

BRAUER GROUP OF MODULI STACK OF STABLE PARABOLIC PGL(r)-BUNDLES OVER A CURVE

INDRANIL BISWAS, SUJOY CHAKRABORTY, ARIJIT DEY

ABSTRACT. Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. We prove that the Brauer group of moduli stack of stable parabolic PGL(r, k)-bundles with full flag quasi-parabolic structures at an arbitrary parabolic divisor on a curve X coincides with the Brauer group of the smooth locus of the corresponding coarse moduli space of parabolic PGL(r, k)-bundles. We also compute the Brauer group of the smooth locus of this coarse moduli for more general quasi-parabolic types and weights satisfying certain conditions.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let Y be a quasi-projective variety defined over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero. The cohomological Brauer group of Y is defined to be the torsion part

$$H^2(Y_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{G}_m)_{\text{torsion}} \subset H^2(Y_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{G}_m),$$

and it is denoted by $\text{Br}'(Y)$. When the variety Y is smooth, it is known that the above group $H^2(Y_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{G}_m)$ is actually torsion. The Brauer group of Y , which is denoted by $\text{Br}(Y)$, is defined to be the Morita equivalence classes of Azumaya algebras over Y . Giving an Azumaya algebra over Y is equivalent to giving a Brauer-Severi scheme (i.e., a projective bundle) over Y in the étale topology, which is also equivalent to giving a principal PGL(r, k)-bundle over Y . The Brauer class of a projective bundle $\mathbb{P} \rightarrow Y$ can be thought of as the obstruction for \mathbb{P} to be the projectivization of a vector bundle on Y . For each integer $r \geq 2$, the sequence of cohomologies associated to the exact sequence

$$\{e\} \rightarrow \mu_r \rightarrow \text{SL}_r \rightarrow \text{PGL}_r \rightarrow \{e\}$$

gives a homomorphism $H^1(Y_{\text{ét}}, \text{PGL}_r) \rightarrow \text{Br}'(Y)$, which induces an injective group homomorphism $i : \text{Br}(Y) \rightarrow \text{Br}'(Y)$. By a theorem of Gabber it is known that this homomorphism i is surjective [dJ]. For an algebraic stack Y , by Brauer group of Y we mean the cohomological Brauer group of Y .

Let X be an irreducible smooth projective curve of genus $g \geq 2$ over k . Fix an integer $r \geq 2$ and a line bundle ξ of degree d on X . If $g = 2$, we assume that $r \geq 3$. Let $M(r, d)$ denote the moduli space of stable vector bundles on X of rank r and degree d ; it is a smooth quasi-projective variety. Let $M_\xi(r, d) \subset M(r, d)$ denote the moduli space of stable vector bundles on X with rank r and determinant ξ . Let $\mathcal{M}(r, d)$ and $\mathcal{M}_\xi(r, d)$ denote the corresponding moduli stack of stable vector bundles. The Brauer group $\text{Br}(M_\xi(r, d))$ is cyclic of order $\text{g.c.d.}(r, d)$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14D20, 14D22, 14D23, 14F22, 14H60.

Key words and phrases. Brauer group; Moduli space; Moduli stack; Parabolic bundle.

[BBGN]. A generator for $\text{Br}(M_\xi(r, d))$ is obtained by restricting the universal projective bundle over $X \times M_\xi(r, d)$ to $\{x_0\} \times M_\xi(r, d)$, where x_0 is a closed point of X .

Parabolic vector bundles on X , denoted by E_* , were introduced by Mehta and Seshadri in [MS]. These are vector bundles E on X with a filtration of fibers of E at a collection of finitely many points S of X and certain real numbers, called weights, attached to these filtrations. Let $M^\alpha(r, d)$ denote the moduli space of rank r stable parabolic vector bundles E_* on X whose underlying bundle E is of degree d and the parabolic structure at each parabolic point $p_i \in S$ is of the following type:

$$E_{p_i} =: F_{i,1} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq F_{i,a_i} \neq 0$$

with $\dim F_{i,j}/F_{i,j+1} := r_{i,j}$; the parabolic weight of $F_{i,j}$ is $\alpha_{i,j} \in \mathbb{R}$ with

$$0 \leq \alpha_{i,1} < \cdots < \alpha_{i,a_i} < 1.$$

(see § 2 for more details). It is known that $M^\alpha(r, d)$ is a smooth quasi-projective variety [MS]. Let $M_\xi^\alpha(r, d)$ denote the moduli space of stable parabolic vector bundles of rank r and above parabolic type with determinant ξ , i.e., $\wedge^r E = \xi$. Let $\mathcal{M}^\alpha(r, d)$ and $\mathcal{M}_\xi^\alpha(r, d)$ denote the corresponding moduli stack of rank r stable parabolic vector bundles with above parabolic data.

The Brauer group $\text{Br}(M_\xi^\alpha(r, d))$ is isomorphic to the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ [BD], where

$$m = \text{g.c.d.}(d, r, r_{1,1}, \dots, r_{1,a_1}, \dots, r_{\ell,1}, \dots, r_{\ell,a_\ell}). \quad (1.1)$$

Like in the case of vector bundles, the cyclic group $\text{Br}(M_\xi^\alpha(r, d))$ is generated by the Brauer class of the Brauer–Severi variety \mathbb{P}_{x_0} , where \mathbb{P} is the universal projective bundle on $X \times M_\xi^\alpha(r, d)$ and $x_0 \in X$.

Let $\mathcal{N}(r)$ be the moduli stack of stable $\text{PGL}(r, k)$ bundles and $N(r)$ the corresponding coarse moduli space. We have the natural morphism

$$f : \mathcal{N}(r) \longrightarrow N(r).$$

The connected components of both $\mathcal{N}(r)$ and $N(r)$ are indexed by integers $i \in [0, r-1]$; the i -th component of $\mathcal{N}(r)$ (respectively, $N(r)$) is denoted by $\mathcal{N}(r)_i$ (respectively, $N(r)_i$). This $\mathcal{N}(r)_i$ (respectively, $N(r)_i$) is the quotient of $\mathcal{N}_\xi^\alpha(r, d)$ (respectively, $N_\xi^\alpha(r, d)$) by the group of stack of μ_r -bundles Bun_{μ_r} ((respectively, by the subgroup $\Gamma \subset \text{Pic}^0(X)$ of r -torsion points), where $i \equiv d \pmod{r}$) (see [GO, Remark 2.8]). The above morphism f sends $\mathcal{N}(r)_i$ onto $N(r)_i$. The Brauer groups of $\mathcal{N}(r)_i$ and $N(r)_i$ coincide [BH10], and it fits in the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \frac{H^2(\Gamma, k^*)}{H} \longrightarrow \text{Br}(\mathcal{N}(r)_i) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/\delta\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0, \quad (1.2)$$

where $H \subset H^2(\Gamma, k^*)$ is a subgroup of order $\delta = \text{g.c.d.}(r, d)$ [BH10, Theorem 1.2].

As in the case of vector bundles, a parabolic stable $\text{PGL}(r, k)$ -bundle is an equivalence class of parabolic stable vector bundles $[E_*]$, where two stable parabolic vector bundles E_* and E'_* are equivalent if there exists a line bundle L such that $E'_* \cong E_* \otimes L$. The parabolic structure on E'_* is obtained from the parabolic structure of E_* by the above isomorphism.

Let $\mathcal{PN}^\alpha(r)$ be the moduli stack of stable parabolic $\text{PGL}(r, k)$ -bundles with full-flag parabolic structures at each parabolic points of S , and let $PN^\alpha(r)$ denote the corresponding coarse moduli

space. We have the natural morphism

$$\tilde{g} : \mathcal{PN}^\alpha(r) \longrightarrow PN^\alpha(r). \quad (1.3)$$

As before, the connected components of $\mathcal{PN}^\alpha(r)$ and $PN^\alpha(r)$ are indexed by integers $i \in [0, r-1]$; the i -th connected component of $\mathcal{PN}^\alpha(r)$ (respectively, $PN^\alpha(r)$) is denoted by $\mathcal{PN}^\alpha(r)_i$ (respectively, $PN^\alpha(r)_i$). The scheme $PN^\alpha(r)_i$ is the quotient of $M_\xi^\alpha(r, d)$ by the above mentioned group Γ , while the stack $\mathcal{PN}^\alpha(r)_i$ is the quotient of the stack $\mathcal{M}_\xi^\alpha(r, d)$ by Bun_{μ_r} , where $i \equiv d \pmod{r}$. In both cases, the action is given by parabolic tensor product with line bundles equipped with the trivial parabolic structure. The morphism \tilde{g} sends $\mathcal{PN}^\alpha(r)_i$ onto $PN^\alpha(r)_i$.

Our aim here is to study the Brauer group of $\mathcal{PN}^\alpha(r)_i$ and the smooth locus of $PN^\alpha(r)_i$. We prove that the two Brauer groups in question coincide, and is in fact isomorphic to the kernel in (1.2).

The main results are as follows:

Theorem 1.1.

- (1) *In the case of full flags (i.e. $r_{i,j} = 1 \forall (i, j)$), the Brauer groups of $\mathcal{PN}^\alpha(r)_i$ and the smooth locus of $PN^\alpha(r)_i$ coincide.*
- (2) *Let m as in (1.1) equals 1, and $PN^{\alpha,sm}(r)_i$ denote the smooth locus of $PN^\alpha(r)_i$. We have $\text{Br}(PN^{\alpha,sm}(r)_i) \cong \frac{H^2(\Gamma, k^*)}{H}$, where $H \subset H^2(\Gamma, k^*)$ is as in (1.2).*

Theorem 1.1(1) is proved by producing an open subscheme U of $PN^\alpha(r)$ whose complement is of codimension at least 3 such that the map \tilde{g} (1.3) is an isomorphism over U (cf. Corollary 4.3). For Theorem 1.1(2), we first assume the the parabolic weights are sufficiently small, so that there exists a forgetful map from an open subscheme $U_\alpha \subset M_\xi^\alpha(r, d)$ to $M_\xi(r, d)$ by simply forgetting the parabolic structure. This map is an étale-locally trivial fibration. Next, we restrict our attention over a suitably chosen open subscheme of $M_\xi^\alpha(r, d)$ on which Γ acts freely. Quotienting by Γ then gives rise to a finite étale morphism, from which we obtain our result using the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence corresponding to this finite étale map (cf. Proposition 5.1). Finally, for arbitrary generic weights, we use a result of Thaddeus [Th, § 6, 6.2] which says that the moduli spaces with different weights are actually birational.

Finally, as a remark (Remark 6.2), we discuss the case when the weights are not generic, and show that under the same conditions as Theorem 1.1, the Brauer groups can be described for moduli of parabolic stable $PGL(r)$ -bundles for those non-generic weights which belong to a single wall.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let X be an irreducible smooth projective curve of genus $g \geq 2$, defined over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero. A vector bundle on X will always mean an algebraic vector bundle. Fix an integer $r \geq 2$; if $g = 2$, then set $r \geq 3$. Fix a line bundle ξ on X of degree d . By a point of X we will always mean a closed point. Fix a subset

$$S = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_s\} \subset X$$

of s distinct points; they will be referred to as *parabolic points*. Let E be a vector bundle of rank r on X . The fiber of E over a point $z \in X$ will be denoted by E_z .

Definition 2.1. A *parabolic data of rank r* for points of S consists of the following collection: for each $p_i \in S$

- a string of positive integers $(m_1^i, m_2^i, \dots, m_{l_i}^i)$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^{l_i} m_j^i = r$, and
- an increasing sequence of real numbers $0 \leq \alpha_1^i < \alpha_2^i < \dots < \alpha_{l_i}^i < 1$.

If $m_j^i = 1$ for all $1 \leq j \leq l_i$ and $1 \leq i \leq s$, we say that it is a *full-flag* parabolic data.

A *parabolic structure* on E over S with parabolic data of rank r as above consists of the following: for each $p_i \in S$, a weighted filtration

$$\begin{aligned} E_{p_i} &= E_1^i \supsetneq E_2^i \supsetneq \dots \supsetneq E_{l_i}^i \supsetneq E_{l_i+1}^i = 0 \\ 0 &\leq \alpha_1^i < \alpha_2^i < \dots < \alpha_{l_i}^i < \alpha_{l_i+1}^i = 1 \end{aligned}$$

such that $m_j^i = \dim(E_j^i/E_{j+1}^i) \forall 1 \leq j \leq l_i, 1 \leq i \leq s$.

The above collection $\alpha := \{(\alpha_1^i < \alpha_2^i < \dots < \alpha_{l_i}^i)_{1 \leq i \leq s}\}$ is called the *weights*, and the above integer m_j^i is called the *multiplicity* of the weight α_j^i .

By a *parabolic bundle* we mean a collection of data (E, m, α) , where E is a vector bundle on X , while m and α are as described above. For notational convenience, such a parabolic vector bundle will also be referred to as E_* ; the vector bundle E is called the underlying bundle. A full-flag parabolic data is also called a *full-flag* parabolic structure.

Definition 2.2. Let E_* be a parabolic bundle on X of rank r . The *parabolic degree* of E_* is defined as

$$\text{pardeg}(E_*) := \text{deg}(E) + \sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{j=1}^{l_i} m_j^i \alpha_j^i,$$

and the *parabolic slope* of E_* is defined as

$$\text{par}\mu(E_*) := \frac{\text{pardeg}(E_*)}{r}.$$

The parabolic bundle E_* is called *parabolic semistable* (respectively, *parabolic stable*) if for every proper sub-bundle $F \subset E$ we have

$$\text{par}\mu(F_*) \leq \text{par}\mu(E_*) \quad (\text{respectively, } \text{par}\mu(F_*) < \text{par}\mu(E_*)),$$

where F_* denotes the parabolic bundle defined by F equipped with the induced parabolic structure from E_* (see [MS] for the details).

See [Se], [MS] for homomorphisms of parabolic bundles. The class of parabolic semistable bundles with fixed parabolic slope forms an abelian category. We refer to [Se, p. 68] for further details. In particular, it makes sense to take direct sum of parabolic semistable bundles with same parabolic slope.

Definition 2.3. A system of weights α is called *generic* if every semistable parabolic bundle E_* , of given rank and degree, with weights α is parabolic stable. We refer to [BY] for more details.

2.1. Parabolic push-forward and pull-back. Let X and Y be two irreducible smooth projective curves, and let $\gamma : Y \rightarrow X$ be a finite étale Galois morphism. If F is a vector bundle on Y of rank n , then $\gamma_* F$ is a vector bundle on X of rank mn , where m is the degree of the map γ . Given a parabolic structure on F , there is a natural way to construct a parabolic structure on $\gamma_* F$. We refer to [BM, § 3] for details.

Let us mention a special case of parabolic push-forward which will be used here. Let $p \in X$ be a point. Let m be the degree of γ . Since γ is unramified, the inverse image $\gamma^{-1}(p)$ consists of m distinct points of Y . Suppose we are given a full-flag parabolic data of rank n with $\gamma^{-1}(p)$ as the set of parabolic points, so that the parabolic data looks like

$$q \in \gamma^{-1}(p), F_q = F_1^q \supsetneq F_2^q \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq F_n^q \supsetneq F_{n+1}^q = 0, \\ \alpha_1^q < \alpha_2^q < \cdots < \alpha_n^q < \alpha_{n+1}^q = 1.$$

Moreover, we assume that in the collection $\{\alpha_j^q \mid q \in \gamma^{-1}(p), 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ all numbers are distinct. Let F_* be a parabolic bundle on Y with this parabolic data. We shall construct a full-flag parabolic structure for $E = \gamma_* F$ at the point p from this data. Note that

$$E_p = \bigoplus_{q \in \gamma^{-1}(p)} F_q.$$

Let $\beta_1^p < \beta_2^p < \cdots < \beta_{mn}^p$ be the increasing sequence of length mn obtained by ordering the numbers $\{\alpha_j^q \mid q \in \gamma^{-1}(p), 1 \leq j \leq n\}$. For each integer $1 \leq k \leq mn$, define a filtration of E_p by the subspaces

$$E_k^p := \bigoplus_{q \in \gamma^{-1}(p)} F_{\omega(q,k)}^q,$$

where $\omega(q, k)$, for each point q , is the smallest integer $1 \leq j(q) \leq n$ satisfying the condition $\beta_k^p \leq \alpha_{j(q)}^q$. It is straight-forward to see that $\dim(E_k^p/E_{k+1}^p) = 1 \forall 1 \leq k \leq mn - 1$ and $\dim E_{mn}^p = 1$. Consequently,

$$E_p = E_1^p \supsetneq E_2^p \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq E_{mn}^p \\ \beta_1^p < \beta_2^p < \cdots < \beta_{mn}^p$$

is a full-flag parabolic structure of rank mn at p .

Finally, for multiple parabolic points on X , we perform exactly the same construction for each parabolic point to define the parabolic push-forward.

Let $\gamma : Y \rightarrow X$ be as above. If E_* is a parabolic bundle on X , then $\gamma^* E$ has an induced parabolic structure as follows: let $S \subset X$ be the set of parabolic points for E ; we define $\gamma^{-1}(S)$ as the set of parabolic points for $\gamma^* E$, and for any $p \in S$ and $q \in \gamma^{-1}(p)$, give the fiber $(\gamma^* E)_q = E_p$ the same parabolic structure as E_p .

2.2. Moduli of parabolic $\mathrm{PGL}(n, k)$ -bundles.

Definition 2.4. A parabolic $\mathrm{PGL}(n, k)$ -bundle is an equivalence class of parabolic vector bundles, where two parabolic bundles E_* and E'_* are considered equivalent if there exists a line bundle L such that $E'_* \simeq E_* \otimes L$ as parabolic bundles.

Let $\mathcal{M}_\xi^\alpha(r, d)$ denote the moduli stack of stable parabolic bundles on X of rank r and fixed determinant ξ of degree d ; the corresponding coarse moduli space will be denoted by $M_\xi^\alpha(r, d)$. The natural morphism

$$\rho : \mathcal{M}_\xi^\alpha(r, d) \longrightarrow M_\xi^\alpha(r, d)$$

is a \mathbb{G}_m -gerbe; this follows from the fact that the automorphisms of a stable parabolic bundle are nonzero scalar multiplications.

Let

$$\Gamma \subset \text{Pic}^0(X)$$

be the group of isomorphism classes of line bundles on X of order r ; in other words, Γ is the r -torsion points of the Jacobian of X . This group Γ acts naturally on $PN_\xi^\alpha(r, d)$ by parabolic tensor product. More precisely, the action of any $L \in \Gamma$ sends any $E_* \in PN_\xi^\alpha(r, d)$ to the parabolic tensor product

$$L \cdot (E_*) := E_* \otimes L, \quad (2.1)$$

where L has the trivial parabolic structure.

Let Bun_{μ_r} denote the group stack of μ_r -bundles on X . The natural map

$$h : \text{Bun}_{\mu_r} \longrightarrow \Gamma$$

is a μ_r -gerbe. The tensor product operation defines an action of the stack Bun_{μ_r} on $\mathcal{M}_\xi^\alpha(r, d)$. This action is clearly compatible with the Γ -action on $M_\xi^\alpha(r, d)$, meaning the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Bun}_{\mu_r} \times \mathcal{M}_\xi^\alpha(r, d) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_\xi^\alpha(r, d) \\ h \times \rho \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho \\ \Gamma \times M_\xi^\alpha(r, d) & \longrightarrow & M_\xi^\alpha(r, d) \end{array}$$

Let $i \equiv d \pmod{r}$ with $i \in [0, d-1]$. The moduli stack of parabolic stable $\text{PGL}(r, k)$ -bundles on X of topological type i , denoted by $\mathcal{PN}^\alpha(r)_i$, is defined to be the quotient of $\mathcal{M}_\xi^\alpha(r, d)$ by the action of Bun_{μ_r} .

The moduli space of parabolic stable $\text{PGL}(r, k)$ -bundles on X of topological type i is defined similarly as the quotient of $M_\xi^\alpha(r, d)$ by Γ :

$$PN^\alpha(r)_i := M_\xi^\alpha(r, d)/\Gamma.$$

We have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_\xi^\alpha(r, d) & \xrightarrow{\rho} & M_\xi^\alpha(r, d) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{PN}^\alpha(r)_i & \xrightarrow{\bar{\rho}} & PN^\alpha(r)_i. \end{array}$$

See [GO, § 2] for more details.

3. THE FIXED POINT LOCI

We fix a positive integer r , a subset of parabolic points of X and a line bundle ξ of degree d on X . Assume that $i \equiv d \pmod{r}$, $i \in [0, d-1]$ in what follows. We adopt the following notations:

M_ξ^{ss} := coarse moduli space of semistable vector bundles of rank r and determinant isomorphic to ξ .

M_ξ := moduli space of stable vector bundles of rank r and determinant isomorphic to ξ .

$N(r)_i$:= moduli space of stable $\mathrm{PGL}(r, k)$ -bundles of rank r and topological type i ,

M_α := moduli space of stable parabolic vector bundles of rank r , fixed determinant ξ with full flag and weights α .

$\mathrm{PN}_{\alpha, i}$:= moduli space of stable parabolic $\mathrm{PGL}(r, k)$ -bundles of rank r , topological type i with full flag and weights α .

$\mathcal{PN}_{\alpha, i}$:= moduli stack of stable parabolic $\mathrm{PGL}(r, k)$ -bundles of rank r , topological type i with full flag and weights α .

$\Gamma := \{L \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(X) \mid L^r \simeq \mathcal{O}_X\} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z})^{2g}$.

The aim in this section is to estimate the codimension of the fixed point locus of M_α under any r -torsion line bundle L . This would ensure the existence of a closed subscheme of M_α (namely, the union of the fixed point locus for all $L \in \Gamma \setminus \{\mathcal{O}_X\}$) of codimension at least three such that Γ acts freely on its complement. We remark that α need not be a generic weight. We first prove a result in linear algebra which will be used.

Lemma 3.1. *Let ψ be a diagonalizable automorphism of a k -vector space V of dimension r equipped with a filtration of subspaces*

$$V = V_r \supsetneq V_{r-1} \supsetneq V_{r-2} \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq V_1 \supsetneq 0$$

such that $\psi(V_i) = V_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$. Then there exists a basis of V consisting of eigenvectors $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_r\}$ of ψ such that $V_j = \langle v_1, \dots, v_j \rangle$ for all $1 \leq j \leq r$.

Proof. Since ψ is diagonalizable, for any subspace $W \subset V$ such that $\psi(W) = W$, the restriction of ψ to W is diagonalizable and, moreover, since diagonalizable maps are semisimple, there is a subspace $W' \subset V$ satisfying the conditions $\psi(W') = W'$ and $V = W \oplus W'$. Choose any basis vector v_1 for V_1 . Suppose $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_j\}$ has been chosen satisfying the hypothesis up to $j \leq r-1$. Thus there exists a vector $v_{j+1} \in V_{j+1}$ such that $V_{j+1} = \langle v_{j+1} \rangle \oplus V_j$. Then $\{v_1, \dots, v_{j+1}\}$ satisfy the hypothesis till $(j+1)$. Repeating this process, the lemma follows. \square

For $L \in \Gamma \setminus \mathcal{O}_X$, let $M_\xi^L \subset M_\xi$ (respectively, $M_\alpha^L \subset M_\alpha$) be the locus of fixed points for the action of L on M_ξ (respectively, M_α); see (2.1). If $m = \mathrm{ord}(L)$, choosing a nonzero section $s_0 \in H^0(X, L^{\otimes m})$, define the spectral curve

$$Y_L := \{v \in L \mid v^{\otimes m} \in s_0(X)\}.$$

The natural projection $\gamma : Y_L \rightarrow X$ is an étale Galois covering with Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$. The isomorphism class of this covering γ does not depend on the choice of the section s_0 . Let $N_L \subset M_{Y_L}(r/m, d)$ denote the moduli space of stable vector bundles F over Y_L of rank r/m and degree d such that $\det(\gamma_*F) \simeq \xi$. We recall the following:

Lemma 3.2 ([BH10, Lemma 2.1]). *There is a nonempty Zariski open subset $U \subset N_L$ such that $\gamma_*F \in M_\xi^L$ for all $F \in U$. Moreover, the morphism $U \rightarrow M_\xi^L$ defined by $F \mapsto \gamma_*F$ is surjective. To be more precise, U is the subset of N_L consisting of those bundles F such that γ_*F is also stable.*

3.1. Estimate of codimension of fixed points. Given a set $\alpha = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_r\}$ of r distinct elements, let $P(\alpha)$ denote the set of all possible partitions of its elements into m subsets, each containing $n = r/m$ elements. Clearly, we have $|P(\alpha)| = \binom{r}{n} \binom{r-n}{n} \binom{r-2n}{n} \dots \binom{n}{n}$.

Consider the spectral curve $\gamma : Y_L \rightarrow X$. Given a full-flag parabolic data of rank r at the parabolic points S of X , we would like to describe a full-flag parabolic data of rank n on $\gamma^{-1}(S)$ for each element of $P(\alpha)$. For simplicity, let us start with the case of a single parabolic point $S = \{p\}$. So, we are given a full-flag parabolic data of rank r at p . Let α denote its set of weights. Let

$$\text{Gal}(\gamma) = \{1, \mu, \mu^2, \dots, \mu^{m-1}\} \subset k^*.$$

The action of $\text{Gal}(\gamma)$ on $\gamma^{-1}(p)$ is via multiplication. Using this, we can define a full-flag parabolic data at the points of $\gamma^{-1}(p)$ as follows: let us fix an ordering on the points of $\gamma^{-1}(p)$, say $\gamma^{-1}(p) = \{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m\}$, such that μ^i acts on $\gamma^{-1}(p)$ as the cyclic permutation sending q_j to q_{j+i} , where the subscript $(j+i)$ is to be understood mod m . For $t \in P(\alpha)$, suppose

$$\alpha = \coprod_{j=1}^m \Lambda_j$$

be the partition of the set of weights α according to t . Clearly each Λ_j can be arranged into an increasing sequence of length n . We designate Λ_j as the set of weights at q_j for each $1 \leq j \leq m$. This gives a full-flag parabolic data of rank n at the points of $\gamma^{-1}(p)$. Finally, for multiple parabolic points, say $S = \{p_1, \dots, p_s\}$ and $\gamma^{-1}(p_i) = \{q_{1i}, q_{2i}, \dots, q_{mi}\}$, then we perform the same procedure as above for each p_i to get the parabolic structure upstairs. In particular, the number of possible parabolic data upstairs would be $|P(\alpha)| = \left(\binom{r}{n} \binom{r-n}{n} \binom{r-2n}{n} \dots \binom{n}{n}\right)^s$.

For each $t \in P(\alpha)$, let $M_{Y_L}^t(n, d)$ denote the moduli space of stable parabolic bundles over Y_L of rank n , degree d and having full-flag parabolic structures at the points of $\gamma^{-1}(p)$ according to t as described above. Let $\mathcal{N}_L^t \subset M_{Y_L}^t(n, d)$ denote the subvariety consisting of stable parabolic bundles F_* such that $\det(\gamma_*F) \simeq \xi$. Define $\mathcal{N}_L := \coprod_{t \in P(\alpha)} \mathcal{N}_L^t$.

Lemma 3.3. *There is a surjective morphism $f : \mathcal{N}_L \rightarrow M_\alpha^L$ given by parabolic push-forward for the étale map γ .*

Proof. First, for simplicity let us assume that we have only one parabolic point $S = \{p\}$. The map f in the statement of the send any F_* to the parabolic push-forward of F_* constructed in Section 2.1.

We claim that $f(F_*)$ is parabolic semistable. To prove this, note that if $E_* := f(F_*)$, then

$$\gamma^*(E_*) \cong \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(\gamma)} \sigma^*(F_*),$$

where $\sigma^*(F_*)$ has the obvious parabolic structure coming from F_* . Clearly $\mathrm{par}\mu(\sigma^*(F_*)) = \mathrm{par}\mu(F_*)$ for all σ . Therefore $\gamma^*(E_*)$ is a direct sum of parabolic stable bundles of same parabolic slope, which implies that $\gamma^*(E_*)$ is parabolic semistable. Thus E_* must be parabolic semistable as well, since any sub-bundle $E'_* \subset E_*$ with strictly larger parabolic slope would give rise to a subbundle $\gamma^*(E'_*) \subset \gamma^*(E_*)$ of strictly larger parabolic slope, contradicting parabolic semistability of $\gamma^*(E_*)$. Hence $f(F_*)$ is parabolic semistable.

It can be shown that E_* is actually parabolic stable. To prove this, take any non-trivial sub-bundle $E' \subset E$ such that $\mathrm{par}\mu(E'_*) = \mathrm{par}\mu(E_*)$. Then

$$\gamma^*(E'_*) \subset \gamma^*(E_*) = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(\gamma)} \sigma^*(F_*)$$

is a sub-bundle with same parabolic slope. Now, it is clear that $\gamma^*(E'_*)$ is also parabolic semistable. Thus it contains a parabolic stable sub-bundle F'_* . We note that the sub-bundles

$$\{\sigma^*(F_*) \mid \sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(\gamma)\}$$

are mutually non-isomorphic. Indeed, otherwise there would exist a parabolic isomorphism \tilde{f} from F_* to σ^*F_* for some $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(\gamma) \setminus \{e\}$; at a parabolic point y it should preserve the filtrations of F_y and $F_{\sigma(y)}$. But since the weights of F_* at the parabolic points y and $\sigma(y)$ are collectively distinct, and a parabolic isomorphism preserves weights, this leads to a contradiction. Therefore, it follows that all projections $F'_* \rightarrow \sigma^*(F_*)$ except one must be zero, so that $\gamma^*(E'_*)$ contains one of the $\sigma^*(F_*)$. But $\gamma^*(E'_*)$ is $\mathrm{Gal}(\gamma)$ -equivariant, which would force that $\gamma^*(E'_*) = \gamma^*(E_*)$; this clearly implies that $E'_* = E_*$. Consequently, E_* is parabolic stable.

Next, we argue that $\mathrm{Im}(f) \subseteq \mathbf{M}_\alpha^L$. Let $E_* = f(F_*)$. There exists a tautological trivialization of γ^*L over Y_L , which induces an isomorphism

$$\theta : \mathcal{O}_{Y_L} \rightarrow \gamma^*L. \quad (3.1)$$

For each $1 \leq i \leq m$, the induced map $\theta_{q_i} : k \rightarrow (\gamma^*L)_{q_i} = L_p$ is given by sending $\lambda \mapsto \lambda q_i$. This induces an isomorphism

$$Id_F \otimes \theta : F \xrightarrow{\cong} F \otimes \gamma^*L.$$

This, in turn, produces a canonical isomorphism $\psi : E \simeq E \otimes L$ on the underlying bundle arising from $\gamma_*(Id_F \otimes \theta)$ followed by projection formula:

$$\psi : E = \gamma_*F \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\gamma_*(Id_F \otimes \theta)} \gamma_*(F \otimes \gamma^*L) \cong E \otimes L.$$

Now $E_p = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m F_{q_i}$, and the map $\psi_p : E_p \rightarrow E_p \otimes L_p$ on the fiber takes F_{q_i} to $F_{q_i} \otimes L_p$, which clearly implies that ψ_p preserves the filtration induced on E_p . Thus $\mathrm{Im}(f) \subseteq \mathbf{M}_\alpha^L$.

To show the surjectivity of f , let $E_* \in \mathbf{M}_\alpha^L$, so there exists a parabolic isomorphism

$$\varphi_* : E_* \xrightarrow{\cong} E_* \otimes L.$$

Suppose $(\alpha_1^p < \alpha_2^p < \dots < \alpha_r^p)$ are the weights at p . We would like to show that there exists $F_* \in \mathcal{N}_L$ such that $f(F_*) \simeq E_*$. Since E_* is parabolic stable, it is simple, and hence any parabolic endomorphism of E_* is a constant scalar multiplication. As a consequence, any two parabolic isomorphisms from E_* to $E_* \otimes L$ will differ by a constant scalar multiplication. Thus, we can re-scale φ_* by multiplying with a nonzero scalar, so that the m -fold composition

$$\varphi_* \circ \dots \circ \varphi_* : E_* \longrightarrow E_* \otimes L^m$$

m -times

coincides with $\text{Id}_{E_*} \otimes \tau$, where τ is the chosen nowhere vanishing section of L^m . This produces the isomorphism

$$\varphi \circ \dots \circ \varphi = \text{Id}_E \otimes \tau : E \longrightarrow E \otimes L^m$$

m -times

on the underlying bundles. Then, the argument given in the proof of [BH10, Lemma 2.1] will produce a vector bundle F on Y_L with $\gamma_* F \simeq E$. Let us briefly recall the argument [BH10] for convenience. Consider the pull-back $\gamma^* \varphi$, and compose it with the tautological trivialization of $\gamma^* L$ to get a morphism

$$\phi : \gamma^* E \longrightarrow \gamma^* E.$$

Since Y_L is irreducible, the characteristic polynomial of ϕ_y remains unchanged as $y \in Y_L$ moves. This allows us to decompose $\gamma^* E$ into generalized eigenspace sub-bundles. If F is an eigenspace sub-bundle of E , then we have $\gamma_* F \simeq E$. Moreover, the decomposition $\gamma^*(E) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m (\mu^i)^* F$ is precisely the decomposition of $\gamma^*(E)$ into generalized eigenspace sub-bundles.

Our next task is to produce a parabolic structure on F so that the parabolic push-forward $\gamma_*(F_*)$ (in the sense of Remark 2.1) coincides with E_* . To see this, recall the description of θ in (3.1), and notice that for any choice of $q \in \gamma^{-1}(p)$, the map ϕ_q is precisely the composition

$$(\gamma^* E)_q = E_p \xrightarrow{\varphi_p} E_p \otimes L_p = (\gamma^* E)_q \otimes (\gamma^* L)_q \xrightarrow{\text{Id} \otimes (\theta_q)^{-1}} (\gamma^* E)_q, \quad (3.2)$$

where $\theta_q : k \rightarrow (\gamma^* L)_q = L_p$ is given by sending $\lambda \mapsto \lambda q$. Thus, if

$$E_p = E_1^p \supseteq E_2^p \supseteq \dots \supseteq E_r^p \supseteq 0$$

be the given parabolic filtration of the fiber E_p , then as φ_* is a parabolic isomorphism,

$$\forall j \in [0, r], \quad \varphi_p(E_j^p) = E_j^p \otimes L_p \implies \phi_q(E_j^p) = E_j^p \quad [\text{from (3.2)}]. \quad (3.3)$$

let ϕ_q^s be the semisimple part of ϕ_q under its Jordan-Chevalley decomposition. It is well-known that ϕ_q^s can be expressed as a polynomial in ϕ_q without constant coefficient. Thus

$$\phi_q^s(E_j^p) = E_j^p \quad \forall j \in [0, r-1].$$

Moreover, the generalized eigenspaces of ϕ_q (namely F_{q_i} 's) are the eigenspaces for ϕ_q^s . Thus $\phi_q^s : E_p \rightarrow E_p$ and the filtration $E_p = E_1^p \supseteq \dots \supseteq E_r^p \supseteq 0$ allow us to apply Lemma 3.1, which gives us a basis of E_p , say $\{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$, such that each $E_p^k = \langle v_k, \dots, v_r \rangle$ and each v_j is contained in a unique F_{q_i} . From this data, we can produce a full-flag parabolic structure on the fibers F_{q_1}, \dots, F_{q_m} of F as follows:

Choose a basis $B = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_r\}$ of E_p as in Lemma 3.1, and for each q_i , consider the set $B_i := B \cap F_{q_i}$. By symmetry, each B_i consists of n elements and spans F_{q_i} . Suppose that

$B_i = \{v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, \dots, v_{i_n}\}$, where $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_n$. Then consider the following weighted full-flag filtration at F_{q_i} :

$$F_{q_i} = \langle v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, \dots, v_{i_n} \rangle \supsetneq \langle v_{i_2}, \dots, v_{i_n} \rangle \supsetneq \dots \supsetneq \langle v_{i_n} \rangle \supsetneq 0, \\ \alpha_{i_1}^p < \alpha_{i_2}^p < \dots < \alpha_{i_n}^p.$$

By repeating this for all $1 \leq i \leq m$, a parabolic bundle F_* on Y_L is constructed. Note that F_* must be parabolic stable, because if $F' \subset F$ is any sub-bundle such that $\mathrm{Par}\mu(F') \geq \mathrm{Par}\mu(F_*)$, then the equalities

$$\mathrm{pardeg}(\gamma_*(F')) = \mathrm{pardeg}(F') \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{rank}(\gamma_*(F')) = m \cdot \mathrm{rank}(F')$$

would imply that $\gamma_*(F') \subset E$ violates the condition of parabolic stability for E_* . Thus $F_* \in \mathcal{N}_L^t$ for some $t \in P(\alpha)$. Moreover, the parabolic push-forward of F_* under γ as in Remark 2.1 coincides with E_* .

Finally, if the number of parabolic points on X is more than 1, then an exactly similar argument with the obvious modifications will give the result. \square

We note that if $|D| = s$, then each \mathcal{N}_L^t is of dimension

$$\dim(\mathcal{N}_L^t) = \frac{r^2}{m^2}(\mathrm{genus}(Y_L) - 1) + 1 - g + sm \cdot \frac{\frac{r}{m}(\frac{r}{m} - 1)}{2} \\ = (g - 1)\left(\frac{r^2}{m} - 1\right) + \frac{sr(\frac{r}{m} - 1)}{2} \quad [:\mathrm{genus}(Y_L) = m(g - 1) + 1].$$

Since $\dim(M_\alpha) = (g - 1)(r^2 - 1) + s \cdot \frac{r(r - 1)}{2}$, from Lemma 3.3 it follows that

$$\dim(M_\alpha) - \dim(M_\alpha^L) \geq \dim(M_\alpha) - \dim(\mathcal{N}_L) = r^2\left(\frac{m - 1}{m}\right)(g - 1 + \frac{s}{2}) \geq 3.$$

Corollary 3.4. *The codimension of the closed subscheme*

$$Z_\alpha = \bigcup_{L \in \Gamma \setminus \{\mathcal{O}_X\}} M_\alpha^L \subset M_\alpha$$

is at least three.

4. THE BRAUER GROUP OF THE STACK $\mathcal{PN}_{\alpha,i}$

We continue with the notation of Section 2. For a parabolic bundle E_* , let $\mathbb{P}(E)_*$ denote the corresponding parabolic $\mathrm{PGL}(r, k)$ -bundle. If E_* is stable parabolic, then $\mathbb{P}(E)_*$ is a stable parabolic $\mathrm{PGL}(r, k)$ -bundle.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $U_\alpha := M_\alpha \setminus Z_\alpha$ (see Corollary 3.4). Take any $E_* \in U_\alpha$, and let $E'_* := E_* \otimes L$ for some $L \in \Gamma$. Then there exists a unique isomorphism of parabolic $\mathrm{PGL}(r, k)$ -bundles between $\mathbb{P}(E)_*$ and $\mathbb{P}(E')_*$.*

Proof. Since the parabolic structure of E'_* is induced from E_* , the canonical isomorphism between the underlying $\mathrm{PGL}(r, k)$ -bundles $\mathbb{P}(E)$ and $\mathbb{P}(E')$ actually gives an isomorphism of parabolic $\mathrm{PGL}(r, k)$ -bundles. If there are two isomorphisms between $\mathbb{P}(E)_*$ and $\mathbb{P}(E')_*$, then we get an automorphism of $\mathbb{P}(E)_*$.

Let f_* be a nontrivial automorphism of $\mathbb{P}(E)_*$. It evidently induces an automorphism f of the underlying projective bundle $\mathbb{P}(E)$. Then there exists a line bundle L_0 and an isomorphism of vector bundles

$$\tilde{f} : E \longrightarrow E \otimes L_0$$

which induces f . Taking determinant it follows that $L_0 \in \Gamma$. Moreover, it is clear that \tilde{f} respects the parabolic structures on E and $E \otimes L_0$, so we get an isomorphism of parabolic bundles

$$\tilde{f}_* : E_* \longrightarrow E_* \otimes L_0.$$

But $E_* \in U_\alpha$, thus L_0 must be trivial. Hence \tilde{f}_* is an automorphism of E_* . Since E_* is parabolic stable, it must be a scalar multiple of the identity map. But then the induced map f_* must be the identity morphism. \square

The following proposition should be well-known to the experts, but we are providing a proof as we could not find it in the literature, and also for completeness.

Proposition 4.2. *Let \mathcal{X} be a locally noetherian Deligne-Mumford stack, and let $\mathcal{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be a closed substack of codimension at least 2. Let $H_{\acute{e}t}^2(\mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{Z}, \mathbb{G}_m) \simeq H_{\acute{e}t}^2(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{G}_m)$.*

Proof. Consider an étale cover $V \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. The pull-back of \mathcal{Z} produces a closed subscheme Z' of V . We still have $\mathrm{codim}_V(Z') \geq 2$ [GS, Lemma 5.1].

Let $V^{\times i} = V \times V \times \cdots \times V$ ($i + 1$ times). Now, consider the descent spectral sequence

$$E_1^{i,j} = H_{\acute{e}t}^j(V^{\times i}, \mathbb{G}_m) \implies H_{\acute{e}t}^{i+j}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{G}_m), \quad (i)$$

and similarly

$$E_1^{i,j} = H_{\acute{e}t}^j((V \setminus Z')^{\times i}, \mathbb{G}_m) \implies H_{\acute{e}t}^{i+j}(\mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{Z}, \mathbb{G}_m). \quad (ii)$$

By purity statements for étale cohomology for smooth schemes [Ce, Theorem 1.1], we know that the open embedding $V \setminus Z' \hookrightarrow V$ induces isomorphisms on the group of units, the Picard group and the cohomological Brauer group. Thus the $E_1^{i,j}$ -terms in (i) and (ii) are isomorphic for all $j \leq 2$ and all i . This in turn induces isomorphisms on the convergence terms for all $i + j \leq 2$, and thus we have $H_{\acute{e}t}^2(\mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{Z}, \mathbb{G}_m) \simeq H_{\acute{e}t}^2(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{G}_m)$. \square

Next, consider

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \mathcal{PN}_{\alpha,i} \\ & & \downarrow p \\ \mathbb{M}_\alpha & \xrightarrow{\phi_\alpha} & \mathrm{PN}_{\alpha,i} \end{array}$$

where $\phi_\alpha : \mathbb{M}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathrm{PN}_{\alpha,i}$ denotes the quotient by Γ , and $p : \mathcal{PN}_{\alpha,i} \rightarrow \mathrm{PN}_{\alpha,i}$ is the coarse moduli space map. $\mathcal{PN}_{\alpha,i}$ is a smooth Deligne-Mumford stack, as the automorphism groups of its points are finite.

Note that $\mathcal{PN}_{\alpha,i}$ is the quotient stack $[\mathrm{M}_\alpha/\Gamma]$, while $\mathrm{PN}_{\alpha,i} = \mathrm{M}_\alpha/\Gamma$ is the GIT quotient, and thus the map p is natural map

$$p : [\mathrm{M}_\alpha/\Gamma] \rightarrow \mathrm{M}_\alpha/\Gamma.$$

Thus, by [St, Tag 075T, Lemma 100.33.7], p is smooth. Since ϕ_α is a finite morphism, clearly $\mathrm{codim}_{\mathrm{PN}_{\alpha,i}}(\phi_\alpha(Z_\alpha)) \geq 2$ by Corollary 3.4. Since smooth morphisms of Artin stacks are codimension-preserving [GS, Lemma 5.1], we conclude that the closed substack

$$\mathcal{Z}_\alpha := p^{-1}(\phi_\alpha(Z_\alpha)) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{PN}_{\alpha,i}$$

also has codimension 2.

Corollary 4.3. *Let $\mathrm{PN}_{\alpha,i}^{sm}$ denote the smooth locus of $\mathrm{PN}_{\alpha,i}$. We have $\mathrm{Br}(\mathcal{PN}_{\alpha,i}) \simeq \mathrm{Br}(\mathrm{PN}_{\alpha,i}^{sm})$.*

Proof. Use Proposition 4.2 for $\mathcal{Z}_\alpha \hookrightarrow \mathcal{PN}_{\alpha,i}$, together with Lemma 4.1, which says that the map p restricts to an isomorphism over $\phi_\alpha(U_\alpha) = \mathrm{PN}_{\alpha,i} \setminus \phi_\alpha(Z_\alpha)$. \square

5. DESCRIPTION OF THE BRAUER GROUPS FOR SUFFICIENTLY SMALL WEIGHTS

In this section, we allow ourselves to work with parabolic structures which can be consisting of partial flags as well. Assume that α be a sufficiently small generic weight corresponding to a parabolic structure such that

$$g.c.d.(r, d, m_1^1, \dots, m_{l_1}^1, \dots, m_j^i, \dots, m_1^s, \dots, m_{l_s}^s) = 1.$$

(See Definition 2.1). By [BY, Proposition 3.2], such a generic weight exists. Since α is chosen sufficiently small, there is a morphism

$$\pi : \mathrm{M}_\alpha \longrightarrow \mathrm{M}_\xi^{ss} \tag{5.1}$$

that sends a parabolic bundle to the underlying vector bundle by simply forgetting the parabolic structure. Γ acts on both M_α and M_ξ^{ss} , and π in (5.1) is equivariant under this action. Restrict π over the stable locus $\mathrm{M}_\xi \subset \mathrm{M}_\xi^{ss}$. Each fiber of $\pi : \pi^{-1}(\mathrm{M}_\xi) \rightarrow \mathrm{M}_\xi$ is a product of flag varieties. Note that π is flat (this is sometimes called as 'miracle flatness theorem' [St, Tag 00R4, Lemma 10.128.1]). In fact, π is an étale locally trivial fibration [PP, Theorem 1.3]. The closed subset

$$\mathcal{Z} := \bigcup_{L \in \Gamma \setminus \{\mathcal{O}_X\}} \mathrm{M}_\xi^L$$

has codimension at least three [BH10, Corollary 2.2]. Define

$$\mathcal{U} := \mathrm{M}_\xi \setminus \mathcal{Z}.$$

Consider $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U}) \subset \pi^{-1}(\mathrm{M}_\xi)$. Clearly the action of Γ on M_ξ restricts to a free action on \mathcal{U} , and hence the action of Γ on $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$ is also free; indeed, if E_* is an L -fixed point, then the underlying bundle E is also fixed by L . Thus, if $\mathrm{PN}_{\alpha,i}^{sm}$ (resp. $N(r)_i^{sm}$) be the smooth locus of $\mathrm{PN}_{\alpha,i}$ (resp. $N(r)_i$), then we have

$$\mathcal{U}/\Gamma \subset N(r)_i^{sm} \text{ and } \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U})/\Gamma \subset \mathrm{PN}_{\alpha,i}^{sm}.$$

A straightforward codimension estimate shows that

$$\text{codim}_{M_\alpha}(M_\alpha \setminus \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U})) = \text{codim}_{M_\xi}(M_\xi \setminus \mathcal{U}) \geq 3. \quad (5.2)$$

In view of these codimension estimates, we have

$$\text{Br}(\mathcal{U}/\Gamma) = \text{Br}(N(r)_i^{sm}) \text{ and } \text{Br}(\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U})/\Gamma) = \text{Br}(PN_{\alpha,i}^{sm}). \quad (5.3)$$

This enables us to work with finite étale morphisms in what follows. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U}) & \xrightarrow{\phi'} & \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U})/\Gamma \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi' \\ \mathcal{U} & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathcal{U}/\Gamma \end{array} \quad (5.4)$$

where ϕ and ϕ' are both finite étale (due to the removal of all the fixed points), and π , π' are both fibrations in the étale topology. The typical fibers of π and π' are the same, with both being isomorphic to the product of flag varieties. In fact the diagram in (5.4) is Cartesian. Denote the common typical fiber of the two fiber bundles by F .

Proposition 5.1. *Let α be a sufficiently small weight, and $PN_{\alpha,i}^{sm}$ (resp. $N(r)_i^{sm}$) be the smooth locus of $PN_{\alpha,i}$ (resp. $N(r)_i$), where $i \equiv d \pmod{r}$. Let $\mathcal{U} \subset M_\xi$ be as above. Then $\text{Br}(PN_{\alpha,i}^{sm})$ can be identified with the kernel of the natural surjective map*

$$\text{Br}(N(r)_i^{sm}) = \text{Br}(\mathcal{U}/\Gamma) \longrightarrow \text{Br}(\mathcal{U}) = \text{Br}(M_\xi) \cong \mathbb{Z}/\delta\mathbb{Z},$$

where $\delta = \text{g.c.d.}(r, d)$.

Proof. The Leray spectral sequence for the map $\pi : \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U}) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ gives us the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{U}, \pi_* \mathbb{G}_m) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} \text{Pic}(\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U})) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{U}, R^1 \pi_* \mathbb{G}_m) \quad (5.5)$$

We have $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U})} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}}$ since π is flat and global sections of the fibers are trivial; this implies $\pi_* \mathbb{G}_m = \mathbb{G}_m$. Also, since \mathcal{U} is simply connected, $R^1 \pi_* \mathbb{G}_m$ is the constant sheaf with stalk $\text{Pic}(F)$, where F is a typical fiber of π (for details, see [BD, Lemma 3.1].)

Similarly, for $\pi' : \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U})/\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}/\Gamma$ we get:

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{U}/\Gamma, \pi'_* \mathbb{G}_m) \xrightarrow{\pi'^*} \text{Pic}(\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U})/\Gamma) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{U}/\Gamma, R^1 \pi'_* \mathbb{G}_m) \quad (5.6)$$

Again for similar reasons as above, $\pi'_* \mathbb{G}_m = \mathbb{G}_m$. We claim that $R^1 \pi'_* \mathbb{G}_m$ is the constant sheaf with stalk $\text{Pic}(F)$. This is because, as remarked above, $R^1 \pi'_* \mathbb{G}_m$ becomes the constant sheaf $\underline{\text{Pic}(F)}_{\mathcal{U}}$ after pull-back to the étale cover $\mathcal{U} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{U}/\Gamma$, and the action of Γ on $\text{Pic}(F)$ is trivial.

Thus the exact sequences of (5.5) and (5.6) become the following, respectively:

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\mathcal{U}) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} \text{Pic}(\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U})) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(F) \quad (5.7)$$

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\mathcal{U}/\Gamma) \xrightarrow{\pi'^*} \text{Pic}(\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U})/\Gamma) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(F) \quad (5.8)$$

Consequently, we get the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic}(\mathcal{U}/\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{\pi'^*} & \text{Pic}(\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U})/\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{j'^*} & \text{Pic}(F) \\
& & \downarrow \phi^* & & \downarrow \phi'^* & & \downarrow g' \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic}(\mathcal{U}) & \xrightarrow{\pi^*} & \text{Pic}(\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U})) & \xrightarrow{j^*} & \text{Pic}(F)
\end{array} \tag{5.9}$$

where j, j' denote the isomorphism of F with some fiber, and g' is the induced map.

Claim 5.1. *The homomorphism g' in (5.9) is the identity map.*

Proof of claim. First, note that for a point $y \in \mathcal{U}/\Gamma$, identifying F with $\pi'^{-1}(y)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi'^{-1}(F) &= \phi'^{-1}(\pi'^{-1}(y)) = \pi^{-1}(\phi^{-1}(y)) \simeq \pi^{-1}(\{y\} \times \Gamma) \\
&= \pi^{-1}(y) \times \Gamma \\
&\simeq F \times \Gamma,
\end{aligned}$$

and hence $\text{Pic}(\phi'^{-1}(F)) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{|\Gamma|} \text{Pic}(F)$. Therefore, g' can be expressed as the composition

$$\text{Pic}(F) = \text{Pic}(\pi'^{-1}(y)) \xrightarrow{\phi'^*} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{|\Gamma|} \text{Pic}(F) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(F),$$

where the last arrow is the projection to any one of the components. It is easy to see that this is the identity map, which proves the claim. \square

Note that Γ acts trivially on both $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{U})$ and $\text{Pic}(\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U}))$ and both are torsion-free, which implies that

$$H^1(\Gamma, \text{Pic}(\mathcal{U})) = \text{Hom}(\Gamma, \text{Pic}(\mathcal{U})) = 0 \text{ and } H^1(\Gamma, \text{Pic}(\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U}))) = \text{Hom}(\Gamma, \text{Pic}(\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U}))) = 0,$$

since Γ is finite. The five-term exact sequence corresponding to the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence associated to ϕ and ϕ' [Mi, III Theorem 2.20] then produces following two exact sequences:

$$0 \longrightarrow \chi(\Gamma) \xrightarrow{f} \text{Pic}(\mathcal{U}/\Gamma) \xrightarrow{\phi^*} \text{Pic}(\mathcal{U}) \longrightarrow H^2(\Gamma, k^*) \longrightarrow \text{Br}(\mathcal{U}/\Gamma) \longrightarrow \text{Br}(\mathcal{U}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/\delta\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{5.10}$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \chi(\Gamma) \xrightarrow{f'} \text{Pic}(\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U})/\Gamma) \xrightarrow{\phi'^*} \text{Pic}(\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U})) \longrightarrow H^2(\Gamma, k^*) \longrightarrow \text{Br}(\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U})/\Gamma) \longrightarrow \text{Br}(\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U})) = 0 \tag{5.11}$$

whose rows and columns are exact. Note that due to the codimension estimates in (5.2),

$$\text{Br}(\mathcal{U}) \cong \text{Br}(\mathbb{M}_\xi) \cong \mathbb{Z}/\delta\mathbb{Z}, \text{ and } \text{Br}(\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U})) \cong \text{Br}(\mathbb{M}_\alpha) = 0 \text{ [BD, Theorem 1.1]}.$$

Let $M := \text{coker}(f)$ and $M' := \text{coker}(f')$. Using the snake lemma in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \chi(\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{f} & \text{Pic}(\mathcal{U}/\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{\phi^*} & M \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \pi'^* & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \chi(\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{f'} & \text{Pic}(\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U})/\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{\phi'^*} & M' \longrightarrow 0
\end{array}$$

we conclude that

$$\mathrm{Pic}(F) \simeq \frac{M'}{M}. \quad (5.12)$$

Set $H := \mathrm{coker}(\phi^*)$ and $H' := \mathrm{coker}(\phi'^*)$ (see the diagram in (5.4)). The group H is cyclic of order δ [BH10, Theorem 1.2]. Using the snake lemma in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{U}) & \longrightarrow & H & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M' & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Pic}(\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U})) & \longrightarrow & H' & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

and from (5.9), it follows that

$$0 \longrightarrow \frac{M'}{M} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(F) \longrightarrow \frac{H'}{H} \longrightarrow 0. \quad (5.13)$$

Composing the first map with (5.12) gives

$$\mathrm{Pic}(F) \simeq \frac{M'}{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(F)$$

which is the identity map in Claim 5.1, and hence

$$\frac{H'}{H} = 0 \implies H \simeq H'.$$

From the exact sequences in (5.10) and (5.11) we get the following two exact sequences:

- (i) $0 \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow H^2(\Gamma, k^*) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Br}(\mathcal{U}/\Gamma) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Br}(\mathcal{U}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/\delta\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0$, and
- (ii) $0 \longrightarrow H' \longrightarrow H^2(\Gamma, k^*) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Br}(\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U})/\Gamma) \longrightarrow 0$.

Since both $\mathrm{PN}_{\alpha,i}$ and $N(r)_i$ are normal projective varieties and the open subsets \mathcal{U}/Γ and $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U})/\Gamma$ both have complement of codimension 2, it follows that π^* induces isomorphism

$$k^* = H^0(\mathcal{U}/\Gamma, \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow H^0(\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U})/\Gamma, \mathbb{G}_m) = k^*,$$

and thus it induces isomorphism $H^2(\Gamma, k^*) \rightarrow H^2(\Gamma, k^*)$, which takes H to H' . Thus, from the exact sequences (i) and (ii) we finally conclude that

$$\mathrm{Br}(\mathcal{U}/\Gamma) \simeq \mathrm{Br}(\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U})/\Gamma).$$

Applying (5.3) proves our claim. □

6. BRAUER GROUPS FOR ARBITRARY GENERIC WEIGHTS

We once again work with full-flag parabolic structures. For any such generic weight α , let us denote as usual the smooth locus of $\mathrm{PN}_{\alpha,i}$ by $\mathrm{PN}_{\alpha,i}^{sm}$. Let α and β be two generic weights separated by a single wall W (cf. [BY, § 2]). In [Th, § 5, page 11] it is shown that there exists a closed subscheme $Y_\alpha \hookrightarrow M_\alpha$ whose image is precisely the locus of those parabolic bundles that are α -stable but not β -stable, and thus $M_\alpha \setminus Y_\alpha$ is the open subset of M_α consisting precisely of those parabolic bundles which are both α -stable as well as β -stable. Similarly, there exists a closed

subscheme $Y_\beta \hookrightarrow M_\beta$ with exactly similar properties as Y_α when α and β are interchanged. Thus there exists a natural isomorphism

$$M_\alpha \setminus Y_\alpha \simeq M_\beta \setminus Y_\beta. \quad (6.1)$$

Moreover, both Y_α and Y_β have codimension at least 2 in M_α and M_β respectively.

From their descriptions it is clear that both $U_\alpha = M_\alpha \setminus Y_\alpha$ and $U_\beta = M_\beta \setminus Y_\beta$ are Γ -invariant, and their identification in (6.1) is Γ -equivariant. Thus, if we consider the closed subschemes Z_α and Z_β of M_α and M_β respectively as in Corollary 3.4, then $V_\alpha := U_\alpha \setminus Z_\alpha$ and $V_\beta := U_\beta \setminus Z_\beta$ are Γ -invariant open subsets of M_α and M_β respectively; but now Γ acts freely on these sets. It follows that the isomorphism in (6.1) descends to an isomorphism

$$V_\alpha/\Gamma \simeq V_\beta/\Gamma, \quad (6.2)$$

and moreover, under the quotients by the Γ -action $\phi_\alpha : M_\alpha \rightarrow \mathrm{PN}_{\alpha,i}$ and $\phi_\beta : M_\beta \rightarrow \mathrm{PN}_{\beta,i}$, we have $V_\alpha/\Gamma \subset \mathrm{PN}_{\alpha,i}^{sm}$ and $V_\beta/\Gamma \subset \mathrm{PN}_{\beta,i}^{sm}$. Of course, since ϕ_α is a finite morphism, the complement of V_α/Γ in $\mathrm{PN}_{\alpha,i}^{sm}$ will still be of codimension at least 2; the same is true if we replace α by β . Hence we conclude that when α and β are in adjacent chambers separated by a single wall,

$$\mathrm{Br}(\mathrm{PN}_{\alpha,i}^{sm}) \cong \mathrm{Br}(\mathrm{PN}_{\beta,i}^{sm}). \quad (6.3)$$

Now, since there are only finitely many walls, we can arrange the collection of chambers in a sequence, say C_1, C_2, \dots, C_N , such that C_1 contains a sufficiently small weight, and for each $1 \leq j < N$, the chambers C_j and C_{j+1} are separated by a single wall. Choose generic weights $\alpha_j \in C_j$ for each j , such that α_1 is a sufficiently small weight. By (6.3), we have

$$\mathrm{Br}(\mathrm{PN}_{\alpha_j,i}^{sm}) \cong \mathrm{Br}(\mathrm{PN}_{\alpha_{j+1},i}^{sm}) \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq N.$$

Thus we obtain the following:

Corollary 6.1. *For any two generic weights α and β corresponding to full-flag type,*

$$\mathrm{Br}(\mathrm{PN}_{\alpha,i}^{sm}) \cong \mathrm{Br}(\mathrm{PN}_{\beta,i}^{sm}).$$

Thus, Proposition 5.1 remains valid for arbitrary generic weights of full-flag type.

Remark 6.2. In fact, under the condition $\mathrm{g.c.d.}(r, d, m_1^1, \dots, m_{l_1}^1, \dots, m_j^i, \dots, m_1^s, \dots, m_{l_s}^s) = 1$, we can also describe the Brauer groups for the non-generic weights which lie on a single wall (that is, those weights which do not lie on the intersection of two or more hyperplanes). Let γ be such a weight lying on a single wall W . Under the hypothesis, we know a generic weight exists [BY, Proposition 3.2]. It follows that in a small enough neighborhood of γ , we can choose two generic weights α and β which are separated by W only, and γ is the point of intersection between W and the line joining α and β . By [BH95, Lemma 3.6], any α -parabolic stable bundle is γ -parabolic semistable, which induces a Γ -equivariant morphism $\varphi_\alpha : M_\alpha \rightarrow M_\gamma$ by simply replacing the weights. Note that M_γ is no longer smooth. Let $U_\gamma \subset M_\gamma$ be the open subset consisting of γ -parabolic stable bundles. From [BH95, Theorem 3.1] it follows that φ_α is an isomorphism between the open subsets $U_\alpha := \varphi_\alpha^{-1}(U_\gamma)$ and U_γ , and moreover, both of them

have complements of codimension at least 2. Since U_γ is Γ -invariant, this isomorphism is Γ -equivariant. The rest of the argument is similar to above. Namely, if we consider the closed subschemes Z_α and Z_γ of M_α and M_γ respectively as in Corollary 3.4, then $V_\alpha := U_\alpha \setminus Z_\alpha$ and $V_\gamma := U_\gamma \setminus Z_\gamma$ are also Γ -equivariantly isomorphic under φ_α , and thus descends to an isomorphism

$$V_\alpha/\Gamma \simeq V_\gamma/\Gamma.$$

Since Γ acts freely on both V_α and V_γ , we have $V_\alpha/\Gamma \subset PN_{\alpha,i}^{sm}$ and its complement will still have codimension 2. Of course, the same is true for $V_\gamma/\Gamma \subset PN_{\gamma,i}^{sm}$ as well. From this we conclude that

$$\mathrm{Br}(PN_{\alpha,i}^{sm}) \simeq \mathrm{Br}(PN_{\gamma,i}^{sm}).$$

Note that $\mathrm{Br}(PN_{\alpha,i}^{sm})$ is already known from Proposition 5.1. Of course, the same remains true if α is replaced by β .

REFERENCES

- [BBGN] V. Balaji, I. Biswas, O. Gabber and D. S. Nagaraj, Brauer obstruction for a universal vector bundle, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **345** (2007), 265–268.
- [BD] I. Biswas and A. Dey, Brauer group of a moduli space of parabolic vector bundles over a curve, *Jour. K-theory* **8** (2011), 437–449.
- [BH10] I. Biswas and A. Hogadi, Brauer group of moduli spaces of $\mathrm{PGL}(r)$ -bundles over a curve, *Adv. Math.* **225** (2010), 2317–2331.
- [BH95] H. Boden and Y. Hu, Variations of moduli of parabolic bundles, *Math. Ann.* **301** (1995), 539–559.
- [BM] I. Biswas and F. Machu, On the direct images of parabolic vector bundles and parabolic connections, *Jour. Geom. Phys.* **135** (2019), 219–234.
- [BY] H. Boden and K. Yokogawa, Rationality of the moduli space of Parabolic bundles, *Jour. London Math. Soc.* **59** (1999), 461–478.
- [Ce] K. Česnavičius, Purity for the Brauer group, *Duke Math. J.* **168**(8) (2019), 1461–1486.
- [dJ] A. J. de Jong, A result of Gabber, *preprint*, <http://www.math.columbia.edu/dejong/papers/2-gabber.pdf>
- [GO] P. B. Gothen and A. Olivera, Topological mirror symmetry for parabolic Higgs bundles, *Jour. Geom. and Phy.* **137** (2019), 7–34.
- [GS] A. Geraschenko, M. Satriano, Toric stacks II: intrinsic characterization of toric stacks, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **367** (2015), 1073–1094.
- [MS] V. B. Mehta and C. S. Seshadri, Moduli of vector bundles on curves with parabolic structure, *Math. Ann.* **248** (1980), 205–239.
- [Mi] J. S. Milne, *Étale cohomology*, Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 33, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1980.
- [PP] P. Poczobut, An algebraic variant of the Fischer-Grauert Theorem, [arXiv:2108.10041v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/2108.10041)
- [Ra] S. Ramanan, The moduli spaces of vector bundles over an algebraic curve, *Math. Ann.* **200** (1973), 69–84.
- [Se] C. S. Seshadri, *Fibrés vectoriels sur les courbes algébriques*, Notes written by J.-M. Drezet from a course at the École Normale Supérieure, June 1980, Astérisque, 96. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 1982. *Astérisque*, no. 96 (1982).
- [St] Stacks Project.
- [Th] M. Thaddeus, Variation of moduli of parabolic Higgs bundles, *J. reine angew. Math.* **547** (2002), 1–14.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH, HOMI BHABHA ROAD, CO-LABA, MUMBAI 400005, INDIA.

Email address: indranil@math.tifr.res.in

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY CHENNAI, CHENNAI, INDIA

Email address: sujoy.cmi@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY CHENNAI, CHENNAI, INDIA

Email address: arijitdey@gmail.com