

# UNIRATIONALITY OF VARIETIES DESCRIBED BY FAMILIES OF PROJECTIVE HYPERSURFACES

CIRO CILIBERTO AND DUCCIO SACCHI

ABSTRACT. Let  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow W$  be a flat family of generically irreducible hypersurfaces of degree  $d \geq 2$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  with singular locus of dimension  $t$ , with  $W$  unirational of dimension  $r$ . We prove that if  $n$  is large enough with respect to  $d, r$  and  $t$ , then  $\mathcal{X}$  is unirational. This extends results in [8, 5].

## INTRODUCTION

A classical theorem by U. Morin says that if  $X$  is a general hypersurface of degree  $d$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  and  $n$  is large enough with respect to  $d$ , then  $X$  is unirational (see [7] and also [1]). This result has been extended by A. Predonzan in [8] to any hypersurface  $X$  of degree  $d$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , even singular in dimension  $t$ :  $X$  turns out to be unirational provided  $n$  is large enough with respect to  $d$  and  $t$  (see Theorem 4.4 for a precise statement). This theorem has been rediscovered by J. Harris, B. Mazur and R. Pandharipande in [5], although they give a lower bound for  $n$  that is worse than Predonzan's one.

The purpose of this paper is to prove an extension of Predonzan's result, namely Theorem 4.5, that asserts that if  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow W$  is a flat family of hypersurfaces of degree  $d \geq 2$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , whose general member is irreducible and singular in dimension  $t$ , and  $W$  is irreducible, unirational of dimension  $r$ , if  $n$  is large enough with respect to  $d, r, t$ , then  $\mathcal{X}$  is unirational. The case  $d = 2$  is already contained in [3]. This theorem, for instance, implies, under suitable numerical conditions, the unirationality of hypersurfaces in Segre products of projective spaces.

As for the proof, Predonzan shows in [8] that if  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  is an irreducible hypersurface of degree  $d \geq 2$ , defined over a field  $\mathbb{K}$  of characteristic zero, containing a  $k$ -plane  $\Lambda$  along which  $X$  is smooth, and if  $k$  is large enough with respect to the degree  $d$ , then  $X$  is unirational over the extension of  $\mathbb{K}$  with the Plücker coordinates of  $\Lambda$  (see Theorem 4.3 for a precise statement). The key step in our proof is to show that if  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow W$  is a flat family of generically irreducible hypersurfaces of degree  $d \geq 2$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , with  $W$  irreducible of dimension  $r$ , and  $n$  is large enough with respect to  $d, r, k$ , then there is a rationally determined  $k$ -plane over the generic hypersurface of the family (see Remark 3.6 for the meaning of being *rationally determined*). This is the so called Section Lemma (see Lemma 3.5 below). Theorem 4.5 follows by this result and the aforementioned Predonzan's theorem, in view of a unirationality criterion by L. Roth (see Proposition 4.2). The proof of the Section Lemma is inspired to a beautiful and elegant idea of F. Conforto's in [3], and it uses a birational description of the Fano scheme of  $k$ -planes in a projective hypersurface, contained in §2. This in turn requires some preliminaries about Grassmannians contained in §1, that essentially appear in a paper by J. G. Semple [12], and which we expose here for the reader's convenience.

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 14E08; Secondary 14M15, 14M20.

*Key words and phrases*. Grassmannians, hypersurfaces, unirationality.

This paper extends some of the results by the second author in his Ph. D. Thesis [11]. In this paper we work over an algebraically closed field  $\mathbb{K}$  of characteristic zero.

**Acknowledgements:** Ciro Ciliberto is a member of GNSAGA of INdAM and he acknowledges support from the MIUR Excellence Department Project awarded to the Department of Mathematics, University of Rome Tor Vergata, CUP E83C18000100006.

## 1. SOME PRELIMINARIES ON GRASSMANNIANS

In this section we expose some preliminaries on Grassmann varieties, following [12].

1.1. Let  $\mathbb{G}(k, n)$  be the Grassmann variety of  $k$ -planes in  $\mathbb{P}^n = \mathbb{P}(V)$ , where  $V$  is a  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space of dimension  $n + 1$ . One has  $\mathbb{G}(k, n) \cong \mathbb{G}(n - k - 1, n)$ , hence, without loss of generality, we may and will assume  $2k < n$ .

The variety  $\mathbb{G}(k, n)$  is naturally embedded in  $\mathbb{P}^{N(k, n)}$ , with  $N(k, n) = \binom{n+1}{k+1} - 1$ , via the *Plücker embedding*. Explicitly, in coordinates, we have the following. Fix a basis  $B = \{e_1, \dots, e_{n+1}\}$  of  $V$ . Then we can associate to any  $k$ -plane  $\Lambda$  of  $\mathbb{P}^n = \mathbb{P}(V)$  a  $(k + 1) \times (n + 1)$  matrix

$$M_\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} v_{1,1} & \cdots & v_{1,n+1} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ v_{k+1,1} & \cdots & v_{k+1,n+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

whose rows are the coordinate vectors with respect to the basis  $B$  of  $k + 1$  vectors corresponding to independent points of  $\Lambda$ . Two matrices  $M$  and  $M'$  represent the same  $k$ -plane if and only if there exists  $A \in \text{GL}(k + 1, \mathbb{K})$  such that  $M = AM'$ .

The homogeneous coordinates of the point in  $\mathbb{P}^{N(k, n)}$  corresponding to  $\Lambda$  are given by the minors of order  $k + 1$  of  $M_\Lambda$ . They depend only on  $\Lambda$  and not on the matrix  $M_\Lambda$ . These are the *Plücker coordinates* of  $\Lambda$ , and we denote them by  $z_I$  where  $I = (i_1, \dots, i_{k+1})$  is a multi-index with  $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{k+1} \leq n + 1$  denoting the order of the columns of  $M_\Lambda$  which determine the corresponding minor. The Plücker coordinates are lexicographically ordered.

If we consider the subset  $U$  of points of  $\mathbb{P}^{N(k, n)}$  where the first coordinate  $z_{1, \dots, k+1}$  is different from zero, each point  $\Lambda \in U \cap \mathbb{G}(k, n)$  represents a  $k$ -plane such that there is an associated matrix  $M_\Lambda$  to  $\Lambda$  that can be uniquely written in the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & v_{1,k+2} & \cdots & v_{1,n+1} \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & v_{2,k+2} & \cdots & v_{2,n+1} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & v_{k+1,k+2} & \cdots & v_{k+1,n+1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

From this description it follows that  $U \cap \mathbb{G}(k, n)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}^{(k+1)(n-k)}$ , where the coordinates are the (lexicographically ordered)  $v_{i,j}$ 's, with  $1 \leq i \leq k + 1$  and  $k + 2 \leq j \leq n + 1$ . We will soon give a geometric interpretation of this isomorphism (see Proposition 1.8 below).

**Remark 1.1.** We can describe geometrically the open subset  $U \cap \mathbb{G}(k, n)$ : it is the set of  $k$ -planes of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  that do not intersect the  $(n - k - 1)$ -plane spanned by the points corresponding to  $e_{k+2}, \dots, e_{n+1}$ . Similarly, for any choice of a totally decomposable element of  $\wedge^{n-k} V$  (i.e., a vector which can be expressed as  $v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_{n-k}$ ) we can construct a birational map between  $\mathbb{G}(k, n)$  and  $\mathbb{P}^{(k+1)(n-k)}$ .

1.2. Now we set  $M(k, n) = (k + 1)(n - k)$  and consider  $\mathbb{P}^{M(k, n)}$  with homogeneous coordinates given by  $y$  and  $x_{i, j}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k + 1$  and  $j = k + 2, \dots, n + 1$ : in this setting the affine space with coordinates  $x_{i, j}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k + 1$  and  $j = k + 2, \dots, n + 1$  is the complement of the hyperplane  $H$  with equation  $y = 0$ . We define the rational map

$$(1) \quad \psi_{k, n} : \mathbb{P}^{M(k, n)} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N(k, n)}$$

sending the point with coordinates  $[y, x_{1, k+2}, \dots, x_{k+1, n+1}]$  to the point whose coordinates are the minors of order  $k + 1$  of the matrix

$$(2) \quad \begin{bmatrix} y & 0 & \dots & 0 & x_{1, k+2} & \dots & x_{1, n+1} \\ 0 & y & \dots & 0 & x_{2, k+2} & \dots & x_{2, n+1} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & y & x_{k+1, k+2} & \dots & x_{k+1, n+1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

If we consider the open subset  $U' = \{y \neq 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{M(k, n)}$ ,  $\psi_{k, n}|_{U'}$  is the inverse isomorphism of the one described above between  $U \cap \mathbb{G}(k, n)$  and  $\mathbb{A}^{M(k, n)}$ . So the image of  $\psi_{k, n}$  is  $\mathbb{G}(k, n)$ .

We will describe the linear system  $\mathfrak{d}_{k, n}$  of hypersurfaces associated to the map  $\psi_{k, n}$ . It corresponds to the vector space  $W \subset H^0(\mathbb{P}^M, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^M}(k + 1))$  spanned by  $y^{k+1}$  and by the forms

$$(3) \quad y^{k+1-r} D_{i_1, \dots, i_r; j_1, \dots, j_r}^r$$

for  $r = 1, \dots, k + 1$ , with  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq k + 1$  and  $k + 2 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_r \leq n + 1$ , where  $D_{i_1, \dots, i_r; j_1, \dots, j_r}^r$  denotes the minor of order  $r$  of the matrix

$$(4) \quad \begin{bmatrix} x_{1, k+2} & \dots & x_{1, n+1} \\ x_{2, k+2} & \dots & x_{2, n+1} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{k+1, k+2} & \dots & x_{k+1, n+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

determined by the rows of place  $i_1, \dots, i_r$  and by the columns of place  $j_1, \dots, j_r$ .

For a fixed  $r = 1, \dots, k + 1$ , we define  $m_r$  as the number of the minors of type  $D^r$ . Thus,  $m_r = \binom{k+1}{r} \binom{n-k}{r}$ .

Note that the subvariety of  $H$  defined by the  $2 \times 2$  minors of the matrix (4) is a Segre variety  $\text{Seg}(k, n - k - 1) \cong \mathbb{P}^k \times \mathbb{P}^{n-k-1}$  (see [4, p. 98]).

We want to geometrically characterize the linear system  $\mathfrak{d}_{k, n}$ . Before doing that, we need the following:

**Lemma 1.2.** *Let  $r \geq 1$ . There is no hypersurface of degree  $r + 2$  in  $\mathbb{P}^r$  with multiplicity at least  $r + 1$  at  $r + 1$  independent assigned points of  $\mathbb{P}^r$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the linear system of  $\mathbb{P}^r$  of hypersurfaces of degree  $r$  with multiplicity at least  $r - 1$  at the  $r + 1$  independent assigned points. This is well known to be a homaloidal linear system, determining a birational map  $\omega : \mathbb{P}^r \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^r$ , such that the counterimages of the lines of the target  $\mathbb{P}^r$  are the rational normal curves in the domain  $\mathbb{P}^r$  passing through the  $r + 1$  independent assigned points. The intersection of a hypersurface of degree  $r + 2$  in  $\mathbb{P}^r$  with multiplicity at least  $r + 1$  at the  $r + 1$  independent assigned points with these rational normal curve off the  $r + 1$  independent assigned points is  $-1$ . So such a hypersurface is empty and the assertion follows.  $\square$

Next we can give the desired geometric description of the linear system  $\mathfrak{d}_{k, n}$ :

**Proposition 1.3.** *The linear system  $\mathfrak{d}_{k,n}$  consists of the hypersurfaces of degree  $k + 1$  in  $\mathbb{P}^{M(k,n)}$  passing with multiplicity at least  $k$  through the Segre variety  $\text{Seg}(k, n - k - 1)$  contained in the hyperplane  $H$  with equation  $y = 0$  and defined by the  $2 \times 2$  minors of the matrix (4).*

*Proof.* The linear system  $\mathfrak{d}_{k,n}$  has a base locus scheme  $B_1$ . By looking at the basis of  $W$  in (3),  $B_1$  is defined by the equations

$$y = 0, \quad D_{1, \dots, k+1; j_1, \dots, j_{k+1}}^{k+1}, \quad \text{for all } k+2 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_r \leq n+1.$$

Then  $B_1$  is the  $(k - 1)$ -th secant variety of  $\text{Seg}(k, n - k - 1)$  defined by the  $2 \times 2$  minors of the matrix (4) inside  $H$  (see [4, p. 99]). Moreover  $\mathfrak{d}_{k,n}$  is the whole linear system of hypersurfaces of degree  $k + 1$  of  $\mathbb{P}^{M(k,n)}$  containing  $B_1$ .

For each  $r = 2, \dots, k$ , we can also consider the subscheme  $B_r$  of  $B_1$  consisting of those points of  $B_1$  where all hypersurfaces of  $\mathfrak{d}_{k,n}$  have multiplicity at least  $r$ . Looking again at the basis of  $W$  in (3), it is immediate that  $B_r$  is the  $(k - r)$ -secant variety of  $\text{Seg}(k, n - k - 1)$ , for  $r = 2, \dots, k$  (see again [4, p. 99]). Note that  $B_1$  itself has points of multiplicity at least  $r$  along  $B_r$ , for all  $r = 2, \dots, k$ . In particular, each hypersurface in the linear system  $\mathfrak{d}_{k,n}$  passes with multiplicity  $k$  through the Segre variety  $\text{Seg}(k, n - k - 1)$  in  $H$ , which is  $B_k$ .

Conversely, let  $F$  be a hypersurface of degree  $k + 1$  in  $\mathbb{P}^{M(k,n)}$  passing with multiplicity at least  $k$  through the Segre variety  $\text{Seg}(k, n - k - 1)$  contained in the hyperplane  $H$  and defined by the  $2 \times 2$  minors of the matrix (4). Then we claim that  $F$  contains the  $(k - 1)$ -th secant variety of  $\text{Seg}(k, n - k - 1)$ , hence  $F$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{d}_{k,n}$ . Indeed, this is clear for  $k = 1$ , so we may assume  $k \geq 2$ , in which case the claim follows right away by Lemma 1.2.  $\square$

1.3. Next we need a description of the *osculating spaces* to the Grassmann varieties (for the concept of osculating spaces see [9, p.141]). First we need some lemmata.

**Lemma 1.4.** *Let  $\text{Seg}(1, k)$  be a Segre variety in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , with  $n \geq 2k + 1$ . Let  $\phi : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}(k, n)$  be the morphism which sends a point  $p$  to the  $k$ -plane  $\{p\} \times \mathbb{P}^k \subset \text{Seg}(1, k)$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . Then the image of  $\phi$  is a rational normal curve of degree  $k + 1$  inside  $\mathbb{G}(k, n)$ .*

*Proof.* We can assume  $n = 2k + 1$ . If  $[x_0, x_1]$  are homogeneous coordinates of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  and  $z_{ij}$ ,  $i = 0, 1$  and  $j = 0, \dots, k$ , are the homogenous coordinates of  $\mathbb{P}^{2k+1}$ , we can assume that  $\phi$  is the map which sends  $[\alpha_0, \alpha_1]$  to the  $k$ -plane whose equations in  $\mathbb{P}^{2k+1}$  are  $\alpha_1 z_{0j} - \alpha_0 z_{1j} = 0$  for  $j = 0, \dots, k$ . In particular, the image of a point  $[x_0, x_1]$  under  $\phi$  is the point of  $\mathbb{G}(k, 2k + 1)$  whose coordinates are the minors of maximal order of the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & x_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & x_0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & x_1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & x_0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & x_1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

There are only  $k + 2$  non-vanishing Plücker coordinates of this  $k$ -plane and they have as entries the monomials of degree  $k + 1$  in  $x_0$  and  $x_1$ . The assertion follows.  $\square$

We can generalize the above result:

**Lemma 1.5.** *Let  $k, r, n$  be positive integers with  $k > r$ . Let  $\text{Seg}(1, r)$  be a Segre variety in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , with  $n \geq k + r + 1$ , and let  $\Pi$  be a  $(k - r - 1)$ -plane, which does not intersect the  $(2r + 1)$ -plane spanned by  $\text{Seg}(1, r)$ . Let  $\phi : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}(k, n)$  be the morphism which sends a point  $p$  to the  $k$ -plane spanned by  $\Pi$  and by the  $r$ -plane in  $\text{Seg}(1, r)$  given by  $\{p\} \times \mathbb{P}^r$ . Then its image is a rational normal curve of degree  $r + 1$  inside  $\mathbb{G}(k, n)$ .*

*Proof.* We can assume  $n = k + r + 1$ . Let  $\text{Seg}(1, r)$  be a Segre variety inside the  $(2r + 1)$ -plane  $L$  given by the vanishing of the last  $k - r$  homogeneous coordinates of  $\mathbb{P}^{k+r+1}$ , and let  $\Pi$  be the  $k - r - 1$  plane given by the vanishing of the first  $2r + 2$  coordinates. Then we can associate to the point  $[x_0, x_1]$  of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  the matrix whose rows span the join of  $\Pi$  and  $[x_0, x_1] \times \mathbb{P}^r$ . This is the  $(k + 1) \times (k + r + 2)$  matrix

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c|c} A_{x_0, x_1} & 0_1 \\ \hline 0_2 & I_{k-r} \end{array} \right],$$

where  $A_{x_0, x_1}$  is the  $(r + 1) \times (2r + 2)$  matrix associated to the  $r$ -plane  $[x_0, x_1] \times \mathbb{P}^r$  in  $L$ ,  $0_1$  is the  $(r + 1) \times (k - r)$  zero matrix,  $0_2$  is the  $(k - r) \times (2r + 2)$  zero matrix and  $I_{k-r}$  is the  $(k - r)$  identity matrix. As in the proof of Lemma 1.4, we see that the only non-vanishing Plücker coordinates of the point of the Grassmannian associated to this matrix are given by the monomials of order  $r + 1$  in  $x_0$  and  $x_1$ . The assertion follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 1.6.** *Let  $L_1, L_2$  be two distinct  $k$ -planes in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  intersecting in a  $(k - r)$ -plane  $M$ , with  $1 \leq r \leq k + 1$ . Then there is a Segre variety  $\text{Seg}(1, r - 1)$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  such that there are two distinct point  $p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{P}^1$  such that  $L_i \cap \text{Seg}(1, r - 1) = \{p_i\} \times \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ .*

*Proof.* Projecting from  $M$  to a  $\mathbb{P}^{n-k+r-1}$ , the images of  $L_1, L_2$  are two disjoint  $(r - 1)$ -planes  $L'_1$  and  $L'_2$ . If we fix an isomorphism  $\tau : L'_1 \rightarrow L'_2$ , the variety defined as the union of the lines joining  $p \in L'_1$  to  $\tau(p) \in L'_2$  is the desired Segre variety.  $\square$

The following proposition describes the osculating spaces of Grassmannians.

**Proposition 1.7.** *Let  $\Lambda_0$  be a point of  $\mathbb{G}(k, n)$  and let  $1 \leq r \leq k$ . Then the  $r$ -osculating space  $T_{\mathbb{G}(k, n), \Lambda_0}^{(r)}$  to  $\mathbb{G}(k, n)$  at  $\Lambda_0$  is the linear space spanned by the Schubert variety*

$$W_{r, \Lambda_0} = \{\Lambda \in \mathbb{G}(k, n) \mid \dim(\Lambda \cap \Lambda_0) \geq k - r\}$$

and one has

$$(5) \quad \dim(T_{\mathbb{G}(k, n), \Lambda_0}^{(r)}) = \sum_{i=1}^r \binom{k+1}{i} \binom{n-k}{i}.$$

*Proof.* First of all we claim that  $W_{r, \Lambda_0} \subseteq T_{\mathbb{G}(k, n), \Lambda_0}^{(r)}$ . To prove this note that by Lemmata 1.5 and 1.6, for any  $k$ -plane  $\Lambda$  intersecting  $\Lambda_0$  in a linear space of dimension at least  $k - r$ , we can construct a rational normal curve of degree  $r$  in  $\mathbb{G}(k, n)$  passing through  $\Lambda_0$  and  $\Lambda$ . Such a curve must be contained in  $T_{\mathbb{G}(k, n), \Lambda_0}^{(r)}$  and this proves the claim.

To prove that  $T_{\mathbb{G}(k, n), \Lambda_0}^{(r)} = \langle W_{r, \Lambda_0} \rangle$ , we will compute the dimensions of both  $T_{\mathbb{G}(k, n), \Lambda_0}^{(r)}$  and  $\langle W_{r, \Lambda_0} \rangle$  and we will prove they are equal.

First let us prove (5). Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\Lambda_0$  is spanned by the points corresponding to the vectors  $e_1, \dots, e_{k+1}$  of the basis  $B$  of  $V$ , so that  $\Lambda_0$  is the point where only the first Plücker coordinate is different from zero. Consider the local parametrization of  $\mathbb{G}(k, n)$  around  $\Lambda_0$  given by the restriction of the map  $\psi_{k, n}$  as in (1) to  $\mathbb{A}^{M(k, n)} = \mathbb{P}^{M(k, n)} \setminus H$ , so that  $\psi_{k, n}$  maps the origin of  $\mathbb{A}^{M(k, n)}$  to  $\Lambda_0$ . The  $r$ -osculating space  $T_{\mathbb{G}(k, n), \Lambda_0}^{(r)}$  is spanned by the points that are derivatives up to order  $r$  of the parametrization at the origin.

Each coordinate function of  $\psi_{k, n}$  is given by a minor  $D^s$  as above (in the affine coordinates  $x_{i, j}$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, k + 1$  and  $j = k + 2, \dots, n + 1$ , of  $\mathbb{A}^{M(k, n)}$ ). The derivatives up to order  $r$  of the minors  $D^s$  with  $s \geq r + 1$  vanish at  $0 \in \mathbb{A}^{M(k, n)}$ . Hence  $T_{\mathbb{G}(k, n), \Lambda_0}^{(r)}$  has

dimension at most  $\sum_{i=1}^r m_i$ , where we recall that  $m_i = \binom{k+1}{i} \binom{n-k}{i}$  is the number of the  $D^i$ 's.

Moreover, for each minor  $D^s$  with  $s \leq r$ , there exists a derivative of order  $s$  of the parametrization at the origin such that all of its coordinates, except the one corresponding to  $D^s$ , vanish. This implies (5).

Next we compute the dimension of  $\langle W_{r,\Lambda_0} \rangle$  and prove that it equals the right hand side of (5). Let  $\Lambda$  be an element of  $W_{r,\Lambda_0}$ . It is spanned by  $k+1$  points, and we may assume the first  $k-r+1$  of them lie on  $\Lambda_0$ . Then the Plücker coordinates of  $\Lambda$  are given by the maximal minors of a matrix  $M_\Lambda = [v_{i,j}]_{i=1,\dots,k+1; j=1,\dots,n+1}$  where  $v_{i,j} = 0$  if  $i \in \{1, \dots, k-r+1\}$  and  $j \in \{k+2, \dots, n+1\}$ . Moreover, varying  $\Lambda$  in  $W_{r,\Lambda_0}$  we may consider the non-zero  $v_{i,j}$  as variables.

The vanishing maximal minors of a matrix of type  $M_\Lambda$  are those involving at most  $r+1$  of the last  $n-k$  columns. Hence their number is

$$c = \sum_{i=r+1}^{k+1} \binom{n-k}{i} \binom{k+1}{i} = \sum_{i=r+1}^{k+1} m_i$$

and therefore

$$\dim(\langle W_{r,\Lambda_0} \rangle) = N(k, n) - c.$$

On the other hand we have

$$N(k, n) = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} m_i$$

hence

$$\dim(\langle W_{r,\Lambda_0} \rangle) = \sum_{i=1}^r m_i = \dim(T_{\mathbb{G}(k,n),\Lambda_0}^{(r)}),$$

as desired.  $\square$

1.4. Next we give the announced geometric description of the isomorphism of  $U \cap \mathbb{G}(k, n)$  with  $\mathbb{A}^{M(k,n)}$ .

**Proposition 1.8.** *Let  $\Pi$  be an element of  $\mathbb{G}(n-k-1, n)$  and  $W_\Pi$  the Schubert variety*

$$\{\Lambda \in \mathbb{G}(k, n) \mid \dim(\Lambda \cap \Pi) \geq 1\}.$$

*Then the projection  $\varphi : \mathbb{G}(k, n) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{M(k,n)}$  from the linear space spanned by  $W_\Pi$  is the inverse map of a  $\psi_{k,n} : \mathbb{P}^{M(k,n)} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{G}(k, n)$  as in (1).*

*Proof.* We use the notation of Lemma 1.3. First of all we observe that the linear system  $\mathfrak{d}_{k,n}$  contains the linear system of hyperplanes of  $\mathbb{P}^{M(k,n)}$  as a subsystem: this is  $kH + |\pi|$ , where  $\pi$  is any hyperplane. Via the map  $\psi_{k,n}$  the hypersurfaces of  $\mathfrak{d}_{k,n}$  are sent to hyperplane sections of  $\mathbb{G}(k, n)$ . Thus the inverse of  $\psi_{k,n}$  is a projection whose centre is the intersection of all hyperplanes of  $\mathbb{P}^{M(k,n)}$  whose intersection with  $\mathbb{G}(k, n)$  contains  $\psi_{k,n}(H)$  with multiplicity at least  $k$ .

The image of  $H$  under  $\psi_{k,n}$  is the Grassmannian  $\mathbb{G}_0 = \mathbb{G}(k, n-k-1)$  of all subspaces of dimension  $k$  contained in a fixed subspace  $\Pi$  of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  dimension  $n-k-1$ . Indeed, if we set  $y = 0$  in (2), we obtain the Plücker embedding associated to a  $(k+1) \times (n-k)$  matrix.

A hyperplane  $H'$  in  $\mathbb{P}^{M(k,n)}$  contains  $\mathbb{G}_0$  with multiplicity at least  $k$  if and only if  $H'$  contains  $T_{\mathbb{G}(k,n),P}^{(k-1)}$  for any  $P \in \mathbb{G}_0$  and the centre of the projection is the intersection of these hyperplanes. Then from Proposition 1.7 the centre of projection is the linear span of  $W_\Pi$ . This proves the assertion.  $\square$

**Remark 1.9.** With a dimension count similar to the one at the end of Proposition 1.7, one checks that the linear space spanned by  $W_\Pi$  has dimension  $N(k, n) - m_1 - 1 = N(k, n) - (k + 1)(n - k) - 1 = N(k, n) - M(k, n) - 1$ . This fits with the result of Proposition 1.8.

**Remark 1.10.** From the above considerations it follows that the birational map  $\psi_{n,k}$  induces an isomorphism between  $\mathbb{P}^{M(k,n)}$  minus a hyperplane  $H$  and  $\mathbb{G}(k, n)$  minus a hyperplane section  $\mathfrak{H}'$ , precisely the hyperplane section corresponding to the hypersurface  $(k + 1)H$  in  $\mathfrak{d}_{k,n}$ . Looking at the proof of Proposition 1.8, we see that  $\mathfrak{H}'$  contains  $\mathbb{G}_0$  with multiplicity  $k + 1$ , hence it contains  $T_{\mathbb{G}(k,n),P}^{(k)}$  for any  $P \in \mathbb{G}_0$ . From Proposition 1.7 one deduces that  $\mathfrak{H}'$  coincides with the set of all  $\Lambda \in \mathbb{G}(k, n)$  that have non-empty intersection with the  $(n - k - 1)$ -plane  $\Pi$ . We will call the hyperplane  $H'$  cutting out such a  $\mathfrak{H}'$  on  $\mathbb{G}(k, n)$  a *k-osculating hyperplane* to  $\mathbb{G}(k, n)$ .

**Lemma 1.11.** *Let  $\check{\mathbb{P}}^{N(k,n)}$  be the dual space of  $\mathbb{P}^{N(k,n)}$ . Then the k-osculating hyperplanes to  $\mathbb{G}(k, n)$  are parametrized by a  $\mathbb{G}(n - k - 1, n)$  in  $\check{\mathbb{P}}^{N(k,n)}$ . In particular, since  $\mathbb{G}(n - k - 1, n)$  is non-degenerate in  $\check{\mathbb{P}}^{N(k,n)}$ , there is no point of  $\mathbb{P}^{N(k,n)}$  contained in all k-osculating hyperplanes.*

*Proof.* We have  $\check{\mathbb{P}}^{N(k,n)} = \mathbb{P}(\wedge^{k+1}\check{V}) = \mathbb{P}(\wedge^{n-k}V)$ .

Let  $\Pi$  be a  $(n - k - 1)$ -plane spanned by  $n - k$  points corresponding to the vectors  $v_1, \dots, v_{n-k}$  of  $V$ . A  $k$ -plane  $\Lambda$ , spanned by  $k + 1$  points corresponding to the vectors  $w_1, \dots, w_{k+1}$  of  $V$ , intersects  $\Pi$  if and only if the square matrix of order  $n + 1$  whose rows are  $v_1, \dots, v_{n-k}, w_1, \dots, w_{k+1}$  has zero determinant. The set of these  $k$ -planes is the section of  $\mathbb{G}(k, n)$  with the  $k$ -osculating hyperplane of  $\mathbb{P}^{N(k,n)}$  of equation

$$\sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{n-k} \leq n+1} S_{i_1, \dots, i_{n-k}} p_{i_1, \dots, i_{n-k}} x_{\overline{i_1, \dots, i_{n-k}}} = 0,$$

where the  $p_{i_1, \dots, i_{n-k}}$ 's are the Plücker coordinates of  $\Pi$  in  $\mathbb{G}(n - k - 1, n)$ , the  $x_{\overline{i_1, \dots, i_{n-k}}}$ 's are the homogeneous coordinates of  $\mathbb{P}^{N(k,n)}$ , where we denote by  $\overline{i_1, \dots, i_{n-k}}$  the  $(k + 1)$ -tuple of indices obtained by deleting  $\{i_1, \dots, i_{n-k}\}$  from  $(1, \dots, n + 1)$ , and  $S_{i_1, \dots, i_{n-k}}$  is the sign of the permutation  $(i_1, \dots, i_{n-k}, \overline{i_1, \dots, i_{n-k}})$ .

So the coordinates of this hyperplane in  $\check{\mathbb{P}}^{N(k,n)}$  are  $[S_{i_1, \dots, i_{n-k}} p_{i_1, \dots, i_{n-k}}]_{(i_1, \dots, i_{n-k})}$ . The assertion follows.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.12.** *Let  $X$  be an irreducible subvariety of  $\mathbb{G}(k, n)$ . Then for a general projection  $\varphi : \mathbb{G}(k, n) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{M(k,n)}$  as in Proposition 1.8,  $X$  is not contained in the indeterminacy locus of  $\varphi$  and the restriction of  $\varphi$  to  $X$  is a birational map of  $X$  to its image.*

*Proof.* Given a projection  $\varphi : \mathbb{G}(k, n) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{M(k,n)}$  as in Proposition 1.8, its indeterminacy locus and the subvariety contracted by the projection are contained in a  $k$ -osculating hyperplane section of the Grassmannian. By Lemma 1.11, these hyperplanes vary in a Grassmannian  $\mathbb{G}(n - k - 1, n)$  in  $\check{\mathbb{P}}^{N(k,n)}$ , and there is no point of  $\mathbb{P}^{N(k,n)}$  contained in all these hyperplanes. Hence, given the subvariety  $X$  in  $\mathbb{G}(k, n)$ , there is certainly a  $k$ -osculating hyperplane non containing it. The corresponding projection enjoys the required property.  $\square$

## 2. FANO SCHEMES

Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  be an irreducible projective variety. Given any positive integer  $k$ , we will denote by  $F_k(X)$  the Hilbert scheme of  $k$ -planes of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  contained in  $X$ . This is also called

the  $k$ -Fano scheme of  $X$ . We will not be interested in the scheme structure on  $F_k(X)$ , but rather on its support. In particular we will be interested in  $F_k(X)$  when  $X$  is an irreducible hypersurface of degree  $d \geq 2$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ .

This short section is devoted to prove the following:

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $X$  be an irreducible hypersurface of degree  $d \geq 2$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . Let  $\varphi : \mathbb{G}(k, n) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{M(k, n)}$  be a general projection map as in Proposition 1.8. Then  $\varphi|_{F_k(X)}$  is a birational map on each component of  $F_k(X)$  and  $\varphi(F_k(X))$  is defined by the vanishing of  $\binom{d+k}{k}$  polynomials of degree  $d$ .*

*Proof.* The first assertion follows directly from Corollary 1.12.

Let us fix homogeneous coordinates  $[x_0, \dots, x_n]$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  and let  $f = 0$  be the equation of  $X$  in this system, with

$$f(x_0, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{d_0 + \dots + d_n = d} \alpha_{d_0 \dots d_n} x_0^{d_0} \dots x_n^{d_n}.$$

We assume, without loss of generality, that the projection is an isomorphism on the open set  $U$  of the Grassmannian where the first Plücker coordinate is different from zero. For every  $\Lambda \in U$  we can give a parametrization  $\phi_\Lambda : \mathbb{P}^k \rightarrow \Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$  of  $\Lambda$  as

$$[s_0, \dots, s_k] \mapsto [s_0, \dots, s_k] \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_{1, k+2} & a_{1, k+3} & \dots & a_{1, n+1} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_{2, k+2} & a_{2, k+3} & \dots & a_{2, n+1} \\ \vdots & & & & & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & a_{k+1, k+2} & a_{k+1, k+3} & \dots & a_{k+1, n+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

with  $a_{i, j}$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq k+1$ ,  $k+2 \leq j \leq n+1$ , depending on  $\Lambda$ .

Then  $f(\phi_\Lambda([s_0, \dots, s_k]))$  is a form of degree  $d$  in  $s_0, \dots, s_k$  with coefficient polynomials in the  $a_{i, j}$ 's and in the  $\alpha_{d_0 \dots d_n}$ 's. Imposing that  $\Lambda$  sits in  $F_k(X)$  is equivalent to impose that  $f(\phi_\Lambda([s_0, \dots, s_k]))$  is identically zero as a form in  $s_0, \dots, s_k$ . This translates in imposing that the  $\binom{d+k}{k}$  coefficients of  $f(\phi_\Lambda([s_0, \dots, s_k]))$  all vanish, and these are linear in the  $\alpha_{d_0, \dots, d_n}$ 's and of degree  $d$  in the  $a_{i, j}$ 's. The assertion follows.  $\square$

### 3. FAMILIES OF HYPERSURFACES AND THE SECTION LEMMA

In this section we introduce the definition of a *family of hypersurfaces* and we prove a crucial result, the *Section Lemma* 3.5, in whose proof we use an idea of Conforto [3], which extends previous work by Comessatti [2].

3.1. We start with some definitions. We will denote by  $\mathcal{L}_{n, d}$  the linear system of all hypersurfaces of degree  $d$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , and by  $p : \mathcal{H}_{n, d} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{n, d}$  the universal family, so that  $\mathcal{H}_{n, d} \subset \mathcal{L}_{n, d} \times \mathbb{P}^n$  and  $p$  is the projection to the first factor.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $W$  be an irreducible variety. We call a *family of hypersurfaces* (of degree  $d$  and dimension  $n-1$ ) parametrized by  $W$  any morphism  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow W$ , such that there exists a morphism  $g : W \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{n, d}$  so that the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}_{n, d} \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ W & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathcal{L}_{n, d} \end{array}$$

is cartesian. In particular,  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow W$  is flat. For any point  $w \in W$  we will denote by  $X_w \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  the corresponding hypersurface, i.e., the fibre of  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow W$  over  $w$ .



**Definition 3.2.** Given two families of hypersurfaces  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow W$  and  $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow T$  as in Definition 3.1, we say that  $\mathcal{X}$  is *birationally equivalent* to  $\mathcal{Y}$  if there exist two birational maps  $f : \mathcal{X} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  and  $g : W \dashrightarrow T$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X} & \dashrightarrow^f & \mathcal{Y} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ W & \dashrightarrow^g & T \end{array}$$

commutes.

We will be interested in family of hypersurfaces up to birational equivalence. The following lemma gives us a sort of canonical way of representing a family of hypersurfaces up to birational equivalence.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow W$  be a family of hypersurfaces of degree  $d$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  with  $\dim(W) = r$ . Then there is a birationally equivalent family  $\mathcal{X}' \rightarrow W'$  such that  $W'$  is a dense open subset of a hypersurface in  $\mathbb{P}^{r+1}$  which is birational to  $W$  and  $\mathcal{X}' \subset W' \times \mathbb{P}^n$  has equation of the form*

$$(6) \quad \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_d \in \{0, \dots, n\}} a_{i_1 \dots i_d}(u_0, \dots, u_{r+1}) \prod_{j=1}^d x_{i_j} = 0$$

where  $a_{i_1 \dots i_d} \in H^0(W', \mathcal{O}_{W'}(\mu))$  for some  $\mu \in \mathbb{N}$ , for all  $i_1, \dots, i_d \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ .

*Proof.* To give the family  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow W$  is equivalent to give the corresponding morphism  $g : W \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{n,d}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{W} \subset \mathbb{P}^{r+1}$  be a hypersurface with a birational map  $h : \mathfrak{W} \dashrightarrow W$ . Then  $g' = g \circ h : \mathfrak{W} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{n,d}$  is a rational map, and there is a dense open subset  $W'$  of  $\mathfrak{W}$  where  $g'$  is defined. Then we have a morphism  $g' : W' \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{n,d}$  and accordingly we have a family  $\mathcal{X}' \rightarrow W'$  that is birationally equivalent to  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow W$ . On the other hand, giving  $g' : W' \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{n,d}$  is equivalent to give a suitable  $\binom{n+d}{n}$ -tuple of elements  $a_{i_1 \dots i_d} \in H^0(W', \mathcal{O}_{W'}(\mu))$ , for all  $i_1, \dots, i_d \in \{0, \dots, n\}$  and some positive integer  $\mu$ , so that  $\mathcal{X}' \subset W' \times \mathbb{P}^n$  has equation (6).  $\square$

3.2. Next we want to prove the announced *Section Lemma*.

Let  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow W$  be a family of hypersurfaces of degree  $d \geq 2$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . We denote by  $F_k(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow W$  the *relative Fano scheme* of  $k$ -planes in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  contained in fibres of  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow W$ . For any point  $w \in W$ , the fibre of  $F_k(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow W$  over  $w$  is  $F_k(X_w)$ .

We recall the following result (see [6]):

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $k, n, d$  positive integers with  $d \geq 2$  and*

$$(7) \quad n \geq \begin{cases} 2k+1, & \text{if } d=2 \text{ and } k \geq 2 \\ \frac{1}{k+1} \binom{k+d}{d}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Then all hypersurfaces of degree  $d$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  contain a  $k$ -plane.*

Next we consider  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow W$  a family of hypersurfaces of degree  $d \geq 2$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , with  $\dim(W) = r$ . We will assume that

$$(8) \quad n > k + \frac{1}{k+1} \left[ \binom{d+k}{k} d^r - 1 \right].$$

Then clearly (7) holds, hence, by Theorem 3.4, the morphism  $F_k(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow W$  is surjective. By generic flatness, there is a dense open subset of  $W$  over which  $F_k(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow W$  is flat.

We are ready to prove the *Section Lemma*:

**Lemma 3.5** (The Section Lemma). *Let  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow W$  be a family of hypersurfaces of degree  $d \geq 2$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , with  $\dim(W) = r$  so that (8) holds. Then there is a dense open subset  $U$  of  $W$  such that over  $U$  there is a section of  $F_k(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow W$ .*

*Proof.* Since the problem is birational in nature, by Lemma 3.3 we may assume that  $W$  is a dense open subset of a hypersurface of degree  $\overline{m}$  in  $\mathbb{P}^{r+1}$  with equation

$$\phi(u_0, \dots, u_{r+1}) = 0.$$

The domain  $F_k(\mathcal{X})$  of the Fano family  $F_k(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow W$ , that up to shrinking  $W$  we may assume to be flat, is contained in  $W \times \mathbb{G}(k, n)$ . Consider a general birational projection  $\varphi : \mathbb{G}(k, n) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{M(k, n)}$  as in Proposition 1.8, that determines a birational map

$$\Phi : W \times \mathbb{G}(k, n) \dashrightarrow W \times \mathbb{P}^{M(k, n)}.$$

By applying Corollary 1.12 and up to shrinking  $W$ , we may suppose that for all  $w \in W$ , the restriction of  $\Phi$  to any irreducible component of  $\{w\} \times F_k(X_w)$  is birational onto its image so that  $\Phi$  restricts to a birational map of  $F_k(\mathcal{X})$  to its image, that we denote by  $\mathbb{F}_k(\mathcal{X})$ , contained in  $W \times \mathbb{P}^{M(k, n)}$ . By Proposition 2.1 we may assume that  $\mathbb{F}_k(\mathcal{X})$  is defined by the vanishing of  $\binom{d+k}{k}$  equations in  $W \times \mathbb{P}^{M(k, n)}$  of the form

$$(9) \quad \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_d \in \{0, \dots, n\}} b_{i_1 \dots i_d}^\ell(u_0, \dots, u_{r+1}) \prod_{j=1}^d y_{i_j} = 0$$

for  $\ell = 1, \dots, \binom{d+k}{k}$ , and  $b_{i_1 \dots i_d}^\ell(u_0, \dots, u_{r+1}) \in H^0(W, \mathcal{O}_W(\mu))$  for a suitable positive integer  $\mu$ , where the  $y_i$ 's denote the homogeneous coordinates of  $\mathbb{P}^{M(k, n)}$ .

To prove the lemma we have to prove the existence of a rational section of  $F_k(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow W$  and it clearly suffices to find a rational section  $p : W \dashrightarrow \mathbb{F}_k(\mathcal{X})$  of  $\mathbb{F}_k(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow W$ . Such a rational section is determined by a suitable  $(M(k, n) + 1)$ -tuple of rational functions on  $W$ . We may assume that each such rational function is expressed by a homogeneous polynomial in the variables  $u_0, \dots, u_{r+1}$  of a fixed degree  $m$  modulo  $\phi(u_0, \dots, u_{r+1})$ .

Supposing  $m > \overline{m}$ , we can choose  $M$  independent elements in  $H^0(W, \mathcal{O}_W(m))$ , where

$$M = \binom{m+r+1}{r+1} - \binom{m-\overline{m}+r+1}{r+1}.$$

These can be identified with  $M$  forms  $\Psi_1, \dots, \Psi_M$  of degree  $m$ , modulo  $\phi(u_0, \dots, u_{r+1})$ .

We want to construct a section  $p$  by writing its homogeneous coordinates as linear combinations of the  $\Psi$ 's as above, i.e., by writing them as

$$p_i = \sum_{j=1}^M \lambda_{i,j} \Psi_j \text{ for } i = 0, \dots, M(k, n)$$

where we take the  $\lambda_{i,j}$ 's as indeterminates. The number of the  $\lambda$ 's is

$$[(k+1)(n-k)+1]M = [(k+1)(n-k)+1] \left[ \binom{m+r+1}{r+1} - \binom{m-\overline{m}+r+1}{r+1} \right].$$

We need to find the values of these  $\lambda$ 's so that  $p$  is a section. For this, we have to replace the  $y_i$ 's in each of the equations (9) with the  $p_i(u_0, \dots, u_{r+1})$ 's and we have imposed that the results identically vanish on  $W$ , i.e., they must be forms in  $\mathbb{K}[u_0, \dots, u_{r+1}]$  that are divisible by  $\phi(u_0, \dots, u_{r+1})$ .

We make the substitution and for each  $\ell = 1, \dots, \binom{d+k}{k}$  we have expressions of the sort

$$(10) \quad \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_d \in \{0, \dots, n\}} b_{i_1 \dots i_d}^\ell(u_0, \dots, u_{r+1}) \prod_{j=1}^d p_{i_j} = \\ = \sum_{l_1 + \dots + l_{r+1} = dm + \mu} F_{l_0 \dots l_{r+1}}^\ell(\lambda_{i,j}) u_0^{l_0} \dots u_{r+1}^{l_{r+1}}$$

where the homogeneous polynomials that we have after the substitution are of degree  $dm + \mu$  with respect to  $u_0, \dots, u_{r+1}$  and the coefficients  $F_{l_0 \dots l_{r+1}}^\ell$  are polynomials in the  $\lambda$ 's.

Thus, for all  $\ell = 1, \dots, \binom{d+k}{k}$ , we have to impose that

$$(11) \quad \sum_{l_1 + \dots + l_{r+1} = dm + \mu} F_{l_0 \dots l_{r+1}}^\ell(\lambda_{i,j}) u_0^{l_0} \dots u_{r+1}^{l_{r+1}} = \\ = \phi(u_0, \dots, u_{r+1}) \left( \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_{r+1} = dm - \bar{m} + \mu} \alpha_{i_0 \dots i_{r+1}}^\ell u_0^{i_0} \dots u_{r+1}^{i_{r+1}} \right)$$

where the  $\alpha_{i_0 \dots i_{r+1}}^\ell$ 's are again indeterminates. Their number is

$$\binom{d+k}{k} \binom{dm - \bar{m} + \mu + r + 1}{r + 1}.$$

Now to prove the thesis we need to show that, under condition (8), there exists an *admissible solution* of the system of non-homogeneous equations obtained by equating the coefficients of the monomials of degree  $dm + \mu$  in (11) for each  $\ell = 1, \dots, \binom{d+k}{k}$ . A solution of this system is called *admissible* if it gives rise to a section. Clearly, a solution is admissible if and only if not all the  $\lambda$ 's are equal to 0.

In the system there are

$$\binom{d+k}{k} \binom{dm + \mu + r + 1}{r + 1}$$

equations in the  $\alpha$ 's and  $\lambda$ 's. The total amount of these variables is

$$[(k+1)(n-k) + 1] \left[ \binom{m+r+1}{r+1} - \binom{m-\bar{m}+r+1}{r+1} \right] \\ + \binom{d+k}{k} \binom{dm - \bar{m} + \mu + r + 1}{r + 1}.$$

We claim that if the number of variables is greater than the number of equations, i.e., if the following inequality holds

$$(12) \quad [(k+1)(n-k) + 1] \left[ \binom{m+r+1}{r+1} - \binom{m-\bar{m}+r+1}{r+1} \right] \\ + \binom{d+k}{k} \binom{dm - \bar{m} + \mu + r + 1}{r + 1} > \binom{d+k}{k} \binom{dm + \mu + r + 1}{r + 1}$$

our system has admissible solutions and we do have sections as required.

In general, given a system of non-homogeneous equations, it is not true that if it is *underdeterminate* (i.e., the number of equations is lower than the number of the variables) then the set of solutions is non-empty. However we do know that, in the associated affine space with coordinates the  $\lambda$ 's and the  $\alpha$ 's, the origin, where all  $\lambda$ 's and all  $\alpha$ 's vanish,

is a solution of the system, although it does not give rise to an admissible solution. In any event, this implies that the set of solutions has a component  $\mathfrak{S}$  of positive dimension which contains the origin. Moreover,  $\mathfrak{S}$  cannot be contained in the subspace defined by the vanishing of all the  $\lambda$ 's. Indeed, if all the  $\lambda$ 's are equal to 0, from (11) it follows that also the  $\alpha$ 's are 0. This proves that if (12) holds, there are admissible solutions and therefore there are sections as desired.

Finally we want to see under which conditions, for  $m$  large enough, (12) holds. This can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & [(k+1)(n-k)+1] \left[ \binom{m+r+1}{r+1} - \binom{m-\overline{m}+r+1}{r+1} \right] + \\ & \binom{d+k}{k} \left[ \binom{dm-\overline{m}+\mu+r+1}{r+1} - \binom{dm+\mu+r+1}{r+1} \right] > 0 \end{aligned}$$

The term on the left is a polynomial in  $m$ : the condition in order that it is positive for  $m \gg 0$  is that the leading coefficient is positive. The coefficient of the monomial  $m^{r+1}$  of maximal degree is equal to zero, so we have to look at the coefficient of  $m^r$ . This equals

$$\frac{[(k+1)(n-k)+1]}{(r+1)!} (r+1)\overline{m} + \frac{\binom{d+k}{k} d^r}{(r+1)!} [-(r+1)\overline{m}].$$

After dividing for the positive term  $\frac{\overline{m}}{r!}$ , we obtain

$$(k+1)(n-k)+1 - \binom{d+k}{k} d^r$$

and being this positive is equivalent to (8).  $\square$

**Remark 3.6.** Note that the result of the Section Lemma is equivalent to say that if (8) holds, and if  $w$  is the generic point of  $W$ , that is defined over of the field of rational functions  $\mathbb{K}(W)$ , then one can find a  $k$ -plane  $\Lambda$  in the generic hypersurface  $X_w$  of the family, that is also defined over  $\mathbb{K}(W)$ . In this case one says that  $\Lambda$  is *rationally determined* on  $X_w$ .

#### 4. UNIRATIONALITY OF FAMILIES OF HYPERSURFACES

In this section we use the previous results to give a criterion for the unirationality of families of hypersurfaces. We need some preliminaries.

4.1. We recall the following:

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  be an algebraic variety defined over  $\mathbb{K}$  and  $\Lambda$  a  $k$ -plane contained in  $X$ . One says that  $X$  is  $\Lambda$ -*rational* (resp.  $\Lambda$ -*unirational*) if  $X$  is  $\mathbb{K}(\Lambda)$ -rational (resp.  $\mathbb{K}(\Lambda)$ -unirational), where  $\mathbb{K}(\Lambda)$  is the extension of  $\mathbb{K}$  obtained by adding to  $\mathbb{K}$  the Plücker coordinates of  $\Lambda$ .

Let  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow W$  be a flat family of subvarieties of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  with  $W$  an irreducible variety. If  $w \in W$  we denote, as usual, by  $X_w \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  the fibre of  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow W$  over  $w$ . We assume that there is a dense open subset  $U$  of  $W$  such that for all  $w \in U$ ,  $X_w$  is irreducible. So, up to shrinking  $W$ , we may assume that this happens for all  $w \in W$ . Let  $F_k(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow W$  be the *relative Fano scheme* of  $k$ -planes of  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow W$ . For all  $w \in W$ , the fibre of  $F_k(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow W$  is  $F_k(X_w)$ .

The following criterion is due to Roth (see [10]):

**Proposition 4.2** (Roth's Criterion). *Let  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow W$  be a flat family of varieties with  $W$  an irreducible, unirational variety. Suppose that  $F_k(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow W$  is dominant, so that, up to shrinking  $W$  we may assume it is flat. Suppose that there is a section  $s : W \rightarrow F_k(\mathcal{X})$  of  $F_k(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow W$  such that there is a dense open subset  $U$  of  $W$  such that for all  $w \in U$  the variety  $X_w$  is  $s(w)$ -unirational. Then  $\mathcal{X}$  is unirational.*

*In addition, if  $W$  is rational and for all  $w \in U$  the variety  $X_w$  is  $s(w)$ -rational, then  $\mathcal{X}$  is rational.*

*Proof.* We may assume that  $U = W$ . Let  $\phi : \mathbb{P}^r \dashrightarrow W$  be the dominant map which assures the unirationality of  $W$  and by  $\psi_w : \mathbb{P}^{r'}_{\mathbb{K}(s(w))} \dashrightarrow X_w$  the dominant map which assures the unirationality of  $X_w$ , for  $w \in W$ .

Then we can construct the map

$$\mathbb{P}^r \times \mathbb{P}^{r'} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{X}$$

such that the pair  $(t, t')$  is sent to  $\psi_{\phi(t)}(t')$ . This is a rational dominant map, and it is defined over  $\mathbb{K}$ .

It follows furthermore that if  $\phi$  and  $\psi_w$  are generically finite of degree  $a$  and  $b$  respectively, then this map is generically finite of degree  $a \cdot b$ . The second assertion follows.  $\square$

4.2. In the paper [8], A. Predonzan proved the following:

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  be an irreducible hypersurface of degree  $d \geq 2$  defined over  $\mathbb{K}$ . Suppose that  $X$  contains a  $k$ -plane  $\Lambda$  with*

$$k \geq k(d)$$

where  $k(d)$  is inductively defined as follows

$$k(d) = \binom{k(d-1) + d - 1}{d - 1}, \quad k(2) = 0.$$

Suppose that  $X$  is smooth along  $\Lambda$ . Then  $X$  is  $\Lambda$ -unirational.

As a consequence of this result, Predonzan also proved in [8] the following:

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  be an irreducible hypersurface of degree  $d \geq 2$  defined over  $\mathbb{K}$ , with a singular locus of dimension  $t$ . If*

$$n \geq \frac{1}{k(d) + 1} \binom{k(d) + d}{d} + k(d) + t + 1$$

then  $X$  is unirational over an extension of  $\mathbb{K}$ .

This result has been rediscovered in [5], although with a worse lower bound for  $n$ . Our aim is to prove the following extension of Theorem 4.4:

**Theorem 4.5.** *Let  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow W$  be a family of hypersurfaces of degree  $d \geq 2$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , with  $W$  irreducible, unirational of dimension  $r$ . Assume that if  $w \in W$  is the generic point, then  $X_w$  is irreducible with a singular locus of dimension  $t$ . If*

$$(13) \quad n > k(d) + \frac{1}{k(d) + 1} \left[ \binom{d + k(d)}{k(d)} d^r - 1 \right] + t + 1.$$

then  $\mathcal{X}$  is unirational.

*Proof.* By the hypotheses, up to shrinking  $W$  we may assume that for all  $w \in W$  the hypersurface  $X_w \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  is irreducible with singular locus of dimension  $t$ . Again up to shrinking  $W$ , we may assume that there is a  $(n - t - 1)$ -plane  $P$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  such that for all  $w \in W$ , the intersection of  $X_w$  with  $P$  is smooth. In this way we get a new family  $\mathcal{X}' \rightarrow W$  of hypersurfaces of degree  $d$  in  $\mathbb{P}^{n-t-1}$  such that for all  $w \in W$ ,  $X'_w$  is the intersection of  $X_w$  with  $P$ .

Taking into account (13), by the Section Lemma 3.5, up to shrinking  $W$  we may assume there is a section  $s$  of  $F_{k(d)}(\mathcal{X}') \rightarrow W$ . Note that for all  $w \in W$ ,  $X'_w$  is smooth, and therefore  $X_w$  is smooth along  $s(w)$ . Then, by Theorem 4.3, for all  $w \in W$ ,  $X_w$  is  $s(w)$ -unirational. Thus, by applying Roth's Criterion 4.2, the assertion follows.  $\square$

We notice that if  $d = 2$  then Theorem 4.5 is basically the main result of [3].

#### REFERENCES

- [1] C. Ciliberto, *Osservazioni su alcuni classici problemi di unirazionalità per ipersuperficie e complete intersezioni algebriche proiettive*, Ric. di Mat., **29** (1980), 175–191.
- [2] A. Comessatti, *Intorno ad un classico problema di unisecanti*, Boll. dell'Unione Mat. Italiana, **2** (2), (1940), 97–104.
- [3] F. Conforto, *Su un classico teorema di Noether e sulle varietà algebriche trasformabili in varietà con infinite quadriche*, Rend. R. Accad. d'Italia, (7) **2**, (1941), 268–281.
- [4] J. Harris, *Algebraic Geometry*, Springer Graduate Texts in Math., **133**, 1992.
- [5] J. Harris, B. Mazur, R. Pandharipande, *Hypersurfaces of low degree*, Duke Math. J., **95** (1), (1998), 125–160.
- [6] U. Morin, *Sull'insieme degli spazi lineari contenuti in una ipersuperficie algebrica*, Rend. della R. Acc. Nazionale dei Lincei, (6) **24**, (1936).
- [7] U. Morin, *Sull'unirazionalità dell'ipersuperficie algebrica di qualunque ordine e dimensione sufficientemente alta*, Atti del II Congresso U.M.I. di Bologna Cremonese, Roma, 1942.
- [8] A. Predonzan, *Alcuni teoremi relativi all'unirazionalità di ipersuperficie algebriche non generali*, Rend. del Semin. Matem. della Università di Padova, **31**, (1961), 281–293.
- [9] F. Russo, *On the Geometry of Some Special Projective Varieties*, UMI–Springer Lecture Notes in Math., **18**, 2016.
- [10] L. Roth, *Metodi ed esempi nella teoria delle varietà algebriche unirazionali*, Boll. dell'Unione Mat. Italiana, **2** **5.3-4**, (1950), 330–336.
- [11] D. Sacchi, *Unirationality of varieties described by families of hypersurfaces and quadratic line complexes*, Ph. D. Thesis, Università di Roma “Tor Vergata”, 2018.
- [12] J. G. Semple, *On representation of the  $S_k$ 's of  $S_n$  and of the Grassmann manifolds  $G(k, n)$* , Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society, **2** (1) (1931), 200–221.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI ROMA TOR VERGATA, VIA O. RAIMONDO, 00173 ROMA, ITALY

*Email address:* cilibert@axp.mat.uniroma2.it

VIA FILIPPO TURATI 90, 53014 MONTERONI D'ARBIA, SIENA, ITALY

*Email address:* ducciosacchi89@gmail.com