

PARTIAL REGULARITY FOR AN ELLIPTIC-PARABOLIC SYSTEM MODELING MISCIBLE FLUID FLOWS IN POROUS MEDIA

XIANGSHENG XU

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study the existence and partial regularity of weak solutions to an elliptic-parabolic system that models the single-phase miscible displacement of one incompressible fluid by another in a porous media. The system is singular and involves quadratic nonlinearity. A partial regularity result is obtained via the Fefferman-Stein inequality.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N with boundary $\partial\Omega$. For each $T > 0$ we consider the initial boundary value problem

$$(1.1) \quad \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = q^I(x, t) - q^P(x, t) \quad \text{in } \Omega_T \equiv \Omega \times (0, T),$$

$$(1.2) \quad \mathbf{v} = -\frac{1}{\mu(u)} K(x) \nabla p \quad \text{in } \Omega_T,$$

$$(1.3) \quad \Phi(x) \partial_t u - \operatorname{div}(\Phi(x) D(\mathbf{v}) \nabla u) + \nabla u \cdot \mathbf{v} = q^I(x, t) (\hat{u}(x, t) - u) \quad \text{in } \Omega_T,$$

$$(1.4) \quad K(x) \nabla p \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Sigma_T \equiv \partial\Omega \times (0, T),$$

$$(1.5) \quad D(\mathbf{v}) \nabla u \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Sigma_T,$$

$$(1.6) \quad u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \quad \text{on } \Omega,$$

where \mathbf{n} is the unit outward normal to $\partial\Omega$. This problem can be proposed as a mathematical model for the single-phase miscible displacement of one incompressible fluid by another in a porous media [1, 2, 3, 8, 11]. In this case, p is the pressure in the mixture, \mathbf{v} is the Darcy velocity, and u is the concentration of the invading fluid. As for the remaining terms in the problem, they are given functions of their arguments. Their physical meanings and mathematical properties can be described as follows:

(H1) The function $\Phi(x)$ is the porosity. It is assumed to satisfy

$$\Phi(x) \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \min_{\Omega} \Phi(x) > 0.$$

(H2) The matrix $K(x)$ represents the absolute permeability of the porous media. Entries of $K(x)$ are Hölder continuous functions and satisfy the uniform ellipticity condition, i.e, there is a positive number c_0 such that

$$\xi \cdot K(x) \xi \geq c_0 |\xi|^2 \quad \text{for each } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ and each } x \in \Omega$$

(H3) The functions q^I and q^P are the injection and projection source terms, respectively, while \hat{u} is the injected concentration. They have the properties

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \hat{u} \leq 1, \\ q^I, q^P &\in L^\infty(0, T; L^\ell(\Omega)) \quad \text{for each } \ell \geq 1, \quad q^I \geq 0, \text{ and } q^P \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35D30, 35A01, 35K67, 35B65.

Key words and phrases. Partial regularity, miscible displacement of one incompressible fluid by another, the Fefferman-Stein inequality, the (Hardy–Littlewood) maximal function .

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Mississippi State University, Mississippi State, MS 39762. *Email:* xxu@math.msstate.edu.

In view of (1.1) and (1.4), we must have the consistence condition

$$\int_{\Omega} (q^I - q^P) dx = 0.$$

(H4) The matrix $D(\mathbf{v})$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} D(\mathbf{v}) &= mI + |\mathbf{v}| \left(\frac{b\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}}{|\mathbf{v}|^2} + a \left(I - \frac{\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}}{|\mathbf{v}|^2} \right) \right) \\ (1.8) \quad &= (m + a|\mathbf{v}|)I + (b - a) \frac{\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}}{|\mathbf{v}|}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}^T$, I is the $N \times N$ identity matrix, and

$$m > 0, \quad b \geq a > 0.$$

The product $\Phi(x)D(\mathbf{v})$ is the so-called hydrodynamic dispersion tensor. It is not difficult to check that $D(x)$ is a Lipschitz function of x . Moreover, we can easily verify

$$(1.9) \quad (a|\mathbf{v}| + m)|\xi|^2 \leq D(\mathbf{v})\xi \cdot \xi \leq (b|\mathbf{v}| + m)|\xi|^2 \quad \text{for each } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Thus, equation (1.3) is singular on the set where $|\mathbf{v}|$ is infinity. This constitutes the main difficulty in our mathematical analysis.

(H5) The function $\mu(u)$ is the viscosity, which can be assumed to satisfy

$$\mu(u) \geq \frac{1}{\lambda}, \quad \mu'(u) \leq \lambda \quad \text{for some } \lambda > 1.$$

An example of $\mu(u)$ can be found in [3].

(H6) $u_0(x) \in W^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap C^\alpha(\overline{\Omega})$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Furthermore, $0 \leq u_0 \leq 1$.

Definition 1.1. Let (H1)-(H6) hold. We say (p, u) is a weak solution to (1.1)-(1.6) if:

(D1) $u \in L^2(0, T; W^{1,2}(\Omega))$ with $0 \leq u \leq 1$, $p \in L^\infty(0, T; W^{1,2}(\Omega))$ with $\int_{\Omega} p dx = 0$, and $\sqrt{1 + |\mathbf{v}|} |\nabla u| \in L^2(\Omega_T)$, where \mathbf{v} is given as in (1.2);

(D2) There hold

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{\Omega_T} \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \theta dx dt = \int_{\Omega_T} (q^I - q^P) \theta dx dt \quad \text{for each } \theta \in L^2(0, T; W^{1,2}(\Omega)), \text{ and} \\ & - \int_{\Omega_T} \Phi(x) u \partial_t \zeta dx dt + \int_{\Omega_T} \Phi(x) D(\mathbf{v}) \nabla u \cdot \nabla \zeta dx dt + \int_{\Omega_T} \nabla u \cdot \mathbf{v} \zeta dx dt \\ & = \int_{\Omega_T} q^I (\hat{u} - u) dx dt + \int_{\Omega} u_0(x) \zeta(x, 0) dx \quad \text{for each } \zeta \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega_T}) \text{ with } \zeta(x, T) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We can easily deduce from (1.1) and (1.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \mathbf{v} \zeta dx &= - \int_{\Omega} u \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \zeta dx - \int_{\Omega} u \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \zeta dx \\ (1.10) \quad &= - \int_{\Omega} u (q^I - q^P) \zeta dx - \int_{\Omega} u \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \zeta dx \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in (0, T). \end{aligned}$$

We will repeatedly make use of this fact.

Problems of this type have attracted a lot of attention. The first existence theorem for (1.1)-(1.6) was established in [11] for the case $N = 2$. This result was later generalized by Chen and Ewing [3] to the three dimensional case and with gravity effects and various boundary conditions. Amirat and Ziani [1] studied the asymptotic behavior of the weak solution as m goes to 0 and they proved an existence assertion when $m = 0$ and $N = 2$, or 3. Existence with measure data was considered in [9]. In this paper, we will construct a weak solution without any restrictions on the space dimensions. Then we turn our attention to the regularity properties of weak solutions.

As we mentioned earlier, equation (1.3) is singular when $|\mathbf{v}|$ is infinity. Moreover, the diffusive coefficients there depend on ∇p . Thus, cross-diffusion takes place. The quadratic nonlinear term $\nabla p \cdot \nabla u$ is also present in the equation. In general, we cannot expect $|\nabla p|, |\nabla u|$ to be bounded. We develop a partial regularity theorem. Before we present our result, we introduce some notions. For $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N, t_0 > 0, z_0 = (x_0, t_0), r > 0$, we define

$$B_r(x_0) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^N : |y - x_0| < r\}, \quad Q_r(z_0) = B_r(x_0) \times \left(t_0 - \frac{1}{2}r^2, t_0 + \frac{1}{2}r^2\right).$$

We say $z_0 \in \Omega_T$ is a regular point for the weak solution (p, u) if for each $\ell > 1$ there is a $r > 0$ such that

$$(1.11) \quad |\nabla p| \in L^\ell(Q_r(z_0)).$$

If z_0 is not a regular point, then we say it is a singular point.

Theorem 1.2 (Main theorem). *Let (H1)-(H6) hold. Assume that $\partial\Omega$ is $C^{1,1}$. Then there is a weak solution (p, u) to (1.1)-(1.6). If, in addition, $N = 2, 3$, or 4 , then $z_0 = (x_0, t_0) \in \Omega_T$ is a regular point whenever it satisfies*

$$(1.12) \quad \limsup_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \sup_{t_0 - \frac{1}{2}\rho^2 \leq t \leq t_0 + \frac{1}{2}\rho^2} \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} |\nabla p|^2 dx < \infty.$$

Here and in what follows $\sup_E f$ means $\text{ess sup}_E f$ for any measurable function f defined on measurable set E and

$$\int_{B_r(x_0)} f(x) dx = \frac{1}{|B_r(x_0)|} \int_{B_r(x_0)} f(x) dx.$$

Since (1.12) holds a.e. on Ω_T , our result implies that the singular set is discrete in the sense that it does not have any interior points.

There have been many papers that deal with results like (1.11). See [7] and the references therein. However, our situation here does not seem to fit into frameworks in [6, 7]. Instead, we employ an idea from [18], which is based upon the the Fefferman-Stein inequality [17]. To introduce the inequality, let f be a locally integrable function defined on \mathbb{R}^N . The sharp function $f^\#$, associated to f , is defined by

$$f^\#(x) = \sup_{x \in B_R(y), R > 0} \int_{B_R(y)} |f(\xi) - (f)_{y,R}| d\xi,$$

where

$$(f)_{y,R} = \int_{B_R(y)} f(\xi) d\xi.$$

If $f \in L^\ell(\mathbb{R}^N)$, with $1 < \ell < \infty$, then the following inequality holds:

$$(1.13) \quad \|f\|_{\ell, \mathbb{R}^N} \leq c(\ell) \|f^\#\|_{\ell, \mathbb{R}^N}.$$

This inequality is often called the Fefferman-Stein inequality. Its proof can be found in ([17], p.148). We also need to consider the (Hardy–Littlewood) maximal function of f , denoted by $M(f)$. It is defined to be

$$M(f)(x) = \sup_{r > 0} \int_{B_r(x)} |f(y)| dy.$$

The well known Hardy–Littlewood maximal inequality asserts that for each $\ell \in (1, \infty]$ there is a positive number $c = c(\ell)$ such that

$$(1.14) \quad \|M(f)\|_{\ell, \mathbb{R}^N} \leq c \|f\|_{\ell, \mathbb{R}^N} \quad \text{for each } f \in L^\ell(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we present an approximation scheme for (1.1)-(1.6), by which an existence assertion is established. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of partial regularity.

After this study was essentially completed, it came to our attention [4], which also investigated regularity of a problem similar to ours. Unfortunately, Lemma 2 in [4] does not seem to be correct.

2. EXISTENCE

In this section, we first design an approximation scheme. Then an existence assertion is established for the approximate problems. At the end of the section, we justify passing to the limit in the approximate problems to obtain a weak solution to (1.1)-(1.6).

To remove the possible singularity in (1.3), we introduce the function

$$\eta_k(s) = \begin{cases} k & \text{if } s > k, \\ s & \text{if } s \leq k, \end{cases} \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Then we approximate $D(x)$ by

$$D_k(x) = (a\eta_k(|x|) + m)I + (b - a)\eta_k(|x|)\frac{x \otimes x}{|x|^2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Obviously, $D_k(x)$ is a Lipschitz function of x . Moreover,

$$(2.1) \quad m|\xi|^2 \leq D_k(x)\xi \cdot \xi = (a\eta_k(|x|) + m)|\xi|^2 + (b - a)\eta_k(|x|)\frac{(x \cdot \xi)^2}{|x|^2} \leq (b\eta_k(|x|) + m)|\xi|^2$$

for each $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$. We form our approximate problems as follows:

$$(2.2) \quad \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = q^I - q^P \quad \text{in } \Omega_T,$$

$$(2.3) \quad \mathbf{v} = -\frac{1}{\mu(u)}K(x)\nabla p \quad \text{in } \Omega_T,$$

$$(2.4) \quad \int_{\Omega} p dx = 0,$$

$$(2.5) \quad \Phi(x)\partial_t u - \operatorname{div}(\Phi(x)D_k(\mathbf{v})\nabla u) + \nabla u \cdot \mathbf{v} = q^I(\hat{u} - u) \quad \text{in } \Omega_T,$$

$$(2.6) \quad K(x)\nabla p \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Sigma_T,$$

$$(2.7) \quad D_k(\mathbf{v})\nabla u \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Sigma_T,$$

$$(2.8) \quad u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \quad \text{on } \Omega,$$

For each fixed k (2.5) is now uniformly parabolic.

Theorem 2.1. *Let (H1)-(H6) hold. Assume that $\partial\Omega$ is $C^{1,1}$. Then there exists a weak solution (p, u) to (2.2)-(2.8) with*

$$(2.9) \quad p \in L^\infty(0, T; W^{1,\ell}(\Omega)) \quad \text{for each } \ell > 1 \text{ and } u \in C^{\beta, \frac{\beta}{2}}(\overline{\Omega_T}) \text{ for some } \beta \in (0, 1).$$

Proof. A weak solution will be obtained via the Leray-Schauder fixed point theorem ([13], p.280). To this end, we define an operator \mathbb{T} from $C(\overline{\Omega_T})$ into itself as follows: Let $u \in C(\overline{\Omega_T})$ be given. For each $t \in [0, T]$ we solve the elliptic boundary value problem

$$(2.10) \quad -\operatorname{div} \left(\frac{1}{\mu(u)} K(x) \nabla p \right) = q^I - q^P \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$$(2.11) \quad K(x) \nabla p \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$

$$(2.12) \quad \int_{\Omega} p dx = 0.$$

The existence of a unique weak solution p in $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ to the above problem is standard. Since the elliptic coefficients in (2.10) are continuous, we easily infer from [6] that for each $\ell > \frac{N}{N-1}$ there is a positive number c such that

$$(2.13) \quad \|\nabla p\|_{\ell, \Omega} \leq c \|q^I - q^P\|_{\frac{N\ell}{\ell+N}, \Omega}.$$

Define \mathbf{v} as in (2.3). Consider the problem

$$(2.14) \quad \Phi(x)\partial_t\varphi - \operatorname{div}(\Phi(x)D_k(\mathbf{v})\nabla\varphi - \varphi\mathbf{v}) + q^P\varphi = q^I\hat{u} \text{ in } \Omega_T,$$

$$(2.15) \quad D_k(\mathbf{v})\nabla\varphi \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \text{ on } \Sigma_T,$$

$$(2.16) \quad \varphi(x, 0) = u_0(x) \text{ on } \Omega,$$

Note that by virtue of (1.10) we see that (2.14) is equivalent to (2.5).

Claim 2.2. *There existence a unique weak solution φ to the preceding problem in the space $C^{\beta, \frac{\beta}{2}}(\overline{\Omega_T}) \cap L^2(0, T; W^{1,2}(\Omega))$ for some $\beta \in (0, 1)$. Moreover,*

$$(2.17) \quad 0 \leq \varphi \leq 1.$$

Proof of the claim. Since we have (2.13), the existence part can be inferred from the classical results in [14]. As for the uniqueness, it is enough for us to show that the only solution to the problem

$$(2.18) \quad \Phi(x)\partial_t\varphi - \operatorname{div}(\Phi(x)D_k(\mathbf{v})\nabla\varphi - \varphi\mathbf{v}) + q^P\varphi = 0 \text{ in } \Omega_T,$$

$$(2.19) \quad D_k(\mathbf{v})\nabla\varphi \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \text{ on } \Sigma_T,$$

$$(2.20) \quad \varphi(x, 0) = 0 \text{ on } \Omega$$

is the trivial solution 0. To this end, we use φ as a test function in (2.18) to derive

$$(2.21) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \Phi(x)\varphi^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} \Phi(x)D_k(\mathbf{v})\nabla\varphi \cdot \nabla\varphi dx - \int_{\Omega} \varphi\nabla\varphi \cdot \mathbf{v} dx + \int_{\Omega} q^P\varphi^2 dx = 0.$$

In view of (2.10) and (2.3), we have

$$(2.22) \quad - \int_{\Omega} \varphi\nabla\varphi \cdot \mathbf{v} dx = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \nabla\varphi^2 \cdot \mathbf{v} dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (q^I - q^P)\varphi^2 dx.$$

Substitute this into (2.21) and integrate to derive

$$\int_{\Omega} \Phi(x)\varphi^2(x, t) dx \leq 0.$$

Thus, $\varphi \equiv 0$.

To establish (2.17), we use $-\varphi^-$ as a test function in (2.14) to get

$$(2.23) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \Phi(x)(\varphi^-)^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} \Phi(x)D_k(\mathbf{v})\nabla\varphi^- \cdot \nabla\varphi^- dx \\ & - \int_{\Omega} \varphi^- \nabla\varphi^- \cdot \mathbf{v} dx + \int_{\Omega} q^P(\varphi^-)^2 dx = - \int_{\Omega} q^I\hat{u}\varphi^- dx \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

By a calculation similar to (2.22), we have

$$- \int_{\Omega} \varphi^- \nabla\varphi^- \cdot \mathbf{v} dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (q^I - q^P)(\varphi^-)^2 dx.$$

This together with (2.23) implies

$$\int_{\Omega} \Phi(x)(\varphi^-)^2(x, t) dx \leq \int_{\Omega} \Phi(x)(u_0^-)^2(x) dx = 0,$$

from whence follows

$$\varphi \geq 0 \text{ in } \Omega_T.$$

Set

$$w = 1 - \varphi.$$

We can easily verify that

$$\Phi(x)\partial_t w - \operatorname{div}(\Phi(x)D_k(\mathbf{v})\nabla w - w\mathbf{v}) + q^P w = q^I(1 - \hat{u}) \geq 0 \text{ in } \Omega_T.$$

We can conclude from our earlier argument that $w \geq 0$. This completes the proof of the claim. \square

We define

$$\mathbb{T}(u) = \varphi.$$

By Claim 2.2, \mathbb{T} is well-defined and the range of \mathbb{T} is a bounded set in $C^{\beta, \frac{\beta}{2}}(\overline{\Omega_T})$ for some $\beta \in (0, 1)$. To see that \mathbb{T} is also continuous, we assume

$$u_m \rightarrow u \text{ strongly in } C(\overline{\Omega_T}).$$

Replace u by u_m in (2.10)-(2.12) and denote the resulting solution by p_m . Note that

$$-\operatorname{div} \left(\frac{1}{\mu(u_n)} K(x) \nabla p_n - \frac{1}{\mu(u_m)} K(x) \nabla p_m \right) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega.$$

Use $p_n - p_m$ as a test function in the above equation to derive

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\mu(u_n)} K(x) \nabla(p_n - p_m) \cdot \nabla(p_n - p_m) dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{\mu(u_m)} - \frac{1}{\mu(u_n)} \right) K(x) \nabla p_m \cdot \nabla(p_n - p_m) dx. \end{aligned}$$

This combined with (H2) and (H5) implies

$$(2.24) \quad \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(p_n - p_m)|^2 dx \leq c \|u_n - u_m\|_{\infty, \Omega_T}.$$

Here and in what follows the letter c denotes a positive number depending only on the given data. Let $\varphi_m = \mathbb{T}(u_m)$. That is,

$$(2.25) \quad \Phi(x) \partial_t \varphi_m - \operatorname{div} (\Phi(x) D_k(\mathbf{v}_m) \nabla \varphi_m - \varphi_m \mathbf{v}_m) + q^P \varphi_m = q^I \hat{u} \text{ in } \Omega_T,$$

$$(2.26) \quad D_k(\mathbf{v}_m) \nabla \varphi_m \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \text{ on } \Sigma_T,$$

$$(2.27) \quad \varphi_m(x, 0) = u_0(x) \text{ on } \Omega,$$

where

$$\mathbf{v}_m = -\frac{1}{\mu(u_m)} K(x) \nabla p_m.$$

We infer from (2.13) and Claim 2.2 that

$$(2.28) \quad \{v_m\} \text{ is bounded in } L^\infty(0, T; W^{1, \ell}(\Omega)) \text{ for each } \ell \geq 1,$$

$$(2.29) \quad \{\varphi_m\} \text{ is bounded in } C^{\beta, \frac{\beta}{2}}(\overline{\Omega_T}) \cap L^2(0, T; W^{1, 2}(\Omega)) \text{ for some } \beta \in (0, 1).$$

We can pass to the limit in (2.25)-(2.27) at least along a subsequence. The whole sequence also converges due to the uniqueness assertion in Claim 2.2.

We still need to show that there is a positive number c such that

$$\max_{\Omega_T} |u| \leq c$$

for all $u \in C(\overline{\Omega_T})$ and all $\sigma \in (0, 1)$ satisfying $u = \sigma \mathbb{T}(u)$. This is an easy consequence of Claim 2.2. The proof of Theorem 2.1 is complete. \square

Proof of the existence part of the main theorem. Denote by (p_k, u_k) the solution to (2.2)-(2.8). The challenge here is that we no longer have (2.13) and (2.5) is not uniformly parabolic. We easily have

$$\|\nabla p_k\|_{2, \Omega} \leq c \|q^I - q^P\|_{2, \Omega}, \quad \|p_k\|_{\infty, \Omega} \leq c \|q^I - q^P\|_{\ell, \Omega} \text{ for } \ell > \frac{N}{2}.$$

Moreover, a result of [15] asserts that there is a $s > 2$ such that

$$(2.30) \quad \|\nabla p_k\|_{s, \Omega} \leq c \|q^I - q^P\|_{\frac{Ns}{N+s}, \Omega}.$$

As for $\{u_k\}$, we have from Claim 2.2 that

$$(2.31) \quad 0 \leq u_k \leq 1 \text{ in } \Omega_T.$$

Use u_k as a test function in (2.5) to derive

$$(2.32) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \Phi(x) u_k^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} \Phi(x) D(\mathbf{v}_k) \nabla u_k \cdot \nabla u_k dx \\ & + \int_{\Omega} u_k \nabla u_k \cdot \mathbf{v}_k dx + \int_{\Omega} q^I u_k^2 dx = \int_{\Omega} q^I \hat{u} dx. \end{aligned}$$

As before, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} u_k \nabla u_k \cdot \mathbf{v}_k dx = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} u_k^2 (q^I - q^P) dx.$$

This together with (2.32) implies

$$(2.33) \quad \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_{\Omega} \Phi(x) u_k^2 dx + \int_{\Omega_T} (1 + |\mathbf{v}_k|) |\nabla u_k|^2 dx dt \leq c.$$

Combing this with (2.30) yields

$$(2.34) \quad \{(1 + |\mathbf{v}_k|) |\nabla u_k|\} \text{ is bounded in } L^\ell(\Omega_T) \text{ for some } \ell > 1.$$

That is, $\{\partial_t(\Phi(x)u_k)\}$ is bounded in $L^{\frac{\ell}{\ell-1}}(0, T; W^{-1, \frac{\ell}{\ell-1}}(\Omega))$. This enables us to invoke a version of Lions-Aubin [16] to obtain

$$(2.35) \quad u_k \rightarrow u \text{ a.e. on } \Omega_T$$

(pass to a subsequence if necessary). It follows from (2.31) and (2.35) that

$$u_k \rightarrow u \text{ strongly in } L^q(\Omega_T) \text{ for each } q > 1.$$

We can infer from the proof of (2.24) and (2.30) that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla(p_n - p_m)|^2 dx \leq c \|u_n - u_m\|_{\frac{s}{s-2}, \Omega}.$$

Recall that $\int_{\Omega} p_k dx = 0$ for all k . Consequently,

$$\int_{\Omega} |p_n - p_m|^2 dx \leq c \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(p_n - p_m)|^2 dx.$$

We may assume

$$p_k \rightarrow p \text{ strongly in } L^2(0, T; W^{1,2}(\Omega)) \text{ and a.e on } \Omega_T.$$

In view of (2.34), (2.33), and the fact that $D(x)$ is a Lipschitz function of x , we have

$$D(\mathbf{v}_k) \nabla u_k \rightarrow D(\mathbf{v}) \nabla u \text{ weakly in } L^\ell(\Omega_T) \text{ for some } \ell > 1.$$

We are ready to pass to the limit in (2.2)-(2.8). The proof is complete. \square

3. PARTIAL REGULARITY

In this section we offer the proof of partial regularity in the main theorem. The proof is divided into several lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. *There exist $s > 2$ and $c > 0$ such that*

$$\left(\int_{B_{\frac{r}{2}}(x_0)} |\nabla p|^s dx \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq c \left[\left(\int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla p|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + r \left(\int_{B_r(x_0)} |q^I - q^P|^s dx \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \right]$$

for all balls $B_r(x_0)$ with $B_{2r}(x_0) \subset \Omega$.

By (H2) and (H5), equation (2.10) is uniformly elliptic. Lemma 3.1 is well known for equations of this type. For completeness, we offer a proof here.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in \Omega$ and $r > 0$ be given as in the lemma. We introduce the change of the space variables

$$\xi = \frac{x - x_0}{r}$$

and denote by $\tilde{p}(\xi, t)$ the composition $p(x_0 + r\xi, t)$. We can easily verify that \tilde{p} satisfies the equation

$$(3.1) \quad -\operatorname{div}(J(\xi, t)\nabla\tilde{p}) = r^2F(\xi, t) \quad \text{in } B_2(0),$$

where

$$J(\xi, t) = \frac{1}{\mu(u)}K(x)\Big|_{x=x_0+r\xi}, \quad F(\xi, t) = (q^I - q^P)\Big|_{x=x_0+r\xi}.$$

For each $y \in B_2(0)$ and $R > 0$ such that $B_R(y) \subset B_2(0)$ we pick a function $\zeta \in C_0^\infty(B_R(y))$ with the properties

$$\zeta = 1 \quad \text{on } B_{\frac{R}{2}}(y), \quad 0 \leq \zeta \leq 1 \quad \text{on } B_R(y), \quad \text{and } |\nabla\zeta| \leq \frac{c}{R} \quad \text{on } B_R(y).$$

Use $\zeta^2(\tilde{p} - \tilde{p}_{y,R})$ as a test function in (3.1) and then apply (H2), (H5), and the Sobolev embedding theorem to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_R(y)} J\nabla\tilde{p} \cdot \nabla\tilde{p}\zeta^2 d\xi \\ &= -2 \int_{B_R(y)} J\nabla\tilde{p} \cdot \nabla\zeta(\tilde{p} - \tilde{p}_{y,R})\zeta d\xi + r^2 \int_{B_R(y)} F\zeta^2(\tilde{p} - \tilde{p}_{y,R})d\xi \\ &\leq \varepsilon \int_{B_R(y)} \zeta^2 |\nabla\tilde{p}|^2 d\xi + \frac{c}{\varepsilon R^2} \int_{B_R(y)} (\tilde{p} - \tilde{p}_{y,R})^2 d\xi \\ &\quad + r^2 \|F\|_{\frac{2N}{N+2}, B_R(y)} \|\zeta(\tilde{p} - \tilde{p}_{y,R})\|_{\frac{2N}{N-2}, B_R(y)} \\ (3.2) \quad &\leq 2\varepsilon \int_{B_R(y)} \zeta^2 |\nabla\tilde{p}|^2 d\xi + \left(\frac{c}{\varepsilon R^2} + \frac{c\varepsilon}{R^2}\right) \int_{B_R(y)} (\tilde{p} - \tilde{p}_{y,R})^2 d\xi + \frac{cr^4}{\varepsilon} \|F\|_{\frac{2N}{N+2}, B_R(y)}^2, \quad \varepsilon > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have assumed $N > 2$. We will do so whenever we must use the Sobolev embedding theorem. If $N = 2$, we just need to do the following modification

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{\Omega} |f|^\ell dx\right)^{\frac{1}{\ell}} &\leq c \left(\left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla f|^{\frac{2\ell}{\ell+2}} dx\right)^{\frac{\ell+2}{2\ell}} + \left(\int_{\Omega} |f|^{\frac{2\ell}{\ell+2}} dx\right)^{\frac{\ell+2}{2\ell}} \right) \\ &\leq c \left(\left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla f|^2 dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\int_{\Omega} |f|^2 dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \quad \text{for each } \ell \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

Return to our proof. Use (H2) and (H5) again in (3.2), choose ε suitably small, and take into account Poincaré's inequality on balls ([10], p.141) to derive

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}(y)} |\nabla\tilde{p}|^2 d\xi &\leq \frac{c}{R^2} \int_{B_R(y)} (\tilde{p} - \tilde{p}_{y,R})^2 d\xi + cr^4 \|F\|_{\frac{2N}{N+2}, B_R(y)}^2 \\ (3.3) \quad &\leq cR^N \left(\int_{B_R(y)} |\nabla\tilde{p}|^{\frac{2N}{N+2}} d\xi \right)^{\frac{N+2}{N}} + cR^{N+2} \left(\int_{B_R(y)} |r^2F|^{\frac{2N}{N+2}} d\xi \right)^{\frac{N+2}{N}}. \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$g = |\nabla\tilde{p}|^{\frac{2N}{N+2}}, \quad f = |r^2F|^{\frac{2N}{N+2}}.$$

Then we can write (3.3) as

$$\int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}(y)} f g^{\frac{N+2}{N}} d\xi \leq c \left(\int_{B_R(y)} f g d\xi \right)^{\frac{N+2}{N}} + cR^2 \int_{B_R(y)} f^{\frac{N+2}{N}} d\xi.$$

Now we are in a position to apply Proposition 1.1 in ([12], p.122). Upon doing so, we obtain that there is a $\ell > \frac{N+2}{N}$ such that

$$\left(\int_{B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)} f g^\ell d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{\ell}} \leq c \left[\left(\int_{B_1(0)} f g^{\frac{N+2}{N}} d\xi \right)^{\frac{N}{N+2}} + \left(\int_{B_1(0)} f f^\ell d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{\ell}} \right].$$

Take

$$s = \frac{2N\ell}{N+2}.$$

Return to the x -variable and keep in mind the fact that $\nabla \tilde{p} = r \nabla p(x_0 + r\xi)$ to get the lemma. \square

This lemma combined with (1.7) implies that for each $\ell_0 \geq s$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{B_{\frac{r}{2}}(x_0)} f |\nabla p|^s dx \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} &\leq c \left[\left(\int_{B_r(x_0)} f |\nabla p|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + r \left(\int_{B_r(x_0)} f |q^I - q^P|^{\ell_0} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\ell_0}} \right] \\ (3.4) \quad &\leq c \left(\int_{B_r(x_0)} f |\nabla p|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + cr^{1-\frac{N}{\ell_0}}. \end{aligned}$$

for all balls $B_r(x_0)$ with $B_{2r}(x_0) \subset \Omega$.

Let $z_0 = (x_0, t_0) \in \Omega_T$ be given. For each $r > 0$ with $Q_r(z_0) \subset \Omega_T$ we define

$$M_r = \sup_{Q_r(z_0)} u, \quad m_r = \inf_{Q_r(z_0)} u, \quad \omega_r = M_r - m_r.$$

Lemma 3.2. *Let z_0, r be given as before. Assume*

$$(3.5) \quad \limsup_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \sup_{t_0 - \frac{1}{2}\rho^2 \leq t \leq t_0 + \frac{1}{2}\rho^2} \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} |\nabla p|^2 dx < \infty.$$

Then for each $\ell > \frac{N}{2}$ there exist two numbers $s_1 > 2$ and $c_1 > 0$ such that either

$$(3.6) \quad \left| B_r(x_0) \cap \left\{ u(x, t) > M_r - \frac{\omega_r}{2^{s_1}} \right\} \right| \leq \frac{7}{8} |B_r(x_0)| \quad \text{for each } t \in \left(t_0 - \frac{1}{2}r^2, t_0 + \frac{1}{2}r^2 \right),$$

or

$$(3.7) \quad \omega_r \leq c_1 r^{2-\frac{N}{\ell}}.$$

Proof. Obviously, either

$$(3.8) \quad \left| B_r(x_0) \cap \left\{ u \left(x, t_0 - \frac{1}{2}r^2 \right) > M_r - \frac{\omega_r}{2} \right\} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} |B_r(x_0)|, \quad \text{or}$$

$$(3.9) \quad \left| B_r(x_0) \cap \left\{ M_r + m_r - u \left(x, t_0 - \frac{1}{2}r^2 \right) > M_r - \frac{\omega_r}{2} \right\} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} |B_r(x_0)|$$

Assume that (3.8) holds. Otherwise, use the function $M_r + m_r - u$ in the subsequent proof instead of u .

Let $L > 1$ be selected as below. Introduce the function

$$\varphi(u) = \ln^+ \left(\frac{H}{H - (u - M_r + \frac{\omega_r}{2})^+ + \frac{\omega_r}{2^{1+L}}} \right),$$

where

$$H = \sup_{Q_r(z_0)} \left(u - M_r + \frac{\omega_r}{2} \right)^+.$$

For each $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ we choose a cutoff function $\zeta(x) \in C_0^\infty(B_r(x_0))$ with the property

$$0 \leq \zeta(x) \leq 1, \quad \zeta(x) = 1 \quad \text{on } B_{(1-\gamma)r}(x_0), \quad \text{and } |\nabla \zeta(x)| \leq \frac{c}{\gamma r}.$$

Note that

$$(3.10) \quad [\varphi^2(u)]'' = 2(1 + \varphi(u)) [\varphi'(u)]^2.$$

We may use $2\Phi^{-1}(x)\varphi'(u)\varphi(u)\zeta^2$ as a test function in (1.3) to derive

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{B_r(x_0)} \varphi^2(u)\zeta^2 dx + 2 \int_{B_r(x_0)} D(\mathbf{v})\nabla\varphi(u) \cdot \nabla\varphi(u)\zeta^2 dx \\ & \quad + 2 \int_{B_r(x_0)} D(\mathbf{v})\nabla u \cdot \nabla u \varphi(u) [\varphi'(u)]^2 \zeta^2 dx \\ & = -4 \int_{B_r(x_0)} D(\mathbf{v})\nabla\varphi(u) \cdot \nabla\zeta\varphi(u)\zeta dx + 2 \int_{B_r(x_0)} D(\mathbf{v})\nabla\varphi(u) \cdot \nabla \ln \Phi(x)\varphi(u)\zeta^2 dx \\ & \quad - 2 \int_{B_r(x_0)} \Phi^{-1}(x)\nabla\varphi(u) \cdot \mathbf{v}\varphi(u)\zeta^2 dx + 2 \int_{B_r(x_0)} q^I(\hat{u} - u)\Phi^{-1}(x)\varphi'(u)\varphi(u)\zeta^2 dx \\ & \equiv I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4. \end{aligned}$$

We can easily check

$$(3.12) \quad 0 \leq \varphi(u) \leq L \ln 2, \quad 0 \leq \varphi'(u) \leq \frac{2^{1+L}}{\omega_r}.$$

Equipped with these and (1.9), we can estimate the third term from the left in (3.11) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \int_{B_r(x_0)} D(\mathbf{v})\nabla u \cdot \nabla u (\varphi'(u))^2 \varphi(u)\zeta^2 dx & = 2 \int_{B_r(x_0)} D(\mathbf{v})\nabla\varphi(u) \cdot \nabla\varphi(u)\varphi(u)\zeta^2 dx \\ & \geq 2 \min\{a, m\} \int_{B_r(x_0)} (1 + |\mathbf{v}|)|\nabla\varphi(u)|^2 \varphi(u)\zeta^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by (1.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 & \leq 4 \max\{m, b\} \int_{B_r(x_0)} (1 + |\mathbf{v}|)|\nabla\varphi(u)|\varphi(u)|\nabla\zeta|\zeta dx \\ & \leq \varepsilon \int_{B_r(x_0)} (1 + |\mathbf{v}|)|\nabla\varphi(u)|^2 \varphi(u)\zeta^2 dx + \frac{c}{\varepsilon(\gamma r)^2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} (1 + |\mathbf{v}|)\varphi(u) dx, \quad \varepsilon > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 & \leq \varepsilon \int_{B_r(x_0)} (1 + |\mathbf{v}|)|\nabla\varphi(u)|^2 \varphi(u)\zeta^2 dx + \frac{c}{\varepsilon} \int_{B_r(x_0)} (1 + |\mathbf{v}|)|\nabla \ln \Phi(x)|^2 \varphi(u) dx, \\ I_3 & \leq \varepsilon \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\mathbf{v}||\nabla\varphi(u)|^2 \varphi(u)\zeta^2 dx + \frac{c}{\varepsilon} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\mathbf{v}||\Phi^{-1}(x)|^2 \varphi(u) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Use the preceding estimates in (3.11), choose ε suitably small in the resulting inequality, and thereby obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{B_r(x_0)} \varphi^2(u)\zeta^2 dx & \leq \frac{c}{(\gamma r)^2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} (1 + |\mathbf{v}|)\varphi(u) dx + c \int_{B_r(x_0)} (1 + |\mathbf{v}|)|\nabla \ln \Phi(x)|^2 \varphi(u) dx \\ & \quad + c \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\mathbf{v}||\Phi^{-1}(x)|^2 \varphi(u) dx + 2 \int_{B_r(x_0)} q^I(\hat{u} - u)\Phi^{-1}(x)\varphi'(u)\varphi(u)\zeta^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

For $t \in (t_0 - \frac{1}{2}r^2, t_0 + \frac{1}{2}r^2)$ we integrate the above inequality over $(t_0 - \frac{1}{2}r^2, t)$ and then apply (H1), (H3), and (3.12) to derive

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B_{(1-\gamma)r}(x_0)} \varphi^2(u(x, t)) dx \\
& \leq \int_{B_r(x_0)} \varphi^2\left(u\left(x, t_0 - \frac{1}{2}r^2\right)\right) dx + \frac{cL}{(\gamma r)^2} \int_{Q_r(z_0)} (1 + |\mathbf{v}|) dx d\tau \\
& \quad + cL \int_{Q_r(z_0)} (1 + |\mathbf{v}|) dx d\tau + \frac{cL2^{1+L}}{\omega_r} \int_{Q_r(z_0)} q^I dx d\tau \\
& \leq \int_{B_r(x_0)} \varphi^2\left(u\left(x, t_0 - \frac{1}{2}r^2\right)\right) dx + \frac{cL}{\gamma^2} \sup_{t_0 - \frac{1}{2}r^2 \leq t \leq t_0 + \frac{1}{2}r^2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} (1 + |\mathbf{v}|) dx \\
(3.13) \quad & + cLr^2 \sup_{t_0 - \frac{1}{2}r^2 \leq t \leq t_0 + \frac{1}{2}r^2} \int_{Q_r(z_0)} (1 + |\mathbf{v}|) dx + \frac{cr^2L2^{1+L}}{\omega_r} \sup_{t_0 - \frac{1}{2}r^2 \leq t \leq t_0 + \frac{1}{2}r^2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} q^I dx.
\end{aligned}$$

By (3.8) and the definition of $\varphi(u)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_r(x_0)} \varphi^2\left(u\left(x, t_0 - \frac{1}{2}r^2\right)\right) dx & = \int_{B_r(x_0) \cap \{u(x, t_0 - \frac{1}{2}r^2) > M_r - \frac{\omega_r}{2}\}} \varphi^2\left(u\left(x, t_0 - \frac{1}{2}r^2\right)\right) dx \\
& \leq \frac{L^2 \ln^2 2}{2} |B_r(x_0)|.
\end{aligned}$$

Remember that

$$|\mathbf{v}| \leq c|\nabla p|.$$

We can infer from (3.5) that there is a positive number c such that

$$\sup_{t_0 - \frac{1}{2}r^2 \leq t \leq t_0 + \frac{1}{2}r^2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} (1 + |\mathbf{v}|) dx \leq c|B_r(x_0)|.$$

Let $\ell > \frac{N}{2}$ be given as in the lemma. We have

$$r^2 \int_{B_r(x_0)} q^I dx \leq r^2 \|q^I\|_{\ell, B_r(x_0)} |B_r(x_0)|^{1 - \frac{1}{\ell}} \leq cr^{2 - \frac{N}{\ell}} |B_r(x_0)|.$$

Plugging the preceding three estimates into (3.13) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_{(1-\gamma)r}(x_0)} \varphi^2(u(x, t)) dx & \leq \frac{L^2 \ln^2 2}{2} |B_r(x_0)| + \frac{cL}{\gamma^2} |B_r(x_0)| \\
(3.14) \quad & + cr^2 L |B_r(x_0)| + \frac{cr^{2 - \frac{N}{\ell}} L 2^{1+L}}{\omega_r} |B_r(x_0)|.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\varphi^2(u) \geq \ln^2\left(\frac{\omega_r}{2L}\right) = (L-1)^2 \ln^2 2 \quad \text{on } B_{(1-\gamma)r}(x_0) \cap \left\{u(x, t) > M_r - \frac{\omega_r}{2^{1+L}}\right\}.$$

This combined with (3.14) asserts

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| B_{(1-\gamma)r}(x_0) \cap \left\{u(x, t) > M_r - \frac{\omega_r}{2^{1+L}}\right\} \right| & \leq \frac{L^2}{2(L-1)^2} |B_r(x_0)| \\
& + \left(\frac{c}{L\gamma^2} + \frac{cr^2}{L} \right) |B_r(x_0)| + \frac{cr^{2 - \frac{N}{\ell}} L 2^{1+L}}{\omega_r} |B_r(x_0)|.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| B_r(x_0) \cap \left\{ u(x, t) > M_r - \frac{\omega_r}{2^{1+L}} \right\} \right| &\leq \left| B_{(1-\gamma)r}(x_0) \cap \left\{ u(x, t) > M_r - \frac{\omega_r}{2^{1+L}} \right\} \right| \\
&\quad + |B_r(x_0) \setminus B_{(1-\gamma)r}(x_0)| \\
(3.15) \qquad \qquad \qquad &\leq \left(\frac{L^2}{2(L-1)^2} + \frac{c}{L\gamma^2} + \frac{cr^2}{L} + \frac{cr^{2-\frac{N}{\ell}}L2^{1+L}}{\omega_r} + N\gamma \right) |B_r(x_0)|.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we take

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{8N}.$$

Then we pick L so large that the sum of the first three terms between parentheses in (3.15) satisfies

$$\frac{L^2}{2(L-1)^2} + \frac{c}{L\gamma^2} + \frac{cr^2}{L} \leq \frac{5}{8}.$$

The remaining term $\frac{cr^{2-\frac{N}{\ell}}L2^{1+L}}{\omega_r}$ there has two possibilities: Either

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{cr^{2-\frac{N}{\ell}}L2^{1+L}}{\omega_r} &\leq \frac{1}{8}, \quad \text{or} \\
\frac{cr^{2-\frac{N}{\ell}}L2^{1+L}}{\omega_r} &> \frac{1}{8}.
\end{aligned}$$

In the former case, we have (3.6), while in the latter case we get (3.7). This completes the proof. \square

From here on, we assume (3.6) holds. Then consider in $Q_r(z_0)$ the function

$$v = \ln \left(\frac{\omega_r + k(r)}{2^{s_1}(M_r - u) + k(r)} \right),$$

where

$$k(r) = r^{2-\frac{N}{s}} \sup_{t_0-\frac{1}{2}r^2 \leq t \leq t_0+\frac{1}{2}r^2} \|q^I\|_{s, B_r(x_0)} \quad \text{and } s \text{ is given as in Lemma 3.1.}$$

Since $s > 2$ and we have assumed that $N = 2, 3$, or 4 , we always have

$$(3.16) \qquad \qquad \qquad 2 - \frac{N}{s} > 0.$$

Thus, Lemma 3.2 holds for $\ell = s$.

We can easily verify that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.17) \qquad \Phi(x)\partial_t v - \operatorname{div}(\Phi(x)D(\mathbf{v})\nabla v) + \Phi(x)D(\mathbf{v})\nabla v \cdot \nabla v + \nabla v \cdot \mathbf{v} \\
= \frac{2^{s_1}q^I(\hat{u} - u)}{2^{s_1}(M_r - u) + k(r)} \leq \frac{2^{s_1+1}}{k(r)}q^I \quad \text{in } Q_r(z_0).
\end{aligned}$$

The last step is due to (H3) and (D1).

Lemma 3.3. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.18) \qquad \sup_{Q_{\frac{r}{2}}(z_0)} v &\leq c \left(\int_{Q_r(z_0)} (v^+)^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad + c \sup_{t_0-\frac{1}{2}r^2 \leq t \leq t_0+\frac{1}{2}r^2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} v^+ dx + c \sup_{t_0-\frac{1}{2}r^2 \leq t \leq t_0+\frac{1}{2}r^2} \left(\int_{B_r(x_0)} |\mathbf{v}|^s dx \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} + c.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We introduce the change of independent variables

$$\xi = \frac{x - x_0}{r}, \quad \eta = \frac{t - t_0}{r^2}$$

and denote by $\tilde{f}(\xi, \eta)$ the composition $f(x_0 + \xi r, t_0 + r^2 \eta)$ for a function f defined on $Q_r(z_0)$. Subsequently, we can infer from (3.17) that

$$(3.19) \quad \tilde{\Phi}(\xi) \partial_\eta \tilde{v} - \operatorname{div} \left(\tilde{\Phi}(\xi) D(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}) \nabla \tilde{v} \right) + \tilde{\Phi}(\xi) D(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}) \nabla \tilde{v} \cdot \nabla \tilde{v} + r \nabla \tilde{v} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{v}} \leq \frac{2^{s_1+1} r^2}{k(r)} \tilde{q}^I \quad \text{in } Q_1(0).$$

Pick a number $\delta \in (0, 1)$. Define

$$r_n = 1 - \delta + \frac{\delta}{2^n}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Choose a sequence of smooth cutoff functions ζ_n in $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_n(\xi, \eta) &= 1 \quad \text{in } Q_{r_n}(0), \\ \zeta_n(\xi, \eta) &= 0 \quad \text{outside } Q_{r_{n-1}}(0), \\ |\nabla \zeta_n(\xi, \eta)| &\leq \frac{c 2^n}{\delta}, \quad |\partial_\eta \zeta_n(\xi, \eta)| \leq \frac{c 2^n}{(1-\delta)\delta} \quad \text{on } Q_{r_{n-1}}(0), \text{ and} \\ 0 &\leq \zeta_n(\xi, \eta) \leq 1 \quad \text{on } Q_{r_{n-1}}(0). \end{aligned}$$

Select

$$(3.20) \quad k \geq 2 \left(\sup_{-\frac{1}{2} \leq \eta \leq \frac{1}{2}} \|\tilde{v}^+\|_{s, B_1(0)} + \sup_{-\frac{1}{2} \leq \eta \leq \frac{1}{2}} \|\tilde{\mathbf{v}}\|_{s, B_1(0)} + 1 \right)$$

as below. Set

$$k_n = k - \frac{k}{2^{n+1}}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Use $(\tilde{v} - k_{n+1})^+ \zeta_{n+1}^2$ as a test function in (3.19) to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d\eta} \int_{B_{r_n}(0)} \tilde{\Phi}(\xi) [(\tilde{v} - k_{n+1})^+]^2 \zeta_{n+1}^2 d\xi + \int_{B_{r_n}(0)} \tilde{\Phi}(\xi) D(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}) \nabla \tilde{v} \cdot \nabla (\tilde{v} - k_{n+1})^+ \zeta_{n+1}^2 d\xi \\ & + \int_{B_{r_n}(0)} \tilde{\Phi}(\xi) D(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}) \nabla \tilde{v} \cdot \nabla \tilde{v} (\tilde{v} - k_{n+1})^+ \zeta_{n+1}^2 d\xi \\ & \leq \int_{B_{r_n}(0)} \tilde{\Phi}(\xi) [(\tilde{v} - k_{n+1})^+]^2 \zeta_{n+1} \partial_\eta \zeta_{n+1} d\xi \\ & - 2 \int_{B_{r_n}(0)} \tilde{\Phi}(\xi) D(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}) \nabla \tilde{v} \cdot \nabla \zeta_{n+1} (\tilde{v} - k_{n+1})^+ \zeta_{n+1} d\xi \\ (3.21) \quad & - r \int_{B_{r_n}(0)} \nabla \tilde{v} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{v}} (\tilde{v} - k_{n+1})^+ \zeta_{n+1}^2 d\xi + \frac{cr^2}{k(r)} \int_{B_{r_n}(0)} \tilde{q}^I (\tilde{v} - k_{n+1})^+ \zeta_{n+1}^2 d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\nabla \tilde{v} = \nabla (\tilde{v} - k_{n+1})^+ \quad \text{in } \{\tilde{v} \geq k_{n+1}\}.$$

Arguing the same way as in the proof of (3.13), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} a_n + b_n &\equiv \sup_{-r_n^2 \leq \eta \leq 0} \int_{B_{r_n}(0)} [(\tilde{v} - k_{n+1})^+]^2 \zeta_{n+1}^2 d\xi + \int_{Q_{r_n}(0)} |\nabla [(\tilde{v} - k_{n+1})^+ \zeta_{n+1}]|^2 d\xi d\eta \\ &\leq \frac{c 4^n}{\delta^2 (1-\delta)} \int_{Q_{r_n}(0)} [(\tilde{v} - k_{n+1})^+]^2 d\xi d\eta + \frac{c 4^n}{\delta^2} \int_{Q_{r_n}(0)} (1 + |\tilde{\mathbf{v}}|) (\tilde{v} - k_{n+1})^+ d\xi d\eta \\ (3.22) \quad & + cr^2 \int_{Q_{r_n}(0)} |\tilde{\mathbf{v}}| (\tilde{v} - k_{n+1})^+ d\xi d\eta + \frac{cr^2}{k(r)} \int_{Q_{r_n}(0)} \tilde{q}^I (\tilde{v} - k_{n+1})^+ d\xi d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Introduce the sequence

$$y_n = \int_{-\frac{1}{2}r_n^2}^{\frac{1}{2}r_n^2} \|(\tilde{v} - k_{n+1})^+\|_{\frac{s}{s-1}, B_{r_n}(0)} d\eta.$$

We wish to apply Lemma 4.1 in ([5], p.12) to this sequence. To this end, we estimate, with the aid of (3.20), that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q_{r_n}(0)} [(\tilde{v} - k_{n+1})^+]^2 d\xi d\eta &\leq \int_{-\frac{1}{2}r_n^2}^{\frac{1}{2}r_n^2} \|(\tilde{v} - k_{n+1})^+\|_{s, B_{r_n}(0)} \|(\tilde{v} - k_{n+1})^+\|_{\frac{s}{s-1}, B_{r_n}(0)} d\eta \\ &\leq \sup_{-\frac{1}{2}r_n^2 \leq \eta \leq \frac{1}{2}r_n^2} \|(\tilde{v} - k_{n+1})^+\|_{s, B_{r_n}(0)} y_n \leq \frac{k}{2} y_n. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q_{r_n}(0)} (1 + |\tilde{\mathbf{v}}|) (\tilde{v} - k_{n+1})^+ d\xi d\eta &\leq \int_{-\frac{1}{2}r_n^2}^{\frac{1}{2}r_n^2} \|1 + |\tilde{\mathbf{v}}|\|_{s, B_{r_n}(0)} \|(\tilde{v} - k_{n+1})^+\|_{\frac{s}{s-1}, B_{r_n}(0)} d\eta \\ &\leq \sup_{-\frac{1}{2}r_n^2 \leq \eta \leq \frac{1}{2}r_n^2} \|1 + |\tilde{\mathbf{v}}|\|_{s, B_{r_n}(0)} y_n \leq cky_n, \\ \frac{cr^2}{k(r)} \int_{Q_{r_n}(0)} \tilde{q}^I (\tilde{v} - k_{n+1})^+ d\xi d\eta &\leq \frac{cr^2}{k(r)} \sup_{-\frac{1}{2} \leq \eta \leq \frac{1}{2}} \|\tilde{q}^I\|_{s, B_1(0)} y_n \\ &\leq \frac{cr^{2-\frac{N}{s}}}{k(r)} \sup_{t_0 - \frac{1}{2}r^2 \leq \eta \leq t_0 + \frac{1}{2}r^2} \|\tilde{q}^I\|_{s, B_r(x_0)} y_n \leq cy_n. \end{aligned}$$

Here the last step is due to the definition of $k(r)$. Collecting these estimates in (3.22) yields

$$(3.23) \quad a_n + b_n \leq \frac{c4^n k}{\delta^2(1-\delta)} y_n.$$

Set

$$A_n(\eta) = B_{r_n}(0) \cap \{\tilde{v}(\xi, \eta) \geq k_{n+1}\}.$$

Let $\varepsilon \in (0, s)$ be selected as below. We deduce from the Sobolev embedding theorem that

$$\begin{aligned} y_{n+1} &\leq \int_{-\frac{1}{2}r_n^2}^{\frac{1}{2}r_n^2} \left(\int_{B_{r_n}(0)} [(\tilde{v} - k_{n+1})^+ \zeta_{n+1}]^{\frac{s-1}{s}} d\xi \right)^{\frac{s}{s-1}} d\eta \\ &\leq \int_{-\frac{1}{2}r_n^2}^{\frac{1}{2}r_n^2} \left(\int_{B_{r_n}(0)} [(\tilde{v} - k_{n+1})^+ \zeta_{n+1}]^{\frac{s-\varepsilon}{s-1} + \frac{\varepsilon}{s-1}} d\xi \right)^{\frac{s-1}{s}} d\eta \\ &\leq \int_{-\frac{1}{2}r_n^2}^{\frac{1}{2}r_n^2} \|(\tilde{v} - k_{n+1})^+ \zeta_{n+1}\|_{\frac{2N}{N-2}, B_{r_n}(0)}^{\frac{s-\varepsilon}{s}} \|(\tilde{v} - k_{n+1})^+ \zeta_{n+1}\|_{\frac{2N}{2N(s-1)-(N-2)(s-\varepsilon)}, B_{r_n}(0)}^{\frac{\varepsilon}{s}} d\eta \\ &\leq ca_n^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2s}} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}r_n^2}^{\frac{1}{2}r_n^2} \|\nabla [(\tilde{v} - k_{n+1})^+ \zeta_{n+1}]\|_{2, B_{r_n}(0)}^{\frac{s-\varepsilon}{s}} |A_n(\eta)|^{\frac{2N(s-1)-(N-2)(s-\varepsilon)-N\varepsilon}{2Ns}} d\eta \\ (3.24) \quad &\leq ca_n^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2s}} b_n^{\frac{s-\varepsilon}{2s}} \left(\int_{-\frac{1}{2}r_n^2}^{\frac{1}{2}r_n^2} |A_n(\eta)|^{\frac{2N(s-1)-(N-2)(s-\varepsilon)-N\varepsilon}{N(s+\varepsilon)}} d\eta \right)^{\frac{s+\varepsilon}{2s}}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$(3.25) \quad y_n \geq \int_{-\frac{1}{2}r_n^2}^{\frac{1}{2}r_n^2} \left(\int_{A_n(\eta)} [(\tilde{v} - k_n)^+]^{\frac{s-1}{s}} d\xi \right)^{\frac{s}{s-1}} d\eta \geq \frac{k}{2n+2} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}r_n^2}^{\frac{1}{2}r_n^2} |A_n(\eta)|^{\frac{s}{s-1}} d\eta.$$

Thus, we choose ε so that

$$\frac{2N(s-1) - (N-2)(s-\varepsilon) - N\varepsilon}{N(s+\varepsilon)} = \frac{s}{s-1}.$$

Solve it to obtain

$$\varepsilon = \frac{s(2s-N)}{sN+2s-N}.$$

According to (3.16), the number ε so obtained lies in $(0, s)$. Keep this ε . Then use (3.25), and (3.23) in (3.24) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} y_{n+1} &\leq \left(\frac{c4^n k}{\delta^2(1-\delta)} y_n \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{2^{n+2} y_n}{k} \right)^{\frac{s+\varepsilon}{2s}} \\ &\leq \frac{c4^n}{\delta\sqrt{1-\delta}k^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2s}}} y_n^{1+\frac{\varepsilon}{2s}}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.1 in ([5], p.12) asserts if we choose k so large that

$$y_0 \leq \left(\frac{\delta\sqrt{1-\delta}k^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2s}}}{c} \right)^{\frac{2s}{\varepsilon}} \left(\frac{1}{4} \right)^{\frac{4s^2}{\varepsilon^2}},$$

then

$$\sup_{Q_{1-\delta}(0)} \tilde{v} \leq k.$$

In view of (3.20), it is enough for us to take

$$k = \frac{c}{\delta^{\frac{2s}{\varepsilon}}(1-\delta)^{\frac{s}{\varepsilon}}} y_0 + 2 \left(\sup_{-\frac{1}{2} \leq \eta \leq \frac{1}{2}} \|\tilde{v}^+\|_{s, B_1(0)} + \sup_{-\frac{1}{2} \leq \eta \leq \frac{1}{2}} \|\tilde{\mathbf{v}}\|_{s, B_1(0)} + 1 \right).$$

Recall that

$$y_0 = \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\| \left(\tilde{v} - \frac{k}{2} \right)^+ \right\|_{\frac{s}{s-1}, B_1(0)} d\eta \leq |B_1(0)|^{\frac{s-1}{s}-\frac{1}{2}} \|\tilde{v}^+\|_{2, Q_1(0)}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{Q_{1-\delta}(0)} \tilde{v} &\leq 2 \sup_{-\frac{1}{2} \leq \eta \leq \frac{1}{2}} \|\tilde{v}^+\|_{s, B_1(0)} + \frac{c}{\delta^{\frac{2s}{\varepsilon}}(1-\delta)^{\frac{s}{\varepsilon}}} \|\tilde{v}^+\|_{2, Q_1(0)} \\ (3.26) \quad &+ 2 \sup_{-\frac{1}{2} \leq \eta \leq \frac{1}{2}} \|\tilde{\mathbf{v}}\|_{s, B_1(0)} + 2. \end{aligned}$$

According to the interpolation inequality ([13], p.146), we have

$$\|\tilde{v}^+\|_{s, B_1(0)} \leq \sigma \|\tilde{v}^+\|_{\infty, B_1(0)} + \frac{1}{\sigma^{s-1}} \|\tilde{v}^+\|_{1, B_1(0)}, \quad \sigma > 0.$$

Use this in (3.26) appropriately and keep in mind the fact that we may assume $\sup_{Q_{1-\delta}(0)} \tilde{v}^+ = \sup_{Q_{1-\delta}(0)} \tilde{v}$ to derive

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{Q_{1-\delta}(0)} \tilde{v} &\leq \sigma \sup_{Q_1(0)} \tilde{v} + \frac{2^s}{\sigma^{s-1}} \sup_{-\frac{1}{2} \leq \eta \leq \frac{1}{2}} \|\tilde{v}^+\|_{1, B_1(0)} \\ (3.27) \quad &+ \frac{c}{\delta^{\frac{2s}{\varepsilon}}(1-\delta)^{\frac{s}{\varepsilon}}} \|\tilde{v}^+\|_{2, Q_1(0)} + 2 \sup_{-\frac{1}{2} \leq \eta \leq \frac{1}{2}} \|\tilde{\mathbf{v}}\|_{s, B_1(0)} + 2. \end{aligned}$$

Return to the (x, t) variables to get

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{Q_{(1-\delta)r}(z_0)} v &\leq \sigma \sup_{Q_r(z_0)} v + \frac{2^s}{\sigma^{s-1}} \sup_{t_0 - \frac{1}{2}r^2 \leq t \leq t_0 + \frac{1}{2}r^2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} v^+ dx \\
&\quad + \frac{c}{\delta^{\frac{2s}{\varepsilon}} (1-\delta)^{\frac{s}{\varepsilon}}} \left(\int_{Q_r(z_0)} (v^+)^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
(3.28) \quad &\quad + 2 \sup_{t_0 - \frac{1}{2}r^2 \leq t \leq t_0 + \frac{1}{2}r^2} \left(\int_{B_r(x_0)} |\mathbf{v}|^s dx \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} + 2.
\end{aligned}$$

Take $\delta = \frac{1}{2^{n+2}-1}$. Then replace r by $r_{n+1} \equiv r - \frac{r}{2^{n+2}}$ in the above inequality to derive

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{Q_{r_n}(z_0)} v &\leq \sigma \sup_{Q_{r_{n+1}}(z_0)} v + \frac{c}{\sigma^{s-1}} \sup_{t_0 - \frac{1}{2}r^2 \leq t \leq t_0 + \frac{1}{2}r^2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} v^+ dx \\
&\quad + c 4^{\frac{sn}{\varepsilon}} \left(\int_{Q_r(z_0)} (v^+)^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + c \sup_{t_0 - \frac{1}{2}r^2 \leq t \leq t_0 + \frac{1}{2}r^2} \left(\int_{B_r(x_0)} |\mathbf{v}|^s dx \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} + 2.
\end{aligned}$$

Iterate over n as in ([5], p.13) to deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{Q_{\frac{r}{2}}(z_0)} v \\
&\leq \sigma^n \sup_{Q_{r_n}(z_0)} v + c \left(\int_{Q_r(z_0)} (v^+)^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(4^{\frac{s}{\varepsilon}} \sigma \right)^i \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{c}{\sigma^{s-1}} \sup_{t_0 - \frac{1}{2}r^2 \leq t \leq t_0 + \frac{1}{2}r^2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} v^+ dx + c \sup_{t_0 - \frac{1}{2}r^2 \leq t \leq t_0 + \frac{1}{2}r^2} \left(\int_{B_r(x_0)} |\mathbf{v}|^s dx \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} + 2 \right) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sigma^i.
\end{aligned}$$

Choose σ suitably small. Then take $n \rightarrow \infty$ to arrive at (3.18). The proof is complete. \square

Lemma 3.4. *We have*

$$\limsup_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \left(\int_{Q_\rho(z_0)} (v^+)^2 dx dt + \sup_{t_0 - \rho^2 \leq t \leq t_0} \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} v^+ dx \right) < \infty.$$

Proof. Note from the definition of v that

$$v(x, t) > 0 \iff u(x, t) > M_r - \frac{\omega_r}{2^{s_1}}.$$

This together with (3.6) implies

$$(3.29) \quad |\{x \in B_r(x_0), v(x, t) = 0\}| \geq \frac{1}{8} |B_r(x_0)| \quad \text{for each } t \in (t_0 - \frac{1}{2}r^2, t_0 + \frac{1}{2}r^2).$$

Pick a number $\delta \in (0, 1)$. Let $\theta(\eta)$ be a smooth decreasing function on $[0, r]$ with the properties

$$\theta(\eta) = 1 \quad \text{for } \eta \leq (1-\delta)r, \quad \theta(r) = 0, \quad \text{and } |\theta'(\eta)| \leq \frac{c}{r\delta}.$$

We easily see that

$$|B_r(x_0) \setminus B_{(1-\delta)r}(x_0)| \leq N\delta |B_r(x_0)|.$$

It is enough for us to take

$$(3.30) \quad N\delta = \frac{1}{16}.$$

Combining this with (3.29) yields

$$(3.31) \quad |\{\theta(|x - x_0|) = 1\} \cap \{x \in B_r, v(x, t) = 0\}| \geq \frac{1}{16} |B_r(x_0)| \quad \text{for each } t \in (t_0 - \frac{1}{2}r^2, t_0 + \frac{1}{2}r^2).$$

This puts us in a position to apply Proposition 2.1 in ([5], p.5). Upon doing so, we obtain

$$(3.32) \quad \int_{B_r(x_0)} \theta^2(|x - x_0|)v^2 dx \leq cr^2 \int_{B_r(x_0)} \theta^2(|x - x_0|)|\nabla v|^2 dx.$$

Next, we define

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t \in (t_0 - (1 - \delta)^2 r^2, t_0 + \frac{1}{2}r^2], \\ \frac{1}{[\frac{1}{2} - (1 - \delta)^2]r^2} (t - t_0 + \frac{1}{2}r^2) & \text{if } t \in [t_0 - \frac{1}{2}r^2, t_0 - (1 - \delta)^2 r^2], \end{cases}$$

where δ is given as in (3.30). We use $\theta^2(|x - x_0|)f^2(t)$ as a test function in (3.17) to derive

$$(3.33) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{B_r(x_0)} \Phi(x)v\theta^2(|x - x_0|)f^2(t)dx + \int_{Q_r(z_0)} \Phi(x)D(\mathbf{v})\nabla v \cdot \nabla v\theta^2(|x - x_0|)f^2(t)dxdt \\ & \leq -2 \int_{Q_r(z_0)} \Phi(x)D(\mathbf{v})\nabla v\theta(|x - x_0|)\nabla\theta(|x - x_0|)f^2(t)dxdt \\ & \quad + 2 \int_{Q_r(z_0)} \Phi(x)v\theta^2(|x - x_0|)f(t)f'(t)dxdt + \int_{Q_r(z_0)} \nabla v \cdot \mathbf{v}\theta^2(|x - x_0|)f^2(t)dxdt \\ & \quad + \frac{c}{k(r)} \int_{Q_r(z_0)} q^I\theta^2(|x - x_0|)f^2(t)dxdt. \end{aligned}$$

As before, we can derive from (1.8) and (1.9) that

$$(3.34) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sup_{t_0 - \frac{1}{2}r^2 \leq t \leq t_0 + \frac{1}{2}r^2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} v\theta^2(|x - x_0|)f^2(t)dx + \int_{Q_r(z_0)} (1 + |\mathbf{v}|)|\nabla v|^2\theta^2(|x - x_0|)f^2(t)dxdt \\ & \leq \frac{c}{r^2} \int_{Q_r(z_0)} (1 + |\mathbf{v}|)f^2(t)dxdt + \frac{c}{r^2} \int_{Q_r(z_0)} v\theta^2(|x - x_0|)f(t)dxdt \\ & \quad + c \int_{Q_r(z_0)} |\mathbf{v}|\theta^2(|x - x_0|)f^2(t)dxdt + \frac{c}{k(r)} \int_{Q_r(z_0)} q^I\theta^2(|x - x_0|)f^2(t)dxdt. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3.5) that

$$\int_{Q_r(z_0)} (1 + |\mathbf{v}|)f^2(t)dxdt \leq cr^2 \sup_{t_0 - \frac{1}{2}r^2 \leq t \leq t_0 + \frac{1}{2}r^2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} (1 + |\nabla p|)dx \leq cr^{N+2}.$$

For each $\varepsilon > 0$ we deduce from (3.32) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{c}{r^2} \int_{Q_r(z_0)} v\theta^2(|x - x_0|)f(t)dxdt & \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{r^2} \int_{Q_r(z_0)} v^2\theta^2(|x - x_0|)f^2(t)dxdt + \frac{cr^N}{\varepsilon} \\ & \leq c\varepsilon \int_{Q_r(z_0)} |\nabla v|^2\theta^2(|x - x_0|)f^2(t)dxdt + \frac{cr^N}{\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

The last term in (3.34) can be estimated as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{c}{k(r)} \int_{Q_r(z_0)} q^I\theta^2(|x - x_0|)f^2(t)dxdt & \leq \frac{cr^2}{k(r)} \sup_{t_0 - \frac{1}{2}r^2 \leq t \leq t_0 + \frac{1}{2}r^2} \|q^I\|_{s, B_r(x_0)} |B_r(x_0)|^{1 - \frac{1}{s}} \\ & \leq cr^N. \end{aligned}$$

Plug the preceding estimates into (3.34), choose ε suitably small in the resulting inequality, and thereby obtain

$$\sup_{t_0 - \frac{1}{2}r^2 \leq t \leq t_0 + \frac{1}{2}r^2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} v\theta^2(|x - x_0|)f^2(t)dx + \frac{1}{r^N} \int_{Q_r(z_0)} (1 + |\mathbf{v}|)|\nabla v|^2\theta^2(|x - x_0|)f^2(t)dxdt \leq c.$$

Apply (3.32) again to derive

$$\sup_{t_0 - (1-\delta)^2 r^2 \leq t \leq t_0 + \frac{1}{2} r^2} \int_{B_{(1-\delta)r}(x_0)} v dx + \int_{Q_{(1-\delta)r}(z_0)} v^2 dx dt \leq c.$$

This implies the desired result. \square

We can conclude from (3.4) and (3.5) that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \sup_{t_0 - \frac{1}{2} r^2 \leq t \leq t_0 + \frac{1}{2} r^2} \left(\int_{B_r(x_0)} |\mathbf{v}|^s dx \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq c.$$

Combining this with (3.18) and Lemma 3.4 yields

$$\sup_{Q_{\frac{r}{2}}(z_0)} v \leq c.$$

Recall from the definition of v to deduce

$$(3.35) \quad \omega_{\frac{r}{2}} \leq \frac{e^{c2^{s_1}} - 1}{e^{c2^{s_1}}} \omega_r + \frac{e^c - 1}{e^{c2^{s_1}}} k(r).$$

Note that ω_r is an increasing function of r . We can conclude from (3.7), (3.35), and our assumptions on q^I that there exist $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ and $c > 0$ such that

$$\omega_{\frac{r}{2}} \leq \gamma \omega_r + cr^{2-\frac{N}{s}} \quad \text{for each } r > 0 \text{ with } Q_{2r}(z_0) \subset \Omega_T.$$

This enables us to invoke Lemma 8.23 in ([13], p.201) to get

$$(3.36) \quad \omega_r \leq cr^\alpha \quad \text{for some } c > 0, \alpha > 0 \text{ and } r \text{ sufficiently small.}$$

We are ready to prove our partial regularity result.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $z_0 \in \Omega_T, r > 0$ be such that (3.36) holds. Then z_0 is a regular point.*

Proof. Let $z_0 \in \Omega_T, r > 0$ be given as in the lemma. For each $\rho \in (0, r)$ we select a cutoff function $\zeta \in C_0^\infty(B_\rho(x_0))$ with the properties

$$\zeta = 1 \quad \text{on } B_\rho(x_0), \quad 0 \leq \zeta \leq 1 \quad \text{on } B_r(x_0), \quad \text{and } |\nabla \zeta| \leq \frac{c}{r-\rho}.$$

Set

$$w = p\zeta.$$

We easily verify that w satisfies the equation

$$(3.37) \quad -\operatorname{div} \left(\frac{1}{\mu(u)} K(x) \nabla w \right) = (q^I - q^P) \zeta - \frac{1}{\mu(u)} K(x) \nabla p \cdot \nabla \zeta - \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{1}{\mu(u)} K(x) \nabla \zeta p \right) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

The time variable t in the equation is assumed to lie in $(t_0 - \frac{1}{2} r^2, t_0 + \frac{1}{2} r^2)$. We can say that the above equation holds on \mathbb{R}^N because the support of w lies inside $B_r(x_0)$. This fact will be used in some subsequent calculations without acknowledgment. For each $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $R > 0$ we consider the boundary value problem

$$(3.38) \quad -\operatorname{div} \left(\frac{1}{\mu(u(x_0, t_0))} K(x_0) \nabla \phi \right) = 0 \quad \text{in } B_R(y),$$

$$(3.39) \quad \phi = w \quad \text{on } \partial B_R(y).$$

Here

$$u(x_0, t_0) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} M_r = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} m_r.$$

We can appeal to a result in ([12], p.78) to obtain

$$(3.40) \quad \int_{B_\delta(y)} \left| \nabla \phi - (\nabla \phi)_{y, \delta} \right|^2 dx \leq c \left(\frac{\delta}{R} \right)^{N+2} \int_{B_R(y)} \left| \nabla \phi - (\nabla \phi)_{y, R} \right|^2 dx \quad \text{for } 0 < \delta \leq R.$$

Subtract (3.37) from (3.38) and use $\phi - w$ as a test function in the resulting equation to derive

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\mu(u(x_0, t_0))} K(x_0) \int_{B_R(y)} \nabla \phi \cdot \nabla(\phi - w) - \int_{B_R(y)} \frac{1}{\mu(u)} K(x) \nabla w \cdot \nabla(\phi - w) dx \\
&= - \int_{B_R(y)} (q^I - q^P) \zeta(\phi - w) dx + \int_{B_R(y)} \frac{1}{\mu(u)} K(x) \nabla p \cdot \nabla \zeta(\phi - w) dx \\
(3.41) \quad & - \int_{B_R(y)} \frac{1}{\mu(u)} K(x) \nabla \zeta p \cdot \nabla(\phi - w) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

By employing an argument similar to the proof of Theorem 8.15 in ([13], p.189), we can conclude that for each $r > \frac{N}{2}$ there is a positive number c such that

$$\|p\|_{\infty, \Omega} \leq c (\|p\|_{2, \Omega} + \|q^I - q^P\|_{r, \Omega}).$$

Recall from (D1) that

$$\int_{\Omega} p dx = 0.$$

This together with Poincaré's inequality implies

$$\|p\|_{2, \Omega} \leq c \|\nabla p\|_{2, \Omega} \leq c \|q^I - q^P\|_{2, \Omega}.$$

Thus, we have

$$\|\phi\|_{\infty, B_R(y)} \leq \|w\|_{\infty, B_R(y)} \leq \|p\|_{\infty, B_R(y)} \leq c.$$

Equipped with these, we derive from (3.41) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_R(y)} |\nabla(\phi - w)|^2 dx &\leq c \int_{B_R(y)} \left| \left(\frac{1}{\mu(u(x_0, t_0))} K(x_0) - \frac{1}{\mu(u)} K(x) \right) \nabla w \right|^2 dx \\
&+ c \int_{B_R(y)} |(q^I - q^P) \chi_{B_r(x_0)}| dx + \frac{c}{r - \rho} \int_{B_R(y)} |\nabla p \chi_{B_r(x_0)}| dx \\
(3.42) \quad &+ \frac{c}{(r - \rho)^2} \int_{B_R(y)} (p \chi_{B_r(x_0)})^2 dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\chi_{B_r(x_0)}$ is the indicator function of the set $B_r(x_0)$. Note from (H2), (H5), and (3.36) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \left(\frac{1}{\mu(u(x_0, t_0))} K(x_0) - \frac{1}{\mu(u)} K(x) \right) \chi_{B_r(x_0)} \right| \\
(3.43) \quad & \leq c |K(x_0) - K(x)| \chi_{B_r(x_0)} + c |u(x_0, t_0) - u(x, t)| \chi_{B_r(x_0)} \leq cr^\gamma \text{ for some } \gamma \in (0, 1).
\end{aligned}$$

We conclude from (3.40), (3.43), and (3.42) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B_\delta(y)} \left| \nabla w - (\nabla w)_{y, \delta} \right|^2 dx \\
& \leq 2 \int_{B_\delta(y)} \left| \nabla \phi - (\nabla \phi)_{y, \delta} \right|^2 dx + 2 \int_{B_\delta(y)} \left| \nabla(w - \phi) - (\nabla(w - \phi))_{y, \delta} \right|^2 dx \\
& \leq c \left(\frac{\delta}{R} \right)^{N+2} \int_{B_R(y)} \left| \nabla \phi - (\nabla \phi)_{y, R} \right|^2 dx + c \int_{B_R(y)} |\nabla(w - \phi)|^2 dx \\
& \leq c \left(\frac{\delta}{R} \right)^{N+2} \int_{B_R(y)} \left| \nabla w - (\nabla w)_{y, R} \right|^2 dx + c \int_{B_R(y)} |\nabla(w - \phi)|^2 dx \\
(3.44) \quad & \leq c \left(\left(\frac{\delta}{R} \right)^{N+2} + r^{2\gamma} \right) \int_{B_R(y)} |\nabla w|^2 dx + cR^N E(y),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$E(y) = M((q^I - q^P)\chi_{B_r(x_0)})(y) + \frac{1}{r - \rho} M(\nabla p \chi_{B_r(x_0)})(y) + \frac{1}{(r - \rho)^2} M(p^2 \chi_{B_r(x_0)})(y).$$

Let $\tau \in (0, 1)$ to be determined. Take $\delta = \tau R$ in (3.44) to get

$$\int_{B_{\tau R}(y)} \left| \nabla w - (\nabla w)_{y, \tau R} \right|^2 dx \leq c \left(\tau^2 + \frac{r^{2\gamma}}{\tau^N} \right) M(|\nabla w|^2)(y) + \frac{c}{\tau^N} E(y).$$

Since this is true for each $R > 0$, we obtain

$$(3.45) \quad \left((\nabla w)^\# \right)^2 \leq c \left(\tau^2 + \frac{r^{2\gamma}}{\tau^N} \right) M(|\nabla w|^2) + \frac{c}{\tau^N} E.$$

In view of the construction of our weak solution in Section 2, we may assume

$$|\nabla p| \in L^\ell(\Omega) \quad \text{for each } \ell > 1.$$

Now fix $\ell > 2$. We derive from the Fefferman-Stein inequality (1.13), (3.45), and the Hardy-Littlewood maximal theorem (1.14) that

$$(3.46) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla w|^\ell dx &\leq c \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(\nabla w)^\#|^\ell dx \\ &\leq c \left(\tau^2 + \frac{r^{2\gamma}}{\tau^N} \right)^{\frac{\ell}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} M^{\frac{\ell}{2}}(|\nabla w|^2) dx + \frac{c}{\tau^{\frac{N\ell}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} E^{\frac{\ell}{2}} dx \\ &\leq c \left(\tau^2 + \frac{r^{2\gamma}}{\tau^N} \right)^{\frac{\ell}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla w|^\ell dx \\ &\quad + \frac{c}{\tau^{\frac{N\ell}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(q^I - q^P)\chi_{B_r(x_0)}|^\ell dx + \frac{c}{\tau^{\frac{N\ell}{2}}(r - \rho)^{\frac{\ell}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla p \chi_{B_r(x_0)}|^\ell dx \\ &\quad + \frac{c}{\tau^{\frac{N\ell}{2}}(r - \rho)^\ell} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |p^2 \chi_{B_r(x_0)}|^\ell dx. \end{aligned}$$

We can choose $\tau \in (0, 1)$, $r \in (0, 1)$ such that the coefficient of the first integral on the right-hand side satisfies

$$c \left(\tau^2 + \frac{r^{2\gamma}}{\tau^N} \right)^{\frac{\ell}{2}} \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

One way of doing this is to take

$$\tau = r^{\frac{\gamma}{N}}$$

Then we easily conclude that it is enough for us to pick

$$r \leq \frac{1}{(2c)^{\frac{N}{\ell\gamma}}}.$$

Let τ, r so chosen. We can deduce from (3.46) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} |\nabla p|^\ell dx &\leq \frac{c}{r^{\frac{\ell\gamma}{2}}(r - \rho)^{\frac{\ell}{2}}} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla p|^\ell dx \\ &\quad + \frac{c}{r^{\frac{\ell\gamma}{2} - N}(r - \rho)^\ell} + \frac{c}{r^{\frac{\ell\gamma}{2}}} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |q^I - q^P|^\ell dx \\ &\leq \varepsilon \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla p|^\ell dx + \frac{c(\varepsilon)}{r^{\frac{\ell\gamma}{2} - N}(r - \rho)^\ell} + \frac{c}{r^{\frac{\ell\gamma}{2}}} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |q^I - q^P|^\ell dx, \quad \varepsilon > 0. \end{aligned}$$

By the proof of (3.18), we obtain

$$\int_{B_{\frac{r}{2}}(x_0)} |\nabla p|^\ell dx \leq \frac{c}{r^{\frac{\ell\gamma}{2}-N+\ell}} + \frac{c}{r^{\frac{\ell\gamma}{2}}} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |q^I - q^P|^{\frac{\ell}{2}} dx.$$

Since this is true for a.e. $t \in (t_0 - \frac{1}{2}r^2, t_0 + \frac{1}{2}r^2)$, we arrive at

$$\sup_{t_0 - \frac{r^2}{4} \leq t \leq t_0} \int_{B_{\frac{r}{2}}(x_0)} |\nabla p|^\ell dx \leq \frac{c}{r^{\frac{\ell\gamma}{2}-N+\ell}} + \frac{c}{r^{\frac{\ell\gamma}{2}}} \sup_{t_0 - \frac{1}{2}r^2 \leq t \leq t_0 + \frac{1}{2}r^2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |q^I - q^P|^{\frac{\ell}{2}} dx.$$

The proof is complete. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Amirat and A. Ziani, Asymptotic behavior of the solutions of an elliptic-parabolic system arising in flow in porous media, *Z. Anal. Anwendungen*, **23**(2004), 335-351.
- [2] J. Bear, *Dynamics of Fluids in Porous Media*, American Elsevier, New York, 1972.
- [3] Z. Chen and R. Ewing, Mathematical analysis for reservoir models, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **30**(1999), 431-453.
- [4] C. Choquet Semi-classical solutions for a nonlinear coupled elliptic-parabolic problem, *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, **76**(2007), 369-396.
- [5] E. DiBenedetto, *Degenerate Parabolic Equations*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.
- [6] G. Di Fazio, L^p estimates for divergence form elliptic equations with discontinuous coefficients, *Bull. Un. Mat. Ital. A*, **10**(1996), 409-420
- [7] G. Di Fazio and M.S. Fanciullo, BMO regularity for elliptic systems in Carnot-Carathéodory spaces, *Comm. Appl. Nonlinear Anal.*, **10**(2003), 81-95.
- [8] J. Douglas, *Numerical Methods for the Flow of Miscible Fluids in Porous Media*, Edt R.W. Lewis et el, John Wiley, New York, 1984.
- [9] J. Droniou and K.S. Talbot On a Miscible Displacement Model in Porous Media Flow with Measure Data, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **46**(2014), 3158-3175.
- [10] L.C. Evans and R.F. Gariepy, *Measure Theory and Fine Properties of Functions*, CRC Press, Boca Raton 1992.
- [11] X. Feng, On existence and uniqueness results for a coupled system modeling miscible displacement in porous media, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **194**(1995), 883-910.
- [12] M. Gianquinta, *Multiple Integrals in the Calculus of Variations and Nonlinear Elliptic Systems*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1983.
- [13] D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [14] Q.A. Ladyzenskaja, V.A. Solonnikov, and N.N Ural'ceva, *Linear and Quasi-linear Equations of Parabolic type*, Trans. Math. Monographs, Vol 23, AMS, Providence, RI, 1968.
- [15] N.G. Meyers, An L^p estimate for the gradient of solution of second order elliptic divergence equations, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Pisa III*, **17**(1963), 189-206.
- [16] J. Simon, Compact sets in the space $L^p(0, T; B)$, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.*, **146**(1987), 65-96.
- [17] E.M. Stein, *Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1993.
- [18] X. Xu, Partial regularity of solutions to a class of degenerate systems, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **349**(1997), 1973-1992.