

EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS FOR A CLASS OF NONLINEAR SCHRÖDINGER EQUATIONS WITH POTENTIAL VANISHING AT INFINITY ON NON-REFLEXIVE ORLICZ-SOBOLEV SPACES

L. DA SILVA AND M. SOUTO

ABSTRACT. In this paper we investigate the existence of solution for a class of quasilinear problem on an Orlicz-Sobolev space that can be nonreflexive

$$-\Delta_{\Phi}u + V(x)\phi(|u|)u = K(x)f(u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $N \geq 2$, V, K are non-negative continuous functions and f is a continuous function. Here, we prove a Hardy-type inequality and use it together with a weaker version of the mountain pass theorem without the (PS) condition to obtain a nontrivial solution.

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper concerns the existence of a weak solution for a class of quasilinear elliptic equation of the type

$$(P) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_{\Phi}u + V(x)\phi(|u|)u = K(x)f(u), & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \\ u \in D^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N) \end{cases}$$

for $N \geq 2$ and assuming that $V, K : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous functions with V, K being nonnegative functions and f is a continuous function verifying some conditions which will be mentioned later. It is important to recall that

$$\Delta_{\Phi}u = \operatorname{div}(\phi(|\nabla u|)\nabla u),$$

and $\Phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a N -function of the form

$$(1.1) \quad \Phi(t) = \int_0^{|t|} s\phi(s)ds,$$

and $\phi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a C^1 function verifying some technical assumptions. We would like to point out that this type of operator appears in a lot of physical applications, such as:

Nonlinear Elasticity: $\Phi(t) = (1+t)^{\alpha} - 1$, $\alpha \in (1, \frac{N}{N-2})$

Plasticity: $\Phi(t) = t^p \ln(1+t)$, $1 < \frac{-1+\sqrt{1+4N}}{2} < p < N-1$, $N \geq 3$

Non-Newtonian Fluid: $\Phi(t) = |t|^p/p$, for $p > 1$,

Plasma Physics: $\Phi(t) = |t|^p/p + |t|^q/q$, where $1 < p < q < N$ with $q \in (p, p^*)$.

The reader can find more details about this subject in [7], [11], [26] and their references.

Recently, motivated by above applications, the problems involving quasilinear problem driven by a N -function Φ has been frequently studied, we would like to cite Bonanno, Bisci and Radulescu [9, 10], Cerny [28], Clément, Garcia-Huidobro and Manásevich [27], Donaldson [29], Fuchs and Li [17], Fuchs and Osmolovski [18], Fukagai, Ito and Narukawa [8], Gossez [16], Le

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 35J15, 35J20; Secondary: 26A27.

Key words and phrases. Orlicz-Sobolev spaces; Variational methods; Ghoussoub-Preiss theorem; Δ_2 -condition.

M. Souto was partially supported by CNPq/Brazil 309.692/2020-2.

and Schmitt [30], Mihailescu and Radulescu [19, 20], Mihailescu and Repovš [21], Mihailescu, Radulescu and Repovš [22], Mustonen and Tienari [31], Orlicz [32] and their references. In all of these works, the so-called Δ_2 -condition was assumed on Φ and $\tilde{\Phi}$ (Complementary function of Φ), which ensures that the Orlicz-Sobolev space $W^{1,\Phi}(\Omega)$ and $D^{1,\Phi}(\Omega)$ are reflexive Banach spaces. This assertion is used several times in order to get a nontrivial solution for elliptic problems taking into account the weak topology and the classical variational methods to C^1 functionals.

In what follows, the function $\phi : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ is a C^1 function satisfying:

$$(\phi_1) \quad t \mapsto t\phi(t) \text{ is increasing for } t > 0.$$

$$(\phi_2) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} t\phi(t) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} t\phi(t) = +\infty.$$

$$(\phi_3) \quad 1 \leq \ell = \inf_{t>0} \frac{\phi(t)t^2}{\Phi(t)} \leq \sup_{t>0} \frac{\phi(t)t^2}{\Phi(t)} = m < N, \quad \text{and} \quad \ell < m < \ell^*.$$

$$(\phi_4) \quad t \mapsto \frac{\phi(t)}{t^{m-2}} \text{ is nonincreasing for } t > 0.$$

Before continuing this section, we would like to point out that an example of N -function satisfying the $(\phi_1) - (\phi_4)$ conditions is the function $\Phi_\alpha(t) = |t| \ln(|t|^\alpha + 1)$ for $0 < \alpha < \frac{N}{N-1} - 1$. Such N -function satisfying the conditions (ϕ_3) with $\ell = 1$, therefore $\tilde{\Phi}_\alpha$ does not satisfy the Δ_2 -condition. A classic example of a N -function that satisfies the conditions $(\phi_1) - (\phi_4)$ and where the complementary function satisfies the Δ_2 -condition is the function $\Phi(t) = |t|^2/2$.

In the present work, we will use techniques that allow us to deal with problem (P) without assuming the Δ_2 -condition of the function $\tilde{\Phi}$. This type of problem brings us many difficulties when we intend to apply variational methods. For example, in our article, the energy functional associated with the problem might not be C^1 , so classical minimax type results cannot be used here. To get around this difficulty, some recent articles suggest the use of the minimax theory developed by Szulkin [2], we cite [4]. In this paper, the authors study a class of problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_\Phi u + V(x)\phi(u)u = f(u), & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \\ u \in W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ with } N \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

when V is \mathbb{Z}^N -periodic and f is a continuous function satisfying some technical conditions. The Δ_2 -condition of N -function $\tilde{\Phi}$ has not been required.

Another work in which the Δ_2 -condition of N -function $\tilde{\Phi}$ has not been required, is found in [6], Silva, Carvalho, Silva and Gonçalves study a class of problem like

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_\Phi u = g(x, u), & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq 2$, is a bounded domain with smooth boundary.

The particular case of the problem (P) with $\Phi(t) = |t|^2/2$ (The Laplacian Case) is a study by Alves and Souto [3], where the authors manage to show the existence of ground state solutions to obtain a positive solution for the following Schrödinger equation

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + V(x)u = K(x)f(u), & \mathbb{R}^N \\ u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \end{cases}$$

Also in this paper, the authors introduced the set

$$E = \left\{ u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) / \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)|u|^2 dx < +\infty \right\}$$

and they proved that this space with norm

$$\|u\|_E^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^2 + V(x)|u|^2) dx$$

is compactly embedded in the weighted Lebesgue space $L_K^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for some $p \in (2, 2^*)$, where

$$L_K^p(\mathbb{R}^N) := \left\{ u : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : u \text{ is measurable and } \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x)|u|^p dx < +\infty \right\}$$

To the best of our knowledge, there seem to be no results on ground state solutions for (P) with potential vanishing at infinity. From there, we introduce the following assumptions on the potential V and the K coefficient verifies:

(K₀) $V(x), K(x) > 0$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $K \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

(K₁) If $\{A_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a sequence of Borelian sets such that $\sup_n |A_n| < +\infty$, then

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{A_n \cap B_r(0)^c} K(x) dx = 0, \text{ uniformly in } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

(K₂) There is $C_0 > 0$ such that $K(x) \leq C_0 V(x)$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

(K₃) There are $a_1, a_2 \in (m, \ell^*)$ and a N -function $A(t) = \int_0^{|t|} a(s) ds$ verifying the following properties:

$$(1.2) \quad a_1 \leq \frac{a(t)t^2}{A(t)} \leq a_2$$

and there exists a positive function $\varphi : (R_0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi(R) = 0$ and

$$(1.3) \quad K(x)A(s) \leq \varphi(R)(V(x)\Phi(s) + \Phi_*(s)), \quad \forall |x| \geq R \geq R_0.$$

An exemple where (K₃) holds is the following: Suppose that $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{K(x)}{H(x)}$, where $H(x) = \min_{s>0} \left\{ V(x) \frac{\Phi(s)}{A(s)} + \frac{\Phi_*(s)}{A(s)} \right\}$. Consider the function $\varphi(R) = \max \left\{ \frac{K(x)}{H(x)} : |x| \geq R \right\}$, it is clear that $\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi(R) = 0$.

This type of conditions was first introduced by Alves and Souto in [3].

At this point, it is very important to note that (K₁) is weaker than any one of the below conditions:

(a) There are $r \geq 1$ and $\rho \geq 0$ such that $K \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_\rho(0))$;

(b) $K(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$;

(c) $K = H_1 + H_2$, with H_1 and H_2 verifying (a) and (b) respectively.

Now, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, fix $z_n = (n, 0, \dots, 0)$. Consider $\left\{ B_{\frac{1}{2^n}}(z_n) \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ the disjoint sequence of open balls in \mathbb{R}^N and the nonnegative function $H_1 : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$0 \leq H_1(x) \leq 1, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad H_1(z_n) = 1, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad H_1 \equiv 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \left\{ \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_{\frac{1}{2^n}}(z_n) \right\}$$

and

$$\int_{B_{\frac{1}{2^n}}(z_n)} H_1(x) dx \leq \frac{1}{2^n}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Without any difficulties, we can see that the functions

$$(1.4) \quad V(x) = K(x) = H_1(x) + \frac{1}{\ln(2 + |x|)}$$

satisfies the item (c) and consequently verifies the condition (K_1) . In addition, clearly V and K satisfy the conditions (K_0) and (K_2) . However, these functions do not verify the condition (K_3) .

Now consider the functions

$$K(x) = H_1(x) + \frac{1}{\ln(2 + |x|)} \quad \text{and} \quad V(x) = (|x|H_1(x))^{\frac{s(m^* - m)}{m^* - a_2}} + \left(\frac{1}{\ln(2 + |x|)} \right)^{\frac{s(m^* - m)}{m^* - a_1}}.$$

As mentioned before, these functions verify (K_0) and (K_1) . Furthermore, to see that (K_3) is satisfied for some $m < a_1 < a_2 < \ell^*$, just consider $A(s) = |t|^{a_2}/a_2$. However, these functions do not verify the condition (K_2) .

With respect to the continuous function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we will assume the following assumptions:

$$(f_1) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(s)}{s\phi(s)} = 0 \text{ if } (K_2) \text{ holds.}$$

$$(f'_1) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(s)}{a(s)s} < +\infty \text{ if } (K_3) \text{ holds.}$$

$$(f_2) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(t)}{t\phi_*(t)} = 0, \text{ where } \phi_* : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ is the continuous function satisfying}$$

$$\Phi_*(t) = \int_0^{|t|} s\phi_*(s) ds.$$

$$(f_3) \quad s^{1-m}f(s) \text{ is an increasing function in } (0, +\infty) \text{ and decreasing in } (-\infty, 0).$$

$$(f_4) \quad F(t) = \int_0^t f(s) ds \text{ is } m\text{-superlinear at infinity, that is,}$$

$$\lim_{|t| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{F(t)}{|t|^m} = +\infty.$$

The below function is typical example of function that verifies (f_1) – (f_4) :

$$f(t) = (t^+)^{q-1},$$

where $t^+ = \max\{t, 0\}$ and $q \in (m, \ell^*)$. Furthermore, the function below is a typical example of a function that verifies (f'_1) – (f_4) :

$$f(t) = (t^+)^{a_2-1}.$$

From now on, throughout the paper, the potential V and the coefficient K verifies the condition (K_0) and (K_1) .

Before we enunciate the main result of the article, we would like to recall to $u \in E$ is a weak solution of (P) whenever

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi(|\nabla u|) \nabla u \nabla v dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) \phi(|u|) uv dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x) f(u) v dx,$$

for all $v \in E = \{u \in D^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N) / \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) \Phi(|u|) dx < +\infty\}$.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that Φ satisfies $(\phi_1) - (\phi_4)$. Suppose that f satisfies (f_2) , (f_3) and (f_4) . If (f_1) and (K_2) hold, then problem (P) has at least one nontrivial weak solution. In the same way, if (f'_1) and (K_3) hold, then problem (P) has at least one nontrivial weak solution*

The plan of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we review some properties of Orlicz and Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. In Section 3, we present the space and the energy functional related to the problem (P) . In Section 4, we present the Ghoussoub-Preiss Theorem. In Section 5, we prove a Hardy-type inequality. In Section 6, we guarantee the limitation of the Cerami Sequence. In Section 7, we prove the Theorem 1.1.

2. BASICS ON ORLICZ-SOBOLEV SPACES

In this section we recall some properties of Orlicz and Orlicz-Sobolev spaces, which can be found in [1,8,23]. First of all, we recall that a continuous function $\Phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is a N -function if:

- (i) Φ is convex;
- (ii) $\Phi(t) = 0 \Leftrightarrow t = 0$;
- (iii) Φ is even;
- (iv) $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Phi(t)}{t} = 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\Phi(t)}{t} = +\infty$.

We say that a N -function Φ verifies the Δ_2 -condition, and we denote by $\Phi \in (\Delta_2)$, if there are constants $K > 0$, $t_0 > 0$ such that

$$\Phi(2t) \leq K\Phi(t), \quad \forall t \geq t_0.$$

In the case of $|\Omega| = +\infty$, we will consider that $\Phi \in (\Delta_2)$ if $t_0 = 0$. For instance, it can be shown that $\Phi(t) = |t|^p/p$ for $p > 1$ satisfies the Δ_2 -condition, while $\Phi(t) = (e^{t^2} - 1)/2$.

If Ω is an open set of \mathbb{R}^N , where N can be a natural number such that $N \geq 1$, and Φ a N -function then define the Orlicz space associated with Φ as

$$L^\Phi(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega) : \int_\Omega \Phi\left(\frac{|u|}{\lambda}\right) dx < +\infty \text{ for some } \lambda > 0 \right\}.$$

The space $L^\Phi(\Omega)$ is a Banach space endowed with the Luxemburg norm given by

$$\|u\|_{L^\Phi(\Omega)} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_\Omega \Phi\left(\frac{|u|}{\lambda}\right) dx \leq 1 \right\}.$$

In the case that Φ verifies Δ_2 -condition we have

$$L^\Phi(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega) : \int_\Omega \Phi(|u|) dx < +\infty \right\}.$$

The complementary function $\tilde{\Phi}$ associated with Φ is given by the Legendre transformation, that is,

$$\tilde{\Phi}(s) = \max_{t \geq 0} \{st - \Phi(t)\}, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

The functions Φ and $\tilde{\Phi}$ are complementary each other and satisfy the inequality below

$$\tilde{\Phi}(\Phi'(t)) \leq \Phi(2t), \quad \forall t > 0.$$

Moreover, we also have a Young type inequality given by

$$st \leq \Phi(t) + \tilde{\Phi}(s), \quad \forall s, t \geq 0.$$

Using the above inequality, it is possible to establish the following Holder type inequality:

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} uv dx \right| \leq 2 \|u\|_{L^{\Phi}(\Omega)} \|v\|_{L^{\tilde{\Phi}}(\Omega)}, \quad \text{for all } u \in L^{\Phi}(\Omega) \text{ and } v \in L^{\tilde{\Phi}}(\Omega).$$

If $|\Omega| < \infty$, the space $E^{\Phi}(\Omega)$ denotes the closing of $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in $L^{\Phi}(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\Phi}$. When $|\Omega| = \infty$, the space $E^{\Phi}(\Omega)$ denotes the closure of $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in $L^{\Phi}(\Omega)$ with respect to norm $\|\cdot\|_{\Phi}$. In any of these cases, $L^{\Phi}(\Omega)$ is the dual space of $E^{\tilde{\Phi}}(\Omega)$, while $L^{\tilde{\Phi}}(\Omega)$ is the dual space of $E^{\Phi}(\Omega)$. Moreover, $E^{\Phi}(\Omega)$ and $E^{\tilde{\Phi}}(\Omega)$ are separable and all continuous functional $M : E^{\tilde{\Phi}}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is of the form

$$M(v) = \int_{\Omega} v(x)g(x)dx, \quad \text{for some function } g \in L^{\tilde{\Phi}}(\Omega).$$

Another important function related to function Φ , it is the Sobolev conjugate function Φ_* of Φ defined by

$$\Phi_*^{-1}(t) = \int_0^t \frac{\Phi^{-1}(s)}{s^{(N+1)/N}} ds \quad \text{for } t > 0 \quad \text{when} \quad \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{\Phi^{-1}(s)}{s^{(N+1)/N}} ds = +\infty.$$

Lemma 2.1. [[8], Lemma 2.1] Consider Φ a N -function of the form (1.1) and satisfying (ϕ_1) and (ϕ_2) . Set

$$\xi_0(t) = \min\{t^{\ell}, t^m\} \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_1(t) = \max\{t^{\ell}, t^m\}, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Then Φ satisfies

$$\xi_0(t)\Phi(\rho) \leq \Phi(\rho t) \leq \xi_1(t)\Phi(\rho), \quad \forall \rho, t \geq 0$$

and

$$\xi_0(\|u\|_{\Phi}) \leq \int_{\Omega} \Phi(u) dx \leq \xi_1(\|u\|_{\Phi}), \quad \forall u \in L^{\Phi}(\Omega).$$

Lemma 2.2. [[8], Lemma 2.2] If Φ is an N -function of the form (1.1) satisfying (ϕ_1) , (ϕ_2) and (ϕ_3) , then

$$(2.1) \quad \xi_2(t)\Phi_*(\rho) \leq \Phi_*(\rho t) \leq \xi_3(t)\Phi_*(\rho), \quad \forall \rho, t > 0$$

and

$$\xi_2(\|u\|_{\Phi_*}) \leq \int_{\Omega} \Phi_*(u) dx \leq \xi_3(\|u\|_{\Phi_*}), \quad \forall u \in L^{\Phi_*}(\Omega),$$

where

$$\xi_2(t) = \min\{t^{\ell^*}, t^{m^*}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_3(t) = \max\{t^{\ell^*}, t^{m^*}\}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Lemma 2.3. [[8], Lemma 2.4] (2.1) is equivalent to

$$\ell^* \leq \frac{\Phi'_*(t)t^2}{\Phi_*(t)} \leq m^*, \quad \forall t > 0$$

Lemma 2.4. If Φ is N -function and $(\int_{\Omega} \Phi(|u_n|) dx)$ is a bounded sequence, then (u_n) is a bounded sequence in $L^{\Phi}(\Omega)$. When $\Phi \in (\Delta_2)$, the equivalence is valid.

Lemma 2.5. If $\Phi \in (\Delta_2)$, then $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^{\Phi}(\Omega)$ is equivalent to $\int_{\Omega} \Phi(|u_n - u|) dx \rightarrow 0$

Lemma 2.6. If Φ is an N -function of the form (1.1) satisfying (ϕ_1) , (ϕ_2) and (ϕ_3) with $\ell = 1$, then $\tilde{\Phi} \in (\Delta_2)$.

Lemma 2.7. [[25], Lemma 2.5] Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a domain, if $\Phi \in (\Delta_2)$ and (u_n) a sequence in $L^\Phi(\Omega)$ with $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^\Phi(\Omega)$, there is $H \in L^\Phi(\Omega)$ and a subsequence (u_{n_j}) such that

- i) $|u_{n_j}(x)| \leq H(x)$ a.e. in Ω .
- ii) $u_{n_j}(x) \rightarrow u(x)$ a.e. in Ω and all $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

For a N -function Φ , the corresponding Orlicz-Sobolev space is defined as the Banach space

$$W^{1,\Phi}(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in L^\Phi(\Omega) : \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \in L^\Phi(\Omega), i = 1, \dots, N \right\},$$

with the norm

$$\|u\|_{1,\Phi} = \|\nabla u\|_\Phi + \|u\|_\Phi.$$

If $\Phi \in (\Delta_2)$, the space $D^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is defined to be the complement of the space $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with respect to the standard

$$(2.2) \quad \|u\|_{D^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \|u\|_{\Phi_*} + \|\nabla u\|_\Phi.$$

Since the Orlicz-Sobolev inequality

$$(2.3) \quad \|u\|_{\Phi_*} \leq S_N \|\nabla u\|_\Phi, \quad u \in D^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

holds for $u \in D^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with a constant $S_N > 0$, the norm (2.2) is equivalent to the norm

$$(2.4) \quad \|u\|_{D^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \|\nabla u\|_\Phi,$$

on $D^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. In this paper, we will use (2.4) as the norm of $D^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Clearly

$$D^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N) \xrightarrow[\text{cont}]{} L^{\Phi_*}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

The space $L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is separable and reflexive when the N -functions Φ and $\tilde{\Phi}$ satisfy the Δ_2 -condition. Knowing that $D^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ can be seen as a closed subspace of the space $L^{\Phi_*}(\mathbb{R}^N) \times (L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^N))^N$, then $D^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is reflexive when the N -functions Φ , $\tilde{\Phi}$, Φ_* and $\tilde{\Phi}_*$ satisfy the Δ_2 -condition.

The following lemma is an immediate consequence of the Banach-Alaoglu-Bourbaki theorem [13].

Lemma 2.8. Assume that Φ is an N -function of the form (1.1) satisfying (ϕ_1) , (ϕ_2) and (ϕ_3) . If $(u_n) \subset D^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a bounded sequence, then there exists a subsequence of (u_n) , which we will still denote by (u_n) , and $u \in D^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that

$$(2.5) \quad u_n \xrightarrow{*} u \quad \text{in } L^{\Phi_*}(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \xrightarrow{*} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \quad \text{in } L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

or equivalently,

$$\int_\Omega u_n v dx \rightarrow \int_\Omega u v dx, \quad \forall v \in E^{\tilde{\Phi}_*}(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_\Omega \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} w dx \rightarrow \int_\Omega \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} w dx, \quad \forall w \in E^{\tilde{\Phi}}(\Omega).$$

From now on, we denote the limit (2.5) by $u_n \xrightarrow{*} u$ in $D^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. As an immediate consequence of the last lemma, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.9. If $(u_n) \subset D^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a bounded sequence with $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L_{loc}^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then $u \in D^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

The last lemma is crucial when the space $D^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ can be nonreflexive. And, this happens, for example, when $\Phi_\alpha(t) = |t| \ln(|t|^\alpha + 1)$, for $0 < \alpha < \frac{N}{N-1} - 1$, because $\tilde{\Phi}_\alpha$ does not verify Δ_2 -condition. Here we emphasize that the condition (ϕ_3) guarantees that Φ and $\tilde{\Phi}$ verifies the Δ_2 -condition when $\ell > 1$, for more details see Fukagai and Narukawa [8].

3. THE SPACE AND THE ENERGY FUNCTIONAL

Before defining the energy functional associated with the problem (P) , it will be necessary to present a subspace of $D^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, where this functional is well established. For this, let us consider the subspace

$$E = \left\{ u \in D^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)\Phi(|u|)dx < +\infty \right\}$$

with norm

$$\|u\|_E = \|u\|_{D^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \|u\|_{V,\Phi},$$

where

$$\|u\|_{V,\Phi} = \inf \left\{ \alpha > 0 : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)\Phi\left(\frac{|u|}{\alpha}\right) dx \leq 1 \right\}$$

is the norm of Banach Space

$$L_V^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^N) = \left\{ u : \mathbb{R}^N \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ measurable} : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)\Phi(|u|)dx < +\infty \right\}.$$

It is immediate that $E \xrightarrow[\text{cont}]{} D^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $E \xrightarrow[\text{cont}]{} L_V^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Now let us list some properties involving the E space.

Lemma 3.1. $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ is a Banach space.

Lemma 3.2. $E = \overline{C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{\|\cdot\|_E}$

Proof: It follows the same ideas as Theorem 8.21, which can be found in [1]. For that reason, we will omit your proof \square

Lemma 3.3. $E \xrightarrow[\text{comp}]{} L_{loc}^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Lemma 3.4. Suppose $(u_n) \subset E$ is a bounded sequence in E , then there is $u \in E$ such that $u_n \xrightarrow{*} u$ in $D^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Proof: Since (u_n) is a bounded sequence in E , then (u_n) is a bounded sequence in $D^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and by the Lemma 2.8, there is $u \in D^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $u_n \xrightarrow{*} u$ in $D^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Let us see that $u \in E$, because by Lemma 3.3 and Corollary 2.9, we can conclude that less than one subsequence

$$u_n(x) \longrightarrow u(x), \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

By Fatou's Lemma

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)\Phi(|u(x)|)dx \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)\Phi(|u_n(x)|)dx.$$

Since (u_n) is bounded in E , then (u_n) is bounded in $L_V^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^N)$. As $\Phi \in (\Delta_2)$, there is $C > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)\Phi(|u_n(x)|)dx \leq C, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)\Phi(|u(x)|)dx < +\infty,$$

showing that $u \in E$, and the proof is complete.

□

The energy functional $J : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ associated with (P) is given by

$$J(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|\nabla u|) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)\Phi(|u|) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x)F(u) dx,$$

is well defined. From the conditions on f , the functional $\mathcal{F} : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{F}(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x)F(u) dx$$

belongs to $C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$ and its Gateaux derivative is given by

$$\mathcal{F}'(u)v = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x)f(u)v dx, \quad \forall u, v \in E.$$

Related to the functional $Q : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$Q(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|\nabla u|) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)\Phi(|u|) dx,$$

we know that it is $Q \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$ when Φ and $\tilde{\Phi}$ satisfy the condition (Δ_2) and this occurs when we have the condition satisfied to $\ell > 1$. When $\ell = 1$, we know that $\tilde{\Phi} \notin (\Delta_2)$ and therefore cannot guarantee the differentiability of functional Q . However, in this work, we will see that the lack of differentiability of the functional Q will not be an obstacle, and contrary to what happens in [4], we do not resort to Szulkin's minimax theorem, but rather, we will use the minimax theorem due to the Ghoussoub-Preiss, which can be found in [14, Theorem 6] .

Here we emphasize that both the definitions and the results of this work will be done for $\ell \geq 1$.

We will say that $u \in E$ is a critical point for the functional $J : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ if

$$(3.2) \quad Q(v) - Q(u) \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x)f(u)(v - u) dx, \quad \forall v \in E.$$

Before establishing that a critical point u in the sense (3.2) is a weak solution for (P), let us present some results involving the functional Q .

Lemma 3.5. *Let (u_n) be a sequence in E , and suppose that there is $u \in E$ such that $u_n \xrightarrow{*} u$ in $D^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|\nabla u|) dx \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|\nabla u_n|) dx.$$

Proof: Consider $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ arbitrary. For every $R > 1$, define the function

$$\omega_R(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{se } x \in B_R(0) \\ 0, & \text{se } x \in (B_R(0))^c \end{cases} .$$

It is clear that $\omega_R \in E^{\tilde{\Phi}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, because $\omega_R \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\text{supp}(\omega_R) \subset\subset \mathbb{R}^N$. As a consequence, we have $\varphi\omega_R \in E^{\tilde{\Phi}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. We know that $L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^N) \xrightarrow[\text{cont}]{*} L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, such as $u_n, u \in D^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then

$$\frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, N$$

and hence

$$\frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \omega_R, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \omega_R \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

By hypothesis, $u_n \xrightarrow{*} u$ in $D^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and by Lemma 2.8,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \omega_R \right) \varphi dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} (\omega_R \varphi) dx \longrightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} (\omega_R \varphi) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \omega_R \right) \varphi dx.$$

By the arbitrariness of φ in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$\frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \omega_R \longrightarrow \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \omega_R, \quad \text{in } L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

because $(L^1(\mathbb{R}^N))^* = L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Therefore, applying [15, Theorem 2.1], we can conclude that

$$\int_{B_R(0)} \Phi(|\nabla u|) dx \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|\nabla u_n| \omega_R) dx \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|\nabla u_n|) dx.$$

Passing the limit at $R \rightarrow +\infty$, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|\nabla u|) dx \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|\nabla u_n|) dx,$$

which completes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.6. *The functional Q is Gateaux differentiable, that is, $\frac{\partial Q(u)}{\partial v}$ exists for every $u, v \in E$ with*

$$\frac{\partial Q(u)}{\partial v} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi(|\nabla u|) \nabla u \nabla v dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) \phi(|u|) u v dx.$$

Proof: See Lemma 4.1 in [4].

Lemma 3.7. *Let Φ an N -function of the form (1.1) satisfying (ϕ_1) , (ϕ_2) and (ϕ_3) . If $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L_V^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then there exists $H_1 \in L_V^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and a subsequence $\{u_{n_j}\}$ such that*

i) $|u_{n_j}(x)| \leq H_1(x)$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and every $j \in \mathbb{N}$

ii) $u_{n_j}(x) \rightarrow u(x)$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N and every $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof: Since that

$$\begin{aligned} \|V(x)\Phi(2|u_n - u|)\|_1 &= \int_{\Omega} V(x)\Phi \left(2\|u_n - u\|_{V,\Phi} \frac{|u_n - u|}{\|u_n - u\|_{V,\Phi}} \right) dx \\ &\leq 2\|u_n - u\|_{V,\Phi} \int_{\Omega} V(x)\Phi \left(\frac{|u_n - u|}{\|u_n - u\|_{V,\Phi}} \right) dx \\ &= 2\|u_n - u\|_{V,\Phi}, \end{aligned}$$

where $2\|u_n - u\|_{V,\Phi} \leq 1$. Thus,

$$\|V(x)\Phi(2|u_n - u|)\|_1 \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{when } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore, there is $H \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and a subsequence $\{u_{n_j}\}$ such that

i) $V(x)\Phi(2|u_{n_j}(x) - u(x)|) \leq H(x)$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and all $j \in \mathbb{N}$

ii) $u_{n_j}(x) \rightarrow u(x)$, a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N .

From item ii), we have

$$|u_{n_j}(x)| \leq |u(x)| + \frac{1}{2} \Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{H(x)}{V(x)} \right).$$

Clearly $H_1 \in L_V^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^N)$ where

$$H_1(x) = |u(x)| + \frac{1}{2}\Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{H(x)}{V(x)}\right),$$

and the lemma follows. \square

As an immediate consequence of the Lemma 3.7, we have the following result.

Lemma 3.8. *The functional $Q : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous in the norm topology.*

Lemma 3.9. *The Gateaux derivative $Q' : E \rightarrow E^*$ is continuous from the norm topology of E to the weak* topology of E^* .*

Proof: By the Proposition 3.2 of [13] is sufficient prove that, any sequence $(u_n) \subset E$ such that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in E , implies

$$\langle Q'(u_n), v \rangle \rightarrow \langle Q'(u), v \rangle, \quad \forall v \in E.$$

Consider $(u_n) \subset E$ such that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in E , then

$$|\nabla u_n| \rightarrow |\nabla u| \text{ in } L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ and } u_n \rightarrow u \text{ in } L_V^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

By Lemma 3.7, there are $H_1 \in L_V^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $H_2 \in L_V^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and a subsequence $\{u_{n_j}\} \subset \{u_n\}$ such that

i) $|u_{n_j}(x)| \leq H_1(x)$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and all $j \in \mathbb{N}$

ii) $|\nabla u_{n_j}(x)| \leq H_2(x)$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and all $j \in \mathbb{N}$

iii) $u_{n_j}(x) \rightarrow u(x)$, a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N and all $j \in \mathbb{N}$

iv) $|\nabla u_{n_j}(x)| \rightarrow |\nabla u(x)|$, a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N and all $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Set $v \in E$ arbitrary. By the continuity of the function ϕ , it follows that

$$\phi(|\nabla u_{n_j}(x)|)|\nabla u_{n_j}(x)|\nabla v(x) \rightarrow \phi(|\nabla u(x)|)|\nabla u(x)|\nabla v(x), \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Also, by (ϕ_1) the function $\phi(t)t$ is increasing for every $t > 0$, thus

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(|\nabla u_{n_j}(x)|)|\nabla u_{n_j}(x)|\nabla v(x) &\leq \phi(|\nabla u_{n_j}(x)|)|\nabla u_{n_j}(x)||\nabla v(x)| \\ &\leq \phi(|H(x)|)|H(x)||\nabla v(x)|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi(|\nabla u_{n_j}|)|\nabla u_{n_j}|\nabla v dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|\nabla v dx,$$

with this, we ensure that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi(|\nabla u_n|)|\nabla u_n|\nabla v dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|\nabla v dx.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi(|u_n|)u_n v dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi(|u|)u v dx.$$

Therefore,

$$\langle Q'(u_n), v \rangle \rightarrow \langle Q'(u), v \rangle.$$

By the arbitrariness of $v \in E$, we conclude the results. \square

Proposition 3.10. *If $u \in E$ is a critical point of J in E , then u is a weak solution to (P) .*

Proof: See Lemma 4.1 in [4]. \square

4. A WEAK MOUNTAIN PASS THEOREM WITHOUT (PS) CONDITION

In this section we present a weaker version of the mountain pass theorem. This theorem will be fundamental to guarantee the existence of a Cerami sequence associated with the energy functional of the problem (P). Before that, we will present the definition of the Cerami sequence.

Definition 4.1 (Cerami Sequence). *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ be a Banach space and $L : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a continuous, Gateaux-differentiable function, such that $L' : X \rightarrow X^*$ is continuous from the norm topology of X to the weak* topology of X^* . We say that $(x_n) \subset X$ is a Cerami sequence at the level $c \in \mathbb{R}$, denoted by $(C)_c$, when*

$$L(x_n) \rightarrow c \quad \text{and} \quad (1 + \|x_n\|_X) \|L'(x_n)\|_{X^*} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{when } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

We will say that L verifies the Cerami condition, or simply the (C) condition, when every sequence $(C)_c$ for $c \in \mathbb{R}$, admits a subsequence that converges strongly on X .

Theorem 4.2. (Mountain Pass Theorem) *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ be a Banach space and $L : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a continuous, Gateaux-differentiable function, such that $L' : X \rightarrow X^*$ is continuous from the norm topology of X to the weak* topology of X^* . In addition, assume that L verifies the mountain pass geometry, that is:*

- i) $J|_{\partial B_\rho} \geq \eta$, for some constants $\rho, \eta > 0$
- ii) $J(e) < \eta$, for some $e \notin \overline{B_\rho(0)}$

If

$$c := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0,1]} L(\gamma(t)) \geq \eta$$

where

$$\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0,1], X) : \gamma(0) = 0 \text{ and } \gamma(1) = e\}.$$

Then there exists a sequence (x_n) in X such that

$$L(x_n) \rightarrow c \quad \text{and} \quad (1 + \|x_n\|) \|L'(x_n)\|_* \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof: Immediate consequence of Theorem 5.46 in [5]. □

It is obvious that another immediate corollary of the Ghoussoub-Preiss theorem is the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz theorem without the Palais-Smale Condition found in [24, Theorem 1.15].

4.1. Cerami Sequence Existence. Now, we will see that the functional J associated with the problem (P) verifies the mountain pass geometry.

Remark 1. *The inequality (1.2) implies the following inequalities*

$$\xi_{0,A}(t)A(\rho) \leq A(\rho t) \leq \xi_{1,A}(t)A(\rho), \quad \forall \rho, t \geq 0$$

when

$$\xi_{0,A}(t) = \min\{t^{a_1}, t^{a_2}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_{1,A}(t) = \max\{t^{a_1}, t^{a_2}\}, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Besides by Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{A(|s|)}{\Phi(|s|)} = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(|s|)}{\Phi_*(|s|)} = 0$$

Lemma 4.3. *There are $\rho, \eta > 0$ such that $J(u) \geq \eta$ if $\|u\|_E = \rho$.*

Proof: If V and K verify the condition (K_3) , given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $r > 0$ such that

$$(4.1) \quad K(x) \leq \varepsilon \left(V(x) \frac{\Phi(|s|)}{A(|s|)} + \frac{\Phi_*(|s|)}{A(|s|)} \right),$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ e $|x| \geq r$. From (f_1) and (f_2) , there are $C_1, C_2 > 0$ verifying

$$(4.2) \quad |F(s)| \leq C_1 A(|s|) + C_2 \Phi_*(|s|), \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Multiplying $K(x)$ in (4.2) and using (4.1) implies

$$(4.3) \quad K(x)|F(s)| \leq \varepsilon C_1 (V(x)\Phi(|s|) + \Phi_*(|s|)) + C_2 \|K\|_\infty \Phi_*(|s|),$$

for all $|x| \geq r$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$. From Remark 1, there is $s_0 > 0$ such that

$$(4.4) \quad A(|s|) \leq \varepsilon \Phi(|s|), \quad \forall |s| \leq s_0.$$

By (f_1) , there are $C_1, s_1 > 0$ in such way that

$$(4.5) \quad |F(s)| \leq \varepsilon C_3 A(|s|), \quad \forall |s| \leq s_1.$$

Thus, by (4.4) and (4.5)

$$|F(s)| \leq \varepsilon C_3 \Phi(|s|), \quad \forall |s| \leq \min\{s_0, s_1\},$$

and from (f_2) , there is $C_4 > 0$ such that

$$|F(s)| \leq C_4 \Phi_*(|s|), \quad \forall |s| \geq \min\{s_0, s_1\}.$$

Hence

$$|F(s)| \leq \varepsilon C_3 \Phi(|s|) + C_4 \Phi_*(|s|), \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

As a consequence, if $|x| \leq r$, there is a constant $C_5 > 0$ verifying

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} K(x)|F(s)| &\leq \varepsilon C_3 \frac{K(x)}{V(x)} V(x)\Phi(|s|) + C_4 K(x)\Phi_*(|s|) \\ &\leq \varepsilon C_5 V(x)\Phi(|s|) + C_5 \Phi_*(|s|), \end{aligned}$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. From (4.3) and (4.6),

$$\begin{aligned} K(x)|F(s)| &\leq \varepsilon C_6 \frac{K(x)}{V(x)} V(x)\Phi(|s|) + C_7 K(x)\Phi_*(|s|) \\ &\leq \varepsilon C_8 V(x)\Phi(|s|) + C_8 \Phi_*(|s|), \end{aligned}$$

for some constants $C_i > 0$, $i = 6, 7, 8$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$. By definition of the functional J , it follows that

$$J(u) \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|\nabla u|) dx + (1 - \varepsilon C_8) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)\Phi(|u|) dx - C_8 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_*(|u|) dx.$$

Thus, for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, we obtain

$$J(u) \geq C_9 (\xi_0(\|\nabla u\|_\Phi) + \xi_0(\|u\|_{V,\Phi})) - C_9 \xi_3(\|u\|_{\Phi_*}),$$

for some $C_9 > 0$, where

$$\xi_0(t) = \min\{t^\ell, t^m\} \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_3(t) = \max\{t^{\ell^*}, t^{m^*}\}.$$

Choose $\rho > 0$ such that

$$\|u\|_E = \|u\|_{D^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \|u\|_{V,\Phi} = \rho < 1.$$

As E is continuously immersed in $L^{\Phi_*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we get $\|u\|_{\Phi_*} \leq 1$. Furthermore,

$$J(u) \geq C_9 (\|\nabla u\|_\Phi^m + \|u\|_{V,\Phi}^m) - C_9 \|u\|_{\Phi_*}^{\ell^*}.$$

Using classical inequality

$$(x + y)^\alpha \leq 2^{\alpha-1}(x^\alpha + y^\alpha), \quad x, y \geq 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \alpha > 1,$$

we concluded that

$$J(u) \geq C\|u\|_E^m - C\|u\|_E^{\ell^*}.$$

for some $C > 0$. Since $0 < m < \ell^*$, there is $\rho > 0$ such that

$$J(u) \geq \eta, \quad \text{for} \quad \|u\|_E = \rho.$$

When V and K check the condition (K_2) , the result follows similarly. □

Lemma 4.4. *There is $e \in E$ with $\|u\|_E > 1$ and $J(e) < 0$.*

Proof: Consider $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$ and $C_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(4.7) \quad C_1 > \xi_1(\|\psi\|_{D^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)}) + \xi_1(\|\psi\|_{V,\Phi}).$$

By (f_4) , there exists $C_2 > 0$ such that

$$F(t) \leq C_1|t|^m - C_2, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Thus

$$K(x)F(t) \geq C_1K(x)|t|^m - C_2K(x), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text{e} \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

That said, considering $t > 0$, we have

$$J(t\psi) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(t|\nabla\psi|)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)\Phi(t|\psi|)dx - C_1t^m \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x)|\psi|^m dx + C_3|supp(\psi)|,$$

before that, it follows from the Lemma 2.1 that

$$J(t\psi) \leq \xi_1(t)(\xi_1(\|\psi\|_{D^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)}) + \xi_1(\|\psi\|_{V,\Phi})) - C_1t^m \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x)|\psi|^m dx + C_3|supp(\psi)|,$$

therefore, for $t > 1$,

$$(4.8) \quad J(t\psi) \leq t^m(\xi_1(\|\psi\|_{D^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)}) + \xi_1(\|\psi\|_{V,\Phi})) - C_1t^m \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x)|\psi|^m dx + C_3|supp(\psi)|.$$

By (4.7) and (4.8), we can conclude that

$$J(t\psi) \longrightarrow -\infty \quad t \rightarrow +\infty.$$

The last limit guarantees the existence of $t > 0$ large enough that the result is verified with $e = t\psi$. □

Therefore, applying the Corollary 4.2 with $L = J$, we guarantee the existence of a Cerami sequence $(u_n) \subset E$ such that

$$(4.9) \quad J(u_n) \longrightarrow c \quad \text{and} \quad (1 + \|u_n\|_E)\|J'(u_n)\|_* \longrightarrow 0.$$

5. HARDY-TYPE INEQUALITY

In this section we present the following Hardy-type inequality.

Proposition 5.1 (Hardy Type Inequality). *Suppose that V and K satisfy (K_2) , then E is compactly embedded in $L_K^B(\mathbb{R}^N)$, where $B(t) = \int_0^{|t|} sb(s)ds$ is a N -function satisfying*

$$(5.1) \quad 0 < b_1 \leq \frac{t^2 b(t)}{B(t)} \leq b_2, \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

where $m < b_1 \leq b_2 < \ell^*$. When V and K verify (K_3) , then E is compactly embedded in $L_K^A(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Remark 2. *The inequality (5.1) implies the following inequalities*

$$\xi_{0,B}(t)B(\rho) \leq B(\rho t) \leq \xi_{1,B}(t)B(\rho), \quad \forall \rho, t \geq 0$$

when

$$\xi_{0,B}(t) = \min\{t^{b_1}, t^{b_2}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_{1,B}(t) = \max\{t^{b_1}, t^{b_2}\}, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

In the same way of remark 1, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{B(|t|)}{\Phi(|t|)} = 0 \quad e \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B(|t|)}{\Phi_*(|t|)} = 0.$$

Proof of Proposition 5.1: We will assume that (K_2) is true. In this case, by Remark 2, given $\varepsilon > 0$, there are $0 < s_0 < s_1$ and $C > 0$, such that

$$(5.2) \quad K(x)B(|s|) \leq \varepsilon C(V(x)\Phi(|s|) + \Phi_*(|s|)) + CK(x)\chi_{[s_0, s_1]}(|s|)\Phi_*(|s|),$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Thus, for $r > 0$ large enough,

$$(5.3) \quad \int_{B_r(0)^c} K(x)B(|u|)dx \leq \varepsilon CQ(u) + C\Phi_*(s_1) \int_{A_u \cap B_r(0)^c} K(x)dx, \quad \forall u \in E,$$

where

$$Q(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)\Phi(|u|)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_*(|u|)dx$$

and

$$A_u = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : s_0 \leq |u(x)| \leq s_1\}.$$

Consider (v_n) a bounded sequence in E . To see that the operator $i : E \rightarrow L_K^B(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is compact just prove that (v_n) has a convergent subsequence on $L_K^B(\mathbb{R}^N)$. By Lemma 3.4, there is $v \in E$ such that $v_n \xrightarrow{*} v$ in $D^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, or equivalently $w_n \xrightarrow{*} 0$ in $D^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, where $w_n = v_n - v$. Still because of the limitation of (v_n) in E and $\Phi, \Phi_* \in (\Delta_2)$, there is $M_1 > 0$ verifying

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)\Phi(|w_n|)dx \leq M_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_*(|w_n|)dx \leq M_1, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

implying that $(Q(w_n))$ is bounded.

On the other hand, defining

$$A_n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : s_0 \leq |w_n(x)| \leq s_1\}$$

the last inequality implies that

$$\Phi_*(s_0)|A_n| \leq \int_{A_n} \Phi_*(|w_n|)dx \leq M_1, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

With this, we can guarantee that $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |A_n| < +\infty$. Therefore, by K_1)

$$(5.4) \quad \int_{A_n \cap B_r(0)^c} K(x) dx < \frac{\varepsilon}{\Phi_*(s_1)}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

From (5.3) and (5.4),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_r(0)^c} K(x) B(|w_n|) dx &\leq \varepsilon C M_1 + \Phi_*(s_1) \int_{A_n \cap B_r(0)^c} K(x) dx \\ &\leq \varepsilon (C M_1 + 1), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence,

$$(5.5) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_r(0)^c} K(x) B(|w_n|) dx \leq \varepsilon (C M_1 + 1).$$

Since E is compactly immersed in $L_{loc}^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^N)$, so by the Corollary 2.9, there is a subsequence of (v_n) , still denoted by itself, that such

$$v_n \longrightarrow v \quad \text{in} \quad L^\Phi(B_r(0)).$$

Thus, there is a subsequence of (v_n) , still denoted by itself, verifying

$$v_n(x) \longrightarrow v(x) \quad \text{a.e. in } B_r(0),$$

that is,

$$w_n(x) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } B_r(0).$$

Consider the functions $P : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $Q : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$P(t) = B(|t|) \quad \text{and} \quad Q(t) = \Phi_*(|t|).$$

Of course P and Q are continuous in addition

$$\lim_{|t| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{P(t)}{Q(t)} = 0.$$

Finally, it follows from the limitation of (v_n) in E and from the fact that $\Phi_* \in (\Delta_2)$ the existence of a constant $C_1 > 0$, so that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q(w_n) dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_*(|w_n|) dx < C_1, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Invoking the Lemma Strauss

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_r(0)} P(w_n) dx = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$(5.6) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_r(0)} K(x) B(|w_n|) dx = 0.$$

According to (5.5) and (5.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x) B(|w_n|) dx &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_r(0)} K(x) B(|w_n|) dx \\ &\quad + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_r(0)^c} K(x) B(|w_n|) dx \\ &\leq \varepsilon (C M_1 + 1). \end{aligned}$$

By the arbitrariness of $\varepsilon > 0$, it follows that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x)B(|w_n|)dx = 0.$$

As B verifies Δ_2 -condition, we have that

$$w_n \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{in} \quad L_K^B(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

in other words

$$v_n \longrightarrow v \quad \text{in} \quad L_K^B(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

showing the result for the case (K_2) .

Now, assume that (K_3) holds. By (K_3) given $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $r > 0$ large enough such that

$$(5.7) \quad K(x)A(s) \leq \varepsilon(V(x)\Phi(|s|) + \Phi_*(|s|)),$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $|x| \geq r$. Thus

$$(5.8) \quad \int_{B_r(0)^c} K(x)A(|u|)dx \leq \varepsilon \int_{B_r(0)^c} (V(x)\Phi(|u|) + \Phi_*(|u|))dx,$$

for every $u \in E$. Consider (v_n) a bounded sequence in E . To see that the operator $i : E \rightarrow L_K^B(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is compact just prove that (v_n) has a convergent subsequence on $L_K^B(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Since (v_n) is bounded in E , we have that (v_n) is bounded in $D^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, so there is $u \in E$ such that $v_n \xrightarrow{*} v$ in $D^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, or equivalently $w_n \xrightarrow{*} 0$ in $D^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, where $w_n = v_n - v$. By the limitation of (v_n) in E and $\Phi, \Phi_* \in (\Delta_2)$, there is $M_1 > 0$ such that

$$(5.9) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)\Phi(|w_n|)dx \leq M_1, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_*(|w_n|)dx \leq M_1, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thus, by (5.8) and (5.9), we obtain

$$(5.10) \quad \int_{B_r(0)^c} K(x)A(|w_n|)dx \leq \varepsilon M_1, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Again, we use the Corollary 2.9 and the fact that E is immersed compactly in $L_{loc}^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to ensure the existence of a subsequence of (v_n) , still denoted by itself, such that

$$v_n \longrightarrow v \quad \text{in} \quad L^\Phi(B_r(0)).$$

Thus, there is a subsequence of (v_n) , still denoted by itself, that such

$$v_n(x) \longrightarrow v(x) \quad \text{a.e. in } B_r(0),$$

that is,

$$w_n(x) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } B_r(0).$$

Consider the functions $P : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $Q : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$P(t) = A(|t|) \quad \text{e} \quad Q(t) = \Phi_*(|t|).$$

Clearly P and Q are continuous, moreover

$$\lim_{|t| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{P(t)}{Q(t)} = 0.$$

Finally, it follows from the limitation of (v_n) in E that there is $C_1 > 0$, such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q(w_n)dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_*(|w_n|)dx < C_1.$$

Then, by compactness Lemma of Strauss [12, Theorem A.I, p. 338],

$$\int_{B_r(0)} P(w_n) dx \longrightarrow 0.$$

Therefore,

$$(5.11) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_r(0)} K(x) A(|w_n|) dx = 0.$$

By (5.10) e (5.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x) A(|w_n|) dx &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_r(0)} A(|w_n|) dx \\ &\quad + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_r(0)^c} K(x) A(|w_n|) dx \\ &\leq \varepsilon (CM_1 + 1). \end{aligned}$$

By the arbitrariness of $\varepsilon > 0$, it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x) A(|w_n|) dx = 0.$$

As $A \in (\Delta_2)$,

$$w_n \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{in} \quad L_K^A(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

in other words

$$v_n \longrightarrow v \quad \text{in} \quad L_K^A(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

which completes the proof. □

6. THE CERAMI SEQUENCE IS BOUNDED

Next lemma is an important step to prove that the Cerami sequence obtained in (4.9) is bounded.

Lemma 6.1. *Let (v_n) be a sequence bounded in E such that $v_n \xrightarrow{*} v$ in $D^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and f satisfying (f_2) . Suppose that f satisfies (f_1) and (K_2) (or (f'_1) and (K_3)), then*

$$(6.1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x) F(v_n) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x) F(v) dx,$$

$$(6.2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x) f(v_n) v_n dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x) f(v) v dx$$

and

$$(6.3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x) f(v_n) \psi dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x) f(v) \psi dx, \quad \forall \psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Proof: Initially, we will assume that (K_2) is true. From (f_1) and (f_2) , given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $C > 0$ such that

$$(6.4) \quad K(x) |F(s)| \leq \varepsilon C_1 (V(x) \Phi(|s|) + \Phi_*(|s|)) + C_2 K(x) B(|s|), \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

By Proposition 5.1 there is a subsequence (v_{n_j}) of (v_n) such that

$$\|v_{n_j} - v\|_{K,B} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since $B \in (\Delta_2)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x)B(|v_{n_j}|)dx \longrightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x)B(|v|)dx.$$

From Vitali's Theorem there is $r > 0$ verifying

$$(6.5) \quad \int_{B_r^c(0)} K(x)B(|v_{n_j}|)dx < \varepsilon, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Moreover, as (v_{n_j}) is bounded in E , there is a constant $M_1 > 0$ satisfying

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)\Phi(|v_{n_j}|)dx \leq M_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_*(|v_{n_j}|)dx \leq M_1, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Combining these inequalities with (6.4) and (6.5), we obtain

$$\left| \int_{B_r(0)^c} K(x)F(v_{n_j})dx \right| \leq (2C_1M_1 + C_2)\varepsilon, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Now, in the case (K_3) , repeating the same arguments of Proposition 5.1, given $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, there is $r > 0$ large such that

$$K(x) \leq \varepsilon \left(V(x) \frac{\Phi(|s|)}{A(|s|)} + \frac{\Phi_*(|s|)}{A(|s|)} \right),$$

for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$ e $|x| \geq r$. Thus,

$$(6.6) \quad K(x)|F(s)| \leq \varepsilon \left(V(x) \frac{|F(s)|}{A(|s|)} \Phi(|s|) + \frac{|F(s)|}{A(|s|)} \Phi_*(|s|) \right),$$

for every $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $|x| \geq r$. From (f'_1) and (f_2) there are $C, s_0, s_1 > 0$ verifying

$$(6.7) \quad \frac{|F(s)|}{A(s)} \leq C, \quad \forall |s| \leq s_0$$

and

$$(6.8) \quad F(s) \leq \varepsilon \Phi_*(|s|), \quad \forall |s| > s_1.$$

By (6.6) and (6.7), we have

$$(6.9) \quad K(x)|F(s)| \leq \varepsilon C (V(x)\Phi(|s|) + \Phi_*(|s|)),$$

for all $|x| \geq r$ and $|s| \leq s_0$. Therefore, inequalities (6.8) and (6.9) imply that

$$K(x)|F(s)| \leq \varepsilon C (V(x)\Phi(|s|) + \Phi_*(|s|)),$$

for every $s \in I$ and $|x| \geq r$ where $I = \{s \in \mathbb{R} : |s| < s_0 \text{ or } |s| > s_1\}$. Thus, for any $u \in E$, we have the following estimate

$$\left| \int_{B_r(0)^c} K(x)F(u)dx \right| \leq \varepsilon C Q(u) + C \Phi_*(s_1) \int_{A_u \cap B_r(0)^c} K(x)dx,$$

where

$$Q(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)\Phi(|u|)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_*(|u|)dx$$

and

$$A_u = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : s_0 \leq |u(x)| \leq s_1\}.$$

Since (v_{n_j}) is bounded in E , there is $M > 0$ such that

$$(6.10) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)\Phi(|v_{n_j}|)dx \leq M_1, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_*(|v_{n_j}|)dx \leq M_1, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{B_r(0)^c} K(x)F(v_{n_j})dx \leq 2M_1\varepsilon + C \int_{A_j \cap B_R(0)^c} K(x)dx,$$

where

$$A_j = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : s_0 \leq |v_{n_j}(x)| \leq s_1\}.$$

Repeating the same arguments used in the proof of Proposition 5.1, we have

$$\int_{A_j \cap B_R(0)^c} K(x)dx \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text{when} \quad |x| \rightarrow \infty,$$

and thus

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \left| \int_{B_r(0)^c} K(x)F(v_{n_j})dx \right| \leq (2M_1 + 1)\varepsilon.$$

On the other hand, by using the compactness Lemma of Strauss

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{B_r(0)} K(x)F(v_{n_j})dx = \int_{B_r(0)} K(x)F(v)dx.$$

Therefore,

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x)F(v_{n_j})dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x)F(v)dx \right| \leq 2(2M_1 + 1)\varepsilon.$$

Due to the arbitrariness of $\varepsilon > 0$, it follows that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x)F(v_{n_j})dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x)F(v)dx.$$

In light of this, we can conclude that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x)F(v_n)dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x)F(v)dx.$$

The second stated limit follows in an analogous way. The last limit indicated follows directly from by using the compactness Lemma of Strauss. \square

Now, we can prove that the Cerami sequence (u_n) obtained is bounded.

Proposition 6.2. *The Cerami sequence (u_n) given in (4.9) is bounded.*

Proof: Let $t_n \in [0, 1]$ be such that $J(t_n u_n) = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} J(t u_n)$. We claim that $(J(t_n u_n))$ is bounded. If $t_n = 0$ and $t_n = 1$, there is nothing to do. So, assume that $t_n \in (0, 1)$, thus $J'(t_n u_n)u_n = 0$. That said,

$$\begin{aligned} mJ(t_n u_n) &= mJ(t_n u_n) - J'(t_n u_n)(t_n u_n) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (m\Phi(|\nabla(t_n u_n)|) - \phi(|\nabla(t_n u_n)|)|\nabla(t_n u_n)|^2)dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)(m\Phi(|t_n u_n|) - \phi(|t_n u_n|)|t_n u_n|^2)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x)\mathcal{H}(t_n u_n)dx, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{H}(s) = sf(s) - mF(s)$. By (f₄) the a function $\mathcal{H}(s)$ is increasing for all $s > 0$ and decreasing for $s < 0$, and by (ϕ₄) the function $s \mapsto m\Phi(s) - \phi(s)s^2$ is increasing for $s > 0$. Thus,

$$mJ(t_n u_n) \leq mJ(u_n) - J'(u_n)u_n = mJ(u_n) - o_n(1).$$

Therefore, $(J(t_n u_n))$ is bounded.

Now, we will prove that (u_n) is bounded. For this, suppose by contradiction that $\|u_n\|_E \rightarrow \infty$ when $n \rightarrow +\infty$, then we have the following cases:

- i) $\|\nabla u_n\|_\Phi \rightarrow +\infty$ and $(\|u_n\|_{V,\Phi})$ is bounded
- ii) $\|u_n\|_{V,\Phi} \rightarrow \infty$ and $(\|\nabla u_n\|_\Phi)$ is bounded
- iii) $\|\nabla u_n\|_\Phi \rightarrow +\infty$ and $\|u_n\|_{V,\Phi} \rightarrow +\infty$.

Let us study the case i). Consider the sequence $(w_n) \subset E$ given by

$$w_n = \frac{u_n}{\|\nabla u_n\|_\Phi}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

It is clear that (w_n) is bounded in E . Therefore, by Lemma 3.4 there is $w \in E$ such that $w_n \xrightarrow{*} w$ in E . Now, let's show that $w = 0$. Before that, as $J(u_n) \rightarrow c$ we have $J(u_n) \geq 0$, for every n large enough. Thus, there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(6.11) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|\nabla u_n|) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)\Phi(|u_n|) dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x)F(u_n) dx, \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_0.$$

Knowing that $\|\nabla u_n\|_\Phi \rightarrow \infty$, there exists, $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$, so that

$$(6.12) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|\nabla u_n|) dx \leq \|\nabla u_n\|_\Phi^m, \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_1 \geq n_0.$$

Since we are assuming that $(\|u_n\|_{V,\Phi})$ is bounded and $\Phi \in (\Delta_2)$, then there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$(6.13) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)\Phi(|u_n|) dx \leq C, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Therefore, by (6.12), (6.13) and (6.11), we get

$$\|\nabla u_n\|_\Phi^m + C \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x)F(u_n) dx, \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_1.$$

As $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\|\nabla u_n\|_\Phi^m} \leq 1$, we have

$$1 + C \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x) \frac{F(u_n)}{\|\nabla u_n\|_\Phi^m} dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x) \frac{F(u_n)}{|u_n|^m} |w_n|^m dx,$$

for every n large enough. The condition (f₄) implies that for every $\tau > 0$, there is $\xi > 0$ sufficiently large satisfying

$$\frac{F(s)}{|s|^m} \geq \tau, \quad \forall |s| \geq \xi.$$

Hence

$$1 + C \geq \int_{\Omega \cap \{|u_n| \geq \xi\}} K(x) \frac{F(u_n)}{|u_n|^m} |w_n|^m dx \geq \tau \int_{\Omega \cap \{|u_n| \geq \xi\}} K(x) |w_n|^m dx,$$

where $\Omega = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : w(x) \neq 0\}$. By Fatou's Lemma

$$1 + C \geq \tau \int_{\Omega} K(x)|w_n|^m dx, \quad \forall \tau > 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{\Omega} K(x)|w(x)|^m dx = 0.$$

By (K_0) , we have $K(x) > 0$, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, thus $|\Omega| = 0$, showing that $w = 0$.

Note that for every $M > 1$, there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, $\frac{M}{\|\nabla u_n\|_{\Phi}} \in [0, 1]$, for all $n \geq n_0$. Given this, we get

$$\begin{aligned} J(t_n u_n) &\geq J\left(\frac{M}{\|\nabla u_n\|_{\Phi}} u_n\right) = J\left(\frac{M}{\|\nabla u_n\|_{\Phi}} |u_n|\right) = J(Mw_n) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(M|\nabla w_n|) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)\Phi(M|w_n|) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x)F(Mw_n) dx \\ &\geq B \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|\nabla w_n|) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x)F(Mw_n) dx \\ &= B - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x)F(Mw_n) dx. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 6.1,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x)F(Mw_n) dx = 0,$$

that is,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} J(t_n u_n) \geq M, \quad \forall M \geq 1.$$

A contradiction to the fact that $(J(t_n u_n))$ is bounded. Therefore in the case *i*) the sequence (u_n) is bounded.

In the case *iii*), consider

$$w_n = \frac{u_n}{\|u_n\|_E}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Clearly $\|w_n\|_E = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 3.4 there is $w \in E$ such that $w_n \xrightarrow{*} w$ in $D^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Now, let us show that $w = 0$. As $\|\nabla u_n\|_{\Phi} \geq 1$ and $\|u_n\|_{V,\Phi} \geq 1$ for every $n \geq n_0$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|\nabla u_n|) dx \leq \|\nabla u_n\|_{\Phi}^m \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)\Phi(|u_n|) dx \leq \|u_n\|_{V,\Phi}^m, \quad \forall n \geq n_0.$$

So, by (6.11)

$$\|\nabla u_n\|_{\Phi}^m + \|u_n\|_{V,\Phi}^m \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x)F(u_n) dx, \quad \forall n \geq n_0.$$

Therefore, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$C(\|\nabla u_n\|_{\Phi} + \|u_n\|_{V,\Phi})^m \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x)F(u_n) dx, \quad \forall n \geq n_0,$$

or equivalently

$$C(\|u_n\|_E)^m \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x)F(u_n) dx, \quad \forall n \geq n_0.$$

Thus,

$$C \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x) \frac{F(u_n)}{\|u_n\|_E^m} dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x) \frac{F(u_n)}{|u_n|^m} |w_n|^m dx.$$

The condition (f_4) implies that for every $\tau > 0$, there is $\xi > 0$ sufficiently large such that

$$\frac{F(s)}{|s|^m} \geq \tau, \quad \forall |s| \geq \xi.$$

So

$$1 + C \geq \int_{\Omega \cap \{|u_n| \geq \xi\}} K(x) \frac{F(u_n)}{|u_n|^m} |w_n|^m dx \geq \tau \int_{\Omega \cap \{|u_n| \geq \xi\}} K(x) |w_n|^m dx,$$

where $\Omega = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : w(x) \neq 0\}$. By Fatou's Lemma,

$$1 + C \geq \tau \int_{\Omega} K(x) |w(x)|^m dx, \quad \forall \tau > 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{\Omega} K(x) |w(x)|^m dx = 0.$$

With $K(x) > 0$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, we have

$$|w(x)|^m = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad w(x) = 0 \quad a.e. \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

Therefore, $|\Omega| = 0$, showing that $w = 0$.

Note that for every $M > 1$, there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, $\frac{M}{\|\nabla u_n\|_{\Phi}} \in [0, 1]$, for all $n \geq n_0$. Given this, we get

$$\begin{aligned} J(t_n u_n) &\geq J\left(\frac{M}{\|\nabla u_n\|_{\Phi}} u_n\right) = J\left(\frac{M}{\|\nabla u_n\|_{\Phi}} |u_n|\right) = J(M w_n) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(M |\nabla w_n|) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) \Phi(M |w_n|) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x) F(M w_n) dx \\ &\geq M Q(u_n) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x) F(M w_n) dx. \end{aligned}$$

By definition of the sequence (w_n) , we have $\|\nabla w_n\|_{\Phi} \leq 1$ and $\|w_n\|_{V, \Phi} \leq 1$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|\nabla w_n|) dx \geq \|\nabla w_n\|_{\Phi}^m, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) \Phi(|w_n|) dx \geq \|w_n\|_{V, \Phi}^m, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

So there is $C > 0$ such that

$$Q(w_n) \geq \|\nabla w_n\|_{\Phi}^m + \|w_n\|_{V, \Phi}^m \geq C(\|\nabla w_n\|_{\Phi} + \|w_n\|_{V, \Phi})^m, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thus

$$J(t_n u_n) \geq M C (\|w_n\|_E)^m - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x) F(M w_n) dx = M C - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x) F(M w_n) dx.$$

By Lemma 6.1,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x) F(M w_n) dx = 0,$$

therefore,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} J(t_n u_n) \geq M, \quad \forall M \geq 1.$$

A contradiction to the fact that $(J(t_n u_n))$ is bounded. Therefore, (u_n) is bounded.

The case *ii*) is analogous to the case *i*). □

7. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

As a consequence of the previous section the Cerami sequence (u_n) associated with the mountain pass level c is bounded and thus, without loss of generality, we can assume that there is $u \in E$ such that

$$(7.1) \quad u_n \xrightarrow{*} u \text{ in } D^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad \text{and} \quad u_n(x) \rightarrow u(x) \text{ a.e. } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Also because (u_n) is a bounded Cerami sequence and Φ is convex, it is possible to show that

$$(7.2) \quad Q(v) - Q(u_n) \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x)f(u_n)(v - u_n)dx + o_n(1).$$

For the Lemma 3.5, we have to

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|\nabla u_n|)dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|\nabla u|)dx.$$

Now, due to the Fatou's Lemma, we have

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)\Phi(|u_n|)dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)\Phi(|u|)dx.$$

Therefore,

$$(7.3) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q(u_n) \geq Q(u).$$

From (7.2) and (7.3) together with the Lemma 6.1, we get

$$Q(v) - Q(u) \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x)f(u)(v - u)dx, \quad \forall v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

As $E = \overline{C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{\|\cdot\|_E}$ and $\Phi \in (\Delta_2)$, we conclude that

$$(7.4) \quad Q(v) - Q(u) \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x)f(u)(v - u)dx, \quad \forall v \in E.$$

In other words, u is a critical point of the J functional. And from Proposition 3.10, we can conclude that u is a weak solution for (P) .

Now, let's show that u is nontrivial. Before, we will prove the following Lemma.

Lemma 7.1. $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x)f(u_n)(u - u_n)dx = o_n(1).$

Proof: Let us consider the case (K_2) . From (f_1) and (f_2) , given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$K(x)f(s) \leq \varepsilon C(V(x)\phi(|s|)|s| + \phi_*(|s|)|s|) + CK(x)b(|s|)|s|,$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ e $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x)f(u_n)(u - u_n)dx \right| &\leq \varepsilon C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)\phi(|u_n|)|u_n||u - u_n|dx \\
 &\quad + \varepsilon C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)\phi_*(|u_n|)|u_n||u - u_n|dx \\
 &\quad + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x)b(|u_n|)|u_n||u - u_n|dx \\
 &\leq \varepsilon C \|\phi(|u_n|)|u_n|\|_{L^{\tilde{\Phi}}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \|u - u_n\|_{L^{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \\
 &\quad + \varepsilon C \|\phi_*(|u_n|)|u_n|\|_{L^{\tilde{\Phi}_*}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \|u - u_n\|_{L^{\Phi_*}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \\
 &\quad + C \|b(|u_n|)|u_n|\|_{L^{\tilde{B}}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \|u - u_n\|_{L^B(\mathbb{R}^N)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 5.1, there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|u - u_n\|_{L^B(\mathbb{R}^N)} < \varepsilon$, for all $n \geq n_0$. Since $(\|u - u_n\|_{L^{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)})$, $(\|u - u_n\|_{L^{\Phi_*}(\mathbb{R}^N)})$, $(\|\phi(|u_n|)|u_n|\|_{L^{\tilde{\Phi}}(\mathbb{R}^N)})$, $(\|\phi_*(|u_n|)|u_n|\|_{L^{\tilde{\Phi}_*}(\mathbb{R}^N)})$ and $(\|b(|u_n|)|u_n|\|_{L^{\tilde{B}}(\mathbb{R}^N)})$ are bounded, it follows that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x)f(u_n)(u - u_n)dx \right| \leq \varepsilon C_1, \quad \forall n \geq n_1$$

where $C_1 > 0$ is a constant that does not depend of $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x)f(u_n)(u - u_n)dx = o_n(1).$$

The case (K_3) follows the same idea. □

Returning to the proof of the Theorem 1.1, it follows from Lemma 6.1 that

$$(7.5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x)F(u_n)dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x)F(u)dx.$$

As $J'(u_n)(u - u_n) = o_n(1)$, then

$$Q(u) - Q(u_n) \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x)f(u_n)(u - u_n)dx + o_n(1).$$

So, by this inequality above and the Lemma 7.1,

$$(7.6) \quad Q(u) \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q(u_n).$$

From (7.3) and (7.6),

$$(7.7) \quad Q(u) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q(u_n).$$

Thus, by (7.5) and (7.7), we conclude

$$0 < c = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J(u_n) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|\nabla u|)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)\Phi(|u|)dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x)F(u)dx = J(u),$$

that is, $u \neq 0$. Proving the Theorem 1.1.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Adams and J. F. Fournier, *Sobolev Spaces*, Academic Press (2003). [5](#), [8](#)
- [2] A. Szulkin, *Minimax principle for lower semicontinuous functions and applications to nonlinear boundary value problems*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré 3 (1986), 77-109. [2](#)
- [3] C.O. Alves and M.A.S. Souto, *Existence of solutions for a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with potential vanishing at infinity*, J. Differential Equations 254 (2013) 1977–1991 [2](#), [3](#)
- [4] C.O. Alves and M.L.M. Carvalho, *A Lions Type Result For a Large Class of Orlicz-Sobolev Space and Applications*, Moscow Mathematical Journal, 2021. [2](#), [9](#), [10](#), [11](#)
- [5] D. Montreano, D. Montreano, N.S. Papageorgiou, *Topological and Variational Methods with Applications to Nonlinear Boundary Value Problems*, Springer, New York (2014) [12](#)
- [6] E.D. da Silva, M. L. M. Carvalho, K. Silva and J. V.A. Gonçalves, *Quasilinear elliptic problems on non-reflexive Orlicz-Sobolev spaces*, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. 54 (2019), 587–612 [2](#)
- [7] E. DiBenedetto, *$C^{1,\gamma}$ local regularity of weak solutions of degenerate elliptic equations*, Nonlinear Anal. 7, no. 8, (1985) 827-850. [1](#)
- [8] Fukagai, M. Ito and K. Narukawa, *Positive solutions of quasilinear elliptic equations with critical Orlicz-Sobolev nonlinearity on \mathbb{R}^N* , Funkcial. Ekvac. 49 (2006), 235-267. [1](#), [5](#), [6](#), [7](#)
- [9] G. Bonanno, G.M. Bisci and V. Radulescu, *Quasilinear elliptic non-homogeneous Dirichlet problems through Orlicz-Sobolev spaces*, Nonl. Anal. 75 (2012), 4441-4456. [1](#)
- [10] G. Bonanno, G. M. Bisci and V. Radulescu, *Arbitrarily small weak solutions for a nonlinear eigenvalue problem in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces*, Monatshefte für Mathematik 165 (2012), 305-318. [1](#)
- [11] G.M. Figueiredo, *Existence and multiplicity of solutions for a class of p & q elliptic problems with critical exponent*, Math. Nachr. 286, no. 11-12, (2013) 1129-1141. [1](#)
- [12] H. Berestycki, P.L. Lions, *Nonlinear scalar field equations. I. Existence of a ground state*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 82 (1983) 313–346. [18](#)
- [13] H. Brezis, *Functional Analysis, Sobolev Spaces and Partial Differential Equations*. Springer, New York (2011) [7](#), [11](#)
- [14] I. Ekeland, *Convexity Methods in Hamiltonian Mechanics*, Springer, Berlin (1990) [9](#)
- [15] I. Ekeland and R. Temam, *Convex Analysis and Variational Problems*, North Holland, American Elsevier, New York, 1976. [10](#)
- [16] J.P. Gossez, *Nonlinear Elliptic boundary value problems for equations with rapidly(or slowly) increasing coefficients*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 190 (1974), 753–758. [1](#)
- [17] M. Fuchs and G. Li, *Variational inequalities for energy functionals with nonstandard growth conditions*, Abstr. Appl. Anal. 3 (1998), 405-412. [1](#)
- [18] M. Fuchs and V. Osmolovski, *Variational integrals on Orlicz Sobolev spaces*. Z. Anal. Anwendungen 17, 393-415 (1998) [6](#) [1](#)
- [19] M. Mihailescu and V. Rădulescu, *Nonhomogeneous Neumann problems in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 346 (2008), 401-406. [2](#)
- [20] M. Mihailescu and V. Rădulescu, *Existence and multiplicity of solutions for a quasilinear non-homogeneous problems: An Orlicz-Sobolev space setting*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 330 (2007), 416-432 [2](#)
- [21] M. Mihailescu and D. Repovš, *Multiple solutions for a nonlinear and non-homogeneous problems in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces*, Appl. Math. Comput. 217 (2011), 6624-6632. [2](#)
- [22] M. Mihailescu, V. Radulescu and D. Repovš, *On a non-homogeneous eigenvalue problem involving a potential: an Orlicz-Sobolev space setting*, J. Math. Pures Appl. 93 (2010), 132-148. [2](#)
- [23] M.N. Rao and Z.D. Ren, *Theory of Orlicz Spaces*, Marcel Dekker, New York (1985). [5](#)
- [24] M. Willem, *Minimax Theorems*, Birkhauser, Basel (1996) [12](#)
- [25] M. Tienari *A degree theory for a class of mappings of monotone type in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces*, Annales Academiae Scientiarum Fennicae. Series A. I, Mathematica. Dissertationes ; 97 [7](#)
- [26] N. Fukagai and K. Narukawa, *On the existence of multiple positive solutions of quasilinear elliptic eigenvalue problems*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 186, no. 3, (2007) 539-564. [1](#)
- [27] PH. Clément, M. Garcia-Huidobro, R. Manásevich and K. Schmitt, *Mountain pass type solutions for quasilinear elliptic equations*, Calc. Var. 11 (2000), 33-62. [1](#)
- [28] R. Cerný, *Generalized Moser-Trudinger inequality for unbounded domains and its application*, Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl. DOI 10.1007/s00030-011-0143-0. [1](#)
- [29] T. Donaldson, *Nonlinear elliptic boundary value problems in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces*, J. Diff. Equations 10 (1971), 507-528. [1](#)
- [30] V.K. Le and K. Schmitt, *Quasilinear elliptic equations and inequalities with rapidly growing coefficients*, J. London Math. Soc. 62 (2000) 852-872 [2](#)

- [31] V. Mustonen and M. Tienari, *An eigenvalue problem for generalized Laplacian in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces*, Proc. R. Soc. Edinburgh, 129A (1999), 153-163. [2](#)
- [32] W. Orlicz, *Über konjugierte Exponentenfolgen*, Studia Math. 3 (1931), 200-211 [2](#)

(Lucas da Silva)
UNIDADE ACADÊMICA DE MATEMÁTICA
UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE CAMPINA GRANDE,
58429-970, CAMPINA GRANDE - PB - BRAZIL
Email address: ls3@academico.ufpb.br

(Marco. A. S. Souto)
UNIDADE ACADÊMICA DE MATEMÁTICA
UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE CAMPINA GRANDE,
58429-970, CAMPINA GRANDE - PB - BRAZIL
Email address: marco@dme.ufcg.edu.br