

On the p -Schatten Energy of Bipartite Graphs

Octavio Arizmendi, José Guerrero

May 31, 2022

Abstract

We give a Coulson integral formula and a Coulson-Jacobs formula for the p -Schatten energy. We use these formulas to compare the p -Schatten energy of different trees by using a quasiorder, and establish the maximality of paths among all trees.

1 Introduction

For a graph $G = (V, E)$, we denote by A_G , the adjacency matrix of G , let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ be its eigenvalues, and $\phi(G, x)$ its characteristic polynomial. The p -Schatten energy of G is defined by the formula

$$\mathcal{E}_p(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^p.$$

The purpose of this short note is to give an integral formula for the p -Schatten energy of a bipartite graph. In other words, we give a formula for the p -Schatten norm of its adjacency matrix.

Theorem 1. *Let G be a bipartite graph on n vertices. For $0 < p < 2$, the following integral formula holds*

$$\mathcal{E}_p(G) = \frac{2 \sin\left(\frac{p\pi}{2}\right)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty z^{p-1} \left(n - iz \frac{\phi'(G, iz)}{\phi(G, iz)} \right) dz. \quad (1)$$

This formula generalizes the famous Coulson integral formula [1] for the energy (corresponding to $p = 1$) in the case of a bipartite graph, which has been broadly used in mathematical chemistry. One important feature of Coulson Integral formula is that it has been proved to be very effective to compare the energies of different trees. Our formula allows to extend this feature for any $0 < p < 2$, in particular we use such comparison to answer the following question of Nikiforov [8, Question 4.52.(b)].

Theorem 2. *Let T_n be a tree on n vertices, then For $0 < p < 2$,*

$$\mathcal{E}_p(S_n) \leq \mathcal{E}_p(T_n) \leq \mathcal{E}_p(P_n). \quad (2)$$

We note that this comparison theorem is in contrast with the result of Csikvari [3] proving that that for $p \in 2\mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathcal{E}_p(S_n) \geq \mathcal{E}_p(T_n) \geq \mathcal{E}_p(P_n). \quad (3)$$

The general case $p > 2$ is still open. See also Lovász and Pelikán [7] for the case $p = \infty$.

While writing this paper we became aware of the papers [4, 10, 9] which provide formulas which are similar to (1). The method of proof here resembles the methods in [4]. Since the formulas in [4] have no restriction on p , it would be interesting to explore if their formulas allow to prove (3) for all $p > 2$.

2 A Coulson integral formula

In this section, we will give a proof of Theorem 1. That is we find a Coulson-like integral formula for the p -Schatten energy of a bipartite graph. We will consider the case where the number of vertices is even, the odd case follows from the even case. Indeed, on one hand, the p -Schatten energy does not change when adding isolated vertices, since we only add 0's in the spectrum. On the other hand, by noticing that, if $G \cup \{v\}$ denotes the graph G together with an isolated vertex, then

$$\frac{z(\phi(G \cup \{v\}, z))'}{\phi(G \cup \{v\}, z)} = \frac{z(z\phi(G, z))'}{z(\phi(G, z))} = \frac{z(z\phi(G, z)' + \phi(G, z))}{z(\phi(G, z))} = \frac{z(\phi(G, z))'}{(\phi(G, z))} + 1.$$

Thus, we may deduce that the righ-hand side of (1) is also not affected by adding an isolated vertex. For a graph G , if A_G is its adjacency matrix, then $\phi(G, z) = \det(zI - A_G)$ which in terms of the eigenvalues of A_G is given by $\phi(G, z) = \prod_{j=1}^n (z - \lambda_j)$. We will use the following identity which is easy to prove,

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{z - \lambda_j} = \frac{d}{dz} [\log(\phi(z))] = \frac{\phi'(G, z)}{\phi(G, z)}.$$

Now, we may proceed to prove Theorem 1. We start from the elementary identity,

$$\frac{a}{it + a} - \frac{a}{it - a} = \frac{a(it - a) - a(it + a)}{(it - a)(it + a)} = \frac{-2a^2}{(it - a)(it + a)} = \frac{2a^2}{t^2 + a^2}.$$

If G is a bipartite graph with $2n$ vertices, we can write its spectrum as $\text{Spec}(G) = \{\pm\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^n$, for some $\lambda_i \geq 0$. From the above identity, we have,

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{2\lambda_j^2}{z^2 + \lambda_j^2} = - \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \left(\frac{1}{iz - \lambda_j} - \frac{1}{iz + \lambda_j} \right) = - \sum_{\lambda \in \text{Spec}(G)} \frac{\lambda}{iz - \lambda} = 2n - iz \frac{\phi'(G, iz)}{\phi(G, iz)} \quad (4)$$

where $\phi = \phi_G$.

On other hand, let us define

$$f(\alpha) = \int_0^\infty \frac{t^\alpha}{t^2 + 1} dt,$$

for $\alpha \in (-1, 1)$. Using a simple change of variables, we have

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{t^\alpha}{t^2 + a^2} dt = \int_0^\infty \frac{a^\alpha (t/a)^\alpha}{a^2 ((t/a)^2 + 1)} dt = a^{\alpha-1} \int_0^\infty \frac{s^\alpha}{s^2 + 1} ds = a^{\alpha-1} f(\alpha). \quad (5)$$

With the property of f given in equation (5), we can integrate (4) and obtain a formula involving the $(\alpha + 1)$ -Schatten energy

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty z^\alpha \left(2n - iz \frac{\phi'(G, iz)}{\phi(G, iz)} \right) dz &= \sum_{j=1}^n 2\lambda_j^2 \int_0^\infty \frac{z^\alpha}{z^2 + \lambda_j^2} dz = \sum_{j=1}^n 2\lambda_j^2 \lambda_j^{\alpha-1} f(\alpha) \\ &= 2 \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j^{\alpha+1} f(\alpha) = f(\alpha) \mathcal{E}_{\alpha+1}(G). \end{aligned}$$

Replacing $p = \alpha + 1$, we can write

$$\mathcal{E}_p(G) = \frac{1}{f(p-1)} \int_0^\infty z^{p-1} \left(2n - iz \frac{\phi'(G, iz)}{\phi(G, iz)} \right) dz, \quad (6)$$

and we arrive at the stated formula by realizing that

$$f(\alpha) = \int_0^\infty \frac{t^\alpha}{t^2 + 1} dt = \frac{\pi}{2 \cos\left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right)},$$

which finishes the proof by the simple identity relation $\cos(t) = \sin(t + \frac{\pi}{2})$.

3 Applications

In this section, we will extend the technique of quasi-order to compare the p -Schatten energy of bipartite graphs. In order to do this, recall that, if G is a bipartite graph with $2n$ vertices, then its characteristic polynomial has the following form

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k b_{2k} x^{2n-2k}, \quad (7)$$

where $b_{2k} \geq 0$ for all k . The quasi-order \preceq is defined for bipartite graphs as follows: $G_1 \preceq G_2$ if $b_{2k}(G_1) \leq b_{2k}(G_2)$ for all k , see [5, 11] or Section 4.3 of [6].

We note that, for $z \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\phi(G, iz) = \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k b_{2k}(iz)^{2n-2k} = \sum_{k \geq 0} b_{2k} z^{2n-2k}$$

and thus $\phi(G, iz) > 0$ and $\phi(G_2, iz) \leq \phi(G_1, iz)$ if $G_2 \preceq G_1$, for $z \in [0, \infty)$.

Our aim is to use the integral formula of Theorem 1, to compare p -Schatten energy of two graphs, G_1 and G_2 . For this, we will need to modify (1) as done in classical paper of Coulson and Jacobs [2]

Theorem 3 (Coulson-Jacobs formula for p -Energy). *Let G_1 and G_2 be bipartite graphs on $2n$ vertices, and $0 < p < 2$, then*

$$\mathcal{E}_p(G_1) - \mathcal{E}_p(G_2) = \frac{2p \sin\left(\frac{p\pi}{2}\right)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty z^{p-1} \log\left(\frac{\phi(G_1, iz)}{\phi(G_2, iz)}\right) dz. \quad (8)$$

Proof. From Theorem 1 we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_p(G_1) - \mathcal{E}_p(G_2) &= \frac{2 \sin\left(\frac{p\pi}{2}\right)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty iz^p \left(\frac{\phi'(G_2, iz)}{\phi(G_2, iz)} - \frac{\phi'(G_1, iz)}{\phi(G_1, iz)} \right) dz \\ &= \frac{2 \sin\left(\frac{p\pi}{2}\right)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty z^p \frac{d}{dz} \log\left(\frac{\phi(G_2, iz)}{\phi(G_1, iz)}\right) dz \\ &= -\frac{2 \sin\left(\frac{p\pi}{2}\right)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty pz^{p-1} \log\left(\frac{\phi(G_2, iz)}{\phi(G_1, iz)}\right) dz \\ &= \frac{2p \sin\left(\frac{p\pi}{2}\right)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty z^{p-1} \log\left(\frac{\phi(G_1, iz)}{\phi(G_2, iz)}\right) dz \end{aligned}$$

where we used integration by parts in the second to last equality. □

Corollary 1. *Let G_1 and G_2 be two bipartite graphs. If $G_1 \preceq G_2$ then $\mathcal{E}(G_1) \leq \mathcal{E}(G_2)$.*

Proof. Notice that if $G_1 \preceq G_2$ then $\phi(G_2, iz) \geq \phi(G_1, iz)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{R}$ and then $\log \left(\frac{\phi(G_2, iz)}{\phi(G_1, iz)} \right) \geq 0$, from where we see that

$$\mathcal{E}_p(G_2) - \mathcal{E}_p(G_1) = \frac{2p \sin \left(\frac{p\pi}{2} \right)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty z^{p-1} \log \left(\frac{\phi(G_2, iz)}{\phi(G_1, iz)} \right) dz \geq 0, \quad (9)$$

since the above integral must be positive. \square

Now, Theorem 2 is a direct consequence of the following known relation in the quasi-order for trees (see for example [5] or Theorem 4.6 in [6]).

Theorem 4. *Let T_n be a tree on n vertices, then*

$$S_n \preceq T_n \preceq P_n. \quad (10)$$

References

- [1] C. A. Coulson. On the calculation of the energy in unsaturated hydrocarbon molecules. *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, 36(2):201–203, 1940.
- [2] C. A. Coulson and J. Jacobs. 591. conjugation across a single bond. *Journal of the Chemical Society (Resumed)*, pages 2805–2812, 1949.
- [3] Péter Csikvári. On a poset of trees. *Combinatorica*, 30(2):125–137, 2010.
- [4] Zhibin Du. Coulson-type integral formulas for the sum of powers of absolute values of roots of polynomials. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 494(2):Paper No. 124650, 31, 2021.
- [5] Ivan Gutman and Fu Ji Zhang. On a quasi-ordering of bipartite graphs. *Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.)*, 40(54):11–15, 1986.
- [6] Xueliang Li, Yongtang Shi, and Ivan Gutman. *Graph energy*. Springer, New York, 2012.
- [7] László Lovász and József Pelikán. On the eigenvalues of trees. *Periodica Mathematica Hungarica*, 3(1-2):175–182, 1973.
- [8] V. Nikiforov. Beyond graph energy: norms of graphs and matrices. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 506:82–138, 2016.
- [9] Lu Qiao, Shenggui Zhang, and Jing Li. Coulson-type integral formulas for the general Laplacian energy-like invariant of graphs II. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 449(2):1725–1740, 2017.
- [10] Lu Qiao, Shenggui Zhang, Bo Ning, and Jing Li. Coulson-type integral formulas for the general Laplacian-energy-like invariant of graphs I. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 435(2):1249–1261, 2016.
- [11] Fu Ji Zhang. Two theorems of comparison of bipartite graphs by their energy. *Kexue Tongbao (English Ed.)*, 28(6):726–730, 1983.