

# Coalgebraic Fuzzy geometric logic

Litan Kumar Das\*      Kumar Sankar Ray†  
Prakash Chandra Mali‡

May 27, 2022

## Abstract

The paper aims to develop a framework for the coalgebraic fuzzy geometric logic by adding modalities to the language of fuzzy geometric logic. Using the methods of coalgebra, we introduce modal operators to the language of fuzzy geometric logic. We introduce a notion of fuzzy open predicate lifting to define modal operators. Models of fuzzy geometric logic are defined on coalgebras for an endofunctor  $T$  on a category **Fuzzy-Top** of fuzzy topological spaces and fuzzy continuous maps. Bisimulations for the defined models have been discussed in this paper.

**Keywords:** Fuzzy geometric logic, Coalgebra, Final coalgebra, bisimulation.

## 1 Introduction

Fuzzy geometric logic has been introduced in [9] which is a natural generalization of the propositional geometric logic [2]. Vicker in [2] developed geometric logic based on point-free topology, logic, and the logic of finite observations [3]. It has been pointed out in several works (see[4, 5, 6, 7, 8]). The language of geometric logic is formed on a set of propositional variables by applying propositional connectives, finite conjunction( $\wedge$ ) and arbitrary disjunction( $\vee$ ). These connectives preserve the finite observability property. Vickers in [2] explored the relationship among geometric logic, topological spaces and topological systems. A topological system is a triple  $(X, \models, A)$ ,

---

\*E-mail:ld06iitkgp@gmail.com

†E-mail:ksray@isical.ac.in

‡E-mail:pemali1959@gmail.com

where  $X$  is a non-empty set of objects,  $A$  is frame and  $\models$  is satisfaction relation from  $X$  to  $A$ .

The authors in [9] generalize geometric logic to the many-valued context by extending the notion of satisfiability relation. They observed that when the satisfaction relation is fuzzy then the corresponding consequence relation may have two possibilities either crisp or fuzzy. Consequently, they introduced general fuzzy geometric logic and fuzzy geometric logic with graded consequence. Relationship among fuzzy geometric logic, fuzzy topology and fuzzy topological system has also been shown in their work.

Fuzzy topological space was defined in [10] and has been taken into account in various works(e.g.,[11, 12, 13, 14, 15]). Graded consequence and its related aspects can be found in [16].

Different kinds of modal logics were developed based on the theory of coalgebras. In [17], A.Kurz discussed colagebraic logic in more detail. The coalgebras for endofunctors provide semantics of the propositional modal logic. Coalgebraic logic for functors on the category of sets has been extensively studied in [18, 19], where they use the notion of predicate lifting [21] or relation lifting [20] to define modal operators. Coalgebraic modal logic in the category of Stone coalgebras has been discussed in [22]. Coalgebraic geometric logic has been developed in [23, 24] where modal operators are defined to the language of geometric logic based on the theory of colagebraic logic.

In this work, we develop fuzzy geometric logic with modalities via predicate liftings. We define fuzzy open predicate liftings that provide appropriate modalities to the language of fuzzy geometric logic.

The notion of bisimulation [25] is extensively applied on various fields of computer science as well as in mathematics. Staton in [26] was explored the relationship between different notions of colagebraic bisimulation. Bisimulations for Stone colagebras has been studied in [22]. In our work, we have discussed bisimulations for the defined models.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2, we have mentioned the ideas that are required for the work. we have defined coalgebraic logic for **Fuzzy-Top**-colagebras in Section 3, and then introduce fuzzy geometric models for an endofunctor  $T$  which provide the semantics of the colagebraic fuzzy geometric logics. In Section 4, we construct a final model in the category  $FMOD(T)$  of fuzzy geometric models for  $T$ , where  $T$  is an endofunctor on the category **SFuzzy-Top** of sober fuzzy topological spaces. In Section 5, we discuss bisimulations for fuzzy geometric models. We concluded the paper by mentioning future directions of work in Section 6.

## 2 Preliminaries

For category theory we refer to [1]. For clarity and understanding of our work, we mention some useful ideas.

**Definition 1.** *Fuzzy topological spaces and continuous maps between fuzzy topological spaces form a category denoted by **Fuzzy-Top**.*

**Definition 2.** *We define a functor  $\mathcal{Q}$  from the category **Fuzzy-Top** to the category **FOS** of fuzzy open sets as follows:*

- (i) *For an object  $(X, \tau)$  in **Fuzzy-Top**,  $\mathcal{Q}(X, \tau_X) = \tau_X$ ,*
- (ii) *For a morphism  $\phi : (X, \tau_X) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_Y)$ ,  $\mathcal{Q}(\phi) = \phi^{-1} : \mathcal{Q}((Y, \tau_Y)) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}((X, \tau_X))$  is defined by  $\phi^{-1}(\mu) = \mu \circ \phi$ .*

**Definition 3** ([2]). *A frame is a partially ordered set which is closed under finite meet ( $\wedge$ ) and arbitrary join ( $\bigvee$ ) and  $\wedge$  distributes over  $\bigvee$ .*

Any topology on a set can be think as a frame. The collection of frames and frame homomorphisms forms a category, denoted **FRM**.

**Definition 4.** *Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a category; let  $T$  be an endofunctor on  $X$ . A  $T$ -coalgebra is a pair  $(X, \delta)$ , where  $X$  is an object in  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\delta : X \rightarrow T(X)$  is a morphism in  $\mathcal{X}$ .*

**Definition 5.** *A homomorphism between  $T$ -coalgebras  $(X, \delta)$  and  $(Y, \beta)$  is a morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\mathcal{X}$  such that  $T(f) \circ \delta = \beta \circ f$ .*

$T$ -colagebras and homomorphisms between  $T$ -coalgebras form a category, denoted by **COALG**( $T$ ) .

**Definition 6.** *A final object in **COALG**( $T$ ) is a  $T$ -coalgebra  $(X, \delta)$  such that for every  $T$ -colagebra  $(Y, \beta)$  there is a unique homomorphism from  $(X, \delta)$  to  $(Y, \beta)$ .*

**Definition 7** ([27]). *A bisimulation between  $T$ -coalgebras  $(X, \delta)$  and  $(Y, \beta)$  is a relation  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq X \times Y$  such that there exists a  $T$ -coalgebra  $(\mathcal{R}, \gamma)$  for which the projection maps  $\pi_1 : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow X$  and  $\pi_2 : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow Y$  are coalgebra homomorphisms and satisfy the relations  $\delta \circ \pi_1 = T(\pi_1) \circ \gamma$  and  $\beta \circ \pi_2 = T(\pi_2) \circ \gamma$  i.e., the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X & \xleftarrow{\pi_1} & \mathcal{R} & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & Y \\
 \delta \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma & & \downarrow \beta \\
 T(X) & \xleftarrow{T(\pi_1)} & T(\mathcal{R}) & \xrightarrow{T(\pi_2)} & T(Y)
 \end{array}$$

**Definition 8.** *The collection of fuzzy open sets of a fuzzy topological space  $X$  forms a frame.*

**Definition 9.** *We define a functor  $\mathcal{O} : \mathbf{Fuzzy-Top} \rightarrow \mathbf{FRM}$  as follows:*

- (i) *For an object  $X$  in  $\mathbf{Fuzzy-top}$ ,  $\mathcal{O}(X) = \tau_X$ ;*
- (ii) *For an arrow  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\mathbf{Fuzzy-top}$ , define  $\mathcal{O}(f) : \mathcal{O}(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(X)$  by  $\mathcal{O}(f)(\phi) = \phi \circ f$ , where  $\phi \in \mathcal{O}(Y)$ .*

**Note 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a frame and  $(X, \tau_X)$  be a fuzzy topological space. The frame  $\mathcal{F}$  is said to be spatial if it is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}(X)$ .*

**S-FRM** denotes the category of spatial frames and frame homomorphisms.

Let  $\tau$  be a fuzzy topology on  $X$ . Then  $\tau$  is a frame. Let  $PT\tau$  be the collection of all frame homomorphisms  $p$  from  $\tau$  to  $[0, 1]$ .

**Definition 10** ([28]). *Let  $\tilde{T} \in \tau$ . Then  $\Psi(\tilde{T}) : PT\tau \rightarrow [0, 1]$  defined by  $\Psi(\tilde{T})(p) = p(\tilde{T})$  is a fuzzy subset of  $PT\tau$ .*

The collection  $\{\Psi(\tilde{T}) : \tilde{T} \in \tau\}$  is a fuzzy topology on  $PT\tau$ .

**Corollary 1** ([28]). *Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a fuzzy topological space. A mapping  $f : X \rightarrow PT\tau$  defined by  $f(x)(\tilde{\phi}) = \tilde{\phi}(x)$ . Then  $(X, \tau)$  is sober  $\iff$   $f$  is bijective.*

**SFuzzy-Top** denotes the category of sober fuzzy topological spaces and fuzzy continuous maps.

**Definition 11.** *We define a functor  $PT : \mathbf{FRM} \rightarrow \mathbf{Fuzzy-Top}$  as follows:*

- (i) *For an object  $\mathcal{F}$  in  $\mathbf{FRM}$ ,  $PT(\mathcal{F}) = PT\mathcal{F}$ ;*
- (ii) *For an arrow  $f : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'$  in  $\mathbf{FRM}$ , define  $PT(f) : PT\mathcal{F}' \rightarrow PT\mathcal{F}$  by  $PT(f)(p) = p \circ f$ , where  $p \in PT\mathcal{F}'$ .*

**Theorem 1.** *The category of spatial frames is dually equivalent to the category of sober fuzzy topological spaces.*

*Proof.* Let  $id_1$  and  $id_2$  be the identity functors on **S-FRM** and **SFuzzy-Top**, respectively. We define two natural transformations  $\zeta : id_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \circ PT$  and  $\eta : id_2 \rightarrow PT \circ \mathcal{O}$ . For a spatial frame  $\mathcal{F}$ , we define  $\zeta_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \circ PT(\mathcal{F})$  by  $\zeta_{\mathcal{F}}(u)(p) = p(u)$ , where  $p \in PT\mathcal{F}$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}$  is a spatial frame, we have  $\zeta_{\mathcal{F}}$  is an isomorphism. It is easy to observed that  $\zeta$  is a natural transformation. As a result,  $\zeta$  is a natural isomorphism.

For a sober fuzzy topological space  $X$ , we define  $\eta_X : X \rightarrow PT \circ \mathcal{O}X$  by  $\eta_X(x)(\tilde{u}) = \tilde{u}(x)$ ,  $\forall x \in X$  and  $\tilde{u} \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ . As  $X$  is sober, so  $\eta_X$  is bijective. We observe that, for  $\tilde{u} \in \mathcal{O}(X)$  we have  $\eta_X^{-1}(\Psi(\tilde{u}))(x) = \Psi(\tilde{u})(\eta_x(x)) = \eta_X(x)(\tilde{u}) = \tilde{u}(x)$ . Therefore,  $\eta_X^{-1}(\Psi(\tilde{u})) = \tilde{u}$ .  $\eta_X$  is an open map because  $\eta_X^{-1}(\tilde{u})(p) = \bigvee \{\tilde{u}(x) : x \in \eta_X^{-1}(p)\} = p(\tilde{u}) = \Psi(\tilde{u})(p)$ . Therefore,  $\eta_x(\tilde{u}) = \Psi(\tilde{u})$ . Consequently,  $\eta_X$  is a fuzzy homeomorphism. It can be shown that  $\eta$  is a natural transformation. Hence,  $\eta$  is a natural isomorphism.  $\square$

### 3 Coalgebraic logic

Throughout this section, we consider  $T$  is an arbitrary endofunctor on the category  $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Fuzzy-Top}$  of fuzzy topological spaces and fuzzy continuous maps. We define colagebraic logic for **Fuzzy-Top**-colagebras. First, we introduce a notion of a predicate lifting for the endofunctor  $T$ , called fuzzy open predicate lifting.

**Definition 12.** *An  $n$ -ary fuzzy open predicate lifting is a natural transformation*

$$\lambda : \mathcal{Q}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \circ T.$$

The dual of  $\lambda$  is denoted by  $\check{\lambda}$  and defined as  $\check{\lambda}(\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n) = 1 - \lambda(1 - \mu_1, 1 - \mu_2, \dots, 1 - \mu_n)$ .

**Definition 13.** *We call a fuzzy open predicate lifting  $\lambda$  is*

$$(i) \text{ monotone if } \mu_1 \leq \eta_1, \dots, \mu_n \leq \eta_n \Rightarrow \lambda(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \leq \lambda(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n),$$

The collection  $\Sigma$  of fuzzy open predicate liftings for  $T$  is called a fuzzy geometric modal signature for  $T$ .

**Definition 14.** *A fuzzy geometric modal signature for an endofunctor  $T : \mathbf{Fuzzy-Top} \rightarrow \mathbf{Fuzzy-Top}$  is said to be monotone if every member of  $\Sigma$  is monotone.*

**Definition 15.** *A fuzzy geometric modal signature for an endofunctor  $T : \mathbf{Fuzzy-Top} \rightarrow \mathbf{Fuzzy-Top}$  is said to be characteristic for  $T$  if for each object  $X$  in **Fuzzy-Top**, the collection  $\{\lambda_X(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) : \lambda \in \Sigma, \mu_i \in \mathcal{Q}X\}$  is a sub-basis for the fuzzy topology on  $TX$ .*

We now introduce the notion of modal language for the fuzzy geometric modal signature  $\Sigma$ .

**Definition 16.** For a given fuzzy geometric modal signature  $\Sigma$  for  $T : \mathbf{Fuzzy-Top} \rightarrow \mathbf{Fuzzy-Top}$ , we define the modal language  $\mathbf{FGML}(\Sigma)$  by the grammar

$$\phi ::= \top | p | \phi_1 \wedge \phi_2 | \bigvee_{j \in J} \phi_j | \heartsuit^\lambda(\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n), \text{ where } \lambda \in \Sigma, p \in \Phi, \Phi$$

denotes the set of propositional variables and  $J$  is an index set.

**Definition 17.** A fuzzy geometric model for the functor  $T$  is a triple  $\mathcal{X} = (X, \sigma, \mathcal{V})$  where  $(X, \sigma)$  is a  $T$ -colagebra and  $\mathcal{V} : \Phi \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(X) \subseteq [0, 1]^X$  is a valuation function.

**Definition 18.** We define the category  $\mathbf{FMOD}(T)$  as follows.

- An object in  $\mathbf{FMOD}(T)$  is a fuzzy geometric model for  $T$ ;
- A morphism  $f : (X, \sigma_1, \mathcal{V}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_2, \mathcal{V}_Y)$  in  $\mathbf{FMOD}(T)$  is a coalgebra morphism  $f : (X, \sigma_1) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_2)$  which satisfy the following condition:

$$(i) f^{-1} \circ \mathcal{V}_Y = \mathcal{V}_X.$$

**Definition 19.** The semantics of a formula  $\alpha$  in  $\mathbf{FGML}(\Sigma)$  on a fuzzy geometric model  $\mathcal{X} = (X, \sigma, \mathcal{V})$  is defined as follows:

$$(i) [[\top]]_{\mathcal{X}}(x) = 1;$$

$$(ii) [[p]]_{\mathcal{X}}(x) = \mathcal{V}(p)(x);$$

$$(iii) [[\alpha \wedge \beta]]_{\mathcal{X}}(x) = [[\alpha]]_{\mathcal{X}}(x) \wedge [[\beta]]_{\mathcal{X}}(x);$$

$$(iv) [[\bigvee_{i \in J} \alpha_i]]_{\mathcal{X}}(x) = \text{Sup}\{[[\alpha_i]]_{\mathcal{X}}(x)\};$$

$$(v) [[\heartsuit^\lambda(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)]]_{\mathcal{X}}(x) = \lambda([[ \alpha_1 ]]_{\mathcal{X}}, [[ \alpha_2 ]]_{\mathcal{X}}, \dots, [[ \alpha_n ]]_{\mathcal{X}}) \circ \sigma(x).$$

We define  $gr(x \models \alpha) = [[\alpha]]_{\mathcal{X}}(x)$ . Two states  $x$  and  $y$  are modally equivalent if  $gr(x \models \alpha) = gr(y \models \alpha)$ , for all formulas  $\alpha$ . We express it by the notation  $x \equiv_{\Sigma} y$ .

In the following Proposition 1, we shall show that fuzzy geometric model morphisms preserve truth degrees.

**Proposition 1.** Let  $f : \mathcal{X} = (X, \sigma_1, \mathcal{V}_X) \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} = (Y, \sigma_2, \mathcal{V}_Y)$  be a morphism between fuzzy geometric models. Then  $gr(x \models \alpha) = gr(f(x) \models \alpha)$ ,  $\forall \alpha \in \mathbf{FGML}(\Sigma)$  and  $x \in X$ .

*Proof.* We have to show that  $[[\alpha]]_{\mathcal{X}}(x) = [[\alpha]]_{\mathcal{Y}}(f(x))$  for all formulas  $\alpha$ .  
If  $p$  is a propositional variable then by using the definition of fuzzy geometric model morphism, we can show that  $[[p]]_{\mathcal{X}}(x) = [[p]]_{\mathcal{Y}}(f(x))$  i.e.,  $gr(x \models p) = gr(f(x) \models p)$ .

It can be easily shown that  $gr(x \models \bigvee_{j \in J} \alpha_j) = gr(f(x) \models \bigvee_{j \in J} \alpha_j)$  and  $gr(x \models \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2) = gr(f(x) \models \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2)$ . The only part we have to show is that  $gr(x \models \heartsuit^\lambda(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)) = gr(f(x) \models \heartsuit^\lambda(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n))$ . Since  $f$  is a coalgebra morphism, henceforth we have  $Tf \circ \sigma_1 = \sigma_2 \circ f$  i.e., the following diagram 1 commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ \downarrow \sigma_1 & & \downarrow \sigma_2 \\ TX & \xrightarrow{Tf} & TY \end{array}$$

Figure 1:

Now the diagram 2 obtained by applying the functor  $\mathcal{Q}$  to the diagram 1 is also commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Q}X & \xleftarrow{\mathcal{Q}f=f^{-1}} & \mathcal{Q}Y \\ \mathcal{Q}\sigma_1=\sigma_1^{-1} \uparrow & & \uparrow \mathcal{Q}\sigma_2=\sigma_2^{-1} \\ \mathcal{Q}(TX) & \xleftarrow{\mathcal{Q}(Tf)=(Tf)^{-1}} & \mathcal{Q}(TY) \end{array}$$

Figure 2:

We observed that

$$\begin{aligned} [[\heartsuit^\lambda(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)]]_{\mathcal{X}}(x) &= \lambda_{\mathcal{X}}([[ \alpha_1 ]]_{\mathcal{X}}, \dots, [[ \alpha_n ]]_{\mathcal{X}}) \circ \sigma_1(x) \\ &= \lambda_{\mathcal{X}}([[ \alpha_1 ]]_{\mathcal{Y}} \circ f, \dots, [[ \alpha_n ]]_{\mathcal{Y}} \circ f) \circ \sigma_1(x) \\ &= \lambda_{\mathcal{Y}}([[ \alpha_1 ]]_{\mathcal{Y}}, \dots, [[ \alpha_n ]]_{\mathcal{Y}}) \circ Tf \circ \sigma_1(x) \text{ ( by naturality of } \lambda \text{ )} \\ &= \lambda_{\mathcal{Y}}([[ \alpha_1 ]]_{\mathcal{Y}}, \dots, [[ \alpha_n ]]_{\mathcal{Y}}) \circ \sigma_2 \circ f(x) \\ &= [[\heartsuit^\lambda(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)]]_{\mathcal{Y}}(f(x)) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $gr(x \models \heartsuit^\lambda(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)) = gr(f(x) \models \heartsuit^\lambda(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n))$ .  $\square$

## 4 Final coalgebra

In this section, we assume that  $T$  is an endofunctor on the category **SFuzzy-Top** of sober fuzzy topological spaces and a characteristic fuzzy geometric modal signature for the endofunctor  $T$ . We shall investigate a final model in  $FMOD(T)$  for the endofunctor  $T$ .

**Definition 20.** *Any two formulas  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are equivalent in  $FMOD(T)$  if and only if  $gr(x \models \alpha) = gr(x \models \beta)$ ,  $\forall x \in \mathcal{X} \in FMOD(T)$ . We write  $\alpha \equiv \beta$  which represents the formulas  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are equivalent.*

$[\alpha]$  denotes the equivalence class of a formula  $\alpha \in \mathbf{FGML}(\Sigma)$ . Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be the collection of equivalence class of formulas. We define  $gr(x \models [\alpha]) = gr(x \models \alpha)$ , for any  $x \in \mathcal{X} \in FMOD(T)$ . We shall show that  $\mathcal{E}$  is a frame.

**Proposition 2.**  *$\mathcal{E}$  is a frame.*

*Proof.* We define the order relation on  $\mathcal{E}$  as follows:  $[\alpha] \leq [\beta] \iff gr(x \models \alpha) \leq gr(x \models \beta)$ ,  $\forall x \in \mathcal{X}$ . As  $gr(x \models \alpha) = gr(x \models \alpha)$ , the order relation  $\leq$  is reflexive. It is easy to see that if  $[\alpha_1] \leq [\beta_1]$  and  $[\beta_1] \leq [\beta_3]$  then  $[\alpha_1] \leq [\beta_3]$ . Thus the order relation  $\leq$  is transitive. Now, if  $[\alpha] \leq [\beta]$  and  $[\beta] \leq [\alpha]$  then by the defined order relation we have  $gr(x \models \alpha) = gr(x \models \beta)$ ,  $\forall x \in \mathcal{X}$ . Hence,  $\alpha \equiv \beta$ . As a result,  $[\alpha] = [\beta]$ . So the order relation  $\leq$  is anti-symmetric. Therefore,  $\mathcal{E}$  is a poset with this order relation. Now we define  $gr(x \models [\alpha]) = gr(x \models \alpha)$ . As  $gr(x \models \alpha \wedge \beta) = [[\alpha \wedge \beta]]_{\mathcal{X}}(x) = [[\alpha]]_{\mathcal{X}}(x) \wedge [[\beta]]_{\mathcal{X}}(x) = gr(x \models \alpha) \wedge gr(x \models \beta)$ , hence  $[\alpha \wedge \beta] \in \mathcal{E}$  i.e.,  $[\alpha] \wedge [\beta] \in \mathcal{E}$ . Similarly, arbitrary join exists in  $\mathcal{E}$ . We observe that  $[\alpha] \wedge \bigvee_{j \in J} [\beta_j] = [\alpha] \wedge [\bigvee_{j \in J} \beta_j] = [\alpha \wedge \bigvee_{j \in J} \beta_j]$ . Now we have  $gr(x \models \alpha \wedge \bigvee_{j \in J} \beta_j) = gr(x \models \alpha) \wedge gr(x \models \bigvee_{j \in J} \beta_j) = [[\alpha]]_{\mathcal{X}}(x) \wedge [[\bigvee_{j \in J} \beta_j]]_{\mathcal{X}}(x) = [[\alpha]]_{\mathcal{X}}(x) \wedge Sup_{j \in J} \{ [[\beta_j]]_{\mathcal{X}}(x) \} = Sup_{j \in J} \{ [[\alpha]]_{\mathcal{X}}(x) \wedge [[\beta_j]]_{\mathcal{X}}(x) \} = [[\bigvee_{j \in J} \{ \alpha \wedge \beta_j \}]](x) = gr(x \models \bigvee_{j \in J} \alpha \wedge \beta_j)$ ,  $\forall x \in \mathcal{X}$ . So, we have  $[\alpha \wedge \bigvee_{j \in J} \beta_j] = [\bigvee_{j \in J} \{ \alpha \wedge \beta_j \}]$ . Henceforth,  $[\alpha] \wedge \bigvee_{j \in J} [\beta_j] = [\bigvee_{j \in J} \{ \alpha \wedge \beta_j \}] = \bigvee_{j \in J} \{ [\alpha \wedge \beta_j] \} = \bigvee_{j \in J} \{ [\alpha] \wedge [\beta_j] \}$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{E}$  is a frame.  $\square$

**Definition 21.** *Let  $\mathcal{F} = PT(\mathcal{E})$ . We define a map  $\tilde{f} : \mathcal{X} \in FMOD(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  by  $\tilde{f}(x) = p_x$ , where  $p_x$  is a frame homomorphism from  $\mathcal{E}$  to  $[0, 1]$  defined by  $p_x([\alpha]) = gr(x \models \alpha)$ .*



**Note 2.** The mapping  $\tilde{f} : \mathcal{X} \in FMOD(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  is continuous fuzzy mapping. Let  $[\alpha] \in \mathcal{E}$ . Then we show that  $\tilde{f}^{-1}(\Psi([\alpha])) = [[\alpha]]$  by the following:

$$\tilde{f}^{-1}(\Psi([\alpha]))(x) = \Psi([\alpha])\tilde{f}(x) = \tilde{f}(x)([\alpha]) = gr(x \models \alpha) = [[\alpha]](x).$$

Therefore,  $\tilde{f}^{-1}(\Psi([\alpha]))$  is an open fuzzy set on  $X$ , hence  $\tilde{f}$  is continuous.

**Definition 22.** Let  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{O} \circ T \circ PT$ . Then  $\mathcal{G}$  is a functor from the category  $\mathcal{FRM}$  of frames to the category  $\mathcal{FRM}$  of frames. Since the category  $\mathcal{S} - \mathcal{FRM}$  of spatial frames is dually equivalent to the category **SFuzzy-Top**, so the functor  $\mathcal{G}$  restricts to the endofunctor defined on the category  $\mathcal{S} - \mathcal{FRM}$ . As  $\Sigma$  is characteristic, the frame  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{E})$  is generated by  $\{\lambda_X([\alpha_1], \dots, [\alpha_n]) : \lambda \in \Sigma, \alpha_i \in \mathbf{FGML}(\Sigma)\}$ . Define a morphism  $\xi : \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  in  $\mathcal{FRM}$  by  $\xi(\lambda_{\mathcal{F}=PT\mathcal{E}}([\alpha_1], [\alpha_2], \dots, [\alpha_n])) = [\heartsuit^\lambda(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)]$ .

It is easy to show that the mapping  $\xi$  is well-defined.

**Definition 23.** Define a morphism  $\phi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow T\mathcal{F}$  by  $\phi = PT(\zeta) \circ \eta_{T\mathcal{F}}^{-1}$ , where the morphism  $PT(\zeta) : PT(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow PT(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{E}))$  is defined by  $PT(\zeta)(p)(\lambda_{\mathcal{F}}([\alpha_1], [\alpha_2], \dots, [\alpha_n])) = gr(p \models [\heartsuit^\lambda(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)])$  and the morphism  $\eta_{T\mathcal{F}} : T\mathcal{F} \rightarrow PT(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{E}))$  by  $\eta_{T\mathcal{F}}(p^*)(\lambda_{\mathcal{F}}([\alpha_1], [\alpha_2], \dots, [\alpha_n])) = gr(p^* \models [\heartsuit^\lambda(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)])$ , where  $p^* \in T\mathcal{F}$ .

**Note 3.** It follows from the the proof of the Theorem ?? that the morphism  $\eta_{T\mathcal{F}}$  is an isomorphism, consequently the morphism  $\phi$  is well-defined.

**Definition 24.** The triple  $(\mathcal{F}, \phi, \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}})$  is an object in  $FMOD(T)$ , where  $(\mathcal{F}, \phi)$  is a  $T$ -coalgebra and the valuation function  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}} : \Phi \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{F})$  is defined by  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}}(p)(u) = gr(p \models u) = u(p)$

**Lemma 1.**

**Proposition 3.** Let  $\mathcal{X} = (X, \gamma, V_X) \in FMOD(T)$ . Then the map  $\tilde{f} : X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  is a morphism in  $FMOD(T)$ .

*Proof.* We shall show that  $\tilde{f}$  is a  $T$ -coalgebra morphism and  $\tilde{f}^{-1} \circ \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}}$ . It is observed that for every propositional variable  $p$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}^{-1} \circ \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}}(p)(x) &= \tilde{f}^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}}(p))(x) \\ &= \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}}(p)(\tilde{f}(x)) \\ &= gr(p \models \tilde{f}(x)) \\ &= \tilde{f}(x)(p) \\ &= gr(x \models p) \text{ [ by the Definition21 ]} \\ &= \mathcal{V}_X(p)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Henceforth,  $\tilde{f}^{-1} \circ \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{V}_X$ . To prove  $\tilde{f}$  is a  $T$ -colagebra morphism, we show that  $T\tilde{f} \circ \gamma = \phi \circ \tilde{f}$  i.e., the diagram 3 commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & \mathcal{F} \\ \gamma \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi \\ TX & \xrightarrow{T\tilde{f}} & T\mathcal{F} \end{array}$$

Figure 3:

Now we observe that,

$$\begin{aligned} & gr(T\tilde{f} \circ \gamma(x) \in \lambda_{\mathcal{F}}(\Psi([\alpha_1]), \Psi([\alpha_2]), \dots, \Psi([\alpha_n]))) \\ &= gr(\gamma(x) \in T\tilde{f}^{-1}\lambda_{\mathcal{F}}(\Psi([\alpha_1]), \Psi([\alpha_2]), \dots, \Psi([\alpha_n]))) \\ &= gr(\gamma(x) \in \lambda_{\mathcal{F}}(\Psi([\alpha_1]), \Psi([\alpha_2]), \dots, \Psi([\alpha_n])) \circ T\tilde{f}) \\ &= gr(\gamma(x) \in \lambda_X([[ \alpha_1 ]], [[ \alpha_2 ]], \dots, [[ \alpha_n ]])) \\ &= \lambda_X([[ \alpha_1 ]]_X, [[ \alpha_2 ]]_X, \dots, [[ \alpha_n ]]_X) \circ \gamma(x) \\ &= [[\heartsuit^\lambda(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)]](x) \\ &= gr(x \models \heartsuit^\lambda(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)) \\ &= \tilde{f}(x)([[\heartsuit^\lambda([\alpha_1], [\alpha_2], \dots, [\alpha_n])]]) \\ &= gr(\phi \circ \tilde{f}(x) \in \lambda_{\mathcal{F}}(\Psi([\alpha_1]), \Psi([\alpha_2]), \dots, \Psi([\alpha_n]))) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $T\mathcal{F}$  is a sober fuzzy topological space, we have  $T\tilde{f} \circ \gamma = \phi \circ \tilde{f}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.** *The fuzzy geometric model for  $T$ ,  $\mathfrak{F} = (\mathcal{F}, \phi, \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}}) \in FMOD(T)$  is a final coalgebra in  $FMOD(T)$ .*

*Proof.* We prove it by showing that for any object  $\mathcal{X} = (X, \gamma, \mathcal{V}_X) \in FMOD(T)$  there exists a unique  $T$ -coalgebra morphism from  $\mathcal{X}$  to  $\mathfrak{F}$ . It follows from Proposition 3 that there exists a  $T$ -coalgebra morphism  $\tilde{f} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}$ . The only part we have to show is that  $\tilde{f}$  is unique. Let  $f^* : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}$  be a morphism in  $FMOD(T)$ . Then by Proposition 1, we have  $gr(x \models \alpha) = gr(f^*(x) \models [\alpha])$ . Now  $gr(\tilde{f}(x) \models [\alpha]) = \tilde{f}(x)[\alpha] = gr(x \models \alpha) = gr(f^*(x) \models [\alpha])$ . Consequently, we have  $\tilde{f}(x) = f^*(x)$ . Therefore,  $\mathfrak{F}$  is final in  $FMOD(T)$ .  $\square$

## 5 Bisimulations for Fuzzy geometric model

This section is aim to develop bisimulations for Fuzzy geometric models for  $T$ , where  $T$  is an endofunctor on the category of fuzzy topological spaces.

**Definition 25.** Let  $S$  and  $S'$  be two sets and  $R \subseteq S \times S'$  be a relation. Then, for  $E \subseteq S$  and  $E' \subseteq S'$ ,

$$R[E] = \{s' \in S' : eRs' \text{ for some } e \in E\}$$

$$R^{-1}[E'] = \{s \in S : sRe' \text{ for some } e' \in E'\}.$$

For a fuzzy set  $\eta$  on  $S'$ , we define an inverse image of  $\eta$  under the relation  $R$  by  $R^{-1}[\eta](s) = \bigvee \{\eta(s') : sRs'\}$

We define the Aczel-Mendeler bisimulation between fuzzy geometric models for  $T$ .

**Definition 26.** Let  $\mathcal{X}_1 = \{X_1, \gamma_1, \mathcal{V}_{X_1}\}$  and  $\mathcal{X}_2 = \{X_2, \gamma_2, \mathcal{V}_{X_2}\}$  be two fuzzy geometric models for  $T$ . Then Aczel-Mendeler bisimulation between  $\mathcal{X}_1$  and  $\mathcal{X}_2$  is a relation  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq X_1 \times X_2$  such that for all  $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $p \in \Phi$ ,  $\mathcal{V}_{X_1}(p)(x_1) = \mathcal{V}_{X_2}(p)(x_2)$ , i.e.,  $gr(x_1 \models p) = gr(x_2 \models p)$  and there exists a coalgebra structure  $\gamma^* : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow T\mathcal{R}$  which makes the projections  $\pi_1 : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow X_1$  and  $\pi_2 : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow X_2$  coalgebra morphisms satisfying  $\gamma_1 \circ \pi_1 = T(\pi_1) \circ \gamma^*$  and  $\gamma_2 \circ \pi_2 = T(\pi_2) \circ \gamma^*$ .

We now introduce a notion of  $\Sigma$ -bisimulation between the fuzzy geometric models for  $T$ .

**Definition 27.** Let  $\mathcal{X}_1 = (X_1, \gamma_1, \mathcal{V}_{X_1})$  and  $\mathcal{X}_2 = (X_2, \gamma_2, \mathcal{V}_{X_2})$  be two fuzzy geometric models for  $T$ . A  $\Sigma$ -bisimulation between  $\mathcal{X}_1$  and  $\mathcal{X}_2$  is a relation  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq X_1 \times X_2$  such that for all  $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $p \in \Phi$  and each pair of fuzzy opens  $(\mu_i, \eta_i) \in \mathcal{Q}(X_1) \times \mathcal{Q}(X_2)$  such that  $\mathcal{R}^{-1}(\eta_i) \leq \mu_i$  and  $\mathcal{R}(\mu_i) \leq \eta_i$ , we have  $gr(x_1 \models p) = gr(x_2 \models p)$  and  $gr(\gamma_1(x) \models \lambda_{X_1}(\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n)) = gr(\gamma_2(x) \models \lambda_{X_2}(\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n))$ .

Two states  $x_1 \in X_1$  and  $x_2 \in X_2$  are said to be  $\Sigma$ -bisimilar if there exists a  $\Sigma$ -bisimulation  $\mathcal{R}$  such that  $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathcal{R}$ .

**Corollary 2.** Let  $T$  be an endofunctor on the category of fuzzy topological spaces. If the two states  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are  $\Sigma$ -bisimilar then these states are modally equivalent.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a  $\Sigma$ -bisimulation between fuzzy geometric models  $\mathcal{X}_1 = \{X_1, \gamma_1, \mathcal{V}_{X_1}\}$  and  $\mathcal{X}_2 = \{X_2, \gamma_2, \mathcal{V}_{X_2}\}$ . Let  $x_1 \in X_1$  and  $x_2 \in X_2$  and  $x_1 \mathcal{R} x_2$ . We shall show that  $gr(x_1 \models \alpha) = gr(x_2 \models \alpha)$ ,  $\forall \alpha \in \mathbf{FGML}(\Sigma)$ . If  $p$  is a propositional variable then it follows from the definition of  $\Sigma$ -bisimulation

that  $gr(x_1 \models p) = gr(x_2 \models p)$ . It is easily shown that  $gr(x_1 \models \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2) = gr(x_2 \models \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2)$  and  $gr(x_1 \models \bigvee_{j \in J} \alpha_j) = gr(x_2 \models \bigvee_{j \in J} \alpha_j)$ . Now

$gr(x_1 \models \heartsuit^\lambda(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)) = gr(\gamma_1(x_1) \models \lambda_{\mathcal{X}}([\alpha_1]_{\mathcal{X}}, [\alpha_2]_{\mathcal{X}}, \dots, [\alpha_n]_{\mathcal{X}}))$ . It is observed from the principal of induction that for each  $i$ ,  $\mathcal{R}[[\alpha_i]_{X_1}] \leq [[\alpha_i]_{X_2}]$  and  $\mathcal{R}^{-1}[[\alpha_i]_{X_2}] \leq [[\alpha_i]_{X_1}]$ . Then we have  $gr(\gamma_1(x_1) \models \lambda_{\mathcal{X}}([\alpha_1]_{\mathcal{X}}, [\alpha_2]_{\mathcal{X}}, \dots, [\alpha_n]_{\mathcal{X}})) = gr(\gamma_2(x_2) \models \lambda_{X_2}([\alpha_1]_{X_2}, [\alpha_2]_{X_2}, \dots, [\alpha_n]_{X_2}))$ . Consequently,  $gr(x_1 \models \heartsuit^\lambda(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)) = gr(x_2 \models \heartsuit^\lambda(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n))$ . Therefore,  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are modally equivalent.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $T$  be an endofunctor on the category of fuzzy topological spaces and  $\Sigma$  a fuzzy geometric modal signature for  $T$ . Then Aczel-Mendeler bisimulation is a  $\Sigma$ -bisimulation.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be an Aczel-Mendeler bisimulation between fuzzy geometric models for  $T$   $\mathcal{X}_1 = (X_1, \gamma_1, \mathcal{V}_{X_1})$  and  $\mathcal{X}_2 = (X_2, \gamma_2, \mathcal{V}_{X_2})$ . We shall show that  $\mathcal{R}$  is a  $\Sigma$ -bisimulation. Suppose  $x_1 \mathcal{R} x_2$ , where  $x_1 \in X_1$  and  $x_2 \in X_2$ . Since  $\mathcal{R}$  is an Aczel-Mendeler bisimulation, we have  $gr(x_1 \models p) = gr(x_2 \models p)$ , for any propositional variable  $p \in \Phi$ . Let  $\lambda$  be a fuzzy open predicate lifting. Assume each pair of fuzzy open sets  $(\mu_i, \eta_i) \in \mathcal{Q}(X_1) \times \mathcal{Q}(X_2)$ ,  $\mathcal{R}^{-1}(\eta_i) \leq \mu_i$  and  $\mathcal{R}(\mu_i) \leq \eta_i$ .

$$\begin{aligned} gr(\gamma_1(x_1) \models \lambda_{X_1}(\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n)) &= gr(\mathcal{R}(x_1, x_2) \models (T\pi_1)^{-1}(\lambda_{X_1}(\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n))) \\ &= gr(\mathcal{R}(x_1, x_2) \models \lambda_{\mathcal{R}}(\mu_1 \circ \pi_1, \mu_2 \circ \pi_1, \dots, \mu_n \circ \pi_1)) \\ \text{(since } \lambda \text{ is natural transformation)} & \\ &= gr(\mathcal{R}(x_1, x_2) \models \lambda_{\mathcal{R}}(\mu_1 \circ \pi_1, \mu_2 \circ \pi_1, \dots, \mu_n \circ \pi_1)) \\ &= gr(\mathcal{R}(x_1, x_2) \models \lambda_{\mathcal{R}}(\eta_1 \circ \pi_2, \eta_2 \circ \pi_2, \dots, \eta_n \circ \pi_2)) \\ &= gr(\mathcal{R}(x_1, x_2) \models (T\pi_2)^{-1}(\lambda_{X_2}(\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n))) \\ &= gr(\gamma_2(x_2) \models \lambda_{X_2}(\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n)) \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $\mathcal{X} = (X, \gamma, \mathcal{V}_X)$  be a fuzzy geometric models for  $T$ . Then  $\mathcal{R}' = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{R} : \mathcal{R} \text{ is a } \Sigma\text{-bisimulation from } \mathcal{X} \text{ to } \mathcal{X} \}$  is also a  $\Sigma$ -bisimulation from  $\mathcal{X}$  to  $\mathcal{X}$ .*

*Proof.* Consider for each pair of fuzzy open sets  $(\mu_i, \mu'_i)$  in  $X$ ,  $\mathcal{R}'^{-1}[\mu'_i] \leq \mu_i$  and  $\mathcal{R}'[\mu_i] \leq \mu'_i$ . Let  $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathcal{R}'$ . Then there exists  $\mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{R}'$  such that  $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathcal{R}$ . Since  $\mathcal{R}$  is  $\Sigma$ -bisimulation, we have  $\mathcal{V}_X(p)(x_1) = \mathcal{V}_X(p)(x_2)$  i.e.,  $gr(x_1 \models p) = gr(x_2 \models p)$ . We observe that  $\mathcal{R}^{-1}[\mu'_i] \leq \mathcal{R}'^{-1}[\mu'_i] \leq \mu_i$

and  $\mathcal{R}[\mu_i] \leq \mathcal{R}'[\mu_i] \leq \mu_i$ . Now, we get  $gr(\gamma(x_1) \models \lambda_X(\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n)) = gr(\gamma(x_2) \models \lambda_X(\mu'_1, \mu'_2, \dots, \mu'_n))$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{R}'$  is  $\Sigma$ -bisimulation from  $\mathcal{X}$  to itself.  $\square$

## 6 Conclusion

We have introduced the notion of fuzzy open predicate lifting for an endofunctor  $T$  on **Fuzzy-Top**. We have defined the semantics of a formula in **FGML**( $\Sigma$ ) by introducing the concept of fuzzy geometric model. After that, we have explored the final model in the category **FMOD**( $T$ ) of fuzzy geometric models, where  $T$  is an endofunctor on the category **SFuzzy-Top** of sober fuzzy topological spaces.

Finally, we have discussed bisimulations for fuzzy geometric models. The notion of Aczel-Mendler bisimulation for fuzzy geometric models have been introduced in our work, and we have explored a relation with the  $\Sigma$ -bisimulation for fuzzy geometric models. Further, we have shown that  $\Sigma$ -bisimilarity implies the modal equivalence.

## References

- [1] J. H. Adámek, H. Herrlich, G. E. Strecker.: Abstract and Concrete Categories, second ed., Dover Publications, Mineola, New York, 2009.
- [2] Vickers, Steven.: Topology via logic. Cambridge University Press, 1996.
- [3] Abramsky, Samson.: Domain theory and the logic of observable properties. arXiv preprint arXiv:1112.0347, 2011.
- [4] Goldblatt, Robert.: Topoi: the categorial analysis of logic. Elsevier, 2014.
- [5] Johnstone, Peter T.: Topos theory. Courier Corporation, 2014.
- [6] MacLane, Saunders., Moerdijk, Ieke.: Sheaves in geometry and logic. Springer-Verlag, 1992.
- [7] Vickers, Steven.: Geometric logic in computer science. Theory and Formal Methods 1993, Springer-Verlag, London, 37-54, 1993.
- [8] Vickers, Steven.: Topology via constructive logic. Logic, Language, and Computation, 2, 1999.
- [9] Chakraborty, Mihir K., Jana, Purbita.: Fuzzy topology via fuzzy geometric logic with graded consequence. International Journal of Approximate Reasoning, 80, 334-347, 2017.
- [10] Chang, Chin-Liang.: Fuzzy topological spaces. Journal of mathematical Analysis and Applications, 24(1), 182-190, 1968.
- [11] Chakraborty, M K., Ahsanullah, TMG.: Fuzzy topology on fuzzy sets and tolerance topology. Fuzzy sets and systems, 45(1), 103-108,1992.
- [12] Hohle, Ulrich.: Fuzzy topologies and topological space objects in a topos. Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 19(3), 299-304, 1986.
- [13] Lowen, R.: Fuzzy topological spaces and fuzzy compactness. Journal of Mathematical analysis and applications, 56(3), 621-633, 1976.
- [14] Pao-Ming, Pu., Ying-Ming, Liu: Fuzzy topology. I. Neighborhood structure of a fuzzy point and Moore-Smith convergence. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 76(2), 571-599, 1980.
- [15] Syropoulos, Apostolos., de Paiva, Valeria.: Fuzzy topological systems. arXiv preprint arXiv:1107.2513, 2011.

- [16] Chakraborty, Mihir Kumar., Dutta, Soma.: Theory of Graded Consequence. Springer, 2019.
- [17] Kurz, Alexander.: Coalgebras and their logics. ACM SIGACT News, 37(2), 57-77, 2006.
- [18] Cîrstea, Corina., Kurz, Alexander., Pattinson, Dirk., Schröder, Lutz., Venema, Yde.: Modal logics are coalgebraic. The Computer Journal, Oxford University Press, 54(1), 31-41, 2011.
- [19] Kupke, Clemens., Pattinson, Dirk.: Coalgebraic semantics of modal logics: An overview. Theoretical Computer Science, 412(38), 5070-5094, 2011.
- [20] Moss, Lawrence S.: Coalgebraic logic. Annals of Pure and Applied Logic, 96(1), 1999.
- [21] Pattinson, Dirk.: Coalgebraic modal logic: Soundness, completeness and decidability of local consequence. Theoretical Computer Science, 309(1), 2003.
- [22] Enqvist, Sebastian., Sourabh, Sumit.: Bisimulations for coalgebras on Stone spaces. Journal of Logic and Computation, 28(6), 991-1010, 2018.
- [23] Venema, Yde., Vickers, Steven., Vosmaer, Jacob.: Generalised powerlocales via relation lifting. Mathematical Structures in Computer Science, 23(1), 142-199, 2013.
- [24] Bezhanishvili, Nick., de Groot, Jim., Venema, Yde.: Coalgebraic Geometric Logic: Basic Theory. arXiv preprint arXiv:1903.08837, 2019.
- [25] Sangiorgi, Davide.: On the origins of bisimulation and coinduction. ACM Transactions on Programming Languages and Systems (TOPLAS), 31(4), 1-41, 2009.
- [26] Staton, Sam.: Relating coalgebraic notions of bisimulation. Logical Methods in Computer Science, 7, 2011.
- [27] Aczel, Peter., Mendler, Nax.: A final coalgebra theorem. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 389, 357-365, 1989.
- [28] Kotzé, Wesley.: Fuzzy sobriety and fuzzy Hausdorff. Quaestiones Mathematicae, 20(3), 415-422, 1997.