

HYPERINVARIANT SUBSPACES FOR SETS OF POLYNOMIALLY COMPACT OPERATORS

J. BRAČIĆ AND M. KANDIĆ

ABSTRACT. We prove the existence of a non-trivial hyperinvariant subspace for several sets of polynomially compact operators. The main results of the paper are:

- (i) a non-trivial norm closed algebra $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ which consists of polynomially compact quasinilpotent operators has a non-trivial hyperinvariant subspace;
- (ii) if there exists a non-zero compact operator in the norm closure of the algebra generated by an operator band \mathcal{S} , then \mathcal{S} has a non-trivial hyperinvariant subspace.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{X} be a complex Banach space. Denote by $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ the algebra of all bounded linear operators on \mathcal{X} . A closed subspace $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ is said to be invariant for an operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ if $T\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$. Let $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ be a non-empty set of operators. Then \mathcal{M} is an invariant subspace of \mathcal{S} if it is invariant for every operator in \mathcal{S} . If \mathcal{M} is invariant for every operator in \mathcal{S} and for every operator in the commutant $\mathcal{S}' = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}); TS = ST \text{ for every } S \in \mathcal{S}\}$, then it is a hyperinvariant subspace of \mathcal{S} . Of course, the trivial subspaces $\{0\}$ and \mathcal{X} are (hyper)invariant for any set of operators. We are interested in the existence of non-trivial invariant and hyperinvariant subspaces. The problem of existence of invariant and hyperinvariant subspaces for a given operator or a non-empty set of operators is an extensively studied topic in operator theory. The problem is solved in the finite-dimensional setting by Burnside's theorem (see [14, Theorem 1.2.2]). In the context of infinite-dimensional Banach spaces, the problem is open for reflexive Banach spaces, in particular, for the infinite-dimensional separable Hilbert space. However, there are some Banach spaces for which we know either that every operator has a non-trivial invariant subspace or that there exist operators without it. For instance, Argyros and Haydon [1] have proved the existence of an infinite-dimensional Banach spaces \mathcal{X} such that every operator in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ is of the form $\lambda I + K$, where I is the identity operator and K is compact. It follows, by the celebrated von Neumann-Aronszajn-Smith theorem [2]

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 47A15, 47B07 Secondary: 47L10, 47B10.

Key words and phrases. Polynomially compact operator; hyperinvariant subspace.

and Lomonosov's theorem [12], that any operator in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ has a non-trivial invariant subspace and any non-scalar operator in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ has a non-trivial hyperinvariant subspace. On the other hand, several examples of Banach spaces (including ℓ_1) with operators without a non-trivial invariant subspace are known (see [3, 15] for the first examples and [6] for a general approach to Read's type constructions of operators without non-trivial invariant closed subspaces).

With the von Neumann-Aronszajn-Smith theorem and Lomonosov's theorem in mind it is not a surprise that suitable compactness conditions imply existence of non-trivial invariant and hyperinvariant subspaces for different classes of operators and sets of operators. For instance, Shulman [16, Theorem 2] proved that an algebra of operators whose radical contains a non-zero compact operator has a non-trivial hyperinvariant subspace. Turovskii [17, Corollary 5] extended this result to semigroups of quasinilpotent operators. Another type of results are those related to triangularizability of a set of operators. Recall that a non-empty set $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ is triangularizable if there exists a chain \mathcal{C} which is maximal as a chain of subspaces of \mathcal{X} and every subspace in \mathcal{C} is invariant for all operators in \mathcal{S} . Every commutative set of compact operators is triangularizable (see [14, Theorem 7.2.1]). Konvalinka [10, Corollary 2.6] has extended this result by showing that a commuting family of polynomially compact operators is triangularizable. Another result in this direction, obtained by the second author [9], says that for a norm closed subalgebra $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ of power compact operators the following assertions are equivalent: (a) \mathcal{A} is triangularizable; (b) the Jacobson radical $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{A})$ consists precisely of quasinilpotent operators in \mathcal{A} ; (c) the quotient algebra $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{A})$ is commutative.

The aim of this paper is to consider the problem of existence of a non-trivial hyperinvariant subspace for sets of polynomially compact operators. For instance, we prove (Theorem 3.5) that a non-trivial norm closed algebra $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ which consists of polynomially compact quasinilpotent operators has a non-trivial hyperinvariant subspace. Another result (Theorem 4.3) which we mention here is related to operator bands, that is, to semigroups of idempotent operators. It says that an operator band \mathcal{S} has a non-trivial hyperinvariant subspace if there exists a non-zero compact operator in the norm closure of the algebra generated by \mathcal{S} .

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Notation. Let \mathcal{X} be a non-trivial complex Banach space. Since the results proved in this paper are either trivial or well-known when \mathcal{X} is finite-dimensional we always assume that $\dim(\mathcal{X}) = \infty$. Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ denote the Banach algebra of all

bounded linear operators on \mathcal{X} and let $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{X}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ be the ideal of compact operators. The identity operator is denoted by I and an operator is said to be scalar if it is a scalar multiple of I . The norm closure of a set $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ is denoted by $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$.

For two operators $S_1, S_2 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$, we denote their commutator $S_1S_2 - S_2S_1$ by $[S_1, S_2]$. A non-empty set $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ is commutative if any two operators from \mathcal{S} commute, that is, $[S_1, S_2] = 0$ for all $S_1, S_2 \in \mathcal{S}$. Similarly, \mathcal{S} is essentially commutative if $[S_1, S_2] \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{X})$. For an arbitrary non-empty subset $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ the commutant of \mathcal{S} is $\mathcal{S}' = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}); [T, S] = 0, \forall S \in \mathcal{S}\}$. It is clear that \mathcal{S}' is a closed subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$. If \mathcal{S} is commutative, then $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{S}'$.

2.2. Invariant subspaces. A non-empty subset $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ is a subspace if it is a closed linear manifold. It is said that a subspace \mathcal{M} of \mathcal{X} is invariant for operator T if $T\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$. An invariant subspace \mathcal{M} is non-trivial if $\{0\} \neq \mathcal{M} \neq \mathcal{X}$. A non-empty set $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ is reducible if there exists a non-trivial subspace $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ which is invariant for every $T \in \mathcal{S}$. If there exists a chain \mathcal{C} which is maximal as a chain of subspaces of \mathcal{X} and every subspace in \mathcal{C} is invariant for all operators in \mathcal{S} , then \mathcal{S} is said to be triangularizable.

If a subspace \mathcal{M} is invariant for every operator T in a set \mathcal{S} and in its commutant \mathcal{S}' , then \mathcal{M} is said to be a hyperinvariant subspace for \mathcal{S} .

Next theorem (see Assertion in the end of [12]) is one of the deepest results in the theory of invariant subspaces.

Theorem 2.1 (Lomonosov). *Every non-scalar operator which commutes with a non-zero compact operator has a non-trivial hyperinvariant subspace.*

The proof of Theorem 2.1 relies on the following useful lemma.

Lemma 2.2 (Lomonosov's Lemma). *Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ be an algebra. If \mathcal{A} does not have a non-trivial invariant subspace, then for every non-zero compact operator $K \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ there exist an operator $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and a non-zero vector $x \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $AKx = x$.*

2.3. Spectral radius. The spectrum of an operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ is denoted by $\sigma(T)$ and the spectral radius of T is $\rho(T) = \max\{|z|; z \in \sigma(T)\}$. By the spectral radius formula (Gelfand's formula), $\rho(T) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T\|^{\frac{1}{n}}$. An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ is quasinilpotent if $\rho(T) = 0$. A compact quasinilpotent operator is called a Volterra operator.

Let \mathcal{F} be a non-empty set of operators in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{F}^{(n)} = \{T_1 \cdots T_n; T_1, \dots, T_n \in \mathcal{F}\}$. By $\|\mathcal{F}\| = \sup\{\|T\|; T \in \mathcal{F}\}$ we denote the joint norm

of \mathcal{F} and by $\rho(\mathcal{F})$ we denote the joint spectral radius of \mathcal{F} defined as

$$\rho(\mathcal{F}) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathcal{F}^{(n)}\|^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

A subalgebra $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ is said to be finitely quasiniipotent if $\rho(\mathcal{F}) = 0$ for every finite subset \mathcal{F} of \mathcal{A} . By [16, Theorem 1], every subalgebra $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ of Volterra operators is finitely quasiniipotent.

2.4. Polynomially compact operators. An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ is polynomially compact if there exists a non-zero complex polynomial p such that $p(T)$ is a compact operator. In particular, algebraic operators (nilpotents, idempotents etc.) are polynomially compact. Hence, T is polynomially compact if and only if $\pi(T)$, where $\pi: \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})/\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{X})$ is the quotient projection, is an algebraic element in the Calkin algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})/\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{X})$. If T^n is compact for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then T is said to be power compact. For a polynomially compact operator T , there exists a unique monic polynomial m_T of the smallest degree such that $m_T(T)$ is a compact operator. The polynomial m_T is called the minimal polynomial of T . The following is the structure theorem for polynomially compact operators proved by Gilfeather [4, Theorem 1].

Theorem 2.3. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ be a polynomially compact operator with minimal polynomial $m_T(z) = (z - \lambda_1)^{n_1} \cdots (z - \lambda_k)^{n_k}$. Then there exist invariant subspaces $\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_k$ for T such that $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{X}_k$ and $T = T_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus T_k$, where T_i is the restriction of T to \mathcal{X}_i . The operators $(T_j - \lambda_j I_j)^{n_j}$ are all compact.*

The spectrum of T consists of countably many points with $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k\}$ as the only possible limit points and such that all but possibly $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k\}$ are eigenvalues with finite dimensional generalized eigenspaces. Each point λ_j ($j = 1, \dots, k$) is either the limit of eigenvalues of T or else \mathcal{X}_j is infinite dimensional and $T_j - \lambda_j I_j$ is a quasiniipotent operator on \mathcal{X}_j .

Corollary 2.4. *A quasiniipotent operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ is polynomially compact if and only if it is power compact.*

Proof. It is clear that every power compact operator is polynomially compact. On the other hand, if T is a polynomially compact and quasiniipotent, then $\sigma(T) = \{0\}$ and therefore $m_T(z) = z^n$ for a positive integer n , by Theorem 2.3, that is, T is power compact. \square

2.5. Algebras and ideals. For a non-empty set of operators $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$, let $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ be the subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ generated by \mathcal{S} and let $\mathcal{A}_1(\mathcal{S})$ be the subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ generated by \mathcal{S} and I . By $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{S})$ we denote the algebra which is generated by \mathcal{S} and

its commutant \mathcal{S}' . We will call it the *hyperalgebra* of \mathcal{S} . If \mathcal{S} is a semigroup, then an operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ is in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{S})$ if and only if there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and operators $S_1, \dots, S_n \in \mathcal{S}$ and $T_0, T_1, \dots, T_n \in \mathcal{S}'$ such that

$$T = T_0 + S_1 T_1 + \dots + S_n T_n = T_0 + T_1 S_1 + \dots + T_n S_n.$$

Here we used the fact that $I \in \mathcal{S}'$. Since $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{S})$ and $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ is the smallest algebra which contains \mathcal{S} we have $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S}) \subseteq \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{S})$. On the other hand, it is obvious that $\mathcal{S}' = \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})'$ and therefore $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})' \subseteq \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{S})$. We conclude that the hyperalgebra of \mathcal{S} is generated by $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ and $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})'$, that is, $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S}))$.

If \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} are non-empty subsets of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$, then let $\mathcal{M} + \mathcal{N} = \{M + N; M \in \mathcal{M}, N \in \mathcal{N}\}$ and let \mathcal{MN} be the set of all finite sums $M_1 N_1 + \dots + M_k N_k$, where $M_i \in \mathcal{M}$ and $N_i \in \mathcal{N}$ for each $i = 1, \dots, k$. Hence, if \mathcal{A} is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$, then its hyperalgebra is $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A}' + \mathcal{AA}' = \mathcal{A}' + \mathcal{A}'\mathcal{A}$.

If \mathcal{J} is an ideal in an algebra $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$, then we will denote by $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{H}}$ the ideal in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ generated by \mathcal{J} .

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ be an algebra. If $\mathcal{J} \triangleleft \mathcal{A}$, then $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{A}'\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}\mathcal{A}'$.*

Proof. From equalities $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{J} + \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})\mathcal{J} + \mathcal{J}\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A}) + \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})\mathcal{J}\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A}' + \mathcal{A}'\mathcal{A}$ we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{H}} &= \mathcal{J} + \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})\mathcal{J} + \mathcal{J}\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A}) + \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})\mathcal{J}\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A}) \\ &= \mathcal{J} + (\mathcal{A}' + \mathcal{A}'\mathcal{A})\mathcal{J} + \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{A}' + \mathcal{A}'\mathcal{A}) + (\mathcal{A}' + \mathcal{A}'\mathcal{A})\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{A}' + \mathcal{A}'\mathcal{A}) \\ &\subseteq \mathcal{J} + \mathcal{A}'\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{A}'\mathcal{J} \end{aligned}$$

as \mathcal{A}' contains the identity operator. On the other hand, since we also have $\mathcal{A}'\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{H}}$, we obtain the equality $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{A}'\mathcal{J}$. \square

An algebra \mathcal{A} over an arbitrary field is said to be a nil-algebra if every element of \mathcal{A} is nilpotent. A nil-algebra \mathcal{A} is of bounded nil-index if there exists a positive integer n such that $x^n = 0$ for each $x \in \mathcal{A}$. If there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a_1 \cdots a_n = 0$ for all $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{A}$, then \mathcal{A} is said to be a nilpotent algebra. By the celebrated Nagata-Higman theorem (see [13] and [8]), every nil-algebra of bounded nil-index is nilpotent.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ be an algebra. An ideal $\mathcal{J} \triangleleft \mathcal{A}$ is nilpotent if and only if $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{H}} \triangleleft \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ is nilpotent. The nilpotency indices of \mathcal{J} and $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{H}}$ are equal.*

Proof. Since \mathcal{A}' and \mathcal{J} commute, an easy induction shows that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $(\mathcal{A}'\mathcal{J})^n = \mathcal{A}'\mathcal{J}^n$. Hence, if $\mathcal{J}^n = \{0\}$, then $(\mathcal{A}'\mathcal{J})^n = \{0\}$, as well. If $(\mathcal{A}'\mathcal{J})^n = \{0\}$, then $\mathcal{J}^n \subseteq \mathcal{A}'\mathcal{J}^n = (\mathcal{A}'\mathcal{J})^n = \{0\}$ yields that $\mathcal{J}^n = \{0\}$. \square

If \mathcal{J} is a nil-ideal of bounded nil-index, then \mathcal{J} is nilpotent by the Nagata-Higman theorem. This immediately implies that \mathcal{J}_h is nilpotent. In particular, if \mathcal{A} is a nilpotent algebra, then $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}'$ is a nilpotent ideal in the hyperalgebra $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$.

3. HYPERINVARIANT SUBSPACES OF ALGEBRAS OF POLYNOMIALLY COMPACT OPERATORS

The simplest polynomially compact operators which are not necessary compact are algebraic operators, in particular nilpotent operators. Hadwin et al. [7, Corollary 4.2] proved that a norm closed algebra of nilpotent operators on the separable infinite-dimensional complex Hilbert space is triangularizable. The following proposition shows that a norm closed algebra of nilpotent operators on an arbitrary complex Banach space has a non-trivial hyperinvariant subspace.

Proposition 3.1. *If a norm closed subalgebra $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ consists of nilpotent operators, then its hyperalgebra $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ is reducible.*

Proof. By Grabiner's Theorem [5], \mathcal{A} is a nilpotent algebra, that is, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that an arbitrary product of at least n operators from \mathcal{A} is the zero operator. Let n_0 be the smallest positive integer with this property. Since $\mathcal{A} \neq \{0\}$ we have $n_0 > 1$. There exist operators $A_1, \dots, A_{n_0-1} \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $A_0 := A_1, \dots, A_{n_0-1} \neq 0$. Note that $A_0 T = T A_0 = 0$ for every operator $T \in \mathcal{A}$, that is, $\mathcal{A} \subseteq (A_0)'$, where $(A_0)'$ is the commutant of A_0 . It is clear that $\mathcal{A}' \subseteq (A_0)'$. Hence, $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq (A_0)'$. Since $A_0 \neq 0$ the kernel $\ker(A_0)$ is a non-trivial subspace of \mathcal{X} and it is hyperinvariant for A_0 . It follows that $\ker(A_0)$ is a non-trivial hyperinvariant subspace for \mathcal{A} , that is, $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ is reducible. \square

The proof of Proposition 3.1 reveals the following result.

Corollary 3.2. *If $\{0\} \neq \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ is a nilpotent algebra, then it has a non-trivial hyperinvariant subspace.*

Hadwin et al. have constructed a semi-simple algebra of nilpotent operators on a separable Hilbert space such that for each pair (x, y) of vectors, where $x \neq 0$, there exists an operator $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $Ax = y$ (see [7, Section 4]). Hence, Proposition 3.1 (and consequently Theorem 3.5 below) does not hold, in general, for non-closed algebras. However, as the following example shows there are simple special cases when a not necessarily closed algebra generated by a set of nilpotents has a non-trivial hyperinvariant subspace.

Example 3.3. The idea for this example is from [7, Theorem 4.3] where quadratic nilpotent operators are considered. Choose and fix $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ be a non-empty set of operators such that $A^2 = \lambda A$ for every $A \in \mathcal{S}$. If \mathcal{S} is a linear manifold and contains a non-scalar operator, then $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ has a non-trivial hyperinvariant subspace. To see this, choose a non-scalar operator $A \in \mathcal{S}$. Then its kernel $\ker(A)$ is a non-trivial hyperinvariant subspace for A . Since $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})' \subseteq (A)'$ we see that $\ker(A)$ is invariant for every operator from $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})'$. Let $B \in \mathcal{S}$ be arbitrary. It follows from $(A + B)^2 = \lambda(A + B)$ that $AB = -BA$. Hence, $ABx = -BAx = 0$ for every $x \in \ker(A)$, that is, $\ker(A)$ is invariant for every $B \in \mathcal{S}$. We conclude that $\ker(A)$ is invariant for every operator from the hyperalgebra $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{S})$.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ be a non-trivial subalgebra. If there exists a non-trivial nilpotent ideal $\mathcal{J} \triangleleft \mathcal{A}$, then \mathcal{A} has a non-trivial hyperinvariant subspace.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{J} be a non-trivial nilpotent ideal in $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$. Then, by Lemma 2.6, the ideal $\mathcal{J}_\mathcal{H}$ which is generated by \mathcal{J} in the hyperalgebra $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ is nilpotent, as well. By Corollary 3.2 the ideal $\mathcal{J}_\mathcal{H}$ has a non-trivial hyperinvariant subspace, in particular, it is reducible. By [14, Lemma 7.4.6], $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ is reducible, as well. \square

The following two theorems show that an algebra \mathcal{A} of polynomially compact operators has a non-trivial hyperinvariant subspace if \mathcal{A} satisfies some additional condition. For instance, as we already mentioned, the key assumption in Theorem 3.5 is that the involved algebra is norm closed.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $\{0\} \neq \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ be a norm closed algebra. If every operator in \mathcal{A} is quasinilpotent and polynomially compact, then \mathcal{A} has a non-trivial hyperinvariant subspace.*

Proof. Note first that each operator in \mathcal{A} is power compact, by Corollary 2.4. If each operator in \mathcal{A} is nilpotent, then $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ is reducible, by Proposition 3.1. Assume therefore that there exists an operator $T \in \mathcal{A}$ which is not nilpotent. Since T is power compact there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $K = T^m \neq 0$ is compact. Let \mathcal{J} be the two-sided ideal in \mathcal{A}_1 generated by K . It is clear that $K \in \mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$. Hence, \mathcal{J} is an algebra of Volterra operators. By [16, Theorem 1], \mathcal{J} is finitely quasinilpotent. Let $A = B_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n A_i B_i$, where $A_i \in \mathcal{A}$ and $B_i \in \mathcal{A}'$, be an arbitrary operator in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ and let $\mathcal{M} = \{K, A_1 K, \dots, A_n K\}$. Since \mathcal{M} is a finite subset of \mathcal{J} it is a quasinilpotent set, that is, $\rho(\mathcal{M}) = 0$. Let $\mathcal{N} = \{B_0, B_1, \dots, B_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}'$. Since B_0, B_1, \dots, B_n commute with operators from \mathcal{M} we have $\rho(AK) \leq (n+1)\rho(\mathcal{M})\rho(\mathcal{N}) = 0$, by [16, Lemma 1]. This shows that operator AK is quasinilpotent for each $A \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$. It follows, by Lemma 2.2, that the hyperalgebra $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ is reducible. \square

Theorem 3.6. *Let $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ be an essentially commutative set of polynomially compact operators which contains at least one non-scalar operator. If \mathcal{S} is triangularizable, then $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ has a non-trivial closed hyperinvariant subspace.*

Proof. It is obvious that every closed subspace of \mathcal{X} which is invariant for \mathcal{S} is invariant for $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$, as well. Hence, $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ is triangularizable. Since \mathcal{S} consists of polynomially compact operators, the same holds for the algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$, by [10, Theorem 1.5]. Denote by $\pi(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S}))$ and $\pi(\mathcal{S})$ the image of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ and \mathcal{S} , respectively, in the Calkin algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})/\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{X})$. Since $\pi(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S}))$ is the subalgebra of the Calkin algebra generated by the commutative set $\pi(\mathcal{S})$ we see that $\pi(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S}))$ is commutative, as well. It follows that $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ is an essentially commutative subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$. By [9, Theorem 3.5], for an algebra of essentially commuting polynomially compact operators triangularizability of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ is equivalent to the fact that each commutator $[S, T]$, where $S, T \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$, is a quasinilpotent operator.

Suppose that there exist operators $S, T \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ such that the commutator $K := [S, T]$ is non-zero. Let \mathcal{J} be the ideal in $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ generated by K . Of course, \mathcal{J} consists of compact operators and, by Ringrose's Theorem (see [14, Theorem 7.2.3]), every operator in \mathcal{J} is quasinilpotent. Hence, \mathcal{J} is an algebra of Volterra operators. Let $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{H}}$ be the ideal in the hyperalgebra $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{S})$ generated by \mathcal{J} . It is clear that $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{H}} \subseteq \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{X})$. We claim that $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{H}}$ consists of quasinilpotent operators, as well. Choose an arbitrary operator $A \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{H}}$. By Lemma 2.5 there exist operators $J_1, \dots, J_n \in \mathcal{J}$ and operators $B_1, \dots, B_n \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})'$ such that $A = \sum_{i=1}^n J_i B_i$. By [16, Lemma 1], $\rho(A) \leq n\rho(\{J_1, \dots, J_n\})\rho(\{B_1, \dots, B_n\})$. Since each finite subset of an algebra of Volterra operators is quasinilpotent, by [16, Theorem 1], we have $\rho(\{J_1, \dots, J_n\}) = 0$ and consequently $\rho(A) = 0$. We have proved that $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{H}}$ is a non-trivial ideal of Volterra operators. By [16, Theorem 2], the hyperalgebra $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{S})$ is reducible.

Suppose now that $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ is commutative. Hence $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})'$. Let T be any non-scalar polynomially compact operator in $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ and let m_T be its minimal polynomial. Hence, $m_T(T)$ is a compact operator. If $m_T(T)$ is a non-zero compact operator, then it has a non-trivial hyperinvariant subspace \mathcal{Y} , by Lomonosov's Theorem. Since $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})' \subseteq (m_T(T))'$ subspace \mathcal{Y} is invariant for every operator in $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ and $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})'$. Thus, $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{S})$ is reducible. On the other hand, if $m_T(T) = 0$, then T is a non-scalar algebraic operator. Hence, for every $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$, the kernel $\ker(T - \lambda I)$ is a closed non-trivial hyperinvariant subspace for T , and consequently, for $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ as $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})' \subseteq (T)'$. \square

4. HYPERINVARIANT SUBSPACES FOR OPERATOR BANDS

An operator band on a Banach space \mathcal{X} is a (multiplicative) semigroup $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ of idempotents, that is, $S^2 = S$ for each $S \in \mathcal{S}$. A linear span of an operator band \mathcal{S} is the algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ called a band algebra. We will denote by $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{S})$ the set of all nilpotent operators in the band algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$. By [11, Theorem 5.2], $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{S})$ is the linear span of $[\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}]$, that is, $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{S}) = \{\sum_{i=1}^n [A_i, B_i]; n \in \mathbb{N}, A_i, B_i \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})\}$. By [11, Corollary 5.5], the set $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{S})$ of nilpotent operators in $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ coincides with the Jacobson radical $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S}))$ of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\{0\} \neq \mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ be an operator band. If $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{S})$ is a non-empty set, then the ideal $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{H}}$ in the hyperalgebra $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{S})$ generated by \mathcal{N} is a nil-ideal.*

Proof. Denote by \mathcal{J} the ideal in $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ generated by \mathcal{N} . We have already mentioned that $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})) = \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{S})$. Since the radical is an ideal, we have that $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S}))$. Hence, \mathcal{J} is a nil-ideal in $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$.

It is clear that \mathcal{N} and ideal \mathcal{J} generate the same ideal $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{H}}$ in the hyperalgebra $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{S})$. Let $A \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{H}}$ be arbitrary. By Lemma 2.5, there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $J_i \in \mathcal{J}$ and $B_i \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})'$ such that $A = \sum_{i=1}^n J_i B_i$. Let \mathcal{F} be a finite subset of \mathcal{S} such that J_1, \dots, J_n are contained in the algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F})$ generated by \mathcal{F} . Note that such finite sets exist because each J_i is of the form $p_i(S_1^{(i)}, \dots, S_{k_i}^{(i)})$, where p_i is a polynomial of k_i non-commuting variables and $S_1^{(i)}, \dots, S_{k_i}^{(i)}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) are idempotents from \mathcal{S} . Denote by \mathcal{S}_0 the operator band generated by \mathcal{F} . Thus, $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S}_0)$. Since \mathcal{F} is finite, the operator band \mathcal{S}_0 is finite, as well, by the Green-Rees theorem (see [14, Theorem 9.3.11]). Now we apply [14, Theorem 9.3.15] which says that there exists a finite chain $\{0\} = \mathcal{X}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{X}_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathcal{X}_m = \mathcal{X}$ of invariant subspaces for \mathcal{S}_0 such that for each $E \in \mathcal{S}_0$ the operator induced by E on $\mathcal{X}_i/\mathcal{X}_{i-1}$ is either zero or the identity operator. This implies that operator induced by J_i on $\mathcal{X}_j/\mathcal{X}_{j-1}$ is a scalar multiple of the identity operator. Since each J_i is nilpotent, every operator J_i induces the zero operator on $\mathcal{X}_j/\mathcal{X}_{j-1}$. Hence, for each i and each j we have $J_i(\mathcal{X}_j) \subseteq \mathcal{X}_{j-1}$. This implies that an arbitrary product of length at least m with letters from $\{J_1, \dots, J_n\}$ is zero. Now it is obvious that $A^m = (\sum_{i=1}^n J_i B_i)^m = 0$ as J_i and B_j commute. \square

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\{0\} \neq \mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ be an operator band. If $K \in \overline{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})}$ is a compact operator, then for each operator $A \in \overline{\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{S})}$ the commutator $[K, A]$ is in the Jacobson radical of $\overline{\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{S})}$, that is, $[K, \overline{\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{S})}] \subseteq \mathcal{R}(\overline{\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{S})})$.*

Proof. Let $K \in \overline{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})}$ be a compact operator and let $A \in \overline{\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{S})}$ be an arbitrary operator. In order to prove that the commutator $[K, A]$ is in $\mathcal{R}(\overline{\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{S})})$, we need to

show that $[K, A]C$ is quasinilpotent for each $C \in \overline{\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{S})}$. Since $[K, A]C$ is compact, the continuity of the spectral radius at compact operators yields that it suffices to prove that $[K, A]C$ is quasinilpotent for all $A, C \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{S})$.

Let $A, C \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{S})$ be arbitrary. Since K belongs to the closure of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ there is a sequence $(K_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ which converges to K . We claim that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the commutator $[K_n, A]$ is nilpotent and belongs to the ideal $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{S})_{\mathcal{H}}$ in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{S})$ generated by the Jacobson radical $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{S})$ of the band algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$. Since $A \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{S})$, there exist operators $A_1, \dots, A_k \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ and $B_0, \dots, B_k \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})'$ such that $A = B_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k A_i B_i$. It follows that $[K_n, A] = \sum_{i=1}^k [K_n, A_i] B_i$. Since every commutator $[K_n, A_i]$ is in $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{S})$ and $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{S}) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{S})_{\mathcal{H}}$ it follows $[K_n, A_i] \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{S})_{\mathcal{H}}$. Since $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{S})_{\mathcal{H}}$ is an ideal in the hyperalgebra $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{S})$ we have $[K_n, A]C \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{S})_{\mathcal{H}}$. By Proposition 4.1, the operator $[K_n, A]C$ is nilpotent. To finish the proof we apply the fact that the spectral radius is continuous at compact operators and that the compact operator $[K, A]C$ is the limit of the sequence $([K_n, A]C)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of nilpotent operators. \square

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ be an operator band. If there exists a non-zero compact operator in $\overline{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})}$, then \mathcal{S} has a non-trivial hyperinvariant subspace.*

Proof. Let K be a non-zero compact operator in $\overline{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})}$. Then, by Proposition 4.2, $[K, A]$ is a compact operator contained in the radical $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{S}))$ for each $A \in \overline{\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{S})}$. If $[K, A] = 0$ for every $A \in \overline{\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{S})}$, then $\overline{\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{S})} \subseteq (K)'$ and therefore every non-trivial hyperinvariant subspace of K is a non-trivial hyperinvariant subspace for $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$. Hence, we may assume that for some $A \in \overline{\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{S})}$ the commutator $[K, A]$ is a non-zero operator. Let \mathcal{J} be the ideal in $\overline{\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{S})}$ generated by $[K, A]$. Of course, each operator in \mathcal{J} is compact. Since, by Proposition 4.2, $[K, A]$ is in the Jacobson ideal of $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{S}))$ we have $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{S})[K, A]\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{S}) \subseteq \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{S})\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{S}))\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{S}) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{S}))$. It follows that operators in \mathcal{J} are quasinilpotent. Thus, \mathcal{J} is a Volterra ideal in $\overline{\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{S})}$. By [16, Theorem 2], \mathcal{J} is reducible. Now we apply [14, Lemma 7.4.6] and conclude that $\overline{\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{S})}$ is reducible. \square

Corollary 4.4. *Every essentially commuting non-scalar operator band $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ has a non-trivial hyperinvariant subspace.*

Proof. If \mathcal{S} is commutative, then $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{S}'$. In this case the kernel of any non-scalar operator from \mathcal{S} is invariant for each $S \in \mathcal{S}'$. If \mathcal{S} is not commutative, then there exist idempotents $E, F \in \mathcal{S}$ with a non-zero compact commutator $EF - FE \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$. The assertion follows, by Theorem 4.3. \square

Since every essentially commuting band of operators has an invariant subspace, an application of the Triangularization lemma (see [14, Lemma 7.1.11]) immediately implies the following result.

Corollary 4.5. *Every essentially commuting band of operators on a Banach space is triangularizable.*

Acknowledgments. The paper is a part of the project *Distinguished subspaces of a linear operator* and the work of the first author was partially supported by the Slovenian Research Agency through the research program P2-0268. The second author acknowledges financial support from the Slovenian Research Agency, Grants No. P1-0222, J1-2453 and J1-2454.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. A. Argyros, R. G. Haydon, *A hereditarily indecomposable \mathcal{L}_∞ -space that solves the scalar-plus-compact problem*, Acta Math. **54** (2011), 1–54.
- [2] N. Aronszajn, K. T. Smith, *Invariant Subspaces of Completely Continuous Operators*, Annals of Mathematics **60** (1954), 345–350.
- [3] P. Enflo, *On the invariant subspace problem for Banach spaces*, Acta Math. **158** (1987), 213–313.
- [4] F. Gilfeather, *The structure and asymptotic behavior of polynomially compact operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **25** (1970), 127–134.
- [5] S. Grabiner, *The nilpotency of Banach nil algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **21** (1969), 510.
- [6] S. Grivaux, M. Roginskaya, *A general approach to Read’s type constructions of operators without non-trivial invariant closed subspaces*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **109** (2014), 596–652.
- [7] D. Hadwin, E. Nordgren, M. Radjabalipour, H. Radjavi, P. Rosenthal, *On simultaneous triangularization of collections of operators*, Houston J. Math. **17** (1991), 581–602.
- [8] G. Higman, *On a conjecture of Nagata*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **52** (1956), 1–4.
- [9] M. Kandić, *On algebras of polynomially compact operators*, Linear Multilinear Algebra **64** (2016), no. 6, 1185–1196.
- [10] M. Konvalinka, *Triangularizability of Polynomially Compact Operators*, Integral Equations and Operator Theory **52** (2005), 271–284.
- [11] L. Livshits, G. MacDonald, B. Mathes, H. Radjavi, *On Band Algebras*, J. Operator Theory **46** (2001), 545–560.
- [12] V. I. Lomonosov, *Invariant subspaces for the family of operators which commute with a completely continuous operator*, Funct. Anal. Appl., **7** (1973), 213–214.
- [13] M. Nagata, *On the nilpotency of nil-algebras*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **4** (1952), 296–301.
- [14] H. Radjavi, P. Rosenthal, *Simultaneous Triangularization*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.
- [15] C. J. Read, *A solution to the invariant subspace problem*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **16** (1984), 337–401.
- [16] V. S. Shulman, *On invariant subspaces of Volterra operators*, Funk. Anal. i Prolozen. **18** (1984), 84–86 (in Russian).

- [17] Y. V. Turovskii, *Volterra Semigroups Have Invariant Subspaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **162** (1999), 313–322.

FACULTY OF NATURAL SCIENCES AND ENGINEERING, UNIVERSITY OF LJUBLJANA, AŠKERČEVA
CESTA 12 SI-1000 LJUBLJANA, SLOVENIJA

Email address: janko.bracic@ntf.uni-lj.si

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, UNIVERSITY OF LJUBLJANA, JADRANSKA ULICA
19, SI-1000 LJUBLJANA, SLOVENIJA

Email address: marko.kandic@fmf.uni-lj.si

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, PHYSICS AND MECHANICS, JADRANSKA ULICA 19, SI-1000
LJUBLJANA, SLOVENIJA

Email address: marko.kandic@fmf.uni-lj.si