

On the monomial algebra associated to the monomial characters of a finite group

Mircea Cimpoeaş and Alexandru F. Radu

Abstract

Given a finite group G , we study the monomial algebra R_G , generated by the monomial characters of G . In particular, we note that the integral closure of R_G is contained in the algebra generated by those characters χ for which their associated Artin L-function $L(s, \chi)$ is holomorphic at $s_0 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$. Also, we discuss the supercharacter theoretic case.

Keywords: Artin L-function; monomial group; almost monomial group; supercharacter theory.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11R42; 20C15.

1 Introduction

A group G is called *monomial*, if every complex irreducible character χ of G is induced by a linear character λ of a subgroup H of G , that is $\chi = \lambda^G$. A group G is called *quasi-monomial*, if for every irreducible character χ of G , there exists a subgroup H of G and a linear character λ of H such that $\lambda^G = d\chi$, where d is a positive integer. A finite group G is called *almost monomial* if for every distinct complex irreducible characters χ and ψ of G there exist a subgroup H of G and a linear character λ of H such that the induced character λ^G contains χ and does not contain ψ .

Let G be a finite group with $\text{Irr}(G) = \{\chi_1, \dots, \chi_r\}$. Let $M(G)$ be the monoid generated by the monomial characters of G . Let K be an arbitrary field and let $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_r]$ be the polynomial ring in r indeterminates over K . We consider the K -algebra $R_G = K[M(G)]$ and we assume that it is minimally generated by the monomials u_1, \dots, u_p . In Proposition 2.5 we give a characterization of almost monomial groups in terms of u_1, \dots, u_p . Let $N \trianglelefteq G$ be a normal subgroup of G . In Proposition 2.9, we prove that $R_{G/N} = R_G \cap K[x_1, \dots, x_s]$, where $N \subseteq \text{Ker}(\chi_i)$ if and only if $1 \leq i \leq s$. In Theorem 2.10, we prove that $R_{G_1 \times G_2} = R_{G_1} \otimes_K R_{G_2}$.

Let K/\mathbb{Q} be a finite Galois extension with Galois group G . For any character χ of G , let $L(s, \chi) := L(s, \chi, K/\mathbb{Q})$ be the corresponding Artin L-function ([2, P.296]). Let $\text{Hol}(s_0)$ be the semigroup of Artin L-functions, holomorphic at $s_0 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$; see [12] for further details. In Theorem 2.11, we prove that the integral closure of R_G is a subalgebra of $K[\text{Hol}(s_0)]$.

The notion of a supercharacter theory for a finite group was introduced in 2008, by Diaconis and Isaacs [6], as follows: A supercharacter theory of a finite group G , is a pair $C = (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{K})$ where $\mathcal{X} = \{X_1, \dots, X_r\}$ is a partition of $\text{Irr}(G)$, the set of irreducible characters of G , and \mathcal{K} is a partition of G , such that: (1) $\{1\} \in \mathcal{K}$, (2) $|\mathcal{X}| = |\mathcal{K}|$ and (3) $\sigma_X := \sum_{\psi \in X} \psi(1)\psi$ is constant for each $X \in \mathcal{X}$ and $K \in \mathcal{K}$. In Section 3, we extend our main results in the frame of supercharacter theory. In Section 4, we present a GAP code [7] which returns the Hilbert basis of the monoid $M(G)$. Also, we conjecture a formula for R_G , where $G = SL(2, 2^n)$; see Conjecture 4.1.

2 Main results

Let G be a finite group with $\text{Irr}(G) = \{\chi_1, \dots, \chi_r\}$, the set of irreducible (complex) characters. It is well known that any character χ of G can be written uniquely as

$$\chi = a_1\chi_1 + \dots + a_r\chi_r,$$

where a_i 's are non-negative integers and at least one of them is positive.

A *virtual character* χ of G is a linear combination $\chi := a_1\chi_1 + \dots + a_r\chi_r$, with $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. The set $\text{Char}(G)$ of virtual characters of G has a structure of a ring and it's called the character ring of G . In particular, $(\text{Char}(G), +)$ is an abelian group, isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}^r, +)$. We denote $\text{Ch}_+(G)$, the set of characters of G . $\text{Ch}(G) := \text{Ch}^+(G) \cup \{0\}$ is a submonoid of $(\text{Char}(G), +)$, isomorphic to $(\mathbb{N}^r, +)$.

A character χ is *monomial*, if there exists a subgroup $H \leq G$ and a linear character λ of H such that $\lambda^G = \chi$. We denote $\text{Mon}(G)$, the set of monomial characters of G . We let $M(G)$ be the submonoid of $\text{Ch}(G)$, generated by $\text{Mon}(G)$, that is:

$$M(G) = \{\chi \in \text{Ch}(G) : \chi = \psi_1 + \dots + \psi_m \text{ where } m \geq 0, \psi_i \in \text{Mon}(G), \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq m\}.$$

Note that $M(G)$ is a finitely generated submonoid of $\text{Ch}(G)$, hence it is affine. Moreover, $M(G)$ is positive, as 0 is the only unit of it. Let $\text{Hilb}(M(G)) \subset \text{Mon}(G)$ be the Hilbert basis of $M(G)$, that is the minimal system of generators.

We assume that $\text{Hilb}(M(G)) = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_p\}$, where $\sigma_i = a_{i1}\chi_1 + \dots + a_{ir}\chi_r$, for some nonnegative integers a_{ij} . We denote $A := A(G) = (a_{ij})_{\substack{i=1,p \\ j=1,r}} \in \mathcal{M}_{p,r}(\mathbb{Z})$.

Brauer's induction theorem [3] shows that $(\text{Char}(G), +)$ is generated, as a group, by $\text{Mon}(G)$. In particular, $\text{Hilb}(M(G))$ generates $(\text{Char}(G), +)$ as a group. In particular, $M(G)$ is a monoid of the rank r and therefore $p \geq r$.

Let K be an arbitrary field and let $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_r]$ be the polynomial ring in n indeterminates over K . We consider the K -algebra

$$R_G := K[M(G)] := K[u_1, \dots, u_p] \subset S, \quad u_i := \prod_{j=1}^r x_j^{a_{ij}}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq p.$$

Remark 2.1. Assuming that $\chi_1 = 1_G$ is the trivial character of G , it follows that $u_1 = x_1 \in R_G$. Let $\text{Reg}(G) = \sum_{i=1}^r d_i \chi_i$ be the regular character of G and let

$$u_G := x_1^{d_1} x_2^{d_2} \dots x_r^{d_r} \in R_G$$

be the corresponding monomial. According do Brauer-Aramata Theorem, see [1] and [3], $\text{Reg}(G) - 1_G$ can be written as a linear combination with rational positive coefficients of monomial characters. It follows that there exists an integer $\alpha \geq 1$ such that $\alpha(\text{Reg}(G) - 1_G) \in M(G)$. Therefore:

$$(u_G/x_1)^\alpha = x_2^{\alpha d_2} \dots x_r^{\alpha d_r} \in R_G. \quad (2.1)$$

Moreover, according to [13, Theorem 2], which generalizes Aramata-Brauer Theorem, using a similar argument as above, for any $j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, there exists a smallest integer $\alpha_j \geq 1$ such that:

$$(u_G/x_j)^{\alpha_j} = x_1^{\alpha_j d_1} \cdots x_{j-1}^{\alpha_j d_{j-1}} \cdot x_j^{\alpha_j(d_j-1)} \cdot x_{j+1}^{\alpha_j d_{j+1}} \cdots x_r^{\alpha_j d_r} \in R_G. \quad (2.2)$$

We have the canonical epimorphism:

$$\Phi : K[t_1, \dots, t_p] \rightarrow R_G, \quad \Phi(t_i) := u_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq p.$$

The ideal $I_G := \text{Ker}(\Phi)$ is the toric ideal of $M(G)$. Note that $I_G = \{0\}$, that is, the monoid $M(G)$ is factorial, if and only if $r = p$.

According to Brauer's induction Theorem, any irreducible character χ of G , can be written as a linear combination of monomial characters, with integer coefficients. It follows that:

$$K[u_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, u_p^{\pm 1}] = K[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_r^{\pm 1}], \quad (2.3)$$

the ring of Laurent polynomials. In particular, we have that $\dim(M(G)) = r$, hence $p \geq r$. Moreover, $p = r$ if and only if $I_G = \{0\}$.

The identity (2.3) is equivalent to say that there exists a $B \in \mathcal{M}_{r,p}(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $A \cdot B = I_r$. In particular, if $p = r$, this is equivalent to $\det(A) \in \{-1, +1\}$.

We state without proof, the following (obvious) result:

Proposition 2.2. *Let G be a finite group. Then:*

- (1) G is monomial if and only if $\text{Hilb}(M(G)) = \text{Irr}(G)$ if and only if $R_G = S = K[x_1, \dots, x_r]$.
- (2) G is quasi-monomial if and only if $\text{Hilb}(M(G)) = \{a_1 \chi_1, \dots, a_r \chi_r\}$ if and only if $R_G = S = K[x_1^{a_1}, \dots, x_r^{a_r}]$, where a_1, \dots, a_r are some positive integers.

According to Proposition 2.2, if G is (quasi)-monomial, then $I_G = \{0\}$. We propose the following Conjecture:

Conjecture 2.3. *If G is a finite group with $I_G = \{0\}$ then G is monomial.*

For a character ψ of G , we denote $\text{Cons}(\psi)$ the set of constituents of ψ . We recall the following definition, which generalize quasi-monomial groups:

Definition 2.4. ([12]) *A finite group G is called almost monomial (or AM-group) if for every two distinct characters $\chi \neq \psi \in \text{Irr}(G)$, there exist a subgroup H of G and a linear character λ of H such $\chi \in \text{Cons}(\lambda^G)$ and $\psi \notin \text{Cons}(\lambda^G)$.*

Regarding Conjecture 2.3, we are able to prove the following result:

Proposition 2.5. *Let G be an almost monomial group with $r = |\text{Irr}(G)| \leq 4$ and $I_G = (0)$. Then G is monomial.*

Proof. Assume that $R_G = K[u_1, \dots, u_r]$ and $u_i = \prod_{j=1}^r x_j^{a_{ij}}$, $1 \leq i \leq r$. Let $A = (a_{ij})_{i=1, \dots, r; j=1, \dots, r}$.

We note that G is monomial if and only if A is a permutation matrix. We assume that χ_1 is the trivial character of G , and thus $a_{11} = 1$ and $a_{1j} = 0$ for $2 \leq j \leq r$.

(i) $r = 1$. This case is trivial.

(ii) $r = 2$. We have $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_{12} \\ 0 & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}$. For $2 \neq 1$, there exists $i \in \{1, 2\}$, such that $x_2|u_i$ and $x_1 \nmid u_i$. Since $u_1 = x_1$, it follows that $x_1 \nmid u_2$, hence $a_{12} = 0$ and $a_{22} > 0$. Since $\det(A) = \pm 1$ and $a_{22} > 0$, it follows that $a_{22} = 1$. Therefore $A = I_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is a permutation matrix.

(iii) $r = 3$. We have $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ 0 & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ 0 & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix}$. Up to a renumerotation, we may assume that $x_2|u_2$, $x_3 \nmid u_2$, $x_3|u_3$ and $x_2 \nmid u_3$. It follows that $a_{31} = a_{23} = 0$ and $\det(A) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ 0 & a_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_{33} \end{vmatrix} = a_{22}a_{33} = 1$, hence $a_{22} = a_{33} = 1$. So $u_1 = x_1$, $u_2 = x_1^{a_{12}}x_2$, $u_3 = x_1^{a_{13}}x_3$. If $a_{12} > 0$, then there is no $1 \leq i \leq 3$ such that $x_2|u_i$ and $x_1 \nmid u_i$, a contradiction. Similarly, the assumption $a_{13} > 0$ leads to a contradiction. It follows that $A = I_3$.

(iv) $r = 4$. Up to a renumerotation, we may assume that $x_2|u_2$, $x_3 \nmid u_2$, $x_3|u_3$ and $x_2 \nmid u_3$. This implies $a_{22} > 0$, $a_{23} = 0$, $a_{32} = 0$ and $a_{33} > 0$. Since $x_2 \nmid u_1$ and $x_2 \nmid u_3$, it follows that either (a) $x_2|u_4$ and $x_4 \nmid u_4$ or (b) $x_4 \nmid u_2$.

(a) We have that

$$\det(A) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} \\ 0 & a_{22} & 0 & a_{24} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{33} & a_{34} \\ 0 & a_{42} & a_{43} & 0 \end{vmatrix} = -a_{24}a_{33}a_{42} - a_{34}a_{43}a_{22} = \pm 1, \quad (2.4)$$

If $a_{42} = 0$, then there is no u_i such that $x_4|u_i$ and $x_2 \nmid u_i$, a contradiction, hence $a_{42} \neq 0$. Similarly, we have $a_{43} \neq 0$. Since $a_{22} > 0$ and $a_{42} > 0$, we must have $a_{24} > 0$, otherwise there is no u_i with $x_2|u_i$ and $x_4 \nmid u_i$. From (2.4) it follows

that $a_{24} = a_{33} = a_{42} = 1$ and $a_{34} = 0$, hence $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} \\ 0 & a_{22} & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_{42} & a_{43} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Since

$a_{43} > 0$, there is no u_i such that $x_3|u_i$ and $x_4 \nmid u_i$, a contradiction.

(b) Since $a_{42} = 0$, we have that $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} \\ 0 & a_{22} & 0 & a_{24} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{33} & a_{34} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{43} & a_{44} \end{pmatrix}$. Note that u_3 is the only

possible choice for $x_3|u_3$ and $x_4 \nmid u_4$, therefore $a_{43} = 0$. Since $x_4 \nmid u_i$ for $i \neq 3$, it follows that $x_4|u_4$ and $x_3 \nmid u_4$, therefore $a_{34} = 0$. It follows that $\det(A) =$

$$a_{22}a_{33}a_{44} = \pm 1, \text{ hence } a_{22} = a_{33} = a_{44} = 1. \text{ We have } A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & a_{24} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & a_{34} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now, since for any $4 \neq j$ there exists u_i such that $x_4|u_i$ and $x_j \nmid u_i$, it follows that $a_{14} = a_{24} = a_{34} = 0$. Similarly, $a_{12} = a_{13} = 0$. Thus $A = I_4$.

□

Remark 2.6. The conclusion of Proposition 2.5 can be also obtained from the classification of finite groups according to the number of conjugacy classes, $r = r(G) \leq 4$, see [9, TABLE 1]. The method used in the proof of the Proposition 2.5 does not work for $r \geq 5$.

For instance, the matrix $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ has the determinant $\det(A) = 1$. Also, if

we let $u_1 = x_1$, $u_2 = x_2^2x_4$, $u_3 = x_3x_4$, $u_4 = x_2x_5$ and $u_5 = x_3x_5$, then it is easy to check that for any $j \neq k$, there exist i such that $x_j|u_i$ and $x_k \nmid u_i$.

For a monomial $u \in K[x_1, \dots, x_r]$, we denote $\text{supp}(u) = \{x_i : x_i|u\}$, the *support* of u .

Proposition 2.7. *Let G be a finite group with $\text{Irr}(G) = \{\chi_1, \dots, \chi_r\}$ and $R_G = K[u_1, \dots, u_p]$. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) G is almost monomial.
- (2) For any $j \neq k \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, there exists $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $x_j|u_i$ and $x_k \nmid u_i$.
- (3) For any $k \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, there exists a subset $I_j \subset \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ such that

$$\text{supp}\left(\prod_{i \in I_j} u_i\right) = \{x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_r\}.$$

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) By Definition 2.4, there exists a subgroup $H \leq G$ and a linear character λ of H such that $\chi_j \in \text{Cons}(\lambda^G)$ and $\chi_k \notin \text{Cons}(\lambda^G)$. Let $u := \prod_{i=1}^r x_i^{\langle \lambda^G, \chi_i \rangle}$. It follows that $x_j|u$ and $x_k \nmid u$. Since $u \in R_G$, there exists $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $u_i|u$ and $x_j|u_i$. Since $x_k \nmid u$, it follows that $x_k \nmid u_i$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) For each $j \in \{1, \dots, r\} \setminus \{k\}$, let $i_j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $x_j|u_{i_j}$ and $x_k \nmid u_{i_j}$. Let $I_j := \{i_j : j \in \{1, \dots, r\} \setminus \{k\}\}$. Then $\text{supp}(\prod_{i \in I_j} u_i) = \{x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_r\}$, as required.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Follows immediately from [5, Proposition 1.3].

□

Example 2.8. (1) Let $G := SL(2, 3)$. Then $R_G = K[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_7, x_4x_5, x_4x_6, x_5x_6, x_4x_5x_6]$. Note that G satisfies the condition (2) of Proposition 2.7, hence it is almost monomial. Moreover, we have $R_G \cong K[t_1, \dots, t_8]/(t_5t_6t_7 - t_8^2)$.

(2) Let $G := GL(2, 3)$. Then $R_G = K[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_6, x_7, x_8, x_4x_8, x_5x_8, x_4x_5x_8]$. Note that there is no monomial $u \in R_G$ with $\text{supp}(u) = \{x_1, \dots, x_7\}$. Hence, by Proposition 2.7(3), it follows that G is not almost monomial. Moreover, we have $R_G \cong K[t_1, \dots, t_9]/(t_6t_9 - t_7t_8)$.

Let G be a finite group. We denote by $\widehat{M(G)}$, the integral closure of $M(G)$ in $\text{Ch}(G)$. Also, we consider the (normal) monomial algebra $\widehat{R}_G := K[\widehat{M(G)}]$. Obviously, $R_G \subset \widehat{R}_G$.

Proposition 2.9. *For any finite group G , we have:*

$$K[x_1, x_2^{d_2} \cdots x_r^{d_r}, x_1x_2^{d_2-1}x_3^{d_3} \cdots x_r^{d_r}, \dots, x_1x_2^{d_2} \cdots x_{r-1}^{d_{r-1}}x_r^{d_r-1}] \subset \widehat{R}_G.$$

Proof. Note that, according to (2.2), for any $1 \leq j \leq r$, we have:

$$u_G/x_j = x_1^{d_1} \cdots x_{j-1}^{d_{j-1}} \cdot x_j^{d_j-1} \cdot x_{j+1}^{d_{j+1}} \cdots x_r^{d_r} \in \widehat{R}_G. \quad (2.5)$$

Since $x_1 \in R_G \subset \widehat{R}_G$, from (2.5) we get the required conclusion. \square

A natural question which arise is the following: Is the monoid $M(G)$ normal, for any finite group G ? The answer is no, as the following example shows:

Example 2.10. Let $G = A_6$, the alternating group of order 6. Then:

$$R_G = K[x_1, x_1x_2, x_1x_2x_6, x_1x_3, x_1x_3x_6, x_1x_6, x_2x_3, x_2x_3x_4x_5^2x_6^2x_7^2, x_2x_3x_4^2x_5x_6^2x_7^2, x_2x_4x_5x_6, x_2x_7, x_3x_4x_5x_6, x_3x_7, x_4x_5x_7^2, x_4x_5x_6x_7^2, x_7].$$

Using Normaliz [4] we compute:

$$\widehat{R}_G = K[x_1, x_1x_2, x_1x_2x_6, x_1x_3, x_1x_3x_6, x_1x_6, x_2x_3, x_2x_3x_4x_5^2x_6^2x_7^2, x_2x_3x_4^2x_5x_6^2x_7^2, x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6, x_2x_4x_5x_6, x_2x_7, x_3x_4x_5x_6, x_3x_7, x_4x_5x_7^2, x_4x_5x_6x_7^2, x_7].$$

Hence, $\widehat{R}_G = R_G[x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6]$ and $R_G \subset \widehat{R}_G$ is strict. Note that $(x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6)^2 \in R_G$. Also, we mention that G is not almost monomial.

Let G be a finite group and $N \trianglelefteq G$ a normal subgroup. It is well known that $\text{Irr}(G/N)$ is in bijection with the set

$$\{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G) : N \subset \text{Ker}(\chi)\}.$$

For a character $\tilde{\chi} \in \text{Irr}(G/N)$, we denote χ the corresponding character in $\text{Irr}(G)$, that is $\chi(g) := \tilde{\chi}(\hat{g})$ for all $g \in G$, where \hat{g} is the class of g in G/N .

Theorem 2.11. *Let G be a finite group and $N \trianglelefteq G$ a normal subgroup. We assume that $\text{Irr}(G) = \{\chi_1, \dots, \chi_r\}$ and $\text{Irr}(G/N) = \{\tilde{\chi}_1, \dots, \tilde{\chi}_s\}$, where $s \leq r$. Then:*

$$R_{G/N} = R_G \cap K[x_1, \dots, x_s].$$

Proof. The inclusion $R_{G/N} \subset K[x_1, \dots, x_s]$ is clear. If $H/N \leq G/N$ and $\tilde{\lambda}$ is a linear character of H/N , then $\tilde{\lambda}^{G/N} = \tilde{\lambda}^G$. It follows that $R_{G/N} \subset R_G$.

For the converse inclusion, let $u \in R_G \cap K[x_1, \dots, x_s]$. We may assume that there exists a subgroup $H \leq G$ and a linear character λ of H such that $u = x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_s^{a_s}$, where $\lambda^G = a_1\chi_1 + \cdots + a_s\chi_s$. Since $N \subset \text{Ker}(\chi_i)$ for any $1 \leq i \leq s$, it follows that $N \subset \text{Ker}(\lambda^G)$ and, therefore, $N \subset H$. \square

Theorem 2.12. *Let G_1, G_2 be two finite groups and assume that $R_{G_1} = K[u_1, \dots, u_m] \subset K[x_1, \dots, x_r]$ and $R_{G_2} = K[v_1, \dots, v_t] \subset K[y_1, \dots, y_s]$. Then:*

$$R_{G_1 \times G_2} = K[u_i v_j : 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq t] \subset K[x_i y_j : 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq t].$$

In other words, $R_{G_1 \times G_2} = R_{G_1} \otimes_K R_{G_2}$.

Proof. It suffices to prove that $\text{Mon}(G_1 \times G_2) = \text{Mon}(G_1) \times \text{Mon}(G_2)$. Let $\chi \in \text{Mon}(G_1 \times G_2)$. Then there exist $H \leq G$ and $\lambda \in \text{Lin}(H)$ such that $\lambda^G = \chi$. On the other hand $H = H_1 \times H_2$ and $\lambda = \lambda_1 \times \lambda_2$, where $H_i \leq G_i$, $\lambda_i \in \text{Lin}(H_i)$, $i = 1, 2$. Let $\chi_1 = \lambda_1^{G_1}$ and $\chi_2 = \lambda_2^{G_2}$. It follows that $\lambda^G = \lambda_1^{G_1} \times \lambda_2^{G_2}$, hence $\text{Mon}(G_1 \times G_2) \subset \text{Mon}(G_1) \times \text{Mon}(G_2)$. The other inclusion is similar. \square

Let K/\mathbb{Q} be a finite Galois extension with Galois group G . Let $s_0 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$ and let

$$H(s_0) = \{\chi \in \text{Ch}(G) : L(s, \chi) \text{ is holomorphic at } s_0\}.$$

The semigroup $H(s_0)$ was firstly introduced by F. Nicolae in [12].

Theorem 2.13. *$H(s_0)$ is a normal submonoid of $\text{Ch}(G)$. Moreover, $\widehat{M(G)} \subset H(s_0)$, hence $\widehat{R_G} \subset K[H(s_0)]$.*

Proof. Since, for any monomial character χ of G , the L -function $L(s, \chi)$ is holomorphic on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$, it follows that $M(G) \subset H(s_0)$. Let $\chi \in \text{Ch}(G)$ such that $d\chi \in H(s_0)$ for some positive integer d . It follows that $L(s, d\chi) = L(s, \chi)^d$ is holomorphic at s_0 , hence $L(s, \chi)$ is holomorphic at s_0 . Therefore, $H(s_0)$ is normal.

Since $M(G) \subset H(s_0)$ and $H(s_0)$ is normal, it follows that $\widehat{M(G)} \subset H(s_0)$, hence $\widehat{R_G} \subset K[H(s_0)]$. \square

Corollary 2.14. *Let G be a finite group. Then:*

(1) *If G is monomial, then $R_G = \widehat{R_G} = K[H(s_0)] = K[x_1, \dots, x_r]$.*

(2) *If G is quasi-monomial, then $K[x_1^{a_1}, \dots, x_r^{a_r}] = R_G \subset \widehat{R_G} = K[H(s_0)] = K[x_1, \dots, x_r]$.*

Proof. The proof is immediate from Proposition 2.2 and Theorem 2.13. \square

3 Supercharacter theoretic case

Diaconis and Isaacs [6] introduced the theory of supercharacters as follows:

Definition 3.1. *Let G be a finite group. Let \mathcal{K} be a partition of G and let \mathcal{X} be a partition of $\text{Irr}(G)$. The ordered pair $C := (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{K})$ is a supercharacter theory if:*

- (1) $\{1\} \in \mathcal{K}$,
- (2) $|\mathcal{X}| = |\mathcal{K}|$, and
- (3) for each $X \in \mathcal{X}$, the character $\sigma_X = \sum_{\psi \in X} \psi(1)\psi$ is constant on each $K \in \mathcal{K}$.

The characters σ_X are called supercharacters, and the elements K in \mathcal{K} are called superclasses. We denote $\text{Sup}(G)$ the set of supercharacter theories of G .

Diaconis and Isaacs showed their theory enjoys properties similar to the classical character theory. For example, every superclass is a union of conjugacy classes in G ; see [6, Theorem 2.2]. The irreducible characters and conjugacy classes of G give a supercharacter theory of G , which will be referred to as the *classical theory* of G .

Also, as noted in [6], every group G admits a non-classical theory with only two supercharacters 1_G and $\text{Reg}(G) - 1_G$ and superclasses $\{1\}$ and $G \setminus \{1\}$, where 1_G denotes the trivial character of G and $\text{Reg}(G) = \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)} \chi(1)\chi$ is the regular character of G . This theory will be called the *maximal theory* of G .

Let $C = (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{K})$ supercharacter theory of G and assume that $\mathcal{X} = \{X_1, \dots, X_m\}$. We let $\text{Char}(G, C) := \{a_1\sigma_{X_1} + \dots + a_m\sigma_{X_m} : a_i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, the set of *virtual supercharacters* of G , w.r.t. C . Note that $(\text{Char}(G, C), +)$ is an abelian group, isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^m . Moreover, $\text{Char}(G, C)$ is a subgroup of $\text{Char}(G)$.

Also, we let $\text{Ch}(G, C) := \{a_1\sigma_{X_1} + \dots + a_m\sigma_{X_m} : a_i \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \text{Ch}(G)$. We consider the monoid

$$M(G, C) := \text{Ch}(G, C) \cap M(G).$$

We consider the monomials $F_i := \prod_{\chi_j \in X_i} x_j^{d_j}$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, where $d_j := \chi_j(1)$ for all $1 \leq j \leq r$. Let

$$R_{G,C} := K[M(G, C)] \subset K[F_1, F_2, \dots, F_m].$$

We also consider the monoid

$$\widehat{M(G, C)} := \text{Ch}(G, C) \cap \widehat{M(G)}$$

and the associated K -algebra

$$\widehat{R_{G,C}} := K[\widehat{M(G, C)}] \subset K[F_1, F_2, \dots, F_m].$$

We recall several definition from [5]:

Definition 3.2. ([5, Definition 2.3]) Let G be a finite group and let $C := (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{K})$ be a supercharacter theory on G . Assume that $\mathcal{X} = \{X_1, \dots, X_m\}$. We say that G is C -quasi monomial (or a C -QM-group), if for any $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, there exists some subgroups $H_1, \dots, H_t \leq G$ (not necessarily distinct) and linear characters $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_t$ of H_1, \dots, H_t such that:

$$\lambda_1^G + \dots + \lambda_t^G = d\sigma_{X_k},$$

where d is a positive integer.

Proposition 3.3. Let G be a finite group and let $C := (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{K})$ be a supercharacter theory on G . Then, the following are equivalent:

- (1) G is C -quasi monomial.
- (2) $K[F_1^{a_1}, \dots, F_m^{a_m}] \subseteq R_{G,C}$ for some positive integers a_i .
- (3) $\widehat{R_{G,C}} = K[F_1, \dots, F_m]$.

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) G is C -quasi monomial if and only if, for any $1 \leq i \leq m$, there exist some subgroups $H_{i1}, \dots, H_{it_i} \leq G$ and some linear characters $\lambda_{i1}, \dots, \lambda_{it_i}$ of H_{i1}, \dots, H_{it_i} such that

$$\lambda_{i1}^G + \dots + \lambda_{it_i}^G = a_i \sigma_{X_i},$$

for some positive integer a_i , that is $F_i^{a_i} \in R_{G,C}$.

(2) \Leftrightarrow (3) It is clear, since $R_{G,C} \subset K[F_1, \dots, F_m]$. □

Definition 3.4. ([5, Definition 2.9]) Let G be a finite group and let $C = (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{K})$ be a supercharacter theory on G . Assume that $\mathcal{X} = \{X_1, \dots, X_m\}$. We say that G is C -almost monomial, if for any $k \neq \ell$, there exist some subgroups $H_1, \dots, H_t \leq G$ (not necessarily distinct) and linear characters $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_t$ of H_1, \dots, H_t such that:

$$\lambda_1^G + \dots + \lambda_t^G = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \sigma_{X_i},$$

where $\alpha_i \geq 0$ are integers with $\alpha_k > 0$ and $\alpha_\ell = 0$.

Proposition 3.5. Let G be a finite group and let $C = (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{K})$ be a supercharacter theory on G . The following are equivalent:

- (1) G is C -almost monomial.
- (2) For any $k \neq \ell \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, there exist some integers $\alpha_i \geq 0$ such that

$$F_1^{\alpha_1} \dots F_m^{\alpha_m} \in R_{G,C}, \text{ with } \alpha_k > 0 \text{ and } \alpha_\ell = 0.$$

- (3) For any $\ell \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, there exist some integers $\alpha_i > 0$ such that

$$F_1^{\alpha_1} \dots F_{\ell-1}^{\alpha_{\ell-1}} \cdot F_{\ell+1}^{\alpha_{\ell+1}} \dots F_m^{\alpha_m} \in R_{G,C}.$$

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) It is immediate from Definition 3.4.

(2) \Leftrightarrow (3) It follows from [5, Proposition 2.11]. \square

Let G be a finite group and let $C := (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{K})$ be a supercharacter theory of G . Let $N \trianglelefteq G$ be a normal subgroup of G . The group N is called C -normal or supernormal, if N is a union of superclasses from C ; see [10] and [8]. Let $X \in \mathcal{X}$. By abuse of notation, we write $X \subset \text{Irr}(G/N)$ if for any $\chi \in X$, then $N \subset \text{Ker}(\chi)$. Let $K \in \mathcal{K}$. We denote $\tilde{K} := KN/N \subset G/N$.

Now, assume that N is C -normal. Without any loss of generality, we can assume that $X_i \subset \text{Irr}(G/N)$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$ and $X_i \not\subset \text{Irr}(G/N)$ for $p+1 \leq i \leq m$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{X}} := \{\tilde{X}_1, \dots, \tilde{X}_p\}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{K}} := \{\tilde{K}_1, \dots, \tilde{K}_p\}$. According to [8, Proposition 6.4], the pair

$$\tilde{C} := C^{G/N} = (\tilde{\mathcal{X}}, \tilde{\mathcal{K}})$$

is a supercharacter theory of G/N .

Theorem 3.6. *With the above notations, we have $R_{G/N, \tilde{C}} = R_{G, C} \cap K[F_1, \dots, F_p]$.*

Proof. The inclusion $R_{G/N, \tilde{C}} \subseteq R_{G, C} \cap K[F_1, \dots, F_p]$ is clear. Conversely, let $u \in R_{G, C} \cap K[F_1, \dots, F_p]$. It follows that $u = F_1^{a_1} \cdots F_p^{a_p}$ for some nonnegative integers a_i . Also, there exist some subgroups H_1, \dots, H_t and some linear characters $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_t$ of H_1, \dots, H_t such that

$$\lambda_1^G + \cdots + \lambda_t^G = a_1 \sigma_{X_1} + \cdots + a_p \sigma_{X_p}.$$

Since $X_i \subset \text{Irr}(G/N)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq p$, it follows that $N \subset H_j$ for all $1 \leq j \leq t$. Hence we are done. \square

Let G and G' be two finite groups and let $C = (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{K})$ and $C' = (\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{K}')$ be supercharacter theories of G and G' , respectively. According to [8, Proposition 8.1], $C \times C'$ is a supercharacter theory on $G \times G'$.

Theorem 3.7. *With the above notations, we have $R_{G \times G', C \times C'} = R_{G, C} \otimes_K R_{G', C'}$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that $M(G \times G', C \times C') = M(G, C) \times M(G', C')$. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.12. \square

Let K/\mathbb{Q} be a finite Galois extension with Galois group G . Let $C := (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{K})$ be a supercharacter theory on G , as above. Let $s_0 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$ and let

$$H(C, s_0) = \{\chi \in \text{Ch}(G, C) : L(s, \chi) \text{ is holomorphic at } s_0\}.$$

We then have the following obvious result:

Theorem 3.8. *$H(C, s_0)$ is a normal submonoid of $\text{Ch}(G, C)$. Moreover, we have $M(G, C) \subset \widehat{M(G, C)} \subset H(C, s_0)$, hence $R_{G, C} \subset \widehat{R_{G, C}} \subset K[H(C, s_0)]$.*

Corollary 3.9. *If G is C -quasi monomial, then*

$$K[F_1^{a_1}, \dots, F_r^{a_r}] \subset R_{G, C} \subset \widehat{R_{G, C}} = H(C, s_0) = K[F_1, \dots, F_r].$$

Proof. It follows from Proposition 3.3 and Theorem 3.8. \square

4 GAP codes and computer experiments

The following code returns the Hilbert basis of the monoid $M(G)$.

```
gap > LoadPackage("NumericalSgps");
MonoAlg:=function(g)
  local cc,i,x,y,z,o,l,j,t,a,af;
  cc:=ConjugacyClassesSubgroups(g);
  i:=Size(Irr(g));
  t:=[];
  for x in cc do
    y:=Representative(x);
    for z in LinearCharacters(y) do
      o:=InducedClassFunction(z,g); l:=[];
      for j in [1..i] do
        a:= ScalarProduct(Irr(g)[j],o);
        Add(l,a);
      od;
      Add(t,l);
    od; od;
  af:=AffineSemigroup(t);
  return(MinimalGenerators(af));
end;;
```

Our computer experiments yields us to the following conjecture.

Conjecture 4.1. *Let $G := SL(2, 2^n)$, the special linear group of order 2, over \mathbb{F}_{2^n} . Then:*

$$R_G = K[x_1, x_1x_{2^{n-1}+2}, x_{2^{n-1}+3}, \dots, x_{2^n+1}, u_2, \dots, u_{2^{n-1}+1}, v, w] \subset K[x_1, \dots, x_{2^n+1}],$$

where $u_k = (x_2x_3 \cdots x_{2^n+1})/x_k$ for $2 \leq k \leq 2^{n-1} + 1$, $v = x_2x_3 \cdots x_{2^{n-1}+1}$ and $w = v \cdot x_{2^{n-1}+2}$. In particular, the group G is almost monomial.

Remark 4.2. We sketch the proof for the inclusion \supseteq : It is well known [11] that $G := SL(2, 2^n)$ has $q = 2^n + 1$ irreducible characters: χ_1 , the trivial character, $\chi_2, \dots, \chi_{2^{n-1}+1}$, characters of order $q-1$, $\chi_{2^{n-1}+2}$, a character of order q , and $\chi_{2^{n-1}+3}, \dots, \chi_{2^n+1}$, characters of order $q+1$. The character χ_1 is obviously monomial. Also, the characters $\chi_{2^{n-1}+3}, \dots, \chi_{2^n+1}$ and $\chi_1\chi_{2^{n-1}+2}$ are induced by the linear characters of a subgroup H of G of order $q(q-1)$.

Let $U_k := \chi_2 + \cdots + \widehat{\chi_k} + \cdots + \chi_{2^n+1}$, $2 \leq k \leq 2^{n-1} + 1$. Note that $U_k(1) = q(q-1)$ for any $2 \leq k \leq 2^{n-1} + 1$. The characters U_k are induced by linear characters of a subgroup of order $q+1$. Similarly, the characters $V := \chi_2 + \chi_3 + \cdots + \chi_{2^{n-1}+1}$ and $W := V + \chi_{2^{n-1}+2}$, are induced by linear characters of some subgroups of order $2(q+1)$ and $2(q-1)$ respectively.

Acknowledgment. We gratefully acknowledge the use of the computer algebra system GAP ([7]) and Normaliz ([4]) for our experiments. This first author was supported by a grant of the Ministry of Research, Innovation and Digitization, CNCS - UEFISCDI, project number PN-III-P1-1.1-TE-2021-1633, within PNCDI III.

References

- [1] H. Aramata, *Über die Teilbarkeit der Dedekindschen Zetafunktionen*, Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo **7** (1931), 334–336.
- [2] E. Artin, *Zur Theorie der L-Reihen mit allgemeinen Gruppencharakteren*, Abh. Math. Sem. Hamburg **8** (1931), 292–306.
- [3] R. Brauer, *On Artin's L-series with general group characters*, Ann. of Math. **48** (1947), 502–514.
- [4] W. Bruns, B. Ichim, C. Söger and U. von der Ohe: Normaliz. Algorithms for rational cones and affine monoids. Available at <https://www.normaliz.uni-osnabrueck.de>.
- [5] M. Cimpoeaş, A. F. Radu, *On supercharacter theoretic generalizations of monomial groups and Artin's conjecture*, to be published in Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal.
- [6] P. Diaconis, I. M. Isaacs, *Supercharacters and superclasses for algebra groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **360** (2008), 2359–2392.
- [7] The GAP Group, *GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.11.1*; 2021, (<https://www.gap-system.org>).
- [8] A. O. F. Hendrickson, *Supercharacter theory constructions corresponding to Schur ring products*, Comm. Algebra **40**, no. **12** (2012), 4420–4438.
- [9] A. V. Lopez, J. V. Lopez, *Classification of finite groups according to the number of conjugacy classes*,
- [10] E. Marberg, *A supercharacter analogue for normality*, J. Algebra **332** (2011), 334–365.
- [11] G. S. Narkunskaja, A. V. Zevelinski, *Representation of the group $SL(n, \mathbb{F}_q)$, where $q = 2^n$* , Functional. Anal. i. Priložen, 8(3) (1974), 75–76.
- [12] F. Nicolae, *On holomorphic Artin L-functions*, Monatsh. Math. **186**, no. **4**, (2018), 679–683.
- [13] S. L. Rhoades, *A generalization of the Aramata-Brauer Theorem*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society **Vol. 119**, No. **2** (1993), 357–364.

Mircea Cimpoeaş, University Politehnica of Bucharest, Faculty of Applied Sciences, Bucharest, 060042, Romania and Simion Stoilow Institute of Mathematics, Research unit 5, P.O.Box 1-764, Bucharest 014700, Romania
E-mail: mircea.cimpoeas@upb.ro, mircea.cimpoeas@imar.ro

Alexandru Florin Radu, University Politehnica of Bucharest, Faculty of Applied Sciences, Bucharest, 060042, Romania.
E-mail: sasharadu@icloud.com