

Existence and multiplicity of solutions for a class of Kirchhoff type (Φ_1, Φ_2) -Laplacian system with locally super-linear condition in \mathbb{R}^N

Cuiling Liu, Xingyong Zhang*

Faculty of Science, Kunming University of Science and Technology, Kunming, Yunnan, 650500, P.R. China.

Abstract: We investigate the existence and multiplicity of weak solutions for a nonlinear Kirchhoff type quasilinear elliptic system on the whole space \mathbb{R}^N . We assume that the nonlinear term satisfies the locally super- (m_1, m_2) condition, that is, $\lim_{|(u,v)| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{F(x,u,v)}{|u|^{m_1} + |v|^{m_2}} = +\infty$ for a.e. $x \in G$ where G is a domain in \mathbb{R}^N , which is weaker than the well-known Ambrosseti-Rabinowitz condition and the naturally global restriction, $\lim_{|(u,v)| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{F(x,u,v)}{|u|^{m_1} + |v|^{m_2}} = +\infty$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. We obtain that system has at least one weak solution by using the classical Mountain Pass Theorem. To a certain extent, our theorems extend the results of Tang-Lin-Yu [Journal of Dynamics and Differential Equations, 2019, 31(1): 369-383]. Moreover, under the above naturally global restriction, we obtain that system has infinitely many weak solutions of high energy by using the Symmetric Mountain Pass Theorem, which is different from those results of Wang-Zhang-Fang [Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Applications, 2017, 10(7): 3792-3814] even if we consider the system on the bounded domain with Dirichlet boundary condition.

Keywords: Orlicz-Sobolev spaces; Mountain Pass Theorem; Symmetric Mountain Pass Theorem; locally super- (m_1, m_2) condition.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35J20; 35J50; 35J55.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we are dedicated to studying the existence and multiplicity of weak solutions for the following generalized nonlinear and non-homogeneous Kirchhoff type elliptic system in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces

$$\begin{cases} -M_1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_1(|\nabla u|) dx \right) \Delta_{\Phi_1} u + V_1(x) \phi_1(|u|)u = F_u(x, u, v), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ -M_2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_2(|\nabla v|) dx \right) \Delta_{\Phi_2} v + V_2(x) \phi_2(|v|)v = F_v(x, u, v), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u \in W^{1, \Phi_1}(\mathbb{R}^N), v \in W^{1, \Phi_2}(\mathbb{R}^N), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\Delta_{\Phi_i}(u) = \operatorname{div}(\phi_i(|\nabla u|)\nabla u)$, ($i = 1, 2$), $\phi_i : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ are two functions which satisfy the following conditions:

*Corresponding author, E-mail address: zhangxingyong1@163.com

(ϕ_1) $\phi_i \in C^1[(0, +\infty), \mathbb{R}^+]$, $t\phi_i(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, $t\phi_i(t) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$;

(ϕ_2) $t \rightarrow t\phi_i(t)$ are strictly increasing;

(ϕ_3) $1 < l_i := \inf_{t>0} \frac{t^2\phi_i(t)}{\Phi_i(t)} \leq \sup_{t>0} \frac{t^2\phi_i(t)}{\Phi_i(t)} =: m_i < \min\{N, l_i^*\}$, where $\Phi_i(t) := \int_0^{|t|} s\phi_i(s)ds$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $l_i^* = \frac{l_i N}{N-l_i}$;

(ϕ_4) there exist positive constants $c_{i,1}$ and $c_{i,2}$, $i = 1, 2$, such that

$$c_{i,1}|t|^{l_i} \leq \Phi_i(t) \leq c_{i,2}|t|^{l_i}, \quad \forall |t| < 1;$$

Moreover, we introduce the following conditions on F , V_i and M_i :

(F_0) $F : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a C^1 function such that $F(x, 0, 0) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $F(x, u, v) \geq 0$ for all $(x, u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$;

(V_0) $V_i \in C(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$ and $\inf_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_i(x) > 1$, $i = 1, 2$;

(V_1) there exist constants $C_{i,1} > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow \infty} \text{meas}\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x - z| \leq C_{i,1}, V_i(x) \leq C_{i,2}\} = 0 \text{ for every } C_{i,2} > 0, i = 1, 2,$$

where $\text{meas}(\cdot)$ denotes the Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{R}^N ;

(M_0) $M_i \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+)$ and $C_{i,3} \leq M_i(t) \leq C_{i,4}$, $\forall t \geq 0$ for some $C_{i,3}, C_{i,4} > 0$, $i = 1, 2$;

(M_1) $\widehat{M}_i(t) := \int_0^t M_i(s)ds \geq M_i(t)t$, $i = 1, 2$.

Let $\phi_1 = \phi_2 =: \phi$, $v = u$, $M_1 = M_2 =: M$, $V_1 = V_2 =: V$ and $F(x, u, v) = F(x, v, u)$. Then the system (1.1) reduces to the following non-homogeneous and nonlocal quasilinear elliptic equation

$$\begin{cases} -M \left(\int_{\Omega} \Phi(|\nabla u|) dx \right) \Delta_{\Phi} u + V(x)\phi(|u|)u = f(x, u), & x \in \Omega, \\ u \in W^{1, \Phi}(\Omega), \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where Ω is a domain in \mathbb{R}^N and $f(x, u) = F_u(x, u, u)$.

In recent years, many authors are concerned with nonlocal problems like (1.2) which can be seen as a generalization of the second-order semilinear elliptic equations, p -Laplacian equations and (p, q) -Laplacian equations (see [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 33] and references therein).

Next, we emphasize the results in [20] which mainly inspires our works in this paper. In [20], Tang, Lin and Yu investigated the existence of nontrivial solutions for the following semilinear Schrödinger equation:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + V(x)u = f(x, u), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N), \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

where the potential $V \in C(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$ is a sign-changing function which satisfies the periodic or coercive conditions. If f satisfies a subcritical condition and the following locally super-quadratic condition:

(f_1) *there exists a domain $G \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ such that*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_0^t f(x, s) ds}{t^2} = +\infty, \text{ a.e. } x \in G,$$

which is weaker than the following naturally super-quadratic condition:

(f'_1) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(x, t)}{|t|^2} = +\infty$ *uniformly for $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$,*

by using the linking geometry theorem, they obtained that the problem (1.3) has a nontrivial weak solution.

There have been some contributions devoted to the study of system (1.1) with $M_i = 1$ involving the existence and multiplicity of weak solutions. In [21], Wang, Zhang and Fang considered the following quasilinear elliptic system in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces:

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(\phi_1(|\nabla u|)\nabla u) = F_u(x, u, v), & \text{in } \Omega, \\ -\operatorname{div}(\phi_2(|\nabla v|)\nabla v) = F_v(x, u, v), & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = v = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^N (N \geq 2)$ with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. When F satisfies some appropriate conditions including (ϕ_1, ϕ_2) -superlinear and subcritical growth conditions at infinity as well as symmetric condition, by using the mountain pass theorem and the symmetric mountain pass theorem, they obtained that system (1.4) has a nontrivial weak solution and infinitely many weak solutions, respectively. Subsequently, more works were obtained for systems like (1.1) (see [22]-[26]). For example, in [24], Wang, Zhang and Fang considered the quasilinear elliptic system like (1.1) with $M_i(t) = 1$ in \mathbb{R}^N . When the potential functions are bounded, F satisfies sub-linear growth condition, by using the least action principle, they obtained that system has at least one nontrivial weak solution. If F also satisfies a symmetric condition, by using the genus theory, they obtained that system has infinitely many weak solutions. In [25]-[26], we developed the Moser iteration technique, and then by using the mountain pass theorem and cut-off technique, we obtain that system like (1.1) with $M_i(t) = 1$ and a parameter λ has a nontrivial weak solution (u_λ, v_λ) with $\|(u_\lambda, v_\lambda)\|_\infty \leq 2$ for every λ large enough if the nonlinear term F satisfies some growth conditions only in a circle with center 0 and radius 4.

Inspired by [14, 19, 20, 21], in this paper, we shall investigate the existence and multiplicity of weak solutions for system (1.1) with locally super- (m_1, m_2) growth in \mathbb{R}^N . We assume that F satisfies the following local condition

$$\lim_{|(u,v)| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{F(x, u, v)}{|u|^{m_1} + |v|^{m_2}} = +\infty \text{ for a.e. } x \in G,$$

where G is a domain in \mathbb{R}^N , by using the Mountain Pass Theorem, we obtain that system (1.1) has a nontrivial weak solution. Besides, if F also satisfies a symmetric condition and the following naturally global restriction

$$\lim_{|(u,v)| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{F(x, u, v)}{|u|^{m_1} + |v|^{m_2}} = +\infty \text{ for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

by using the Symmetric Mountain Pass Theorem, we obtain that system (1.1) has infinitely many weak solutions of high energy. We develop some results in some known references in the following sense:

- (I) Our local condition extends the locally super-quadratic condition of [20] (see (f_1) mentioned above);
- (II) Different from those in [21]-[26], we consider the nonlocal Kirchhoff-type problems;
- (III) Our conditions are weaker than the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz ((A-R) for short) condition in [14, 33];
- (IV) Different from that in [21], we work in the whole-space \mathbb{R}^N rather than a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$.

Especially, we introduce a new (ϕ_1, ϕ_2) -superlinear condition (see (F_4) below and Remark 3.4 for details), which is different from the following condition in [21] even if we restrict (F_4) to the bounded domain Ω :

(f_2) there exists a continuous function $\gamma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and it satisfies that $\Gamma(t) := \int_0^{|t|} \gamma(s) ds$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ is an N -function with

$$1 < l_\Gamma := \inf_{t>0} \frac{t\gamma(t)}{\Gamma(t)} \leq \sup_{t>0} \frac{t\gamma(t)}{\Gamma(t)} =: m_\Gamma < +\infty,$$

such that

$$\Gamma \left(\frac{F(x, u, v)}{|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2}} \right) \leq d_1 \bar{F}(x, u, v), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad |(u, v)| \geq r_1,$$

where constants $d_1, r_1 > 0$ and

$$\bar{F}(x, u, v) := \frac{1}{m_1} F_u(x, u, v)u + \frac{1}{m_2} F_v(x, u, v)v - F(x, u, v), \quad \forall (x, u, v) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R},$$

and the following (ϕ_1, ϕ_2) -superlinear growth conditions hold:

$$\lim_{|(u,v)| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{F(x, u, v)}{\Phi_1(u) + \Phi_2(v)} = +\infty \text{ uniformly for all } x \in \Omega,$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N ;

- (V) Similar to (IV), for the scalar equation, we also obtain a new ϕ -superlinear condition which is different from those in [19, 21] even if we restrict it to the bounded domain Ω . One can see the Remark 4.3 for details;
- (VI) Because of the coupling relationship of u and v and the inhomogeneous properties of Φ_i , $i = 1, 2$, our proofs become more difficult and complex than those in [20]. Especially, such difficulty and complexity can be embodied in the proofs of the compactness of Cerami sequence. Moreover, because the new condition

(F_4) below is different from (f_2) and we consider the problem (1.1) on the whole space \mathbb{R}^N rather than a bounded domain Ω , our proofs on the compactness of Cerami sequence are different from those in [21].

The remainder of this article focuses on some preliminaries, the main results of this paper and their proofs and an example which illustrates our results. Finally, a remark on semi-trivial solutions of (1.1) is given.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, to deal with such problem for system (1.1), we need to briefly list some fundamental definitions and essential properties of Orlicz and Orlicz-Sobolev spaces and introduce some classical results from variational methods. For a deeper understanding of these concepts, we refer readers for more details to the books [27, 28, 30].

Definition 2.1. [27] Let $b : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be a right continuous, monotone increasing function with

- (1) $b(0) = 0$;
- (2) $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} b(t) = +\infty$;
- (3) $b(t) > 0$ whenever $t > 0$.

Then the function defined on \mathbb{R} by $B(t) = \int_0^{|t|} b(s)ds$ is called as an N -function.

By the definition of N -function B , it is obvious that $B(0) = 0$ and B is strictly convex. We call that an N -function B satisfies a Δ_2 -condition globally (or near infinity) if

$$\sup_{t>0} \frac{B(2t)}{B(t)} < +\infty \quad (\text{or } \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B(2t)}{B(t)} < +\infty),$$

which implies that there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that $B(2t) \leq KB(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$ (or $t \geq t_0 > 0$). We also state the equivalent form that B satisfies a Δ_2 -condition globally (or near infinity) if and only if for any $c \geq 1$, there exists a constant $K_c > 0$ such that $B(ct) \leq K_c B(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$ (or $t \geq t_0 > 0$).

Definition 2.2.[27] For an N -function B , we define

$$\tilde{B}(t) = \int_0^{|t|} b^{-1}(s)ds, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where b^{-1} is the right inverse of the right derivative b of B . Then \tilde{B} is an N -function called as the complement of B .

It holds that Young's inequality (see [27, 30])

$$st \leq B(s) + \tilde{B}(t), \quad s, t \geq 0 \tag{2.1}$$

and the inequality (see [31, Lemma A.2])

$$\tilde{B}(b(t)) \leq B(2t), \quad t \geq 0. \quad (2.2)$$

Now, we recall the Orlicz space $L^B(\Omega)$ associated with B . The Orlicz space $L^B(\Omega)$ is the vectorial space of the measurable functions $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$\int_{\Omega} B(|u|) dx < +\infty,$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is an open set. $L^B(\Omega)$ is a Banach space endowed with Luxemburg norm

$$\|u\|_B := \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{\Omega} B\left(\frac{u}{\lambda}\right) dx \leq 1 \right\}.$$

The fact that B satisfies Δ_2 -condition globally implies that

$$u_n \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^B(\Omega) \iff \int_{\Omega} B(u_n - u) dx \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.3)$$

Moreover, a generalized type of Hölder's inequality (see [27, 30])

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} uv dx \right| \leq 2\|u\|_{\Phi} \|v\|_{\tilde{\Phi}}, \quad \text{for all } u \in L^{\Phi}(\Omega) \text{ and } v \in L^{\tilde{\Phi}}(\Omega)$$

can be gained by applying Young's inequality (2.1).

The corresponding Orlicz-Sobolev space (see [27, 30]) is defined by

$$W^{1,B}(\Omega) := \left\{ u \in L^B(\Omega) : \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \in L^B(\Omega), i = 1, \dots, N \right\}$$

with the norm

$$\|u\|_{1,B} := \|u\|_B + \|\nabla u\|_B.$$

Consider the subspace X of $W^{1,B}(\mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$X = \left\{ u \in W^{1,B}(\mathbb{R}^N) \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)B(|u|) dx < \infty \right\} \quad (2.4)$$

with the norm

$$\|u\|_X = \|\nabla u\|_B + \|u\|_{B,V}, \quad (2.5)$$

where

$$\|u\|_{B,V} = \inf \left\{ \alpha > 0 \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)B\left(\frac{|u|}{\alpha}\right) dx \leq 1 \right\}$$

and $\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} V(x) > 0$. Then $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is a separable and reflexive Banach space (see [33]).

Lemma 2.3.[27, 31] If B is an N -function, then the following conditions are equivalent:

(1)

$$1 \leq l = \inf_{t>0} \frac{tb(t)}{B(t)} \leq \sup_{t>0} \frac{tb(t)}{B(t)} = m < +\infty; \quad (2.6)$$

(2) Let $\zeta_0(t) = \min\{t^l, t^m\}$ and $\zeta_1(t) = \max\{t^l, t^m\}$, $t \geq 0$. B satisfies

$$\zeta_0(t)B(\rho) \leq B(\rho t) \leq \zeta_1(t)B(\rho), \quad \forall \rho, t \geq 0;$$

(3) B satisfies a Δ_2 -condition globally.**Lemma 2.4.**[31] If B is an N -function and (2.6) holds, then B satisfies

$$\zeta_0(\|u\|_B) \leq \int_{\Omega} B(u)dx \leq \zeta_1(\|u\|_B), \quad \forall u \in L^B(\Omega).$$

Lemma 2.5.[31] If B is an N -function and (2.6) holds with $l > 1$. Let \tilde{B} be the complement of B and $\zeta_2(t) = \min\{t^{\tilde{l}}, t^{\tilde{m}}\}$, $\zeta_3(t) = \max\{t^{\tilde{l}}, t^{\tilde{m}}\}$ for $t \geq 0$, where $\tilde{l} := \frac{l}{l-1}$ and $\tilde{m} := \frac{m}{m-1}$. Then \tilde{B} satisfies

(1)

$$\tilde{m} = \inf_{t>0} \frac{t\tilde{B}'(t)}{\tilde{B}(t)} \leq \sup_{t>0} \frac{t\tilde{B}'(t)}{\tilde{B}(t)} = \tilde{l};$$

(2)

$$\zeta_2(t)\tilde{B}(\rho) \leq \tilde{B}(\rho t) \leq \zeta_3(t)\tilde{B}(\rho), \quad \forall \rho, t \geq 0;$$

(3)

$$\zeta_2(\|u\|_{\tilde{B}}) \leq \int_{\Omega} \tilde{B}(u)dx \leq \zeta_3(\|u\|_{\tilde{B}}), \quad \forall u \in L^{\tilde{B}}(\Omega).$$

Lemma 2.6.[31] If B is an N -function and (2.6) holds with $l, m \in (1, N)$. Let $\zeta_4(t) = \min\{t^{l^*}, t^{m^*}\}$, $\zeta_5(t) = \max\{t^{l^*}, t^{m^*}\}$ for $t \geq 0$, where $l^* := \frac{lN}{N-l}$ and $m^* := \frac{mN}{N-m}$. Then B_* satisfies

(1)

$$l^* = \inf_{t>0} \frac{tB'_*(t)}{B_*(t)} \leq \sup_{t>0} \frac{tB'_*(t)}{B_*(t)} = m^*;$$

(2)

$$\zeta_4(t)B_*(\rho) \leq B_*(\rho t) \leq \zeta_5(t)B_*(\rho), \quad \forall \rho, t \geq 0;$$

(3)

$$\zeta_4(\|u\|_{B_*}) \leq \int_{\Omega} B_*(u)dx \leq \zeta_5(\|u\|_{B_*}), \quad \forall u \in L^{B_*}(\Omega),$$

where B_* is the Sobolev conjugate function of B , which is defined by

$$B_*^{-1}(t) = \int_0^t \frac{B^{-1}(s)}{s^{\frac{N+1}{N}}} ds \quad \text{for } t \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad B_*(t) = B_*(-t) \quad \text{for } t \leq 0.$$

Next, we recall some embeddings. Let Ψ be an N -function verifying Δ_2 -condition. If

$$\overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Psi(t)}{B(t)} < +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\lim}_{|t| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\Psi(t)}{B_*(t)} < +\infty, \quad (2.7)$$

then we have a continuous embedding $W^{1,B}(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^\Psi(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Moreover, if

$$\lim_{|t| \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Psi(t)}{B(t)} < +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{|t| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\Psi(t)}{B_*(t)} = 0, \quad (2.8)$$

then the embedding $W^{1,B}(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L_{loc}^\Psi(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is compact and we call that such Ψ satisfies the subcritical condition.

Lemma 2.7.[33] Assume that $b : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty) \in C^1$ and V satisfies the following conditions:

(a₀) the function $t \rightarrow b(t)t$ is increasing in $(0, \infty)$;

(a₁) there exist $l, m \in (1, N)$ such that

$$l \leq \frac{b(|t|)t^2}{B(t)} \leq m \quad \text{for all } t \neq 0,$$

where $l \leq m < l^*$ and $B(t) = \int_0^{|t|} b(s)ds$;

(V'₀) $V \in C(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$ and $\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} V(x) = V_0 > 0$;

(V'₁) for all $C_0 > 0$, $\mu(V^{-1}(-\infty, C_0]) < \infty$, where μ is the Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{R}^N .

Then for any N -function Ψ satisfying Δ_2 -condition and (2.8), the embedding from X into $L^\Psi(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is compact. Specifically, X into $L^B(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is compact, where X is defined by (2.4).

Remark 2.8. By Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.5, assumptions (ϕ_1) – (ϕ_3) show that Φ_i ($i = 1, 2$) and $\tilde{\Phi}_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) are N -functions satisfying Δ_2 -condition globally. Thus $L^{\Phi_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ($i = 1, 2$) and $W^{1,\Phi_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ($i = 1, 2$) are separable and reflexive Banach spaces (see [27, 30]).

By the end of this section, we recall the mountain pass theorem (see [28, Theorem 2.2]) and the symmetric mountain pass theorem (see [28, Theorem 9.12]) which will be used to prove Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.9 in Section 3, respectively.

We first recall that $I \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition ((PS)-condition for short) if any (PS)-sequence $\{u_n\} \subset E$ has a convergent subsequence, where (PS)-sequence $\{u_n\}$ means that

$$I(u_n) \text{ is bounded, } \|I'(u_n)\| \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and we call that $I \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies the Cerami-condition ((C)-condition for short) if any (C)-sequence $\{u_n\} \subset E$ has a convergent subsequence, where (C)-sequence $\{u_n\}$ means that

$$I(u_n) \text{ is bounded and } (1 + \|u_n\|)\|I'(u_n)\| \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.9)$$

By the discussion in [34], the (PS)-condition can be substituted with (C)-condition in the following Lemma 2.9 and Lemma 2.10.

Lemma 2.9.[28, Theorem 2.2](Mountain Pass Theorem) Let E be a real Banach space and $I \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$ satisfying (PS)-condition. Suppose $I(0) = 0$ and

(I₁) there are constants $\rho, \alpha > 0$ such that $I|_{\partial B_\rho} \geq \alpha$, and

(I₂) there is an $e \in E \setminus B_\rho$ such that $I(e) \leq 0$.

Then I possesses a critical value $c \geq \alpha$.

Lemma 2.10.[28, Theorem 9.12] (Symmetric Mountain Pass Theorem) Let E be an infinite-dimensional Banach space and let $I \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$ be even, satisfy (PS)-condition, and $I(0) = 0$. If $E = V \oplus X$, where V is finite dimensional, and I satisfies

(I₁) there are constants $\rho, \alpha > 0$ such that $I|_{\partial B_\rho \cap X} \geq \alpha$, and

(I₂) for each finite dimensional subspace $\tilde{E} \subset E$, there is an $R = R(\tilde{E})$ such that $I \leq 0$ on $\tilde{E} \setminus B_{R(\tilde{E})}$, where $B_R = \{u \in E : \|u\| < R\}$,

then I possesses an unbounded sequence of critical values.

3 Main results and proofs

Define

$$W_i = \left\{ u \in W^{1, \Phi_i}(\mathbb{R}^N) \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) \Phi_i(|u|) dx < \infty \right\} \quad (3.1)$$

with the norm

$$\|u\|_i = \|\nabla u\|_{\Phi_i} + \|u\|_{\Phi_i, V_i}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Throughout this paper, we work in the subspace $W =: W_1 \times W_2$ of $W^{1, \Phi_1}(\mathbb{R}^N) \times W^{1, \Phi_2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with the norm

$$\|(u, v)\| = \|u\|_1 + \|v\|_2 = \|\nabla u\|_{\Phi_1} + \|u\|_{\Phi_1, V_1} + \|\nabla v\|_{\Phi_2} + \|v\|_{\Phi_2, V_2}.$$

Then $(W, \|\cdot\|)$ is a separable and reflexive Banach space.

Define the energy functional I on W corresponding to system (1.1) is

$$\begin{aligned} I(u, v) : &= \widehat{M}_1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_1(|\nabla u|) dx \right) + \widehat{M}_2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_2(|\nabla v|) dx \right) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_1(x) \Phi_1(|u|) dx \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_2(x) \Phi_2(|v|) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, u, v) dx, \quad (u, v) \in W. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Under the assumptions (ϕ_1) – (ϕ_3) , (F_1) , (V_0) , (V_1) , (M_0) and (M_1) , by using the standard arguments as in [21, 35], we can prove that I is well-defined and of class $C^1(W, \mathbb{R})$ with

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle I'(u, v), (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \rangle &= M_1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_1(|\nabla u|) dx \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi_1(|\nabla u|) \nabla u \nabla \tilde{u} dx \\
&+ M_2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_2(|\nabla v|) dx \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi_2(|\nabla v|) \nabla v \nabla \tilde{v} dx \\
&+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_1(x) \phi_1(|u|) u \tilde{u} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_2(x) \phi_2(|v|) v \tilde{v} dx \\
&- \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F_u(x, u, v) \tilde{u} dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F_v(x, u, v) \tilde{v} dx
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

for all $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \in W$. Then the critical points of I on W are the weak solutions of system (1.1).

3.1 Existence

In this subsection, we present the following existence result and prove it by using the Mountain Pass Theorem.

Theorem 3.1. Assume that (ϕ_1) – (ϕ_4) , (F_0) , (V_0) , (V_1) , (M_0) , (M_1) and the following conditions hold:

(F_1) there exist two continuous functions ψ_i ($i = 1, 2$): $[0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a constant $C_2 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{cases} |F_u(x, u, v)| \leq C_2 \left(|u|^{l_1-1} + \psi_1(|u|) + \tilde{\Psi}_1^{-1}(\Psi_2(|v|)) \right), \\ |F_v(x, u, v)| \leq C_2 \left(|v|^{l_2-1} + \tilde{\Psi}_2^{-1}(\Psi_1(|u|)) + \psi_2(|v|) \right) \end{cases} \tag{3.4}$$

for all $(x, u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, where $\Psi_i(t) := \int_0^{|t|} \psi_i(s) ds$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ($i = 1, 2$) are two N -functions satisfying

$$m_i < l_{\Psi_i} := \inf_{t>0} \frac{t\psi_i(t)}{\Psi_i(t)} \leq \sup_{t>0} \frac{t\psi_i(t)}{\Psi_i(t)} =: m_{\Psi_i} < l_i^*, \tag{3.5}$$

$\tilde{\Psi}_i$ denote the complements of Ψ_i ($i = 1, 2$), respectively;

(F_2) there exists a constant $C_3 \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$\limsup_{|(u,v)| \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(x, u, v)}{\Phi_1(|u|) + \Phi_2(|v|)} = C_3 \quad \text{uniformly in } x \in \mathbb{R}^N;$$

(F_3) there exists a domain $G \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ such that

$$\lim_{|(u,v)| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{F(x, u, v)}{|u|^{m_1} + |v|^{m_2}} = +\infty, \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in G;$$

(F_4) there exist a continuous function $\bar{\gamma} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ and positive constants $\sigma_i \in \left[\frac{l_i(m_{\bar{\Gamma}}-1)}{m_{\bar{\Gamma}}}, \min \left\{ l_i, \frac{l_i^*(l_{\bar{\Gamma}}-1)}{l_{\bar{\Gamma}}} \right\} \right)$,

$i = 1, 2$, $C_4, r > 0$ such that

$$\bar{\Gamma} \left(\frac{F(x, u, v)}{|u|^{\sigma_1} + |v|^{\sigma_2}} \right) \leq C_4 \bar{F}(x, u, v), \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ and } (u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ with } |(u, v)| \geq r, \tag{3.6}$$

where $\bar{\Gamma}(t) := \int_0^{|t|} \bar{\gamma}(s) ds$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, is an N -function with

$$1 < l_{\bar{\Gamma}} := \inf_{t>0} \frac{t\bar{\gamma}(t)}{\bar{\Gamma}(t)} \leq \sup_{t>0} \frac{t\bar{\gamma}(t)}{\bar{\Gamma}(t)} =: m_{\bar{\Gamma}} < +\infty \quad (3.7)$$

and

$$\bar{F}(x, u, v) := \frac{1}{m_1} F_u(x, u, v)u + \frac{1}{m_2} F_v(x, u, v)v - F(x, u, v) \geq 0, \quad \forall (x, u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}.$$

Then system (1.1) possesses a nontrivial weak solution.

Remark 3.2. By Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 3.1 in [29], the assumptions (ϕ_1) – (ϕ_4) , (V_0) , (V_1) and (3.5) imply that the following embeddings are compact:

$$W_i \hookrightarrow L^{\Psi_i}(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad W_i \hookrightarrow L^{\Phi_i}(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad \text{and} \quad W_i \hookrightarrow L^{p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

where $p_i \in [l_i, l_i^*)$. As a result, there exist some positive constants $C_{i,5}$, $C_{i,6}$, $i = 1, 2$, such that

$$\|u\|_{L^{p_i}} \leq C_{i,5} \|u\|_i, \quad \|u\|_{L^{\Psi_i}} \leq C_{i,6} \|u\|_i, \quad (3.8)$$

where $p_i \in [l_i, l_i^*)$. In particular, we have $\sigma_i \tilde{l}_{\bar{\Gamma}}$, $\sigma_i \tilde{m}_{\bar{\Gamma}} \in [l_i, l_i^*)$, where σ_i , $i = 1, 2$, $\tilde{l}_{\bar{\Gamma}} = \frac{l_{\bar{\Gamma}}}{l_{\bar{\Gamma}} - 1}$ and $\tilde{m}_{\bar{\Gamma}} = \frac{m_{\bar{\Gamma}}}{m_{\bar{\Gamma}} - 1}$. Hence, the following embeddings are compact:

$$W_i \hookrightarrow L^{\sigma_i \tilde{l}_{\bar{\Gamma}}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad \text{and} \quad W_i \hookrightarrow L^{\sigma_i \tilde{m}_{\bar{\Gamma}}}(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Remark 3.3. By Young's inequality (2.1), (3.4) and $F(x, 0, 0) = 0$, the fact

$$F(x, u, v) = \int_0^u F_s(x, s, v) ds + \int_0^v F_t(x, 0, t) dt + F(x, 0, 0), \quad \forall (x, u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$$

shows that there exists a constant $C_5 > 0$ such that

$$|F(x, u, v)| \leq C_5 (|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2} + \Psi_1(|u|) + \Psi_2(|v|)), \quad \forall (x, u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}. \quad (3.9)$$

Remark 3.4. If we consider the system (1.1) on a bounded domain Ω with Dirichlet boundary condition, then it is natural that we restrict those assumptions of Theorem 3.1 on the bounded domain Ω . Thus we can claim that (F_4) and (f_2) are complementary. Firstly, we claim that if $l_{\bar{\Gamma}} \geq m_{\bar{\Gamma}}$, (F_4) and (F_1) imply that the condition (f_2) hold. To be specific, choosing $r_1 \geq \sqrt{2}r$ with $r > 1$ and taking the suitable values of $l_{\bar{\Gamma}}$ and $m_{\bar{\Gamma}}$ such that $1 < l_{\bar{\Gamma}} \leq m_{\bar{\Gamma}} < +\infty$, $\max \left\{ 1, \frac{(m_{\Psi_1} - l_1)m_{\bar{\Gamma}}}{m_{\Psi_1}}, \frac{(m_{\Psi_2} - l_2)m_{\bar{\Gamma}}}{m_{\Psi_2}} \right\} < l_{\bar{\Gamma}} \leq m_{\bar{\Gamma}} < l_{\bar{\Gamma}} \leq m_{\bar{\Gamma}}$ and

$$m_{\bar{\Gamma}} < \min \left\{ \frac{l_{\bar{\Gamma}} m_{\bar{\Gamma}} (m_{\Psi_1} - l_1) + l_{\bar{\Gamma}} l_1}{m_{\bar{\Gamma}} (m_{\Psi_1} - l_1)}, \frac{l_1 l_{\bar{\Gamma}} m_{\bar{\Gamma}} (m_{\Psi_2} - l_2) + l_{\bar{\Gamma}} l_1 l_2}{l_1 m_{\bar{\Gamma}} (m_{\Psi_2} - l_2)}, \frac{l_{\bar{\Gamma}} m_{\bar{\Gamma}} (m_{\Psi_2} - l_2) + l_{\bar{\Gamma}} l_2}{m_{\bar{\Gamma}} (m_{\Psi_2} - l_2)}, \frac{l_2 l_{\bar{\Gamma}} m_{\bar{\Gamma}} (m_{\Psi_1} - l_1) + l_{\bar{\Gamma}} l_1 l_2}{l_2 m_{\bar{\Gamma}} (m_{\Psi_1} - l_1)} \right\},$$

we can see that (F_4) also hold for $|(u, v)| \geq r_1$. Obviously, (F_1) implies that

$$|F(x, u, v)| \leq d_2 (|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2} + |u|^{m_{\Psi_1}} + |v|^{m_{\Psi_2}}) \quad \text{for} \quad |(u, v)| \geq r, \quad (3.10)$$

where $d_2 > 0$. Moreover, by (3.10) and Young's inequality, we have the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
& (|u|^{\sigma_1} + |v|^{\sigma_2})^{l_{\bar{\Gamma}}} [d_2(|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2} + |u|^{m_{\Psi_1}} + |v|^{m_{\Psi_2}})]^{m_{\Gamma} - l_{\bar{\Gamma}}} \\
& \leq C_{m_{\Gamma} - l_{\bar{\Gamma}}} d_2^{m_{\Gamma} - l_{\bar{\Gamma}}} (|u|^{\sigma_1} + |v|^{\sigma_2})^{l_{\bar{\Gamma}}} (|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2})^{m_{\Gamma} - l_{\bar{\Gamma}}} \\
& \quad + C_{l_{\bar{\Gamma}}} C_{m_{\Gamma} - l_{\bar{\Gamma}}}^2 d_2^{m_{\Gamma} - l_{\bar{\Gamma}}} (|u|^{\sigma_1 l_{\bar{\Gamma}} + m_{\Psi_1}(m_{\Gamma} - l_{\bar{\Gamma}})} + |v|^{\sigma_2 l_{\bar{\Gamma}} + m_{\Psi_2}(m_{\Gamma} - l_{\bar{\Gamma}})}) \\
& \quad + C_{l_{\bar{\Gamma}}} C_{m_{\Gamma} - l_{\bar{\Gamma}}}^2 d_2^{m_{\Gamma} - l_{\bar{\Gamma}}} \left(\frac{1}{\xi_1} |u|^{\sigma_1 l_{\bar{\Gamma}} \xi_1} + \frac{\xi_1 - 1}{\xi_1} |v|^{\frac{\xi_1 m_{\Psi_2}(m_{\Gamma} - l_{\bar{\Gamma}})}{\xi_1 - 1}} + \frac{\xi_2 - 1}{\xi_2} |u|^{\frac{\xi_2 m_{\Psi_1}(m_{\Gamma} - l_{\bar{\Gamma}})}{\xi_2 - 1}} + \frac{1}{\xi_2} |v|^{\sigma_2 l_{\bar{\Gamma}} \xi_2} \right) \\
& \leq d_3 \left[(|u|^{\sigma_1} + |v|^{\sigma_2})^{l_{\bar{\Gamma}}} (|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2})^{m_{\Gamma} - l_{\bar{\Gamma}}} + |u|^{\sigma_1 l_{\bar{\Gamma}} + m_{\Psi_1}(m_{\Gamma} - l_{\bar{\Gamma}})} + |v|^{\sigma_2 l_{\bar{\Gamma}} + m_{\Psi_2}(m_{\Gamma} - l_{\bar{\Gamma}})} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + |u|^{\frac{\xi_2 m_{\Psi_1}(m_{\Gamma} - l_{\bar{\Gamma}})}{\xi_2 - 1}} + |v|^{\frac{\xi_1 m_{\Psi_2}(m_{\Gamma} - l_{\bar{\Gamma}})}{\xi_1 - 1}} + |u|^{\sigma_1 l_{\bar{\Gamma}} \xi_1} + |v|^{\sigma_2 l_{\bar{\Gamma}} \xi_2} \right], \tag{3.11}
\end{aligned}$$

for some $\xi_1 > \frac{l_2 m_{\Gamma}}{l_2 m_{\Gamma} - m_{\Psi_2}(m_{\Gamma} - l_{\bar{\Gamma}})}$, $\xi_2 > \frac{l_1 m_{\Gamma}}{l_1 m_{\Gamma} - m_{\Psi_1}(m_{\Gamma} - l_{\bar{\Gamma}})}$, where $C_{m_{\Gamma} - l_{\bar{\Gamma}}} = \begin{cases} 2^{m_{\Gamma} - l_{\bar{\Gamma}} - 1}, & \text{if } m_{\Gamma} - l_{\bar{\Gamma}} > 1, \\ 1, & \text{if } m_{\Gamma} - l_{\bar{\Gamma}} \leq 1, \end{cases}$

$C_{l_{\bar{\Gamma}}} = 2^{l_{\bar{\Gamma}} - 1}$, $d_3 > 0$, $\sigma_1 \in \left[\frac{l_1(m_{\bar{\Gamma}} - 1)}{m_{\bar{\Gamma}}}, \min \left\{ l_1, \frac{l_1 m_{\Gamma} - m_{\Psi_1}(m_{\Gamma} - l_{\bar{\Gamma}})}{l_{\bar{\Gamma}}}, \frac{l_1 l_2 m_{\Gamma} - l_1 m_{\Psi_2}(m_{\Gamma} - l_{\bar{\Gamma}})}{l_{\bar{\Gamma}} l_2}, \frac{l_1^*(l_{\bar{\Gamma}} - 1)}{l_{\bar{\Gamma}}} \right\} \right)$ and

$$\sigma_2 \in \left[\frac{l_2(m_{\bar{\Gamma}} - 1)}{m_{\bar{\Gamma}}}, \min \left\{ l_2, \frac{l_2 m_{\Gamma} - m_{\Psi_2}(m_{\Gamma} - l_{\bar{\Gamma}})}{l_{\bar{\Gamma}}}, \frac{l_2 l_1 m_{\Gamma} - l_2 m_{\Psi_1}(m_{\Gamma} - l_{\bar{\Gamma}})}{l_{\bar{\Gamma}} l_1}, \frac{l_2^*(l_{\bar{\Gamma}} - 1)}{l_{\bar{\Gamma}}} \right\} \right)$$

which is reasonable by our example in the last section with $m_{\Gamma} = 2$. Hence, in virtue of (F_4) , (3.10), (3.11), Young's inequality and Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& C_4 \bar{F}(x, u, v) \\
& \geq \bar{\Gamma} \left(\frac{F(x, u, v)}{|u|^{\sigma_1} + |v|^{\sigma_2}} \right) \\
& \geq \bar{\Gamma}(1) \frac{\min \left\{ \left(\frac{|F(x, u, v)|}{|u|^{\sigma_1} + |v|^{\sigma_2}} \right)^{l_{\bar{\Gamma}}}, \left(\frac{|F(x, u, v)|}{|u|^{\sigma_1} + |v|^{\sigma_2}} \right)^{m_{\bar{\Gamma}}} \right\}}{\max \left\{ \left(\frac{|F(x, u, v)|}{|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2}} \right)^{l_{\bar{\Gamma}}}, \left(\frac{|F(x, u, v)|}{|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2}} \right)^{m_{\bar{\Gamma}}} \right\}} \max \left\{ \left(\frac{|F(x, u, v)|}{|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2}} \right)^{l_{\bar{\Gamma}}}, \left(\frac{|F(x, u, v)|}{|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2}} \right)^{m_{\bar{\Gamma}}} \right\} \\
& \geq \begin{cases} \frac{\bar{\Gamma}(1)}{\bar{\Gamma}(1)} \Gamma \left(\frac{|F(x, u, v)|}{|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2}} \right) \frac{(|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2})^{m_{\Gamma}}}{(|u|^{\sigma_1} + |v|^{\sigma_2})^{m_{\bar{\Gamma}}} |F(x, u, v)|^{m_{\Gamma} - m_{\bar{\Gamma}}}}, & \text{if } \frac{|F(x, u, v)|}{|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2}} \geq 1, \frac{|F(x, u, v)|}{|u|^{\sigma_1} + |v|^{\sigma_2}} < 1, \\ \frac{\bar{\Gamma}(1)}{\bar{\Gamma}(1)} \Gamma \left(\frac{|F(x, u, v)|}{|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2}} \right) \frac{(|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2})^{m_{\Gamma}}}{(|u|^{\sigma_1} + |v|^{\sigma_2})^{l_{\bar{\Gamma}}} |F(x, u, v)|^{m_{\Gamma} - l_{\bar{\Gamma}}}}, & \text{if } \frac{|F(x, u, v)|}{|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2}} \geq 1, \frac{|F(x, u, v)|}{|u|^{\sigma_1} + |v|^{\sigma_2}} \geq 1, \\ \frac{\bar{\Gamma}(1)}{\bar{\Gamma}(1)} \Gamma \left(\frac{|F(x, u, v)|}{|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2}} \right) \frac{(|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2})^{l_{\bar{\Gamma}}}}{(|u|^{\sigma_1} + |v|^{\sigma_2})^{m_{\bar{\Gamma}}} |F(x, u, v)|^{l_{\bar{\Gamma}} - m_{\bar{\Gamma}}}}, & \text{if } \frac{|F(x, u, v)|}{|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2}} < 1, \frac{|F(x, u, v)|}{|u|^{\sigma_1} + |v|^{\sigma_2}} < 1, \\ \frac{\bar{\Gamma}(1)}{\bar{\Gamma}(1)} \Gamma \left(\frac{|F(x, u, v)|}{|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2}} \right) \frac{(|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2})^{l_{\bar{\Gamma}}}}{(|u|^{\sigma_1} + |v|^{\sigma_2})^{l_{\bar{\Gamma}}} |F(x, u, v)|^{l_{\bar{\Gamma}} - l_{\bar{\Gamma}}}}, & \text{if } \frac{|F(x, u, v)|}{|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2}} < 1, \frac{|F(x, u, v)|}{|u|^{\sigma_1} + |v|^{\sigma_2}} \geq 1 \end{cases} \\
& \geq \begin{cases} \frac{\bar{\Gamma}(1)}{\bar{\Gamma}(1)} \Gamma \left(\frac{|F(x, u, v)|}{|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2}} \right) \frac{(|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2})^{m_{\Gamma}}}{(|u|^{\sigma_1} + |v|^{\sigma_2})^{m_{\bar{\Gamma}}} (|u|^{\sigma_1} + |v|^{\sigma_2})^{m_{\Gamma} - m_{\bar{\Gamma}}}}, & \text{if } \frac{|F(x, u, v)|}{|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2}} \geq 1, \frac{|F(x, u, v)|}{|u|^{\sigma_1} + |v|^{\sigma_2}} < 1, \\ \frac{\bar{\Gamma}(1)}{\bar{\Gamma}(1)} \Gamma \left(\frac{|F(x, u, v)|}{|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2}} \right) \frac{(|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2})^{m_{\Gamma}}}{(|u|^{\sigma_1} + |v|^{\sigma_2})^{l_{\bar{\Gamma}}} [d_2(|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2} + |u|^{m_{\Psi_1}} + |v|^{m_{\Psi_2}})]^{m_{\Gamma} - l_{\bar{\Gamma}}}}, & \text{if } \frac{|F(x, u, v)|}{|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2}} \geq 1, \frac{|F(x, u, v)|}{|u|^{\sigma_1} + |v|^{\sigma_2}} \geq 1, \\ \frac{\bar{\Gamma}(1)}{\bar{\Gamma}(1)} \Gamma \left(\frac{|F(x, u, v)|}{|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2}} \right) \frac{(|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2})^{l_{\bar{\Gamma}}}}{(|u|^{\sigma_1} + |v|^{\sigma_2})^{m_{\bar{\Gamma}}} (|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2})^{l_{\bar{\Gamma}} - m_{\bar{\Gamma}}}}, & \text{if } \frac{|F(x, u, v)|}{|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2}} < 1, \frac{|F(x, u, v)|}{|u|^{\sigma_1} + |v|^{\sigma_2}} < 1, \\ \frac{\bar{\Gamma}(1)}{\bar{\Gamma}(1)} \Gamma \left(\frac{|F(x, u, v)|}{|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2}} \right) \frac{(|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2})^{l_{\bar{\Gamma}}}}{(|u|^{\sigma_1} + |v|^{\sigma_2})^{l_{\bar{\Gamma}}} (|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2})^{l_{\bar{\Gamma}} - l_{\bar{\Gamma}}}}, & \text{if } \frac{|F(x, u, v)|}{|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2}} < 1, \frac{|F(x, u, v)|}{|u|^{\sigma_1} + |v|^{\sigma_2}} \geq 1 \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\geq d_4 \frac{\bar{\Gamma}(1)}{\Gamma(1)} \Gamma \left(\frac{|F(x, u, v)|}{|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2}} \right) \quad (3.12)$$

for $|(u, v)| \geq r_1$, where $d_4 > 0$. To be specific, for $|u|, |v| \geq r$, we have

$$\frac{(|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2})^{m_\Gamma}}{(|u|^{\sigma_1} + |v|^{\sigma_2})^{m_\Gamma} (|u|^{\sigma_1} + |v|^{\sigma_2})^{m_\Gamma - m_\Gamma}} \geq \min \left\{ r^{m_\Gamma(l_1 - \sigma_1)}, r^{m_\Gamma(l_2 - \sigma_2)} \right\}, \quad (3.13)$$

$$\frac{(|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2})^{l_\Gamma}}{(|u|^{\sigma_1} + |v|^{\sigma_2})^{m_\Gamma} (|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2})^{l_\Gamma - m_\Gamma}} \geq \min \left\{ r^{m_\Gamma(l_1 - \sigma_1)}, r^{m_\Gamma(l_2 - \sigma_2)} \right\}, \quad (3.14)$$

$$\frac{(|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2})^{l_\Gamma}}{(|u|^{\sigma_1} + |v|^{\sigma_2})^{l_\Gamma} (|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2})^{l_\Gamma - l_\Gamma}} \geq \min \left\{ r^{l_\Gamma(l_1 - \sigma_1)}, r^{l_\Gamma(l_2 - \sigma_2)} \right\}, \quad (3.15)$$

$$\frac{(|u|^{\sigma_1} + |v|^{\sigma_2})^{l_\Gamma} (|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2})^{m_\Gamma - l_\Gamma}}{(|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2})^{m_\Gamma}} \leq \frac{1}{\max \left\{ r^{l_\Gamma(l_1 - \sigma_1)}, r^{l_\Gamma(l_2 - \sigma_2)} \right\}}, \quad (3.16)$$

$$\frac{|u|^{\sigma_1 l_\Gamma + m_{\Psi_1}(m_\Gamma - l_\Gamma)} + |v|^{\sigma_2 l_\Gamma + m_{\Psi_2}(m_\Gamma - l_\Gamma)}}{(|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2})^{m_\Gamma}} \leq \frac{1}{\max \left\{ r^{l_1 m_\Gamma - \sigma_1 l_\Gamma - m_{\Psi_1}(m_\Gamma - l_\Gamma)}, r^{l_2 m_\Gamma - \sigma_2 l_\Gamma - m_{\Psi_2}(m_\Gamma - l_\Gamma)} \right\}}, \quad (3.17)$$

$$\frac{|u|^{\frac{\xi_2 m_{\Psi_1}(m_\Gamma - l_\Gamma)}{\xi_2 - 1}} + |v|^{\frac{\xi_1 m_{\Psi_2}(m_\Gamma - l_\Gamma)}{\xi_1 - 1}}}{(|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2})^{m_\Gamma}} \leq \frac{1}{\max \left\{ r^{l_1 m_\Gamma - \frac{\xi_2 m_{\Psi_1}(m_\Gamma - l_\Gamma)}{\xi_2 - 1}}, r^{l_2 m_\Gamma - \frac{\xi_1 m_{\Psi_2}(m_\Gamma - l_\Gamma)}{\xi_1 - 1}} \right\}} \quad (3.18)$$

and

$$\frac{|u|^{\sigma_1 l_\Gamma \xi_1} + |v|^{\sigma_2 l_\Gamma \xi_2}}{(|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2})^{m_\Gamma}} \leq \frac{1}{\max \left\{ r^{l_1 m_\Gamma - \sigma_1 l_\Gamma \xi_1}, r^{l_2 m_\Gamma - \sigma_2 l_\Gamma \xi_2} \right\}}. \quad (3.19)$$

For $|u| \geq r, 0 \leq |v| < r$ and $|v| \geq r, 0 \leq |u| < r$, the inequalities (3.13)-(3.19) also hold with different values on the right side of the inequalities. Hence, (3.12) holds for $|(u, v)| \geq r_1$ and then it is easy to see that (f_2) holds.

Next, we claim that (f_2) and the following assumption (A) imply that condition (F_4) holds if we take $m_\Gamma \geq l_\Gamma \geq \frac{m_i m_\Gamma}{m_i - l_i m_\Gamma}$.

(A) $\bar{F}(x, u, v) \geq C(|u|^{\varrho_1} + |v|^{\varrho_2})$ for all $|(u, v)| \geq r_1$, where $\varrho_i \in (0, m_i]$ with $m_i > l_i m_\Gamma$.

In fact, choosing $r = \sqrt{2}r_1$ with $r_1 > 1$, we can see that (f_2) also holds for $(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ with $|(u, v)| \geq r$ and $|u|^{\sigma_1} + |v|^{\sigma_2} \geq 1$. Next, there are four possible cases, that is, ① $\frac{|F(x, u, v)|}{|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2}} < 1, \frac{|F(x, u, v)|}{|u|^{\sigma_1} + |v|^{\sigma_2}} \geq 1, \varrho_i \geq \frac{l_i m_\Gamma m_\Gamma}{m_\Gamma - m_\Gamma}$; ② $\frac{|F(x, u, v)|}{|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2}} < 1, \frac{|F(x, u, v)|}{|u|^{\sigma_1} + |v|^{\sigma_2}} < 1, \varrho_i \geq \frac{l_i m_\Gamma l_\Gamma}{m_\Gamma - l_\Gamma}$; ③ $\frac{|F(x, u, v)|}{|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2}} \geq 1, \frac{|F(x, u, v)|}{|u|^{\sigma_1} + |v|^{\sigma_2}} \geq 1, \varrho_i \geq \frac{l_i l_\Gamma m_\Gamma}{l_\Gamma - m_\Gamma}$ and ④ $\frac{|F(x, u, v)|}{|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2}} \geq 1, \frac{|F(x, u, v)|}{|u|^{\sigma_1} + |v|^{\sigma_2}} < 1, \varrho_i \geq \frac{l_i l_\Gamma l_\Gamma}{l_\Gamma - l_\Gamma}$. Without loss of generality, we only focus on the proof of the first case.

① Since $\frac{|F(x, u, v)|}{|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2}} < 1, \frac{|F(x, u, v)|}{|u|^{\sigma_1} + |v|^{\sigma_2}} \geq 1$ and $\varrho_i \geq \frac{l_i m_\Gamma m_\Gamma}{m_\Gamma - m_\Gamma}$, by (f_2) , (A) and Lemma 2.3, we have

$$d_1 \bar{F}(x, u, v) = d_1 \left(\bar{F}(x, u, v) \right)^{\frac{m_\Gamma}{m_\Gamma}} \left(\bar{F}(x, u, v) \right)^{\frac{m_\Gamma - m_\Gamma}{m_\Gamma}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq d_1^{\frac{m_\Gamma - m_{\overline{\Gamma}}}{m_\Gamma}} \left(\Gamma \left(\frac{F(x, u, v)}{|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2}} \right) \right)^{\frac{m_{\overline{\Gamma}}}{m_\Gamma}} (\overline{F}(x, u, v))^{\frac{m_\Gamma - m_{\overline{\Gamma}}}{m_\Gamma}} \\
&\geq d_1^{\frac{m_\Gamma - m_{\overline{\Gamma}}}{m_\Gamma}} \Gamma(1) \left(\frac{|F(x, u, v)|}{|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2}} \right)^{m_{\overline{\Gamma}}} (|u|^{\varrho_1} + |v|^{\varrho_2})^{\frac{m_\Gamma - m_{\overline{\Gamma}}}{m_\Gamma}} \\
&= d_1^{\frac{m_\Gamma - m_{\overline{\Gamma}}}{m_\Gamma}} \Gamma(1) \left(\frac{|F(x, u, v)|}{|u|^{\sigma_1} + |v|^{\sigma_2}} \right)^{m_{\overline{\Gamma}}} (|u|^{\sigma_1} + |v|^{\sigma_2})^{m_{\overline{\Gamma}}} \frac{(|u|^{\varrho_1} + |v|^{\varrho_2})^{\frac{m_\Gamma - m_{\overline{\Gamma}}}{m_\Gamma}}}{(|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2})^{m_{\overline{\Gamma}}}} \\
&\geq d_1^{\frac{m_\Gamma - m_{\overline{\Gamma}}}{m_\Gamma}} \frac{\Gamma(1)}{\overline{\Gamma}(1)} \overline{\Gamma} \left(\frac{|F(x, u, v)|}{|u|^{\sigma_1} + |v|^{\sigma_2}} \right) \frac{(|u|^{\varrho_1} + |v|^{\varrho_2})^{\frac{m_\Gamma - m_{\overline{\Gamma}}}{m_\Gamma}}}{(|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2})^{m_{\overline{\Gamma}}}} \\
&\geq \overline{\Gamma} \left(\frac{|F(x, u, v)|}{|u|^{\sigma_1} + |v|^{\sigma_2}} \right) \frac{d_1^{\frac{m_\Gamma - m_{\overline{\Gamma}}}{m_\Gamma}} \Gamma(1)}{C_{m_{\overline{\Gamma}}} \overline{\Gamma}(1)} \left(\frac{|u|^{\frac{\varrho_1(m_\Gamma - m_{\overline{\Gamma}})}{m_\Gamma}} + |v|^{\frac{\varrho_2(m_\Gamma - m_{\overline{\Gamma}})}{m_\Gamma}}}{|u|^{l_1 m_{\overline{\Gamma}}} + |v|^{l_2 m_{\overline{\Gamma}}}} \right) \\
&\geq d_5 \overline{\Gamma} \left(\frac{|F(x, u, v)|}{|u|^{\sigma_1} + |v|^{\sigma_2}} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $d_5 > 0$ and $C_{m_{\overline{\Gamma}}} = 2^{m_{\overline{\Gamma}} - 1}$.

Hence, (F_4) and (f_2) are complementary. We will give an example which satisfies (F_4) but not satisfies (f_2) in Section 5.

Lemma 3.5. Suppose that (ϕ_1) – (ϕ_3) , (M_0) , (V_0) and (F_1) hold. Then there are constants $\rho, \alpha > 0$ such that $I|_{\partial B_\rho} \geq \alpha$.

Proof. By (ϕ_1) – (ϕ_3) and (V_0) , we have

$$\min \left\{ \|u\|_{\Phi_1, V_1}^{l_1}, \|u\|_{\Phi_1, V_1}^{m_1} \right\} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_1(x) \Phi_1(|u|) dx \leq \max \left\{ \|u\|_{\Phi_1, V_1}^{l_1}, \|u\|_{\Phi_1, V_1}^{m_1} \right\} \quad (3.20)$$

and

$$\min \left\{ \|v\|_{\Phi_2, V_2}^{l_2}, \|v\|_{\Phi_2, V_2}^{m_2} \right\} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_2(x) \Phi_2(|v|) dx \leq \max \left\{ \|v\|_{\Phi_2, V_2}^{l_2}, \|v\|_{\Phi_2, V_2}^{m_2} \right\} \quad (3.21)$$

for all $u \in W_1$ and $v \in W_2$ (the details is in Lemma 2.1 of [33]). Moreover, by (3.9) and (F_2) , there exist constants $C_6 \in (0, 1)$ and $C_7 > 0$ such that

$$|F(x, u, v)| \leq (1 - C_6)(\Phi_1(|u|) + \Phi_2(|v|)) + C_7(\Psi_1(|u|) + \Psi_2(|v|)), \quad \forall (x, u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}. \quad (3.22)$$

Choosing $\rho > 0$ such that $\rho = \|(u, v)\| = \|u\|_1 + \|v\|_2 < \min \left\{ \frac{1}{\max\{C_{1,6}, C_{2,6}\}}, 1 \right\}$. Then $\|u\|_{\Psi_1} \leq C_{1,6} \|u\|_1 < 1$ and $\|v\|_{\Psi_2} \leq C_{2,6} \|v\|_2 < 1$. By (3.20), (3.21), (3.22), (M_0) , Lemma 2.4 and Remark 3.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
I(u, v) &\geq C_{1,3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_1(|\nabla u|) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_1(x) \Phi_1(|u|) dx - (1 - C_6) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_1(x) \Phi_1(u) dx - C_7 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Psi_1(u) dx \\
&\quad + C_{2,3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_2(|\nabla v|) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_2(x) \Phi_2(|v|) dx - (1 - C_6) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_2(x) \Phi_2(v) dx - C_7 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Psi_2(v) dx \\
&\geq C_{1,3} \min \left\{ \|\nabla u\|_{\Phi_1}^{l_1}, \|\nabla u\|_{\Phi_1}^{m_1} \right\} + C_6 \min \left\{ \|u\|_{\Phi_1, V_1}^{l_1}, \|u\|_{\Phi_1, V_1}^{m_1} \right\} - C_7 \max \left\{ \|u\|_{\Psi_1}^{l_{\Psi_1}}, \|u\|_{\Psi_1}^{m_{\Psi_1}} \right\} \\
&\quad + C_{2,3} \min \left\{ \|\nabla v\|_{\Phi_2}^{l_2}, \|\nabla v\|_{\Phi_2}^{m_2} \right\} + C_6 \min \left\{ \|v\|_{\Phi_2, V_2}^{l_2}, \|v\|_{\Phi_2, V_2}^{m_2} \right\} - C_7 \max \left\{ \|v\|_{\Psi_2}^{l_{\Psi_2}}, \|v\|_{\Psi_2}^{m_{\Psi_2}} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq C_{1,3}\|\nabla u\|_{\Phi_1}^{m_1} + C_6\|u\|_{\Phi_1, V_1}^{m_1} - C_7C_{1,6}\|u\|_1^{l_{\Psi_1}} + C_{2,3}\|\nabla v\|_{\Phi_2}^{m_2} + C_6\|v\|_{\Phi_2, V_2}^{m_2} - C_7C_{2,6}\|v\|_2^{l_{\Psi_2}} \\
&\geq \|u\|_1^{m_1} \left(\frac{\min\{C_{1,3}, C_6\}}{2^{m_1-1}} - C_7C_{1,6}\|u\|_1^{l_{\Psi_1}-m_1} \right) + \|v\|_2^{m_2} \left(\frac{\min\{C_{2,3}, C_6\}}{2^{m_2-1}} - C_7C_{2,6}\|v\|_2^{l_{\Psi_2}-m_2} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $1 < m_i < l_{\Psi_i}$, we can choose positive constants ρ and α small enough such that $I(u, v) \geq \alpha$ for all $(u, v) \in W$ with $\|(u, v)\| = \rho$. \square

Lemma 3.6. Suppose that (ϕ_1) – (ϕ_3) , (M_0) , (V_0) and (F_3) hold. Then there is a point $(u, v) \in W \setminus B_\rho$ such that $I(u, v) \leq 0$.

Proof. By (F_3) and the continuity of F , there exist two constants $C_8 > 0$ and $C_9 > 0$ such that

$$F(x, u, v) \geq C_8(|u|^{m_1} + |v|^{m_2}) - C_9, \quad \forall (u, v) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \text{ and a.e. } x \in G. \quad (3.23)$$

Choose $u_0 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$ with $0 < u_0(x) \leq 1$ and $\text{supp}(u_0) \subset\subset G$. Obviously, $(tu_0, 0) \in W$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. By (M_0) , (2) in Lemma 2.3, (3.20), (3.21) and (3.23), when $t > 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I(tu_0, 0) &= \widehat{M}_1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_1(t|\nabla u_0|) dx \right) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_1(x) \Phi_1(t|u_0|) dx - \int_G F(x, tu_0, 0) dx \\
&\leq C_{1,4} t^{m_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_1(|\nabla u_0|) dx + t^{m_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_1(x) \Phi_1(|u_0|) dx - C_8 \int_G t^{m_1} |u_0|^{m_1} dx + C_9 |\text{supp} u_0| \\
&\leq t^{m_1} \left(C_{1,4} \|\nabla u_0\|_{\Phi_1}^{l_1} + C_{1,4} \|\nabla u_0\|_{\Phi_1}^{m_1} + \|u_0\|_{\Phi_1, V_1}^{l_1} + \|u_0\|_{\Phi_1, V_1}^{m_1} - C_8 \|u_0\|_{L^{m_1}(G)}^{m_1} \right) + C_9 |\text{supp} u_0|.
\end{aligned}$$

If we choose

$$C_8 > \frac{C_{1,4} \|\nabla u_0\|_{\Phi_1}^{l_1} + C_{1,4} \|\nabla u_0\|_{\Phi_1}^{m_1} + \|u_0\|_{\Phi_1, V_1}^{l_1} + \|u_0\|_{\Phi_1, V_1}^{m_1}}{\|u_0\|_{L^{m_1}(G)}^{m_1}},$$

then there exists t large enough such that $I(tu_0, 0) \leq 0$ and $\|(tu_0, 0)\| > \rho$. \square

Lemma 3.7. Suppose that (ϕ_1) – (ϕ_4) , (V_0) , (V_1) , (M_1) , (F_1) , (F_3) and (F_4) hold. Then (C) -sequence in W is bounded.

Proof. Let $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$ be a (C) -sequence of I in W . Then, for n large enough, by (ϕ_3) , (M_1) and (2.9), there exists a $c > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
c + 1 &\geq I(u_n, v_n) - \left\langle I'(u_n, v_n), \left(\frac{1}{m_1} u_n, \frac{1}{m_2} v_n \right) \right\rangle \\
&= \widehat{M}_1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_1(|\nabla u_n|) dx \right) - \frac{1}{m_1} M_1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_1(|\nabla u_n|) dx \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi_1(|\nabla u_n|) |\nabla u_n|^2 dx \\
&\quad + \widehat{M}_2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_2(|\nabla v_n|) dx \right) - \frac{1}{m_2} M_2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_2(|\nabla v_n|) dx \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi_2(|\nabla v_n|) |\nabla v_n|^2 dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(V_1(x) \Phi_1(|u_n|) - \frac{1}{m_1} V_1(x) \phi_1(|u_n|) |u_n|^2 \right) dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(V_2(x) \Phi_2(|v_n|) - \frac{1}{m_2} V_2(x) \phi_2(|v_n|) |v_n|^2 \right) dx
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{1}{m_1} F_u(x, u_n, v_n) u_n + \frac{1}{m_2} F_v(x, u_n, v_n) v_n - F(x, u_n, v_n) \right) dx \\
& \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{F}(x, u_n, v_n) dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

Arguing by contradiction, we assume that there exists a subsequence of $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$, still denoted by $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$, such that $\|(u_n, v_n)\| = \|u_n\|_1 + \|v_n\|_2 \rightarrow +\infty$. Then we discuss this problem in two situations.

Case 1. Suppose that $\|u_n\|_1 \rightarrow +\infty$ and $\|v_n\|_2 \rightarrow +\infty$. Let $\bar{u}_n = \frac{u_n}{\|u_n\|_1}$ and $\bar{v}_n = \frac{v_n}{\|v_n\|_2}$. Then $\{(\bar{u}_n, \bar{v}_n)\}$ is bounded in W . Passing to a subsequence $\{(\bar{u}_n, \bar{v}_n)\}$, by Remark 3.2, there exists a point $(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in W$ such that

- ★ $\bar{u}_n \rightharpoonup \bar{u}$ in W_1 , $\bar{u}_n \rightarrow \bar{u}$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, in $L^{\sigma_1 \tilde{m}_1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and in $L^{\sigma_1 \bar{m}_1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $\bar{u}_n(x) \rightarrow \bar{u}(x)$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N ;
- ★ $\bar{v}_n \rightharpoonup \bar{v}$ in W_2 , $\bar{v}_n \rightarrow \bar{v}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, in $L^{\sigma_2 \tilde{m}_2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and in $L^{\sigma_2 \bar{m}_2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $\bar{v}_n(x) \rightarrow \bar{v}(x)$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N .

To get the contradiction, we will first assume that both $[\bar{u} \neq 0] := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : \bar{u}(x) \neq 0\}$ and $[\bar{v} \neq 0] := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : \bar{v}(x) \neq 0\}$ have zero Lebesgue measure, that is, $\bar{u} = 0$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N and $\bar{v} = 0$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N . By Lemma 2.4 and the inequality (66) in [38], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\min\{C_{1,3}, 1\}}{2^{m_1-1}} \min \left\{ \|u_n\|_1^{l_1}, \|u_n\|_1^{m_1} \right\} + \frac{\min\{C_{2,3}, 1\}}{2^{m_2-1}} \min \left\{ \|v_n\|_2^{l_2}, \|v_n\|_2^{m_2} \right\} \\
& - \min\{C_{1,3}, 1\} - \min\{C_{2,3}, 1\} \\
& \leq C_{1,3} \min \left\{ \|\nabla u_n\|_{\Phi_1}^{l_1}, \|\nabla u_n\|_{\Phi_1}^{m_1} \right\} + C_{2,3} \min \left\{ \|\nabla v_n\|_{\Phi_2}^{l_2}, \|\nabla v_n\|_{\Phi_2}^{m_2} \right\} \\
& + \min \left\{ \|u_n\|_{\Phi_1, V_1}^{l_1}, \|u_n\|_{\Phi_1, V_1}^{m_1} \right\} + \min \left\{ \|v_n\|_{\Phi_2, V_2}^{l_2}, \|v_n\|_{\Phi_2, V_2}^{m_2} \right\} \\
& \leq C_{1,3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_1(|\nabla u_n|) dx + C_{2,3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_2(|\nabla v_n|) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_1(x) \Phi_1(|u_n|) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_2(x) \Phi_2(|v_n|) dx \\
& \leq \widehat{M}_1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_1(|\nabla u_n|) dx \right) + \widehat{M}_2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_2(|\nabla v_n|) dx \right) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_1(x) \Phi_1(|u_n|) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_2(x) \Phi_2(|v_n|) dx \\
& = I(u_n, v_n) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, u_n, v_n) dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

When n large enough, we have

$$\|u_n\|_1^{l_1} + \|v_n\|_2^{l_2} \leq D_1 I(u_n, v_n) + D_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, u_n, v_n) dx + D_2, \tag{3.26}$$

where $D_1 = \frac{1}{\min\left\{\frac{\min\{C_{1,3}, 1\}}{2^{m_1-1}}, \frac{\min\{C_{2,3}, 1\}}{2^{m_2-1}}\right\}}$ and $D_2 = \frac{\min\{C_{1,3}, 1\} + \min\{C_{2,3}, 1\}}{\min\left\{\frac{\min\{C_{1,3}, 1\}}{2^{m_1-1}}, \frac{\min\{C_{2,3}, 1\}}{2^{m_2-1}}\right\}}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
1 & \leq \frac{D_1 I(u_n, v_n) + D_2}{\|u_n\|_1^{l_1} + \|v_n\|_2^{l_2}} + \left(\int_{|(u_n, v_n)| \leq R} + \int_{|(u_n, v_n)| > R} \right) \frac{D_1 F(x, u_n, v_n)}{\|u_n\|_1^{l_1} + \|v_n\|_2^{l_2}} dx \\
& = o_n(1) + \int_{|(u_n, v_n)| \leq R} \frac{D_1 F(x, u_n, v_n)}{\|u_n\|_1^{l_1} + \|v_n\|_2^{l_2}} dx + \int_{|(u_n, v_n)| > R} \frac{D_1 F(x, u_n, v_n)}{\|u_n\|_1^{l_1} + \|v_n\|_2^{l_2}} dx,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

where R is a positive constant with $R > r$. By (F_2) , there exists a constant $0 < \delta < 1$ such that

$$\frac{|F(x, u, v)|}{\Phi_1(|u|) + \Phi_2(|v|)} < C_3 + 1, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad 0 < |(u, v)| \leq \delta. \tag{3.28}$$

By (ϕ_4) and (3.28), we have

$$\frac{|F(x, u, v)|}{|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2}} = \frac{|F(x, u, v)|}{\Phi_1(|u|) + \Phi_2(|v|)} \cdot \frac{\Phi_1(|u|) + \Phi_2(|v|)}{|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2}} < (C_3 + 1) \max\{c_{12}, c_{22}\}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad 0 < |(u, v)| \leq \delta. \quad (3.29)$$

By the fact that F and Φ_i are continuous, there exist two constants $\bar{C}_{10} > 0$ and $R > 0$ such that

$$\frac{|F(x, u, v)|}{|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2}} \leq \frac{\max_{\delta \leq |(u, v)| \leq R} |F(x, u, v)|}{\min_{\delta \leq |(u, v)| \leq R} |u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2}} =: \bar{C}_{10}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad \delta \leq |(u, v)| \leq R, \quad (3.30)$$

combining with (3.29), which implies that there exists a positive constant C_{10} such that

$$\frac{|F(x, u, v)|}{|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2}} \leq C_{10}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad 0 < |(u, v)| \leq R. \quad (3.31)$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{B}_{n,R} &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid |(u_n(x), v_n(x))| \leq R\}, \\ \Omega_{1n} &= \{x \in \bar{B}_{n,R} \mid u_n(x) = 0 \text{ and } v_n(x) = 0\}, \\ \Omega_{2n} &= \{x \in \bar{B}_{n,R} \mid u_n(x) \neq 0 \text{ and } v_n(x) = 0\}, \\ \Omega_{3n} &= \{x \in \bar{B}_{n,R} \mid u_n(x) = 0 \text{ and } v_n(x) \neq 0\}, \\ \Omega_{4n} &= \{x \in \bar{B}_{n,R} \mid u_n(x) \neq 0 \text{ and } v_n(x) \neq 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then by (F_0) ,

$$\int_{\Omega_{1n}} \frac{F(x, u_n, v_n)}{\|u_n\|_1^{l_1} + \|v_n\|_2^{l_2}} dx = 0. \quad (3.32)$$

Note that $v_n(x) = 0$ on Ω_{2n} . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_{2n}} \frac{F(x, u_n, v_n)}{\|u_n\|_1^{l_1} + \|v_n\|_2^{l_2}} dx &\leq \int_{\Omega_{2n}} \frac{F(x, u_n, 0)}{\|u_n\|_1^{l_1}} dx = \int_{\Omega_{2n}} \frac{F(x, u_n, 0)}{|u_n|^{l_1}} \cdot |\bar{u}_n|^{l_1} dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega_{2n}} \frac{F(x, u_n, v_n(x))}{|u_n|^{l_1} + |v_n|^{l_1}} \cdot |\bar{u}_n|^{l_1} dx \\ &\leq C_{10} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\bar{u}_n|^{l_1} dx \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (3.33)$$

Similarly, we also have

$$\int_{\Omega_{3n}} \frac{F(x, u_n, v_n)}{\|u_n\|_1^{l_1} + \|v_n\|_2^{l_2}} dx \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.34)$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_{4n}} \frac{F(x, u_n, v_n)}{\|u_n\|_1^{l_1} + \|v_n\|_2^{l_2}} dx \\ = \int_{\Omega_{4n}} \frac{F(x, u_n, v_n)}{\frac{|u_n|^{l_1}}{|\bar{u}_n|^{l_1}} + \frac{|v_n|^{l_2}}{|\bar{v}_n|^{l_2}}} dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \int_{\Omega_{4n}} \frac{F(x, u_n, v_n)}{(|u_n|^{l_1} + |v_n|^{l_2}) \min \left\{ \frac{1}{|\bar{u}_n|^{l_1}}, \frac{1}{|\bar{v}_n|^{l_2}} \right\}} dx \\
&\leq \int_{\Omega_{4n}} \frac{F(x, u_n, v_n) \max \{ |\bar{u}_n|^{l_1}, |\bar{v}_n|^{l_2} \}}{|u_n|^{l_1} + |v_n|^{l_2}} dx \\
&\leq \int_{\Omega_{4n}} \frac{F(x, u_n, v_n)}{|u_n|^{l_1} + |v_n|^{l_2}} (|\bar{u}_n|^{l_1} + |\bar{v}_n|^{l_2}) dx \\
&\leq C_{10} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\bar{u}_n|^{l_1} + |\bar{v}_n|^{l_2}) dx \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.35}$$

Then by (3.32)-(3.35), we have

$$\int_{\bar{B}_{n,R}} \frac{F(x, u_n, v_n)}{\|u_n\|_1^{l_1} + \|v_n\|_2^{l_2}} dx = \sum_{i=1}^4 \int_{\Omega_{in}} \frac{F(x, u_n, v_n)}{\|u_n\|_1^{l_1} + \|v_n\|_2^{l_2}} dx = o(1). \tag{3.36}$$

Next, we set

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega'_{2n} &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N / \bar{B}_{n,R} | u_n(x) \neq 0 \text{ and } v_n(x) = 0\}, \\
\Omega'_{3n} &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N / \bar{B}_{n,R} | u_n(x) = 0 \text{ and } v_n(x) \neq 0\}, \\
\Omega'_{4n} &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N / \bar{B}_{n,R} | u_n(x) \neq 0 \text{ and } v_n(x) \neq 0\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then for large n , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Omega'_{4n}} \frac{F(x, u_n, v_n)}{\|u_n\|_1^{l_1} + \|v_n\|_2^{l_2}} dx \\
&\leq \int_{\Omega'_{4n}} \frac{|F(x, u_n, v_n)|}{\|u_n\|_1^{\sigma_1} + \|v_n\|_2^{\sigma_2}} dx \\
&\leq \int_{\Omega'_{4n}} \frac{|F(x, u_n, v_n)|}{|u_n|^{\sigma_1} + |v_n|^{\sigma_2}} (|\bar{u}_n|^{\sigma_1} + |\bar{v}_n|^{\sigma_2}) dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.37}$$

If $\frac{|F(x, u_n, v_n)|}{|u_n|^{\sigma_1} + |v_n|^{\sigma_2}} \geq 1$, then by Hölder's inequality, (3.24) and Remark 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Omega'_{4n}} \frac{|F(x, u_n, v_n)|}{|u_n|^{\sigma_1} + |v_n|^{\sigma_2}} (|\bar{u}_n|^{\sigma_1} + |\bar{v}_n|^{\sigma_2}) dx \\
&\leq \left(\int_{\Omega'_{4n}} \left(\frac{|F(x, u_n, v_n)|}{|u_n|^{\sigma_1} + |v_n|^{\sigma_2}} \right)^{\tilde{\Gamma}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\Gamma}}} \left(\int_{\Omega'_{4n}} (|\bar{u}_n|^{\sigma_1} + |\bar{v}_n|^{\sigma_2})^{\tilde{\Gamma}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\Gamma}}} \\
&\leq 2^{\frac{\tilde{\Gamma}-1}{\tilde{\Gamma}}} \left(\frac{1}{\bar{\Gamma}(1)} \int_{\Omega'_{4n}} \bar{\Gamma} \left(\frac{|F(x, u_n, v_n)|}{|u_n|^{\sigma_1} + |v_n|^{\sigma_2}} \right) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\Gamma}}} \left(\|\bar{u}_n\|_{L^{\sigma_1 \tilde{\Gamma}}}^{\sigma_1} + \|\bar{v}_n\|_{L^{\sigma_2 \tilde{\Gamma}}}^{\sigma_2} \right) \\
&\leq 2^{\frac{\tilde{\Gamma}-1}{\tilde{\Gamma}}} \left(\frac{C_4}{\bar{\Gamma}(1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{F}(x, u_n, v_n) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\Gamma}}} \left(\|\bar{u}_n\|_{L^{\sigma_1 \tilde{\Gamma}}}^{\sigma_1} + \|\bar{v}_n\|_{L^{\sigma_2 \tilde{\Gamma}}}^{\sigma_2} \right) \\
&\leq 2^{\frac{\tilde{\Gamma}-1}{\tilde{\Gamma}}} \left(\frac{C_4(c+1)}{\bar{\Gamma}(1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\Gamma}}} \left(\|\bar{u}_n\|_{L^{\sigma_1 \tilde{\Gamma}}}^{\sigma_1} + \|\bar{v}_n\|_{L^{\sigma_2 \tilde{\Gamma}}}^{\sigma_2} \right) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.38}$$

Similarly, if $\frac{|F(x, u_n, v_n)|}{|u_n|^{\sigma_1} + |v_n|^{\sigma_2}} < 1$, then, by Hölder's inequality, (3.24) and Remark 3.2, we get that

$$\int_{\Omega'_{4n}} \frac{|F(x, u_n, v_n)|}{|u_n|^{\sigma_1} + |v_n|^{\sigma_2}} (|\bar{u}_n|^{\sigma_1} + |\bar{v}_n|^{\sigma_2}) dx$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq 2^{\frac{\tilde{m}_{\overline{\Gamma}}-1}{\tilde{m}_{\overline{\Gamma}}}} \left(\frac{C_4}{\overline{\Gamma}(1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \overline{F}(x, u_n, v_n) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{m}_{\overline{\Gamma}}}} \left(\|\bar{u}_n\|_{L^{\sigma_1 \tilde{m}_{\overline{\Gamma}}}}^{\sigma_1} + \|\bar{v}_n\|_{L^{\sigma_2 \tilde{m}_{\overline{\Gamma}}}}^{\sigma_2} \right) \\
&\leq 2^{\frac{\tilde{m}_{\overline{\Gamma}}-1}{\tilde{m}_{\overline{\Gamma}}}} \left(\frac{C_4(c+1)}{\overline{\Gamma}(1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{m}_{\overline{\Gamma}}}} \left(\|\bar{u}_n\|_{L^{\sigma_1 \tilde{m}_{\overline{\Gamma}}}}^{\sigma_1} + \|\bar{v}_n\|_{L^{\sigma_2 \tilde{m}_{\overline{\Gamma}}}}^{\sigma_2} \right) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.39}$$

Hence, (3.37)-(3.39) imply that

$$\int_{\Omega'_{4n}} \frac{F(x, u_n, v_n)}{\|u_n\|_1^{l_1} + \|v_n\|_2^{l_2}} dx \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3.40}$$

Note that $v_n(x) = 0$ on Ω'_{2n} . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Omega'_{2n}} \frac{F(x, u_n, v_n)}{\|u_n\|_1^{l_1} + \|v_n\|_2^{l_2}} dx \\
&\leq \int_{\Omega'_{2n}} \frac{|F(x, u_n, 0)|}{\|u_n\|_1^{\sigma_1} + \|v_n\|_2^{\sigma_2}} dx \\
&\leq \int_{\Omega'_{2n}} \frac{|F(x, u_n, 0)|}{\|u_n\|_1^{\sigma_1}} dx \\
&= \int_{\Omega'_{2n}} \frac{|F(x, u_n, v_n)|}{|u_n|^{\sigma_1} + |v_n|^{\sigma_2}} \cdot |\bar{u}_n|^{\sigma_1} dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.41}$$

Similar to the arguments of (3.38) and (3.39), we can also get

$$\int_{\Omega'_{2n}} \frac{|F(x, u_n, v_n)|}{|u_n|^{\sigma_1} + |v_n|^{\sigma_2}} \cdot |\bar{u}_n|^{\sigma_1} dx \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

which shows that

$$\int_{\Omega'_{2n}} \frac{F(x, u_n, v_n)}{\|u_n\|_1^{l_1} + \|v_n\|_2^{l_2}} dx \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3.42}$$

Similarly, we also get

$$\int_{\Omega'_{3n}} \frac{F(x, u_n, v_n)}{\|u_n\|_1^{l_1} + \|v_n\|_2^{l_2}} dx \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3.43}$$

Hence, (3.40), (3.42) and (3.43) imply that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N / \bar{B}_{n,R}} \frac{F(x, u_n, v_n)}{\|u_n\|_1^{l_1} + \|v_n\|_2^{l_2}} dx = o(1). \tag{3.44}$$

By combining (3.36), (3.44) with (3.27), we get a contradiction.

Next, we assume that $[\bar{u} \neq 0]$ or $[\bar{v} \neq 0]$ has nonzero Lebesgue measure. It is clear that

$$|u_n| = |\bar{u}_n| \|u_n\|_1 \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{for all } [\bar{u} \neq 0]$$

or

$$|v_n| = |\bar{v}_n| \|v_n\|_2 \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{for all } [\bar{v} \neq 0].$$

Moreover, by (2) in Lemma 2.3, $F(x, u, v) \geq 0$ and the assumption (F_3) show that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{|(u,v)| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{F(x, u, v)}{|u|^{\sigma_1} + |v|^{\sigma_2}} \\
&= \begin{cases} \lim_{|(u,v)| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{F(x, u, v)}{|u|^{\sigma_1} + |v|^{\sigma_2}}, & \text{if } |u| \geq 1, |v| \geq 1, |(u, v)| \rightarrow +\infty, \\ \lim_{|(u,v)| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{F(x, u, v)}{|u|^{\sigma_1} + |v|^{\sigma_2}} \geq \lim_{|(u,v)| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{F(x, u, v)}{1 + |v|^{\sigma_2}}, & \text{if } 0 \leq |u| < 1, |v| \rightarrow +\infty, \\ \lim_{|(u,v)| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{F(x, u, v)}{|u|^{\sigma_1} + |v|^{\sigma_2}} \geq \lim_{|(u,v)| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{F(x, u, v)}{1 + |u|^{\sigma_1}}, & \text{if } 0 \leq |v| < 1, |u| \rightarrow +\infty \end{cases} \\
&\geq \begin{cases} \lim_{|(u,v)| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{F(x, u, v)}{|u|^{m_1} + |v|^{m_2}}, & \text{if } |u| \geq 1, |v| \geq 1, \\ \lim_{|(u,v)| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{F(x, u, v)}{|v|^{m_2} + |v|^{m_2}} \geq \frac{1}{2} \lim_{|(u,v)| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{F(x, u, v)}{|u|^{m_1} + |v|^{m_2}}, & \text{if } 0 \leq |u| < 1, |v| > 1, \\ \lim_{|(u,v)| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{F(x, u, v)}{|u|^{m_1} + |u|^{m_1}} \geq \frac{1}{2} \lim_{|(u,v)| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{F(x, u, v)}{|u|^{m_1} + |v|^{m_2}}, & \text{if } 0 \leq |v| < 1, |u| > 1 \end{cases} \\
&= +\infty \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in G. \tag{3.45}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, combining with (F_4) , it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{|(u,v)| \rightarrow +\infty} \bar{F}(x, u, v) &\geq \frac{1}{C_4} \lim_{|(u,v)| \rightarrow +\infty} \bar{\Gamma} \left(\frac{F(x, u, v)}{|u|^{\sigma_1} + |v|^{\sigma_2}} \right) \\
&\geq \frac{1}{C_4} \lim_{|(u,v)| \rightarrow +\infty} \bar{\Gamma}(1) \max \left\{ \left(\frac{F(x, u, v)}{|u|^{\sigma_1} + |v|^{\sigma_2}} \right)^{l_{\bar{\Gamma}}}, \left(\frac{F(x, u, v)}{|u|^{\sigma_1} + |v|^{\sigma_2}} \right)^{m_{\bar{\Gamma}}} \right\} \\
&\geq \frac{1}{C_4} \bar{\Gamma}(1) \max \left\{ \left(\lim_{|(u,v)| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{F(x, u, v)}{|u|^{\sigma_1} + |v|^{\sigma_2}} \right)^{l_{\bar{\Gamma}}}, \left(\lim_{|(u,v)| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{F(x, u, v)}{|u|^{\sigma_1} + |v|^{\sigma_2}} \right)^{m_{\bar{\Gamma}}} \right\} \\
&= +\infty \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in G. \tag{3.46}
\end{aligned}$$

Then, by (3.24), Fatou Lemma and the above formula, we have

$$c + 1 \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{F}(x, u_n, v_n) dx \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_G \bar{F}(x, u_n, v_n) dx \geq \int_G \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \bar{F}(x, u_n, v_n) dx = +\infty,$$

which is a contradiction. So, both $\|u_n\|_1 \rightarrow +\infty$ and $\|v_n\|_2 \rightarrow +\infty$ do not hold.

Case 2. Suppose that $\|u_n\|_1 \leq D_3$ or $\|v_n\|_2 \leq D_3$ for some $D_3 > 0$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\|u_n\|_1 \rightarrow +\infty$ and $\|v_n\|_2 \leq D_3$ for some $D_3 > 0$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\bar{u}_n = \frac{u_n}{\|u_n\|_1}$ and $\bar{v}_n = \frac{v_n}{\|u_n\|_1}$.

Then $\|\bar{u}_n\|_1 = 1$ and $\|\bar{v}_n\|_2 \rightarrow 0$. Passing to a subsequences $\{(\bar{u}_n, \bar{v}_n)\}$, by Remark 3.2, there exist $\bar{u} \in W_1$ and $v \in W_2$ such that

- ★ $\bar{u}_n \rightharpoonup \bar{u}$ in W_1 , $\bar{u}_n \rightarrow \bar{u}$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, in $L^{\sigma_1 l_{\bar{\Gamma}}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and in $L^{\sigma_1 m_{\bar{\Gamma}}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $\bar{u}_n(x) \rightarrow \bar{u}(x)$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N ;
- ★ $\bar{v}_n \rightarrow 0$ in W_2 , $\bar{v}_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, in $L^{\sigma_2 l_{\bar{\Gamma}}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and in $L^{\sigma_2 m_{\bar{\Gamma}}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $\bar{v}_n(x) \rightarrow 0$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N ;
- ★ $v_n \rightharpoonup v$ in W_2 , $v_n \rightarrow v$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, in $L^{\sigma_2 l_{\bar{\Gamma}}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and in $L^{\sigma_2 m_{\bar{\Gamma}}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $v_n(x) \rightarrow v(x)$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N .

Firstly, we assume that $[\bar{u} \neq 0]$ has nonzero Lebesgue measure. We can see that

$$|u_n| = |\bar{u}_n| \|u_n\|_1 \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{for all } [\bar{u} \neq 0].$$

Then, being analogue to Case 1, we get a contradiction by

$$c + 1 \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{F}(x, u_n, v_n) dx \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Next, we suppose that $[\bar{u} \neq 0]$ has zero Lebesgue measure, that is, $\bar{u} = 0$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N . By (3.24), we can see that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{F}(x, u_n, v_n) dx \leq c + 1. \quad (3.47)$$

Then when n large enough, we can choose two positive constants D_4, D_5 such that (3.25) is changed into

$$\|u_n\|_1^{l_1} \leq D_4 I(u_n, v_n) + D_4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, u_n, v_n) dx + D_5. \quad (3.48)$$

Similar to the arguments of (3.32)-(3.34), for any given

$$D_6 > \max \left\{ 5C_{10}C_{2,5}^{l_2}D_3^{l_2}, 5 \left(\frac{C_4(c+1)}{\bar{\Gamma}(1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\bar{\Gamma}}} C_{2,5}^{\sigma_2} D_3^{\sigma_2}, 5 \left(\frac{C_4(c+1)}{\bar{\Gamma}(1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{m_{\bar{\Gamma}}}} C_{2,5}^{\sigma_2} D_3^{\sigma_2} \right\},$$

we obtain that

$$\int_{\Omega_{1n}} \frac{F(x, u_n, v_n)}{\|u_n\|_1^{l_1} + D_6} dx \leq \int_{\Omega_{1n}} \frac{F(x, u_n, v_n)}{\|u_n\|_1^{l_1}} dx = 0, \quad (3.49)$$

$$\int_{\Omega_{2n}} \frac{F(x, u_n, v_n)}{\|u_n\|_1^{l_1} + D_6} dx \leq \int_{\Omega_{2n}} \frac{F(x, u_n, v_n)}{\|u_n\|_1^{l_1}} dx \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (3.50)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_{3n}} \frac{F(x, u_n, v_n)}{\|u_n\|_1^{l_1} + D_6} dx &\leq \int_{\Omega_{3n}} \frac{F(x, u_n, v_n)}{|v_n|^{l_2}} \cdot \frac{|v_n|^{l_2}}{D_6} dx = \int_{\Omega_{3n}} \frac{F(x, u_n, v_n)}{|u_n|^{l_1} + |v_n|^{l_2}} \cdot \frac{|v_n|^{l_2}}{D_6} dx \\ &\leq \frac{C_{10}}{D_6} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n|^{l_2} dx \leq \frac{C_{10}C_{2,5}^{l_2}D_3^{l_2}}{D_6} < \frac{1}{5}, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (3.51)$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega_{4n}} \frac{F(x, u_n, v_n)}{\|u_n\|_1^{l_1} + D_6} dx \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega_{4n}} \frac{F(x, u_n, v_n)}{\frac{|u_n|^{l_1}}{|\bar{u}_n|^{l_1}} + D_6 \frac{|v_n|^{l_2}}{|v_n|^{l_2}}} dx \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega_{4n}} \frac{F(x, u_n, v_n)}{(|u_n|^{l_1} + |v_n|^{l_2}) \min \left\{ \frac{1}{|\bar{u}_n|^{l_1}}, \frac{D_6}{|v_n|^{l_2}} \right\}} dx \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega_{4n}} \frac{F(x, u_n, v_n) \max \left\{ |\bar{u}_n|^{l_1}, \frac{|v_n|^{l_2}}{D_6} \right\}}{|u_n|^{l_1} + |v_n|^{l_2}} dx \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega_{4n}} \frac{F(x, u_n, v_n)}{|u_n|^{l_1} + |v_n|^{l_2}} (|\bar{u}_n|^{l_1} + \frac{|v_n|^{l_2}}{D_6}) dx \\ &\leq C_{10} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\bar{u}_n|^{l_1} dx + \frac{C_{10}}{D_6} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n|^{l_2} dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C_{10} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\bar{u}_n|^{l_1} dx + \frac{C_{10} C_{2,5}^{l_2} D_6^{l_2}}{D_6} \\
&\leq o(1) + \frac{1}{5}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.52}$$

Hence, (3.49)-(3.52) imply that

$$\int_{\bar{B}_{n,R}} \frac{F(x, u_n, v_n)}{\|u_n\|_1^{l_1} + D_6} dx = \sum_{i=1}^4 \int_{\Omega_{i_n}} \frac{F(x, u_n, v_n)}{\|u_n\|_1^{l_1} + D_6} dx = o(1) + \frac{2}{5}. \tag{3.53}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Omega'_{4n}} \frac{F(x, u_n, v_n)}{\|u_n\|_1^{l_1} + D_6} dx \\
&\leq \int_{\Omega'_{4n}} \frac{F(x, u_n, v_n)}{\|u_n\|_1^{\sigma_1} + D_6} dx \\
&= \int_{\Omega'_{4n}} \frac{F(x, u_n, v_n)}{\frac{|u_n|^{\sigma_1}}{|\bar{u}_n|^{\sigma_1}} + \frac{D_6 |v_n|^{\sigma_2}}{|v_n|^{\sigma_2}}} dx \\
&\leq \int_{\Omega'_{4n}} \frac{F(x, u_n, v_n)}{(|u_n|^{\sigma_1} + |v_n|^{\sigma_2}) \min \left\{ \frac{1}{|\bar{u}_n|^{\sigma_1}}, \frac{D_6}{|v_n|^{\sigma_2}} \right\}} dx \\
&= \int_{\Omega'_{4n}} \frac{F(x, u_n, v_n)}{(|u_n|^{\sigma_1} + |v_n|^{\sigma_2}) \max \left\{ |\bar{u}_n|^{\sigma_1}, \frac{|v_n|^{\sigma_2}}{D_6} \right\}} dx \\
&\leq \int_{\Omega'_{4n}} \frac{F(x, u_n, v_n)}{|u_n|^{\sigma_1} + |v_n|^{\sigma_2}} \left(|\bar{u}_n|^{\sigma_1} + \frac{|v_n|^{\sigma_2}}{D_6} \right) dx \\
&= \int_{\Omega'_{4n}} \frac{F(x, u_n, v_n)}{|u_n|^{\sigma_1} + |v_n|^{\sigma_2}} |\bar{u}_n|^{\sigma_1} dx + \frac{1}{D_6} \int_{\Omega'_{4n}} \frac{F(x, u_n, v_n)}{|u_n|^{\sigma_1} + |v_n|^{\sigma_2}} |v_n|^{\sigma_2} dx \\
&\leq \max \left\{ \left(\frac{C_4(c+1)}{\bar{\Gamma}(1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\bar{\Gamma}}} \|\bar{u}_n\|_{L^{\sigma_1 \tilde{\Gamma}}}^{\sigma_1}, \left(\frac{C_4(c+1)}{\bar{\Gamma}(1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{m_{\bar{\Gamma}}}} \|\bar{u}_n\|_{L^{\sigma_1 \tilde{m}_{\bar{\Gamma}}}}^{\sigma_1} \right\} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{D_6} \max \left\{ \left(\frac{C_4(c+1)}{\bar{\Gamma}(1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\bar{\Gamma}}} \|v_n\|_{L^{\sigma_2 \tilde{\Gamma}}}^{\sigma_2}, \left(\frac{C_4(c+1)}{\bar{\Gamma}(1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{m_{\bar{\Gamma}}}} \|v_n\|_{L^{\sigma_2 \tilde{m}_{\bar{\Gamma}}}}^{\sigma_2} \right\} \\
&\leq o(1) + \frac{1}{5}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.54}$$

Note that $v_n(x) = 0$ on Ω'_{2n} . Similar to the arguments of (3.38) and (3.39), we can also get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Omega'_{2n}} \frac{F(x, u_n, v_n)}{\|u_n\|_1^{l_1} + D_6} dx \\
&\leq \int_{\Omega'_{2n}} \frac{|F(x, u_n, 0)|}{\|u_n\|_1^{\sigma_1}} dx \\
&\leq \int_{\Omega'_{2n}} \frac{|F(x, u_n, v_n)|}{|u_n|^{\sigma_1} + |v_n|^{\sigma_2}} \cdot |\bar{u}_n|^{\sigma_1} dx \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.55}$$

Note that $\bar{v}_n(x) = \frac{v_n(x)}{\|u_n\|_1}$ and $u_n(x) = 0$ on Ω'_{3n} . Similar to the argument of (3.54), we have

$$\int_{\Omega'_{3n}} \frac{F(x, u_n, v_n)}{\|u_n\|_1^{l_1} + D_6} dx \leq \int_{\Omega'_{3n}} \frac{|F(x, 0, v_n)|}{D_6 \frac{|v_n(x)|^{\sigma_2}}{|v_n(x)|^{\sigma_2}}} dx = \frac{1}{D_6} \int_{\Omega'_{3n}} \frac{|F(x, u_n, v_n)|}{|u_n|^{\sigma_1} + |v_n|^{\sigma_2}} \cdot |v_n|^{\sigma_2} dx \leq \frac{1}{5}. \tag{3.56}$$

Hence, (3.54), (3.55) and (3.56) imply that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N/\bar{B}_{n,R}} \frac{F(x, u_n, v_n)}{\|u_n\|_1^{l_1} + D_6} dx \leq o(1) + \frac{2}{5}. \quad (3.57)$$

Then, by (3.48), (3.53) and (3.57), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\leq \frac{D_4 I(u_n, v_n) + D_5 + D_6}{\|u_n\|_1^{l_1} + D_6} + D_4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{F(x, u_n, v_n)}{\|u_n\|_1^{l_1} + D_6} dx \\ &= o(1) + \int_{\bar{B}_{n,R}} \frac{F(x, u_n, v_n)}{\|u_n\|_1^{l_1} + D_6} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N/\bar{B}_{n,R}} \frac{F(x, u_n, v_n)}{\|u_n\|_1^{l_1} + D_6} dx \\ &\leq o(1) + \frac{4}{5}, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Based on these advantages, we could get the conclusion of boundedness for sequence $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$. \square

Lemma 3.8. Suppose that (ϕ_1) – (ϕ_4) , (V_0) , (V_1) , (M_1) , (F_1) , (F_3) and (F_4) hold. Then I satisfies the (C)-condition.

Proof. Let $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$ be any (C)-sequence of I in W . Lemma 3.7 shows that $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$ is bounded. Passing to a subsequence $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$, by Remark 3.2, there exists a point $(u, v) \in W$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \star \quad &u_n \rightharpoonup u \text{ in } W_1, \quad u_n \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^{\Psi_1}(\mathbb{R}^N), \text{ in } L^{\Phi_1}(\mathbb{R}^N), \text{ in } L^{m_1}(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad u_n(x) \rightarrow u(x) \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N; \\ \star \quad &v_n \rightharpoonup v \text{ in } W_2, \quad v_n \rightarrow v \text{ in } L^{\Psi_2}(\mathbb{R}^N), \text{ in } L^{\Phi_2}(\mathbb{R}^N), \text{ in } L^{m_2}(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad v_n(x) \rightarrow v(x) \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we define the operators $\mathcal{F} : W_1 \rightarrow (W_1)^*$ by

$$\langle \mathcal{F}(u), \tilde{u} \rangle := M_1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_1(|\nabla u|) dx \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi_1(|\nabla u|) \nabla u \nabla \tilde{u} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_1(x) \phi_1(|u|) u \tilde{u} dx, \quad u, \tilde{u} \in W_1$$

and $\mathcal{G} : W_2 \rightarrow (W_2)^*$ by

$$\langle \mathcal{G}(v), \tilde{v} \rangle := M_2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_2(|\nabla v|) dx \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi_2(|\nabla v|) \nabla v \nabla \tilde{v} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_2(x) \phi_2(|v|) v \tilde{v} dx, \quad v, \tilde{v} \in W_2.$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{F}(u_n), u_n - u \rangle &= M_1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_1(|\nabla u_n|) dx \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi_1(|\nabla u_n|) \nabla u_n \nabla (u_n - u) dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_1(x) \phi_1(|u_n|) u_n (u_n - u) dx \\ &= \langle I'(u_n, v_n), (u_n - u, 0) \rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F_u(x, u_n, v_n) (u_n - u) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.58)$$

(2.9) and the boundness of $\{u_n\}$ show that

$$|\langle I'(u_n, v_n), (u_n - u, 0) \rangle| \leq \|I'(u_n, v_n)\|_{W^*} \|u_n - u\|_1 \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.59)$$

By (F_1) and Hölder's inequality, we get

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F_u(x, u_n, v_n) (u_n - u) dx \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u_n|^{l_1-1} + \psi_1(|u_n|) + \tilde{\Psi}_1^{-1}(\Psi_2(|v_n|))) |u_n - u| dx \\
&= C_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{l_1-1} |u_n - u| dx + C_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\psi_1(|u_n|) + \tilde{\Psi}_1^{-1}(\Psi_2(|v_n|))) |u_n - u| dx \\
&\leq 2C_2 \| |u_n|^{l_1-1} \|_{\tilde{\Phi}_1} \|u_n - u\|_{\Phi_1} + 2C_2 \|\psi_1(|u_n|) + \tilde{\Psi}_1^{-1}(\Psi_2(|v_n|))\|_{\tilde{\Psi}_1} \|u_n - u\|_{\Psi_1}. \tag{3.60}
\end{aligned}$$

Condition (F_1) shows that functions Ψ_1 and $\tilde{\Psi}_1$ are N -functions satisfying Δ_2 -condition globally, which together with the convexity of N -function, (ϕ_4) , Lemma 2.4, Remark 2.8, Remark 3.2, inequality (A.9) in [31], inequality (2.2) and the boundedness of $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$, imply that the boundedness of the following integrals

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{\Phi}_1(|u_n|^{l_1-1}) dx &= \int_{|u_n|=0} \tilde{\Phi}_1(|u_n|^{l_1-1}) dx + \int_{0 < |u_n| < 1} \tilde{\Phi}_1(|u_n|^{l_1-1}) dx + \int_{|u_n| \geq 1} \tilde{\Phi}_1(|u_n|^{l_1-1}) dx \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{\Phi}_1\left(\frac{\Phi_1(|u_n|)}{c_{1,1}|u_n|}\right) dx + \tilde{\Phi}_1(1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{l_1} dx \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{1}{c_{1,1}} + K\frac{1}{c_{1,1}}\right) \Phi_1(|u_n|) dx + \tilde{\Phi}_1(1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{m_1} dx \\
&\leq \left(\frac{1}{c_{1,1}} + K\frac{1}{c_{1,1}}\right) \left(\|u_n\|_{\Phi_1}^{l_1} + \|u_n\|_{\Phi_1}^{m_1}\right) + \tilde{\Phi}_1(1) \|u_n\|_{L^{m_1}}^{m_1} \tag{3.61}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{\Psi}_1(\psi_1(|u_n|) + \tilde{\Psi}_1^{-1}(\Psi_2(|v_n|))) dx \\
&\leq \tilde{K}_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{\Psi}_1\left(\frac{\psi_1(|u_n|)}{2} + \frac{\tilde{\Psi}_1^{-1}(\Psi_2(|v_n|))}{2}\right) dx \\
&\leq \frac{\tilde{K}_2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\tilde{\Psi}_1(\psi_1(|u_n|)) + \tilde{\Psi}_1(\tilde{\Psi}_1^{-1}(\Psi_2(|v_n|)))\right) dx \\
&\leq \frac{\tilde{K}_2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\Psi_1(2|u_n|) + \Psi_2(|v_n|)) dx \\
&\leq \frac{\tilde{K}_2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (K_2\Psi_1(|u_n|) + \Psi_2(|v_n|)) dx \\
&\leq D_5 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\Psi_1(|u_n|) + \Psi_2(|v_n|)) dx \\
&\leq D_5 \left(\|u_n\|_{\Psi_1}^{l_{\Psi_1}} + \|u_n\|_{\Psi_1}^{m_{\Psi_1}} + \|v_n\|_{\Psi_2}^{l_{\Psi_2}} + \|v_n\|_{\Psi_2}^{m_{\Psi_2}}\right), \tag{3.62}
\end{aligned}$$

where $D_5 = \frac{\tilde{K}_2}{2} \max\{K_2, 1\}$, $\tilde{K}_2 > 0$ and $K_2 > 0$, which shows that

$$\| |u_n|^{l_1-1} \|_{\tilde{\Phi}_1} \leq D_6 \tag{3.63}$$

and

$$\|\psi_1(|u_n|) + \tilde{\Psi}_1^{-1}(\Psi_2(|v_n|))\|_{\tilde{\Psi}_1} \leq D_7 \tag{3.64}$$

for some $D_6, D_7 > 0$. Moreover, \star shows that

$$\|u_n - u\|_{\Phi_1} \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } \|u_n - u\|_{\Psi_1} \rightarrow 0. \tag{3.65}$$

Then, combining (3.59), (3.60), (3.63)-(3.65) with (3.58), we obtain

$$\langle \mathcal{F}(u_n), u_n - u \rangle \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

By [19, Proposition A.3], \mathcal{F} is of the class (S_+) , that is, if a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset W_1$ satisfying

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \mathcal{F}(u_n), u_n - u \rangle \leq 0,$$

then $u_n \rightarrow u$ in W_1 . Similarly, we can also obtain that $v_n \rightarrow v$ in W_2 . Therefore, $\{(u_n, v_n)\} \rightarrow (u, v)$ in W . \square

Proof of Theorem 3.1. It is obvious that $I(0) = 0$. By Lemma 3.5, Lemma 3.6 and Lemma 3.8, all conditions of Lemma 2.9 hold. Then system (1.1) possesses a nontrivial weak solution which is a critical point of I . \square

3.2 Multiplicity

In this section, by using the Symmetric Mountain Pass Theorem, we can obtain the following multiplicity result.

Theorem 3.9. Assume that (ϕ_1) – (ϕ_4) , (V_0) , (V_1) , (M_0) , (M_1) , (F_0) , (F_1) , (F_4) and the following conditions hold:

(F'_3)

$$\lim_{|(u,v)| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{F(x, u, v)}{|u|^{m_1} + |v|^{m_2}} = +\infty \quad \text{uniformly in } x \in \mathbb{R}^N;$$

(F_6) $F(x, -u, -v) = F(x, u, v)$ for all $(x, u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$.

Then system (1.1) possesses infinitely many weak solutions $\{(u_k, v_k)\}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} I(u_k, v_k) &:= \widehat{M}_1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_1(|\nabla u_k|) dx \right) + \widehat{M}_2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_2(|\nabla v_k|) dx \right) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_1(x) \Phi_1(|u_k|) dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_2(x) \Phi_2(|v_k|) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, u_k, v_k) dx \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

To apply the Symmetric Mountain Pass Theorem (i.e., Lemma 2.10), we need the following knowledge. One can see the details in [21, 36, 37]. Since W is a reflexive and separable Banach spaces, there exist two sequences $\{e_{ij} : j \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset W_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) and $\{e_{ij}^* : j \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset W_i^*$ ($i = 1, 2$) such that

$$W_i = \overline{\text{span}\{e_{ij} : j = 1, 2, \dots\}}, \quad W_i^* = \overline{\text{span}\{e_{ij}^* : j = 1, 2, \dots\}}, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

and

$$e_{in}^*(e_{im}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = m, \\ 0 & \text{if } n \neq m, \end{cases} \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Let $Y_{i(k)}$ and $Z_{i(k)}$ be the subsets of W_i defined by

$$Y_{i(k)} := \text{span}\{e_{ij} : j = 1, \dots, k\}, \quad Z_{i(k)} := \overline{\text{span}\{e_{ij} : j = k+1, \dots\}}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Then

$$W_i = Y_{i(k)} \oplus Z_{i(k)}, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Moreover, since the embeddings $W_i \hookrightarrow L^{\Psi_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ($i = 1, 2$) and $W_i \hookrightarrow L^{l_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ($i = 1, 2$) are compact, with a similar discussion as [21, 37], we can get

$$\alpha_{i(k)} := \sup \{ \|z\|_{\Psi_i} : \|z\|_i = 1, z \in Z_{i(k)} \} \rightarrow 0 \quad (3.66)$$

and

$$\beta_{i(k)} := \sup \{ \|z\|_{L^{l_i}} : \|z\|_i = 1, z \in Z_{i(k)} \} \rightarrow 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.67)$$

In addition, for Banach space $W = W_1 \times W_2$, there exists a sequence $\{\eta_{(j)}\} \subset W$ defined by

$$\eta_{(j)} = \begin{cases} (e_{1n}, 0) & \text{if } j = 2n - 1, \\ (0, e_{2n}) & \text{if } j = 2n, \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases}$$

such that

(1)

$$W = \overline{\text{span}\{\eta_{(j)} : j = 1, 2, \dots\}},$$

(2)

$$W = Y_k \oplus Z_k,$$

where

$$Y_k := \text{span}\{\eta_{(j)} : j = 1, \dots, k\} \quad \text{and} \quad Z_k := \overline{\text{span}\{\eta_{(j)} : j = k+1, \dots\}}.$$

Lemma 3.10. Suppose that (ϕ_1) – (ϕ_3) , (M_0) , (V_0) and (F_1) hold. Then there are two constants $\rho, \alpha > 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $I|_{\partial B_\rho \cap Z_{2k}} \geq \alpha$.

Proof. For $(u, v) \in Z_{2k}$, in view of the inequality (3.9), (3.20), (3.21), (3.66), (3.70), (M_0) , Young's inequality, Lemma 2.4, Remark 3.2 and the inequality (66) in [38], we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(u, v) &= \widehat{M}_1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_1(|\nabla u|) dx \right) + \widehat{M}_2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_2(|\nabla v|) dx \right) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (V_1(x)\Phi_1(|u|) + V_2(x)\Phi_2(|v|) - F(x, u, v)) dx \\ &\geq C_{1,3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_1(|\nabla u|) dx + C_{2,3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_2(|\nabla v|) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_1(x)\Phi_1(|u|) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_2(x)\Phi_2(|v|) dx \\ &\quad - C_5 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{l_1} dx - C_5 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^{l_2} dx - C_5 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Psi_1(u) dx - C_5 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Psi_2(v) dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq C_{1,3} \min \left\{ \|\nabla u\|_{\Phi_1}^{l_1}, \|\nabla u\|_{\Phi_1}^{m_1} \right\} + \min \left\{ \|u\|_{\Phi_1, V_1}^{l_1}, \|u\|_{\Phi_1, V_1}^{m_1} \right\} - C_5 \|u\|_{L^{l_1}} - C_5 \max \left\{ \|u\|_{\Psi_1}^{l_{\Psi_1}}, \|u\|_{\Psi_1}^{m_{\Psi_1}} \right\} \\
&\quad + C_{2,3} \min \left\{ \|\nabla v\|_{\Phi_2}^{l_2}, \|\nabla v\|_{\Phi_2}^{m_2} \right\} + \min \left\{ \|v\|_{\Phi_2, V_2}^{l_2}, \|v\|_{\Phi_2, V_2}^{m_2} \right\} - C_5 \|v\|_{L^{l_2}} - C_5 \max \left\{ \|v\|_{\Psi_2}^{l_{\Psi_2}}, \|v\|_{\Psi_2}^{m_{\Psi_2}} \right\} \\
&\geq \frac{\min\{C_{1,3}, 1\}}{2^{m_1-1}} \|u\|_1^{l_1} + \frac{\min\{C_{2,3}, 1\}}{2^{m_2-1}} \|v\|_2^{l_2} - \min\{C_{1,3}, 1\} - \min\{C_{2,3}, 1\} - C_5 \beta_{1(k)}^{l_1} \|u\|_1^{l_1} \\
&\quad - C_5 \beta_{2(k)}^{l_2} \|v\|_2^{l_2} - C_5 \max \left\{ \alpha_{1(k)}^{l_{\Psi_1}} \|u\|_1^{l_{\Psi_1}}, \alpha_{1(k)}^{m_{\Psi_1}} \|u\|_1^{m_{\Psi_1}} \right\} - C_5 \max \left\{ \alpha_{2(k)}^{l_{\Psi_2}} \|v\|_2^{l_{\Psi_2}}, \alpha_{2(k)}^{m_{\Psi_2}} \|v\|_2^{m_{\Psi_2}} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha_{i(k)} \rightarrow 0$, $\beta_{i(k)} \rightarrow 0$, $i = 1, 2$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$, then above inequality implies that for a large constant $\rho > 0$, there exists a large $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $I|_{\partial B_\rho \cap Z_{2k}} \geq \alpha$ for some $\alpha > 0$. \square

Lemma 3.11. Suppose that (ϕ_1) – (ϕ_3) , (M_1) , (V_0) , (F_1) and (F'_3) hold. Then for each finite dimensional subspace $\widetilde{W} \subset W$, there exists a positive constant $R = R(\widetilde{W})$ such that $I \leq 0$ on $\widetilde{W} \setminus B_{R(\widetilde{W})}$.

Proof. For each finite dimensional subspace $\widetilde{W} \subset W$, one has $\widetilde{W} \subseteq W_{1,1} \times W_{2,1}$, where $W_{1,1}$ and $W_{2,1}$ are finite dimensional subspaces of W_1 and W_2 , respectively. Since any two norms in finite dimensional space are equivalent, there exist positive constants $h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4, h_5, h_6, h_7$ and h_8 such that

$$h_1 \|u\|_1 \leq \|u\|_{L^{m_1}} \leq h_2 \|u\|_1, \quad \forall u \in W_{1,1}, \quad (3.68)$$

$$h_3 \|v\|_2 \leq \|v\|_{L^{m_2}} \leq h_4 \|v\|_2, \quad \forall v \in W_{2,1}, \quad (3.69)$$

$$h_5 \|u\|_1 \leq \|u\|_{L^{l_1}} \leq h_6 \|u\|_1, \quad \forall u \in W_{1,1}, \quad (3.70)$$

$$h_7 \|v\|_2 \leq \|v\|_{L^{l_2}} \leq h_8 \|v\|_2, \quad \forall v \in W_{2,1}, \quad (3.71)$$

where h_1, h_2, h_5, h_6 and h_3, h_4, h_7, h_8 depend on the spatial dimension of $W_{1,1}$ and $W_{2,1}$, respectively. Moreover, (F_1) , (F'_3) and the continuity of F imply that for any given constant

$$L > \max \left\{ \frac{4 \max\{C_{1,4}, 1\} + C(L)h_6^{l_1}}{h_1^{m_1}}, \frac{4 \max\{C_{2,4}, 1\} + C(L)h_8^{l_2}}{h_3^{m_2}} \right\},$$

there exists a constant $C(L) > 0$ such that

$$F(x, u, v) \geq L(|u|^{m_1} + |v|^{m_2}) - C(L)(|u|^{l_1} + |v|^{l_2}), \quad \forall (x, u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}. \quad (3.72)$$

Then, by (M_0) , (3.20), (3.21), (3.68)–(3.72), Lemma 2.4 and inequality (67) in [38], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I(u, v) &= \widehat{M}_1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_1(|\nabla u|) dx \right) + \widehat{M}_2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_2(|\nabla v|) dx \right) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_1(x) \Phi_1(|u|) dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_2(x) \Phi_2(|v|) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, u, v) dx \\
&\leq \left(C_{1,4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_1(|\nabla u|) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_1(x) \Phi_1(|u|) dx \right) - L \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{m_1} dx + C(L) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{l_1} dx \\
&\quad + \left(C_{2,4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_2(|\nabla v|) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_2(x) \Phi_2(|v|) dx \right) - L \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^{m_2} dx + C(L) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^{l_2} dx
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C_{1,4}\|\nabla u\|_{\Phi_1}^{l_1} + C_{1,4}\|\nabla u\|_{\Phi_1}^{m_1} + \|u\|_{\Phi_1, V_1}^{l_1} + \|u\|_{\Phi_1, V_1}^{m_1} - L\|u\|_{L^{m_1}}^{m_1} + C(L)\|u\|_{L^{l_1}}^{l_1} \\
&\quad + C_{2,4}\|\nabla v\|_{\Phi_2}^{l_2} + C_{2,4}\|\nabla v\|_{\Phi_2}^{m_2} + \|v\|_{\Phi_2, V_2}^{l_2} + \|v\|_{\Phi_2, V_2}^{m_2} - L\|v\|_{L^{m_2}}^{m_2} + C(L)\|v\|_{L^{l_2}}^{l_2} \\
&\leq 2\max\{C_{1,4}, 1\}\|u\|_1^{l_1} + 2\max\{C_{1,4}, 1\}\|u\|_1^{m_1} + 4\max\{C_{1,4}, 1\} - Lh_1^{m_1}\|u\|_1^{m_1} + C(L)h_6^{l_1}\|u\|_1^{l_1} \\
&\quad + 2\max\{C_{2,4}, 1\}\|v\|_2^{l_2} + 2\max\{C_{2,4}, 1\}\|v\|_2^{m_2} + 4\max\{C_{2,4}, 1\} - Lh_3^{m_2}\|v\|_2^{m_2} + C(L)h_8^{l_2}\|v\|_2^{l_2} \\
&= \left(2\max\{C_{1,4}, 1\} + C(L)h_6^{l_1}\right)\|u\|_1^{l_1} - (Lh_1^{m_1} - 2\max\{C_{1,4}, 1\})\|u\|_1^{m_1} + 4\max\{C_{1,4}, 1\} \\
&\quad + \left(2\max\{C_{2,4}, 1\} + C(L)h_8^{l_2}\right)\|v\|_2^{l_2} - (Lh_3^{m_2} - 2\max\{C_{2,4}, 1\})\|v\|_2^{m_2} + 4\max\{C_{2,4}, 1\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $l_i \leq m_i$ ($i = 1, 2$). Then the above inequality implies that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{(u,v) \in \partial B_r \cap \widetilde{W}} I(u, v) = -\infty.$$

Thus, there exists an $R = R(\widetilde{W})$ such that $I \leq 0$ on $\widetilde{W} \setminus B_{R(\widetilde{W})}$. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.9. By (F_6) , it is obvious that I is even in W . By Lemma 3.10, Lemma 3.11 and Lemma 3.8, all conditions of Lemma 2.10 hold. Then system (1.1) possesses infinitely many weak solutions $\{(u_k, v_k)\}$ and $I(u_k, v_k) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$. \square

4 Results for the scalar equation

In this section, we study the existence and multiplicity of solutions for the following generalized Kirchhoff elliptic equation in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces:

$$\begin{cases} -M \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|\nabla u|) dx \right) \Delta_{\Phi} u + V(x)\phi(|u|)u = f(x, u), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u \in W^{1, \Phi_1}(\mathbb{R}^N), \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

where $\phi : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ is a function which satisfies:

$$(\phi_1)' \quad \phi \in C^1(0, +\infty), t\phi(t) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0, t\phi(t) \rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } t \rightarrow +\infty;$$

$$(\phi_2)' \quad t \rightarrow t\phi(t) \text{ are strictly increasing};$$

$$(\phi_3)' \quad 1 < l := \inf_{t>0} \frac{t^2 \phi(t)}{\Phi(t)} \leq \sup_{t>0} \frac{t^2 \phi(t)}{\Phi(t)} =: m < \min\{N, l^*\}, \text{ where } \Phi(t) := \int_0^{|t|} s\phi(s)ds, t \in \mathbb{R}, l^* = \frac{lN}{N-l};$$

$$(\phi_4)' \quad \text{there exist positive constants } c_1 \text{ and } c_2 \text{ such that}$$

$$c_1|t|^l \leq \Phi(t) \leq c_2|t|^l, \quad \forall |t| < 1;$$

Moreover, we introduce the following conditions on f , V and M :

(F₀)' $f : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a C^1 function such that $f(x, 0) = 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$;

(V₀)' $V \in C(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$ and $\inf_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) > 1$;

(V₁)' there exist constants $c_3 > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow \infty} \text{meas}\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x - z| \leq c_3, V(x) \leq c_4\} = 0 \text{ for every } c_4 > 0,$$

where $\text{meas}(\cdot)$ denotes the Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{R}^N ;

(M₀)' $M \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+)$ and $c_5 \leq M(t) \leq c_6$, $\forall t \geq 0$ for some $c_5, c_6 > 0$;

(M₁)' $\widehat{M}(t) := \int_0^t M(s)ds \geq M(t)t$.

Similar to the results in section 3, we can get the following results.

Theorem 4.1. Assume that ϕ and f satisfy $(\phi_1)'$ - $(\phi_4)'$, $(F_0)'$, $(M_0)'$, $(M_1)'$, $(V_0)'$, $(V_1)'$ and the following conditions:

(F₁)' there exist a constant $c_7 > 0$ and a continuous function $\psi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$|f(x, u)| \leq c_7(|u|^{l-1} + \psi(|u|))$$

for all $(x, u) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}$, where

$$\Psi(t) := \int_0^{|t|} \psi(s)ds, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}$$

is an N -function satisfying

$$m < l_\Psi := \inf_{t>0} \frac{t\psi(t)}{\Psi(t)} \leq \sup_{t>0} \frac{t\psi(t)}{\Psi(t)} =: m_\Psi < l^* := \frac{lN}{N-l};$$

(F₂)' there exists a constant $c_8 \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$\limsup_{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(x, u)}{\Phi(u)} = c_8 \text{ uniformly in } x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $F(x, u) = \int_0^u f(x, s)ds$ for all $(x, u) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}$;

(F₃)' there exists a domain $G \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ such that

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(x, u)}{|u|^m} = +\infty, \text{ for a.e. } x \in G;$$

(F₄)' there exists a continuous function $\bar{\gamma} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and constants $\sigma \in \left[\frac{l(m_{\bar{\Gamma}}-1)}{m_{\bar{\Gamma}}}, \min \left\{ l, \frac{l^*(l_{\bar{\Gamma}}-1)}{l_{\bar{\Gamma}}} \right\} \right)$, $c_9, r_2 > 0$ such that

$$\bar{\Gamma} \left(\frac{F(x, u)}{|u|^{\sigma_1}} \right) \leq c_9 \bar{F}(x, u), \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ and all } u \in \mathbb{R} \text{ with } |u| \geq r_2, \quad (4.2)$$

where $\bar{\Gamma}(t) := \int_0^{|t|} \bar{\gamma}(s) ds$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, is an N -function with

$$1 < l_{\bar{\Gamma}} := \inf_{t>0} \frac{t\bar{\gamma}(t)}{\bar{\Gamma}(t)} \leq \sup_{t>0} \frac{t\bar{\gamma}(t)}{\bar{\Gamma}(t)} =: m_{\bar{\Gamma}} < +\infty \quad (4.3)$$

and

$$\bar{F}(x, u) := \frac{1}{m} f(x, u)u - F(x, u), \quad \forall (x, u) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}.$$

Then the equation (4.1) has a nontrivial weak solution.

Theorem 4.2. Assume that $(\phi_1)'$ - $(\phi_4)'$, $(F_0)'$, $(F_1)'$, $(M_0)'$, $(M_1)'$, $(V_0)'$, $(V_1)'$, $(F_4)'$ and the following conditions hold:

$(F_3)'$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{F(x, u)}{|u|^m} = +\infty \quad \text{uniformly in } x \in \mathbb{R}^N;$$

$(F_5)'$ $F(x, -u) = F(x, u)$, for all $(x, u) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}$.

Then the equation (4.1) possesses infinitely many weak solutions $\{u_k\}$ such that

$$I(u_k) := \widehat{M} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|\nabla u_k|) dx \right) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) \Phi(|u_k|) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, u_k) dx \rightarrow +\infty, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Remark 4.3.

- (I) It is clear that the conditions $(F_3)'$ and $(F_4)'$ extend the conditions (F_3) and (F_5) in [20];
- (II) Comparing Theorem 1.1 in [33] and Theorem 1.5 in [14] with Theorem 4.1, it is easy to see that the condition $(F_3)'$ is weaker than the following global (A-R) condition:
(A-R) there exist $\theta > m$ such that for all $u \in \mathbb{R}/\{0\}$,

$$0 < F(u) := \int_0^u f(x, s) ds \leq \frac{1}{\theta} u f(x, u).$$

- (III) If we consider the system (4.1) on a bounded domain Ω with Dirichlet boundary condition, then it is natural that we restrict those assumptions of Theorem 4.1 on the bounded domain Ω . Then the condition $(F_4)'$ is different from the condition (f_4) in Theorem 5.1 of [21] and (f_2) in [19]. To exemplify this, let $F(x, t) = (\sin(2\pi x_1) + |\sin(2\pi x_1)|) (|t|^5 \ln(1 + |t|))$. If we choose $l_{\bar{\Gamma}} = \frac{6}{5}$, then the condition (F_4) holds. But in [19, 21], the constant $l_{\bar{\Gamma}}$ must satisfy $l_{\bar{\Gamma}} > \frac{N}{l_i}$, i.e., $l_{\bar{\Gamma}} > \frac{3}{2} > \frac{6}{5}$. So the condition (f_4) in [21] and (f_2) in [19] do not hold.

5 Example

$$\begin{cases} -M_1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^6} (|\nabla u|^4 + |\nabla u|^5) dx \right) \operatorname{div}[(4|\nabla u|^2 + 5|\nabla u|^3)\nabla u] + V_1(x)(4|u|^2 + 5|u|^3)u \\ = F_u(x, u, v), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^6, \\ -M_2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^6} (|\nabla v|^4 \ln(e + |\nabla v|)) dx \right) \operatorname{div} \left[\left(4|\nabla v|^2 \ln(e + |\nabla v|) + \frac{|\nabla v|^3}{e + |\nabla v|} \right) \nabla v \right] \\ + V_1(x) \left(4|v|^2 \ln(e + |v|) + \frac{|v|^3}{e + |v|} \right) v = F_v(x, u, v), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^6, \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

where

$$M_1(t) = 2 + \frac{1}{e+t}, \quad t \geq 0, \quad M_2(t) = 3 + \frac{1}{et^{\frac{2}{3}}+3t}, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (5.2)$$

$$F(x, t, s) = (\sin(2\pi x_1) + |\sin(2\pi x_1)|) (|t|^5 \ln(1 + |t|) + |s|^5 \ln(1 + |s|) + |t|^3 |s|^3). \quad (5.3)$$

Let $N = 6$, $\phi_1(t) = 4|t|^2 + 5|t|^3$ and $\phi_2(t) = 4|t|^2 \ln(e + |t|) + \frac{|t|^3}{e + |t|}$. Then $\phi_i (i = 1, 2)$ satisfy (ϕ_1) - (ϕ_4) , M_i satisfy (M_0) - (M_1) by (5.2), $l_1 = l_2 = 4$, $m_1 = m_2 = 5$ and $\Phi_1(t) = |t|^4 + |t|^5$, $\Phi_2(t) = |t|^4 \ln(e + |t|)$. So, $l_1^* = l_2^* = 12$.

Let $V_1(x) = \sum_{i=1}^6 x_i^2 + 1$ and $V_2(x) = \sum_{i=1}^6 x_i^3 + 2$ for all $(x, t, s) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$. Then it is obvious that $V_i, i = 1, 2$ satisfy (V0) and (V1).

By (5.3), we have

$$F_t(x, t, s) = (\sin(2\pi x_1) + |\sin(2\pi x_1)|) \left(5|t|^3 t \ln(1 + |t|) + \frac{|t|^4 t}{1+|t|} + 3|t||s|^3 t \right), \quad (5.4)$$

$$F_s(x, t, s) = (\sin(2\pi x_1) + |\sin(2\pi x_1)|) \left(5|s|^3 s \ln(1 + |s|) + \frac{|s|^4 s}{1+|s|} + 3|t|^3 |s| s \right). \quad (5.5)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{F}(x, t, s) &= (\sin(2\pi x_1) + |\sin(2\pi x_1)|) \left(\frac{|t|^6}{5(1+|t|)} + \frac{|s|^6}{5(1+|s|)} + \frac{1}{5}|t|^3 |s|^3 \right) \\ &\geq (\sin(2\pi x_1) + |\sin(2\pi x_1)|) \left(\frac{|t|^6}{5(1+|t|)} + \frac{|s|^6}{5(1+|s|)} \right) \\ &\geq \begin{cases} \frac{\sin(2\pi x_1) + |\sin(2\pi x_1)|}{10} (|t|^5 + |s|^5), & \text{if } |t| \geq 1, |s| \geq 1, \\ \frac{\sin(2\pi x_1) + |\sin(2\pi x_1)|}{10} (|t|^6 + |s|^5), & \text{if } 0 \leq |t| < 1, |s| \geq 1, \\ \frac{\sin(2\pi x_1) + |\sin(2\pi x_1)|}{10} (|t|^5 + |s|^6), & \text{if } |t| \geq 1, 0 \leq |s| < 1, \\ \frac{\sin(2\pi x_1) + |\sin(2\pi x_1)|}{10} (|t|^6 + |s|^6), & \text{if } 0 \leq |t| < 1, 0 \leq |s| < 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

It is easy to see that conditions (F_0) and (F_5) hold. Since

$$\lim_{|(t,s)| \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(x, t, s)}{|t|^{m_1} + |s|^{m_2}} = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{|(t,s)| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{F(x, t, s)}{|t|^{m_1} + |s|^{m_2}} = +\infty,$$

by (2) of Lemma 2.3, we can see that (F_2) and (F_3) hold with $G = (1/8, 3/8) \times \mathbb{R}^5$. Choose $\Psi_1(t) = \Psi_2(t) = t^6, \bar{\Gamma}(t) = |t|^{\frac{6}{5}}$ and $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 = \frac{11}{6}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{|(u,v)| \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{|F(x,u,v)|}{|u|^{\frac{11}{6}} + |v|^{\frac{11}{6}}} \right)^{\frac{6}{5}} \frac{1}{\bar{F}(x,u,v)} \\ & \leq \begin{cases} \limsup_{|(u,v)| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{10(\sin(2\pi x_1) + |\sin(2\pi x_1)|)^{\frac{11}{5}} (|u|^5 \ln(1+|u|) + |v|^5 \ln(1+|v|) + |u|^3 |v|^3)^{\frac{6}{5}}}{\left(|u|^{\frac{11}{6}} + |v|^{\frac{11}{6}}\right)^{\frac{6}{5}} (|u|^5 + |v|^5)}, & \text{if } |u| \geq 1, |v| \geq 1, \\ \limsup_{|(u,v)| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{10(\sin(2\pi x_1) + |\sin(2\pi x_1)|)^{\frac{11}{5}} (|u|^5 \ln(1+|u|) + |v|^5 \ln(1+|v|) + |u|^3 |v|^3)^{\frac{6}{5}}}{\left(|u|^{\frac{11}{6}} + |v|^{\frac{11}{6}}\right)^{\frac{6}{5}} (|u|^6 + |v|^5)}, & \text{if } 0 \leq |u| < 1, |v| \geq 1, \\ \limsup_{|(u,v)| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{10(\sin(2\pi x_1) + |\sin(2\pi x_1)|)^{\frac{11}{5}} (|u|^5 \ln(1+|u|) + |v|^5 \ln(1+|v|) + |u|^3 |v|^3)^{\frac{6}{5}}}{\left(|u|^{\frac{11}{6}} + |v|^{\frac{11}{6}}\right)^{\frac{6}{5}} (|u|^5 + |v|^6)}, & \text{if } |u| \geq 1, 0 \leq |v| < 1 \end{cases} \\ & \leq \begin{cases} 40(\sin(2\pi x_1) + |\sin(2\pi x_1)|)^{\frac{11}{5}} \limsup_{|(u,v)| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|u|^6 (\ln(1+|u|))^{\frac{6}{5}} + |v|^6 (\ln(1+|v|))^{\frac{6}{5}} + |u|^{\frac{36}{5}} + |v|^{\frac{36}{5}}}{|u|^{\frac{36}{5}} + |v|^{\frac{36}{5}}}, & \text{if } |u| \geq 1, |v| \geq 1, \\ 40(\sin(2\pi x_1) + |\sin(2\pi x_1)|)^{\frac{11}{5}} \limsup_{|(u,v)| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|u|^6 (\ln(1+|u|))^{\frac{6}{5}} + |v|^6 (\ln(1+|v|))^{\frac{6}{5}} + |u|^{\frac{36}{5}} + |v|^{\frac{36}{5}}}{|u|^{\frac{41}{5}} + |v|^{\frac{36}{5}}}, & \text{if } 0 \leq |u| < 1, |v| \geq 1, \\ 40(\sin(2\pi x_1) + |\sin(2\pi x_1)|)^{\frac{11}{5}} \limsup_{|(u,v)| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|u|^6 (\ln(1+|u|))^{\frac{6}{5}} + |v|^6 (\ln(1+|v|))^{\frac{6}{5}} + |u|^{\frac{36}{5}} + |v|^{\frac{36}{5}}}{|u|^{\frac{36}{5}} + |v|^{\frac{41}{5}}}, & \text{if } |u| \geq 1, 0 \leq |v| < 1 \end{cases} \\ & \leq \begin{cases} 40(\sin(2\pi x_1) + |\sin(2\pi x_1)|)^{\frac{11}{5}} \limsup_{|(u,v)| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|u|^6 |u|^{\frac{6}{5}} + |v|^6 |v|^{\frac{6}{5}} + |u|^{\frac{36}{5}} + |v|^{\frac{36}{5}}}{|u|^{\frac{36}{5}} + |v|^{\frac{36}{5}}}, & \text{if } |u| \geq 1, |v| \geq 1, \\ 40(\sin(2\pi x_1) + |\sin(2\pi x_1)|)^{\frac{11}{5}} \limsup_{|(u,v)| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|u|^6 |u|^{\frac{6}{5}} + |v|^6 |v|^{\frac{6}{5}} + |u|^{\frac{36}{5}} + |v|^{\frac{36}{5}}}{|u|^{\frac{41}{5}} + |v|^{\frac{36}{5}}}, & \text{if } 0 \leq |u| < 1, |v| \geq 1, \\ 40(\sin(2\pi x_1) + |\sin(2\pi x_1)|)^{\frac{11}{5}} \limsup_{|(u,v)| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|u|^6 |u|^{\frac{6}{5}} + |v|^6 |v|^{\frac{6}{5}} + |u|^{\frac{36}{5}} + |v|^{\frac{36}{5}}}{|u|^{\frac{36}{5}} + |v|^{\frac{41}{5}}}, & \text{if } |u| \geq 1, 0 \leq |v| < 1 \end{cases} \\ & < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

So the condition (F_4) holds. Then by Theorem 3.1, system (5.1) has at least one nontrivial weak solution. If we let

$$F(x, t, s) \equiv |t|^5 \ln(1 + |t|) + |s|^5 \ln(1 + |s|) + |t|^3 |s|^3 \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ and } (t, s) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (5.7)$$

then by Theorem 3.9, system (5.1) has infinitely many nontrivial weak solutions of high energy.

6 Remark on the semi-trivial solutions of (1.1)

In Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.9, we do not exclude the possibility of semi-nontrivial solutions. Hence, it is possible that the solutions of system are $(u, v) = (0, v)$ or $(u, v) = (u, 0)$ which are called as semi-nontrivial solutions. In general, it is not a simple work to rule out the semi-nontrivial solutions and some extra assumptions have to be added. We refer readers to [39], [40] and [41] for related work. If we make the extra assumption $F(x, u, v) = F(x, |u|, |v|)$, by using Corollary 2.6 in [41] and combing with the proofs of Theorem 3.9, it is easy to exclude the semi-trivial solutions, that is, system (1.1) possesses infinitely many non semi-trivial solutions. Especially, we can obtain that system (5.1) with F satisfying (5.7) has infinitely many non semi-trivial solutions.

Acknowledgements

This project is supported by Yunnan Ten Thousand Talents Plan Young and Elite Talents Project and Candidate Talents Training Fund of Yunnan Province, China (No: 2017HB016).

References

- [1] Tang XH, Cheng BT. Ground state sign-changing solutions for Kirchhoff type problems in bounded domains. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 2016, 261(4): 2384-2402.
- [2] Alves CO, Correa FJSA, Ma TF. Positive solutions for a quasilinear elliptic equation of Kirchhoff type. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, 2005, 49(1): 85-93.
- [3] Alves CO, Figueiredo GM. Nonlinear perturbations of a periodic Kirchhoff equation in \mathbb{R}^N . *Nonlinear Analysis-Theory Methods & Applications*, 2012, 75(5): 2750-2759.
- [4] Xiao T, Gan, CL, Zhang QF, Shmarev S, The Existence of least energy sign-changing solution for Kirchhoff-type problem with potential vanishing at infinity. *Advances in Mathematical Physics*, 2021, DOI:10.1155/2021/6690204.
- [5] Xiao T, Tang YL, Zhang QF, The existence of sign-changing solutions for Schrodinger-Kirchhoff problems in \mathbb{R}^3 , *AIMS Mathematics*, 2021, 6(7): 6726-6733.
- [6] Sbai A, Aboutabit N, Sрати M, El Hadfi Y. Existence of solution for Kirchhoff type problem in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces via Leray-Schauder's nonlinear alternative. *Discrete & Continuous Dynamical Systems-S*, 2022, 15(1): 213-227.
- [7] Tsouli N, Haddaoui M, Hssini ELM. Multiplicity results for a Kirchhoff type equations in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. *Nonlinear Studies*, 2019, 26(3): 637-652.
- [8] Chung NT. Three solutions for a class of nonlocal problems in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. *Journal of the Korean Mathematical Society*, 2013, 50(6): 1257-1269.
- [9] Figueiredo GM, Santos JA. Existence of least energy nodal solution with two nodal domains for a generalized Kirchhoff problem in an Orlicz Sobolev space. *Mathematische Nachrichten*, 2017, 290(4): 583-603.
- [10] Heidari S, Razani A. Multiple solutions for a class of nonlocal quasilinear elliptic systems in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. *Boundary Value Problems*, 2021, 2021: 22.

- [11] Heidarkhani S, Caristi G, Ferrara M. Perturbed Kirchhoff-type Neumann problems in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, 2016, 71(10): 2008-2019.
- [12] Chung NT. Multiple solutions for a nonlocal problem in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. *Ricerche Di Matematica*, 2014, 63(1): 169-182.
- [13] Adriouch K, El Hamidi A. The Nehari manifold for systems of nonlinear elliptic equations. *Nonlinear Analysis-Theory Methods & Applications*, 2006, 64(10): 2149-2167.
- [14] Alves CO, Figueiredo GM, Santos JA. Strauss and Lions type results for a class of Orlicz-Sobolev spaces and applications. *Topological Methods in Nonlinear Analysis*, 2014, 44(2): 435-456.
- [15] Kim JM, Kim YH, Lee J. Radially symmetric solutions for quasilinear elliptic equations involving nonhomogeneous operators in an Orlicz-Sobolev space setting. *Acta Mathematica Scientia*, 2020, 40(6): 1679-1699.
- [16] Lee JI, Kim YH. Multiplicity of radially symmetric small energy solutions for quasilinear elliptic equations involving nonhomogeneous operators. *Mathematics*, 2020, 8(1): 128.
- [17] Santos CA, Santos L, Carvalho MLM. Equivalent conditions for existence of three solutions for a problem with discontinuous and strongly-singular terms. *arXiv:1901.00165*, 2019.
- [18] Avci M, Chung NT. On a nonlocal problem with indefinite weights in Orlicz-Sobolev space. *Communications of the Korean Mathematical Society*, 2020, 35(2): 517-532.
- [19] Carvalho MLM, Goncalves JVA, da Silva ED. On quasilinear elliptic problems without the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 2015, 426(1): 466-483.
- [20] Tang XH, Lin XY, Yu JS. Nontrivial solutions for Schrodinger equation with local super-quadratic conditions. *Journal of Dynamics and Differential Equations*, 2019, 31(1): 369-383.
- [21] Wang LB, Zhang XY, Fang H. Existence and multiplicity of solutions for a class of quasilinear elliptic systems in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. *Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Applications*, 2017, 10(7): 3792-3814.
- [22] Wang LB, Zhang XY, Fang H. Existence of ground state solutions for a class of quasilinear elliptic systems in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. *Boundary Value Problems*, 2017, 2017: 106.
- [23] Wang LB, Zhang XY, Fang H. Multiplicity of solutions for a class of quasilinear elliptic systems in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, 2017, 21(4): 881-912.
- [24] Wang LB, Zhang XY, Fang H. Existence and multiplicity of solutions for a class of (ϕ_1, ϕ_2) -Laplacian elliptic system in \mathbb{R}^N via genus theory. *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, 2016, 72(1): 110-130.

- [25] Zhang X, Liu C. Existence of solutions for a quasilinear elliptic system with local nonlinearity on \mathbb{R}^N . *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*, 2021, 44(17): 13186-13212.
- [26] Zhang X, Liu C. Corrigendum to "Existence of solutions for a quasilinear elliptic system with local nonlinearity on \mathbb{R}^N " [MATH. METH. APPL. SCI., 2021, 44: 13186-13212]. *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*, 10.1002/mma.8370, published online.
- [27] Adams RA, Fournier JJF. *Sobolev spaces*. Second edition, Pure and Applied Mathematics (Amsterdam), Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, 2003.
- [28] Rabinowitz PH. *Minimax methods in critical point theory with applications to differential equations*. CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC; by the American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1986.
- [29] Bartsch T, Wang ZQ, Willem M. The Dirichlet problem for superlinear elliptic equations. In: Chipot, M., Quittner, P. (eds.) *Handbook of Differential Equations: Stationary Partial Differential Equations*, vol. 2, pp. 1-5. Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2005. (Chapter 1)
- [30] Rao MM, Ren ZD. *Applications of Orlicz spaces*. Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 2002.
- [31] Fukagai N, Ito M, Narukawa K. Positive solutions of quasilinear elliptic equations with critical Orlicz-Sobolev nonlinearity on \mathbb{R}^N . *Funkcialaj Ekvacioj-Serio Internacia*, 2006, 49(2): 235-267.
- [32] Krasnosel'skiĭ MA. *Convex functions and Orlicz spaces*. US Atomic Energy Commission, 1961.
- [33] Liu SB. On quasilinear elliptic problems with finite or infinite potential wells. *Open Mathematics*, 2021, 19(1): 971-989.
- [34] Bartolo P, Benci V, Fortunato D. Abstract critical point theorems and applications to some nonlinear problems with "strong" resonance at infinity. *Nonlinear Analysis-Theory Methods & Applications*, 1983, 7(9): 981-1012.
- [35] Garcia-Huidobro M, Le VK, Manasevich R, Schmitt K. On principal eigenvalues for quasilinear elliptic differential operators: an Orlicz-Sobolev space setting. *Nodea-Nonlinear Differential Equations and Applications*, 1999, 6(2): 207-225.
- [36] Zhao JF. *Structure theory of Banach spaces*. (Chinese) Wuhan University Press, Wuhan, 1991.

- [37] Chung NT, Toan HQ. On a nonlinear and non-homogeneous problem without (A-R) type condition in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 2013, 219(14): 7820-7829.
- [38] Xie JP, Zhang XY. Infinitely many solutions for a class of fractional impulsive coupled systems with (p, q) -Laplacian. *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, 2018, 2018, Article ID: 9256192.
- [39] Chang KC, Wang ZQ, Zhang T. On a new index theory and non semi-trivial solutions for elliptic systems. *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems*, 2010, 28(2): 809-826.
- [40] Chang KC, Wang ZQ. Multiple non semi-trivial solutions for elliptic systems, *Advanced Nonlinear Studies*, 2012, 12(2): 363-381.
- [41] Wu X, Teng KM. Multiple non semi-trivial solutions of systems of Kirchhoff-type equations with discontinuous nonlinearities in \mathbb{R}^N . *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*, 2016, 39(3): 378-393.