

# PRIME POWER ORDER CIRCULANT DETERMINANTS

MICHAEL J. MOSSINGHOFF AND CHRISTOPHER PINNER

ABSTRACT. Newman showed that for primes  $p \geq 5$  an integral circulant determinant of prime power order  $p^t$  cannot take the value  $p^{t+1}$  once  $t \geq 2$ . We show that many other values are also excluded. In particular, we show that  $p^{2t}$  is the smallest power of  $p$  attained for any  $t \geq 3$ ,  $p \geq 3$ . We demonstrate the complexity involved by giving a complete description of the  $25 \times 25$  and  $27 \times 27$  integral circulant determinants. The former case involves a partition of the primes that are 1 mod 5 into two sets, Tanner's *perissads* and *artiads*, which were later characterized by E. Lehmer.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The problem of characterizing the values taken by an integral  $n \times n$  integral circulant determinant

$$D(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}) = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & \cdots & a_{n-1} \\ a_{n-1} & a_0 & \cdots & a_{n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

was suggested by Olga Taussky-Todd [30] at the meeting of the American Mathematical Society in Hayward, California, in April 1977. These are the integral group determinants for the cyclic group of order  $n$ , which we denote by  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ , and we write

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}_n) = \{D(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}) : a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

It is not known whether the integral group determinants determine the group, as with the group determinant polynomial [7]. For a polynomial  $F$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  we write

$$M_n(F) = \prod_{z^n=1} F(z)$$

and observe, using Dedekind's factorization of the group determinant or via eigenvalues (see for example [2, 8, 21]), that

$$D(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}) = M_n \left( \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_j x^j \right). \quad (1)$$

This connects the circulant determinant to Lind's [16] generalization of the Mahler measure, in this case to the group  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  and polynomials in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]/\langle x^n - 1 \rangle$ , see for example [11] (or [3, 17] for an alternative approach). The form (1) makes it easy to see that  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}_n)$  is closed under multiplication. A complete description of the

---

*Date:* June 14, 2022.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 11C20, 15B36; Secondary: 11B83, 11C08, 11R06, 11R18, 11T22, 43A40.

*Key words and phrases.* Group determinant, circulant matrix, artiad, Lind Mahler measure.

integral group determinants for groups of order less than 16 can be found in [24, 27]. Other groups have been considered in [1, 4, 5, 10, 19, 23, 25, 26, 35], where even determining the smallest non-trivial integral group determinant for the group, the counterpart of the classical Lehmer Problem [14], can become complicated. For cyclic groups Laquer [13] and Newman [21] showed that

$$\{m \in \mathbb{Z} : \gcd(m, n) = 1\} \subseteq \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}_n)$$

and  $n^2\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ , along with a divisibility condition for an  $m \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ :

$$p \mid m, p^t \parallel n \Rightarrow p^{t+1} \mid m. \quad (2)$$

Here and throughout  $p$  denotes a prime. For  $n = p$  and  $n = 2p$ ,  $p$  odd, they showed that (2) was both necessary and sufficient:

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}_p) = \{p^a m : \gcd(m, p) = 1, a = 0 \text{ or } a \geq 2\},$$

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}_{2p}) = \{2^a p^b m : \gcd(m, 2p) = 1, a = 0 \text{ or } a \geq 2, b = 0 \text{ or } b \geq 2\}.$$

Newman [21] showed this is also the case for  $n = 9$ ,

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}_{3^2}) = \{3^a m : \gcd(3, m) = 1, a = 0 \text{ or } a \geq 3\}.$$

In general however this condition is not sufficient. Newman [20] showed that  $p^3 \notin \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}_{p^2})$  for any  $p \geq 5$ . By extension one obtains that  $p^{t+1} \notin \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}_{p^t})$  for any  $t \geq 2$  when  $p \geq 5$ . Newman's result shows that the smallest power of  $p$  in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}_{p^2})$  is  $3^3$  for  $p = 3$  and  $p^4$  for  $p \geq 5$ . For general  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^t}$ ,  $t \geq 3$ , we show that an adaptation of Newman's approach excludes not only  $p^{t+1}$  but additional powers of  $p$ , enough to determine that the smallest achieved power of  $p$  is always  $p^{2t}$ . We can also put restrictions on the multiples of smaller powers of  $p$  that are achieved.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Suppose that  $G = \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}$  with  $p \geq 5$  and  $t \geq 2$  or  $p = 3$  and  $t \geq 3$ . Then  $p^{2t}\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathcal{S}(G)$ , but  $p^j \notin \mathcal{S}(G)$  for  $t+1 \leq j \leq 2t-1$ . Moreover, suppose that  $p^j m \in \mathcal{S}(G)$  with  $p \nmid m$  and  $t+1 \leq j \leq 2t-1$ .*

- (a) *If  $p \geq 5$ , or  $p = 3$  and  $j > t+1$ , then there exist  $2t-j$  prime powers  $q_i^{a_i} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^i}$ , each  $a_i$  odd, such that  $\prod_{i=1}^{2t-j} q_i^{a_i} \mid m$ .*
- (b) *If  $p = 3$  and  $j = t+1$ , then there are  $t-2$  prime powers with  $q_i^{a_i} \equiv 1 \pmod{3^i}$ , each  $a_i$  odd, such that  $\prod_{i=2}^{t-1} q_i^{a_i} \mid m$ .*

We should note that  $p^{t+\ell} \parallel M_{p^t}(x-1+p^\ell)$  for all  $\ell \geq 1$  so that there will be some  $mp^j$ ,  $p \nmid m$ , with  $t+1 \leq j \leq 2t-1$ . While restrictive, we do not expect the conditions on  $m$  in Theorem 1.1 to be sufficient. We illustrate this by characterizing the determinants for  $\mathbb{Z}_{25}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_{27}$ , the smallest unresolved cases of odd prime power cyclic group determinants. Even for these small cases the situation becomes quite complicated. We shall use the polynomial form (1) and split the product over the  $n = p^t$ th roots of unity into  $t+1$  integer norms:

$$M_{p^t}(F) = F(1) \prod_{k=1}^t N_k(F), \quad N_k(F) := \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ p \nmid j}}^{p^k} F(\omega_k^j), \quad \omega_k := e^{2\pi i/p^k}.$$

From Theorem 1.1 it follows that if  $5^3 m \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}_{25})$  with  $5 \nmid m$ , then  $m$  must be divisible by a prime  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$ . Similarly, if  $3^4 m \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}_{27})$  and  $3 \nmid m$ , then  $m$  must be divisible by a prime  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$  or the cube of a prime  $q = 4$  or  $7 \pmod{9}$ , and if  $3^5 m \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}_{27})$  and  $3 \nmid m$ , then  $m$  must be divisible by a prime  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ .

However, not all  $m$  of these forms can be achieved in the corresponding set  $\mathcal{S}(G)$ . For  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}_{25})$  we get  $5^3qm$ ,  $5 \nmid m$ , for 134 of the 163 primes  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$  less than 5000, but not  $5^3q$  (nor any  $5^3q^k$ ) for the remaining 29. Similarly for  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}_{27})$  we get  $3^4qm$ ,  $3 \nmid m$ , for 76 of the 109 primes  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$  less than 5000, but not  $3^4q^k$  for the remaining 33 such primes  $q$ , nor for any primes  $q \equiv 4$  or  $7 \pmod{9}$ . For this group we also get  $3^5qm$ ,  $3 \nmid m$ , for 251 of the 330 primes  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  less than 5000, but not  $3^5q^k$  for the other 79.

For the analysis of  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}_{25})$ , we partition the primes  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$  into two sets:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_1^{(5)} &= \{q = N_1(1 + (x-1)^3h(x)) : h \in \mathbb{Z}[x], 5 \nmid h(1)\}, \\ \mathcal{T}_2^{(5)} &= \{q = N_1(1 + (x-1)^3h(x)) : h \in \mathbb{Z}[x], 5 \mid h(1)\}.\end{aligned}\tag{3}$$

We refer to these respectively as *Type 1* or *Type 2* (with respect to the prime 5). We show in Lemma 3.1 that  $\mathcal{T}_1^{(5)}$  and  $\mathcal{T}_2^{(5)}$  are disjoint, and that every  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$  lies in one of these two sets. A somewhat similar division of primes was needed to describe the integral group determinants for  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_6$  in [27] and  $\mathbb{Z}_{15}$  in [24].

The partition  $\mathcal{T}_1^{(5)}$  and  $\mathcal{T}_2^{(5)}$  first arose in some work of Tanner well over a century ago [28, 29]. Tanner called these sets the *perissads* and *artiads* respectively (after περιττός and ἄρτιος, Greek for odd and even). The artiads appear in fact as the sequence A001583 in the OEIS [22]. In Lemma 3.7 below we show that our sets (3) coincide with Tanner's original definition (given in terms of the coefficients of the Jacobi function). In 1966, E. Lehmer [15] characterized the artiads as those primes  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$  where the roots of  $x^2 - x - 1 \pmod{q}$  are quintic residues mod  $q$ , or in terms of Fibonacci numbers that  $q \mid F_{(q-1)/5}$ . In terms of Dickson's representation [6] for primes  $q = 1 \pmod{5}$ ,

$$16q = x^2 + 50u^2 + 50v^2 + 125w^2, \quad x \equiv 1 \pmod{5}, \quad xw = (v - 2u)^2 - 5u^2,\tag{4}$$

Lehmer showed that they are the  $q$  for which  $5 \mid w$ .

With this we can state our characterization of  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}_{25})$ . In particular, one may classify the primes  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$  as perissads or artiads depending on whether  $5^3q$  is a  $25 \times 25$  integer circulant determinant.

**Theorem 1.2.** *In addition to  $5^4\mathbb{Z}$  and the integers coprime to 5, the elements of  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}_{25})$  are those of the form  $5^3qm$  where  $\gcd(m, 5) = 1$  and  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$  is a perissad.*

While this gives a complete description of the values achieved, it is not immediately obvious whether a prime  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$  is Type 1 or Type 2. For a given  $q$  one could factor it in  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega_1]$  and use the straightforward algorithm of Lemma 3.2 to decide its type. Assuming randomness mod 5 in the key coefficient in (3), we might anticipate achieving  $5^3q$  for about 4/5 of the primes  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$ , and indeed empirical results support this heuristic (see also Lehmer [15, Cor. 1]). Of the 163 primes  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$  up to 5000, for convenience we list here the 134 that are Type 1 (the perissads):

11, 31, 41, 61, 71, 101, 131, 151, 181, 191, 241, 251, 271, 311, 331,  
401, 431, 491, 541, 571, 601, 631, 641, 661, 701, 751, 761, 811, 821,  
911, 941, 971, 1021, 1051, 1061, 1091, 1171, 1181, 1201, 1231, 1291,  
1301, 1321, 1361, 1381, 1451, 1471, 1481, 1531, 1571, 1621, 1721,  
1741, 1801, 1811, 1831, 1861, 1901, 1931, 2011, 2081, 2111, 2131,

2141, 2161, 2251, 2281, 2311, 2341, 2351, 2371, 2381, 2411, 2441,  
 2521, 2531, 2551, 2621, 2671, 2711, 2731, 2741, 2791, 2801, 2851,  
 2861, 2971, 3011, 3041, 3061, 3121, 3181, 3191, 3221, 3271, 3301,  
 3331, 3361, 3371, 3391, 3461, 3491, 3511, 3541, 3581, 3631, 3671,  
 3691, 3701, 3761, 3821, 3881, 3911, 3931, 4001, 4051, 4091, 4111,  
 4201, 4211, 4231, 4241, 4261, 4271, 4421, 4451, 4561, 4591, 4721,  
 4801, 4831, 4861, 4931, 4951,

and the 29 of Type 2 (the artiads):

211, 281, 421, 461, 521, 691, 881, 991, 1031, 1151, 1511, 1601, 1871,  
 1951, 2221, 2591, 3001, 3251, 3571, 3851, 4021, 4391, 4441, 4481,  
 4621, 4651, 4691, 4751, 4871.

These values were reverse engineered by varying  $h(x)$  in (3).

For our analysis of  $\mathbb{Z}_{27}$ , we again divide the primes  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  into two sets:

$$\mathcal{T}_1^{(3)} = \{q = N_1(\delta + 3A(x-1) + 9B) : A, B \in \mathbb{Z}, 3 \nmid A\},$$

$$\mathcal{T}_2^{(3)} = \{q = N_1(\delta + 3A(x-1) + 9B) : A, B \in \mathbb{Z}, 3 \mid A\},$$

where  $\delta = 1, 2$  or  $4$  as  $q \equiv 1, 4$  or  $7 \pmod{9}$ . As above, we refer to these as *Type 1* or *Type 2* (with respect to the prime 3). We show in Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 that  $\mathcal{T}_1^{(3)}$  and  $\mathcal{T}_2^{(3)}$  are disjoint and that every  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  lies in one of these two sets.

Again  $\mathcal{T}_2^{(3)}$  is a known sequence, OEIS A014753, with several different characterizations. For example, these are exactly the primes  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  for which  $4q = x^2 + 243y^2$  has a solution in integers, as can be seen by writing

$$q = N_1(\delta + 9a(x-1) + 9B) \iff 4q = (2\delta + 18B - 27a)^2 + 243a^2.$$

From [9, ex. 9.23] these are equivalently the  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  for which 3 is a cubic residue mod  $q$ .

We also need to further divide the Type 2 primes  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$ :

$$\mathcal{T}_3^{(3)} = \{q = N_2(1 + (x-1)^7 h(x)) : h \in \mathbb{Z}[x], 3 \nmid h(1)\},$$

$$\mathcal{T}_4^{(3)} = \{q = N_2(1 + (x-1)^7 h(x)) : h \in \mathbb{Z}[x], 3 \mid h(1)\}.$$

We refer to these as *Type 3* and *Type 4* (for the prime 3). We may now state our characterization of  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}_{27})$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** *In addition to  $3^6\mathbb{Z}$  and the integers coprime to 3, the elements of  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}_{27})$  are as follows.*

- (a) *The values with  $3^4 \parallel M_{27}(F)$  take the form  $3^4mq$ , with  $3 \nmid m$  and  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$  a Type 1 prime.*
- (b) *The values with  $3^5 \parallel M_{27}(F)$  take the form  $3^5mq$ , with  $3 \nmid m$ , and  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  a Type 1 prime or  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$  a Type 3 prime.*

For convenience, we list the elements of these sets less than 5000. We might reasonably expect to witness  $3^4q$  for about 2/3 of primes  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$ , and  $3^5q$  for approximately 20/27 of the primes  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ . Indeed, of the 109 primes  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$  less than this bound, we observe that 76 are Type 1:

19, 37, 109, 127, 163, 181, 199, 379, 397, 433, 487, 541, 631, 739,  
 811, 829, 883, 937, 1063, 1153, 1171, 1279, 1297, 1423, 1459, 1567,  
 1657, 1693, 1747, 1801, 1873, 1999, 2017, 2053, 2089, 2143, 2161,  
 2377, 2467, 2503, 2521, 2539, 2557, 2593, 2647, 2683, 2719, 2791,

2917, 2953, 3061, 3169, 3259, 3313, 3331, 3457, 3511, 3547, 3583,  
 3637, 3673, 3691, 3709, 3727, 3943, 4051, 4159, 4231, 4357, 4447,  
 4519, 4591, 4663, 4789, 4861, 4987,

while 24 are Type 3:

73, 271, 307, 523, 577, 613, 757, 919, 1009, 1531, 1621, 1783, 2179,  
 2287, 2971, 3079, 3187, 3529, 3853, 3889, 4177, 4339, 4951, 4969

and 9 are Type 4:

991, 1117, 1549, 2251, 2269, 2341, 3907, 4483, 4933.

Of the primes  $q \equiv 4 \pmod 9$  up to 5000, 75 are Type 1:

13, 31, 139, 157, 211, 229, 283, 337, 373, 409, 463, 571, 607, 733,  
 751, 769, 823, 859, 877, 1039, 1129, 1201, 1237, 1291, 1327, 1381,  
 1453, 1471, 1489, 1579, 1723, 1741, 1777, 1831, 1993, 2011, 2083,  
 2137, 2281, 2371, 2551, 2659, 2677, 2731, 2749, 2767, 2857, 3037,  
 3163, 3271, 3307, 3361, 3433, 3469, 3541, 3559, 3613, 3793, 3919,  
 4027, 4153, 4243, 4261, 4297, 4423, 4441, 4549, 4567, 4603, 4621,  
 4639, 4657, 4801, 4909, 4999,

and 34 are Type 2:

67, 103, 193, 499, 643, 661, 787, 967, 1021, 1093, 1399, 1543, 1597,  
 1669, 1759, 1867, 2029, 2389, 2713, 2803, 3001, 3019, 3109, 3181,  
 3217, 3253, 3343, 3631, 3739, 3847, 4099, 4513, 4729, 4783.

For the  $q \equiv 7 \pmod 9$  in the same range, 76 are Type 1:

7, 43, 79, 97, 223, 241, 277, 313, 331, 349, 421, 457, 601, 673, 691,  
 709, 907, 1033, 1051, 1069, 1087, 1123, 1213, 1231, 1429, 1447,  
 1483, 1627, 1663, 1699, 1753, 1789, 1951, 1987, 2113, 2239, 2293,  
 2311, 2347, 2437, 2473, 2689, 2707, 2797, 2833, 2887, 3121, 3229,  
 3301, 3391, 3463, 3571, 3643, 3697, 3769, 3823, 3877, 3931, 4003,  
 4021, 4111, 4129, 4201, 4219, 4273, 4327, 4363, 4507, 4561, 4597,  
 4651, 4723, 4759, 4813, 4831, 4903,

and 36 are Type 2:

61, 151, 367, 439, 547, 619, 727, 853, 997, 1249, 1303, 1321, 1609,  
 1861, 1879, 1933, 2131, 2203, 2221, 2383, 2617, 2671, 2851, 3049,  
 3067, 3319, 3373, 3499, 3517, 3607, 3733, 3967, 4057, 4093, 4957,  
 4993.

Last, in 1882 Torelli [31] showed that  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}_{rs}) \subseteq \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}_s)$ . We describe an explicit construction (via Lemma 2.4 below) for a special case of this: writing a dimension  $p^t$  integral circulant determinant as a dimension  $p^{t-1}$  integral circulant determinant. We record this in the following proposition. (See also [10, Lem. 3.6] for a similarly constructive proof.)

**Proposition 1.4.** *For any  $t \geq 2$  we have  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}_{p^t}) \subseteq \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{t-1}})$ .*

In this paper we are concerned with powers of odd primes. When  $p = 2$  one has easily that

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}_2) = \{2^a m : 2 \nmid m, a = 0 \text{ or } a \geq 2\}.$$

Kaiblinger [10] showed that for  $k = 2$  or  $3$

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}_{2^k}) = \{2^a m : 2 \nmid m, a = 0 \text{ or } a \geq k + 2\}$$

and obtained upper and lower bounds for  $k \geq 4$ ,

$$\{2^a m : 2 \nmid m, a = 0 \text{ or } a \geq 2k-1\} \subseteq \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}_{2^k}) \subseteq \{2^a m : 2 \nmid m, a = 0 \text{ or } a \geq k+2\}.$$

Recently Y. and N. Yamaguchi [35] resolved the case  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}_{16})$ , showing that the odd multiples of  $2^6$  take the form  $2^6 p^2 m$  with  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ , or  $2^6 pm$  with  $p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ , or  $2^6 pm$  with  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  and  $p = a^2 + b^2$  with  $a + b \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$ .

This article is organized in the following way. Section 2 contains the proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 1.4. Sections 3 and 4 hold the proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 respectively. Section 5 summarizes some computations associated with these results.

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1 AND PROPOSITION 1.4

We write  $\Phi_n(x)$  for the  $n$ th cyclotomic polynomial and  $\phi(n)$  for Euler's totient function. We also let  $\pi_i := 1 - \omega_i$ ; recall that  $(p) = (\pi_i)^{\phi(p^i)}$  gives the factorization of  $(p)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega_i]$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $G = \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}$  with  $t \geq 2$ . If  $p^j \parallel M_G(F)$  with  $t+1 \leq j \leq 2t$  then  $p^{j-t} \parallel F(1)$  and  $p \parallel N_i(F)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq t$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $M_G(F) = p^j m$ ,  $p \nmid m$  with  $j \geq 1$ . Writing

$$N_j(F) := \prod_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \gcd(\ell,p)=1}}^{p^j} F(\omega_j^\ell), \quad \omega_j := e^{2\pi i/p^j}, \quad N_j(F) \equiv F(1)^{\phi(p^j)} \pmod{p},$$

we must have  $p \mid F(1), N_1(F), \dots, N_t(F)$ . Suppose that  $p^u \parallel N_t(F)$ . Then

$$F(x) = (1-x)^u g_1(x) + \Phi_{p^t}(x) g_2(x), \quad g_1, g_2 \in \mathbb{Z}[x], \quad |g_1(\omega_t)|_p = 1,$$

and for each  $1 \leq i \leq t-1$  we have

$$F(\omega_i) = (1-\omega_i)^u g_1(\omega_i) + p g_2(\omega_i), \quad g_1(\omega_i) = g_1(\omega_t^{p^{t-i}}) \equiv g_1(\omega_t)^{p^{t-i}} \pmod{p}.$$

Hence  $|g_1(\omega_i)|_p = 1$ , and if  $u = 1$  we get  $\pi_i \parallel F(\omega_i)$  and  $p \parallel N_i(F)$ , all  $1 \leq i \leq t$ , while if  $u \geq 2$  we get  $\pi_i^2 \mid F(\omega_i)$  and  $p^2 \mid N_i(F)$  all  $1 \leq i \leq t$  and  $p^{2t+1} \mid M_G(F)$ .  $\square$

We next state Newman's unit criterion [20, Thm. 1], which we will use in the proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3.

**Lemma 2.2.** *If  $u_1, u_2$  are units in  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega_t]$  with  $\pi_t^s \parallel (u_1 - u_2)$  with  $s$  odd, then  $s = p^\ell$  for some  $0 \leq \ell < t$ .*

Newman used his lemma to rule out  $N_1(F) = N_2(F) = p$  when  $F(1) = p$ . In our proof of Theorem 1.1 we will allow additional norm values that have a similar structure. Recall, if  $q \neq p$  is a prime dividing  $N_i(F)$ , then its multiplicity in  $N_i(F)$  must be a multiple of the order of  $q \pmod{p^i}$ . (This follows by considering the factorization of primes in  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega_i]$ , see for example [32, Thm. 2.13].)

**Lemma 2.3.** *Suppose that  $F(x)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  has  $p \parallel N_i(F)$  for some  $i$ , with*

$$N_i(F) = p \quad \text{or} \quad N_i(F) = p \prod_{j=1}^r q_j^{f_{i,j} s_j}, \quad f_{i,j} \text{ all even}, \quad (5)$$

where each  $q_j$  is prime and  $f_{i,j}$  is the minimal positive integer such that  $q_j^{f_{i,j}} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^i}$ . Then for some  $g(x)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  and integer  $k$  we can write

$$F(\omega_i) = \omega_i^k g(\omega_i + \omega_i^{-1})(\omega_i - 1).$$

*Proof.* Since  $|F(\omega_i)|_p < 1$  we have

$$F(\omega_i) = (\omega_i - 1)h_1(\omega_i) + F(1), \quad p \mid F(1), \quad p = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j < p^i \\ p \nmid j}} (\omega_i^j - 1),$$

for some  $h_1(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ , so we can plainly write

$$F(\omega_i) = (\omega_i - 1)H(\omega_i), \quad N_i(H) = 1 \text{ or } N_i(H) = \prod_{j=1}^r q_j^{f_{i,j} s_j},$$

for some  $H(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ . In the first case  $H(\omega_i)$  is a unit and we can take a basis for the units in  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega_i]$  that is polynomial in  $\omega_i + \omega_i^{-1}$ : we know (see for example [32, Cor. 4.13]) that if  $u(\omega_i)$  is a unit then  $u(\omega_i) = \omega_i^c u(\omega_i)$  for some integer  $0 \leq c < p^i$ , so if we replace  $u(x)$  by  $v(x) = x^k u(x)$  with  $2k \equiv c \pmod{p^i}$ , then  $v(\omega_i) = v(\omega_i)$  and  $v(\omega_i)$  is in the maximal real subfield whose ring of integers is  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega_i + \omega_i^{-1}]$  (see [32, Prop. 2.16]).

In the second case we can obtain something similar. Observe that if  $f_{i,j}$  is even then  $q_j^{f_{i,j}/2} \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p^i}$  and (e.g., [18, ex. 4.12]) the prime ideal  $(q_j)$  breaks into the same number of prime ideals in  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega_i]$  as it does in the maximal real subfield  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega_i + \omega_i^{-1}]$ . In particular we can assume those primes in  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega_i]$  have the same real generators and are unchanged by complex conjugation. Hence if all the  $f_{i,j}$  are even we have  $(H(\omega_i)) = (\overline{H(\omega_i)})$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega_i]$  and  $H(\omega_i)/\overline{H(\omega_i)}$  is an algebraic integer all of whose conjugates have absolute value 1. From Kronecker's Theorem [12] this must be a root of unity and  $H(\omega_i) = \pm \omega_i^c \overline{H(\omega_i)}$ . Further we can assume that  $H(\omega_i) = \omega_i^c \overline{H(\omega_i)}$ , since  $H(\omega_i) = -\omega_i^c \overline{H(\omega_i)} \equiv -H(1) \equiv -\overline{H(\omega_i)} \pmod{(1 - \omega_i)}$  contradicts  $|2H(\omega_i)|_p = 1$ . So, writing  $\omega_i^c = \omega_i^{2k}$ , we have that  $\omega_i^{-k} H(\omega_i) = \omega_i^{-k} \overline{H(\omega_i)}$  is real and  $H(\omega_i) = \omega_i^k g(\omega_i + \omega_i^{-1})$  for some  $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* From Newman [21, Thm. 4] we know that  $p^{2t}\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}_{p^t})$ ; to be explicit  $M_{p^t} \left( x - 1 + m \prod_{j=1}^t \Phi_{p^j}(x) \right) = mp^{2t}$  for any  $m$ .

Suppose that  $p \geq 5$  and  $t \geq 2$  or  $p = 3$  and  $t \geq 3$  and that we have a value  $M_{p^t}(F) = mp^j$  with  $p \nmid m$  and  $t + 1 \leq j \leq 2t - 1$ . Then by Lemma 2.1 we must have  $F(1) = m_1 p^{j-t}$ , some  $m_1 \mid m$ , and  $p \parallel N_i(F)$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq t$ . Hence if fewer than  $2t - j$  of the  $N_i(F)$  are divisible by a  $q_i^{f_i} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^i}$  with  $f_i$  odd (fewer than  $t - 2$  when  $p = 3$  and  $j = t + 1$ ) we must have at least  $j - t + 1$  of the  $N_i(F)$  of the form (5) (with  $i \geq 2$  when  $p = 3$ ,  $j = t + 1$ ). Hence, setting  $k = j - t$ , we have  $F(1) = m_1 p^k$  and  $N_{j_i}(F)$  of the form (5) for  $k + 1$  norms  $1 \leq j_k < \dots < j_1 < j_0 \leq t$  (with  $2 \leq j_1 < j_0 \leq t$  when  $p = 3$  and  $j = t + 1$ ) where  $1 \leq k \leq t - 1$ .

Hence for  $i = j_0$  we have  $F(\omega_i) = (\omega_i - 1)\omega_i^I g(\omega_i + \omega_i^{-1})$ , where we can take  $I \pmod{p^i}$  large enough that  $x^I g(x + 1/x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ . So, from the value at  $x = \omega_{j_0}$  and  $x = 1$ , there is some  $h(x)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  such that

$$F(x) = (x - 1)x^I g(x + 1/x) + m_1 \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \Phi_{p^{j_i}}(x) + (x - 1)\Phi_{p^{j_0}}(x)h(x).$$

For  $\ell = 1, \dots, k$ , we set  $\lambda_\ell := N_{j_\ell}(F)/\omega_{j_\ell}^I(\omega_{j_\ell} - 1)$ . Then for  $x = \omega_{j_\ell}$  and  $I' = -I \bmod p^t$  we can write

$$\lambda_\ell = g(x + 1/x) + px^{I'}h(x) = g(x + 1/x) + p \sum_{0 \leq j \leq S} a_j(x-1)^j$$

for  $\ell = 1, \dots, k-1$ , and for  $\ell = k$  except we must add the term

$$m_1 x^{I'} p^k / (x-1) = p^{k-1} (x-1)^{\phi(p^{j_k})-1} (A + (x-1)s_1(x)), \quad p \nmid A.$$

As in the proof of Newman's Lemma we use Lemma 2.3 to take conjugates and write  $\bar{\lambda}_\ell = \omega_{j_1}^{c_\ell} \lambda_\ell$  for some  $0 \leq c_\ell < p^{j_\ell}$ . Subtracting and expanding the resulting polynomial in powers of  $(x-1)$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \omega_{j_\ell}^{c_\ell}) \lambda_\ell &= \lambda_\ell - \bar{\lambda}_\ell = p \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq S \\ i \text{ odd}}} a_i (x-1)^i (1 + x^{p^t-i}) + p \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq S \\ i \text{ even}}} a_i (x-1)^i (1 - x^{p^t-i}) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq S'} p A_i (x-1)^i, \quad A_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

for  $\ell = 1, \dots, k-1$ . For  $\ell = k$  we have an additional term

$$p^{k-1} (x-1)^{\phi(p^{j_k})-1} (2A + (x-1)s_2(x)). \quad (7)$$

Notice that the left side of (6) is zero if  $p^{j_\ell} \mid c_\ell$  and exactly divisible by  $\pi_{j_\ell} = 1 - \omega_{j_\ell}$  to the power  $\gcd(c_\ell, p^{j_\ell-1})$  otherwise (see for example [20, Lem. 1]).

Suppose first that  $j = t+1$ ,  $k = \ell = 1$ . Then  $p$  and hence  $\phi(p^{j_1})$  many  $\pi_{j_1}$  divide the terms in (6) while exactly  $\phi(p^{j_1}) - 1$  many  $\pi_{j_1}$  divide the extra term (7). This rules out the left-hand side being zero, moreover  $\phi(p^{j_1}) - 1 = p^{j_\ell-1}(p-1) - 1$  is plainly not a multiple of  $p$  and is greater than 1 (since we ruled out  $j_1 = 1$  when  $p = 3$ ) and so cannot equal  $\gcd(c_\ell, p^{j_\ell-1})$ .

Now suppose that  $k \geq 2$ . The terms in (6) and (7) are all multiples of  $p$ , so divisible by at least  $\phi(p^{j_\ell}) > p^{j_\ell-1}$  many  $\pi_{j_\ell}$ . Hence the left-hand side must be zero and we will get a contradiction if the right-hand side is only divisible by a finite power of  $\pi_{j_\ell}$ .

We claim that the  $\ell = 1, \dots, k-1$  successively give

$$p^\ell \mid A_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq \phi(p^{j_\ell}), \quad (8)$$

Observe that if  $p^{m_i} \parallel A_i$  then  $\pi_{j_\ell}^{t_i} \parallel p A_i (\omega_{j_\ell} - 1)^i$  with  $t_i = (1 + m_i)\phi(p^{j_\ell}) + i$  and the  $t_i$  are distinct for  $1 \leq i \leq \phi(p^{j_\ell})$ .

Hence for  $\ell = 1$ , if  $p \nmid A_J$  for some  $1 \leq J \leq \phi(p^{j_1})$ ,  $J$  minimal, we have  $t_J = \phi(p^{j_1}) + J$  while the other  $t_i$  have  $i > J$  or  $m_i \geq 1$  and  $t_i > t_J$ . Hence we get that  $t_J$  is the exact power of  $\pi_{j_1}$  dividing the right-hand side and a contradiction. So  $p \mid A_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq \phi(p^{j_1})$ .

Suppose that we have shown (8) for  $\ell = 1, \dots, L-1$ ,  $L \geq 2$ , and want to show it for  $L$ . We certainly know that  $p^{L-1} \mid A_i$  all  $1 \leq i \leq \phi(p^{j_L})$ , since  $j_L < j_{L-1}$ , so suppose that  $p^{L-1} \parallel A_J$  for some  $1 \leq J \leq \phi(p^{j_L})$  with  $J$  minimal, then plainly  $t_J = L\phi(p^{j_L}) + J < t_i$  for the other  $t_i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq \phi(p^{j_{L-1}})$  since either  $m_i \geq L$  or  $m_i = L-1$  and  $i > J$ . If  $\phi(p^{j_{L-s}}) < i \leq \phi(p^{j_{L-s-1}})$  for some  $1 \leq s \leq L-2$  then  $m_i \geq L-s-1$  and  $t_i > (L-s)\phi(p^{j_L}) + \phi(p^{j_{L-s}}) \geq (L-s+p^s)\phi(p^{j_L}) \geq (L+1)\phi(p^{j_L}) \geq t_J$ . If  $i > \phi(p^{j_1})$  then  $t_i > \phi(p^{j_1}) \geq \phi(p^{j_L+L-1}) = \phi(p^{j_L})p^{L-1} \geq (L+1)\phi(p^{j_L}) \geq t_J$ . Again a contradiction and the claim holds for  $\ell = L$ .



Hence for  $\ell = k$  we have  $p^{k-1} \mid A_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq \phi(p^{j_{k-1}})$  and  $t_i \geq k\phi(p^{j_k})$ . For the  $\phi(p^{j_{k-s}}) < i \leq \phi(p^{j_{k-s-1}})$  for some  $1 \leq s \leq k-2$  we have  $t_i > (k-s)\phi(p^{j_k}) + \phi(p^{j_{k-s}}) \geq (k-s+p^s)\phi(p^{j_k}) \geq k\phi(p^{j_k})$  and for the  $i > \phi(p^{j_1})$  we have  $t_i \geq i > \phi(p^{j_1}) \geq p^{k-1}\phi(p^{j_k}) \geq k\phi(p^{j_k})$ . But for  $\ell = k$  we have an extra term (7) and the power of  $\pi_{j_k}$  dividing that is exactly  $k\phi(p^{j_k}) - 1$ . Hence this is the power of  $\pi_{j_k}$  dividing the right-hand side, contradicting that it is zero.  $\square$

Finally, for the proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 we shall need a lemma writing a  $p^j$  norm as a  $p^{j-1}$  norm. Proposition 1.4 then follows immediately.

**Lemma 2.4.** *If  $F(x)$  is in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  and  $H(x) = \prod_{j=0}^{p-1} F(x\omega_1^j)$ , then  $H(x) = g(x^p)$  with  $g(x)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ ,  $g(x) \equiv f(x) \pmod{p}$  and*

$$N_i(F) = N_{i-1}(g), \quad i \geq 2, \quad F(1)N_1(F) = g(1).$$

*If  $p = 3$  and  $F(x) = f_0(x^3) + xf_1(x^3) + x^2f_2(x^3)$  then*

$$g(x) = f_0(x)^3 + xf_1(x)^3 + x^2f_2(x)^3 - 3xf_0(x)f_1(x)f_2(x). \quad (9)$$

*Proof.* To see this, observe that  $\prod_{j=0}^{p-1} F(\omega_1^j x)$  is symmetric in the conjugates so will be in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  and unchanged under  $x \mapsto \omega_1 x$  so must be a polynomial in  $x^p$ . Now  $H(x) \equiv F(x)^p \pmod{(1-\omega_1)}$  and since the coefficients are integers  $g(x^p) \equiv F(x)^p \equiv F(x^p) \pmod{p}$ . For  $i \geq 2$  the  $x\omega_1^j$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, p-1$  will run through the primitive  $p^i$ th roots of unity as  $x$  runs through the  $\omega_1^\ell$ ,  $1 \leq \ell < p^{i-1}$ ,  $p \nmid \ell$ , i.e., the  $x^p$  in  $g(x^p)$  runs through the primitive  $p^{i-1}$ th roots of unity. Hence  $N_i(F) = N_{i-1}(g)$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 1.4.* Immediate from Lemma 2.4 since  $M_{p^i}(F) = M_{p^{i-1}}(g)$ .  $\square$

As shown in Kaiblinger [10, Lem. 3.6] we can equivalently think of  $g(x)$  as the polynomial whose roots and lead coefficient are the  $p$ th power of those of  $F(x)$ . Writing group determinants as subgroup determinants is explored in [33, 34].

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Throughout this section,  $\omega_i$  denotes a primitive  $5^i$ th root of unity. Note that  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega_i]$  is a unique factorization domain for  $i = 1$  and 2 (see [32, Thm. 11.1]). We begin with a way of using units to reduce elements in  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega_i]$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Suppose that  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  with  $5 \nmid N_i(f)$ .*

(i) *For some unit  $u(\omega_i)$  and  $h(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  we can write*

$$u(\omega_i)f(\omega_i) = 1 + (\omega_i - 1)^3 h(\omega_i). \quad (10)$$

*We can take  $u(x) = \pm x^I(1+x)^J(x^4+x)^{2K}$ , with  $J = 0$  or 1 and  $0 \leq I, K \leq 4$ .*

(ii)  *$f(\omega_i)$  cannot have one representation (10) where  $5 \mid h(1)$  and another where  $5 \nmid h(1)$ .*

*Proof.* (i) Writing  $f(\omega_i) = a_0 + \sum_{j \geq 1} a_j(\omega_i - 1)^j$ , note that  $5 \nmid a_0$  since  $5 \nmid N_i(f)$ . Observing that  $(1 + \omega_i) = 2 + (\omega_i - 1)$  and  $5 = (\omega_i - 1)^{\phi(5^i)} v(\omega_i)$  then, taking  $J = 0$  or 1 as  $a_0 \equiv \pm 1$  or  $\pm 2 \pmod{5}$ , we can write

$$\pm(1 + \omega_i)^J f(\omega_i) = 1 + b_1(\omega_i - 1) + \sum_{j \geq 2} b_j(\omega_i - 1)^j.$$

Since  $\omega_i^I = 1 + I(\omega_i - 1) + \sum_{j \geq 2} t_j(\omega_i - 1)^j$ , taking  $I \equiv -b_1 \pmod{5}$  we get

$$\pm \omega_i^I (1 + \omega_i)^J f(\omega_i) = 1 + c_2(\omega_i - 1)^2 + \sum_{j \geq 3} c_j(\omega_i - 1)^j.$$

Using

$$\begin{aligned} -(\omega_i^4 + \omega_i)^2 &= -4 - 20(\omega_i - 1) - 49(\omega_i - 1)^2 - 76(\omega_i - 1)^3 - \dots \\ &= 1 + (\omega_i - 1)^2 + \sum_{j \geq 3} r_j(\omega_i - 1)^j, \end{aligned}$$

and  $K \equiv -c_2 \pmod{5}$  we get

$$\pm \omega_i^I (1 + \omega_i)^J (-\omega_i^4 + \omega_i)^K f(\omega_i) = 1 + \sum_{j \geq 3} d_j(\omega_i - 1)^j.$$

(ii) Suppose that

$$\begin{aligned} u_1(\omega_i) f(\omega_i) &= 1 + (\omega_i - 1)^3 (A + (\omega_i - 1)g_1(\omega_i)), \quad 5 \mid A, \\ u_2(\omega_i) f(\omega_i) &= 1 + (\omega_i - 1)^3 (B + (\omega_i - 1)g_2(\omega_i)), \quad 5 \nmid B, \end{aligned}$$

for two units  $u_1(\omega_i), u_2(\omega_i)$ . Then

$$u_1(\omega_i) - u_2(\omega_i) = (\omega_i - 1)^3 (u_2(\omega_i)A - u_1(\omega_i)B + (1 - \omega_i)h(\omega_i))$$

and  $(1 - \omega_i)^3 \parallel u_1(\omega_i) - u_2(\omega_i)$ , contradicting Lemma 2.2.  $\square$

We say that an integer  $m$  is a  $5^i$ -norm if  $m = N_i(f(x))$  for some  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *If  $5 \nmid m$  and  $m$  is a  $5^i$ -norm, then*

$$m = N_i(1 + (x - 1)^3 h(x)) \tag{11}$$

for some  $h(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ . For  $i = 1$  we can also write

$$5m = N_1(1 - x + 5g(x)), \tag{12}$$

for some  $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ , with  $5 \nmid g(1)$  if  $5 \nmid h(1)$ .

*Proof.* The form (11) follows at once from Lemma 3.1. The second form (12) stems from the observation that  $5 = N_1(1 - x)$  and that  $(x - 1)^4 = 5(x^2 + 1)^2 - 4\Phi_5(x)$ .  $\square$

We call (11) a  $5^i$ -norm *Type 1 representation* for  $m$  if  $5 \nmid h(1)$ , and a  $5^i$ -norm *Type 2 representation* if  $5 \mid h(1)$ . Crucial will be integers with a 5- or 25-norm Type 1 representation (though in fact the 25-norm gives us no new values).

**Lemma 3.3.** *If  $m$  has a 25-norm Type 1 (or Type 2) representation, then  $m$  has a 5-norm Type 1 (or Type 2) representation.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $m = N_2(F)$  with  $F(x) = 1 + (x - 1)^3 h(x)$ . Then by Lemma 2.4 we have  $m = N_1(g)$  with  $g(x) = F(x) + 5h_1(x)$  and  $m = N_1(1 + (x - 1)^3 h(x) + (x - 1)^4(x^3 - x - 1)h_1(x))$ . This gives a 5-norm representation which is Type 1 if  $5 \nmid h(1)$  and Type 2 if  $5 \mid h(1)$ .  $\square$

We observe that we can achieve  $5^3 m$  when  $m$  has a 5-norm Type 1 representation, for example, the Type 1 primes  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

(i) *If  $m$  has a 5-norm Type 1 representation then there is an  $F(x)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  with  $M_{25}(F) = 5^3 m$  and  $F(1) = N_2(F) = 5$ ,  $N_1(F) = 5m$ .*

(ii) If  $m$  has a 25-norm Type 1 representation then there is additionally an  $F(x)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  with  $M_{25}(F) = 5^3m$  and  $F(1) = N_1(F) = 5$ ,  $N_2(F) = 5m$ .

*Proof.* (i) Suppose that  $5m$  has a form (12) with  $5 \nmid g(1)$ . So there exists a positive integer  $\ell$  with  $5 \nmid \ell$  and  $\ell g(1) = 1 + 5\lambda$  for some integer  $\lambda$ . Take

$$F(x) = (1 + x + \cdots + x^{\ell-1})f(x) - \lambda \left( \frac{x^{25} - 1}{x - 1} \right), \quad (13)$$

with  $f(x) = (1 - x) + \Phi_5(x^5)g(x)$ . Then  $F(1) = 5\ell g(1) - 25\lambda = 5$ ,  $N_1(F) = N_1(f) = 5m$ ,  $N_2(F) = N_2(f) = N_2(1 - x) = 5$  and  $M_{25}(F) = 5^3m$ .

(ii) If  $m = N_2(1 + (x - 1)^3h(x))$ ,  $5 \nmid h(1)$ , then

$$(\omega_1 - 1)(1 + (\omega_1 - 1)^3h(\omega_1)) = (\omega_1 - 1) + 5h(\omega_1)(\omega_1^2 + 1)^2$$

and taking integers  $\ell > 0$  and  $\lambda$  with  $-4h(1)\ell = 1 + 5\lambda$  we set (13) with

$$f(x) = (x - 1)(1 + (x - 1)^3h(x)) - \Phi_5(x^5)h(x)(x^2 + 1)^2.$$

So  $F(1) = -20h(1)\ell - 25\lambda = 5$ ,  $N_1(F) = N_1(f) = N_1(x - 1) = 5$ ,  $N_2(F) = N_2(f) = 5m$  and  $M_{25}(F) = 5^3m$ .  $\square$

For a prime  $q$  we use  $q^f$  to denote the minimal positive power of  $q$  with  $q^f \equiv 1 \pmod{5^i}$ . Composite  $m$  can have both Type 1 and Type 2 representations but this is not true for the  $q^f$ . Thus it makes sense to call  $q^f$  a  $5^i$ -norm Type 1 or Type 2 integer depending on whether it has a  $5^i$ -norm Type 1 or 2 representation (11).

**Lemma 3.5.** *A minimal prime power  $q^f \equiv 1 \pmod{5^i}$  cannot be both  $5^i$ -norm Type 1 and Type 2. If  $m$  is a product of  $q^f$  all of  $5^i$ -norm Type 2 or  $m = 1$  then  $m$  can only be  $5^i$ -norm Type 2. A product of one Type 1 and the rest Type 2 must be Type 1. If at least two are Type 1 then  $m$  will have both Type 1 and Type 2 representations.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $g(x)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  has  $N_i(g) = q^f$  and that

$$u_1(\omega_i)g(\omega_i) = 1 + (\omega_i - 1)^3h_1(\omega_i)$$

for some unit  $u_1(\omega_i)$ . Then for any  $5 \nmid j$  we have

$$u_1(\omega_i^j)g(\omega_i^j) = 1 + (\omega_i - 1)^3h_2(\omega_i), \quad h_2(x) = (1 + x + \cdots + x^{j-1})^3h_1(x^j).$$

So if we have a representation in (11) with  $5 \mid h_1(1)$  or  $5 \nmid h_1(1)$  then all the conjugates will also have a representation of that same type. Hence  $q^f$  cannot have both a Type 1 representation  $g_1(\omega_i)$  and a Type 2 representation  $g_2(\omega_i)$ ; up to a unit  $g_1(\omega_i)$  is a conjugate of  $g_2(\omega_i)$ , and Lemma 3.1(ii) rules out  $g_1(\omega_i)$  having both types of representation.

Observe that if  $f(\omega_i)$  has a Type 2 representation and  $g(\omega_i)$  has a Type 1 or 2, then  $f(\omega_i)g(\omega_i)$  has a representation of the same type as  $g(\omega_i)$ :

$$u_1(\omega_i)f(\omega_i) = 1 + (\omega_i - 1)^3(A + (1 - \omega_i)h_1(\omega_i)), \quad 5 \mid A,$$

$$u_2(\omega_i)g(\omega_i) = 1 + (\omega_i - 1)^3(B + (1 - \omega_i)h_2(\omega_i)),$$

then

$$u_1(\omega_i)u_2(\omega_i)f(\omega_i)g(\omega_i) = 1 + (\omega_i - 1)^3(A + B + (1 - \omega_i)h_3(\omega_i))$$

and  $5 \mid A + B$  if and only if  $5 \mid B$ . If  $5 \nmid AB$  then we can choose  $j$  with  $5 \nmid j$  so that  $5 \nmid jA + B$  or so that  $5 \mid jA + B$  and  $f(\omega_i^j)g(\omega_i)$  is respectively Type 1 or Type 2.

Now if  $m$  is a product of Type 2 prime powers then the constituent primes will all have Type 2 representations and their product a Type 2 representation. A unit  $u(\omega_i)$  plainly has a Type 2 representation  $u(\omega_i)^{-1}u(\omega_i) = 1$ . As shown in Lemma 3.1(ii), an element in  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega_i]$  cannot have both types of representation. The other cases are similar.  $\square$

We show that the only minimal  $q^f$  of 5-norm Type 1 are the Type 1 primes  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$  and the only 25-norm Type 1 are the Type 1 primes  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{25}$ .

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $q$  be a prime.*

- (i) *If  $q \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}$  then  $q^4$  is 5-norm Type 2.*
- (ii) *If  $q \equiv -1 \pmod{5}$  then  $q^2$  is 5-norm Type 2.*
- (iii) *The only 25-norm Type 1 minimal  $q^f$  are the Type 1 primes  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{25}$ .*

*Proof.* (i) Observe that  $5\omega_1^3 + 5\omega_1^2 - 3$  is a unit in  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega_1]$ . Hence  $3 + 5k$  has

$$(3 + 5k)(5\omega_1^3 + 5\omega_1^2 - 3) = 1 - (\omega_1 - 1)^4 (5\omega_1^3 - 8\omega_1 - 8 + k(8\omega_1^3 - 13\omega_1 - 13)),$$

and a Type 2 representation, giving the result for  $q^4$  when  $\pm q \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$ .

(ii) If  $q \equiv -1 \pmod{5}$ , then  $\left(\frac{5}{q}\right) = 1$  and  $q$  splits in the real subfield  $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{5}]$ , and  $q^2 = N_1(f(\sqrt{5}))$  for a linear function  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ . Hence for some unit  $u(\omega_1)$  we have

$$u(\omega_1)f(\sqrt{5}) = 1 + (\omega_1 - 1)^3 h(\omega_1), \quad \overline{u(\omega_1)}f(\sqrt{5}) = 1 - (\omega_1 - 1)^3 \omega_1^2 \overline{h(\omega_1)}.$$

Hence  $u(\omega_1) - \overline{u(\omega_1)} = (\omega_1 - 1)^3 k(\omega_1)$  with  $k(\omega_1) = u(\omega_1)\omega_1^2 h(\omega_1^{-1}) + u(\omega_1^{-1})h(\omega_1) \equiv 2u(1)h(1) \pmod{\omega_1 - 1}$  and  $5 \nmid h(1)$  would give  $(\omega_1 - 1)^3 \parallel (u(\omega_1) - \overline{u(\omega_1)})$ , contradicting Lemma 2.2.

(iii) Suppose that  $q^f$  is 25-norm Type 1. By Lemma 3.3 we know that  $q^f$ , and hence the minimal power  $q^{f'} \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$ , must be 5-norm Type 1. By (i) and (ii) this says that  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$  is a Type 1 prime. If  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{25}$  then  $f = f' = 1$  and  $q$  will have the same 25-norm and 5-norm type. If  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$  but  $q \not\equiv 1 \pmod{25}$ , then  $f = 5$ , and taking a representation  $q = N_1(1 + (x - 1)^3 h(x))$  of either type gives a 25-norm Type 2 representation for  $q^5$ :

$$\begin{aligned} q^5 &= N_2(1 + (x^5 - 1)^3 h_1(x^5)) \\ &= N_2(1 + (x - 1)^{15} h_1(x^5) + 5h_2(x)) \\ &= N_2(1 + (x - 1)^{15} h(x^5) + (x - 1)^{20} h_3(x)). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Tanner [28, 29] defined a prime  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$  to be an *artiad* if the coefficients in the Jacobi function

$$R(\omega_1) = \sum_{s=1}^{q-2} \chi(s)\chi(s+1), \quad \chi(s) = \omega_1^{\text{ind}(s)},$$

the index  $\text{ind}(s)$  taken relative to a primitive root mod  $q$ , normalized so that

$$R(\omega_1) = \sum_{i=0}^4 q_i \omega_1^i, \quad \sum_{i=0}^4 q_i = -1, \quad (14)$$

satisfy

$$q_1 \equiv q_2 \equiv q_3 \equiv q_4 \pmod{5}.$$

Otherwise he defined it to be a *perissad*. We show that this coincides with our partition (3).

**Lemma 3.7.** *A prime  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$  is an artiad if and only if  $q \in \mathcal{T}_2^{(5)}$ .*

*Proof.* From  $R(\omega_1)R(\omega_1^{-1}) = q$  we can write  $R(\omega_1) = u_1(\omega_1)f(\omega_1)f(\omega_1^2)$  where  $q = N_1(f)$ . Now if  $u_2(\omega_1)f(\omega_1) = 1 + (\omega_1 - 1)^3h(\omega_1)$  then

$$\begin{aligned} u_1(\omega_1)^{-1}u_2(\omega_1)u_2(\omega_1^2)R(\omega_1) &= (1 + (\omega_1 - 1)^3h(\omega_1)) (1 + (\omega_1^2 - 1)^3h(\omega_1^2)) \\ &= 1 + (\omega_1 - 1)^3(9h(1) + (\omega_1 - 1)h_1(\omega_1)) \end{aligned}$$

and  $R(\omega_1)$  has a Type 2 representation if and only if  $q$  is a Type 2 prime.

Rewriting (14) and using [15, eq. (16)] we get

$$\begin{aligned} R(\omega_1) &= -1 + q_1(\omega_1 - 1) + q_2(\omega_1^2 - 1) + q_3(\omega_1^3 - 1) + q_4(\omega_1^4 - 1) \\ &= -1 - 5q_1 + (\omega_1^2 - 1)(2q_4 - 2q_1 + q_2 - q_3) + (\omega_1^2 - 1)^2(q_3 + q_4 - 2q_1) \\ &\quad - \omega_1^3(\omega_1^2 - 1)^3(q_3 - q_1) \\ &= -1 - \omega_1^3(\omega_1^2 - 1)^3(q_3 - q_1) - 5q_1 - 5v(\omega_1^2 - 1) \\ &\quad + 5(u + 2w + q_3 - q_1)(\omega_1^2 - 1)^2 \end{aligned}$$

with  $u$ ,  $v$  and  $w$  as in (4), which gives a Type 2 representation if and only if  $q_1 \equiv q_3 \pmod{5}$ . Further if  $q_1 \equiv q_3 \pmod{5}$ , then the third equation in [15, eq. (16)] gives  $q_2 \equiv q_4 \pmod{5}$  and the first one yields  $q_1 \equiv q_2 \pmod{5}$ . So  $q$  is Type 2 if and only if  $q_1 \equiv q_2 \equiv q_3 \equiv q_4 \pmod{5}$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* We know from Lemma 3.4 that we can achieve  $5^3q$  for any Type 1 prime  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$ . From the classic results of Newman and Lacquer we can achieve any  $m$  with  $\gcd(m, 5) = 1$ , and so by multiplicativity the  $5^3mq$ ; indeed we just need to multiply the polynomial by  $\prod_{q^\alpha \parallel m} \Phi_q(x)^\alpha$ , changing  $F(1)$  by a factor of  $m$  and leaving the other  $N_i(F)$  unchanged.

It remains to show that any  $M_G(F) = 5^3m$ ,  $5 \nmid m$ , must be divisible by a Type 1 prime  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$ . We show slightly more; namely that we cannot have  $F(1) = 5m_0$ ,  $5 \nmid m_0$ ,  $N_1(F) = 5m_1$ ,  $N_2(F) = 5m_2$  with  $m_1$  only 5-norm Type 2 and  $m_2$  only 25-norm Type 2. In particular, by Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.6, this says that  $N_1(F)$  must be divisible by a Type 1 prime  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$  or  $N_2(F)$  by a Type 1 prime  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{25}$ .

Suppose then that  $N_2(F) = 5m_2$  where  $m_2$  is only 25-norm Type 2. Setting  $F(\omega_2) = (1 - \omega_2)f(\omega_2)$ , we can apply Lemma 3.1 to  $f(\omega_2)$  and use the resulting 25-norm Type 2 representation for  $m_3$  and then the value  $F(1) = 5m_0$  to write

$$F(x) = (1 - x)u_1(x) (1 + (x - 1)^3h(x)) + \Phi_5(x^5)g_1(x), \quad u_1(x) = \prod_{\substack{2 \leq j < 25 \\ 5 \nmid j}} u(x^j)$$

with  $5 \mid h(1)$  and  $u(x) = \pm x^I(1 + x)^J(x^4 + x)^{2K}$ , for some  $I, J, K \geq 0$ , and

$$g_1(x) = m_0 + (1 - x)g(x),$$

for some  $g \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ . Hence

$$N_1(F) = 5N_1 \left( 1 + (x - 1)^3h(x) + \left( \prod_{j=2}^4 u_1(x^j)(1 - x^j) \right) (m_0 + (1 - x)g(x)) \right).$$

But  $5 \nmid m_0$  and  $5 \mid h(1)$  results in a 5-norm Type 1 representation for  $m_1$ .  $\square$

## 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

Throughout this section,  $\omega_i$  denotes a primitive  $3^i$ th root of unity. Note that  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega_i]$  is a unique factorization domain for  $i = 1, 2$  and  $3$  [32, Thm. 11.1]. We begin again with a way of using units to reduce elements in  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega_i]$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *Suppose that  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  with  $3 \nmid N_1(f)$ .*

(i) *For some  $J \in \{0, 1, 2\}$  and  $\delta = 1, 2$  or  $4$  as  $N_1(f) \equiv 1, 4$  or  $7 \pmod{9}$ , we have*

$$\pm \omega_1^J f(\omega_1) = \delta + 3A(\omega_1 - 1) + 9b, \quad A, b \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (15)$$

and

$$\pm \omega_1^J f(\omega_1)(1 - \omega_1) = \delta(1 - \omega_1) + 9A + 9B(1 - \omega_1), \quad B = b - A. \quad (16)$$

(ii) *For any  $i \geq 2$  we can write*

$$u(\omega_i)f(\omega_i) = 1 + (\omega_i - 1)^5 h(\omega_i), \quad (17)$$

for some unit  $u(\omega_i)$  and  $h(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ . Further, we may take

$$u(x) = \pm x^I (1+x)^J (x^4+1)^K \quad I, J, K \geq 0. \quad (18)$$

(iii) *If  $3 \mid h(1)$  in (17) we can write*

$$u(\omega_i)f(\omega_i) = 1 + (\omega_i - 1)^7 t(\omega_i) \quad (19)$$

with  $t(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ .

(iv) *If  $i = 2$  and  $3 \nmid h(1)$  in (17) then for some  $u(x)$  of the form (18), we can take*

$$(1 - \omega_2)u(\omega_2)f(\omega_2) = 1 - \omega_2 + 3\delta(\omega_2) + 3(\omega_2 - 1)^2 t(\omega_2) \quad (20)$$

with  $\delta(x) = x$  or  $-1$  and  $t(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ .

*Proof.* (i) Working mod  $x^2 + x + 1$ , we may write  $f(\omega_1) = a + b(\omega_1 - 1)$ . Note that  $3 \nmid a$  since  $3 \nmid N_1(f)$ . If  $3 \nmid b$  we can replace  $f(\omega_1)$  by

$$\omega_1 f(\omega_1) = (a - 3b) + (a - 2b)(\omega_1 - 1), \quad \omega_1^2 f(\omega_1) = (3b - 2a) + (b - a)(\omega_1 - 1),$$

as  $a \equiv -b$  or  $a \equiv b \pmod{3}$  respectively. That is, we may select  $J \in \{0, 1, 2\}$  so that  $\omega_1^J f(\omega_1) = a + 3b(\omega_1 - 1)$ . Now  $\pm a \equiv 1, 2$  or  $4 \pmod{9}$  and  $\pm \omega_1^J f(\omega_1) = \delta + 3B(\omega_1 - 1) + 9A$  as claimed in (15), and (16) follows easily. Plainly  $N_1(f) \equiv \delta^2 \pmod{9}$ .

(ii) Fix  $i \geq 2$ , and write  $x = \omega_i$  and  $\pi = 1 - \omega_i$ . Since  $3$  is  $\pi^{\phi(3^i)}$  multiplied by a unit and  $\phi(3^i) \geq 6$ , it will be enough to work mod  $3$ . Since  $3 \nmid f(1)$  we can write

$$\pm f(x) = 1 + \sum_{j \geq 1} a_j \pi^j.$$

Since  $x = 1 - \pi$  and  $-(1+x) = 1 + \pi - 3$ , we can select  $u_1 \in \{1, x, -1-x\}$  so that

$$\pm u_1 f(x) = 1 + \sum_{j \geq 2} b_j \pi^j.$$

From  $-x(1+x) \equiv 1 - \pi^2 \pmod{3}$ ,  $x^2(1+x)^2 \equiv 1 + \pi^2 + \pi^4 \pmod{3}$ , taking  $u_2 = 1, -x(1+x)$  or  $x^2(1+x)^2$  we get

$$\pm u_1 u_2 f(x) = 1 + \sum_{j \geq 3} c_j \pi^j.$$

With  $u_3 = 1$ ,  $x^3 = (1 - \pi)^3 \equiv 1 - \pi^3 \pmod{3}$ , or  $x^6 \equiv 1 + \pi^3 + \pi^6 \pmod{3}$ , we obtain

$$\pm u_1 u_2 u_3 f(x) = 1 + \sum_{j \geq 4} d_j \pi^j.$$

Finally, with  $u_4 = 1$ ,  $-x^6(1+x)^2(1+x^4) \equiv 1 + \pi^4 \pmod{\langle 3, \pi^5 \rangle}$ , or  $x^{12}(1+x)^4(1+x^4)^2 \equiv 1 - \pi^4 \pmod{\langle 3, \pi^5 \rangle}$ , we get

$$\pm u_1 u_2 u_3 u_4 f(x) = 1 + \sum_{j \geq 5} e_j \pi^j,$$

giving (17) and (18).

(iii) If  $3 \mid e_5$  then this becomes  $\pm u_1 u_2 u_3 u_4 f(x) = 1 + \sum_{j \geq 6} t_j \pi^j$ . With  $u_5 = 1$  or  $-x^3(1+x)^3 \equiv 1 - \pi^6 \pmod{3\pi^2}$  or  $x^6(1+x)^6 \equiv 1 + \pi^6 \pmod{\langle 3\pi^2, \pi^{12} \rangle}$  we get

$$\pm u_1 u_2 u_3 u_4 u_5 f(x) = 1 + \sum_{j \geq 7} s_j \pi^j,$$

and the form (19).

(iv) If  $i = 2$  and  $3 \nmid e_5$  then using that  $\pi^6$  is 3 times a unit we get  $\pm u_1 u_2 u_3 u_4 (1-x)f(x) = \pi + 3s(x)$  with  $3 \nmid s(1)$ , and we can write this as  $\pi + 3\delta(x) + A\pi^7 + 3\pi^2 t_1(x)$  with  $\delta(x) = x$  or  $-1$  as  $s(1)$  is 1 or  $-1 \pmod{3}$ ,  $A = 0$  or  $\pm 1$ , and  $t_1(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ . Taking  $u_5$  as above we get  $\pm u_1 u_2 u_3 u_4 u_5 \pi f(x) = \pi + 3\delta(x) + 3\pi^2 t_2(x)$  and the form (20).  $\square$

We shall say that (15) and (17) are *Type 1* representations of  $f(\omega_i)$  if  $3 \nmid A$  or  $3 \nmid h(1)$  respectively, and *Type 2* representations if  $3 \mid A$  or  $3 \mid h(1)$ . For  $i \geq 2$ , we say a Type 2 representation (19) is *Type 3* or *Type 4* depending on whether  $3 \nmid t(1)$  or  $3 \mid t(1)$  respectively.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Suppose that  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  with  $3 \nmid N_1(f)$ , and let  $i \geq 1$ .*

- (i)  *$f(\omega_i)$  cannot have both a Type 1 and a Type 2 representation.*
- (ii) *If Type 2, then  $f(\omega_i)$  cannot have both a Type 3 and a Type 4 representation.*
- (iii) *The conjugates  $f(\omega_i^j)$ ,  $3 \nmid j$ , will all be of Type 1 or all of Type 2, and if Type 2, then all will be of Type 3 or all will be of Type 4.*
- (iv) *A unit has only Type 2 and Type 4 representations.*

*Proof.* (i) For  $i = 1$ , suppose that  $\pm \omega_1^{J_1} f(\omega_1) = \delta + 3A_1(\omega_1 - 1) + 9B_1$ ,  $3 \nmid A_1$  and  $\pm \omega_1^{J_2} f(\omega_1) = \delta + 3A_2(\omega_1 - 1) + 9B_2$ ,  $3 \mid A_2$ . So  $(1 - \omega_1)^3 \parallel \pm \omega_1^{J_1} \mp \omega_1^{J_2}$ , but this is either 0 or divisible by exactly one  $(1 - \omega_1)$  or not divisible by  $(1 - \omega_1)$ . Suppose then that  $i \geq 2$  and that we had  $u_j(\omega_i) f(\omega_i) = 1 + (\omega_i - 1)^5 h_j(\omega_i)$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , with  $3 \nmid h_1(1)$  and  $3 \mid h_2(1)$ . Then

$$u_1(\omega_i) - u_2(\omega_i) = (\omega_i - 1)^5 (u_2(\omega_i) h_1(\omega_i) - u_1(\omega_i) h_2(\omega_i))$$

with  $(\omega_i - 1) \nmid h_1(\omega_i)$ ,  $(\omega_i - 1) \mid h_2(\omega_i)$ . But then  $(\omega_i - 1)^5 \parallel (u_1(\omega_i) - u_2(\omega_i))$  contradicting Lemma 2.2.

(ii) In the same way having both a Type 3 and Type 4 is ruled out since we cannot have  $(\omega_i - 1)^7 \parallel (u_1(\omega_i) - u_2(\omega_i))$ .

(iii) For  $i \geq 2$  observe that the reduction (17) yields  $u(\omega_i^j) f(\omega_i^j) = 1 + (\omega_i - 1)^5 h_j(\omega_i)$  with  $h_j(x) = (1 + x + \dots + x^{j-1})^5 h(x)$ , and  $3 \mid h_j(1)$  exactly when  $3 \mid h(1)$ . The argument is similar for Types 3 or 4. For  $i = 1$  the conjugate of (15) has

$$\delta + 3A(\omega_1^2 - 1) + 9b = \delta - 3A(\omega_1 - 1) + 9(b - A). \quad (21)$$

This establishes (iii).

(iv) Note that 1 is written in Type 2 and Type 4 form, ruling out a unit being Type 1 or Type 3.  $\square$

We shall say that an integer  $m$  is a  $3^i$ -norm if  $m = N_i(f)$  for some  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ . Note this says that  $m$  is a product of  $q^f \equiv 1 \pmod{3^i}$ . From Lemma 4.1 we immediately obtain the following.

**Lemma 4.3.** *If  $m$  is a 3-norm, then for some  $A, B \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have*

$$3m = N_1(\delta(1-x) + 9A + 9B(x-1)) \quad (22)$$

with  $\delta = 1, 2$  or  $4$  as  $m \equiv 1, 4$  or  $7 \pmod{9}$ . If  $m$  is a  $3^i$ -norm with  $i \geq 2$ , then for some  $h(x)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  we have

$$m = N_i(1 + (x-1)^5 h(x)), \quad (23)$$

and if  $3 \nmid h(1)$  there is a  $t(x)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  with

$$m = N_i(1 + (x-1)^7 t(x)). \quad (24)$$

If  $m = N_2(1 + (x-1)^5 h(x))$  with  $3 \nmid h(1)$ , then we can write

$$3m = N_2(1 - x + 3\delta(x) + 3(x-1)^2 t(x)), \quad \delta(x) = x \text{ or } -1. \quad (25)$$

We say that  $m$  has a 3-norm representation of Type 1 or Type 2 depending on whether  $3 \nmid A$  or  $3 \mid A$  respectively in (22). Similarly, for  $i \geq 2$  we say that  $m$  has a  $3^i$ -norm representation of Type 1 or Type 2 as  $3 \nmid h(1)$  or  $3 \mid h(1)$  in (23); in the latter case a Type 3 or Type 4 as  $3 \nmid t(1)$  or  $3 \mid t(1)$  in (24).

If  $m$  has a  $3^i$ -norm representation of Type 1 or 3, then the same is true for the lower norms.

**Lemma 4.4.** *Suppose  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $i \geq 2$ .*

(i) *If  $m$  has a  $3^i$ -norm representation of Type 1 or Type 2, then  $m$  has the same type of  $3^j$ -norm representation for  $2 \leq j \leq i$ , and ultimately*

$$3m = N_1(1 - x + 9(A + B(1-x))),$$

*with  $3 \nmid A$  for Type 1 and  $3 \mid A$  for Type 2.*

(ii) *If  $m$  has a  $3^i$ -norm representation of Type 3 or Type 4, then  $m$  has the same type of  $3^j$ -norm representation for  $2 \leq j \leq i$ .*

*Proof.* (i) Suppose that  $i \geq 2$ . Applying Lemma 2.4 we have  $N_i(F) = N_{i-1}(g)$  with  $g(x) \equiv F(x) \pmod{3}$ . When  $i-1 \geq 2$  we have  $(1 - \omega_{i-1})^6 \mid 3$  and

$$N_i(1 + (x-1)^5 h(x)) = N_{i-1}(1 + (x-1)^5 (h(x) + (x-1)t(x))),$$

with this a  $3^{i-1}$ -norm Type 1 or 2 as the original was a  $3^i$ -norm Type 1 or 2. When  $i = 2$ , from (25) we write

$$3m = N_2(1 - x - 3h(x)), \quad h(x) = h_0(x^3) + xh_1(x^3) + x^2h_2(x^3),$$

and use the explicit formula (9) with  $f_0(x) = 1 - 3h_0(x)$ ,  $f_1(x) = -1 - 3h_1(x)$ ,  $f_2(x) = -3h_2(x)$  and  $3m = N_1(g(x))$  with

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &\equiv 1 - 9h_0(x) - x(1 + 9h_1(x) + 9h_2(x)) \pmod{27} \\ &\equiv 1 - x - 9h(1) \pmod{9(x-1)}. \end{aligned}$$



Notice, reducing mod  $x^2 + x + 1$ , that we can write  $9(x-1)t(x) = 9(x-1)(\alpha + \beta(x-1)) = -27\beta + 9(x-1)(\alpha - 3\beta)$  and reduce to the form  $g(x) = 1 - x - 9A + 9B(x-1)$  with  $3 \mid A$  exactly when  $3 \mid h(1)$ .

(ii) Suppose that  $i \geq 3$  and  $m = N_i(F)$  with  $F(x) = 1 + (x-1)^7 h_1(x)$ . Since  $(\omega_i - 1)^3 = (\omega_i^3 - 1)u(\omega_i)$  with  $u(\omega_i)$  a unit, we can replace this by  $F(x) = 1 + (x^3 - 1)^2(x-1)h(x)$ , with  $3 \nmid h(1)$  for Type 3 and  $3 \mid h(1)$  for Type 4. Writing  $h(x) = h_0(x^3) + xh_1(x^3) + x^2h_2(x^3)$  we get  $F(x) = f_0(x^3) + xf_1(x^3) + x^2f_2(x^3)$  with

$$\begin{aligned} f_0(x) &= 1 + (x-1)^2(xh_2(x) - h_0(x)), \\ f_1(x) &= (x-1)^2(h_0(x) - h_1(x)), \\ f_2(x) &= (x-1)^2(h_1(x) - h_2(x)), \end{aligned}$$

and (9) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= 1 + (x-1)^6(x^3h_2(x)^3 - h_0(x)^3) + x(x-1)^6(h_0(x)^3 - h_1(x)^3) \\ &\quad + x^2(x-1)^6(h_1(x)^3 - h_2(x)^3) + 3(x-1)^2g_1(x) \\ &= 1 + (x-1)^7(h_0(x)^3 + xh_1(x)^3 + x^2h_2(x)^3) + 3(x-1)^2g_1(x) \\ &= 1 + (x-1)^7h(x) + 3(x-1)^2g_1(x), \end{aligned}$$

which will be Type 3 if  $3 \nmid h(1)$  and Type 4 if  $3 \mid h(1)$ .  $\square$

We first show that we can achieve  $3^4m$  for  $m$  any 9-norm of Type 1.

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

- (i) *If  $m$  is a 9-norm with a Type 1 representation then there is an  $F(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  with  $F(1) = N_1(F) = N_3(F) = 3$ ,  $N_2(F) = 3m$  and  $M_{27}(F) = 3^4m$ .*
- (ii) *If  $m$  is a 27-norm with a Type 1 representation then there is also an  $F(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  with  $F(1) = N_1(F) = N_2(F) = 3$ ,  $N_3(F) = 3m$  and  $M_{27}(F) = 3^4m$ .*

*Proof.* (i) Using Lemma 4.3, we write  $3m = N_2(1 - x + 3\delta(x) + 3(x-1)^2t(x))$  with  $\delta(x) = -1$  or  $x$ , and observe that we can write

$$1 - \omega_1 + 3\delta(\omega_1) + 3(\omega_1 - 1)^2t(\omega_1) = 1 - \omega_1 + 3\delta(\omega_1) - 9\omega_1t(\omega_1).$$

Select integers  $\ell \geq 1$  and  $\lambda$  so that  $\ell(\delta(1) + 3t(1)) = 1 + 9\lambda$ , so  $3 \nmid \ell$ . Set

$$F(x) = \left( \frac{x^\ell - 1}{x - 1} \right) f(x) - \lambda \left( \frac{x^{27} - 1}{x - 1} \right), \quad (26)$$

with

$$f(x) = 1 - x + \Phi_{27}(x) (\delta(x) + (x-1)^2t(x)) + xt(x)\Phi_9(x)\Phi_{27}(x).$$

Then  $N_3(F) = N_3(1 - x) = 3$ ,  $N_2(F) = 3m$ ,  $N_1(F) = N_1(1 - x + 3\delta(x)) = 3$  and  $F(1) = \ell(3\delta(1) + 9t(1)) - 27\lambda = 3$ .

(ii) Suppose now that  $m = N_3(1 + (x-1)^5h(x))$  with  $3 \nmid h(1)$ . Using

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega_2 - 1)^6 &= -3\omega_2(\omega_2^2 - \omega_2 + 1)(2\omega_2^2 - 3\omega_2 + 2), \\ 3 &= (\omega_2 - 1)^6(10 + 11\omega_2 + 7\omega_2^2 + 10\omega_2^3 + 4\omega_2^4 - 4\omega_2^5), \end{aligned}$$

and setting  $\delta(x) = 1$  if  $h(1) \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  and  $-x$  if  $h(1) \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$ , we can write

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega_2 - 1) (1 + (\omega_2 - 1)^5 h(\omega_2)) &= (\omega_2 - 1) - 3h(1) + \sum_{j \geq 1} 3a_j (\omega_2 - 1)^j \\ &= (\omega_2 - 1) - 3\delta(\omega_2) + b_1 (\omega_2 - 1)^7 + \sum_{j \geq 2} 3b_j (\omega_2 - 1)^j, \end{aligned}$$

with  $b_1 = 0, -1$  or  $1$ . Taking  $u(x) = 1, -x^3(1+x)^3$  or  $x^6(1+x)^6$  as  $b_1 = 0, -1$  or  $1$ , we have  $u(\omega_2) \equiv 1 + b_1(\omega_2 - 1)^6 \pmod{3(\omega_2 - 1)^2}$  and

$$(\omega_2 - 1) (1 + (\omega_2 - 1)^5 h(\omega_2)) = (\omega_2 - 1)u(\omega_2) - 3\delta(\omega_2) - 3(\omega_2 - 1)^2 g(\omega_2)$$

for some  $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ . For some  $t(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  we can write

$$(\omega_1 - 1)^6 h(\omega_1) + 3(\omega_1 - 1)^2 g(\omega_1) = 9t(\omega_1).$$

For integers  $\ell > 0$  and  $\lambda$  with  $\ell(\delta(1) - 3t(1)) = 1 + 9\lambda$  take  $F(x)$  as in (26) with

$$f(x) = (x-1)(1+(x-1)^5 h(x)) + \delta(x)\Phi_{27}(x) + (x-1)^2 \Phi_{27}(x)g(x) - \Phi_{27}(x)\Phi_9(x)t(x).$$

Then  $F(1) = \ell(3\delta(1) - 9t(1)) - 27\lambda = 3$ ,  $N_1(F) = N_1(f) = N_1(x-1+3\delta(x)) = 3$ ,  $N_2(F) = N_2((x-1)u(x)) = 3$  and  $N_3(F) = 3m$ .  $\square$

We next show that we can achieve  $3^5 m$  when  $m$  is 3-norm of Type 1, or 9-norm of Type 3.

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

- (i) *If  $m$  is a 3-norm with a Type 1 representation, then there is an  $F(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  with  $F(1) = 9$ ,  $N_1(F) = 3m$ ,  $N_2(F) = N_3(F) = 3$  and  $M_{27}(F) = 3^5 m$ .*
- (ii) *If  $m$  is a 9-norm with a Type 3 representation, then there is an  $F(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  with  $F(1) = 9$ ,  $N_2(F) = 3m$ ,  $N_1(F) = N_3(F) = 3$  and  $M_{27}(F) = 3^5 m$ .*
- (iii) *If  $m$  is a 27-norm with a Type 3 representation, then there is also an  $F(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  with  $F(1) = 9$ ,  $N_3(F) = 3m$ ,  $N_1(F) = N_2(F) = 3$  and  $M_{27}(F) = 3^5 m$ .*

*Proof.* (i) Suppose that  $m$  is a 3-norm of Type 1. Then  $3m = N_1(\delta(1-x) + 9A + 9B(x-1))$  with  $3 \nmid A$  by Lemma 4.3. Take a positive integer  $\ell$  and integer  $\lambda$  such that  $A\ell = 1 + 3\lambda$ , and set  $\delta(x) = 1, x^3 + 1$  or  $(x^3 + 1)^2$  as  $\delta = 1, 2$  or  $4$ . Let  $F(x)$  be as in (26) with

$$f(x) = \delta(x)(1-x) + \Phi_9(x)\Phi_{27}(x)(A + B(x-1)).$$

This has  $N_3(F) = N_2(F) = 3$ ,  $N_1(F) = 3m$  and  $F(1) = 9A\ell - 27\lambda = 9$ .

(ii) Suppose that  $m$  is a 9-norm of Type 3. Then  $3m = N_2(1-x+3(x-1)^2 t(x))$ ,  $3 \nmid t(1)$ . Taking  $\ell \geq 1$  and  $\lambda$  such that  $\ell t(1) = 1 + 3\lambda$  and (26) with

$$f(x) = 1 - x + \Phi_{27}(x)(x-1)^2 t(x) + x\Phi_9(x)\Phi_{27}(x)t(x),$$

we have  $N_3(F) = 3$ ,  $N_2(F) = 3m$ ,  $N_1(F) = 3$ ,  $F(1) = 9$ .

(iii) Suppose that  $m$  is a 27-norm of Type 3 so that we can write  $3m = N_3(1-x+(1-x)^8 h(x))$ ,  $3 \nmid h(1)$ . With  $(\omega_2 - 1)^6 = 3t(\omega_2)$  we take  $\ell \geq 1$  with  $-\ell h(1)t(1) = 1 + 3\lambda$  and (26) with

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= (1-x) + (1-x)^8 h(x) - \Phi_{27}(x)t(x)h(x)(1-x)^2 \\ &\quad - x\Phi_9(x)\Phi_{27}(x)h(x)(t(x) + x(1-x)^4). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $N_3(F) = 3m$ ,  $N_2(F) = 3$ ,  $N_1(F) = 3$ ,  $F(1) = 9$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.7.** *Suppose  $q \neq 3$  is prime,  $i \geq 1$ , and  $f$  is the minimal positive integer with  $q^f \equiv 1 \pmod{3^i}$ . Then*

- (i)  $q^f$  cannot have  $3^i$ -norm representations of both Type 1 and Type 2.
- (ii) A product of  $q^f$  of Type 2 must be Type 2, a product of one Type 1 and the rest Type 2 will be Type 1. A product of two or more Type 1 will have both Type 1 and Type 2 representations. Analogous statements hold for Types 3 and 4.

*Proof.* (i) Suppose that  $q^f$  is the  $3^i$ -norm of a  $g_1(\omega_i)$  with a Type 1 representation and  $g_2(\omega_i)$  with a Type 2 representation. Then up to units these must be conjugates and  $g_2(\omega_i^j) = u g_1(\omega_i)$  gives us a representation of both types for  $g_1(\omega_i)$ , contradicting Lemma 4.2.

(ii) For  $i = 1$  the product of two  $(\delta_j + 3A_j(\omega_1 - 1) + 9b_j)$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , will take the form  $\pm\delta_3 + 3(A_1\delta_2 + A_2\delta_1)(\omega_1 - 1) + 9(C\omega_1 + D)$  where  $\delta_1\delta_2 \equiv \pm\delta_3 \pmod{9}$ , and if  $3 \mid A_1$  we will have  $3 \mid (A_1\delta_2 + A_2\delta_1)$  if and only if  $3 \mid A_2$ . If  $3 \nmid A_1A_2$  then the choice of sign determines whether  $3 \mid (\pm A_1\delta_2 + A_2\delta_1)$  and from (21) the choice of conjugate gives both types. For  $i \geq 2$ , expanding  $h(x) = h(1) + \sum_{j \geq 1} a_j(x-1)^j$  it is clear that the product of  $1 + (x-1)^5 h_1(x)$  and  $1 + (x-1)^5 h_2(x)$  can be written  $1 + (x-1)^5 h_3(x)$  with  $h_3(1) = h_1(1) + h_2(1)$ . Plainly  $3 \mid h_3(1)$  if 3 divides both  $h_1(1)$  and  $h_2(1)$  and  $3 \nmid h_3(1)$  if 3 divides only one of them. Hence a product of  $q^f$  of Type 2 will be Type 2. Moreover by Lemma 4.2(iii) this is independent of the conjugates used and Lemma 4.2(i) rules out this also being Type 1. Similarly a product of one  $q^f$  of Type 1 and the rest Type 2 will be Type 1 and not Type 2. If 3 divides neither then taking conjugates  $x \mapsto x^k$  in the first replaces  $h_1(1)$  by  $k^5 h_1(1) \equiv k h_1(1) \pmod{3}$  and the choice of  $k$  can make either  $3 \mid h_3(1)$  or  $3 \nmid h_3(1)$ . The argument is similar for Type 3 and Type 4.  $\square$

It remains to characterize the 3-norm, 9-norm and 27-norm types for the  $q^f$ .

**Lemma 4.8.** *Let  $q$  be a prime.*

- (i) If  $q \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$  then  $q^2$  is 3-norm Type 2.
- (ii) If  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$  then  $q$  is 9-norm Type 1 if  $q \in \mathcal{T}_1^{(3)}$ , Type 2 if  $q \in \mathcal{T}_2^{(3)}$  and Type 3 or 4 as  $q \in \mathcal{T}_3^{(3)}$  or  $\mathcal{T}_4^{(3)}$ .
- (iii) If  $q \equiv 8 \pmod{9}$  then  $q^2$  is 9-norm Type 2 and Type 4.
- (iv) If  $q \equiv 4$  or  $7 \pmod{9}$  then  $q^3$  is 9-norm Type 2 and Type 4.
- (v) If  $q \equiv 2$  or  $5 \pmod{9}$  then  $q^6$  is 9-norm Type 2 and Type 4.
- (vi) A minimal  $q^f$  is 27-norm Type 1 or Type 3 if  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{27}$ ,  $f = 1$ , with  $q \in \mathcal{T}_1^{(3)}$  or  $\mathcal{T}_3^{(3)}$  respectively. Otherwise  $q^f$  is 27-norm Type 2 and 4.
- (vii) 1 is 3-norm Type 2, and for  $i \geq 2$  is  $3^i$ -norm Type 2 and Type 4 only.

*Proof.* (i) For  $q \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$  we have  $q^2 = N_1(\pm q)$  with  $\pm q$  of the form  $1 + 9k$ ,  $2 + 9k$  or  $4 + 9k$ , yielding a 3-norm Type 2 representation in (22).

(ii) and (iii) By Lemma 4.4(i) determining whether  $q^f$  is 9-norm Type 1 or Type 2 reduces to determining the 3-norm type. When  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$ , a prime can be 9-norm Type 1 or Type 2 and those of Type 2 can be Type 3 or Type 4. For  $q \equiv -1 \pmod{9}$  we know that  $q^2$  is 3-norm Type 2. We know that such a prime splits into three factors of norm  $q$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega_2 + \omega_2^{-1}]$  (e.g., [18, ex. 4.12]), and this remains the factorization in  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega_2]$ . Hence we must have  $q^2 = N_2(f)$  with  $f(\omega_2)$  real

and

$$u(\omega_2)f(\omega_2) = 1 + (\omega_2 - 1)^7 h(\omega_2), \quad \overline{u(\omega_2)}f(\omega_2) = 1 - (\omega_2 - 1)^7 \omega_2^2 \overline{h(\omega_2)}.$$

If  $3 \nmid h(1)$  then  $(\omega_2 - 1)^7 \parallel (\overline{u(\omega_2)} - u(\omega_2))$ , but this is ruled out by Lemma 2.2. Hence  $3 \mid h(1)$  and  $q^2$  is Type 4.

(iv) We have  $q = N_1(1 \pm 3 + 3(x-1)h(x))$  and  $q^3 = N_2(1 \pm 3 + 3(x^3-1)h(x^3))$ . Since  $3 = -(\omega_2 - 1)^6 \pmod{(\omega_2 - 1)^9}$  we can write  $q^3 = N_2(1 \mp (x-1)^6 + (x-1)^9 h_1(x))$ , plainly Type 2. Moreover, multiplying by the unit  $u_1 = -\omega_2^3(\omega_2 + 1)^3 = 1 - (\omega_2 - 1)^6 \pmod{(1 - \omega_2)^8}$  or  $u_1^2 = 1 + (\omega_2 - 1)^6 \pmod{(1 - \omega_2)^8}$  we can replace this by  $1 + (x-1)^8 h_2(x)$  which is plainly Type 4.

(v) We have  $q^6 = N_2(-q) = N_2(1 + 3k) = N_2(1 + (x-1)^6 h(x))$ , a 9-norm Type 2. Moreover  $-q = 1 - 3 + 9k = 1 + (\omega_2 - 1)^6 \pmod{(\omega_2 - 1)^9}$  or  $1 - 6 + 9k = 1 - (\omega_2 - 1)^6 \pmod{(\omega_2 - 1)^9}$ . Selecting  $j = 1$  or  $2$  as appropriate we find  $-u_1^j q = 1 \pmod{(\omega_2 - 1)^8}$ , so  $q^6$  is 9-norm Type 4.

(vi) Suppose that  $q^f$  is 27-norm Type 1 or Type 3. Then by Lemma 4.4  $q^f$  is 9-norm Type 1 or 3 and by Lemma 4.7(ii) the minimal power  $q^{f'} \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$  must also be Type 1 or 3. So  $f' = 1$ ,  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$  with  $q$  in  $\mathcal{T}_1^{(3)}$  or  $\mathcal{T}_3^{(3)}$ . If  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{27}$  then  $f = 1$  and we are done (the 27-norm type is the same as the 9-norm type). Otherwise  $q \equiv 10$  or  $19 \pmod{27}$  and  $f = 3$ . Now we can write  $q = N_2(1 + (1-x)^5 h(x))$ , and  $q^3 = N_3(1 + (1-x^3)^5 h(x^3))$ . Since  $(1-x^3)^5 \equiv (1-x)^{15} \pmod{3}$ , and 3 can be replaced by  $(1-x)^{18} h_2(x)$ , this gives  $q^3$  a 27-norm Type 4 representation, and a contradiction.

(vii) This is evident from (23) and Lemma 4.2(iv).  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* (a) By Lemmas 4.5 and 4.8, if  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$  is Type 1, then we can achieve  $3^4 q$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}_{27}$ -measure, and by closure under multiplication we can achieve  $3^4 qm$  for any integer  $m$  with  $3 \nmid m$ . It remains to show that if  $3^4 m$  with  $3 \nmid m$  is achieved as a  $\mathbb{Z}_{27}$ -measure then it must be divisible by a Type 1 prime  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$ . More precisely we rule out  $N_2(F) = 3m_2$ ,  $N_3(F) = 3m_3$  with  $m_2$  only 9-norm Type 2 and  $m_3$  only 27-norm Type 2; in particular by Lemmas 4.7 and 4.8 our  $M_{27}(F) = 3^4 m$ ,  $3 \nmid m$ , must contain a Type 1 prime  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$  dividing  $N_2(F)$  or a Type 1 prime  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{27}$  dividing  $N_3(F)$ .

Suppose that  $m_3$  is only 27-norm Type 2, then from Lemma 2.1 and the form (19) for  $F(\omega_3)/(1 - \omega_3)$ , and using  $F(1) = 3m_0$ ,  $3 \nmid m_0$ , we may take

$$F(x) = u_1(x)(1 - x - (x-1)^8 t(x)) + m_0 \Phi_{27}(x) + (1-x)\Phi_{27}(x)s(x)$$

with  $s(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ , where  $u_1(x)$  is a product of units  $u(x^j)$ ,  $3 \nmid j$ , each  $u(x)$  of the form (18). But since  $3 \nmid m_0$  this makes

$$\begin{aligned} F(\omega_2) &= u_1(\omega_2)(1 - \omega_2 - (\omega_2 - 1)^8 t(\omega_2)) + 3m_0 + 3(1 - \omega_2)s(\omega_2) \\ &= u_1(\omega_2)(1 - \omega_2)(1 + (\omega_2 - 1)^5 h(\omega_2)), \quad 3 \nmid h(1), \end{aligned}$$

and  $N_2(F) = 3m_2$ , where  $m_2$  has a 9-norm representation of Type 1.

(b) Similarly, by Lemmas 4.6 and 4.8 we can achieve  $3^5 m q$ ,  $3 \nmid m$ , for any  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  of Type 1, or  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$  of Type 3. By Lemmas 4.7 and 4.8 any remaining  $3^5 m$ ,  $3 \nmid m$ , must have  $N_i(F) = 3m_i$  with  $m_1$  only 3-norm Type 2,  $m_2$  only 9-norm Type 4 and  $m_3$  only 27-norm Type 4. Hence with  $F(1) = 3m_0$ ,  $3 \nmid m_0$ , we can take

$$F(x) = u_1(x)(1 - x - (x-1)^9 h(x)) + 3m_0 \Phi_{27}(x) + (x-1)\Phi_{27}(x)t(x)$$

where  $u_1(x)$  is a product of units  $u(x^j)$  of the form (18) with  $3 \nmid j$ . Since these are units for  $\omega_2$  we can replace  $t(x)$  by  $t_1(x)u_1(x) \bmod \Phi_9(x)$  and take

$$F(x) = u_1(x) (1 - x - (x - 1)^9 h(x) + (x - 1)\Phi_{27}(x)t_1(x)) + 3m_0\Phi_{27}(x) \\ + (x - 1)\Phi_9(x)\Phi_{27}(x)t_2(x).$$

Expanding  $t_1(x) = a_0 + a_1(x - 1) + (x - 1)^2 t_4(x)$  we get

$$N_2(F) = N_2 (1 - x + 3a_0(x - 1) + 3a_1(x - 1)^2 + 3(x - 1)^3 h_1(x))$$

and

$$N_1(F) = N_1 (1 - x + 3a_0(x - 1) - 9a_1 + 9m_0u_1(1) + 9(x - 1)h_2(x)).$$

We can reduce  $a_0 = 0, \pm 1 \bmod 3$  and get

$$N_1(F) = N_1 (\pm\delta(1 - x) - 9a_1 + 9m_0u_1(1) + 9(x - 1)h_3(x)),$$

and we can deduce that  $3 \nmid a_1$ , since  $m_1$  is not Type 1. If  $3 \mid a_0$  then  $3 \nmid a_1$  contradicts that  $m_2$  is not Type 3. If  $3 \nmid a_0$ , using  $3 \equiv -(\omega_2 - 1)^6 \bmod (\omega_2 - 1)^9$ , and multiplying by  $-x^3(1 + x)^3 \equiv 1 - (x - 1)^6 \bmod 3(x - 1)^2$ , or  $x^6(1 + x)^6 \equiv 1 + (x - 1)^6 \bmod 3(x - 1)^2$ , we obtain a Type 3:

$$N_2(F) = N_2 (1 - x \pm (x - 1)^7 + 3a_1(x - 1)^2 + 3(x - 1)^3 h_4(x)) \\ = N_2 (1 - x + 3a_1(x - 1)^2 + 3(x - 1)^3 h_5(x)),$$

which is again a contradiction.  $\square$

In the proof of Theorem 1.2, we showed that any  $M_{25}(F) = 5^3 m$ ,  $5 \nmid m$ , must have  $m$  divisible by a (Type 1) prime  $q \equiv 1 \bmod 5$  dividing  $N_1(F)$ , or a (Type 1) prime  $q \equiv 1 \bmod 25$  dividing  $N_2(F)$ . Similarly in the proof of Theorem 1.3 we actually proved that any  $M_{27}(F) = 3^4 m$ ,  $3 \nmid m$ , must have  $m$  divisible by a (Type 1) prime  $q \equiv 1 \bmod 9$  dividing  $N_2(F)$  or a (Type 1) prime  $q \equiv 1 \bmod 27$  dividing  $N_3(F)$ , and any  $M_{27}(F) = 3^5 m$ ,  $3 \nmid m$ , must have  $m$  divisible by a (Type 1) prime  $q \equiv 1 \bmod 3$  dividing  $N_1(F)$ , or a (Type 1 or 3) prime  $q \equiv 1 \bmod 9$  dividing  $N_2(F)$ , or a (Type 1 or 3) prime  $q \equiv 1 \bmod 27$  dividing  $N_3(F)$ . It is tempting to ask whether one actually needs powers  $q_i^{a_i}$  of primes  $q_i \not\equiv 1 \bmod p^i$  in Theorem 1.1.

**Question 4.9.** *In Theorem 1.1(a) can we guarantee a prime factor  $q_i \equiv 1 \bmod 3^i$  in  $2t - j$  of the  $N_i(F)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq t$ , and similarly for  $t - 2$  of the  $N_i(F)$ ,  $2 \leq i \leq t$ , in (b)?*

In the next section we explore this question computationally.

## 5. COMPUTATIONS

This research proceeded in concert with a number of experiments and computations on integral circulant determinants. For example, we computed the  $\mathbb{Z}_{27}$ -measure of all polynomials  $F(x)$  with  $\{-1, 0, 1\}$  coefficients, degree at most 26 and  $F(1) = 3$  or 9. This found over a million different values of  $m$  for which there was a polynomial  $F(x)$  with  $F(1) = |N_1(F)| = |N_2(F)| = 3$  and  $|N_3(F)| = 3m$  with  $3 \nmid m$ , so  $|M_{\mathbb{Z}_{27}}(F)| = 3^4 m$ , and more than 5.5 million where  $F(1) = 9$ ,  $|N_1(F)| = |N_2(F)| = 3$ , and  $|N_3(F)| = 3m$ ,  $3 \nmid m$ , so  $|M_{\mathbb{Z}_{27}}(F)| = 3^5 m$ . In each case the integer  $m$  always possessed at least one prime divisor  $q \equiv 1 \bmod 27$ . Such observations led to the development of some of the results in this paper.

Here we report briefly on some additional computations related to the topics of this research. For a number of groups  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^t}$ , we computed  $M_{\mathbb{Z}_{p^t}}(F)$  for certain families of polynomials  $F(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  having  $p \mid F(1)$  in order to investigate the multiples of  $p$  attained in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}_{p^t})$ . We describe some computations connected to each of our three main results here.

**5.1. Computations connected to Theorem 1.1.** Some computations related to our first main result indicated that odd values for  $a_i$  larger than 1 did not appear to be necessary for several families. In  $\mathbb{Z}_{81}$ , by Lemma 2.1, if  $M_{\mathbb{Z}_{81}}(F) = 3^7 m$  with  $3 \nmid m$ , then  $27 \parallel F(1)$  and  $3 \parallel N_i(F)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ . A search over  $\mathbb{Z}_{81}$  to study such  $m$ , similar to the ones performed here for  $\mathbb{Z}_{25}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_{27}$ , would be well out of reach: there are more than  $2 \cdot 10^{20}$  polynomials  $F(x)$  with  $\deg(F) \leq 80$ ,  $F(1) = 27$  and nonzero constant and linear coefficients, when using just  $\{0, 1\}$  coefficients. However, a more directed search allowed us to determine a substantial number of polynomials with  $M_{\mathbb{Z}_{81}}(F) = 3^7 m$  and  $3 \nmid m$ . For this we first determined some restrictions on the coefficients to guarantee that  $N_1(F) = N_2(F) = 3$  as well as  $F(1) = 27$ . For the  $N_1$  restriction, one may show by elementary means that a quadratic polynomial  $f(x) = c_0 + c_1x + c_2x^2 \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  with  $f(1) = 27$  and  $N_1(f) = 3$  must have  $\{c_0, c_1, c_2\} = \{8, 9, 10\}$ . We set  $c_0 = 10$ ,  $c_1 = 9$  and  $c_2 = 8$ . Next, let  $g(x) = b_0 + b_1x + \dots + b_8x^8$ : we require that  $N_2(g) = 3$  and simultaneously  $b_0 + b_3 + b_6 = 10$ ,  $b_1 + b_4 + b_7 = 9$ ,  $b_2 + b_5 + b_8 = 8$ . These requirements produce a polynomial equation in six variables  $h(b_0, \dots, b_5) = 0$  for which any solution in integers gives rise to a polynomial  $g(x)$  having  $g(1) = 27$  and  $N_1(g) = N_2(g) = 3$ . This polynomial  $h$  has 90 solutions for which  $0 \leq b_i \leq 9$  for  $0 \leq i \leq 8$  and  $b_0b_1 > 0$ . (We attach the last restriction to ensure that the polynomials  $F(x)$  that we construct in the subsequent step have nonzero constant and linear terms.) Each such solution  $g(x)$  allows us to create a family of polynomials  $F(x)$  with  $\{0, 1\}$  coefficients and  $\deg F \leq 80$  having  $F(1) = 27$  and  $N_1(F) = N_2(F) = 3$ . For example, the polynomial

$$g(x) = 5 + x + 6x^2 + 5x^4 + x^5 + 5x^6 + 3x^7 + x^8$$

gives rise to  $9^2 \binom{8}{4} \binom{9}{3}^2 \binom{9}{4}^2 = 635159387520$  qualifying  $F(x)$  having the form  $1 + x + \sum_{k=2}^{80} a_k x^k$  with  $\{0, 1\}$  coefficients: one selects four of  $\{a_9, a_{18}, a_{27}, \dots, a_{72}\}$  to set to 1, and six of  $\{a_2, a_{11}, a_{20}, \dots, a_{74}\}$ , etc. Constructing all such polynomials  $F(x)$  over all 90 solutions is still prohibitive, since this amounts to about  $4.2 \cdot 10^{17}$  possibilities in all, but we tested a portion of the polynomials  $F(x)$  in each case to search for examples where  $|N_3(F)| = 3$  and  $|N_4(F)| = 3m$  with  $3 \nmid m$ , so that  $|M_{\mathbb{Z}_{81}}(F)| = 3^7 m$ . We constructed more than half a million positive  $\mathbb{Z}_{81}$ -measures of this form by using this procedure. All  $m$  had a prime factor  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{81}$ .

A similar computation was performed for  $\mathbb{Z}_{49}$ , where we constructed a large family of polynomials with  $7 \mid F(1)$  and  $N_1(F) = 7$  in a similar way. Requiring that a polynomial  $g(x) = b_0 + b_1x + \dots + b_6x^6$  has  $g(1) = 7k$  for a small integer  $k$  and  $N_1(g) = 7$  produces a polynomial equation in the coefficients  $\{b_0, \dots, b_5\}$ , and we may determine solutions to this equation in nonnegative integers (requiring again that  $b_0b_1 > 0$ ). There are 82 such solutions with  $g(1) = 7$ , and 298 with  $g(1) = 14$ . As with  $\mathbb{Z}_{81}$ , each such solution  $g(x)$  allowed us to create a family of polynomials  $F(x)$  with  $\{0, 1\}$  coefficients and  $\deg F \leq 48$  having  $F(1) = 7$  or 14 and  $N_1(F) = 7$ . This procedure led us to find more than 14000 different values of  $m$  where  $|M_{\mathbb{Z}_{49}}(F)| = 7^3 m$ ,  $7 \nmid m$ , is achieved by a polynomial  $F(x)$  with  $\{0, 1\}$

coefficients having  $F(0) = F'(0) = 1$ , degree at most 48,  $F(1) = N_1(F) = 7$  and  $|N_2(F)| = 7m$ . We also constructed more than 51 million values of  $m$  where the  $\mathbb{Z}_{49}$ -measure  $2 \cdot 7^3 m$ ,  $7 \nmid m$ , is achieved in a similar way by a polynomial  $F(x)$  with  $F(1) = 14$ ,  $N_1(F) = 7$  and  $|N_2(F)| = 7m$ . In both cases every such integer  $m$  had at least one prime divisor  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{49}$ .

It is interesting that in both of these groups using only  $a_i = 1$  in Theorem 1.1 sufficed; larger odd powers of primes were never observed to be necessary. This was established for  $\mathbb{Z}_{25}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_{27}$ , as we remarked at the end of Section 4. It seems possible then that a somewhat stronger version of Theorem 1.1 may hold, with further restrictions on the  $a_i$ . We leave this question to future research.

**5.2. Computations connected to Theorem 1.2.** In connection with Theorem 1.2, we computed  $M_{\mathbb{Z}_{25}}(F)$  for all polynomials  $F(x)$  with  $\deg(F) \leq 24$  having  $\{-1, 0, 1\}$  coefficients and  $F(1) = 5$ . This produced polynomials attaining the measures  $5^3 q$  for nearly half of the primes  $q < 5000$  in  $\mathcal{T}_1^{(5)}$  with  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$ , including all such primes less than 251. Simple representations for the first few primes are

$$\begin{aligned} 11 \cdot 5^3 &= M_{\mathbb{Z}_{25}}(1 + x^4 + x^5 + x^9 + x^{10}), \\ 31 \cdot 5^3 &= M_{\mathbb{Z}_{25}}(-1 + x + x^6 + x^9 + x^{11} + x^{13} + x^{16}), \\ 41 \cdot 5^3 &= M_{\mathbb{Z}_{25}}(1 + x^5 + x^{10} + x^{14} + x^{15}), \\ 61 \cdot 5^3 &= M_{\mathbb{Z}_{25}}(-1 + x + x^6 + x^8 + x^{11} + x^{12} + x^{16}), \\ 71 \cdot 5^3 &= M_{\mathbb{Z}_{25}}(-1 - x + x^4 - x^5 + x^7 + x^9 + x^{11} + x^{12} + x^{13} + x^{14} + x^{18}). \end{aligned}$$

**5.3. Computations connected to Theorem 1.3.** For Theorem 1.3, our search in  $\mathbb{Z}_{27}$  over polynomials  $F(x)$  with  $\deg(F) \leq 26$  having  $\{-1, 0, 1\}$  coefficients and  $F(1) = 3$  or 9 found polynomials attaining each measure  $3^4 q$  for all primes  $q < 5000$  in  $\mathcal{T}_1^{(3)}$  with  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$ , including

$$\begin{aligned} 19 \cdot 3^4 &= M_{\mathbb{Z}_{27}}(1 + x^8 + x^9), \\ 37 \cdot 3^4 &= M_{\mathbb{Z}_{27}}(-1 + x^2 + x^3 + x^{10} + x^{11}), \\ 109 \cdot 3^4 &= M_{\mathbb{Z}_{27}}(1 + x^3 + x^4). \end{aligned}$$

It also found representations for  $3^5 q$  for all primes  $q < 5000$  in  $\mathcal{T}_3^{(3)}$  with  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$ , for example,

$$\begin{aligned} 73 \cdot 3^5 &= M_{\mathbb{Z}_{27}}(1 + x^2 + x^3 + x^4 + x^5 + x^7 + x^9 + x^{11} + x^{12}), \\ 271 \cdot 3^5 &= M_{\mathbb{Z}_{27}}(1 + x + x^2 + x^3 + x^4 + x^5 + x^6 + x^8 + x^9), \\ 307 \cdot 3^5 &= M_{\mathbb{Z}_{27}}(-1 + x + x^4 + x^5 + x^6 + x^7 + x^8 + x^{10} + x^{12} + x^{14} + x^{15}), \end{aligned}$$

as well as  $3^5 q$  for the smallest  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  in  $\mathcal{T}_1^{(3)}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} 7 \cdot 3^5 &= M_{\mathbb{Z}_{27}}(1 + x^2 + x^3 + x^5 + x^6 + x^8 + x^9 + x^{11} + x^{12}), \\ 13 \cdot 3^5 &= M_{\mathbb{Z}_{27}}(1 + x^3 + x^6 + x^9 + x^{12} + x^{14} + x^{15} + x^{17} + x^{18}), \\ 19 \cdot 3^5 &= M_{\mathbb{Z}_{27}}(1 + x^3 + x^6 + x^9 + x^{12} + x^{15} + x^{18} + x^{20} + x^{21}), \\ 31 \cdot 3^5 &= M_{\mathbb{Z}_{27}}(-1 - x + x^2 - x^3 + x^5 - x^6 + x^7 + x^8 + x^{10} + x^{11} \\ &\quad + x^{12} + x^{13} + x^{14} + x^{17} + x^{20} + x^{23} + x^{26}). \end{aligned}$$

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Michel Marcus for pointing out the connection between  $\mathcal{T}_2^{(5)}$  and the artiads.

## REFERENCES

- [1] T. Boerkoel and C. Pinner, *Minimal group determinants and the Lind-Lehmer problem for dihedral groups*, Acta Arith. **186** (2018), no. 4, 377–395. MR3879399
- [2] K. Conrad, *The origin of representation theory*, Enseign. Math. (2) **44** (1998), no. 3–4, 361–392. MR1659232
- [3] O. T. Dasbach and M. N. Lalín, *Mahler measure under variations of the base group*, Forum Math. **21** (2009), no. 4, 621–637. MR2541476
- [4] D. De Silva, M. J. Mossinghoff, V. Pigno and C. Pinner, *The Lind-Lehmer constant for certain  $p$ -groups*, Math. Comp. **88** (2019), no. 316, 949–972. MR3882290
- [5] D. De Silva and C. Pinner, *The Lind-Lehmer constant for  $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **142** (2014), no. 6, 1935–1941. MR3182012
- [6] L. E. Dickson, *Cyclotomy, higher congruences and Waring’s problem*, Amer. J. Math. **57** (1935), 391–424. MR1507083
- [7] E. Formanek and D. Sibley, *The group determinant determines the group*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **112** (1991), no. 3, 649–656. MR1062831
- [8] F. G. Frobenius, *Über die Primefactoren der Gruppensdeterminante*, Gesammelte Abhandlungen, Band III, Springer, New York, 1968, pp. 38–77. MR0235974
- [9] K. Ireland and M. Rosen, *A Classical Introduction to Modern Number Theory*, 2nd ed., vol. 84, Grad. Texts in Math., Springer, New York, 1990. MR1070716
- [10] N. Kaiblinger, *On the Lehmer constant of finite cyclic groups*, Acta Arith. **142** (2010), no. 1, 79–84. MR2601051
- [11] N. Kaiblinger, *Progress on Olga Taussky-Todd’s circulant problem*, Ramanujan J. **28** (2012), no. 1, 45–60. MR2914452
- [12] L. Kronecker, *Zwei Sätze über Gleichungen mit ganzzahligen Coefficienten*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **53** (1857), 173–175.
- [13] H. T. Laquer, *Values of circulants with integer entries*, in A Collection of Manuscripts Related to the Fibonacci Sequence, Fibonacci Assoc., Santa Clara, 1980, pp. 212–217. MR0624127
- [14] D. H. Lehmer, *Factorization of certain cyclotomic functions*, Ann. of Math. (2) **34** (1933), no. 3, 461–479. MR1503118
- [15] E. Lehmer, *Artiads characterized*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **15** (1966), 118–131. MR201377
- [16] D. Lind, *Lehmer’s problem for compact abelian groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **133** (2005), no. 5, 1411–1416. MR2111966
- [17] W. Lück, *Lehmer’s problem for arbitrary groups*, J. Topol. Anal., to appear, 32 pp. doi.org/10.1142/S1793525321500035
- [18] D. A. Marcus, *Number Fields*, Universitext, Springer, New York, 1977. MR0457396
- [19] M. J. Mossinghoff and C. Pinner, *The integer group determinants for the Heisenberg group of order  $p^3$* , Michigan Math. J., to appear, 16 pp. arXiv:2108.04870 [math.NT].
- [20] M. Newman, *Determinants of circulants of prime power order*, Linear Multilinear Algebra **9** (1980), no. 3, 187–191. MR0601702
- [21] M. Newman, *On a problem suggested by Olga Taussky-Todd*, Illinois J. Math. **24** (1980), no. 1, 156–158. MR0550657
- [22] OEIS Foundation Inc. (2022), *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*, published electronically at <http://oeis.org>
- [23] B. Paudel and C. Pinner, *Minimal group determinants for dicyclic groups*, Mosc. J. Comb. Number Theory **10** (2021), no. 3, 235–248. MR4313424
- [24] B. Paudel and C. Pinner, *Integer circulant determinants of order 15*, Integers, **22** (2022), paper no. A4, 21 pp. MR4363104
- [25] V. Pigno and C. Pinner, *The Lind-Lehmer constant for cyclic groups of order less than 892*, 371, 480, Ramanujan J. **33** (2014), no. 2, 295–300. MR3165542
- [26] C. Pinner, *The integer group determinants for the symmetric group of degree four*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **49** (2019), no. 4, 1293–1305. MR3998922



- [27] C. Pinner and C. Smyth, *Integer group determinants for small groups*, Ramanujan J. **51** (2020), no. 2, 421–453. MR4056860
- [28] H. W. L. Tanner, *On the binomial equation  $x^p - 1 = 0$ : quinquisection*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **18** (1886/87), 214–234. MR1575156
- [29] H. W. L. Tanner, *On complex primes formed with the fifth roots of unity*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **24** (1892/93), 223–262. MR1577129
- [30] O. Taussky Todd, *Integral group matrices*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **24** (1977), no. 3, A-345. Abstract no. 746-A15, 746th Meeting, Hayward, CA, Apr. 22–23, 1977.
- [31] G. Torelli, *Sui determinanti circolanti*, Rend. Accad. Sci. Fis. Mat. (Soc. Reale Napoli) **21** (1882), 83–91.
- [32] L. C. Washington, *Introduction to Cyclotomic Fields*, 2nd ed., Grad. Texts in Math., vol. 83, Springer, New York, 1997. MR1421575
- [33] N. Yamaguchi, *An extension and a generalization of Dedekind’s theorem*, Int. J. Group Theory **6** (2017), no. 3, 5–11. MR3622295
- [34] N. Yamaguchi and Y. Yamaguchi, *Remark on Laquer’s theorem for circulant determinants*, 14 Feb. 2022, 4 pp. arXiv:2202.06952 [math.RT].
- [35] Y. Yamaguchi and N. Yamaguchi, *Integer circulant determinants of order 16*, 13 Apr. 2022, 12 pp. arXiv:2204.05014v2 [math.RT].

CENTER FOR COMMUNICATIONS RESEARCH, PRINCETON, NJ, USA

*Email address:* `m.mossinghoff@idaccr.org`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY, MANHATTAN, KS 66506, USA

*Email address:* `pinner@math.ksu.edu`