

SOME APPLICATIONS OF DIRECT LIMITS TO UNIFORM ALGEBRAS AND OPERATORS ON A BANACH SPACE

SEBASTIAN GWIZDEK

ABSTRACT. Two functors related to direct and inverse limits of systems of bidual Banach spaces are introduced. Those functors turn out to be adjoint and preserve some important operator properties, i.e., the spectrum, being a compact or Fredholm operator. Among the applications of this construction we have a result concerning supports of representing measures which was crucial in the recent proof of the Corona Theorem by M. Kosiek and K. Rudol.

1. INTRODUCTION

The idea of taking direct limits of systems of certain objects appeared in the early 30s of the previous century. Since then direct limits proved to be a powerful tool in many branches of mathematics. From the Laurent Schwarz theory of distributions to quite recent works [1], [6] to name a few, such limits were studied in various categories. Here we present a construction of two functors in \mathbf{Ban}_1 , the category of Banach spaces with linear contractions as morphisms. The reason why we require morphisms to be contractions is due to the fact that in this case the category admits limits, i.e., direct and inverse limits always exist. The first functor assigns to a given Banach space a direct limit of the appropriate tower system and to a linear contraction a contractive linear mapping acting between corresponding direct limits. The second functor concerns inverse limits of such systems. In the case of uniform Banach algebras the first functor showed to be useful in proving Corona Theorem in [10] for a special class of strongly starlike strictly pseudoconvex domains. Corona Theorem has a long history dating back to 1941 formulation by Shizuo Kakutani. He asked if the Gelfand (weak-*) closure of the unit disk in the complex plane is dense in the spectrum of the Banach algebra of all bounded and analytic functions on this disk. The positive solution in 1962 by Lennart Carleson was using hard analysis methods, the path followed by most of its further extensions for more general plane domains. However until now the problem remained open for regular domains in higher dimensional case, e.g., for strictly pseudoconvex domains. The article [10] establishes the Corona Theorem for strictly pseudoconvex domains which are also strongly starlike. The starlikeness condition can be omitted as shown in [8]. At the key moment of considerations a result concerning supports of representing measures was needed. To obtain that the authors introduced a technique of taking the direct limit of the sequence of bidual uniform algebras. This construction suggested a notion of our functors.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30H80, 32A38, 32A65, 32A70, 46A13, 46J10, 46J15, 46J20, 46M15, 46M40.

Key words and phrases. Direct limit, inverse limit, Banach space, Banach algebra, uniform algebra, Corona Theorem, Arens Product, Gleason part, representing measure.

The article is organized as follows. In the second section we introduce a construction of our functors. Those functors turn out to be adjoint in the sense described in Theorem 2.5. In Theorem 2.6 we show that those functors preserve some important operator properties, i.e the spectrum and being a compact or Fredholm operator. The last section contains an application of our construction - a recent result of [10]. It was proved that given a natural uniform algebra satisfying some regularity conditions the support of every representing measure for any point in a Gleason part of the spectrum is contained in the Gelfand closure of this part. This result was pivotal in the proof of the Corona Theorem in the multidimensional case.

2. TOWER FUNCTORS

In this article, whenever we speak of direct or inverse limits of Banach spaces, we mean limits in the category \mathbf{Ban}_1 . The category \mathbf{Ban}_1 consists of Banach spaces as objects and contractive (norm less than or equal to 1) linear mappings as morphisms. It is well known that each direct (resp. inverse) system in \mathbf{Ban}_1 has a direct (resp. inverse) limit (see [11], §11.8.2).

Let $\langle I, \leq \rangle$ be a directed set. Let $\{X_i : i \in I\}$ be a family of objects of \mathbf{Ban}_1 indexed by I and $f_{ij} : X_i \rightarrow X_j$ be a morphism for all $i \leq j$ with the following properties

- (i) f_{ii} is the identity of X_i ,
- (ii) $f_{ik} = f_{jk} \circ f_{ij}$ for all $i \leq j \leq k$.

Then the pair $\langle X_i, f_{ij} \rangle$ is called a *direct system* over I . The direct system $\langle X_i, f_{ij} \rangle$ is called an *isometric direct system* if f_{ij} is an isometry for all $i \leq j$. Throughout this paper we will consider only isometric direct systems.

On the disjoint union $\bigsqcup_{i \in I} X_i$ we define an equivalence relation \sim . Let $x_i \in X_i$ and $x_j \in X_j$, then $(x_i, i) \sim (x_j, j)$ if and only if there is some $k \in I$ with $i \leq k$, $j \leq k$ and such that $f_{ik}(x_i) = f_{jk}(x_j)$. It follows from this definition that $(x_i, i) \sim (f_{ij}(x_i), j)$ for all $i \leq j$. Sometimes we shall write $x_i \sim x_j$ instead of $(x_i, i) \sim (x_j, j)$ if it is not causing any misconceptions. On the set $\bigsqcup_{i \in I} X_i / \sim$ we impose a standard quotient vector space linear structure. For any $[(x_i, i)] \in \bigsqcup_{i \in I} X_i / \sim$ we define its norm by $\|[(x_i, i)]\| := \|x_i\|$. It should be noted that this definition of norm does not depend on the choice of representative of the class if $\langle X_i, f_{ij} \rangle$ is an isometric direct system.

The *direct limit* of the isometric direct system $\langle X_i, f_{ij} \rangle$, denoted by $\varinjlim X_i$, is the completion in the norm of $\bigsqcup_{i \in I} X_i / \sim$. The canonical mappings $\alpha_i : X_i \rightarrow \varinjlim X_i$ sending each element to its equivalence class are morphisms in \mathbf{Ban}_1 under above operations.

Let X be a Banach space, and X^* its dual. We define $X_0 := X$, $X_{n+1} := X_n^{**}$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. The family $\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of second duals of Banach spaces will be called a *tower system*. For any "base space" X we denote by $\kappa_{n, n+1}$ the canonical embedding of X_n into its bidual X_{n+1} . For $n \leq m$ we define $\kappa_{n, m} : X_n \rightarrow X_m$ by

$$\kappa_{n, m} := \kappa_{m-1, m} \circ \kappa_{m-2, m-1} \circ \dots \circ \kappa_{n, n+1}, \text{ if } n < m$$

and $\kappa_{n, n}$ is defined to be the identity mapping. Since each $\kappa_{n, m}$ is an isometry we obtain an isometric direct system $\langle X_n, \kappa_{n, m} \rangle$.

Let X and Y be Banach spaces. Similarly, the tower system of Y will be denoted by Y_n . The mappings $\kappa_{n, m}$ and α_n shall always denote canonical embedding

into appropriate bidual space and direct limit respectively. For a contractive linear mapping $T: X \rightarrow Y$ we denote by T^* its adjoint, the mapping $T^*: Y^* \ni \varphi \mapsto \varphi \circ T \in X^*$. This allows us to define $\mathcal{J}_0(T) := T$, $\mathcal{J}_{n+1}(T) := \mathcal{J}_n(T)^{**}$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Note that each $\mathcal{J}_n(T): X_n \rightarrow Y_n$ is a linear contraction. Define $\varinjlim \mathcal{J}_n(T): \varinjlim X_n \rightarrow \varinjlim Y_n$ by

$$(1) \quad \varinjlim \mathcal{J}_n(T) ([x_n, n]) := [(\mathcal{J}_n(T)(x_n), n)] \text{ for } x_n \in X_n.$$

The formula (1) defines $\varinjlim \mathcal{J}_n(T)$ as a linear operator on a dense subspace $\bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$ of $\varinjlim X_n$. Hence $\varinjlim \mathcal{J}_n(T)$ has a unique extension to the whole space. We denote this extension by the same symbol $\varinjlim \mathcal{J}_n(T)$.

Finally, we define the functor Dir as follows

$$\text{Dir}: X \mapsto \varinjlim X_n,$$

$$\text{Dir}: T \mapsto \varinjlim \mathcal{J}_n(T).$$

The following lemma will be useful for proof that the mapping $\varinjlim \mathcal{J}_n(T)$ is well defined.

Lemma 2.1. *If $x_n \in X_n$ for some $n \geq 0$ then*

$$(\mathcal{J}_{n+1}(T) \circ \kappa_{n,n+1})(x_n) = (\kappa_{n,n+1} \circ \mathcal{J}_n(T))(x_n).$$

Proof. For any $y \in Y_n^*$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (\kappa_{n,n+1} \circ \mathcal{J}_n(T))(x_n), y \rangle &= \langle y, \mathcal{J}_n(T)(x_n) \rangle = \langle \mathcal{J}_n(T)^*(y), x_n \rangle = \\ &= \langle \kappa_{n,n+1}(x_n), \mathcal{J}_n(T)^*(y) \rangle = \langle (\mathcal{J}_{n+1}(T) \circ \kappa_{n,n+1})(x_n), y \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since y was arbitrary we obtain the result. \square

Proposition 2.2. *The functor Dir is a covariant functor from \mathbf{Ban}_1 to \mathbf{Ban}_1 .*

Proof. First we check that the mapping (1) is well defined, i.e., that it does not depend on the choice of the representative of the class. Suppose that for some $x_n \in X_n, x_{n+1} \in X_{n+1}$ we have $x_n \sim x_{n+1}$. Hence $x_{n+1} = \kappa_{n,n+1}(x_n)$. From Lemma 3.1 we deduce that

$$\mathcal{J}_{n+1}(T)(x_{n+1}) = (\mathcal{J}_{n+1}(T) \circ \kappa_{n,n+1})(x_n) = (\kappa_{n,n+1} \circ \mathcal{J}_n(T))(x_n).$$

This implies $\mathcal{J}_{n+1}(T)(x_{n+1}) \sim \mathcal{J}_n(T)(x_n)$. For arbitrary $n \leq m$, if $x_n \sim x_m$ then $\kappa_{n,m-1}(x_n) \sim x_m$. Hence $\mathcal{J}_m(T)(x_m) \sim \mathcal{J}_{m-1}(T)(\kappa_{n,m-1}(x_n))$ from which we obtain the equality

$$\mathcal{J}_m(T)(x_m) = \kappa_{m-1,m}(\mathcal{J}_{m-1}(T)(\kappa_{n,m-1}(x_n))).$$

Now $\kappa_{n,m-1}(x_n) \sim \kappa_{n,m-2}(x_n)$ so that

$$\mathcal{J}_{m-1}(T)(\kappa_{n,m-1}(x_n)) \sim \mathcal{J}_{m-2}(T)(\kappa_{n,m-2}(x_n)).$$

Hence

$$\mathcal{J}_m(T)(x_m) = (\kappa_{m-1,m} \circ \kappa_{m-2,m-1})(\mathcal{J}_{m-2}(T)(\kappa_{n,m-2}(x_n))).$$

Repeating the above reasoning yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_m(T)(x_m) &= (\kappa_{m-1,m} \circ \dots \circ \kappa_{n+1,n+2})(\mathcal{J}_{n+1}(T)(\kappa_{n,n+1}(x_n))) = \\ &= (\kappa_{m-1,m} \circ \dots \circ \kappa_{n,n+1})(\mathcal{J}_n(T)(x_n)) = \kappa_{n,m}(\mathcal{J}_n(T)(x_n)). \end{aligned}$$

The last but one equality follows from Lemma 3.1. Hence we obtain $\mathcal{J}_n(T)(x_n) \sim \mathcal{J}_m(T)(x_m)$.

Take any linear mappings $T: X \rightarrow Y$ and $S: Y \rightarrow Z$ such that $\|T\| \leq 1$ and $\|S\| \leq 1$. For $x_n \in X_n$ the following equalities hold

$$\begin{aligned} \varinjlim (\mathcal{J}_n(S) \circ \mathcal{J}_n(T)) ([x_n, n]) &= [(\mathcal{J}_n(S)(\mathcal{J}_n(T)(x_n)), n)] = \\ &= \varinjlim \mathcal{J}_n(S) ([(\mathcal{J}_n(T)(x_n), n)]) = \varinjlim \mathcal{J}_n(S) \left(\varinjlim \mathcal{J}_n(T) ([x_n, n]) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\text{Dir}(S \circ T) = \text{Dir}(S) \circ \text{Dir}(T)$.

Clearly $\text{Dir}(T)$ is linear. We show now that $\text{Dir}(T)$ is contractive. Indeed, for $x_n \in X_n$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\text{Dir}(T) ([x_n, n])\| &= \|[(\mathcal{J}_n(T)(x_n), n)]\| = \\ &= \|\mathcal{J}_n(T)(x_n)\| \leq \|\mathcal{J}_n(T)\| \cdot \|x_n\| \leq \|x_n\|. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the functor Dir preserves an identity mapping since for any $x_n \in X_n$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Dir}(\text{id}_X) ([x_n, n]) &= \varinjlim \mathcal{J}_n(\text{id}_X) ([x_n, n]) = [(\mathcal{J}_n(\text{id}_X)(x_n), n)] = \\ &= [(\text{id}_{X_n}(x_n), n)] = [x_n, n] = \text{id}_{\text{Dir}(X)} ([x_n, n]). \end{aligned}$$

The quotient space is dense in the entire set $\varinjlim X_n$ hence the proof is complete. \square

One can easily notice that the mapping $\text{Dir}: X \mapsto \varinjlim X_n$ in general is not injective. Indeed, for any Banach space X we have $\text{Dir}(X) = \text{Dir}(X^{**})$ up to isomorphism.

Before we state the next result we introduce a definition of natural transformation of functors. Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C}' be categories. A *natural transformation* of functors $F, G: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$ is any family of morphisms $\eta = (\eta_X)$, where X is an object in \mathcal{C} , satisfying the properties

- (i) The mapping $\eta_X: F(X) \rightarrow G(X)$ is a morphism in \mathcal{C}' for any object X in category \mathcal{C} ,
- (ii) For every morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathcal{C} the following equality holds

$$\eta_Y \circ F(f) = G(f) \circ \eta_X.$$

It is well known that there exists a natural transformation of the identity functor to the double dual of a vector space functor. It turns out that the same holds for the functor Dir .

Proposition 2.3. *There exists a natural transformation of the identity functor on \mathbf{Ban}_1 to the functor Dir .*

Proof. Let X be a Banach space. We define $\eta_X: X \rightarrow \varinjlim X_n$ by

$$\eta_X(x) := [(x, 0)].$$

For any Banach space X the mapping η_X is a linear contraction. It suffices to show that given any contractive linear mapping $T: X \rightarrow Y$ the diagram below commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \varinjlim X_n & \xrightarrow{\varinjlim \mathcal{J}_n(T)} & \varinjlim Y_n \\
 \uparrow \eta_X & & \uparrow \eta_Y \\
 X & \xrightarrow{T} & Y
 \end{array}$$

For $x \in X$ the following equalities hold

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left(\varinjlim \mathcal{J}_n(T) \circ \eta_X \right) (x) &= \varinjlim \mathcal{J}_n(T)([(x, 0)]) = \\
 &= [(T(x), 0)] = \eta_Y(T(x)) = (\eta_Y \circ f)(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence the result follows. □

We also have an inverse system $\langle X_n^*, \kappa_{n,m}^* \rangle$. Its inverse limit is the set

$$\varprojlim X_n^* = \left\{ x \in \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} X_n^* : x_n = \kappa_{n,m}^*(x_m), \text{ for all } n \leq m, \|x\| = \sup_n \|x_n\| < \infty \right\}.$$

It should be noted that for non-reflexive X the morphisms $\kappa_{n,m}^*$ are not isometric, but they are contractive instead.

We define the mapping $\varprojlim \mathcal{J}_n(T)^* : \varprojlim Y_n^* \rightarrow \varprojlim X_n^*$ as follows

$$\varprojlim \mathcal{J}_n(T)^*(y)(n) := \mathcal{J}_n(T)^*(y_n) \text{ for } y = (y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \varprojlim Y_n^*.$$

Finally, we define the functor $\text{Inv}: \mathbf{Ban}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{Ban}_1$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Inv}: X &\mapsto \varprojlim X_n^*, \\
 \text{Inv}: T &\mapsto \varprojlim \mathcal{J}_n(T)^*.
 \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.4. *The functor Inv is a contravariant functor from \mathbf{Ban}_1 to \mathbf{Ban}_1 .*

Proof. Take any linear mappings $T: X \rightarrow Y$ and $S: Y \rightarrow Z$ such that $\|T\| \leq 1$ and $\|S\| \leq 1$. For $z = (z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \varprojlim Z_n^*$ the following equalities hold

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varprojlim (\mathcal{J}_n(S) \circ \mathcal{J}_n(T))^*(z)(n) &= (\mathcal{J}_n(S) \circ \mathcal{J}_n(T))^*(z_n) = \\
 &= (\mathcal{J}_n(T)^* \circ \mathcal{J}_n(S)^*)(z_n) = \mathcal{J}_n(T)^* \left(\varprojlim \mathcal{J}_n(S)^*(z)(n) \right) = \\
 &= \varprojlim \mathcal{J}_n(T)^* \left(\varprojlim \mathcal{J}_n(S)^*(z)(n) \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Whence we obtain $\text{Inv}(S \circ T) = \text{Inv}(T) \circ \text{Inv}(S)$.

It is clear that the mapping $\text{Inv}(T)$ is linear. We have to prove that $\text{Inv}(T)$ is contractive. For $y = (y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \varprojlim Y_n^*$ we have

$$\|\text{Inv}(T)(y)(n)\| = \|\mathcal{J}_n(T)^*(y_n)\| \leq \|\mathcal{J}_n(T)^*\| \cdot \|y_n\| \leq \|y_n\| \leq \|y\|.$$

Hence $\|\text{Inv}(T)\| \leq 1$.

Finally, for any $x = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \varprojlim X_n^*$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Inv}(\text{id}_X)(x)(n) &= \lim_{\leftarrow} \mathcal{J}_n(\text{id}_X)^*(x)(n) = \mathcal{J}_n(\text{id}_X)^*(x_n) = \\ &= \text{id}_{X_n^*}(x_n) = x_n = x(n) = \text{id}_{\text{Inv}(X)}(x)(n). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the functor Inv preserves an identity mapping. \square

We have the following relation between these two functors.

Theorem 2.5. *The functor Inv is adjoint to Dir in the following sense:*

- (i) $\text{Dir}(X)^* = \text{Inv}(X)$ for any Banach space X ,
- (ii) $\text{Dir}(T)^* = \text{Inv}(T)$ for any linear contraction $T: X \rightarrow Y$.

Proof. To prove the first part of this theorem we have to show that

$$\left(\lim_{\rightarrow} X_n \right)^* = \lim_{\leftarrow} X_n^*.$$

Take any $\phi \in \left(\lim_{\rightarrow} X_n \right)^*$. Define $\phi_n := \phi \circ \alpha_n$, where $\alpha_n: X_n \rightarrow \lim_{\rightarrow} X_n$ is the canonical mapping sending each element to the corresponding equivalence class. We claim that $\Psi := (\phi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an element of $\lim_{\leftarrow} X_n^*$. For any $x_n \in X_n^*$ the following equalities hold

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \kappa_{n,n+1}^*(\phi_{n+1}), x_n \rangle &= \langle \phi_{n+1}, \kappa_{n,n+1}(x_n) \rangle = \langle \phi \circ \alpha_{n+1}, \kappa_{n,n+1}(x_n) \rangle = \\ &= \langle \phi, (\alpha_{n+1} \circ \kappa_{n,n+1})(x_n) \rangle = \langle \phi, \alpha_n(x_n) \rangle = \langle \phi \circ \alpha_n, x_n \rangle = \langle \phi_n, x_n \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\phi_n = \kappa_{n,n+1}^*(\phi_{n+1})$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For arbitrary $n \leq m$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_{n,m}^*(\phi_m) &= (\kappa_{m-1,m} \circ \dots \circ \kappa_{n,n+1})^*(\phi_m) = (\kappa_{n,n+1}^* \circ \dots \circ \kappa_{m-1,m}^*)(\phi_m) = \\ &= (\kappa_{n,n+1}^* \circ \dots \circ \kappa_{m-2,m-1}^*)(\phi_{m-1}) = \dots = \phi_n. \end{aligned}$$

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\|\phi_n\| = \|\phi \circ \alpha_n\| \leq \|\phi\| \cdot \|\alpha_n\| \leq \|\phi\|,$$

so that $\|\Psi\| \leq \|\phi\|$. Whence $\Psi \in \lim_{\leftarrow} X_n^*$.

Now take any $(\phi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \lim_{\leftarrow} X_n^*$. Define the mapping Φ first on a dense subset of $\lim_{\rightarrow} X_n$ by

$$(2) \quad \Phi([(x_n, n)]) := \phi_n(x_n).$$

We prove that this mapping is well defined. Take $x_n \in X_n$ and $x_m \in X_m$ with $n \leq m$ such that $x_n \sim x_m$. Hence $x_m = \kappa_{n,m}(x_n)$ and

$$\phi_m(x_m) = \phi_m(\kappa_{n,m}(x_n)) = (\kappa_{n,m}^* \circ \phi_m)(x_n) = \phi_n(x_n).$$

This shows that the mapping (2) is well defined. We prove that Φ is a bounded linear functional. For any $x_n \in X_n$, $x_m \in X_m$ with $n \leq m$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$\Phi(\alpha \cdot [(x_n, n)]) = \Phi([(\alpha x_n, n)]) = \phi_n(\alpha x_n) = \alpha \phi_n(x_n) = \alpha \Phi([(x_n, n)]),$$

also there exists $k \geq m \geq n$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi([(x_n, n)] + [(x_m, m)]) &= \Phi([(\kappa_{n,k}(x_n) + \kappa_{m,k}(x_m), k)]) = \\ &= \phi_k(\kappa_{n,k}(x_n) + \kappa_{m,k}(x_m)) = (\phi_k \circ \kappa_{n,k})(x_n) + (\phi_k \circ \kappa_{m,k})(x_m) = \\ &= (\kappa_{n,k}^* \circ \phi_k)(x_n) + (\kappa_{m,k}^* \circ \phi_k)(x_m) = \phi_n(x_n) + \phi_m(x_m) = \\ &= \Phi([(x_n, n)]) + \Phi([(x_m, m)]). \end{aligned}$$

Hence Φ is linear. For $x_n \in X_n$ we have

$$\|\Phi([(x_n, n)])\| = \|\phi_n(x_n)\| \leq \|\phi_n\| \cdot \|x_n\|.$$

Whence Φ is a bounded linear functional. By continuity we may extend Φ to the entire space $\varinjlim X_n$. This completes the proof of the first part of the theorem.

For the second claim of the theorem we need to verify that

$$\left(\varinjlim \mathcal{J}_n(T)\right)^* = \varprojlim \mathcal{J}_n(T)^*.$$

Take arbitrary $y \in \left(\varinjlim Y_n\right)^*$ and $x_n \in X_n$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \left(\varinjlim \mathcal{J}_n(T)\right)^*(y), [(x_n, n)] \right\rangle &= \langle y, \varinjlim \mathcal{J}_n(T)([(x_n, n)]) \rangle = \\ &= \langle y, [(\mathcal{J}_n(T)(x_n), n)] \rangle = \langle y \circ \alpha_n, \mathcal{J}_n(T)(x_n) \rangle = \\ &= \langle \mathcal{J}_n(T)^*(y \circ \alpha_n), x_n \rangle = \left\langle \varprojlim \mathcal{J}_n(T)^*(y \circ \alpha_n), x_n \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the identification $\left(\varinjlim Y_n\right)^* = \varprojlim Y_n^*$ that an element y is identified with the sequence $(y \circ \alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and we obtain the required equality. The second part of the theorem is proved. \square

Before we state the next result we have to establish some notation. By $B(X)$ we denote the Banach algebra of all bounded operators $T: X \rightarrow X$. The *spectrum* of $T \in B(X)$ will be denoted by $\sigma(T)$.

We say that space X has the approximation property if any compact operator on X is a norm-limit of finite rank operators.

Theorem 2.6. *For a bounded contraction $T \in B(X)$ the following properties hold:*

- (i) $\sigma(T) = \sigma(\text{Dir}(T)) = \sigma(\text{Inv}(T))$,
- (ii) *Assume that X has the approximation property and T is compact. Then $\text{Dir}(T)$ and $\text{Inv}(T)$ are compact.*
- (iii) *If X has the approximation property and T is Fredholm then $\text{Dir}(T)$ and $\text{Inv}(T)$ are Fredholm.*

Proof. Suppose that $0 \notin \sigma(T)$ and denote by $T^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ an inverse of T . From the properties of functor Dir we obtain

$$\text{Dir}(TT^{-1}) = \text{Dir}(T)\text{Dir}(T^{-1}) = \text{Dir}(\text{id}_X) = \text{id}_{\text{Dir}(X)}.$$

It follows that $0 \notin \sigma(\text{Dir}(T))$ and consequently $\sigma(\text{Dir}(T)) \subset \sigma(T)$.

In order to prove the second inclusion assume that $0 \in \sigma(T)$. There are two possibilities: either 0 belongs to the approximate point spectrum of T or the range $\mathcal{R}(T)$ of T isn't dense in X . If 0 belongs to the approximate point spectrum then there exists a sequence (x_k) of elements of X such that $\|x_k\| = 1$ and $Tx_k \rightarrow 0$. Hence

$$\text{Dir}(T)([(x_k, 0)]) = [(Tx_k, 0)] \rightarrow [(0, 0)]$$

and 0 is in the approximate point spectrum of $\text{Dir}(T)$.

Suppose that $\overline{\mathcal{R}(T)} \neq X$. By Hahn-Banach theorem there exists a non-zero functional $\phi \in X^*$ vanishing on $\mathcal{R}(T)$. Let $\phi_n := \kappa_{0,n}(\phi)$ so that $\phi_n \in X_n^*$. We define the functional Φ on a dense subset of $\text{Dir}(X)$ by

$$\Phi([(x_n, n)]) = \phi_n(x_n).$$

By continuity Φ has a unique extension to $\Phi \in \text{Dir}(T)^*$. We will prove that Φ belongs to the kernel $\mathcal{N}(\text{Dir}(T)^*)$ of $\text{Dir}(T)^*$. For any $x_n \in X_n$ we have

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle \text{Dir}(T)^* \circ \Phi, [(x_n, n)] \rangle &= \langle \Phi, \text{Dir}(T)([(x_n, n)]) \rangle = \\ &= \langle \Phi, [(\mathcal{J}_n(T)x_n, n)] \rangle = \langle \phi_n, \mathcal{J}_n(T)x_n \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that each ϕ_n vanishes on $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{J}_n(T))$. It suffices to check if this property holds for $n = 1$. For any $x \in X$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi_1, T^{**}(\kappa_{0,1}(x)) \rangle &= \langle \kappa_{0,1}(\phi), T^{**}(\kappa_{0,1}(x)) \rangle = \langle T^{**}(\kappa_{0,1}(x)), \phi \rangle = \\ &= \langle \kappa_{0,1}(x), T^* \phi \rangle = \langle T^* \phi, x \rangle = \langle \phi, Tx \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By Goldstine theorem the canonical image of X is weak-* dense in X^{**} . Since both T^{**} and $\kappa_{0,1}$ are weak-* continuous it follows that ϕ_1 vanishes on $\mathcal{R}(T^{**})$. Consequently, from (3) we deduce that $\mathcal{N}(\text{Dir}(T)^*)$ is non-zero or equivalently $\overline{\mathcal{R}(\text{Dir}(T))} \neq \text{Dir}(X)$. Hence $\sigma(T) = \sigma(\text{Dir}(T))$. The property $\sigma(\text{Dir}(T)) = \sigma(\text{Inv}(T))$ follows from equality $\text{Dir}(T)^* = \text{Inv}(T)$.

By the assumption T is a limit of finite rank operators. Since $\|T\| = \|\text{Dir}(T)\|$ it suffices to prove that rank of $\text{Dir}(T)$ equals the rank of T , even in the case when this rank equals one. Indeed, finite rank operators are sums of rank one operators and Dir preserves addition of operators. In the later case T has the form $Tx = \varphi(x)z$ for $\varphi \in X^*$ and $z \in X$. For $\gamma \in X_n$ we have

$$\mathcal{J}_n(T)(\gamma) = \kappa_{0,n}(\varphi)(\gamma)\kappa_{0,n}(z).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Dir}(T)(\alpha_n(\gamma)) &= \alpha_n(\mathcal{J}_n(T)(\gamma)) = \alpha_n(\kappa_{0,n}(\varphi)(\gamma)\kappa_{0,n}(z)) = \\ &= \kappa_{0,n}(\varphi)(\gamma)(\alpha_n \circ \kappa_{0,n})(z) = \kappa_{0,n}(\varphi)(\gamma)\alpha_0(z) = \Phi(\gamma)\alpha_0(z), \end{aligned}$$

where Φ is a functional $\lim_{\leftarrow} \kappa_{0,n}(\varphi)$. By the density of $\bigcup \alpha_n(X_n)$ in $\text{Dir}(X)$ it follows that $\text{Dir}(T)$ is a rank one operator. From Schauder theorem we deduce that $\text{Inv}(T)$ is also compact.

Finally, assume that T is Fredholm. By the result of Atkinson it is equivalent to existence of $S \in B(X)$ and a compact operator $K \in B(X)$ such that $ST = \text{id}_X + K$ and $TS = \text{id}_X + K$. Applying the functor Dir to the first equation we obtain

$$\text{Dir}(ST) = \text{Dir}(S)\text{Dir}(T) = \text{id}_{\text{Dir}(X)} + \text{Dir}(K).$$

Since $\text{Dir}(K)$ is compact it follows that $\text{Dir}(T)$ is a Fredholm operator. From the equality $\text{Dir}(T)^* = \text{Inv}(T)$ we have that $\text{Inv}(T)$ is also a Fredholm operator. \square

We conjecture that the approximation property assumption can be omitted.

Hypothesis 2.7. *For any Banach space X if $T \in B(X)$ is compact then $\text{Dir}(T)$ is compact.*

In the next section we show an application of our functors to uniform algebras. We are going to consider a system of bidual uniform algebras and apply the functor Dir to obtain a new uniform algebra - the direct limit of this system. It should be noted that in this case the inverse system consists of spectra of bidual uniform algebras. Hence the functor Inv acts as a functor in the category of compact Hausdorff spaces.

3. SUPPORTS OF REPRESENTING MEASURES

Let K be a compact Hausdorff space. By a *uniform algebra* on K we understand a closed unital subalgebra A of $C(K)$ separating the points of K . An important example of a uniform algebra is $A(G)$, the algebra of those analytic functions on a strictly pseudoconvex domain $G \subset \mathbb{C}^d$, which have continuous extensions to the Euclidean closure \overline{G} .

The *spectrum* of A , denoted by $\text{Sp}(A)$, is the set of all nonzero multiplicative and linear functionals on A . The spectrum is always nonempty and compact with respect to the Gelfand (weak-*) topology. There is a natural embedding of K into the spectrum. Namely, a mapping $K \ni x \mapsto \delta_x \in \text{Sp}(A)$, where $\delta_x(f) = f(x)$ for $f \in A$. In this sense we treat K as a subset of $\text{Sp}(A)$.

A uniform algebra A on K is called a *natural uniform algebra* if $\text{Sp}(A) = K$, so that any nonzero linear and multiplicative functional on A is an evaluation functional δ_x for some $x \in K$. It is known that $A(G)$ is natural if G is strictly pseudoconvex (see [9]).

Let A be a Banach algebra. For $\lambda \in A^*$, define $a \cdot \lambda$ and $\lambda \cdot a$ by duality

$$\langle a \cdot \lambda, b \rangle = \langle \lambda, ba \rangle, \quad \langle \lambda \cdot a, b \rangle = \langle \lambda, ab \rangle, \quad a, b \in A.$$

Now, for $\lambda \in A^*$ and $M \in A^{**}$, define $\lambda \cdot M$ and $M \cdot \lambda$ by

$$\langle \lambda \cdot M, a \rangle = \langle M, a \cdot \lambda \rangle, \quad \langle M \cdot \lambda, a \rangle = \langle M, \lambda \cdot a \rangle, \quad a \in A.$$

Finally, for $M, N \in A^{**}$, define

$$\langle M \square N, \lambda \rangle = \langle M, N \cdot \lambda \rangle, \quad \langle M \diamond N, \lambda \rangle = \langle N, \lambda \cdot M \rangle, \quad \lambda \in A^*.$$

The products \square and \diamond are called, respectively, the *first* and *second Arens products* on A^{**} . A bidual of A is Banach algebra with respect to Arens products. The natural embedding of A into its bidual identifies A as a closed subalgebra of both (A^{**}, \square) and (A^{**}, \diamond) .

A Banach algebra A is called *Arens regular* if the two products \square and \diamond agree on A^{**} . All C^* -algebras are Arens regular. Closed subalgebras of Arens regular algebras are Arens regular, hence all uniform algebras are Arens regular. What is more, bidual of uniform algebra is again uniform algebra with respect to Arens products. For further information about Arens products we refer to [4] and [5].

Let A be a uniform algebra. There is an equivalence relation on $\text{Sp}(A)$ given by

$$\|\phi - \psi\| < 2,$$

with $\|\cdot\|$ denoting the norm in A^* for $\phi, \psi \in \text{Sp}(A)$. The equivalence classes under the above relation are called *Gleason parts*.

The space of complex, regular Borel measures on K , with total variation norm will be denoted by $M(K)$. As the consequence of Riesz Representation Theorem we have $M(K) = C(K)^*$.

A *representing* (resp. *complex representing*) *measure* for $\phi \in \text{Sp}(A)$ is a probabilistic (resp. complex) measure $\mu \in M(K)$ such that

$$\phi(f) = \int_K f d\mu \quad \text{for any } f \in A.$$

The set of all representing measures for $\phi \in \text{Sp}(A)$ is denoted by $M_\phi(K)$. By [3, Proposition 8.2] for any functional $\phi \in \text{Sp}(A)$ there exists at least one representing measure. In fact this measure can be chosen with its support contained in the

Shilov boundary of A . Further informations on uniform algebras can be found in [3], [7] and [12].

The idea of using second duals in studying the spectrum of $H^\infty(G)$ is based on the observation that this algebra can be seen either as a weak- $*$ closure of a (much easier to study) algebra $A := A(G)$ in A^{**} or as a quotient algebra of A^{**} by one of its ideals. Moreover, to any Gleason part γ of $\text{Sp}(A)$ there corresponds an idempotent $g \in A^{**}$ that vanishes on $\text{Sp}(A) \setminus \gamma$ and equals 1 on γ (and also $g = 1$ on its w- $*$ closure $\bar{\gamma}$ in $\text{Sp}(A^{**})$). However, its behaviour outside $\bar{\gamma}$ is hard to control.

It would be more convenient to have the idempotent related to γ in the same algebra A (or in the canonical image of A in A^{**}). Unfortunately, this is impossible. But getting close to such a convenient setting is offered by direct limits, where the passage from n to $n + 1$ is in a sense reminiscent to the Hilbert hotel method.

Let A be a natural uniform algebra on K . We define $A_0 := A$, $A_{n+1} := A_n^{**}$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. By \mathcal{A} we denote the direct limit of the direct system $\langle A_n, \kappa_{n,m} \rangle$. Let $f \in A_n$ and $h \in A_m$ for some $n \leq m$. The multiplication on \mathcal{A} is given by

$$[(f, n)] \cdot [(h, m)] = [(\kappa_{n,m}(f) \cdot h, m)].$$

Since $\langle A_n, \kappa_{n,m} \rangle$ is an isometric direct system of uniform algebras its direct limit is again a uniform algebra.

Before we state the result concerning supports of representing measures we need to introduce some definitions. Let A be a natural uniform algebra on K . For $\mu \in M(\text{Sp}(A))$ we define a measure $k(\mu) \in M(\text{Sp}(C(K)^{**}))$ by duality

$$\langle k(\mu), f \rangle = \langle f, \mu \rangle, \quad f \in C(\text{Sp}(C(K)^{**})).$$

In this definition we use an identification $M(K)^* = C(\text{Sp}(C(K)^{**}))$. The identification is valid because the second dual of $C(K)$ endowed with Arens product is a commutative C^* -algebra. Hence by Gelfand-Najmark theorem it is isometrically isomorphic to $C(\text{Sp}(C(K)^{**}))$.

Let $\phi \in \text{Sp}(A)$. The mapping $k: \text{Sp}(A) \rightarrow \text{Sp}(C(K)^{**})$ is given by

$$k(\phi)(F) = \int F dk(\nu),$$

where $F \in C(K)^{**}$ and $\nu \in M_\phi(K)$. It can be easily shown that the function k does not depend on the choice of representing measure ν .

On the set $\text{Sp}(C(K)^{**})$ we introduce an equivalence relation \simeq as follows

$$x \simeq y \text{ if and only if } f(x) = f(y) \text{ for all } f \in A^{**}.$$

The mapping $\Pi: \text{Sp}(C(K)^{**}) \rightarrow \text{Sp}(C(K)^{**})/\simeq \subset \text{Sp}(A^{**})$ denotes the canonical surjection assigning to each element of $\text{Sp}(C(K)^{**})$ its equivalence class. Finally, we define $j: \text{Sp}(A) \rightarrow \text{Sp}(A^{**})$ by $j = \Pi \circ k$.

Let $Y_n := \text{Sp}(C(Z_{n-1})^{**})$, where $Z_0 = \text{Sp}(A)$. On the set Y_n we introduce an equivalence relation \simeq in the following way

$$x \simeq y \text{ if and only if } f(x) = f(y) \text{ for all } f \in A_n.$$

The mappings $\Pi_n: Y_n \rightarrow Y_n/\simeq \subset \text{Sp}(A_n)$ will denote the canonical surjections.

We shall distinguish the set of those multiplicative linear functionals on A_n that fail to extend to elements of $\text{Sp}(C(Z_{n-1})^{**})$. This set will be denoted by Δ_n . Hence

$$\Delta_n = \text{Sp}(A_n) \setminus Y_n/\simeq.$$

Define $Z_n := Y_n \cup \Delta_n$. This allows us to extend each Π_n to the mapping $\Pi_n: Z_n \rightarrow \text{Sp}(A_n)$ as follows

$$\Pi_n(x) = \Pi_n(x) \text{ for } x \in Y_n, \quad \Pi_n(x) = x \text{ for } x \in \Delta_n.$$

The mappings $k_n: Z_n \rightarrow Y_{n+1}$ are defined analogously to the definition of k .

Finally, we define the mappings $j_n: \text{Sp}(A_n) \rightarrow Y_{n+1}/\simeq \subset \text{Sp}(A_{n+1})$ by the formula

$$\Pi_{n+1} \circ k_n = j_n \circ \Pi_n.$$

For $n \leq m$ we define $j_{n,m}: \text{Sp}(A_n) \rightarrow \text{Sp}(A_m)$ by

$$j_{n,m} = j_{m-1} \circ j_{m-2} \circ \cdots \circ j_n, \text{ if } n < m.$$

and $j_{n,n}$ is defined to be the identity mapping. For $x \in \text{Sp}(A_n)$ denote by $j_{n,\infty}(x)$ embedding into the inverse limit $\varprojlim \text{Sp}(A_n)$, defined by

$$j_{n,\infty}(x) = (\kappa_{0,n}^*(x), \dots, \kappa_{n-1,n}^*(x), x, j_{n,n+1}(x), j_{n,n+2}(x), \dots).$$

It should be noted that the inverse limit of the spectrum is limit in the category of compact Hausdorff spaces. In this case the first part of Theorem 5 becomes the equality

$$\varprojlim \text{Sp}(A_n) = \text{Sp}(\mathcal{A}).$$

The following assumption will be needed: there exists an open Gleason part G satisfying

$$(\dagger) \quad j_{0,n}(G) = (\kappa_{0,n}^*)^{-1}(G) \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \dots, \infty.$$

It is shown in [10, Theorem 10.1] that this assumption is satisfied for $A = A(G)$ if $G \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, $n > 1$, is a strictly pseudoconvex domain.

Let $G \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, $n > 1$, be a strictly pseudoconvex domain. By $H^\infty(G)$ we denote the Banach algebra of all bounded and analytic functions on G . The Corona Theorem states that the set G (identified with the set of evaluation functionals) is dense in the spectrum of $H^\infty(G)$ in the Gelfand topology. The following abstract result was a key to the proof of Corona Theorem by M. Kosiek and K. Rudol.

Theorem 3.1 ([10]). *Let A be a natural uniform algebra on K . If G is an open Gleason part in $\text{Sp}(A)$ satisfying (\dagger) then support of every representing measure μ_0 for A_n at any point x_0 in $j_{0,n}(G)$ lies in the Gelfand closure of $j_{0,n}(G)$.*

Proof of the last theorem is first carried for the direct limit algebra (the $n = \infty$ case) and then "projected" by the natural mappings to the finite level algebras (with $n < \infty$). The detailed exposition of this construction can be found in [10].

One could ask if for arbitrary uniform algebra the support of any representing measure of a point in G lies in the Gelfand closure of a Gleason part G . The answer to this question is no. The work [2] of B. J. Cole assures an existence of natural uniform algebra A on K with a property that each point of $\text{Sp}(A)$ is a one-point Gleason part and the Shilov boundary of A is not the entire set K . Since any point of $\text{Sp}(A)$ has a representing measure with its support contained in the Shilov boundary of A the general result doesn't hold. It is worth noting that the construction of Cole's algebra also relies on the direct limit technique.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. van Amstel, J. H. van der Walt, *Limits of vector lattices*, arXiv:2207.05459
- [2] B. J. Cole, *One-point parts and the peak point conjecture*, Ph.D. dissertation, Yale University, 1968.
- [3] J. B. Conway, *The Theory of Subnormal Operators*, vol. 36 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, USA, 1991.
- [4] H. G. Dales, *Banach Algebras and Automatic Continuity*, London Mathematical Society Monographs, vol. 24 (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2000).
- [5] H. G. Dales, F. K. Dashiell, Jr., A. T.-M. Lau, and D. Strauss, *Banach Spaces of Continuous Functions as Dual Spaces*, CMS Books in Mathematics/Ouvrages de Mathématiques de la SMC, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2016.
- [6] W. Filter, *Inductive limits of Riesz spaces*. In B. Stanković, E. Pap, S. Pilipović, and V.S. Vladimirov, editors, *Generalized functions, convergence structures, and their applications* (Dubrovnik, 1987), pages 383-392. Plenum Press, New York, 1988. Proceedings of the International Conference held in Dubrovnik, June 23-27, 1987.
- [7] T. W. Gamelin, *Uniform Algebras*, Prentice Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J. 1969.
- [8] S. Gwizdek, *Corona theorem for strictly pseudoconvex domains*, *Opuscula Math.* **41**, no. 6 (2021), 843-848.
- [9] M. Hakim, N. Sibony, *Spectre de $A(\overline{\Omega})$ pour les domaines bornés faiblement pseudoconvexes réguliers*, *J. Funct. Anal.* **37** (1980), 127-135.
- [10] M. Kosiek, K. Rudol, *Corona Theorem*, arXiv:2106.15683
- [11] Z. Semadeni, *Banach Spaces of Continuous Functions*, Volume I, PWN, Warszawa 1971.
- [12] E. L. Stout, *The Theory of Uniform Algebras*, Bogden & Quigley, New York, 1971.

FACULTY OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, AGH UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, AL.
MICKIEWICZA 30, 30-059, KRAKÓW, POLAND

Email address: gwizdek@agh.edu.pl