

UPPER BOUNDS FOR THE PRODUCT OF ELEMENT ORDERS OF FINITE GROUPS

ELENA DI DOMENICO, CARMINE MONETTA, AND MARIALAURA NOCE

ABSTRACT. Let G be a finite group of order n , and denote by $\rho(G)$ the product of element orders of G . The aim of this work is to provide some upper bounds for $\rho(G)$ depending only on n and on its least prime divisor, when G belongs to some classes of non-cyclic groups.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is well-known that the behaviour of element orders strongly affects the structure of a periodic group. For instance, in the finite case, a group can be characterized by looking at its element orders, as the group $\mathrm{PSL}(2, q)$ for $q \neq 9$, see [8]. Therefore, it is natural to study the set $\omega(G) = \{o(x) \mid x \in G\}$ of a given periodic group, where $o(x)$ denotes the order of $x \in G$. To give an example, if $\omega(G) \subseteq \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, the group G is locally finite [19]. For a survey on this topic see [12]. Furthermore, one can impose some arithmetic conditions on element orders of a subset S of a group G to obtain information about the subgroup generated by S , see for instance [5–7, 17]. Moreover, some recent criteria for solvability, nilpotency and other properties of finite groups G , based either on the orders of the elements of G or on the orders of the subgroups of G have been described in [15].

Another direction is to consider functions depending on the element orders. In [2], H. Amiri et al. introduced the function $\psi(G)$, which denotes the sum of element orders of a finite group G . They proved that if G is a non-cyclic group of order n , then $\psi(G) < \psi(C_n)$, where C_n denotes the cyclic group of order n (see also [1] and [16]). Recently, this last result has been improved by M. Herzog et al., who proved in [11] that if G is a finite non-cyclic group then $\psi(G) \leq \frac{7}{11}\psi(C_n)$, by showing also that this bound is the best possible; see also [10, 13, 14].

In the present work, we will denote by $\rho(G)$ the product of element orders of a finite group G . Some finite groups can be recognized by the product of its element orders, like the groups $\mathrm{PSL}(2, 7)$ and $\mathrm{PSL}(2, 11)$, while the groups $\mathrm{PSL}(2, 5)$ and $\mathrm{PSL}(2, 13)$ are uniquely determined by their orders and the

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20D60, 20F16.

Key words and phrases. Group element orders, Frobenius groups, Sylow tower.

The authors are partially supported by the “National Group for Algebraic and Geometric Structures, and their Applications” (GNSAGA - INdAM). The first and the last author are supported by the Spanish Government grant MTM2017-86802-P, partly with FEDER funds, and by the Basque Government grant IT974-16. The first author acknowledges support from the Department of Mathematics of the University of Trento. The third author acknowledges support from EPSRC, grant number 1652316.

product of element orders, see [4]. However, one can easily see that in general the knowledge of $\rho(G)$ is not sufficient to recognize the group G , even when its order is known. Indeed, if we denote by S_4 the symmetric group of degree 4, and by D_{12} the dihedral group of order 12, then S_4 and $C_2 \times D_{12}$ have the same order and $\rho(S_4) = \rho(C_2 \times D_{12})$. This implies the necessity to found under which conditions $\rho(G)$ affects the structure of the group G .

The function ρ was studied by M. Garonzi and M. Patassini in [9], where they proved that $\rho(G) \leq \rho(C_n)$ for every finite group G of order n , and that $\rho(G) = \rho(C_n)$ if and only if $G \simeq C_n$. Later M. Tărnăuceanu in [20] studied $\rho(G)$ when G is a finite abelian group G , showing that two finite abelian groups of the same order are isomorphic if and only if they have the same product of element orders.

The aim of this paper is to provide more information about the function ρ . We consider some classes of non-cyclic finite groups, and we determine upper bounds for the product of element orders depending only on the order of the group and on the smallest prime dividing it.

Our first result reads as follows.

Theorem A. *Let G be a non-cyclic supersoluble group of order n . If either G is nilpotent or G is not metacyclic, then*

$$\rho(G) \leq q^{-\frac{n}{q}(q-1)} \rho(C_n).$$

where q is the smallest prime dividing n .

We recall that a group G is said to admit a *Sylow tower* if there exists a normal series

$$1 = G_0 \leq G_1 \leq \dots \leq G_n = G$$

such that each G_{i+1}/G_i is isomorphic to a Sylow subgroup of G for every $i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$.

As a consequence of Theorem A we obtain the following bound for groups admitting a Sylow tower.

Corollary B. *Let G be a non-cyclic group of order n admitting a Sylow tower. If q is the smallest prime dividing n , then*

$$\rho(G) \leq q^{-q} \rho(C_n).$$

Going further, we show that such a bound holds for other class of groups. Indeed, if G is a non-cyclic group of order n and q is the smallest prime dividing n , we show that

$$\rho(G) \leq q^{-q} \rho(C_n),$$

when either $n = p^\alpha q^\beta$, where $p > q$ are primes (Theorem 10), or G is a Frobenius group (Proposition 11).

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

In this section we recall some results concerning the function ρ , then we compute a bound for the product of element orders of a group with a normal Sylow p -subgroup, and finally we show the main result in the case of non-cyclic nilpotent groups.

The following results from [20] will be useful in the next.

Lemma 1. [20, Prop. 1.1] *Let $n \geq 1$, and let H_1, \dots, H_n be finite groups with pairwise coprime orders. Then*

$$\rho(H_1 \times \cdots \times H_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n \rho(H_i)^{\prod_{j \neq i} |H_j|}.$$

As a consequence, and by using [20, Theorem 1.1], one can readily see that the following corollary holds.

Corollary 2. [20, Ex. 1.1] *Let C_n be a cyclic group of order n .*

(i) *If $n = p^\alpha$ for some prime p , then*

$$\rho(C_n) = p \frac{\alpha p^{\alpha+1} - (\alpha + 1)p^\alpha + 1}{p - 1}.$$

(ii) *If $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_s^{\alpha_s}$, where p_i 's are distinct primes and α_i 's are positive integers, then*

$$\rho(C_n) = \prod_{i=1}^s p_i \left(\frac{\alpha_i p_i^{\alpha_i+1} - (\alpha_i + 1)p_i^{\alpha_i} + 1}{p_i - 1} \right) \frac{n}{p_i^{\alpha_i}}.$$

The following remarks will be useful in the next.

Remark 3. Let p be a prime and $\alpha \geq 1$. Then

$$(p^{\alpha-1})^{p^\alpha} \leq \rho(C_{p^\alpha})p^{-1}.$$

If, additionally, p is odd and $\alpha \geq 2$, we have

$$(p^{\alpha-1})^{p^\alpha} \leq \rho(C_{p^\alpha})p^{-p}.$$

Remark 4. Let $p \geq q$ be positive integers. Then

$$p^{-(p-1)/p} \leq q^{-(q-1)/q}$$

The next lemma collects results on the product of element orders of groups with a normal cyclic Sylow subgroup, that follow from Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.6 in [3].

Lemma 5. *Let p be a prime and let G be a finite group satisfying $G = P \rtimes F$, where P is a cyclic Sylow p -subgroup and $(p, |F|) = 1$. Write $Z = C_F(P)$. Then*

(i) $\rho(G) = \rho(P)^{|Z|} \rho(F)^{|P|}$.

(ii) $\rho(G) \mid \rho(P)^{|F|} \rho(F)^{|P|}$, with equality if and only if $C_F(P) = F$.

When $C_F(P) \neq F$ we have the following result.

Corollary 6. *Let p be a prime and let G be a finite group satisfying $G = P \rtimes F$, where P is a cyclic Sylow p -subgroup and $(p, |F|) = 1$. If $C_F(P) \neq F$, we have*

$$\rho(G) \leq q^{-q} \rho(C_{|G|}),$$

where q is the smallest prime dividing $|G|$.

Proof. Now, let $Z = C_F(P)$ and assume that $Z \neq F$. Applying Lemma 5 to G and [9, Theorem 3] to F , we have

$$\rho(G) = \rho(P)^{|Z|} \rho(F)^{|P|} \leq \rho(P)^{|Z|} \rho(C_{|F|})^{|P|} = \frac{1}{\rho(P)^{|F \setminus Z|}} \rho(C_{|G|}).$$

Therefore we only need to check that

$$q^q \leq \rho(P)^{|F \setminus Z|},$$

which is obviously true since $q \leq p$. \square

It will be also useful to have information about $\rho(G)$ when G has a non-cyclic normal Sylow subgroup.

Proposition 7. *Let p be a prime and let G be a finite group satisfying $G = P \rtimes F$, where P is a non-cyclic Sylow p -subgroup and $(p, |F|) = 1$. Then*

$$\rho(G) \leq \left(\frac{|P|}{p} \right)^{|G|} \rho(F)^{|P|}.$$

In particular, $\rho(G) \leq q^{-q} \rho(C_{|G|})$, where q is the smallest prime dividing $|G|$.

Proof. Since P is not cyclic, $o(x) \leq \frac{|P|}{p}$ for every $x \in P$. Now, $G = \cup_{x \in H} Px$, hence if $x \in H$ and $y \in P$ we have $(xy)^{o(x)} \in P$ and $o(xy)$ divides $\frac{|P|}{p} o(x)$. It follows that

$$\rho(G) = \prod_{x \in H} \prod_{y \in P} o(yx) \leq \prod_{x \in H} \prod_{y \in P} \frac{|P|}{p} o(x) = \prod_{y \in P} \left(\frac{|P|}{p} \right)^{|H|} \rho(H) \leq \left(\frac{|P|}{p} \right)^{|G|} \rho(H)^{|P|}.$$

Finally, from Remark 3 and [9, Theorem 3] we have

$$\rho(G) \leq (\rho(C_{|P|}) p^{-p})^{|H|} \rho(C_{|H|})^{|P|} = p^{-p|H|} \rho(C_{|G|}) \leq p^{-p} \rho(C_{|G|}) \leq q^{-q} \rho(C_{|G|}).$$

\square

It will be also useful to have information about $\rho(G)$ when G has a non-cyclic normal Sylow subgroup.

Proposition 8. *Let p be a prime and let G be a finite group satisfying $G = P \rtimes F$, where P is a non-cyclic Sylow p -subgroup and $(p, |F|) = 1$. Then*

$$\rho(G) \leq \left(\frac{|P|}{p} \right)^{|G|} \rho(F)^{|P|}.$$

In particular, $\rho(G) \leq q^{-q} \rho(C_{|G|})$, where q is the smallest prime dividing $|G|$.

Proof. Since P is not cyclic, $o(x) \leq \frac{|P|}{p}$ for every $x \in P$. Now, $G = \cup_{x \in H} Px$, hence if $x \in H$ and $y \in P$ we have $(xy)^{o(x)} \in P$ and $o(xy)$ divides $\frac{|P|}{p} o(x)$. It follows that

$$\rho(G) = \prod_{x \in H} \prod_{y \in P} o(yx) \leq \prod_{x \in H} \prod_{y \in P} \frac{|P|}{p} o(x) = \prod_{y \in P} \left(\frac{|P|}{p} \right)^{|H|} \rho(H) \leq \left(\frac{|P|}{p} \right)^{|G|} \rho(H)^{|P|}.$$

Finally, from Remark 3 and [9, Theorem 3] we have

$$\rho(G) \leq (\rho(C_{|P|}) p^{-p})^{|H|} \rho(C_{|H|})^{|P|} = p^{-p|H|} \rho(C_{|G|}) \leq p^{-p} \rho(C_{|G|}) \leq q^{-q} \rho(C_{|G|}).$$

\square

For a non-cyclic nilpotent group we have the following result.

Proposition 9. *Let G be a non-cyclic nilpotent group of order n and let q be the smallest prime dividing n . Then*

$$\rho(G) \leq q^{-\frac{n}{q}(q-1)} \rho(C_n).$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on the number of prime divisors of n . Assume first that there exists $\alpha \geq 1$ such that $n = q^\alpha$. As G is non-cyclic, we have $o(x) \leq q^{\alpha-1}$ for every $x \in G$. Let M be a maximal subgroup of G . Applying [9, Theorem 3] we have

$$\rho(G) = \rho(M) \prod_{x \in G \setminus M} o(x) \leq \rho(C_{q^{\alpha-1}}) (q^{\alpha-1})^{q^\alpha - q^{\alpha-1}}.$$

Since $\rho(C_{q^\alpha}) = \rho(C_{q^{\alpha-1}}) q^{\alpha(q^\alpha - q^{\alpha-1})}$, it follows that

$$\rho(G) \leq \rho(C_{q^\alpha}) q^{-(q^\alpha - q^{\alpha-1})} = q^{-\frac{n}{q}(q-1)} \rho(C_n),$$

and in this case the result follows.

Assume now that at least two primes divide n . Since G is not cyclic, there exists a non-cyclic Sylow p -subgroup P such that G can be written as $G = P \times H$ with $(|P|, |H|) = 1$. By induction and applying [9, Theorem 3] to H , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(G) &= \rho(P)^{|H|} \rho(H)^{|P|} \leq \left(p^{-\frac{|P|}{p}(p-1)} \rho(C_{|P|}) \right)^{|H|} \rho(H)^{|P|} \\ &\leq p^{-\frac{|G|}{p}(p-1)} \rho(C_{|P|})^{|H|} \rho(C_{|H|})^{|P|} = p^{-\frac{n}{p}(p-1)} \rho(C_{|G|}), \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows from Remark 4 as $q \leq p$. \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREM A AND SOME APPLICATIONS

In this section we prove the main result of the paper. We recall that, for p a prime, a finite group G is p -nilpotent if G has a normal p -complement, i.e. there exist a normal subgroup H and a Sylow p -subgroup P of G such that $HP = G$ and $H \cap P$ is trivial.

Proof of Theorem A. By Proposition 9, we can assume that G is a supersoluble non-metacyclic group of order n and we proceed by induction on n . If p is the greatest prime dividing n , there exist a Sylow p -subgroup P of G and a subgroup $H \leq G$ with $(|H|, p) = 1$ such that $G = P \rtimes H$.

If $G = P \times H$, from Lemma 1 it follows that $\rho(G) = \rho(P)^{|H|} \rho(H)^{|P|}$. Since G is non-cyclic, then either P or H is not cyclic. Then by induction and Remark 4, the result follows.

Therefore we can assume that $C_H(P) < H$. Since G is not metacyclic, either P or H is not cyclic. If P is cyclic, then $q \mid |H|$, otherwise G would be q -nilpotent by [18, 10.1.9], which implies $G = P \times H$.

As H is not cyclic and H is supersoluble, by induction and from Lemma 5 we have

$$\rho(G) \leq \rho(P)^{|H|} \rho(H)^{|P|} \leq \rho(C_{|P|})^{|H|} \left(q^{-\frac{|H|}{q}(q-1)} \rho(C_{|H|}) \right)^{|P|} = q^{-\frac{n}{q}(q-1)} \rho(C_n).$$

Now suppose that P is not cyclic. By Proposition 8

$$\rho(G) \leq \rho(H)^{|P|} \left(\frac{|P|}{p} \right)^{|G|}.$$

We claim that

$$\rho(H)^{|P|} \left(\frac{|P|}{p} \right)^{|G|} \leq q^{-\frac{|G|}{q}(q-1)} \rho(C_{|H|})^{|P|} \rho(C_{|P|})^{|H|}.$$

If H is not cyclic and $r \geq q$ is the least prime dividing $|H|$, then

$$\rho(H)^{|P|} \leq \left(r^{-\frac{|H|}{r}(r-1)} \right)^{|P|} \rho(C_{|H|})^{|P|} \leq q^{-\frac{n}{q}(q-1)} \rho(C_{|H|})^{|P|}$$

by Remark 4. Thus in order to prove the claim, we have to prove that

$$\left(\frac{|P|}{p} \right)^{|G|} \leq \rho(C_{|P|})^{|H|}$$

that is

$$\left(\frac{|P|}{p} \right)^{|P|} \leq \rho(C_{|P|}).$$

Expanding the values of $|P|$ and $\rho(C_{|P|})$, we have to check the following inequality

$$p^{(\alpha-1)p^\alpha} \leq p^{\frac{\alpha p^{\alpha+1} - (\alpha+1)p^\alpha + 1}{p-1}}.$$

Since $p \geq 2$, $p^\alpha(p-2) + 1 \geq 0$ and we are done.

Suppose now that both P is a non-cyclic group and H is a cyclic group. In this case we have

$$\rho(G) \leq \rho(H)^{|P|} \left(\frac{|P|}{p} \right)^{|G|} = \rho(C_{|H|})^{|P|} \left(\frac{|P|}{p} \right)^{|G|}.$$

Thus we need to check if

$$\rho(C_{|H|})^{|P|} \left(\frac{|P|}{p} \right)^{|G|} \leq q^{-\frac{|G|}{q}(q-1)} \rho(C_{|H|})^{|P|} \rho(C_{|P|})^{|H|},$$

which is equivalent to prove that

$$\left(\frac{|P|}{p} \right)^{|G|} \leq q^{-\frac{|G|}{q}(q-1)} \rho(C_{|P|})^{|H|}.$$

Expanding all the values above, we have

$$q^{\frac{p^\alpha(q-1)}{q}} \leq p^{\frac{p^{\alpha+1} - 2p^\alpha + 1}{p-1}},$$

which reduces to prove that $p^\alpha(p-q-1) + q \geq 0$, that is true as $p > q \geq 2$. This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Corollary B. Since G has a Sylow tower, there exists a prime p and a Sylow p -subgroup of G such that $G = P \rtimes H$, where $H \leq G$ such that $(p, |H|) = 1$. If $G = P \times H$, then $\rho(G) = \rho(P)^{|H|} \rho(H)^{|G|}$ and the result follows by induction on $|G|$. Therefore assume that $C_H(P) < H$. If P is cyclic, the case follows from Corollary 6. Assume therefore that P is not cyclic, then by Proposition 8 the bound is obtained and we are done.

□

We finish the section dealing with groups of order $p^\alpha q^\beta$, where p, q are primes with $p > q$.

Theorem 10. *Let G be a non-cyclic group of order $n = p^\alpha q^\beta$, where $p > q$ are primes. Then*

$$\rho(G) \leq q^{-q} \rho(C_n).$$

Proof. Assume by way of contradiction that $\rho(G) > q^{-q} \rho(C_n)$. Firstly we show that there exists $x \in G$ such that $o(x) > p^{\alpha-1} q^{\beta-1}$. Assume that $o(x) \leq p^{\alpha-1} q^{\beta-1}$ for every $x \in G$. Then we have

$$\rho(G) \leq (p^{\alpha-1} q^{\beta-1})^{p^\alpha q^\beta} = ((p^{\alpha-1})^{p^\alpha})^{q^\beta} ((q^{\beta-1})^{q^\beta})^{p^\alpha}.$$

From Remark 3 it follows that

$$\rho(G) \leq (\rho(C_{p^\alpha}) p^{-1})^{q^\beta} (\rho(C_{q^\beta}) q^{-1})^{p^\alpha} \leq \rho(C_n) p^{-q^\beta} q^{-p^\alpha} \leq \rho(C_n) q^{-q},$$

which yields a contradiction. Thus there exists $x \in G$ such that $o(x) > p^{\alpha-1} q^{\beta-1}$ with $|G : \langle x \rangle| < pq$. We distinguish two cases. If p divides $|G : \langle x \rangle|$, then the only possibility is that $|G : \langle x \rangle| = p$. As a consequence, G has cyclic Sylow q -subgroups, and so G is q -nilpotent by [18, 10.1.9]. Therefore G admits a Sylow tower, and the result follows from Corollary B. Suppose then that p does not divide $|G : \langle x \rangle|$. In this case $|G : \langle x \rangle| = q^\gamma$, where $q^{\gamma-1} < p$ as $q^\gamma < pq$. It follows that there exists a Sylow p -subgroup P of G such that $P \leq \langle x \rangle$. Then $\langle x \rangle \leq N_G(P)$ and $|G : N_G(P)|$ divides q^γ . However, $|G : N_G(P)| = 1 + kp$, for $k \geq 0$. If $k = 0$, then P is normal in G and the result follows from Corollary 6. If $k > 0$, since $|G : N_G(P)| > p$ and $q^{\gamma-1} < p$, we have $|G : N_G(P)| = q^\gamma$ and $N_G(P) = \langle x \rangle$. Therefore, $P \leq Z(N_G(P))$ and G is p -nilpotent by [18, 10.1.8]. In this case $G = Q \rtimes P$. Therefore G has a Sylow tower and applying again Corollary B we are done. This completes the proof. □

4. PRODUCT OF ELEMENT ORDERS OF A FROBENIUS GROUP

In the following, we estimate the product of element orders of a Frobenius group. We recall that a finite group G is said to be a *Frobenius group* if G has a subgroup H such that $H \cap H^x = 1$ for all $x \in G \setminus H$. Frobenius proved that if G is such a group, then

$$N = G \setminus \bigcup_{x \in G} (H \setminus \{1\})^x$$

is a normal subgroup of G , and $G = NH$ with $N \cap H = 1$. In this case H is called a *Frobenius complement* and N the *Frobenius kernel*. As a consequence, in a Frobenius group $G = NH$, we have $(|N|, |H|) = 1$.

Proposition 11. *Let G be a Frobenius group with Frobenius kernel N and Frobenius complement H . Then*

$$\rho(G) = \rho(N) \rho(H)^{|N|}.$$

In particular, if G has order n and q is the smallest prime dividing n , we have

$$\rho(G) \leq q^{-q} \rho(C_n).$$

Proof. As G is a Frobenius group, it can be covered by its Frobenius kernel and all its Frobenius complements. Therefore

$$\rho(G) = \rho(N)\rho(H)^{|N|}$$

as H has $|G : H|$ distinct conjugates in G . It follows that

$$\rho(G) = \rho(N)\rho(H)^{|N|} \leq \rho(C_{|N|}) \rho(C_{|H|})^{|N|} = \frac{\rho(C_n)}{\rho(C_{|N|})^{|H|-1}}.$$

Hence it suffices to show that

$$q^q \leq \rho(C_{|N|})^{|H|-1}.$$

Since $(|N|, |H|) = 1$, we distinguish cases: q divides $|N|$, or q divides $|H|$. Assume the former holds. Then we have

$$q \leq |N| \leq \rho(N) \leq \rho(C_{|N|}).$$

Since q is the smallest prime dividing $|G|$, we have $q < |H|$. In other words, $q \leq |H| - 1$, and the result follows.

Assume now that q divides $|H|$. Then

$$(1) \quad q < \rho(N) \leq \rho(C_{|N|}).$$

If $|H| > q$, then $|H| - 1 \geq q$ and the inequality follows from Equation (1). If $|H| = q$, then every prime p dividing $|N|$ is greater than q , and so $\rho(N) \geq p^2 > q^2$. Since $|H| - 1 = q - 1$, we have

$$\rho(C_{|N|})^{|H|-1} \geq \rho(N)^{q-1} \geq q^{2(q-1)} \geq q^q.$$

This completes the proof. \square

We conclude this section with an example, in which we compute the product of element orders of a group obtained as direct product of a Frobenius group and a cyclic group of coprime order.

Example 12. Let $n > 5$, and let $G = F \times C$ be the direct product of a Frobenius group F , with the cyclic kernel N and a cyclic complement H , and a cyclic group C such that $(|F|, |C|) = 1$ and $|F||C| = n$. Then

$$\rho(G) = \frac{\rho(C_n)}{\rho(C_{|N|})^{|C|(|H|-1)}}.$$

Indeed, by Lemma 1, and Proposition 11, we have

$$\rho(G) = \rho(F)^{|C|} \rho(C)^{|F|} = \rho(N)^{|C|} \rho(H)^{|N||C|} \rho(C)^{|F|}.$$

Since $(|F|, |C|) = 1$, applying Lemma 1 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(G) &= \rho(N)^{|C|} \rho(H)^{|N||C|} \rho(C)^{|F|} \\ &= \frac{\rho(N)^{|C||H|} \rho(H)^{|N||C|} \rho(C)^{|F|}}{\rho(N)^{|C|(|H|-1)}} = \frac{\rho(C_{|F|})^{|C|} \rho(C)^{|F|}}{\rho(N)^{|C|(|H|-1)}} \\ &= \frac{\rho(C_n)}{\rho(N)^{|C|(|H|-1)}}, \end{aligned}$$

and we are done.

Acknowledgments. The authors are grateful to professors G. A. Fernández-Alcober, P. Longobardi, and M. Maj for interesting conversations.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Amiri, S.M. Jafarian Amiri, *Sum of element orders on finite groups of the same order*, J. Algebra Appl. **10** (2011), pp. 187–190.
- [2] H. Amiri, S. M. Jafarian Amiri, I. M. Isaacs, *Sums of element orders in finite groups*, Comm. Algebra **37** (2009), pp. 2978–2980.
- [3] M. B. Azad, B. Khosravi, *Properties of finite groups determined by the product of their element orders*, Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society, **103(1)** (2021), pp. 88–95.
- [4] M. B. Azad, B. Khosravi, *Recognition by the product element orders*, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. **43** (2020), 1183–1193.
- [5] R. Bastos, C. Monetta, *Coprime commutators in finite groups*, Comm. Algebra **47(10)** (2019), pp. 4137–4147.
- [6] R. Bastos, C. Monetta, P. Shumyatsky, *A criterion for metanilpotency of a finite group*, J. Group Theory **21(4)** (2018), pp. 713–718.
- [7] R. Bastos, P. Shumyatsky, *A sufficient condition for nilpotency of the commutator subgroup*, Siberian Math. J. **57** (2016), pp. 762–763.
- [8] R. Brandl, S. Wujie, *The characterization of $PSL(2, q)$ by its element orders*, J. Algebra **163(1)** (1994), pp. 109–114.
- [9] M. Garonzi, M. Patassini, *Inequalities detecting structural properties of a finite group*, Comm. Algebra **45** (2016), pp. 677–687.
- [10] M. Herzog, P. Longobardi, M. Maj, *The second maximal groups with respect to the sum of elements orders*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **218(3)** (2014), pp. 531–539.
- [11] M. Herzog, P. Longobardi, M. Maj, *An exact upper bound for sums of elements order in non-cyclic finite groups*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **222** (2018), pp. 1628–1642.
- [12] M. Herzog, P. Longobardi, M. Maj, *Properties of finite and periodic groups determined by their elements orders (a survey)*, Group Theory and Computation, Indian Statistical Institute Series (2018), pp. 59–90.
- [13] M. Herzog, P. Longobardi, M. Maj, *Two criteria for solvability of finite groups*, J. Algebra **511** (2018), pp. 215–226.
- [14] M. Herzog, P. Longobardi, M. Maj, *Sums of element orders in groups of order $2m$ with m odd*, Comm. Algebra **47(5)** (2019), pp. 2035–2048.
- [15] M. Herzog, P. Longobardi, M. Maj, *New criteria for solvability, nilpotency and other properties of finite groups in terms of the order elements or subgroups*, Int. J. Group Theory, doi:10.22108/IJGT.2022.131888.1766.
- [16] S.M. Jafarian Amiri, *Second maximum sum of element orders on finite nilpotent groups*, Comm. Algebra **41** (2013), pp. 2055–2059.
- [17] C. Monetta, A. Tortora, *A nilpotency criterion for some verbal subgroups*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **100(2)** (2019), pp. 281–289.
- [18] D. J. S. Robinson, *A Course in the Theory of Groups*, 2nd Edition, Springer–Verlag, 1995.
- [19] I. N. Sanov, *Solution of Burnside’s problem for exponent 4*, (Russian) Leningrad Uchen. Zap. Leningrad State Univ. Ser. Mat. **10** (1940), pp. 166–170.
- [20] M. Tărnăuceanu, *A note on the product of element orders of finite abelian groups*, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. (2) **36** (2013), pp. 1123–1126.

ELENA DI DOMENICO: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TRENTO, 38123, POVO (TN) ITALY; MATEMATIKA SAILA, UNIVERSITY OF THE BASQUE COUNTRY UPV/EHU, 48080 BILBAO SPAIN

Email address: `elena.didomenico@yahoo.it`

CARMINE MONETTA: DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI SALERNO, ITALY

Email address: `cmonetta@unisa.it`

MARIALAURA NOCE: DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI SALERNO, ITALY

Email address: `mnoce@unisa.it`