

Variance of Squarefull Numbers in Short Intervals

Tsz Ho Chan

Abstract

In this paper, we study the variance of the number of squarefull numbers in short intervals. As a result, we are able to prove that, for any $0 < \theta < 1/2$, almost all short intervals $(x, x + x^{1/2+\theta}]$ contain about $\frac{\zeta(3/2)}{2\zeta(3)}x^\theta$ squarefull numbers.

1 Introduction and Main Results

A number n is *squarefree* if $p^2 \nmid n$ for every $p|n$, i.e. all the exponents in its prime factorization are exactly one. In contrast, a number n is *squarefull* if $p^2|n$ for every prime $p|n$, i.e. all the exponents in its prime factorization are at least two. It is well-known that every squarefull number n can be factored uniquely as $n = a^2b^3$ for some integer a and squarefree number b . Let $Q(x)$ be the number of squarefull numbers which are less than or equal to x . Then

$$Q(x) = \sum_{a^2b^3 \leq x} \mu^2(b) \quad (1)$$

where $\mu(n)$ is the Möbius function. Bateman and Grosswald [1] proved that

$$Q(x) = \frac{\zeta(3/2)}{\zeta(3)}x^{1/2} + \frac{\zeta(2/3)}{\zeta(2)}x^{1/3} + O(x^{1/6}e^{-C_1(\log x)^{4/7}(\log \log x)^{-3/7}}) \quad (2)$$

for some absolute constant $C_1 > 0$ where $\zeta(s)$ is the Riemann zeta function. We are interested in squarefull numbers in short intervals, namely

$$Q(x+y) - Q(x) \quad \text{with} \quad y = o(x).$$

Bateman and Grosswald's result (2) gives

$$Q(x + x^{1/2+\theta}) - Q(x) \sim \frac{\zeta(3/2)}{2\zeta(3)}x^\theta \quad (3)$$

for $1/6 = 0.1666... \leq \theta < 1/2$. Subsequently, exponential sum, elementary, and differencing methods were used to expand the range of validity for (3). The current best result was obtained by Trifonov [7], showing that the asymptotic formula (3) is true for $19/154 = 0.1233... < \theta < 1/2$ (please refer to the same paper for earlier developments and relevant references).

Inspired by the recent breakthrough work of Gorodetsky, Matomäki, Radziwiłł and Rogers [3] on variance of squarefree numbers in short intervals and arithmetic progressions, we are interested in studying the variance of squarefull numbers in short intervals:

$$\frac{1}{X} \int_X^{2X} \left| Q(x+y) - Q(x) - \frac{\zeta(3/2)}{\zeta(3)}(\sqrt{x+y} - \sqrt{x}) \right|^2 dx.$$

Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1 Given $\epsilon > 0$, $X > 1$ and $H < X^{1/2-\epsilon}$. With $y = 2\sqrt{x}H + H^2$,

$$\frac{1}{X} \int_X^{2X} \left| Q(x+y) - Q(x) - \frac{\zeta(3/2)}{\zeta(3)} H \right|^2 dx \ll_{\epsilon} H^{1.799} X^{2\epsilon}.$$

Note: $\sqrt{x+y} - \sqrt{x} = H$ (which explains our choice of y).

Define

$$S := \left\{ X \leq x \leq 2X : \left| Q(x+y) - Q(x) - \frac{\zeta(3/2)}{\zeta(3)} H \right| > H^{0.8995} X^{1.001\epsilon} \right\}.$$

Then Theorem 1 implies $|S| \cdot (H^{0.8995} X^{1.001\epsilon})^2 \ll_{\epsilon} X \cdot H^{1.799} X^{2\epsilon}$ where $|S|$ stands for the measure of S . Hence, $|S| \ll_{\epsilon} X^{1-0.002\epsilon}$ which gives the following.

Corollary 1 Given $\epsilon > 0$, $X > 1$ and $X^{10\epsilon} < H < X^{1/2-\epsilon}$. With $y = 2\sqrt{x}H + H^2$,

$$Q(x+y) - Q(x) = \frac{\zeta(3/2)}{\zeta(3)} H + O_{\epsilon}(H^{0.8995} X^{1.001\epsilon})$$

for almost all $x \in [X, 2X]$. Note: $H > X^{10\epsilon}$ is to ensure that the error term is smaller than the main term.

Since ϵ can be arbitrarily small, Corollary 1 immediately implies

Corollary 2 For any $0 < \theta < 1/2$, the asymptotic formula (3) is true for almost all $x \in [X, 2X]$.

In both corollaries, almost all means all $x \in [X, 2X]$ apart from a set of measure $o(X)$. Hence, we confirm the expected count (3) of squarefull numbers in short intervals almost surely. We did not minimize the exponents 1.799 and 0.8995 with our method as we believe that Theorem 1 is far from the truth. One future direction of research is to lower these exponents to something below 1. Based on Propositions 2 and 3 below, we suspect the following.

Conjecture 1 Given $\epsilon > 0$, $X > 1$ and $H < X^{1/2-\epsilon}$. With $y = 2\sqrt{x}H + H^2$,

$$\frac{1}{X} \int_X^{2X} \left| Q(x+y) - Q(x) - \frac{\zeta(3/2)}{\zeta(3)} H \right|^2 dx \sim \frac{\zeta(4/3)}{\zeta(2)} \int_0^{\infty} \left(\frac{\sin \pi y}{\pi y} \right)^2 y^{1/3} dy \cdot H^{2/3}.$$

Another direction of inquiry is to study the variance of squarefull numbers over arithmetic progressions. However, the method of Gorodetsky, Matomäki, Radziwiłł and Rogers [3] cannot be applied directly as they made use of Poisson summation which does not translate easily into the “non-linear” situation involving squarefull numbers.

Recently, Matomäki and Radziwiłł [6] made an important discovery on multiplicative function $f(n)$ with $-1 \leq f(n) \leq 1$ by showing that the local average of f on (almost all) short interval $[x, x+h]$ is close to its global average between $[X, 2X]$. It would be interesting to see if similar behavior holds for multiplicative functions supported on squarefull numbers or other sparse sequences.

Our proof of Theorem 1 uses different strategies based on various sizes of H . For large H , one can simply apply Bateman and Grosswald’s asymptotic formula (2). For medium H , we apply the following proposition which is based on earlier work of Huxley and Trifonov [5] in studying squarefull numbers in short intervals.

Proposition 1 For $X \leq x \leq 2X$ and $X^{0.14697} < H \leq X^{0.18529}$, we have

$$Q(x+y) - Q(x) = \frac{\zeta(3/2)}{\zeta(3)} H + O(H^{0.8995})$$

when $y = 2\sqrt{x}H + H^2$.

For small H , we establish the following two propositions by means of smooth weights as in [3].

Proposition 2 *Given a parameter $\lambda > 0$. Then, for $H \leq X^{\frac{1}{6(1+2\lambda)}}$ and $y = 2\sqrt{x}H + H^2$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{X} \int_X^{2X} \left| Q(x+y) - Q(x) - \frac{\zeta(3/2)}{\zeta(3)} H \right|^2 dx \\ &= (1 + O_\epsilon(X^{-\epsilon/2})) 2H^2 \sum_{b \leq X^{1/3}/H^\lambda} \frac{\mu^2(b)}{b^3} \sum_{n \geq 1} S\left(\frac{nH}{b^{3/2}}\right)^2 + O_\epsilon\left((H^{3\lambda} + H^{2-3\lambda} + H^{0.2468})X^{2\epsilon}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3 *Given $\epsilon > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$. For $1 \leq H \leq X^{1/2}$,*

$$2H^2 \sum_{b \leq X^{1/3}/H^\lambda} \frac{\mu^2(b)}{b^3} \sum_{n \geq 1} S\left(\frac{nH}{b^{3/2}}\right)^2 = H^{2/3} \frac{\zeta(4/3)}{\zeta(2)} \int_0^\infty S(y)^2 y^{1/3} dy + O_\epsilon\left(\frac{H^{1+\lambda/2+\epsilon/4}}{X^{1/6}} + H^{2/3-\epsilon/6}\right)$$

where $S(x) = \frac{\sin \pi x}{\pi x}$.

This paper is organized as follows. First, we will give explicit construction of certain smooth weights and include some needed lemmas. Then we will prove Propositions 1, 2 and 3. At the end, we will combine everything together to derive Theorem 1.

Notation. We use $[x]$ and $\|x\|$ to denote the integer part of x and the distance between x and the nearest integer respectively. The symbols $f(x) = O(g(x))$, $f(x) \ll g(x)$ and $g(x) \gg f(x)$ are equivalent to $|f(x)| \leq Cg(x)$ for some constant $C > 0$. $f(x) \asymp g(x)$ means that $C_1 f(x) \leq g(x) \leq C_2 f(x)$ for some constants $0 < C_1 < C_2$. $f(x) \sim g(x)$ means that $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x)/g(x) = 1$. Finally $f(x) = O_\lambda(g(x))$, $f(x) \ll_\lambda g(x)$, $g(x) \gg_\lambda f(x)$ or $g(x) \asymp_\lambda f(x)$ mean that the implicit constant may depend on λ .

2 Construction of smooth functions and some lemmas

Let

$$u(x) := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \geq 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } x < 0, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad r_L(x) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{L}, & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq L, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for some parameter $0 < L \leq X$. Recall the definition of the convolution of two integrable real-valued functions f and g as

$$(f * g)(x) := \int_{-\infty}^\infty f(x-u)g(u)du.$$

We define $u_k(x)$ recursively as follows:

$$u_0(x) = u(x), \quad \text{and} \quad u_{k+1}(x) = (u_k * r_L)(x) \text{ for integer } k \geq 1.$$

One can show that $0 \leq u_k(x) \leq 1$ on $[0, \infty)$ and $u_k(x) = 1$ when $x \in [kL, \infty)$. Also, one can prove inductively that $u_k(x)$ is $k-1$ times continuously differentiable for $k \geq 2$ and satisfies the derivative bound $u_k^{(i)}(x) \ll_k \frac{1}{L^i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ by using $(f * g)' = f' * g$ repeatedly. In fact, one can derive an explicit formula for $u_{k+1}(x)$ via Laplace transform \mathcal{L} and its properties as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}\{u_{k+1}(x)\} &= \mathcal{L}\{u(x)\} \cdot \mathcal{L}\{r_L(x)\}^k = \frac{1}{s} \cdot \left[\frac{1}{L} \cdot \frac{1 - e^{-Ls}}{s} \right]^k = \frac{1}{L^k} \cdot \frac{(1 - e^{-Ls})^k}{s^{k+1}} \\ &= \frac{1}{k!L^k} \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \binom{k}{i} \frac{k!e^{-iLs}}{s^{k+1}}, \\ u_{k+1}(x) &= \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{k!L^k} \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \binom{k}{i} \frac{k!e^{-iLs}}{s^{k+1}} \right\} = \frac{1}{k!L^k} \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \binom{k}{i} u(x - iL)(x - iL)^k. \end{aligned}$$

For $0 < L < X/(2k)$, we define the smooth weight functions

$$\sigma_{k,X,L}^-(x) := \frac{1}{2}[u_k(x-X) + u_k(2X-x)] \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_{k,X,L}^+(x) := \frac{1}{2}[u_k(x-X+kL) + u_k(2(X+kL)-x)].$$

One can verify that $0 \leq \sigma_{k,X,L}^-(x) \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \sigma_{k,X,L}^+(x) \leq 1$ are supported on $[X, 2X]$ and $[X-kL, 2X+kL]$ respectively. Moreover, $\sigma_{k,X,L}^-(x) = 1$ for $x \in [X+kL, 2X-kL]$ and $\sigma_{k,X,L}^+(x) = 1$ for $x \in [X, 2X]$. One also has the derivative conditions:

$$\frac{d^i \sigma_{k,X,L}^\pm(x)}{dx^i} \ll_k \frac{1}{L^i} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq k-1.$$

We will need the following lemma to show that oscillatory integral of certain smooth function is small.

Lemma 1 *Suppose $K > 1$ is some large integer. Let $H \geq 1$, $W, Q, L, R > 0$ satisfying $QR/\sqrt{H} > 1$ and $RL > 1$. Suppose that $w(t)$ is a smooth function with support on $[\alpha, \beta]$ satisfying*

$$w^{(j)}(t) \ll_j WL^{-j} \quad \text{for } j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, K.$$

Suppose $h(t)$ is a smooth function on $[\alpha, \beta]$ such that $|h'(t)| \geq R$ and

$$h^{(j)}(t) \ll_j HQ^{-j}, \quad \text{for } j = 2, 3, \dots, 2K-1.$$

Then the integral

$$I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w(t)e^{ih(t)} dt$$

satisfies

$$I \ll_K (\beta - \alpha)W[(QR/\sqrt{H})^{-K} + (RL)^{-K}].$$

Proof: This is basically Lemma 8.1 in [2] but we give the proof here for completeness. Note that we do not require w to be infinitely differentiable or in Schwartz class. Since w has compact support and h' is non-zero, repeated integration by parts gives

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w(t) d \frac{e^{ih(t)}}{ih'(t)} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{w'(t)}{ih'(t)} e^{ih(t)} dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{w'(t)}{ih'(t)} d \frac{e^{ih(t)}}{ih'(t)} \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{ih'(t)} \left(\frac{w''(t)}{ih'(t)} - \frac{w'(t)h''(t)}{ih'(t)^2} \right) e^{ih(t)} dt \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{w''(t)}{h'(t)^2} + \frac{w'(t)h''(t)}{h'(t)^3} \right) d \frac{e^{ih(t)}}{ih'(t)} \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{ih'(t)} \left(-\frac{w'''(t)}{h'(t)^2} + \frac{2w''(t)h''(t)}{h'(t)^3} + \frac{w'(t)h'''(t)}{h'(t)^3} + \frac{w'(t)h''''(t)}{h'(t)^3} - \frac{3w'(t)h''(t)^2}{h'(t)^4} \right) e^{ih(t)} dt \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{w'''(t)}{ih'(t)^3} + \frac{3w''(t)h''(t)}{ih'(t)^4} + \frac{w'(t)h''''(t)}{ih'(t)^4} - \frac{3w'(t)h''(t)^2}{ih'(t)^5} \right) d \frac{e^{ih(t)}}{ih'(t)} \\ &\dots \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\nu=K}^{2K-1} \sum_{\mu=1}^{\nu} \frac{w^{(\mu)}(t)}{h'(t)^\nu} \sum_{2\gamma_2+3\gamma_3+\dots+\nu\gamma_\nu=\nu-\mu} c_{\nu,\mu,\gamma_2,\gamma_3,\dots,\gamma_\nu} h^{(2)}(t)^{\gamma_2} h^{(3)}(t)^{\gamma_3} \dots h^{(\nu)}(t)^{\gamma_\nu} e^{ih(t)} dt \end{aligned}$$

for some absolute coefficients $c_{\nu,\mu,\gamma_2,\gamma_3,\dots,\gamma_\nu} \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence,

$$|I| \ll_K (\beta - \alpha)W \sum_{\nu=K}^{2K-1} R^{-\nu} \sum_{\mu=1}^{\nu} L^{-\mu} \frac{H^{(\nu-\mu)/2}}{Q^{\nu-\mu}}$$

which gives the lemma.

We also need the following three lemmas to count squarefull numbers in short intervals for medium size H .

Lemma 2 *Given $\epsilon > 0$. Let $x > 1$, $\epsilon > 0$, $\sqrt{x} \leq \epsilon_0^3 y \leq \epsilon_0^5 x$, and $y = x^{1/2+\theta} = 2\sqrt{x}H + H^2$. Then*

$$Q(x+y) - Q(x) = \frac{\zeta(3/2)}{2\zeta(3)}x^\theta + O(\epsilon_0 x^\theta) + O(R_1 + R_2)$$

where R_1 is the number of pairs of positive integers (m, k) with $\epsilon_0 x^\theta < m \leq x^{1/5}$ and $x < m^2 k^3 \leq x+y$ while R_2 is the number of pairs of positive integers (m, k) with $\epsilon_0 x^\theta < k \leq x^{1/5}$ and $x < m^2 k^3 \leq x+y$.

Proof: This is Lemma 5 in [5].

Lemma 3 *Let $F(u)$ be a real function with three continuous derivatives for $1 \leq u \leq 2$, with $F''(u)$ and $F^{(3)}(u)$ non-zero. Let A be a positive integer and N be a real number with $N \geq A$, and let*

$$\Delta = \frac{N}{A^2} \min_{1 \leq a \leq 2} |F''(a)|.$$

Suppose that $\Delta < 1$. Then for

$$0 \leq \delta \leq \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\Delta},$$

the number of integers $a \in \{A, A+1, \dots, 2A\}$ for which there exists an integer n with

$$\left| n - NF\left(\frac{a}{A}\right) \right| \leq \delta$$

is

$$O\left(1 + \Delta^{3/10} A^{9/10} (\log A)^{1/2} + \Delta^{4/11} A^{10/11} (\log A)^{5/11} + \delta^{1/8} \Delta^{3/8} A (\log A)^{5/8} + \delta^{1/7} \Delta^{1/7} A^{6/7} (\log A)^{5/7} + \delta^{2/5} \Delta^{1/5} A \log A + \delta A\right).$$

Proof: This is Theorem 2 in [5] with slightly different notation. Even though N was stated to be an integer in [5], one can check that it also works with real number $N \geq A$.

Lemma 4 *Let $F(u)$ be a real function with continuous non-zero derivatives up to the fourth order for $1 \leq u \leq 2$. Let A be a positive integer and N be a positive real number and let $\delta \geq 0$. If*

$$\delta < c \min\left(\frac{N}{A}, \frac{N}{A^2} + \frac{1}{N}\right)$$

where c is a positive constant constructed from the derivatives of $F(u)$, then the number of integers $a \in \{A, A+1, \dots, 2A\}$ for which there exists an integer n with

$$\left| n - NF\left(\frac{a}{A}\right) \right| \leq \delta$$

is

$$O(A^{1/2} N^{1/6} + \delta^{1/4} A^{1/2} N^{1/4} + \delta^{1/3} A^{2/3} N^{1/6} + \delta A).$$

Proof: This is the $r = 2$ case of Theorem 6 in [4] with slightly different notation.

3 Proof of Proposition 1

We follow the proof of Theorem 2 in [5] closely with a substantially smaller choice of $\epsilon_0 = H^{-0.1005}$. When $X \leq x \leq 2X$, $X^{0.14697} < H \leq X^{0.18529}$, one can check that the condition $\sqrt{x} \leq \epsilon_0^3 y \leq \epsilon_0^5 x$ in Lemma 2 is satisfied as $y = 2\sqrt{x}H + H^2 = x^{1/2+\theta}$. Hence, we can apply Lemma 2 to count $Q(x+y) - Q(x)$. Note that the main term and first error term in Lemma 2 give

$$\frac{\zeta(3/2)}{2\zeta(3)} \left(2H + \frac{H^2}{\sqrt{x}} \right) + O(H^{0.8995}) = \frac{\zeta(3/2)}{\zeta(3)} H + O(H^{0.8995})$$

by our choice of ϵ_0 and $H \leq X^{0.18529}$.

To estimate R_1 in Lemma 2 (which was wrongly attributed as R_2 in [5]), we divide $\epsilon_0(2H + H^2/\sqrt{x}) < a \leq x^{1/5}$ into dyadic intervals $(A, 2A]$ with $H^{0.8995} \ll A \leq x^{1/5}/2 \leq X^{1/5}$. We want to count the number of integer pairs (a, b) such that $\frac{x^{1/3}}{a^{2/3}} < b \leq \frac{(x+y)^{1/3}}{a^{2/3}}$ which implies $\|\frac{x^{1/3}}{a^{2/3}}\| \leq \frac{(x+y)^{1/3}}{a^{2/3}} - \frac{x^{1/3}}{a^{2/3}} \leq \frac{y}{3x^{2/3}A^{2/3}}$. Set

$$F(u) = \frac{1}{u^{2/3}}, \quad N = \frac{x^{1/3}}{A^{2/3}} \geq A, \quad \Delta = \frac{10x^{1/3}}{9 \cdot 2^{8/3} A^{8/3}}, \quad \delta = \frac{H}{x^{1/6} A^{2/3}}.$$

One can check that $\delta \leq \sqrt{\Delta}/2$ as $A \leq X^{1/5}$ and $H \leq X^{0.18529}$. Hence, Lemma 3 gives a bound of

$$O\left(\left(X^{1/10} A^{1/10} + \frac{X^{4/33}}{A^{2/33}} + \frac{H^{1/8} X^{5/48}}{A^{1/12}} + H^{1/7} X^{1/42} A^{8/21} + H^{2/5} A^{1/5} + \frac{H A^{1/3}}{X^{1/6}}\right) \log X\right).$$

Summing over dyadic intervals, we have $R_1 \ll H^{0.8995}$ within our range of H .

To estimate of R_2 in Lemma 2 (which was wrongly attributed as R_1 in [5]), we divide $\epsilon_0(2H + H^2/\sqrt{x}) < a \leq x^{1/5}$ into dyadic intervals $(A, 2A]$ with $H^{0.8995} \ll A \leq x^{1/5}/2 \leq X^{1/5}$. We want to count the number of integer pairs (b, a) such that $\frac{x^{1/2}}{a^{3/2}} < b \leq \frac{(x+y)^{1/2}}{a^{3/2}}$ which implies $\|\frac{x^{1/2}}{a^{3/2}}\| \leq \frac{(x+y)^{1/2}}{a^{3/2}} - \frac{x^{1/2}}{a^{3/2}} \leq \frac{y}{2x^{1/2}A^{3/2}}$. Set

$$F(u) = \frac{1}{u^{3/2}}, \quad N = \frac{x^{1/2}}{A^{3/2}} \geq A, \quad \Delta = \frac{15x^{1/2}}{4 \cdot 2^{7/2} A^{7/2}}, \quad \delta = \frac{2H}{A^{3/2}}.$$

One can check that $\delta \leq \sqrt{\Delta}/2$ as $A \leq X^{1/5}$ and $H \leq X^{0.18529}$. Hence, Lemma 3 gives a bound of

$$O\left(\left(\frac{X^{3/20}}{A^{3/20}} + \frac{X^{2/11}}{A^{4/11}} + \frac{H^{1/8} X^{3/16}}{A^{1/2}} + H^{1/7} X^{1/14} A^{1/7} + \frac{H^{2/5} X^{1/10}}{A^{3/10}} + \frac{H}{A^{1/2}}\right) \log X\right).$$

Summing over dyadic intervals with $X^{0.15735} \leq A \leq X^{1/5}$ gives $O(H^{0.8995})$ such integer pairs within our range of H . When $A < X^{0.15735}$ and $H \leq X^{0.18529}$, one can verify that the condition on δ in Lemma 4 is satisfied as

$$\delta = \frac{H}{A^{3/2}} < \frac{1}{X^{0.00001}} \cdot \frac{X^{1/2}}{A^{7/2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{X^{1/2}}{A^{7/2}} \leq \min\left(\frac{X^{1/2}}{A^{5/2}}, \frac{X^{1/2}}{A^{7/2}} + \frac{A^{3/2}}{X^{1/2}}\right) \ll \min\left(\frac{N}{A}, \frac{N}{A^2} + \frac{1}{N}\right).$$

Hence, Lemma 4 gives a bound of

$$O\left(X^{1/12} A^{1/4} + \frac{H^{1/4} X^{1/8}}{A^{1/4}} + \frac{H^{1/3} X^{1/12}}{A^{1/12}} + \frac{H}{A^{1/2}}\right).$$

Summing over dyadic intervals with $H^{0.8995} \ll A < X^{0.15735}$ gives $O(H^{0.8995})$ such integer pairs within our range of H . Hence, $R_2 \ll H^{0.8995}$ and we have the proposition by combining all of the above.

4 Proof of Proposition 2

By (1), we can rewrite

$$\frac{1}{X} \int_X^{2X} \left| Q(x+y) - Q(x) - \frac{\zeta(3/2)}{\zeta(3)} H \right|^2 dx = \frac{1}{X} \int_X^{2X} \left| \sum_{x < a^2 b^3 \leq x+y} \mu^2(b) - \frac{\zeta(3/2)}{\zeta(3)} H \right|^2 dx \quad (4)$$

where $y = 2\sqrt{x}H + H^2$ with $H \leq X^{\frac{1}{6(1+2\lambda)}}$. Observe that

$$\sum_{b=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^2(b)}{b^s} = \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{1}{p^s} \right) = \prod_p \frac{(1 - \frac{1}{p^{2s}})}{(1 - \frac{1}{p^s})} = \frac{\zeta(s)}{\zeta(2s)} \quad (5)$$

for $\Re s > 1$. With some parameter $\lambda > 0$, we will consider those squarefull numbers with $b \leq (2X)^{1/3}/H^\lambda$ and $b > (2X)^{1/3}/H^\lambda$ separately. The left hand side of (4) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{X} \int_X^{2X} \left| \sum_{\substack{x < a^2 b^3 \leq x+y \\ b \leq X^{1/3}/H^\lambda}} \mu^2(b) - H \sum_{b \leq X^{1/3}/H^\lambda} \frac{\mu^2(b)}{b^{3/2}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{\substack{x < a^2 b^3 \leq x+y \\ b > X^{1/3}/H^\lambda}} \mu^2(b) - H \sum_{b > X^{1/3}/H^\lambda} \frac{\mu^2(b)}{b^{3/2}} \right|^2 dx \\ & = I_1 + I_2 + O(I_1^{1/2} I_2^{1/2}) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality where

$$I_1 := \frac{1}{X} \int_X^{2X} \left| \sum_{\substack{x < a^2 b^3 \leq x+y \\ b \leq X^{1/3}/H^\lambda}} \mu^2(b) - H \sum_{b \leq X^{1/3}/H^\lambda} \frac{\mu^2(b)}{b^{3/2}} \right|^2 dx \quad (7)$$

and

$$I_2 := \frac{1}{X} \int_X^{2X} \left| \sum_{\substack{x < a^2 b^3 \leq x+y \\ b > X^{1/3}/H^\lambda}} \mu^2(b) - H \sum_{b > X^{1/3}/H^\lambda} \frac{\mu^2(b)}{b^{3/2}} \right|^2 dx. \quad (8)$$

Observe that, among squarefull numbers $x < a^2 b^3 \leq x+y$ with $b > X^{1/3}/H^\lambda$, the corresponding a 's must be distinct. For otherwise, we would have $x < a^2 b_1^3 < a^2 b_2^3 \leq x+y$ which implies

$$2.1\sqrt{2X}H \geq 2\sqrt{x}H + H^2 = y > a^2 b_2^3 - a^2 b_1^3 \geq a^2 (b_1 + 1)^3 - a^2 b_1^3 > 3a^2 b_1^2 > \frac{3X^{2/3}}{H^{2\lambda}}.$$

This contradicts with $H \leq X^{\frac{1}{6(1+2\lambda)}}$. Thus,

$$\sum_{\substack{x < a^2 b^3 \leq x+y \\ b > X^{1/3}/H^\lambda}} \mu^2(b) \leq \sqrt{\frac{x+y}{(\frac{X^{1/3}}{H^\lambda})^3}} \leq 2H^{3\lambda/2} \quad \text{and} \quad H \sum_{b > X^{1/3}/H^\lambda} \frac{\mu^2(b)}{b^{3/2}} \ll \frac{H^{1+\lambda/2}}{X^{1/6}} \leq 1 \quad (9)$$

as $H \leq X^{\frac{1}{6(1+2\lambda)}}$, $y = 2\sqrt{x}H + H^2$ and $X \leq x \leq 2X$. Putting (9) into (8), we have

$$I_2 \ll H^{3\lambda}. \quad (10)$$

We are going to study a smoothed version of I_1 first. Let $L = X^{1-\epsilon/2}$ and K be some sufficiently large integer (in terms of ϵ). Consider

$$\frac{1}{X} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sigma_{K,X,L}^{\pm}(x) \left| \sum_{\substack{x < a^2 b^3 \leq x+y \\ b \leq X^{1/3}/H^\lambda}} \mu^2(b) - H \sum_{b \leq X^{1/3}/H^\lambda} \frac{\mu^2(b)}{b^{3/2}} \right|^2 dx \quad (11)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{x < a^2 b^3 \leq x+y \\ b \leq X^{1/3}/H^\lambda}} \mu^2(b) &= \sum_{b \leq X^{1/3}/H^\lambda} \mu^2(b) \sum_{\sqrt{x/b^3} < a \leq \sqrt{(x+y)/b^3}} 1 \\ &= \sum_{b \leq X^{1/3}/H^\lambda} \mu^2(b) \left[\sqrt{\frac{x+y}{b^3}} - \sqrt{\frac{x}{b^3}} - \psi\left(\sqrt{\frac{x+y}{b^3}}\right) + \psi\left(\sqrt{\frac{x}{b^3}}\right) \right] \\ &= H \sum_{b \leq X^{1/3}/H^\lambda} \frac{\mu^2(b)}{b^{3/2}} - \sum_{b \leq X^{1/3}/H^\lambda} \mu^2(b) \left[\psi\left(\sqrt{\frac{x+y}{b^3}}\right) - \psi\left(\sqrt{\frac{x}{b^3}}\right) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where $\psi(u) = u - [u] - 1/2$. For $\psi(u)$, we apply the Fourier expansion

$$\psi(u) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{0 < |n| \leq N} \frac{1}{n} e(nu) + O\left(\min\left(1, \frac{1}{N|u|}\right)\right). \quad (13)$$

We take $N = X^{10}$ and put (13) into (12). The arising error term is $O(1/X^4)$ unless $\|\sqrt{(x+y)/b^3}\| < X^{-5}$ or $\|\sqrt{x/b^3}\| < X^{-5}$. When $\|\sqrt{(x+y)/b^3}\| < X^{-5}$ or $\|\sqrt{x/b^3}\| < X^{-5}$, we have $|\sqrt{(x+y)/b^3} - a_1| < X^{-5}$ or $|\sqrt{x/b^3} - a_2| < X^{-5}$ for some integers a_1, a_2 which implies

$$\left| \frac{x+y}{b^3} - a_1^2 \right|, \left| \frac{x}{b^3} - a_2^2 \right| \ll \frac{1}{X^{4.5}} \quad \text{or} \quad |x+y - a_1^2 b^3|, |x - a_2^2 b^3| \ll \frac{1}{X^{3.5}} \quad (14)$$

as $b \leq X^{1/3}/H^\lambda$. Let $E(x)$ be the error in the second sum in (12) coming from the error term of (13) when $\|\sqrt{(x+y)/b^3}\| < X^{-5}$ or $\|\sqrt{x/b^3}\| < X^{-5}$ for some $b \leq X^{1/3}/H^\lambda$. As there are $O(\sqrt{X})$ squarefull numbers in the interval $[X, 3X]$ by (2),

$$E(x) \leq \frac{X^{1/3}}{H^\lambda} \quad \text{and} \quad E(x) \text{ is supported on } O(\sqrt{X}) \text{ intervals of length } O\left(\frac{1}{X^{3.5}}\right) \text{ each} \quad (15)$$

by (14). Thus, we can replace (11) by

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{4\pi^2 X} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sigma_{K,X,L}^{\pm}(x) \left| \sum_{b \leq X^{1/3}/H^\lambda} \mu^2(b) \sum_{0 < |n| \leq N} \frac{1}{n} e\left(\frac{n\sqrt{x}}{b^{3/2}}\right) \left[1 - e\left(\frac{nH}{b^{3/2}}\right)\right] + E(x) + O\left(\frac{1}{X^4}\right) \right|^2 dx \\ &= \frac{1}{X} \left[J + O\left(\frac{J^{1/2}}{X^{7/6}} + \frac{1}{X^{7/3}}\right) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sigma_{K,X,L}^{\pm}(x) |E(x) + O(1/X^4)|^2 dx \ll 1/X^{7/3}$ by (15). Here

$$J := \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sigma_{K,X,L}^{\pm}(x) \left| \sum_{b \leq X^{1/3}/H^\lambda} \mu^2(b) \sum_{0 < |n| \leq N} \frac{1}{n} e\left(\frac{n\sqrt{x}}{b^{3/2}}\right) \left[1 - e\left(\frac{nH}{b^{3/2}}\right)\right] \right|^2 dx. \quad (17)$$

Expanding things out,

$$\begin{aligned} J &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \sum_{b_1, b_2 \leq X^{1/3}/H^\lambda} \sum_{0 < |n_1|, |n_2| \leq N} \mu^2(b_1) \mu^2(b_2) \frac{1}{n_1 n_2} \\ &\quad \times \left[1 - e\left(\frac{n_1 H}{b_1^{3/2}}\right)\right] \overline{\left[1 - e\left(\frac{n_2 H}{b_2^{3/2}}\right)\right]} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sigma_{K,X,L}^{\pm}(x) e\left(x^{1/2} \left(\frac{n_1}{b_1^{3/2}} - \frac{n_2}{b_2^{3/2}}\right)\right) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

By Lemma 1 with $H = X^\epsilon$, $W = 1$, $Q = X$, $L = X^{1-\epsilon/2}$ and $R = 1/X^{1-\epsilon}$, the contribution from those terms with $|\frac{n_1}{b_1^{3/2}} - \frac{n_2}{b_2^{3/2}}| > \frac{1}{X^{1/2-\epsilon}}$ is

$$\ll X^{-K\epsilon/2} \ll \frac{1}{X^5} \quad (19)$$

by picking $K = [20/\epsilon]$ for example. Hence, we may restrict the sum in (18) to those integers for which

$$\left| \frac{n_1}{b_1^{3/2}} - \frac{n_2}{b_2^{3/2}} \right| \leq \frac{1}{X^{1/2-\epsilon}}. \quad (20)$$

We separate those (n_1, n_2, b_1, b_2) for which $\frac{n_1}{b_1^{3/2}} = \frac{n_2}{b_2^{3/2}}$ (i.e. $n_1^2 b_2^3 = n_2^2 b_1^3$) and those for which $\frac{n_1}{b_1^{3/2}} \neq \frac{n_2}{b_2^{3/2}}$. In the first case, we must have $b_1 = b_2 = b$ and $n_1 = n_2 = n$ which contributes

$$\frac{\overline{\sigma_{K,X,L}^\pm}}{4\pi^2} \sum_{b \leq X^{1/3}/H^\lambda} \mu^2(b) \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{1}{n^2} \left| 1 - e\left(\frac{nH}{b^{3/2}}\right) \right|^2 + O\left(\frac{1}{X^5}\right)$$

where the error term comes from adding $|n| > N = X^{10}$ and

$$\overline{\sigma_{K,X,L}^\pm} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sigma_{K,X,L}^\pm(x) dx = X + O_\epsilon(X^{1-\epsilon/2}). \quad (21)$$

As

$$\left| 1 - e\left(\frac{nH}{b^{3/2}}\right) \right| = 2 \left| \sin\left(\frac{n\pi H}{b^{3/2}}\right) \right|,$$

the diagonal terms ($\frac{n_1}{b_1^{3/2}} = \frac{n_2}{b_2^{3/2}}$) from (18) contribute

$$(1 + O(X^{-\epsilon/2})) H^2 \sum_{b \leq X^{1/3}/H^\lambda} \frac{\mu^2(b)}{b^3} \sum_{n \neq 0} S\left(\frac{nH}{b^{3/2}}\right)^2 + O\left(\frac{1}{X^5}\right) \quad (22)$$

It remains to show that the contribution from those terms with $n_1^2 b_2^3 \neq n_2^2 b_1^3$ is also acceptable. Splitting n_i and b_i dyadically, we need to bound

$$\min\left(\frac{1}{N_1}, \frac{H}{B_1^{3/2}}\right) \min\left(\frac{1}{N_2}, \frac{H}{B_2^{3/2}}\right) \#\left\{(b_1, b_2, n_1, n_2) : b_i \sim B_i, n_i \sim N_i, 0 < \left|\frac{n_1}{b_1^{3/2}} - \frac{n_2}{b_2^{3/2}}\right| \leq \frac{1}{X^{1/2-\epsilon}}\right\} \quad (23)$$

for any $B_1, B_2 \leq X^{1/3}/H^\lambda$ and $N_1, N_2 \leq N$. For $\lambda > \epsilon$, we have $\frac{B_1^{3/2}}{X^{1/2-\epsilon}}, \frac{B_2^{3/2}}{X^{1/2-\epsilon}} \ll \frac{X^\epsilon}{H^{3/2\lambda}} < 0.1$. So, we can assume that $N_1 \geq \frac{10B_1^{3/2}}{X^{1/2-\epsilon}}$ and $N_2 \geq \frac{10B_2^{3/2}}{X^{1/2-\epsilon}}$. Thus,

$$\left| \frac{n_1}{b_1^{3/2}} - \frac{n_2}{b_2^{3/2}} \right| \leq \frac{1}{X^{1/2-\epsilon}} \quad (24)$$

has no solution unless $N_1 B_2^{3/2} \asymp N_2 B_1^{3/2}$. Also, (24) implies

$$|n_1^2 b_2^3 - n_2^2 b_1^3| \ll N_1 B_2^{3/2} \frac{B_1^{3/2} B_2^{3/2}}{X^{1/2-\epsilon}}. \quad (25)$$

Case 1: When $\frac{B_1^{3/2} B_2^{3/2}}{X^{1/2-\epsilon}} > (N_1^{1/2} N_2^{1/2} B_1^{3/4} B_2^{3/4})^{0.1234}$, Trifonov's result on (3) tells us that the number of such pairs of squarefull numbers $n_1^2 b_2^3$ and $n_2^2 b_1^3$ is bounded by $O\left(\left(\frac{B_1^{3/2} B_2^{3/2}}{X^{1/2-\epsilon}}\right)^2\right)$. Hence, the contribution to (23) is

$$\ll \sum_{B_1, B_2 \leq X^{1/3}/H^\lambda} \frac{H}{B_1^{3/2}} \frac{H}{B_2^{3/2}} \frac{B_1^3 B_2^3}{X^{1-2\epsilon}} \ll_\epsilon H^{2-3\lambda} X^{2\epsilon}. \quad (26)$$

Case 2: When $\frac{B_1^{3/2} B_2^{3/2}}{X^{1/2-\epsilon}} \leq (N_1^{1/2} N_2^{1/2} B_1^{3/4} B_2^{3/4})^{0.1234}$, Trifonov's result on (3) tells us that the number of such pairs of squarefull numbers $n_1^2 b_2^3$ and $n_2^2 b_1^3$ is bounded by $O((N_1^{1/2} N_2^{1/2} B_1^{3/4} B_2^{3/4})^{0.2468})$. Hence, the contribution to (23) is

$$\ll \sum_{B_1, B_2 \leq X^{1/3}/H^\lambda} \frac{1}{N_1^{0.8766}} \frac{H^{0.1234}}{B_1^{0.1851}} \frac{1}{N_2^{0.8766}} \frac{H^{0.1234}}{B_2^{0.1851}} N_1^{0.1234} N_2^{0.1234} B_1^{0.1851} B_2^{0.1851} \ll H^{0.2468} \log^2 X. \quad (27)$$

Combining (17), (18), (19), (22), (23), (26) and (27), we have

$$J = (1 + O(X^{-\epsilon/2})) 2H^2 \sum_{b \leq X^{1/3}/H^\lambda} \frac{\mu^2(b)}{b^3} \sum_{n \geq 1} S\left(\frac{nH}{b^{3/2}}\right)^2 + O_\epsilon\left(H^{2-3\lambda} X^{2\epsilon} + H^{0.2468} \log^2 X\right). \quad (28)$$

From Proposition 3, we know that $J \asymp_\epsilon H^{2/3}$. Since the characteristic function of the interval $[X, 2X]$ is between $\sigma_{K, X, L}^-$ and $\sigma_{K, X, L}^+$, Proposition 2 follows from (4), (6), (7), (8), (10), (11), (16) and (28).

5 Proof of Proposition 3

In this section, we shall focus on finding an asymptotic formula for the diagonal contribution:

$$2H^2 \sum_{b \leq X^{1/3}/H^\lambda} \frac{\mu^2(b)}{b^3} \sum_{n \geq 1} S\left(\frac{nH}{b^{3/2}}\right)^2 \quad \text{where} \quad S(x) = \frac{\sin \pi x}{\pi x}. \quad (29)$$

As in [3], we consider a smoother sum first. Fix $\epsilon > 0$ and $K_0 > 0$. Suppose that $w : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies

$$|w^{(k)}(y)| \leq K_0 \frac{H^{l\epsilon/4}}{(1+|y|)^l} \quad (30)$$

for all $k, l \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$. We claim that

$$2H^2 \sum_{b \leq X^{1/3}/H^\lambda} \frac{\mu^2(b)}{b^3} \sum_{n \geq 1} \left|w\left(\frac{nH}{b^{3/2}}\right)\right|^2 = H^{2/3} \frac{\zeta(4/3)}{\zeta(2)} \int_0^\infty |w(y)|^2 y^{1/3} dy + O_\epsilon\left(\frac{H^{1+\lambda/2+\epsilon/4}}{X^{1/6}} + H^{1/3}\right). \quad (31)$$

Proof of claim: This is very similar to Lemma 8 in [3]. Firstly, we complete the sum over all b . By (30) for $k = 0$ and $l = 0, 1$, we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} |w(n/\nu)|^2 \ll \sum_{1 \leq n \leq \nu H^{\epsilon/4}} 1 + \sum_{n > \nu H^{\epsilon/4}} \frac{H^{\epsilon/2} \nu^2}{n^2} \ll_\epsilon \nu H^{\epsilon/4}$$

for any $\nu > 0$. Hence,

$$2H^2 \sum_{b > X^{1/3}/H^\lambda} \frac{\mu^2(b)}{b^3} \sum_{n \geq 1} \left|w\left(\frac{nH}{b^{3/2}}\right)\right|^2 \ll H^{1+\epsilon/4} \sum_{b > X^{1/3}/H^\lambda} \frac{1}{b^{3/2}} \ll_\epsilon \frac{H^{1+\lambda/2+\epsilon/4}}{X^{1/6}}.$$

Thus, the left hand side of (31) is

$$2H^2 \sum_{b \geq 1} \frac{\mu^2(b)}{b^3} \sum_{n \geq 1} \left|w\left(\frac{nH}{b^{3/2}}\right)\right|^2 + O_\epsilon\left(\frac{H^{1+\lambda/2+\epsilon/4}}{X^{1/6}}\right). \quad (32)$$

Secondly, we use contour integration to simplify (32). Define $g(x) := |w(e^x)|^2 e^x$, which is smooth and decays exponentially as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ by (30). Its Fourier transform

$$\hat{g}(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty |w(e^x)|^2 e^x e^{-2\pi i x \xi} dx = \int_0^\infty |w(y)|^2 y^{-2\pi i \xi} dy,$$

and one can show that (i) $\hat{g}(\xi)$ is entire and (ii) $\hat{g}(\xi) = O(H^{2\epsilon}/(|\xi| + 1)^3)$ uniformly for $|\Im(\xi)| < 1/(2\pi)$ by (30) and standard partial summation argument. Then Fourier inversion gives, for $r > 0$,

$$|w(r)|^2 = r^{-1} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(c)} r^s \hat{g}\left(\frac{s}{2\pi i}\right) ds,$$

where the integral is over $\Re(s) = c$ with $-1 < c < 1$. Hence, taking $c = -1/4$,

$$2H^2 \sum_{b \geq 1} \frac{\mu^2(b)}{b^3} \sum_{n \geq 1} \left| w\left(\frac{nH}{b^{3/2}}\right) \right|^2 = \frac{H}{i\pi} \sum_{b \geq 1} \frac{\mu^2(b)}{b^{3/2}} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n} \int_{(-1/4)} H^s n^s b^{-3s/2} \hat{g}\left(\frac{s}{2\pi i}\right) ds.$$

Due to absolute convergence of the series, one can take the sums inside the integral and the above becomes

$$\frac{H}{i\pi} \int_{(-1/4)} H^s \zeta(1-s) \frac{\zeta(\frac{3}{2}(1+s))}{\zeta(3(1+s))} \hat{g}\left(\frac{s}{2\pi i}\right) ds$$

by (5). Because of the bounds on \hat{g} and $\zeta(1/2 + it) \ll t^{1/6} \log^2 t$, we can push the contour integral above to the left to an integral over $\Re(s) = -2/3$. Picking out a residue at $x = -1/3$ from the singularity of $\zeta(\frac{3}{2}(1+s))$, the above simplifies to

$$H^{2/3} \frac{\zeta(4/3)}{\zeta(2)} \hat{g}\left(-\frac{1}{6\pi i}\right) + O(H^{1/3}) = H^{2/3} \frac{\zeta(4/3)}{\zeta(4)} \int_0^\infty |w(y)|^2 y^{1/3} dy + O(H^{1/3}).$$

This and (32) finish the proof of the claim.

To deal with (29), we introduce the function

$$w(y) := S(y) h\left(\frac{y}{H^{\epsilon/4}}\right)$$

where h is a smooth bump function such that $h(x) = 1$ for $|x| \leq 1$ and $h(x) = 0$ for $|x| \geq 2$ (for example, one can take $h(x) = \sigma_{10,2,0.1}^+(x-3)$). Then one can check that $w(y)$ satisfies the hypothesis (30). For such w ,

$$\begin{aligned} 2H^2 \sum_{b \leq X^{1/3}/H^\lambda} \frac{\mu^2(b)}{b^3} \sum_{n \geq 1} \left(S\left(\frac{nH}{b^{3/2}}\right)^2 - w\left(\frac{nH}{b^{3/2}}\right)^2 \right) &\ll H^2 \sum_{\substack{b \leq X^{1/3}/H^\lambda, n \geq 1 \\ nH^{1-\epsilon/4} \geq b^{3/2}}} \frac{1}{b^3} \frac{1}{(nH/b^{3/2})^2} \\ &\ll \sum_{b \leq H^{2/3-\epsilon/6}} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^2} + \sum_{b > H^{2/3-\epsilon/6}} \sum_{n \geq b^{3/2}/H^{1-\epsilon/4}} \frac{1}{n^2} \ll_\epsilon H^{2/3-\epsilon/6}. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

On the other hand,

$$\int_0^\infty |S(y)|^2 y^{1/3} dy - \int_0^\infty |w(y)|^2 y^{1/3} dy \ll \int_{H^{\epsilon/4}}^\infty y^{-5/3} dy \ll_\epsilon H^{-\epsilon/6}. \quad (34)$$

Combining (31), (33) and (34), we have

$$2H^2 \sum_{b \leq X^{1/3}/H^\lambda} \frac{\mu^2(b)}{b^3} \sum_{n \geq 1} \left| S\left(\frac{nH}{b^{3/2}}\right) \right|^2 = H^{2/3} \frac{\zeta(4/3)}{\zeta(2)} \int_0^\infty |S(y)|^2 y^{1/3} dy + O_\epsilon\left(\frac{H^{1+\lambda/2+\epsilon/4}}{X^{1/6}} + H^{2/3-\epsilon/6}\right)$$

which gives Proposition 3.

6 Proof of Theorem 1

From Propositions 2 and 3, we have, for $H \leq X^{\frac{1}{6(1+2\lambda)}}$,

$$\frac{1}{X} \int_X^{2X} \left| Q(x+y) - Q(x) - \frac{\zeta(3/2)}{\zeta(3)} H \right|^2 dx \ll_{\epsilon} (H^{3\lambda} + H^{2-3\lambda} + H^{0.2468}) X^{2\epsilon} + H^{2/3} + \frac{H^{1+\lambda/2+\epsilon/4}}{X^{1/6}}.$$

By picking $\lambda = 0.067$, the above yields

$$\frac{1}{X} \int_X^{2X} \left| Q(x+y) - Q(x) - \frac{\zeta(3/2)}{\zeta(3)} H \right|^2 dx \ll_{\epsilon} H^{1.799} X^{2\epsilon} \quad (35)$$

for $H \leq X^{0.14697}$. For $X^{0.14697} < H \leq X^{0.18529}$, we apply Proposition 1 and get

$$\frac{1}{X} \int_X^{2X} \left| Q(x+y) - Q(x) - \frac{\zeta(3/2)}{\zeta(3)} H \right|^2 dx \ll H^{1.799}. \quad (36)$$

For $X^{0.18529} < H < X^{1/2-\epsilon}$, we simply apply (2) and get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{X} \int_X^{2X} \left| Q(x+y) - Q(x) - \frac{\zeta(3/2)}{\zeta(3)} H \right|^2 dx &\ll \frac{1}{X} \int_X^{2X} \left| \frac{\zeta(2/3)}{\zeta(2)} (\sqrt[3]{x+y} - \sqrt[3]{x}) + x^{1/6} \right|^2 dx \\ &\ll \frac{H^2}{X^{1/3}} + X^{1/3} \ll_{\epsilon} H^{1.799}. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Combining (35), (36) and (37), we have Theorem 1.

References

- [1] P.T. Bateman and E. Grosswald, On a theorem of Erdős and Szekeres, *Illinois J. Math.* **2** (1958), 88–98.
- [2] V. Blomer, R. Khan and M. Young, Distribution of Mass of Hecke eigenforms, *Duke Math. J.* **162** (2013) no. 14, 2609–2644.
- [3] O. Gorodetsky, K. Matomäki, M. Radziwiłł and B. Rogers, On the variance of squarefree integers in short intervals and arithmetic progressions, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **31** (2021), 111–149.
- [4] M. Filaseta and O. Trifonov, The distribution of fractional parts with applications to gap results in number theory, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (2) **3** (1996), 241–278.
- [5] M.N. Huxley and O. Trifonov, The square-full numbers in an interval, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **119** (1996), 201–208.
- [6] K. Matomäki and M. Radziwiłł, Multiplicative functions in short intervals, *Annals of Math.* **183** (2016), 1015–1056.
- [7] O. Trifonov, Lattice points close to a smooth curve and squarefull numbers in short intervals, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **65** (2002), 303–319.

Mathematics Department
 Kennesaw State University
 Marietta, GA 30060
 tchan4@kennesaw.edu