

# SQUARE ROOTS OF NEARLY PLANAR GRAPHS

ZDENĚK DVOŘÁK, ABHIRUK LAHARI, AND BENJAMIN MOORE

**ABSTRACT.** We prove that it is NP-hard to decide whether a graph is the square of a 6-apex graph. This shows that the square root problem is not tractable for squares of sparse graphs (or even graphs from proper minor-closed classes).

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Given a graph  $G$ , the *square* of  $G$ , denoted  $G^2$ , is the graph where  $V(G^2) = V(G)$ , and  $uv \in E(G^2)$  if and only if the distance between  $u$  and  $v$  in  $G$  is at most 2. For a graph  $G$ , a *square root* of  $G$  is a graph  $H$  such that  $H^2 = G$ . Note that a graph may have many possible square roots, for example,  $K_5$  has both  $C_5$  and  $K_{1,4}$  as square roots.

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a fixed class of graphs. The problem we are interested in is:

### $\mathcal{H}$ -square-root

**Instance:** A graph  $G$ .

**Question:** Is there a square root  $H$  of  $G$  such that  $H \in \mathcal{H}$ ?

There are some classes for which  $\mathcal{H}$ -square-root is known to be in P. For instance, if  $\mathcal{H}$  is the class of bipartite graphs [6], outerplanar graphs [5], proper interval graphs [7] or the class of graphs with girth at least 6 [3], then  $\mathcal{H}$ -square-root is in P. Further, it is possible to compute some cut vertices of square roots given the square, [1], so the  $\mathcal{H}$  problem is in P for classes of graphs such as trees, cacti, and block graphs. On the other hand, the problem is known to be NP-complete when  $\mathcal{H}$  is the class of all graphs [8] (despite a characterization of when a graph has a square root [9]), graphs with girth at least 5 [2], chordal graphs [7] and split graphs [7].

Observing that the hard graph classes above are relatively dense, it has been asked multiple times if the  $\mathcal{H}$ -square-root problem is in P for sparse graph classes (for instance, see [5]). We show here that the answer is no. Recall that a graph  $G$  is *k-apex* if there exists a set of at most  $k$  vertices such that the deletion of these vertices results in a planar graph. Using a mild tweak on the reduction in [7] (showing the hardness of finding chordal square roots), we prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.1.** *The  $\mathcal{H}$ -square-root problem is NP-complete for the class  $\mathcal{H}$  of 6-apex graphs.*

Actually, we prove the following slightly stronger result, showing the hardness of the following version of the problem.

### **Promise- $\mathcal{H}$ square-root**

---

Supported by the ERC-CZ project LL2005 (Algorithms and complexity within and beyond bounded expansion) of the Ministry of Education of Czech Republic.

**Instance:** Graph  $G$  that either has no square root, or has a square root belonging to  $\mathcal{H}$ .

**Question:** Does  $G$  have a square root?

The difference here is that we are allowed not to deal with the graphs that have a square root, but no square root belonging to  $\mathcal{H}$  (whereas  $\mathcal{H}$ -square-root has to answer NO for such instances).

**Theorem 1.2.** *The promise- $\mathcal{H}$  square-root problem is NP-complete for the class  $\mathcal{H}$  of 6-apex graphs.*

Clearly, Theorem 1.2 implies Theorem 1.1. Let us remark that the class of 6-apex graph is minor-closed. As such, it lies at the bottom of the bounded-expansion hierarchy of sparse graph classes [10]. Still, it is natural to ask whether the apex vertices are indeed necessary, or whether already the planar square-root problem is hard. We believe the latter is the case.

**Conjecture 1.3.** *The  $\mathcal{H}$ -square-root problem is NP-complete for the class  $\mathcal{H}$  of planar graphs.*

## 2. SET SPLITTING PRELIMINARIES

Before proving Theorem 1.1, we first show a variant of set splitting is NP-complete. Let  $S$  be a set and  $\mathcal{C}$  a collection of subsets of  $S$ . The *incidence graph* of  $S$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  is the bipartite graph  $I$  with bipartition  $(A, B)$  such that for every element  $e \in S$ , we have a vertex  $x_e \in A$ , and for every set  $c \in \mathcal{C}$ , we have a vertex  $v_c \in B$ , and  $x_e v_c \in E(I)$  if and only if  $e \in c$ . We are interested in the following problem:

### Three parts planar set splitting

**Instance:** A set  $S$ , a collection  $\mathcal{C}$  of subsets of  $S$  where all subsets have at least three elements, and such that the incidence graph of  $S$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  is planar.

**Question:** Is there a partition of  $S$  into three sets  $S_1, S_2, S_3$  such that for all  $c \in \mathcal{C}$  there is an element of  $c$  in  $S_i$  for each  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ ?

We first prove this problem is NP-complete by a reduction from reducing planar 3-colouring, which is well-known to be NP-complete [4].

**Observation 2.1.** The three parts planar set splitting problem is NP-complete.

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be an instance of planar 3-colouring. Let  $G'$  be the graph obtained from  $G$  by taking every edge  $e = xy$  and adding a new vertex  $z_{xy}$  adjacent to both  $x$  and  $y$ . Observe that  $G'$  is planar, and that  $G'$  is 3-colourable if and only if  $G$  is 3-colourable. Further note that for any new vertex  $z_{xy}$ , in any 3-colouring of  $G'$ , all three colours appear on the triangle  $x, y, z_{xy}$ .

Now let  $S$  be the set of vertices of  $G'$ , and let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the collection of triangles which contain a vertex in  $V(G') - V(G)$ . Notice that the incidence graph of  $S$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  is planar, since for any vertex in  $V(G') - V(G)$ , this vertex corresponds to a vertex of degree one in the incidence graph, and after removing all such vertices, we simply end up with a graph that is a subdivision of  $G$ . We claim that  $G'$  (and hence  $G$ ) has a 3-colouring if and only

if there is a partition of  $S$  into three sets  $S_1, S_2, S_3$  such that for each set  $c \in \mathcal{C}$ , there is an element of  $c$  which belongs to  $S_i$  for  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ .

To see this, suppose  $G'$  has a 3-colouring  $f$ . Let  $S_i$  for  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  be the set of vertices which get colour  $i$  in  $f$ . This is a partition of  $S$ , and for any triangle  $T$  containing a vertex in  $V(G') - V(G)$ ,  $f$  colours the triangle with three distinct colours, hence we get a solution to the three parts planar set splitting problem. Conversely, if  $S_1, S_2, S_3$  is a partition of  $S$  such that for any set  $c \in \mathcal{C}$ , there is an element of  $c$  in each of  $S_1, S_2, S_3$ , then simply colour the vertices of  $G'$  with colour  $i$  if the vertex lies in  $S_i$ . Since every edge of  $G'$  is contained in a triangle belonging to  $\mathcal{C}$ , this is a proper 3-colouring of  $G'$ , completing the reduction.  $\square$

### 3. THE REDUCTION

Before jumping into the reduction, we first recall the “tail” structure which is frequently used in square root complexity results (see, for example, [2, 7, 8]).

Let  $G$  be any graph. Let  $N_G(v)$  denote the set of neighbors a vertex  $v$  in  $G$ . An  $X$ -tail at a vertex  $v \in V(G)$  consists of three vertices  $v_1, v_2, v_3 \in V(G)$  such that  $N_G(v) \neq \{v_2, v_3\}$ ,  $N_G(v_1) = \{v_2, v_3\}$ ,  $N_G(v_2) = \{v_1, v_3, v\}$ , and  $N_G(v_3) = \{v_1, v_2, v\} \cup X$ , where  $X$  is a subset of  $N_G(v) \setminus \{v_2, v_3\}$ . The following observation is well known and illustrates why tails are useful:

**Observation 3.1** ([8]). If  $G$  is a graph and  $v_1, v_2, v_3$  is an  $X$ -tail at  $v$ , then for any square root  $H$  of  $G$ , we have that  $N_H(v_1) = \{v_2\}$ ,  $N_H(v_2) = \{v_1, v_3\}$ ,  $N_H(v_3) = \{v, v_2\}$ , and  $N_H(v) = \{v_3\} \cup X$ .

Now we give the reduction from the three part planar set splitting problem, which we have shown to be NP-complete in the previous section.

Let  $S, \mathcal{C}$  be an instance of the three part planar set splitting problem, with  $\mathcal{C} \neq \emptyset$ . We construct a graph  $G$  with the following vertex set:

- A vertex  $x_s$  for each element  $s \in S$ ,
- For each  $c \in \mathcal{C}$ , we add a vertex  $x_c$ , as well as three additional vertices  $x_c^1, x_c^2, x_c^3$ ,
- Vertices  $a_i, b_i, b_i^1, b_i^2, b_i^3$  for  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ .

And edge set:

- (i) For any two distinct elements  $s, s' \in S$ , we add the edge  $x_s x_{s'}$ .
- (ii) For  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , and any element  $s \in S$ , we add the edges  $a_i x_s$  and  $b_i x_s$ .
- (iii) For  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  and for any set  $c \in \mathcal{C}$ , we add the edges  $a_i x_c$  and  $b_i x_c$ .
- (iv) For any two distinct sets  $c_1, c_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ , if  $c_1 \cap c_2 \neq \emptyset$  then we add the edge  $x_{c_1} x_{c_2}$ .
- (v) For each  $c \in \mathcal{C}$ , add edges  $x_c^1 x_c^2, x_c^1 x_c^3, x_c^2 x_c^3, x_c^2 x_c, x_c^3 x_c$ . Further, for each element  $s \in c$ , add the edges  $x_c^3 x_s$  and  $x_c x_s$ . Hence,  $x_c^1, x_c^2, x_c^3$  is an  $\{x_s : s \in c\}$ -tail at  $x_c$ .
- (vi) For  $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , we add the edges  $a_i b_j$ , and the edges  $b_i b_j$  if  $i < j$ .
- (vii) For  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , we add the edges  $b_i^1 b_i^2, b_i^1 b_i^3, b_i^2 b_i^3, b_i^2 b_i, b_i^3 b_i$ , for every element  $s \in S$ , add the edge  $b_i^3 x_s$ , and add the edge  $b_i^3 a_i$ . Hence,  $b_i^1, b_i^2, b_i^3$  is an  $(\{x_s : s \in S\} \cup \{a_i\})$ -tail at  $b_i$ .

We claim that  $G$  has a square root  $H$  if and only if  $S, \mathcal{C}$  is a YES-instance of the three part planar set splitting problem, and if it has one,  $H$  is 6-apex. We collect some basic observations about any square root of  $G$  (if it exists).

**Observation 3.2.** Let  $H$  be a square root of  $G$ . For each  $c \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , there is  $s \in c$  such that  $x_s a_i \in E(H)$ .

*Proof.* Because of the tail at  $x_c$ , Observation 3.1 implies that  $N_H(x_c) = \{x_c^3\} \cup \{x_s : s \in c\}$  and that  $x_c^3$  has no neighbors outside of the tail. Since  $G$  has the edge  $x_c a_i$ ,  $x_c$  must be at distance two from  $a_i$ , and this implies that there is an edge  $x_s a_i$  for some  $s \in c$ .  $\square$

**Observation 3.3.** Let  $H$  be a square root of  $G$ . For any element  $s \in S$ , the vertex  $x_s$  is adjacent to at most one of  $a_i$  for  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  in  $H$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$  is an independent set in  $G$ , no two of these vertices can have a common neighbor in  $H$ .  $\square$

Combining the previous two observations, we obtain one implication of the reduction.

**Corollary 3.4.** *If  $G$  has a square root, then  $S, \mathcal{C}$  is a YES-instance of the three part planar set splitting problem.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $H$  is a square root of  $G$ . For  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , let  $S'_i = \{s \in S : x_s a_i \in E(H)\}$ . By Observation 3.3, these sets are pairwise disjoint. Hence,  $S_1 = S'_1$ ,  $S_2 = S'_2$ , and  $S_3 = S \setminus (S'_1 \cup S'_2)$  is a partition of  $S$  with  $S'_3 \subseteq S_3$ . Moreover, by Observation 3.2, for each  $c \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , we have  $c \cap S_i \neq \emptyset$ .  $\square$

We now need to show the converse.

**Observation 3.5.** If  $S, \mathcal{C}$  is a YES-instance of the three planar set splitting problem, then  $G$  has a 6-apex square root.

*Proof.* Let  $S_1, S_2, S_3$  be a partition of  $S$  such that for each  $c \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , we have that there is an element  $s_{c,i} \in c \cap S_i$ . Let us also choose  $s_{c,4} \in c$  arbitrarily. Let  $H$  be the graph with  $V(H) = V(G)$  and with the following edges:

- The edges forced by the tails, that is, for each  $c \in \mathcal{C}$ , the edges  $x_c^1 x_c^2$ ,  $x_c^2 x_c^3$ ,  $x_c^3 x_c$ , and  $x_c x_s$  for each  $s \in c$ ; and for  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , the edges  $b_i^1 b_i^2$ ,  $b_i^2 b_i^3$ ,  $b_i^3 b_i$ ,  $b_i x_s$  for each  $s \in S$  and  $b_i a_i$  for  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ .
- The edges  $x_s a_i$  for each  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  and  $s \in S_i$ .

Note that  $H - \{a_1, a_2, a_3, b_1, b_2, b_3\}$  is planar, as it is obtained from the incidence graph of  $S, \mathcal{C}$  by adding pendant paths corresponding to the tails. Hence,  $H$  is 6-apex.

Now,  $H^2$  contains the edges (i), since  $x_s$  and  $x_{s'}$  are both adjacent to  $b_1$  in  $H$ . It contains the edges (ii), since  $x_s b_i, a_i b_i \in E(H)$ . It contains the edges (iii), since  $a_i x_{s_{c,i}}, b_i x_{s_{c,i}}, x_{s_{c,i}} x_c \in E(H)$ . It contains the edges (iv), since if  $s \in c_1 \cap c_2$ , then  $x_{c_1} x_s, x_{c_2} x_s \in E(H)$ . It contains the edges (v), since these follow from the path  $x_c^1 x_c^2 x_c^3 x_c$  and the edges  $x_c x_s$  for  $s \in c$ . It contains the edges (vi), since for any  $c \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $a_i x_{s_{c,i}}, b_j x_{s_{c,i}}, b_i x_{s_{c,i}} \in E(H)$ . Finally, it contains the edges (vii), since these follow from the path  $b_i^1 b_i^2 b_i^3 b_i$  and the edges  $b_i a_i$  and  $b_i x_s$  for  $s \in S$ . Therefore,  $G \subseteq H^2$ .

To see that  $G = H^2$ , it suffices to show that the neighborhood of each vertex of  $H$  induces a clique in  $G$ . For  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ ,

- $N_H(a_i) = S_i \cup \{b_i\}$  is a clique in  $G$  covered by the edges (i) and (ii);
- $N_H(b_i) = S \cup \{a_i, b_i^3\}$  is a clique in  $G$  covered by the edges (i), (ii), and (vii);
- for  $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ ,  $N_H(b_i^j)$  is a clique in  $G$  of size at most two covered by the edges (vii);
- for  $s \in S_i$ ,  $N_H(x_s) = \{x_c : c \in \mathcal{C}, s \in c\} \cup \{a_i, b_1, b_2, b_3\}$  is a clique in  $G$  covered by the edges (iii), (iv), and (vi).

Moreover, for  $c \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $N_H(x_c) = \{x_s : s \in c\} \cup \{x_c^3\}$  is a clique in  $G$  covered by the edges (i) and (v), and for  $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ ,  $N_H(x_c^j)$  is clique in  $G$  of size at most two covered by the edges (v).  $\square$

We can now prove our main result.

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Clearly, promise- $\mathcal{H}$  square-root problem is in NP, since we can guess a square root  $H$  and easily check if  $H^2 = G$ .

Given an instance  $S, \mathcal{C}$  of the three planar set splitting problem, we create the graph  $G$  as described at the beginning of the section; clearly, this can be done in polynomial time. By Corollary 3.4, if  $S, \mathcal{C}$  is a NO-instance, then  $G$  has no square root; and by Observation 3.5, if it is a YES-instance, then  $G$  has a 6-apex square root. Hence, this is a polynomial-time reduction from the the three planar set splitting problem to the promise- $\mathcal{H}$  square-root problem, showing the NP-completeness of the latter.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Guillaume Ducoffe. Finding cut-vertices in the square roots of a graph. In Hans L. Bodlaender and Gerhard J. Woeginger, editors, *Graph-Theoretic Concepts in Computer Science*, pages 234–248, Cham, 2017. Springer International Publishing.
- [2] Babak Farzad and Majid Karimi. Square-root finding problem in graphs, A complete dichotomy theorem. *CoRR*, abs/1210.7684, 2012.
- [3] Babek Farzad, Lap-chi Lau, Bang Van Le, and Ngoc Nguyen Tuy. Complexity of finding graph roots with girth conditions. *Algorithmica*, 62:38–53, 2012.
- [4] Michael Garey and David Johnson. *Computers and Intractability: A Guide to the Theory of NP-completeness*. WH Freeman & Co. New York, NY, USA, 1979.
- [5] Petr A. Golovach, Pinar Heggernes, Dieter Kratsch, Paloma T. Lima, and Daniël Paulusma. Algorithms for outerplanar graph roots and graph roots of pathwidth at most 2. *Algorithmica*, 81(7):2795–2828, jul 2019.
- [6] Lap Chi Lau. Bipartite roots of graphs. *ACM Trans. Algorithms*, 2(2):178–208, apr 2006.
- [7] Lap Chi Lau and Derek G. Corneil. Recognizing powers of proper interval, split, and chordal graphs. *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics*, 18(1):83–102, 2004.
- [8] Rajeev Motwani and Madhu Sudan. Computing roots of graphs is hard. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 54(1):81–88, 1994.

- [9] A. Mukhopadhyay. The square root of a graph. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory*, 2:290–295, 1967.
- [10] J. Nešetřil and P. Ossona de Mendez. *Sparsity (Graphs, Structures, and Algorithms)*, volume 28 of *Algorithms and Combinatorics*. Springer, 2012.

COMPUTER SCIENCE INSTITUTE, CHARLES UNIVERSITY, PRAGUE, CZECH REPUBLIC  
*Email address:* {rakdver,lahiri,brmoore}@iuuk.mff.cuni.cz