

MONOTONICITY, CONVEXITY, AND INEQUALITIES FOR FUNCTIONS INVOLVING GAMMA FUNCTION

PEIPEI DU AND GENDI WANG*

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study some properties such as the monotonicity, logarithmically complete monotonicity, logarithmic convexity, and geometric convexity, of the combinations of gamma function and power function. The results we obtain generalize some related known results for parameters with specific values.

KEYWORDS. gamma function; monotonicity; logarithmically complete monotonicity; logarithmic convexity; geometric convexity; inequalities

2020 MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION. 33E50 (33E20)

1. INTRODUCTION

The *gamma function* defined by

$$\Gamma(x) = \int_0^{\infty} t^{x-1} e^{-t} dt \quad (\operatorname{Re} x > 0)$$

is one of the most important functions in analysis and its application.

The *psi (digamma) function*, the logarithmic derivative of the gamma function, and the *polygamma functions* can be expressed as

$$\psi(x) = \frac{\Gamma'(x)}{\Gamma(x)} = -\gamma + \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t} - e^{-xt}}{1 - e^{-t}} dt$$

and

$$\psi^{(n)}(x) = (-1)^{n+1} n! \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x+k)^{n+1}}$$

for $\operatorname{Re} x > 0$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, where $\gamma = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} - \log n \right) = 0.57721 \dots$ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant.

Let $I \subset (0, \infty)$ be an interval and $f : I \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be a continuous function. We say that f is *geometrically convex (geometrically concave)* on I if the following is true:

$$f(\sqrt{x_1 x_2}) \leq (\geq) \sqrt{f(x_1) f(x_2)}$$

for all $x_1, x_2 \in I$, see [1, 2].

Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval and $f : I \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be a continuous function. We say that f is *logarithmically convex (logarithmically concave)*, *log-convex (log-concave)* for abbreviation, if

$$f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \leq (\geq) \sqrt{f(x) f(y)}$$

for all $x, y \in I$, see [2].

A function f is called to be *logarithmically completely monotonic* (LCM) on an interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ if its logarithm $\log f$ satisfies

$$(1.1) \quad (-1)^n (\log f(x))^{(n)} \geq 0$$

for all $x \in I$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Moreover, the function f is said to be strictly LCM on I if the inequality (1.1) is strict, see [3, 4]. Clearly, the function f is decreasing and log-convex if f is LCM on I . The analytical properties for the gamma function and related special functions have been extensively studied recently, see [5–11].

For $a > 0, c \in \mathbb{R}$, let $x \in (-a, \infty) \setminus \{0\}$ and

$$f_{a,c,\pm 1}(x) \equiv \left(\frac{(\Gamma(x+a))^{\frac{1}{x}}}{x^c} \right)^{\pm 1}.$$

The functions $f_{1,0,-1}$ and $f_{1,1,+1}$ are decreasing on $(0, \infty)$. In addition, it is also proved that $f_{1,1-\gamma,-1}$ is decreasing on $(1, \infty)$, see [12]. As a further study, the function $f_{1,0,-1}$ is LCM on $(-1, \infty)$ [13, Theorem 1] and $f_{1,0,+1}$ is geometrically convex on $(0, \infty)$ [14, Theorem 1]. Moreover, the conditions for $f_{1,c,\pm 1}$ to be LCM on $(0, \infty)$ are shown [13, Theorem 3, Theorem 4]. It is showed that $f_{1,c,-1} (f_{1,c,+1})$ is strictly decreasing on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $c \leq 0$ ($c \geq 1$) [15, Theorem 4(b)].

For $a > 0, c \in \mathbb{R}$, let $x \in (-a, \infty) \setminus \{0\}$ and

$$g_{a,c,\pm 1}(x) \equiv \left(\frac{(\Gamma(x+a))^{\frac{1}{x}}}{(x+a)^c} \right)^{\pm 1}.$$

In [16], Theorem 1 shows that $g_{1,1,+1}$ is strictly decreasing and strictly log-convex on $(0, \infty)$, and Theorem 2 shows that $g_{1,\frac{1}{2},-1}$ is strictly decreasing and strictly log-convex on $(0, \infty)$. As a generalization, the conditions for $g_{1,c,\pm 1}$ to be LCM on $(-1, \infty)$ are found [13, Theorem 2]. Theorem 2 in [15] displays that $g_{1,c,+1} (g_{1,c,-1})$ is strictly decreasing on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $c \geq 1$ ($c \leq \frac{\pi^2}{12}$), and $g_{1,c,+1} (g_{1,c,-1})$ is log-convex on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $c \geq 1$ ($c \leq c_0$), where $0.77797 \dots = \frac{75(28\zeta(3)+\pi^3)}{64} - 75 \leq c_0 < 18 \left(3 - \gamma - \log \pi - \frac{\pi^2}{8} \right) = 0.79837 \dots$. In addition, the conditions for $g_{a,c,\pm 1}$ to be LCM on $(0, \infty)$ have been discussed [17, Theorem 1.2, Remark 2.1].

The purpose of the present paper is to further study the analytical properties of the gamma function. Specifically, motivated by [13, Theorem 1] which says $f_{1,0,-1}$ is LCM on $(-1, \infty)$, we study the monotonicity property of $f_{a,0,-1}$ for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and find the necessary and sufficient conditions for $f_{a,0,-1}$ to be LCM either on $(0, \infty)$ or on $(-a, \infty)$ in Theorem 1. In Theorem 2, we also show the monotonicity, logarithmic convexity and geometric convexity properties of $f_{a,c,+1}$ for certain values (a, c) , which is a generalization about the specific parameters in some corresponding results in [13–15]. Similarly, in Theorem 3 we investigate the monotonicity and geometric convexity properties of $g_{a,c,+1}$ for certain values (a, c) and obtain a generalization of some results in [13, 15] and an improvement of the result in [17].

Before presenting the main results, we give some ranges of parameters, which are needed in describing the corollaries, as follows.

Let

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_1 &= \left\{ (a, c) \mid \frac{1}{2} \leq a \leq 1, c \in \mathbb{R} \right\}, & D_2 &= \{(a, c) \mid a \geq 2, c \in \mathbb{R}\}, \\
 D_3 &= \{(a, c) \mid 1 < a < 2, c \geq 0\}, & D_4 &= \{(a, c) \mid 1 < a < 2, c \leq 0\}, \\
 D_5 &= \left\{ (a, c) \mid \frac{1}{2} \leq a \leq 1, c \geq 1 \right\}, & D_6 &= \{(a, c) \mid a \geq 2, c \geq 1\}, \\
 D_7 &= \{(a, c) \mid a = 1, c \leq 0\}, & D_8 &= \{(a, c) \mid a = 2, c \leq 0\}, \\
 D_9 &= \left\{ (a, c) \mid \frac{1}{2} \leq a \leq 1, c \leq 0 \right\}, & D_{10} &= \{(a, c) \mid a \geq 2, c \leq 0\}, \\
 D_{11} &= \left\{ (a, c) \mid a = 2, c \leq \frac{\pi^2}{6} - 1 \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$, we define the function

$$g_1(x) \equiv f_{a,0,-1}(x) = \frac{1}{(\Gamma(x+a))^{\frac{1}{x}}},$$

where $x \in (-a, \infty)$ for $a \leq 0$; $x \in (-a, \infty) \setminus \{0\}$ for $a > 0$.

Since

$$g_1(0^-) = \begin{cases} \infty, & 0 < a < 1 \text{ or } a > 2, \\ 0, & 1 < a < 2, \end{cases} \quad g_1(0^+) = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 < a < 1 \text{ or } a > 2, \\ \infty, & 1 < a < 2, \end{cases}$$

we only define $g_1(0)$ as follows

$$g_1(0) = \begin{cases} e^\gamma, & a = 1, \\ e^{\gamma-1}, & a = 2. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1. (1) The function g_1 is strictly increasing on $(-a, x_0)$ and strictly decreasing on (x_0, ∞) if and only if $a \leq 0$; g_1 is strictly decreasing on $(-a, x_1)$ and (x_2, ∞) , and strictly increasing on $(x_1, 0)$ and $(0, x_2)$ if and only if $0 < a < 1$ or $a > 2$; and g_1 is strictly decreasing on $(-a, 0)$ and $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $1 \leq a \leq 2$, where x_i satisfies $x_i \psi(x_i + a) = \log \Gamma(x_i + a)$, $i = 0, 1, 2$ and $x_1 < 0 < x_2$.

(2) The function g_1 is strictly LCM on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $1 \leq a \leq 2$; and g_1 is strictly LCM on $(-a, \infty)$ if and only if $a = 1$ or $a = 2$.

Remark 1. The sufficient condition of the LCM property for g_1 on $(0, \infty)$ in Theorem 1 (2) can also be obtained by taking $c = 0$ in [17, Remark 2.1].

The following inequalities (1.2) and (1.3) can be easily derived from the monotonicity and logarithmic convexity properties of g_1 in Theorem 1 (2).

Corollary 1. (1) For $0 < x < y$, the inequality

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{(\Gamma(x+a))^{\frac{1}{x}}}{(\Gamma(y+a))^{\frac{1}{y}}} < 1$$

holds for $1 \leq a \leq 2$.

(2) For $x, y > 0$, the inequality

$$(1.3) \quad \frac{(\Gamma(\frac{x+y}{2} + a))^{\frac{2}{x+y}}}{\sqrt{(\Gamma(x+a))^{\frac{1}{x}} (\Gamma(y+a))^{\frac{1}{y}}}} \geq 1$$

holds for $1 \leq a \leq 2$. The equality is true if and only if $x = y$.

Theorem 2. For $a > 0, c \in \mathbb{R}$, let $x \in (0, \infty)$ and

$$g_2(x) \equiv f_{a,c,+1}(x) = \frac{(\Gamma(x+a))^{\frac{1}{x}}}{x^c}.$$

(1) The function g_2 is strictly decreasing on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $c \geq 1$ for $\frac{1}{2} \leq a \leq 1$ or $a \geq 2$; g_2 is strictly increasing on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $c \leq 0$ for $a = 1$ or $a = 2$; and g_2 is strictly increasing on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $c \leq h_2(x_3)$ for $1 < a < 2$, where x_3 satisfies $x_3^2 \psi'(x_3 + a) + \log \Gamma(x_3 + a) = x_3 \psi(x_3 + a)$ and $h_2(x_3) \equiv x_3 \psi'(x_3 + a)$.

(2) The function g_2 is strictly log-convex on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $c \geq 1$ for $a \geq 2$; and g_2 is strictly log-concave on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $c \leq 0$ for $a = 2$.

(3) The function g_2 is geometrically convex on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $(a, c) \in D_1 \cup D_2$; and g_2 is geometrically concave on $(0, x_3)$ and geometrically convex on (x_3, ∞) if and only if $(a, c) \in D_3 \cup D_4$.

Remark 2. It is clear that Theorem 2 (3) is a generalization of [14, Theorem 1] which says that $f_{1,0,+1}$ is geometrically convex on $(0, \infty)$.

Theorem 2 leads to the following corollary.

Corollary 2. (1) For $0 < x < y$, the inequality

$$(1.4) \quad \frac{(\Gamma(x+a))^{\frac{1}{x}}}{(\Gamma(y+a))^{\frac{1}{y}}} > \left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^c$$

holds for $(a, c) \in D_5 \cup D_6$; and inequality (1.4) is reversed for $(a, c) \in D_7 \cup D_8$.

(2) For $x, y > 0$, the inequality

$$(1.5) \quad \frac{(\Gamma(\frac{x+y}{2} + a))^{\frac{2}{x+y}}}{\sqrt{(\Gamma(x+a))^{\frac{1}{x}} (\Gamma(y+a))^{\frac{1}{y}}}} \leq \left(\frac{x+y}{2\sqrt{xy}}\right)^c$$

holds for $(a, c) \in D_6$; and inequality (1.5) is reversed for $(a, c) \in D_8$. The equalities are true if and only if $x = y$.

(3) For $x, y > 0$, the inequalities

$$(1.6) \quad \left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{\frac{y\psi(y+a) - \log \Gamma(y+a)}{y}} \leq \frac{(\Gamma(x+a))^{\frac{1}{x}}}{(\Gamma(y+a))^{\frac{1}{y}}} \leq \left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{\frac{x\psi(x+a) - \log \Gamma(x+a)}{x}}$$

hold for $(a, c) \in D_1 \cup D_2$. The equalities are true if and only if $x = y$.

Theorem 3. For $a > 0, c \in \mathbb{R}$, let $x \in (0, \infty)$ and

$$g_3(x) \equiv g_{a,c,+1}(x) = \frac{(\Gamma(x+a))^{\frac{1}{x}}}{(x+a)^c}.$$

(1) The function g_3 is strictly decreasing on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $c \geq 1$ for $a \geq 2$; g_3 is strictly increasing on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $c \leq \frac{\pi^2}{6} - 1$ for $a = 2$; and g_3 is strictly increasing on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $c \leq h_4(x_4)$ for $\frac{3 + \sqrt{159}}{12} \leq a < 2$, where x_4 satisfies $x_4^2(x_4 + a)\psi'(x_4 + a) + (x_4 + 2a)\log \Gamma(x_4 + a) = x_4(x_4 + 2a)\psi(x_4 + a)$ and $h_4(x_4) \equiv \frac{x_4^2(x_4 + a)\psi(x_4 + a) - (x_4 + a)\log \Gamma(x_4 + a)}{x_4^2}$.

(2) The function g_3 is geometrically convex on $(0, \infty)$ for $(a, c) \in D_9 \cup D_{10}$; and g_3 is geometrically concave on $(0, x_3)$ for $(a, c) \in D_3$ and geometrically convex on (x_3, ∞) for $(a, c) \in D_4$, where x_3 is the same as in Theorem 2 (1).

Remark 3. The sufficient condition of the decreasing property for g_3 in Theorem 3 (1) can also be obtained by [17, Theorem 1.2].

The following corollary can be directly derived by Theorem 3.

Corollary 3. (1) For $0 < x < y$, the inequality

$$(1.7) \quad \frac{(\Gamma(x+a))^{\frac{1}{x}}}{(\Gamma(y+a))^{\frac{1}{y}}} > \left(\frac{x+a}{y+a}\right)^c$$

holds for $(a, c) \in D_6$; and inequality (1.7) is reversed for $(a, c) \in D_{11}$.

(2) For $x, y > 0$, the inequalities

$$(1.8) \quad \left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{\frac{y\psi(y+a) - \log \Gamma(y+a) - \frac{cy}{y+a}}{y}} \left(\frac{x+a}{y+a}\right)^c \leq \frac{(\Gamma(x+a))^{\frac{1}{x}}}{(\Gamma(y+a))^{\frac{1}{y}}} \leq \left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{\frac{x\psi(x+a) - \log \Gamma(x+a) - \frac{cx}{x+a}}{x}} \left(\frac{x+a}{y+a}\right)^c$$

hold for $(a, c) \in D_9 \cup D_{10}$. The equalities are true if and only if $x = y$.

2. LEMMAS

In this section, we show some lemmas which are needed in the proofs of the main results. The following formulas will be frequently used in the proofs of lemmas [18, 19].

Leibniz's Theorem for differentiation of the product of two functions:

$$(2.1) \quad (u(x)v(x))^{(n)} = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} u^{(k)}(x)v^{(n-k)}(x).$$

Recurrence formulas of Γ, ψ :

$$\Gamma(x+1) = x\Gamma(x), \quad \psi(x+1) = \psi(x) + \frac{1}{x}.$$

Special values of Γ, ψ, ψ' :

$$\Gamma(1) = 1, \quad \psi(1) = -\gamma, \quad \psi'(2) = \frac{\pi^2}{6} - 1.$$

Asymptotic formulas of $\log \Gamma, \psi, \psi', \psi''$: for $x \rightarrow \infty$ with $|\arg x| < \pi$,

$$\begin{aligned} \log \Gamma(x) &\sim \left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right) \log x - x + \frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi) + \frac{1}{12x} - \frac{1}{360x^3} + \cdots, \\ \psi(x) &\sim \log x - \frac{1}{2x} - \frac{1}{12x^2} + \frac{1}{120x^4} - \cdots, \\ \psi'(x) &\sim \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{2x^2} + \frac{1}{6x^3} - \frac{1}{30x^5} + \cdots, \\ \psi''(x) &\sim -\frac{1}{x^2} - \frac{1}{x^3} - \frac{1}{2x^4} + \cdots. \end{aligned}$$

For $x \in (0, \infty)$, the following inequalities of the polygamma functions hold [20, Theorem 3]:

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{(n-1)!}{x^n} + \frac{n!}{2x^{n+1}} \leq (-1)^{n+1} \psi^{(n)}(x) \leq \frac{(n-1)!}{x^n} + \frac{n!}{x^{n+1}}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots.$$

Moreover, there holds the identity for ψ'' [21]:

$$(2.3) \quad \psi''(x) = -\frac{1}{x^2} - \frac{1}{x^3} - \frac{1}{2x^4} + \frac{\theta}{6x^6}, \quad 0 < \theta < 1.$$

Lemma 1. [2, Proposition 4.3] [14, Theorem C] Let $I \subset (0, \infty)$ be an interval. If $f : I \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a differentiable function, then the following assertions are equivalent:

- (1) The function f is geometrically convex (geometrically concave) on I ;
- (2) The function $g(x) \equiv \frac{xf'(x)}{f(x)}$ is increasing (decreasing) on I ;
- (3) The function f satisfies the inequalities

$$\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{\frac{yf'(y)}{f(y)}} \leq (\geq) \frac{f(x)}{f(y)} \leq (\geq) \left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{\frac{xf'(x)}{f(x)}}, \quad \forall x, y \in I.$$

Lemma 2. For $n = 1, 2, \dots$, there hold

$$(2.4) \quad (\log g_1(0))^{(n)} = \begin{cases} -\frac{\psi^{(n)}(1)}{n+1}, & a = 1, \\ -\frac{\psi^{(n)}(2)}{n+1}, & a = 2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We first consider the case for $a = 1$.

When $n = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\log g_1(0))' &= \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log g_1(x) - \log g_1(0)}{x - 0} \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{-\log \Gamma(x+1) - x\gamma}{x^2} = -\frac{\psi'(1)}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

We assume that (2.4) holds when $n = k$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}, k > 1$).

Then by L'Hopital Rule, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\log g_1(0))^{(k+1)} &= \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{(\log g_1(x))^{(k)} - (\log g_1(0))^{(k)}}{x - 0} \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{(-1)^k k! \delta_k(x) + \frac{\psi^{(k)}(1)}{k+1} x^{k+1}}{x^{k+2}} \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{-\psi^{(k+1)}(x+1)}{k+2} = -\frac{\psi^{(k+1)}(1)}{k+2}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\delta_k(x) \equiv -\log \Gamma(x+a) - \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{(-1)^j x^j}{j!} \psi^{(j-1)}(x+a).$$

By induction, (2.4) holds for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ when $a = 1$.

In a similar way, we can prove that (2.4) holds for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ when $a = 2$.

The proof is complete. \square

Lemma 3. For $a \in \mathbb{R}$, let $x \in (-a, \infty)$ and

$$h_1(x) \equiv -x\psi(x+a) + \log \Gamma(x+a).$$

(1) The function h_1 is strictly decreasing from $(-a, \infty)$ onto $(-\infty, \infty)$ if and only if $a \leq 0$.

(2) The function h_1 is strictly increasing on $(-a, 0]$ and strictly decreasing on $[0, \infty)$ with $h_1(x) \in (-\infty, \log \Gamma(a)]$ if and only if $a > 0$. Moreover, $h_1(x) < 0$ on $(-a, x_1) \cup (x_2, \infty)$, $h_1(x) > 0$ on (x_1, x_2) for $0 < a < 1$ or $a > 2$; and $h_1(x) < 0$ on $(-a, 0) \cup (0, \infty)$ for $1 \leq a \leq 2$, where x_1, x_2 are the same as in Theorem 1 (1).

Proof. Let $t = x + a$. Then

$$h_1(x) = h_1(t-a) \equiv \tilde{h}_1(t) = -(t-a)\psi(t) + \log \Gamma(t), \quad t \in (0, \infty).$$

It suffices to study the monotonicity property and the range of \tilde{h}_1 .

We first prove the monotonicity property of \tilde{h}_1 .

Differentiation yields

$$\tilde{h}'_1(t) = -(t-a)\psi'(t).$$

Therefore \tilde{h}_1 is strictly decreasing on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $a \leq 0$; and \tilde{h}_1 is strictly increasing on $(0, a]$ and strictly decreasing on $[a, \infty)$ if and only if $a > 0$.

Then we calculate the range of \tilde{h}_1 .

By the asymptotic formulas of $\log \Gamma$, ψ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{h}_1(t) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left(-(t-a) \left(\log t - \frac{1}{2t} + O\left(\frac{1}{t^2}\right) \right) + \left(t - \frac{1}{2} \right) \log t \right. \\ &\quad \left. - t + \frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi) + O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) \right) \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left(t \left(\left(a - \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{\log t}{t} - 1 \right) + \frac{t-a}{2t} + \frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi) + O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) \right) \\ &= -\infty, \quad a \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

By the recurrence formulas of Γ , ψ , we get

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \tilde{h}_1(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \left(-(t-a)\psi(t+1) + \log \Gamma(t) + \frac{t-a}{t} \right) = \infty, \quad a \leq 0$$

and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \tilde{h}_1(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{t} (t(-(t-a)\psi(t+1) + \log \Gamma(t+1)) - t \log t + t - a) = -\infty, \quad a > 0.$$

The limiting value $\lim_{t \rightarrow a} \tilde{h}_1(t) = \log \Gamma(a)$ is clear for $a > 0$.

Therefore $\tilde{h}_1(t) \in (-\infty, \infty)$ for $a \leq 0$; and $\tilde{h}_1(t) \in (-\infty, \log \Gamma(a)]$ for $a > 0$. Moreover, for $0 < a < 1$ or $a > 2$, there exist t_1, t_2 such that $\tilde{h}_1(t) < 0$ on $(0, t_1) \cup (t_2, \infty)$ and $\tilde{h}_1(t) > 0$ on (t_1, t_2) ; and for $1 \leq a \leq 2$, $\tilde{h}_1(t) < 0$ on $(0, a) \cup (a, \infty)$, where t_i satisfies $(t_i - a)\psi(t_i) = \log \Gamma(t_i)$, $i = 1, 2$ and $t_1 < a < t_2$.

The proof is complete. \square

Lemma 4. For $a > 0$, let $x \in (0, \infty)$ and

$$h_2(x) \equiv \frac{x\psi(x+a) - \log \Gamma(x+a)}{x}.$$

(1) The function h_2 is strictly increasing on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $\frac{1}{2} \leq a \leq 1$ or $a \geq 2$.

Moreover, $h_2(x) \in (-\infty, 1)$ for $\frac{1}{2} \leq a < 1$ or $a > 2$; and $h_2(x) \in (0, 1)$ for $a = 1$ or $a = 2$.

(2) The function h_2 is strictly decreasing on $(0, x_3]$ and strictly increasing on $[x_3, \infty)$ with $h_2(x) \in [h_2(x_3), \infty)$ if and only if $1 < a < 2$, where x_3 is the same as in Theorem 2 (1).

Proof. Let $t = x + a$. Then

$$h_2(x) = h_2(t-a) \equiv \tilde{h}_2(t) = -\frac{\tilde{h}_1(t)}{t-a}, \quad t \in (a, \infty),$$

where $\tilde{h}_1(t)$ is the same as in the proof of Lemma 3. It suffices to study the monotonicity property and the range of \tilde{h}_2 .

We first prove the monotonicity property of \tilde{h}_2 .

Differentiation gives

$$\tilde{h}'_2(t) \equiv \frac{h_{21}(t)}{(t-a)^2},$$

where

$$h_{21}(t) \equiv (t-a)^2\psi'(t) - (t-a)\psi(t) + \log \Gamma(t).$$

It is easy to obtain

$$h'_{21}(t) = (t-a)((t-a)\psi''(t) + \psi'(t)).$$

By the inequality (2.2) of ψ' and the identity (2.3) of ψ'' , we get

$$\begin{aligned} (t-a)\psi''(t) + \psi'(t) &> (t-a) \left(-\frac{1}{t^2} - \frac{1}{t^3} - \frac{1}{2t^4} \right) + \frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{2t^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2t^4} ((2a-1)t^2 + (2a-1)t + a). \end{aligned}$$

Since $(2a - 1)t^2 + (2a - 1)t + a > 0$ on (a, ∞) if and only if $a \geq \frac{1}{2}$, we have that h_{21} is strictly increasing on (a, ∞) for $a \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and hence

$$h_{21}(t) > \lim_{t \rightarrow a^+} h_{21}(t) = \log \Gamma(a).$$

Thus $h_{21}(t) > 0$ on (a, ∞) for $\frac{1}{2} \leq a \leq 1$ or $a \geq 2$.

For $0 < a < \frac{1}{2}$, the limiting value $\lim_{t \rightarrow a^+} h_{21}(t) = \log \Gamma(a) > 0$ is clear. By the asymptotic formulas of $\log \Gamma$, ψ , ψ' , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h_{21}(t) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left((t-a)^2 \left(\frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{2t^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{t^3}\right) \right) - (t-a) \left(\log t - \frac{1}{2t} + O\left(\frac{1}{t^2}\right) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(t - \frac{1}{2} \right) \log t - t + \frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi) + O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) \right) \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{-2at + a^2}{t} + \left(a - \frac{1}{2} \right) \log t + \frac{(t-a)^2}{2t^2} + \frac{t-a}{2t} + \frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi) + O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) \right) \\ &= -\infty. \end{aligned}$$

For $1 < a < 2$, we have $\lim_{t \rightarrow a^+} h_{21}(t) = \log \Gamma(a) < 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h_{21}(t) = \infty$.

Therefore $h_{21}(t) > 0$ on (a, ∞) and hence \tilde{h}_2 is strictly increasing on (a, ∞) if and only if $\frac{1}{2} \leq a \leq 1$ or $a \geq 2$.

Since h_{21} is strictly increasing on (a, ∞) for $1 < a < 2$, there exists $t_3 \in (a, \infty)$ such that $h_{21}(t) < 0$ on (a, t_3) and $h_{21}(t) > 0$ on (t_3, ∞) , where t_3 satisfies $(t_3 - a)^2 \psi'(t_3) + \log \Gamma(t_3) = (t_3 - a) \psi(t_3)$.

Therefore \tilde{h}_2 is strictly decreasing on $(a, t_3]$ and strictly increasing on $[t_3, \infty)$ if and only if $1 < a < 2$.

Then we calculate the range of \tilde{h}_2 .

By the proof of Lemma 3, we have $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{h}_1(t) = -\infty$. Using L'Hopital Rule and the asymptotic formula of ψ' , we obtain

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{h}_2(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (t-a) \left(\frac{1}{t} + O\left(\frac{1}{t^2}\right) \right) = 1, \quad a > 0.$$

Calculation yields the limiting value

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow a^+} \tilde{h}_2(t) = \begin{cases} -\infty, & \frac{1}{2} \leq a < 1 \text{ or } a > 2, \\ 0, & a = 1 \text{ or } a = 2, \\ \infty, & 1 < a < 2. \end{cases}$$

Therefore $\tilde{h}_2(t) \in (-\infty, 1)$ for $\frac{1}{2} \leq a < 1$ or $a > 2$; $\tilde{h}_2(t) \in (0, 1)$ for $a = 1$ or $a = 2$; and $\tilde{h}_2(t) \in [\tilde{h}_2(t_3), \infty)$ for $1 < a < 2$.

The proof is complete. \square

Open Problem 1. What is the monotonicity property of h_2 on $(0, \infty)$ for $0 < a < \frac{1}{2}$?

Lemma 5. For $a > 0$, let $x \in (0, \infty)$ and

$$h_3(x) \equiv \frac{-x^2\psi'(x+a) + 2x\psi(x+a) - 2\log \Gamma(x+a)}{x}.$$

Then the function h_3 is strictly increasing on $(0, \infty)$ for $a \geq 2$. Moreover, $h_3(x) \in (-\infty, 1)$ for $a > 2$; and $h_3(x) \in (0, 1)$ for $a = 2$.

Proof. Let $t = x + a$. Then

$$h_3(x) = h_3(t-a) \equiv \tilde{h}_3(t) = \frac{-(t-a)^2\psi'(t) + 2(t-a)\psi(t) - 2\log \Gamma(t)}{t-a}, \quad t \in (a, \infty).$$

It suffices to study the monotonicity property and the range of \tilde{h}_3 .

We first prove the monotonicity property of \tilde{h}_3 .

Differentiation gives

$$\tilde{h}'_3(t) \equiv \frac{h_{31}(t)}{(t-a)^2},$$

where

$$h_{31}(t) \equiv -(t-a)^3\psi''(t) + (t-a)^2\psi'(t) - 2(t-a)\psi(t) + 2\log \Gamma(t).$$

It is easy to obtain

$$h'_{31}(t) = -(t-a)^2((t-a)\psi'''(t) + 2\psi''(t)).$$

By the inequalities (2.2) of ψ'' and ψ''' , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (t-a)\psi'''(t) + 2\psi''(t) &\leq (t-a) \left(\frac{2}{t^3} + \frac{6}{t^4} \right) + 2 \left(-\frac{1}{t^2} - \frac{1}{t^3} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{t^4} (2(2-a)t - 6a). \end{aligned}$$

Since $2(2-a)t - 6a < 0$ on (a, ∞) if and only if $a \geq 2$, we have that h_{31} is strictly increasing on (a, ∞) for $a \geq 2$ and hence

$$h_{31}(t) > \lim_{t \rightarrow a^+} h_{31}(t) = 2\log \Gamma(a).$$

Therefore $h_{31}(t) > 0$ on (a, ∞) and hence \tilde{h}_3 is strictly increasing on (a, ∞) for $a \geq 2$.

Then we calculate the range of \tilde{h}_3 .

By the asymptotic formulas of $\log \Gamma$, ψ , ψ' , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left(-(t-a)^2 \psi'(t) + 2(t-a)\psi(t) - 2 \log \Gamma(t) \right) \\
 &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left(-(t-a)^2 \left(\frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{2t^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{t^3}\right) \right) + 2(t-a) \left(\log t - \frac{1}{2t} + O\left(\frac{1}{t^2}\right) \right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - 2 \left(\left(t - \frac{1}{2} \right) \log t - t + \frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi) + O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) \right) \right) \\
 &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left(t \left((1-2a) \frac{\log t}{t} - \frac{(t-a)^2}{t^2} + 2 \right) - \frac{(t-a)^2}{2t^2} - \frac{t-a}{t} - \log(2\pi) + O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) \right) \\
 &= \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

L'Hopital Rule and the asymptotic formula of ψ'' yield

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{h}_3(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left(-(t-a)^2 \left(-\frac{1}{t^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{t^3}\right) \right) \right) = 1.$$

It is easy to obtain

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow a^+} \tilde{h}_3(t) = \begin{cases} -\infty, & a > 2, \\ 0, & a = 2. \end{cases}$$

Therefore $\tilde{h}_3(t) \in (-\infty, 1)$ for $a > 2$; and $\tilde{h}_3(t) \in (0, 1)$ for $a = 2$. \square

Open Problem 2. *What is the monotonicity property of h_3 on $(0, \infty)$ for $0 < a < 2$?*

Lemma 6. *For $a > 0$, let $x \in (0, \infty)$ and*

$$h_4(x) \equiv \frac{x(x+a)\psi(x+a) - (x+a) \log \Gamma(x+a)}{x^2}.$$

(1) *The function h_4 is strictly increasing on $(0, \infty)$ for $a \geq 2$. Moreover, $h_4(x) \in (-\infty, 1)$ for $a > 2$; and $h_4(x) \in \left(\frac{\pi^2}{6} - 1, 1\right)$ for $a = 2$.*

(2) *The function h_4 is strictly decreasing on $(0, x_4]$ and strictly increasing on $[x_4, \infty)$ with $h_4(x) \in [h_4(x_4), \infty)$ for $\frac{3 + \sqrt{159}}{12} \leq a < 2$, where x_4 is the same as in Theorem 3 (1).*

Proof. Let $t = x + a$. Then

$$h_4(x) = h_4(t-a) \equiv \tilde{h}_4(t) = \frac{t(t-a)\psi(t) - t \log \Gamma(t)}{(t-a)^2}, \quad t \in (a, \infty).$$

It suffices to study the monotonicity property and the range of \tilde{h}_4 .

We first prove the monotonicity property of \tilde{h}_4 .

Differentiation gives

$$\tilde{h}'_4(t) \equiv \frac{h_{41}(t)}{(t-a)^3},$$

where

$$h_{41}(t) \equiv t(t-a)^2 \psi'(t) - (t^2 - a^2) \psi(t) + (t+a) \log \Gamma(t).$$

It is easy to obtain

$$h'_{41}(t) = t(t-a)^2\psi''(t) + 2(t-a)^2\psi'(t) - (t-a)\psi(t) + \log \Gamma(t)$$

and

$$h''_{41}(t) = (t-a)(t(t-a)\psi'''(t) + (5t-3a)\psi''(t) + 3\psi'(t)).$$

By the inequalities (2.2) of ψ' , ψ''' and the identity (2.3) of ψ'' , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & t(t-a)\psi'''(t) + (5t-3a)\psi''(t) + 3\psi'(t) \\ & > t(t-a) \left(\frac{2}{t^3} + \frac{3}{t^4} \right) + (5t-3a) \left(-\frac{1}{t^2} - \frac{1}{t^3} - \frac{1}{2t^4} \right) + 3 \left(\frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{2t^2} \right) \\ & = \frac{1}{2t^4} ((2a-1)t^2 - 5t + 3a). \end{aligned}$$

Since $(2a-1)t^2 - 5t + 3a \geq 0$ on (a, ∞) if and only if $a \geq \frac{3 + \sqrt{159}}{12} \approx 1.3$, we have that

h'_{41} is strictly increasing on (a, ∞) for $a \geq \frac{3 + \sqrt{159}}{12}$ and hence

$$h'_{41}(t) > \lim_{t \rightarrow a^+} h'_{41}(t) = \log \Gamma(a).$$

Moreover, $h'_{41}(t) > 0$ and hence h_{41} is strictly increasing on (a, ∞) for $a \geq 2$.

Then for $a \geq 2$, we have

$$h_{41}(t) > \lim_{t \rightarrow a^+} h_{41}(t) = 2a \log \Gamma(a).$$

Therefore $h_{41}(t) > 0$ and hence \tilde{h}_4 is strictly increasing on (a, ∞) for $a \geq 2$.

We consider the case for $\frac{3 + \sqrt{159}}{12} \leq a < 2$ in the following.

The limiting value $\lim_{t \rightarrow a^+} h'_{41}(t) = \log \Gamma(a) < 0$ is clear.

By the asymptotic formulas of $\log \Gamma$, ψ , ψ' , ψ'' , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h'_{41}(t) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left(t(t-a)^2 \left(-\frac{1}{t^2} - \frac{1}{t^3} + O\left(\frac{1}{t^4}\right) \right) + 2(t-a)^2 \left(\frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{2t^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{t^3}\right) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (t-a) \left(\log t - \frac{1}{2t} + O\left(\frac{1}{t^2}\right) \right) + \left(t - \frac{1}{2} \right) \log t - t + \frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi) + O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) \right) \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{-2at + a^2}{t} + \left(a - \frac{1}{2} \right) \log t + \frac{t-a}{2t} + \frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi) + O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) \right) \\ &= \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since h'_{41} is strictly increasing on (a, ∞) for $a \geq \frac{3 + \sqrt{159}}{12}$, there exists $\tilde{t}_4 \in (a, \infty)$ such that $h'_{41}(t) < 0$ on (a, \tilde{t}_4) and $h'_{41}(t) > 0$ on (\tilde{t}_4, ∞) , where \tilde{t}_4 satisfies $\tilde{t}_4(\tilde{t}_4 - a)^2\psi''(\tilde{t}_4) + 2(\tilde{t}_4 - a)^2\psi'(\tilde{t}_4) + \log \Gamma(\tilde{t}_4) = (\tilde{t}_4 - a)\psi(\tilde{t}_4)$.

Hence h_{41} is strictly decreasing on $(a, \tilde{t}_4]$ and strictly increasing on $[\tilde{t}_4, \infty)$ for $\frac{3 + \sqrt{159}}{12} \leq a < 2$.

The limit values $\lim_{t \rightarrow a^+} h_{41}(t) = 2a \log \Gamma(a) < 0$ is clear.

By the asymptotic formulas of $\log \Gamma$, ψ , ψ' , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h_{41}(t) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left(t(t-a)^2 \left(\frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{2t^2} + \frac{1}{6t^3} + O\left(\frac{1}{t^5}\right) \right) - (t^2 - a^2) \left(\log t - \frac{1}{2t} - \frac{1}{12t^2} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + O\left(\frac{1}{t^4}\right) \right) + (t+a) \left(\left(t - \frac{1}{2} \right) \log t - t + \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi + \frac{1}{12t} + O\left(\frac{1}{t^3}\right) \right) \right) \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left(t \left(\left(a - \frac{1}{2} \right) \log t + \left(a^2 - \frac{a}{2} \right) \frac{\log t}{t} + 1 + \frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi) - 3a \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + a \left(a + \frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi) - 1 \right) + \frac{4t^2 - 3at + a^2}{12t^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{t^2}\right) \right) \\ &= \infty. \end{aligned}$$

By the monotonicity property of h_{41} , there exists $t_4 (> \tilde{t}_4)$ such that $h_{41}(t) < 0$ on (a, t_4) and $h_{41}(t) > 0$ on (t_4, ∞) , where t_4 satisfies $t_4(t_4 - a)^2 \psi'(t_4) + (t_4 + a) \log \Gamma(t_4) = (t_4^2 - a^2) \psi(t_4)$.

Therefore \tilde{h}_4 is strictly decreasing on $(a, t_4]$ and strictly increasing on $[t_4, \infty)$ for $\frac{3 + \sqrt{159}}{12} \leq a < 2$.

Then we calculate the range of \tilde{h}_4 .

The following limiting values

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{h}_4(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t}{t-a} \tilde{h}_2(t) = 1, \quad a > 0,$$

and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow a^+} \tilde{h}_4(t) = \begin{cases} \infty, & \frac{3 + \sqrt{159}}{12} \leq a < 2, \\ -\infty, & a > 2 \end{cases}$$

are clear.

For $a = 2$, by L'Hopital Rule, we get

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 2^+} \tilde{h}_4(t) = \psi'(2) = \frac{\pi^2}{6} - 1.$$

Therefore $\tilde{h}_4(t) \in (-\infty, 1)$ for $a > 2$; $\tilde{h}_4(t) \in \left(\frac{\pi^2}{6} - 1, 1 \right)$ for $a = 2$; and $\tilde{h}_4(t) \in [\tilde{h}_4(t_4), \infty)$ for $\frac{3 + \sqrt{159}}{12} \leq a < 2$.

The proof is complete. □

Open Problem 3. What is the monotonicity property of h_4 on $(0, \infty)$ for $0 < a < \frac{3 + \sqrt{159}}{12}$?

3. PROOFS OF MAIN RESULTS

Proof of Theorem 1. (1) Logarithmic differentiation gives

$$\frac{g_1'(x)}{g_1(x)} \equiv \frac{h_1(x)}{x^2},$$

where $h_1(x)$ is the same as in Lemma 3.

By Lemma 3, we have that there exists $x_0 \in (-a, \infty)$ such that g_1 is strictly increasing on $(-a, x_0)$ and strictly decreasing on (x_0, ∞) if and only if $a \leq 0$, where x_0 satisfies $x_0\psi(x_0 + a) = \log \Gamma(x_0 + a)$; g_1 is strictly decreasing on $(-a, x_1), (x_2, \infty)$, and strictly increasing on $(x_1, 0), (0, x_2)$ if and only if $0 < a < 1$ or $a > 2$, where x_i satisfies $x_i\psi(x_i + a) = \log \Gamma(x_i + a)$, $i = 1, 2$; and g_1 is strictly decreasing on $(-a, 0)$ and $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $1 \leq a \leq 2$.

(2) By (1), we have that g_1 is not LCM on $(-a, \infty)$ or $(0, \infty)$ for $a \leq 0$, $0 < a < 1$ or $a > 2$. Therefore we only need to consider the LCM property of g_1 for $1 \leq a \leq 2$.

For $x \in (-a, \infty) \setminus \{0\}$, by the formula (2.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^n (\log g_1(x))^{(n)} &= (-1)^{n+1} \left(\frac{(-1)^n n!}{x^{n+1}} \log \Gamma(x+a) + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(-1)^{n-k} n!}{k! x^{n-k+1}} \psi^{(k-1)}(x+a) \right) \\ &\equiv \frac{n!}{x^{n+1}} \delta_n(x), \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta_n(x) \equiv -\log \Gamma(x+a) - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(-1)^k x^k}{k!} \psi^{(k-1)}(x+a)$ is the same as in the proof of Lemma 2.

By differentiation, we get

$$\delta_n'(x) = \frac{(-1)^{n+1} x^n}{n!} \psi^{(n)}(x+a) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{(k+x+a)^{n+1}}$$

and hence

$$\delta_n'(x) \begin{cases} < 0, & x \in (-a, 0), & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ > 0, & x \in (0, \infty), & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ > 0, & x \in (-a, \infty) \setminus \{0\}, & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

For n is odd and $x \in (-a, \infty) \setminus \{0\}$, we have

$$\delta_n(x) > \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \delta_n(x) = -\log \Gamma(a).$$

Then $\delta_n(x) > 0$ and hence $(-1)^n (\log g_1(x))^{(n)} > 0$ on $(-a, \infty) \setminus \{0\}$ if and only if $1 \leq a \leq 2$.

For n is even and $x \in (-a, 0)$, we have

$$\delta_n(x) < \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \delta_n(x) = -\log \Gamma(a).$$

Then $\delta_n(x) < 0$ and hence $(-1)^n (\log g_1(x))^{(n)} > 0$ on $(-a, 0)$ if and only if $0 < a \leq 1$ or $a \geq 2$.

For n is even and $x \in (0, \infty)$, we have

$$\delta_n(x) > \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \delta_n(x) = -\log \Gamma(a).$$

Then $\delta_n(x) > 0$ and hence $(-1)^n (\log g_1(x))^{(n)} > 0$ on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $1 \leq a \leq 2$.

Therefore g_1 is strictly LCM on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $1 \leq a \leq 2$.

(2) By Lemma 2, we get

$$(-1)^n (\log g_1(0))^{(n)} = \begin{cases} \frac{(-1)^{n+1} \psi^{(n)}(1)}{n+1}, & a = 1, \\ \frac{(-1)^{n+1} \psi^{(n)}(2)}{n+1}, & a = 2, \end{cases}$$

which are clearly positive.

Together with the proof in (1), we have that g_1 is strictly LCM on $(-a, \infty)$ if and only if $a = 1$ or $a = 2$.

The proof is complete. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. (1) Logarithmic differentiation leads to

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{g_2'(x)}{g_2(x)} \equiv \frac{h_2(x) - c}{x},$$

where $h_2(x)$ is the same as in Lemma 4.

By Lemma 4, we have that g_2 is strictly decreasing on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $c \geq 1$ for $\frac{1}{2} \leq a \leq 1$ or $a \geq 2$; g_2 is strictly increasing on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $c \leq 0$ for $a = 1$ or $a = 2$; and g_2 is strictly increasing on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $c \leq h_2(x_3)$ for $1 < a < 2$, where x_3 satisfies $x_3^2 \psi'(x_3 + a) + \log \Gamma(x_3 + a) = x_3 \psi(x_3 + a)$.

(2) Differentiation gives

$$(\log g_2(x))'' \equiv \frac{c - h_3(x)}{x^2},$$

where $h_3(x)$ is the same as in Lemma 5.

By Lemma 5, we have that g_2 is strictly log-convex on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $c \geq 1$ for $a \geq 2$; and g_2 is strictly log-concave on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $c \leq 0$ for $a = 2$.

(3) By (3.1), it is easy to obtain

$$x \frac{g_2'(x)}{g_2(x)} \equiv h_2(x) - c.$$

By Lemma 1 and Lemma 4, we have that g_2 is geometrically convex on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $(a, c) \in D_1 \cup D_2$; and g_2 is geometrically concave on $(0, x_3)$ and geometrically convex on (x_3, ∞) if and only if $(a, c) \in D_3 \cup D_4$.

The proof is complete. \square

Proof of Theorem 3. (1) Logarithmic differentiation gives

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{g_3'(x)}{g_3(x)} \equiv \frac{h_4(x) - c}{x + a},$$

where $h_4(x)$ is the same as in Lemma 6.

By Lemma 6, we have that g_3 is strictly decreasing on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $c \geq 1$ for $a \geq 2$; g_3 is strictly increasing on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $c \leq \frac{\pi^2}{6} - 1$ for $a = 2$; and g_3 is strictly

increasing on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $c \leq h_4(x_4)$ for $\frac{3 + \sqrt{159}}{12} \leq a < 2$, where x_4 satisfies $x_4^2(x_4 + a)\psi'(x_4 + a) + (x_4 + 2a) \log \Gamma(x_4 + a) = x_4(x_4 + 2a)\psi(x_4 + a)$.

(2) By (3.2), it is easy to obtain

$$(3.3) \quad x \frac{g_3'(x)}{g_3(x)} \equiv h_2(x) + \frac{ac}{x+a} - c,$$

where $h_2(x)$ is the same as in Lemma 4.

By Lemma 1 and Lemma 4, we have that g_3 is geometrically convex on $(0, \infty)$ for $(a, c) \in D_9 \cup D_{10}$; and g_3 is geometrically concave on $(0, x_3)$ for $(a, c) \in D_3$ and geometrically convex on (x_3, ∞) for $(a, c) \in D_4$.

The proof is complete. \square

4. COMPARISON OF INEQUALITIES

In this section, we compare the inequalities appeared in the corollaries in Section 1.

Remark 4. For $c \leq 0$ and $x, y > 0$, there holds

$$\left(\frac{x+y}{2\sqrt{xy}} \right)^c \leq 1.$$

Thus the inequality (1.3) is better than the reversed one of inequality (1.5) for $(a, c) \in D_8$.

Remark 5. By Lemma 4 (1), for $0 < x < y$, we have

$$\left(\frac{x}{y} \right)^{\frac{x\psi(x+a) - \log \Gamma(x+a)}{x}} < 1 < \left(\frac{x}{y} \right)^c$$

for $(a, c) \in D_7 \cup D_8$; and

$$\left(\frac{x}{y} \right)^c < \left(\frac{x}{y} \right)^{\frac{y\psi(y+a) - \log \Gamma(y+a)}{y}}$$

for $(a, c) \in D_5 \cup D_6$.

Thus the right side of the inequalities (1.6) is better than the inequality (1.2) and the reversed one of inequality (1.4) for $(a, c) \in D_7 \cup D_8$; and the left side of the inequalities (1.6) is better than the inequality (1.4) for $(a, c) \in D_5 \cup D_6$.

Remark 6. By (3.3) and Lemma 4 (1), it is easy to obtain

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} x \frac{g_3'(x)}{g_3(x)} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x \frac{g_3'(x)}{g_3(x)} = 1 - c,$$

and hence for $0 < x < y$, there holds

$$\left(\frac{x}{y} \right)^{\frac{x\psi(x+a) - \log \Gamma(x+a)}{x} - \frac{cx}{x+a}} < 1$$

for $(a, c) \in D_8$.

Thus the right side of the inequalities (1.8) is better than the reversed one of inequality (1.7) for $(a, c) \in D_8$.

Acknowledgements. This research was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (NNSFC) under Grant Nos. 11771400 and 11601485.

REFERENCES

- [1] Montel P. Sur les fonctions convexes et les fonctions sousharmoniques[J]. Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées, 1928, 9(7): 29-60.
- [2] Niculescu C P. Convexity according to the geometric mean[J]. Mathematical Inequalities and Applications, 2000, 3(2): 155-167.
- [3] Atanassov R D, Tsoukrovski U V. Some properties of a class of logarithmically completely monotonic functions[J]. Comptes Rendus de l'Académie Bulgare des Sciences, 1988, 41(2): 21-23.
- [4] Qi F, Chen C P. A complete monotonicity property of the gamma function[J]. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 2004, 296(2): 603-607.
- [5] Grinshpan A Z, Ismail M E H. Completely monotonic functions involving the gamma and q -gamma functions[J]. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 2006, 134(4): 1153-1160.
- [6] Yang Z H, Zheng S Z. Complete monotonicity involving some ratios of gamma functions[J]. Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 2017, 2017(1): 255.
- [7] Yang Z H, Zheng S Z. Complete monotonicity and inequalities involving gurland's ratios of gamma functions[J]. Mathematical Inequalities and Applications, 2019, 22(1): 97-109.
- [8] Qi F, Lim D. Monotonicity properties for a ratio of finite many gamma functions[J]. Advances in Difference Equations, 2020, 2020(1): 1-9.
- [9] Qi F, Niu D W, Lim D, et al. Some logarithmically completely monotonic functions and inequalities for multinomial coefficients and multivariate beta functions[J]. Applicable Analysis and Discrete Mathematics, 2020, 14(2): 512-527.
- [10] Berg C, Cetinkaya A, Karp D. Completely monotonic ratios of basic and ordinary gamma functions[J]. Aequationes Mathematicae, 2021, 95(3): 569-588.
- [11] Tian J F, Yang Z H. Logarithmically complete monotonicity of ratios of q -gamma functions[J]. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 2022, 508(1): 125868.
- [12] Kershaw D, Laforgia A. Monotonicity results for the gamma function[J]. Atti della Accademia delle Scienze di Torino. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali, 1985, 119(3-4): 127-133.
- [13] Qi F, Guo B N. Some logarithmically completely monotonic functions related to the gamma function[J]. Journal of the Korean Mathematical Society, 2010, 47(6): 1283-1297.
- [14] Chu Y M, Zhang X M, Zhang Z H. The geometric convexity of a function involving gamma function with applications[J]. Communications of the Korean Mathematical Society, 2010, 25(3): 373-383.
- [15] Qiu S L, Cai C Y. Monotonicity and convexity properties of the gamma and psi functions[J]. Journal of Zhejiang Sci-Tech University, 2018, 39(1): 108-112.
- [16] Qi F, Chen C P. Monotonicity and convexity results for functions involving the gamma function[J]. International Journal of Applied Mathematical Sciences, 2004, 1: 27-36.
- [17] An M Q. Logarithmically complete monotonicity and logarithmically absolute monotonicity properties for the gamma function[J]. Communications in Mathematical Analysis, 2009, 6(2): 69-78.
- [18] Abramowitz M, Stegun I A. Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs and Mathematical Tables[M]. 10th ed. Dover, New York, 1972.
- [19] Qiu S L, Vuorinen M. Special Functions in Geometric Function Theory[M]. Handbook of Complex Analysis: Geometric Function Theory, 2005, 2: 621-659.
- [20] Guo S, Qi F, Srivastava H M. A class of logarithmically completely monotonic functions related to the gamma function with applications[J]. Integral Transforms and Special Functions, 2012, 23(8): 557-566.
- [21] Fichtenholz G M. Differential- und Integralrechnung.II[M]. VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1986.

PEIPEI DU, SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, ZHEJIANG SCI-TECH UNIVERSITY, HANGZHOU 310018, CHINA
Email address: peipei_du@126.com

*GENDI WANG (CORRESPONDING AUTHOR): SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, ZHEJIANG SCI-TECH UNIVERSITY, HANGZHOU 310018, CHINA
Email address: gendi.wang@zstu.edu.cn