

TRIGONOMETRIC POLYNOMIALS WITH FREQUENCIES IN THE SET OF SQUARES AND DIVISORS IN A SHORT INTERVAL

MIKHAIL R. GABDULLIN

ABSTRACT. Let $\gamma_0 = \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2} = 0.618\dots$. We prove that, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any trigonometric polynomial f with frequencies in the set $\{n^2 : N \leq n \leq N + N^{\gamma_0-\varepsilon}\}$, the inequality

$$\|f\|_4 \ll \varepsilon^{-1/4} \|f\|_2$$

holds, which makes a progress on a conjecture of Cilleruelo and Córdoba. We also present a connection between this conjecture and the conjecture of Ruzsa which asserts that, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $C(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that each positive integer N has at most $C(\varepsilon)$ divisors in the interval $[N^{1/2}, N^{1/2} + N^{1/2-\varepsilon}]$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let us say that a trigonometric polynomial f has frequencies in a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ if $f(x) = \sum_{n \in A} a_n e(nx)$ with $a_n \in \mathbb{C}$ (here and it what follows $e(x) = e^{2\pi i x}$). Let $p > 2$. Recall that a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ is said to be a Λ_p -set if there exists a constant $C = C(A, p)$ such that for any trigonometric polynomial f with frequencies in the set A

$$(1.1) \quad \|f\|_p \leq C_p \|f\|_2,$$

where $\|f\|_p = \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p}$ and $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$.

There is a famous conjecture about the set of squares $\{n^2 : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, which was discussed by W. Rudin (see the end of section 4.6 in [Rud]).

Conjecture 1.1. *The set of squares is a Λ_p -set for any $2 < p < 4$.*

A. Córdoba [Cor] proved the inequality (1.1) with any fixed $2 < p < 4$ for polynomials $f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^N a_n e(n^2 x)$ with positive and nonincreasing coefficients a_n . However, the general case of Conjecture 1.1 seems to be beyond the reach of current methods.

On the other hand, it is well-known that

$$(1.2) \quad \left\| \sum_{n \leq N} e(n^2 x) \right\|_4 \asymp N^{1/2} (\log N)^{1/4},$$

so the set of squares is not a Λ_4 -set. Nevertheless, the case $p = 4$ is of great interest, in particular because the L_4 -norms are associated with the number of solutions of certain equations: for $f(x) = \sum_{n \in A} e(nx)$, where A is a finite set, $\|f\|_4^4$ is nothing but the additive energy $E(A)$ of the set A , which is defined by

$$E(A) = \#\{(a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2) \in A^4 : a_1 + b_1 = a_2 + b_2\}.$$

Note that the trivial lower bound $\|f\|_4 \geq \|f\|_2 = |A|^{1/2}$ for L_4 -norm resembles the trivial lower bound $E(A) \geq 2|A|^2 - |A|$ for the additive energy (which corresponds to the trivial solutions, that is, ones of the form $\{a_1, b_1\} = \{a_2, b_2\}$). Recall that the sets A with $E(A) = 2|A|^2 - |A|$ (or, in other words, the sets for which the equation $a_1 + b_1 = a_2 + b_2$ has only trivial solutions) are called Sidon sets. It is easy to show (and we will see it in Section 2) that any Sidon set is a Λ_4 -set.

J. Bourgain [Bour] made the following conjecture related to the case $p = 4$.

Conjecture 1.2. *There exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any trigonometric polynomial f with frequencies in the set $\{n^2 : n \leq N\}$*

$$\|f\|_4 \leq \|f\|_2 \cdot (\log N)^\delta.$$

Note that δ must be at least $1/4$ because of (1.2).

This quite natural statement is probably hard to prove. It can also be shown that it is enough to verify this bound for the case where the coefficients of f are equal to zero or one, that is, to prove that for any $A \subseteq \{n^2 : n \leq N\}$ one has $E(A) \leq |A|^2 (\log N)^{O(1)}$; see [CG] for the details (Theorem 14) and connections to other problems in the area.

In this paper we will focus on the following conjecture of J. Cilleruelo and A. Córdoba (see [CC]), which can be thought of as a “truncated” version of Bourgain’s one.

Conjecture 1.3. *Let $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ be fixed. Then for any trigonometric polynomial f with frequencies in the set $\{n^2 : N \leq n \leq N + N^\gamma\}$ we have*

$$\|f\|_4 \ll_\gamma \|f\|_2.$$

This can be easily proved for $\gamma \leq 1/2$, since the set $\{n^2 : N \leq n \leq N + 2\sqrt{2}N^{1/2}\}$ is a Sidon set (see the Appendix for a short proof); however, that it has been open for any $\gamma > 1/2$.

Let $\gamma_0 = \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2} = 0.618\dots$. Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1.4. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any trigonometric polynomial f with frequencies in the set $\{n^2 : N \leq n \leq N + N^{\gamma_0 - \varepsilon}\}$,*

$$\|f\|_4 \ll \varepsilon^{-1/4} \|f\|_2.$$

We reduce the proof of Theorem 1.4 to obtaining upper bounds for the number of divisors of a positive integer in a short interval. To be more precise, we make the following conjecture (here $\tau(n; a, b) = \#\{d|n : a \leq d \leq b\}$ denotes the numbers of divisors of n lying in the interval $[a; b]$).

Conjecture 1.5. *For any $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ there exists $C(\gamma) > 0$ such that, for all $m \leq 3N^{1+\gamma}$,*

$$\tau(m; 2N, 2N + 2N^\gamma) \leq C(\gamma).$$

Conjecture 1.5 with a fixed γ implies Conjecture 1.3 with the same exponent γ . We prove Conjecture 1.3 for any $\gamma < \gamma_0$.

Theorem 1.6. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $k = N^{\gamma_0 - \varepsilon}$. Then for any $m \leq 3Nk$,*

$$\tau(m; 2N, 2N + 2k) \ll \varepsilon^{-1}.$$

As we noted, this theorem implies Theorem 1.4.

Our Conjecture 1.5 is very close to the following conjecture of I. Ruzsa, which was mentioned in the work [ER].

Conjecture 1.7. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $C(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that for any positive integer N*

$$\tau(N; N^{1/2}, N^{1/2} + N^{1/2-\varepsilon}) \leq C(\varepsilon).$$

Conjectures 1.5 and 1.7 look very similar, and probably a progress in one of them would imply a progress in the other. Conjecture 1.7 is known to be true for $\varepsilon > 1/4$: it can be shown by the same idea we use in the proof of Theorem 1.6, and also follows from Corollary 3.8 from [CT].

Our method can be used for proving analogues of Conjecture 1.3 for cubes and higher powers. For example, in the case of cubes, it can be shown that the set $\{n^3 : N \leq n \leq N + (0.5N)^{1/3}\}$ is a Sidon set (see the Appendix for a proof) and, hence, a Λ_4 -set, while our technique is capable of establishing the following.

Theorem 1.8. *Let $\gamma_1 = \frac{\sqrt{17}-3}{2} = 0.561\dots$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any trigonometric polynomial f with frequencies in the set $\{n^3 : N \leq n \leq N + N^{\gamma_1-\varepsilon}\}$ we have*

$$\|f\|_4 \ll \varepsilon^{-1/4} \|f\|_2.$$

However, it is known that $\|\sum_{n=1}^N e(n^3 x)\|_4 \ll N^{1/2}$: this inequality immediately follows from the result of C. Hooley [Hoo] (it is also shown in the proof of Theorem 2.6 of [Nat] that the number of nontrivial solutions of $a_1^3 + b_1^3 = a_2^3 + b_2^3$ in $0 \leq a_i, b_i \leq N$ is $O(N^{5/3+\varepsilon})$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$; we refer the interested reader to the work [Woo] for an overview of bounds for cubic exponential sums). Thus, it is logical to conjecture that the set of cubes $\{n^3 : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a Λ_4 -set (probably, the same is true for higher powers as well). Note also that there is a conjecture of P. Erdős which asserts that the set of fifth powers $\{n^5 : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a Sidon set (again, probably the same is true for higher powers).

Acknowledgements. This research was carried out at Lomonosov Moscow State University with the financial support of the Russian Science Foundation (grant no. 22-11-00129).

2. PROOF OF CONJECTURE 1.3 UNDER CONJECTURE 1.5

We begin with some general estimates. Let A be a finite subset of integers. For $f(x) = \sum_{n \in A} a_n e(nx)$, we have $|f(x)|^2 = f(x)\overline{f(x)} = \sum_m c_m e(mx)$, where

$$c_m = \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2 \in A \\ n_1 - n_2 = m}} a_{n_1} \overline{a_{n_2}}$$

(if a number m does not have representations of the form $m = n_1 - n_2$ with $n_1, n_2 \in A$, then we set $c_m = 0$). Denote

$$r_A(m) = \#\{(n_1, n_2) \in A \times A : n_1 - n_2 = m\}.$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$|c_m|^2 \leq r_A(m) \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2 \in A \\ n_1 - n_2 = m}} |a_{n_1}|^2 |a_{n_2}|^2.$$

Note also that $c_0 = \sum_{n \in A} |a_n|^2 = \|f\|_2^2$ and $c_{-m} = \overline{c_m}$. Summing, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_4^4 &= \int_0^1 \left| \sum_m c_m e(mx) \right|^2 dx = \sum_m |c_m|^2 = |c_0|^2 + 2 \sum_{m>0} |c_m|^2 \\ &\leq \|f\|_2^4 + 2 \sum_{m>0} r_A(m) \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2 \in A \\ n_1 - n_2 = m}} |a_{n_1}|^2 |a_{n_2}|^2 \leq (1 + \max_{m>0} r_A(m)) \cdot \|f\|_2^4, \end{aligned}$$

and, hence,

$$(2.1) \quad \|f\|_4 \ll \left(\max_{m>0} r_A(m) \right)^{1/4} \|f\|_2.$$

Note that the same inequality can be written for an infinite set A , though it maybe trivial; however, if A is a Sidon set, then any nonzero m has at most one representation in the form $m = a_1 - a_2$ with $a_i \in A$, and thus the inequality (2.1) implies that any Sidon set is a Λ_4 -set, as was mentioned in the introduction.

Now let $A = \{n^2 : N \leq n \leq N + k\}$ for some $1 \leq k \leq N$. Suppose that some $m > 0$ is represented as $m = n_1 - n_2$ with $n_1, n_2 \in A$. Write $n_i = (N + s_i)^2$, $i = 1, 2$, where $0 \leq s_i \leq k$. Then

$$m = (N + s_1)^2 - (N + s_2)^2 = 2Ns_1 + s_1^2 - 2Ns_2 - s_2^2 = (s_1 - s_2)(2N + s_1 + s_2).$$

It follows that $m \leq 2Nk + k^2 \leq 3Nk$ and

$$r_A(m) \leq \tau(m; 2N, 2N + 2k) = \#\{d|m : 2N \leq d \leq 2N + 2k\}.$$

Thus we can rewrite (2.1) as

$$(2.2) \quad \|f\|_4 \ll \left(\max_{1 \leq m \leq 3Nk} \tau(m; 2N, 2N + 2k) \right)^{1/4} \|f\|_2.$$

Now we let $k = N^\gamma$; then from Conjecture 1.5 we have

$$\|f\|_4 \ll_\gamma \|f\|_2,$$

and Conjecture 1.3 follows.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4

Recall that $\gamma_0 = \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}$. We will prove the following version of Theorem 1.4.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $r \geq 3$ be a positive integer and $k < c_0 N^{\gamma_0 - O(1/r)}$, where c_0 is an absolute constant. Then*

$$\max_{m \leq 3Nk} \tau(m; 2N, 2N + 2k) \leq r - 1.$$

We need a lower bound for the least common multiple of a set of integers.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$. For any positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r and $2 \leq s \leq r$,*

$$\left(\prod_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_s \leq r} [d_{i_1}, \dots, d_{i_s}] \right)^{1/\binom{r}{s}} \geq \frac{\prod_{i=1}^r d_i^{2/(c+1)}}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (d_i, d_j)^{2/(c(c+1))}},$$

where $c = r - s + 1$.

Remark 3.3. 1. The conclusion of this lemma does not hold in the case $s = 1$. Indeed, in this case $c = r$, and taking $d_1 = \dots = d_{r-1} = 1$ and $d_r = d$, we see that $d^{1/r} < d^{2/(r+1)}$ whenever $r \geq 2$.

2. The bound is sharp for any $r \geq s \geq 2$. One can take $d_1 = \dots = d_{s-1} = 1$ and $d_s = \dots = d_r = d$. Then each $[d_{i_1}, \dots, d_{i_s}]$ is equal to d , and so is the left-hand side of the inequality. The right-hand side is d^a , where

$$a = \frac{2c}{c+1} - \frac{2}{c(c+1)} \binom{c}{2} = \frac{2c}{c+1} - \frac{c-1}{c+1} = 1,$$

so both sides of the inequality are equal to d .

3. The bound is also sharp in situations like this. Let n be the product of distinct primes p_1, \dots, p_5 , $r = 10$ and $\{d_i\}_{i=1}^{10} = \{p_{i_1} p_{i_2} p_{i_3} : 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < i_3 \leq 5\}$. Let $s = 5$. Since for any p_j there are $\binom{4}{2} = 6$ numbers d_i divisible on p_j , the left-hand side is equal to n . Simple calculations show that so is the right-hand side.

Proof of Lemma 3.2. Fix arbitrary $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and numbers d_1, \dots, d_r . First, we write $d_i = \prod_p p^{\alpha_i(p)}$, and for each prime p rearrange the numbers $\{\alpha_i(p) : i \leq r\}$ in the nondecreasing order: let $\beta_i = \beta_i(p)$ be such that $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r\} = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$ and $\beta_1 \leq \dots \leq \beta_r$. Fix $2 \leq s \leq r$. It is easy to see that

$$\prod_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_s \leq r} [d_{i_1}, \dots, d_{i_s}] = \prod_p p^{\binom{r-1}{s-1} \beta_r + \binom{r-2}{s-1} \beta_{r-1} + \dots + \binom{s-1}{s-1} \beta_s}.$$

Recall that

$$\binom{r-1}{s-1} + \binom{r-2}{s-1} + \dots + \binom{s-1}{s-1} = \binom{r}{s};$$

in particular, it can be easily seen if we take all d_i equal (say, equal to a prime p). We also have

$$\prod_{i=1}^r d_i = \prod_p p^{\sum_{i=1}^r \beta_i}$$

and

$$\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (d_i, d_j) = \prod_p p^{\beta_{r-1} + 2\beta_{r-2} + \dots + (r-1)\beta_1} = \prod_p p^{\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} (r-i)\beta_i}.$$

Denote for brevity $\gamma_i = \binom{i-1}{s-1} \binom{r}{s}^{-1}$; we saw that $\sum_{i=s}^r \gamma_i = 1$. Let also $c = r - s + 1$. To prove the lemma, we need to show that, for any prime p ,

$$(3.1) \quad L := \gamma_r \beta_r + \dots + \gamma_s \beta_s \geq \frac{2}{c+1} \sum_{i=1}^r \beta_i - \frac{2}{(c+1)c} \sum_{i=1}^r (r-i) \beta_i =: R.$$

We have

$$R = \frac{2}{c+1} \sum_{i=1}^r \left(1 - \frac{r-i}{r-s+1}\right) \beta_i \leq \frac{2}{c+1} \sum_{i=s}^r \frac{i-s+1}{r-s+1} \beta_i = \delta_s \beta_s + \dots + \delta_r \beta_r.$$

where $\delta_i = \frac{2(i-s+1)}{c(c+1)}$. We claim that to prove (3.1) it is enough to show that, for any $s \leq l \leq r$,

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_{i=s}^l \delta_i \geq \sum_{i=s}^l \gamma_i.$$

Indeed, once we know it, (3.1) follows: since $\beta_s \leq \beta_{s+1} \leq \dots \leq \beta_r$ and $\sum_{i=s}^r \delta_i = \sum_{i=s}^r \gamma_i = 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} R - L &\leq \sum_{i=s}^r (\delta_i - \gamma_i) \beta_i = (\delta_s + \delta_{s+1} - \gamma_s - \gamma_{s+1}) \beta_{s+1} + \sum_{i=s+2}^r \delta_i \beta_i \\ &\leq \dots \leq \left(\sum_{i=s}^r \delta_i - \sum_{i=s}^r \gamma_i \right) \beta_r = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now we prove (3.2). Fix l with $s \leq l \leq r$, and denote $c_1 = l - s + 1$. We have

$$\sum_{i=s}^l \delta_i = \frac{u}{c} \sum_{j=1}^{c_1} j = \frac{c_1(c_1 + 1)}{c(c + 1)} = \frac{(l - s + 1)(l - s + 2)}{(r - s + 1)(r - s + 2)}$$

and

$$\sum_{i=s}^l \gamma_i = \sum_{i=s}^l \binom{i-1}{s-1} \binom{r}{s}^{-1} = \binom{l}{s} \binom{r}{s}^{-1} = \frac{l!(r-s)!}{r!(l-s)!}.$$

After a simple algebra we see that (3.2) is equivalent to $r!(l-s+2)! \geq l!(r-s+2)!$, which is true since $r \geq l \geq s$. The claim follows. \square

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.4.

We prove that if a number $n \leq 3Nk$ has $r \geq 3$ divisors $2N \leq d_1 < \dots < d_r \leq 2N + 2k$, then $k \geq c_0 N^{\gamma_0 - O(1/r)}$. Since all the d_i are at least $2N$ and all the (d_i, d_j) are at most $2k$, we get from the previous lemma that, for any $1 \leq c \leq r - 1$,

$$3Nk \geq m \geq [d_1, \dots, d_r] \geq \frac{(2N)^{2r/(c+1)}}{(2k)^{r(r-1)/(c(c+1))}},$$

or

$$k^{c^2+r^2+c-r} \geq 3^{-O(r^2)} N^{2rc-c^2-c}.$$

Now we choose $c = \lfloor \gamma_0 r \rfloor$, so that $1 \leq c \leq r - 1$ (since $r \geq 3$) and $c^2 = \gamma_0^2 r^2 + O(r)$. Since $\gamma_0 = (\sqrt{5} - 1)/2$ is the $\arg \max_{0 < \alpha < 1} \frac{2\alpha - \alpha^2}{1 + \alpha^2}$, and the corresponding maximum is also equal to γ_0 , we get

$$k \gg N^{\gamma_0 - O(1/r)},$$

as desired. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.4.

4. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.8

Let $A = \{n^3 : N \leq n \leq N + k\}$ for some $1 \leq k \leq N$. Suppose that some $m > 0$ is represented as $m = n_1 - n_2$ with $n_1, n_2 \in A$. Write $n_i = (N + s_i)^3$, $i = 1, 2$, where $0 \leq s_i \leq k$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} m &= (N + s_1)^3 - (N + s_2)^3 = 3N^2 s_1 + 3N s_1^2 + s_1^3 - 3N^2 s_2 - 3N s_2^2 - s_2^3 \\ &= (s_1 - s_2)(3N^2 + 3N(s_1 + s_2) + s_1^2 + s_1 s_2 + s_2^2). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $m \leq 3N^2 k + 3Nk^2 + k^3 \leq 7N^2 k$ and

$$r_A(m) \leq \tau(m; 3N^2, 3N^2 + 9Nk) = \#\{d|m : 3N^2 \leq d \leq 3N^2 + 9Nk\}.$$

Let f be a trigonometric polynomial with frequencies in the set A . The inequality (2.1) implies

$$(4.1) \quad \|f\|_4 \ll \left(\max_{1 \leq m \leq 7N^2k} \tau(m; 3N^2, 3N^2 + 9Nk) \right)^{1/4} \|f\|_2.$$

Thus to show Theorem 1.8 it is enough to verify that for any $r \geq 3$ and $k < c_0 N^{\gamma_1 - O(1/r)}$ (c_0 is an absolute constant)

$$\max_{m \leq 7N^2k} \tau(m; 3N^2, 3N^2 + 9Nk) \leq r - 1.$$

Similarly to the proof of Theorem 1.4, let us assume that a number $n \leq 7N^2k$ has $r \geq 3$ divisors $3N^2 \leq d_1 < \dots < d_r \leq 3N^2 + 9Nk$. Then $k \geq c_0 N^{\gamma_1 - O(1/r)}$. Applying Lemma 3.2 gives us (for any $1 \leq c \leq r - 1$)

$$7N^2k \geq \frac{(3N^2)^{2r/(c+1)}}{(9Nk)^{r(r-1)/(c+1)}},$$

or

$$k^{c^2+r^2+c-r} \geq 7^{-O(r^2)} N^{4rc-2c^2-r^2-2c+r}.$$

We set $s = [\beta r]$, where $\beta = (\sqrt{17} - 1)/4$ is the $\arg \max_{0 < \alpha < 1} g(\alpha)$, and $g(\alpha) = \frac{4\alpha - 2\alpha^2 - 1}{1 + \alpha^2}$; the corresponding maximum is equal to $g(\beta) = \gamma_1 = (\sqrt{17} - 3)/2 = 0.561\dots$. Then $c = \beta r + O(1)$ and $c^2 = \beta^2 r^2 + O(r)$, hence,

$$k^{r^2(\beta^2+1)+O(r)} \geq 7^{-O(r^2)} N^{(4\beta-2\beta^2-1)r^2-O(r)}$$

and $k \gg N^{\gamma_1 - O(1/r)}$. This implies Theorem 1.8.

5. APPENDIX: TWO SIDON SETS

For completeness, here we provide the proofs of two statements mentioned in the introduction. In both propositions N is any positive integer.

Proposition 5.1. *The set $\{n^2 : N \leq n \leq N + 2\sqrt{2}N^{1/2}\}$ is a Sidon set.*

Proof. Suppose that for some $0 \leq s_i \leq 2\sqrt{2}N^{1/2}$ we have

$$(N + s_1)^2 + (N + s_2)^2 = (N + s_3)^2 + (N + s_4)^2;$$

we need to show that $\{s_1, s_2\} = \{s_3, s_4\}$. Let us rewrite the equation as

$$(5.1) \quad 2N(s_1 + s_2 - s_3 - s_4) = s_3^2 + s_4^2 - s_1^2 - s_2^2, \quad 0 \leq s_i \leq 2\sqrt{2}N^{1/2}.$$

We first show that this equality is impossible if $s_1 + s_2 \neq s_3 + s_4$. Let $l = s_1 + s_2 - s_3 - s_4 \neq 0$; without loss of generality we may suppose that $l > 0$. Since the left-hand side is even, so is $s_3^2 + s_4^2 - s_1^2 - s_2^2$; but $s_i^2 \equiv s_i \pmod{2}$, and hence l is also even. Thus $l \geq 2$ and the left-hand side has absolute value at least $4N$. Now we want to get an upper bound for the right-hand side. We have

$$s_1^2 + s_2^2 \geq 0.5(s_1 + s_2)^2 = 0.5(s_3 + s_4 + l)^2$$

and therefore (by the upper bound for s_i)

$$s_3^2 + s_4^2 - s_1^2 - s_2^2 \leq 0.5(s_3 - s_4)^2 - (s_3 + s_4)l - 0.5l^2 < 0.5 \max\{s_3^2, s_4^2\} \leq 4N.$$

Thus the right-hand side is less than $4N$ and we get a contradiction.

Thus only the four-tuples (s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4) with $s_1 + s_2 = s_3 + s_4$ matter. Such a four-tuple is a solution of (5.1) if and only if $s_1^2 + s_2^2 = s_3^2 + s_4^2$, which is equivalent (under the assumption $s_1 + s_2 = s_3 + s_4$) to $s_1 s_2 = s_3 s_4$. It follows that $\{s_1, s_2\} = \{s_3, s_4\}$, as desired. \square

Similarly one can prove an analogous statement about cubes.

Proposition 5.2. *The set $\{n^3 : N \leq n \leq N + (0.5N)^{1/3}\}$ is a Sidon set.*

Proof. If

$$(N + s_1)^3 + (N + s_2)^3 = (N + s_3)^3 + (N + s_4)^3$$

for some $0 \leq s_i \leq (0.5N)^{1/3}$, then

$$3N^2(s_1 + s_2 - s_3 - s_4) + 3N(s_1^2 + s_2^2 - s_3^2 - s_4^2) = s_3^3 + s_4^3 - s_1^3 - s_2^3.$$

It is easy to see that in the case $s_1 + s_2 \neq s_3 + s_4$ the first summand dominates. Further, if $s_1 + s_2 = s_3 + s_4$ but $s_1^2 + s_2^2 \neq s_3^2 + s_4^2$, then the left-hand side has absolute value at least $3N$, while the right-hand side is bounded in magnitude by $2N$. Thus the above equation, together with the restrictions on s_i , implies that $s_1 + s_2 = s_3 + s_4$ and $s_1^2 + s_2^2 = s_3^2 + s_4^2$, and we conclude that $\{s_1, s_2\} = \{s_3, s_4\}$, as desired. \square

REFERENCES

- [Bour] J. BOURGAIN, *On Λ_p subsets of squares*, Israel J. Math., **67** 1989, no. 3, 291–311.
- [CC] A. CÓRDOBA, J. CILLERUELO, *Trigonometric polynomials and lattice points*, Proceedings of the A.M.S., **115** 1992 no.4, 899–905.
- [CG] J. CILLERUELO, A. GRANVILLE, *Lattice points on circles, squares in arithmetic progressions and sumsets of squares*. In: Additive Combinatorics. CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, Vol. 43. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (2007), pp. 241–262.
- [Cor] A. CÓRDOBA, *Translation invariant operators*, Fourier Analysis: Proceedings of the seminar held at El Escorial, June 17–23, Madrid, 1980.
- [ER] P. ERDŐS AND M. ROSENFELD, *The factor-difference set of integers*, Acta Arith. **79** 1997 no. 4, 353–359.
- [Hoo] C. HOOLEY, *On the representations of a number as the sum of two cubes*, Math. Z. **82** 1963, 259–266.
- [Nat] M.B. NATHANSON, *Additive Number Theory The Classical Bases*, Vol. 164, Springer Science & Business Media, 1996.
- [Rud] W. RUDIN, *Trigonometric series with gaps*, Journal of Mathematics and Mechanics, **9** 1960 no. 2, 203–227.
- [CT] J. CILLERUELO, G. TENENBAUM *An overlapping theorem with applications*, Proceedings of the Primeras Jornadas de Teoría de los Números, Publ. Sec. Mat. Univ. Autónoma de Barcelona, (2007), 107–118.
- [Woo] T. WOOLEY, *Sums of three cubes, II*, arXiv:1502.01944, 2015.

STEKLOV MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, GUBKINA STR., 8, MOSCOW, RUSSIA, 119991

Email address: gabdullin.mikhail@yandex.ru