

TWO-TORSION SUBGROUP OF SOME MODULAR JACOBIANS

ELVIRA LUPOIAN

ABSTRACT. We give a practical method to compute the 2-torsion subgroup of the Jacobian of a non-hyperelliptic curve of genus 3, 4 or 5. It is based on the correspondence between the 2-torsion subgroup and the theta hyperplanes to the curve, and we use p-adic or complex approximations and lattice reduction. We use our method to compute the 2-torsion of the modular Jacobians $J_0(N)$ for $N = 42, 55, 63, 72, 75$ and verify the generalized Ogg conjecture for these values.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a smooth, projective rational curve of genus g and let J be its Jacobian variety. The Mordell-Weil theorem states that $J(\mathbb{Q})$ is a finitely generated group. In this paper, we describe a method for calculating the 2-torsion subgroup $J(\mathbb{Q})[2]$ for $g = 3, 4$ and 5 , using the correspondence between the 2-torsion points and multi-tangent hyperplanes to the curve. In most cases, the method described can be used to determine the entire algebraic 2-torsion subgroup $J(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})[2] \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{2g}$. Using this, in the last section of the paper we compute some previously unknown 2-torsion subgroups for some modular Jacobians.

Let N be a positive integer. Let $J_0(N)$ be the Jacobian variety of the modular curve $X_0(N)$. Denote by $C_0(N)$ be the cuspidal subgroup of $J_0(N)(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$; that is, the subgroup generated by classes of differences of cusps, and write $C_0(N)(\mathbb{Q})$ for the subgroup of $C_0(N)$ stable under the action of $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$. A consequence of the Manin-Drinfeld theorem [7] is that $C_0(N)(\mathbb{Q}) \subseteq J_0(N)(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$. The generalized Ogg conjecture [16] states that this is in fact an equality. In [13], the authors proved this for $N = 34, 38, 44, 45, 51, 52, 54, 56, 64, 81$ and for $N = 42, 55, 63, 72, 75$ up to two torsion. We compute the 2-torsion subgroup of $J_0(N)$ and using the previous calculations of [13], we verify the generalized Ogg conjecture for $N = 42, 55, 63, 72, 75$, where $g = 5$ for all these values of N .

Theorem 1. *The generalized Ogg conjecture holds for $N = 42, 55, 63, 72, 75$.*

This paper is organised as follows. In section 2 we give an overview of results relating 2-torsion points, theta characteristics and theta hyperplanes. In Section 3 we construct zero dimensional schemes whose points correspond to theta hyperplanes, and thus to 2-torsion points. We describe two methods of approximating the points of these zero dimension schemes in section 4. Firstly, we describe a method to approximate points p-adically, a standard method used to solve similar problems, for instance in [5]. The second method approximates the points on our schemes as complex points, using Newton-Raphson and homotopy continuation. In Section 5 we describe the hyperplanes algebraically, by finding the minimal polynomials of their coefficients. In section 6 we present three examples, one example for each genus and in the final section we state the theta hyperplanes used to generate the 2-torsion subgroup of the Jacobian of the 5 curves stated in Theorem 1.

Date: May 27, 2022.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11G30.

Key words and phrases. Two Torsion, Modular Jacobians, Generalized Ogg Conjecture .

The author is supported by the EPSRC studentship.

Methods to compute torsion points on Jacobians using Hensel lifting are also described in [5] and [12]. We also use Hensel lifting in the genus 3 and 4 examples. For our genus 5 computations, complex approximations were necessary to efficiently obtain approximations of a very high precision, around 2000 decimal places. These high precision approximations were crucial for finding the algebraic expressions of the theta hyperplanes. As we explain later, none of the genus 5 calculations would have been possible with p-adic approximations.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank my supervisors Samir Siksek and Damiano Testa for their continued support and the many valuable conversations throughout this project.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let X be a smooth, projective, complex curve of genus g .

Definition. A **theta characteristic** on X is a degree $g - 1$ divisor class $D \in \text{Pic}(X)$ such that $2D = K_X$, where K_X is a canonical line bundle. The **parity** of a theta characteristic D is the parity of $h^0(X, D)$.

Let J be the Jacobian variety of X . For any two theta characteristics D_1, D_2 , the difference $D_1 - D_2$ is a 2-torsion point of J , so there are 2^{2g} theta characteristics. It is well known that there are precisely $2^{g-1}(2^g - 1)$ odd theta characteristics and $2^{g-1}(2^g + 1)$ even theta characteristics.

Suppose further that X is non-hyperelliptic and given by a canonically embedded model in \mathbb{P}^{g-1} . The following result, stated in [3], is a clear consequence of results of [6, Chapter 5].

Theorem 2. *The 2-torsion subgroup of J is generated by differences of odd theta characteristics.*

As stated in [3], theta characteristics can also be interpreted geometrically, as we now explain.

Definition. A **theta hyperplane** to X is a hyperplane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{g-1}$ tangent to X at $g - 1$ points.

Suppose H a theta hyperplane to X , so

$$H \cdot X = \sum_{i=1}^{g-1} 2P_i$$

for some $P_i \in X$. The equivalence class of the divisor $\frac{1}{2}H \cap X$ is an effective theta characteristic. Conversely, given an effective theta characteristic, there exists a hyperplane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{g-1}$ such that $D = \frac{1}{2}H \cdot X$, so H is tangent to X at $g - 1$ points.

For X as above, odd theta characteristics coincide with the effective theta characteristics, and thus we can state the following well known result.

Theorem 3. *There are $2^{g-1}(2^g - 1)$ theta hyperplanes to X , in natural bijection with the odd theta characteristics of X .*

Using this we can define the 2-torsion subgroup as follows. Let TH be the set of theta hyperplanes to X , viewed as elements of the function field X . The 2-torsion subgroup of J is generated by classes of divisors of the form

$$\frac{1}{2}(\text{div}\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)) = \frac{1}{2}(\text{div}(a) - \text{div}(b))$$

for some $a, b \in TH$.

3. SCHEMES OF THETA HYPERPLANES

Let X be a genus g (complete, nonsingular) non-hyperelliptic curve over and algebraically closed field k . The image of the canonical embedding of X into \mathbb{P}^{g-1} is a curve of degree $2g - 2$ and this gives a model of the curve.

- $g = 3$: the canonical embedding is a plane quartic in \mathbb{P}^2
- $g = 4$: the canonical model is the intersection of a quadric and a cubic surface in \mathbb{P}^3
- $g = 5$: the canonical model is the intersection of 3 quadrics in \mathbb{P}^4

From now on, we will assume that X has a model over \mathbb{Q} . In this section we construct a zero dimensional scheme whose points correspond to theta hyperplanes to X , when X has genus 3, 4 and 5.

3.1. The Genus 3 Case: Scheme of Bitangents. Suppose X has genus 3 and so a model of the curve is

$$X : f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = 0$$

where $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree 4. A theta hyperplane to X is a plane intersecting the curve in 2 points, each with multiplicity 2; that is, a bitangent line.

We work on an affine chart and de-homogenise with respect to the appropriate coordinates. For notation purposes, we work on the affine chart $\{x_3 = 1\}$. A bitangent to the affine curve is given by a polynomial

$$b_1x_1 + b_2x_2 + b_3 = 0$$

for some $b_i \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ with $(b_1, b_2) \neq (0, 0)$. Suppose $b_2 \neq 0$, so the line is given by

$$x_2 = a_1x_1 + a_2$$

for some $a_1, a_2 \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. The intersection of the affine curve with this bitangent is described by

$$F(x_1) = f(x_1, a_1x_1 + a_2, 1)$$

where $F \in \mathbb{Q}[a_1, a_2][x_1]$ has degree 4, and F is necessarily a square if the given line is a bitangent. Equivalently, there exist $a_3, a_4 \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that

$$F(x_1) = l(x_1^2 + a_3x_1 + a_4)^2$$

where l is the coefficient of x_1^4 in F . Equating coefficients in the above expression gives 4 equations e_1, \dots, e_4 in a_1, \dots, a_4 which define a zero dimensional scheme S , whose points correspond to bitangents to the curve.

Remark 1. The degree of S is at most 28. If the degree is strictly less than 28, we can repeat the above, working on a different affine patch or with planes of a different form to obtain all 28 bitangents to X .

3.2. The Genus 4 Case : Scheme of Tritangents. Suppose X has genus 4, and so a model of the curve is

$$X : f(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = g(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = 0$$

where $f, g \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]$ are homogeneous of degree 2, 3 respectively. A theta hyperplane to X is a plane intersecting the curve in 3 points, each with multiplicity 2; we call such planes tritangents.

We work on an affine chart, say $\{x_4 = 1\}$ and similar to the above, we can assume that tritangents planes to the affine curve are of the form

$$x_3 = a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + a_3$$

for some $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. The intersection of the affine curve with the plane is described by

$$\begin{aligned} F(x_1, x_2) &= f(x_1, x_2, a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + a_3, 1) \\ G(x_1, x_2) &= g(x_1, x_2, a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + a_3, 1) \end{aligned}$$

These can be viewed as polynomial in x_2 with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}[a_1, a_2, a_3, x_1]$. Taking the resultant of F and G , gives a polynomial in x_1 with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}[a_1, a_2, a_3]$.

$$R(x_1) = \text{Res}(F, G, x_2) \in \mathbb{Q}[a_1, a_2, a_3][x_1]$$

This is a degree 6 polynomial and it is necessarily a square if the given plane is a tritangent. That is, there exist $a_4, a_5, a_6 \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that

$$R(x_1) = l(x_1^3 + a_4x_1^2 + a_5x_1 + a_6)^2$$

where l is the coefficient of x_1^6 in R . Equating coefficients in the above expression gives 6 equations e_1, \dots, e_6 in a_1, \dots, a_6 . To define the scheme of tritangents, we require an additional equation

$$e_7 : a_7 \cdot \Delta + 1 = 0$$

where Δ is the discriminant of $x_1^3 + a_4x_1^2 + a_5x_1 + a_6$. This equation ensure that $\Delta \neq 0$ and avoids singularities on the scheme. The equations e_1, \dots, e_7 in a_1, \dots, a_7 define a zero dimensional scheme S , whose points correspond to tritangent planes to X .

Remark 2. The degree of S is at most 120. If the degree is strictly less than 120, we can repeat the above, working on a different affine patch or with planes of a different form to obtain all 120 tritangent planes to X .

3.3. The Genus 5 Case : Scheme of Quadritangents. Suppose X has genus 5, and so a model of the curve is

$$X : f_1(x_1, \dots, x_5) = f_2(x_1, \dots, x_5) = f_3(x_1, \dots, x_5) = 0$$

where $f_i \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5]$ are homogeneous of degree 2. A theta hyperplane to X is a hyperplane intersecting the curve in 4 points, each with multiplicity 2; we will call such a plane a quadritangent plane.

Warning. There is no general method of defining the scheme of quadritangents to a genus 5 curve. As in the previous cases, we intersect the affine curve with a given plane and eliminate variables, one at a time, until we obtain an expression in one variable which is necessarily a square if the given plane is a quadritangent. This is dependent on the model of the curve. In this subsection we give a general idea of how such a scheme can be defined, for an example see Section 6.3.

With X as above, we work on an affine chart, say $\{x_5 = 1\}$, and as in previous cases we can assume that quadritangents to the affine curve are of the form

$$x_4 = a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + a_3x_3 + a_4$$

The intersection of the affine curve with the above plane is described by

$$F_i(x_1, x_2, x_3) = f_i(x_1, x_2, x_3, a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + a_3x_3 + a_4, 1) \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

These are polynomials in x_1, x_2, x_3 with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4]$ and monomials of total degree at most 2. We now eliminate one of the variables.

Case 1. Suppose one of the F_i , say F_1 , is linear in one of the variables, say x_3 , so F_1 can be written as

$$F_1(x_1, x_2, x_3) = g_1(x_1, x_2)x_3 + g_2(x_1, x_2)$$

where $g_1, g_2 \in \mathbb{Q}[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4][x_1, x_2]$. If $g_1(x_1, x_2) \neq 0$

$$x_3 = -\frac{g_2(x_1, x_2)}{g_1(x_1, x_2)} = \varphi(x_1, x_2)$$

is an expression for x_3 which can be substituted into the F_i 's.

Case 2. If none of F_i are linear in one variable, then we can write F_1 and F_2 as quadratics in x_3 ,

$$F_1 = g_{1,2}x_3^2 + g_{1,1}x_3 + g_{1,0}$$

$$F_2 = g_{2,2}x_3^2 + g_{2,1}x_3 + g_{2,0}$$

where $g_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Q}[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4][x_1, x_2]$. If $g_{1,2} \neq 0$ and $g_{2,2} \neq 0$

$$g_{1,2}F_2 - g_{2,2}F_1 = (g_{1,2}g_{2,1} - g_{2,2}g_{1,1})x_3 + g_{1,2}g_{2,0} - g_{2,2}g_{1,0} = 0$$

Set $\alpha = g_{1,2}g_{2,1} - g_{2,2}g_{1,1}$ and $\beta = g_{1,2}g_{2,0} - g_{2,2}g_{1,0}$. If $\alpha \neq 0$,

$$x_3 = -\frac{\beta}{\alpha} = \varphi(x_1, x_2)$$

As in the previous case, this is an expression for x_3 which can be substituted into the F_i 's.

In deriving an expression for x_3 , we assumed additional conditions, such as $g_{i,j} \neq 0$, which we label as C_1 . These conditions can be converted into equations, which are used when defining the scheme, and we label these as E_1 . For example, if we assumed $a_1 \neq 1$, the corresponding equation will be $b_1(a_1 - 1) + 1 = 0$ for a newly introduced variable b_1 .

Remark 3. In the above, it's favourable to be in case 1, as there are fewer equations and variables defining our scheme. Often, we can ensure we are in this case by suitably choosing the affine coordinates and planes, according to the model of the curve. For example, if $f_1 = x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + x_4^2 + x_4x_5$, we can choose to work on $\{x_1 = 1\}$ and with planes of the form $x_2 = a_1x_3 + a_2x_4 + a_3x_5 + a_4$, then

$$F_1(x_3, x_4, x_5) = (a_1 + 1)x_3 + a_2x_4 + a_3x_5 + a_4 + x_4^2 + x_4x_5$$

If $a_1 + 1 \neq 0$, then

$$x_3 = \frac{-1}{a_1+1} (a_2x_4 + a_3x_5 + a_4 + x_4^2 + x_4x_5)$$

and we only required one additional equation and variable to obtain this expression.

Given such a $\varphi(x_1, x_2)$, substitute for x_3 into F_i

$$H_i(x_1, x_2) = F_i(x_1, x_2, \varphi(x_1, x_2)) \quad i = 1, 2, 3$$

Two of these equations are independent, and we can clear denominators to obtain

$$h_1, h_2 \in \mathbb{Q}[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4][x_1, x_2].$$

The monomials of h_1, h_2 have total degree at most 4. We now eliminate one of the two remaining variables. There are many ways of doing this, however there are two main cases to consider.

Case 1. If h_1, h_2 are both quadratic in one of the two variables, say x_2 ,

$$h_1(x_1, x_2) = h_{1,2}x_2^2 + h_{1,1}x_2 + h_{1,0}$$

$$h_2(x_1, x_2) = h_{2,2}x_2^2 + h_{2,1}x_2 + h_{2,0}$$

where $h_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Q}[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4][x_1]$. If $h_{1,2} \neq 0$ and $h_{2,2} \neq 0$,

$$h_{2,2}h_1 - h_{1,2}h_2 = (h_{2,2}h_{1,1} - h_{1,2}h_{2,1})x_2 + h_{2,2}h_{1,0} - h_{1,2}h_{2,0} = 0$$

Set $\gamma = h_{2,2}h_{1,1} - h_{1,2}h_{2,1}$ and $\delta = h_{2,2}h_{1,0} - h_{1,2}h_{2,0}$. If $\gamma \neq 0$,

$$x_2 = -\frac{-\delta}{\gamma} = \psi(x_1)$$

We substitute this into h_1 ,

$$R(x_1) = H_1(x_1, \psi(x_1))$$

Clearing denominators, we obtain an expression $r(x_1) \in \mathbb{Q}[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4][x_1]$. Factorising r , there is a degree 8 irreducible polynomial $h(x_1) \in \mathbb{Q}[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4][x_1]$.

Case 2. If h_1, h_2 are not both quadratic in one variable, we take the resultant with respect to x_2

$$R(x_1) = \text{Res}(h_1, h_2, x_2) \in \mathbb{Q}[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4][x_1]$$

As before, R has a degree 8, irreducible factor h .

Warning. Taking the resultant can cut out a larger zero-dimensional scheme than the scheme of quadritangents. With notation as above, requiring h to be a square, will ensure that x_1 occurs with multiplicity 2, but the corresponding x_2 might not. In practice, we find that this is not a problem, as the additional points, not corresponding to quadritangents are simply discarded at the end.

Let C_2 be any conditions required to derive h and E_2 the corresponding equations. If the given plane is a quadritangent, h is necessarily a square. That is, there exist $a_5, a_6, a_7, a_8 \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that

$$h(x_1) = l(x_1^4 + a_5x_1^3 + a_6x_1^2 + a_7x_1 + a_8)^2$$

where l is the leading coefficient of h . Equating coefficients in the above expression gives 8 equations e_1, \dots, e_8 in a_1, \dots, a_8 . To define a scheme of quadritangents we also require the equation

$$e_9 : a_9 \cdot \Delta + 1 = 0$$

where Δ is the discriminant of $x_1^4 + a_5x_1^3 + a_6x_1^2 + a_7x_1 + a_8$. This ensures that the discriminant is non-zero and avoids singularities on our scheme. Equations e_1, \dots, e_9 along with any equations in E_1 and E_2 define a zero dimensional scheme whose points correspond to quadritangent planes to the curve.

Remark 4. As before, we may have to consider multiple cases to obtain all quadritangents to the curve. However, even within a fixed choice of affine coordinates and planes, there are sub-cases to consider. The conditions in C_1 and C_2 can give rise to multiple cases. For example, if we are assuming that a certain polynomial is non-zero, we assume that not all coefficients of the polynomial are zero and we can split this into multiple cases. Also, we factorise the defining equations, to avoid singularities on the scheme, which may again give several cases to consider.

4. APPROXIMATE THETA HYPERPLANES

Given a scheme of theta hyperplanes S to a curve X , we describe two methods of approximating points on the scheme.

4.1. p-adic Approximates. We briefly describe a method of approximating the points of S p-adically. This method is also used in [5] and [12].

Suppose p is an odd prime of good reduction for the curve. We view the defining equations of S over the finite field \mathbb{F}_{p^n} , for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and search for points of S over \mathbb{F}_{p^n} . Any smooth points in $S(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})$ are lifted using the following multivariate version of Hensel's Lemma (see [9]).

Theorem 4. (*Multivariate Hensel Lemma*) *Let K be a local field, ν_K a valuation on K and \mathcal{O}_K its ring of integers. Suppose $F = (F_1, \dots, F_m) \in \mathcal{O}_K[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ and $P = (P_1, \dots, P_m) \in \mathcal{O}_K^m$; and let $v_1 = \min\{\nu_K(P_i) : i = 1, \dots, m\}$ and $v_2 = \nu_K(D_F(P))$, where D_F denotes the determinant of the Jacobian matrix of F . If $v_1 > 2v_2$, then there exists a unique $Q = (Q_1, \dots, Q_m) \in \mathcal{O}_K^m$ such that $F(Q) = 0$ and $\nu_K(P_i - Q_i) \geq v_1 - v_2$ for all i .*

We repeat this with multiple primes p and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ to obtain a complete list of theta hyperplanes to X . The proof of Hensel's lemma is constructive in the sense that, for any p -adically approximable point $x \in S$, we can find a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} in \mathcal{O}_K , where K is some number field and \mathcal{O}_K is its ring of integers, and construct a sequence $\{a_i\}_{i \geq 1} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ satisfying the following.

- $a_k \equiv x \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^k}$ for all $k \geq 1$
- $a_k \equiv a_{k-1} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{k-1}}$ for all $k \geq 2$
- $E_S(a_k) \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^k}$ for all $k \geq 1$

where $E_S = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$ are the defining equations of S .

Remark 5. For larger degree schemes, larger p and n are required to find sufficiently many smooth points. More specifically, this method was extremely inefficient in the computation of Theorem 1; complex approximations were also exclusively used for those calculations.

4.2. Complex Approximations. The points of S can also be approximated as complex points using the Newton-Raphson method. We give a brief overview of this, a detailed explanation can be found in [2, page 298].

Let $E_S = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$ be the defining equations of S . We view E_S as a function $\mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$. Let dE_S be the Jacobian matrix of E_S and suppose \mathbf{x}_0 is an approximate solution to E_S with $dE_S(\mathbf{x}_0)$ invertible. For $k \geq 1$, define

$$\mathbf{x}_k = \mathbf{x}_{k-1} - dE(\mathbf{x}_{k-1})^{-1} E(\mathbf{x}_{k-1})$$

Provided the initial approximation \mathbf{x}_0 is a good approximation, the resulting sequence $\{\mathbf{x}_k\}_{k \geq 0}$ converges to a solution of E_S , with each iterate having increased precision. This was the method used to compute approximations of the theta hyperplanes of the curves stated in Theorem 1.

This method requires good initial complex approximations to the solutions of E . These can be obtained using Homotopy continuation and its implementation in `Julia` (see [1]).

4.2.1. Homotopy Continuation. Homotopy continuation is a method for numerically approximating the solutions of a system of polynomial equations by deforming the solutions of a similar system whose solutions are known. We give a brief sketch of the idea, but a more detailed explanation of this theory can be found in [15] or [2].

The total degree of E is $\deg(E) = \prod_{i=1}^n \deg(e_i)$, where $\deg(e_i)$ is the maximum of the total degrees of the monomials of e_i .

Let F be a system of n polynomials in a_1, \dots, a_n , which has exactly $\deg(E)$ solutions and these solutions are known. The system F will be known as a start system. The standard homotopy of F and E is a function

$$\begin{aligned} H : \mathbb{C}^n \times [0, 1] &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \\ H(\mathbf{x}, t) &= (1-t)F(\mathbf{x}) + tE(\mathbf{x}) \end{aligned}$$

Fix $N \in \mathbb{N}$, and for any $s \in [0, N] \cap \mathbb{N}$ define $H_s(\mathbf{x}) = H(\mathbf{x}, s/N)$, this is a system of n polynomials in a_1, \dots, a_n .

For N large enough, the solutions of $H_s(\mathbf{x})$ are good approximations of the solutions of $H_{s+1}(\mathbf{x})$, and using the Newton-Raphson method we can increase their precision. The solutions of $H_0(\mathbf{x}) = F(\mathbf{x})$ are known, and they can be used to define solution paths to approximate solutions of $H_N(\mathbf{x}) = E(\mathbf{x})$.

There are two important things to highlight.

1. Given any E , a start system (and its solutions) can always be computed.
2. A start system can be modified to ensure solutions paths do not cross and converge to approximate solutions of E .

Homotopy Continuation is implemented in the `Julia` package `HomotopyContinuation.jl` (see [1]).

Remark 6. The implementation of homotopy continuation in `Julia` gives approximates to solutions of E which are accurate to 16 decimal places. For the computations in Theorem 1, we used the approximate solutions and 600 iterations of Newton-Raphson to obtain an accuracy of 2000 decimal places, which was sufficient for those calculations.

5. ALGEBRAIC THETA HYPERPLANES

Given a scheme of theta hyperplanes S and $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ a point on S ; we use the approximations obtained in the previous section and the short vector algorithms implemented in `Magma` (see [8] and [4]) to find the minimal polynomial of a_i and define the corresponding theta hyperplane.

5.1. Algebraic Hyperplanes and p-adic Approximations. If the point $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ can be approximated p-adically, then as in section 4.1, there exists a number field K , a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} in \mathcal{O}_K and a K -integers $x_{i,j} \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that

- $a_i \equiv a_{i,j} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^j}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $j \geq 1$
- $a_{i,j+1} \equiv a_{i,j} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^j}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $j \geq 1$

The coefficient of theta hyperplanes are algebraic numbers and we can use the short vector algorithms to find their minimal polynomials.

Minimal Polynomials. Fix i , $1 \leq i \leq n$ and let $\theta = a_i$, $\theta_j = a_{i,j}$ for all $j \geq 1$. As θ is algebraic, there exist $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c_0, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$c_d \theta^d + \dots + c_1 \theta + c_0 = 0$$

where $c_d \neq 0$. For any $j \geq 1$, $\theta \equiv \theta_j \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^j}$, so

$$c_d \theta_j^d + \dots + c_1 \theta_j + c_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^j}$$

For $j \geq 1$ define a homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_j : \mathbb{Z}^{d+1} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_K / \mathfrak{p}^j \\ (u_0, \dots, u_d) &\longmapsto u_d \theta_j^d + \dots + u_1 \theta_j + u_0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^j} \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{L}_j = \ker(\phi_j) \leq \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$, a discrete subgroup of \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} . This contains all elements of the form $P\mathbf{e}_i$, where P is any integer in \mathfrak{p}^j and \mathbf{e}_i is the i th element in the standard orthonormal basis of \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} , so \mathcal{L}_k is a full rank lattice in \mathbb{Z}^{d+1} .

Observe that $(c_0, \dots, c_d) \in \mathcal{L}_j$ for all $j \geq 1$. Given all lattices \mathcal{L}_j we want to find this common vector. As j increases, general the length of vectors in \mathcal{L}_j increases and so eventually (c_0, \dots, c_d) should be the shortest vector in \mathcal{L}_j , or close to the shortest vector, when j is large enough.

We use Hermite's theorem to determine when the shortest vector in \mathcal{L}_j is a suitable candidate for the vector of coefficients.

Theorem 5. (*Hermite*) *Let \mathcal{L} be an n dimensional lattice and M the length of the shortest non-zero vector in L . There exist constant $\mu_n \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ depending on only on n such that*

$$M^n \leq \mu_n d(\mathcal{L})^2$$

There are bounds on these μ_n given in [14, Page 66]. For a general lattice of full rank, we expect this bound to be close to the actual size of the shortest non-zero vector in the lattice.

Proof. See [14, Page 66] □

Heuristic. For a full rank lattice $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, the length of the shortest vector in L is approximately $d(\mathcal{L})^{1/n}$

When j is large enough the length of the vector (c_0, \dots, c_d) should be smaller than the predicted bound, and this should help us identify it. We used the short vector algorithm and its **Magma** implementation, see [8], to identify such vectors.

Regarding the choice of degree d , there are a few things we should consider when making this choice. Given d , if this the degree or slightly bigger than the degree the following conditions should hold

- the minimum vector in the lattice, $v_{\min, j} \in \mathcal{L}_j$ should be stable as j increases
- the length of $v_{\min, j}$ should be significantly smaller than $d(\mathcal{L}_j)^{\frac{1}{d+1}}$, as j increases.
- the polynomial f_{\min} whose coefficient are $v_{\min, j}$ should be irreducible or its factorization should contain an irreducible polynomial of degree close to the degree of f_{\min} .
- the minimal polynomials should respect the Galois action on the theta hyperplanes, so multiple points should have the same minimal polynomial.

To summarise, the strategy for finding the coefficients of the minimal polynomial of θ is as follows.

1. Choose d .
2. Define the homomorphisms ϕ_j and the lattices \mathcal{L}_j
3. In \mathcal{L}_j look for vectors which are shorter than, say $1/1000d(\mathcal{L}_j)$. For a large enough j' we expect to see a common such short vector in all lattices \mathcal{L}_j for all $j \geq j'$. If such a vector doesn't exist, guess a different degree and start again.
4. If such a vector exists, verify the conditions stated above, and if they are all satisfied, it's extremely likely that this vector represents the coefficients of the minimal polynomial. Otherwise, choose another degree and start again.

Coefficient Relations. Suppose a theta hyperplane is of the form $a_1x_1 + \dots + a_mx_m = 0$, f_i is the minimal polynomial of a_i and f_i has degree d_i . For a fixed roots of f_1 , $a_1 = \theta$, we want to determine the roots of f_2, \dots, f_m which determine the hyperplane whose coefficient of x_1 is the fixed θ . There are multiple ways of doing this.

Firstly, we can try to express a_2, \dots, a_m in terms of powers of θ . Let $K_1 = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$ be the number field defined by θ . If f_2 has a root over K_1 , we can write as

$$b_{d_1}a_2 = b_{d_1-1}\theta^{d_1-1} + \dots + b_1\theta + b_0$$

for some $b_0, \dots, b_{d_1} \in \mathbb{Z}$. For any $j \geq 1$

$$b_{d_1} a_{2,j} \equiv b_{d_1-1} a_{1,j}^{d_1-1} + \dots + b_1 a_{1,j} + b_0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^j}$$

For any $j \geq 1$, define a homomorphism

$$r_j : \mathbb{Z}^{d_1+1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}^j$$

$$(u_0, \dots, u_{d_1-1}, u_{d_1}) \longmapsto u_0 + u_1 a_{1,j} + \dots + u_{d_1-1} a_{1,j}^{d_1-1} - u_{d_1} a_{2,j} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^j}$$

Let $R_j = \text{Kernel}(r_j) < \mathbb{Z}^{d_1+1}$. This is a full rank lattice in \mathbb{Z}^{d_1+1} and $(b_0, \dots, b_{d_1-1}, -b_{d_1}) \in R_j$ for all $j \geq 1$. Arguing as before, for j large enough $(b_0, \dots, b_{d_1-1}, -b_{d_1})$ is expected to be the shortest vector in R_j .

Remark 7. If no relation as above exists, a similar method can be used to find higher order relations

$$b_0 + b_1 a_1 + \dots + b_{d_1-1} a_1^{d_1-1} + b'_1 a_2 + \dots + b'_{d_2-1} a_2^{d_2-1} = 0$$

which might help identify the corresponding roots of f_1 and f_2 .

When the degrees are large, coefficient relations can be computationally difficult to find. In such cases, we can factorise the minimal polynomial. Given f_i and \mathfrak{p} -adic approximations $\{a_{i,j}\}_{j \geq 1}$, we can factorise f_i over a field \tilde{K} . We choose \tilde{K} such that $K \subset \tilde{K}$ and f_i splits over \tilde{K} . Let \mathfrak{P} be a prime of \tilde{K} over \mathfrak{p} . Then we factorise f_i over \tilde{K}

$$f_i = s_1 \dots s_{d_i} \text{ where } s_j \text{ are linear factors}$$

For some k ,

$$s_k(a_{i,j}) \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{P}^j} \text{ for all } j \geq 1$$

and thus s_k corresponds to the required root of f_i .

5.2. Algebraic Hyperplanes and Complex Approximations. Suppose the point $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ on S has a complex approximation $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, accurate to k decimal places. We use the short vector algorithm to find the minimal polynomials of the a_i and define the corresponding theta hyperplane.

Minimal Polynomials. Fix i , $1 \leq i \leq n$ and let $\theta = a_i$, $\alpha = \alpha_i$. As θ is an algebraic number, there exists $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c_d, \dots, c_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$c_d \theta^d + \dots + c_1 \theta + c_0 = 0$$

Suppose $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Fix a constant $C \sim 10^k$ and let \mathcal{L}_k be the lattice generated by the columns of the $(d+1) \times (d+1)$ matrix

$$A_k = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \\ [C\alpha^d] & \dots & [C\alpha] & [C] \end{pmatrix} = (v_d, \dots, v_1, v_0)$$

where $[a]$ denoted the integer part of a . As $c_0, \dots, c_d \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\mathbf{c}_k = \begin{pmatrix} c_d \\ \vdots \\ c_1 \\ a \end{pmatrix} = c_d v_d + \dots + c_0 v_0 \in \mathcal{L}_k$$

where $a = c_d [C\alpha^d] + \dots + c_1 [C\alpha] + c_0 [C]$. We can recover $\mathbf{c}_\infty = (c_d, \dots, c_0)$ from \mathbf{c}_k by setting

$$c_0 = a - (c_d [C\alpha^d] + \dots + c_1 [C\alpha])$$

For any $k \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{c}_k\| &= \sqrt{c_d^2 + \dots + c_1^2 + a^2} \\ &\leq \sqrt{c_d^2 + \dots + c_1^2 + (c_d^2 + \dots + c_1^2 + c_0^2)^2} \\ &\sim \|\mathbf{c}_\infty\| \end{aligned}$$

so $\|\mathbf{c}_k\| \sim \|\mathbf{c}_\infty\|$ and $c_k \in \mathcal{L}_k$ for all $k \geq 1$. Thus for k large enough, we expect \mathbf{c}_k to be the shortest vector in the lattice \mathcal{L}_k .

Hermite's theorem suggests that the shortest vector in \mathcal{L}_k has length $\Delta(\mathcal{L}_k)^{\frac{1}{d+1}}$, so for k large enough, $\|\mathbf{c}_k\|$ should be much smaller than this bound. This helps in identifying \mathbf{c}_k and the corresponding vector \mathbf{c}_∞ . As before, we use the short vecotr algorithm implemented in `Magma` (see [8]) to find short vectors in \mathcal{L}_k .

Remark 8. When the imaginary part of α is not 0, the same method can be used but with \mathcal{L}_m being generated by the columns of

$$A_k = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \\ [C\text{Re}(\alpha^d)] & \dots & [C\text{Re}(\alpha)] & [C] \\ [C\text{Im}(\alpha^d)] & \dots & [C\text{Im}(\alpha)] & [C] \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\text{Re}(\alpha)$ and $\text{Im}(\alpha)$ denote the real and imaginary parts of α .

As in the p-adic case, we choose the degree d and look for short vectors in the \mathcal{L}_k . If d is the correct degree, slightly bigger, the corresponding polynomials should satisfy the same conditions as before. The strategy for finding the minimal polynomial is very similar to the p-adic case.

1. Choose d .
2. Define the lattice \mathcal{L}_k .
3. In \mathcal{L}_k look for vectors which are shorter than, say $1/1000\Delta(\mathcal{L}_k)^{\frac{1}{n+1}}$. If such a vector doesn't exist, either increase the precision k and start again, or choose a different degree and start again.
4. If such a vector exists, verify that α is an approximate solution of the corresponding polynomial. If this is not the case, choose a different degree and start again.

Coefficient Relations. Suppose a theta hyperplane is of the form $a_1x_1 + \dots + a_mx_m = 0$ and f_i is the minimal polynomial of a_i , $d_i = \deg(f_i)$. Given a root θ of f_1 , we want to determine the roots of f_2, \dots, f_m defining the theta hyperplane with $a_1 = \theta$.

As in the p-adic case, we begin by looking for a relation over $K_1 = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$. If f_2 has a root over K_1 , we can write it as

$$b_{d_1}a_2 = b_{d_1-1}\theta^{d_1-1} + \dots + b_1\theta + b_0$$

for some $b_0, \dots, b_{d_1} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let α_1, α_2 be the complex approximations of θ , a_2 correct to k decimal places. If $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, we search by b_0, \dots, b_{d_1} by looking for short vectors in the lattice generate by the columns of

$$A_k = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ [C\alpha_1^{n-1}] & \dots & [C\alpha_1] & [C\alpha_2] & [C] \end{pmatrix}$$

where C is a constant of order 10^k . If $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \notin \mathbb{R}$, we instead search for short vectors in the lattice generated by the columns of

$$A_k = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ [C\operatorname{Re}(\alpha_1^{n-1})] & \dots & [C\operatorname{Re}(\alpha_1)] & [C\operatorname{Re}(\alpha_2)] & [C] \\ [C\operatorname{Im}(\alpha_1^{n-1})] & \dots & [C\operatorname{Im}(\alpha_1)] & [C\operatorname{Im}(\alpha_2)] & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Remark 9. If no relations as above exist, we can use a similar lattice method to look for higher order relations, that could help in identify the corresponding the roots of f_2 .

When the degrees d_i are large, coefficient relations can be difficult to find. We can instead factorise the minimal polynomials. Suppose α_i is a complex approximation of a_i , and f_i is the minimal polynomial of a_i . Over \mathbb{C} , f_i can be factorised into linear factors.

$$f_i = s_1 \dots s_{d_i}$$

For k large enough, there is an n such that $s_n(\alpha_i)$ is almost zero. Thus s_n corresponds to the required root of f_i .

6. EXAMPLES

6.1. $X_0(75)/w_{25}$. Consider the non-hyperelliptic genus 3 curve X over \mathbb{Q} , defined by

$$X : f(x, y, z) = 3x^3z - 3x^2y^2 + 5x^2z^2 - 3xy^3 - 19xy^2z - xyz^2 + 3xz^3 + 2y^4 + 7y^3z - 7y^2z^2 - 3yz^3$$

This is the modular curve $X_0(75)$ modulo the action of the Atkin-Lehner operator w_{25} .

Let J be the Jacobian of X . In [10, Pages 19-21] the authors showed that $J(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/40\mathbb{Z}$. Using the methods described in Sections 3 and 4, we show $J(\mathbb{Q})[2] \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$.

First we define a scheme of bitangents. We work on the affine chart $\{z = 1\}$ and with bitangent of the form $x = a_1y + a_2$ for some $a_1, a_2 \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. The intersection of the affine curve and the bitangent is given by

$$F(y) = f(a_1y + a_2, y, 1)$$

and this is necessarily a square if the given line is a bitangent. Equivalently, there exist a_3, a_4 such that

$$F(y) = l(y^2 + a_3y + a_4)^2$$

where l is the coefficient of y^4 in F . Equating coefficient in the above expression gives 4 equations, e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 in a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 .

$$e_1(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) = 3a_1^2a_4^2 + 3a_1a_2^2 + 3a_2^3 + 5a_2^2 + 3a_2 - 2a_4^2$$

$$e_2(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) = 6a_1^2a_3a_4 + 9a_1a_2^2 + 10a_1a_2 + 6a_1a_3a_4 + 3a_1 - a_2 - 4a_3a_4 - 3$$

$$e_3(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) = 9a_1^2a_2 + 3a_1^2a_3^2 + 6a_1^2a_4 + 5a_1^2 + 3a_1a_3^2 + 6a_1a_4 - a_1 - 3a_2^2 - 19a_2 - 2a_3^2 - 4a_4 - 7$$

$$e_4(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) = 3a_1^3 + 6a_1^2a_3 - 6a_1a_2 + 6a_1a_3 - 19a_1 - 3a_2 - 4a_3 + 7$$

Let S be the scheme defined by f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 . Notably, 17 is a prime of good reduction for X and S/\mathbb{F}_{289} has degree 28. Thus all bitangents to X correspond to points on S .

Let $R = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{7})$ and $O_R = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{7}]$ its ring of integers. The prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} = \langle 17 \rangle$ of O_R has norm $17^2 = 289$, so we can use the isomorphism $\mathbb{F}_{289} \cong O_R/\mathfrak{p}$ to calculate the points in $S(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})$.

Each point in $S(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ has unique lift modulo \mathfrak{p}^k for each $k \geq 1$ and using the methods described in Section 5, we find the minimal polynomials of the coefficients of each bitangent, and determine the bitangents.

We list coefficients of bitangent in Galois orbits. Given a bitangent $x = a_1y + a_2$, we give minimal polynomial of a_1 and an expression for a_2 in terms of a_1 which determines the bitangent. All expression for a_2 were found using the shortest vector method, with lattices defined using the p-adic approximations.

Rational bitangents :

$$\begin{aligned} x &= -3y - z \\ x &= y - z \\ x &= 2y - z \\ x &= -2y - z \end{aligned}$$

Non-rational bitangents :

$$\begin{array}{c} \hline u^2 + u - 1 \\ \hline a_1 = u \\ a_2 = 3u + 2 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \hline u^2 - 7u + 11 \\ \hline a_1 = u \\ a_2 = -u + 2 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \hline -u^2 - 8u + 4 \\ \hline a_1 = u \\ a_2 = 2u - 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \hline -u^6 - 3u^5 + 5u^3 - 60u^2 - 63u + 41 \\ \hline a_1 = u \\ a_2 = \frac{1}{27}(u^5 + u^4 - 2u^3 - u^2 + 62u - 34) \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \hline 11u^6 + 49u^5 + 20u^4 - 55u^3 + 40u^2 - 11u + 1 \\ \hline a_1 = u \\ a_2 = \frac{1}{17}(407u^5 + 1901u^4 + 1154u^3 - 1773u^2 + 1106u - 188) \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \hline -u^6 - 14u^5 + 5u^4 + 20u^3 - 95u^2 - 134u + 139 \\ \hline a_1 = u \\ a_2 = \frac{1}{9164}(41u^5 + 467u^4 - 1312u^3 + 2604u^2 + 2687u - 3083) \\ \hline \end{array}$$

The minimal polynomials show that the Galois orbits of the bitangents are as follows.

- 3 orbits with 6 bitangents each
- 3 orbits with 2 bitangents each
- 4 bitangents defined over \mathbb{Q} and thus stable under Galois action

Let K be the splitting field of $-u^6 - 14u^5 + 5u^4 + 20u^3 - 95u^2 - 134u + 139$. This is a degree 12 Galois extension of \mathbb{Q} and all bitangents are defined over K . Let \mathcal{O}_K be the ring of integers of K .

The above tables give a complete list of affine bitangents. We can homogenise these equations, clear denominators and scale appropriately to derive the bitangents, defined over \mathcal{O}_K , to the projective curve X , viewed as a curve over K . Denote the set of bitangents to X by BT .

Note. We view BT as a subset of $K(X)$, the function field of the X , viewed as a curve over K .

Fix the rational bitangent

$$b : x + 3y + z = 0$$

Set $HBT = \{\frac{1}{2}\text{div}(\frac{l}{b}) : l \in BT\}$. Let H be the subgroup of $J(K)[2]$ generated by equivalence classes of elements of HBT .

Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal in \mathcal{O}_K of norm 289. As 17 is a prime of good reduction for X , reduction modulo \mathfrak{p} induces an injection

$$r_{\mathfrak{p}} : J(K)_{\text{tor}} \longrightarrow J(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})$$

see [11]. As $J(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})^2 \times (\mathbb{Z}/40\mathbb{Z})^2 \times (\mathbb{Z}/160\mathbb{Z})$ and $r_{\mathfrak{p}}(H) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^6$, H is equal to $J(K)[2]$. As the genus of X is 3, H is necessarily the entire two torsion subgroup.

$$H = J(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})[2]$$

To determine the rational two torsion subgroup, we take Galois invariants of H . Let $G = \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$. Elementary computations show $G \cong D_{12}$, where D_{12} is the dihedral group of order 12, and the subgroup of H fixed by G is

$$H^G = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})[P_0 - 5P_1 + 4P_2] + (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})[-4P_0 + 4P_2]$$

where $P_0 = (0 : 0 : 1)$, $P_1 = (1 : 0 : 0)$ and $P_2 = (-4 : -1 + \sqrt{5} : 2)$. Thus $J(\mathbb{Q})[2] \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$, verifying the result of [10].

6.2. $X_0(54)$. In this section we compute the 2 torsion subgroup of the Jacobian of the non-hyperelliptic genus 4 modular curve $X = X_0(54)$. This has rational model (see [13])

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= x^2z - xz^2 - y^3 + y^2w - 3yw^2 + z^3 + 3w^3 \\ f_2 &= xw - yz + zw \end{aligned}$$

Let J be the Jacobian of this curve. As stated in [13], $J(\mathbb{Q}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z})$, and so there is no rational 2-torsion. We verify this using our method.

For the scheme of tritangents, we work on the affine chart $\{w = 1\}$ and with tritangent planes of the form

$$x = a_1y + a_2z + a_3$$

for some $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. The intersection of the affine curve with this plane is described by

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(y, z) &= f_1(u_1y + u_2z + u_3, y, z, 1) \\ F_2(y, z) &= f_2(u_1y + u_2z + u_3, y, z, 1) \end{aligned}$$

Let H be the resultant of F_1 and F_2 with respect to z , that is, the resultant of F_1, F_2 , viewed as polynomials in z . The resulting H is a degree 6 polynomial in y , and let l be the coefficient of y^6 in H . If the given plane is a tritangent, H is necessarily a square, so there exist $a_4, a_5, a_6 \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that

$$H(y) = l(y^3 + a_4y^2 + a_5y + a_6)^2$$

Equating coefficient above, we obtain 6 equations e_1, \dots, e_6 in a_1, \dots, a_6 . We also require a 7th equation to define our scheme

$$e_7 : a_7\Delta + 1 = 0$$

where Δ is the discriminant of $y^3 + a_4y^2 + a_5y + a_6$. Let S the scheme defined by e_1, \dots, e_7 . This is a zero dimensional scheme, and over \mathbb{F}_{289} , it has degree 120, thus all tritangents to X correspond to a point on S .

Let $R = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ and \mathcal{O}_R its ring of integers. The ideal $\mathfrak{p} = \langle 17 \rangle$ is prime and its norm is 289, and we use the isomorphism $\mathbb{F}_{289} \cong \mathbf{F}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathcal{O}_R/\mathfrak{p}$ to calculate the points of $S(\mathbb{F}_{289}) = S(\mathbf{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})$.

Using the techniques of Sections 3 and 4, we compute the lifts of points of $S(\mathbf{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ modulo powers of \mathfrak{p} , and compute their minimal polynomials. Below, we state the complete list of tritangents to $X_0(54)$. The planes are of the form $x = a_1y + a_2z + a_3$ and these coefficients a_i are stated below in Galois orbits. We give the minimal polynomial of a_1 and expressions for a_2 and a_3 in terms of a_1 . For all orbits of size at most 18, relations for a_2 and a_3 were found using lattices.

$u^3 + 16$	$u^3 + 4$	$u^6 - 34u^3 + 1$
$a_1 = u$	$a_1 = u$	$a_1 = u$
$a_2 = 2$	$a_2 = 2$	$a_2 = -1$
$a_3 = 0$	$a_3 = 0$	$a_3 = 3u$

$$u^9 - 6u^8 - 33u^7 + 12u^6 + 159u^5 + 42u^4 - 303u^3 - 96u^2 + 336u - 368$$

$$a_1 = u$$

$$a_2 = 1/122088(582u^8 - 4171u^7 - 13492u^6 + 15942u^5 + 58678u^4 - 16883u^3 - 81192u^2 - 22192u + 72224)$$

$$a_3 = 1/122088(582u^8 - 4171u^7 - 13492u^6 + 15942u^5 + 58678u^4 - 16883u^3 - 81192u^2 - 22192u - 171952)$$

$$u^9 - 6u^8 + 39u^7 - 96u^6 + 231u^5 - 498u^4 + 705u^3 - 1068u^2 + 768u - 764$$

$$a_1 = u$$

$$a_2 = 1/27472884(-9147u^8 + 39967u^7 - 166418u^6 - 250242u^5 + 2759129u^4 - 9620461u^3 + 19809024u^2 - 37745252u + 44613460)$$

$$a_3 = 1/27472884(-9147u^8 + 39967u^7 - 166418u^6 - 250242u^5 + 2759129u^4 - 9620461u^3 + 19809024u^2 - 37745252u - 10332308)$$

$$u^{18} + 6u^{17} + 69u^{16} - 174u^{15} + 1002u^{14} - 1554u^{13} + 5037u^{12} - 6402u^{11} + 15594u^{10} - 15838u^9 + 26709u^8 - 33978u^7 + 33585u^6 + 57648u^5 + 126480u^4 + 255264u^3 + 77568u^2 + 123648u + 135424$$

$$a_1 = u$$

$$a_2 = 1/5906415005533968874221744(-31129242234216009485u^{17} - 119183447151058617169u^{16} - 1795887743889040110411u^{15} + 9764261495178846966223u^{14} - 46562934797120395726198u^{13} + 126114297581706886936076u^{12} - 312936062121775218960711u^{11} + 618650090352986312493915u^{10} - 1179476165756665596615142u^9 + 1929928818585270389624008u^8 - 2867524812205444103546683u^7 + 3970176035614551814135947u^6 - 5280979633331634882985613u^5 + 3093171734023912718117069u^4 - 4576935227173784023568128u^3 - 949884348229570871039040u^2 + 6136454376541188224133456u - 1619128009901537667089056)$$

$$a_3 = 1/5906415005533968874221744(-49704949515676066445u^{17} - 263089380367087944527u^{16} - 3264763180320918744461u^{15} + 10753189128735684700897u^{14} - 59318606869176419834778u^{13} + 117954409177574130024916u^{12} - 338709684403269603514557u^{11} + 538153702241133227792357u^{10} - 1190361035369352604393578u^9 + 1659235172665545843856408u^8 - 2624006204858431829834141u^7 + 3652224091502944979807221u^6 - 4377189524314766261406797u^5 + 1824412574365627388685555u^4 - 7506092071075401020530112u^3 - 7817236629703809373242960u^2 - 9555830293525564812218704u - 4491969238135783168247808)$$

$$u^{18} + 24u^{17} + 168u^{16} + 330u^{15} - 1752u^{14} - 11760u^{13} - 27345u^{12} - 2496u^{11} + 162960u^{10} + 548084u^9$$

$$+ 1080240u^8 + 1579776u^7 + 1920471u^6 + 2041608u^5 + 1873704u^4 + 1403970u^3 + 784392u^2$$

$$+ 302928u + 70921$$

$$a_1 = u$$

$$a_2 = 1/2612434737693323606430495494187753156(-34890515330442624149391768354060u^{17}$$

$$813974253334968427499857480396346u^{16} - 5315625686923069399745414811952161u^{15}$$

$$- 7968399274144775989117079457719172u^{14} + 66007239056716326462297627324027434u^{13}$$

$$+ 363430864806024412029128592298366785u^{12} + 709571017059693616485676660396177176u^{11}$$

$$- 343095957346558209419379182779267340u^{10} - 5291511176018854366213681099195361244u^9$$

$$- 15432311194549710728883415473614955600u^8 - 28042095097957224096979000842941360900u^7$$

$$- 38958476406821170054148206766106302112u^6 - 45658476556790101212301269052587331236u^5$$

$$- 4613661564238655546351923712487729266u^4 - 40570931544419096719422330734166290031u^3$$

$$- 29623996256567145095277893315639192484u^2 - 17087146046788757352456431793757563614u$$

$$- 5715459602271228644483760807975312081)$$

$$a_3 = 1/1306217368846661803215247747093876578(34890515330442624149391768354060u^{17}$$

$$+ 813974253334968427499857480396346u^{16} + 5315625686923069399745414811952161u^{15}$$

$$+ 7968399274144775989117079457719172u^{14} - 66007239056716326462297627324027434u^{13}$$

$$- 363430864806024412029128592298366785u^{12} - 709571017059693616485676660396177176u^{11}$$

$$+ 343095957346558209419379182779267340u^{10} + 5291511176018854366213681099195361244u^9$$

$$+ 15432311194549710728883415473614955600u^8 + 28042095097957224096979000842941360900u^7$$

$$+ 38958476406821170054148206766106302112u^6 + 45658476556790101212301269052587331236u^5$$

$$+ 4613661564238655546351923712487729266u^4 + 40570931544419096719422330734166290031u^3$$

$$+ 29623996256567145095277893315639192484u^2 + 13168493940248771942810688552475933880u$$

$$+ 3103024864577905038053265313787558925)$$

$$u^{18} + 6u^{17} - 3u^{16} + 42u^{15} + 714u^{14} + 1470u^{13} - 1371u^{12} - 11370u^{11} - 9894u^{10} + 24410u^9 + 37941u^8$$

$$- 38802u^7 - 65559u^6 + 164496u^5 + 218712u^4 - 257016u^3 - 226128u^2 + 586752u + 583696$$

$$a_1 = u$$

$$a_2 = 1/748443319490297425788(101966914012047682u^{17} + 468227611026967390u^{16}$$

$$- 96023727778779028u^{15} + 5658706550118893479u^{14} + 64807527469708006677u^{13}$$

$$+ 58965326517473524477u^{12} - 219654939393396760614u^{11} - 845781422790391153309u^{10}$$

$$+ 180218299519050358179u^9 + 2205090562720128866179u^8 + 749498245602099319462u^7$$

$$- 4983733968840718455335u^6 + 361998705079288709779u^5 + 16183120977674616604413u^4$$

$$- 529310914141616544908u^3 - 25125642701005269493884u^2 + 12780763084893697581524u$$

$$+ 42186490404697284318744)$$

$$a_3 = 1/142952674022646808325508(20958264238791694016u^{17} + 95487544616138604615u^{16}$$

$$- 201032685396211311863u^{15} + 1167667005427498962044u^{14} + 13271443121099699819501u^{13}$$

$$+ 11634135727266966651054u^{12} - 45788074741869039986043u^{11} - 173505774846219724687570u^{10}$$

$$+ 41456644659570940441439u^9 + 455408237911484233896794u^8 + 148173094760092237518279u^7$$

$$- 1028613959233721983794764u^6 + 83701486737184200910679u^5 + 3326937827813778661134581u^4$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -152432322348533428924956u^3 - 5239999071094147647725508u^2 + 2516790325692383215206692u \\
& + 8706020144842127263277360)
\end{aligned}$$

There is one orbit of size 36. In this case, relations for a_2, a_3 were computed by factorising the minimal polynomials.

$$\begin{aligned}
& u^{36} - 24u^{35} + 408u^{34} - 3372u^{33} + 22056u^{32} - 127776u^{31} + 630786u^{30} - 2714424u^{29} + 11261496u^{28} - 41046764u^{27} + 131733144u^{26} \\
& - 408414384u^{25} + 1150083423u^{24} - 2814636528u^{23} + 6374836368u^{22} - 13214216088u^{21} + 23592829968u^{20} - 36895248864u^{19} \\
& + 51352475964u^{18} - 58328930160u^{17} + 42803579664u^{16} + 3616822728u^{15} - 69219558864u^{14} + 126403035264u^{13} - 153084561489u^{12} \\
& + 151586318088u^{11} - 131113127592u^{10} + 78540995524u^9 + 18513274440u^8 - 121585972992u^7 + 155356552290u^6 - 110860906584u^5 \\
& + 57084024120u^4 - 38472387036u^3 + 36135508152u^2 - 21483956688u + 5029788241
\end{aligned}$$

$$a_1 = u$$

$$\begin{aligned}
a_2 = & \frac{1}{\theta_1} (10222877190458421992007838487466233113889668838176677821296251563189004613169604046136331949448394249u^{35} \\
& - 240096757335481797575293813615926666642837469689164897055754159093371336438176382818983732190730765166u^{34} \\
& + 4050509531874709879480447299939097246321776532825688537645165293570059602023876794904887045964607458825u^{33} \\
& - 32455649703443760683463302382759878256427026811136269914312067105627947520482476044871886888136835205531u^{32} \\
& + 209876662046414164334732642478913709294569987341706235156514154064484297581627138992650857793958028915440u^{31} \\
& - 1206278152713319309920384580556485519555970713542519650499998647142534005947808588955902149385343616551797u^{30} \\
& + 5877590759602304333990089967332253446341263210751415219493462711491398960206656442338088015654149442798175u^{29} \\
& - 25003851819412941955169446087082771555451127739740386739341378271681013080999589196887675617383639419970622u^{28} \\
& + 103557046409061224672316125856655207834034156504833380915522968628081375484569439784699258173121283466238767u^{27} \\
& - 371627713881538349074614460564402469123942316249744571166848378173463099682562410093789826751734982741684485u^{26} \\
& + 1177298227910156098670995055478903516197273776195583450410533235696164496852180931114891835867557313281860356u^{25} \\
& - 3643324447199002580212027299006054260140859999867444829245632105168056836957406101438990107053601920458713731u^{24} \\
& + 10104470757457271152201388728990543354028278775872088819499937818457252170539267135204198592287811355526808690u^{23} \\
& - 24262271150250468613895612717818348420709725502272779760526888773740635216845311697654863646793312547394327188u^{22} \\
& + 54482247402595626044739652181348954833891791738307475378530738091299107939142069779572363762971074800764515402u^{21} \\
& - 110998946352604554823388186564769544914675035244136528807424888495139702728432679262867424966979648475629783174u^{20} \\
& + 192766884031772466925040926205330833254541634350293518805610175607945435120673579583729751456468828987129597576u^{19} \\
& - 294645207529137917688796204597449526780933459878586031595121876962794375864702341122021919665267516850586064834u^{18} \\
& + 398299495367627212006589784536909730332371371561293276899695753437120104775006262690043285507957069353289357254u^{17} \\
& - 426723185273111309785323819812051495350110086617342101373471416018220766074357122714764770005438537464687644356u^{16} \\
& + 262810768986577157682355140224807184397731343782308993746063589842006091924208970677998164512837928655067795486u^{15} \\
& + 13984567640770269399505513245295647794853272438805758117757720100280035849076065631809005729439967854749028558u^{14} \\
& - 641167963497110286254445689247159087913366181605025734065639784800118732328941089596448092562310361850733596144u^{13} \\
& + 1012580667857446293945514598784159897899918573522544896270648814993556546763671530861861079007398845612085389978u^{12} \\
& - 1129583773478806984319073619231088601986070460015143293386909340638369600847023359022651290052493983897199706315u^{11} \\
& + 1065059330484160358056965510003074989535933086199055993666456290872000481421129763254053471653749683635278401250u^{10} \\
& - 87845189269432181993311608024297383522504571830864878337212030494059248855773336891927826369568175022299954755u^9 \\
& + 437498575012407550694045201214025919265624125806622441426773087941143192288858653122923522389622049528545349969u^8 \\
& + 351748544862357914295360964581198508125964534138619169599853105487805218888355888183251903151995649747656080776u^7 \\
& - 1087757989592079392500813736228035094507754192677856146038415416636495666864329248673177941249402664959479621337u^6 \\
& + 1134087490430925327089985337914925787081154149925074262483112152260999387718957985029989248377760449719245791147u^5 \\
& - 650316273531201179802958697601012932919670580087292140814838460493997874488833894204480667171557209882041554014u^4 \\
& + 285434933297098783933117424238628854250731438987206186342623192650989805138093513429978072836557774566402991907u^3 \\
& - 265558897569867219943286150768206193178903458198424085765634838660871774471292984920462576594849946032610243961u^2 \\
& + 2755090866310043081377793998195147227101863262600891508996034719844778397507036181970328606924359037375076716u \\
& - 102238635574445906849625392152672416442655878689106547201654989566761347948169828526320251287179359925382257159)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
a_3 = & \frac{1}{\theta_2} (-1031032789082365245831384865870173783936098412083407466981999595610640435024705732460365616884718559379856u^{35} \\
& + 23805519174064407337589691073770081642648720606220815513440697627081533181651397518258033584401148224834019u^{34} \\
& - 399242616814686862379434349750553832006252605340273924782308721663031927582348953974954435736072672726069386u^{33} \\
& + 3119148715480540476527025306997631611918802037601248138994335849755011022939171885484234791682599926874644044u^{32} \\
& - 20003041636538396447697597975818731035860948614234399668835451166797152135938476643299714809453881624094900007u^{31} \\
& + 11433363630274210964942292963865120476798535786650902891137798757741165590206607911766537948996732285386698540u^{30} \\
& - 551377994420751005114447273003569994404710689289826019781311170507706214802493281523352419665523833881076820040u^{29} \\
& + 2325794232905536978720551045157246507176655611836504397271035104174943603415840368554928989617955602882501206953u^{28} \\
& - 9633461194450130398033487709405567013744549320974586460297186533374394502509013305820516343659143616154826125774u^{27} \\
& + 34135081620909824127950210203931971240707706276626525011952811421475409288407110270562364379075616562218547142604u^{26} \\
& - 107155183172256765614994331982951847874996660237734410435754896790817541345170403633567984148552893553581462182773u^{25} \\
& + 332044414780075709318056272637870596173500664607005219042288802696643903961038562848101352340010635876168595611892u^{24} \\
& - 909758069888150307660267052185005017670478791690767207842170224193860187905727168415823297492804376943751851709824u^{23} \\
& + 2154475590348264082902061386637561325598176653761135491877917917635414988194768907555561489234325934004598843597382u^{22} \\
& - 4831414585434632108066411636627008194374457238813542623809572217691397084630530485865294793690065674660834094408988u^{21} \\
& + 9733599057683480904524116767353267231884282928664657732026139561296440842288915437837973982829635622651567380627800u^{20} \\
& - 16575028389208453227266891208994510419890256076085616042733694141210267504966898053610809485563507063813358254531486u^{19} \\
& + 25162762641177258043549512253278117955681816041760646411116763370746439632934594205361313513562013328309858331469664u^{18} \\
& - 33635408081930272106598581207332952953776038735546695441863592281670991661194251949738712496816018075264429136334192u^{17} \\
& + 34614496203717295449511534267460385288556887303369320569611726397509693885322042879937662966474550613357386425621386u^{16} \\
& - 19144802223553631440138527222039936715803609781213974292027684405537513084842385784630634288411203809024181487529812u^{15} \\
& - 15294184866541965518221468815655348877829933215387973912121768169866562483390659971820959968351178468095573344175304u^{14} \\
& + 56691694484351832895452882260417362495187430869597678415223370696990243304295049125700632277370906877619374033626894u^{13} \\
& - 86188678759027126717291913615400356426255884211846499635888499357500230011345491965805723635494764410027854718534416u^{12} \\
& + 94102414973476331856702749476137216554775597478651007598091676121247371126221692353031984911391182815240081536459728u^{11} \\
& - 88558436452810359600741626450845353357549233450792812425381352799929319614981701932856707491010684964944914853770041u^{10} \\
& + 709035594171743194873334235258125752942219397199954871895033641098030399218434074061218427131865020123257787809179296u^9 \\
& - 30083830519960722162309461546439932570755276437306790423237316951439678701263803675136831995252759748766676272173476u^8 \\
& - 36133623414159023776527054068267153280076023028232780271967537148701660954330428644942738936722801877002597452423403u^7 \\
& + 92494344137683228301593704597529954013110746695877321784158000238056038875872828518477651748725460764751210722279572u^6 \\
& - 92031986609629496180144463969951573414107799746686319753000742374448013677602674394506001722317737191809767963836680u^5 \\
& + 53005815405181600856679451317280404854037961963071664163933741923387520615004329494799274044703114703659207931122013u^4 \\
& - 23715239054892894872539247763643380572604540506730107459921467559082046195259548843958982318164994757574869201811038u^3 \\
& + 20385095938217764512082367976080470455159826371752794405895564765911045856792208805061233252814566746418201351245564u^2 \\
& - 21193383821167132560704005722063498310844822619225919145478663888342340906313567726636706142368958063555862739786985u + \\
& 8536604664413581087515442948885657383690592187410147540531985078719545038712179762646297379132783099403768524753276)
\end{aligned}$$

where θ_1, θ_2 are

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta_1 = & 8254470261363309608428015833731504524884861449246895182815867529091604745440596677033545551560225331430429824 \\
& \theta_2 = \\
& 585415285406147280739323310944072032409359258842039053260484141030705700151392556931896084062202740730377513547904
\end{aligned}$$

The minimal polynomials show that the Galois orbits of tritangents are as follows

- there are 2 orbits with 3 tritangents each
- there is one orbit with 6 tritangents
- there are 2 orbits with 9 tritangents each
- there are 3 orbits with 18 tritangents each
- there is 1 orbits with 36 tritangents

Let K be the number field defined by f ,

$$\begin{aligned}
f = & u^{36} - 24u^{35} + 408u^{34} - 3372u^{33} + 22056u^{32} - 127776u^{31} + 630786u^{30} - 2714424u^{29} + \\
& 11261496u^{28} - 41046764u^{27} + 131733144u^{26} - 408414384u^{25} + 1150083423u^{24} - \\
& 2814636528u^{23} + 6374836368u^{22} - 13214216088u^{21} + 23592829968u^{20} - 36895248864u^{19} + \\
& 51352475964u^{18} - 58328930160u^{17} + 42803579664u^{16} + 3616822728u^{15} - 69219558864u^{14} + \\
& 126403035264u^{13} - 153084561489u^{12} + 151586318088u^{11} - 131113127592u^{10} + \\
& 78540995524u^9 + 18513274440u^8 - 121585972992u^7 + 155356552290u^6 - 110860906584u^5 + \\
& 57084024120u^4 - 38472387036u^3 + 36135508152u^2 - 21483956688u + 5029788241
\end{aligned}$$

This is a degree 36 Galois extension and all minimal polynomials split over K . This gives a complete list of tritangent planes to the affine curve base changed to K . We homogenize these expression, clear denominators and scale appropriately to ensure that all planes are defined over \mathcal{O}_K , the ring of integers of K . Let TT be the set of triangents to X .

Note. We view TT as a subset of $K(X)$, the function field of X , viewed as a curve over K .

Fix a plane $t \in TT$ and define

$$HT = \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{a}{t} \right) : a \in TT \right\}$$

and let H be the subgroup of $J(K)[2]$ generated by equivalence classes of elements of HT .

Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal in \mathcal{O}_K of norm $289 = 17^2$. As 17 is a prime of good reduction for X , reduction modulo \mathfrak{p} induces an injective map

$$r_{\mathfrak{p}} : J(K)_{\text{tors}} \longrightarrow J(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})$$

As $J(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/18\mathbb{Z})^8$ and $r_{\mathfrak{p}}(H) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^8$, H is necessarily the two torsion subgroup of $J(K)_{\text{tors}}$. In fact, as X has genus 4, H is the entire two torsion subgroup

$$H = J(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})[2]$$

Let $G = \operatorname{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$. Elementary computation show that $G \cong C_3 \times A_4$, where C_3 denotes the cyclic group of order 3 and A_4 denotes the alternating group of order 4. Let $s \in G$ be any element of order 6. Then, acting on H , s has no fixed points, so $H^s = 0$, and thus H^G has no fixed points. Therefore, $J(\mathbb{Q})[2] = 0$.

6.3. $X_0(42)$. We'll calculate the two-torsion subgroup of the modular curve $X_0(42)$. This is a genus 5, non-hyperelliptic curve, embedded in \mathbb{P}^4 by the canonical embedding. The model we use is (as in [13]) the intersection of the three quadrics f_1, f_2, f_3

$$\begin{aligned}
f_1 &= x_1x_3 - x_2^2 + x_3x_4 \\
f_2 &= x_1x_5 - x_2x_5 - x_3^2 + x_4x_5 - x_5^2 \\
f_3 &= x_1x_4 - x_2x_3 + x_2x_4 - x_3^2 + x_3x_4 + x_3x_5 - x_4^2
\end{aligned}$$

For the scheme of quadritangents, with this model, it's convenient to work on the affine chart $\{x_5 = 1\}$ and with planes of the form

$$x_1 = a_1x_2 + a_2x_3 + a_3x_4 + a_4$$

for some $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.

The intersection of such a plane with the affine curve is given by

$$F_i(x_2, x_3, x_4) = f_i(a_1x_2 + a_2x_3 + a_3x_4 + a_4, x_2, x_3, x_4, 1) \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3$$

Explicitly, these expressions are

$$\begin{aligned}
F_1(x_2, x_3, x_4) &= -x_2^2 + a_1x_2x_3 + a_2x_3^2 + (a_3 + 1)x_3x_4 + a_4x_3 \\
F_2(x_2, x_3, x_4) &= (a_1 - 1)x_2 - x_3^2 + a_2x_3 + (a_3 + 1)x_4 + a_4 - 1 \\
F_3(x_2, x_3, x_4) &= -x_2x_3 + (a_1 + 1)x_2x_4 - x_3^2 + (a_2 + 1)x_3x_4 + x_3 + (a_3 - 1)x_4^2 + (a_4 - 2)x_4
\end{aligned}$$

For $a_1 \neq 1$, $F_2 = 0$ gives an expression for x_2 in terms of x_3 and x_4

$$(1) \quad x_2 = \frac{-1}{a_1 - 1} (-x_3^2 + a_2 x_3 + (a_3 + 1)x_4 + a_4 - 1) = \phi(x_3, x_4)$$

Substituting for x_2 in F_1 and F_3 , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{G}_1 &= F_1(\phi(x_3, x_4), x_3, x_4) = \frac{G_1(x_3, x_4)}{(a_1 - 1)^2} \\ \widetilde{G}_2 &= F_3(\phi(x_3, x_4), x_3, x_4) = \frac{G_2(x_3, x_4)}{(a_1 - 1)} \end{aligned}$$

Clearing denominators, the intersection is given by

$$G_1(x_3, x_4) = G_2(x_3, x_4) = 0$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} G_1 &= -x_3^4 + (a_1^2 - a_1 + 2a_2)x_3^3 + (2a_3 + 2)x_3^2 x_4 + (-a_1 a_2 - a_2^2 + a_2 + 2a_4 - 2)x_3^2 + \\ &\quad (-a_1 a_3 - a_1 - 2a_2 a_3 - 2a_2 + a_3 + 1)x_3 x_4 + (a_1^2 - a_1 a_4 - a_1 - 2a_2 a_4 + 2a_2 + a_4)x_3 + \\ &\quad (-a_3^2 - 2a_3 - 1)x_4^2 + (-2a_3 a_4 + 2a_3 - 2a_4 + 2)x_4 - a_4^2 + 2a_4 - 1 \\ G_2 &= -x_3^3 + (a_1 + 1)x_3^2 x_4 + (-a_1 + a_2 + 1)x_3^2 + (a_1 - 2a_2 + a_3)x_3 x_4 + (a_1 + a_4 - 2)x_3 + \\ &\quad (-2a_1 - 2a_3)x_4^2 + (-a_1 - 2a_4 + 3)x_4 \end{aligned}$$

These can be re-written as

$$\begin{aligned} G_1 &= g_1(x_3) + h_1(x_3)x_4 + \alpha_1 x_4^2 \\ G_2 &= g_2(x_3) + h_2(x_3)x_4 + \alpha_2 x_4^2 \end{aligned}$$

with $g_1, g_2, h_1, h_2 \in \mathbb{Q}[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4][x_3]$ and $\alpha_1 = -(a_3 + 1)^2$, $\alpha_2 = -2(a_1 + a_2)$. If $\alpha_1 \neq 0$ and $\alpha_2 \neq 0$

$$\alpha_2 G_2 - \alpha_1 G_1 = (\alpha_2 h_1(x_3) - \alpha_1 h_2(x_3))x_4 + \alpha_2 g_1(x_3) - \alpha_1 g_2(x_3) = 0$$

and if $T_1 = \alpha_2 h_1(x_3) - \alpha_1 h_2(x_3) \neq 0$, as a polynomial in x_3 , the above gives an expression for $x_4 = \frac{-T_2}{T_1}$ with $T_2 = \alpha_2 g_1(x_3) - \alpha_1 g_2(x_3)$.

Substituting for x_4 in G_1 , we get

$$G(x_3) = G_1\left(x_3, \frac{-T_2}{T_1}\right) = \frac{h(x_3)}{g(x_3)}$$

where $h \in \mathbb{Q}[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4][x_3]$ has degree 8 and $g(x_3) = \left(\frac{T_1}{a_3 + 1}\right)^2$.

Clearing denominators in the expression above, we remark that if the given plane $x_1 = a_1 x_2 + a_2 x_3 + a_3 x_4 + a_4$ is a quadritangent, then h is necessarily a square. Equivalently, there exist $a_5, a_6, a_7, a_8 \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$h(x) = l(x^4 + a_5 x^3 + a_6 x^2 + a_7 x + a_8)^2$$

where l is the leading coefficient of h . Equating coefficients in the above expression, gives 8 equations e_1, \dots, e_8 in a_1, \dots, a_8 .

We also add a 9th equation (and a 9th variable)

$$e_9 : a_9 \Delta(x^4 + a_5 x^3 + a_6 x^2 + a_7 x + a_8) + 1 = 0$$

to ensure that $x^4 + a_5 x^3 + a_6 x^2 + a_7 x + a_8$ has non-zero discriminant and avoid singularities on our scheme.

To derive e_1, \dots, e_8 , we assumed that $a_1 \neq 1$, $\alpha_1 \neq 0$ and $\alpha_2 \neq 0$, and equations are also required for these conditions.

$$\begin{aligned} e_{10} &: a_{10}(a_1 - 1) + 1 = 0 \\ e_{11} &: a_{11}(a_3 + 1) + 1 = 0 \\ e_{12} &: a_{12}(a_1 + a_3) + 1 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

It can be checked that $e_2 \dots e_{12}$ are irreducible, and $e_1 = s_1 s_2$,

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 &= a_3 a_4 - a_3 a_8 - a_3 - 2a_4^2 + 5a_4 + a_8 - 3 \\ s_2 &= a_3 a_4 + a_3 a_8 - a_3 - 2a_4^2 + 5a_4 - a_8 - 3 \end{aligned}$$

Julia suggests that the system

$$s_1 = s_2 = e_2 = \dots = e_{12} = 0$$

has no solutions. We consider the cases $s_1 = 0$ and $s_2 = 0$ separately.

The condition $T_1 \neq 0$ will also require equations. As a polynomial, T_1 is non-zero, if its coefficients t_1 , t_2 and t_3 are not all zero.

$$\begin{aligned} t_1 &= a_1 a_3 - 3a_1 - 3a_3 + 1 \\ t_2 &= k_1 k_2 = (a_1 + 2a_2 + a_3)(2a_1 + a_3 - 1) \\ t_3 &= a_1 a_3 - 4a_1 a_4 + 5a_1 - 2a_3 a_4 + a_3 + 2a_4 - 3 \end{aligned}$$

Case 1. The first 12 equations are s_1, e_2, \dots, e_{12} and we consider all possible combinations of zero and non-zero t_1, k_1, k_2 and t_3 .

Case 1.1 : $t_1 \neq 0, k_1 \neq 0, k_2 \neq 0, t_3 \neq 0$

We add 4 equations and 4 variables

$$\begin{aligned} e_{13} &: a_{13} t_1 + 1 = 0 \\ e_{14} &: a_{14} k_1 + 1 = 0 \\ e_{15} &: a_{15} k_2 + 1 = 0 \\ e_{16} &: a_{16} t_3 + 1 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Julia finds 96 approximate solutions to the system formed by the 16 equations in a_1, \dots, a_{16} .

Case 1.2 : $t_1 \neq 0, k_1 \neq 0, k_2 = 0, t_3 \neq 0$

We add 4 equations and 3 variables

$$\begin{aligned} e_{13} &: a_{13} t_1 + 1 = 0 \\ e_{14} &: a_{14} k_1 + 1 = 0 \\ e_{15} &: a_{15} t_3 + 1 = 0 \\ e_{16} &: k_2 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Julia finds 24 approximate solutions to the system formed by the 16 equations in a_1, \dots, a_{15} .

Case 1.3 : $t_1 \neq 0, k_1 = 0, k_2 \neq 0, t_3 \neq 0$

We add 4 equations and 3 variables

$$\begin{aligned} e_{13} &: a_{13} t_1 + 1 = 0 \\ e_{14} &: a_{14} k_2 + 1 = 0 \\ e_{15} &: a_{15} t_3 + 1 = 0 \\ e_{16} &: k_1 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Julia finds 14 approximate solutions to the system formed by the 16 equations in a_1, \dots, a_{15} .

In all other cases, for all other possible combinations of zero and non-zero t_1, k_1, k_2, t_3 , the corresponding systems have no approximate solutions.

Case 2. The first 12 equations are s_2, e_2, \dots, e_{12} .

Case 1.1 : $t_1 \neq 0, k_1 \neq 0, k_2 \neq 0, t_3 \neq 0$

We add 4 equations and 4 variables

$$e_{13} : a_{13}t_1 + 1 = 0$$

$$e_{14} : a_{14}k_1 + 1 = 0$$

$$e_{15} : a_{15}k_2 + 1 = 0$$

$$e_{16} : a_{16}t_3 + 1 = 0$$

Julia finds 256 approximate solutions to the system formed by the 16 equations in a_1, \dots, a_{16} .

In all other cases, the resulting systems have no approximate solutions.

Remark 10. We can also derive equations and schemes in the extreme cases, $\alpha_1 = 0, \alpha_2 = 0, a_1 = 1$ etc. These cases combined had few solutions, and in fact these planes are not needed in our calculation of the 2-torsion subgroup.

In each case, we approximate solutions as complex points and using the techniques described in Section 5.2, we define the corresponding quadritangents to X . The 16 planes described below and the cusps of $X_0(42)$ were sufficient to fully describe the 2-torsion subgroup of $X_0(42)$. The planes occur in two Galois orbits. For both orbits, we give the minimal polynomial of a_1 and expression for a_2, a_3, a_4 in terms of a_1 .

$$a_1 = u$$

$$u^8 + 14u^7 + 151u^6 - 396u^5 + 283u^4 - 1730u^3 + 3201u^2 - 1440u + 2284$$

$$a_2 = 1/301100972656(39380331u^7 + 442881623u^6 + 4027975134u^5 - 37845583334u^4 - 12023416509u^3 - 80118862717u^2 - 49248922084u + 241405142628)$$

$$a_3 = -1/301100972656(52272255u^7 + 716826167u^6 + 7618567014u^5 - 24269489250u^4 + 8461415807u^3 - 144514109641u^2 + 341498511372u - 30420302668)$$

$$a_4 = -1/301100972656(16239354u^7 + 285678727u^6 + 3306671724u^5 + 3184311718u^4 - 7648219606u^3 - 17837849633u^2 + 78572314624u - 372802926204)$$

$$a_1 = u$$

$$23u^8 + 78u^7 + 135u^6 + 146u^5 + 236u^4 + 322u^3 + 239u^2 + 94u + 23$$

$$a_2 = 1/6324(10258u^7 + 27083u^6 + 40152u^5 + 34112u^4 + 75578u^3 + 80920u^2 + 41542u + 5979)$$

$$a_3 = -1/4743(4324u^7 + 9535u^6 + 12034u^5 + 6080u^4 + 22416u^3 + 14876u^2 + 3588u - 7468)$$

$$a_4 = -1/9486(3404u^7 + 10601u^6 + 16874u^5 + 17098u^4 + 28680u^3 + 37276u^2 + 24084u - 7931)$$

Let K be the number field defined by f

$$f(u) = 2713u^{16} + 9264u^{15} + 24252u^{14} - 1352u^{13} - 270446u^{12} - 739224u^{11} - 599968u^{10} + 1502208u^9 + 6136803u^8 + 10670696u^7 + 11231488u^6 + 7603968u^5 + 3052898u^4 + 591416u^3 + 141500u^2 + 210760u + 154057$$

This is a degree 16 Galois extension, and the above quadritangents are defined over K . Let \mathcal{O}_K be the ring of integers of K . The equations of the above planes can be homogenised and scaled appropriately to ensure that they are all defined over \mathcal{O}_K . Denote by QT the set of equations of 16 quadritangents to X .

Note. We view QT as a subset of $K(X)$, the function field of X , where the curve X is viewed as curve defined over K .

Fix a plane $l_0 \in QT$ and let

$$HQT = \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{l}{l_0} \right) : l \in QT \right\}$$

The cusps of $X_0(42)$ are

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &= (2 : -1 : 1 : -1 : 1) \\ c_2 &= (2 : 2 : 1 : 2 : 1) \\ c_3 &= (7 : 4 : 2 : 1 : 2) \\ c_4 &= (1 : -2 : 2 : 1 : 2) \\ c_5 &= (3 : 0 : 0 : -1 : 2) \\ c_6 &= (1 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 1) \\ c_7 &= (1 : 0 : 0 : 1 : 0) \\ c_8 &= (1 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0) \end{aligned}$$

Let $J = J_0(42)$ be the Jacobian of $X_0(42)$ and define

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &= 3c_1 - c_2 + 2c_3 - 2c_5 - 2c_6 \\ D_2 &= -7c_1 + 2c_2 + 3c_3 + c_5 + c_6 \\ D_3 &= 4c_1 - 4c_2 + 3c_3 - c_4 - 2c_5 - c_6 + c_8 \\ D_4 &= 6c_1 - 4c_2 + 2c_3 - 2c_5 - 2c_6 \end{aligned}$$

These above equivalence classes of divisors are distinct, rational, 2-torsion points on $J \cong \operatorname{Pic}^0(X_0(42))$.

Let H be the subgroup of $J(K)[2]$ generated by equivalence classes of elements of HQT and the equivalence classes of D_1, D_2, D_3, D_4 . Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal in \mathcal{O}_K of norm 121. As 11 is a prime of good reduction for X , reduction modulo \mathfrak{p} induces an injection

$$r_{\mathfrak{p}} : J(K)_{\operatorname{tors}} \longrightarrow J(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

As $J(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^4 \times (\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})^2 \times (\mathbb{Z}/24\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}/48\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}/192\mathbb{Z})^2$ and $r_{\mathfrak{p}}(H) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{10}$, the subgroup H is necessarily the whole 2-torsion subgroup of $J(K)_{\operatorname{tors}}$. Also, as the genus of X is 5, H is in fact the entire 2-torsion subgroup

$$H = J(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})[2]$$

Let $G = \operatorname{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$. Elementary calculations show that $G \cong D_8 \times C_2$, where D_8 is the Dihedral group of order 8 and C_2 is the cyclic group of order 2. Taking Galois invariants, we find

$$H^G = J(\mathbb{Q})[2] = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})[D_1] + (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})[D_2] + (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})[D_3] + (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})[D_4]$$

Due to [13], this has a powerful corollary.

Corollary 6. $J_0(42)(\mathbb{Q}) = C \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}/12\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}/48\mathbb{Z})$, where C is the rational cuspidal subgroup.

Aside: p-adic lifting on smaller patches. Although in general p-adic lifting on the schemes of quadritangents of genus 5 curves is ineffective, this particular example is an exception. In this case, finding some non-singular points over \mathbb{F}_{11} and lifting them was sufficient to find the 2-torsion subgroup over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-7})$ and thus over \mathbb{Q} , although we were unable to compute the entire 2-torsion subgroup.

More precisely, consider the 12 base equations of case 1

$$s_1 = e_2 = \dots = e_{12} = 0$$

This system of equations has 12 non-singular points over \mathbb{F}_{11} , which can be approximated 11-adically, as described in Section 4.1.

The 12 quadritangent planes, defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-7})$, corresponding to the 12 points are the following

$$\begin{aligned} l_1 &: -x_1 - x_3 + 2x_4 + 2x_5 = 0 \\ l_2 &: -x_1 - 3x_2 - x_3 + 5x_4 + 2x_5 = 0 \\ l_3 &: -2x_1 + (3 + \sqrt{-7})x_2 + (3 - \sqrt{-7})x_3 + (-9 + \sqrt{-7})x_4 + 4x_5 = 0 \\ l_4 &: -2x_1 + (3 - \sqrt{-7})x_2 + (3 + \sqrt{-7})x_3 + (-9 - \sqrt{-7})x_4 + 4x_5 = 0 \\ l_5 &: -2x_1 + (5 + \sqrt{-7})x_2 - 2x_3 + (-1 - \sqrt{-7})x_4 + 4x_5 = 0 \\ l_6 &: -2x_1 + (5 - \sqrt{-7})x_2 - 2x_3 + (-1 + \sqrt{-7})x_4 + 4x_5 = 0 \\ l_7 &: -32x_1 + 8(-1 - \sqrt{-7})x_2 + (-1 - 3\sqrt{-7})x_3 + 2(-5 - \sqrt{-7})x_4 + 64x_5 = 0 \\ l_8 &: -32x_1 + 8(-1 + \sqrt{-7})x_2 + (-1 + 3\sqrt{-7})x_3 + 2(-5 + \sqrt{-7})x_4 + 64x_5 = 0 \\ l_9 &: -2x_1 + 2(2 + \sqrt{-7})x_2 + (-1 - 3\sqrt{-7})x_3 + 2(-1 + 2\sqrt{-7})x_4 + 4x_5 = 0 \\ l_{10} &: -2x_1 + 2(2 - \sqrt{-7})x_2 + (-1 + 3\sqrt{-7})x_3 + 2(-1 - 2\sqrt{-7})x_4 + 4x_5 = 0 \\ l_{11} &: -8x_1 + 4(-3 + \sqrt{-7})x_2 + (3 - \sqrt{-7})x_3 + 2(3 - \sqrt{-7})x_4 + 16x_5 = 0 \\ l_{12} &: -8x_1 + 4(-3 - \sqrt{-7})x_2 + (3 + \sqrt{-7})x_3 + 2(3 + \sqrt{-7})x_4 + 16x_5 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-7})$ and let O_K be its ring of integers. Then $\mathfrak{p} = \langle 11, 10 + 2\sqrt{-7} \rangle$ is a prime ideal of O_K of norm 11, and thus it induces a reduction map

$$r_{\mathfrak{p}} : J(K) \longrightarrow J(\mathbb{F}_{11})$$

As 11 is a prime of good reduction for the curve, this map is injective on the torsion subgroup (see [11]). Define H to be the subgroup of $J(K)[2]$ generated by equivalence classes of D_1, D_2, D_3, D_4 and the 11 divisors formed by taking the divisors of the quotients of the $l_2 \dots l_{12}$ by l_1 and multiplying by $\frac{1}{2}$

$$E_{i-1} = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{l_i}{l_1} \right) \text{ for } i = 2, \dots, 12$$

The image of H is $r_{\mathfrak{p}}(H) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^6$ and

$$J(\mathbb{F}_{11}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}/12\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}/96\mathbb{Z})$$

thus we conclude that $J(K)[2] = H$.

Taking Galois invariants of H , we find that $J(\mathbb{Q})[2] \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^4$, verifying the previous result.

Remark 11. This example is an exception. For all other genus 5 examples in this paper, complex approximation were necessary in our computations.

7. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

In this section we give the quadritangent planes required to generate the 2-torsion subgroup (or part of the 2-torsion subgroup) of the Jacobian of the modular curves $X_0(N)$ for $N = 42, 55, 63, 72, 75$. All modular curves considered are non-hyperelliptic and have genus 5. All rational models of the curves and their cuspidal subgroup are as in [13].

Throughout this section we denote by $J_0(N)$ the Jacobian variety of $X_0(N)$.

7.1. $X_0(42)$. Model :

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= x_1x_3 - x_2^2 + x_3x_4 \\ f_2 &= x_1x_5 - x_2x_5 - x_3^2 + x_4x_5 - x_5^2 \\ 3 &= x_1x_4 - x_2x_3 + x_2x_4 - x_3^2 + x_3x_4 + x_3x_5 - x_4^2 \end{aligned}$$

Let K be the number field defined by f

$$\begin{aligned} f(u) &= 2713u^{16} + 9264u^{15} + 24252u^{14} - 1352u^{13} - 270446u^{12} - 739224u^{11} - 599968u^{10} + \\ &1502208u^9 + 6136803u^8 + 10670696u^7 + 11231488u^6 + 7603968u^5 + 3052898u^4 + 591416u^3 + \\ &141500u^2 + 210760u + 154057 \end{aligned}$$

The 16 quadritangents stated below are define over K . These planes and the cusps generate the entire two torsion subgroup.

$$J_0(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})[2] = J_0(42)(K)[2] \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{10}$$

Elementary calculations show $G = \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \cong D_8 \times C_2$, where D_8 is the dihedral group of order 8 and C_2 is the cyclic group of order 2. Taking Galois invariants, we obtain the rational 2-torsion subgroup

$$J_0(42)(\mathbb{Q})[2] = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})[D_1] + (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})[D_2] + (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})[D_3] + (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})[D_4]$$

where the D_i are divisors supported on cusps.

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &= 3(2 : -1 : 1 : -1 : 1) - (2 : 2 : 1 : 2 : 1) + 2(7 : 4 : 2 : 1 : 2) \\ &\quad - 2(3 : 0 : 0 : -1 : 2) - 2(1 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 1) \\ D_2 &= -7(2 : -1 : 1 : -1 : 1) + 2(2 : 2 : 1 : 2 : 1) + 3(7 : 4 : 2 : 1 : 2) \\ &\quad + (3 : 0 : 0 : -1 : 2) + (1 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 1) \\ D_3 &= 4(2 : -1 : 1 : -1 : 1) - 4(2 : 2 : 1 : 2 : 1) + 2(7 : 4 : 2 : 1 : 2) - (1 : -2 : 2 : 1 : 2) \\ &\quad - 2(3 : 0 : 0 : -1 : 2) - (1 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 1) + (1 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0) \\ D_4 &= 6(2 : -1 : 1 : -1 : 1) - 4(2 : 2 : 1 : 2 : 1) + 2(7 : 4 : 2 : 1 : 2) \\ &\quad - 2(3 : 0 : 0 : -1 : 2) - 2(1 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 1) \end{aligned}$$

To generate the 2-torsion subgroup, two Galois orbits with 8 planes each and the equivalence classes of D_1, D_2, D_3, D_4 were sufficient. All planes are defined over K and of the form $x_1 = a_1x_2 + a_2x_3 + a_3x_4 + a_4x_5$. We give the minimal polynomial of a_1 and relations for a_2, a_3, a_4 in terms of a_1 .

$$\begin{aligned} &u^8 + 14u^7 + 151u^6 - 396u^5 + 283u^4 - 1730u^3 + 3201u^2 - 1440u + 2284 \\ &a_1 = u \\ a_2 &= 1/301100972656(39380331u^7 + 442881623u^6 + 4027975134u^5 - 37845583334u^4 - 12023416509u^3 \\ &\quad - 80118862717u^2 - 49248922084u + 241405142628) \\ a_3 &= -1/301100972656(52272255u^7 + 716826167u^6 + 7618567014u^5 - 24269489250u^4 + 8461415807u^3 \\ &\quad - 144514109641u^2 + 341498511372u - 30420302668) \\ a_4 &= -1/301100972656(16239354u^7 + 285678727u^6 + 3306671724u^5 + 3184311718u^4 - 7648219606u^3 \\ &\quad - 17837849633u^2 + 78572314624u - 372802926204) \end{aligned}$$

$$23u^8 + 78u^7 + 135u^6 + 146u^5 + 236u^4 + 322u^3 + 239u^2 + 94u + 23$$

$$a_1 = u$$

$$a_2 = 1/6324(10258u^7 + 27083u^6 + 40152u^5 + 34112u^4 + 75578u^3 + 80920u^2 + 41542u + 5979)$$

$$a_3 = -1/4743(4324u^7 + 9535u^6 + 12034u^5 + 6080u^4 + 22416u^3 + 14876u^2 + 3588u - 7468)$$

$$a_4 = -1/9486(3404u^7 + 10601u^6 + 16874u^5 + 17098u^4 + 28680u^3 + 37276u^2 + 24084u - 7931)$$

7.2. $X_0(55)$. Model

$$f_1 = x_1x_3 - x_2^2 + x_2x_4 - x_2x_5 - x_3^2 + 3x_3x_4 - 2x_4^2 - 4x_5^2$$

$$f_2 = x_1x_4 - x_2x_3 + 2x_2x_4 - 2x_2x_5 - 2x_3^2 + 4x_3x_4 + 5x_3x_5 - 2x_4^2 - 4x_4x_5 - 3x_5^2$$

$$f_3 = x_1x_5 - 2x_2x_5 - x_3^2 + 2x_3x_4 + x_3x_5 - x_4^2$$

Let K be the number field defined by

$$f = u^{12} - 5u^{11} + 13u^{10} - 25u^9 + 39u^8 - 50u^7 + 53u^6 - 48u^5 + 37u^4 - 23u^3 + 12u^2 - 4u + 1$$

The 18 quadritangent planes defined below were sufficient to calculate the 2-torsion subgroup of $J(K)_{\text{tors}}$.

$$J_0(55)(K)[2] \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^8$$

Notably, K is not a Galois extension of \mathbb{Q} , as its automorphism group is cyclic of order 6. The subgroup of $J_0(55)(K)[2]$ fixed by the automorphism group is the 2-torsion subgroup over the quadratic extension $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-11})$.

$$J_0(55)(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-11}))[2] \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$$

We give the three Galois orbits used in the calculation of $J_0(55)(K)[2]$ before describing the action of $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-11})/\mathbb{Q})$. All planes are of the form $x_4 = a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + a_3x_3 + a_4x_5$ and 6 planes from each orbit are defined over K . We give the minimal polynomial of a_1 and relations for a_2, a_3, a_4 in terms of a_1 .

$$63607u^{12} + 868505u^{11} + 2747782u^{10} - 2704958u^9 + 622467u^8 + 245506u^7 + 52935u^6 - 18718u^5$$

$$+ 7263u^4 + 4958u^3 + 1212u^2 + 65u + 1$$

$$a_1 = u$$

$$a_2 = -1/116604814277367729853897371860997284375(23716386961281248375413083410535652124941u^{11}$$

$$+ 322441000863133079344828351804700092922946u^{10} + 1006800447077291694490737912187936888924827u^9$$

$$- 1051954185741553449977224514956563717422222u^8 + 342498183596167580885170604516263947246019u^7$$

$$+ 21552206836977920785690319483445399654507u^6 + 30485121612436137224691102171925283899067u^5$$

$$- 5207593884792485252148797018362058349737u^4 + 4756952674783763423221596806109931364927u^3$$

$$+ 1016896424158130618622042253960671076711u^2 + 403093366682588393265209109596980599882u$$

$$+ -10678424277043749924876401160721454368)$$

$$a_3 = -1/116604814277367729853897371860997284375(40709882798252271615671755180242653635489u^{11}$$

$$+ 561954769107893605624695219552975204671784u^{10} + 1841339426517125220287499996408579531349683u^9$$

$$- 1474472875003257177639417950031587884784613u^8 + 121578897624076939561791232414966906066901u^7$$

$$+ 248460582705855998657814270694845599980128u^6 + 42852589059183734863644273672104098935543u^5$$

$$- 7027290777565567354434871044406544298523u^4 + 3208548051200430712501289477244462464733u^3$$

$$+ 4499554506820319627791342778895783365069u^2 + 1151774282830835009372954827072887837003u$$

$$+ 23026966226537449715903277566750139303)$$

$$a_4 = 1/23320962855473545970779474372199456875(68739918451102518962047254744823217879754u^{11}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 932620077111546200919511530409190847671959u^{10} + 2888579126432963149439181977471551862302808u^9 \\
& - 3173103576562428862198101093730255975006553u^8 + 952763992879910830668680212088814158948331u^7 \\
& + 184232668488364426267088779799237874639493u^6 + 37413053808909232030679933383018974146803u^5 \\
& - 22566976960190982309236680802672758999803u^4 + 10516701627534286288292865217890722266918u^3 \\
& + 4598609562394840488297318272662632643084u^2 + 855607141243614589571098805261264899518u \\
& - 25283332426994990717802161322094001062)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& 144057127u^{12} + 27502925u^{11} + 98022670u^{10} + 55316502u^9 + 5082695u^8 + 306018u^7 + 352531u^6 - 75086u^5 \\
& - 19013u^4 + 2234u^3 + 180u^2 - 23u + 1
\end{aligned}$$

$$a_1 = u$$

$$\begin{aligned}
a_2 = \theta_2 & (47567127370481656335821211712562509108785823475718599340u^{11} \\
& + 4323070432008161576376898646208322714675319745984659241u^{10} \\
& + 32603641813335708511197118593314062202961475975653547119u^9 \\
& + 15079654281880675224966830906094965718904700575159253850u^8 \\
& + 626713696085727658459663304605159820221581572798883153u^7 \\
& + 26124383895520648703956935107953430888018561700643341u^6 \\
& + 101497623650378851454658228955834691344870258064944283u^5 \\
& - 31536613428598963794001394673206711350314332628420595u^4 \\
& - 1544385768325498707275776679060165635746011801485355u^3 \\
& + 486888719912119609387888101841651842577902839884951u^2 \\
& - 11168686935604908625963408156935851283136207335393u \\
& + 2350162398453525672300414629158870769619423241951)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } \theta_2 = -1/5319915865418302576715947994898416108819611888843$$

$$\begin{aligned}
a_3 = \theta_3 & (56066411217915833770002228948021751021711032886178865844u^{11} \\
& + 2196409684859593221020442978167230035496057397820055386u^{10} \\
& + 38094019816882513763280782423474600100280487310544216973u^9 \\
& + 15783596246579429073488904845893037286536198547019708974u^8 \\
& - 228081579797602085556690048651449663402689075475596257u^7 \\
& + 248420803515931574949740736332938408873359448374641855u^6 \\
& + 104791055542528130825365214413560001400311074204547169u^5 \\
& - 43992555761481046880738613044998250443532516088075708u^4 \\
& - 63608951887866718724494294762241979088860107679277u^3 \\
& + 719417092575540633538098459014647977809787974632212u^2 \\
& - 5406364894120288116794108774049199551368942651638u \\
& + 7825433308483606644305239944727695181518369986884)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } \theta_3 = 1/5319915865418302576715947994898416108819611888843$$

$$\begin{aligned}
a_4 = \theta_4 & (23676709321084869484525441437108183403041091361936447497u^{11} \\
& - 20292626808214509199368399658785226402393662647693860765u^{10} \\
& + 14191135396498134745004697915476997824103534660785779742u^9 \\
& - 7865378322631514839488089503049699445731491354302575768u^8 \\
& - 6805815658498029699549085697746901745889885113509186650u^7 \\
& - 160236371915362333847073153713086504272011640402569303u^6
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - 71647096993487269594621830836266016601225124646267452u^5 \\
& - 67681536791625696356733832192517684492476917290826354u^4 \\
& + 13831829866788193841205126104664244395948218248731174u^3 \\
& + 935966892562957672450862462100602393651386851056728u^2 \\
& - 291710536101541940039070198967174725583469259362154u \\
& + 18873055854733158679886230860731243116156729292642) \\
& \text{where } \theta_4 = 1/5319915865418302576715947994898416108819611888843
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{6737u^6 - 3838u^5 - 3249u^4 + 1604u^3 + 1527u^2 - 902u + 121}{a_1 = u} \\
& a_2 = 1/98850400(19127939669u^5 - 6837632467u^4 - 10846801480u^3 + 2393348508u^2 + 4886923427u - 1361707457) \\
& a_3 = u + 1 \\
& a_4 = 1/49425200(43352851006u^5 - 10993587083u^4 - 24615807720u^3 + 2580823642u^2 + 10609943598u - 2597943843)
\end{aligned}$$

Let $P_1, \dots, P_6, Q_1, \dots, Q_6$ and R_1, \dots, R_6 be the equations of planes from the first, second and third orbits defined over K . These can be viewed as non-zero elements of the function field of $X_0(55)$, viewed as a curve over K .

Remark 12. The planes R_1, \dots, R_6 form two orbits under the action of the automorphism group of K , $\{R_1, R_4, R_5\}$ and $\{R_2, R_3, R_6\}$. The P_i 's form a single orbit, as do the Q_i 's.

Define

$$\begin{aligned}
F_1 &= P_1 \times \dots \times P_6 \\
F_2 &= Q_1 \times \dots \times Q_6 \\
F_3 &= R_1 \times R_4 \times R_5 \\
F_4 &= R_2 \times R_3 \times R_6
\end{aligned}$$

The coefficients of these functions are defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-11})$, and we denote by \overline{F}_i the conjugate of F_i by the non-trivial element of $G = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-11})/\mathbb{Q})$. Define

$$\begin{aligned}
D_i &= \frac{1}{2} \text{div} \left(\frac{F_{i+1}}{F_1} \right) \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3 \\
\overline{D}_j &= \frac{1}{2} \text{div} \left(\frac{\overline{F}_j}{F_1} \right) \text{ for } j = 1, 2, 3, 4.
\end{aligned}$$

The Galois action on these divisors is clear. The cusps of $X_0(55)$ are

$$\begin{aligned}
P_0 &= (-2 : 2 : 7 : 6 : 1) \\
P_1 &= (3 : 2 : 2 : 1 : 1) \\
P_2 &= (1 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0) \\
P_3 &= (0 : 0 : 1 : 1 : 0)
\end{aligned}$$

Denote by $C_0(55)$ the cuspidal subgroup of $J_0(55)$. The 2-torsion $C_0(55)[2]$ and equivalence classes of the above divisors D_i, \overline{D}_j generate the 2-torsion subgroup over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-11})$.

$$J_0(55)(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-11}))[2] \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$$

Taking Galois invariants of the above, we obtain the rational 2-torsion subgroup.

$$J_0(55)(\mathbb{Q})[2] = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})[D_1] + (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})[D_2]$$

where D_1, D_2 are divisors supported on the cusps.

$$D_1 = -5P_2 + 5P_3$$

$$D_2 = -10P_1 + 10P_2$$

Remark 13. This is the only curve for which we were unable to calculate the whole 2-torsion subgroup $J_0(55)(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})[2] \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{10}$. It is probable that the two torsion is defined over the degree 24 number field defined by

$$\begin{aligned} f(u) = & 888358082666609u^{24} - 686137237735072u^{23} + 965478109129036u^{22} - \\ & 108753611253152u^{21} + 1046333329183210u^{20} - 462274837855648u^{19} + 986194062109068u^{18} - \\ & 264174312478816u^{17} + 521023423262647u^{16} - 224217460467776u^{15} + 265604493047384u^{14} - \\ & 67790597560640u^{13} + 44563612667308u^{12} + 4777480913088u^{11} + 1939479463608u^{10} + \\ & 3337865504320u^9 + 104055137263u^8 + 362477031136u^7 + 105446733532u^6 + 3486289120u^5 + \\ & 14677281802u^4 + 40615136u^3 + 680431932u^2 - 24640480u + 1394761 \end{aligned}$$

All the quadritangents found are defined over this number field. The field has few prime ideals of small norm, and this makes our computations very inefficient.

7.3. $X_0(63)$. Model :

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= x_1x_3 - x_2^2 + x_2x_5 - x_3x_4 - x_5^2 \\ f_2 &= x_1x_4 - x_2x_3 - x_3x_5 \\ f_3 &= x_1x_5 - x_2x_4 - x_3^2 \end{aligned}$$

Let K be the number field define by f

$$f = u^8 - 9u^7 + 123u^6 + 54u^5 + 861u^4 - 5130u^3 + 11250u^2 - 9882u + 3357$$

The 16 quadritangents stated below are define over K . Define

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &= 15(2 : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1) - 6(0 : 0 : 0 : 1 : 0) + 3(-1 : 1 : 1 : -2 : 1) \\ &\quad - 14(-\sqrt{-3} + 1 : 2 : -\sqrt{-3} - 1 : -2\sqrt{-3} + 2 : 2) + 2(\sqrt{-3} + 1 : 2 : \sqrt{-3} - 1 : 2\sqrt{-3} + 2 : 2) \\ D_2 &= 18(2 : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1) - 6(0 : 0 : 0 : 1 : 0) - 14(-\sqrt{-3} + 1 : 2 : -\sqrt{-3} - 1 : -2\sqrt{-3} + 2 : 2) \\ &\quad + 2(\sqrt{-3} + 1 : 2 : \sqrt{-3} - 1 : 2\sqrt{-3} + 2 : 2) \\ D_3 &= 8(0 : 0 : 0 : 1 : 0) - 8(2 : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1) \end{aligned}$$

These divisors are supported on cusps of $X_0(63)$ and their equivalence classes define a Galois invariant subgroup of $J_0(63)(\mathbb{Q})[2]$. The 16 quadritangents stated below and the equivalence classes of D_1, D_2, D_3 generate the entire 2-torsion subgroup.

$$J_0(63)(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})[2] = J_0(63)(K)[2] \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{10}$$

We find $G = \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \cong D_8$, where D_8 is the Dihedral group of order 8, and taking Galois invariants of the above, we obtain the rational 2-torsion subgroup

$$J_0(63)(\mathbb{Q})[2] = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})[D_1] + (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})[D_2] + (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})[D_3]$$

To generate the 2-torsion subgroup we used the following two Galois orbits of quadritangents, each consisting of 8 planes. All planes are defined over K and of the form $x_1 = a_1x_2 + a_2x_3 + a_3x_4 + a_4x_5$. We give the minimal polynomial of a_1 and relations for a_2, a_3, a_4 in terms of a_1 .

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{u^8 - 9u^7 + 123u^6 + 54u^5 + 861u^4 - 5130u^3 + 11250u^2 - 9882u + 3357}{a_1 = u} \\ a_2 &= 1/2786270549625(2044809443u^7 - 15025064559u^6 + 224883862375u^5 + 493919927172u^4 \\ &\quad + 2380053868785u^3 - 7716538135980u^2 + 9069689105670u - 1191262726656) \\ a_3 &= 1/2786270549625(176568248u^7 - 1726825864u^6 + 22918922030u^5 - 3947316978u^4 + 70303651005u^3 \\ &\quad - 978826371060u^2 + 1178709663390u - 389785345146) \\ a_4 &= -1/2786270549625(449735777u^7 - 5129226196u^6 + 62219275440u^5 - 93445474752u^4 + 83692695300u^3 \end{aligned}$$

$$-4192809849660u^2 + 8753844362040u - 4643674951824)$$

$$u^8 + 18u^7 + 18u^6 - 702u^5 - 147u^4 + 3942u^3 + 5643u^2 + 3321u + 3357$$

$$a_1 = u$$

$$a_2 = 1/265433175(71715u^7 + 1116438u^6 - 1641154u^5 - 50781600u^4 + 105064083u^3 + 133565706u^2 - 123317013u - 88757019)$$

$$a_3 = -1/265433175(21776u^7 + 427310u^6 + 935126u^5 - 12576264u^4 + 6934875u^3 + 4148430u^2 + 1297194u - 356004)$$

$$a_4 = -1/265433175(49939u^7 + 689128u^6 - 2576280u^5 - 38205336u^4 + 98129208u^3 + 129417276u^2 + 140818968u + 177032160)$$

7.4. $X_0(72)$. Model :

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= x_1x_3 - x_2^2 + x_4^2 \\ f_2 &= x_1x_4 - x_3^2 \\ f_3 &= x_1x_5 - x_3x_4 - 2x_5^2 \end{aligned}$$

Let K be the number field define by f ,

$$f = u^8 - 12u^7 + 60u^6 - 168u^5 + 348u^4 - 720u^3 + 1008u^2 - 288u + 144$$

The 40 quadritangents stated below are define over K . These planes were sufficient to generate the entire 2-torsion subgroup.

$$J_0(72)(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})[2] = J_0(72)(K)[2] \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{10}$$

We find $G = \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \cong D_8$, where D_8 is the Dihedral group of order 8. Taking Galois invariants, we obtain the rational 2-torsion subgroup

$$J_0(72)(\mathbb{Q})[2] = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})[D_1] + (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})[D_2] + (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})[D_3] + (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})[D_4]$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &= 2(4:3:2:1:1) + 4(4:-3:2:1:1) + (1:0:-1:1:1) \\ &\quad - 3(2:0:0:0:1) - 2(0:1:0:1:0) - 3(-2:0:-1+\sqrt{-3}:1+\sqrt{-3}:1) \\ &\quad + (-2:0:-1-\sqrt{-3}:1-\sqrt{-3}:1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_2 &= 3(4:3:2:1:1) - 3(4:-3:2:1:1) - 2(-2:0:-1+\sqrt{-3}:1+\sqrt{-3}:1) \\ &\quad + 2(-2:0:-1-\sqrt{-3}:1-\sqrt{-3}:1) \end{aligned}$$

$$D_3 = 2(-2:0:-1+\sqrt{-3}:1+\sqrt{-3}:1) + 2(-2:0:-1-\sqrt{-3}:1-\sqrt{-3}:1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_4 &= 4(4:3:2:1:1) - 2(4:-3:2:1:1) - 2(1:0:-1:1:1) \\ &\quad - (2:0:0:0:1) + (1:0:0:0:0) \end{aligned}$$

To generate the 2-torsion subgroup, five Galois orbits of quadritangents, consisting of 8 planes each were sufficient. All planes are defined over K and of the form $x_1 = a_1x_2 + a_2x_3 + a_3x_4 + a_4x_5$. We give the minimal polynomial of a_1 and relations for a_2, a_3, a_4 in terms of a_1 .

$$u^8 - 12u^7 + 60u^6 - 168u^5 + 348u^4 - 720u^3 + 1008u^2 - 288u + 144$$

$$a_1 = u$$

$$a_2 = -1/96936(67u^7 - 326u^6 - 476u^5 + 3192u^4 + 3408u^3 + 26712u^2 - 76104u - 47184)$$

$$a_3 = 1/48468(257u^7 - 2637u^6 + 10472u^5 - 21756u^4 + 42732u^3 - 102984u^2 + 74844u - 42096)$$

$$a_4 = 1/6924(83u^7 - 800u^6 + 2924u^5 - 5760u^4 + 12696u^3 - 25608u^2 + 10512u + 8928)$$

$u^8 - 4u^7 + 8u^6 + 8u^5 - 8u^4 + 16u^3 + 32u^2 - 32u + 16$
$a_1 = u$
$a_2 = -1/32(u^7 - 4u^6 + 6u^5 + 16u^4 - 20u^3 + 40u - 32)$
$a_3 = -1/32(u^7 - 3u^6 + 2u^5 + 18u^4 + 4u^3 - 20u^2 + 8u - 8)$
$a_4 = -1/32(u^7 - 4u^6 + 10u^5 + 12u^3 + 32u^2 - 8u - 32)$
$u^8 + 4u^7 + 20u^6 + 40u^5 + 76u^4 + 80u^3 + 80u^2 + 32u + 16$
$a_1 = u$
$a_2 = 1/184(15u^7 + 66u^6 + 308u^5 + 668u^4 + 1076u^3 + 1152u^2 + 704u + 320)$
$a_3 = 1/46(3u^7 + 4u^6 + 34u^5 - 9u^4 + 22u^3 - 64u^2 - 34u - 28)$
$a_4 = -1/46(5u^7 + 22u^6 + 95u^5 + 192u^4 + 236u^3 + 200u^2 + 112u - 16)$
$u^8 + 12u^6 - 48u^5 + 156u^4 - 288u^3 + 432u^2 - 288u + 144$
$a_1 = u$
$a_2 = -1/4884(35u^7 + 2u^6 + 455u^5 - 1654u^4 + 5412u^3 - 10608u^2 + 15072u - 10056)$
$a_3 = -1/2442(24u^7 + 13u^6 + 312u^5 - 983u^4 + 3432u^3 - 5460u^2 + 7614u - 1872)$
$a_4 = -1/2442(13u^7 + 24u^6 + 169u^5 - 312u^4 + 1452u^3 - 312u^2 + 156u - 3456)$
$u^8 - 12u^7 + 72u^6 - 24u^5 + 24u^4 - 144u^3 + 288u^2 - 288u + 144$
$a_1 = u$
$a_2 = 1/336(2u^7 - 25u^6 + 150u^5 - 54u^4 - 288u^3 - 684u^2 + 744u - 456)$
$a_3 = -1/672(9u^7 - 103u^6 + 594u^5 + 78u^4 + 468u^3 - 1044u^2 + 1992u - 984)$
$a_4 = -1/672(5u^7 - 54u^6 + 294u^5 + 252u^4 + 252u^3 + 72u^2 + 264u - 1296)$

7.5. $X_0(75)$. Model :

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= x_1x_3 - x_2^2 + x_4^2 - 4x_5^2 \\ f_2 &= x_1x_4 - x_2x_3 + x_2x_5 + x_4x_5 - 3x_5^2 \\ f_3 &= x_1x_5 - x_2x_4 - x_3x_5 \end{aligned}$$

Let K be the number field define by f

$$f = u^{12} + 6u^{11} - 91u^{10} - 90u^9 + 3370u^8 - 14834u^7 + 38281u^6 - 79946u^5 + 123730u^4 - 130890u^3 + 127789u^2 - 57586u + 58381$$

The 48 quadritangents stated below are define over K . These planes were sufficient to generate the entire 2-torsion subgroup.

$$J_0(75)(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})[2] = J_0(75)(K)[2] \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{10}$$

We find $G = \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \cong D_{12}$, where D_{12} is the dihedral group of order 12. Taking Galois invariants, we obtain the rational 2-torsion subgroup

$$J_0(75)(\mathbb{Q})[2] = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})[D_1] + (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})[D_2] + (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})[D_3]$$

$$D_1 = -5(-2 : 1 : -3 : 1 : 1) + 5(3 : 1 : 2 : 1 : 1)$$

$$D_2 = 51(-2 : 1 : -3 : 1 : 1) - 5(3 : 1 : 2 : 1 : 1) - 2(1 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0)$$

$$- 44(-2a^3 - 4a^2 - 11a - 18 : 2a^3 - a^2 + 6a - 1 : a^3 + 2a^2 + 3a + 4 : 5a : 5)$$

$$- (3a^3 + a^2 + 9a + 2 : 5a : -a^3 - 2a^2 - 3a - 9 : -a^3 + 3a^2 - 3a + 6 : 5)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + (-a^3 - 2a^2 - 3a - 4 : 5a : 2a^3 + 4a^2 + 11a + 10 : 2a^3 - a^2 + 6a - 2 : 5) \\
D_3 = & 29(-2 : 1 : -3 : 1 : 1) - (3 : 1 : 2 : 1 : 1) \\
& - 24(-2a^3 - 4a^2 - 11a - 18 : 2a^3 - a^2 + 6a - 1 : a^3 + 2a^2 + 3a + 4 : 5a : 5) \\
& - 5(3a^3 + a^2 + 9a + 2 : 5a : -a^3 - 2a^2 - 3a - 9 : -a^3 + 3a^2 - 3a + 6 : 5) \\
& + (-a^3 - 2a^2 - 3a - 4 : -a^3 - 2a^2 - 8a - 9 : a^3 + 2a^2 - 2a + 9 : -a^3 + 3a^2 - 3a + 6 : 5)
\end{aligned}$$

where a is a root of $x^4 + x^3 + 6x^2 + 6x + 11$.

To generate the 2-torsion subgroup, four Galois orbits of quadritangents, consisting of 12 planes each were sufficient. All planes are defined over K and of the form $x_1 = a_1x_2 + a_2x_3 + a_3x_4 + a_4x_5$. We give the minimal polynomial of a_1 and relations for a_2, a_3, a_4 in terms of a_1 .

$$\begin{aligned}
& u^{12} + 6u^{11} - 91u^{10} - 90u^9 + 3370u^8 - 14834u^7 + 38281u^6 - 79946u^5 + 123730u^4 - 130890u^3 \\
& + 127789u^2 - 57586u + 58381 \\
a_1 = & u
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
a_2 = & -1/141639721686689040(1622551063058u^{11} + 12639757545665u^{10} - 124528372282287u^9 \\
& - 365357454364857u^8 + 4773709008942128u^7 - 15606572136946403u^6 + 35791517616953403u^5 \\
& - 70223542383995731u^4 + 91562316359380564u^3 - 78677279262041201u^2 \\
& + 77253656939824629u - 10294852788765071) \\
a_3 = & -1/70819860843344520(1302412942954u^{11} + 9339108581185u^{10} - 106104169871511u^9 \\
& - 230508625151001u^8 + 3990547234584040u^7 - 15061292130592219u^6 + 36712870645335075u^5 \\
& - 75233014631294963u^4 + 105419530746350132u^3 - 83119161971186305u^2 \\
& + 103496216790983997u + 19214821033550825) \\
a_4 = & 1/694312361209260(28676117706u^{11} + 215479079675u^{10} - 2261103354449u^9 \\
& - 5841824308979u^8 + 85924080818884u^7 - 300665335956261u^6 + 710827335904789u^5 \\
& - 1426044676620497u^4 + 1931194579467948u^3 - 1586239619933603u^2 \\
& + 1077745224386903u - 1301174053351993)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& u^{12} + u^{11} + 9u^{10} + 40u^9 + 125u^8 + 431u^7 + 1456u^6 + 639u^5 + 3895u^4 + 1200u^3 + 2859u^2 + 9u + 711 \\
a_1 = & u
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
a_2 = & 1/202093678756440(31170868775u^{11} + 2980773224u^{10} + 216795559605u^9 + 957429111941u^8 \\
& + 2624059395016u^7 + 8123871102031u^6 + 28621146996425u^5 - 27997400094900u^4 + 61774433256743u^3 \\
& - 101497172571957u^2 - 30299240860572u - 65505228496371) \\
a_3 = & 1/67364559585480(40087728559u^{11} + 58541592208u^{10} + 327286175019u^9 + 1687350552805u^8 \\
& + 5438483245694u^7 + 17603054304743u^6 + 59289585628945u^5 + 32117274981912u^4 + 99073013306845u^3 \\
& + 109752651751827u^2 + 39338993277258u + 23930256987153) \\
a_4 = & -1/202093678756440(459044962673u^{11} + 126506149952u^{10} + 3740752976877u^9 + 15714131893187u^8 \\
& + 44107882097254u^7 + 157655613428317u^6 + 533596098579095u^5 - 148827339389304u^4 \\
& + 1646864492918651u^3 - 259720034066643u^2 + 723559950639474u - 262869802509213)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& u^{12} - 2u^{11} + 21u^{10} - 50u^9 + 130u^8 - 1002u^7 + 2169u^6 - 7362u^5 + 16650u^4 - 21330u^3 + 50301u^2 \\
& - 22842u + 53541 \\
a_1 = & u
\end{aligned}$$

$$a_2 = -1/989352072720(31780654u^{11} + 35184793u^{10} + 487829205u^9 + 92792059u^8 - 174066092u^7 - 24459595611u^6$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -20346101625u^5 - 64385877375u^4 + 113713491096u^3 + 646293110247u^2 + 738498973977u + 1579202221077) \\
a_3 = & 1/14990182920(1112348u^{11} - 2828753u^{10} + 18039343u^9 - 67448645u^8 + 66156922u^7 - 1115875817u^6 \\
& + 2484057557u^5 - 4549266183u^4 + 18404993250u^3 - 11014499547u^2 + 28019583279u - 27505059759) \\
a_4 = & -1/247338018180(2463415u^{11} - 64266821u^{10} + 53734557u^9 - 1159298672u^8 + 1178622259u^7 - 6182153175u^6 \\
& + 51160000503u^5 - 42869953332u^4 + 246825643077u^3 - 504885797649u^2 + 340411655295u - 748758560202)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& u^{12} - 2u^{11} + 21u^{10} + 30u^9 + 250u^8 + 238u^7 + 1369u^6 - 602u^5 + 5770u^4 - 8250u^3 + 12261u^2 \\
& - 20042u + 10981
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
a_1 = & u \\
a_2 = & -1/157202076240(9745328u^{11} - 17139225u^{10} + 239277331u^9 + 279059519u^8 + 3183501206u^7 + 4859289751u^6 \\
& + 22254727097u^5 + 6337541909u^4 + 96208059166u^3 - 57723043371u^2 + 236633195771u - 316718987547) \\
a_3 = & 1/39300519060(9668974u^{11} - 686748u^{10} + 164436374u^9 + 675526051u^8 + 3075298126u^7 + 6622159106u^6 \\
& + 18558678826u^5 + 21591154141u^4 + 58285309094u^3 + 31275315354u^2 + 31646937670u - 55390969533) \\
a_4 = & -1/78601038120(21427516u^{11} - 49380907u^{10} + 464907767u^9 + 612594113u^8 + 4957582866u^7 + 5885083309u^6 \\
& + 32453017757u^5 + 3744433923u^4 + 133521145042u^3 - 127267891241u^2 + 208754169895u - 157211951845)
\end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] Paul Breiding and Sascha Timme. Homotopycontinuation. jl: A package for homotopy continuation in julia. In *International Congress on Mathematical Software*, pages 458–465. Springer, 2018.
- [2] Roland Bulirsch, Josef Stoer, and J Stoer. *Introduction to numerical analysis*, volume 3. Springer, 2002.
- [3] Lucia Caporaso. On modular properties of odd theta-characteristics. *arXiv preprint math/0011154*, 2000.
- [4] Henri Cohen. *A course in computational algebraic number theory*, volume 138. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [5] Tim Dokchitser and Christopher Doris. 3-torsion and conductor of genus 2 curves. *Mathematics of Computation*, 88(318):1913–1927, 2019.
- [6] Igor V Dolgachev. *Classical algebraic geometry: a modern view*. Cambridge University Press, 2012.
- [7] Vladimir Gershonovich Drinfeld. Two theorems on modular curves. *Funktsional’nyi Analiz i ego Prilozheniya*, 7(2):83–84, 1973.
- [8] Global Arithmetic Fields, John Cannon, Wieb Bosma, Claus Fieker, and Allan Steel. Handbook of magma functions. 2011.
- [9] Benji Fisher. A note on hensel’s lemma in several variables. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 125(11):3185–3189, 1997.
- [10] Nuno Freitas, Bao V Le Hung, and Samir Siksek. Elliptic curves over real quadratic fields are modular. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 201(1):159–206, 2015.
- [11] Nicholas M Katz. Galois properties of torsion points on abelian varieties. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 62(3):481–502, 1980.
- [12] Nicolas Mascot. Hensel-lifting torsion points on jacobians and galois representations. *Mathematics of Computation*, 89(323):1417–1455, 2020.
- [13] Ekin Ozman and Samir Siksek. Quadratic points on modular curves. *Mathematics of Computation*, 88(319):2461–2484, 2019.
- [14] Nigel P Smart. *The algorithmic resolution of Diophantine equations: a computational cookbook*, volume 41. Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [15] JM Verschelde. Homotopy continuation methods for solving polynomial systems. 1998.

- [16] Hwajong Yoo. The rational cuspidal divisor class group of $x_0(n)$. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1908.06411*, 2019.

MATHEMATICS INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITY OF WARWICK, CV4 7AL, UNITED KINGDOM

Email address: `e.lupoian@warwick.ac.uk`