

# GENERALIZED SASAKIAN STRUCTURES FROM A POISSON GEOMETRY VIEWPOINT

JANET TALVACCHIA

ABSTRACT. In this paper we define a canonical Poisson structure on a normal generalized contact metric space and use this structure to define a generalized Sasakian structure. We show also that this canonical Poisson structure enables us to distinguish generalized Sasakian structures from generalized coKähler structures.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of a generalized complex structure, introduced by Hitchin in his paper [13] and developed by Gualtieri ([9],[10]) gives a framework that unifies both complex and symplectic structures on even dimensional manifolds. The odd dimensional analog of this structure, a generalized contact structure, was taken up by Vaisman ([21],[22]), Poon, Wade [17], Sekiya [18], and Aldi and Grandini [2]. This framework unifies almost contact, contact, and cosymplectic structures. In odd dimensions, classical coKähler and classical Sasakian structures are special almost contact metric structures distinguished by the fact that both generate Kähler structures on the cone  $M \times \mathbb{R}$ . It is natural to consider what their generalized counterparts would be.

Generalized Kähler structures were introduced by Gualtieri ([9],[10],[11]) and found their way immediately into the physics literature ([14], [16]). Generalized coKähler spaces were defined and studied by the author and R.Gomez in [8] where it was shown that the standard product construction yielded a generalized Kähler manifold if and only if each of the factors in the product was a generalized coKähler manifold. It is shown there as well that classical Sasakian manifolds lie outside of this class of spaces. In [20] the author showed that, indeed, any notion of generalized Sasakian could not arise via a standard product construction. What a possible notion of generalized Sasakian might be has been discussed in ([15], [18], [21], [22]) but the definitions, while encapsulating many of the properties one would want in generalized Sasakian space, are not all consistent, are defined on the cone  $M \times \mathbb{R}$  instead of intrinsically on the space  $M$ , and don't give an immediate way to distinguish generalized Sasakian from generalized coKähler spaces.

In Abouzaid and Boyarchenko [1], an approach to generalized geometry via Poisson structures was written down. They showed that there was a canonical Poisson structure associated to a generalized complex structure and this Poisson structure in fact determines the generalized complex structure. In more recent years, several

---

*Date:* May 25, 2022.

*Key words and phrases.* Generalized Geometry, Generalized Sasakian, Generalized Contact Structures, Generalized CoKähler .

authors (see for example [5], [3], [4], [12]) approach generalized complex geometry from the point of view of the underlying Poisson structure as the fundamental object. An approach to generalized contact structures via Poisson geometry has not previously been undertaken. The aim of this paper is two-fold. The first is to define a canonical Poisson structure on a normal generalized contact structure that characterizes it. We do that in section 3. The second objective is to use this structure to propose a definition of a generalized Sasakian structure in terms of an invertibility criterion on the Poisson structure. We do that in sections 4 and 5. In [12], Gualtieri proved results that describe how a generalized Kähler structures can be generated via gauge transformations of the Poisson structure underlying a generalized complex structure. In section 4, we look at these theorems in the context of  $M \times \mathbb{R}$  where  $M$  is a normal generalized contact metric manifold whose underlying Poisson structure is the product Poisson structure of canonical Poisson structure on  $M$  defined earlier in the paper and the canonical Poisson structure on the space  $\mathbb{R}$  with its usual normal generalized contact metric structure. In section 5, we then reduce the conditions on the Poisson structure on  $M \times \mathbb{R}$  to conditions on the canonical Poisson structure on  $M$ . This suggests a definition of generalized Sasakian in terms of an invertibility criterion on this Poisson structure on  $M$ . We show that classical Sasakian spaces are always generalized Sasakian. Generalized coKähler structures are shown to never satisfy this criterion so it distinguishes between the generalized Sasakian and generalized coKähler cases. In essence, we show that while generalized coKähler spaces generate generalized Kähler spaces via a product construction, generalized Sasakian spaces generate generalized Kähler spaces via gauge transformations of its underlying canonical Poisson structure.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

We begin with a very brief review of generalized geometric structures. Throughout this paper we let  $M$  be a smooth manifold. Consider the big tangent bundle,  $TM \oplus T^*M$ . We define a neutral metric on  $TM \oplus T^*M$  by

$$\langle X + \alpha, Y + \beta \rangle = \frac{1}{2}(\beta(X) + \alpha(Y))$$

and the Courant bracket by

$$[[X + \alpha, Y + \beta]] = [X, Y] + \mathcal{L}_X \beta - \mathcal{L}_Y \alpha - \frac{1}{2}d(\iota_X \beta - \iota_Y \alpha)$$

where  $X, Y \in TM$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in T^*M$ . A subbundle of  $TM \oplus T^*M$  is said to be involutive or integrable if its sections are closed under the Courant bracket[9].

**Definition 2.1.** [9] A generalized almost complex structure on  $M$  is an endomorphism  $\mathcal{J}$  of  $TM \oplus T^*M$  such that  $\mathcal{J} + \mathcal{J}^* = 0$  and  $\mathcal{J}^2 = -Id$ . If the  $\sqrt{-1}$  eigenbundle  $L \subset (TM \oplus T^*M) \otimes \mathbb{C}$  associated to  $\mathcal{J}$  is involutive with respect to the Courant bracket, then  $\mathcal{J}$  is called a generalized complex structure.

Here are the prototypical examples:

**Example 2.2.** [9] Let  $(M^{2n}, J)$  be a complex structure. Then we get a generalized complex structure by setting

$$\mathcal{J}_J = \begin{pmatrix} -J & 0 \\ 0 & J^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Example 2.3.** [9] Let  $(M^{2n}, \omega)$  be a symplectic structure. Then we get a generalized complex structure by setting

$$\mathcal{J}_\omega = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\omega^{-1} \\ \omega & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Diffeomorphisms of  $M$  preserve the Lie bracket of smooth vector fields and in fact diffeomorphisms are the only such automorphisms of the tangent bundle. But in generalized geometry, there is actually more flexibility. Given  $T \oplus T^*$  equipped with the Courant bracket, the automorphism group is comprised of the diffeomorphisms of  $M$  and some additional symmetries called  $B$ -field transformations [9].

**Definition 2.4.** [9] Let  $B$  be a closed two-form which we view as a map from  $T \rightarrow T^*$  given by interior product. Then the invertible bundle map

$$e^B := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ B & 1 \end{pmatrix} : X + \xi \mapsto X + \xi + \iota_X B$$

is called a  $B$ -field transformation.

Recall that we can reduce the structure group of  $T \oplus T^*$  from  $O(2n, 2n)$  to the maximal compact subgroup  $O(2n) \times O(2n)$ . This is equivalent to an orthogonal splitting of  $T \oplus T^* = V_+ \oplus V_-$ , where  $V_+$  and  $V_-$  are positive and negative definite respectively with respect to the inner product. Thus we can define a positive definite Riemannian metric on the big tangent bundle by

$$G = \langle, \rangle|_{V_+} - \langle, \rangle|_{V_-}.$$

**Definition 2.5.** [9] A generalized metric  $G$  on  $M$  is an automorphism of  $TM \oplus T^*M$  such that  $G^* = G$  and  $G^2 = 1$ .

In the presence of a generalized almost complex structure  $\mathcal{J}_1$ , if  $G$  commutes with  $\mathcal{J}_1$  ( $G\mathcal{J}_1 = \mathcal{J}_1G$ ) then  $G\mathcal{J}_1$  squares to  $-1$  and we generate a second generalized almost complex structure,  $\mathcal{J}_2 = G\mathcal{J}_1$ , such that  $\mathcal{J}_1$  and  $\mathcal{J}_2$  commute and  $G = -\mathcal{J}_1\mathcal{J}_2$ . This leads us to the following:

**Definition 2.6.** [9] A generalized Kähler structure is a pair of commuting generalized complex structures  $\mathcal{J}_1, \mathcal{J}_2$  such that  $G = -\mathcal{J}_1\mathcal{J}_2$  is a positive definite metric on  $T \oplus T^*$ .

**Example 2.7.** [9] Consider a Kähler structure  $(\omega, J, g)$  on  $M$ . By defining  $\mathcal{J}_J$  and  $\mathcal{J}_\omega$  as in Examples 2.2 and 2.3, we obtain a generalized Kähler structure on  $M$ , where

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & g^{-1} \\ g & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We now recall the odd dimensional analog of generalized complex geometry. We use the definition given by Sekiya (see [18]).

**Definition 2.8.** [18] A generalized almost contact structure on  $M$  is a triple  $(\Phi, E_\pm)$  where  $\Phi$  is an endomorphism of  $TM \oplus T^*M$ , and  $E_+$  and  $E_-$  are sections of  $TM \oplus T^*M$  which satisfy

$$(2.1) \quad \Phi + \Phi^* = 0$$

$$(2.2) \quad \Phi \circ \Phi = -Id + E_+ \otimes E_- + E_- \otimes E_+$$

$$(2.3) \quad \langle E_\pm, E_\pm \rangle = 0, \quad 2\langle E_+, E_- \rangle = 1.$$

Now, since  $\Phi$  satisfies  $\Phi^3 + \Phi = 0$ , we see that  $\Phi$  has 0 as well as  $\pm\sqrt{-1}$  eigenvalues when viewed as an endomorphism of the complexified big tangent bundle  $(TM \oplus T^*M) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ . The kernel of  $\Phi$  is  $L_{E_+} \oplus L_{E_-}$  where  $L_{E_\pm}$  is the line bundle spanned by  $E_\pm$ . Let  $E^{(1,0)}$  be the  $\sqrt{-1}$  eigenbundle of  $\Phi$ . Let  $E^{(0,1)}$  be the  $-\sqrt{-1}$  eigenbundle. Observe:

$$E^{(1,0)} = \{X + \alpha - \sqrt{-1}\Phi(X + \alpha) | \langle E_\pm, X + \alpha \rangle = 0\}$$

$$E^{(0,1)} = \{X + \alpha + \sqrt{-1}\Phi(X + \alpha) | \langle E_\pm, X + \alpha \rangle = 0\}.$$

Then the complex vector bundles

$$L^+ = L_{E_+} \oplus E^{(1,0)}$$

and

$$L^- = L_{E_-} \oplus E^{(1,0)}$$

are maximal isotropics.

**Definition 2.9.** [17] A generalized almost contact structure  $(\Phi, E_\pm)$  is a generalized contact structure if either  $L^+$  or  $L^-$  is closed with respect to the Courant bracket. The generalized contact structure is strong if both  $L^+$  and  $L^-$  are closed with respect to the Courant bracket.

**Definition 2.10.** [8] A generalized almost contact structure  $(M, \Phi, E_\pm)$  is a normal generalized contact structure if  $\Phi$  is strong and  $[[E_+, E_-]] = 0$ .

*Remark 2.11.* This definition of normality is motivated by Theorem 1 of [7] that shows that product of two generalized almost contact spaces  $(M_1, \Phi_1, E_{\pm 1})$  and  $(M_2, \Phi_2, E_{\pm 2})$  induces a standard generalized almost complex structure on  $M_1 \times M_2$ . The generalized complex structure is integrable if each  $\Phi_i$  is strong and  $[[E_{+i}, E_{-i}]] = 0$ .

Here are the standard examples:

**Example 2.12.** [17] Let  $(\phi, \xi, \eta)$  be a normal almost contact structure on a manifold  $M^{2n+1}$ . Then we get a generalized almost contact structure by setting

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi & 0 \\ 0 & -\phi^* \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_+ = \xi, \quad E_- = \eta$$

where  $(\phi^*\alpha)(X) = \alpha(\phi(X))$ ,  $X \in TM$ ,  $\alpha \in T^*M$ . Moreover,  $(\Phi, E_\pm)$  is an example of a strong generalized almost contact structure.

**Example 2.13.** [17] Let  $(M^{2n+1}, \eta)$  be a contact manifold with  $\xi$  the corresponding Reeb vector field so that

$$\iota_\xi d\eta = 0 \quad \eta(\xi) = 1.$$

Then

$$\rho(X) := \iota_X d\eta - \eta(X)\eta$$

is an isomorphism from the tangent bundle to the cotangent bundle. Define a bivector field by

$$\pi(\alpha, \beta) := d\eta(\rho^{-1}(\alpha), \rho^{-1}(\beta)),$$

where  $\alpha, \beta \in T^*$ . We obtain a generalized almost contact structure by setting

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \pi \\ d\eta & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_+ = \eta, \quad E_- = \xi.$$

In fact,  $(\Phi, E_{\pm})$  is an example which is not strong.

**Definition 2.14.** [18]

A generalized almost contact metric structure is a generalized almost contact structure  $(\Phi, E_{\pm})$  along with a generalized Riemannian metric  $G$  that satisfies

$$(2.4) \quad -\Phi G \Phi = G - E_+ \otimes E_+ - E_- \otimes E_-.$$

**Definition 2.15.** [8]

A generalized coKähler structure is a normal generalized contact metric structure  $(M, \Phi, E_+, E_-, G)$  where both  $\Phi$  and  $G\Phi$  are strong.

The following theorem was proved in [8]

**Theorem 2.16.** *Let  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be odd dimensional smooth manifolds each with a generalized contact metric structure  $(\Phi, E_{\pm, i}, G_i), i = 1, 2$  such that on the product  $M_1 \times M_2$  are two generalized almost complex structures:  $\mathcal{J}_1$  which is the natural generalized almost complex structure induced from  $\Phi_1$  and  $\Phi_2$  and  $\mathcal{J}_2 = G\mathcal{J}_1$  where  $G = G_1 \times G_2$ . Then  $(M_1 \times M_2, \mathcal{J}_1, \mathcal{J}_2)$  is generalized Kähler if and only if  $(\Phi_i, E_{\pm, i}, G_i), i = 1, 2$  are generalized coKähler structures.*

### 3. THE CANONICAL POISSON STRUCTURE ON A GENERALIZED ALMOST CONTACT MANIFOLD

In this section, we show there is a canonical Poisson structure underlying a generalized almost contact structure  $(M, \Phi, E_+, E_-)$  provided  $[[E_+, E_-]] = 0$ . The condition  $[[E_+, E_-]] = 0$  arose previously in [7] as a necessary and sufficient condition for the product of two strong generalized contact manifolds to be a generalized complex manifold. It is not surprising that it appears as a condition to obtain a Poisson structure on the generalized almost contact space that is formed from the defining elements of the space.

Given a generalized almost contact structure  $(M, \Phi, E_+, E_-)$ , the restriction of  $\Phi$  to  $(E_+ \oplus E_-)^\perp$  is a generalized complex structure. As was shown in [1], there is a canonical Poisson structure,  $\pi_0$ , underlying this generalized complex structure. We can extend this bivector  $\pi_0$  to a bivector  $\pi_M$  on  $M$  as follows. Let  $e_+ = pr_{TM}(E_+)$  and let  $e_- = pr_{TM}(E_-)$  where  $pr_{TM}$  is projection onto  $TM$ . Define

$$\pi_M = \pi_0 + e_+ \wedge e_-.$$

**Lemma 3.1.** *If  $[[E_+, E_-]] = 0$  then  $\pi_M$  is a Poisson structure on  $M$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $[[E_+, E_-]] = 0$  implies  $[e_+, e_-] = 0$ .

Now  $[\pi_M, \pi_M] = [e_+ \wedge e_-, e_+ \wedge e_-]$ . Computing the bracket, we see that

$$[e_+ \wedge e_-, e_+ \wedge e_-] = 2[e_+, e_-] \wedge e_+ \wedge e_-.$$

The condition  $[e_+, e_-] = 0$  insures this is zero. □

In the rest of this paper, we look at this canonical Poisson structure on normal generalized contact metric spaces.

#### 4. GAUGE TRANSFORMATIONS OF POISSON STRUCTURES AND GUALTIERI'S THEOREM

In this section we review a theorem by Gualtieri [12] that we will use to generate the invertibility criterion on the canonical Poisson structure of the normal generalized contact metric manifold. Recall that a Dirac structure is a maximal isotropic subbundle  $L \subset TM \oplus T^*M$  that is involutive under the Courant bracket. Let  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  be transverse Dirac structures,  $pr_{TM}(L_1) + pr_{TM}(L_2) = TM$ . Then their sum is defined by

$$L_1 + L_2 = \{X + \alpha + \beta \mid X + \alpha \in L_1, X + \beta \in L_2\}.$$

We use the notation  $L_2 - L_1$  for the Dirac structure  $(-1)L_1 + L_2$ .

The scaling of a Dirac structure by a nonzero number  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  is defined by

$$\lambda L = \{X + \lambda\alpha \mid X + \alpha \in L\}.$$

A real Poisson structure  $\pi \in C^\infty(\wedge^2 TM)$  on a real smooth manifold  $M$  can be viewed as a Dirac structure by considering its graph sub-bundle  $\Gamma_\pi \subset TM \oplus T^*M$ .

$$\Gamma_\pi = \{\pi\xi + \xi \mid \xi \in T^*M\}$$

Since  $[\pi, \pi] = 0$ ,  $\Gamma_\pi$  is an involutive maximal isotropic on  $TM \oplus T^*M$ .

**Definition 4.1.** Two real Poisson structures  $\pi_0$  and  $\pi_1$  on  $M$  are gauge equivalent if there exists a real closed two form  $B$  such that

$$e^B \Gamma_{\pi_0} = \Gamma_{\pi_1}$$

In [19], where this notion was introduced, it was shown that  $\pi_0$  and  $\pi_1$  are gauge equivalent if and only if  $(I + B\pi_0)$  is invertible as a bundle automorphism of  $T^*M$ . When this happens,

$$\pi_1 = \pi_0(I + B\pi_0)^{-1}$$

We see from this that  $\pi_0$  and  $\pi_1$  are gauge equivalent when their symplectic leaves coincide.

**Theorem 4.2.** (Gualtieri, [12])

*Fix a generalized complex structure  $\mathbb{J}_0$  on an even dimensional manifold  $M$  with  $+\iota$  eigenbundle  $L_0$  and underlying real Poisson structure  $\pi_0$ . Let  $\beta$  be a complex two form. Then  $L_1 = e^\beta L_0$  defines a generalized complex structure  $\mathbb{J}_1$  if and only if  $(I + B\pi_0)$  is invertible for  $B = \text{Im } \beta$ . The underlying real Poisson structure  $\pi_1$  of  $\mathbb{J}_1$  is given by  $\pi_1 = \pi_0(I + B\pi_0)^{-1}$ .*

Let's look at this theorem now in the context of an even dimensional space  $M \times \mathbb{R}$  where  $M$  is a normal generalized contact metric manifold and  $\mathbb{R}$  is given its standard normal generalized contact metric structure

$$(M, \Phi_{\mathbb{R}} \equiv 0, (0, dt), (\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, 0), G_{\mathbb{R}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (dt^2)^{-1} \\ dt^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}).$$

The canonical Poisson structure  $\pi_{\mathbb{R}}$  underlying this generalized contact metric structure on  $\mathbb{R}$  is the zero Poisson structure. We get a Poisson structure on  $M \times \mathbb{R}$  by taking the product Poisson structure :  $\pi = \pi_M + \pi_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Theorem 1 of [7] implies that  $M \times \mathbb{R}$  admits a generalized complex structure  $\mathbb{J}_0$  constructed from the data on  $M$  and  $\mathbb{R}$ . It is easy to check that  $\pi$  is the underlying Poisson structure for  $\mathbb{J}_0$ . Let  $\eta = pr_{T^*M}(E_+) + pr_{T^*M}(E_-)$ . Assume  $d\eta \neq 0$ . Let  $B = d(e^t\eta)$ . Then

if  $(I + B\pi)$  is invertible, we get a new complex structure  $\mathbb{J}_1$  on  $M \times \mathbb{R}$ . To show that  $\mathbb{J}_0$  and  $\mathbb{J}_1$  form a Kähler structure, we must show that  $\mathbb{J}_0$  and  $\mathbb{J}_1$  commute and  $\mathbb{G} = -\mathbb{J}_0\mathbb{J}_1$  is positive definite. In [12], Gualtieri proved the following theorem that allows one to verify the Kähler conditions on  $\mathbb{J}_0$  and  $\mathbb{J}_1$  in terms of their underlying Dirac structures  $L_0$  and  $L_1$ :

**Theorem 4.3.** (Gualtieri [12])

The pair of complex Dirac structures  $(L_0, L_1)$  defines a generalized Kähler structure if and only if it satisfies all of the following conditions:

- (1)  $L_0$  is transverse to  $\bar{L}_0$ ; ie,  $pr_{T_{\mathbb{C}}M}(L_0) + pr_{T_{\mathbb{C}}M}(\bar{L}_0) = T_{\mathbb{C}}M$ . Similarly  $L_1$  is transverse to  $\bar{L}_1$ .
- (2) The real Dirac structures

$$\Gamma_{\pi_1} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-1}}(L_0 - \bar{L}_0), \quad \Gamma_{\pi_2} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-1}}(L_1 - \bar{L}_1),$$

define real Poisson structures, i.e.  $\Gamma_{\pi_1} \cap TM = \Gamma_{\pi_2} \cap TM = 0$ .

- (3) The complex Dirac structures

$$L_{\sigma_+} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-1}}(L_0 - L_1), \quad L_{\sigma_-} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-1}}(L_0 - \bar{L}_1)$$

define holomorphic Poisson structures  $(I_+, \sigma_+), (I_-, \sigma_-)$  respectively, i.e.  $T_{\mathbb{C}}M = (L_{\sigma_+} \cap T_{\mathbb{C}}M) \oplus (\bar{L}_{\sigma_+} \cap T_{\mathbb{C}}M)$  and  $T_{\mathbb{C}}M = (L_{\sigma_-} \cap T_{\mathbb{C}}M) \oplus (\bar{L}_{\sigma_-} \cap T_{\mathbb{C}}M)$ .

- (4) For all nonzero  $u \in L_0 \cap L_1$  we have  $\langle u, \bar{u} \rangle > 0$ .

We use this theorem to verify the generalized Kähler conditions since we have the existence of  $\mathbb{J}_1$  but no formula for it to allow us to check its commutativity with  $\mathbb{J}_0$  directly. In [7], there is an explicit formula for  $\mathbb{J}_0$  as well as for generators of  $L_0$ . The relation  $L_1 = e^\beta L_0$  allows us thus to compute generators for  $L_1$ .

From [7],  $L_0$  is generated by

- $(E^{(1,0)}, 0)$
- $(E_{-1}, -\sqrt{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial t})$
- $(E_{+1}, -\sqrt{-1}dt)$

$L_1 = e^\beta L_0$  is thus generated by

- $(E^{(1,0)}, 0) + \iota_X d(e^t \eta)$  where  $X + \alpha \in E^{(1,0)}$
- $(E_{-1}, -\sqrt{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}) + \iota_{e_+ - \sqrt{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} d(e^t \eta)$
- $(E_{-1}, -\sqrt{-1}dt) + \iota_{e_-} d(e^t \eta)$

It is a straightforward computation to verify the conditions of Theorem 4.3 using the generators of  $L_0$  and  $L_1$ .

In summary, if  $(I + B\pi)$  is invertible for  $B = d(e^t \eta)$  with  $d\eta \neq 0$  and  $\pi = \pi_M + \pi_{\mathbb{R}}$ , we can use Gualtieri's theorems to see that a normal generalized contact metric structure generates a generalized Kähler structure on  $M \times \mathbb{R}$ .

## 5. REDUCING THE INVERTIBILITY CONDITION TO A CONDITION ON $M$ AND THE DEFINITION OF GENERALIZED SASAKIAN

Ideally, we'd like a condition directly on  $M$  that would tell us whether this construction of Kähler structures via a gauge transformation of the canonical Poisson structure on  $M \times \mathbb{R}$  by  $B = d(e^t \eta)$  will work. We do this in the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $(M, \Phi, E_+, E_-, G)$  be a normal generalized contact metric structure. Let  $\pi_M$  be the canonical Poisson structure on  $M$ . Let  $\eta = pr_{T^*M}E_+ + pr_{T^*M}E_-$ .*

*Let  $(\mathbb{R}, \Phi = 0, dt, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, G_{\mathbb{R}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (dt^2)^{-1} \\ dt^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix})$  be the standard normal generalized contact metric structure on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\pi_{\mathbb{R}}$  be its underlying Poisson structure,  $\pi_{\mathbb{R}} = 0$ .*

*Let  $\pi = \pi_m + \pi_{\mathbb{R}}$  be the product Poisson structure on  $M \times \mathbb{R}$ .*

*Let  $B = d(e^t\eta)$ . Let  $\widehat{B} = d\eta$ .*

*Then  $(I + B\pi)$  is invertible as a map from  $T^*(M \times \mathbb{R})$  to  $T^*(M \times \mathbb{R})$  if and only if  $(I + e^t\widehat{B}\pi_M)$  is invertible as a map from  $T^*M$  to  $T^*M$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} (I + B\pi) &= I + B(\pi_M + \pi_{\mathbb{R}}) \\ &= I + B\pi_M \\ &= I + d(e^t\eta)(\pi_0 + \pi_1) \\ &= I + e^t d\eta(\pi_0 + \pi_1) + e^t dt \wedge d\eta(\pi_0 + \pi_1) \end{aligned}$$

Direct computation shows that  $e^t dt \wedge \eta(\pi_0 + \pi_1) = 0$ . So,  $(I + B\pi) = I + e^t d\eta(\pi_0 + \pi_1)$  as a map from  $T^*(M \times \mathbb{R})$  to  $T^*(M \times \mathbb{R})$ .

Let  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, t\}$  be local coordinates on  $M \times \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\alpha$  be a generic 1-form in  $T^*(M \times \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\alpha = a_1 dx_1 + \dots + a_n dx_n + a_t dt$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (I + B\pi)(\alpha) &= (I + e^t d\eta(\pi_0 + \pi_1))(a_1 dx_1 + \dots + a_n dx_n + a_t dt) \\ &= a_1 dx_1 + \dots + a_n dx_n + a_t dt + e^t d\eta(\pi_0 + \pi_1)(a_1 dx_1 + \dots + a_n dx_n + a_t dt) \\ &= a_1 dx_1 + \dots + a_n dx_n + a_t dt + e^t d\eta(\pi_0 + \pi_1)(a_1 dx_1 + \dots + a_n dx_n) \\ &= (I + e^t \widehat{B}\pi_M)(a_1 dx_1 + \dots + a_n dx_n) + a_t dt \end{aligned}$$

From this equation it is clear that  $(I + B\pi)$  is invertible as a map from  $T^*(M \times \mathbb{R})$  to  $T^*(M \times \mathbb{R})$  if and only if  $(I + e^t \widehat{B}\pi_M)$  is invertible as a map from  $T^*M$  to  $T^*M$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .  $\square$

**Definition 5.2.** A normal generalized contact metric space  $(M, \Phi, E_+, E_-, G)$  is defined to be generalized Sasakian if  $(I + e^t(d\eta)\pi_M)$  is invertible as a map from  $T^*M$  to  $T^*M$  for all values of  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  where  $\pi_M$  is the canonical Poisson structure on  $M$ ,  $\eta = pr_{T^*M}E_+ + pr_{T^*M}E_-$  and  $d\eta \neq 0$ .

**Theorem 5.3.** *A classical Sasakian space is generalized Sasakian.*

*Proof.* Let  $M_1 = (M, \phi, \eta, \xi, g)$  be a classical Sasakian space. (Here,  $\phi$  is a (1,1) tensor,  $\xi$  is the Reeb vector field,  $\eta$  is a 1-form, and  $g$  is the metric.)

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_1 &= \Phi_{\phi} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi & 0 \\ 0 & -\phi^* \end{pmatrix} \\ E_{+1} &= \xi \\ E_{-1} &= \eta \end{aligned}$$



be the generalized contact structure generated by the classical almost contact structure  $\phi$ . The compatible generalized metric is

$$G_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & g^{-1} \\ g & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since  $e_+ \wedge e_- = 0$  for this space,  $\pi_m = \pi_0$ . Also for a classical Sasakian space,  $\pi_0$  restricted to  $(E_+ \oplus E_-)^\perp$  equals  $(d\eta)^{-1}$ . Choose local coordinates  $\{dx_1, \dots, dx_n, \eta\}$  for  $T^*M$ . Let  $\alpha = a_1 dx_1 + \dots + a_n dx_n + a_\eta \eta$  be an arbitrary element of  $T^*M$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (I + e^t \widehat{B}\pi_M)(\alpha) &= (I + e^t d\eta \circ \pi_0)(a_1 dx_1 + \dots + a_n dx_n + a_\eta \eta) \\ &= (1 + e^t)(a_1 dx_1 + \dots + a_n dx_n) + a_\eta \eta \end{aligned}$$

which is invertible. □

Recall that for a classical coKähler space  $(M, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  we always have that  $d\eta = 0$ . So when we look at the classical coKähler space as a generalized contact metric structure, the gauge transform of its canonical poisson structure on  $M \times \mathbb{R}$  by  $B = d(e^t \eta)$  does not yield a new generalized complex structure. The map  $(I + B\pi)$  reduces to just the identity map. As a result we see that the procedure using gauge transformation by  $d(e^t \eta)$  on the canonical Poisson structure fails to generate a Kähler structure on  $M \times \mathbb{R}$  even though a Kähler structure can be constructed on  $M \times \mathbb{R}$  via a product construction. This holds true with generalized coKähler spaces as well.

**Theorem 5.4.** *Let  $(M, \Phi, E_+, E_-, G)$  be a generalized coKähler space. Let  $\eta = pr_{T^*M}(E_+) + pr_{T^*M}(E_-)$ . Then  $d\eta = 0$  when restricted to  $\ker \eta = (E_+ \oplus E_-)^\perp$ . As a consequence, we see that there is no intersection between the set of generalized Sasakian spaces and the set of generalized coKähler spaces.*

*Proof.* Note that since  $G(E_\pm) = E_\mp$ , the 1-form  $\eta$  is the same for both generalized contact metric structures  $(M, \Phi, E_+, E_-, G)$  and  $(M, \tilde{\Phi} = G\Phi, \tilde{E}_+ = GE_+, \tilde{E}_- = GE_-, G)$ . Since  $\Phi$  and  $G\Phi$  are both strong, the pair  $(L, L^*)$  forms a Lie bialgebroid where  $L = L_{E_+} + E^{(1,0)}$  and  $L^* = L_{E_-} + E^{(0,1)}$ . By theorem 2.9 of [17], this is true if and only if  $d\eta = 0$  when restricted to  $\ker \eta = (E_+ \oplus E_-)^\perp$ . □

## 6. ARBITRARY PRODUCTS OF GENERALIZED SASAKIAN MANIFOLDS

The famous result of Calabi and Eckman (see [6]) showed that the product of Sasakian spaces need not be Kähler. This holds true for generalized Sasakian spaces as well. One expects this since  $(I + B(\pi_1 + \pi_2))$  need not be invertible even if both  $(I + B(\pi_1))$  and  $(I + B(\pi_2))$  are both invertible. A close look at the details of the argument in section 4 shows that it works in part because the underlying Poisson structure on  $\mathbb{R}$  is the zero Poisson structure. The argument on the product of generalized Sasakian spaces being Kähler will go through if one of the generalized contact metric structures has the zero Poisson structure as its canonical Poisson structure. Hence, we get the following theorem:

**Theorem 6.1.** *Let  $(M_1, \Phi, E_{+1}, E_{-1}, G_1)$  be a generalized Sasakian space with canonical Poisson structure  $\pi_{M_1}$ . Let  $(M_2, \Phi, E_{+2}, E_{-2}, G_2)$  be a generalized Sasakian space with canonical Poisson structure  $\pi_{M_2} = 0$ . Then  $M_1 \times M_2$  is generalized Kähler.*

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Abouzaid and R. Boyarchenko, *Local Structure of Generalized Complex Manifolds*, J. Symplectic Geom. **4** (2006) no. 1, 43-62.
- [2] M. Aldi and D. Grandini, *Generalized Contact Geometry and T-duality*, J Geom. Phys. **92** (2015), 78-93.
- [3] M. Bailey *Local Classification of Generalized Complex Structures*, J. Differential Geom. **95** (2013), np.1, 1-37.
- [4] M. Bailey and M Gualieri *Local Analytic Geometry of Generalized Complex Structures*, **49**, no.2 ( 20017 307-319.
- [5] M.Crainic *Generalized Complex Structures and Lie Brackets*. Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **42** (2011), no. 4, 559-578.
- [6] E. Calabi and B. Eckman, *A Class of Compact Complex Manifolds which are not Algebraic*, Ann. of Math. **58** (3) 494-500.
- [7] R. Gomez. and J. Talvacchia, *On Products of Generalized Contact Structures*, Geom. Dedicata **175** (2015), 211-218.
- [8] R. Gomez. and J. Talvacchia, *Generalized CoKähler Geometry and an Application to Generalized Kähler Structures*, J Geom.Phys. **98** (2015), 493-503.
- [9] M. Gualtieri, *Generalized Complex Geometry*, Ph.D thesis, Oxford Univ., 2003, arXiv:math.DG.0401221.
- [10] M. Gualtieri, *Generalized Complex Geometry*, Ann. of Math. **(2) 174** (2011) no. 1, 75-123.
- [11] M. Gualtieri, *Generalized Kähler Geometry*, Commun. Math. Phys. **331** (2014), 297-331.
- [12] M. Gualtieri, *Generalized Kähler Metrics From Hamiltonian Deformations in Geometry and Physics: Volume II: A Festschrift in honour of Nigel Hitchin*, 541-580, Oxford University Press, 2018. arXiv:1807.09704 [DG]
- [13] N.J.Hitchin, *Generalized Calabi-Yau Manifolds*, Q. J. Math. **54** (2003), 281-308.
- [14] C M Hull, *Generalized Geometry for M Theory*, J. High Energy Phys. **0707**, 079 (2007).
- [15] D. Inglesias-Ponte and A.Wade *Contact Manifolds and Generalized Complex Structures*, J. Geom. Phys. **53** (2005), 249-258.
- [16] U. Lindström, R. Minasian, A .Tomasiello, M. Zabine, *Generalized Complex Manifolds and Supersymmetry*, Commun. Math. Phys. **257** ( 2003), 235-256.
- [17] Y.S. Poon and A. Wade, *Generalized Contact Structures*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. **(2) 83** (2011) no.2, 333- 352.
- [18] K. Sekiya, *Generalized Almost Contact Structures and Generalized Sasakian Structures*, Osaka J. Math. **52** (2015), 43-59.
- [19] P. Ševera and A. Weinstein *Poisson Geometry with a 3-form Background*, Progr. Theoret. Phys. Suppl. (2001), no. 144, 145-154, Noncommutative geometry and string theory (Yokohama, 2001). arXiv:math/0107133v2 [math.SG].
- [20] J. Talvacchia *Commuting Pairs of Generalized Contact Metric Structures* J. Geom. Symmetry In Phys., Volume 46 (2017), 37-50.
- [21] I. Vaisman, *From Generalized Kähler to Generalized Sasakian Structures*, J. Geom. Symmetry Phys. **18** (2010), 63-86.
- [22] I. Vaisman, *Generalized CRF Structures*, Geom. Dedicata **133** (2008), 129-154.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, 500 COLLEGE AVE, SWARTHMORE, PA 19081  
 Email address: jtalvac1@swarthmore.edu