to be independent of scalar parameter  $t$ . After differentiation with respect to  $t$  and then setting  $t = 1$ , one can verify that the result indeed is  $A \exp(A)$ . At this moment we have explicitly checked the formula (16) symbolically when  $n = p + q \leq 5$  and numerically up to  $n \leq 10$ . To establish non contradicting nature of (16) for a general  $n$  it seems more appropriate to resort to coordinate-free or base-free formula (see Eq. (20)).  $\square$

**Example 1.** *Exponential of generic MV in  $Cl_{0,3}$  with all different roots.* Let's compute the exponential of  $A = 8 - 6\mathbf{e}_2 - 9\mathbf{e}_3 + 5\mathbf{e}_{12} - 5\mathbf{e}_{13} + 6\mathbf{e}_{23} - 4\mathbf{e}_{123}$  with Eq. (16). We find  $d = 4$ . Computation of coefficients of characteristic polynomial  $\chi_A(\lambda) = C_{(4)}(A) + C_{(3)}(A)\lambda + C_{(2)}(A)\lambda^2 + C_{(1)}(A)\lambda^3 + C_{(0)}(A)\lambda^4$  for MV  $A$  yields  $C_{(0)}(A) = -1$ ,  $C_{(1)}(A) = 32$ ,  $C_{(2)}(A) = -758$ ,  $C_{(3)}(A) = 10432$ ,  $C_{(4)}(A) = -72693$ . The characteristic equation  $\chi_A(\lambda) = 0$  then becomes  $-72693 + 10432\lambda - 758\lambda^2 + 32\lambda^3 - \lambda^4 = 0$ , that has four different roots  $\lambda_1 = 12 - i\sqrt{53}$ ,  $\lambda_2 = 12 + i\sqrt{53}$ ,  $\lambda_3 = 4 - i\sqrt{353}$ ,  $\lambda_4 = 4 + i\sqrt{353}$ . For every multi-index  $J$  and each root  $\lambda_i$  we have to compute coefficients

$$b_J(\lambda_i) = \frac{-\lambda_i^2 C_{(1)}(\mathbf{e}_J^\dagger A) + \lambda_i (32C_{(1)}(\mathbf{e}_J^\dagger A) - C_{(1)}(\mathbf{e}_J^\dagger A^2)) - 758C_{(1)}(\mathbf{e}_J^\dagger A) + 32C_{(1)}(\mathbf{e}_J^\dagger A^2) - C_{(1)}(\mathbf{e}_J^\dagger A^3)}{-4\lambda_i^3 + 96\lambda_i^2 - 1516\lambda_i + 10432},$$

where we still have to substitute the coefficients  $C_{(1)}(\mathbf{e}_J^\dagger A^k)$

$C_{(1)}(\mathbf{e}_J^\dagger A^k)$	$\mathbf{e}_1^\dagger$	$\mathbf{e}_2^\dagger$	$\mathbf{e}_3^\dagger$	$\mathbf{e}_{12}^\dagger$	$\mathbf{e}_{13}^\dagger$	$\mathbf{e}_{23}^\dagger$	$\mathbf{e}_{123}^\dagger$
$k = 1$	0	-24	-36	20	-20	24	-16
$k = 2$	192	-224	-416	32	-128	384	-856
$k = 3$	8208	5952	5508	-11572	7468	888	-7984

different for each multi-index  $J$ . The Hermite conjugate elements are  $\mathbf{e}_J^\dagger = \{-\mathbf{e}_1, -\mathbf{e}_2, -\mathbf{e}_3, -\mathbf{e}_{12}, -\mathbf{e}_{13}, -\mathbf{e}_{23}, \mathbf{e}_{123}\}$ . After substituting all computed quantities into (16) we finally get, where  $\alpha = \sqrt{53}$  and  $\beta = \sqrt{353}$ ,

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} \exp(A) = & \frac{1}{2}e^4 (e^8 (\cos(\alpha) + \cos(\beta)) + \left( \frac{3}{\alpha}e^{12} \sin(\alpha) - \frac{3}{\beta}e^4 \sin(\beta) \right) \mathbf{e}_1 \\ & + \left( \frac{-1}{2\alpha}e^{12} \sin(\alpha) - \frac{11}{2\beta}e^4 \sin(\beta) \right) \mathbf{e}_2 + \left( -\frac{2}{\alpha}e^{12} \sin(\alpha) - \frac{7}{\beta}e^4 \sin(\beta) \right) \mathbf{e}_3 \\ & + \left( -\frac{2}{\alpha}e^{12} \sin(\alpha) + \frac{7}{\beta}e^4 \sin(\beta) \right) \mathbf{e}_{12} + \left( \frac{1}{2\alpha}e^{12} \sin(\alpha) - \frac{11}{2\beta}e^4 \sin(\beta) \right) \mathbf{e}_{13} \\ & + \left( \frac{3}{\alpha}e^{12} \sin(\alpha) + \frac{3}{\beta}e^4 \sin(\beta) \right) \mathbf{e}_{23} + \frac{1}{2}e^4 (\cos(\beta) - e^8 \cos(\alpha)) \mathbf{e}_{123}. \end{aligned}$$

which (after simplification) coincides with our earlier result [15].

**Example 2.** *Exponential of generic MV in  $Cl_{4,2}$  with different roots.* Let's compute the exponential of  $A = 2 + 3\mathbf{e}_4 + 3\mathbf{e}_{26} + \mathbf{e}_{1345} - 2\mathbf{e}_{12456} + 3\mathbf{e}_{123456}$  using formula (16). In this case  $d = 8$  and  $\chi_A(\lambda) = C_{(8)}(A) + C_{(7)}(A)\lambda + C_{(6)}(A)\lambda^2 + C_{(5)}(A)\lambda^3 + C_{(4)}(A)\lambda^4 + C_{(3)}(A)\lambda^5 + C_{(2)}(A)\lambda^6 + C_{(1)}(A)\lambda^7 + C_{(0)}(A)\lambda^8$ . The coefficients of characteristic polynomial  $\chi_A(\lambda)$  are  $C_{(0)}(A) = -1$ ,  $C_{(1)}(A) = 16$ ,  $C_{(2)}(A) = -64$ ,  $C_{(3)}(A) = 16$ ,  $C_{(4)}(A) = 32$ ,  $C_{(5)}(A) = -1280$ ,  $C_{(6)}(A) = 20672$ ,  $C_{(7)}(A) = -42752$ ,  $C_{(8)}(A) = 14336$ . The characteristic equation  $\chi_A(\lambda) = 0$  is  $14336 - 42752\lambda + 20672\lambda^2 - 1280\lambda^3 + 32\lambda^4 + 16\lambda^5 - 64\lambda^6 + 16\lambda^7 - \lambda^8 = 0$ . It has eight different roots  $\lambda_1 = -4$ ,  $\lambda_2 = 2$ ,  $\lambda_3 = 5 - i\sqrt{3}$ ,  $\lambda_4 = 5 + i\sqrt{3}$ ,  $\lambda_5 = -1 - i\sqrt{15}$ ,  $\lambda_6 = -1 + i\sqrt{15}$ ,  $\lambda_7 = 5 - \sqrt{21}$ ,  $\lambda_8 = 5 + \sqrt{21}$ . Then for every multi-index  $J$  and each root  $\lambda_i$  we have to compute the coefficients

$$\begin{aligned} b_J(\lambda_i) = & \left( C_0(A)C_1(\mathbf{e}_J^\dagger A^1)\lambda_i^6 + (C_1(A)C_1(\mathbf{e}_J^\dagger A^1) + C_0(A)C_1(\mathbf{e}_J^\dagger A^2))\lambda_i^5 + (C_2(A)C_1(\mathbf{e}_J^\dagger A^1) + C_1(A)C_1(\mathbf{e}_J^\dagger A^2) + C_0(A)C_1(\mathbf{e}_J^\dagger A^3))\lambda_i^4 \right. \\ & + (C_3(A)C_1(\mathbf{e}_J^\dagger A^1) + C_2(A)C_1(\mathbf{e}_J^\dagger A^2) + C_1(A)C_1(\mathbf{e}_J^\dagger A^3) + C_0(A)C_1(\mathbf{e}_J^\dagger A^4))\lambda_i^3 \\ & + (C_4(A)C_1(\mathbf{e}_J^\dagger A^1) + C_3(A)C_1(\mathbf{e}_J^\dagger A^2) + C_2(A)C_1(\mathbf{e}_J^\dagger A^3) + C_1(A)C_1(\mathbf{e}_J^\dagger A^4) + C_0(A)C_1(\mathbf{e}_J^\dagger A^5))\lambda_i^2 \\ & + (C_5(A)C_1(\mathbf{e}_J^\dagger A^1) + C_4(A)C_1(\mathbf{e}_J^\dagger A^2) + C_3(A)C_1(\mathbf{e}_J^\dagger A^3) + C_2(A)C_1(\mathbf{e}_J^\dagger A^4) + C_1(A)C_1(\mathbf{e}_J^\dagger A^5) + C_0(A)C_1(\mathbf{e}_J^\dagger A^6))\lambda_i \\ & + C_6(A)C_1(\mathbf{e}_J^\dagger A^1) + C_5(A)C_1(\mathbf{e}_J^\dagger A^2) + C_4(A)C_1(\mathbf{e}_J^\dagger A^3) + C_3(A)C_1(\mathbf{e}_J^\dagger A^4) + C_2(A)C_1(\mathbf{e}_J^\dagger A^5) + C_1(A)C_1(\mathbf{e}_J^\dagger A^6) \\ & \left. + C_0(A)C_1(\mathbf{e}_J^\dagger A^7) \right) / \left( 8\lambda_i^7 C_0(A) + 7\lambda_i^6 C_1(A) + 6\lambda_i^5 C_2(A) + 5\lambda_i^4 C_3(A) + 4\lambda_i^3 C_4(A) + 3\lambda_i^2 C_5(A) + 2\lambda_i C_6(A) + C_7(A) \right). \end{aligned}$$

The coefficients  $C_{(1)}(e_j^\dagger A^k)$  have values

$k$	$e_4^\dagger$	$e_{15}^\dagger$	$e_{26}^\dagger$	$e_{34}^\dagger$	$e_{145}^\dagger$	$e_{246}^\dagger$	$e_{1256}^\dagger$	$e_{1345}^\dagger$	$e_{2346}^\dagger$	$e_{12456}^\dagger$	$e_{123456}^\dagger$
1	24	0	24	0	0	0	0	8	0	-16	24
2	96	0	144	0	-96	-144	-96	-112	0	-64	48
3	1200	864	1008	-288	-672	-1008	-576	-672	96	-960	672
4	9792	8064	8256	-1152	-8832	-10368	-8064	-5312	-2688	-7808	5568
5	94848	80640	82944	-26496	-81792	-91008	-82560	-42752	-24960	-84992	46848
6	859008	787968	752256	-294912	-826368	-876672	-797184	-397824	-288768	-817152	370176
7	8221440	7628544	7243008	-3059712	-7972608	-8163072	-7531776	-3403264	-3028992	-8024320	3460608

In Table, not listed coefficients are zeroes. The Hermitian conjugate basis elements in the inverse degree lexicographical ordering are

$\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, -e_5, -e_6, -e_{12}, -e_{13}, -e_{14}, e_{15}, e_{16}, -e_{23}, -e_{24}, e_{25}, e_{26}, -e_{34}, e_{35}, e_{36}, e_{45}, e_{46}, -e_{56}, -e_{123}, -e_{124}, e_{125}, e_{126}, -e_{134}, e_{135}, e_{136}, e_{145}, e_{146}, -e_{156}, -e_{234}, e_{235}, e_{236}, e_{245}, e_{246}, -e_{256}, e_{345}, e_{346}, -e_{356}, -e_{456}, e_{1234}, -e_{1235}, -e_{1236}, -e_{1245}, -e_{1246}, e_{1256}, -e_{1345}, -e_{1346}, e_{1356}, e_{1456}, -e_{2345}, -e_{2346}, e_{2356}, e_{2456}, e_{3456}, -e_{12345}, -e_{12346}, e_{12356}, e_{12456}, e_{13456}, e_{23456}, -e_{123456}\}$ .

Substituting all quantities into (16) after simplification we get

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(A) = & \frac{1+e^6+2e^3 \cos \sqrt{15}+2e^9(\cos \sqrt{3}+\cosh \sqrt{21})}{8e^4} + \frac{-175+175e^6+14\sqrt{15}e^3 \sin \sqrt{15}+10\sqrt{3}e^9(7 \sin \sqrt{3}+5\sqrt{7} \sinh \sqrt{21})}{840e^4} e_4 \\ & - \frac{1+e^6-2e^3 \cos \sqrt{15}+2e^9(\cos \sqrt{3}-\cosh \sqrt{21})}{8e^4} e_{15} - \frac{1+e^6+2e^3 \cos \sqrt{15}-2e^9(\cos \sqrt{3}+\cosh \sqrt{21})}{8e^4} e_{26} \\ & + \frac{35-35e^6+14\sqrt{15}e^3 \sin \sqrt{15}+5\sqrt{3}e^9(7 \sin \sqrt{3}-\sqrt{7} \sinh \sqrt{21})}{210e^4} e_{34} + \frac{-175+175e^6-14\sqrt{15}e^3 \sin \sqrt{15}+10\sqrt{3}e^9(7 \sin \sqrt{3}-5\sqrt{7} \sinh \sqrt{21})}{840e^4} e_{145} \\ & + \frac{-175+175e^6+14\sqrt{15}e^3 \sin \sqrt{15}-10\sqrt{3}e^9(7 \sin \sqrt{3}+5\sqrt{7} \sinh \sqrt{21})}{840e^4} e_{246} - \frac{1+e^6-2e^3 \cos \sqrt{15}+2e^9(\cosh \sqrt{21}-\cos \sqrt{3})}{8e^4} e_{1256} \\ & + \frac{-35+35e^6+14\sqrt{15}e^3 \sin \sqrt{15}-5\sqrt{3}e^9(7 \sin \sqrt{3}+\sqrt{7} \sinh \sqrt{21})}{210e^4} e_{1345} + \frac{-35+35e^6-14\sqrt{15}e^3 \sin \sqrt{15}+5\sqrt{3}e^9(7 \sin \sqrt{3}-\sqrt{7} \sinh \sqrt{21})}{210e^4} e_{2346} \\ & + \frac{175-175e^6+14\sqrt{15}e^3 \sin \sqrt{15}+10\sqrt{3}e^9(7 \sin \sqrt{3}-5\sqrt{7} \sinh \sqrt{21})}{840e^4} e_{12456} + \frac{-35+35e^6+14\sqrt{15}e^3 \sin \sqrt{15}+5\sqrt{3}e^9(7 \sin \sqrt{3}+\sqrt{7} \sinh \sqrt{21})}{210e^4} e_{123456}. \end{aligned}$$

Coefficients at basis elements include both trigonometric and hyperbolic functions.

**3.2. Exponential in basis-free form.** The basis-free exponential follows from Eq. (16) after summation over the multi-index  $J$ .

**Theorem 2** (MV exponential in basis-free form). *In  $Cl_{p,q}$  algebra the exponential of a general MV  $A$  (see Eq. (1)) can be computed by the following formulas*

$$(20) \quad \exp(A) = \sum_{i=1}^d \exp(\lambda_i) \beta(\lambda_i) \sum_{m=0}^{d-1} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{d-m-1} \lambda_i^k C_{(d-k-m-1)}(A) \right) A^m$$

$$(21) \quad = \sum_{i=1}^d \exp(\lambda_i) \left( \frac{1}{d} + \beta(\lambda_i) \sum_{m=0}^{d-2} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{d-m-2} \lambda_i^k C_{(d-k-m-2)}(A) \right) \frac{(A^{m+1} - \overline{A^{m+1}})}{2} \right)$$

$$(22) \quad = \sum_{i=1}^d \exp(\lambda_i) \left( \frac{1}{d} + \beta(\lambda_i) B(\lambda_i) \right), \quad \text{with} \quad \beta(\lambda_i) = \frac{1}{\sum_{j=0}^{d-1} (j+1) C_{(d-j-1)}(A) \lambda_i^j}.$$

The expression  $\frac{1}{2}(A^{m+1} - \overline{A^{m+1}}) \equiv \langle A^{m+1} \rangle_{-0}$  indicates that all grades of multivector  $A^{m+1}$  are included except grade-0, since the scalar part is simply a sum of exponents of eigenvalues divided by  $d$ .

The form of exponential of MV in Theorem (20) has some similarity with exponential of square matrix [16], where the characteristic polynomial was used for this purpose too.

*Proof.* We will prove the basis-free formula (Theorem 2) by checking the defining equation for the exponential (20),

$$(23) \quad \left. \frac{\partial \exp(At)}{\partial t} \right|_{t=1} = A \exp(A) = \exp(A)A,$$

where  $A$  is independent of scalar parameter  $t$ . Since the MV commutes with itself, the multiplications of exponential from left and right by  $A$  gives the same result. Below, after differentiation with respect to  $t$  and then setting  $t = 1$ , we will verify that the result indeed is  $A \exp(A)$ .

First, using properties of characteristic coefficients (11) and noting that replacement  $A \rightarrow At$  implies  $\lambda_i \rightarrow \lambda_i t$  and performing differentiation  $\left. \frac{\partial \exp(At)}{\partial t} \right|_{t=1}$  we obtain that  $\exp(\lambda_i)$  of right hand side of (20) (and also of (16)) is replaced by  $\lambda_i \exp(\lambda_i)$ ,

$$(24) \quad \left. \frac{\partial \exp(At)}{\partial t} \right|_{t=1} = \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i \exp(\lambda_i) \beta(\lambda_i) \sum_{m=0}^{d-1} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{d-m-1} \lambda_i^k C_{(d-k-m-1)}(A) \right) A^m,$$

where the weight factor  $\beta(\lambda_i)$  does not play any role in the proof. Next, we multiply basis-free formula (20) by  $A$

$$(25) \quad A \exp(A) = \sum_{i=1}^d \exp(\lambda_i) \beta(\lambda_i) \sum_{m=0}^{d-1} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{d-m-1} \lambda_i^k C_{(d-k-m-1)}(A) \right) A^{m+1},$$

and subtract the second equation from the first for each fixed root  $\lambda_i$ , i.e. temporary ignore summation over roots,

$$(26) \quad \left( \frac{\partial \exp(\mathbf{A}t)}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=1} - \mathbf{A} \exp(\mathbf{A}) \right) \Big|_{\lambda_i} = \exp(\lambda_i) \beta(\lambda_i) \left( \sum_{k=1}^d \lambda_i^k C_{(d-k)}(\mathbf{A}) - \mathbf{A}^k C_{(d-k)}(\mathbf{A}) \right) \\ = \exp(\lambda_i) \beta(\lambda_i) \left( (\lambda_i^d - \mathbf{A}^d) C_{(0)}(\mathbf{A}) + (\lambda_i^{d-1} - \mathbf{A}^{d-1}) C_{(1)}(\mathbf{A}) + \cdots + (\lambda_i - \mathbf{A}) C_{(d-1)}(\mathbf{A}) \right).$$

From Cayley-Hamilton relations (which follow from algorithm (6))

$$\sum_{k=0}^d \mathbf{A}^k C_{(d-k)}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{A}^d C_{(0)}(\mathbf{A}) + \mathbf{A}^{d-1} C_{(1)}(\mathbf{A}) + \cdots + C_{(d)}(\mathbf{A}) = 0, \\ \sum_{k=0}^d \lambda_i^k C_{(d-k)}(\mathbf{A}) = \lambda_i^d C_{(0)}(\mathbf{A}) + \lambda_i^{d-1} C_{(1)}(\mathbf{A}) + \cdots + C_{(d)}(\mathbf{A}) = 0,$$

we solve for the highest powers  $\mathbf{A}^d$  and  $\lambda_i^d$  and after substituting them into the difference formula (26) after expansion we obtain zero. Since the identity holds for each of roots  $\lambda_i$ , it is true for a sum over roots as well.  $\square$

**Example 3.** *Exponential of MV in  $Cl_{4,0}$  with (multiple) zero eigenvalues.* Let's compute the exponential of  $\mathbf{A} = -4 - \mathbf{e}_1 - \mathbf{e}_2 - \mathbf{e}_3 - \mathbf{e}_4 - 2\sqrt{3}\mathbf{e}_{1234}$  with basis-free formula (21). Using Table 1 one can easily verify that  $\text{Det}(\mathbf{A}) = 0$ . For algebra  $Cl_{4,0}$  we find  $d = 4$ . The characteristic polynomial is  $\chi_{\mathbf{A}}(\lambda) = C_{(4)}(\mathbf{A}) + C_{(3)}(\mathbf{A})\lambda + C_{(2)}(\mathbf{A})\lambda^2 + C_{(1)}(\mathbf{A})\lambda^3 + C_{(0)}(\mathbf{A})\lambda^4 = -64\lambda^2 - 16\lambda^3 - \lambda^4 = -\lambda^2(8 + \lambda)^2$ . The roots are  $\lambda_1 = 0, \lambda_2 = 0, \lambda_3 = -8, \lambda_4 = -8$ . Since multiple roots appear we have to compute minimal polynomial (see Appendix 6) of  $\mathbf{A}$ , which is  $\mu_{\mathbf{A}}(\lambda) = \lambda(8 + \lambda)$ . Since minimal MV has only linear factors, i.e. the polynomial is square free, the MV is diagonalizable, and the formula (21) can be applied without modification. It is also easy to verify that the minimal polynomial divides the characteristic polynomial  $\chi_{\mathbf{A}}(\lambda)/\mu_{\mathbf{A}}(\lambda) = \frac{-\lambda^2(8+\lambda)^2}{\lambda(8+\lambda)} = -\lambda(8 + \lambda)$ . This confirms that non repeating roots of characteristic polynomial provide sufficient but not necessary criterion of diagonalizability. Then we have

$$(27) \quad \beta(\lambda_i)\mathbf{B}(\lambda_i) = \frac{1}{\sum_{j=0}^{d-1} (j+1) C_{(d-j-1)}(\mathbf{A}) \lambda_i^j} \sum_{m=0}^{d-2} \sum_{k=0}^{d-m-2} \lambda_i^k C_{(d-k-m-2)}(\mathbf{A}) \langle \mathbf{A}^{m+1} \rangle_{-0} \\ = \frac{8 + \lambda_i}{4\lambda_i(4 + \lambda_i)} \langle \mathbf{A} \rangle_{-0} + \frac{16 + \lambda_i}{4\lambda_i(4 + \lambda_i)(8 + \lambda_i)} \langle \mathbf{A}^2 \rangle_{-0} + \frac{1}{4\lambda_i(4 + \lambda_i)(8 + \lambda_i)} \langle \mathbf{A}^3 \rangle_{-0} \\ = -\frac{1}{\lambda_i + 4} - \frac{1}{4(\lambda_i + 4)} \mathbf{e}_1 - \frac{1}{4(\lambda_i + 4)} \mathbf{e}_2 - \frac{1}{4(\lambda_i + 4)} \mathbf{e}_3 - \frac{1}{4(\lambda_i + 4)} \mathbf{e}_4 - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\lambda_i + 8} \mathbf{e}_{1234}.$$

From the middle line one may suppose that sum over roots would yield division by zero due to zero denominators. The last line, however, demonstrates that this is not the case, since after collecting terms at basis elements we see that all potential zeroes in the denominators have been cancelled. Unfortunately the cancellation does not occur for non-diagonalizable MVs (see next example). Lastly, after performing summation  $\sum_{i=1}^d \exp(\lambda_i) \left( \frac{1}{d} + \beta(\lambda_i)\mathbf{B}(\lambda_i) \right)$  over complete set of roots  $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4\} = \{0, 0, -8, -8\}$  with exponent weight factor  $\exp(\lambda_i)$  (which can be replaced by any other function or transformation, see Sec. 4) we obtain

$$\exp(\mathbf{A}) = \frac{1 + e^8}{2e^8} + \frac{1 - e^8}{8e^8} (\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3 + \mathbf{e}_4 - 2\sqrt{3}\mathbf{e}_{1234}).$$

**Example 4.** *Exponential of non-diagonalizable MV in  $Cl_{3,0}$ .* Let's find the exponential of  $\mathbf{A} = -1 + 2\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + 2\mathbf{e}_3 - 2\mathbf{e}_{12} - 2\mathbf{e}_{13} + \mathbf{e}_{23} - \mathbf{e}_{123}$  with the help of base-free formula (21). For algebra  $Cl_{3,0}$  we have  $d = 4$ . The minimal polynomial is  $\mu_{\mathbf{A}}(\lambda) = -(2 + 2\lambda + \lambda^2)^2$  which coincides with characteristic polynomial  $\chi_{\mathbf{A}}(\lambda) = -\text{Det}(\lambda - \mathbf{A})$  and has multiple roots  $\{-(1 + i), -(1 + i), -(1 - i), -(1 - i)\}$ . Now, if we proceed as in Example 3 then for some basis elements we will get division by zero. To avoid this, we will add a small element to exponent,  $\mathbf{A} + \varepsilon\mathbf{e}_1 = \mathbf{A}'$ , and after exponentiation and simplification will compute a limiting value when  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . The infinitesimal element  $\varepsilon\mathbf{e}_1$  may be replaced by any other one which does not belong to algebra center. We find that  $\chi_{\mathbf{A}'}(\lambda) = -\lambda^4 - 4\lambda^3 + (2(\varepsilon - 4)\varepsilon - 8)\lambda^2 + (4(\varepsilon - 6)\varepsilon - 8)\lambda - \varepsilon(\varepsilon((\varepsilon - 8)\varepsilon + 20) + 8) - 4$ , the limit of which is  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \chi_{\mathbf{A}'}(\lambda) = \chi_{\mathbf{A}}(\lambda)$ . If  $\varepsilon$  is included it has four (now different) roots  $\lambda_1 = -(1 + i) - \sqrt{\varepsilon^2 - (4 + 2i)\varepsilon}$ ,  $\lambda_2 = -(1 + i) + \sqrt{\varepsilon^2 - (4 + 2i)\varepsilon}$ ,  $\lambda_3 = -(1 - i) - \sqrt{\varepsilon^2 - (4 - 2i)\varepsilon}$ ,  $\lambda_4 = -(1 - i) + \sqrt{\varepsilon^2 - (4 - 2i)\varepsilon}$  which in the limit  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  return back to multiple roots. Since the roots with  $\varepsilon$  included are different in calculation of  $\beta(\lambda_i)\mathbf{B}(\lambda_i)$  the division by zero disappears,

$$\beta(\lambda_i)\mathbf{B}(\lambda_i) = \frac{(-2\varepsilon^2 - 8\varepsilon + \lambda_i^2 + 4\lambda_i + 8) \langle \mathbf{A}' \rangle_{-0}}{4(-\varepsilon^2\lambda_i - \varepsilon^2 - 4\varepsilon\lambda_i - 6\varepsilon + \lambda_i^3 + 3\lambda_i^2 + 4\lambda_i + 2)} + \frac{(\lambda_i + 4) \langle \mathbf{A}'^2 \rangle_{-0}}{4(-\varepsilon^2\lambda_i - \varepsilon^2 - 4\varepsilon\lambda_i - 6\varepsilon + \lambda_i^3 + 3\lambda_i^2 + 4\lambda_i + 2)} \\ + \frac{\langle \mathbf{A}'^3 \rangle_{-0}}{4(-\varepsilon^2\lambda_i - \varepsilon^2 - 4\varepsilon\lambda_i - 6\varepsilon + \lambda_i^3 + 3\lambda_i^2 + 4\lambda_i + 2)} \\ = \frac{1}{4} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\lambda_i^3 + 3\lambda_i^2 + (4 - \varepsilon(\varepsilon + 4))\lambda_i + 2 - \varepsilon(\varepsilon + 6)} \left( ((\varepsilon + 2)\lambda_i^2 + 2(\varepsilon + 3)\lambda_i - \varepsilon(10 + \varepsilon(\varepsilon + 6)) + 2)\mathbf{e}_1 \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + (\lambda_i^2 + 6\lambda_i - \varepsilon(\varepsilon + 8) + 4)\mathbf{e}_2 + 2(\lambda_i^2 - \varepsilon(\varepsilon + 2) - 2)\mathbf{e}_3 + 2(-\lambda_i^2 - 4\lambda_i + \varepsilon(\varepsilon + 6) - 2)\mathbf{e}_{12} \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + 2(-\lambda_i^2 - \lambda_i + \varepsilon(\varepsilon + 3) + 1)\mathbf{e}_{13} + (\lambda_i^2 - 2(\varepsilon + 1)\lambda_i + (\varepsilon - 2)\varepsilon - 4)\mathbf{e}_{23} \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. - (\lambda_i^2 - 2(\varepsilon - 1)\lambda_i + \varepsilon(\varepsilon + 2) + 2)\mathbf{e}_{123} \right) \right).$$

After summation over all roots  $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4\}$  in  $\sum_{i=1}^4 \exp(\lambda_i) \left(\frac{1}{4} + \mathbf{B}(\lambda_i)\right)$ , we collect terms at basis elements and finally compute the limit  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  for each of coefficients. Then, after simplification we get the following answer,

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(\mathbf{A}) = & \frac{1}{e} (\cos(1) + (\sin(1) + 2\cos(1))\mathbf{e}_1 + (2\sin(1) + \cos(1))\mathbf{e}_2 + 2(\cos(1) - \sin(1))\mathbf{e}_3 - 2(\sin(1) + \cos(1))\mathbf{e}_{12} \\ & + (\sin(1) - 2\cos(1))\mathbf{e}_{13} + (\cos(1) - 2\sin(1))\mathbf{e}_{23} - \sin(1)\mathbf{e}_{123}). \end{aligned}$$

It should be noted that computation of the limit is highly nontrivial task, especially when dealing with the roots of high degree polynomial equations. The primary purpose of Example 4 was to show that non-diagonalizable matrices/MVs represent some limiting case and the (symbolic) formula is able to take into account this case. To illustrate how complicated computation of exponential of non-diagonalizable matrix for higher dimensional Clifford algebras could be we have tested internal *Mathematica* command `MatrixExp[]` using  $Cl_{4,2}$  algebra and non-diagonalizable MV  $\mathbf{A}'' = -1 - \mathbf{e}_3 + \mathbf{e}_6 - \mathbf{e}_{12} - \mathbf{e}_{13} + \mathbf{e}_{15} - \mathbf{e}_{24} - \mathbf{e}_{25} + \mathbf{e}_{26} - \mathbf{e}_{34} - \mathbf{e}_{35} + \mathbf{e}_{36} - \mathbf{e}_{45} + \mathbf{e}_{56} + \mathbf{e}_{123} + \mathbf{e}_{124} + \mathbf{e}_{126} + \mathbf{e}_{134} + \mathbf{e}_{135} + \mathbf{e}_{136} + \mathbf{e}_{146} + \mathbf{e}_{234} - \mathbf{e}_{235} - \mathbf{e}_{236} - \mathbf{e}_{245} - \mathbf{e}_{246} - \mathbf{e}_{256} + \mathbf{e}_{456} - \mathbf{e}_{1236} + \mathbf{e}_{1245} - \mathbf{e}_{1246} + \mathbf{e}_{1256} - \mathbf{e}_{1345} - \mathbf{e}_{1346} - \mathbf{e}_{1356} + \mathbf{e}_{1456} - \mathbf{e}_{2346} - \mathbf{e}_{2356} + \mathbf{e}_{2456} + \mathbf{e}_{3456} + \mathbf{e}_{12345} - \mathbf{e}_{12346} + \mathbf{e}_{12356}$  that was converted to  $8 \times 8$  real matrix representation. The respective MV has minimal polynomial  $(\lambda - 1)^2 (\lambda^6 + 10\lambda^5 + 39\lambda^4 + 124\lambda^3 + 543\lambda^2 - 198\lambda - 4743)$ . *Mathematica* (version 13.0) has crashed after almost 48 hours of computation after all 96 GB of RAM was exhausted. This strongly contrasts with the exponentiation of diagonalizable matrix of the same  $Cl_{4,2}$  algebra: it took only a fraction of a second to complete the task.

**3.3. Making the answer real.** Formulas (16) and (20) include summation over (in general complex valued) roots of characteristic polynomial, therefore, formally the result is a complex number. Here we are dealing with real Clifford algebras, consequently, a pure imaginary part or numbers in the final result must vanish. Because the characteristic polynomial is made up of real coefficients, the roots of the polynomial always come in complex conjugate pairs. Thus, after summation over each of complex root pair in exponentials (16) and (20) (and other real valued) functions one must get a real final answer. Indeed, assuming that symbols  $a, b, c, d, g, h$  are real and computing the sum over a single complex conjugate root pair we come to the following relation

$$\exp(a + ib) \frac{c + id}{g + ih} + \exp(a - ib) \frac{c - id}{g - ih} = \frac{2e^a ((cg + dh) \cos b + (ch - dg) \sin b)}{g^2 + h^2},$$

the right hand side of which now formally represents a real number as expected. The left hand side is exactly the expression which we have in (16) and (20) formulas after substitution of pair of complex conjugate roots. However, from symbolic computation point of view the issue is not so simple. In general, the roots of high degree ( $d \geq 5$ ) polynomial equations cannot be solved in radicals and, therefore, in symbolic packages they are represented as enumerated formal functions/algorithms of some irreducible polynomials. In *Mathematica* the formal solution is represented as `Root[poly, k]`. In order to obtain a real answer, we have to know how to manipulate these formal objects algebraically. To that end there exist algorithms which allow to rewrite the coefficients of irreducible polynomials 'poly' after they have been algebraically manipulated. The operation, however, appears to be nontrivial and time consuming. In *Mathematica* it is implemented by `RootReduce[]` command, which produces another `Root[poly', k']` object. Such a root reduction typically raises the order of the polynomial. From pure numerical point of view, of course, we may safely remove spurious complex part in the final answer to get real numerical value.

#### 4. ELEMENTARY FUNCTIONS OF MV

The exponential formulas (16) and (20) are more universal than we have expected. In fact they allow to compute any function and transformation of MV (at least for diagonalizable MV) if one replaces the exponential weight  $\exp(\lambda_i)$  by any other function (and allow to use complex numbers). Here we shall demonstrate how to compute  $\log(\mathbf{A})$ ,  $\sinh(\mathbf{A})$ ,  $\operatorname{arcsinh}(\mathbf{A})$  and Bessel  $J_0(\mathbf{A})$  GA functions of MV of argument  $\mathbf{A}$  in  $Cl_{4,0}$  (Example 3). This example with zero and negative eigenvalues was chosen to demonstrate that no problems arise if symbolic manipulations are addressed.

After replacement of  $\exp(\lambda_i)$  by  $\log(\lambda_i)$  in (20) and summing up over all roots one obtains

$$(28) \quad \log \mathbf{A} = \frac{1}{2} (\log(0_+) + \log(-8)) + \frac{1}{8} (\log(-8) - \log(0_+)) (\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3 + \mathbf{e}_4 + 2\sqrt{3}\mathbf{e}_{1234}).$$

We shall not attempt to explain what  $\log(-8)$  means in  $Cl_{4,0}$  since we want to avoid presence of complex numbers in real  $Cl_{4,0}$ . If we assume, however, that  $\exp(\log(-8)) = -8$  and  $\exp(\log(0_+)) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0_+} \exp(\log(x)) = 0$ . Then it is easy to check that under these assumptions the exponentiation of  $\log \mathbf{A}$  yields  $\exp(\log(\mathbf{A})) = \mathbf{A}$ , i.e., the  $\log$  function in Eq. (28) is formal inverse of  $\exp$ .

There are no problems when computing hyperbolic and trigonometric functions and their inverses<sup>4</sup>. Indeed, after replacing  $\exp(\lambda_i)$  by  $\sinh(\lambda_i)$ , and  $\operatorname{arcsinh}(\lambda_i)$  in (20) one finds, respectively,

$$(29) \quad \begin{aligned} \sinh \mathbf{A} &= \frac{1}{8} \sinh(8) (-4 - \mathbf{e}_1 - \mathbf{e}_2 - \mathbf{e}_3 - \mathbf{e}_4 - 2\sqrt{3}\mathbf{e}_{1234}), \\ \operatorname{arcsinh} \mathbf{A} &= \frac{1}{8} \operatorname{arcsinh}(8) (-4 - \mathbf{e}_1 - \mathbf{e}_2 - \mathbf{e}_3 - \mathbf{e}_4 - 2\sqrt{3}\mathbf{e}_{1234}), \\ J_0(\mathbf{A}) &= \frac{1}{2} (1 + J_0(8)) + \frac{1}{8} (J_0(8) - 1) (\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3 + \mathbf{e}_4 + 2\sqrt{3}\mathbf{e}_{1234}). \end{aligned}$$

In the last line  $\exp(\lambda_i)$  was replaced by Bessel  $J_0(\mathbf{A})$  function. It is easy to check that  $\sinh(\operatorname{arcsinh}(\mathbf{A})) = \mathbf{A}$  is indeed satisfied. We do not question where special functions of MV/matrix argument may find application. The purpose of last computation was

<sup>4</sup>It looks as if the complex numbers are inevitable in computing trigonometric functions in most of real Clifford algebras, except  $Cl_{3,0}$  as well as few others [17].

to demonstrate that the formulas (16) and (20) are more universal: they allow to compute much larger class of functions and transformations of MV, because the sum operator in the formulas satisfies the properties,

$$(30) \quad \sum_{i=1}^d \beta(\lambda_i) \mathbf{B}(\lambda_i) = 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i \left( \frac{1}{d} + \beta(\lambda_i) \mathbf{B}(\lambda_i) \right) = \mathbf{A},$$

where the scalar  $\beta(\lambda_i)$  is given in formula (22). These expressions provide interesting spectral decomposition of MV.

## 5. CONCLUSION

The paper shows that in Clifford geometric algebras the exponential of a general multivector is associated with the characteristic polynomial of the multivector (exponent) and may be expressed in terms of roots of respective characteristic equation. In higher dimensional algebras the coefficients at basis elements, in agreement with [5], include a mixture of trigonometric and hyperbolic functions. The presented GA exponential formulas (16) and (20) can be generalized to trigonometric, hyperbolic and other elementary functions as well. Besides the explicit examples of functions provided in the article, we were able to compute fractional powers of MV, many special functions available in Mathematica, in particular, HermiteH[],LaguerreL[] (also with rational parameters), and some of hypergeometric functions.

## 6. APPENDIX: MINIMAL POLYNOMIAL OF MV

A simple algorithm for computation of matrix minimal polynomial is given in [18]. It starts by constructing  $d \times d$  matrix  $M$  and its powers  $\{1, M, M^2, \dots\}$  and then converting each of matrix into vector of length  $d \times d$ . The algorithm then checks consequently the sublists  $\{1\}$ ,  $\{1, M\}$ ,  $\{1, M, M^2\}$  etc until the vectors in a particular sublist are detected to be linearly dependent. Once linear dependence is established the algorithm returns polynomial equation, where coefficients of linear combination are multiplied by corresponding variable  $\lambda^i$ .

In GA, the orthonormal basis elements  $\mathbf{e}_J$  are linearly independent, therefore it is enough to construct vectors made from coefficients of MV. Then, the algorithm starts searching when these coefficient vectors become linearly dependent.

A vector constructed of matrix representation of MV has  $d^2 = (2^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor})^2$  components. This is exactly the number of coefficients ( $2^n$ ) in MV for Clifford algebras of even  $n$  and twice less than number of matrix elements  $d \times d$  for odd  $n$ . The latter can be easily understood if one remembers that for odd  $n$  the matrix representation of Clifford algebra has block diagonal form. Therefore only a single block will suffice for required matrix algorithm. Below the Algorithm 1 describes how to compute minimal polynomial of MV without employing a matrix representation.

---

**Algorithm 1:** Algorithm for finding minimal polynomial of MV in  $Cl_{p,q}$

---

**MinimalPoly**(A)

**Input:** multivector  $\mathbf{A} = a_0 + \sum_J^{2^n-1} a_J \mathbf{e}_J$  and polynomial variable  $x$

**Output:** minimal polynomial  $c_1 + c_2x + c_3x^2 + \dots$

*/\* Initialization \*/*

nullSpace={}; lastProduct=1; vectorList={};

*/\* keep adding new MV coefficient vectors to vectorList until null space becomes nontrivial \*/*

While>nullSpace==={},

lastProduct=A∘lastProduct;

AppendTo[vectorList, ToCoefficientList[lastProduct]];

nullSpace=NULLSpace[Transpose[vectorList]];

*/\* use null space weights to construct the polynomial  $c_1 + c_2A + c_3A^2 + \dots$ , with A replaced by given variable  $x$  \*/*

**return** First>nullSpace] · { $x^0, x^1, x^2, \dots, x^{\text{Length>nullSpace}-1}$ }.

---

All functions in the above code are internal *Mathematica* functions, except symbol  $\circ$  (geometric product) and ToCoefficientList[] which is rather simple. It takes MV A coefficients and outputs vector coefficients, i.e. ToCoefficientList[ $a_0 + a_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + a_2 \mathbf{e}_2 + \dots + a_I I$ ] → { $a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_I$ }. The real job is done by *Mathematica* function NullSpace[], which searches for linear dependency of inserted vector list. This function is standard function of linear algebra library. If the list of vectors is found to be linearly dependent it outputs weight factors of linear combination for which sum of vectors become zero, or an empty list otherwise. The AppendTo[vectorList, newVector] appends the newVector to list of already checked vectors in vectorList.

Supporting information available as part of the online article: <https://github.com/ArturasAcus/GeometricAlgebra>.

## REFERENCES

- [1] K. Gürlebeck and W. Sprössig, *Quaternionic and Clifford Calculus for Physicists and Engineers*, John Wiley and Sons, Chichester, England, 1998, ISBN: 978-0-471-96200-7.
- [2] P. Lounesto, *Clifford Algebra and Spinors*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997, ISBN-13: 978-0521599160.
- [3] N. G. Marchuk and D. Shirokov, *Theory of Clifford Algebras and Spinors*, Krasand, Moscow, 2020, ISBN: 978-5-396-01014-7, in Russian.
- [4] A. Dargys and A. Acus, Exponential of general multivector (MV) in 3D Clifford algebras, *Nonlinear Analysis: Modelling and Control* **27**(1) (2022), <https://doi.org/10.15388/namc.2022.27.24476>.
- [5] A. Acus and A. Dargys, Coordinate-free exponentials of general multivector in Cl(p,q) algebras for p+q=3, *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.*, In print (2022), arXiv:2108.01371, math-phi.
- [6] D. S. Shirokov, Classification of Lie algebras of specific type in complexified Clifford algebras, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* **66**(9), 1870–1887 (2018).
- [7] J. Helmstetter, Characteristic polynomials in Clifford algebras and in more general algebras, *Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebras* **29**(30) (2019).



- [8] D. S. Shirokov, On computing the determinant, other characteristic polynomial coefficients, and inverses in Clifford algebras of arbitrary dimension, *Comput. Appl. Math.* **40**(173), 1–29 (2021), doi.org/10.1007/s40314-021-01536-0.
- [9] K. Abdulkhaev and D. Shirokov, On explicit formulas for characteristic polynomial coefficients in geometric algebras, *Adv. Comp. Graphics. Lecture Notes in Computer Science* **13002**, 679–680 (2021), doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-89029-2\_50.
- [10] A. S. Householder, *The Theory of Matrices in Numerical Analysis*, Dover Publications, Inc, New York, N. Y. 10014, 1975.
- [11] H. Shui-Hung, Classroom note: A simple proof of the LeVerrier-Faddeev characteristic polynomial algorithm, *SIAM Review.* **40**(3), 706–709 (1998).
- [12] D. S. Shirokov, On computing the determinant, other characteristic polynomial coefficients, and inverse in Clifford algebras of arbitrary dimension, *Computational and Applied Mathematics* **40**(5), 29pp (2021), DOI: 10.1007/s40314-021-01536-0.
- [13] Bell polynomials, Wikipedia, 2022.
- [14] Diagonalizability, Wikipedia, 2022.
- [15] A. Acus and A. Dargys, Coordinate-free exponentials of general multivector (MV) in  $Cl(p,q)$  algebras for  $p+q=3$ , arXiv:2108.01371 **math-ph**, 1–13 (2021).
- [16] K. Fujii and H. Oike, How to Calculate the Exponential of Matrices, *Far East Journal of Mathematical Education* **9**(1), 39–55 (2012), P-ISSN: 0973-5631, arXiv:quant-ph/0604115v1.
- [17] J. M. Chappell, A. Iqbal, L. J. Gunn, and D. Derek, Functions of multivector variables, arXiv (1409.6252v.1), 1–19 (2014).
- [18] T. Rowland and E. W. Weisstein, Matrix Minimal Polynomial, From MathWorld—A Wolfram Web Resource. <https://mathworld.wolfram.com/MatrixMinimalPolynomial.html>, 2022.

(1) INSTITUTE OF THEORETICAL PHYSICS AND ASTRONOMY, UNIVERSITY, SAULĖTEKIO 3, LT-10257 VILNIUS, LITHUANIA

(2) CENTER FOR PHYSICAL SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGY, SEMICONDUCTOR PHYSICS INSTITUTE, SAULĖTEKIO 3, LT-10257 VILNIUS, LITHUANIA