

Long waves instabilities

D. Bian¹, E. Grenier²

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to give a detailed presentation of long wave instabilities of shear layers for Navier Stokes equations, and in particular to give a simple and easy to read presentation of the study of Orr Sommerfeld equation and to detail the analysis of its adjoint. Using these analyses we prove the existence of long wave instabilities in the case of slowly rotating fluids, slightly compressible fluids and for Navier boundary conditions, under smallness conditions.

1 Introduction

Let us first consider incompressible Navier Stokes equations in an half space

$$\partial_t u^\nu + (u^\nu \cdot \nabla) u^\nu - \nu \Delta u^\nu + \nabla p^\nu = f^\nu, \quad (1)$$

$$\nabla \cdot u^\nu = 0, \quad (2)$$

together with the Dirichlet boundary condition

$$u^\nu = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad z = 0. \quad (3)$$

The study of the inviscid limit $\nu \rightarrow 0$ of this system has been extensively studied (see for instance [3, 4, 8, 12, 13, 14] and the references therein). In this paper we are interested in the stability of a shear layer profile $U(z) = (U_s(z), 0)$. Note that this shear layer profile is a stationary solution of Navier Stokes equations provided we add the forcing term $f^\nu = (-\nu \Delta U_s, 0)$. The stability of such shear layer profiles has been intensively studied in physics since the beginning of the twentieth century, see [2, 5, 9, 10, 11, 16] and the references therein. We recall that such shear layers are unstable in 3 dimensions if and only if they are unstable in 2 dimensions (so called Squire's theorem). We also recall that non trivial shear layer profiles are always linearly unstable with respect to Navier Stokes equations. Two classes of instabilities appear as follows:

¹Beijing Institute of Technology, School of Mathematics and Statistics, Beijing, China

²UMPA, CNRS UMR 5669, Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon, Lyon, France

- "Inviscid instabilities": instabilities which persist as ν goes to 0. According to Rayleigh's criterium, such instabilities only occur for profiles U_s with inflection points, and exhibit scales in t , x and z of order $O(1)$. This first kind of instabilities is now well understood, both at the linear and nonlinear levels [6, 7].
- "Long wave instabilities": these instabilities arise even in the case of concave profiles U_s , such that $U_s'' < 0$. They are characterized by a strong spatial anisotropy since their sizes are of order $O(1)$ in z but of order $O(\nu^{-1/4})$ in x . Moreover they grow very slowly, within time scales of order $O(\nu^{-1/2})$. This second type of instabilities is more delicate to study, even at the linear level.

The purpose of this paper is to give an educational presentation of this second kind of instabilities, by recalling recent results on Orr Sommerfeld equations, and to give the first mathematical study of the adjoint of Orr Sommerfeld equation. We then apply these studies to systems close to incompressible Navier Stokes equations with Dirichlet boundary condition. We in particular formally prove that there exists such long wave instabilities for incompressible Navier Stokes equations with Navier boundary conditions, for slightly rotating fluids and for compressible Navier Stokes equations in the low Mach number regime, all under a smallness assumption. We only give formal proofs. Rigorous approaches are straightforward using the methods used in [6]. This present work is a first step in a general program to study the nonlinear instability in the viscous boundary layer [1].

2 Orr Sommerfeld equations

We first recall the main results on Orr Sommerfeld equations. The corresponding proofs may be found for instance in [7].

2.1 Introduction

Let L be the linearized Navier Stokes operator near the shear layer profile U , namely

$$Lu = (U \cdot \nabla)u + (u \cdot \nabla)U - \nu \Delta u + \nabla q, \quad (4)$$

with $\nabla \cdot u = 0$ and Dirichlet boundary condition. We want to study the resolvent of L , namely to study the equation

$$(L - \lambda)u = f \quad (5)$$

where f is a given forcing term. Following the classical analysis we take advantage of the incompressibility condition to introduce the stream function, take its Fourier transform in x and its Laplace transform in time, and thus look for velocities of the form

$$u = \nabla^\perp \left(e^{i\alpha(x-ct)} \psi(z) \right) = e^{i\alpha(x-ct)} (\partial_z \psi, -i\alpha \psi).$$

Note that $\lambda = i\alpha c$. We also take the Fourier and Laplace transform of the forcing term f

$$f = \left(f_1(z), f_2(z) \right) e^{i\alpha(x-ct)}.$$

Taking the curl of (5) we then get

$$Orr_{c,\alpha,\nu}(\psi) := (U_s - c)(\partial_z^2 - \alpha^2)\psi - U_s''\psi - \frac{\nu}{i\alpha}(\partial_z^2 - \alpha^2)^2\psi = -\frac{\nabla \times f}{i\alpha}, \quad (6)$$

where

$$\nabla \times (f_1, f_2) = i\alpha f_2 - \partial_z f_1.$$

The Dirichlet boundary condition gives

$$\psi(0) = \partial_z \psi(0) = 0. \quad (7)$$

Let

$$\varepsilon = \frac{\nu}{i\alpha}. \quad (8)$$

As ν goes to 0, the *Orr* operator degenerates into the classical Rayleigh operator

$$Ray_\alpha(\psi) = (U_s - c)(\partial_z^2 - \alpha^2)\psi - U_s''\psi \quad (9)$$

which is a second order operator, together with the boundary condition

$$\psi(0) = 0. \quad (10)$$

Two cases arise, depending on whether this Rayleigh operator has unstable eigenvalues or not. In the first case there exists α , c and ψ_R , which satisfy (10), such that

$$Ray_\alpha(\psi_R) = 0.$$

Starting from this solution, through a perturbative analysis, it is possible to construct eigenmodes ψ_ν of the Orr Sommerfeld operator with corresponding eigenvalues c_ν which satisfy (7) and $Orr(\psi_\nu) = 0$, and it is also possible to prove the nonlinear instability of the corresponding shear layer profiles. This case is now well understood and we in particular refer to [6] and [7] for further details.

We will thus focus on the second case, where Rayleigh's operator has no unstable eigenvalue.

2.2 Rayleigh equation

Let us first focus on Rayleigh's equation. It is a second order differential equation. For c close to zero, we note that $U_s - c$ almost vanishes. More precisely for small c , let z_c be such that

$$U_s(z_c) = c. \quad (11)$$

Such a complex number z_c is called a "critical layer". At z_c , the Rayleigh operator degenerates from a second order operator to an operator of order 0 and thus has a "turning point" at $z = z_c$.

We therefore expect that there exist two independent solutions of Rayleigh operator, one regular at z_c and the other one which is singular near this point. It turns out that it is possible to get a very accurate description of these two independent solutions for small α .

Namely we first observe that for $\alpha = 0$, Rayleigh operator degenerates into

$$Ray_0(\psi) = (U_s - c)\partial_z^2\psi - U_s''\psi.$$

In particular

$$\psi_{-,0}(z) = U_s(z) - c \quad (12)$$

is an explicit solution of this limiting operator. An independent solution $\psi_{+,0}$ can be computed using the Wronskian

$$W(\psi_{-,0}, \psi_{+,0}) = 1.$$

More precisely,

$$\psi_{+,0}(z) = C(z)\psi_{-,0}(z) \quad (13)$$

where

$$C'(z) = (U_s(z) - c)^{-2}.$$

This other solution behaves linearly at infinity and has a $(z - z_c) \log(z - z_c)$ singularity at $z = z_c$.

Using a perturbative argument it is possible to prove that, for α small enough, the Rayleigh operator has two independent solutions, one, $\psi_{-, \alpha}$ which goes to 0 at infinity and is close to $U_s - c$ for bounded z , and another one, $\psi_{+, \alpha}$, which diverges at $+\infty$ and which has a $(z - z_c) \log(z - z_c)$ singularity at z_c .

2.3 Solutions of Orr Sommerfeld

Let us go back to the Orr Sommerfeld operator. Note that *Orr* is a fourth order differential operator. It can be proven that there exists four independent solutions to this operator (not taking into account any boundary condition), two with a "slow" behavior, called $\psi_{s, \pm}$, and two with a "fast" behavior, called $\psi_{f, \pm}$. The "-" subscript refers to solutions which go to 0 at infinity and the "+" subscript to solutions diverging as y goes to $+\infty$.

The "slow" solutions have bounded derivatives. For such solutions the *Orr* operator reduces to Rayleigh's operator as ν goes to 0. More precisely,

$$Orr = Ray - \varepsilon Diff,$$

where

$$Diff(\psi) = (\partial_z^2 - \alpha^2)^2 \psi.$$

For "slow" solutions, *Diff* may be treated as a small perturbation. For $\psi_{-, \alpha}$ we directly have $Orr(\psi_{-, \alpha}) = O(\varepsilon)$. For $\psi_{+, \alpha}$ the situation is more delicate since $Orr(\psi_{+, \alpha})$ may be large near z_c . However, using an iterative scheme, treating *Diff* as a perturbation, it is possible to construct genuine solutions of the *Orr* operator, which are close to $\psi_{\pm, \alpha}$. In particular it can be proven that $\psi_{s, -}$ satisfies

$$\psi_{s, -}(0) = -c + \alpha \frac{U_+^2}{U'_s(0)} + O(\alpha^2), \quad (14)$$

$$\partial_z \psi_{s, -}(0) = U'_s(0) + O(\alpha), \quad (15)$$

$$\partial_z^2 \psi_{s, -}(0) = O(1), \quad (16)$$

where $U_+ = \lim_{z \rightarrow +\infty} U_s(z)$.

On the contrary "fast" solutions have very large gradients and higher derivatives. For these solutions Orr Sommerfeld may be approximated by its higher order derivatives, namely by

$$(U_s - c)\partial_z^2 - \varepsilon\partial_z^4 = \text{Airy } \partial_z^2, \quad (17)$$

where *Airy* is the modified Airy operator

$$\text{Airy} = (U_s - c) - \varepsilon\partial_z^2.$$

More precisely,

$$\text{Orr} = \text{Airy } \partial_z^2 + \text{Rem},$$

where the remainder operator *Rem* contains derivatives of lower order, and is therefore smaller with respect to *Airy* ∂_z^2 for "fast" solutions.

The next step is to construct solutions of *Airy* $\partial_z^2 = 0$, or, up to two integrations, to construction solutions of *Airy* = 0. Near z_c , this operator may be approximated by the classical Airy operator

$$U'_s(z_c)(z - z_c) - \varepsilon\partial_z^2. \quad (18)$$

Solutions of (18) may be explicitly expressed as combinations of the classical Airy's functions *Ai* and *Bi*, which are solutions of the classical Airy equation $z\psi = \partial_y^2\psi$. More precisely, *Ai*($\gamma(z - z_c)$) and *Bi*($\gamma(z - z_c)$) are solutions of (18) provided we choose

$$\gamma = \left(\frac{i\alpha U'_s(z_c)}{\nu} \right)^{1/3}. \quad (19)$$

Now solutions of *Airy* = 0 may be constructed, starting from solutions of (18) through the so called Langer's transformation (which is a transformation of the phase and amplitude of the solution). To go back to (17) we then have to integrate twice these solutions. As a consequence, fast solutions to the Orr Sommerfeld equation may be expressed in terms of second primitives of the classical Airy functions *Ai* and *Bi*. Let us call *Ai*(2,.) and *Bi*(2,.) this second primitives of *Ai* and *Bi*.

It can be proven that $\psi_{f,-}$ satisfies

$$\psi_{f,-}(0) = \text{Ai}(2, -\gamma z_c) + O(\alpha), \quad (20)$$

$$\partial_z \psi_{f,-}(0) = \gamma \text{Ai}(1, -\gamma z_c) + O(1), \quad (21)$$

$$\partial_z^2 \psi_{f,-}(0) = \gamma^2 \text{Ai}(-\gamma z_c) + O(\alpha^{-1}). \quad (22)$$

2.4 Dispersion relation

An eigenmode of Orr Sommerfeld equation is a combination of these particular solutions which goes to 0 at infinity and which vanishes, together with its first derivative, at $z = 0$. As an eigenmode must go to 0 as y goes to infinity, it is a linear combination of $\psi_{f,-}$ and $\psi_{s,-}$ only. There should exist nonzero constants a and b such that

$$a\psi_{f,-}(0) + b\psi_{s,-}(0) = 0$$

and

$$a\partial_z\psi_{f,-}(0) + b\partial_z\psi_{s,-}(0) = 0.$$

Equivalently the determinant

$$E = \begin{vmatrix} \psi_{f,-}(0) & \psi_{s,-}(0) \\ \partial_z\psi_{f,-}(0) & \partial_z\psi_{s,-}(0) \end{vmatrix}$$

must vanish. The dispersion relation is therefore

$$\frac{\psi_{f,-}(0)}{\partial_z\psi_{f,-}(0)} = \frac{\psi_{s,-}(0)}{\partial_z\psi_{s,-}(0)} \quad (23)$$

or

$$\alpha \frac{U_+^2}{U_s'(0)^2} - \frac{c}{U_s'(0)} = \gamma^{-1} \frac{Ai(2, -\gamma z_c)}{Ai(1, -\gamma z_c)} + O(\alpha^2). \quad (24)$$

We will focus on the particular case where α and c are both of order $\nu^{1/4}$. It turns out that this is an area where instabilities occur, and we conjecture that it is in this region that the most unstable instabilities may be found. We rescale α and c by $\nu^{1/4}$ and introduce

$$\alpha = \alpha_0\nu^{1/4}, \quad c = c_0\nu^{1/4}, \quad Z = \gamma z_c,$$

which leads to

$$\alpha_0 \frac{U_+^2}{U_s'(0)^2} - \frac{c_0}{U_s'(0)} = \frac{1}{(i\alpha_0 U_s'(z_c))^{1/3}} \frac{Ai(2, -Z)}{Ai(1, -Z)} + O(\nu^{1/4}). \quad (25)$$

Note that as $U_s(z_c) = c$,

$$z_c = U_s'(0)^{-1}c + O(c)$$

and

$$Z = \left(iU'_s(z_c) \right)^{1/3} \alpha_0^{1/3} U'_s(0)^{-1} c_0 + O(\nu^{1/4}).$$

Note that the argument of Z equals $\pi/6$. We then introduce the following function, called Tietjens function, of the real variable z

$$Ti(z) = \frac{Ai(2, ze^{-5i\pi/6})}{ze^{-5i\pi/6} Ai(1, ze^{-5i\pi/6})}.$$

At first order the dispersion relation becomes

$$\alpha_0 \frac{U_+^2}{U'_s(0)} = c_0 \left[1 - Ti(-Ze^{5i\pi/6}) \right]. \quad (26)$$

This dispersion relation can be numerically investigated. Note that it only depends on the limit U_+ of the horizontal velocity at infinity and on $U'_s(0)$. It can be proven that provided α_0 is large enough there exists c_0 with $\Im c_0 > 0$, leading to an unstable mode.

Let us numerically illustrate this dispersion relation in the case $U'_s(0) = U_+ = 1$. Figure 1 shows the imaginary part of c_0 as a function of α_0 . We see that there exists a constant α_c such that $\Im c_0 > 0$ if $\alpha_0 > \alpha_c$ and $\Im c_0 < 0$ if $\alpha_0 < \alpha_c$. Figure 2 shows $\Re \lambda = \alpha_0 \Im c_0$ as a function of α_0 . We see that there exists a unique global maximum to this function, at $\alpha_0 = \alpha_M \sim 2.8$.

2.5 Description of the linear instability

Let us now detail the linear instability. Its stream function ψ_{lin} is of the form

$$\psi_{lin} = b\psi_{s,-} + a\psi_{f,-}.$$

Choosing $b = 1$ we see that $a = O(\nu^{1/4})$, hence

$$\psi_{lin}(z) = U_s(z) - c + \alpha \frac{U_+^2}{U'_s(0)} + a Ai\left(2, \gamma(z - z_c)\right) + O(\nu^{1/2}). \quad (27)$$

The corresponding horizontal and vertical velocities u_{lin} and v_{lin} are given by

$$u_{lin} = \partial_z \psi_{lin} = U'_s(z) + \gamma a Ai\left(1, \gamma(z - z_c)\right) + O(\nu^{1/4}), \quad (28)$$

and

$$v_{lin} = -i\alpha \psi_{lin} = O(\nu^{1/4}). \quad (29)$$




Figure 1: $\Im c_0$ as a function of α_0




Figure 2: $\Re \lambda$ as a function of α_0

Note that $\gamma a = O(1)$. The first term in (28) may be seen as a "displacement velocity", corresponding to a translation of U_s . The second term is of order $O(1)$ and located in the boundary layer, namely within a distance $O(\nu^{1/4})$ to the boundary. Note that the vorticity

$$\omega_{lin} = -(\partial_z^2 - \alpha^2)\psi_{lin}(z) = -U_s''(z) - \gamma^2 a Ai\left(\gamma(z - z_c)\right) \quad (30)$$

is large in the critical layer (of order $O(\nu^{-1/4})$).

3 Adjoint Orr Sommerfeld operator

Let us now turn to the study of the adjoint of Orr Sommerfeld operator.

3.1 Definition of the adjoint

We will consider the classical L^2 product between two stream functions ψ_1 and ψ_2 , namely

$$(\psi_1, \psi_2) = \int \psi_1 \bar{\psi}_2 dx.$$

We have

$$(Orr(\psi_1), \psi_2) = (\psi_1, TOrr(\psi_2)),$$

where

$$TOrr(\psi) = (\partial_z^2 - \alpha^2)(U_s - \bar{c})\psi - U_s''\psi + \frac{\nu}{i\alpha}(\partial_z^2 - \alpha^2)^2\psi,$$

Taking the complex conjugate we define the adjoint of Orr Sommerfeld equation to be

$$Orr_{c,\alpha,\nu}^t(\psi) := (\partial_z^2 - \alpha^2)(U_s - c)\psi - U_s''\psi - \frac{\nu}{i\alpha}(\partial_z^2 - \alpha^2)^2\psi, \quad (31)$$

with boundary conditions $\psi(0) = \partial_z\psi(0) = 0$. We also introduce the corresponding adjoint of the Rayleigh operator

$$Ray^t = (\partial_z^2 - \alpha^2)(U_s - c)\psi - U_s''\psi \quad (32)$$

and the adjoint Airy operator

$$\mathcal{A} = (\partial_z^2 - \alpha^2) Airy. \quad (33)$$

We already know that the spectrum of Orr Sommerfeld operator and that of its adjoint is the same. Let ψ_1 be an eigenvector of Orr with corresponding eigenvalue λ_1 and let ψ_2 be an eigenvector of Orr^t with corresponding eigenvalue λ_2 . Then, multiplying $Orr(\psi_1) = \lambda_1\psi_1$ by $\bar{\psi}_2$, $Orr^t(\psi_2) = \lambda_2\psi_2$ by $\bar{\psi}_1$ and combining we get (see [15], chapter 2)

$$(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \int \psi_1(\partial_z^2 - \alpha^2)\bar{\psi}_2 dx = 0. \quad (34)$$

We can therefore normalize the eigenvectors ψ_1 and ψ_2 such that

$$\int \psi_1(\partial_z^2 - \alpha^2)\bar{\psi}_2 dx = \delta_{\lambda_1=\lambda_2}. \quad (35)$$

Let $v_1 = \nabla^\perp \psi_1$ and $v_2 = \nabla^\perp \psi_2$, then we get

$$\int v_1 \bar{v}_2 dx = \delta_{\lambda_1=\lambda_2}. \quad (36)$$

3.2 Study of the adjoint Rayleigh operator

The main observation is that Ray and Ray^t are conjugated through $U_s - c$, namely

$$Ray^t(\psi) = (U_s - c)^{-1} Ray\left((U_s - c)\psi\right). \quad (37)$$

As a consequence

$$(Ray^t)^{-1}(f) = (U_s - c)^{-1} Ray^{-1}\left((U_s - c)f\right). \quad (38)$$

All the study of Ray^t can be thus deduced from that of Ray , as developed in [6], a reference that we now closely follow.

3.2.1 The case $\alpha = 0$

For $\alpha = 0$, the adjoint of Rayleigh operator reduces to

$$Ray_0^t(\psi) = \partial_z^2 \left[(U_s - c)\psi \right] - U_s'' \psi.$$

Using (37), there exists two particular solutions to $Ray_0^t(\psi) = 0$, namely

$$\psi_{-,0}^t = 1, \quad \psi_{+,0}^t = \frac{\psi_{+,0}}{U_s(z) - c}, \quad (39)$$

where $\psi_{+,0}$ is a growing solution to $Ray_0 = 0$. Note in particular that $\psi_{+,0}^t$ has a singularity of the form $(U_s(z) - c)^{-1}$, and is therefore much more singular than $\psi_{+,0}$, which is bounded near the critical layer. Note that

$$W[\psi_{-,0}^t, \psi_{+,0}^t] = \frac{1}{(U_s - c)^2}.$$

The Green function of Ray_0^t can be deduced from that of Ray_0 and is (see [6])

$$G_{R,0}(x, z) = \begin{cases} -(U_s(z) - c)^{-1}\psi_{-,0}(z)\psi_{+,0}(x), & \text{if } z > x, \\ -(U_s(z) - c)^{-1}\psi_{-,0}(x)\psi_{+,0}(z), & \text{if } z < x. \end{cases}$$

Note that this Green function is singular in z , with a $(U(z) - c)^{-1}$ singularity. The inverse of Ray_0^t is explicitly given by

$$RaySolver_0^t(f)(z) := \int_0^{+\infty} G_{R,0}(x, z)f(x)dx. \quad (40)$$

As in [6], we define

$$X^\eta = L_\eta^\infty = \left\{ f \mid \sup_{z \geq 0} |f(z)|e^{\eta z} < +\infty \right\}.$$

and the spaces Y^η defined by: a function f lies in Y^η if for any $z \geq 1$,

$$|f(z)| + |\partial_z f(z)| + |\partial_z^2 f(z)| \leq Ce^{-\eta z}$$

and if for $z \leq 1$,

$$|\partial_z f(z)| \leq C(1 + |\log(z - z_c)|),$$

and

$$|\partial_z^2 f(z)| \leq C(1 + |z - z_c|^{-1}).$$

The best constant C in the previous bounds defines the norm $\|f\|_{Y^\eta}$. Note that, following Lemma 3.3 of [6], if $(U_s(z) - c)f \in X^\eta$ then there exists a solution ϕ to $Ray_0^t(\phi) = f$ such that $(U_s(z) - c)\phi \in Y^0$, and

$$\|(U_s(z) - c)\phi\|_{Y^0} \leq C(1 + |\log \Im c|)\|(U_s(z) - c)f\|_{X^\eta}.$$

Again, note the singularity at $z = z_c$.

3.2.2 Approximate Green function when $\alpha \ll 1$

We again follow [6]. Let $\psi_{-,0}^t$ and $\psi_{+,0}^t$ be the two solutions of $Ray_0^t(\psi) = 0$ that are constructed above. We now construct an approximate Green function to the adjoint Rayleigh equation for $\alpha > 0$. To proceed, let us introduce

$$\phi_{-,\alpha} = \psi_{-,0}^t e^{-\alpha z} = e^{-\alpha z}, \quad \phi_{+,\alpha} = \psi_{+,0}^t e^{-\alpha z}. \quad (41)$$

A direct computation shows that their Wronskian determinant equals to

$$\begin{aligned} W[\phi_{-,\alpha}, \phi_{+,\alpha}] &= \partial_z \phi_{+,\alpha} \phi_{-,\alpha} - \phi_{+,\alpha} \partial_z \phi_{-,\alpha} \\ &= e^{-2\alpha z} W[\psi_{-,0}^t, \psi_{+,0}^t] = \frac{e^{-2\alpha z}}{(U_s(z) - c)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the Wronskian vanishes at infinity since both functions have the same behavior at infinity, and is singular at $z = z_c$. In addition,

$$Ray_\alpha^t(\phi_{\pm,\alpha}) = -2\alpha \partial_z \psi_{\pm,0} e^{-\alpha z}. \quad (42)$$

We are now led to introduce an approximate Green function $G_{R,\alpha}(x, z)$, which is defined as follows

$$G_{R,\alpha}^t(x, z) = \begin{cases} -(U_s(z) - c)^{-1} e^{-\alpha(z-x)} \psi_{-,0}(z) \psi_{+,0}(x), & \text{if } z > x \\ -(U_s(z) - c)^{-1} e^{-\alpha(z-x)} \psi_{-,0}(x) \psi_{+,0}(z), & \text{if } z < x. \end{cases}$$

Note that

$$G_{R,\alpha}^t = \frac{U_s(x) - c}{U_s(z) - c} G_{R,\alpha}.$$

Similar to $G_{R,0}^t(x, z)$, the Green function $G_{R,\alpha}^t(x, z)$ is ‘‘singular’’ near z_c . By a view of (42),

$$Ray_\alpha^t(G_{R,\alpha}^t(x, z)) = \delta_x + E_{R,\alpha}^t(x, z), \quad (43)$$

for each fixed x , where the error kernel $E_{R,\alpha}^t(x, z)$ is defined by

$$E_{R,\alpha}^t(x, z) = \begin{cases} -2\alpha(U_s(z) - c)^{-1} e^{-\alpha(z-x)} \partial_z \psi_{-,0}(z) \psi_{+,0}(x), & \text{if } z > x \\ -2\alpha(U_s(z) - c)^{-1} e^{-\alpha(z-x)} \psi_{-,0}(x) \partial_z \psi_{+,0}(z), & \text{if } z < x. \end{cases}$$

Then an approximate inverse of the operator Ray_α can be defined by

$$RaySolver_\alpha^t(f)(z) := \int_0^{+\infty} G_{R,\alpha}^t(x, z) f(x) dx, \quad (44)$$

and the related error operator takes the form of

$$Err_{R,\alpha}^t(f)(z) := \int_0^{+\infty} E_{R,\alpha}^t(x, z) f(x) dx. \quad (45)$$

Note that

$$RaySolver_\alpha^t(f) = (U_s - c)^{-1} RaySolver_\alpha((U_s - c)f),$$

and similarly for $E_{R,\alpha}^t$. Using (38) and Lemma 3.4 of [6], we get the following Lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Assume that $\Im c > 0$. For any $f \in X^\eta$, with $\alpha < \eta$, the function $RaySolver_\alpha^t(f)$ is well-defined in Y^α , and satisfies*

$$Ray_\alpha^t(RaySolver_\alpha^t(f)) = f + Err_{R,\alpha}^t(f).$$

Furthermore, there hold

$$\|(U_s - c)RaySolver_\alpha^t(f)\|_{Y^\alpha} \leq C(1 + |\log \Im c|) \|(U_s - c)f\|_{X^\eta}, \quad (46)$$

and

$$\|(U_s - c)Err_{R,\alpha}^t(f)\|_{Y^\eta} \leq C|\alpha|(1 + |\log(\Im c)|) \|(U_s - c)f\|_{X^\eta}, \quad (47)$$

for some universal constant C .

3.3 Transposed Airy operator and construction of $\phi_{f,-}^{t,app}$

Near the critical layer we will have to study

$$\mathcal{A} = (\partial_z^2 - \alpha^2)Airy$$

where

$$Airy = (U_s - c)\psi - \varepsilon \partial_z^2 \psi.$$

We have

$$Orr^t = \mathcal{A} - U_s'' \psi.$$

Note that \mathcal{A} is easily inverted into

$$\mathcal{A}^{-1} = Airy^{-1}(\partial_z^2 - \alpha^2)^{-1}.$$

The study of *Airy* and $Airy^{-1}$ has been fully detailed in [6]. In this latest paper the authors construct two particular approximate solutions of $Airy = 0$, denoted by $\phi_{f,\pm}^{app}$. In particular, near $z = 0$,

$$\phi_{f,-}^{app} = \frac{1}{g'(z)} Ai(\gamma g(z))$$

where $g(z)$ is Langer's transformation, which leads to

$$\phi_{f,-}^{app}(z) = Ai(\gamma(z - z_c)) + O(\nu^{1/4}).$$

The corresponding formulas for $\phi_{f,+}^{app}$ are similar, with Ai replaced by Ci , a linear combination of Ai and Bi .

We now construct an approximate Green's function for the *Airy* operator. Let

$$G^{Ai}(z, y) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon W^{Ai}(x)} \begin{cases} \phi_{f,+}^{app}(z)\phi_{f,-}^{app}(x) & \text{if } z < x, \\ \phi_{f,-}^{app}(z)\phi_{f,+}^{app}(x) & \text{if } z > x, \end{cases}$$

where W^{Ai} is the Wronskian determinant of $\phi_{\pm}^{app}(x)$. Note that the Wronskian determinant is independent of x , since there is no first derivative term in *Airy*. In addition, we have

$$W^{Ai}(x) \sim \gamma = O(\nu^{-1/4}).$$

Therefore G^{Ai} is rapidly decreasing in z on both sides of x , within scales of order $\nu^{1/4}$. By construction,

$$Airy G^{Ai}(x, z) = \delta_x + O(\nu^{3/4})G^{Ai}(x, z).$$

Let us now turn to the study of the operator \mathcal{A} . Note that there exist four approximate independent solution to $\mathcal{A} = 0$. Two are simply $\psi_{1,2} = \psi_{f,\pm}^{app}$ (which are approximate solutions of $Airy = 0$), and two others are defined by

$$Airy(\psi_3) = e^{-\alpha z}, \quad Airy(\psi_4) = \frac{e^{\alpha z} - e^{-\alpha z}}{2\alpha}.$$

Note that ψ_4 does not decay to 0. On the other side ψ_3 decays slowly and will be pivotal in the relation dispersion for the adjoint operator. We explicitly have

$$\psi_3 = G^{Ai} \star e^{-\alpha z} + O(\nu^{3/4}).$$

Note that ψ_3 is explicitly given by

$$\psi_3(x) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon W Ai} \left(\phi_{f,-}^{app}(x) \int_0^x \phi_{f,+}^{app}(y) e^{-\alpha y} dy + \phi_{f,+}^{app}(x) \int_x^{+\infty} \phi_{f,-}^{app}(y) e^{-\alpha y} dy \right).$$

Note also that for bounded z , and in particular in the critical layer $e^{-\alpha z}$ may be replaced by 1, up to $O(\alpha)$ smaller terms.

We define $\phi_{f,-}^{t,app} = \phi_{f,-}^{app}$, which is a solution of $\mathcal{A} = 0$ and is an approximate fast decaying mode. Note that

$$\frac{\partial_z \phi_{f,-}^{app}(0)}{\phi_{f,-}^{app}(0)} = \gamma \frac{Ai'(-\gamma z_c)}{Ai(-\gamma z_c)} + O(\nu^{1/4}). \quad (48)$$

3.4 Construction of $\phi_{s,-}^{t,app}$

The construction of $\phi_{s,-}^{t,app}$ is done by iteration. We start from $\phi_{-,0}^t = 1$, which leads to a first guess

$$\psi_0 = e^{-\alpha z}.$$

Note that the corresponding error is

$$e_0 = Orr^t(\psi_0) = -2\alpha U'_s e^{-\alpha z}, \quad (49)$$

which is of order $O(\alpha)$. We thus introduce a first corrector to ψ_0 defined by

$$Ray_\alpha^t(\psi_1) = -e_0.$$

We then have

$$Orr^t(\psi_0 + \psi_1) = -\varepsilon Diff(\psi_1), \quad (50)$$

where $Diff(\psi_1)$ is defined in Section 2.3. We have

$$\psi_1 = -(U_s(z) - c)^{-1} f_1,$$

where

$$f_1 = RaySolver_\alpha \left((U_s - c) e_0(x) \right) = -2\alpha G_{R,\alpha} \star (U_s - c) U'_s e^{-\alpha z},$$

where $G_{R,\alpha}$ is the Green function of Rayleigh's operator (see [6]). In order to study the singularity of ψ_1 we write f_1 in the form of

$$f_1 = f_1(z_c) + (U_s(z) - c) g_1(z),$$

where g_1 is a smooth function. In particular $Diff(g_1)$ is also smooth. Note that, as e_0 is of order $O(\alpha)$, $f_1(z_c)$ is also of order $O(\alpha)$. Therefore ψ_1 has a singularity at z_c , which is exactly of the form

$$\psi_1 = \psi_1^1 + \psi_1^2 := -\frac{f_1(z_c)}{U_s(z) - c} - g_1(z).$$

In particular, the leading term in $-\varepsilon Diff(\psi_1)$ is

$$-\varepsilon Diff(\psi_1) = \varepsilon f_1(z_c) Diff\left(\frac{1}{U_s(z) - c}\right) + O(\varepsilon).$$

To remove the singularity at leading order we introduce ψ_2 defined by

$$\partial_z^2 \text{Airy } \psi_2 = \varepsilon \partial_z^4 \psi_1^1 = -\varepsilon f_1(z_c) \partial_z^4 \left(\frac{1}{U_s(z) - c}\right).$$

This leads to

$$\text{Airy } \psi_2 = -\varepsilon f_1(z_c) \partial_z^2 \left(\frac{1}{U_s(z) - c}\right). \quad (51)$$

We recall that

$$\text{Airy } \psi_2 = \left((U_s(z) - c) - \varepsilon \partial_z^2\right) \psi_2.$$

Next we define ψ_2^1 by

$$\psi_2 = \frac{f_1(z_c)}{U_s(z) - c} + \psi_2^1.$$

We have at leading order

$$\text{Airy } \psi_2^1 = -f_1(z_c),$$

thus at leading order

$$\psi_2^1 = -f_1(z_c) \psi_3(z),$$

leading to

$$\psi_2 = \frac{f_1(z_c)}{U_s(z) - c} - f_1(z_c) \psi_3(z).$$

Summing up, this gives an approximate mode of the form

$$\phi_{s,-}^{t,app}(z) = e^{-\alpha z} - f_1(z_c) \psi_3(z) - g_1(z) + \dots \quad (52)$$

Note in particular that

$$\frac{\partial_z \phi_{s,-}^{t,app}(0)}{\phi_{s,-}^{t,app}(0)} = O(\nu^{-1/4}). \quad (53)$$

3.5 Dispersion relation

The relation dispersion is

$$\frac{\partial_z \phi_{s,-}^{t,app}(0)}{\phi_{s,-}^{t,app}(0)} = \gamma \frac{Ai'(-\gamma z_c)}{Ai(-\gamma z_c)}, \quad (54)$$

which both are of size $O(\nu^{-1/4})$. This dispersion relation should be the same as that of Orr Sommerfeld, namely (23), which is not clear (and seems not proven, even at a formal level, in the physical literature).

3.6 Use of the adjoint

Let us recall in a general setting how to use the adjoint in order to study the perturbation of the spectrum of an operator. Let $A(\varepsilon)$ be a smooth families of linear operators, such that $A(\varepsilon)$ has an eigenvector $u(\varepsilon)$ with corresponding eigenvalue $\lambda(\varepsilon)$. Assuming that $A(\varepsilon)$, $u(\varepsilon)$ and $\lambda(\varepsilon)$ have expansions in ε , of the form

$$A = A_0 + \varepsilon A_1 + \varepsilon^2 A_2 + \dots$$

and similarly for u and λ and writing $A(\varepsilon)u(\varepsilon) = \lambda(\varepsilon)u(\varepsilon)$ we get

$$A_0 e_0 = \lambda_0 e_0$$

and at first order

$$(A_0 - \lambda_0)e_1 = \lambda_1 e_0 - A_1 e_0. \quad (55)$$

Note that $(A_0 - \lambda_0)$ is precisely not invertible. Therefore we must choose λ_1 such that

$$\lambda_1 e_0 - A_1 e_0 \in \text{Range}(A_0 - \lambda_0) = \ker(A_0^t - \lambda_0)^\perp.$$

In particular for any $v \in \ker(A_0^t - \lambda_0)$,

$$\lambda_1(e_0|v) = (A_1 e_0|v),$$

which gives λ_1 . For this λ_1 , (55) is precisely invertible, since the right hand side is in the range of $A_0 - \lambda_0$. The construction may then be iterated to get a whole expansion of $\lambda(\varepsilon)$ and $u(\varepsilon)$. The previous arguments are of course purely formal.

Conversely, if we know that $A(\varepsilon)$ has such an expansion in powers of ε , then, for any arbitrarily large N we may construct approximate eigenvalues and normalized eigenvectors such that

$$A(\varepsilon)u(\varepsilon) = \lambda(\varepsilon)u(\varepsilon) + O(\varepsilon^N).$$

Note that the adjoint is precisely used to construct an inverse of $(A_0 - \lambda_0)$ when λ_0 is in the spectrum of A_0 .

4 Other boundary conditions for Navier Stokes equations

The previous linear analysis may be extended to nearby systems, namely to genuine Navier Stokes equations with nearby boundary condition like suction or Navier boundary condition. Let us detail the case of Navier boundary condition and let us consider incompressible Navier Stokes equations in an half plane

$$\partial_t u^\nu + (u^\nu \cdot \nabla)u^\nu - \nu \Delta u^\nu + \nabla p^\nu = f^\nu, \quad (56)$$

$$\nabla \cdot u^\nu = 0, \quad (57)$$

together with Navier boundary condition

$$u_1 = \beta \omega, \quad u_2 = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad y = 0, \quad (58)$$

where u_1 is the tangential velocity, u_2 the normal velocity, β a constant and $\omega = -(\partial_z^2 \psi - \alpha^2 \psi)$. (Note that $\omega = \nabla \times u = \partial_x u_2 - \partial_z u_1$.)

We are interested in the stability of a shear layer profile $U(z) = (U_s(z), 0)$. The objective of this section is to prove that long wave instabilities persist provided β is small enough. More precisely we will prove the following claim.

Claim: There exists β_0 , small enough, such that if $\beta \leq \beta_0 \nu^{1/4}$ then there exists a linearly unstable mode to Navier Stokes equations with Navier boundary condition.

As for the Dirichlet boundary condition we look for velocities of the form

$$u = \nabla^\perp \left(e^{i\alpha(x-ct)} \psi(z) \right) = e^{i\alpha(x-ct)} (\partial_z \psi, -i\alpha \psi),$$

which also now gives to the same Orr Sommerfeld operator, except that the Navier boundary condition (58) leads to

$$\psi(0) = 0, \quad \partial_z \psi(0) = -\beta \partial_z^2 \psi(0).$$

As previously we must find a linear combination of $\psi_{s,-}$ and $\psi_{f,-}$ which satisfies (58). First note that, $\omega = \partial_z^2 \psi - \alpha^2 \psi$. Neglecting the second term, this gives

$$\begin{aligned} a\psi_{f,-}(0) + b\psi_{s,-}(0) &= 0, \\ a\partial_z \psi_{f,-}(0) + b\partial_z \psi_{s,-}(0) &= -\beta \left(a\partial_z^2 \psi_{f,-}(0) + b\partial_z^2 \psi_{s,-}(0) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that at leading order

$$\partial_z^2 \psi_{f,-}(0) = \gamma^2 Ai(-\gamma z_c).$$

This leads to, neglecting $\beta \partial_z^2 \psi_{s,-}(0)$ in front of $\partial_z \psi_{s,-}(0)$

$$\frac{\psi_{f,-}(0)}{\partial_z \psi_{f,-}(0) + \beta \partial_z^2 \psi_{f,-}(0)} = \frac{\psi_{s,-}(0)}{\partial_z \psi_{s,-}(0)} \quad (59)$$

and to the dispersion relation

$$\alpha \frac{U_+^2}{U_s'(0)^2} - \frac{c}{U_s'(0)} = \gamma^{-1} \frac{Ai(2, -\gamma z_c)}{Ai(1, -\gamma z_c) + \beta \gamma Ai(-\gamma z_c)} + O(\alpha^2). \quad (60)$$

The study of the dispersion relation continues as in the previous section, except that the Tietjens' function is replaced by

$$Ti(z) = \frac{Ai(2, ze^{-5i\pi/6})}{ze^{-5i\pi/6} \left(Ai(1, ze^{-5i\pi/6}) + i^{1/3} \alpha_0^{1/3} \beta \nu^{-1/4} U_s'(z_c)^{1/3} Ai(ze^{-5i\pi/6}) \right)}.$$

We therefore look at β of order $\nu^{1/4}$ and define

$$\beta = \beta_0 \nu^{1/4}.$$

A continuity argument immediately gives that provided β_0 is small enough, there exists an unstable mode to Navier Stokes equations with Navier boundary condition, which ends the proof of the claim.

5 Rotation or magnetic field

In this section we focus on Navier Stokes equations in a rotating frame, which read

$$\partial_t u^\nu + (u^\nu \cdot \nabla) u^\nu - \nu \Delta u^\nu + \eta e \times u^\nu + \nabla p^\nu = f^\nu, \quad (61)$$

$$\nabla \cdot u^\nu = 0, \quad (62)$$

where e is a fixed vector and η a scalar. Note that $\eta e \times u$ is the usual Coriolis force (or magnetic force in the case of a charged fluid). We will focus on the case where e is perpendicular to the plane $z = 0$. The case of an arbitrarily e can be treated using similar ideas.

We will prove the following claim.

Claim: there exists N large enough ($N \geq 3$) such that if $\eta \leq \nu^N$, then there exists an approximate linear unstable mode to (61) and (62).

If $\eta \rightarrow +\infty$ as $\nu \rightarrow 0$, Ekman's layers appear on the boundary, with a typical size $\sqrt{\nu/\eta}$. These layers are known to be linearly stable provided the associated Reynolds number $Re = \|u^\nu\|_{L^\infty}/\sqrt{\eta\nu}$ remains small enough. If η^{-1} is of order ν , they are known to be linearly and nonlinear unstable for this Reynolds number large enough.

In this paper we are interested in the case where η is small. In this case the size of the boundary layer is $O(\sqrt{\nu})$, as for genuine Prandtl's layer. In this paper we focus on the evolution of the stability of such layers as η increases, but remains small. The case where η is large, but negligible with respect to ν^{-1} remains open.

We start with a shear layer, as for Navier Stokes equations. We first note that the Coriolis force $e \times u$ may be absorbed into the pressure term.

For sufficiently small η we construct a genuine three dimensional instability starting from a two dimensional one. Note that one of the main interest of this construction is that the instability is fully three dimensional, and not two dimensional as for genuine Navier Stokes equations. Namely let us choose e_1 , direction of the x variable, parallel to the plane $z = 0$. Using Orr Sommerfeld equation, we may construct an instability u_0 which is independent on y and only depends on x and z . This instability u_0 solves (61) up to the Coriolis term $\eta e \times u_0$, a term in the direction y . We therefore alter Orr Sommerfeld to take into account this velocity in the y direction. More precisely we look for (u, v, w) of the form

$$(u, v, w) = e^{i\alpha(x-ct)}(\psi'(z), v(z), -i\alpha\psi(z)).$$

This leads to the following modified Orr Sommerfeld equations

$$(U_s - c)(\partial_z^2 - \alpha^2)\psi - U_s''\psi - \frac{\nu}{i\alpha}(\partial_z^2 - \alpha^2)^2\psi - \frac{\eta}{i\alpha}\partial_z v = 0, \quad (63)$$

$$(U_s - c)v - \varepsilon(\partial_z^2 - \alpha^2)v - \frac{\eta}{i\alpha}\psi' = 0. \quad (64)$$

Note that (63)-(64) is a coupling between a genuine Orr Sommerfeld equation and an Airy type equation, through terms of order $\eta/i\alpha$. Let

$$Airy(v) = (U_s - c + \varepsilon\alpha^2)v - \varepsilon\partial_z^2 v.$$

Let us study the evolution of an eigenvector $\psi(\eta)$, $v(\eta)$ with corresponding eigenvalue $c(\eta)$ as η increases from 0. We will only detail formal computations and look for an asymptotic expansion of these quantities in η . This leads to the construction of approximate unstable eigenmodes, a first step in the construction of nonlinear instabilities. We have, underlying the c dependency of the operators on c ,

$$\begin{aligned} Orr(c, \psi) &= \frac{\eta}{i\alpha}\partial_z v, \\ Airy(c, v) &= \frac{\eta}{i\alpha}\psi'. \end{aligned}$$

We look for expansions of the form

$$c = c_0 + \eta^2 c_1 + \eta^4 c_2 + \dots,$$

$$\psi = \psi_0 + \eta^2 \psi_1 + \eta^4 \psi_2 + \dots$$

and

$$v = \eta v_0 + \eta^3 v_1 + \dots.$$

This leads to $Orr(c_0, \psi_0) = 0$, meaning that we construct a branch of solution starting from a long wave instability (c_0, ψ_0) of the non rotating Navier Stokes equations. Moreover,

$$Airy(c_0, v_0) = \frac{1}{i\alpha}\psi_0'.$$

The next order gives

$$Orr(c_0, \psi_1) + Orr(c_1, \psi_0) = \frac{1}{i\alpha}\partial_z v_0 + c_0(\partial_z^2 - \alpha^2)\psi_0. \quad (65)$$

Let ψ_1^t be the eigenvalue of Orr^t with the same eigenvalue c_0 . Then (65) may be solved provided

$$\left(Orr(c_1, \psi_0), \psi_1^t\right) = \left(-i\alpha^{-1}\partial_z v_0 + c_0(\partial_z^2 - \alpha^2)\psi_0, \psi_1^t\right),$$

or expanding the Orr Sommerfeld operator, and denoting by v_1, ω_1, v_1^t and ω_1^t the corresponding velocity fields and vorticities we get

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 \int v_1 \bar{v}_1^t &= -i\alpha^{-1}(\partial_z v_0, \psi_1^t) - \int U_s \omega_1 \bar{\psi}_1^t + \int U_s'' \psi_1 \bar{\psi}_1^t \\ &+ \frac{\nu}{i\alpha} \int \omega_1 \bar{\omega}_1^t + \int c_0 \omega_1 \bar{\psi}_1^t, \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

which explicitly gives c_1 . The formal construction of an approximate eigenmode can then be continued, up to any arbitrarily high order. Keeping track of the various dependencies in ν we get that, provided $\eta \leq \nu^N$ with N large enough ($N \geq 3$), there exists an approximate unstable eigenmode to (61) and (62). Using the arguments developed in [7] it is then possible to get an exact description of the corresponding Green function, and an exact unstable eigenmode. We will not detail these points here, which are routine, but lengthy.

6 Effect of density

Similar technics may be used to study compressible Navier Stokes equations or inhomogeneous Navier Stokes equations, provided the effects of compressibility or inhomogeneity are very small. Let us detail the case of compressible fluids.

Let us focus on the classical barotropic compressible Navier Stokes equations

$$\partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho u) = 0, \quad (67)$$

$$\partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla)u - \mu \rho^{-1} \Delta u - \xi \rho^{-1} \nabla(\nabla \cdot u) + \frac{\nabla p(\rho)}{\eta^2 \rho} = 0 \quad (68)$$

with boundary conditions $u = 0$ on the boundary $y = 0$. In these equations, η is the Mach number, which is assumed to be small, and ρ is a small perturbation of a constant, fixed to be 1. As η goes to 0, formally ρ goes

to 0, therefore $\nabla \cdot u$ goes to 0 and we recover incompressible Navier Stokes equations. Let $h(\rho)$ such that

$$\nabla h(\rho) = \frac{\nabla p(\rho)}{\rho}.$$

The objective of this paper is to study the stability of the shear layer profile $\rho_s = 1$, $U_s = (U_s(y), 0)$. More precisely we will prove formally that such a shear profile exhibits long wave instabilities provided η is small enough.

The linearized system reads

$$\partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot (u + \rho U_s) = 0, \quad (69)$$

$$\partial_t u + (U_s \cdot \nabla)u + (u \cdot \nabla)U_s - \mu \Delta u + \mu \rho \Delta U_s - \xi \nabla (\nabla \cdot u) + h'(1) \frac{\nabla \rho}{\eta^2} = 0. \quad (70)$$

We will prove the following claim.

Claim: there exists N large enough such that if $\eta \leq \nu^N$, then there exists a linear unstable mode to (69) and (70).

The strategy is to construct an approximate growing mode of (69) and (70) starting from a long wave growing mode of the genuine incompressible Navier Stokes equations. As usual in such incompressible limits, ρ is of order $O(\eta^2)$. Moreover, the velocity field will only be divergence free at leading order. We will therefore look for velocities and density of the form

$$(u, w) = (u_0, w_0) + \eta^2(u_2, w_2) + \eta^2 \nabla \theta_2 + \dots,$$

$$\rho = \eta^2 \rho_2 + \dots$$

and

$$c = c_0 + \eta^2 c_2 + \dots.$$

We start with a linear instability of incompressible Navier Stokes equations, of the form

$$(u_0, w_0) = e^{i\alpha(x-ct)}(\psi'(z), -i\alpha\psi(z)).$$

This instability has a corresponding pressure p_0 . As ρ will be of order $O(\eta^2)$, $\mu \rho \Delta U_s$ is negligible at this stage, and moreover $\nabla \cdot u = 0$, hence we define ρ_2 by

$$h'(1) \nabla \rho_2 = -\nabla p_0.$$

Now using (69) we get

$$\Delta\theta_2 = -\partial_t\rho_2 - \nabla \cdot (\rho_2 U_s),$$

which defines θ_2 . We then project (70) on divergence free vector fields and gradient vector fields. The project on gradients allows to define ρ_4 . The projection on divergence free vector fields gives (u_2, w_2) , up to a solvability condition which gives c_2 . This construction can be iterated at any order. This defines an approximate unstable mode. Using technics of [7] we can study the corresponding Green functions and construct an exact unstable mode. This proves the claim, by keeping track of the ν dependency of the various operators (we do not detail the precise computation of N).

Acknowledgment. D. Bian is supported by NSFC under the contract 11871005.

References

- [1] D. Bian, E. Grenier: Onset of nonlinear instabilities in viscous boundary layers, *in preparation*.
- [2] H. Blasius: Grenzschichten in Flüssigkeiten mit kleiner Reibung, *Zeitschrift für Mathematik und Physik*, Band 56, Heft 1, 1908.
- [3] F. Gallaire and F. Rousset: Spectral stability implies nonlinear stability for incompressible boundary layers. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 57(4): 1959–1975, 2008.
- [4] D. Gérard-Varet, Y. Maekawa and N. Masmoudi: Gevrey stability of Prandtl expansions for 2D Navier-Stokes. *Duke Math. J.*, 167(13): 2531–2631, 2018.
- [5] P. G. Drazin, W. H. Reid: *Hydrodynamic stability*. Cambridge Monographs on Mechanics and Applied Mathematics. Cambridge University, Cambridge–New York, 1981.
- [6] E. Grenier, T. Nguyen: Green function for linearized Navier-Stokes around a boundary shear layer profile for long wavelengths, *to appear on Annales Henri Poincaré*.
- [7] E. Grenier, T. Nguyen: L^∞ instability of Prandtl layers. *Ann. PDE*, 5(2), Paper no. 18, 36 pp, 2019.

- [8] E. Grenier, Y. Guo and T. Nguyen: Spectral instability of characteristic boundary layer flows. *Duke Math J.*, 165(16): 3085–3146, 2016.
- [9] W. Heisenberg: Über Stabilität und Turbulenz von Flüssigkeitsströmen. *Ann. Phys.*, 74: 577–627, 1924.
- [10] C. C. Lin: On the stability of two-dimensional parallel flow, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 30: 316–323, 1944.
- [11] C. C. Lin: *The theory of hydrodynamic stability*. Cambridge, at the University Press, 1955.
- [12] Y. Maekawa: On the inviscid limit problem of the vorticity equations for viscous incompressible flows in the half-plane. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 67(7): 1045–1128, 2014.
- [13] M. Sammartino and R. E. Caflisch: Zero viscosity limit for analytic solutions of the Navier-Stokes equation on a half-space. I. Existence for Euler and Prandtl equations. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 192(2): 433–461, 1998.
- [14] M. Sammartino and R. E. Caflisch: Zero viscosity limit for analytic solutions of the Navier-Stokes equation on a half-space. II. Construction of the Navier-Stokes solution. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 192(2): 463–491, 1998.
- [15] I. V. Schensted: Contributions to the theory of hydrodynamic stability, PhD thesis, University of Michigan, 1960.
- [16] H. Schlichting: *Boundary layer theory*, Translated by J. Kestin. 4th ed. McGraw–Hill Series in Mechanical Engineering. McGraw–Hill Book Co., Inc., New York, 1960.