

The Dirac impenetrable barrier in the limit point of the Klein energy zone

Salvatore De Vincenzo^{1, *}

¹*The Institute for Fundamental Study (IF),
Naresuan University, Phitsanulok 65000, Thailand[†]*

(Dated: May 25, 2022)

Abstract We reanalyze the problem of a 1D Dirac single-particle colliding with the electrostatic potential step of height V_0 with an incoming energy that tends to the limit point of the so-called Klein energy zone, i.e., $E \rightarrow V_0 - mc^2$, for a given V_0 . In this situation, the particle is actually colliding with an impenetrable barrier. In fact, $V_0 \rightarrow E + mc^2$, for a given relativistic energy $E (< V_0)$, is the maximum value that the height of the step can reach and that ensures the perfect impenetrability of the barrier. Nevertheless, we notice that, unlike the nonrelativistic case, the entire eigensolution does not completely vanish, either at the barrier or in the region under the step, but its upper component does satisfy the Dirichlet boundary condition at the barrier. More importantly, by calculating the mean value of the force exerted by the wall on the particle in this eigenstate and taking its nonrelativistic limit, we recover the required result. The presentation is suitable for the advanced graduate level.

PACS numbers: 03.65.-w, 03.65.Ca, 03.65.Db, 03.65.Pm

Keywords: relativistic quantum mechanics; Dirac equation; impenetrable barrier; boundary conditions; force operator

I. INTRODUCTION

Let us consider the problem of a (massive) 1D Dirac particle in the potential step of height V_0 :

$$\phi(x) = V_0 \Theta(x) \hat{1}, \quad (1)$$

*URL: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5009-053X>; Electronic address: [salvatore@nu.ac.th]

[†]Escuela de Física, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Central de Venezuela, Caracas 1041, Venezuela

where $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $\Theta(x)$ is the Heaviside step function ($\Theta(x < 0) = 0$ and $\Theta(x > 0) = 1$), and $\hat{1}$ is the 2×2 identity matrix. If the particle approaching the step potential from the left has positive momentum, $\hbar k > 0$, and positive energy $E (> mc^2)$ such that $E - V_0 < 0$, or more specifically, $E - V_0 < -mc^2$ ($\Rightarrow V_0 > E + mc^2$, for a given energy; but also, $V_0 > 2mc^2$ because $E > mc^2$), we say that the particle has energy in the so-called Klein energy zone. This is because Klein tunneling occurs in that range of energies (the latter physical phenomenon tells us that, among other things, high-energy Dirac particles can, in principle, pass an infinitely high barrier). Incidentally, this is what is currently called Klein's paradox [1–3]. In this paper, we are interested in the case in which the energy of the particle is just the limit point of this energy zone, i.e., $E - V_0 \rightarrow -mc^2$ ($\Rightarrow V_0 \rightarrow E + mc^2$, for a given energy). We present the most important results corresponding to the Klein energy zone in the remainder of this section. Some of these results were already introduced in Ref. [4]. Then, in section II, we impose on these results the limit that leads to results that are valid at the boundary of the Klein energy zone. Finally, a final discussion of these results is given in section III.

The scattering eigensolution of the 1D Dirac Hamiltonian operator \hat{H} , i.e., the solution of the time-independent 1D Dirac equation,

$$\hat{H}\psi(x) = \left(-i\hbar c \hat{\alpha} \frac{d}{dx} + mc^2 \hat{\beta} + \phi \right) \psi(x) = E\psi(x), \quad (2)$$

in the Dirac representation, that is, $\hat{\alpha} = \hat{\sigma}_x$ and $\hat{\beta} = \hat{\sigma}_z$ ($\hat{\sigma}_x$ and $\hat{\sigma}_z$ are two of the Pauli matrices), and $\psi = [\varphi \ \chi]^T$ (the symbol T denotes the transpose of a matrix) can be written in a single expression as follows:

$$\psi(x) = (\psi_i(x) + \psi_r(x)) \Theta(-x) + \psi_t(x) \Theta(x), \quad (3)$$

where the incoming and reflected plane-wave solutions are given by

$$\psi_i(x \leq 0) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ a \end{bmatrix} e^{ikx}, \quad (4)$$

$$\psi_r(x \leq 0) = \left(\frac{a+b}{a-b} \right) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -a \end{bmatrix} e^{-ikx} \equiv r \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -a \end{bmatrix} e^{-ikx}, \quad (5)$$

and the transmitted solution is given by

$$\psi_t(x \geq 0) = \frac{2a}{a-b} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -b \end{bmatrix} e^{-ikx} \equiv t \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -b \end{bmatrix} e^{-ikx}. \quad (6)$$

The real quantities a and b are given by

$$a = \frac{c \hbar k}{E + mc^2} > 0, \quad b = \frac{c \hbar \bar{k}}{E - V_0 + mc^2} < 0, \quad (7)$$

where

$$c \hbar k = \sqrt{E^2 - (mc^2)^2} > 0, \quad c \hbar \bar{k} = \sqrt{(E - V_0)^2 - (mc^2)^2} > 0. \quad (8)$$

It is important to note that $E - V_0 + mc^2$ and $E - V_0 - mc^2$ are negative. Additionally, it should be noted that the solution given in Eq. (6) is essentially obtained by making the replacement $E \rightarrow E - V_0$ in the solution given by Eq. (5) (also \bar{k} is obtained from k by making this replacement). Furthermore, note that a and b can be written as follows:

$$a = \sqrt{\frac{E - mc^2}{E + mc^2}}, \quad b = -\sqrt{\frac{E - V_0 - mc^2}{E - V_0 + mc^2}} = -\sqrt{\frac{V_0 - E + mc^2}{V_0 - E - mc^2}}. \quad (9)$$

We are also introducing in Eqs. (5) and (6) the quantities r and t that some authors call coefficient for reflection (to the left) and transmission (to the right), also, we prefer to use here the letter \bar{k} instead of the Greek letter κ that was used in Ref. [4]. The solution $\psi(x)$ in Eq. (3) is a continuous function at $x = 0$, i.e.,

$$\psi(0-) = \psi(0+) \equiv \psi(0) \quad (\Rightarrow \psi_i(0) + \psi_r(0) = \psi_t(0)) \quad (10)$$

(we use the notation $x_{\pm} \equiv \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} (x \pm \epsilon)$, with $x = 0$), where the last definition in Eq. (10) forces us to use the definition $\Theta(0) \equiv 1/2$. Thus, $\varrho(x) = \psi^\dagger(x)\psi(x) = |\varphi(x)|^2 + |\chi(x)|^2$, the probability density, and $j(x) = c\psi^\dagger(x)\hat{\sigma}_x\psi(x) = 2c \operatorname{Re}(\varphi^*(x)\chi(x))$, the probability current density, are also continuous functions at $x = 0$ (the symbol \dagger represents the adjoint of a matrix and the symbol $*$ denotes the complex conjugate, as usual), i.e.,

$$\varrho(0-) = \varrho(0+) = \varrho_t(0) = \frac{4a^2(1 + b^2)}{(a - b)^2} \quad (11)$$

and

$$j(0-) = j(0+) = j_t(0) = -\frac{8ca^2b}{(a - b)^2} > 0. \quad (12)$$

Obviously, $\varrho_t(x)$ and $j_t(x)$ are calculated for the solution $\psi_t(x)$. Additionally, the evaluation of $\varrho_t(x)$ and $j_t(x)$ at $x = 0$ made in Eqs. (11) and (12) is not necessary because the solutions we are using are just plane-wave solutions, i.e., ϱ_t and j_t , and the other probability and current densities (ϱ_i , j_i , etc.) are constant quantities (obviously, this is not the case when we have a wave packet).

The reflection and transmission coefficients, or the reflection and transmission probabilities, are given by

$$R = \frac{|j_r|}{|j_i|} = \left(\frac{a+b}{a-b} \right)^2 = |r|^2 \quad (13)$$

and

$$T = \frac{|j_t|}{|j_i|} = \frac{4a|b|}{(a-b)^2} = \frac{|b|}{a} |t|^2. \quad (14)$$

Note that the latter two quantities verify $R+T = 1$, i.e., $|r|^2 + (|b|/a) |t|^2 = 1$, as is to be required by the conservation of the probability; equivalently but also more intuitively, $|j_r| + |j_t| = |j_i|$. Thus, a 1D Dirac particle with energies in the Klein energy zone can propagate on both sides of the step potential, but the original Klein paradox [5], i.e., the situation where R is greater than one, does not occur [4, 6–9]. In particular, when the infinite-potential limit is taken, i.e., $V_0 \rightarrow \infty$, we have that $b \rightarrow -1$, and the reflection and transmission coefficients go to $R \rightarrow ((a-1)/(a+1))^2$ and $T \rightarrow 4a/(a+1)^2$ [4, 8, 9]. Thus, the transmission coefficient does not vanish even when the height of the barrier is infinitely high. This specific tunneling (i.e., the case when $V_0 \rightarrow \infty$) is more noticeable when the particle has a high energy. In fact, when $E \gg mc^2$ we have that $a \rightarrow 1$, and therefore $T \rightarrow 1$. Certainly, it is not necessary for the potential jump to go to infinity for Klein tunneling to exist. Additionally, note that the eigenvalues of the momentum operator $\hat{p} = -i\hbar \hat{1} d/dx$ corresponding to the transmitted eigensolution are negative, that is, $\hat{p} \psi_t = -\hbar \bar{k} \psi_t$; however, the transmitted velocity field is positive, namely,

$$v_t \equiv \frac{j_t}{\varrho_t} = -\frac{2cb}{1+b^2} = -\frac{c^2 \hbar \bar{k}}{E - V_0} = c \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{mc^2}{E - V_0} \right)^2} > 0. \quad (15)$$

The mean value of the external classical force operator

$$\hat{f} = -\frac{d}{dx} \phi(x) = -V_0 \delta(x) \hat{1} \quad (16)$$

($\delta(x) = d\Theta(x)/dx$ is the Dirac delta function), or the average force acting on the particle by the wall of potential at $x = 0$, in the scattering state ψ , is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \hat{f} \rangle_\psi &= \langle \psi, \hat{f} \psi \rangle = -V_0 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx \delta(x) \psi^\dagger(x) \psi(x) = -V_0 \varrho(0) = -V_0 \varrho_t(0) \\ &= -V_0 \frac{4a^2(1+b^2)}{(a-b)^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

That is, the result is dependent on V_0 , as expected (b is also a function of V_0).

II. THE LIMIT POINT OF THE KLEIN ENERGY ZONE

When we take the precise limit $V_0 \rightarrow E + mc^2$, for a given energy, we reach the limit point of the Klein energy zone. More accurately, here, V_0 reaches the value $E + mc^2$ “from the right”, i.e., $V_0 \rightarrow (E + mc^2)_+$. Thus, from Eq. (9), we obtain the result $b \rightarrow -\infty$, and therefore, $R \rightarrow 1$ and $T \rightarrow 0$ (see Eqs. (13) and (14)). Consistently, the transmitted velocity field verifies that $v_t \rightarrow 0$ (see Eq. (15)). Additionally, \bar{k} tends to zero in this limit (see the second of the relations in Eq. (8)) and the solution of the Dirac equation in Eq. (3) takes the form

$$\psi(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 2i \sin(kx) \\ 2a \cos(kx) \end{bmatrix} \Theta(-x) + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2a \end{bmatrix} \Theta(x). \quad (18)$$

Now, note that the entire wavefunction is not zero in the region $x \geq 0$, only its upper component φ , i.e., only the so-called large component of the 1D Dirac wavefunction in the Dirac representation. Nevertheless, the particle does not penetrate into that region because the transmitted probability current density vanishes there (i.e., $j_t(x \geq 0) = 0$), i.e., because the probability current density is zero at $x = 0$ (i.e., $j(0-) = j(0+) \equiv j(0) = 0$), i.e., because the origin is an impenetrable barrier (see the result in Eq. (12)). The result in Eq. (18) confirms that, in general, the entire Dirac wavefunction does not disappear at a point where an impenetrable barrier exists [10]; in fact, ϱ is not zero at $x = 0$, and the barrier is still impenetrable (note that as the energy of the particle increases, the quantity a moves away from zero and approaches one); however, the wavefunction must satisfy some other impenetrability boundary condition. In effect, in this case, we have that $\psi(0-) = \psi(0+) \equiv \psi(0) \neq 0$, but the large component satisfies the Dirichlet boundary condition at $x = 0$, i.e.,

$$\varphi(0-) = \varphi(0+) \equiv \varphi(0) = 0 \quad (19)$$

(see Eq. (18)), and the lower component of ψ , i.e., χ , remains continuous there. Thus, when the origin becomes an impenetrable barrier (i.e., after the limit $V_0 \rightarrow E + mc^2$ has been taken), the respective boundary condition emerges naturally. Certainly, the limit $V_0 \rightarrow E + mc^2$ could be considered as the impenetrable barrier limit in the 1D Dirac theory, and the boundary condition in Eq. (19) as the natural impenetrability boundary condition when the Dirac representation is used (at least for positive energies). Instead, in the Schrödinger nonrelativistic theory, the respective impenetrable barrier limit (i.e., $V_0 \rightarrow \infty$) leads to the Dirichlet boundary condition for the (one-component) wavefunction.

Incidentally, for positive energies, the energy eigensolutions of the time-independent 1D Dirac equation in the (momentum-dependent) Foldy-Wouthuysen representation [11, 12] (in the free case and in the case of a static external field) essentially have the form $\psi_{\text{FW}} = [\psi_1 \ 0]^T$, where ψ_1 and φ only differ by a constant factor (i.e., by a factor depending on the energy eigenvalue) [13–15]. Thus, the boundary condition in Eq. (19) would take the form $\psi_{\text{FW}}(0-) = \psi_{\text{FW}}(0+) \equiv \psi_{\text{FW}}(0) = 0$, i.e., the entire Foldy-Wouthuysen eigensolution would verify the Dirichlet boundary condition at $x = 0$. The latter boundary condition imposed on ψ_{FW} appears to be acceptable; in fact, the (free-particle) 1D Foldy-Wouthuysen Hamiltonian operator, for example, unlike the (free) 1D Dirac Hamiltonian operator, depends on $(c\hat{p})^2 + (mc^2)^2$ (although this quantity is under a square root) [13–15].

When the height of the potential V_0 reaches the value $E + mc^2$, for a given relativistic energy that is always less than V_0 , the potential reaches the maximum value that it can reach and that ensures the impenetrability of the barrier. In fact, as we explained before, if $V_0 > E + mc^2$, for a given relativistic energy (and then $E < V_0$), but additionally $V_0 \rightarrow \infty$, then we have that $R \neq 1$. In effect, in this situation, only if the energies are low or nonrelativistic, i.e., $E \cong mc^2$, would we have that $R \rightarrow 1$ (see the comment related to the limit $V_0 \rightarrow \infty$ in the paragraph following Eq. (14)) [9]. Finally, when V_0 is less than $E + mc^2$ and still greater than E , i.e., $E < V_0 < E + mc^2$, the reflection is still a total reflection, i.e., $R = 1$ [3, 16, 17]. The latter means that when the potential reaches the value $E + mc^2$ “from the left”, i.e., $V_0 \rightarrow (E + mc^2)-$, we also have that $R \rightarrow 1$. In addition, as we know, when $V_0 \rightarrow (E + mc^2)+$, also $R \rightarrow 1$. Thus, the limit when $V_0 \rightarrow E + mc^2$ effectively leads to total reflection, and we can be sure of our conclusions by taking the limit $V_0 \rightarrow E + mc^2$ on results that are only valid in the Klein energy zone.

Actually, the boundary condition in Eq. (19) is just one of the physically (and mathematically) suitable boundary conditions that one could impose on the 1D Dirac wavefunction at a point such that $x = 0$ (where a kind of hard wall exists). In fact, there are an infinite number of impenetrability boundary conditions at our disposal, and for each of them, the Hamiltonian operator that describes a 1D Dirac particle moving on the real line with an impenetrable obstacle at the origin is self-adjoint (and consequently the respective probability current density vanishes there). In the end, in all these cases, the particle could be in just one of the two half-spaces. In this regard, the subfamily of boundary conditions that ensures impenetrability at the origin is given by the two relations in Eq. (B6) in Ref. [18]. This (two-real-parameter) subfamily is obtained from the most general (four-real-parameter) family of boundary conditions given in Eq.

(B1) in Ref. [18] by setting $\theta = 0$ [See Ref. [19], although the discussion on this topic was made for the similar problem of a 1D Dirac particle moving in the interval $[0, L]$. However, by substituting $0 \rightarrow 0+$ and $L \rightarrow 0-$ in the boundary conditions of this reference, the corresponding boundary conditions for the case in which the particle moves along the real line with an obstacle at the origin can be obtained]. In particular, the boundary condition in Eq. (19) is obtained from Eq. (B6) in Ref. [18] by imposing $\mu = \tau = \pi/2, 3\pi/2$, and it certainly defines a relativistic point interaction at the point $x = 0$. Clearly, the Dirichlet boundary condition imposed on the entire (two-component) Dirac wavefunction at $x = 0$ is not included in Eq. (B6) of Ref. [18], i.e., the corresponding (first-order) Dirac Hamiltonian operator with this boundary condition is not self-adjoint.

Additionally, in the impenetrable barrier limit $V_0 \rightarrow E + mc^2$, the mean value of the force exerted by the wall on the particle in Eq. (17) takes the form

$$\langle \hat{f} \rangle_\psi = -(E + mc^2) 4a^2 = -4(E - mc^2). \quad (20)$$

To be more precise, the latter result should be written as $\langle \hat{f} \rangle_\psi = -4(E - mc^2) |A|^2$, where A is a complex-value (normalization) constant that multiplies the right-hand side of the scattering solution in Eq. (3). Thus, the average force upon a 1D Dirac particle that is in a stationary state and hits an impenetrable wall at $x = 0$ is proportional to the relativistic kinetic energy of the particle.

In the nonrelativistic limit, we have that $E \rightarrow E^{(\text{NR})} + mc^2 \cong mc^2 (E^{(\text{NR})}$ is the nonrelativistic kinetic energy), and we obtain in this approximation the following result:

$$a \rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{E^{(\text{NR})}}{2mc^2}} \cong 0 \quad (21)$$

(see the first of the relations in Eq. (9)). Likewise, the solution of the Dirac equation in Eq. (18) approaches:

$$\psi(x) \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2i \sin(kx) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \Theta(-x), \quad (22)$$

which is an expected result. Certainly, in this approximation, and when the energies are positive, the upper component of the Dirac wavefunction is essentially the Schrödinger wavefunction and the lower component is practically zero. Additionally, in the nonrelativistic limit, the mean value of the operator \hat{f} in Eq. (20) takes the form

$$\langle \hat{f} \rangle_\psi \rightarrow -4 E^{(\text{NR})}. \quad (23)$$

The latter is precisely the result obtained from the 1D Schrödinger theory by taking the limit $V_0 \rightarrow \infty$ on the mean value of \hat{f} calculated in the respective Schrödinger eigenstate. To check this, see Eq. (10) in Ref. [20].

III. FINAL DISCUSSION

In the 1D Schrödinger theory, the impenetrable barrier limit, that is, the infinite-potential limit, leads to the Dirichlet boundary condition for the respective (one-component) wavefunction (i.e., the latter satisfies this boundary condition at the barrier). On the other hand, in the 1D Dirac theory, and for particles with high energies, the infinite-potential limit does not lead to an impenetrability boundary condition for the respective (two-component) wavefunction (because the particle can perfectly penetrate into the potential step when the step goes to infinity). Most likely because of this, when one models an impenetrable barrier in the Dirac theory (let us call it a Dirac impenetrable barrier), the most common has always been just to select and then impose some impenetrability boundary condition on the Dirac wavefunction, but the Dirichlet boundary condition imposed on the entire (two-component) wavefunction at the point where the barrier is located is not acceptable. For example, in the problem of the 1D Dirac particle confined to a finite interval of the real line (a 1D box), different physically (and mathematically) suitable boundary conditions have been used (see, for example, Refs. [10, 21–23]). Again, the Dirichlet boundary condition imposed on the entire wave function at the ends of the box is not acceptable [10].

The results we have obtained confirm that the limit $V_0 \rightarrow E + mc^2$, for a given energy, is the impenetrable barrier limit in the 1D Dirac theory, i.e., by taking it in the problem of the particle incident on a step potential, the probability current density, calculated for the scattering eigensolution of the problem, disappears at the barrier. More importantly, in this limit, the impenetrability boundary condition for this (positive-energy) solution arises naturally, namely, only its upper or large component (in the Dirac representation) satisfies the Dirichlet boundary condition at the barrier. Certainly, we obtain the latter result before taking the nonrelativistic limit of the eigensolution. Furthermore, we calculated the mean value of the force exerted by the impenetrable barrier on the particle and showed that it tends to the required result when its nonrelativistic limit is calculated. The required result is none other than the result that is obtained when the infinite-potential limit is taken on the mean value of the force operator calculated in

the Schrödinger eigenstate [20].

To summarize, we have obtained the boundary condition that the Dirac wavefunction must fulfill at a point where there is an impenetrable barrier only taking a limit on the potential, i.e., $V_0 \rightarrow E + mc^2$, for a given energy (in the nonrelativistic theory, the impenetrability boundary condition is obtained by making $V_0 \rightarrow \infty$). Similarly, in the Dirac impenetrable barrier limit, we obtained the mean value of the force operator (calculated in the scattering state of the problem), and by taking its nonrelativistic limit, we recovered the result obtained by calculating this quantity in the 1D Schrödinger theory. These simple results, obtained within the framework of a quantum relativistic theory for a single particle in an external field, do not seem to have been considered before. Thus, we believe that our paper may be attractive to those interested in fundamental aspects of relativistic quantum mechanics.

-
- [1] R-K. Su, G. G. Siu and X. Chou, "Barrier penetration and Klein paradox," *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **26**, 1001-1005 (1993).
- [2] S. De Leo and P. P. Roselli, "Barrier paradox in the Klein zone," *Phys. Rev. A* **73**, 042107 (2006).
- [3] M. Ochiai and H. Nakazato, "Completeness of scattering states of the Dirac Hamiltonian with a step potential," *J. Phys. Commun.* **2**, 015006 (2018).
- [4] S. De Vincenzo, "Operators and bilinear densities in the Dirac formal 1D Ehrenfest theorem," *Journal of Physical Studies* **19**, 1003 (2015).
- [5] O. Klein, "Die reflexion von elektronen an einem potentialsprung nach der relativistischen dynamik von Dirac," *Z. Phys.* **53**, 157 (1929).
- [6] W. Greiner, B. Müller, J. Rafelski, *Quantum Electrodynamics of Strong Fields* (Springer, New York, 1985), pp. 112-121.
- [7] W. Greiner, *Relativistic Quantum Mechanics*, 2nd ed. (Springer, New York, 1997), pp. 325-332
- [8] D. Dragoman, "Evidence against Klein paradox in graphene," Preprint, arXiv:quant-ph/0701083v3 (2008).
- [9] M. Razavi, M. Mollai, S. Jami and A. Ahanj, "Downward relativistic potential step and phenomenological account of Bohmian trajectories of the Klein paradox," *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* **131**, 306 (2016).
- [10] V. Alonso, S. De Vincenzo and L. Mondino, "On the boundary conditions for the Dirac equation," *Eur. J. Phys.* **18**, 315-320 (1997).

- [11] L. L. Foldy and S. A. Wouthuysen, "On the Dirac theory of spin 1/2 particles and its non-relativistic limit," *Phys. Rev.* **78**, 29-36 (1950).
- [12] J. P. Costella and B. H. J. McKellar, "The Foldy-Wouthuysen transformation," *Am. J. Phys.* **63**, 1119-1121 (1995).
- [13] A. J. Silenko, "Connection between wave functions in the Dirac and Foldy-Wouthuysen representations," *Phys. Part. Nucl. Lett.* **5**, 501-505 (2008).
- [14] V. P. Neznamov and A. J. Silenko, "Foldy-Wouthuysen wave functions and conditions of transformation between Dirac and Foldy-Wouthuysen representations," *J. Math. Phys.* **50**, 122302 (2009).
- [15] S. De Vincenzo, "Changes of representation and general boundary conditions for Dirac operators in 1+1 dimensions," *Rev. Mex. Fis.* **60**, 401-408 (2014).
- [16] J. J. Sakurai, *Advanced Quantum Mechanics* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1967), pp. 120-121.
- [17] S. De Leo and P. P. Rotelli, "Dirac equation studies in the tunneling energy zone," *Eur. Phys. J. C* **51**, 241-247 (2007).
- [18] V. Alonso and S. De Vincenzo, "Delta-type Dirac point interactions and their nonrelativistic limits," *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **39**, 1483-1498 (2000).
- [19] V. Alonso and S. De Vincenzo, "General boundary conditions for a Dirac particle in a box and their non-relativistic limits," *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **30**, 8573-8585 (1997).
- [20] S. De Vincenzo, "On average forces and the Ehrenfest theorem for a particle in a semi-infinite interval," *Rev. Mex. Fis. E* **59**, 84-90 (2013).
- [21] C. W. Sherwin, *Introduction to Quantum Mechanics*, 1st ed. (Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1959), pp. 301-308.
- [22] P. Alberto, C. Fiolhais and V. M. S. Gil, "Relativistic particle in a box," *Eur. J. Phys.* **17**, 19-24 (1996).
- [23] A. D. Alhaidari and E. El Aoud, "Solution of the Dirac equation in a one-dimensional box," *AIP Conf. Proc.* **1370**, 21-25 (2011).