

# LOCALIZATION OF TRIANGULATED CATEGORIES WITH RESPECT TO EXTENSION-CLOSED SUBCATEGORIES

YASUAKI OGAWA

ABSTRACT. The aim of this paper is to develop a framework for localization theory of triangulated categories  $\mathcal{C}$ , that is, from a given extension-closed subcategory  $\mathcal{N}$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ , we construct a natural extriangulated structure on  $\mathcal{C}$  together with an exact functor  $Q : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}$  satisfying a suitable universality, which unifies several phenomena. Precisely, a given subcategory  $\mathcal{N}$  is thick if and only if the localization  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}$  corresponds to a triangulated category. In this case,  $Q$  is nothing other than the usual Verdier quotient. Furthermore, it is revealed that  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}$  is an exact category if and only if  $\mathcal{N}$  satisfies a generating condition  $\text{Cone}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}) = \mathcal{C}$ . Such an (abelian) exact localization  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}$  provides a good understanding of some cohomological functors  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$ , e.g., the heart of  $t$ -structures on  $\mathcal{C}$  and the abelian quotient of  $\mathcal{C}$  by a cluster-tilting subcategory  $\mathcal{N}$ .

## CONTENTS

Introduction	2
Notation and convention	3
1. Preliminary	3
1.1. Gabriel-Zisman localization	3
1.2. Extriangulated category	4
2. Localization with respect to extension-closed subcategories	10
2.1. A relative structure	10
2.2. A construction of multiplicative systems	12
2.3. A construction of exact functors	17
3. Triangulated localizations	18
4. Exact localizations	20
5. Relation to cohomological functors	24
5.1. Relative structures from cohomological functors	24
5.2. Heart construction	25
5.3. Examples	28
References	30

---

*Key words and phrases.* Verdier localization, triangulated category, extriangulated category,  $t$ -structure, cotorsion pair.

The author is grateful for Hiroyuki Nakaoka and Arashi Sakai for their interest and valuable comments. He also would like to thank Shunya Saito for useful information. This work is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP22K13893.

## INTRODUCTION

The triangulated category was introduced by Grothendieck and Verdier to formulate the derived category through a more conceptual construction, what we call, the Verdier quotient [Ver], which has had an impact not only on the representation theory but also the algebraic geometry and many other mathematical fields. The Verdier quotient is a triangulated analogue of the Serre quotient of abelian categories [Gab]. Both of them are formulated as the Gabriel-Zisman localization with respect to the class  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}$  of morphisms determined by a given thick/Serre subcategory  $\mathcal{N}$  such that  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}$  forms a multiplicative system, and thus the localization  $\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}^{-1}]$  becomes easy to handle [GZ]. Recently, the notion of extriangulated category was introduced by Nakaoka and Palu [NP] as a unification of triangulated and exact categories. The localization theory of extriangulated categories was developed in the pursuit of unification of Verdier/Serre quotients [NOS], which tells us that an exact functor  $(Q, \mu) : (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s}) \rightarrow (\tilde{\mathcal{C}}, \tilde{\mathbb{E}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{s}})$  of extriangulated categories with suitable universality can be established from an extriangulated category  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$  and a certain thick subcategory  $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ . The aim of this article is, as an application of such extriangulated localizations, to construct a new framework for localization theory of triangulated categories  $\mathcal{C}$ , that is, from a given extension-closed subcategory  $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ , we construct an “exact” functor  $Q : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}$  enjoying expected properties. More precisely, our main results are summarized as follows.

**Theorem A** (Theorem 2.15, 3.2, 4.2). Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a triangulated category and regard it as a natural extriangulated category  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ . Assume that a full subcategory  $\mathcal{N}$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  is closed under direct summands and isomorphisms.

- (0) If  $\mathcal{N}$  is extension-closed in the triangulated category  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ , then  $\mathcal{N}$  naturally defines a relative extriangulated structure  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}})$ .
- (1) If  $\mathcal{N}$  is extension-closed in the triangulated category  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ , then  $\mathcal{N}$  is thick with respect to the relative structure  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}})$ . Moreover, we have an extriangulated localization  $(Q, \mu) : (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}}) \rightarrow (\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_{\mathcal{N}})$ .
- (2) Suppose that  $\mathcal{N}$  is extension-closed. Then,  $\mathcal{N}$  is thick in the triangulated category  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$  if and only if  $\mathcal{N}$  is biresolving with respect to the relative structure  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}})$ . In this case, the localization  $(Q, \mu)$  is nothing but the Verdier quotient.
- (3) Suppose that  $\mathcal{N}$  is extension-closed and functorially finite. Then,  $\mathcal{N}$  satisfies  $\text{Cone}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}) = \mathcal{C}$  in the triangulated category  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$  if and only if  $\mathcal{N}$  is Serre with respect to the relative structure  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}})$ . In this case, the resulting category  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}$  is abelian. Furthermore, the functor  $Q : (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s}) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}$  from the original triangulated category is cohomological.

$\mathcal{N}$	extension-closed	thick	$\text{Cone}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}) = \mathcal{C}$	in $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$
	thick	biresolving	Serre	in $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}})$
$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}$	extriangulated	triangulated	abelian	

Our construction simultaneously contains the Verdier quotient and certain types of cohomological functors as mentioned below.

Other than the Verdier/Serre quotient, the localization with respect to a multiplicative system appears in various contexts. Rump showed that, as for an integral preabelian category  $\mathcal{C}$ , the

class  $\mathcal{S}$  of monic and epic morphisms forms a multiplicative system and the localization  $\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{S}^{-1}]$  is abelian [Rum1]. In view of Rump's localization, Beligiannis and Buan-Marsh investigated the natural cohomological functor  $\mathcal{C}(T, -) : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{mod\,End}_{\mathcal{C}}(T)$  for a rigid object  $T$  in a triangulated category  $\mathcal{C}$ . They proved that the extension-closed subcategory  $\mathcal{N} := \mathbf{Ker}\,\mathcal{C}(T, -)$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  naturally determines a class  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}$  of morphisms and there exists a natural equivalence  $\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}^{-1}] \simeq \mathbf{mod\,End}_{\mathcal{C}}(T)$ . They also proved that, although  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}$  is not a multiplicative system in  $\mathcal{C}$ , but  $\overline{\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}}$  becomes a multiplicative system in  $\overline{\mathcal{C}} := \mathcal{C}/[\mathcal{N}]$  the ideal quotient by the morphisms factoring through objects in  $\mathcal{N}$  [Bel2, BM1, BM2]. Many authors push this perspective further in various directions by using Abe-Nakaoka's heart of (twin) cotorsion pairs [Nak2, LN, Liu, HS, Oga2]. Whereas Jørgensen, Palu and Shah investigated relations between a relative extriangulated structure on  $\mathcal{C}$  determined by  $\mathcal{N}$  and an abelian exact structure on  $\mathbf{mod\,End}_{\mathcal{C}}(T)$  in terms of the Grothendieck group [JP, JS] (see also [Pal, PPPP]). Our localization recovers and strengthens the results in the sense that it explains how the abelian exact structure on  $\mathbf{mod\,End}_{\mathcal{C}}(T)$  inherits from the triangulated category  $\mathcal{C}$ . In fact, it also streamlines the constructions of a wider class of cohomological functors containing the above mentioned ones.

This article is organized as follows. The first section is devoted to recall needed foundations of the localization theory of extriangulated categories. In Section 2, we formulate our extriangulated localization  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}$  of a triangulated category  $\mathcal{C}$  with respect to an extension-closed subcategory  $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ . In Section 3 and 4, we reveal necessary and sufficient conditions for the resulting category  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}$  to be triangulated and exact. In Section 5, we demonstrate the relevance of our localization for some cohomological functors.

**Notation and convention.** All categories and functors in this article are always assumed to be additive (except for Subsection 1.1). For an additive category  $\mathcal{C}$ , the set of morphisms  $A \rightarrow B$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{C}(A, B)$  or more simply denoted by  $(A, B)$  if there is no confusion. The classes of objects and morphisms in  $\mathcal{C}$  are denoted by  $\mathbf{Ob}\,\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathbf{Mor}\,\mathcal{C}$ , respectively. The symbol  $\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}$  stands for the opposite category of  $\mathcal{C}$ . All subcategory  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  is always assumed to be full, additive and closed under isomorphisms. For  $X \in \mathcal{C}$ , if  $\mathcal{C}(U, X) = 0$  for any  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ , we write abbreviately  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{U}, X) = 0$ . Similar notations will be used in obvious meanings.

## 1. PRELIMINARY

**1.1. Gabriel-Zisman localization.** Since we are interested in Gabriel-Zisman localizations of extriangulated categories which are still equipped with extriangulated structures, in this subsection, we recall the definition of the Gabriel-Zisman localization, following [Fri] (see also [GZ, Section I.2]).

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  be categories and  $\mathcal{S}$  a class of morphisms in  $\mathcal{C}$ . A functor  $Q : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is called a *Gabriel-Zisman localization of  $\mathcal{C}$  with respect to  $\mathcal{S}$*  if the following universality holds:

- (1)  $Q(s)$  is an isomorphism in  $\mathcal{D}$  for any  $s \in \mathcal{S}$ ;
- (2) For any functor  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'$  which sends each morphism in  $\mathcal{S}$  to an isomorphism in  $\mathcal{D}'$ , there uniquely exists a functor  $F' : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'$  such that  $F = F' \circ Q$ .

In this case, we denote by  $Q : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}[\mathcal{S}^{-1}]$ .

Since the Gabriel-Zisman localization  $\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{S}^{-1}]$  always exists provided there are no set-theoretic obstructions, whenever we consider the Gabriel-Zisman localization of  $\mathcal{C}$ , we assume that  $\mathcal{C}$  is skeletally small. Morphisms in the category  $\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{S}^{-1}]$  can be regarded as compositions of the original morphisms and the formal inverses, see [Fri, Thm. 2.1] for the details. However such a description is hard to control. If the class  $\mathcal{S}$  satisfies the following conditions and its dual, any morphism in  $\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{S}^{-1}]$  has a very nice description.

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a class of morphisms in an additive category  $\mathcal{C}$ .

(MS0) The identity morphisms of  $\mathcal{C}$  lie in  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $\mathcal{S}$  is closed under composition. Also,  $\mathcal{S}$  is closed under taking finite direct sums. Namely, if  $f_i \in \mathcal{S}(X_i, Y_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , then  $f_1 \oplus f_2 \in \mathcal{S}(X_1 \oplus X_2, Y_1 \oplus Y_2)$ .

(MS1) Any diagram of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & B \\ & & \downarrow f \\ C & \xrightarrow{s} & D \end{array}$$

with  $s \in \mathcal{S}$  can be completed in a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{s'} & B \\ f' \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ C & \xrightarrow{s} & D \end{array}$$

with  $s' \in \mathcal{S}$ .

(MS2) If  $s : Y \rightarrow Y'$  in  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $f, f' : X \rightarrow Y$  are morphisms such that  $sf = sf'$ , then there exists  $s' : X' \rightarrow X$  in  $\mathcal{S}$  such that  $fs' = f's'$ .

If the above conditions and the dual are satisfied,  $\mathcal{S}$  is called a *multiplicative system* of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Furthermore, we say that the localization  $Q$  admits a *calculus of left and right fractions*. It is known that the resulting category  $\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{S}^{-1}]$  is still additive.

**Remark 1.3.** The condition (MS0) is a bit different from the original one defined in [GZ]. However, since all categories considered in this article are additive, the difference does not effect on the formulation of the category  $\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{S}^{-1}]$ .

As is well-known, the Verdier localization of a triangulated category  $\mathcal{C}$  with respect to its thick subcategory  $\mathcal{N}$  is defined to be a Gabriel-Zisman localization which admits a calculus of left and right fractions. In fact, it is the localization of  $\mathcal{C}$  with respect to the multiplicative system  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}$  consisting of morphisms whose cones belong to  $\mathcal{N}$  (e.g. [Nee]). The aim of this article is to expand the Verdier localization to a wider case that a given subcategory  $\mathcal{N}$  is not thick but still extension-closed.

**1.2. Extriangulated category.** This subsection is devoted to recall the localization theory of extriangulated category with respect to a suitable class of morphisms which was introduced in [NOS], in the pursuit of unifying the Verdier and Serre quotients. First we briefly recall some terminology and basic results on extriangulated categories. All extriangulated categories we consider in this article arise from a given triangulated category  $\mathcal{C}$  as a relative theory [INP] or a localization [NOS] of  $\mathcal{C}$ . So, in fact, we do not use the axioms of extriangulated categories and we do not include all the details (see [NP, Section 2]). An *extriangulated category* is defined to be a triple  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$  of

- an additive category  $\mathcal{C}$ ;
- an additive bifunctor  $\mathbb{E} : \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$ , where  $\text{Ab}$  is the category of abelian groups;
- a correspondence  $\mathfrak{s}$  which associates each equivalence class of a sequence  $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  to an element in  $\mathbb{E}(C, A)$  for any  $C, A \in \mathcal{C}$ ,

which satisfies some ‘additivity’ and ‘compatibility’. An equivalence class of  $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$  is denoted by  $[A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C]$ . An extriangulated category  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$  is simply denoted by  $\mathcal{C}$  if there is no confusion. We also recall the following basic terminology which will be used in many places.

**Definition 1.4.** Let  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$  be an extriangulated category.

- (1) We call an element  $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$  an  $\mathbb{E}$ -*extension*, for any  $A, C \in \mathcal{C}$ ;
- (2) A sequence  $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$  corresponding to an  $\mathbb{E}$ -extension  $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$  is called an  $\mathfrak{s}$ -*conflation*. In addition,  $f$  and  $g$  are called an  $\mathfrak{s}$ -*inflation* and an  $\mathfrak{s}$ -*deflation*, respectively. A pair  $\langle A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C, \delta \rangle$  of  $\mathbb{E}$ -extension  $\delta$  and a corresponding  $\mathfrak{s}$ -conflation is also denoted by  $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \xrightarrow{\delta}$  and we call it an  $\mathfrak{s}$ -*triangle*.

Keeping in mind the triangulated case, we introduce the following notions.

**Proposition 1.5.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an extriangulated category. For an  $\mathfrak{s}$ -inflation  $f \in \mathcal{C}(A, B)$ , there exists an  $\mathfrak{s}$ -conflation  $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$ . Then we call  $C$  a cone of  $f$  and put  $\text{Cone}(f) := C$ . Similarly, we denote the object  $A$  by  $\text{CoCone}(g)$  and call it a cocone of  $g$ . For a given  $\mathfrak{s}$ -inflation  $f$ ,  $\text{Cone}(f)$  is uniquely determined up to isomorphism. A dual statement holds for  $\text{CoCone}(g)$ .

For any subcategories  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , we denote by  $\text{Cone}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{U})$  the subcategory consisting of objects  $X$  appearing in an  $\mathfrak{s}$ -conflation  $V \rightarrow U \rightarrow X$  with  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $V \in \mathcal{V}$ . A subcategory  $\text{CoCone}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{U})$  is defined similarly. Next, we recall the notion of weak pullback in extriangulated categories. Consider an  $\mathfrak{s}$ -conflation  $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$  corresponding to an  $\mathbb{E}$ -extension  $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$  and a morphism  $c : C' \rightarrow C$ . Put  $c^*\delta := \mathbb{E}(c, A)(\delta)$  and let  $A \xrightarrow{f'} E \xrightarrow{g'} C'$  be a corresponding  $\mathfrak{s}$ -conflation. Then, there exists a commutative diagram of the following shape

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xrightarrow{f'} & E & \xrightarrow{g'} & C' \\ \parallel & & \downarrow b & \text{(Pb)} & \downarrow c \\ A & \xrightarrow{f} & B & \xrightarrow{g} & C \end{array}$$

The commutative square (Pb) is called a *weak pullback of  $g$  along  $c$*  which is a simultaneous generalization of the pullback in exact categories and the homotopy pullback in triangulated categories.

Furthermore, the weak pullback (Pb) induces an  $\mathfrak{s}$ -conflation  $E \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -b \\ g' \end{pmatrix}} B \oplus C' \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} g & c \end{pmatrix}} C$ . Therefore, if we have morphisms  $B \xleftarrow{y} D \xrightarrow{x} C'$  which forms a commutative square of  $c \circ x = g \circ y$ , there exists a morphism  $z : D \rightarrow E$  which makes the following diagram commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & D & & \\ & & \downarrow \exists z & & \\ & & E & \xrightarrow{g'} & C' \\ & & \downarrow b & \text{(Pb)} & \downarrow c \\ & & B & \xrightarrow{g} & C \\ & & \uparrow y & & \\ & & D & \xrightarrow{x} & C' \end{array}$$

The dual notion *weak pushout* exists and it will be denoted by (Po) (see [NP, Cor. 3.16] for details). Similarly, for any morphism  $a : A \rightarrow A'$ , we put  $a_*\delta := \mathbb{E}(C, a)(\delta)$ .

Triangulated/exact structures on an additive category  $\mathcal{C}$  naturally give rise to extriangulated structures. In this case, we say that the extriangulated category *corresponds to a triangulated/exact category* (see [NP, Prop. 3.22, Example 2.13] for details). Moreover, there exist useful criteria for an extriangulated category to correspond to a triangulated/exact category.

**Proposition 1.6.** *Let  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$  be an extriangulated category, in which any morphisms are  $\mathfrak{s}$ -inflations and  $\mathfrak{s}$ -deflations. Then, it corresponds to a triangulated category. In this case, for any triangle  $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \xrightarrow{h} A[1]$ , we denote by  $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \dashrightarrow$  the corresponding  $\mathfrak{s}$ -triangle.*

*Proof.* It directly follows from [NP, Thm. 6.20] and [NP, Cor. 7.6].  $\square$

**Proposition 1.7.** [NP, Cor. 3.18] *Let  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$  be an extriangulated category, in which any  $\mathfrak{s}$ -inflation is monic and any  $\mathfrak{s}$ -deflation is epic. Then, it corresponds to an exact category.*

One of the advantage of revealing an extriangulated structure lies in the fact that it is closed under certain basic operations: extension-closed subcategories; relative theory; localization.

1.2.1. *Extension-closed subcategories.* The first one says that extension-closed subcategory of an extriangulated category is still equipped with an extriangulated structure.

**Proposition 1.8.** [NP, Rem. 2.18] *Let  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$  be an extriangulated category and  $\mathcal{N}$  an extension-closed subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}$ . If we define  $\mathbb{E}|_{\mathcal{N}}$  to be the restriction of  $\mathbb{E}$  to  $\mathcal{N}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{N}$ , and similarly consider  $\mathfrak{s}|_{\mathcal{N}} := \mathfrak{s}|_{\mathbb{E}|_{\mathcal{N}}}$ , then  $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbb{E}|_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathfrak{s}|_{\mathcal{N}})$  becomes an extriangulated category.*

1.2.2. *Relative theory.* The second one is a relative structure of an extriangulated category. A “weaken” structure with respect to a given triangulated/exact category is considered in various contexts, e.g., [Bel1, Kra] for triangulated cases and [AS, DRSSK] for exact cases. These concepts are generalized in terms of extriangulated categories as below.

**Proposition 1.9.** [HLN, Prop. 3.16] *Let us consider an extriangulated category  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ . The following conditions are equivalent for an additive subfunctor  $\mathbb{F} \subseteq \mathbb{E}$ .*

- (1)  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{F}, \mathfrak{s}|_{\mathbb{F}})$  forms an extriangulated category, where  $\mathfrak{s}|_{\mathbb{F}}$  is the restriction of  $\mathfrak{s}$  to  $\mathbb{F}$ .
- (2)  $\mathfrak{s}|_{\mathbb{F}}$ -inflations are closed under compositions.
- (3)  $\mathfrak{s}|_{\mathbb{F}}$ -deflations are closed under compositions.

*If  $\mathbb{F}$  satisfies the above equivalent conditions, it is called a closed subfunctor of  $\mathbb{E}$ . Furthermore, we say that the extriangulated structure  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{F}, \mathfrak{s}|_{\mathbb{F}})$  is relative to  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$  or a relative theory of  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ .*

1.2.3. *Localization.* The third operation is a certain localization which is instrumental to formulate our statements. In advance, we recall from [NOS, Def. 2.11] the definition of exact functors between extriangulated categories.

**Definition 1.10.** Let  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ ,  $(\mathcal{C}', \mathbb{E}', \mathfrak{s}')$  and  $(\mathcal{C}'', \mathbb{E}'', \mathfrak{s}'')$  be extriangulated categories.

- (1) ([B-TS, Def. 2.23]) An *exact functor*  $(F, \phi) : (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}', \mathbb{E}', \mathfrak{s}')$  is a pair of an additive functor  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$  and a natural transformation  $\phi : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}' \circ (F^{\text{op}} \times F)$  which satisfies

$$\mathfrak{s}'(\phi_{C,A}(\delta)) = [F(A) \xrightarrow{F(x)} F(B) \xrightarrow{F(y)} F(C)]$$

for any  $\mathfrak{s}$ -triangle  $A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C \xrightarrow{\delta} A$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

- (2) For exact functors  $(F, \phi) : (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}', \mathbb{E}', \mathfrak{s}')$  and  $(F', \phi') : (\mathcal{C}', \mathbb{E}', \mathfrak{s}') \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}'', \mathbb{E}'', \mathfrak{s}'')$ , their composition  $(F'', \phi'') = (F' \phi') \circ (F, \phi)$  is defined to be the pair of  $F'' = F' \circ F$  and  $\phi'' = (\phi' \circ (F'^{\text{op}} \times F)) \cdot \phi$ . The situation is depicted as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathbb{E} & \\ & \Downarrow \phi & \\ \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{E}' \circ (F'^{\text{op}} \times F)} & \text{Ab} \\ & \Downarrow \phi' \circ (F'^{\text{op}} \times F) & \\ & (\mathbb{E}'' \circ (F''^{\text{op}} \times F'')) \circ (F^{\text{op}} \times F) & \end{array}$$

- (3) Let  $(F, \phi), (G, \psi) : (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}', \mathbb{E}', \mathfrak{s}')$  be exact functors. A *natural transformation*  $\eta : (F, \phi) \rightarrow (G, \psi)$  of exact functors is a natural transformation  $\eta : F \rightarrow G$  of additive functors, which satisfies

$$(\eta_A)_* \phi_{C,A}(\delta) = (\eta_C)^* \psi_{C,A}(\delta)$$

for any  $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$ .

- (4) ([NOS, Prop. 2.13]) An exact functor  $(F, \phi) : (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}', \mathbb{E}', \mathfrak{s}')$  is called an *exact equivalence*, if there exists an exact functor  $(G, \psi) : (\mathcal{C}', \mathbb{E}', \mathfrak{s}') \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$  and a natural isomorphism  $\eta : (G, \psi) \circ (F, \phi) \cong \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}}$  and  $\eta' : (F, \phi) \circ (G, \psi) \cong \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}'}$ .

- Remark 1.11.** (1) The notion of an exact functor coincides with the usual ones when both of  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$  and  $(\mathcal{C}', \mathbb{E}', \mathfrak{s}')$  correspond to exact or triangulated categories.  
 (2) A triangle equivalence of triangulated categories gives rise to an exact equivalence with respect to the corresponding extriangulated structures.  
 (3) Similarly, an equivalence of abelian categories can be regarded as an exact equivalence in an obvious way.

Extension-closedness and the relative theory provide typical examples of exact functors.

**Example 1.12.** For an extriangulated category  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ :

- (1) we consider an extension-closed subcategory  $\mathcal{N}$  and a natural extriangulated structure  $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbb{E}|_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathfrak{s}|_{\mathcal{N}})$ . Then, the inclusion  $\text{inc} : (\mathcal{N}, \mathbb{E}|_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathfrak{s}|_{\mathcal{N}}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$  is an exact functor;  
 (2) we consider a closed subfunctor  $\mathbb{F} \subseteq \mathbb{E}$  and a relative theory  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{F}, \mathfrak{s}|_{\mathbb{F}})$ . Then, the identity  $\text{id} : (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{F}, \mathfrak{s}|_{\mathbb{F}}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$  is an exact functor.

In the rest of this section, we recall the main result of [NOS] which provides a sufficient condition for an extriangulated category  $\mathcal{C}$  and a class  $\mathcal{S}$  of morphisms to impose a natural extriangulated structure on the Gabriel-Zisman localization  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} := \mathcal{C}[\mathcal{S}^{-1}]$ . We fix a class  $\mathcal{S}$  of morphisms in  $\mathcal{C}$  which satisfies (MS0) and associate a full subcategory  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{S}} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  as below.

**Definition 1.13.** Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the above. Define  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{S}} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  to be the full subcategory consisting of objects  $N \in \mathcal{C}$  such that both  $N \rightarrow 0$  and  $0 \rightarrow N$  belong to  $\mathcal{S}$ . It is obvious that  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{S}} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  is an additive subcategory.

For any subcategory  $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ , we will denote the ideal quotient by  $p : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{C}} = \mathcal{C}/[\mathcal{N}]$ , and  $\overline{f}$  will denote a morphism in  $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$  represented by  $f \in \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ . We put  $\overline{\mathcal{S}} := \{\overline{f} \mid f \in \text{Mor } \mathcal{C}\}$ . Note that  $\overline{f} = 0$  if and only if  $f$  factors through an object in  $\mathcal{N}$ . Also, let  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}^*$  be the closure of  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$  with respect to compositions with isomorphisms in  $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ .

**Theorem 1.14.** [NOS, Thm. 3.5] *Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a class of morphisms with (MS0) and consider the ideal quotient  $p : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{C}} := \mathcal{C}/[\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{S}}]$  and the localization  $Q : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}[\mathcal{S}^{-1}] =: \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}$ . We assume that  $\overline{\mathcal{S}} = \overline{\mathcal{S}}^*$  holds.*

- (1) *Suppose that  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$  satisfies the following conditions (MR1), ..., (MR4). Then we obtain an extriangulated category  $(\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}, \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}, \widetilde{\mathfrak{s}})$  together with an exact functor  $(Q, \mu) : (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s}) \rightarrow (\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}, \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}, \widetilde{\mathfrak{s}})$ .*
  - (MR1)  *$\overline{\mathcal{S}}$  satisfies 2-out-of-3 with respect to compositions in  $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ , i.e., for any composed morphism  $g \circ f$ , if two of  $\{f, g, g \circ f\}$  belong to  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$ , then so does the third.*
  - (MR2)  *$\overline{\mathcal{S}}$  is a multiplicative system in  $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ .*
  - (MR3) *Let  $\langle A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C, \delta \rangle, \langle A' \xrightarrow{x'} B' \xrightarrow{y'} C', \delta' \rangle$  be any pair of  $\mathfrak{s}$ -triangles, and let  $a \in \mathcal{C}(A, A'), c \in \mathcal{C}(C, C')$  be any pair of morphisms satisfying  $a_*\delta = c^*\delta'$ . If  $\overline{a}$  and  $\overline{c}$  belong to  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$ , then there exists  $\overline{b} \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}(B, B')$  which satisfies  $\overline{b} \circ \overline{x} = \overline{x'} \circ \overline{a}$  and  $\overline{c} \circ \overline{y} = \overline{y'} \circ \overline{b}$ .*
  - (MR4)  *$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{inf}} := \{\overline{v} \circ \overline{x} \circ \overline{u} \mid x \text{ is an } \mathfrak{s}\text{-inflation, } \overline{u}, \overline{v} \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}\}$  is closed under composition in  $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ . Dually,  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{def}} := \{\overline{v} \circ \overline{y} \circ \overline{u} \mid y \text{ is an } \mathfrak{s}\text{-deflation, } \overline{u}, \overline{v} \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}\}$  is closed under compositions.*
- (2) *The exact functor  $(Q, \mu) : (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s}) \rightarrow (\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}, \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}, \widetilde{\mathfrak{s}})$  obtained in (1) is characterized by the following universality.*
  - (i) *For any exact functor  $(F, \phi) : (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}, \mathbb{F}, \mathfrak{t})$  such that  $F(s)$  is an isomorphism for any  $s \in \mathcal{S}$ , there exists a unique exact functor  $(\widetilde{F}, \widetilde{\phi}) : (\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}, \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}, \widetilde{\mathfrak{s}}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}, \mathbb{F}, \mathfrak{t})$  with  $(F, \phi) = (\widetilde{F}, \widetilde{\phi}) \circ (Q, \mu)$ .*
  - (ii) *For any pair of exact functors  $(F, \phi), (G, \psi) : (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}, \mathbb{F}, \mathfrak{t})$  which send any  $s \in \mathcal{S}$  to isomorphisms, let  $(\widetilde{F}, \widetilde{\phi}), (\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{\psi}) : (\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}, \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}, \widetilde{\mathfrak{s}}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}, \mathbb{F}, \mathfrak{t})$  be the exact functors obtained in (i). Then for any natural transformation  $\eta : (F, \phi) \rightarrow (G, \psi)$  of exact functors, there uniquely exists a natural transformation  $\widetilde{\eta} : (\widetilde{F}, \widetilde{\phi}) \rightarrow (\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{\psi})$  of exact functors satisfying  $\eta = \widetilde{\eta} \circ (Q, \mu)$ .*

The exact functor  $(Q, \mu) : (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s}) \rightarrow (\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}, \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}, \widetilde{\mathfrak{s}})$  or the resulting category  $(\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}, \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}, \widetilde{\mathfrak{s}})$  obtained in (1) is called an extriangulated localization of  $\mathcal{C}$  with respect to  $\mathcal{S}$ .

We refer to [NOS, Sec. 3] for an explicit forms of  $\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}$  and  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{s}}$ . By definition, any  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{s}}$ -inflation (resp.  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{s}}$ -deflation) comes from an  $\mathfrak{s}$ -inflation (resp.  $\mathfrak{s}$ -deflation) in the original category  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ . Moreover, we have the lemma below.

**Lemma 1.15.** [NOS, Lem. 3.32] *The following holds for any morphism  $\alpha$  in  $(\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}, \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}, \widetilde{\mathfrak{s}})$ .*

- (1)  *$\alpha$  is an  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{s}}$ -inflation in  $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}$  if and only if  $\alpha = \beta \circ Q(f) \circ \gamma$  holds for some  $\mathfrak{s}$ -inflation  $f$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  and isomorphisms  $\beta, \gamma$  in  $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}$ .*



- (2)  $\alpha$  is an  $\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}$ -deflation in  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$  if and only if  $\alpha = \beta \circ Q(f) \circ \gamma$  holds for some  $\mathfrak{s}$ -deflation  $f$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  and isomorphisms  $\beta, \gamma$  in  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ .

From a certain subcategory  $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ , we can construct a class  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}$  of morphisms which satisfies (MR1),  $\dots$ , (MR4). Before listing such subcategories  $\mathcal{N}$ , we introduce the notion of a thick subcategory of an extriangulated category [NOS, Def. 4.1].

**Definition 1.16.** A full subcategory  $\mathcal{N}$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  is called a *thick* subcategory if it satisfies the following conditions.

- (1)  $\mathcal{N}$  is closed under direct summands and isomorphisms.
- (2)  $\mathcal{N}$  satisfies 2-out-of-3 for  $\mathfrak{s}$ -conflations. Namely, for any  $\mathfrak{s}$ -conflation  $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$ , if two of  $\{A, B, C\}$  belong to  $\mathcal{N}$ , then so does the third.

Moreover, a thick subcategory  $\mathcal{N}$  is said to be:

- *biresolving*, if, for any object  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ , there exist an  $\mathfrak{s}$ -inflation  $C \rightarrow N$  and an  $\mathfrak{s}$ -deflation  $N' \rightarrow C$  with  $N, N' \in \mathcal{N}$ ;
- *Serre*, if there exists an  $\mathfrak{s}$ -conflation  $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$  with  $B \in \mathcal{N}$ , then we have  $A, C \in \mathcal{N}$ .

Note that, in the case  $\mathcal{C}$  corresponds to a triangulated category, the notion of thick subcategory coincides with the usual one. Similarly, in the case that  $\mathcal{C}$  corresponds to an exact category, the notion of biresolving (resp. Serre) subcategory coincides with the one defined in [Rum2, p. 403] (resp. [C-E, 4.0.35]).

**Definition 1.17.** For a thick subcategory  $\mathcal{N}$ , we associate the following classes of morphisms.

- (1)  $\mathcal{L} = \{f \in \text{Mor } \mathcal{C} \mid f \text{ is an } \mathfrak{s}\text{-inflation with } \text{Cone}(f) \in \mathcal{N}\}$ .
- (2)  $\mathcal{R} = \{g \in \text{Mor } \mathcal{C} \mid g \text{ is an } \mathfrak{s}\text{-deflation with } \text{CoCone}(g) \in \mathcal{N}\}$ .

Define  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}$  to be the smallest subclass closed by compositions containing both  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{R}$ . It is obvious that  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}$  satisfies condition (MS0).

The following is a list of subcategories  $\mathcal{N}$  which induces an extriangulated localization with respect to  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}$ .

- Example 1.18.**
- (1) (Verdier quotient.) Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a triangulated category and  $\mathcal{N}$  a thick subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then, the associated class  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}$  of morphisms satisfies (MS0), (MR1),  $\dots$ , (MR4) and  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}} = \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{R}$ . The extriangulated localization  $Q$  is nothing but the usual Verdier quotient.
  - (2) (Serre quotient.) Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an abelian category and  $\mathcal{N}$  a Serre subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then, we have  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}} = \mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R}$  which admits an extriangulated localization  $Q$ . Such a localization is nothing but the usual Serre quotient.
  - (3) (Biresolving quotient.) Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an extriangulated category and  $\mathcal{N}$  a biresolving subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then, we have  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}} = \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{R}$  which admits an extriangulated localization  $Q$ . In this case, the resulting category  $(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}, \tilde{\mathbb{E}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{s}})$  corresponds to a triangulated category [NOS, Corollary 4.27], which is an extriangulated version of [Rum2, Thm. 5].

## 2. LOCALIZATION WITH RESPECT TO EXTENSION-CLOSED SUBCATEGORIES

In the rest, let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a triangulated category and  $\mathcal{N}$  a full subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}$  which is closed under direct summands, isomorphisms and extensions. This section is devoted to formulate a localization of  $\mathcal{C}$  with respect to  $\mathcal{N}$  such that the resulting category  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}$  inherits a natural extriangulated structure from  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**2.1. A relative structure.** We shall show that there exists a natural extriangulated structure on a triangulated category  $\mathcal{C}$  determined by an extension-closed subcategory  $\mathcal{N}$ . First, regarding  $\mathcal{C}$  as an extriangulated category  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$  which corresponds to the triangulated structure, we consider the following subfunctors  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}^R$  and  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}^L$  of  $\mathbb{E}$  naturally determined by the subcategory  $\mathcal{N}$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be a full subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}$  which is closed under direct summands, isomorphisms and extensions. For any objects  $A, C \in \mathcal{C}$ , we define subsets of  $\mathbb{E}(C, A)$  as follows.*

- (1) A subset  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}^L(C, A)$  is defined as the set of morphisms  $h : C \rightarrow A[1]$  satisfying the condition:  
(Lex) For any morphism  $N \xrightarrow{x} C$  with  $N \in \mathcal{N}$ ,  $h \circ x$  factors through an object in  $\mathcal{N}[1]$ .
- (2) A subset  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}^R(C, A)$  is defined as the set of morphisms  $h : C \rightarrow A[1]$  satisfying the condition:  
(Rex) For any morphism  $A \xrightarrow{y} N$  with  $N \in \mathcal{N}$ ,  $y \circ h[-1]$  factors through an object in  $\mathcal{N}[-1]$ .

The conditions (Lex) and (Rex) for an  $\mathfrak{s}$ -triangle  $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \xrightarrow{h} A[1]$  is respectively understood through the following morphisms of  $\mathfrak{s}$ -triangles:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 N[-1] & \xrightarrow{[N]} & A \xrightarrow{f'} B' \xrightarrow{g'} N \\
 \downarrow & & \parallel \quad \downarrow \quad \text{(Pb)} \quad \downarrow x \\
 C[-1] & \xrightarrow{h[-1]} & A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \xrightarrow{g} C \xrightarrow{h} A[1] \\
 \downarrow y & \text{(Po)} & \downarrow \quad \parallel \quad \downarrow \\
 N & \xrightarrow{f''} & B'' \xrightarrow{g''} C \xrightarrow{[N]} N[1]
 \end{array}$$

where the arrows labeled with  $[N]$  factor through objects in  $\mathcal{N}$ . Then, both  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}^L$  and  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}^R$  give rise to closed subfunctors of  $\mathbb{E}$ . In particular, putting  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}} := \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}^L \cap \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}^R$ , we have three extriangulated structures

$$(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}^L, \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}}^L), \quad (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}^R, \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}}^R), \quad (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}})$$

which are relative to  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ . Here  $\mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}}$  is a restriction of  $\mathfrak{s}$  to  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}$  and other symbols are used in similar meanings.

*Proof.* It is straightforward that both  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}^L$  and  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}^R$  are additive subfunctors of  $\mathbb{E}$ . To confirm the closedness of  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}^L$ , let us consider elements  $h \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}^L(C, A)$  and  $b'' \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}^L(B'', B)$  which correspond to triangles  $C[-1] \xrightarrow{h[-1]} A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$  and  $B''[-1] \xrightarrow{b''[-1]} B \xrightarrow{b'} B' \xrightarrow{b''} B''$ , respectively. By the octahedral axiom, the composed morphism  $x := b \circ f$  yields the following commutative diagram of

solid arrows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 C[-1] & \xrightarrow{h[-1]} & A & \xrightarrow{f} & B & \xrightarrow{g} & C \\
 \downarrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow b & & \downarrow c \\
 N[-1] \cdots \xrightarrow{w} & C'[-1] & \xrightarrow{z[-1]} & A & \xrightarrow{x} & B' & \xrightarrow{y} & C' \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow f & & \parallel & & \downarrow c' \\
 B''[-1] & \xrightarrow{b''[-1]} & B & \xrightarrow{b} & B' & \xrightarrow{b'} & B'' \\
 \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
 B''[-1] & \longrightarrow & C & \longrightarrow & C' & \longrightarrow & B''
 \end{array}$$

in which all rows are triangles. Let  $w : N[-1] \rightarrow C'[-1]$  be a morphism with  $N \in \mathcal{N}$ . We have to only show that the composed morphism  $\phi := z[-1] \circ w$  factors through an object in  $\mathcal{N}$ . Note that  $b'' \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}^L(B'', B)$  and  $f \circ \phi = c'[-1] \circ b''[-1] \circ w$  factors through an object  $N' \in \mathcal{N}$ . Thus, we get a factorization  $f \circ \phi : N[-1] \xrightarrow{\psi_2} N' \xrightarrow{\psi_1} B$ . Taking a homotopy pullback of  $f$  along  $\psi_1$  yields a morphism between the two triangles below in the commutative diagram (2.1).

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 N'[-1] & \longrightarrow & N''[-1] & \xrightarrow{h''[-1]} & N[-1] & \xrightarrow{\psi_2} & N' & (2.1) \\
 \parallel & & \downarrow \phi'_1 & & \downarrow \phi_1 & & \parallel \\
 N'[-1] & \xrightarrow{g'[-1]} & C[-1] & \xrightarrow{h'[-1]} & A' & \xrightarrow{f'} & N' \\
 \downarrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow \phi_2 \text{ (Pb)} & & \downarrow \psi_1 \\
 B[-1] & \xrightarrow{g[-1]} & C[-1] & \xrightarrow{h[-1]} & A & \xrightarrow{f} & B
 \end{array}$$

By the property of homotopy pullback,  $\phi : N[-1] \rightarrow A$  is factorized as  $\phi = \phi_2 \circ \phi_1$ . The morphism between upper triangles in (2.1) is obtained by taking homotopy pullback of  $h'[-1]$  along  $\phi_1$ . Since  $h \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}^L(C, A)$  and  $N'' \in \mathcal{N}$ , the morphism  $\phi_2 \circ h'[-1] \circ \phi'_1 = \phi \circ h''[-1]$  factors through an object in  $\mathcal{N}$ , namely,  $\overline{\phi} \circ \overline{h''[-1]} = 0$  in  $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ . By Applying Lemma 2.7 to the first row in (2.1), we conclude that  $\overline{h''[-1]}$  is an epimorphism in  $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$  and  $\overline{\phi} = 0$ .

The assertion for  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}^R$  can be checked dually. Hence,  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}$  is also a closed subfunctor.  $\square$

Although the subcategory  $\mathcal{N}$  is extension-closed in the triangulated category  $\mathcal{C}$ , it is moreover a thick subcategory with respect to the above extriangulated structure  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}})$ .

**Corollary 2.2.** *The subcategory  $\mathcal{N}$  is a thick subcategory of the extriangulated category  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}})$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \xrightarrow{h}$  be an  $\mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -triangle of  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}})$ . Suppose that  $A$  and  $B$  belong to  $\mathcal{N}$ . By definition of  $\mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -conflations,  $A \in \mathcal{N}$  implies that  $C \xrightarrow{h} A[1]$  factors through an object in  $\mathcal{N}$ . By Lemma 2.6, we get  $C \in \mathcal{N}$ . Similarly,  $B, C \in \mathcal{N}$  implies  $A \in \mathcal{N}$ . As  $\mathcal{N}$  is extension-closed from the beginning, the assertion have been proved.  $\square$

We end this subsection by giving an easy observation.

**Lemma 2.3.** *If a given subcategory  $\mathcal{N}$  is thick in  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ , then  $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}^L = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}^R$ . In particular, the relative structure  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}})$  coincides with the original triangulated structure  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{N}$  is thick, the conditions (Rex) and (Lex) in Proposition 2.1 always hold for any  $\mathfrak{s}$ -conflation.  $\square$

**2.2. A construction of multiplicative systems.** This subsection is devoted to prove that the class  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}$  forms a multiplicative system in the additive quotient  $\mathcal{C}/[\mathcal{N}]$  and define the localization of  $\mathcal{C}$  with respect to an extension-closed subcategory  $\mathcal{N}$ .

Recall from the previous subsection that a given pair of a triangulated category  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$  and an extension-closed subcategory  $\mathcal{N}$  induces a pair of an extriangulated category  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}})$  and a thick subcategory  $\mathcal{N}$ . Thus we can consider three classes  $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}$  of morphisms, see Definition 1.17. Let us start with easy observations.

**Lemma 2.4.** *For the above  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}})$  and  $\mathcal{N}$ , the following hold.*

- (1)  $\mathcal{L}$  coincides with the class of morphisms  $f \in \text{Mor } \mathcal{C}$  which is embedded in a triangle  $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} N \xrightarrow{h} A[1]$  with  $N \in \mathcal{N}$  and  $\overline{h[-1]} = 0$ .
- (2)  $\mathcal{R}$  coincides with the class of morphisms  $g \in \text{Mor } \mathcal{C}$  which is embedded in a triangle  $N \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \xrightarrow{h} N[1]$  with  $N \in \mathcal{N}$  and  $\overline{h} = 0$ .

*Proof.* We only check the first assertion. Let  $f \in \mathcal{L}$  be given, namely, there exists an  $\mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -conflation  $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} N \xrightarrow{h} A[1]$  such that  $N \xrightarrow{h} A[1]$  belongs to  $h \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}^L(N, A)$ . The fact  $N \in \mathcal{N}$  shows that  $h[-1]$  factors through an object in  $\mathcal{N}$ . The converse is obvious.  $\square$

The following proposition provides a more explicit form of  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}$ .

**Proposition 2.5.** *We denote by  $\mathcal{S}'_{\mathcal{N}}$  the class of morphisms  $g \in \text{Mor } \mathcal{C}$  which induces a triangle  $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \xrightarrow{h} A[1]$  with  $\overline{f} = 0$  and  $\overline{h} = 0$ . Then, we have an equality  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}} = \mathcal{S}'_{\mathcal{N}}$ .*

Before proving the above proposition, we list some typical examples of the above  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}$  appearing in well-known constructions.

- (1) For a triangulated category  $\mathcal{C}$  and a thick subcategory  $\mathcal{N}$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ , we consider the Verdier quotient  $\text{Ver} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{N}$ . The class  $\mathcal{S} := \{s \in \text{Mor } \mathcal{C} \mid \text{Ver}(s) \text{ is an isomorphism}\}$  of morphisms coincides with the above  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}$  (Theorem 3.2).
- (2) Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a contravariantly finite rigid subcategory of a triangulated category  $\mathcal{C}$  and consider the associated cohomological functor  $H := \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{U}, -) : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{mod } \mathcal{U}$  to the category of finitely presented contravariant functors. Note that  $\mathcal{N} := \text{Ker } H$  is extension-closed in  $\mathcal{C}$  and the extriangulated category  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}})$  exists. Then, we have an equality  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}} = \{s \in \text{Mor } \mathcal{C} \mid H(s) \text{ is an isomorphism}\}$  (Examples 5.14, 5.15).
- (3) For a triangulated category  $\mathcal{C}$  and a cotorsion pair  $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ , we consider the associated cohomological functor  $H : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}/[\mathcal{W}]$ , where  $\mathcal{H}/[\mathcal{W}]$  is the heart of  $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$  introduced in [Nak1, AN]. Then, putting  $\mathcal{N} := \text{Ker } H$ , we have an equality  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}} = \{s \in \text{Mor } \mathcal{C} \mid H(s) \text{ is an isomorphism}\}$  (Proposition 5.10).

To prove Proposition 2.5, we shall reveal some basic properties of  $\mathcal{S}'_{\mathcal{N}}$ .

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \xrightarrow{h} A[1]$  be a triangle in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then the following assertions hold.*

- (1) *If  $f$  factors through an object  $N \in \mathcal{N}$  and  $C$  belongs to  $\mathcal{N}$ , then so does  $B$ .*
- (2) *If  $h$  factors through an object  $N \in \mathcal{N}$  and  $B$  belongs to  $\mathcal{N}$ , then so does  $C$ .*

*Proof.* We only check the assertion (1), since (2) can be checked dually. By the assumption,  $f$  admits a factorization  $f : A \xrightarrow{f_1} N \xrightarrow{f_2} B$ . Taking a homotopy pushout of  $f$  along  $f_1$ , we get the following commutative diagram made of triangles.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & B & \xrightarrow{g} & C & \xrightarrow{h} & A[1] \\ f_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow \\ N & \longrightarrow & B' & \longrightarrow & C & \longrightarrow & N[1] \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{(Po)} \\ b \end{array}$$

Since  $f$  factors through  $f_1$ ,  $b$  is a section. If  $C \in \mathcal{N}$ , since  $\mathcal{N}$  is closed under taking extensions and direct summands, we get  $B' \in \mathcal{N}$  and  $B \in \mathcal{N}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.7.** *For a triangle  $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \xrightarrow{h} A[1]$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , the following are equivalent.*

- (i)  $\bar{h} = 0$  in  $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$ .
- (ii) The morphism  $g$  admits a factorization  $g = g_2 \circ g_1$  with  $\text{Cone}(g_1) \in \mathcal{N}$  and  $g_2$  being a retraction.
- (iii) The morphism  $\bar{g}$  is epic in  $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$ .

Dually the following assertions are equivalent.

- (i')  $\bar{f} = 0$  in  $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$ .
- (ii') The morphism  $g$  admits a factorization  $g = g_2 \circ g_1$  with  $\text{CoCone}(g_2) \in \mathcal{N}$  and  $g_1$  being a section.
- (iii') The morphism  $\bar{g}$  is monic in  $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$ .

In particular,  $g$  belongs to  $\mathcal{S}'_{\mathcal{N}}$  if and only if  $\bar{g}$  is monic and epic in  $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): By the assumption,  $h$  admits a factorization  $h : C \xrightarrow{h_1} N \xrightarrow{h_2} A[1]$  with  $N \in \mathcal{N}$ . Taking a homotopy pullback of  $h$  along  $h_2$  yields the commutative diagram below

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} N[-1] & \longrightarrow & B & \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}} & \tilde{C} & \longrightarrow & N \\ h_2[-1] \downarrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow c & \text{(Pb)} & \downarrow h_2 \\ A & \xrightarrow{f} & B & \xrightarrow{g} & C & \xrightarrow{h} & A[1] \end{array} \quad (2.2)$$

where all rows are triangles. Since  $h$  factors through  $h_2$ ,  $c$  is a retraction. Hence we have an isomorphism  $\tilde{C} \cong C \oplus C'$  for some  $C'$  and  $\tilde{g}$  can be written as  $\begin{pmatrix} g \\ g' \end{pmatrix} : B \rightarrow C \oplus C'$ . Thus  $g$  admits a desired factorization  $g = (1 \ 0) \circ \begin{pmatrix} g \\ g' \end{pmatrix}$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): Due to the octahedral axiom, the assertion can be easily checked.

(i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii): The implication (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) follows from  $h \circ g = 0$ . To show the converse, we assume that  $h$  has a factorization  $h : C \xrightarrow{h_1} N \xrightarrow{h_2} A[1]$  with  $N \in \mathcal{N}$  and let  $C \xrightarrow{x} X$  be a morphism with  $\bar{x} \circ \bar{g} = 0$ . By taking a homotopy pullback of  $h$  along  $h_2$ , we obtain the same diagram as (2.2). Our assumption shows that  $x \circ g$  admits a factorization  $x \circ g : B \xrightarrow{y_1} N' \xrightarrow{y_2} X$  with  $N' \in \mathcal{N}$ . Taking a homotopy pushout of  $\begin{pmatrix} g \\ g' \end{pmatrix}$  along  $y_1$ , we have the following commutative diagram made of triangles.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} N[-1] & \longrightarrow & B & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} g \\ g' \end{pmatrix}} & C \oplus C' & \xrightarrow{(h_1 \ h'_1)} & N \\ \parallel & & \downarrow y_1 & \text{(Po)} & \downarrow a & & \parallel \\ N[-1] & \longrightarrow & N' & \longrightarrow & N'' & \longrightarrow & N \end{array}$$

Since  $\mathcal{N}$  is extension-closed, we get  $N'' \in \mathcal{N}$ . Note that an equality  $(x0) \circ \binom{g}{g'} = y_2 \circ y_1$  induces a morphism  $b : N'' \rightarrow X$  with  $b \circ a = (x0)$  which is denoted by the dotted arrow in the commutative diagram below.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 B & \xrightarrow{\binom{g}{g'}} & C \oplus C' \\
 y_1 \downarrow & \text{(Po)} & \downarrow a \\
 N' & \longrightarrow & N'' \\
 & \searrow y_2 & \downarrow \exists b \\
 & & X
 \end{array}$$

$(x0)$

Hence we have  $x : C \xrightarrow{\binom{1}{0}} C \oplus C' \xrightarrow{a} N'' \xrightarrow{b} X$  showing that  $x$  factors through  $N'' \in \mathcal{N}$ .

The remaining assertions can be proved in a dual manner.  $\square$

**Remark 2.8.** We can find similar arguments to the above in the literature. In fact, it is an analogue of well-known characterizations of pure-exact triangles, see [Bell, Kra].

By a closer look at the above, we have useful descriptions of  $\mathcal{S}'_{\mathcal{N}}$  which matches well with the extriangulated localizations in Theorem 1.14.

**Corollary 2.9.** *Consider the following classes of morphisms in  $\mathcal{C}$ .*

- $\mathcal{L}_{\text{sp}}$  := the class of sections belonging to  $\mathcal{L}$ .
- $\mathcal{R}_{\text{sp}}$  := the class of retractions belonging to  $\mathcal{R}$ .

Then, we have  $\mathcal{S}'_{\mathcal{N}} = \mathcal{R}_{\text{sp}} \circ \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{L}_{\text{sp}}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{s} C \xrightarrow{g} A[1]$  be a triangle in  $\mathcal{C}$  and assume  $s \in \mathcal{S}'_{\mathcal{N}}$ . Since  $g$  admits factorization  $g : C \xrightarrow{g_1} N \xrightarrow{g_2} A[1]$  with  $N \in \mathcal{N}$ . Considering a homotopy pullback of  $g$  along  $g_2$ , thanks to the octahedral axiom, we obtain the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & N_C & \xlongequal{\quad} & N_C \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 N[-1] & \longrightarrow & B & \xrightarrow{\binom{s}{s'}} & C \oplus N_C & \longrightarrow & N \\
 \downarrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow (10) & \text{(Pb)} & \downarrow g_2 \\
 A & \xrightarrow{f} & B & \xrightarrow{s} & C & \xrightarrow{g} & A[1] \\
 \downarrow s'f & & \downarrow \binom{s}{s'} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 N_C & \xrightarrow{\binom{0}{1}} & C \oplus N_C & & N_C[1] & \xlongequal{\quad} & N_C[1]
 \end{array}$$

Note that  $\bar{f} = 0$  forces  $\bar{s}'\bar{f} = 0$ . By Lemma 2.6, the triangle  $N[-1] \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{s'f} N_C \rightarrow N$  corresponding to the first column implies  $N_C \in \mathcal{N}$ . Since  $\binom{s}{s'} \in \mathcal{L}$  and the retraction  $C \oplus N_C \rightarrow C$  belongs to  $\mathcal{R}_{\text{sp}}$ , the factorization  $s = (10) \circ \binom{s}{s'}$  guarantees  $\mathcal{S}'_{\mathcal{N}} = \mathcal{R}_{\text{sp}} \circ \mathcal{L}$ .

By a dual argument, another equality can be verified.  $\square$

Now we are in position to prove Proposition 2.5.

*Proof of Proposition 2.5.* Since  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}$  consists of finite compositions of morphisms belonging to  $\mathcal{L}$  or  $\mathcal{R}$ , by Corollary 2.9, the containment  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}} \supseteq \mathcal{S}'_{\mathcal{N}}$  is obvious. Conversely, due to Lemma 2.7, any morphism in  $\mathcal{L} \cup \mathcal{R}$  becomes monic and epic in  $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$  and belongs to  $\mathcal{S}'_{\mathcal{N}}$ . Hence we have  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}} = \mathcal{S}'_{\mathcal{N}}$ .  $\square$

As a benefit of the description of  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}$  in Corollary 2.9, we can prove that  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}$  forms multiplicative system in  $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ .

**Proposition 2.10.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  be the above. Then,  $\overline{\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}} = \overline{\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}}^*$  holds and the class  $\overline{\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}}$  is a multiplicative system in the additive quotient  $\overline{\mathcal{C}} = \mathcal{C}/[\mathcal{N}]$ . We define the localization of  $\mathcal{C}$  with respect to the subcategory  $\mathcal{N}$  as the Gabriel-Zisman localization  $Q : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}[\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}^{-1}]$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.7, morphisms  $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}$  are characterized by the property that  $\overline{f}$  is monic and epic in  $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ . Hence we have  $\overline{\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}} = \overline{\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}}^*$ .

(MS0): If composable morphisms  $\overline{f}$  and  $\overline{g}$  are epic in  $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ , then so is  $\overline{g} \circ \overline{f}$ . Thus, due to Lemma 2.7, it follows that  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}$  is closed under composition. Obviously,  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}$  is closed under taking finite direct sums.

(MS2): Consider a sequence  $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{s} C$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  with  $\overline{s} \circ \overline{f} = 0$  and  $s \in \overline{\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}}$ . Then, since  $\overline{s}$  is monic,  $f$  factors through an object in  $\mathcal{N}$ .

(MS1): Consider morphisms  $X \xleftarrow{x} A \xrightarrow{s} B$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  and assume  $s \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}$ . Due to Corollary 2.9, there exists a morphism  $s' : A \rightarrow N$  with  $N \in \mathcal{N}$  which induces a triangle  $N'[-1] \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} s \\ s' \end{pmatrix}} B \oplus N \rightarrow N'$  with  $N' \in \mathcal{N}$ . The following pushout square of  $\begin{pmatrix} s \\ s' \end{pmatrix}$  along  $x$  gives rise to a desired one:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} N'[-1] & \longrightarrow & A & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} s \\ s' \end{pmatrix}} & B \oplus N & \longrightarrow & N' \\ \parallel & & \downarrow x & & \downarrow (x' \ x'') & & \parallel \\ N'[-1] & \longrightarrow & X & \xrightarrow{t} & X' & \longrightarrow & N' \end{array}$$

where all rows are triangles. In fact,  $t \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}$  and  $\overline{x'} \circ \overline{s} = \overline{t} \circ \overline{x}$  holds in  $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ . The remaining conditions can be checked dually.  $\square$

By the argument so far, we have a Gabriel-Zisman localization  $\overline{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{C}}[(\overline{\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}})^{-1}]$  admitting left and right fractions. Keeping in mind that, by the universality, there exists an equivalence  $\overline{\mathcal{C}}[(\overline{\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}})^{-1}] \simeq \mathcal{C}[\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}^{-1}]$ , we put  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}} := \mathcal{C}[\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}^{-1}]$  and call the natural functor  $Q : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}$  the localization of  $\mathcal{C}$  with respect to the full subcategory  $\mathcal{N}$ . In particular, any morphism  $\alpha : A \rightarrow B$  of  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}$  can be represented by the commutative diagram in  $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & B' & \\ \overline{f} \nearrow & & \nwarrow \overline{s} \\ A & & B \\ \nwarrow \overline{t} & & \nearrow \overline{g} \\ & A' & \end{array}$$

with  $s, t \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}$ . More precisely, we get  $\alpha = Q(s)^{-1} \circ Q(f) = Q(g) \circ Q(t)^{-1}$ . Thanks to such descriptions of morphisms, we can confirm nicer properties of the localization  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}} = \mathcal{C}[\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}^{-1}]$  with respect to  $\mathcal{N}$ . In advance, we give characterizations of objects and morphisms which are zero in  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}$ .

**Corollary 2.11.** *The following assertions hold.*

- (1) For any morphism  $f \in \text{Mor } \mathcal{C}$ ,  $Q(f) = 0$  if and only if  $f$  factors through an object in  $\mathcal{N}$ .  
(2) For any object  $A \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $Q(A) = 0$  if and only if  $A \in \mathcal{N}$ .

*Proof.* (1) Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be a morphism with  $Q(f) = 0$ . Then, there exists a morphism  $s : B \rightarrow B'$  of  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}$  such that  $\bar{s} \circ \bar{f} = 0$ . Since  $\bar{s}$  is monic, we have  $\bar{f} = 0$ . The converse is obvious.

(2) By the assertion (1),  $Q(A) = 0$  implies  $\overline{\text{id}_A} = 0$ . Hence  $A \in \mathcal{N}$ .  $\square$

The following provides characterizations of monomorphisms, epimorphisms and isomorphisms in  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}$ .

**Proposition 2.12.** *Let  $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \xrightarrow{h} A[1]$  be a triangle in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i)  $f$  factors through an object in  $\mathcal{N}$ .  
(ii)  $\bar{g}$  is a monomorphism in  $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$ .  
(iii)  $Q(g)$  is a monomorphism in  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}$ .

Dually the following assertions are equivalent.

- (i')  $h$  factors through an object in  $\mathcal{N}$ .  
(ii')  $\bar{g}$  is an epimorphism in  $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$ .  
(iii')  $Q(g)$  is an epimorphism in  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}$ .

In particular,  $Q(g)$  is an isomorphism if and only if  $g \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii): It has been already confirmed in Lemma 2.7.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii): Let  $x : X \rightarrow B$  be a morphism in  $\mathcal{C}$  with  $Q(g \circ x) = 0$ . Corollary 2.11 shows  $\bar{g} \circ \bar{x} = 0$ . As  $\bar{g}$  is monic, we have  $\bar{x} = 0$  and  $Q(x) = 0$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): Let  $x : X \rightarrow B$  be a morphism in  $\mathcal{C}$  with  $\overline{g \circ x} = 0$ . Then,  $Q(g \circ x) = 0$  implies  $Q(x) = 0$ . Corollary 2.11 guarantees  $\bar{x} = 0$ .

It remains to show the last assertion. If  $Q(g)$  is an isomorphism, then it is monic and epic. The implication (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) and the dual guarantee that both  $f$  and  $h$  factors through objects in  $\mathcal{N}$ . By definition, we get  $g \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}$ . The converse is obvious.  $\square$

The property (MR1) directly follows from Proposition 2.12.

**Corollary 2.13.** *The multiplicative system  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}$  satisfies (MR1), namely, the 2-out-of-3 with respect to compositions.*

*Proof.* Let  $A \xrightarrow{s} B \xrightarrow{t} C$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{C}$  and assume  $t \circ s, s \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}$ . Then  $Q(t)$  becomes isomorphism. Proposition 2.12 shows  $t \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}$ . The other conditions can be easily checked.  $\square$

Keeping in mind that our aim is to impose a natural extriangulated structure on  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}$ , we justify the definition of  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}})$  through the following properties.

**Lemma 2.14.** *Let  $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \xrightarrow{h} A$  be an  $\mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -triangle.*

- (1) If  $A \in \mathcal{N}$ , then  $Q(g)$  is an isomorphism.  
(2) If  $C \in \mathcal{N}$ , then  $Q(f)$  is an isomorphism.

*Proof.* We only prove (1). By definition,  $A \in \mathcal{N}$  implies that  $h$  factors through an object in  $\mathcal{N}$ . Hence  $g \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}$ .  $\square$



**2.3. A construction of exact functors.** This subsection is devoted to show that the localization  $Q : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}$  naturally imposes an extriangulated structure on  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}$ .

The following theorem shows that, for a triangulated category  $\mathcal{C}$  and a full subcategory  $\mathcal{N}$ , the localization  $Q : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}$  gives rise to an exact functor with respect to the relative extriangulated structure  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}})$ .

**Theorem 2.15.** *Let us consider the relative extriangulated category  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}})$  determined by the full subcategory  $\mathcal{N}$  and the associated localization  $Q : (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}}) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}$ . Then, the multiplicative system  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}$  satisfies the conditions (MR1),  $\dots$ , (MR4). In particular,  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}$  inherits an extriangulated structure from  $\mathcal{C}$  and the functor  $Q$  gives rise to an exact functor  $(Q, \mu)$  which satisfies the universality: For any exact functor  $(F, \phi) : (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}, \mathbb{F}, \mathfrak{t})$  between extriangulated categories with  $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \text{Ker } F$ , there uniquely exists an exact functor  $(\tilde{F}, \tilde{\phi}) : \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  with  $(F, \phi) = (\tilde{F}, \tilde{\phi}) \circ (Q, \mu)$  as depicted below.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}}) & \xrightarrow{(Q, \mu)} & (\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_{\mathcal{N}}) \\ (F, \phi) \downarrow & \swarrow (\tilde{F}, \tilde{\phi}) & \\ (\mathcal{D}, \mathbb{F}, \mathfrak{t}) & & \end{array}$$

*Proof.* The conditions (MR1), (MR2) have been checked in Proposition 2.10 and Corollary 2.13.

(MR3): Let us consider the following morphism of triangles, and assume  $h \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}(\mathcal{C}, A), h' \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}(\mathcal{C}', A')$  and  $a, c \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & B & \xrightarrow{g} & C & \xrightarrow{h} & A[1] \\ \downarrow a & & \downarrow b_1 & & \parallel & & \downarrow a[1] \\ A' & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & \tilde{B} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}} & C & \xrightarrow{\tilde{h}} & A'[1] \\ \parallel & & \downarrow b_2 & & \downarrow c & & \parallel \\ A' & \xrightarrow{f'} & B' & \xrightarrow{g'} & C' & \xrightarrow{h'} & A'[1] \end{array}$$

We shall only show that, for given morphisms  $a$  and  $c$ , there is a choice of  $b_2$  which belongs to  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}$ , since the dual argument holds for  $b_1$ . Due to Corollary 2.9, we have  $c = c_2 \circ c_1$  with  $c_1 \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{sp}}, c_2 \in \mathcal{R}$ . By taking a homotopy pullback of  $g'$  along  $c$ , we have the morphisms of three triangles below in the following commutative diagram of solid arrows.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & N_C[-1] & \xlongequal{\quad} & N_C[-1] \\ & & & & \downarrow \beta & \circlearrowleft & \downarrow 0 \\ C[-1] & \longrightarrow & A' & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & \tilde{B} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}} & C \\ \downarrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow b'_2 & \text{(Pb)} & \downarrow c_1 \\ (C \oplus N_C)[-1] & \xrightarrow{\alpha[-1]} & A' & \longrightarrow & B'_0 & \xrightarrow{g''} & C \oplus N_C \\ \downarrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow b''_2 & \text{(Pb)} & \downarrow c_2 \\ C'[-1] & \xrightarrow{h'[-1]} & A' & \xrightarrow{f'} & B' & \xrightarrow{g'} & C' \end{array}$$

Since  $c_2 \in \mathcal{R}$ , it is easily checked that  $b'_2$  belongs to  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}$ . We also get that  $\overline{b'_2}$  is an epimorphism in  $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ . It remains to show that  $\overline{b'_2}$  is a monomorphism. To this end, we complete a triangle  $N_C[-1] \xrightarrow{\beta} \widetilde{B} \xrightarrow{b'_2} B'_0 \rightarrow N_C$ . Recall that the upper square (Pb) forms a triangle

$$(C \oplus N_C)[-1] \xrightarrow{\widetilde{f} \circ \alpha[-1]} \widetilde{B} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \overline{\widetilde{g}} \\ b'_2 \end{pmatrix}} C \oplus B'_0 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} c_1 & g'' \end{pmatrix}} C \oplus N_C$$

Since both  $b'_2 \circ \beta$  and  $\widetilde{g} \circ \beta$  are zero morphisms,  $\beta$  admits a factorization  $\beta : N_C[-1] \rightarrow (C \oplus N_C)[-1] \xrightarrow{\alpha[-1]} A' \xrightarrow{\widetilde{f}} \widetilde{B}$ . Note that  $h' \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}(C', A')$  forces  $\alpha \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}(C \oplus N_C, A')$ . Therefore  $\beta$  factors through an object in  $\mathcal{N}$ , which guarantees that  $\overline{b'_2}$  is a monomorphism. Hence  $b := b_1 \circ b'_2 \circ b'_2$  belongs to  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}$  and completes a desired morphism  $(a, b, c)$  of triangles.

(MR4): Since  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}$  is closed under compositions, it suffices to show that  $\overline{f} \circ \overline{s} \circ \overline{f}' \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{def}}$  for any  $\mathfrak{s}$ -inflations  $f, f'$  and any  $s \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}$ . By Corollary 2.9, we have an equality  $s = r \circ l$  with  $l \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $r \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{sp}}$ . Since  $l$  is an  $\mathfrak{s}$ -inflation and  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}$  is closed, the composed morphism  $g := l \circ f'$  is still an  $\mathfrak{s}$ -inflation. The morphism  $r$  is, by definition, a retraction, so there exists a corresponding section  $r'$  which belongs to  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{sp}}$ . Taking a homotopy pushout of  $f$  along  $r'$  yields the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bullet & \xrightarrow{f} & \bullet \\ r' \downarrow & \text{(Po)} & \downarrow t' \\ \bullet & \xrightarrow{g} & \bullet \\ & & \downarrow h \\ & & \bullet \end{array}$$

where  $h$  is an  $\mathfrak{s}$ -inflation and  $t' \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{sp}}$ . Again, we get a retraction  $t \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{sp}}$  corresponding to  $t'$ . It is obvious that  $\overline{t} \circ \overline{h} \circ \overline{g} = \overline{f} \circ \overline{r} \circ \overline{g} = \overline{f} \circ \overline{s} \circ \overline{f}'$  holds. We have thus proved the assertion, since  $h \circ g$  is an  $\mathfrak{s}$ -inflation and  $t \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}$ . The remaining conditions can be checked dually.

Hence we obtain the extriangulated localization  $(Q, \mu) : (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}}) \rightarrow (\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}, \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \widetilde{\mathfrak{s}}_{\mathcal{N}})$  with respect to  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}$ . The identity  $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}} \xrightarrow{\text{id}} \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}$  imposes an extriangulated structure on  $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}$ .

It remains to show the universality. Let  $(F, \phi) : (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}, \mathbb{F}, \mathfrak{t})$  be an exact functor with  $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \text{Ker } F$ . As we have a factorization  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}} = \mathcal{R}_{\text{sp}} \circ \mathcal{L}$ , we have only to check that both  $F(\mathcal{R}_{\text{sp}})$  and  $F(\mathcal{L})$  consist of isomorphisms. In fact, it directly follows from the exactness of  $F$ . Thanks to Theorem 1.14, we have thus verified the universality.  $\square$

We denote by  $(\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \widetilde{\mathfrak{s}}_{\mathcal{N}})$  the extriangulated structure imposed through the identity  $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}} \xrightarrow{\text{id}} \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}$  of additive categories. The exact functor  $(Q, \mu)$  or the resulting category  $(\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}, \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \widetilde{\mathfrak{s}}_{\mathcal{N}})$  is called the *extriangulated localization* of  $\mathcal{C}$  with respect to  $\mathcal{N}$ .

### 3. TRIANGULATED LOCALIZATIONS

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a triangulated category and  $\mathcal{N}$  a full subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}$  which is closed under direct summands, isomorphisms and extensions. In this section, we will prove that the resulting extriangulated category  $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}$  corresponds to a triangulated category if and only if the given subcategory  $\mathcal{N}$  is thick. In this case, the localization  $(Q, \mu) : (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}}) \rightarrow (\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}, \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \widetilde{\mathfrak{s}}_{\mathcal{N}})$  is the usual Verdier quotient. Moreover, we provide a precise connection between our localization, the Verdier quotient and the biresolving quotient in Example 1.18(3).

Before stating the assertion, we recall the following basic fact on the Verdier quotient.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let us denote by  $\text{Ver} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{N}$  the Verdier quotient of a triangulated category  $\mathcal{C}$  by a thick subcategory  $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ . Then, a morphism  $s \in \text{Mor } \mathcal{C}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}$  if and only if  $\text{Ver}(s)$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* Let  $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{s} C \xrightarrow{g} A[1]$  be a triangle in  $\mathcal{C}$ . If  $\overline{f} = \overline{g} = 0$ , applying  $\text{Ver}$  to the triangle, we get an isomorphism  $\text{Ver}(s)$ . Conversely, if  $\text{Ver}(s)$  is an isomorphism, we get  $\text{Ver}(f) = \text{Ver}(g) = 0$ . By the well-known property of  $\text{Ver}$ , we get  $\overline{f} = \overline{g} = 0$ .  $\square$

Our localization the resulting category  $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}$  of which is triangulated is characterized as follows.

**Theorem 3.2.** *We consider the extriangulated category  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}})$  and the localization  $(Q, \mu) : (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}}) \rightarrow (\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \widetilde{\mathfrak{s}}_{\mathcal{N}})$  with respect to the subcategory  $\mathcal{N}$ . Then the following three conditions are equivalent.*

- (i) *The extriangulated category  $(\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \widetilde{\mathfrak{s}}_{\mathcal{N}})$  corresponds to a triangulated category.*
- (ii)  *$\mathcal{N}$  is a thick subcategory of the triangulated category  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ .*
- (iii)  *$\mathcal{N}$  is a biresolving subcategory of the extriangulated category  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}})$ .*

*Under the above equivalent conditions, the localization  $Q : (\mathcal{C}, \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}, \widetilde{\mathfrak{s}}) \rightarrow (\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \widetilde{\mathfrak{s}}_{\mathcal{N}})$  coincides with the usual Verdier quotient.*

*Proof.* (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): Lemma 2.3 shows that  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}^L(\mathcal{C}, A) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}^R(\mathcal{C}, A) = \mathbb{E}(\mathcal{C}, A)$  holds for any  $A, C \in \mathcal{C}$ . By Lemma 3.1, the localization  $Q : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}$  is nothing other than the Verdier quotient of  $\mathcal{C}$  with respect to the thick subcategory  $\mathcal{N}$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): Let  $N \in \mathcal{N}$  be given. For the object  $N[1]$ , by definition, there exists an  $\mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -triangle  $N'' \rightarrow N' \rightarrow N[1] \dashrightarrow$  with  $N' \in \mathcal{N}$ . Since the  $\mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -conflation is a part of a triangle  $N \rightarrow N'' \rightarrow N' \rightarrow N[1]$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  is extension-closed, we get  $N'' \in \mathcal{N}$ . It is sent to an  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{s}}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -triangle  $QN'' \rightarrow QN' \rightarrow QN[1] \dashrightarrow$ , which guarantees  $QN[1] \cong 0$  and  $N[1] \in \mathcal{N}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{N}$  is closed under suspensions  $[1]$ . By the dual argument, we obtain  $\mathcal{N}[-1] \subseteq \mathcal{N}$  and conclude that  $\mathcal{N}$  is a thick subcategory in  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ .

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii): Suppose that  $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}$  is a triangulated category and let  $A \in \mathcal{C}$  be given. Let us consider a triangle  $QA \xrightarrow{\alpha} 0 \rightarrow QA[1] \xrightarrow{\text{id}} QA[1]$  in  $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}$ . Since  $QA \xrightarrow{\alpha} 0$  is an  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{s}}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -inflation, by Lemma 1.15,  $\alpha = \beta \circ Q(f) \circ \gamma$  holds for some isomorphisms  $QA \xrightarrow{\gamma} QA'$ ,  $QA' \xrightarrow{\beta} 0$  and an  $\mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -inflation  $A' \xrightarrow{f} N$ . Note that Corollary 2.11 shows  $N \in \mathcal{N}$  and  $\gamma$  is represented by  $A \xrightarrow{s} A'' \xleftarrow{s'} A'$  with  $s, s' \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}$ . We may assume  $s \in \mathcal{L}$ . In fact, by Corollary 2.9, any  $s \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}$  can be factorized as  $s = s_2 \circ s_1$  with  $s_1 \in \mathcal{L}$ ,  $s_2 \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{sp}}$ . The retraction  $s_2$  has a right inverse  $s'_2 \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{sp}}$ . Thus,  $\gamma$  is also represented by  $A \xrightarrow{s_1} \bullet \leftarrow A'$  with  $s_1 \in \mathcal{L}$ .

Complete an  $\mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -conflation  $A' \xrightarrow{f} N \xrightarrow{g} C$  and take a weak pushout of  $f$  along  $s'$  to get the following morphisms between  $\mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -conflations.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A' & \xrightarrow{f} & N & \xrightarrow{g} & C \\ s' \downarrow & & \downarrow s'' & & \parallel \\ A'' & \xrightarrow{f'} & N' & \xrightarrow{g'} & C \end{array} \quad \text{(Po)}$$

By (MR3), we may assume  $s'' \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}$ . Thus we get  $N' \in \mathcal{N}$ . As  $f'$  is an  $\mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -inflation and  $s \in \mathcal{L}$ , the composed morphism  $f' \circ s : A \rightarrow N'$  is a desired one. Combining the dual argument, we finish the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 3.3.** Combining Lemma 2.3 and Theorem 3.2, if the extension-closed subcategory  $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  becomes biresolving in  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}})$ , then we have  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}}) = (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ .

#### 4. EXACT LOCALIZATIONS

The aim of this section is to explore when the resulting category  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}$  is an exact category. We keep our set-up on  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$ , that is,  $\mathcal{N}$  is a full subcategory of a triangulated category  $\mathcal{C}$  which is closed under direct summands, isomorphisms and extensions, and consider the associated extriangulated category  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}})$  together with the localization  $(Q, \mu) : (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}}) \rightarrow (\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_{\mathcal{N}})$  with respect to  $\mathcal{N}$ .

If  $\mathcal{N}$  is functorially finite, the following provides an exact version of Theorem 3.2. In advance, we prepare the following lemma for later use.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Assume that  $\mathcal{N}$  satisfies the condition  $\text{Cone}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}) = \mathcal{C}$  in the triangulated category  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ . Then, any  $\mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -triangle  $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \xrightarrow{h}$  satisfies  $\bar{h} = \overline{h[-1]} = 0$ . Hence we have that  $Q(f)$  is monic and  $Q(g)$  is epic.*

*Proof.* Let  $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \xrightarrow{h}$  be an  $\mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -conflation of  $\mathcal{C}$ , that is,  $C \xrightarrow{h} A[1]$  belongs to  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}(C, A)$ . The assumption gives us a triangle  $N' \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{c} C \rightarrow N'[1]$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  with  $N, N' \in \mathcal{N}$ . We take a homotopy pullback of  $g$  along  $c$  and consider the commutative diagram below obtained by the octahedral axiom.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & N' & \xlongequal{\quad} & N' \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 N[-1] & \xrightarrow{h'[-1]} & A & \longrightarrow & B' & \longrightarrow & N \\
 \downarrow c[-1] & & \parallel & & \downarrow & \text{(Po)} & \downarrow c \\
 C[-1] & \xrightarrow{h[-1]} & A & \xrightarrow{f} & B & \xrightarrow{g} & C
 \end{array}$$

By definition,  $h'[-1]$  factors through an object in  $\mathcal{N}$ , namely, there exists a factorization  $h'[-1] : N[-1] \xrightarrow{x_1} N'' \xrightarrow{x_2} A$  with  $N'' \in \mathcal{N}$ . By taking homotopy pushout of  $c[-1]$  along  $x_1$ , we get a morphism of triangles:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 N[-1] & \xrightarrow{c[-1]} & C[-1] & \longrightarrow & N' & \longrightarrow & N \\
 \downarrow x_1 & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow \\
 N'' & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & N' & \longrightarrow & N''[1]
 \end{array}$$

Since the cone  $N'$  of  $c[-1]$  belongs to  $\mathcal{N}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  is extension-closed, it follows that  $C[-1] \xrightarrow{h[-1]} A$  factors through  $M \in \mathcal{N}$ . Hence, Proposition 2.12 shows that  $Q(f)$  is monic. A dual argument shows that  $Q(g)$  is epic.  $\square$

The first aim of this subsection is to prove the assertion below.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let us consider the following conditions.*

- (i) *The extriangulated category  $(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_{\mathcal{N}})$  corresponds to an exact category.*
- (ii)  *$\mathcal{N}$  satisfies the condition  $\text{Cone}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}) = \mathcal{C}$  in the triangulated category  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ .*
- (iii)  *$\mathcal{N}$  is a Serre subcategory of the extriangulated category  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}})$ .*

The condition (ii) always implies (i) and (iii). Suppose that  $\mathcal{N}$  is functorially finite in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then, the all conditions are equivalent.

*Proof.* (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): Thanks to Lemmas 1.15 and 4.1, we conclude that any  $\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -inflation and  $\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -deflation turn out to be monic and epic, respectively. Therefore Proposition 1.7 guarantees that the extriangulated structure on  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}$  corresponds to an exact category.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii): By the above argument, any  $\mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -conflation  $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \dashrightarrow$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfies that  $h$  and  $h[-1]$  factor through objects in  $\mathcal{N}$ . If  $B \in \mathcal{N}$ , by Lemma 2.6, we get  $A, C \in \mathcal{N}$ . Since  $\mathcal{N}$  is extension-closed, it is a Serre subcategory of  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}})$ .

In the rest of the proof, we suppose that  $\mathcal{N}$  is functorially finite in  $\mathcal{C}$ . To confirm implications (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) and (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii), we take an object  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ , and consider a right  $\mathcal{N}$ -approximation  $y$  of  $C$  as a part of a triangle  $C' \xrightarrow{x} N \xrightarrow{y} C \xrightarrow{z} C'[1]$  with  $N \in \mathcal{N}$ . Moreover, we consider a left  $\mathcal{N}$ -approximation  $a : C' \rightarrow N'$  of  $C'$  and take a homotopy pushout of  $x$  along  $a$ , which induces a morphism of triangles.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} C' & \xrightarrow{x} & N & \xrightarrow{y} & C & \xrightarrow{z} & C'[1] \\ \downarrow a & & \downarrow b & & \parallel & & \downarrow \\ N' & \xrightarrow{x'} & B & \xrightarrow{y'} & C & \xrightarrow{z'} & N'[1] \end{array} \quad (4.1)$$

Note that  $\binom{x}{a} : C' \rightarrow N \oplus N'$  is a left  $\mathcal{N}$ -approximation of  $C'$ . Moreover,  $(b \ x')$  is also a right  $\mathcal{N}$ -approximation of  $B$ . In fact, if there exists a morphism  $f : N'' \rightarrow B$  from an object  $N'' \in \mathcal{N}$ , since  $y$  is a right  $\mathcal{N}$ -approximation,  $y' \circ f$  factors through  $y$ , say  $y' \circ f = y \circ f'$  for some morphism  $f' : N'' \rightarrow N$ . By the equality  $y' \circ (f - b \circ f') = (y - y' \circ b) \circ f' = 0$ , we conclude that  $f$  factors through  $N \oplus N'$ . Hence the triangle

$$C' \xrightarrow{\binom{x}{-a}} N \oplus N' \xrightarrow{(b \ x')} B \rightarrow C'[1] \quad (4.2)$$

corresponding to (Po) gives rise to an  $\mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -conflation.

By using the  $\mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -conflation (4.2), we have the remaining implications.

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): Since any  $\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -inflation is monic due to our assumption, the  $\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -conflation  $QC' \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow QB \dashrightarrow$  obtained from the triangle (4.2) forces  $C' \in \mathcal{N}$ . Therefore we obtain  $C \in \text{Cone}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N})$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): Since  $\mathcal{N}$  is a Serre subcategory, the  $\mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -conflation (4.2) shows  $C', B \in \mathcal{N}$ . Thus we conclude  $C \in \text{Cone}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N})$ .  $\square$

Next, we shall discuss on abelian exact structures on  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}$ .

**Corollary 4.3.** *Assume that  $\text{Cone}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}) = \mathcal{C}$  holds in the triangulated category  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ . Then, the following assertions hold.*

- (1) *The resulting extriangulated category  $(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_{\mathcal{N}})$  corresponds to an abelian exact category.*
- (2) *The exact functor  $(Q, \phi) : (\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}}) \rightarrow (\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_{\mathcal{N}})$  induces a cohomological functor  $Q : (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s}) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}$  from the original triangulated category.*

For the readability purpose, we divide the proof of Corollary 4.3 in several steps.

**Claim 1.** Assume that  $\text{Cone}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}) = \mathcal{C}$  holds. Let us consider a triangle  $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} N[1] \xrightarrow{h} A[1]$  with  $N \in \mathcal{N}$ . Then,  $Q(f)$  is an  $\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -inflation.

*Proof.* By the assumption, as for the object  $A$ , there exists a triangle  $A \xrightarrow{x'} N'_1 \xrightarrow{y'} N'_2 \xrightarrow{z'} A[1]$  with  $N'_1, N'_2 \in \mathcal{N}$ . Applying the octahedral axiom to the composed morphism  $f \circ z'[-1]$ , we have the following commutative diagram made of triangles.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & N'_1 & \xlongequal{\quad} & N'_1 \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow y' \\
 N'_2[-1] & \xrightarrow{f'} & B & \xrightarrow{g'} & C & \xrightarrow{h'} & N'_2 \\
 \downarrow z'[-1] & & \parallel & & \downarrow c & \text{(Pb)} & \downarrow z' \\
 A & \xrightarrow{f} & B & \xrightarrow{g} & N[1] & \xrightarrow{h} & A[1] \\
 & & & & \downarrow x'[1] \circ h & & \downarrow x'[1] \\
 & & & & N'_1[1] & \xlongequal{\quad} & N'_1[1]
 \end{array}$$

Again we take a homotopy pullback of  $g$  along  $c$  to obtain the following commutative diagram made of triangles.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & N'_1 & \xlongequal{\quad} & N'_1 & \tag{4.3} \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 A & \xrightarrow{f''} & B' & \xrightarrow{g''} & C & \xrightarrow{h''} & A[1] \\
 \parallel & & \downarrow b & \text{(Pb)} & \downarrow c & & \parallel \\
 A & \xrightarrow{f} & B & \xrightarrow{g} & N[1] & \xrightarrow{h} & A[1] \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow x'[1] \circ h & & \\
 & & & & N'_1[1] & \xlongequal{\quad} & N'_1[1]
 \end{array}$$

The morphism  $b$  is a retraction as  $g$  factors through  $c$ , which guarantees  $b \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}$ . Hence we have an isomorphism  $Q(f) \cong Q(f'')$ . Note that  $f''$  is an  $\mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -inflation. In fact,  $h''[-1] = (h \circ c)[-1]$  factors through  $N \in \mathcal{N}$  and  $h'' = h \circ c = z' \circ h'$  factors through  $N'_2 \in \mathcal{N}$ . Therefore  $Q(f)$  turns out to be an  $\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -inflation.  $\square$

**Claim 2.** If  $\text{Cone}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}) = \mathcal{C}$  holds, then any morphism  $\alpha : A \rightarrow B$  in  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}$  can be factorized as an epimorphism  $\alpha_1$  followed by a monomorphism  $\alpha_2$ , namely,  $\alpha = \alpha_2 \circ \alpha_1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha : A \rightarrow B$  be an morphism in  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}$ . Since  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{N}}$  is a multiplicative system in  $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ , it is represented by morphisms  $A \xrightarrow{f} B' \xleftarrow{s} B$  with  $s \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}$ , namely,  $\alpha = Q(s)^{-1} \circ Q(f)$ . We embed the morphism  $f$  into a triangle  $A \xrightarrow{f} B' \xrightarrow{g} C \xrightarrow{h} A[1]$  and, by  $C \in \text{Cone}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N})$ , get a triangle  $N_2 \xrightarrow{y} N_1 \xrightarrow{x} C \rightarrow N[1]$  with  $N_1, N_2 \in \mathcal{N}$ . Applying the octahedral axiom to the composed morphism  $h \circ x$ , we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & N_2 & \xlongequal{\quad} & N_2 & \tag{4.4} \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow y & \\
 A & \xrightarrow{f'} & B'' & \xrightarrow{g'} & N_1 & \xrightarrow{h \circ x} & A[1] \\
 \parallel & & \downarrow b & \text{(Pb)} & \downarrow x & & \parallel \\
 A & \xrightarrow{f} & B' & \xrightarrow{g} & C & \xrightarrow{h} & A[1]
 \end{array}$$

Thus we get a desired factorization  $f = b \circ f'$ . In fact, Proposition 2.12 shows that  $Q(f')$  is epic and  $Q(b)$  is monic in  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}$ .  $\square$

Combining Claims 1 and 2, we can prove Corollary 4.3(1).

*Proof of Corollary 4.3(1).* Corollary 4.2 (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) shows that  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}$  corresponds to an exact category. Hence it is enough to show that any morphism  $\alpha \in \text{Mor } \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}$  is admissible in the sense that  $\alpha = m \circ e$  for some  $\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -deflation  $e$  and  $\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -inflation  $m$ . Let  $\alpha : A \rightarrow B$  be a morphism in  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}$ . By using the diagram (4.4) in the proof of Claim 2, we may assume that there exists a factorization  $\alpha = Q(b) \circ Q(f')$  with  $\text{Cone}(f'), \text{CoCone}(b) \in \mathcal{N}$ . Due to Claim 1 and its dual,  $Q(f')$  and  $Q(b)$  are  $\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -deflation and  $\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -inflation, respectively. Therefore the exact structure on  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}$  is abelian.  $\square$

To show the assertion (2) in Corollary 4.3, we need the following claim.

**Claim 3.** Assume that  $\text{Cone}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}) = \mathcal{C}$  holds in the triangulated category  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ . Let  $N[-1] \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \xrightarrow{h} N$  be a triangle in  $\mathcal{C}$  with  $N \in \mathcal{N}$ . Then, the induces sequence  $QN[-1] \xrightarrow{Qf} QB \xrightarrow{Qg} QC \rightarrow 0$  forms an exact sequence in the abelian category  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $N[-1] \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \xrightarrow{h} N$  be a triangle with  $N \in \mathcal{N}$ . By the condition  $\text{Cone}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}) = \mathcal{C}$ , the object  $C$  admits a triangle  $N_2 \xrightarrow{y} N_1 \xrightarrow{x} C \xrightarrow{g'} N_2[1]$  with  $N_1, N_2 \in \mathcal{N}$ . The octahedral axiom gives us the following commutative diagram made of triangles.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & N_2 & \xlongequal{\quad} & N_2 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 N[-1] & \xrightarrow{f'} & A_0 & \xrightarrow{g'} & N_1 & \longrightarrow & N \\
 \parallel & & \downarrow & \text{(Pb)} & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
 N[-1] & \xrightarrow{f} & B & \xrightarrow{g} & C & \xrightarrow{h} & N \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & N_2[1] & \xlongequal{\quad} & N_2[1] & & 
 \end{array}$$

Note that the square (Pb) corresponds to an  $\mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -conflation  $A_0 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -f_0 \\ g' \end{pmatrix}} B \oplus N_1 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} g \ x \end{pmatrix}} C \dashrightarrow$ . In fact, the morphism  $f'[1] \circ h : C \rightarrow A_0[1]$  obviously factors through  $N \in \mathcal{N}$  and  $\overline{f_0} = \begin{pmatrix} -f_0 \\ g' \end{pmatrix}$  is monic in  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ . Therefore we get a short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow QA_0 \xrightarrow{Qf_0} QB \xrightarrow{Qg} QC \rightarrow 0$  in  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}$ . Since  $f = f_0 \circ f'$  and  $Qf'$  is epic, we have a desired exact sequence  $QN[-1] \xrightarrow{Qf} QB \xrightarrow{Qg} QC \rightarrow 0$ .  $\square$

Now we complete the proof of Corollary 4.3.

*Proof of Corollary 4.3(2).* Let  $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \xrightarrow{h} A[1]$  be a triangle in  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ . To show that the induced sequence  $QA \xrightarrow{Qf} QB \xrightarrow{Qg} QC$  is exact in  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}$ , we will show  $\text{Im } Qf = \text{Ker } Qg$ . First, using the condition  $\text{Cone}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}) = \mathcal{C}$ , we take a triangle  $N' \xrightarrow{y} N \xrightarrow{x} A[1] \xrightarrow{z} N'[1]$  with  $N, N' \in \mathcal{N}$ .

Thus, by octahedral axiom, we have the following commutative diagram made of triangles.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & N' & \xlongequal{\quad} & N' \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow y \\
 N[-1] & \xrightarrow{f'} & B & \xrightarrow{g'} & C' & \xrightarrow{h'} & N \\
 \downarrow x[-1] & & \parallel & & \downarrow c & \text{(Pb)} & \downarrow x \\
 A & \xrightarrow{f} & B & \xrightarrow{g} & C & \xrightarrow{h} & A[1] \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow z \\
 & & & & N'[1] & \xlongequal{\quad} & N'[1]
 \end{array}$$

As we can easily check that  $Q(x[-1])$  is epic and  $Qc$  is monic by Proposition 2.12, we get equalities  $\text{Im } Qf = \text{Im } Qf \circ Q(x[-1]) = \text{Im } Qf'$  and  $\text{Ker } Qg = \text{Im } Qc \circ Qg' = \text{Ker } Qg'$ . Claim 3 tells us  $\text{Im } Qf' = \text{Ker } Qg'$ . We have thus obtained  $\text{Im } Qf = \text{Ker } Qg$ , namely, the functor  $Q$  is cohomological.  $\square$

The following is straightforward by the proof of Corollary 4.3.

**Corollary 4.4.** *The exact functor  $(Q, \phi) : (\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}}) \rightarrow (\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_{\mathcal{N}})$  induces a left exact functor  $Q : (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}^L, \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}}^L) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}$  in the sense of [Oga1], namely,  $Q$  sends any  $\mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}}^L$ -conflation  $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$  to a left exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow QA \xrightarrow{Qf} QB \xrightarrow{Qg} QC$  in  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}$ . Similarly, we have a right exact functor  $Q : (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}^R, \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}}^R) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}$ .*

## 5. RELATION TO COHOMOLOGICAL FUNCTORS

In this section, we shall study how our localization relates to cohomological functors. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a triangulated category. In [Sak], it was shown that any cohomological functor  $H : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$  naturally determines an extriangulated structure  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_H, \mathfrak{s}_H)$  which makes  $H : (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_H, \mathfrak{s}_H) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$  exact. Here  $\mathbf{Ab}$  stands for the category of abelian groups. Of particular interest to us is the cases the structure  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_H, \mathfrak{s}_H)$  coincides with our structure  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}})$  for  $\mathcal{N} := \text{Ker } H$ . Such cases include the heart of  $t$ -structures in  $\mathcal{C}$ , the abelian quotient of  $\mathcal{C}$  by a 2-cluster-tilting subcategory as well as a more general concept, the heart of cotorsion pairs. Our localization serves us a good understanding of such cohomological functors.

**5.1. Relative structures from cohomological functors.** Let us consider a cohomological (co-variant) functor  $H : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$  from a triangulated category  $\mathcal{C}$ . Remind that the extriangulated structure on  $\mathcal{C}$  corresponding to the triangulated structure is denoted by  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ . First, we recall the following result.

**Definition 5.1.** [Sak, Def. 3.2] A subset  $\mathbb{E}_H^R(\mathcal{C}, A)$  of  $\mathbb{E}(\mathcal{C}, A)$  is defined to be a collection of  $h$  which induces a triangle  $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \xrightarrow{h} A[1]$  with  $H(g)$  epic in  $\mathbf{Ab}$ . Similarly, we define a subset  $\mathbb{E}_H^L(\mathcal{C}, A)$  of  $\mathbb{E}(\mathcal{C}, A)$  consisting of  $h$  such that  $H(f)$  monic in  $\mathbf{Ab}$ . Moreover, we put  $\mathbb{E}_H(\mathcal{C}, A) := \mathbb{E}_H^L(\mathcal{C}, A) \cap \mathbb{E}_H^R(\mathcal{C}, A)$ .

**Proposition 5.2.** [Sak, Prop. 3.3] *The following hold.*



- (1) Both  $\mathbb{E}_H^R$  and  $\mathbb{E}_H^L$  give rise to closed subfunctors of  $\mathbb{E}$ , hence we have an extriangulated structure  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_H, \mathfrak{s}_H)$  relative to  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ , where  $\mathfrak{s}_H$  is a restriction of  $\mathfrak{s}$  to  $\mathbb{E}_H$ .
- (2)  $H$  gives rise to an exact functor  $(H, \phi) : (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_H, \mathfrak{s}_H) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$ .

Put  $\mathcal{N} := \text{Ker } H$  and note that  $\mathcal{N}$  is closed under direct summands, isomorphisms and extensions in the triangulated category  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ , where we can consider the extriangulated category  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}})$ . The following lemma follows from Theorem 4.2 and Lemma 4.1.

**Lemma 5.3.** *Suppose that  $\text{Cone}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}) = \mathcal{C}$  and  $\bar{f} = 0$  if and only if  $H(f) = 0$  for any morphism  $f \in \text{Mor } \mathcal{C}$ .*

- (1) The relative structure  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}})$  coincides with  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_H, \mathfrak{s}_H)$ .
- (2) The cohomological functor  $H$  uniquely factors through the localization  $(Q, \mu) : (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}}) \rightarrow (\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_{\mathcal{N}})$  as depicted below.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}}) & \xrightarrow{(Q, \mu)} & (\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_{\mathcal{N}}) \\
 \downarrow (H, \phi) & \swarrow (\tilde{H}, \tilde{\phi}) & \\
 \mathbf{Ab} & & 
 \end{array}$$

Furthermore, the induced functor  $(\tilde{H}, \tilde{\phi})$  is a faithful exact functor.

*Proof.* By the assumption and Lemma 4.1, we have the assertion (1). As for (2), Theorem 4.2 shows that there uniquely exists an exact functor  $(\tilde{H}, \tilde{\phi}) : (\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_{\mathcal{N}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$  such that  $(H, \phi) = (\tilde{H}, \tilde{\phi}) \circ (Q, \mu)$ . To show the faithfulness of  $\tilde{H}$ , let  $\alpha : QA \rightarrow QB$  be a morphism in  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}$  which is represented by morphisms  $A \xrightarrow{f} Y' \xleftarrow{s} Y$  with  $s \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}$  and assume  $\tilde{H}(\alpha) = 0$ . Note that, due to (1),  $H(s)$  is an isomorphism in  $\mathbf{Ab}$ . Thus we get  $\tilde{H}(\alpha) = H(s)^{-1} \circ H(f) = 0$ . By the assumption,  $H(f) = 0$  implies  $\bar{f} = 0$ . Hence we have  $Q(f) = 0$  and  $\alpha = 0$ .  $\square$

**5.2. Heart construction.** We should recall from [Nak1, AN] the construction of the heart of cotorsion pair  $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$  in a triangulated category  $\mathcal{C}$ . Our aim of this subsection is to show that the heart can be regarded as the localization of  $\mathcal{C}$  with respect to a certain subcategory  $\mathcal{N}$ .

A pair  $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$  of full subcategories closed under direct summands in  $\mathcal{C}$  is called a *cotorsion pair* if the following conditions are fulfilled, which goes back to [Sal].

- $\mathbb{E}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}) = 0$ ;
- $\text{Cone}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{C} = \text{CoCone}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{U})$ .

**Definition 5.4.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a triangulated category equipped with a cotorsion pair  $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , and put  $\mathcal{W} := \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{V}$ . We define the following associated subcategories:

$$\mathcal{C}^- := \mathcal{U}[-1] * \mathcal{W}; \quad \mathcal{C}^+ := \mathcal{W} * \mathcal{V}[1]; \quad \mathcal{H} := \mathcal{C}^+ \cap \mathcal{C}^-.$$

The additive quotient  $\mathcal{H}/[\mathcal{W}]$  is called the *heart* of  $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ .

We denote by  $\pi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}/[\mathcal{W}]$  the additive quotient with respect to  $\mathcal{W}$ . Abe and Nakaoka showed the following assertions.

**Lemma 5.5.** [AN, Def. 3.5] *For any  $X \in \mathcal{C}$ , there exists a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} V_X & \longrightarrow & X^- & \xrightarrow{\alpha_X} & X & \longrightarrow & V_X[1] \\ & & & & \searrow & \nearrow & \\ & & & & & & V'_X \end{array} \quad (5.1)$$

where  $V_X, V'_X \in \mathcal{V}$ ,  $\alpha_X$  is a right  $(\mathcal{C}^-)$ -approximation of  $X$  and the first row is a triangle. Such a triangle is called a coreflection triangle of  $X$ . Dually, there exists a reflection triangle of  $X$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} U_X[-1] & \longrightarrow & X & \xrightarrow{\beta_X} & X^+ & \longrightarrow & U_X \\ & \searrow & \nearrow & & & & \\ & & U'_X & & & & \end{array} \quad (5.2)$$

where  $U_X, U'_X \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $\beta_X$  is a left  $(\mathcal{C}^+)$ -approximation of  $X$  and the first row is a triangle.

*Proof.* For later use, we recall a construction of a reflection triangle (5.2) of  $X \in \mathcal{C}$ . Since  $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$  is a cotorsion pair, we have a triangle  $V'_X \rightarrow U'_X \rightarrow X \rightarrow V'_X[1]$  with  $U'_X \in \mathcal{U}, V'_X \in \mathcal{V}$ . Similarly,  $U'_X \in \text{Cone}(\mathcal{V}[-1], \mathcal{U}[-1])$  shows the existence of a triangle  $W_X[-1] \rightarrow U_X[-1] \rightarrow U'_X \rightarrow W_X$  with  $U_X \in \mathcal{U}, W_X \in \mathcal{V}$ . Note that the extension-closedness of  $\mathcal{U}$  forces  $W_X \in \mathcal{W}$ . Applying the octahedral axiom to the composable morphisms  $U_X[-1] \rightarrow U'_X \rightarrow X$ , we have the following commutative diagram made of triangles.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & U_X[-1] & \xlongequal{\quad} & U_X[-1] & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ V'_X & \longrightarrow & U'_X & \xrightarrow{g'_X} & X & \xrightarrow{h'_X} & V'_X[1] \\ & & \downarrow & \text{(Po)} & \downarrow \beta_X & & \downarrow \\ V'_X & \longrightarrow & W_X & \xrightarrow{g_X} & X^+ & \xrightarrow{h_X} & V'_X[1] \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & U_X & \xlongequal{\quad} & U_X & & \end{array} \quad (5.3)$$

Then the third column is a reflection triangle. A construction of a coreflection triangle (5.1) will be needed. To construct a coreflection triangle, we use the dual of (5.3) as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & V_X & \xlongequal{\quad} & V_X & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ U'_X[-1] & \xrightarrow{a_X} & X^- & \longrightarrow & W_X & \longrightarrow & U'_X \\ & & \downarrow \alpha_X & \text{(Pb)} & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ U'_X[-1] & \xrightarrow{a'_X} & X & \longrightarrow & V'_X & \longrightarrow & U'_X \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & V_X[1] & \xlongequal{\quad} & V_X[1] & & \end{array} \quad (5.4)$$

The second column is a coreflection triangle of  $X$ . □

By a closer look at the above, it follows that the correspondence  $X \mapsto X^+$  gives rise to a right adjoint  $R$  of the inclusion  $\mathcal{C}^+/\mathcal{W} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{W}$ . Dually, a left adjoint  $L$  of the inclusion  $\mathcal{C}^-/\mathcal{W} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{W}$  exists. Moreover, the following holds.

**Lemma 5.6.** [AN, Lem. 4.2] *A right adjoint  $R$  of  $\mathcal{C}^+/\mathcal{W} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{W}$  restricts to the functor  $R : \mathcal{C}^+/\mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}/\mathcal{W}$ . Dually, a left adjoint  $L$  of  $\mathcal{C}^-/\mathcal{W} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{W}$  restricts to the functor  $L : \mathcal{C}^-/\mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}/\mathcal{W}$ . Furthermore, there exists a natural isomorphism  $\eta : LR \xrightarrow{\sim} RL$ .*

We turn our attention to the following cohomological functor.

**Theorem 5.7.** [Nak1, Thm. 6.4][AN, Thm. 5.7] *The heart  $\mathcal{H}/\mathcal{W}$  is abelian. Moreover, the functor  $H := LR\pi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}/\mathcal{W}$  is cohomological.*

In the rest, we shall show that the cohomological functor  $H$  can be regarded as the localization of  $\mathcal{C}$  with respect to  $\mathcal{N} := \text{Ker } H$  the resulting category  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}$  of which corresponds to an abelian category. The following sharpens the above theorem in the sense that it explains the universality of  $H$  and how abelian exact structure of the heart inherits from the given triangulated category  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Theorem 5.8.** *Let  $H : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}/\mathcal{W}$  be the cohomological functor associated to a cotorsion pair  $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then the following assertions hold.*

- (1) *The functor  $H$  gives rise to an exact functor  $(H, \phi) : (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}/\mathcal{W}$ .*
- (2) *The localization  $(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_{\mathcal{N}})$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  with respect to  $\mathcal{N}$  corresponds to an abelian category.*
- (3) *The induced unique functor  $(\tilde{H}, \tilde{\phi}) : (\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_{\mathcal{N}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}/\mathcal{W}$  is an exact equivalence.*

To prove the theorem, we reveal some properties of  $\mathcal{N}$ . Let us begin with a bit more observations on (co)reflection triangles.

**Lemma 5.9.** *Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be a morphism in  $\mathcal{C}$  and consider reflection triangles (5.2) of  $A$  and  $B$ . If the induced morphism  $f^+ : A^+ \rightarrow B^+$  factors through an object in  $\mathcal{W}$ , then  $f$  factors through an object in  $\mathcal{U}$ .*

*Proof.* We consider commutative diagrams (5.3) for  $X = A, B$ . Since  $\beta_X$  is a left  $(\mathcal{C}^+)$ -approximation of  $X$ , we get a commutative diagram below.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\beta_A} & A^+ \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow f^+ \\ B & \xrightarrow{\beta_B} & B^+ \end{array}$$

By the assumption,  $f^+$  factors through  $W \in \mathcal{W}$  and  $h_B \circ f^+ = 0$ . Thus we have  $h'_B \circ f = h_B \circ \beta_B \circ f = h_B \circ f^+ \circ \beta_A = 0$ . Hence, the second row of (5.3) shows that  $f$  factors through  $U'_B \in \mathcal{U}$ .  $\square$

The kernel of  $H$  has the following nice properties which permit us to use Lemma 5.3.

**Proposition 5.10.** *The following hold for the kernel  $\mathcal{N} := \text{Ker } H$ .*

- (1)  *$\mathcal{N} = \text{add}(\mathcal{U} * \mathcal{V})$  is true. In particular,  $\text{Cone}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}) = \mathcal{C}$  holds.*
- (2) *Let  $f \in \text{Mor } \mathcal{C}$  be given. Then  $H(f) = 0$  if and only if  $f$  factors through an object in  $\mathcal{N}$ .*

*Proof.* The assertion (1) is proved in [LN, Cor. 3.8]. To prove the assertion (2), let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be a morphism in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Since the ‘if’ part is obvious, to prove the ‘only if’ part, we assume  $H(f) = 0$ . By taking coreflection triangles of  $A, B$  and reflection triangles of  $A^-, B^-$  successively, we get the following commutative squares (\*) and (\*\*):

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xleftarrow{\alpha_A} & A^- & \xrightarrow{\beta_{A^-}} & A^\pm \\ f \downarrow & (*) & f^- \downarrow & (**) & f^\pm \downarrow \\ B & \xleftarrow{\alpha_B} & B^- & \xrightarrow{\beta_{B^-}} & B^\pm \end{array}$$

where we abbreviate the symbol  $(X^-)^+$  by  $X^\pm$ . By definition of  $H$ ,  $f^\pm$  factors through an object in  $\mathcal{W}$ . Thanks to Lemma 5.9,  $f^-$  factors through an object  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ . We consider the diagrams (5.4) for  $X = A, B$  and notice that  $f \circ a'_A = f \circ \alpha_A \circ a_A = \alpha_B \circ f^- \circ a_A$  is also factors through  $U$ , say  $f \circ a'_A : U'_X[-1] \xrightarrow{b} U \xrightarrow{c} B$ . Taking a homotopy pushout of  $a'_X$  along  $b$  yields the following morphism of triangles.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} U'_A[-1] & \xrightarrow{a'_A} & X & \longrightarrow & V'_A & \longrightarrow & U'_A \\ b \downarrow & (Po) & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow \\ U & \longrightarrow & K & \longrightarrow & V'_A & \longrightarrow & U[1] \end{array}$$

The commutative square corresponding to  $c \circ b = f \circ a'_A$  shows that  $f$  factors through  $K \in \mathcal{U} * \mathcal{V}$ .  $\square$

The following description of morphisms in the heart  $\mathcal{H}/[\mathcal{W}]$  is fundamental, e.g., [Oga2, Cor. 2.8].

**Lemma 5.11.** *Consider a morphism  $\gamma : H(X) \rightarrow H(Y)$  in  $\mathcal{H}/[\mathcal{W}]$ . We also let  $\alpha_X : X^- \rightarrow X$  and  $\beta_Y : Y \rightarrow Y^+$  be a right  $\mathcal{C}^-$ -approximation of  $X$  and a left  $\mathcal{C}^+$ -approximation of  $Y$  in (5.1) and (5.2), respectively. Then, there exists a morphism  $c : X^- \rightarrow Y^+$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $\gamma = H(\beta_Y)^{-1} \circ H(c) \circ H(\alpha_X)^{-1}$ .*

Now we are in position to prove Theorem 5.8.

*Proof of Theorem 5.8.* Due to Proposition 5.10, Lemma 5.3 shows that the relative extriangulated structure  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}})$  with respect to  $\mathcal{N} = \text{Ker } H$  coincides with  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_H, \mathfrak{s}_H)$  determined by  $H$ . It is obvious that  $\text{Cone}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}) = \mathcal{C}$  is true, which shows that  $(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_{\mathcal{N}})$  corresponds to an abelian category. Thus, by Lemma 5.3, the unique exact functor  $(\tilde{H}, \tilde{\phi}) : (\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_{\mathcal{N}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}/[\mathcal{W}]$  is faithful. The functor  $H : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}/[\mathcal{W}]$  is essentially surjective, so is  $\tilde{H}$ . To show the fullness of  $\tilde{H}$ , we consider a morphism  $\gamma : HA \rightarrow HB$  in  $\mathcal{H}/[\mathcal{W}]$ . By Lemma 5.11, considering a coreflection triangle (5.1) of  $A$  and a reflection triangle (5.2) of  $B$ , we have  $\gamma = H(\beta_B)^{-1} \circ H(f) \circ H(\alpha_A)^{-1}$  for some morphism  $f : A^- \rightarrow B^+$ . Since  $\alpha_A, \beta_B \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{N}}$ , the morphism  $Q(\beta_B)^{-1} \circ Q(f) \circ Q(\alpha_A)^{-1}$  exists and corresponds to  $\gamma$  through  $\tilde{H}$ . We conclude that  $\tilde{H}$  is an equivalence. Since the structure  $(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_{\mathcal{N}})$  corresponds to an abelian exact structure and  $\mathcal{H}/[\mathcal{W}]$  is abelian, we have thus obtained a desired exact equivalence  $(\tilde{H}, \tilde{\phi})$ .  $\square$

**5.3. Examples.** Let us demonstrate how our localization relates to some known constructions of cohomological functors. The first two examples are extremal cases of cotorsion pairs (see [Nak1, Prop. 2.6]).

**Example 5.12.** [KZ] Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a triangulated category and assume that  $\mathcal{U}$  is a 2-cluster tilting subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}$ , equivalently,  $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U})$  forms a cotorsion pair. Then, the ideal quotient  $\mathcal{C}/[\mathcal{U}]$  is abelian and the natural functor  $\pi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}/[\mathcal{U}]$  is cohomological.

*Proof.* The pair  $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U})$  forms a cotorsion pair and we get its heart  $\mathcal{H}/[\mathcal{W}] = \mathcal{C}/[\mathcal{U}]$ . We put  $\mathcal{N} := \mathcal{U}$  and consider the relative structure  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}})$ . Then, Theorem 5.8 shows that the functor  $\pi$  gives rise to a cohomological functor  $(\pi, \mu) : (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s}) \rightarrow (\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_{\mathcal{N}})$  together with the exact equivalence  $(\tilde{\pi}, \tilde{\mu}) : (\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_{\mathcal{N}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}/[\mathcal{U}]$ .  $\square$

**Example 5.13.** [BBD] Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a triangulated category and  $(\tau^{\leq 0}\mathcal{C}, \tau^{\geq 0}\mathcal{C})$  be a  $t$ -structure of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then, the subcategory  $\mathcal{H} := \tau^{\leq 0}\mathcal{C} \cap \tau^{\geq 0}\mathcal{C}$  is abelian and there exists the natural cohomological functor  $H : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ .

*Proof.* The pair  $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}) := (\tau^{\leq 0}\mathcal{C}[1], \tau^{\geq 0}\mathcal{C}[-1])$  forms a cotorsion pair and we get its heart  $\mathcal{H}/[\mathcal{W}] = \mathcal{H}$ . We put  $\mathcal{N} := \text{add}(\mathcal{U} * \mathcal{V})$  and consider the relative structure  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}})$ . Then, by Theorem 5.8, we have the extriangulated localization  $(H, \mu) : (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}}) \rightarrow (\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_{\mathcal{N}})$  together with the exact equivalence  $(\tilde{H}, \tilde{\mu}) : (\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_{\mathcal{N}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}$  and the functor  $H : (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s}) \rightarrow (\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_{\mathcal{N}})$  from the original triangulated category is cohomological.  $\square$

The next example was proved by Buan-Marsh as a generalization of Example 5.12. It is still considered as a special case of Theorem 5.8.

**Example 5.14.** [BM2, Thm. 5.1] Let  $k$  be a field. Assume that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $k$ -linear Hom-finite Krull-Schmidt triangulated category equipped with a Serre functor and  $\mathcal{U}$  is a contravariantly finite rigid subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U}[1]) = 0$ . Then, the cohomological functor  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{U}, -) : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{mod } \mathcal{U}$  is factored as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{U}, -)} & \text{mod } \mathcal{U} \\ & \searrow \pi & \nearrow \text{Loc} \\ & \mathcal{C}/[\mathcal{U}^{\perp}] & \end{array} \quad (5.5)$$

where  $\mathcal{U}^{\perp}$  denotes the subcategory of objects  $X$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  with  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{U}, X) = 0$  and  $\text{Loc}$  is a Gabriel-Zisman localization which admits left and right fractions.

*Proof.* We recall that, since  $\mathcal{U}$  is contravariantly finite, it admits weak-kernels. Thus, the category  $\text{mod } \mathcal{U}$  of finitely presented contravariant functors is abelian.

Using the Serre duality, we can check that the pair  $(\mathcal{U}[1], \mathcal{U}^{\perp})$  forms a cotorsion pair in  $\mathcal{C}$  and its heart is  $\mathcal{H}/[\mathcal{W}] = \frac{\mathcal{U} * (\mathcal{U}[1])}{[\mathcal{U}[1]]}$ . Due to [IY, Prop. 6.2(3)], we get an equivalence  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{U}, -) : \mathcal{H}/[\mathcal{W}] \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{mod } \mathcal{U}$ . Thus, putting  $\mathcal{N} := \mathcal{U}^{\perp}$ , the functor  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{U}, -) : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{mod } \mathcal{U}$  can be regarded as the cohomological functor  $Q : (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s}) \rightarrow (\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_{\mathcal{N}})$  with respect to  $\mathcal{N}$ . By the definition,  $Q$  factors through the ideal quotient  $\pi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}/[\mathcal{U}^{\perp}]$ , which explains the above diagram (5.5).  $\square$

Beligiannis generalized the above construction by dropping the assumptions on  $\mathcal{C}$  as follows. Note that, in this case, the pair  $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U}^{\perp}[-1])$  does not form a cotorsion pair in general.

**Example 5.15.** [Bel2, Thm. 4.6] Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a triangulated category and  $\mathcal{U}$  a contravariantly finite rigid subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then, the cohomological functor  $H := \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{U}, -) : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{mod } \mathcal{U}$  is factored as in the diagram (5.5).

*Proof.* Put  $\mathcal{N} := \mathcal{U}^\perp$  and consider the associated extriangulated localization  $(Q, \mu) : (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}}) \rightarrow (\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_{\mathcal{N}})$ . It is easy to check that  $H$  gives rise to an exact functor  $(H, \phi) : (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{mod}\mathcal{U}$  in an obvious sense. In fact, any  $\mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -conflation  $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \xrightarrow{h} -$  is a triangle such that  $h$  and  $h[-1]$  factors through an object in  $\mathcal{N}$ . Thus, we get an short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow HA \rightarrow HB \rightarrow HC \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathbf{mod}\mathcal{U}$ . Since  $\text{Ker } H = \mathcal{N}$ , by the universality of  $(Q, \mu)$ , we have the unique exact functor  $(\tilde{H}, \tilde{\phi}) : (\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_{\mathcal{N}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{mod}\mathcal{U}$  which makes the following diagram commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}}) & \xrightarrow{(Q, \mu)} & (\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathcal{N}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_{\mathcal{N}}) \\ (H, \phi) \downarrow & \swarrow (\tilde{H}, \tilde{\phi}) & \\ \mathbf{mod}\mathcal{U} & & \end{array}$$

Thanks to Theorem 4.2 and Corollary 4.3, it remains to show that  $\tilde{H}$  is full and essentially surjective. Essential surjectivity of  $\tilde{H}$  is follows from that of  $H$ . Since the fullness of  $\tilde{H}$  can be confirmed by a typical argument, we omit the details.  $\square$

Under the set-up same as in Example 5.15, Jørgensen-Shah considered a relative extriangulated structure on  $\mathcal{C}$  determined by the rigid subcategory  $\mathcal{U}$  as follows. The idea goes back to [PPPP] where it was introduced to investigate relations between  $\mathbf{g}$ -vectors and cluster categories. We will check that their relative structure is same as  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}^L, \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}}^L)$ .

**Definition 5.16.** Define a closed subfunctor of  $\mathbb{E}$  as follows.

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{N}}(C, A) := \{h \in \mathbb{E}(C, A) \mid h \circ x = 0 \text{ for all } x : U \rightarrow C \text{ with } U \in \mathcal{U}\}$$

Thus, we have a relative extriangulated category  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{N}}, \mathfrak{s}^{\mathcal{N}})$  for  $\mathfrak{s}^{\mathcal{N}} := \mathfrak{s}|_{\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{N}}}$ .

For any object  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ , a right  $\mathcal{U}$ -approximation  $f : U \rightarrow C$  of  $C$  yields a triangle  $U \xrightarrow{f} C \xrightarrow{g} N \xrightarrow{h} U[1]$  with  $N \in \mathcal{N}$ . Since  $U[1] \in \mathcal{N}$ , we get  $\text{Cone}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}) = \mathcal{C}$ . Thus, Lemma 4.1 tells us that  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{N}}, \mathfrak{s}^{\mathcal{N}}) = (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}^L, \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{N}}^L)$  is true. Moreover, Corollary 4.4 shows that the natural functor  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{U}, -) : (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{N}}, \mathfrak{s}^{\mathcal{N}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{mod}\mathcal{U}$  is left exact.

## REFERENCES

- [AN] N. Abe, H. Nakaoka, *General heart construction on a triangulated category (II): Associated homological functor*. Appl. Categ. Structures 20 (2012), no. 2, 161–174.
- [Aus] M. Auslander, *Coherent functors*. 1966 Proc. Conf. Categorical Algebra (La Jolla, Calif., 1965) pp. 189–231 Springer, New York.
- [AS] M. Auslander, Ø. Solberg, *Relative homology and representation theory. I. Relative homology and homologically finite subcategories*. Comm. Algebra 21 (1993), no. 9, 2995–3031.
- [BBD] A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein, P. Deligne, *Faisceaux Pervers (Perverse sheaves)*. Analysis and Topology on Singular Spaces, I, Luminy, 1981, Asterisque 100 (1982) 5–171 (in French).
- [Bel1] A. Beligiannis, *Relative homological algebra and purity in triangulated categories*. J. Algebra 227 (2000), no. 1, 268–361.
- [Bel2] A. Beligiannis, *Rigid objects, triangulated subfactors and abelian localizations*. Math. Z. 274 (2013), no. 3-4, 841–883.
- [B-TS] R. Bennett-Tennenhaus, A. Shah, *Transport of structure in higher homological algebra*. J. Algebra 574 (2021), 514–549.
- [BM1] A. Buan, R. Marsh, *From triangulated categories to module categories via localisation*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 365 (2013), no. 6, 2845–2861.
- [BM2] A. Buan, R. Marsh, *From triangulated categories to module categories via localization II: calculus of fractions*. J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) 86 (2012), no. 1, 152–170.
- [C-E] M. E. Cárdenas-Escudero, *Localization for exact categories*. Thesis (Ph.D.)–State University of New York at Binghamton. 1998.

- [DRSSK] P. Dräxler, I. Reiten, S. Smalø, Ø. Solberg, *FExact categories and vector space categories*. With an appendix by B. Keller. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 351 (1999), no. 2, 647–682.
- [Fri] T. Fritz, *Categories of Fractions Revisited*. arXiv:0803.2587v2.
- [Gab] P. Gabriel, *Des catégories abéliennes*. Bull. Soc. Math. France 90 (1962), 323–448.
- [GZ] P. Gabriel, M. Zisman, *Calculus of fractions and homotopy theory*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 35 Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., New York 1967 x+168 pp.
- [Hap] D. Happel, *Triangulated categories in the representation theory of finite dimensional algebras*. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 119. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1988.
- [HLN] M. Herschend, Y. Liu, H. Nakaoka, *n-exangulated categories (I): Definitions and fundamental properties*. J. Algebra 570 (2021), 531–586.
- [HS] S. Hassoun, A. Shah, *Integral and quasi-abelian hearts of twin cotorsion pairs on extriangulated categories*. Comm. Algebra 48 (2020), no. 12, 5142–5162.
- [INP] O. Iyama, H. Nakaoka, Y. Palu, *Auslander–Reiten theory in extriangulated categories*. arXiv:1805.03776v2.
- [IY] O. Iyama, Y. Yoshino, *Mutation in triangulated categories and rigid Cohen–Macaulay modules*. Invent. Math. 172 (2008), no. 1, 117–168.
- [JP] P. Jørgensen, Y. Palu, *A Caldero–Chapoton map for infinite clusters*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 365(3):1125–1147, 2013.
- [JS] P. Jørgensen, A. Shah, *The index with respect to a rigid subcategory of a triangulated category*. arXiv:2201.00740v2
- [KS] M. Kashiwara, P. Schapira, *Categories and sheaves*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006. x+497 pp. ISBN: 978-3-540-27949-5; 3-540-27949-0.
- [KZ] S. Koenig, B. Zhu, *From triangulated categories to abelian categories: cluster tilting in a general framework*. Math. Z. 258 (2008), no. 1, 143–160.
- [Kra] H. Krause, *Smashing subcategories and the telescope conjecture—an algebraic approach*. Invent. Math. 139 (2000), no. 1, 99–133.
- [Liu] Y. Liu, *Localizations of the hearts of cotorsion pairs associated with mutations*. arXiv:1705.00278v5
- [LN] Y. Liu, H. Nakaoka, *Hearts of twin cotorsion pairs on extriangulated categories*. J. Algebra 528 (2019), 96–149.
- [Nak1] H. Nakaoka, *General heart construction on a triangulated category (I): Unifying t-structures and cluster tilting subcategories*. Appl. Categ. Structures 19 (2011), no. 6, 879–899.
- [Nak2] H. Nakaoka, *General heart construction for twin torsion pairs on triangulated categories*. J. Algebra 374 (2013), 195–215.
- [NOS] H. Nakaoka, Y. Ogawa, A. Sakai, *Localization of extriangulated categories*. arXiv:2103.16907v2
- [NP] H. Nakaoka, Y. Palu, *Extriangulated categories, Hovey twin cotorsion pairs and model structures*. Topol. Géom. Différ. Catég, vol LX (2019), Issue 2, 117–193.
- [Nee] A. Neeman, *Triangulated categories*. Annals of Mathematics Studies 148, Princeton University Press (2001).
- [Oga1] Y. Ogawa, *Auslander’s defects over extriangulated categories: an application for the general heart construction*. J. Math. Soc. Japan 73 (2021), no. 4, 1063–1089.
- [Oga2] Y. Ogawa, *Abelian Categories from Triangulated Categories via Nakaoka–Palu’s Localization*. Appl Categor Struct (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10485-021-09668-4>
- [PPPP] A. Padrol, Y. Palu, V. Pilaud, P.-G. Plamondon, *Associahedra for finite type cluster algebras and minimal relations between g-vectors*. arXiv:1906.06861v2
- [Pal] Y. Palu, *Cluster characters for 2-Calabi–Yau triangulated categories*. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 58 (2008), no. 6, 2221–2248.
- [Rum1] W. Rump, *Almost abelian categories*. Topologie Géom. Différentielle Catég. 42 (2001), no. 3, 163–225.
- [Rum2] W. Rump, *The acyclic closure of an exact category and its triangulation*. J. Algebra 565 (2021), 402–440.
- [Sak] A. Sakai, *Relative extriangulated categories arising from half exact functors*. arXiv:2111.14419
- [Sal] L. Salce, *Cotorsion theories for abelian groups* in Symposia Mathematica, Vol. XXIII (Conf. Abelian Groups and their Relationship to the Theory of Modules, INDAM, Rome, 1977) (1979), 11–32.
- [Ver] Verdier, J.-L., *Des catégories dérivées des catégories abéliennes*, Astérisque, 239, Société Mathématique de France, (1996) [1967].

Email address: [ogawa.yasuaki.gh@cc.nara-edu.ac.jp](mailto:ogawa.yasuaki.gh@cc.nara-edu.ac.jp)

CENTER FOR EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH OF SCIENCE AND MATHEMATICS, NARA UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION, TAKABATAKE-CHO, NARA, 630-8528, JAPAN