

Reduction of Kummer surfaces modulo 2 in the non-supersingular case

C.D. Lazda* and A.N. Skorobogatov†

May 30, 2022

Abstract

We obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for the good reduction of Kummer surfaces attached to abelian surfaces with non-supersingular reduction when the residue field is perfect of characteristic 2. In this case, good reduction with an algebraic space model is equivalent to good reduction with a scheme model, which we explicitly construct.

Contents

1	Geometry in the ordinary case	5
2	Geometry in the almost ordinary case	8
3	The Galois action on Kummer surfaces	13
4	Explicit smooth models	16
5	Good reduction criterion	21
6	Twisted Kummer surfaces	25
A	Good reduction and quadratic twists	29

*Department of Mathematics, Harrison Building, University of Exeter, EX4 4QF, United Kingdom. Email: c.d.lazda@exeter.ac.uk

†Department of Mathematics, South Kensington Campus, Imperial College London, SW7 2AZ, United Kingdom. Email: a.skorobogatov@imperial.ac.uk

Introduction

To an abelian surface A over a field K one can attach the associated Kummer surface $\text{Kum}(A)$, defined as the minimal desingularisation of the quotient of A by the antipodal involution $\iota(P) = -P$. If the characteristic of K is not 2, then $\text{Kum}(A)$ is a K3 surface. Quartic surfaces with 16 nodes in \mathbb{P}^3 are classically studied singular models of Kummer surfaces associated to Jacobians of genus 2 curves. When K is a number field, such Kummer surfaces, as well as those attached to products of elliptic curves, form classes of K3 surfaces most accessible for studying their arithmetic, see for example [SZ17] and references therein.

If the characteristic of K is 2, then the geometry of $\text{Kum}(A)$ depends on the 2-rank of A , that is, the integer $r \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ such that $A[2]$ has 2^r points over an algebraic closure of K . The abelian surface A is called *ordinary* if $r = 2$, and *supersingular* if $r = 0$; the remaining case, when $r = 1$, will be called *almost ordinary*. Then $\text{Kum}(A)$ is a K3 surface if and only if A is not supersingular. This was proved by Shioda when A is a product of elliptic curves [Shi74] and by Katsura in general [Kat78]. In contrast, if A is supersingular, then $\text{Kum}(A)$ is geometrically rational. Katsura also points out another striking difference between these cases: if A is not supersingular, then the singularities of A/ι are rational double points, which are of type D_4 when A is ordinary, and of type D_8 when A is almost ordinary. On the other hand, if A is supersingular, then the unique singular point of A/ι is an elliptic singularity. In the non-supersingular case, the 2-rank of A also controls the height of the K3 surface $\text{Kum}(A)$, which is 1 in the ordinary case, and 2 in the almost ordinary case, see Remark 5.5.

In this paper we are interested in necessary and sufficient conditions for good reduction of Kummer surfaces. We will therefore take K to be a complete discretely valued field of characteristic zero, with ring of integers \mathcal{O}_K and perfect residue field k . Given an abelian surface A over K we say that $X = \text{Kum}(A)$ has *good reduction* if there exists a scheme or an algebraic space \mathcal{X} smooth and proper over \mathcal{O}_K with generic fibre $\mathcal{X}_K \cong X$. Note that in this situation, the special fibre \mathcal{X}_k is necessarily a K3 surface, since it must have trivial canonical bundle $\omega_{\mathcal{X}_k} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_k}$, and (coherent) Euler characteristic $\chi(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_k}) = 2$. Although for general K3 surfaces good reduction requires models which are algebraic spaces, in the non-supersingular case considered in this paper it turns out that schemes suffice.

If $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$, then good reduction of $\text{Kum}(A)$ is essentially equivalent to good reduction of A . Indeed, if $\text{Kum}(A)$ has good reduction, we can use the classical Néron–Ogg–Shafarevich criterion to show that there exists a quadratic twist A^\times of A such that A^\times has good reduction (see Theorem A.1, which is certainly well known to the experts), and any quadratic twist of A satisfies $\text{Kum}(A^\times) \cong \text{Kum}(A)$. Conversely, if A has good reduction, then its Néron model $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{O}_K$ is an abelian scheme with generic fibre $\mathcal{A}_K \cong A$. We can then form the quotient \mathcal{A}/ι , and blow-up the singular subscheme to obtain a smooth model of $\text{Kum}(A)$ over \mathcal{O}_K , cf. [Ove21, Proposition 3.11].

If $\text{char}(k) = 2$, one direction of this argument still works: if $\text{Kum}(A)$ has good reduction, then a quadratic twist A^χ of A has good reduction, and $\text{Kum}(A) \cong \text{Kum}(A^\chi)$. But the argument in the other direction breaks down, essentially because the singular subscheme of \mathcal{A}/ι is no longer étale over \mathcal{O}_K . We are therefore left with the following question: suppose that $\text{char}(k) = 2$, and let A/K be an abelian surface with good reduction $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{O}_K$. When does $\text{Kum}(A)$ have good reduction?

Our first result is a construction, in the non-supersingular case, of an explicit smooth model of $\text{Kum}(A)$ over the ring of integers of a finite extension of K that trivialises the Galois action on the 2-torsion subgroup $A[2]$. We use a local equation of the special fibre at each singular point, but not much else. Our method relies on the crucial fact that blowing up a (singular!) section commutes with specialisation to each fibre, provided the section meets both fibres at rational double points, see Proposition 4.1. As a consequence we obtain:

Theorem 1. *If \mathcal{A}_k is not supersingular, then $\text{Kum}(A)$ has potentially good reduction with a scheme model.*

An important feature of our model is that it establishes a bijection between the sets of sixteen geometric exceptional curves of the special and generic fibres.¹ A weaker result concerning good reduction with an algebraic space model, and without an explicit bound on the degree of the field extension required, follows from Artin's simultaneous resolution of singularities [Art74]. This can be applied because \mathcal{A}/ι is flat over \mathcal{O}_K , and its fibres are normal varieties with at worst rational double points.

To explain more refined results than Theorem 1, let us fix an algebraic closure \overline{K} of K , with residue field \bar{k} , and let Γ_K denote the Galois group of \overline{K}/K . We therefore have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}[2]^\circ(\overline{K}) \rightarrow A[2](\overline{K}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}[2](\bar{k}) \rightarrow 0 \quad (1)$$

of Γ_K -modules, where $\mathcal{A}[2]^\circ$ is the connected component of the identity of the 2-torsion subscheme $\mathcal{A}[2] \subset \mathcal{A}$ (see §3.1 below).

Theorem 2. *Assume that $\text{char}(k) = 2$, and let A be an abelian surface with good, ordinary reduction. Then $\text{Kum}(A)$ has good reduction over K if and only if the exact sequence (1) of Γ_K -modules splits. Moreover, in this case $\text{Kum}(A)$ has good reduction with a scheme model.*

Another way of phrasing the given condition is as the splitting of the connected-étale sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}[2]^\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{A}[2] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}[2]^{\text{ét}} \rightarrow 0$$

of finite flat group schemes over \mathcal{O}_K . It is a consequence of Cartier duality that the splitting of (1) implies in particular that the Γ_K -module $A[2](\overline{K})$ is unramified (see

¹This bijection, however, does *not* describe the images of these curves under the specialisation map on Néron–Severi groups.

§3.1), however, the converse fails - there exist examples where $A[2](\overline{K})$ is unramified, but the exact sequence (1) is non-split (see Example 5.4). In particular, this gives an example of a K3 surface over \mathbb{Q}_2 which only attains good reduction over a non-trivial unramified extension.²

We also have similar results in the almost ordinary case.

Theorem 3. *Assume that $\text{char}(k) = 2$, and let A be an abelian surface with good, almost ordinary reduction. Then $\text{Kum}(A)$ has good reduction over K if and only if the Γ_K -module $A[2](\overline{K})$ is trivial. Moreover, in this case $\text{Kum}(A)$ has good reduction with a scheme model.*

Again, it is easy to see that this condition is not vacuous: there exist examples where the Γ_K -module $A[2](\overline{K})$ is unramified but non-trivial.

The ‘if’ directions of Theorems 2 and 3 are proved by explicitly constructing a smooth model for $\text{Kum}(A)$, by resolving the singularities of the quotient scheme \mathcal{A}/ι as in the proof of Theorem 1. The hypotheses on $A[2](\overline{K})$ are exactly what is required to make this construction work over \mathcal{O}_K itself.

The ‘only if’ directions of Theorems 2 and 3 are rather more involved, and the proofs use (the easy direction of) the main result of [CLL19]. (Note that since we have potentially good reduction, the crucial Hypothesis (\star) of [CLL19], is always satisfied in our case.) Indeed, we have an isomorphism $\mathcal{A}_k/\iota \cong (\mathcal{A}/\iota)_k$ (see Proposition 4.6), and this implies the key observation that $\text{Kum}(\mathcal{A}_k)$ is the ‘canonical reduction’ of $\text{Kum}(A)$ in the terminology introduced in [CLL19] (see §5 for the definition). By [CLL19, Theorem 1.6], good reduction of $\text{Kum}(A)$ with an algebraic space model is therefore equivalent to the existence of an isomorphism between the Galois representations in the ℓ -adic étale cohomology groups of $\text{Kum}(\mathcal{A}_k)$ and $\text{Kum}(A)$, where ℓ is an odd prime. We compare the Galois action on these cohomology groups by explicitly calculating the Galois action on the exceptional curves of $\text{Kum}(\mathcal{A}_k)$ and $\text{Kum}(A)$.

We finish this paper by applying our method to give a necessary and sufficient condition for good reduction of ‘twisted’ Kummer surfaces, that is, Kummer surfaces associated to 2-coverings of abelian surfaces, see Theorem 6.4. Again, we only have results in the case of non-supersingular reduction. Indeed, the approach of this paper does not seem to be suitable for studying good reduction of Kummer surfaces attached to abelian surfaces with supersingular reduction. In the non-supersingular case we make crucial use of the fact that a smooth model for $\text{Kum}(A)$ over \mathcal{O}_K can be obtained by resolving the singularities of the obvious singular model \mathcal{A}/ι . This completely breaks down in the supersingular case, where no such resolution of \mathcal{A}/ι can possibly provide a smooth model for $\text{Kum}(A)$.

²The only examples of such behaviour in the literature are for K3 surfaces over \mathbb{Q}_p for $p \geq 5$.

Acknowledgements

This paper benefited from intensive discussions with Evis Ieronymou to whom we are very grateful. We would like to thank Martin Liebeck for suggesting to use group cohomology in the proof of Theorem 3.5. We thank Otto Overkamp for his interest in this paper and helpful remarks. The work on this paper started while A.S. was a fellow of FRIAS, University of Freiburg. He is grateful to FRIAS for hospitality and excellent working conditions.

Notations and conventions

Throughout, \mathcal{O}_K is a complete discrete valuation ring with field of fractions K , maximal ideal \mathfrak{m}_K and residue field $k = \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{m}_K$. We assume that $\text{char}(K) = 0$ and that k is perfect with $\text{char}(k) = 2$.

Let \overline{K} be an algebraic closure of K and write $\Gamma_K = \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$. The residue field of the maximal unramified extension $K_{\text{nr}} \subset \overline{K}$ is an algebraic closure \overline{k} of k . We write $\Gamma_k = \text{Gal}(\overline{k}/k) = \text{Gal}(K_{\text{nr}}/K)$, and we have the usual inertia exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow I_K \rightarrow \Gamma_K \rightarrow \Gamma_k \rightarrow 0$$

relating Γ_K and Γ_k .

Let G be the (abstract) group $\mathbb{Z}/2$. For any abelian group object A (in any category), we write $\iota : A \rightarrow A$ for the involution $\iota(x) = -x$, which we also think of as an action of G .

1 Geometry in the ordinary case

For this section, we will let A/k be an ordinary abelian variety. The quotient variety A/G has four (geometric) singular points, all of type D_4^1 [Sch09, table on p.144], and in his paper [Sch09] Schröer describes a partial resolution of A/G having twelve (geometric) rational double points of type A_1 . Our goal here is to calculate the Galois action on these rational double points. We achieve this by first considering the case where k is algebraically closed, and giving a geometric description of how these singular points arise. This description will then be easily to be Galois equivariant.

So suppose for now that k is algebraically closed, and let $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{A,O}$ be the completed local ring of A at the identity element $O \in A$.

Proposition 1.1. *There exists an isomorphism*

$$\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{A,O} \cong k[[u, v]]$$

such that the involution ι acts via $\iota(u) = \frac{u}{1+u}$ and $\iota(v) = \frac{v}{1+v}$, and the group law Δ satisfies

$$\Delta(u) \equiv 1 \otimes u + u \otimes 1 + u \otimes u \pmod{(u^2 \otimes 1, 1 \otimes u^2, v^2 \otimes 1, 1 \otimes v^2)},$$

$$\Delta(v) \equiv 1 \otimes v + v \otimes 1 + v \otimes v \pmod{(u^2 \otimes 1, 1 \otimes u^2, v^2 \otimes 1, 1 \otimes v^2)}.$$

Proof. As in the proof of [Kat78, Lemma 4], the classification of formal group laws over k implies that there is an isomorphism of formal groups

$$\widehat{A}_{/O} \cong \widehat{E \times E}_{/O}, \quad (2)$$

where E is any ordinary elliptic curve over k . For example, we can take E to be the curve

$$y^2 + xy = x^3 + x.$$

Note that the involution ι on E is defined by $\iota(x, y) = (x, y + x)$. The function $z = x/y$ is a local parameter at the origin of the group law $O \in E$, so that the completed local ring $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{E,O}$ is isomorphic to $k[[z]]$. We have $\iota(z) = z(1+z)^{-1}$. In view of (2), this proves the claim concerning the action of ι . For the claim concerning the form of Δ , we simply use the formula on the top of p. 115 of [Sil86]. \square

Let $S = \text{Spec}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{A,O})$, let $T = S/G$, and let $q: S \rightarrow T$ be the quotient morphism. It follows from [Sch09, Proposition 1.1], which is simply a statement of the main result of [Art75], that

$$T = \text{Spec} \left(\frac{k[[x, y, z]]}{(z^2 + xyz + x^2y + xy^2)} \right)$$

where q is given by

$$x = \frac{u^2}{1+u}, \quad y = \frac{v^2}{1+v}, \quad z = \frac{uv(u+v)}{(1+u)(1+v)}.$$

Let $O \in S$ denote the closed point, and $q(O) \in T$ its image in T ; thus $O = V(u, v)$ and $q(O) = V(x, y, z)$ as closed subschemes of S and T respectively. Let

$$S^{(1)} := \text{Bl}_O S \rightarrow S, \quad T^{(1)} = \text{Bl}_{q(O)} T \rightarrow T$$

be the blowups at O and $q(O)$ respectively. Explicitly, we have

$$S^{(1)} = \text{Proj} \left(\frac{k[[u, v]][U, V]}{(uV + vU)} \right)$$

and

$$T^{(1)} = \text{Proj} \left(\frac{k[[x, y, z]][X, Y, Z]}{(xY + yX, xZ + zX, yZ + zY, Z^2 + xYZ + xXY + xY^2)} \right).$$

It is clear that $S^{(1)}$ is smooth. By [Kat78, Proposition 3(i)] or [Sch09, Proposition 5.1], the singular point $q(O)$ is a rational double point of type D_4^1 (for the classification of rational double points in all characteristics, see [Art77]). By [Sch09, Corollary 1.7], $T^{(1)}$ is normal with at most rational double points as singularities, and the minimal desingularisation of T factors through $T^{(1)}$. We let $D^{(1)} \subset S^{(1)}$ and $E^{(1)} \subset T^{(1)}$ denote the reduced exceptional subschemes.

Lemma 1.2. *The rational map $q : S^{(1)} \dashrightarrow T^{(1)}$ is regular, and the induced map $D^{(1)} \rightarrow E^{(1)}$ is a universal homeomorphism.*

Proof. Let $S' \rightarrow S$ be the blowing-up of the fibre $q^{-1}(q(O)) \subset S$. By the universal property of blowing-up we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S' & \longrightarrow & S \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ T^{(1)} & \longrightarrow & T. \end{array}$$

By [Sch09, Lemma 1.3, Remark 1.4] the ideal of $q^{-1}(q(O))$ is the bracket ideal $\mathfrak{m}_O^{[2]} = \{f^2 | f \in \mathfrak{m}_O\}$, where $\mathfrak{m}_O \subset \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{A,O}$ is the maximal ideal. We have $\mathfrak{m}_O^{[2]} \mathfrak{m}_O = \mathfrak{m}_O^3$, which is simply saying that $(u^2, v^2)(u, v) = (u, v)^3$. Thus the ideal $\mathfrak{m}_O^{[2]}$ becomes invertible on $S^{(1)}$. By the universal property of blowing-up, there is a unique factorization $S^{(1)} \rightarrow S' \rightarrow S$, which therefore provides the required regular map $S^{(1)} \rightarrow T^{(1)}$ extending q . Explicitly the map q is given by

$$(u, v, [U : V]) \mapsto \left(\frac{u^2}{1+u}, \frac{v^2}{1+v}, \frac{uv(u+v)}{(1+u)(1+v)}, \left[\frac{U^2}{1+u} : \frac{V^2}{1+v} : \frac{UV(u+v)}{(1+u)(1+v)} \right] \right),$$

which on $D^{(1)} = V(u, v) \subset S^{(1)}$ simplifies to

$$(0, 0, [U : V]) \mapsto (0, 0, 0, [U^2 : V^2 : 0]).$$

This completes the proof. □

It follows from the results of [Sch09] that $T^{(1)}$ has precisely three singular points, all of type A_1 . An explicit calculation gives these three points as

$$(x, y, z, [X : Y : Z]) = (0, 0, 0, [0 : 1 : 0]), (0, 0, 0, [1 : 0 : 0]), (0, 0, 0, [1 : 1 : 0]).$$

These in turn give rise to three closed points on $D^{(1)}$: explicitly, these are the three points

$$(u, v, [U : V]) = (0, 0, [1 : 0]), (0, 0, [0 : 1]), (0, 0, [1 : 1]).$$

Via the natural identification $D^{(1)} = \mathbb{P}(T_O A)$, where $T_O A$ is the tangent space to A at O , these three points therefore correspond to three distinguished tangent directions to A at O . We can give an alternative description of these tangent directions as follows.

Since k has characteristic 2, the inclusion $A[2] \subset A$ induces an isomorphism $T_O(A[2]) \xrightarrow{\sim} T_O A$, and the formula for Δ given in Proposition 1.1 gives $A[2]^\circ \cong \mu_2^{\oplus 2}$. We therefore obtain three subgroups of $A[2]^\circ$ isomorphic to μ_2 , and the tangent spaces to these three subgroups give three preferred tangent directions to A at O .

Lemma 1.3. *These three directions are precisely those coming from the three singular points of $T^{(1)}$.*

Proof. Again, we can just calculate everything explicitly. We can write

$$A[2]^\circ \cong \operatorname{Spec} \left(\frac{k[[u, v]]}{(u^2, v^2)} \right)$$

with the group law given by $\Delta(u) = 1 \otimes u + u \otimes 1 + u \otimes u$, and similarly for v . The three preferred tangent directions come from the three closed subgroups of $\operatorname{Spec} \left(\frac{k[[u, v]]}{(u^2, v^2)} \right)$ defined by

$$u = 0, \quad v = 0, \quad u + v = 0$$

respectively. The strict transform of the closed subscheme $\{u = 0\} \subset S$ is the closed subscheme $\{U = 0\}$ of $S^{(1)}$, which intersects the exceptional divisor $D^{(1)}$ at the point $(u, v, [U : V]) = (0, 0, [0 : 1])$. Similarly, the strict transform of the closed subscheme $\{v = 0\} \subset S$ (resp. $\{u + v = 0\}$) intersects the exceptional divisor $D^{(1)}$ at the point $(0, 0, [1 : 0])$ (resp. $(0, 0, [1 : 1])$). \square

We now drop the assumption that k is algebraically closed. Then we can form $S = \operatorname{Spec}(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{A, O})$, $T := S/G$, $q: S \rightarrow T$, and $T^{(1)} := \operatorname{Bl}_{q(O)}T$ as above, and thus $T^{(1)}$ has precisely three singular points over \bar{k} . The description of these three singular points as arising from the three subgroups of $A_{\bar{k}}[2]^\circ$ respects the Galois action, and so we obtain the following.

Corollary 1.4. *Let A be an ordinary abelian surface over k . Then there is an isomorphism of k -schemes $(T_{\text{sing}}^{(1)})_{\text{red}} \cong (A^\vee[2])_{\text{red}} \setminus \{O\}$.*

Proof. The three subgroups of $A_{\bar{k}}[2]^\circ$ isomorphic to μ_2 canonically correspond to the three non-zero elements of the abelian group $\operatorname{Hom}(A^\vee[2](\bar{k}), \mathbb{F}_2)$, which we can identify canonically with $A^\vee[2](\bar{k})$ via the isomorphism $\wedge^2(A^\vee[2](\bar{k})) \cong \mathbb{F}_2$. These isomorphisms are Γ_k -equivariant. \square

If we now consider the minimal resolution $\operatorname{Kum}(A) \rightarrow A/G$, then we can apply the description given in Corollary 1.4 around each of the 4 singular points of A/G . Since these singular points correspond precisely to the points of $A[2](\bar{k})$, we obtain the following.

Corollary 1.5. *The 16 exceptional curves of $\operatorname{Kum}(A) \rightarrow A/G$ are indexed Γ_k -equivariantly by $A^\vee[2](\bar{k}) \times A[2](\bar{k})$.*

2 Geometry in the almost ordinary case

We now want to carry out a similar analysis when A is an almost ordinary abelian surface over k . We have $A[2](k) = A[2](\bar{k}) = \mathbb{Z}/2$, and A/G has two geometric singular points, both k -rational and both of type D_8^2 [Sch09, table on p.144]. The exceptional divisor of the minimal resolution $\operatorname{Kum}(A) \rightarrow A/G$ contains sixteen rational curves, occurring in two (geometrically) connected components, and the main result of this section is then the following.

Proposition 2.1. *The sixteen exceptional curves of $\text{Kum}(A) \rightarrow A/G$ are all k -rational.*

Translating by the unique non-identity point of $A[2](k) = A[2](\bar{k}) = \mathbb{Z}/2$, we see that it suffices to prove the rationality of the eight exceptional curves of the minimal resolution of the formal D_8^2 singularity $\text{Spec}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{A,O})/G$. If we look at the associated Dynkin diagram, we can see that the only possible Galois action is to interchange the two curves corresponding to the nodes at the two ‘short ends’ of the diagram. Thus we see straight away that at least six of the exceptional curves are k -rational, and the remaining two are defined (at worst) over a quadratic extension of k .

2.1 Formal groups and 2-divisible groups

To prove Proposition 2.1, we decompose the formal group of A .

Lemma 2.2. *There is a k -isomorphism of formal groups $\text{Spf}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{A,O}) \cong G_1 \times_k G_2$, where G_h is a connected, 2-divisible formal group of dimension 1 and height h , for $h = 1, 2$.*

Proof. Let $A[2^\infty]$ be the 2-divisible group of A , and $A^\vee[2^\infty]$ that of its dual. Since k is perfect, the connected-étale sequences of both $A[2^\infty]$ and $A^\vee[2^\infty]$ split, and hence by Cartier duality we obtain a decomposition

$$A[2^\infty] \cong \mathbf{D}(A^\vee[2^\infty]^{\text{ét}}) \times G_2 \times A[2^\infty]^{\text{ét}},$$

where G_2 is a connected 2-divisible group of height 2 and dimension 1. Passing to the connected component of $A[2^\infty]$ and invoking [Tat67, Proposition 1] gives the result. \square

Lemma 2.3. *Let $G_h = \text{Spf}(k[[u]])$ be a 2-divisible formal group over k of dimension 1 and height $h \geq 1$. Then the inversion map ι is of the form*

$$\iota(u) = u + u^{2^h} f$$

for some $f \in k[[u]]^\times$.

Proof. If $\iota(u) = a_1 u + a_2 u^2 + \dots$ then we must have $a_1 = 1$ and hence $\iota(u) = u + f_0$ for some $f_0 \in k[[u]]$ with $\text{val}_u(f_0) \geq 2$. The 2-torsion $G_h[2]$ is the ι -fixed subscheme of G_h , which is defined by the ideal generated by $u + \iota(u) = f_0$, and is therefore of rank $\text{val}_u(f_0)$ over k . But since G_h has height h , we know that $G_h[2]$ is of rank 2^h over k , and the result follows. \square

Corollary 2.4. *Let $x = u \cdot \iota(u)$ and $a = u + \iota(u)$. The quotient G_h/ι is given by $\text{Spf}(k[[x]])$, the element a lies in $k[[x]]$ and satisfies $\text{val}_x(a) = 2^{h-1}$, and u satisfies the equation*

$$u^2 + au + x = 0.$$

Proof. Arguing as in the proof of [Art75, Lemma 1] we see that $k[[u]]$ is finite free over $k[[x]]$ of rank $\dim_k k[[u]]/(x) = 2$. In particular, this implies that $k((u))/k((x))$ is finite of degree 2, from which we deduce that the inclusion $k((x)) \subset k((u))^G$ is an equality, and hence $k[[u]]^G = k((x)) \cap k[[u]] = k[[x]]$. Then $a = u + \iota(u)$ is an element of $k[[u]]^G = k[[x]]$. Moreover, $\text{val}_u(a) = \text{val}_u(u^{2^h}f) = 2^h$ and $\text{val}_u(x) = 2$, hence $\text{val}_x(a) = 2^{h-1}$ as required. Finally the fact that $u^2 + ax + x = 0$ is a straightforward check. \square

2.2 A naïve resolution of A/G

Having decomposed the formal group of A , we can then explicitly resolve the singular surface $\text{Spec}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{A,O})/G$ in the most naïve way, by repeatedly blowing up the ‘worst’ singularity. Let us write $S = \text{Spec}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{A,O}) = \text{Spec}(k[[u, v]])$, where we may use the results of §2.1 above to choose u and v in such a way that G acts via

$$\iota(u) = u + u^2 f(u), \quad \iota(v) = v + v^4 g(v)$$

for some $f \in k[[u]]^\times$, $g \in k[[v]]^\times$. Let $x = u\iota(u)$, $y = v\iota(v)$, $z = u\iota(v) + v\iota(u)$. From Corollary 2.4 we obtain $u^2 + au + x = 0$, where $a = x\epsilon(x)$ for some $\epsilon(x) \in k[[x]]^\times$. Similarly, we have $v^2 + bv + y = 0$, where $b = y^2\eta(y)$ for some $\eta(y) \in k[[y]]^\times$. By [Sch09, Proposition 1.1] we see that the quotient $T := S/G$ is given by

$$T = \text{Spec} \left(\frac{k[[x, y, z]]}{(z^2 + xy^2 z \epsilon(x) \eta(y) + x^2 y \epsilon(x)^2 + xy^4 \eta(y)^2)} \right).$$

Write $q: S \rightarrow T$ for the quotient morphism. Then $q(O)$ is the unique singular point of T , and is of type D_8^2 .

Lemma 2.5. *There exist a cubic extension k'/k , and a change of co-ordinates $x \mapsto \alpha x$, $y \mapsto \beta y$, $z \mapsto \gamma z$ with $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in k'[[x, y, z]]^\times$, inducing an isomorphism*

$$T \cong \text{Spec} \left(\frac{k[[x, y, z]]}{(z^2 + xy^2 z + x^2 y + xy^4)} \right).$$

Proof. If we make the given substitution then we obtain the equation

$$\gamma^2 z^2 + \alpha \beta^2 \gamma x y^2 z \epsilon \eta + \alpha^2 \beta x^2 y \epsilon^2 + \alpha \beta^4 x y^4 \eta^2 = 0,$$

and for this to be of the required form we need

$$\alpha \beta^2 \epsilon \eta = \gamma, \quad \alpha^2 \beta \epsilon^2 = \gamma^2, \quad \alpha \beta^4 \eta^2 = \gamma^2.$$

Solving these equations gives

$$\alpha = \epsilon^{-2}, \quad \beta^3 = \eta^{-2}, \quad \gamma = \alpha \beta^2 \epsilon \eta.$$

Thus we need to be able to take a cube root of $\eta \in k[[y]]^\times$, which we can always do over the (at worst) cubic extension $k(\sqrt[3]{\eta(0)})$. \square

We already know that all the exceptional curves in the minimal resolution of T are rational over a quadratic extension of k . To prove that they are k -rational, we are therefore allowed to make a cubic extension of k , and hence assume that

$$T \cong \operatorname{Spec} \left(\frac{k[[x, y, z]]}{(z^2 + xy^2z + x^2y + xy^4)} \right).$$

Let $T^{(1)}$ be the blowup of T at $q(O) = V(x, y, z)$. Thus $T^{(1)}$ is a closed subscheme of

$$\operatorname{Proj} \left(\frac{k[[x, y, z]][X, Y, Z]}{(xY + yX, xZ + zX, yZ + zY, z^2 + xy^2z + x^2y + xy^4)} \right).$$

Let $E^{(1)} \subset T^{(1)}$ denote the reduced exceptional subscheme of $T^{(1)} \rightarrow T$.

Via direct calculation, we can show that $T^{(1)}$ has precisely two singular points, both defined over k , and given in co-ordinates $(x, y, z, [X : Y : Z])$ by

$$Q_1^{(1)} = (0, 0, 0, [1 : 0 : 0]), \quad Q_2^{(1)} = (0, 0, 0, [0 : 1 : 0]).$$

These are of type A_1 and D_6^1 respectively. Indeed, if we set $y' = y/x$ and $z' = z/x$, we can explicitly describe the formal completion $T_{/Q_1^{(1)}}^{(1)}$ as

$$T_{/Q_1^{(1)}}^{(1)} = \operatorname{Spec} \left(\frac{k[[x', y', z']]}{(z'^2 + x^2y'^2z' + xy' + x^3y'^4)} \right),$$

and if we set $x' = x/y$ and $z'' = z/y$, then the formal completion $T_{/Q_2^{(1)}}^{(1)}$ is given by

$$T_{/Q_2^{(1)}}^{(1)} = \operatorname{Spec} \left(\frac{k[[x', y, z'']]}{(z''^2 + x'y'^2z'' + x'^2y + x'y^3)} \right).$$

We now let $T^{(2)} \rightarrow T^{(1)}$ be the blowup at the k -rational singular point $Q_2^{(1)}$ of type D_6^1 . We let $E^{(2)} \subset T^{(2)}$ denote the reduced exceptional subscheme of $T^{(2)} \rightarrow T$, which naturally decomposes as $E^{(2)} = E_1^{(2)} \cup E_2^{(2)}$, where $E_1^{(2)}$ is the (reduced) strict transform of $E^{(1)}$, and $E_2^{(2)}$ is the reduced exceptional subscheme of $T^{(2)} \rightarrow T^{(1)}$.

To perform explicit calculations on $T^{(2)}$ we write $x' = x/y$ and $z'' = z/y$, and take the formal completion

$$T_{/Q_2^{(1)}}^{(1)} = \operatorname{Spec} \left(\frac{k[[x', y, z'']]}{(z''^2 + x'y'^2z'' + x'^2y + x'y^3)} \right)$$

at $Q_2^{(1)}$. Thus $T^{(2)} \times_{T^{(1)}} T_{/Q_2^{(1)}}^{(1)}$ is a closed subscheme of

$$\operatorname{Proj} \left(\frac{k[[x', y, z'']][X', Y', Z']}{(x'Y' + yX', x'Z' + z''X', yZ' + z''Y', z''^2 + x'y'^2z'' + x'^2y + x'y^3)} \right).$$


Further explicit calculations show that $T^{(2)}$ has three singular points $Q_1^{(2)}$, $Q_2^{(2)}$ and $Q_3^{(2)}$. The first of these $Q_1^{(2)}$ is obtained simply as the strict transform of $Q_1^{(1)}$, lies on $E_1^{(2)} \setminus E_2^{(2)}$, and is k -rational of type A_1 . The second $Q_2^{(2)}$ is the unique point of $E_1^{(2)} \cap E_2^{(2)}$, and is given in co-ordinates $(x', y, z', [X' : Y' : Z'])$ by $(0, 0, 0, [1 : 0 : 0])$. It is therefore k -rational, and it is not difficult to show, again via explicit calculation, that it is of type A_1 .

The final singularity $Q_3^{(2)}$ lies on $E_2^{(2)} \setminus E_1^{(2)}$. In co-ordinates $(x', y, z', [X' : Y' : Z'])$ it is given by $(0, 0, 0, [0 : 1 : 0])$, and if we set $x'' = x'/y$ and $z''' = z''/y$, then the formal completion $T_{/Q_3^{(2)}}^{(2)}$ is given explicitly by

$$\text{Spec} \left(\frac{k[[x'', y, z''']] }{(z'''^2 + x''y^2z''' + x''^2y + x''y^2)} \right).$$

In particular this singularity is k -rational and of type D_4^0 .

We now let $T^{(3)} \rightarrow T^{(2)}$ be the blowup at $Q_3^{(2)}$. We let $E_3^{(3)} \subset T^{(3)}$ denote the reduced exceptional subscheme of $T^{(3)} \rightarrow T^{(2)}$, write $E_1^{(3)}, E_2^{(3)}$ for the (reduced) strict transforms of $E_1^{(2)}, E_2^{(2)}$, and set $E^{(3)} = E_1^{(3)} \cup E_2^{(3)} \cup E_3^{(3)}$. A further explicit calculation shows that $T^{(3)}$ has five singular points, all of type A_1 , and lying on $E^{(3)}$ as follows.



It is clear that the points $Q_1^{(3)}$ and $Q_2^{(3)}$ are k -rational, since they are simply the unique preimages of $Q_1^{(2)}$ and $Q_2^{(2)}$. That $Q_3^{(3)}$ is k -rational then follows from the geometry of the above configuration, since there is no other point it could be sent to under the Galois action. To see that the last two points $Q_4^{(3)}$ and $Q_5^{(3)}$ are k -rational, we can do an explicit calculation. Indeed, if we write

$$T_{/Q_3^{(2)}}^{(2)} = \text{Spec} \left(\frac{k[[x'', y, z''']] }{(z'''^2 + x''y^2z''' + x''^2y + x''y^2)} \right)$$

and consider $T^{(3)} \times_{T^{(2)}} T_{/Q_3^{(2)}}^{(2)}$ as a closed subscheme of

$$\text{Proj} \left(\frac{k[[x'', y, z''']] [X'' : Y'' : Z''] }{(x''Y'' + yX'', x''Z'' + z'''X'', yZ'' + z'''Y'')} \right),$$

then we can explicitly give the singular points $Q_3^{(3)}$, $Q_4^{(3)}$ and $Q_5^{(3)}$ in co-ordinates as

$$\begin{aligned} (x'', y, z''', [X'' : Y'' : Z'']) &= (0, 0, 0, [1 : 0 : 0]) \\ &= (0, 0, 0, [1 : 1 : 0]) \\ &= (0, 0, 0, [0 : 1 : 0]). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 2.1.

3 The Galois action on Kummer surfaces

We can now use the above results to describe, in the non-supersingular case, the Galois action on the cohomology of Kummer surfaces over K and k . We will assume that k is perfect of characteristic 2, and let $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{O}_K$ be a relative abelian surface with generic fibre $A := \mathcal{A}_K$.

3.1 Preliminaries on Cartier duality

The 2-torsion subscheme $\mathcal{A}[2]$ is a finite flat group scheme over \mathcal{O}_K , we let $\mathcal{A}[2]^\circ$ be the connected component of the identity. By [Tat97, (3.7), I] we have the connected-étale exact sequence of finite flat group \mathcal{O}_K -schemes

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}[2]^\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{A}[2] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}[2]^{\text{ét}} \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\mathcal{A}[2]^{\text{ét}}$ is the largest étale group \mathcal{O}_K -scheme quotient of $\mathcal{A}[2]$. If we base change from \mathcal{O}_K to k , the connected-étale sequence splits, and we have

$$\mathcal{A}_k[2]^{\text{ét}} = \mathcal{A}_k[2]_{\text{red}} = \mathcal{A}_k[2](\bar{k}),$$

see for example [Tat97, (3.7), IV]. Thus over \mathcal{O}_K we deduce that

$$\mathcal{A}[2]^{\text{ét}}(\bar{K}) = \mathcal{A}[2]^{\text{ét}}(K_{\text{nr}}) \cong \mathcal{A}[2]^{\text{ét}}(\bar{k}) = \mathcal{A}[2](\bar{k}),$$

which are all identifications of (unramified) Γ_K -modules.

Lemma 3.1. *The connected-étale sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}[2]^\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{A}[2] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}[2]^{\text{ét}} \rightarrow 0$$

splits if and only if the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}[2]^\circ(\bar{K}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}[2](\bar{K}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}[2](\bar{k}) \rightarrow 0$$

of Γ_K -modules splits.

Proof. Clearly if the connected-étale sequence splits, then the sequence of Γ_K -module splits. Conversely, if the sequence of Γ_K -modules splits, we obtain a finite flat group scheme $G \subset \mathcal{A}[2]$ of order $|\mathcal{A}[2]^{\text{ét}}|$ as the image of the splitting. Taking the closure of G inside $\mathcal{A}[2]$ then gives a finite flat group scheme $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{A}[2]$ of order $|\mathcal{A}[2]^{\text{ét}}|$ mapping isomorphically onto $\mathcal{A}[2]^{\text{ét}}$. This gives a splitting the connected-étale sequence. \square

Let $\mathcal{A}^\vee/\mathcal{O}_K$ be the dual abelian surface (which exists by [BLR90, Theorem 8.5]). By [Oda69, Corollary 1.3] the finite flat group k -schemes $\mathcal{A}[2]$ and $\mathcal{A}^\vee[2]$ are Cartier duals of each other, that is, $\mathcal{A}[2] = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{A}^\vee[2], \mathbb{G}_m) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{A}^\vee[2], \mu_2)$. If \mathcal{A} has *ordinary* reduction, that is, $\mathcal{A}[2](\bar{k}) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2)^2$, then so does \mathcal{A}^\vee . Thus each of the group schemes $\mathcal{A}[2]^\circ$, $\mathcal{A}[2]^{\text{ét}}$, $\mathcal{A}^\vee[2]^\circ$, $\mathcal{A}^\vee[2]^{\text{ét}}$ has order 4. The Cartier dual of an étale group \mathcal{O}_K -scheme annihilated by 2 is connected, hence $\text{Hom}_S(\mathcal{A}^\vee[2]^{\text{ét}}, \mu_2)$ is naturally embedded into $\mathcal{A}[2]^\circ$. Since the orders are equal, we obtain a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathcal{A}[2]^\circ \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{A}^\vee[2]^{\text{ét}}, \mu_2).$$

Similarly, we have $\mathcal{A}^\vee[2]^\circ \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{A}[2]^{\text{ét}}, \mu_2)$, and we also have a canonical isomorphism of $\mathbb{F}_2[\Gamma_k]$ -modules

$$\text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^\vee[2](\bar{k}), \mu_2(\bar{K})) \cong \mathcal{A}^\vee[2](\bar{k}),$$

because $\mu_2(\bar{K}) = \mathbb{F}_2$ and $\wedge^2(\mathcal{A}^\vee[2](\bar{k})) = \mathbb{F}_2$. Thus the connected-étale sequence gives rise to an exact sequence of Γ_K -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^\vee[2](\bar{k}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}[2](\bar{K}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}[2](\bar{k}) \rightarrow 0.$$

In particular, if \mathcal{A}_k is ordinary, then $\mathcal{A}[2]^\circ(\bar{K})$ is unramified as a Γ_K -module.

3.2 Galois action over the residue field

If \mathcal{A}_k is not supersingular, then the minimal resolution $\text{Kum}(\mathcal{A}_k) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_k/G$ is a K3 surface by [Kat78, Theorem B]. There are 16 (geometric) exceptional curves, which appear in four disjoint D_4 configurations when \mathcal{A}_k is ordinary, and two disjoint D_8 configurations when \mathcal{A}_k is almost ordinary. The results of §1 and §2 then describe the Galois action on these curves: if \mathcal{A}_k is ordinary the exceptional curves are indexed Galois-equivariantly by $\mathcal{A}^\vee[2](\bar{k}) \times \mathcal{A}[2](\bar{k})$, and if \mathcal{A}_k is almost ordinary they are all k -rational.

Definition 3.2. Suppose that a group Γ acts on a finite set X . For a prime ℓ we write \mathbb{Q}_ℓ^X for the associated \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -valued permutation representation of Γ .

In particular, if X is the set underlying a n -dimensional \mathbb{F}_2 -representation of Γ , we have $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell^X) = 2^n$. Thanks to the results of §1 and §2, we can then describe the Γ_k -representation in $\text{H}_{\text{ét}}^2(\text{Kum}(\mathcal{A}_k)_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ explicitly as follows.

Corollary 3.3. *Suppose that \mathcal{A}_k is ordinary. Let $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$, where $V_1 = \mathcal{A}^\vee[2](\bar{k})$ and $V_2 = \mathcal{A}[2](\bar{k})$. Then for any odd prime ℓ there is an isomorphism*

$$H_{\text{ét}}^2(\text{Kum}(\mathcal{A}_k)_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \cong H_{\text{ét}}^2(\mathcal{A}_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \oplus \mathbb{Q}_\ell^V(-1)$$

of Γ_k -representations.

Corollary 3.4. *Suppose that \mathcal{A}_k is almost ordinary. Then for any odd prime ℓ there is an isomorphism*

$$H_{\text{ét}}^2(\text{Kum}(\mathcal{A}_k)_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \cong H^2(\mathcal{A}_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \oplus \mathbb{Q}_\ell(-1)^{\oplus 16}$$

of Γ_k -representations.

3.3 Galois action over the fraction field

We now consider the Γ_K representation in the cohomology $H_{\text{ét}}^2(\text{Kum}(A)_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ of the generic fibre. In this case, we have

$$H_{\text{ét}}^2(\text{Kum}(A)_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \cong H_{\text{ét}}^2(A_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \oplus \mathbb{Q}_\ell^W(-1),$$

where $W = A[2](\bar{K})$ is the Γ_K -representation on the 2-torsion points of A . A detailed explanation of this isomorphism can be found in [Ove21, Lemma 4.1].

We will want to compare the Galois representations in $H_{\text{ét}}^2(\text{Kum}(\mathcal{A}_k)_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ and $H_{\text{ét}}^2(\text{Kum}(A)_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$, at least when \mathcal{A}_k is non-supersingular. In the almost ordinary case, this is entirely straightforward, so we will concentrate on the case when \mathcal{A}_k is ordinary.

In this case, if we let $V_1 = \mathcal{A}^\vee[2](\bar{k})$ and $V_2 = \mathcal{A}[2](\bar{k})$, then recall from §3.1 that we have a short exact sequence of $\mathbb{F}_2[\Gamma_K]$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow V_1 \rightarrow W \rightarrow V_2 \rightarrow 0. \quad (3)$$

Let $P \subset \text{GL}(W)$ be the parabolic subgroup which leaves invariant the \mathbb{F}_2 -subspace $V_1 \subset W$. Let G_W (respectively, G_V) be the image of the action of Γ_K on W (respectively, on $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$). The natural projection $\pi: P \rightarrow \text{GL}(V_1) \times \text{GL}(V_2)$ induces a surjective homomorphism $G_W \rightarrow G_V$. Since G_V and G_W are finite, we have $G_W \cong G_V$ if and only if $|G_W| = |G_V|$.

Theorem 3.5. *Suppose that \mathcal{A}_k is ordinary. Then the following properties are equivalent:*

1. *There is an isomorphism of Γ_K -representations $\mathbb{Q}_\ell^V \simeq \mathbb{Q}_\ell^W$.*
2. *The extension of $\mathbb{F}_2[\Gamma_K]$ -modules (3) is split.*
3. $|G_W| = |G_V|$.

If these properties hold, then the Γ_K -representation in $W = A[2](\overline{K})$ is unramified, that is, it factors through Γ_k .

Proof. The implications 1. \Rightarrow 3. and 2. \Rightarrow 1. are clear. Either 1. or 2. implies that the representation of Γ_K in W is unramified because the representation of Γ_K in V is unramified. It remains to show that 3. \Rightarrow 2.

Choosing a section $V_2 \rightarrow W$ of the projection $W \rightarrow V_2$ induces a section σ of the projection $\pi: P \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V_1) \times \mathrm{GL}(V_2)$. Thus we have a split exact sequence of groups

$$0 \rightarrow R_u(P) \rightarrow P \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V_1) \times \mathrm{GL}(V_2) \rightarrow 0, \quad (4)$$

where $R_u(P)$ is the unipotent radical of P . We need to show that if G_W is isomorphic to $G_V = \pi(G_W)$, then G_W is conjugate, via an element of $R_u(P)$, to $\sigma(G_V)$.

The pullback of (4) with respect to the inclusion $G_V \subset \mathrm{GL}(V_1) \times \mathrm{GL}(V_2)$ is a split exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R_u(P) \rightarrow R_u(P) \rtimes G_V \rightarrow G_V \rightarrow 0,$$

where $G_V \subset \mathrm{GL}(V_1) \times \mathrm{GL}(V_2)$ acts on $R_u(P)$ by conjugation. The subgroups G_W and $\sigma(G_V)$ are complements to $R_u(P)$ in $R_u(P) \rtimes G_V$. It is enough to show that $H^1(G_V, R_u(P)) = 0$, because then all the complements to $R_u(P)$ in $R_u(P) \rtimes G_V$ are conjugate, see, e.g., [Rot09, Proposition 9.21].

We note that the action of $(A, B) \in \mathrm{GL}(V_1) \times \mathrm{GL}(V_2)$ on $\begin{pmatrix} I & X \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \in R_u(P)$ by conjugation via $\sigma((A, B))$ sends X to AXB^{-1} , so $R_u(P)$ is isomorphic as a $\mathrm{GL}(V_1) \times \mathrm{GL}(V_2)$ -representation to $V_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_2} V_2^* \cong V_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_2} V_2$. It remains to prove that for any subgroup $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(V_1) \times \mathrm{GL}(V_2)$ we have $H^1(G, V_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_2} V_2) = 0$. Since this group is annihilated by 2, by the standard restriction-corestriction argument it is enough to prove that $H^1(H, V_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_2} V_2) = 0$, where H is a 2-Sylow subgroup of G . Then H is contained in a subgroup of $S_3 \times S_3$ isomorphic to the product of $\mathbb{Z}/2 \subset S_3$ and $\mathbb{Z}/2 \subset S_3$. The \mathbb{F}_2 -vector space V_1 has a basis whose elements are permuted by $\mathbb{Z}/2$, and similarly for V_2 . This gives a basis of $V_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_2} V_2$ whose elements are permuted by $\mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$. It follows that $H^1(H, V_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_2} V_2) = 0$ for any subgroup $H \subset \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$. \square

4 Explicit smooth models

Let $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{O}_K$ be an abelian scheme of relative dimension 2, whose reduction \mathcal{A}_k is non-supersingular. Let $A := \mathcal{A}_K$ be the generic fibre of \mathcal{A} . In this section, we show how to construct an explicit smooth model for $\mathrm{Kum}(A)$ under suitable assumptions on the 2-torsion $A[2](\overline{K})$ as a Γ_K -module. In particular, this will result in a proof of Theorem 1.

4.1 Blowups and specialisation

Let $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ be a flat morphism of finite type, of relative dimension 2 and with normal, integral fibres. It is not true in general that blowing up a closed subscheme of \mathcal{X} commutes with base change to k , even if the centre is flat over \mathcal{O}_K . However, we do have the following result.

Proposition 4.1. *Suppose that $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathcal{X}$ is an \mathcal{O}_K -section, such that both fibres of \mathcal{X} have an isolated rational double point at \mathcal{Z} . Then $\text{Bl}_{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathcal{X})_k \cong \text{Bl}_{\mathcal{Z}_k}(\mathcal{X}_k)$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ be the ideal of \mathcal{Z} , and $\mathcal{I}_k \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_k}$ the ideal of \mathcal{Z}_k in \mathcal{X}_k . Then

$$\text{Bl}_{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathcal{X})_k = \text{Proj} \left(\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{I}^n \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} k \right)$$

whereas

$$\text{Bl}_{\mathcal{Z}_k}(\mathcal{X}_k) = \text{Proj} \left(\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{I}_k^n \right),$$

so we need to show that the natural map $\mathcal{I}^n \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} k \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_k^n$ is an isomorphism, for all n . This is equivalent to showing that the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}/\mathcal{I}^n \rightarrow 0$$

remains exact upon applying $- \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} k$, which will certainly follow if we can show that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}/\mathcal{I}^n$ is flat over \mathcal{O}_K .

To see this, we first observe that each $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}/\mathcal{I}^n$ is finite over \mathcal{O}_K . Indeed, this follows by induction on n from the facts that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}/\mathcal{I}$ is finite over \mathcal{O}_K , and \mathcal{I} is a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ -module. By the structure theorem for modules over a principal ideal domain, we see that each $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}/\mathcal{I}^n$ is isomorphic to an \mathcal{O}_K -module of the form

$$\mathcal{O}_K^{\oplus r} \oplus \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{m}_K^{n_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{m}_K^{n_s}.$$

Thus flatness over \mathcal{O}_K is equivalent to having $s = 0$, which in turn is equivalent to the equality of dimensions

$$\dim_K \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_K}/\mathcal{I}_K^n = \dim_k \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_k}/\mathcal{I}_k^n.$$

Since $\mathcal{I}_K \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_K}$ and $\mathcal{I}_k \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_k}$ are both maximal ideals defining isolated rational double points on normal surfaces, it follows from Lemma 4.2 below that both sides are equal to n^2 . \square

Lemma 4.2. *Let (A, \mathfrak{m}_A) be a complete Noetherian local ring, normal of Krull dimension 2, with a rational double point at \mathfrak{m}_A . Then $\dim_{A/\mathfrak{m}_A}(\mathfrak{m}_A^n/\mathfrak{m}_A^{n+1}) = 2n + 1$.*

Proof. We may assume that the residue field A/\mathfrak{m}_A is algebraically closed. In this case, the computation is done in Theorem 4 and Corollary 6 of [Art66]. \square

This result has the following important consequence.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $x \in \mathcal{X}(k)$, and assume that \mathcal{X}_k has a rational double point of type A_1 at x . Then there exists at most one (geometric) rational double point of \mathcal{X}_K specialising to x .*

Remark 4.4. We say that a \overline{K} -point of \mathcal{X}_K specialises to x if the scheme theoretic image of $\text{Spec}(\overline{K}) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ intersects the special fibre \mathcal{X}_k at x .

Proof. Suppose for contradiction that there are two distinct such points P_1, P_2 . Let L/K be a finite extension over which both are defined, with ring of integers \mathcal{O}_L and residue field k_L . Let $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{O}_L}$ be the base change of \mathcal{X} to \mathcal{O}_L . Thus $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{O}_L}$ is flat over \mathcal{O}_L with normal fibres, its special fibre \mathcal{X}_{k_L} has a rational double point of type A_1 at x , and both P_1 and P_2 specialise to this point.

Let \mathcal{P}_1 denote the scheme-theoretic closure of P_1 inside $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{O}_L}$. This is therefore an \mathcal{O}_L -section of $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{O}_L}$, and we let $\mathcal{X}'_{\mathcal{O}_L}$ denote the blowup of \mathcal{X}' along this section. Thus the special fibre \mathcal{X}'_{k_L} is smooth over k_L at every point in the fibre over x . Now, we let \mathcal{P}_2 denote the closure of P_2 inside $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{O}_L}$, and $\mathcal{P}'_2 \subset \mathcal{X}'_{\mathcal{O}_L}$ its strict transform. Then \mathcal{P}'_2 is an \mathcal{O}_L -section of $\mathcal{X}'_{\mathcal{O}_L}$, such that the special fibre \mathcal{X}'_{k_L} is smooth at \mathcal{P}'_{2,k_L} , but the generic fibre \mathcal{X}'_L is singular at $\mathcal{P}'_{2,L}$. This is the contradiction we seek. \square

Remark 4.5. More generally, if we have a collection of rational double points, we can talk about their ‘total degree’ as being the sum of the lower indices in the ADE classification. We can then use the lemma to prove by induction that the total degree of a collection of rational double points cannot increase under specialisation. We will not need this more general result.

4.2 Blowing up \mathcal{A}/G along the étale part of 2-torsion

We now let $\mathcal{Y} := \mathcal{A}/G$ be the quotient scheme by the involution ι , and let $q: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be the quotient morphism. Note that \mathcal{Y} is flat over \mathcal{O}_K . Our aim is to show that under appropriate conditions one can explicitly construct relative (smooth) Kummer surfaces by resolving the relative quotient surface \mathcal{Y} .

We begin by describing the fibres of $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$. Since quotients of quasi-projective schemes by finite group actions commute with flat base change,³ we get a natural identification $\mathcal{A}/G \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{Y}_K$. In fact, the same is true on the special fibre.

Proposition 4.6. *The natural map $\mathcal{A}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_k$ induces an isomorphism $\mathcal{A}_k/G \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{Y}_k$.*

Proof. In view of compatibility of quotients by G with flat base change we may assume that k is algebraically closed. Since the G -action is free on $\mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{A}[2]$, we obtain an isomorphism

$$(\mathcal{A}_k \setminus \mathcal{A}_k[2])/G \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{A}/G)_k \setminus q(\mathcal{A}_k[2]).$$

³This is essentially because the ring of functions on the quotient can be expressed as a kernel, see for example the argument in §(4.24) on p. 59 of [EvdGM].

Once more appealing to compatibility with flat base change, it therefore suffices to prove the analogous statement after base changing to the formal completion $(\mathcal{A}/G)_{/Q}$ at any point Q of $q(\mathcal{A}_k[2])$. Up to translation, and possibly enlarging K if necessary to ensure that the map $\mathcal{A}[2](K) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}[2](k)$ is surjective, we may therefore replace \mathcal{A} by its completion at the zero section $\mathcal{O}_k \in \mathcal{A}_k$ on the special fibre.

Thus we have an action of G on $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{O}_k} \cong \mathcal{O}_K[[u, v]]$, and we may choose the local parameters u and v in such a way that the description of the action and its quotient given in [Sch09, Proposition 1.1] and [Art75, Theorem, p. 60] holds modulo \mathfrak{m}_K . Since the quotient $\mathcal{O}_K[[u, v]]/\mathcal{O}_K[[u, v]]^G$ is \mathfrak{m}_K -torsion free, the natural map $\mathcal{O}_K[[u, v]]^G \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} k \rightarrow k[[u, v]]^G$ is injective; we need to show that it is an isomorphism. In other words, we need to show that

$$\mathcal{O}_K[[u, v]]^G \rightarrow k[[u, v]]^G$$

is surjective. But this is straightforward, since every element of $k[[u, v]]^G$ can be written as a series in $x = u \cdot \iota(u)$, $y = v \cdot \iota(v)$, and $z = u \cdot \iota(v) + v \cdot \iota(u)$. Any such series clearly lifts to an element of $\mathcal{O}_K[[u, v]]^G$. \square

Let us now assume that \mathcal{A}_k is non-supersingular, and that the exact sequence of Γ_K -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}[2]^\circ(\overline{K}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}[2](\overline{K}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}[2](\overline{k}) \rightarrow 0$$

is split. Then the surjective morphism of finite flat group \mathcal{O}_K -schemes $\mathcal{A}[2] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}[2]^{\text{ét}}$ has a section σ , so that $\mathcal{A}[2]$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}[2]^\circ \times \sigma(\mathcal{A}[2]^{\text{ét}})$. The degree of $\sigma(\mathcal{A}[2]^{\text{ét}}) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ is 4 in the ordinary case and 2 in the almost ordinary case. Let $\mathcal{Z} = q(\sigma(\mathcal{A}[2]^{\text{ét}})) \subset \mathcal{Y}$ denote the scheme-theoretic image of $\sigma(\mathcal{A}[2]^{\text{ét}})$.

Lemma 4.7. *The natural map $\sigma(\mathcal{A}[2]^{\text{ét}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. The claim can be checked after making a finite extension of K , so we may assume that $\sigma(\mathcal{A}[2]^{\text{ét}}) \subset \mathcal{A}$ consists of either 4 (in the ordinary case) or 2 (in the almost ordinary case) disjoint \mathcal{O}_K -sections. Their images remain disjoint in \mathcal{Y} , and so we may reduce to considering the scheme theoretic image in \mathcal{Y} of a single \mathcal{O}_K -section in \mathcal{A} , where the claim is clear. \square

Let $\mathcal{Y}^{(1)}$ be the blowup of \mathcal{Y} in \mathcal{Z} . It then follows from Proposition 4.1 that the special fibre $\mathcal{Y}_k^{(1)}$ is the blowup of \mathcal{Y}_k in its reduced singular locus.

4.3 The ordinary case

We now assume further that \mathcal{A}_k is ordinary. Thus both the generic fibre $\mathcal{Y}_K^{(1)}$ and the special fibre $\mathcal{Y}_k^{(1)}$ contain twelve (geometric) singular points, all of which are rational double points of type A_1 . Each of the twelve singular points on $\mathcal{Y}_K^{(1)}$ has to specialise to a singular point on $\mathcal{Y}_k^{(1)}$, and by Lemma 4.3 each singular point on $\mathcal{Y}_k^{(1)}$ is specialised to by at most one singular point on $\mathcal{Y}_K^{(1)}$.

In other words, if we let $\mathcal{Z}^{(1)} \subset \mathcal{Y}^{(1)}$ denote the scheme-theoretic closure of the twelve (geometric) singular points on $\mathcal{Y}_K^{(1)}$, then $\mathcal{Z}^{(1)}$ is finite etale over \mathcal{O}_K of degree 12, and intersects both the generic and special fibre in their reduced singular loci. We now let \mathcal{X} be the blowing-up of $\mathcal{Y}^{(1)}$ in $\mathcal{Z}^{(1)}$.

Theorem 4.8. *Suppose that \mathcal{A}_k is ordinary and the exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}[2]^\vee(\bar{k}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}[2](\bar{K}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}[2](\bar{k}) \rightarrow 0$$

splits. Then any section σ of $\mathcal{A}[2] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}[2]^{\text{ét}}$ gives rise to a smooth and projective scheme $\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_K$, equipped with an action of $\mathcal{A}[2]^{\text{ét}}$, whose fibres are the minimal desingularisations of the fibres of \mathcal{A}/G . In particular, $\mathcal{X}_K \cong \text{Kum}(\mathcal{A}_K)$ and $\mathcal{X}_k \cong \text{Kum}(\mathcal{A}_k)$.

Proof. The statement about the special fibre follows from the results of §1, in particular, \mathcal{X}_k is smooth. The generic fibre \mathcal{X}_K is clearly the Kummer surface attached to \mathcal{A}_K , so it is smooth. We can see that $\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_K$ is flat because \mathcal{X} is integral, \mathcal{O}_K is a DVR, and $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ is surjective [Har77, Chapter III, Proposition 9.7]. It is projective because it is an iterated blowup of the projective \mathcal{O}_K -scheme \mathcal{Y} . Finally, $\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_K$ is smooth, since it is flat with smooth fibres, see [Stacks, Lemma 01V8]. \square

4.4 The almost ordinary case

The construction of an explicit smooth resolution of $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{A}/G$ is slightly more involved in the almost ordinary case. Here, we assume that $\mathcal{A}[2](\bar{K}) = \mathcal{A}[2](K)$, and we show that we can perform the ‘naïve’ resolution described in §2.2 on the relative surface \mathcal{Y} . In fact, we will replace \mathcal{A} by the formal completion $\mathcal{S} = \text{Spec}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{O}_k})$ at the zero section of the special fibre. We let $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{S}/G$, and write $q : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ for the quotient map. We will describe a resolution of \mathcal{S} via an explicit sequence of blowups. To obtain a resolution of \mathcal{Y} we simply perform the same sequence of blowups, and then translate the whole procedure by the point of $\mathcal{A}[2](K)$ given by the image of the non-identity point of $\mathcal{A}[2](k)$ under the splitting σ of $\mathcal{A}[2] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}[2]^{\text{ét}}$.

First of all, note that any point in the kernel of the reduction map $\mathcal{A}(K) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(k)$ can naturally be thought of as a K -point of \mathcal{S} . Moreover, any such point extends uniquely to a section $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$. In particular, all eight points of $\mathcal{A}[2]^\circ(K)$ give rise to sections of \mathcal{S} , and the images of these sections under q intersect the generic fibre \mathcal{T}_K precisely at its eight singular points. The fibre product $\mathcal{T}^{(1)} := \mathcal{Y}^{(1)} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{T}$ is then the blowup of \mathcal{T} along $q(O)$.

We therefore know that the (reduced) singular locus of $\mathcal{T}_K^{(1)}$ consists of seven K -rational points of type A_1 , and the (reduced) singular locus of $\mathcal{T}_k^{(1)}$ consists of two k -rational points, one of type A_1 and one of type D_6^1 . By Lemma 4.3 there exists at least one singular point on $\mathcal{T}_K^{(1)}$ specialising to the D_6^1 -singularity $\mathcal{Q}_2^{(1)}$ (in the notation of §2). Let $\mathcal{Q}_2^{(1)} \subset \mathcal{T}^{(1)}$ denote the closure of this point, and $\mathcal{T}^{(2)}$ the blowup of $\mathcal{T}^{(1)}$ along $\mathcal{Q}_2^{(1)}$.

Now the (reduced) singular locus of $\mathcal{T}_K^{(2)}$ consists of six K -rational points of type A_1 , and the (reduced) singular locus of $\mathcal{T}_k^{(2)}$ consists of three k -rational points, two of type A_1 and one of type D_4^0 . By Lemma 4.3 there exists at least one singular point on $\mathcal{T}_K^{(2)}$ specialising to the D_4^0 -singularity $Q_3^{(2)}$. We let $\mathcal{Q}_3^{(2)} \subset \mathcal{T}^{(2)}$ denote the closure of this point, and $\mathcal{T}^{(3)}$ the blowup of $\mathcal{T}^{(2)}$ along $\mathcal{Q}_3^{(2)}$.

We therefore see that both the general and special fibres of $\mathcal{T}^{(3)}$ have precisely five singular points, all of type A_1 , and the singular subscheme of $\mathcal{T}^{(3)}$ is finite étale of degree 5 over \mathcal{O}_K . Now, as in the ordinary case, we may blowup the singular subscheme of $\mathcal{T}^{(3)}$ to obtain a scheme which is formally smooth over \mathcal{O}_K . We therefore obtain the following analogue of Theorem 4.8.

Theorem 4.9. *Suppose that \mathcal{A}_k is almost ordinary and that $\mathcal{A}[2](\overline{K})$ is trivial as a Γ_K -module. Then there exists a smooth and projective scheme $\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_K$, equipped with an action of $\mathcal{A}[2]^{\text{ét}}$, whose fibres are the minimal desingularisations of the fibres of \mathcal{A}/G . In particular, $\mathcal{X}_K \cong \text{Kum}(\mathcal{A}_K)$ and $\mathcal{X}_k \cong \text{Kum}(\mathcal{A}_k)$.*

Remark 4.10. It is not completely transparent exactly where the hypothesis that $\mathcal{A}[2](\overline{K}) = \mathcal{A}[2](K)$ is used. However, a more careful examination of the proof shows that:

1. the two sections $\mathcal{Q}_2^{(1)}$ and $\mathcal{Q}_3^{(2)}$ that we blew up above;
2. the chosen splitting of $\mathcal{A}[2] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}[2]^{\text{ét}}$;
3. the canonical multiplicative subgroup $\mu_2 \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{A}^\vee[2]^{\text{ét}}, \mu_2) \subset \mathcal{A}[2]$,

all combine to give a set of K -rational generators of $\mathcal{A}[2](\overline{K})$. The choice involved in producing \mathcal{X} is essentially a choice of \mathbb{F}_2 -basis of $\mathcal{A}[2](\overline{K})$ containing the non-trivial element of $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{A}^\vee[2]^{\text{ét}}, \mu_2)$.

5 Good reduction criterion

In this section, we complete the proofs of Theorems 1, 2 and 3. Since we will want to use the main result of [CLL19], we first need to explain the ‘canonical reduction’ of a K3 surface which plays a key role there.

5.1 Canonical reduction

Suppose that X/K is a K3 surface which attains good reduction after a finite and unramified extension of K . In general, this does not imply that X has good reduction over K itself, see for example [LM18, §7]. However, it is still possible to produce a K3 surface X_0/k as the ‘reduction’ of X , in a way that is unique up to k -isomorphism.

The key result that allows us to do this is [LM18, Proposition 4.7(2)], which says that if \mathcal{X}_1 and \mathcal{X}_2 are smooth models of our K3 surface X over \mathcal{O}_K , that is,

smooth and proper algebraic spaces over \mathcal{O}_K with generic fibres identified with X , then \mathcal{X}_1 and \mathcal{X}_2 are connected by a sequence of flopping contractions and their inverses. In particular, this implies that the canonical rational map $\mathcal{X}_1 \dashrightarrow \mathcal{X}_2$ given by the identity on generic fibres is an isomorphism away from a finite collection of curves on the special fibres of \mathcal{X}_1 and \mathcal{X}_2 . We may therefore restrict this map to obtain a birational map $\mathcal{X}_{1,k} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{X}_{2,k}$ between these special fibres. As remarked in the introduction, these special fibres must be K3 surfaces (since they have trivial canonical bundle and coherent Euler characteristic 2), and hence this restricted birational map is in fact an isomorphism.

This has the following important consequence. Suppose that L/K is a finite and unramified Galois extension, with induced residue field extension k_L/k . If $\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_L$ is a smooth model for X_L , then we may consider the natural $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ -action on X_L as a rational action on \mathcal{X} . By the above discussion, this is defined away from a finite collection of curves on \mathcal{X}_{k_L} . We can therefore restrict this rational action to the special fibre \mathcal{X}_{k_L} , and again, as in the above discussion, this restricted rational action is regular. Hence we may form the quotient $X_0 := \mathcal{X}_{k_L}/\text{Gal}(L/K)$, which is a K3 surface over k by the theory of Galois descent. Moreover, if we had any two such smooth models \mathcal{X}_1 and \mathcal{X}_2 over \mathcal{O}_L , then the identity map between the generic fibres induces a $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ -equivariant isomorphism between their special fibres. Thus the K3 surface X_0 , up to isomorphism, does not depend on the choice of model $\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_L$, or indeed on the choice of L .

Definition 5.1. The K3 surface X_0 over k is called the *canonical reduction* of X .

In our case the canonical reduction appears in the following way.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{O}_K$ be a flat, projective scheme with normal fibres, such that the minimal resolution X of \mathcal{Y}_K is a K3 surface. Assume that there is a finite and unramified Galois extension L/K , and a proper birational morphism $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_{\mathcal{O}_L}$, such that \mathcal{X} is smooth over \mathcal{O}_L with generic fibre isomorphic to X_L . Then the minimal resolution of \mathcal{Y}_k is the canonical reduction of X .*

Proof. The Galois group $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ acts naturally on X_L and \mathcal{Y}_L , and the morphism $X_L \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_L$ is $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ -equivariant. The action of $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ on X_L extends uniquely to a rational action of $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ on \mathcal{X} . The morphism $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_{\mathcal{O}_L}$ is thus $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ -equivariant. As we have seen, the rational action of $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ on \mathcal{X} restricts to a regular action on \mathcal{X}_{k_L} . We therefore obtain a $\text{Gal}(k_L/k)$ -equivariant birational morphism $\mathcal{X}_{k_L} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_{k_L}$. Since \mathcal{X}_{k_L} is a K3 surface, this morphism is a minimal resolution of \mathcal{Y}_{k_L} . Hence $\mathcal{X}_{k_L}/\text{Gal}(L/K)$, which by definition is the canonical reduction of X , is the minimal resolution of \mathcal{Y}_k . \square

5.2 The proofs

We can now prove our main theorems.

Theorem 5.3. *Let A be an abelian surface over K with good, non-supersingular reduction. Then we have the following statements.*

1. *The Kummer surface $\text{Kum}(A)$ attains good reduction with a scheme model after a finite field extension L/K of degree $[L : K] \leq |\text{GL}_4(\mathbb{F}_2)|$.*
2. *If the Γ_K -module $A[2](\overline{K})$ is unramified, then the extension L/K in (1) can be chosen to be unramified.*
3. (a) *If A has ordinary reduction, then $\text{Kum}(A)$ has good reduction over K if and only if the exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^\vee[2](\overline{k}) \rightarrow A[2](\overline{K}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}[2](\overline{k}) \rightarrow 0$$

of Γ_K -modules splits. If this condition holds, then the Γ_K -module $A[2](\overline{K})$ is unramified, and $\text{Kum}(A)$ has good reduction over K with a scheme model.

- (b) *If A has almost ordinary reduction, then $\text{Kum}(A)$ has good reduction over K if and only if the Γ_K -module $A[2](\overline{K})$ is trivial. If this condition holds, then $\text{Kum}(A)$ has good reduction over K with a scheme model.*

Proof. Parts 1 and 2, as well as ‘if’ statements of part 3 immediately follow from Theorems 4.8 and 4.9. In particular, we see that the K3 surface $\text{Kum}(A)$ satisfies Hypothesis (\star) in the terminology of [LM18] and [CLL19].

For the ‘only if’ direction of part 3, we first note that the hypothesis that $\text{Kum}(A)$ has good reduction implies that $A[2](\overline{K})$ is an unramified Γ_K -module. We then consider the singular model \mathcal{A}/ι for $\text{Kum}(A)$, which by the results of §4 has a simultaneous resolution after an unramified extension of K . Hence Lemma 5.2 shows that $\text{Kum}(\mathcal{A}_k)$ is the ‘canonical reduction’ of $\text{Kum}(A)$.

It therefore follows from [CLL19, Theorem 1.6] that good reduction of $\text{Kum}(A)$ over K is equivalent to the existence of an isomorphism of Γ_K -representations

$$H_{\text{ét}}^2(\text{Kum}(A)_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \simeq H_{\text{ét}}^2(\text{Kum}(\mathcal{A}_k)_{\overline{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell),$$

for any odd prime ℓ . We now appeal to Theorem 3.5 in the case of ordinary reduction, and to Corollary 3.4 in the case of almost ordinary reduction. Indeed, if we have an isomorphism

$$H_{\text{ét}}^2(A_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \cong H_{\text{ét}}^2(\mathcal{A}_{\overline{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$$

of Γ_K -representations, then we have an induced isomorphism on respective semisimplifications, and therefore an isomorphism

$$\frac{H_{\text{ét}}^2(\text{Kum}(A)_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)}{H_{\text{ét}}^2(A_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)} \simeq \frac{H_{\text{ét}}^2(\text{Kum}(\mathcal{A}_k)_{\overline{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)}{H_{\text{ét}}^2(\mathcal{A}_{\overline{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)}$$

since both sides are semisimple. Hence we can indeed apply Theorem 3.5 or Corollary 3.4, depending on which case we are in. \square

Example 5.4. The condition appearing in Theorem 5.3 3(a) is not automatic, even if we assume that $A[2](\overline{K})$ is unramified. To see this, we let \mathcal{E}/\mathbb{Z}_2 be the elliptic curve defined by

$$\mathcal{E} : y^2 + xy = x^3 - 20x - 5.$$

If we reduce modulo 2 we get the curve

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{F}_2} : y^2 + xy = x^3 + 1$$

which is smooth over \mathbb{F}_2 , and hence \mathcal{E} is indeed an elliptic curve over \mathbb{Z}_2 . Note that $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{F}_2}$ has the 2-torsion point $(x, y) = (0, 1)$, and is therefore ordinary. If we make the change of co-ordinates $y = \frac{1}{2}(y' - x)$, then $E := \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Q}_2}$ can be defined by the equation

$$y'^2 = 4x^3 + x^2 - 80x - 20$$

and the right hand side factors as

$$4x^3 + x^2 - 80x - 20 = (4x + 1)(x^2 - 20).$$

Since 20 is not a square in \mathbb{Q}_2 , it follows that $E(\mathbb{Q}_2)$ has precisely one point of exact order 2, namely $(x, y) = (-\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{8})$. However, the full 2-torsion of E is defined over the unramified extension $\mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{5})$ of \mathbb{Q}_2 . The exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}[2]^\circ(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_2}) & \longrightarrow & E[2](\overline{\mathbb{Q}_2}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}[2](\overline{\mathbb{F}_2}) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & & & \\ & & \mathcal{E}[2](\overline{\mathbb{F}_2}) & & & & \end{array}$$

of $\mathbb{F}_2[\Gamma_K]$ -modules is therefore unramified, since all points of $E[2]$ are defined over $\mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{5})$, and non-split, since $\mathcal{E}[2](\overline{\mathbb{F}_2})$ is a trivial Γ_k -module, but $E[2](\overline{\mathbb{Q}_2})$ is not. In particular, if we take $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{E} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathcal{E}$, then $A := \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_2}$ is an abelian surface with good ordinary reduction, and the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^\vee[2](\overline{\mathbb{F}_2}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}[2](\overline{\mathbb{Q}_2}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}[2](\overline{\mathbb{F}_2}) \rightarrow 0$$

of $\mathbb{F}_2[G_K]$ -modules is unramified and non-split. Thus $\text{Kum}(A)$ has good reduction over $\mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{5})$, but not over \mathbb{Q}_2 .

We leave it to the reader to find an example of an abelian surface A/K with good, almost ordinary reduction, such that $A[2](\overline{K})$ is unramified but non-trivial as a Γ_K -module.

Remark 5.5. In the case when $\text{Kum}(A)$ has good reduction, we can ask what the *height* is of the reduced K3 surface over k . This is the same as the height of the Kummer surface $\text{Kum}(\mathcal{A}_k)$. Replacing k with \bar{k} , and using crystalline cohomology relative to $W := W(\bar{k})$, we have an isomorphism of F -isocrystals

$$H_{\text{cris}}^2(\text{Kum}(\mathcal{A}_{\bar{k}})/W)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong H_{\text{cris}}^2(\mathcal{A}_{\bar{k}}/W)_{\mathbb{Q}} \oplus W(-1)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\oplus 16}.$$

This allows us to simply read off the slopes (roughly speaking, the valuations of the Frobenius eigenvalues) of $H_{\text{cris}}^2(\text{Kum}(\mathcal{A}_{\bar{k}})/W)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ from those of

$$H_{\text{cris}}^2(\mathcal{A}_{\bar{k}}/W)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \bigwedge^2 H_{\text{cris}}^1(\mathcal{A}_{\bar{k}}/W)_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

Indeed, if \mathcal{A}_k has 2-rank $r \in \{1, 2\}$, then $H_{\text{cris}}^1(\mathcal{A}_{\bar{k}}/W)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ has slopes $0, \frac{1}{2}, 1$ with multiplicities $r, 4 - 2r, r$ respectively. If we therefore set $h := 3 - r$, then $H_{\text{cris}}^2(\mathcal{A}_{\bar{k}}/W)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ has slopes $1 - \frac{1}{h}, 1, 1 + \frac{1}{h}$ with multiplicities $h, 6 - 2h, h$ respectively. Since $W(-1)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ has slope 1, we deduce from [Ill79, II §7.2] that $\text{Kum}(\mathcal{A}_k)$ has height 1 when \mathcal{A}_k is ordinary, and height 2 when \mathcal{A}_k is almost ordinary.

6 Twisted Kummer surfaces

We can also play the same game with Kummer surfaces obtained via twisting. Indeed, let A/K be an abelian surface, and let Z be a K -torsor for $A[2]$. We write $[Z]$ for the class of Z in $H^1(K, A[2])$. The quotient $A_Z := (A \times_K Z)/A[2]$, where $A[2]$ acts diagonally on the product, is a K -torsor for A . There is an action of G on A_Z , coming from the G -action on A , and we can form the quotient A_Z/G . Let $\text{Kum}(A_Z)$ be the minimal resolution of A_Z/G . This is a K3 surface over K , indeed, it is \bar{K} -isomorphic to $\text{Kum}(A)$. Alternatively, we could use the fact that the $A[2]$ -action on A gives rise to an action on $\text{Kum}(A)$, and then form the twist $(\text{Kum}(A) \times_K Z)/A[2]$.

Since translations by elements of $A(\bar{K})$ acts trivially on the cohomology of A , we have canonical isomorphisms of Γ_K -modules

$$H_{\text{ét}}^i(A_{Z, \bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}) \cong H_{\text{ét}}^i(A_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}), \quad i \geq 0.$$

Thus we have a canonical isomorphism

$$H_{\text{ét}}^2(\text{Kum}(A_Z)_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}) \cong H_{\text{ét}}^2(A_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}) \oplus \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}^{Z(\bar{K})}(-1)$$

of Γ_K -representations.

Lemma 6.1. *Suppose $\text{Kum}(A_Z)$ has good reduction, and let ℓ be an odd prime. Then both $A[2](\bar{K})$ and $H_{\text{ét}}^2(A_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell})$ are unramified as Γ_K -modules, and $[Z] \in \ker(H^1(K, A[2]) \rightarrow H^1(K_{\text{nr}}, A[2]))$.*

Proof. Since $H_{\text{ét}}^2(\text{Kum}(A_Z)_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell})$ is an unramified Γ_K -module, we see immediately that both $H_{\text{ét}}^2(A_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell})$ and $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}^{Z(\bar{K})}(-1)$ are unramified. Thus $Z(\bar{K}) = Z(K_{\text{nr}})$, which implies that $A[2](\bar{K})$ is unramified. The same fact also implies that Z is trivialised over K_{nr} , hence $[Z]$ maps to zero in $H^1(K_{\text{nr}}, A[2])$. \square

It follows that some quadratic twist of A has good reduction (see Appendix A). Since quadratic twists of A do not change the K -isomorphism class of $\text{Kum}(A_Z)$,

we will therefore assume that A has good reduction, with Néron model $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{O}_K$. The connected-étale sequence for $\mathcal{A}[2]$ then gives rise to an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}[2]_K^\circ \rightarrow A[2] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}[2]_K^{\text{ét}} \rightarrow 0$$

of group schemes over K . Define $Z^{\text{ét}}$ as the pushout of Z along $A[2] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}[2]_K^{\text{ét}}$, that is, $Z^{\text{ét}}$ is the quotient $Z/\mathcal{A}[2]_K^\circ$. We write $\pi: Z \rightarrow Z^{\text{ét}}$ for the quotient morphism.

Lemma 6.2. *Suppose that there exists an isomorphism of K -schemes $Z \cong \mathcal{A}[2]_K^\circ \times_K Z^{\text{ét}}$. Then the morphism $\pi: Z \rightarrow Z^{\text{ét}}$ has a section.*

Remark 6.3. The lemma is *not* immediate, since the second projection defined by the isomorphism $Z \cong \mathcal{A}[2]_K^\circ \times_K Z^{\text{ét}}$ need not coincide with $\pi: Z \rightarrow Z^{\text{ét}}$.

Proof. First of all, let us suppose that \mathcal{A}_k is ordinary. Write $Z^{\text{ét}} = \text{Spec}(L_1) \sqcup \dots \sqcup \text{Spec}(L_m)$ where each L_i is a finite field extension of K . If any $L_i = K$, then both Z and $Z^{\text{ét}}$ are trivial torsors, and hence the claim follows from Theorem 3.5. We may therefore assume either that $m = 1$, and L_1 is a quartic extension of K , or that $m = 2$, and L_1 and L_2 are (not necessarily distinct) quadratic extensions of K .

Since $\pi: Z \rightarrow Z^{\text{ét}}$ is a $\mathcal{A}[2]_K^\circ$ -torsor, we get a decomposition of K -schemes

$$Z \cong Z_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup Z_m$$

where each $Z_i \rightarrow \text{Spec}(L_i)$ is a $\mathcal{A}[2]_K^\circ$ -torsor. Now, our given isomorphism $Z \cong \mathcal{A}[2]_K^\circ \times_K Z^{\text{ét}}$ of K -schemes, together with the identity element of $\mathcal{A}[2]_K^\circ$, gives rise to a K -morphism $Z^{\text{ét}} \rightarrow Z$, and hence to K -morphisms $\text{Spec}(L_i) \rightarrow Z_{\phi(i)} \rightarrow \text{Spec}(L_{\phi(i)})$ for some function (not necessarily a permutation) $\phi: \{1, \dots, m\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, m\}$.

If ϕ is a permutation, then the composite map $Z^{\text{ét}} \rightarrow Z \rightarrow Z^{\text{ét}}$ is an isomorphism, and we therefore obtain a section as claimed. But if ϕ is not a permutation, then we must have $m = 2$, $L_1 \cong L_2$ are isomorphic quadratic extensions of K , and (after possibly reindexing), $\phi(i) = 1$ for $i = 1, 2$. Write $L = L_1 = L_2$. In this case, we have $Z = Z_1 \sqcup Z_2$ where $Z_1 \rightarrow \text{Spec}(L)$ is a trivial $\mathcal{A}[2]_K^\circ$ -torsor, and $Z_2 \rightarrow \text{Spec}(L)$ is a possibly non-trivial $\mathcal{A}[2]_K^\circ$ -torsor. But now the fact that we have K -isomorphisms

$$Z = Z_1 \sqcup Z_2 \cong \mathcal{A}[2]_K^\circ \times_K Z^{\text{ét}} = \mathcal{A}[2]_L^\circ \sqcup \mathcal{A}[2]_L^\circ$$

and

$$Z_1 \cong \mathcal{A}[2]_L^\circ$$

implies that there also exists a K -isomorphism $Z_2 \cong \mathcal{A}[2]_L^\circ$. This then implies in turn that $Z_2 \rightarrow \text{Spec}(L)$ is a trivial $\mathcal{A}[2]_K^\circ$ -torsor. Hence $Z \rightarrow Z^{\text{ét}}$ is a trivial $\mathcal{A}[2]_K^\circ$ -torsor, and therefore admits a section as claimed.

The proof in the almost ordinary and supersingular cases is similar, but much easier. \square

Theorem 6.4. *Let A be an abelian surface over K with good, non-supersingular reduction. Let Z be a K -torsor for $A[2]$.*

1. The twisted Kummer surface $\text{Kum}(A_Z)$ attains good reduction after a finite extension of K .
2. If the étale K -scheme Z is unramified, then $\text{Kum}(A_Z)$ attains good reduction after a finite unramified extension of K .
3. (a) If A has ordinary reduction, then $\text{Kum}(A_Z)$ has good reduction over K if and only if the étale K -scheme $Z^{\text{ét}}$ is unramified, and the morphism $\pi: Z \rightarrow Z^{\text{ét}}$ has a section.
 (b) If A has almost ordinary reduction, then $\text{Kum}(A_Z)$ has good reduction over K if and only if the étale K -scheme $Z^{\text{ét}}$ is unramified, the morphism $\pi: Z \rightarrow Z^{\text{ét}}$ has a section, and all points of $\mathcal{A}[2]^\circ(\overline{K})$ are defined over the splitting field of $Z^{\text{ét}}$.

Remark 6.5. 1. In the almost ordinary case, $Z^{\text{ét}}$ is a K -torsor for $\mathbb{Z}/2$, and so its splitting field is either K itself, or a quadratic extension of K .

2. If Z is a trivial torsor, then the condition in part 3(a) does not quite reduce to that in Theorem 5.3, since here we only require a set-theoretic section, whereas in Theorem 5.3 the section is required to be a group homomorphism. This implicitly proves (in the ordinary case) that the connected-étale sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^\vee[2](\overline{k}) \rightarrow A[2](\overline{K}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}[2](\overline{k}) \rightarrow 0$$

has a section as Γ_K -modules if and only if it has a section as Γ_K -sets. The most direct proof that we know of this result goes through Theorem 3.5.

3. It is not difficult to check that if $\text{Kum}(A_Z)$ has good reduction, then it does so with a scheme model. Indeed, we can easily adapt the explicit constructions of §4 to produce scheme theoretic models of our twisted Kummer surfaces.

Proof. Parts 1 and 2 follow from Theorem 5.3. Indeed, $\text{Kum}(A)$ and $\text{Kum}(A_Z)$ are isomorphic over a finite extension L/K . If the K -scheme Z is unramified, then $A[2](\overline{K})$ is unramified, hence L can be taken to be unramified over K .

Now let us turn to part 3. We first claim that all hypotheses imply that Z extends to an étale $\mathcal{A}[2]$ -torsor $\mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{O}_K$, or equivalently, that Z is unramified as an étale K -scheme. Indeed, if $\text{Kum}(A_Z)$ has good reduction, then this follows from Lemma 6.1. On the other hand, if $Z^{\text{ét}}$ is unramified, and π has a section, then the fact that $\pi: Z \rightarrow Z^{\text{ét}}$ is a $\mathcal{A}[2]^\circ_K$ -torsor implies that $Z \cong \mathcal{A}[2]^\circ_K \times_K Z^{\text{ét}}$. In the ordinary case, this implies directly that Z is unramified. In the almost ordinary case we use the extra assumption that all points of $\mathcal{A}[2]^\circ(\overline{K})$ are defined over the splitting field of $Z^{\text{ét}}$ to conclude this.

We may therefore assume that we have such a torsor \mathcal{Z} . We let $\mathcal{Z}^{\text{ét}}$ denote the pushout of \mathcal{Z} along $\mathcal{A}[2] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}[2]^{\text{ét}}$, thus $\mathcal{Z}_K^{\text{ét}} \cong Z^{\text{ét}}$. The special fibres \mathcal{Z}_k and $\mathcal{Z}_k^{\text{ét}}$ are then étale torsors under $\mathcal{A}_k[2]$ and $\mathcal{A}_k[2]^{\text{ét}}$ respectively. Now, the connected-étale

sequence for $\mathcal{A}_k[2]$ splits canonically, and hence we may write $\mathcal{Z}_k = \mathcal{Z}_k^\circ \times_k \mathcal{Z}_k^{\text{ét}}$ where \mathcal{Z}_k° is étale torsor under $\mathcal{A}_k[2]^\circ$. Any such torsor must be trivial, so we have $\mathcal{Z}_k \cong \mathcal{A}_k[2]^\circ \times_k \mathcal{Z}_k^{\text{ét}}$. There is a natural action of both $\mathcal{A}_k[2]$ and $\mathcal{A}_k[2]^{\text{ét}}$ on \mathcal{A}_k , and we may therefore form the twisted Kummer surfaces $\text{Kum}(\mathcal{A}_{k, \mathcal{Z}_k})$ and $\text{Kum}(\mathcal{A}_{k, \mathcal{Z}_k^{\text{ét}}})$, which are isomorphic since $\mathcal{Z}_k \cong \mathcal{A}_k[2]^\circ \times_k \mathcal{Z}_k^{\text{ét}}$. We claim that $\text{Kum}(\mathcal{A}_{k, \mathcal{Z}_k}) \cong \text{Kum}(\mathcal{A}_{k, \mathcal{Z}_k^{\text{ét}}})$ is the canonical reduction of $\text{Kum}(A_Z)$. To see this, we can twist the abelian scheme \mathcal{A} by the $\mathcal{A}[2]$ -torsor \mathcal{Z} to form \mathcal{A}_Z . Now taking the quotient of \mathcal{A}_Z by the natural G -action gives rise to a flat \mathcal{O}_K -scheme \mathcal{A}_Z/G , with normal fibres, such that the minimal resolutions of the fibres are $\text{Kum}(A_Z)$ and $\text{Kum}(\mathcal{A}_{k, \mathcal{Z}_k})$ respectively. Thus we may apply Lemma 5.2.

We therefore deduce from [CLL19, Theorem 1.6] that $\text{Kum}(A_Z)$ has good reduction over K if and only if there exists an isomorphism of (unramified) Γ_K -sets

$$Z(\overline{K}) \cong \mathcal{A}[2]^\circ(\overline{K}) \times Z^{\text{ét}}(\overline{K})$$

in the ordinary case, and

$$Z(\overline{K}) \cong \bigsqcup_{i=1}^8 Z^{\text{ét}}(\overline{K})$$

in the almost ordinary case.

In the ordinary case, if we have such an isomorphism, then the existence of a section was proved in Lemma 6.2. Conversely, if π has a section, then we have already seen that $Z \cong \mathcal{A}[2]_K^\circ \times_K Z^{\text{ét}}$, since $\pi: Z \rightarrow Z^{\text{ét}}$ is a trivial $\mathcal{A}[2]_K^\circ$ -torsor.

In the almost ordinary case, if we have such an isomorphism $Z(\overline{K}) \cong \bigsqcup_{i=1}^8 Z^{\text{ét}}(\overline{K})$ then *any* set-theoretic section will be Γ_K -equivariant. The fact that all points of $\mathcal{A}[2]^\circ(\overline{K})$ have to be defined over the splitting field of $Z^{\text{ét}}$ follows from applying Theorem 5.3 over this field.

Conversely, suppose that π has a section, and all points of $\mathcal{A}[2]^\circ(\overline{K})$ are defined over a splitting field L/K for $Z^{\text{ét}}$. If $L = K$ then clearly both $Z(\overline{K})$ and $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^8 Z^{\text{ét}}(\overline{K})$ are trivial Γ_K -sets. Otherwise, L/K is quadratic, and then both $Z(\overline{K})$ and $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^8 Z^{\text{ét}}(\overline{K})$ consist of 16 points, none of which are fixed by Γ_K , but all of which are fixed by the index 2 subgroup $\Gamma_L \subset \Gamma_K$. We can therefore directly construct a Γ_K -equivariant bijection $Z(\overline{K}) \cong \bigsqcup_{i=1}^8 Z^{\text{ét}}(\overline{K})$. \square

It is possible for $\text{Kum}(A_Z)$ to have good reduction over K even if $\text{Kum}(A)$ does not. We give examples in both the ordinary and almost ordinary cases.

Example 6.6. To give an example where A has good, ordinary reduction, we take elliptic curves $\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2$ over \mathbb{Z}_2 with ordinary reduction, such that $\mathcal{E}_1[2](\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_2)$ is a trivial $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}_2}$ -module, but $\mathcal{E}_2[2](\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_2)$ is a non-trivial, but unramified $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}_2}$ -module. For example, we could take \mathcal{E}_1 to be defined by

$$y^2 + xy = x^3 - 4x - 1$$

and \mathcal{E}_2 to be the curve from Example 5.4.

Let K/\mathbb{Q}_2 be the unramified quadratic extension over which all $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_2}$ -points of $\mathcal{E}_2[2]$ are defined (equivalently, over which the connected-étale sequence for $\mathcal{E}_2[2]$ splits), and let $\sigma : \mathbb{Z}/2 \cong \mathcal{E}_1[2]^{\text{ét}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_1[2]$ be a splitting of the connected-étale sequence of \mathcal{E}_1 . We take $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{E}_1 \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathcal{E}_2$, and $A = \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_2}$, thus σ induces a map $\mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow A[2]$. The quadratic extension K/\mathbb{Q}_2 gives rise to a class $[K] \in H^1(\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}_2}, \mathbb{Z}/2)$, and we let Z/\mathbb{Q}_2 be an $A[2]$ -torsor whose cohomology class is equal to the image of $[K]$ in $H^1(\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}_2}, A[2])$.

We then see that Z and $\mathcal{A}[2]_{\mathbb{Q}_2}^{\circ} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_2} Z^{\text{ét}}$ are isomorphic as \mathbb{Q}_2 -schemes, since neither admits a \mathbb{Q}_2 -point, but both split into 16 disjoint rational points over the quadratic extension K/\mathbb{Q}_2 . Hence the twisted Kummer surface $\text{Kum}(A_Z)$ has good reduction by Theorem 6.4. On the other hand, since the connected étale sequence for \mathcal{E}_2 is not split, neither is that for \mathcal{A} , and hence the Kummer surface $\text{Kum}(A)$ cannot have good reduction by Theorem 5.3.

Example 6.7. For an example in the almost ordinary case, we choose a 2-adic field K and elliptic curves $\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2/\mathcal{O}_K$ such that \mathcal{E}_1 has ordinary reduction, \mathcal{E}_2 has supersingular reduction, \mathcal{E}_1 has full 2-torsion defined over K , but \mathcal{E}_2 has precisely two 2-torsion points over K , with the remaining two defined over an unramified quadratic extension L/K .

To see that such examples exist, we take $K = \mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt[3]{2})$, take $\mathcal{E}_1/\mathcal{O}_K$ defined by

$$y^2 + xy = x^3 - \frac{81}{389017}$$

and $\mathcal{E}_2/\mathcal{O}_K$ defined by

$$y^2 + y = x^3.$$

The 2-torsion of \mathcal{E}_2 is defined over the unramified quadratic extension $L = K(\omega)$ where ω is a primitive third root of unity.

Now we take $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{E}_1 \times_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{E}_2$. This has almost ordinary reduction, but $A := \mathcal{A}_K$ does not have full 2-torsion defined over K . Hence $\text{Kum}(A)$ does not have good reduction over K by Theorem 3.5. On the other hand, if we let $\mathcal{Z}^{\text{ét}}/\mathcal{O}_K$ be the unique non-trivial $\mathcal{A}[2]^{\text{ét}}$ -torsor trivialised by the unramified extension L/K , and choose a splitting $\sigma : \mathcal{A}[2]^{\text{ét}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}[2]$ of the connected étale sequence (which exists because \mathcal{E}_1 has full 2-torsion defined over K), then we may take Z to be the generic fibre of the $\mathcal{A}[2]$ -torsor $\mathcal{Z}^{\text{ét}} \times_{\mathcal{A}[2]^{\text{ét}}, \sigma} \mathcal{A}[2]$ over \mathcal{O}_K . It is straightforward to check that Z and \mathcal{A} satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 6.4 3(b), thus $\text{Kum}(A_Z)$ has good reduction.

A Good reduction and quadratic twists

In this appendix we prove the following result, which is surely well-known to the experts.

Theorem A.1. *Let A be an abelian surface over K , and suppose that $\text{Kum}(A)$ has good reduction over K . Then there exists a character $\chi: \Gamma_K \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$ such that the quadratic twist A^χ has good reduction.*

Combining the smooth and proper base change theorem with the classical Néron–Ogg–Shafarevich criterion, we can restate Theorem A.1 as follows.

Theorem A.2. *Suppose that $\ell \neq \text{char}(k)$ and that the inertia subgroup $I_K \subset \Gamma_K$ acts trivially on $H_{\text{ét}}^2(A_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$. Then there exists a character $\chi: \Gamma_K \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$ such that I_K acts trivially on $H_{\text{ét}}^1(A_{\overline{K}}^\chi, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$.*

Proof. Let $\rho: \Gamma_K \rightarrow \text{GL}(H_{\text{ét}}^1(A_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell))$ be the representation of Γ_K in $H_{\text{ét}}^1(A_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$. The triviality of the action of I_K on $H_{\text{ét}}^2(A_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ implies that $\rho(I_K) \subset \{\pm 1\}$, see [Ove21, Lemma 4.4 (ii)]. Since $\{\pm 1\}$ is central in $\text{GL}(H_{\text{ét}}^1(A_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell))$, the restriction of ρ to I_K is invariant under the action of Γ_K on I_K by conjugation. This implies that the restriction of ρ to I_K is a Γ_k -invariant element of $H^1(I_K, \mathbb{Z}/2) = \text{Hom}(I_K, \mathbb{Z}/2)$. In order to prove Theorem A.2 it is enough to establish surjectivity of the restriction map

$$H^1(\Gamma_K, \mathbb{Z}/2) \rightarrow H^1(I_K, \mathbb{Z}/2)^{\Gamma_k}.$$

By the Hochschild–Serre spectral sequence, this is equivalent to injectivity of the inflation map

$$H^2(\Gamma_k, \mathbb{Z}/2) \rightarrow H^2(\Gamma_K, \mathbb{Z}/2).$$

If $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$, this is well known, see, e.g., [CTS21, (1.15), p. 30]. If $\text{char}(k) = 2$, then the Artin–Schreier exact sequence implies that $H^2(\Gamma_k, \mathbb{Z}/2) = 0$, see, e.g., [Stacks, Lemma 0A3K]. To complete the proof of Theorem A.2 we choose $\chi \in H^1(\Gamma_K, \mathbb{Z}/2) = \text{Hom}(\Gamma_K, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ to be a lifting of the restriction of ρ to I_K . Then the representation of Γ_K in $H_{\text{ét}}^1(A_{\overline{K}}^\chi, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ restricts to the trivial representation of I_K . \square

References

- [Art66] M. Artin. On isolated rational singularities of surfaces. *Amer. J. Math.* **88** (1966), no. 1, 129–136. \uparrow 17
- [Art74] M. Artin. Algebraic construction of Brieskorn’s resolutions. *J. Algebra.* **29** (1974), no. 2, 330–348. \uparrow 3
- [Art75] M. Artin. Wildly ramified $\mathbb{Z}/2$ actions in dimension two. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **52** (1975), 60–64. \uparrow 6, 10, 19
- [Art77] M. Artin. Coverings of the rational double points in characteristic p . *Complex analysis and algebraic geometry: a collection of papers dedicated to K. Kodaira* 11–22, Cambridge University Press, 1977. \uparrow 6
- [BLR90] S. Bosch, W. Lütkebohmert and M. Raynaud. *Néron models*. Springer, 1990. \uparrow 14

- [CLL19] B. Chiarellotto, C. Lazda, and C. Liedtke. A Néron–Ogg–Shafarevich criterion for K3 surfaces. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **119** (2019) 469–514. ↑ 4, 21, 23, 28
- [CTS21] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène and A.N. Skorobogatov. *The Brauer–Grothendieck group*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, 3. Folge, Band 71, Springer, 2021. ↑ 30
- [EvdGM] B. Edixhoven, G. van der Geer and B. Moonen. *Quotients by group schemes*, available online at <https://www.math.ru.nl/~bmoonen/BookAV/Quotients.pdf> ↑ 18
- [Har77] R. Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics **52**, Springer, 1977. ↑ 20
- [Ill79] L. Illusie. Complex de de Rham–Witt et cohomologie cristalline. *Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Super.* **12** (1979) 501–661. ↑ 25
- [Kat78] T. Katsura. On Kummer surfaces in characteristic 2. *Proc. Int. Symp. Algebraic Geometry* (Kyoto, 1977), pp. 525–542, Kinokuniya Book Store, Tokyo, 1978. ↑ 2, 6, 14
- [LM18] C. Liedtke and Y. Matsumoto. Good reduction of K3 surfaces. *Compos. Math.* **154** (2018) 1–35. ↑ 21, 23
- [Oda69] T. Oda. The first de Rham cohomology group and Dieudonné modules. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* **2** (1969) 63–135. ↑ 14
- [Ove21] O. Overkamp. Degeneration of Kummer surfaces. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **171** (2021) 65–97. ↑ 2, 15, 30
- [Rot09] J.J. Rotman. *An introduction to homological algebra*. 2nd ed., Springer, 2009. ↑ 16
- [Sch09] S. Schröer. The Hilbert scheme of points for supersingular abelian surfaces. *Ark. Math.* **47** (2009) 143–181. ↑ 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 19
- [Shi74] T. Shioda. Kummer surfaces in characteristic 2. *Proc. Japan Acad.* **50** (1974) 718–722. ↑ 2
- [Sil86] J.H. Silverman. *The arithmetic of elliptic curves*. Springer, 1986. ↑ 6
- [SZ17] A.N. Skorobogatov and Yu.G. Zarhin. Kummer varieties and their Brauer groups. *Pure Appl. Math. Quart.* **13** (2017) 337–368. ↑ 2
- [Stacks] *The stacks project*. <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu/> ↑ 20, 30
- [Tat67] J. Tate. p -divisible groups. *Proc. conf. local fields* (Driebergen, 1966), 158–183, Springer, 1967. ↑ 9
- [Tat97] J. Tate. Finite flat group schemes. *Modular forms and Fermat’s last theorem* (Boston, 1995), 121–154, Springer, 1997. ↑ 13