

TUBE CLASSES OVER ELEMENTARY VANISHING CYCLES

ERJUAN FU

ABSTRACT. Let X be a closed Riemann surface. When X is embedded into a projective space, the first rational cohomology group can be concretely obtained from the monodromy in the family of its smooth hyperplane sections by C. Schnell's tube mapping. We generalize this result to the first integral homology group by relating the tube mapping with the topological Abel–Jacobi mapping. By making use of the mapping class group action, we prove that all tube classes constructed from the elementary vanishing cycles form a cofinite subgroup of the first integral homology group of X .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a closed Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 1$ and L be a line bundle of degree $d \geq 2g + 1$ over X which induces a closed embedding $i_L : X \hookrightarrow |L|^\vee := \mathbb{P}^N$. Denote by \mathbb{O}^{sm} the Zariski-open subset of $|L|$, which parametrizes all smooth hyperplane sections of X . Fix $t_0 \in \mathbb{O}^{\text{sm}}$ and denote by

$$X_{t_0} := \{x \in X \mid t_0(x) = 0\} = \{P_0, P_1, \dots, P_{d-1}\}.$$

We have the vanishing homology group

$$H_0^{\text{van}}(X_{t_0}, \mathbb{Z}) := \ker \left\{ H_0(X_{t_0}, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{j^*} H_0(X, \mathbb{Z}) \right\} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{d-1} \mathbb{Z}(P_i - P_0),$$

where $j : X_{t_0} \hookrightarrow X$. Note that any two smooth hyperplane sections are diffeomorphic to each other, it is possible to transport homology classes among nearby ones, which gives rise to an action of the fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathbb{O}^{\text{sm}}, t_0)$ on $H_0^{\text{van}}(X_{t_0}, \mathbb{Z})$, i.e., we have the following monodromy representation

$$\rho_{\text{van}} : \pi_1(\mathbb{O}^{\text{sm}}, t_0) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H_0^{\text{van}}(X_{t_0}, \mathbb{Z})) \subseteq \text{Aut}(X_{t_0}) = S_d,$$

where S_d denotes the group of permutations on d objects. With this monodromy action, we have the tube mapping [5]

$$\tau : \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \pi_1(\mathbb{O}^{\text{sm}}, t_0)} \{D \in H_0^{\text{van}}(X_{t_0}, \mathbb{Z}) \mid \gamma D = D\} \rightarrow H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}).$$

C. Schnell [5, Theorem 1] proved that this tube mapping τ is surjective over *rational* coefficient homology if $H_0^{\text{van}}(X_{t_0}, \mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$. In this paper, our goal is to generalize this result by considering only elementary vanishing cycles and relating the tube mapping with the topological Abel–Jacobi mapping. By an elementary vanishing cycle, we mean that it specializes an indivisible vanishing cycle which vanishes as approaching to one singular fiber in a family. For instance, $P_i - P_j$ is an elementary vanishing cycle for any $i \neq j$.

Denote by $\mathbf{a}_X^{\text{top}}$ the topological Abel–Jacobi mapping. The induced topological Abel–Jacobi homomorphism on fundamental group

$$\mathbf{a}_{X^*}^{\text{top}} : \bigoplus_{D \in H_0^{\text{van}}(X_{t_0}, \mathbb{Z})} \{\gamma \in \pi_1(\mathbb{O}^{\text{sm}}, t_0) \mid \gamma D = D\} \rightarrow H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$$

coincides with the tube map τ up to a sign [2, Section 3].

Note that monodromy representations can be computed using a Lefschetz pencil by Zariski’s Theorem [6, Theorem 3.22], instead of considering all hyperplane sections, we only need to pick a Lefschetz pencil of hyperplane sections. Let \mathbb{L} be a general projective line through t_0 in \mathbb{O} , then $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{L}}$ forms a Lefschetz pencil [6, Corollary 2.10], where $X_t = \{x \in X \mid t(x) = 0\}$. Denote by $\mathbb{L}^{\text{sm}} := \mathbb{L} \cap \mathbb{O}^{\text{sm}}$ which parametrizes all smooth hyperplane sections of X over \mathbb{L} . Our main theorem is stated as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a nondegenerate smooth complex projective curve in \mathbb{P}^N and \mathbb{L} be a Lefschetz pencil of hyperplane sections in \mathbb{P}^N . Fix $t_0 \in \mathbb{L}^{\text{sm}}$, denote by $X_{t_0} := \{P_0, \dots, P_{d-1}\}$ the smooth hyperplane section over t_0 . Let G_{ij} be the stabilizer of $P_i - P_j$ in $\pi_1(\mathbb{L}^{\text{sm}}, t_0)$. The image of the tube mapping*

$$\tau : \bigoplus_{i=1}^{d-1} H_1(G_{0i}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$$

is $m_d H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$, where m_d is some nonzero integer only depending on the degree d .

Compare to the Strong Tube Theorem in [2], our result works for any complex projective curve which is not necessary a hyperplane section of a surface. The key point in our proof is the mapping class group action instead of the monodromy action in [2].

In the future, we will explore the relation between m_d and d to see if we can show $\text{Im}\tau = H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ by constructing two cycles with intersection number having no square factors.

Acknowledgments. This work is part of my Ph.D. thesis. I am deeply grateful to my advisor Herb Clemens for introducing me this beautiful geometric construction and his invaluable intuition which have provided ideas for many proofs. I also would like to express my truly gratitude to my advisor Yuan-Pin Lee for his support and many useful advice.

2. THE TUBE MAPPING AND THE TOPOLOGICAL ABEL–JACOBI MAPPING

In this section, we will give a concrete expression for the topological Abel–Jacobi mapping and then restate our main theorem. Let Ω be the bundle of holomorphic 1-form on X . The Jacobian of X is defined by

$$J(X) = \frac{H^0(X, \Omega)^\vee}{H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})} \mathbf{1}$$

which is a complex torus and isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^g / \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$, and

$$H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \hookrightarrow H^0(X, \Omega)^\vee$$

¹In this paper, we denote by $(-)^{\vee} := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(-, \mathbb{C})$.

is given by integrating forms over chains. Fix a base point $p_0 \in X$, the Abel–Jacobi mapping is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}_X : X &\rightarrow J(X) \\ p &\mapsto \int_{p_0}^p . \end{aligned}$$

For any $t \in \mathbb{O}^{\text{sm}}$, denote by

$$X_t = \{x \in X \mid t(x) = 0\} := \{P_1^t, P_2^t, \dots, P_d^t\}.$$

The vanishing homology group can be described as

$$H_0^{\text{van}}(X_t, \mathbb{Z}) := \ker \left\{ H_0(X_t, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{j_*^t} H_0(X, \mathbb{Z}) \right\} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{d-1} \mathbb{Z}(P_i^t - P_0^t),$$

where $j^t : X_t \hookrightarrow X$. The Abel–Jacobi mapping can be extended to the vanishing homology group as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}_{X,t}^{\text{top}} : H_0^{\text{van}}(X_t, \mathbb{Z}) &\rightarrow J(X), \\ \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} n_i (P_i^t - P_0^t) &\mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} n_i \int_{P_0^t}^{P_i^t}, \end{aligned}$$

which is the topological Abel–Jacobi mapping [2, 7].

Furthermore, in order to combine the topological Abel–Jacobi map $\mathbf{a}_{X,t}^{\text{top}}$ for all smooth hyperplane sections together, we consider the incidence variety

$$X_{\mathbb{L}} = \{(x, t) \in X \times \mathbb{L} : x \in X_t\} \cong \text{Bl}_B X^2 = X,$$

where the second equality is due to $B = \emptyset$ because the base locus B of a Lefschetz pencil is of codimension 2. Therefore, we have a projection map $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$ which is a branched covering map of degree d with branch locus $\mathbb{L}^{\text{sg}} = \mathbb{L} \setminus \mathbb{L}^{\text{sm}}$.

Now we consider the local system $\mathbf{R}_{\text{van}}^0 \pi_*^{\text{sm}} \mathbb{Z}$ over \mathbb{L}^{sm} which are fiberwise given by $H_{\text{van}}^0(X_t, \mathbb{Z})$ and denote the total space by $|\mathbf{R}_{\text{van}}^0 \pi_*^{\text{sm}} \mathbb{Z}|$, then the topological Abel–Jacobi mapping over the local system $\mathbf{R}_{\text{van}}^0 \pi_*^{\text{sm}} \mathbb{Z}$ becomes

$$\mathbf{a}_X^{\text{top}} : |\mathbf{R}_{\text{van}}^0 \pi_*^{\text{sm}} \mathbb{Z}| \rightarrow J(X).$$

Pick $\alpha \in H_0^{\text{van}}(X_0, \mathbb{Z})$, we consider the induced topological Abel–Jacobi homomorphism on fundamental groups

$$\mathbf{a}_{X_*}^{\text{top}} : \pi_1(|\mathbf{R}_{\text{van}}^0 \pi_*^{\text{sm}} \mathbb{Z}|, (t_0, \alpha)) \rightarrow \pi_1(J(X)) \cong H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}),$$

where $\pi_1(|\mathbf{R}_{\text{van}}^0 \pi_*^{\text{sm}} \mathbb{Z}|, (t_0, \alpha))$ is exactly the stabilizer of α in $\pi_1(\mathbb{L}^{\text{sm}}, t_0)$.

We now give a concrete description for $\mathbf{a}_{X_*}^{\text{top}}$ to relate with the tube mapping τ . Since every hyperplane section X_t over \mathbb{L} has at most one ordinary double point as singularity, the ramification index of each branched point is at most 1. By the Riemann–Hurwitz formula, we have

$$2g - 2 = d(-2) + \deg \mathbb{L}^{\text{sg}},$$

² $\text{Bl}_B X$ denotes the blow-up of X along B .

then \mathbb{L}^{sg} is of even degree in \mathbb{L} , thus we can make a double cover $\sigma : \tilde{\mathbb{L}} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$ branched along \mathbb{L}^{sg} . Denote by \tilde{X} the normalization of the fiber product $X \times_{\mathbb{L}} \tilde{\mathbb{L}}$. Since the monodromy action ρ_{van} is of order at most $d!$, there is a finite unbranched covering $\rho : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{L}}$ such that the pull back of \tilde{X} over \mathbb{F} is trivial, which implies that all vanishing cycles are stable under the monodromy action ρ_{van} . Therefore, \mathbb{F} is the deformation space for any elementary vanishing cycle $P_i - P_j$, then $\pi_1(\mathbb{F}, s_0) = G_{ij}$ the stabilizer of $P_i - P_j$ for all $i \neq j$, where $s_0 \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $\sigma \circ \rho(s_0) = t_0 \in \mathbb{L}^{\text{sm}}$. We have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{F} \times X_0 & \longrightarrow & \tilde{X} & \longrightarrow & X \hookrightarrow J(X) \\ \downarrow \tilde{\pi}_d & & \downarrow \phi_i & \nearrow \phi_i & \downarrow \pi \\ \mathbb{F} & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \tilde{\mathbb{L}} & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \mathbb{L} \end{array}$$

By the lifting criterion, there are exactly d covering maps $\phi_i : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow X$ with $\phi_i(s_0) = P_i$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, d-1$. Since $\pi_1(\mathbb{F}, s_0)$ trivially acts on $H_0(X, \mathbb{Z})$, i.e., $\gamma(P_i) = P_i$ for all $\gamma \in \pi_1(\mathbb{F}, s_0)$, we can form the tube class $\tau_\gamma(P_i) \in H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ and ϕ_i induces a group homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{i*} : H_1(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{Z}) &\rightarrow H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \\ \gamma &\mapsto \phi_i(\gamma) = \tau_\gamma(P_i). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $H_1(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{Z})$ is the abelianization of $\pi_1(\mathbb{F}, s_0) = G_{ij}$, then $H_1(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_1(G_{ij}, \mathbb{Z})$ for all $i \neq j$. So $\phi_{ij*} = \phi_{i*} - \phi_{j*}$ is exactly the topological Abel–Jacobi homomorphism $\mathbf{a}_{X^*}^{\text{top}}$ with respect to the vanishing cycle $P_i - P_j$ and it is the same as the tube mapping τ by definition. Denote by $\varphi_i = \mathbf{a}_X \circ \phi_i$, then $\varphi_{ij} = \mathbf{a}_X \circ \phi_{ij}$. We can identify φ_{ij*} with ϕ_{ij*} because \mathbf{a}_X is an embedding. So Theorem 1.1 is equivalent to the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1 (=Theorem 1.1). *The image of the tube mapping*

$$\tau = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \varphi_{0i*} : \bigoplus_{i=1}^{d-1} H_1(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$$

is $m_d H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$, where m_d is some nonzero integer only depending on the degree d .

3. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

Consider the mapping class group of X , which is the group of isotopy (or homotopy) classes of orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms of X , denoted by

$$\text{Mod}(X) = \text{Diff}^+(X) / \sim,$$

where $\text{Diff}^+(X)$ is the group of orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms of X and \sim can be taken to be either smooth homotopy or smooth isotopy. Note that any element $\phi \in \text{Diff}^+(X)$ induces an automorphism $\phi_* : H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ which preserves the intersection form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. As homotopic diffeomorphisms $\phi \sim \psi$ induce the same map $\phi_* = \psi_*$, there is a representation

$$\rho : \text{Mod}(X) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) \cong \text{Sp}_g(\mathbb{Z}),$$

where $\mathrm{Sp}_g(\mathbb{Z})$ denotes the symplectic group of degree g over \mathbb{Z} . We have the following conclusion about this mapping class group action, which will be proved in Section 3.1.

Lemma 3.1. *The invariant \mathbb{Z} -submodules of $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ under the representation ρ are*

$$mH_1(X, \mathbb{Z}), \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Note that τ is not zero by [2, Lemma 4.1], our main theorem (i.e., Theorem 2.1) is directly obtained from this lemma if we could show $\mathrm{Im} \tau := V_{(X, L, \mathbb{L})}$ is stable under the mapping class group action ρ . That is to say, we only need to show the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *The \mathbb{Z} -submodule $V_{(X, L, \mathbb{L})}$ is invariant under any orientation-preserving diffeomorphism, i.e., $f_*(V_{(X, L, \mathbb{L})}) = V_{(X, L, \mathbb{L})}$ for all $f \in \mathrm{Diff}^+(X)$.*

Proof. First of all, since $V_{(X, L, \mathbb{L})}$ is independent of the choice of the Lefschetz pencil \mathbb{L} by [2, Lemma 4.1], we can denote $V_{(X, L, \mathbb{L})}$ by $V_{(X, L)}$. Furthermore, we claim that

Claim. *$V_{(X, L)}$ is independent of the choice of the degree d line bundle L .*

With this claim, $V_{(X, L)}$ can be denoted by $V_{(X, d)}$. Since d is fixed, we denote by $V_X := V_{(X, d)}$ in what follows.

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an orientation-preserving diffeomorphism between two closed Riemann surfaces of genus g . We only need to show $f_*(V_X) = V_Y$.

Pick any $L \in \mathrm{Pic}^d(Y)$, we have $f^*L \in \mathrm{Pic}^d(X)$. Denote by $i_L : Y \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ the closed embedding induced by L , then f^*L induces a closed embedding $i_{f^*L} : X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$. Since V_X and V_Y contain the tube classes of all elementary vanishing cycles, we have $i_{f^*L*} : V_X \xrightarrow{\sim} V_{i_{f^*L}(X)}$ and $i_{L*} : V_Y \xrightarrow{\sim} V_{i_L(Y)}$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ & \searrow i_{f^*L} & \downarrow i_L \\ & & \mathbb{P}^N \end{array} .$$

We obtain $i_L(Y) = i_{f^*L}(X)$. Since $V_{i_L(Y)} = V_{i_{f^*L}(X)}$, we have

$$i_{f^*L*}(V_X) = V_{i_{f^*L}(X)} = V_{i_L(Y)} = i_{L*}(V_Y).$$

Note that $i_{f^*L} = i_L \circ f$, then $i_{f^*L*} = (i_L \circ f)_* = i_{L*} \circ f_*$, which gives us $i_{L*} \circ f_*(V_X) = i_{L*}(V_Y)$. Since i_L is an embedding and $i_{L*}|_{V_Y}$ is injective, we have $f_*(V_X) = V_Y$.

Proof of Claim. Note that

$$V_{(X, L)} = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \mathrm{Im} \varphi_{0i*}^L,$$

we only need to prove $\mathrm{Im} \varphi_{ij*}^L$ is independent of the choice of L . Let

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{ij} : \mathrm{Pic}^d(X) &\rightarrow \mathrm{M}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})/\mathrm{GL}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}) \\ L &\mapsto \eta_{ij}(L) = \mathrm{Im} \varphi_{ij*}^L. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $\text{Im } \varphi_{ij*}^L$ does not depend on the choice of the line bundle L of degree d if and only if η_{ij} is a constant map. Let $\text{Pic}^d(X)$ be the set of degree d line bundles on X , which is a compact complex torus due to the following isomorphisms

$$\text{Pic}^d(X) \xrightarrow[\sim]{-\otimes \mathcal{O}(dP)} \text{Pic}^0(X) \xrightarrow[\sim]{a_X} J(X),$$

where $P \in X$. Clearly, $\text{Pic}^d(X)$ is path connected and $M_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})/\text{GL}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$ is discrete, so η_{ij} is constant if and only if it is continuous.

For any $L \in \text{Pic}^d(X)$, since $d \geq 2g + 1$, there is a closed embedding $i_L : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ induced by L . Consider the following incidence variety

$$\mathfrak{P} := \left\{ (x, L) \in \mathbb{P}^N \times \text{Pic}^d(X) \mid x \in i_L(X) \right\} \xrightarrow{q} \text{Pic}^d(X),$$

which is a fiber bundle over $\text{Pic}^d(X)$ with fiber $q^{-1}(L) = i_L(X)$. If we can show \mathfrak{P} is locally topological trivial over $\text{Pic}^d(X)$, i.e., for any $L \in \text{Pic}^d(X)$, there is an open neighborhood U_L of L such that $\mathfrak{P}|_{U_L} \cong U_L \times i_L(X)$, then $i_{L'}(X)$ continuously goes to $i_L(X)$ when L' continuously goes to L in U_L . Hence, $\eta_{ij}(L') = \text{im } \varphi_{ij*}^{L'}$ continuously goes to $\eta_{ij}(L) = \text{im } \varphi_{ij*}^L$, which means η_{ij} is continuous at L . Since L is arbitrary, η_{ij} is continuous.

Consider the *Poincaré line bundle* \mathcal{L} of degree d on X , which means a line bundle \mathcal{L} on $X \times \text{Pic}^d(X)$ such that $e_*(\mathcal{L}|_{X \times [D]}) \cong \mathcal{O}(D)$ for each point $[D] \in \text{Pic}^d(X)$, where $e : X \times [D] \xrightarrow{\sim} X$. Denote by $\mathfrak{p} : X \times \text{Pic}^d(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^d(X)$. As we know, $\mathfrak{p}_*\mathcal{L}$ is not necessarily a vector bundle on $\text{Pic}^d(X)$, but we will see it is a vector bundle for $d \geq 2g - 1$.

Lemma 3.3. *If $d \geq 2g - 1$, then $\mathfrak{p}_*\mathcal{L}$ is a vector bundle on $\text{Pic}^d(X)$ with fibers $(\mathfrak{p}_*\mathcal{L})_{[D]} \cong H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(D))$.*

Proof. Denote by K the canonical divisor on X , then $\deg K = 2g - 2$. Since $|D| \cong (\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}(D)) - 0)/\mathbb{C}^*$, we have $\dim |D| = \ell(D) - 1$ ³.

If $\deg D \geq 2g - 1$, then $\deg(K - D) < 0$. There is not a positive divisor linearly equivalent to $K - D$, thus $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}(K - D)) = 0$ and then $\ell(K - D) = 0$. By the Riemann–Roch Theorem, $\ell(D) - \ell(K - D) = d + 1 - g$, then $\ell(D) = d + 1 - g$, which does not depend on the choice of $[D]$ in $\text{Pic}^d(X)$, which gives us a constant function on $\text{Pic}^d(X)$ defined by $[D] \mapsto \ell(D)$. Now we need to use the Base Change Theorem [?, Corollary 2 on p. 50].

Base Change Theorem. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a proper morphism of Noetherian schemes with Y reduced and connected, and E a coherent sheaf on X , flat over Y . Then for all integers $k \geq 0$, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $y \mapsto \dim H^k(X_y, E_y)$ is a constant function, where $X_y := f^{-1}(y)$ and $E_y := E|_{X_y}$.
- (2) $R^k f_* E$ is a locally free sheaf on Y and the natural map $R^k f_* E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} k(y) \rightarrow H^k(X_y, E_y)$ is an isomorphism for all $y \in Y$.

Apply this theorem to $\mathfrak{p} : X \times \text{Pic}^d(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^d(X)$, we have $\mathfrak{p}^{-1}([D]) = X \times [D] \cong X$ and $\mathcal{L}|_{X \times [D]} \cong e^*\mathcal{O}(D)$. Furthermore, if $[D] \mapsto \dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(D)) := \ell(D)$ is a constant function on $\text{Pic}^d(X)$, then $\mathfrak{p}_*\mathcal{L}$ is a vector bundle on $\text{Pic}^d(X)$ with fibers $(\mathfrak{p}_*\mathcal{L})_{[D]} \cong H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(D))$, which is desired. \square

³Denote by $\ell(D) = \dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(D))$.

We now have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{L} & & \mathrm{pr}_{2*} \mathcal{L} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 X \times \mathrm{Pic}^d(X) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_2} & \mathrm{Pic}^d(X) \\
 \downarrow & \nearrow \mathrm{p} & \\
 \mathfrak{P} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{c}} & \mathbb{P}^N \times \mathrm{Pic}^d(X)
 \end{array}$$

Since $d \geq 2g + 1$, we have that $\mathrm{pr}_{2*} \mathcal{L}$ is a vector bundle over $\mathrm{Pic}^d(X)$ with fibers $(\mathrm{pr}_{2*} \mathcal{L})_L \cong H^0(X, L)$ by Lemma 3.3. There is a trivialization for $\mathrm{pr}_{2*} \mathcal{L}$, i.e., for each $L \in \mathrm{Pic}^d(X)$, there is an open neighborhood U_L of L in $\mathrm{Pic}^d(X)$ with a biholomorphism $\xi_{U_L} : \mathrm{pr}_2^{-1}(U_L) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}^{N+1} \times U_L$. Denote by $\sigma_i(L') = \xi_{U_L}^{-1}(L', e_i)$ for $L' \in U_L$, where $\{e_0, \dots, e_N\}$ is the canonical basis of \mathbb{C}^{N+1} , then the holomorphic sections $\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_N$ of $\mathrm{pr}_{2*} \mathcal{L}$ over U_L form a frame, i.e., $\{\sigma_0(L'), \dots, \sigma_N(L')\}$ is a basis of $(\mathrm{pr}_{2*} \mathcal{L})_{L'} = H^0(X, L')$. Consequently, $i_{L'}$ with $L' \in U_L$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
 i_{L'} : X &\xrightarrow{\sim} i_{L'}(X) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N \\
 x &\mapsto [\sigma_0(L')(x), \dots, \sigma_N(L')(x)] = i_{L'}(x),
 \end{aligned}$$

which gives us a trivialization of \mathfrak{P} over $\mathrm{Pic}^d(X)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \zeta_{U_L} : \mathfrak{p}^{-1}(U_L) &\rightarrow i_L(X) \times U_L \\
 ([\sigma_0(L')(x), \dots, \sigma_N(L')(x)], L') &\mapsto ([\sigma_0(L)(x), \dots, \sigma_N(L)(x)], L').
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, \mathfrak{P} is locally topological trivial over $\mathrm{Pic}^d(X)$. \square

Remark. From the proof, we see that it is necessary to use all elementary vanishing cycles, because the orientation-preserving diffeomorphism may convert one elementary vanishing cycle to the other, i.e., it will map $\mathrm{im} \varphi_{ij}$ to $\mathrm{im} \varphi_{k\ell}$. Therefore, we cannot use only one elementary vanishing cycle to generate the whole homology group under the mapping class group action.

3.1. Stable \mathbb{Z} -submodules under the mapping class group action. Let $[c]$ be the homology class corresponding to an oriented simple closed curve c . By a closed curve in a surface X , we mean a continuous map $S^1 \rightarrow X$. A closed curve is simple if it is embedded, that is, if the map $S^1 \rightarrow X$ is injective. A closed curve is multiple if the map $S^1 \rightarrow X$ factors through the map $S^1 \xrightarrow{\times n} S^1$ for some integer $n > 1$. Denote by T_c the Dehn twist about c , where c is the isotopy class of an oriented simple closed curve in X , then $T_c \in \mathrm{Mod}(X)$ and $\mathrm{Mod}(X)$ is generated by a finitely many of Dehn twists. We have the following formula for Dehn twists action [1, Proposition 6.3].

Lemma 3.4. *Let a and b be isotopy classes of oriented simple closed curves in X . For any integer $k \geq 0$, we have*

$$\rho(T_b^k)([a]) = [a] + k \langle [a], [b] \rangle [b]$$

By Lemma 3.4, we get

$$\rho(T_a) = \rho(T_b) \Leftrightarrow [a] = [b].$$

Hence, we can denote by $T_{[b]} := \rho(T_b) \in \text{Aut}(H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ in what follows.

An element g of a group G is *primitive* if there does not exist any $h \in G$ so that $g = h^k$ for some integer k with $|k| > 1$. Therefore, $\xi \in H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is primitive if $\xi \neq k\eta$ for any $\eta \in H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ and any integer $k > 1$. The primitive elements in $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ can be described as follows [1, Proposition 6.2].

Lemma 3.5. *A nonzero element of $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is represented by an oriented simple closed curve if and only if it is primitive.*

By Lemma 3.5, we have a group automorphism $T_\alpha \in \text{Aut}(H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ for any nonzero primitive element $\alpha \in H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$. Combining Lemma 3.4 with Lemma 3.5 gives us the following corollary.

Corollary 3.6. *Let α and β be two primitive elements in $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$. For any integer $k \geq 0$, we have*

$$(3.7) \quad T_\beta^k(\alpha) = \alpha + k \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \beta$$

Now, we will use (3.7) to prove Lemma 3.1.

Proof of Lemma 3.1. Let V be a nonzero stable \mathbb{Z} -submodule of $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$, then we only need to show $V = mH_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ for some nonzero integer m .

Pick a symplectic basis $\{\delta_i, \gamma_i\}_{i=1}^g$ of $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ with $\langle \delta_i, \gamma_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$ and $\langle \delta_i, \delta_j \rangle = \langle \gamma_i, \gamma_j \rangle = 0$ for all i, j . Note that $\langle \delta_i, \gamma_i \rangle = 1$, then $\{\delta_i, \gamma_i\}_{i=1}^g$ are primitive. Since $\langle \delta_i + \gamma_j, \gamma_i \rangle = 1$ and $\langle \delta_k + \delta_l, \gamma_k \rangle = \langle \gamma_k + \gamma_l, \delta_k \rangle = 1$ for $k \neq l$, we get that $\delta_i + \gamma_j, \delta_k + \delta_l, \gamma_k + \gamma_l$ with $k \neq l$ are primitive. By (3.7), we have the following formulas.

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} T_{\delta_j}(\delta_i) &= \delta_i & T_{\gamma_j}(\gamma_i) &= \gamma_i \\ T_{\delta_j}(\gamma_i) &= \begin{cases} \gamma_i & \text{for } i \neq j \\ \gamma_i - \delta_i & \text{for } i = j \end{cases} & T_{\gamma_j}(\delta_i) &= \begin{cases} \delta_i & \text{for } i \neq j \\ \delta_i + \gamma_i & \text{for } i = j \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Pick a nonzero element $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^g (a_i \delta_i + b_i \gamma_i) \in V$ with $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Denote by $d_\alpha = \gcd(a_1, b_1, \dots, a_g, b_g) \geq 1$. We separate the proof into the following 4 steps.

- Step 1. Show $a_k H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \subseteq V$ for all $k = 1, \dots, g$.
- Step 2. Show $b_k H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \subseteq V$ for all $k = 1, \dots, g$.
- Step 3. Show $d_\alpha H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \subseteq V$.
- Step 4. Conclude $V = mH_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ for some positive integer m .

Step 1. Show $a_k H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \subseteq V$ for all $k = 1, \dots, g$.

Recall $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^g (a_i \delta_i + b_i \gamma_i) \in V$ with $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and note that T_{δ_i} and T_{γ_j} are group automorphisms of $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$. By (3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\gamma_k}(\alpha) &= a_k (T_{\gamma_k}(\delta_k)) + b_k (T_{\gamma_k}(\gamma_k)) + \sum_{i \neq k} (a_i T_{\gamma_k}(\delta_i) + b_i T_{\gamma_k}(\gamma_i)) \\ &= a_k (\delta_k + \gamma_k) + b_k \gamma_k + \sum_{i \neq k} (a_i \delta_i + b_i \gamma_i) \\ &= a_k \gamma_k + \sum_{i=1}^g (a_i \delta_i + b_i \gamma_i) = a_k \gamma_k + \alpha \in V. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have $a_k \gamma_k = T_{\gamma_k}(\alpha) - \alpha \in V$, $k = 1, \dots, g$. Since $\langle \delta_i + \gamma_j, \gamma_i \rangle = 1$ and $\langle \delta_k + \delta_l, \gamma_k \rangle = 1$ for $k \neq l$, we get that $\delta_i + \gamma_j, \delta_k + \delta_l$ with $k \neq l$ are primitive. Continue to apply the Dehn twists to each $a_k \gamma_k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\delta_k}(a_k \gamma_k) &= a_k \gamma_k - a_k \delta_k \in V, \\ T_{\delta_k + \delta_i}(a_k \gamma_k) &= a_k \gamma_k - a_k \delta_k - a_k \delta_i \in V \quad \text{for } i \neq k, \\ T_{\delta_k + \gamma_i}(a_k \gamma_k) &= a_k \gamma_k - a_k \delta_k - a_k \gamma_i \in V. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get $a_k \delta_1, \dots, a_k \delta_g, a_k \gamma_1, \dots, a_k \gamma_g \in V$ for all $k = 1, \dots, g$ and then

$$a_k H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \subseteq V \quad \text{for all } k = 1, \dots, g.$$

Step 2. Show $b_k H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \subseteq V$ for all $k = 1, \dots, g$.

Similarly, redo the above calculation for δ_k , we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\delta_k}(\alpha) &= a_k (T_{\delta_k}(\delta_k)) + b_k (T_{\delta_k}(\gamma_k)) + \sum_{i \neq k} (a_i T_{\delta_k}(\delta_i) + b_i T_{\delta_k}(\gamma_i)) \\ &= a_k \delta_k + b_k (\gamma_k - \delta_k) + \sum_{i \neq k} (a_i \delta_i + b_i \gamma_i) \\ &= -b_k \delta_k + \sum_{i=1}^g (a_i \delta_i + b_i \gamma_i) = -b_k \delta_k + \alpha \in V. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have $b_k \delta_k = \alpha - T_{\delta_k}(\alpha) \in V$, $k = 1, \dots, g$. Since $\langle \delta_i + \gamma_j, \gamma_i \rangle = 1$ and $\langle \gamma_k + \gamma_l, \delta_k \rangle = 1$ for $k \neq l$, we get that $\delta_i + \gamma_j, \gamma_k + \gamma_l$ with $k \neq l$ are primitive. Continue to apply the Dehn twists to each $b_k \delta_k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\gamma_k}(b_k \delta_k) &= b_k \delta_k + b_k \gamma_k \in V, \\ T_{\delta_i + \gamma_k}(b_k \delta_k) &= b_k \delta_k + b_k \gamma_k + b_k \delta_i \in V \quad \text{for } i \neq k, \\ T_{\gamma_i + \gamma_k}(b_k \delta_k) &= b_k \delta_k + b_k \gamma_k + b_k \gamma_i \in V. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get $b_k \delta_1, \dots, b_k \delta_g, b_k \gamma_1, \dots, b_k \gamma_g \in V$ for all $k = 1, \dots, g$ and then

$$b_k H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \subseteq V \quad \text{for all } k = 1, \dots, g.$$

Step 3. Show $d_\alpha H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \subseteq V$.

Since $d_\alpha = \gcd(a_1, b_1, \dots, a_g, b_g)$, there are integers $u_1, v_1, \dots, u_g, v_g$ such that $d_\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^g (u_i a_i + v_i b_i)$. For any $k \in \{1, \dots, g\}$, we have

$$d_\alpha \delta_k = \sum_{i=1}^g (u_i a_i \delta_k + v_i b_i \delta_k) \in V,$$

$$d_\alpha \gamma_k = \sum_{i=1}^g (u_i a_i \gamma_k + v_i b_i \gamma_k) \in V.$$

Therefore, $d_\alpha H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \subseteq V$.

Step 4. Conclude $V = mH_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ for some positive integer m .

If $d_\alpha H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) = V$, then we are done. Otherwise, there exists

$$\beta = \sum_{i=1}^g (e_i [\delta_i] + f_i [\gamma_i]) \in V \setminus d_\alpha H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}), \quad e_i, f_i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Denote by $d_\beta = \gcd(e_1, f_1, \dots, e_g, f_g)$, then $d_\alpha \nmid d_\beta$. By above analysis, we get

$$d_\beta H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \subseteq V.$$

Clearly, $\gamma := d_\alpha \delta_1 + d_\beta \gamma_1 \in V$ and $d_\gamma = \gcd(d_\alpha, d_\beta) < d_\alpha$. Again, by the above analysis, we obtain $d_\gamma H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \subseteq V$. Therefore, we have

$$d_\alpha H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \subsetneq d_\gamma H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \subseteq V \subseteq H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \quad \text{with} \quad d_\alpha > d_\gamma \geq 1, \quad d_\gamma | d_\alpha.$$

Replace α by γ , do the above discussion in this step again. Since each process will give us a smaller positive integer, by finitely many processes, we will get $V = mH_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ for some positive integer m , which is desired. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Farb and D. Margalit, *A Primer on Mapping Class Groups*, Princeton Math. Ser. 49, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 2012.
- [2] Erjuan Fu, *A new approach towards Lefschetz (1, 1)-Theorem*, arXiv:2205.11906, *submitted*.
- [3] D. Mumford, *Abelian varieties*, Tata inst. of fundamental research, Bombay, 1970.
- [4] Hossein Movasati, *A Course in Hodge Theory: with emphasis on multiple integrals*, 2019, <http://w3.impa.br/~hossein/myarticles/hodgetheory.pdf>.
- [5] Christian Schnell, *Primitive cohomology and the tube mapping*, Math.Z. **268**(2011), no. 3-4, 1069–1089. MR 2818744(2012h:14061)
- [6] Claire Voisin, *Hodge Theory and Complex Algebraic Geometry II*, Cambridge University press, 2003.
- [7] Xiaolei Zhao, *Topological Abel–Jacobi mapping and Jacobi inversion*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA, (2015), [MichiganLink ETD](#).

YAU MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES CENTER, TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY, BEIJING, 100084, CHINA
 Email address: ejfu@tsinghua.edu.cn; 473051732@qq.com