

Increasing rate of weighted product of partial quotients in continued fractions

Ayreena Bakhtawar^a, Jing Feng^{b,*}

^a*School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of New South Wales, Sydney NSW 2052, Australia.*

^b*School of Mathematics and Statistics, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, 430074 PR China and LAMA UMR 8050, CNRS, Université Paris-Est Créteil, 61 Avenue du Général de Gaulle, 94010 Créteil Cedex, France*

Abstract

Let $[a_1(x), a_2(x), \dots, a_n(x), \dots]$ be the continued fraction expansion of $x \in [0, 1)$. In this paper, we study the increasing rate of the weighted product $a_n^{t_0}(x)a_{n+1}^{t_1}(x) \cdots a_{n+m}^{t_m}(x)$, where $t_i \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ($0 \leq i \leq m$) are weights. More precisely, let $\varphi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a function with $\varphi(n)/n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For any $(t_0, \dots, t_m) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{m+1}$ with $t_i \geq 0$ and at least one $t_i \neq 0$ ($0 \leq i \leq m$), the Hausdorff dimension of the set

$$\underline{E}(\{t_i\}_{i=0}^m, \varphi) = \left\{ x \in [0, 1) : \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(a_n^{t_0}(x)a_{n+1}^{t_1}(x) \cdots a_{n+m}^{t_m}(x))}{\varphi(n)} = 1 \right\}$$

is obtained. Under the condition that $(t_0, \dots, t_m) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{m+1}$ with $0 < t_0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_m$, we also obtain the Hausdorff dimension of the set

$$\overline{E}(\{t_i\}_{i=0}^m, \varphi) = \left\{ x \in [0, 1) : \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(a_n^{t_0}(x)a_{n+1}^{t_1}(x) \cdots a_{n+m}^{t_m}(x))}{\varphi(n)} = 1 \right\}.$$

Keywords: Continued fractions, Hausdorff dimension, Product of partial quotients

2010 MSC: Primary 11K50, Secondary 37E05, 28A80

1. Introduction

Each irrational number $x \in [0, 1)$ admits a unique continued fraction expansion of the form

$$x = \frac{1}{a_1(x) + \frac{1}{a_2(x) + \frac{1}{a_3(x) + \cdots}}}, \quad (1.1)$$

where for each $n \geq 1$, the positive integers $a_n(x)$ are known as the partial quotients of x . The partial quotients can be generated by using the Gauss transformation $T : [0, 1) \rightarrow [0, 1)$

*Corresponding author.

Email addresses: a.bakhtawar@unsw.edu.au (Ayreena Bakhtawar), jingfeng0425@gmail.com (Jing Feng)

defined as

$$T(0) := 0 \quad \text{and} \quad T(x) = \frac{1}{x} \pmod{1}, \quad \text{for } x \in (0, 1). \quad (1.2)$$

In fact, let $a_1(x) = \lfloor \frac{1}{x} \rfloor$ (where $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ denotes the integral part of real number). Then $a_n(x) = \lfloor \frac{1}{T^{n-1}(x)} \rfloor$ for $n \geq 2$. Sometimes (1.1) is written as $x = [a_1(x), a_2(x), a_3(x), \dots]$. Further, the n -th convergent $p_n(x)/q_n(x)$ of x is defined by $p_n(x)/q_n(x) = [a_1(x), a_2(x), \dots, a_n(x)]$.

The metrical aspect of the theory of continued fractions has been very well studied due to its close connections with Diophantine approximation. For example, for any $\tau > 0$ the famous Jarník-Besicovitch set

$$J_\tau := \left\{ x \in [0, 1) : \left| x - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{q^{\tau+2}} \text{ for infinitely many } (p, q) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N} \right\},$$

can be described by using continued fractions. In fact,

$$J_\tau = \{x \in [0, 1) : a_{n+1}(x) \geq q_n^\tau(x) \text{ for infinitely many } n \in \mathbb{N}\}. \quad (1.3)$$

For further details about this connection we refer to [11]. Thus the growth rate of the partial quotients reveals how well a real number can be approximated by rationals.

The well-known Borel-Bernstein Theorem [3, 4] states that for Lebesgue almost all $x \in [0, 1)$, $a_n(x) \geq \varphi(n)$ holds for finitely many n 's or infinitely many n 's according to the convergence or divergence of the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/\varphi(n)$ respectively. However, for rapidly growing function φ , the Borel-Bernstein Theorem does not give any conclusive information other than Lebesgue measure zero. To distinguish the sizes of zero Lebesgue measure sets, Hausdorff dimension is considered as an appropriate conception and has gained much importance in the metrical theory of continued fractions. Jarník [14] proved that the set of real numbers with bounded partials quotients has full Hausdorff dimension. Later on, Good [11] showed that the Hausdorff dimension of the set of numbers whose partial quotients tend to infinity is one half.

After that, a lot of work has been done in the direction of improving Borel-Bernstein Theorem, for example, the Hausdorff dimension of sets when partial quotients $a_n(x)$ obeys different conditions has been obtained in [6, 7, 8, 17, 18, 19, 20].

Motivation for studying the growth rate of the products of consecutive partial quotients arises from the works of Davenport-Schmidt [5] and Kleinbock-Wadleigh [16] where they considered improvements to Dirichlet's theorem. Let $\psi : [t_0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a monotonically decreasing function, where $t_0 \geq 1$ is fixed. Denote by $D(\psi)$ the set of all real numbers x for which the system

$$|qx - p| \leq \psi(t) \quad \text{and} \quad |q| < t$$

has a nontrivial integer solution for all large enough t . A real number $x \in D(\psi)$ (resp. $x \in D(\psi)^c$) will be referred to as ψ -Dirichlet improvable (resp. ψ -Dirichlet non-improvable) number.

The starting point for the work of Davenport-Schmidt [5] and Kleinbock-Wadleigh [16, Lemma 2.2] is an observation that Dirichlet improvability is equivalent to a condition on the growth rate of the products of two consecutive partial quotients. Precisely, they observed that

$$\begin{aligned} x \in D(\psi) &\iff |q_{n-1}x - p_{n-1}| \leq \psi(q_n), \text{ for all } n \text{ large} \\ &\iff [a_{n+1}, a_{n+2}, \dots] \cdot [a_n, a_{n-1}, \dots, a_1] \geq ((q_n \psi(q_n))^{-1} - 1), \text{ for all } n \text{ large.} \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\left\{x \in [0, 1) : a_n(x)a_{n+1}(x) \geq ((q_n(x)\psi(q_n(x)))^{-1} - 1)^{-1} \text{ for i.m. } n \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \subset D^c(\psi)$$

$$\subset \left\{x \in [0, 1) : a_n(x)a_{n+1}(x) \geq 4^{-1}((q_n(x)\psi(q_n(x)))^{-1} - 1)^{-1} \text{ for i.m. } n \in \mathbb{N}\right\},$$

where i.m. stands for infinitely many.

In other words, a real number $x \in [0, 1) \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ is ψ -Dirichlet improvable if and only if the products of consecutive partial quotients of x do not grow quickly. We refer the reader to [1, 2, 10, 12, 13] for more metrical results related with the set of Dirichlet non-improvable numbers.

As a consequence of Borel-Bernstein Theorem, for almost all $x \in [0, 1)$ there exists a subsequence of partial quotients tending to infinity with a linear speed. In other words, for Lebesgue almost every $x \in [0, 1)$

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log a_n(x)}{\log n} = 1.$$

Taking inspirations from the study of the growth rate of the products of consecutive partial quotients for the real numbers, in this paper we consider the growth rate of the products of the consecutive weighted partial quotients. More precisely, by [2, Theorem 1.4], we have for Lebesgue almost all $x \in [0, 1)$

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log a_n^{t_0}(x)a_{n+1}^{t_1}(x) \cdots a_{n+m}^{t_m}(x)}{\log n^{t_{\max}}} = 1, \quad (1.4)$$

where $t_{\max} = \max\{t_i : 0 \leq i \leq m\}$. This paper is concerned with Hausdorff dimension of some exceptional sets of (1.4). Let $\varphi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a function satisfying $\varphi(n)/n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and let $t_i \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ($0 \leq i \leq m$). Define the sets

$$\overline{E}(\{t_i\}_{i=0}^m, \varphi) = \left\{x \in [0, 1) : \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log (a_n^{t_0}(x)a_{n+1}^{t_1}(x) \cdots a_{n+m}^{t_m}(x))}{\varphi(n)} = 1\right\},$$

and

$$\underline{E}(\{t_i\}_{i=0}^m, \varphi) = \left\{x \in [0, 1) : \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log (a_n^{t_0}(x)a_{n+1}^{t_1}(x) \cdots a_{n+m}^{t_m}(x))}{\varphi(n)} = 1\right\}.$$

The study of the level sets about the growth rate of $\{a_n(x)a_{n+1}(x) : n \geq 1\}$ relative to that of $\{q_n(x) : n \geq 1\}$ was discussed in [12]. Let $m \geq 2$ be an integer and $\Psi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a positive function. The Lebesgue measure and the Hausdorff dimension of the set

$$\{x \in [0, 1) : a_n(x) \cdots a_{n+m}(x) \geq \Psi(n) \text{ for infinitely many } n \in \mathbb{N}\}, \quad (1.5)$$

have been comprehensively determined by Huang-Wu-Xu [13]. Very recently the results of [13] were generalized by Bakhtawar-Hussain-Kleinbock-Wang [2] to a weighted generalization of the set (1.5). For more details we refer the reader to [2, 13].

Our main results are as follows.

Theorem 1.1. Let $\varphi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a function satisfying $\varphi(n)/n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Write

$$\log B = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \varphi(n)}{n}.$$

Assume $B \in [1, \infty]$. Then for any $(t_0, \dots, t_m) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{m+1}$ with $t_i \geq 0$ and at least one $t_i \neq 0$ ($0 \leq i \leq m$),

$$\dim_{\text{H}} \underline{E}(\{t_i\}_{i=0}^m, \varphi) = \frac{1}{1+B}.$$

Theorem 1.2. Let $\varphi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a function satisfying $\varphi(n)/n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Write

$$\log b = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \varphi(n)}{n}.$$

Assume $b \in [1, \infty]$. Then for any $(t_0, t_1, \dots, t_m) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{m+1}$ with $0 < t_0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_m$, we have

$$\dim_{\text{H}} \overline{E}(\{t_i\}_{i=0}^m, \varphi) = \frac{1}{1+b}.$$

Remark 1.1. Note that in Theorem 1.2 we are only able to treat the case when the sequence $\{t_i\}_{i=0}^m$ is nondecreasing. We would like to drop this monotonic condition. Indeed, our method for the upper bound is true for all sequences $\{t_i\}_{i=0}^m$. However, when dealing with the lower bound, the sequence $\{c_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ we construct (see the proof for details) might not be bounded away from 0 once we drop the monotonic condition, which is important in constructing a suitable subset of $\overline{E}(\{t_i\}_{i=0}^m, \varphi)$.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we fix some notations and recall some known results in theory of continued fraction expansions.

For an irrational number $x \in [0, 1)$, recall $a_n(x)$ is the n -th partial quotient of x in its continued fraction expansion. The sequences $\{p_n(x)\}_{n \geq 1}$, $\{q_n(x)\}_{n \geq 1}$ are the numerator and denominator of the n -th convergent of x . It is well-known that $\{p_n(x)\}_{n \geq 1}$ and $\{q_n(x)\}_{n \geq 1}$ can be obtain by the following recursive relations (see [15]):

$$\begin{cases} p_n(x) = a_n(x)p_{n-1}(x) + p_{n-2}(x), & \text{for any } n \geq 1; \\ q_n(x) = a_n(x)q_{n-1}(x) + q_{n-2}(x), & \text{for any } n \geq 1, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

with the conventions $p_{-1} = 1$, $q_{-1} = 0$, $p_0 = 0$ and $q_0 = 1$.

For any n -tuple $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ with $n \geq 1$, we call

$$I_n(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \{x \in [0, 1) : a_1(x) = a_1, a_2(x) = a_2, \dots, a_n(x) = a_n\},$$

a cylinder of order n .

Note that $p_n(x)$ and $q_n(x)$ are determined by the first n partial quotients of x . So all points in $I_n(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ determine the same $p_n(x)$ and $q_n(x)$. Hence for simplicity, if there is no confusion, we write a_n , p_n and q_n to denote $a_n(x)$, $p_n(x)$ and $q_n(x)$ for $x \in I_n(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ respectively.

The following lemma is a collection of basic facts on continued fractions which can be found in the book of Khintchine [15].

Lemma 2.1. For any $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, let q_n and p_n be given recursively by (2.1). Then

(1)

$$I_n(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \begin{cases} \left[\frac{p_n}{q_n}, \frac{p_n + p_{n-1}}{q_n + q_{n-1}} \right), & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ \left[\frac{p_n + p_{n-1}}{q_n + q_{n-1}}, \frac{p_n}{q_n} \right), & \text{if } n \text{ is odd;} \end{cases}$$

(2) $q_n \geq 2^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$, $\prod_{k=1}^n a_k \leq q_n \leq 2^n \prod_{k=1}^n a_k$;

(3)

$$\frac{1}{3a_{n+1}q_n^2} < \left| x - \frac{p_n}{q_n} \right| = \frac{1}{q_n(q_{n+1} + T^{n+1}(x)q_n)} < \frac{1}{a_{n+1}q_n^2},$$

and for any $n \geq 1$ the derivative of T^n is given by

$$(T^n)'(x) = \frac{(-1)^n}{(xq_{n-1} - p_{n-1})^2}.$$

The next theorem, known as Legendre's Theorem, connects 1-dimensional Diophantine approximation with continued fractions.

Theorem 2.1 (Legendre). Let $\frac{p}{q}$ be an irreducible rational number. Then

$$\left| x - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{2q^2} \implies \frac{p}{q} = \frac{p_n(x)}{q_n(x)}, \quad \text{for some } n \geq 1.$$

According to Legendre's theorem if an irrational x is "well" approximated by a rational p/q , then this rational must be a convergent of x . So, the continued fraction expansions is a quick and efficient tool for finding good rational approximations to real numbers. For more basic properties of continued fraction expansions, one can refer to [15]. We also give some auxiliary results on the Hausdorff dimension theory of continued fractions that will be used later.

Lemma 2.2 ([6]). Let $\{s_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of positive integers tending to infinity, then for any positive integer number $N \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \dim_{\mathbb{H}} \{x \in [0, 1) : s_n \leq a_n(x) < Ns_n, \text{ for all } n \geq 1\} \\ &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n)}{2 \log(s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n) + \log s_{n+1}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2 + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log s_{n+1}}{\log(s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.3 ([9, 17]). For any $a, c > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \dim_{\mathbb{H}} \{x \in [0, 1) : a_n(x) \geq c^{a^n}, \text{ for all } n \geq 1\} \\ &= \dim_{\mathbb{H}} \{x \in [0, 1) : a_n(x) \geq c^{a^n}, \text{ for infinitely many } n \in \mathbb{N}\} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 + a}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 2.3, we obtain the following corollary which will be useful for the upper bound estimation on $\dim_{\mathbb{H}} \underline{E}(\{t_i\}_{i=0}^m, \varphi)$.

Corollary 2.1. For any $a, c > 1$ and $(t_0, \dots, t_m) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{m+1}$ with $t_i \geq 0$ and at least one $t_i \neq 0$ ($0 \leq i \leq m$),

$$\begin{aligned} & \dim_{\text{H}} \{x \in [0, 1) : a_n^{t_0}(x) a_{n+1}^{t_1}(x) \cdots a_{n+m}^{t_m}(x) \geq c^{a^n}, \text{ for all } n \geq 1\} \\ &= \dim_{\text{H}} \{x \in [0, 1) : a_n^{t_0}(x) a_{n+1}^{t_1}(x) \cdots a_{n+m}^{t_m}(x) \geq c^{a^n}, \text{ for infinitely many } n \geq 1\} \\ &= \frac{1}{1+a}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Denote $k = \min\{0 \leq i \leq m : t_i \neq 0\}$. It is clear that for some $t_j \neq 0$ ($0 \leq j \leq m$),

$$\begin{aligned} & \{x \in [0, 1) : a_{n+k}^{t_k}(x) \geq c^{a^n}, \text{ for all } n \geq 1\} \\ & \subset \{x \in [0, 1) : a_n^{t_0}(x) a_{n+1}^{t_1}(x) \cdots a_{n+m}^{t_m}(x) \geq c^{a^n}, \text{ for all } n \geq 1\} \\ & \subset \{x \in [0, 1) : a_n^{t_0}(x) a_{n+1}^{t_1}(x) \cdots a_{n+m}^{t_m}(x) \geq c^{a^n}, \text{ for infinitely many } n \geq 1\} \\ & \subset \left\{x \in [0, 1) : a_{n+j}^{t_j}(x) \geq c^{\frac{a^n}{m+1}}, \text{ for infinitely many } n \geq 1\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 2.3, we deduce that for some $t_j \neq 0$ ($0 \leq j \leq m$),

$$\begin{aligned} & \dim_{\text{H}} \{x \in [0, 1) : a_{n+k}^{t_k}(x) \geq c^{a^n}, \text{ for all } n \geq 1\} \\ &= \dim_{\text{H}} \left\{x \in [0, 1) : a_{n+j}^{t_j}(x) \geq c^{a^n}, \text{ for infinitely many } n \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{1+a}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the desired results are obtained. □

3. Proof of theorem 1.1

Let $\varphi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a positive function with $\varphi(n) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For any $(t_0, \dots, t_m) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{m+1}$ with $t_i \geq 0$ and at least one $t_i \neq 0$ ($0 \leq i \leq m$), we introduce the sets

$$ND(\varphi) = \{x \in [0, 1) : a_n^{t_0}(x) a_{n+1}^{t_1}(x) \cdots a_{n+m}^{t_m}(x) \geq \varphi(n), \text{ for all } n \geq 1\},$$

and

$$ND'(\varphi) = \{x \in [0, 1) : a_n^{t_0}(x) a_{n+1}^{t_1}(x) \cdots a_{n+m}^{t_m}(x) \geq \varphi(n), \text{ for } n \text{ large enough}\}.$$

In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we first give a complete characterization on the size of the sets $ND(\varphi)$ and $ND'(\varphi)$ in terms of Hausdorff dimension.

Proposition 3.1. For any $(t_0, \dots, t_m) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{m+1}$ with $t_i \geq 0$ and at least one $t_i \neq 0$ ($0 \leq i \leq m$),

$$\dim_{\text{H}} ND(\varphi) = \dim_{\text{H}} ND'(\varphi) = \frac{1}{1+A}, \text{ where } \log A = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log \varphi(n)}{n}.$$

We remark that recently Zhang ([21]) obtained the Hausdorff dimension results of $ND(\varphi)$ and $ND'(\varphi)$ for the special case $t_0 = t_1 = 1$, $t_i = 0$ ($i \geq 2$).

3.1. Proof of Proposition 3.1

To prove Proposition 3.1, we start with the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. For any $(t_0, \dots, t_m) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{m+1}$ with $t_i \geq 0$ and at least one $t_i \neq 0$ ($0 \leq i \leq m$),

$$\dim_{\mathbb{H}} \{x \in [0, 1) : a_n^{t_0}(x) a_{n+1}^{t_1}(x) \cdots a_{n+m}^{t_m}(x) \rightarrow \infty, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty\} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Proof. Denote by $C(\infty)$ the set above and $k = \min\{0 \leq i \leq m : t_i \neq 0\}$. It is evident that

$$\begin{aligned} & \{x \in [0, 1) : a_{n+k}^{t_k}(x) \rightarrow \infty, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty\} \\ & \subset \{x \in [0, 1) : a_n^{t_0}(x) a_{n+1}^{t_1}(x) \cdots a_{n+m}^{t_m}(x) \rightarrow \infty, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty\}. \end{aligned}$$

So, $\dim_{\mathbb{H}} C(\infty) \geq \frac{1}{2}$.

In the following, we give the upper bound for $\dim_{\mathbb{H}} C(\infty)$.

Step I. We find a cover for $C(\infty)$. For any $M > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} C(\infty) & \subset \{x \in [0, 1) : a_n^{t_0}(x) a_{n+1}^{t_1}(x) \cdots a_{n+m}^{t_m}(x) \geq M, \text{ for } n \text{ large enough}\} \\ & = \bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} \{x \in [0, 1) : a_n^{t_0}(x) a_{n+1}^{t_1}(x) \cdots a_{n+m}^{t_m}(x) \geq M, \text{ for } n \geq N\} \\ & := \bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} E_M(N). \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $\dim_{\mathbb{H}} C(\infty) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{H}} E_M(1)$, since $\dim_{\mathbb{H}} C(\infty) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{H}} \sup_{N \geq 1} E_M(N)$, and by [11, Lemma 1], we have $\dim_{\mathbb{H}} E_M(N) = \dim_{\mathbb{H}} E_M(1)$ for any $N \geq 1$. So it is sufficient to estimate the upper bound for $E_M(1)$. For any $n \geq 1$, set

$$D_n(M) = \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n : a_k^{t_0} a_{k+1}^{t_1} \cdots a_{k+m}^{t_m} \geq M, \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq n - m\}.$$

Hence,

$$E_M(1) \subset \bigcup_{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in D_n(M)} I_n(a_1, \dots, a_n). \quad (3.1)$$

Step II. We construct a family of Bernoulli measures $\{\mu_t\}_{t>1}$ on $[0, 1)$. For each $t > 1$ and any $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, put

$$\mu_t(I_n(a_1, \dots, a_n)) = e^{-nP(t) - t \sum_{j=1}^n \log a_j},$$

where $e^{P(t)} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-t}$. It is easy to see that

$$\sum_{a_{n+1}} \mu_t(I_n(a_1, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1})) = \mu_t(I_n(a_1, \dots, a_n))$$

and

$$\sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n} \mu_t(I_n(a_1, \dots, a_n)) = 1.$$

So the measures $\{\mu_t\}_{t>1}$ are well defined by Kolmogorov's consistency theorem.

Fix $s > \frac{1}{2}$ and set $t = s + \frac{1}{2} > 1$. Choose M sufficiently large such that

$$\left(s - \frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot \frac{\log M^{t_{\max}^{-1}}}{2m} \geq P\left(s + \frac{1}{2}\right), \quad (3.2)$$

where $t_{\max} = \max\{t_i : 0 \leq i \leq m\}$.

We claim that for any $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in D_n(M)$,

$$q_n^{-2s} \leq \mu_{s+\frac{1}{2}}(I_n(a_1, \dots, a_n)). \quad (3.3)$$

More precisely, for any $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in D_n(M)$, by the fact that for $1 \leq l \leq n - m$,

$$a_l^{t_0} a_{l+1}^{t_1} \cdots a_{l+m}^{t_m} \geq M,$$

then for $1 \leq l \leq n - m$,

$$a_l a_{l+1} \cdots a_{l+m} \geq M^{t_{\max}^{-1}},$$

where $t_{\max} = \max\{t_i : 0 \leq i \leq m\}$. Then we have

$$e^{-2s \sum_{j=1}^n \log a_j} \leq e^{-(s+\frac{1}{2}) \sum_{j=1}^n \log a_j - (s-\frac{1}{2}) \lfloor \frac{n}{m} \rfloor \log M^{t_{\max}^{-1}}}.$$

Thus, by $q_n \geq \prod_{i=1}^n a_i$ and then (3.2), we get

$$q_n^{-2s} \leq e^{-2s \sum_{j=1}^n \log a_j} \leq e^{-(s+\frac{1}{2}) \sum_{j=1}^n \log a_j - nP(s+\frac{1}{2})}$$

Therefore, by (3.1) and (3.3),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^s(E_M(1)) &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in D_n(M)} |I_n(a_1, \dots, a_n)|^s \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in D_n(M)} \frac{1}{q_n^{2s}} \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in D_n(M)} \mu_{s+\frac{1}{2}}(I_n(a_1, \dots, a_n)) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\dim_{\text{H}} E_M(1) \leq s$, and then $\dim_{\text{H}} C(\infty) \leq s$. Consequently, $\dim_{\text{H}} C(\infty) \leq \frac{1}{2}$ by the arbitrariness of $s > \frac{1}{2}$. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.1. \square

Now we are ready to prove Proposition 3.1.

Proof of Proposition 3.1: We see that $\dim_{\text{H}} ND'(\varphi) = \dim_{\text{H}} ND(\varphi)$. The proof is divided into two cases according to $A = 1$ or $A > 1$.

(1) If $A = 1$, then for any $\epsilon > 0$, $\varphi(n) \leq e^{(1+\epsilon)^n}$ holds for n large enough, and we have

$$\{x \in [0, 1) : a_n(x) \geq e^{(1+\epsilon)^n} \text{ for } n \text{ large enough}\} \subset ND'(\varphi).$$

By Lemma 2.3, we obtain

$$\dim_{\text{H}} ND'(\varphi) \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

On the other hand,

$$ND(\varphi) \subset \{x \in [0, 1) : a_n^{t_0}(x)a_{n+1}^{t_1}(x) \cdots a_{n+m}^{t_m}(x) \rightarrow \infty, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty\}.$$

Thus,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{H}} ND(\varphi) \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

(2) If $A > 1$, by the definition of limsup, for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{cases} \varphi(n) \geq e^{(A-\epsilon)^n}, \text{ for infinitely many } n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ \varphi(n) \leq e^{(A+\epsilon)^n}, \text{ for all sufficiently large } n. \end{cases}$$

Therefore

$$\left\{x \in [0, 1) : a_n^{t_0}(x)a_{n+1}^{t_1}(x) \cdots a_{n+m}^{t_m}(x) \geq e^{(A+\epsilon)^n}, \text{ for any } n \geq 1\right\} \subset ND'(\varphi).$$

Applying Corollary 2.1, we obtain

$$\dim_{\mathbb{H}} ND'(\varphi) \geq \frac{1}{1+A+\epsilon}.$$

By the arbitrary of ϵ , we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{H}} ND'(\varphi) \geq \frac{1}{1+A}.$$

On the other hand,

$$ND(\varphi) \subset \left\{x \in [0, 1) : a_n^{t_0}(x)a_{n+1}^{t_1}(x) \cdots a_{n+m}^{t_m}(x) \geq e^{(A-\epsilon)^n}, \text{ for infinitely many } n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}.$$

From Corollary 2.1, we obtain

$$\dim_{\mathbb{H}} ND(\varphi) \leq \frac{1}{1+A-\epsilon}.$$

Taking $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we conclude

$$\dim_{\mathbb{H}} ND(\varphi) \leq \frac{1}{1+A}.$$

□

Let us give a proof of Theorem 1.1.

3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Upper bound: For $x \in \underline{E}(\{t_i\}_{i=0}^m, \varphi)$, for any $\epsilon > 0$, we have

$$a_n^{t_0}(x)a_{n+1}^{t_1}(x) \cdots a_{n+m}^{t_m}(x) \geq e^{(1-\epsilon)\varphi(n)}, \text{ for } n \text{ large enough.}$$

Then it follows from Proposition 3.1 that

$$\dim_{\text{H}} \underline{E}(\{t_i\}_{i=0}^m, \varphi) \leq \frac{1}{1+B}.$$

Lower bound: It is trivial for $B = \infty$, so we only need to consider the case $1 \leq B < \infty$. We construct a suitable Cantor subset of $\underline{E}(\{t_i\}_{i=0}^m, \varphi)$ in two steps.

Step I. Since $\log B = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \varphi(n)}{n}$, for any $\epsilon > 0$, we have $\varphi(n) \leq (B + \frac{\epsilon}{2})^n$ for n large enough. Hence,

$$\varphi(n)(B + \epsilon)^{j-n} \leq (B + \frac{\epsilon}{2})^n (B + \epsilon)^{j-n} \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

We define a sequence $\{L_j\}_{j \geq 1}$: For $j, k \geq 1$, let

$$c_{j,k} = \begin{cases} \exp(\varphi(k)), & 1 \leq k \leq j; \\ \exp(\varphi(k)(B + \epsilon)^{j-k}), & k \geq j + 1. \end{cases}$$

Define $L_j = \sup_{k \geq 1} \{c_{j,k}\}$. Clearly,

$$L_j \leq L_{j+1} \leq L_j^{B+\epsilon} \text{ and } L_j \geq e^{\varphi(j)} \text{ for any } j \geq 1. \quad (3.4)$$

By the first part of (3.4),

$$\log L_{j+1} - \log L_j \leq (B + \epsilon - 1) \log L_j.$$

Hence

$$\log L_{n+1} - \log L_1 \leq (B + \epsilon - 1) \sum_{j=1}^n \log L_j. \quad (3.5)$$

We claim that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log L_n}{\varphi(n)} = 1. \quad (3.6)$$

In fact, on the one hand, in view of the second part of (3.4), we see at once that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log L_n}{\varphi(n)} \geq 1.$$

For the opposite inequality, let $t_j := \min\{k \geq 1 : c_{j,k} = L_j\}$. Notice that for many consecutive j 's, the number t_j will be the same. More precisely, if $t_j < j$, $t_j = t_{t_j+1} = \dots = t_j$; if $t_j \geq j$, $t_j = t_{j+1} = \dots = t_j$. Let $\{l_i\}$ be the sequence of all t_{t_j} 's in the strictly increasing order. Then we obtain $L_{l_i} = \exp \varphi(l_i)$ and thus

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log L_n}{\varphi(n)} \leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log L_{l_i}}{\varphi(l_i)} = 1.$$

Let

$$Z := \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \left(L_n^{t_0} L_{n+1}^{t_1} \cdots L_{n+m}^{t_{m+1}} \right)}{\varphi(n)}.$$

We claim that

$$t_k \leq Z < \infty,$$

where $k = \min\{0 \leq i \leq m : t_i \neq 0\}$. In fact, by the first part of (3.4) and (3.6), we can check that

$$Z \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log L_{n+k}^{t_k}}{\varphi(n)} \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log L_n^{t_k}}{\varphi(n)} = t_k.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \left(L_n^{t_0} L_{n+1}^{t_1} \cdots L_{n+m}^{t_{m+1}} \right)}{\varphi(n)} &\stackrel{(3.4)}{\leq} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(t_0 + t_1(B + \epsilon) + \cdots + t_{m+1}(B + \epsilon))^m \log L_n}{\varphi(n)} \\ &\stackrel{(3.6)}{=} t_0 + t_1(B + \epsilon) + \cdots + t_{m+1}(B + \epsilon)^m < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Step II. We use the sequence $\{L_j\}_{j \geq 1}$ and Z to construct a subset of $\underline{E}(\{t_i\}_{i=0}^m, \varphi)$. Define

$$E(\{L_n\}_{n \geq 1}) = \left\{ x \in [0, 1) : \lfloor L_n^{\frac{1}{Z}} \rfloor \leq a_n(x) < 2 \lfloor L_n^{\frac{1}{Z}} \rfloor, \text{ for any } n \geq 1 \right\}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \left(a_n^{t_0} a_{n+1}^{t_1} \cdots a_{n+m}^{t_{m+1}} \right)}{\varphi(n)} &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \left(L_n^{\frac{t_0}{Z}} L_{n+1}^{\frac{t_1}{Z}} \cdots L_{n+m}^{\frac{t_{m+1}}{Z}} \right)}{\varphi(n)} \\ &= \frac{1}{Z} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \left(L_n^{t_0} L_{n+1}^{t_1} \cdots L_{n+m}^{t_{m+1}} \right)}{\varphi(n)} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $E(\{L_n\}_{n \geq 1}) \subset \underline{E}(\{t_i\}_{i=0}^m, \varphi)$. Since $\varphi(n)/n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, by the second part of (3.4), we see that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(L_1 L_2 \cdots L_n)}{n} = \infty.$$

By Lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$\dim_{\text{H}} \underline{E}(\{t_i\}_{i=0}^m, \varphi) \geq \dim_{\text{H}} E(\{L_n\}_{n \geq 1}) = \frac{1}{2 + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log L_{n+1}}{\log(L_1 L_2 \cdots L_n)}} \stackrel{(3.5)}{\geq} \frac{1}{B + 1 + \epsilon}.$$

Taking $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we conclude

$$\dim_{\text{H}} \underline{E}(\{t_i\}_{i=0}^m, \varphi) \geq \frac{1}{B + 1}.$$

□

4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section, we give a proof of Theorem 1.2. We adopt the strategies in [19]. The proof of the theorem splits into two parts: finding the upper bound and the lower bound separately.

Upper bound: For $x \in \overline{E}(\{t_i\}_{i=0}^m, \varphi)$, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exist infinitely many n such that

$$a_n^{t_0}(x) a_{n+1}^{t_1}(x) \cdots a_{n+m}^{t_m}(x) \geq e^{(1-\epsilon)\varphi(n)}.$$

Then by [2, Theorem 1.5],

$$\dim_{\mathbb{H}} \overline{E}_m(\{t_i\}_{i=0}^m, \varphi) \leq \frac{1}{1+b}.$$

Lower bound: We construct a suitable Cantor subset of $\overline{E}(\{t_i\}_{i=0}^m, \varphi)$ in two steps.

Step I. We will construct a sequence $\{c_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ of positive real numbers such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(c_n^{t_0} c_{n+1}^{t_1} \cdots c_{n+m}^{t_m})}{\varphi(n)} = 1,$$

and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log c_{n+1}}{\log(c_1 c_2 \cdots c_n)} \leq b + \epsilon - 1.$$

For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\Phi(n) = \min_{k \geq n} \varphi(k)$. Since $\varphi(n) \rightarrow \infty$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\Phi(n)$ is well defined.

Thus, $\Phi(n) \leq \varphi(n)$, $\Phi(n) \leq \Phi(n+1)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We claim that

$$\Phi(n) = \varphi(n), \text{ infinitely many } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

If not, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $n \geq N$, $\Phi(n) < \varphi(n)$. Then for $n \geq N$, $\Phi(n) < \min_{k \geq n} \varphi(k)$, which contradicts to the definition of $\Phi(n)$.

We define a sequence $\{c_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 = c_2 = \cdots = c_m = 1, \quad c_{m+1}^{t_m} &= e^{\Phi(1)}, \\ c_{n+m}^{t_m} &= \min \left\{ \frac{e^{\Phi(n)}}{c_n^{t_0} c_{n+1}^{t_1} \cdots c_{n+m-1}^{t_{m-1}}}, (c_1 c_2 \cdots c_{n+m-1})^{t_m(b+\epsilon-1)} \right\}, \text{ for } n \geq 2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

Since $(t_0, t_1, \dots, t_m) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{m+1}$ with $0 < t_0 \leq t_1 \leq \cdots \leq t_m$ and Φ is nondecreasing, we have $c_n \geq 1$ for all $n \geq 1$. Thus

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log c_{n+1}}{\log(c_1 c_2 \cdots c_n)} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(c_1 c_2 \cdots c_n)^{b+\epsilon-1}}{\log(c_1 c_2 \cdots c_n)} = b + \epsilon - 1. \quad (4.2)$$

We also claim that

$$c_{n+m}^{t_m} = \frac{e^{\varphi(n)}}{c_n^{t_0} c_{n+1}^{t_1} \cdots c_{n+m-1}^{t_{m-1}}} \text{ for infinitely many } n. \quad (4.3)$$

In order to prove (4.3), we first show that

$$c_{n+m}^{t_m} = \frac{e^{\Phi(n)}}{c_n^{t_0} c_{n+1}^{t_1} \cdots c_{n+m-1}^{t_{m-1}}} \text{ for infinitely many } n. \quad (4.4)$$

If not, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $n \geq N$,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} c_{n+m} = (c_1 c_2 \cdots c_{n+m-1})^{b+\epsilon-1} \\ \frac{e^{\Phi(n)}}{c_n^{t_0} c_{n+1}^{t_1} \cdots c_{n+m-1}^{t_{m-1}}} > (c_1 c_2 \cdots c_{n+m-1})^{t_m(b+\epsilon-1)}. \end{array} \right. \quad (4.5)$$

Then

$$\begin{cases} c_{n+m} = c_{n+m-1}^{b+\epsilon} \\ e^{\Phi(n)} > (c_1 c_2 \cdots c_{n+m-1})^{b+\epsilon-1} c_n^{t_0} c_{n+1}^{t_1} \cdots c_{n+m-1}^{t_{m-1}} > (c_1 c_2 \cdots c_{n+m-1})^{t_m(b+\epsilon-1)}. \end{cases} \quad (4.6)$$

Therefore, by (4.5) and (4.6)

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{k=1}^n c_k &= \left(\prod_{k=1}^{N+m-1} c_k \right) \cdot c_{N+m} \cdot c_{N+m+1} \cdots c_n \\ &= \left(\prod_{k=1}^{N+m-1} c_k \right) \cdot \left(\prod_{k=1}^{N+m-1} c_k \right)^{b+\epsilon-1} \cdot c_{N+m+1} \cdots c_n \\ &= \left(\prod_{k=1}^{N+m-1} c_k \right) \cdot \left(\prod_{k=1}^{N+m-1} c_k \right)^{b+\epsilon-1} \cdot \left(\prod_{k=1}^{N+m-1} c_k \right)^{(b+\epsilon-1)(b+\epsilon)} \\ &\quad \cdots \left(\prod_{k=1}^{N+m-1} c_k \right)^{(b+\epsilon-1)(b+\epsilon)^{n-N-m}} \\ &= \left(\prod_{k=1}^{N+m-1} c_k \right)^{(b+\epsilon)^{n-N-m+1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Combining (4.6) with (4.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Phi(n+1)}{n+1} &> \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log (c_1 c_2 \cdots c_{n+m})^{t_m(b+\epsilon-1)}}{n+1} \\ &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log \left(\prod_{k=1}^{N+m-1} c_k \right)^{t_m(b+\epsilon-1)(b+\epsilon)^{n-N+1}}}{n+1} = \log(b+\epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(n+1)}{n+1} \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Phi(n+1)}{n+1} > \log(b+\epsilon) > \log b,$$

which contradicts to $\log b = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(n)}{n}$.

Now we begin to prove (4.3). If the equality (4.4) holds for some n such that $\Phi(n) \neq \varphi(n)$, then $\Phi(n) = \Phi(n+1)$, and the equality (4.4) holds for $n+1$, since

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{e^{\Phi(n+1)}}{c_{n+1}^{t_0} c_{n+2}^{t_1} \cdots c_{n+m}^{t_{m-1}}} &= \frac{e^{\Phi(n)}}{c_{n+1}^{t_0} c_{n+2}^{t_1} \cdots c_{n+m}^{t_{m-1}}} = c_n^{t_0} c_{n+1}^{t_1-t_0} \cdots c_{n+m}^{t_m-t_{m-1}} \\ &\leq (c_1 c_2 \cdots c_{n-1})^{t_0(b+\epsilon-1)} (c_1 c_2 \cdots c_n)^{(t_1-t_0)(b+\epsilon-1)} \\ &\quad \cdots (c_1 c_2 \cdots c_{n+m-1})^{(t_m-t_{m-1})(b+\epsilon-1)} \\ &= (c_1 c_2 \cdots c_{n-1})^{t_m(b+\epsilon-1)} c_n^{(t_m-t_0)(b+\epsilon-1)} \cdots c_{n+m-1}^{(t_m-t_{m-1})(b+\epsilon-1)} \\ &< (c_1 c_2 \cdots c_{n+m-1})^{t_m(b+\epsilon-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

By the fact that $\Phi(n) = \varphi(n)$ for infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we can repeat this argument until we get to some $n+k$ such that $\Phi(n+k) = \varphi(n+k)$. Then the desired result is obtained.

Combining (4.1) with (4.3), we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(c_n^{t_0} c_{n+1}^{t_1} \cdots c_{n+m}^{t_m})}{\varphi(n)} = 1. \quad (4.8)$$

Step II. We use the sequence $\{c_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ to construct a subset of $\overline{E}(\{t_i\}_{i=0}^m, \varphi)$.

By $\varphi(n)/n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we choose an increasing sequence $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ such that for each $k \geq 1$

$$\frac{\varphi(n)}{n} \geq k^2, \text{ when } n \geq n_k.$$

Let $\alpha_n = 2$ if $1 \leq n < n_1$ and

$$\alpha_n = k + 1, \text{ when } n_k \leq n < n_{k+1}.$$

For any $n \geq 1$, there exists $k(n)$ such that $n_{k(n)} \leq n + m < n_{k(n)+1}$. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(\alpha_n^{t_0} \alpha_{n+1}^{t_1} \cdots \alpha_{n+m}^{t_m})}{\varphi(n)} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(t_0 + \cdots + t_m) \log(k(n) + 1)}{nk(n)^2} = 0, \quad (4.9)$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \alpha_{n+1}}{\log(\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_n)} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(n+1)}{n \log 2} = 0. \quad (4.10)$$

For any $n \geq 1$, take $s_n = c_n + \alpha_n$. Then we have $s_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Define

$$E(\{s_n\}_{n \geq 1}) = \{x \in [0, 1) : \lfloor s_n \rfloor \leq a_n(x) < 2 \lfloor s_n \rfloor, \text{ for any } n \geq 1\}.$$

Since $c_n \geq 1$ and $\alpha_n \geq 2$ for all $n \geq 1$, we can check that for any $n \geq 1$

$$\log c_n \leq \log s_n \leq \log c_n + 2 \log \alpha_n.$$

Combining (4.8), (4.9), (4.10), we get

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(s_n^{t_0} s_{n+1}^{t_1} \cdots s_{n+m}^{t_m})}{\varphi(n)} = 1.$$

So $E(\{s_n\}_{n \geq 1}) \subset \overline{E}(\{t_i\}_{i=0}^m, \varphi)$. Applying Lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$\dim_{\text{H}} \overline{E}(\{t_i\}_{i=0}^m, \varphi) \geq \dim_{\text{H}} E(\{s_n\}_{n \geq 1}) = \frac{1}{2 + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log s_{n+1}}{\log(s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n)}} \stackrel{(4.2)}{\geq} \frac{1}{b + 1 + \epsilon}.$$

Therefore,

$$\dim_{\text{H}} \overline{E}(\{t_i\}_{i=0}^m, \varphi) \geq \frac{1}{b + 1}.$$

Acknowledgements

A. Bakhtawar is supported by the Australian Research Council Discovery Project (ARC Grant DP180100201) and J. Feng is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC Grant No. 11901204). J. Feng would like to thank China Scholarship Council financial support (No. 202106160053). The authors are grateful to Professor Lingmin Liao for helpful discussions.

References

References

- [1] A. Bakhtawar. Hausdorff dimension for the set of points connected with the generalized Jarník-Besicovitch set. *J. Aust. Math. Soc.*, 112(1):1–29, 2022.
- [2] A. Bakhtawar, M. Hussain, D. Kleinbock, and B.-W. Wang. Metrical properties for the weighted products of multiple partial quotients in continued fractions. *Pre-Print: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2202.11212>*, 2022.
- [3] F. Bernstein. Über eine Anwendung der Mengenlehre auf ein aus der Theorie der säkularen Störungen herrührendes Problem. *Math. Ann.*, 71(3):417–439, 1911.
- [4] E. Borel. Sur un problème de probabilités relatif aux fractions continues. *Math. Ann.*, 72(4):578–584, 1912.
- [5] H. Davenport and W.-M. Schmidt. Dirichlet’s theorem on diophantine approximation. In *Symposia Mathematica, Vol. IV (INDAM, Rome, 1968/69)*, pages 113–132. Academic Press, London, 1970.
- [6] A. Fan, L.-M. Liao, B.-W. Wang, and J. Wu. On the fast Khintchine spectrum in continued fractions. *Monatsh. Math.*, 171(3-4):329–340, 2013.
- [7] A.-H. Fan, L.-M. Liao, B.-W. Wang, and J. Wu. On Khintchine exponents and Lyapunov exponents of continued fractions. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 29(1):73–109, 2009.
- [8] L.-L. Fang, J. Ma, and K.-K. Song. Some exceptional sets of Borel-Bernstein theorem in continued fractions. *Ramanujan J.*, 56(3):891–909, 2021.
- [9] D.-J. Feng, J. Wu, J.-C. Liang, and S. Tseng. Appendix to the paper by T. Łuczak—a simple proof of the lower bound: “On the fractional dimension of sets of continued fractions”. *Mathematika*, 44(1):54-55, 1997.
- [10] J. Feng and J. Xu. Sets of Dirichlet non-improvable numbers with certain order in the theory of continued fractions. *Nonlinearity*, 34(3):1598–1611, 2021.
- [11] I.-J. Good. The fractional dimensional theory of continued fractions. *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 37:199–228, 1941.
- [12] L.-L. Huang and J. Wu. Uniformly non-improvable Dirichlet set via continued fractions. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 147(11):4617–4624, 2019.
- [13] L.-L. Huang, J. Wu, and J. Xu. Metric properties of the product of consecutive partial quotients in continued fractions. *Israel J. Math.*, 238(2):901–943, 2020.
- [14] V. Jarník. Zur Theorie der diophantischen Approximationen. *Monatsh. Math. Phys.*, 39(1):403–438, 1932.
- [15] A.-Y. Khintchine. Continued Fractions. *University of Chicago Press, Chicago, London*, 1964.

- [16] D. Kleinbock and N. Wadleigh. A zero-one law for improvements to Dirichlet's Theorem. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 146(5):1833–1844, 2018.
- [17] T. Łuczak. On the fractional dimension of sets of continued fractions. *Mathematika*, 44(1):50–53, 1997.
- [18] L.-M. Liao and M. Rams. Subexponentially increasing sums of partial quotients in continued fraction expansions. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 160(3):401–412, 2016.
- [19] L.-M. Liao and M. Rams. Upper and lower fast Khintchine spectra in continued fractions. *Monatsh. Math.*, 180(1):65–81, 2016.
- [20] B.-W. Wang and J. Wu. Hausdorff dimension of certain sets arising in continued fraction expansions. *Adv. Math.*, 218(5):1319–1339, 2008.
- [21] L.-L. Zhang. Set of extremely Dirichlet non-improvable points. *Fractals*, 28(02):2050034, 2020.