

Bounds for the distribution of the Frobenius traces associated to products of non-CM elliptic curves

Alina Carmen Cojocaru and Tian Wang

ABSTRACT. Let $g \geq 1$ be an integer and let A/\mathbb{Q} be an abelian variety that is isogenous over \mathbb{Q} to a product of g elliptic curves defined over \mathbb{Q} , pairwise non-isogenous over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ and each without complex multiplication. For an integer t and a positive real number x , denote by $\pi_A(x, t)$ the number of primes $p \leq x$, of good reduction for A , for which the Frobenius trace $a_{1,p}(A)$ associated to the reduction of A modulo p equals t . Assuming the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis for Dedekind zeta functions, we prove that $\pi_A(x, 0) \ll_A x^{1-\frac{1}{3g+1}}/(\log x)^{1-\frac{2}{3g+1}}$ and $\pi_A(x, t) \ll_A x^{1-\frac{1}{3g+2}}/(\log x)^{1-\frac{2}{3g+2}}$ if $t \neq 0$. These bounds largely improve upon recent ones obtained for $g = 2$ by H. Chen, N. Jones, and V. Serban, and may be viewed as generalizations to arbitrary g of the bounds obtained for $g = 1$ by M.R. Murty, V.K. Murty, and N. Saradha, combined with a refinement in the power of $\log x$ by D. Zywinia. Under the same assumptions, we also prove the existence of a density one set of primes p satisfying $|a_{1,p}(A)| > p^{\frac{1}{3g+1}-\varepsilon}$ for any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$.

1. Introduction

A prominent open problem in arithmetic geometry, formulated by S. Lang and H. Trotter in the 1970s ([LaTr76, Part I]), concerns the distribution of the Frobenius traces associated to the reductions modulo primes of an elliptic curve defined over \mathbb{Q} and without complex multiplication. In recent years, this problem has been formulated in broader settings, such as that of abelian varieties (e.g., [CoDaSiSt17], [ChJoSe20], and [Ka09]). The goal of the present article is to provide upper bounds related to the distribution of the Frobenius traces defined by the product of non-isogenous elliptic curves defined over \mathbb{Q} and having no complex multiplication, as explained below.

Let $g \geq 1$ be an integer and let A/\mathbb{Q} be an abelian variety that is isogenous over \mathbb{Q} to a product of g elliptic curves defined over \mathbb{Q} , pairwise non-isogenous over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ and each without complex multiplication. Denote by N_A the conductor of A . For a prime $p \nmid N_A$, we write the characteristic polynomial of the

Key words and phrases: Elliptic curves, endomorphism rings, distribution of primes, sieve methods

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11G05, 11G20, 11N05 (Primary), 11N36, 11N37, 11N56 (Secondary)

A.C.C. was partially supported by a Collaboration Grant for Mathematicians from the Simons Foundation under Award No. 709008.

February 9, 2022.

Frobenius endomorphism acting on the reduction of A modulo p as

$$P_{A,p}(X) = X^{2g} + a_{1,p}(A)X^{2g-1} + \dots + a_{2g-1,p}(A)X + a_{2g,p}(A) \in \mathbb{Z}[X].$$

Then, for a fixed integer t , we study the counting function

$$\pi_A(x, t) := \#\{p \leq x : p \nmid N_A, a_{1,p}(A) = t\}.$$

When $g = 1$, the asymptotic behavior of $\pi_A(x, t)$ is predicted by the Lang-Trotter Conjecture on Frobenius traces (see [LaTr76, Part I, p. 33] for the original and [BaJo09, Conjectures 2-3, p. 435] for refined versions). Specifically, in [LaTr76], it is conjectured that there exists an explicit non-negative constant $C(A, t)$, which depends on the arithmetic of A and t , such that, as $x \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\pi_A(x, t) \sim C(A, t) \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\log x}.$$

When $g = 2$ and $t \neq 0$, a similar asymptotic formula is predicted by a conjecture of H. Chen, N. Jones and V. Serban (see [ChJoSe20, Conjecture 1.0.5, p. 3]). An analogous asymptotic behavior is expected to hold for arbitrary t and g in our given setting.

When $g = 1$, M.R. Murty, V.K. Murty and N. Saradha [MuMuSa88, Theorem, p. 254] built on the work of J-P. Serre [Se81, Section 8, pp. 188-191] and proved that, under the assumption of a Generalized Riemann Hypothesis, $\pi_A(x, 0) \ll_A x^{\frac{3}{4}}$ and $\pi_A(x, t) \ll_A \frac{x^{\frac{4}{5}}}{(\log x)^{\frac{1}{5}}}$ if $t \neq 0$. More recently, D. Zywina [Zy15, Theorem 1.2, p. 236] obtained the improvements $\pi_A(x, 0) \ll_A \frac{x^{\frac{3}{4}}}{(\log x)^{\frac{1}{2}}}$ and $\pi_A(x, t) \ll_A \frac{x^{\frac{4}{5}}}{(\log x)^{\frac{3}{5}}}$ if $t \neq 0$. The bound $\pi_A(x, 0) \ll_A x^{\frac{3}{4}}$ was also proven unconditionally using the interpretation of the condition $a_{1,p}(A) = 0$ as p being a supersingular prime for the elliptic curve A (see [El91, Theorem B, p. 131]). When $t \neq 0$, only the bound $\pi_A(x, t) \ll_A \frac{x(\log \log x)^2}{(\log x)^2}$ is known unconditionally, thanks to the work of J. Thorner and A. Zaman [ThZa17, Theorem 1.4, p. 4997], who built on prior results of J-P. Serre [Se81, Section 8, pp. 188-191], D. Wan [Wan90, Theorem 1.3, p. 250], and V.K. Murty [Mu97, Theorem 5.1, p. 302].

When $g = 2$ and $t \neq 0$, H. Chen, N. Jones and V. Serban [ChJoSe20, Theorem 2.4.1, p. 14] proved that, under the assumption of a Generalized Riemann Hypothesis, we have $\pi_A(x, t) \ll_A \frac{x^{\frac{13}{14}}}{(\log x)^{\frac{5}{7}}}$; unconditionally, they proved that $\pi_A(x, t) \ll_A \frac{x(\log \log x)^{\frac{2}{7}}(\log \log \log x)^{\frac{1}{7}}}{(\log x)^{\frac{8}{7}}}$.

In this paper, we prove conditional upper bounds for $\pi_A(x, t)$ that largely improve upon the conditional bound of H. Chen, N. Jones, and V. Serban when $g = 2$, and recover the conditional bound of M.R. Murty, V.K. Murty and N. Saradha and D. Zywina when $g = 1$.

THEOREM 1. *Let $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ and let A/\mathbb{Q} be an abelian variety that is isogenous over \mathbb{Q} to a product of g elliptic curves defined over \mathbb{Q} , pairwise non-isogenous over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ and each without complex multiplication. Under the assumption of Generalized Riemann Hypothesis (GRH) for Dedekind zeta functions, we have that,*

for any sufficiently large x ,

$$\pi_A(x, t) \ll_A \begin{cases} \frac{x^{1-\frac{1}{3g+1}}}{(\log x)^{1-\frac{2}{3g+1}}} & \text{if } t = 0, \\ \frac{x^{1-\frac{1}{3g+2}}}{(\log x)^{1-\frac{2}{3g+2}}} & \text{if } t \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

As an immediate application of Theorem 1, we obtain a result about the non-lacunarity of the sequence $(a_{1,p}(A))_p$, that is, about the non-zero values of $a_{1,p}(A)$. In fact, we obtain a result about any fixed value of $a_{1,p}(A)$.

COROLLARY 2. *In the setting and under the assumptions of Theorem 1, we have that, as $x \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$(1) \quad \#\{p \leq x : p \nmid N_A, a_{1,p}(A) \neq t\} \sim \pi(x).$$

As another quick application of Theorem 1, we obtain the existence of a density one set of primes p with large Frobenius traces $a_{1,p}(A)$. Namely, in the setting and under the assumptions of Theorem 1, we have that, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and as $x \rightarrow \infty$,

$$(2) \quad \#\left\{p \leq x : p \nmid N_A, |a_{1,p}(A)| > p^{\frac{1}{3g+2}-\varepsilon}\right\} \sim \pi(x).$$

Without applying Theorem 1 directly, but instead using a proof strategy similar to that of the proof of Theorem 1, we can improve upon (2) to show the following.

THEOREM 3. *Let A/\mathbb{Q} be an abelian variety that is isogenous over \mathbb{Q} to a product of g elliptic curves defined over \mathbb{Q} , pairwise non-isogenous over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ and each without complex multiplication. Under the assumption of Generalized Riemann Hypothesis (GRH) for Dedekind zeta functions, we have that, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and as $x \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\#\left\{p \leq x : p \nmid N_A, |a_{1,p}(A)| > p^{\frac{1}{3g+1}-\varepsilon}\right\} \sim \pi(x).$$

The above results may be re-written solely in terms of a g -tuple of elliptic curves $E_1/\mathbb{Q}, \dots, E_g/\mathbb{Q}$, assumed to be pairwise non-isogenous over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ and each without complex multiplication, as follows. Denote by N_{E_1}, \dots, N_{E_g} the conductors of E_1, \dots, E_g , respectively. For each integer $1 \leq i \leq g$ and for each prime $p \nmid N_{E_i}$, denote by $a_p(E_i)$ the integer defined by $|\overline{E}_i(\mathbb{F}_p)| = p + 1 - a_p(E_i)$, where \overline{E}_i is the reduction of E_i modulo p . Taking $A := E_1 \times \dots \times E_g$, we have that $a_{1,p}(A) = -(a_p(E_1) + \dots + a_p(E_g))$ for any prime $p \nmid N_{E_1} \dots N_{E_g}$ (to be explained in Section 6). Now let $t \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then equivalent formulations of Theorem 1, Corollary 2, and Theorem 3 are that, under the assumption of GRH, for any sufficiently large x , we have

$$\#\{p \leq x : p \nmid N_{E_1} \dots N_{E_g}, a_p(E_1) + \dots + a_p(E_g) = t\} \ll_{E_1, \dots, E_g} \begin{cases} \frac{x^{1-\frac{1}{3g+1}}}{(\log x)^{1-\frac{2}{3g+1}}} & \text{if } t = 0, \\ \frac{x^{1-\frac{1}{3g+2}}}{(\log x)^{1-\frac{2}{3g+2}}} & \text{if } t \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

and for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and as $x \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \#\{p \leq x : p \nmid N_{E_1} \dots N_{E_g}, a_p(E_1) + \dots + a_p(E_g) \neq t\} &\sim \pi(x), \\ \#\left\{p \leq x : p \nmid N_{E_1} \dots N_{E_g}, |a_p(E_1) + \dots + a_p(E_g)| > p^{\frac{1}{3g+1}-\varepsilon}\right\} &\sim \pi(x). \end{aligned}$$

The general strategy of proving an upper bound for $\pi_A(x, t)$ is to relax the equality $a_{1,p}(A) = t$ to a congruence $\text{tr } \bar{\rho}_{A,m} \left(\left(\frac{\mathbb{Q}(A[m])/\mathbb{Q}}{p} \right) \right) \equiv -t \pmod{m}$, where m is an arbitrary integer coprime to p , $\bar{\rho}_{A,m}$ is the Galois representation associated to the m -division field $\mathbb{Q}(A[m])$ of A , and $\left(\frac{\mathbb{Q}(A[m])/\mathbb{Q}}{p} \right)$ is the Artin symbol at p in the Galois group $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(A[m])/\mathbb{Q})$. An upper bound for $\pi_A(x, t)$ may then be derived by interpreting this congruence as a Chebotarev condition in the finite Galois extension $\mathbb{Q}(A[m])/\mathbb{Q}$ and by invoking an effective version of the Chebotarev Density Theorem.

Inspired by [MuMuSa88], we pursue a refinement of this general strategy and relax the equality $a_{1,p}(A) = t$ to a Chebotarev condition that holds in a suitably chosen proper subextension of $\mathbb{Q}(A[m])/\mathbb{Q}$ in which Artin's Holomorphy Conjecture is known to hold. When $g \geq 2$, we unravel a few such possible subextensions and carry out the refined strategy in the subextension that leads to the best result, in particular the subextension that leads to a generalization of the best upper bounds known for $g = 1$.

When studying the Frobenius traces of an elliptic curve, it is natural to place a special focus on elliptic curves A/\mathbb{Q} having the property that $\text{End}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}(A) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$, since this case is regarded as generic. When studying the Frobenius traces of an abelian variety A of higher dimension, it is then natural to place an initial focus on the following two cases: that of an abelian variety A/\mathbb{Q} with $\text{End}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}(A) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$, as pursued in [CoDaSiSt17] and in an upcoming paper by the present authors, and that of an abelian variety that is \mathbb{Q} -isogenous to a product of g elliptic curves defined over \mathbb{Q} , pairwise non-isogenous over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ and each without complex multiplication, as pursued in the present paper. Note that, when A/\mathbb{Q} is \mathbb{Q} -isogenous to a product of g elliptic curves defined over \mathbb{Q} that are pairwise isogenous over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, the study of $\pi_A(x, t)$ for $g \geq 2$ reduces to the study of $\pi_A(x, t)$ for $g = 1$. Other complementary cases remain to be pursued separately.

Finally, let us note that other variations of questions inspired by the Lang-Trotter Conjecture have been investigated in the setting of pairs of elliptic curves in works such as [FoMu95], [AkDaJu04], and [AkPa19].

General notation. Throughout the paper, we use the following notation.

- For a set S , we denote its cardinality by $|S|$ or $\#S$.
- Given suitably defined real functions h_1, h_2 , we say that $h_1 = o(h_2)$ if $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h_1(x)}{h_2(x)} = 0$; we say that $h_1 = O(h_2)$ or, equivalently, that $h_1 \ll h_2$, if h_2 is positive valued and there exists a positive constant c such that $|h_1(x)| \leq c h_2(x)$ for all x in the domain of h_1 and h_2 ; we say that $h_1 \asymp h_2$ if h_1, h_2 are positive valued and $h_1 \ll h_2 \ll h_1$; we say that $h_1 = O_D(h_2)$ or, equivalently, that $h_1 \ll_D h_2$, if $h_1 = O(h_2)$ and the

implied O-constant c depends on priorly given data D ; we say that $h_1 \succ_D h_2$ if the implied constant c in at least one of the \ll -bounds $h_1 \ll h_2 \ll h_1$ depends on priorly given data D ; finally, we say that $h_1 \sim h_2$ if $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h_1(x)}{h_2(x)} = 1$.

- We use the letters p and ℓ to denote rational primes. We use $\pi(x)$ to denote the number of primes $p \leq x$, and $\text{li } x$ to denote the logarithmic integral $\int_2^x \frac{1}{\log t} dt$. Note that $\text{li } x \sim \frac{x}{\log x}$ and recall that the Prime Number Theorem asserts that $\pi(x) \sim \text{li } x$.

- Given a number field K , we denote by \mathcal{O}_K its ring of integers; we denote by \overline{K} a fixed algebraic closure of K ; we denote by $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ the absolute Galois group of K ; we denote by \sum_K the set of non-zero prime ideals of K ; we denote by $[K : \mathbb{Q}]$ the degree of K over \mathbb{Q} ; we denote by $d_K \in \mathbb{Z}$ the discriminant of an integral basis of \mathcal{O}_K and by $\text{disc}(K/\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Z}d_K \trianglelefteq \mathbb{Z}$ the discriminant ideal of K/\mathbb{Q} . For a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \in \sum_K$, we denote by $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{p})$ its norm in K/\mathbb{Q} and by $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ its Frobenius class. We say that K satisfies the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis (GRH) if the Dedekind zeta function ζ_K of K has the property that, for any $\rho \in \mathbb{C}$ with $0 \leq \text{Re } \rho \leq 1$ and $\zeta_K(\rho) = 0$, we have $\text{Re}(\rho) = \frac{1}{2}$. When $K = \mathbb{Q}$, the Dedekind zeta function is the Riemann zeta function, in which case GRH is typically referred to as RH.

- For a nonzero unitary commutative ring R , we denote by R^\times its group of multiplicative units. For an integer $n \geq 1$, we denote by I_n the identity $n \times n$ matrix with entries in R . For an arbitrary $n \times n$ matrix M with entries in R , we denote by $\text{tr } M$ and $\det M$ its trace and determinant. We define the general linear group $\text{GL}_n(R)$ as the collection of $n \times n$ matrices M with entries in R and with $\det M \in R^\times$.

- For a positive integer m , we denote by $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ the ring of integers modulo m . For an arbitrary prime ℓ , we denote by \mathbb{Z}_ℓ the ring of ℓ -adic integers. We set $\hat{\mathbb{Z}} := \varprojlim_m \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ and note that there is a ring isomorphism $\hat{\mathbb{Z}} \simeq \prod_{\ell} \mathbb{Z}_\ell$.

Acknowledgments. We thank Jacob Mayle for a careful reading of our manuscript and for useful remarks. We are deeply grateful to the referees for their detailed comments and suggestions.

2. The Chebotarev Density Theorem

The proofs of Theorems 1 and 3 are based on multi-step applications of different effective versions of the Chebotarev Density Theorem, which we now recall.

Let L/K be a Galois extension of number fields, with $G := \text{Gal}(L/K)$, and let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq G$ be a union of conjugacy classes of G ; throughout the paper, we will assume $\mathcal{C} \neq \emptyset$. We denote by $[L : K]$ the degree of L over K and by $\text{disc}(L/K) \trianglelefteq \mathcal{O}_K$ the discriminant ideal of L/K . For each $\mathfrak{p} \in \sum_K$, we denote by $\varphi \in \sum_L$ an arbitrary prime ideal with $\varphi \mid \mathfrak{p}$, by \mathcal{D}_φ the decomposition group of φ in L/K , and by \mathcal{I}_φ the inertia group of φ in L/K . We denote by $\left(\frac{L/K}{\varphi}\right) \in \mathcal{D}_\varphi/\mathcal{I}_\varphi$ the Artin symbol associated to φ , and by $\left(\frac{L/K}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) := \left\{ \gamma \in G : \exists \varphi \mid \mathfrak{p} \text{ such that } \gamma \in \mathcal{D}_\varphi \text{ and } \gamma\mathcal{I}_\varphi = \left(\frac{L/K}{\varphi}\right) \right\}$ the Artin symbol associated to \mathfrak{p} in the

extension L/K . Notice that, for any integer $m \geq 1$, the set $\left(\frac{L/K}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)^m := \left\{\gamma^m : \gamma \in \left(\frac{L/K}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)\right\} \subseteq G$ is invariant under conjugation by elements of G . We set

$$\mathcal{P}(L/K) := \{p : \exists \mathfrak{p} \in \sum_K \text{ such that } \mathfrak{p} \mid p \text{ and } \mathfrak{p} \mid \text{disc}(L/K)\},$$

$$M(L/K) := 2[L : K] |d_K|^{\frac{1}{[K:\mathbb{Q}]}} \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}(L/K)} p,$$

and recall that

$$(3) \quad \log |N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\text{disc}(L/K))| \leq ([L : \mathbb{Q}] - [K : \mathbb{Q}]) \left(\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}(L/K)} \log p \right) + [L : \mathbb{Q}] \log[L : K]$$

(see [Se81, Proposition 5, p. 129]).

The extension L/K is said to satisfy the Artin Holomorphy Conjecture (AHC) if, for any irreducible character $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, the Artin L -function $L(s, \chi)$ extends to a function that is analytic on \mathbb{C} when $\chi \neq 1$ and that is analytic on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$ when $\chi = 1$. It is known that AHC holds if $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ is abelian ([Ar37]).

We denote by $\delta_{\mathcal{C}} : G \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ the characteristic function on \mathcal{C} . For any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \in \sum_K$, we choose an arbitrary prime ideal $\mathfrak{q} \in \sum_L$ with $\mathfrak{q} \mid \mathfrak{p}$, and then, for any integer $m \geq 1$, we define

$$\delta_{\mathcal{C}} \left(\left(\frac{L/K}{\mathfrak{p}} \right)^m \right) := \frac{1}{|\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{q}}|} \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{q}} \\ \gamma \mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{q}} = \left(\frac{L/K}{\mathfrak{q}} \right)^m}} \delta_{\mathcal{C}}(\gamma).$$

Note that this definition is independent of the choice of \mathfrak{q} . For an arbitrary real number $x > 0$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\mathcal{C}}(x, L/K) &:= \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \in \sum_K \\ \mathfrak{p} \nmid \text{disc}(L/K) \\ N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{p}) \leq x}} \delta_{\mathcal{C}} \left(\left(\frac{L/K}{\mathfrak{p}} \right) \right), \\ \tilde{\pi}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, L/K) &:= \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \in \sum_K \\ N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{p}^m) \leq x}} \delta_{\mathcal{C}} \left(\left(\frac{L/K}{\mathfrak{p}} \right)^m \right). \end{aligned}$$

For simplicity of notation, we use $\pi_1(x, L/K)$ and $\tilde{\pi}_1(x, L/K)$ to denote $\pi_{\{\text{id}_L\}}(x, L/K)$ and $\tilde{\pi}_{\{\text{id}_L\}}(x, L/K)$, respectively.

The Chebotarev Density Theorem states that, as $x \rightarrow \infty$,

$$(4) \quad \pi_{\mathcal{C}}(x, L/K) \sim \frac{|\mathcal{C}|}{|G|} \text{li } x.$$

Since the growth in x of the counting function $\tilde{\pi}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, L/K)$ is closely related to that of $\pi_{\mathcal{C}}(x, L/K)$ thanks to the bound

$$(5) \quad |\tilde{\pi}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, L/K) - \pi_{\mathcal{C}}(x, L/K)| \ll [K : \mathbb{Q}] \left(\frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\log x} + \log M(L/K) \right)$$

(see [Se81, Proposition 7, p. 138]), asymptotic (4) is equivalent to

$$(6) \quad \tilde{\pi}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, L/K) \sim \frac{|\mathcal{C}|}{|G|} \text{li } x.$$

The advantage of considering $\tilde{\pi}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, L/K)$ over $\pi_{\mathcal{C}}(x, L/K)$ is that the former satisfies the following two functorial properties.

PROPOSITION 4. *Let L/K be a Galois extension of number fields with $G := \text{Gal}(L/K)$ and let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq G$ be a union of conjugacy classes of G .*

- (i) *Let $H \leq G$ be a subgroup of G such that every element of \mathcal{C} is conjugate to some element of H . Denote by L^H the subfield of L fixed by H . Then, for any $x > 0$,*

$$\tilde{\pi}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, L/K) \leq \tilde{\pi}_{\mathcal{C} \cap H}(x, L/L^H).$$

- (ii) *Let $N \trianglelefteq G$ be a normal subgroup of G such that $N\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$. Denote by L^N the subfield of L fixed by N . Denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$ the image of \mathcal{C} in the quotient group G/N , viewed as $\text{Gal}(L^N/K)$. Then, for any $x > 0$,*

$$\tilde{\pi}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, L/K) = \tilde{\pi}_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}(x, L^N/K).$$

PROOF. See [Se81, Proposition 8, pp. 139–140]. □

COROLLARY 5. *Let L/K be a Galois extension of number fields with $G := \text{Gal}(L/K)$, and let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq G$ be a union of conjugacy classes of G . Let $H \leq G$ be a subgroup of G such that every element of \mathcal{C} is conjugate to some element of H . Let $N \trianglelefteq H$ be a normal subgroup of H such that $N(\mathcal{C} \cap H) \subseteq \mathcal{C} \cap H$. Denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{C} \cap H}$ the image of $\mathcal{C} \cap H$ in the quotient group H/N , viewed as $\text{Gal}(L^N/L^H)$. Then, for any $x > 0$,*

$$\tilde{\pi}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, L/K) \leq \tilde{\pi}_{\widehat{\mathcal{C} \cap H}}(x, L^N/L^H).$$

Consequently, for any sufficiently large x ,

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} \pi_{\mathcal{C}}(x, L/K) &\ll \pi_{\widehat{\mathcal{C} \cap H}}(x, L^N/L^H) \\ &+ [K : \mathbb{Q}] \left(\frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\log x} + \log M(L/K) \right) + [L^H : \mathbb{Q}] \left(\frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\log x} + \log M(L^N/L^H) \right). \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. The corollary follows by combining parts (i) and (ii) of Proposition 4 with (5). □

In spite of its strength, the asymptotic formula (4) for $\pi_{\mathcal{C}}(x, L/K)$ does not suffice for our purposes. Instead, the proofs of our main results will require an effective version with an explicit error term, such as the following conditional result.

THEOREM 6. *Let L/K be a Galois extension of number fields, with $G := \text{Gal}(L/K)$, and let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq G$ be a union of conjugacy classes of G . Assume that GRH holds for the number field L . Then there exists an absolute constant $c > 0$ such that, for any $x > 2$,*

$$\left| \pi_{\mathcal{C}}(x, L/K) - \frac{|\mathcal{C}|}{|G|} \pi(x) \right| \leq c \frac{|\mathcal{C}|}{|G|} x^{\frac{1}{2}} (\log |d_L| + [L : \mathbb{Q}] \log x).$$

PROOF. The original reference is [LaOd77]. For this variation, see [Se81, Théorème 4, p. 133]. □

The proofs of our main results will also use the following conditional upper bound for $\pi_{\mathcal{C}}(x, L/K)$.

THEOREM 7. *Let L/K be a Galois extension of number fields with $G := \text{Gal}(L/K)$, and let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq G$ be a union of conjugacy classes of G . Assume that GRH holds for the number field L and that AHC holds for the number field extension L/K . Then, for any sufficiently large x ,*

$$\pi_{\mathcal{C}}(x, L/K) \ll \frac{|\mathcal{C}|}{|G|} \cdot \frac{x}{\log x} + |\mathcal{C}|^{\frac{1}{2}} [K : \mathbb{Q}] (\log M(L/K)) \cdot \frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\log x}.$$

PROOF. See [Zy15, Theorem 2.3, p. 240]. □

COROLLARY 8. *Let L/K be a Galois extension of number fields with $G := \text{Gal}(L/K)$, and let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq G$ be a union of conjugacy classes of G . Let $H \leq G$ be a subgroup of G such that every element of \mathcal{C} is conjugate to some element of H . Let $N \trianglelefteq H$ be a normal subgroup of H such that $N(\mathcal{C} \cap H) \subseteq \mathcal{C} \cap H$. Denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{C} \cap H}$ the image of $\mathcal{C} \cap H$ in the quotient group H/N , viewed as $\text{Gal}(L^N/L^H)$. Assume that the group H/N is abelian and that GRH holds for L^N . Then, for any sufficiently large x ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\mathcal{C}}(x, L/K) &\ll \frac{|\widehat{\mathcal{C} \cap H}| \cdot |N|}{|H|} \cdot \frac{x}{\log x} \\ &+ \left| \widehat{\mathcal{C} \cap H} \right|^{\frac{1}{2}} [L^H : \mathbb{Q}] \frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\log x} \log M(L^N/L^H) \\ &+ [K : \mathbb{Q}] \left(\frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\log x} + \log M(L/K) \right) + [L^H : \mathbb{Q}] \left(\frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\log x} + \log M(L^N/L^H) \right). \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. First use (7), and then Theorem 7 applied to the extension L^N/L^H . □

3. An application of the effective version of the Chebotarev Density Theorem

The proofs of Theorems 1 and 3 will make use of the following application of Theorem 6.

LEMMA 9. *Let \mathcal{S} be a non-empty set of rational primes, let $(K_p)_{p \in \mathcal{S}}$ be a family of finite Galois extensions of \mathbb{Q} , and let $(\mathcal{C}_p)_{p \in \mathcal{S}}$ be a family of non-empty sets such that each \mathcal{C}_p is a union of conjugacy classes of $\text{Gal}(K_p/\mathbb{Q})$. Assume that there exist an absolute constant $c_1 > 0$ and a function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that*

$$(8) \quad [K_p : \mathbb{Q}] \leq c_1 \quad \text{for any sufficiently large } p,$$

$$(9) \quad \log |d_{K_p}| \leq f(z) \quad \text{for any sufficiently large } z \text{ and all } p \leq z.$$

For each $x > 2$, let $y = y(x) > 2$, $u = u(x) > 2$ be such that

$$(10) \quad u \leq y,$$

and assume that, for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$(11) \quad u \geq c_2(\varepsilon) y^{\frac{1}{2}} (\log y)^{2+\varepsilon} \quad \text{for some constant } c_2(\varepsilon) > 0$$

and

$$(12) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x)}{(\log y)^{1+\varepsilon}} = 0.$$

Assume GRH for Dedekind zeta functions. Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $c(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that, for any sufficiently large x ,

$$(13) \quad \#\{p \leq x : p \in \mathcal{S}\} \leq c(\varepsilon) \max_{y \leq \ell \leq y+u} \#\left\{p \leq x : p \in \mathcal{S}, \ell \nmid d_{K_p}, \left(\frac{K_p/\mathbb{Q}}{\ell}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_p\right\}.$$

PROOF. The proof follows the strategy of [MuMuSa88, Lemma 4.4, p. 269], adapted to our general setting. We start by fixing $x > 2$, $y = y(x) > 2$, $u = u(x) > 2$, and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that (10) - (12) hold. Then, we observe that

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} & (\pi(y+u) - \pi(y)) \max_{y \leq \ell \leq y+u} \#\left\{p \leq x : p \in \mathcal{S}, \ell \nmid d_{K_p}, \left(\frac{K_p/\mathbb{Q}}{\ell}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_p\right\} \\ & \geq \sum_{y \leq \ell \leq y+u} \#\left\{p \leq x : p \in \mathcal{S}, \ell \nmid d_{K_p}, \left(\frac{K_p/\mathbb{Q}}{\ell}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_p\right\} \\ & = \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \in \mathcal{S}}} \#\left\{y \leq \ell \leq y+u : \ell \nmid d_{K_p}, \left(\frac{K_p/\mathbb{Q}}{\ell}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_p\right\} \\ & = \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \in \mathcal{S}}} (\pi_{\mathcal{C}_p}(y+u, K_p/\mathbb{Q}) - \pi_{\mathcal{C}_p}(y, K_p/\mathbb{Q})). \end{aligned}$$

To estimate the above difference, we apply Theorem 6 under GRH and deduce that

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \in \mathcal{S}}} (\pi_{\mathcal{C}_p}(y+u, K_p/\mathbb{Q}) - \pi_{\mathcal{C}_p}(y, K_p/\mathbb{Q})) \\ & = \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \in \mathcal{S}}} \frac{|\mathcal{C}_p|}{[K_p : \mathbb{Q}]} (\pi(y+u) - \pi(y)) + \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \in \mathcal{S}}} (E_1(y, u, \mathcal{C}_p, K_p) + E_2(y, u, \mathcal{C}_p, K_p)) \end{aligned}$$

for some real-valued functions $E_1(y, u, \mathcal{C}_p, K_p)$, $E_2(y, u, \mathcal{C}_p, K_p)$, which depend on y , u , \mathcal{C}_p , and K_p , and for which there exist absolute constants $c_3 > 0$ and $c_4 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |E_1(y, u, \mathcal{C}_p, K_p)| & \leq c_3(y+u)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{|\mathcal{C}_p| \log |d_{K_p}|}{[K_p : \mathbb{Q}]} + |\mathcal{C}_p| \log(y+u) \right), \\ |E_2(y, u, \mathcal{C}_p, K_p)| & \leq c_4 y^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{|\mathcal{C}_p| \log |d_{K_p}|}{[K_p : \mathbb{Q}]} + |\mathcal{C}_p| \log y \right). \end{aligned}$$

Recalling assumptions (8) - (9), we obtain the upper bound

$$(16) \quad \left| \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \in \mathcal{S}}} (E_1(y, u, \mathcal{C}_p, K_p) + E_2(y, u, \mathcal{C}_p, K_p)) \right| \leq c_5(y+u)^{\frac{1}{2}} (f(x) + \log(y+u)) \#\{p \leq x : p \in \mathcal{S}\}$$

for some absolute constant $c_5 > 0$.

Thanks to (11) and the assumption of GRH (specifically, RH in this case), there exists some constant $c_6(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that

$$(17) \quad \pi(y+u) - \pi(y) \geq c_6(\varepsilon) \frac{u}{\log u}.$$

In particular, $\pi(y+u) - \pi(y) > 0$.

Putting together (14) - (17) and dividing the resulting inequality by $\pi(y+u) - \pi(y)$, we obtain that

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} & \max_{y \leq \ell \leq y+u} \# \left\{ p \leq x : p \in \mathcal{S}, \ell \nmid d_{K_p}, \left(\frac{K_p/\mathbb{Q}}{\ell} \right) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_p \right\} \\ & \geq \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \in \mathcal{S}}} \frac{|\mathcal{C}_p|}{[K_p : \mathbb{Q}]} + O_\varepsilon \left(\frac{\log u}{u} (y+u)^{\frac{1}{2}} (f(x) + \log(y+u)) \#\{p \leq x : p \in \mathcal{S}\} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Invoking assumptions (10) - (11), we deduce that the above O_ε -term becomes

$$O_\varepsilon \left(\frac{f(x) + \log y}{(\log y)^{1+\varepsilon}} \#\{p \leq x : p \in \mathcal{S}\} \right).$$

Invoking assumption (12), we deduce that the latter O_ε -term is $o(\#\{p \leq x : p \in \mathcal{S}\})$. Finally, recalling (8) and that each \mathcal{C}_p is non-empty, we deduce that

$$\sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \in \mathcal{S}}} \frac{|\mathcal{C}_p|}{[K_p : \mathbb{Q}]} \geq \frac{1}{c_1} \#\{p \leq x : p \in \mathcal{S}\}.$$

Then (18) gives

$$\max_{y \leq \ell \leq y+u} \# \left\{ p \leq x : p \in \mathcal{S}, \ell \nmid d_{K_p}, \left(\frac{K_p/\mathbb{Q}}{\ell} \right) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_p \right\} \gg_\varepsilon \#\{p \leq x : p \in \mathcal{S}\},$$

as desired. □

REMARK 10. In the proof of Lemma 9, instead of hypotheses (8) - (9), it suffices to make the weaker hypotheses that there exist absolute constants $c'_1, c'_2, c'_3 > 0$ such that, for any sufficiently large z ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{p \leq z \\ p \in \mathcal{S}}} \frac{|\mathcal{C}_p|}{[K_p : \mathbb{Q}]} & \geq c'_1 \#\{p \leq z : p \in \mathcal{S}\}, \\ \sum_{\substack{p \leq z \\ p \in \mathcal{S}}} \frac{|\mathcal{C}_p| \log |d_{K_p}|}{[K_p : \mathbb{Q}]} & \leq c'_2 f(z) \#\{p \leq z : p \in \mathcal{S}\}, \\ \sum_{\substack{p \leq z \\ p \in \mathcal{S}}} |\mathcal{C}_p| & \leq c'_3 \#\{p \leq z : p \in \mathcal{S}\}. \end{aligned}$$

4. Subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^g$

Our main contribution to generalizing the method of M.R. Murty, V.K. Murty, and N. Saradha [MuMuSa88] to the case of a product of g non-isogenous elliptic curves without complex multiplication consists of unravelling suitable Galois extensions L/K of number fields with $\mathrm{Gal}(L/K) < \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^g$ for some well-chosen prime ℓ , and of unravelling suitable conjugacy classes $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathrm{Gal}(L/K)$ for which we can invoke the version of the Chebotarev Density Theorem given in Corollary 8. With this goal in mind, we devote Section 4 to investigations of particular subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^g$.

For a fixed rational prime ℓ , our main focus will be on the subgroup

$$(19) \quad G(\ell) := \{(M_1, \dots, M_g) \in \mathbb{G}(\ell) : \det M_1 = \dots = \det M_g\}$$

of the product group

$$\mathbb{G}(\ell) := \mathrm{GL}_2(\ell)^g,$$

where

$$\mathrm{GL}_2(\ell) := \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}).$$

Denoting by $\mathcal{G}_m(\ell)$ the multiplicative group $(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^\times$ and by $\mathcal{G}_a(\ell)$ the additive group $\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$, we set

$$\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}(\ell) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ 0 & a_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\ell) : a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{G}_m(\ell), b_1 \in \mathcal{G}_a(\ell) \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{U}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}(\ell) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\ell) : b \in \mathcal{G}_a(\ell) \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}(\ell) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\ell) : a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{G}_m(\ell) \right\},$$

$$B(\ell) := \{(M_1, \dots, M_g) \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}(\ell)^g : \det M_1 = \dots = \det M_g\},$$

$$U(\ell) := \mathcal{U}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}(\ell)^g,$$

$$U'(\ell) := (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^\times \cdot U(\ell),$$

$$T(\ell) := \{(M_1, \dots, M_g) \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}(\ell)^g : \det M_1 = \dots = \det M_g\}.$$

The following lemmas will be crucial in the proof of our main theorems.

LEMMA 11. *For any prime ℓ , we have that $U(\ell)$ and $U'(\ell)$ are normal subgroups of $B(\ell)$, and that $B(\ell)/U(\ell)$ and $B(\ell)/U'(\ell)$ are abelian groups.*

PROOF. The subgroup $\mathcal{U}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}(\ell)$ is normal in $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}(\ell)$, and the quotient $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}(\ell)/\mathcal{U}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}(\ell)$ is abelian, since it satisfies a group isomorphism $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}(\ell)/\mathcal{U}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}(\ell) \simeq \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}(\ell)$. Consequently, the subgroup $U(\ell)$ is normal in $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}(\ell)^g$, with $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}(\ell)^g/U(\ell)$ an abelian group. By the inclusion of subgroups $U(\ell) \subseteq U'(\ell) \subseteq B(\ell) \subseteq$

$\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}(\ell)^g$, we deduce that both $U(\ell)$ and $U'(\ell)$ are normal subgroups in $B(\ell)$ and that both $B(\ell)/U(\ell)$ and $B(\ell)/U'(\ell)$ are abelian. \square

LEMMA 12. *For any prime ℓ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathrm{GL}_2(\ell)| &= (\ell - 1)\ell(\ell^2 - 1), \\ |\mathbb{G}(\ell)| &= (\ell - 1)^g \ell^g (\ell^2 - 1)^g, \\ |\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}(\ell)^g| &= (\ell - 1)^{2g} \ell^g, \\ |G(\ell)| &= (\ell - 1)\ell^g (\ell^2 - 1)^g, \\ |B(\ell)| &= (\ell - 1)^{g+1} \ell^g, \\ |U(\ell)| &= \ell^g, \\ |U'(\ell)| &= (\ell - 1)\ell^g, \\ |T(\ell)| &= (\ell - 1)^{g+1}. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. The formula for the order of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\ell)$ is well-known and easy to derive. The formulae for the orders of $\mathbb{G}(\ell)$, $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}(\ell)^g$, $U(\ell)$, $U'(\ell)$, and $T(\ell)$ are clear from the definitions of the groups. To find the orders of $G(\ell)$ and $B(\ell)$, observe that there are short exact sequences of finite groups

$$1 \rightarrow G(\ell) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}(\ell) \xrightarrow{\det_1, \dots, g-1} \mathcal{G}_m(\ell)^{g-1} \rightarrow 1,$$

$$1 \rightarrow B(\ell) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}(\ell)^g \xrightarrow{\det_1, \dots, g-1} \mathcal{G}_m(\ell)^{g-1} \rightarrow 1,$$

where $\det_1, \dots, g-1(M_1, \dots, M_g) := ((\det M_1)(\det M_2)^{-1}, \dots, (\det M_1)(\det M_g)^{-1})$. We deduce that

$$|G(\ell)| = |\mathbb{G}(\ell)| / |\mathcal{G}_m(\ell)^{g-1}| = (\ell - 1)\ell^g (\ell^2 - 1)^g,$$

$$|B(\ell)| = |\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}(\ell)^g| / |\mathcal{G}_m(\ell)^{g-1}| = (\ell - 1)^{g+1} \ell^g.$$

\square

LEMMA 13. *For any prime ℓ , we have a group isomorphism $B(\ell)/U(\ell) \simeq T(\ell)$.*

PROOF. Consider the group homomorphisms $T(\ell) \hookrightarrow B(\ell) \twoheadrightarrow B(\ell)/U(\ell)$. The composition has kernel $T(\ell) \cap U(\ell) = 1$, hence it is injective. Since, by Lemma 12, each of the groups $B(\ell)/U(\ell)$ and $T(\ell)$ has size $(\ell - 1)^{g+1}$, the composition must be an isomorphism. \square

5. Conjugacy classes of subgroups of $G(\ell)$

Let $\ell \nmid 2g$ be a fixed prime and let $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ be a fixed integer. We devote Section 5 to investigations of particular unions of conjugacy classes of $G(\ell)$, $B(\ell)$, and $T(\ell)$. As usual, when we regard $\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$ as a field, we use the notation \mathbb{F}_ℓ . In this case, we denote by $\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$ a fixed algebraic closure of \mathbb{F}_ℓ .

Before introducing the unions of conjugacy classes we are interested in investigating, let us observe that if $M = (M_1, \dots, M_g) \in G(\ell)$, then the characteristic polynomial of M relates to the characteristic polynomials of $M_1, \dots, M_g \in \text{GL}_2(\ell)$ through the equation

$$(20) \quad \text{char}_M(X) = \text{char}_{M_1}(X) \cdot \dots \cdot \text{char}_{M_g}(X) \in \mathbb{F}_\ell[X].$$

When working over the algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$ of the field \mathbb{F}_ℓ , for each $1 \leq i \leq g$ we may write the linear factorization of $\text{char}_{M_i}(X)$ as

$$(21) \quad \text{char}_{M_i}(X) = (X - \lambda_1(M_i))(X - \lambda_2(M_i)) \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}[X].$$

Then, by putting together (20) and (21), we see that the linear factorization of $\text{char}_M(X)$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$ is

$$(22) \quad \text{char}_M(X) = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq g} (X - \lambda_1(M_i))(X - \lambda_2(M_i)) \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}[X].$$

Using the above notation and fixing $z > 0$, we now introduce the sets to be investigated in this section:

$$\mathcal{C}(\ell, t) := \left\{ (M_1, \dots, M_g) \in G(\ell) : \lambda_1(M_i), \lambda_2(M_i) \in \mathbb{F}_\ell^\times \ \forall 1 \leq i \leq g, \sum_{1 \leq i \leq g} \text{tr } M_i \equiv -t \pmod{\ell} \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, t) := \mathcal{C}(\ell, t) \cap B(\ell),$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, t) := \text{the image of } \mathcal{C}_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, t) \text{ in } B(\ell)/U(\ell),$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{C}}'_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, t) := \text{the image of } \mathcal{C}_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, t) \text{ in } B(\ell)/U'(\ell),$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{\text{Torus}}(\ell, t) := \mathcal{C}(\ell, t) \cap T(\ell),$$

$$\mathcal{C}(\ell, |t| \leq z) := \bigcup_{\substack{t \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |t| \leq z}} \mathcal{C}(\ell, t),$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, |t| \leq z) := \mathcal{C}(\ell, |t| \leq z) \cap B(\ell),$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, |t| \leq z) := \text{the image of } \mathcal{C}_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, |t| \leq z) \text{ in } B(\ell)/U(\ell).$$

LEMMA 14.

- (i) $\emptyset \neq \mathcal{C}_{\text{Torus}}(\ell, t) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, t) \subseteq \mathcal{C}(\ell, t)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, |t| \leq z) \neq \emptyset$.
- (ii) $\mathcal{C}(\ell, t)$ is a union of conjugacy classes of $G(\ell)$.
- (iii) $\mathcal{C}_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, t)$ is a union of conjugacy classes of $B(\ell)$.
- (iv) $\mathcal{C}_{\text{Torus}}(\ell, t)$ is a union of conjugacy classes of $T(\ell)$.

- (v) $U(\ell) \mathcal{C}_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, t) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, t)$ and $U(\ell) \mathcal{C}_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, |t| \leq z) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, |t| \leq z)$.
(vi) $U'(\ell) \mathcal{C}_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, 0) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, 0)$.

PROOF. (i) Since ℓ is a prime such $\ell \nmid 2g$, we know that $(2g)^{-1}(\text{mod } \ell)$ exists. Now we consider each of the cases $t \neq 0$ and $t = 0$. When $t \neq 0$, for each $1 \leq i \leq g$, we take

$$M_i := \begin{pmatrix} -t(2g)^{-1}(\text{mod } \ell) & 0 \\ 0 & -t(2g)^{-1}(\text{mod } \ell) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\lambda_1(M_i) \equiv \lambda_2(M_i) \equiv -t(2g)^{-1}(\text{mod } \ell) \in \mathbb{F}_\ell^\times \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq g, \quad \sum_{1 \leq i \leq g} \text{tr } M_i \equiv -t(\text{mod } \ell),$$

and so $(M_1, \dots, M_g) \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{Torus}}(\ell, t)$. When $t = 0$, for each $1 \leq i \leq g$, we take

$$M_i := \begin{pmatrix} (2g)^{-1}(\text{mod } \ell) & 0 \\ 0 & -(2g)^{-1}(\text{mod } \ell) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\lambda_1(M_i) \equiv (2g)^{-1}(\text{mod } \ell) \text{ and } \lambda_2(M_i) \equiv -(2g)^{-1}(\text{mod } \ell) \in \mathbb{F}_\ell^\times \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq g, \quad \sum_{1 \leq i \leq g} \text{tr } M_i \equiv 0(\text{mod } \ell),$$

and so $(M_1, \dots, M_g) \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{Torus}}(\ell, 0)$. We conclude that $\mathcal{C}_{\text{Torus}}(\ell, t) \neq \emptyset$.

The inclusions $\mathcal{C}_{\text{Torus}}(\ell, t) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, t) \subseteq \mathcal{C}(\ell, t)$ are clear from the definitions of the sets.

Finally, observing that $\mathcal{C}_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, |t| \leq z) = \bigcup_{\substack{t \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |t| \leq z}} \mathcal{C}_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, t)$, the claim that $\mathcal{C}_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, |t| \leq z) \neq \emptyset$ follows from the previous inclusions and the non-emptiness of $\mathcal{C}_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, t)$.

(ii) $\mathcal{C}(\ell, t)$ is a subset of $G(\ell)$ characterized by some condition on eigenvalues of g invertible 2×2 matrices. Since eigenvalues are invariant under conjugation, $\mathcal{C}(\ell, t)$ is a union of conjugacy classes of $G(\ell)$.

(iii) By (ii), $\mathcal{C}(\ell, t)$ is invariant under conjugation by $B(\ell)$. Since $B(\ell)$ is obviously invariant under conjugation by $B(\ell)$, so is the intersection $\mathcal{C}(\ell, t) \cap B(\ell)$. This implies that $\mathcal{C}_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, t)$ is a union of conjugacy classes of $B(\ell)$.

(iv) Since $\mathcal{C}(\ell, t)$ and $T(\ell)$ are invariant under conjugation by $T(\ell)$, the set $\mathcal{C}_{\text{Torus}}(\ell, t)$ is a union of conjugacy classes of $T(\ell)$.

(v) Let $M' \in U(\ell)$, $M \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, t)$. Then $M'M \in B(\ell)$, with $M'M$ having the same diagonal entries as M . Since $\text{tr } M = -t$, we obtain that $\text{tr}(M'M) = -t$. Thus $U(\ell) \mathcal{C}_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, t) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, t)$. Upon recalling that $\mathcal{C}_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, |t| \leq z) = \bigcup_{\substack{t \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |t| \leq z}} \mathcal{C}_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, t)$, it also follows that $U(\ell) \mathcal{C}_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, |t| \leq z) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, |t| \leq z)$.

(vi) Let $M' \in U'(\ell)$ be such that its diagonal elements are all equal to some $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^\times$. Let $M \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, 0)$. Then $M'M \in B(\ell)$ and $\text{tr}(M'M) = a \text{tr } M = 0$, which implies that $U'(\ell) \mathcal{C}_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, 0) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, 0)$. \square

LEMMA 15. Any element of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\ell)$ with a split characteristic polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_\ell[X]$ is conjugate to an element of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}(\ell)$ by an element of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\ell) := \{M \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\ell) : \det M = 1\}$.

PROOF. Let $M \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\ell)$. Observe that $\lambda_1(M), \lambda_2(M) \in \mathbb{F}_\ell^\times$. This means that there exists $N \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\ell)$ such that

$$NMN^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1(M) & * \\ 0 & \lambda_2(M) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}(\ell).$$

If $\det N = 1$, we are done. Otherwise, by taking

$$N' := \begin{pmatrix} (\det N)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} N,$$

we have that $N'MN'^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}(\ell)$, as well as that $\det N' = 1$, and again we are done. \square

LEMMA 16. Every conjugacy class in $\mathcal{C}(\ell, t)$ or $\mathcal{C}(\ell, |t| \leq z)$ contains an element of $B(\ell)$.

PROOF. Let $M = (M_1, \dots, M_g) \in \mathcal{C}(\ell, t)$. Upon fixing $1 \leq i \leq g$, we observe that, by Lemma 15, there exists $N_i \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\ell)$ such that $N_i M_i N_i^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}(\ell)$. Let $N := (N_1, \dots, N_g)$. Then $N \in G(\ell)$ and $NMN^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}(\ell)^g \cap G(\ell) = B(\ell)$. We deduce that M is conjugate to an element of $B(\ell)$. Thus, every conjugacy class in $\mathcal{C}(\ell, t)$ contains an element of $B(\ell)$. The similar statement about $\mathcal{C}(\ell, |t| \leq z)$ follows upon noting that every conjugacy class in this set is a conjugacy class in $\mathcal{C}(\ell, t)$ for some integer t with $|t| \leq z$. \square

LEMMA 17.

(i) $|\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{Torus}}(\ell, t)| \leq 2(\ell - 1)^g.$

(ii) $|\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{Borel}}(\ell, t)| = \ell^g |\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{Torus}}(\ell, t)| \leq 2(\ell - 1)^g \ell^g.$

(iii) $|\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathrm{Borel}}(\ell, t)| = |\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{Torus}}(\ell, t)| \leq 2(\ell - 1)^g.$

(iv) $|\widehat{\mathcal{C}}'_{\mathrm{Borel}}(\ell, 0)| = \frac{|\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathrm{Borel}}(\ell, 0)|}{\ell - 1} \leq 2(\ell - 1)^{g-1}.$

(v) $|\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathrm{Borel}}(\ell, |t| \leq z)| < 5(\ell - 1)^g z.$

PROOF. (i) Observe that

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{Torus}}(\ell, t) = \{(M_1, \dots, M_g) \in T(\ell) : \sum_{1 \leq i \leq g} \mathrm{tr} M_i \equiv -t \pmod{\ell}\}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{C}_{\text{Torus}}(\ell, t)| &= \sum_{d \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^\times} \sum_{\substack{M_1, \dots, M_{g-1} \in \mathcal{T}_{\text{GL}_2}(\ell) \\ \det M_1 = \dots = \det M_{g-1} = d}} \#\{M_g \in \mathcal{T}_{\text{GL}_2}(\ell) : \det M_g = d, \text{tr } M_g \equiv -t - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq g-1} \text{tr } M_i \pmod{\ell}\} \\
&\leq \sum_{d \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^\times} \sum_{\substack{M_1, \dots, M_{g-1} \in \mathcal{T}_{\text{GL}_2}(\ell) \\ \det M_1 = \dots = \det M_{g-1} = d}} 2 \\
&= 2(\ell - 1)^g.
\end{aligned}$$

(ii) Observe that

$$\mathcal{C}_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, t) = \{(M_1, \dots, M_g) \in B(\ell) : \sum_{1 \leq i \leq g} \text{tr } M_i \equiv -t \pmod{\ell}\}.$$

Then

$$|\mathcal{C}_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, t)| = \sum_{d \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^\times} \sum_{\substack{M_1, \dots, M_{g-1} \in \mathcal{T}_{\text{GL}_2}(\ell) \\ \det M_1 = \dots = \det M_{g-1} = d}} \#\{M_g \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{GL}_2}(\ell) : \det M_g = d, \text{tr } M_g \equiv -t - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq g-1} \text{tr } M_i \pmod{\ell}\}.$$

Since we have the equality

$$\begin{aligned}
&\#\{M_g \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{GL}_2}(\ell) : \det M_g = d, \text{tr } M_g \equiv -t - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq g-1} \text{tr } M_i \pmod{\ell}\} \\
&= \ell^g \#\{M_g \in \mathcal{T}_{\text{GL}_2}(\ell) : \det M_g = d, \text{tr } M_g \equiv -t - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq g-1} \text{tr } M_i \pmod{\ell}\},
\end{aligned}$$

we obtain that $|\mathcal{C}_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, t)| = \ell^g |\mathcal{C}_{\text{Torus}}(\ell, t)|$.

(iii) Observe that, upon identifying $B(\ell)/U(\ell)$ with $T(\ell)$ via Lemma 13, there is a bijection between the sets $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, t)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\text{Torus}}(\ell, t)$. Thus $|\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, t)| = |\mathcal{C}_{\text{Torus}}(\ell, t)|$.

(iv) Since $U(\ell) \leq U'(\ell)$ and $U'(\ell)/U(\ell) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^\times$, there is a natural surjection $B(\ell)/U(\ell) \rightarrow B(\ell)/U'(\ell)$ whose kernel $U'(\ell)/U(\ell)$ has cardinality $\ell - 1$. We deduce that $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, 0)$ is the image of $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, 0)$ in $B(\ell)/U'(\ell)$.

By a similar argument as that in the proof of part (vi) of Lemma 14, we obtain that $U'(\ell)\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, 0) = \widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, 0)$. Thus $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, 0)$ is the full inverse image of $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, 0)$ in $B(\ell)/U(\ell)$.

From the above, we infer that $|\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, 0)| = \frac{|\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, 0)|}{\ell - 1} \leq 2(\ell - 1)^{g-1} \ell^g$, as claimed.

(v) Recall that

$$\mathcal{C}_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, |t| \leq z) = \bigcup_{\substack{t \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |t| \leq z}} \mathcal{C}_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, t).$$

Then, by part (v) of Lemma 14, we deduce that

$$\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, |t| \leq z) = \bigcup_{\substack{t \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |t| \leq z}} \widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, t).$$

Using part (iii) of the present lemma, we deduce further that

$$|\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, |t| \leq z)| \leq 2 \#\{t \in \mathbb{Z} : |t| \leq z\}(\ell - 1)^g < 5z(\ell - 1)^g.$$

□

6. Proof of Theorem 1

6.1. Setting and basic properties. Let A be an abelian variety defined over \mathbb{Q} that is isogenous over \mathbb{Q} to a product of g elliptic curves defined over \mathbb{Q} , pairwise non-isogenous over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ and each without complex multiplication. In what follows, we record a few properties of A that we will need in the proofs of our main results. We keep all the associated notation introduced in the prior sections, some of which we recall below. For background on abelian varieties, we refer the reader to [Fa83], [Ho68], [La83], [Oo08], [SeTa68], and [Wat69].

We fix a g -tuple of elliptic curves, $E_1/\mathbb{Q}, \dots, E_g/\mathbb{Q}$, equipped with a \mathbb{Q} -isogeny between A and $E_1 \times \dots \times E_g$ of minimal degree, denoted d_A , among all such choices. We denote by N_{E_1}, \dots, N_{E_g} the conductors of E_1, \dots, E_g , respectively, and remark that the conductor N_A of A and the product $N_{E_1} \dots N_{E_g}$ have the same prime factors.

We denote by

$$\rho_A : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \text{GL}_{2g}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$$

the adelic Galois representation of A , defined by the inverse limit of the residual representations

$$\bar{\rho}_{A,m} : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \text{GL}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$$

of the absolute Galois group $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ acting on the m -torsion group $A[m] \subset A(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$, with m a positive integer. For any prime ℓ , we denote by

$$\rho_{A,\ell} : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \text{GL}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$$

the ℓ -adic representation of $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ on the ℓ -adic Tate module $\varprojlim_n A[\ell^n]$.

Similarly to notation (19) introduced in Section 4, we set

$$G(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) := \{(M_1, \dots, M_g) \in \text{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}})^g : \det M_1 = \dots = \det M_g\},$$

$$G(m) := \{(M_1, \dots, M_g) \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^g : \det M_1 = \dots = \det M_g\},$$

and recall that

$$\text{Im } \rho_A \subseteq G(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}),$$

$$\text{Im } \bar{\rho}_{A,m} \subseteq G(m),$$

where m is an arbitrary positive integer.

For any prime $p \nmid N_A$, we denote by Frob_p the Frobenius at p in $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$, and for any prime $\ell \neq p$, we denote by

$$P_{A,p}(X) := \det(XI_{2g} - \rho_{A,\ell}(\text{Frob}_p))$$

the characteristic polynomial of $\rho_{A,\ell}(\text{Frob}_p)$ in $\text{GL}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$.

We recall that $P_{A,p}(X)$ is the characteristic polynomial of the Frobenius endomorphism acting on the reduction of A modulo p . We also recall that $P_{A,p}(X)$ is a p -Weil polynomial, has integer coefficients independent of ℓ , and satisfies the congruence

$$(23) \quad P_{A,p}(X) \equiv \det \left(XI_{2g} - \bar{\rho}_{A,m} \left(\left(\frac{\mathbb{Q}(A[m])/\mathbb{Q}}{p} \right) \right) \right) \pmod{m}$$

for any integer m coprime to p .

In analogy with the discussion and notation for A , for each $1 \leq i \leq g$ we denote by ρ_{E_i} , $\bar{\rho}_{E_i,m}$, and $\rho_{E_i,\ell}$ the adelic, residual, and ℓ -adic Galois representations of E_i , and for each prime $p \nmid N_{E_i}$ we denote by $P_{E_i,p}(X)$ the associated p -Weil polynomial. We recall the congruence relation

$$(24) \quad P_{E_i,p}(X) \equiv \det \left(XI_2 - \bar{\rho}_{E_i,m} \left(\frac{\mathbb{Q}(E_i[m])/\mathbb{Q}}{p} \right) \right) \pmod{m},$$

which holds for any integer m coprime to p .

Now let us fix a prime $p \nmid N_A d_A$. We write the polynomials $P_{A,p}(X)$, $P_{E_i,p}(X)$ explicitly as

$$\begin{aligned} P_{A,p}(X) &= X^{2g} + a_{1,p}(A)X^{2g-1} + \dots + a_{2g-1,p}(A)X + a_{2g,p}(A) \in \mathbb{Z}[X], \\ P_{E_i,p}(X) &= X^2 - a_p(E_i)X + p \in \mathbb{Z}[X]. \end{aligned}$$

On one hand, we have the polynomial relation

$$(25) \quad P_{A,p}(X) = P_{E_1,p}(X) \cdot \dots \cdot P_{E_g,p}(X),$$

from which we obtain that

$$(26) \quad a_{1,p}(A) = -(a_p(E_1) + \dots + a_p(E_g)).$$

On the other hand, by restricting the residual representations $\bar{\rho}_{A,m}$, $\bar{\rho}_{E_i,m}$ to the division fields $\mathbb{Q}(A[m])$, $\mathbb{Q}(E_i[m])$, respectively, we deduce from (23) - (24) that, for any integer m coprime to p , we have

$$(27) \quad \text{tr } \bar{\rho}_{A,m} \left(\left(\frac{\mathbb{Q}(A[m])/\mathbb{Q}}{p} \right) \right) \equiv -a_{1,p}(A) \pmod{m},$$

$$(28) \quad \text{tr } \bar{\rho}_{E_i,m} \left(\left(\frac{\mathbb{Q}(E_i[m])/\mathbb{Q}}{p} \right) \right) \equiv a_p(E_i) \pmod{m}.$$

From (26), (27) and (28), we deduce that, for any integer m coprime to p , we have

$$(29) \quad \text{tr } \bar{\rho}_{A,m} \left(\left(\frac{\mathbb{Q}(A[m])/\mathbb{Q}}{p} \right) \right) \equiv - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq g} \text{tr } \bar{\rho}_{E_i,m} \left(\left(\frac{\mathbb{Q}(E_i[m])/\mathbb{Q}}{p} \right) \right) \pmod{m}.$$

6.2. A conditional reduction step. For an abelian variety A/\mathbb{Q} , a prime $p \nmid N_A$, and an integer t , we remark that, congruence (27) already allows us to relax the equality $a_{1,p}(A) = t$ to the congruence $\text{tr } \bar{\rho}_{A,\ell} \left(\left(\frac{\mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])/\mathbb{Q}}{p} \right) \right) \equiv -t \pmod{\ell}$ for some prime ℓ and then to apply an effective version of the Chebotarev Density Theorem in the extension $\mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])/\mathbb{Q}$. However, we can obtain better results by relaxing the equality $a_{1,p}(A) = t$ to a Chebotarev condition that takes place in the extension $\mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])^{U(\ell)}/\mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])^{B(\ell)}$. The key ingredient is as follows.

LEMMA 18. *Let $t \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let A/\mathbb{Q} be an abelian variety defined over \mathbb{Q} that is isogenous over \mathbb{Q} to a product $E_1 \times \dots \times E_g$ of elliptic curves $E_1/\mathbb{Q}, \dots, E_g/\mathbb{Q}$, without complex multiplication and pairwise non-isogenous over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. For each $x > 2$, let $y = y(x) > 2$, $u = u(x) > 2$ be such that*

$$(30) \quad u \leq y.$$

Assume that, for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$(31) \quad u \geq c'(\varepsilon) y^{\frac{1}{2}} (\log y)^{2+\varepsilon} \text{ for some } c'(\varepsilon) > 0$$

and

$$(32) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log x}{(\log y)^{1+\varepsilon}} = 0.$$

Assume GRH for Dedekind zeta functions. Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $c(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that, for any positive real number z and for any sufficiently large x , we have

$$(33) \quad \pi_A(x, t) \leq c(\varepsilon) \max_{y \leq \ell \leq y+u} \pi_A(x, \ell, t)$$

and

$$(34) \quad \sum_{\substack{t \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |t| \leq z}} \pi_A(x, t) \leq c(\varepsilon) \max_{y \leq \ell \leq y+u} \sum_{\substack{t \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |t| \leq z}} \pi_A(x, \ell, t),$$

where, as before,

$$\pi_A(x, t) = \#\{p \leq x : p \nmid N_A, a_{1,p}(A) = t\},$$

and where

$$\pi_A(x, \ell, t) := \#\{p \leq x : p \nmid \ell N_A, a_{1,p}(A) = t,$$

$\ell \text{ splits completely in each of the fields } \mathbb{Q}(\pi_p(E_1)), \dots, \mathbb{Q}(\pi_p(E_g))\}.$

PROOF. We apply Lemma 9 to the set $\mathcal{S} = \{p : p \nmid N_A, a_{1,p}(A) = t\}$, the field K_p = the compositum of the fields $\mathbb{Q}(\pi_p(E_1)), \dots, \mathbb{Q}(\pi_p(E_g))$, the conjugacy class $\mathcal{C}_p = \{\text{id}_{K_p}\}$, and the function $f(v) = \log v$.

Note that K_p/\mathbb{Q} is Galois and that $1 \neq [K_p : \mathbb{Q}] \mid 2^g$. Also note that if a prime ℓ is ramified in K_p , then $\ell \mid (4p - a_p(E_1)^2) \dots (4p - a_p(E_g)^2)$ (see [To55, Theorem, p. 43]). Therefore, upon recalling (3), we have that $\log |d_{K_p}| \ll_{E_1, \dots, E_g} \log p$. Since all hypotheses of Lemma 9 are satisfied, bound (33) follows. Bound (34)

follows from a similar argument, except for taking $\mathcal{S} = \{p : p \nmid N_A, |a_{1,p}(A)| \leq z\}$, while keeping K_p , \mathcal{C}_p , and f as before. □

6.3. Proof of Theorem 1 for arbitrary t . Let A be an abelian variety defined over \mathbb{Q} that is isogenous over \mathbb{Q} to a product of g elliptic curves defined over \mathbb{Q} , pairwise non-isogenous over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ and each without complex multiplication. As in Subsection 6.1, we fix a g -tuple of elliptic curves, $E_1/\mathbb{Q}, \dots, E_g/\mathbb{Q}$, equipped with a \mathbb{Q} -isogeny between A and $E_1 \times \dots \times E_g$ of minimal degree, d_A , among all such choices. We keep all the associated notation introduced so far.

Let $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x > 2$. By Lemma 18, we can bound $\pi_A(x, t)$ from above if we succeed in bounding $\pi_A(x, \ell, t)$ from above for some suitably chosen prime $\ell = \ell(x)$.

For now, we fix a prime $\ell \nmid 2g$ such that

$$(35) \quad \text{Im } \bar{\rho}_{A, \ell} = G(\ell).$$

The existence of infinitely many such primes ℓ is ensured by [Se72, Théorème 6, p. 324] and [Lo16, Theorem 1.1, p. 387] under our hypotheses that $E_1/\mathbb{Q}, \dots, E_g/\mathbb{Q}$ are without complex multiplication and pairwise non-isogenous over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Indeed, from [Lo16, Theorem 1.1, p. 387], we infer that there exists a least positive integer m_A such that $\text{Im } \rho_A$ equals the inverse image under the canonical projection $G(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) \rightarrow G(m_A)$ of $\text{Im } \bar{\rho}_{A, m_A}$. In particular, this means that $\text{Im } \bar{\rho}_{A, \ell} = G(\ell)$ for any prime $\ell \nmid m_A$. Later on, we will choose ℓ in an explicit interval that depends on x .

The choice of a prime ℓ such that (35) holds allows us to consider the subextensions of $\mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])$ fixed by the groups $U(\ell)$ and $B(\ell)$, namely

$$\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])^{B(\ell)} \subset \mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])^{U(\ell)} \subset \mathbb{Q}(A[\ell]),$$

for which we immediately obtain the following Galois group structures:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])/\mathbb{Q}) &\simeq G(\ell), \\ \text{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])/\mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])^{B(\ell)}\right) &\simeq B(\ell), \\ \text{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])/\mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])^{U(\ell)}\right) &\simeq U(\ell), \\ \text{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])^{U(\ell)}/\mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])^{B(\ell)}\right) &\simeq B(\ell)/U(\ell). \end{aligned}$$

In relation to these Galois groups, we recall from part (v) of Lemma 11 that $U(\ell) \trianglelefteq B(\ell)$ and that $B(\ell)/U(\ell)$ is abelian.

Using (25), (29), and (35), we deduce that every prime $p \nmid d_A$ counted by $\pi_A(x, \ell, t)$ is also counted by $\pi_{\mathcal{C}(\ell, t)}(x, \mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])/\mathbb{Q})$, where $\mathcal{C}(\ell, t)$ is the union of conjugacy classes of $G(\ell)$ studied in Lemma 14 of Section

5. Thus

$$(36) \quad \pi_A(x, \ell, t) \ll_A \pi_{\mathcal{C}(\ell, t)}(x, \mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])/\mathbb{Q}).$$

To estimate $\pi_{\mathcal{C}(\ell, t)}(x, \mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])/\mathbb{Q})$, we invoke part (ii) of Corollary 8 of Section 2 with $K = \mathbb{Q}$, $L = \mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])$, $G = G(\ell)$, $H = B(\ell)$, $N = U(\ell)$, and $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}(\ell, t)$. The hypotheses of this corollary hold thanks to our assumption of GRH and to part (v) of Lemma 11, part (v) of Lemma 14, and Lemma 16. We deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\mathcal{C}(\ell, t)}(x, \mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])/\mathbb{Q}) &\ll \frac{|\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, t)| \cdot |U(\ell)|}{|B(\ell)|} \cdot \frac{x}{\log x} \\ &+ \left| \widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, t) \right|^{\frac{1}{2}} [\mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])^{B(\ell)} : \mathbb{Q}]^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\log x} \log M \left(\mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])^{U(\ell)}/\mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])^{B(\ell)} \right) \\ &+ \left(\frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\log x} + \log M \left(\mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])/\mathbb{Q} \right) \right) \\ &+ [\mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])^{B(\ell)} : \mathbb{Q}] \left(\frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\log x} + \log M \left(\mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])^{U(\ell)}/\mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])^{B(\ell)} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 12 and Lemma 17, we deduce from the above that

$$(37) \quad \pi_{\mathcal{C}(\ell, t)}(x, \mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])/\mathbb{Q}) \ll \frac{x}{\ell \log x} + (\ell - 1)^{\frac{g}{2}} (\ell + 1)^g \frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\log x} \log M \left(\mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])^{U(\ell)}/\mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])^{B(\ell)} \right).$$

We estimate $\log M \left(\mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])^{U(\ell)}/\mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])^{B(\ell)} \right)$ by putting together (3), Lemma 12, and the Néron-Ogg-Shafarevich criterion for A/\mathbb{Q} , which states that the extension $\mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])/\mathbb{Q}$ is unramified outside of ℓN_A (see [SeTa68, Theorem 1, p. 493]). We obtain that

$$(38) \quad \log M \left(\mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])^{U(\ell)}/\mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])^{B(\ell)} \right) \leq 2 \log |B(\ell)/U(\ell)| + 2 \log(\ell N_A) + \log 2 \ll g \log(\ell N_A).$$

Using this bound in the upper-estimate (37) for $\pi_{\mathcal{C}(\ell, t)}(x, \mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])/\mathbb{Q})$, we deduce that

$$(39) \quad \pi_{\mathcal{C}(\ell, t)}(x, \mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])/\mathbb{Q}) \ll \frac{x}{\ell \log x} + g \ell^{\frac{3g}{2}} \frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\log x} \log(\ell N_A).$$

Finally, we apply Lemma 18 with

$$y \asymp \frac{x^{\frac{1}{3g+2}}}{(\log x)^{\frac{2}{3g+2}}}$$

and

$$u \asymp y^{\frac{1}{2}} (\log y)^{2+\varepsilon}$$

for an arbitrarily chosen $\varepsilon > 0$, and conclude that

$$\pi_A(x, t) \leq c(\varepsilon) \max_{y \leq \ell \leq y+u} \pi_A(x, \ell, t) \ll_{\varepsilon, A} \frac{x^{1-\frac{1}{3g+2}}}{(\log x)^{1-\frac{2}{3g+2}}}.$$

6.4. Proof of Theorem 1 for $t = 0$. We keep the setting of Subsection 6.3. Let $x > 2$. As before, we take $\ell \nmid 2g$ to be a sufficiently large prime such that (35) holds. Then taking $t = 0$ in (36), we have that

$$(40) \quad \pi_A(x, \ell, 0) \ll_A \pi_{\mathcal{C}(\ell, 0)}(x, \mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])/\mathbb{Q}).$$

To estimate $\pi_{\mathcal{C}(\ell, 0)}(x, \mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])/\mathbb{Q})$, we follow the strategy of the previous subsection, the main difference being that we will now work with the subextensions of $\mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])$ fixed by the groups $U'(\ell)$ and $B(\ell)$, instead of by $U(\ell)$ and $B(\ell)$. More precisely, we will work with the extensions

$$\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])^{B(\ell)} \subset \mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])^{U'(\ell)} \subset \mathbb{Q}(A[\ell]).$$

Observe that $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])/\mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])^{U'(\ell)}) \simeq U'(\ell)$ and $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])^{U'(\ell)}/\mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])^{B(\ell)}) \simeq B(\ell)/U'(\ell)$, and recall from part (vi) of Lemma 11 that $U'(\ell) \trianglelefteq B(\ell)$ and that $B(\ell)/U'(\ell)$ is abelian. Upon also recalling part (vi) of Lemma 14 and Lemma 16, we apply part (ii) of Corollary 8 of Section 2 with $K = \mathbb{Q}$, $L = \mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])$, $G = G(\ell)$, $H = B(\ell)$, $N = U'(\ell)$, and $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}(\ell, 0)$, and deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\mathcal{C}(\ell, 0)}(x, \mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])/\mathbb{Q}) &\ll \frac{|\widehat{\mathcal{C}}'_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, 0)| \cdot |U'(\ell)|}{|B(\ell)|} \cdot \frac{x}{\log x} \\ &+ \left| \widehat{\mathcal{C}}'_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, 0) \right|^{\frac{1}{2}} [\mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])^{B(\ell)} : \mathbb{Q}]^{\frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\log x}} \log M \left(\mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])^{U'(\ell)}/\mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])^{B(\ell)} \right) \\ &+ \left(\frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\log x} + \log M \left(\mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])/\mathbb{Q} \right) \right) \\ &+ [\mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])^{B(\ell)} : \mathbb{Q}] \left(\frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\log x} + \log M \left(\mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])^{U'(\ell)}/\mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])^{B(\ell)} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 12 and Lemma 17, we infer from the above that

$$\pi_{\mathcal{C}(\ell, 0)}(x, \mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])/\mathbb{Q}) \ll \frac{x}{\ell \log x} + \ell^{\frac{g-1}{2}} (\ell+1)^g \frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\log x} \log M(\mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])^{U'(\ell)}/\mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])^{B(\ell)}).$$

Similarly to how we deduced (38), we obtain that

$$(41) \quad \log M \left(\mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])^{U'(\ell)}/\mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])^{B(\ell)} \right) \leq 2 \log |B(\ell)/U'(\ell)| + 2 \log(\ell N_A) + \log 2 \ll g \log(\ell N_A).$$

Thus,

$$\pi_{\mathcal{C}(\ell, t)}(x, \mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])/\mathbb{Q}) \ll \frac{x}{\ell \log x} + g \ell^{\frac{3g-1}{2}} \frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\log x} \log(\ell N_A).$$

Now we apply Lemma 18 with

$$y \asymp \frac{x^{\frac{1}{3g+1}}}{(\log x)^{\frac{2}{3g+1}}}$$

and

$$u \asymp y^{\frac{1}{2}} (\log y)^{2+\varepsilon}$$

for an arbitrarily chosen $\varepsilon > 0$. We conclude that

$$\pi_A(x, 0) \leq c(\varepsilon) \max_{y \leq \ell \leq y+u} \pi_A(x, \ell, 0) \ll_{\varepsilon, A} \frac{x^{1-\frac{1}{3g+1}}}{(\log x)^{1-\frac{2}{3g+1}}}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

6.5. Proof of applications (1) and (2). We keep the setting of Subsection 6.3. Let $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x > 2$. By applying Theorem 1, we deduce right away that

$$\#\{p \leq x : p \nmid N_A, a_{1,p}(A) \neq t\} = \pi(x) - \#\{p \leq x : p \nmid N_A, a_{1,p}(A) = t\} - \#\{p \leq x : p \mid N_A\} \sim \pi(x),$$

which confirms (1). To confirm (2), we fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and make the following observations, the third of which uses Theorem 1:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(x) &= \#\left\{p \leq x : p \nmid N_A, |a_{1,p}(A)| > p^{\frac{1}{3g+2}-\varepsilon}\right\} + \#\left\{p \leq x : p \nmid N_A, |a_{1,p}(A)| \leq p^{\frac{1}{3g+2}-\varepsilon}\right\} + \#\{p \leq x : p \mid N_A\} \\ &= \#\left\{p \leq x : p \nmid N_A, |a_{1,p}(A)| > p^{\frac{1}{3g+2}-\varepsilon}\right\} + O\left(\sum_{\substack{t \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |t| \leq x^{\frac{1}{3g+2}-\varepsilon}}} \pi_A(x, t)\right) + O_A(1) \\ &= \#\left\{p \leq x : p \nmid N_A, |a_{1,p}(A)| > p^{\frac{1}{3g+2}-\varepsilon}\right\} + O_{A,\varepsilon}\left(x^{\frac{1}{3g+2}-\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{x^{1-\frac{1}{3g+2}}}{(\log x)^{1-\frac{2}{3g+2}}}\right) \\ &= \#\left\{p \leq x : p \nmid N_A, |a_{1,p}(A)| > p^{\frac{1}{3g+2}-\varepsilon}\right\} + O_{A,\varepsilon}\left(\frac{x^{1-\varepsilon}}{(\log x)^{1-\frac{2}{3g+2}}}\right) \\ &= \#\left\{p \leq x : p \nmid N_A, |a_{1,p}(A)| > p^{\frac{1}{3g+2}-\varepsilon}\right\} + o(\pi(x)). \end{aligned}$$

6.6. Proof of Theorem 3. We keep the setting of Subsection 6.3. Let $x > 2$ and $z = z(x) > 1$. Note that, for any prime ℓ , we have

$$(42) \quad \sum_{\substack{t \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |t| \leq z}} \pi_A(x, \ell, t) := \#\{p \leq x : p \nmid \ell N_A, |a_{1,p}(A)| \leq z, \\ \ell \text{ splits completely in each of the fields } \mathbb{Q}(\pi_p(E_1)), \dots, \mathbb{Q}(\pi_p(E_g))\}.$$

As before, observe that every prime $p \nmid d_A$ which is counted on the right-hand side of (42) is also counted by $\pi_{\mathcal{C}(\ell, |t| \leq z)}(x, \mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])/\mathbb{Q})$. Thus

$$\sum_{\substack{t \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |t| \leq z}} \pi_A(x, \ell, t) \ll_A \pi_{\mathcal{C}(\ell, |t| \leq z)}(x, \mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])/\mathbb{Q}).$$

We take $\ell \nmid 2g$ to be a sufficiently large prime such that (35) holds. Recalling part (vi) of Lemma 14 and Lemma 16, we apply part (ii) of Corollary 8 of Section 2 with $K = \mathbb{Q}$, $L = \mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])$, $G = G(\ell)$, $H = B(\ell)$,

$N = U(\ell)$, and $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}(\ell, |t| \leq z)$, and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\mathcal{C}(\ell, |t| \leq z)}(x, \mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])/\mathbb{Q}) &\ll \frac{|\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, |t| \leq z)|}{|B(\ell)/U(\ell)|} \cdot \frac{x}{\log x} \\ &+ \left| \widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, |t| \leq z) \right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])^{B(\ell)} : \mathbb{Q} \right] \frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\log x} \left(\log M \left(\mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])^{U(\ell)} / \mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])^{B(\ell)} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using Proposition 12 and Lemma 17, we infer from the above that

$$\pi_{\mathcal{C}(\ell, |t| \leq z)}(x, \mathbb{Q}(A[\ell])/\mathbb{Q}) \ll \frac{z}{\ell} \cdot \frac{x}{\log x} + g z^{\frac{1}{2}} \ell^{\frac{3g}{2}} \frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\log x} \log(\ell N_A).$$

Applying (34) in Lemma 18 with $y \asymp z(\log x)^\eta$ for some fixed arbitrary $\eta > 0$, we obtain that

$$\sum_{\substack{t \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |t| \leq z}} \pi_A(x, t) \ll_A \frac{x}{(\log x)^{1+\eta}} + x^{\frac{1}{2}} (\log x)^{\frac{3g\eta}{2} - 1} z^{\frac{3g+1}{2}} (\log z + \log \log x).$$

Now fix an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ and set $z := x^{\frac{1}{3g+1} - \varepsilon}$. Then

$$\sum_{\substack{t \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |t| \leq x^{\frac{1}{3g+1} - \varepsilon}}} \pi_A(x, t) \ll_{A, \varepsilon} \frac{x}{(\log x)^{1+\eta}} = o(\pi(x)).$$

Theorem 3 follows from the above upper bound and the following observations:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(x) &= \#\left\{ p \leq x : p \nmid N_A, |a_{1,p}(A)| > p^{\frac{1}{3g+1} - \varepsilon} \right\} + \#\left\{ p \leq x : p \nmid N_A, |a_{1,p}(A)| \leq p^{\frac{1}{3g+1} - \varepsilon} \right\} + \#\{p \leq x : p \mid N_A\} \\ &= \#\left\{ p \leq x : p \nmid N_A, |a_{1,p}(A)| > p^{\frac{1}{3g+1} - \varepsilon} \right\} + \sum_{\substack{t \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |t| \leq x^{\frac{1}{3g+1} - \varepsilon}}} \pi_A(x, t) + O_A(1) \\ &= \#\left\{ p \leq x : p \nmid N_A, |a_{1,p}(A)| > p^{\frac{1}{3g+1} - \varepsilon} \right\} + o(\pi(x)). \end{aligned}$$

7. Final remarks

It is a natural question to ask whether one can find subgroups and conjugacy classes other than those chosen in Sections 4 - 5 and use them, following a strategy similar to the one of Theorem 1, to obtain a better upper bound for $\pi_A(x, t)$. We relegate this question to future research. Below are candidates of subgroups and conjugacy classes which, used mutatis mutandis in our proof, do not improve on Theorem 1.

We keep the setting of Subsection 6.3. We could replace $B(\ell)$ with $T(\ell)$, $U(\ell)$ with $\{I_{2g}\}$, and $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, t)$ with $\mathcal{C}_{\text{Torus}}(\ell, t)$. For every $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, this would lead to the upper bound

$$(43) \quad \pi_A(x, t) \ll_A \frac{x^{1 - \frac{1}{5g+2}}}{(\log x)^{1 - \frac{2}{5g+2}}}.$$

Alternatively, we could replace $B(\ell)$ with $C_\xi^{ns}(\ell)^g \cap G(\ell)$, $U(\ell)$ with $\{I_{2g}\}$, and $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\text{Borel}}(\ell, t)$ with $\mathcal{C}_{ns}(\ell, \xi, t)$, where $C_\xi^{ns}(\ell)$ is the non-split Cartan subgroup of $\text{GL}_2(\ell)$ defined by

$$C_\xi^{ns}(\ell) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & \xi b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} : a, b \in \mathbb{F}_\ell, (a, b) \neq (0, 0) \right\}$$

for some non-square element ξ of \mathbb{F}_ℓ^\times , and where

$$\mathcal{C}_{ns}(\ell, \xi, t) := \left\{ (M_1, \dots, M_g) \in C_\xi^{ns}(\ell)^g \cap G(\ell) : \sum_{1 \leq i \leq g} \text{tr } M_i \equiv -t \pmod{\ell} \right\}.$$

Note that $C_\xi^{ns}(\ell)^g \simeq (\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}^\times)^g$, where \mathbb{F}_{ℓ^2} denotes the finite field with ℓ^2 elements. Hence $C_\xi^{ns}(\ell)^g$ is an abelian group. With the above choices, instead of considering the counting function $\pi_A(x, \ell, t)$, we would consider

$$\pi_A^{ns}(x, \ell, t) := \#\{p \leq x : p \nmid N_A, a_{1,p}(A) = t, \ell \text{ inert in each of the fields } \mathbb{Q}(\pi_p(E_1)), \dots, \mathbb{Q}(\pi_p(E_g))\}$$

and prove an upper bound similar to that of Lemma 18. Then, applying Corollary 8, we would obtain the previous bound (43), which is weaker than that of Theorem 1.

References

- [AkDaJu04] A. Akbari, C. David, and R. Juricevic, *Average distributions and products of special values of L-series*, Acta Arithmetica 111 (3), 2004, pp. 239–268.
- [AkPa19] A. Akbary and J. Park, *On the Lang-Trotter conjecture for two elliptic curves*, Ramanujan J. 49, 2019, pp. 585–623.
- [Ar37] E. Artin, *Beweis des allgemeinen Reziprozitätsgesetzes*, Hamb. Abh. 5, 1927, pp. 353–363.
- [BaJo09] S. Baier and N. Jones, *A refined version of the Lang-Trotter conjecture*, International Mathematics Research Notices 3, 2009, pp. 433–461.
- [ChJoSe20] H. Chen, N. Jones, and V. Serban, *The Lang-Trotter conjecture for products of non-CM elliptic curves*, Ramanujan J. (2020), to appear. arxiv:2006.11269
- [CoDaSiSt17] A.C. Cojocaru, R. Davis, A. Silverberg, and K.E. Stange, *Arithmetic properties of the Frobenius traces defined by a rational abelian variety (with two appendices by J-P. Serre)*, International Mathematics Research Notices 12, 2017, pp. 3557–3602.
- [El91] N.D. Elkies, *Distribution of supersingular primes*, Journées Arithmétiques (1989): Luminy, Astérisque No. 198-200, 1991, pp. 127–132.
- [Fa83] G. Faltings, *Endlichkeitssätze für abelsche Varietäten über Zahlkörpern*, Inventiones Math. 73, 1983, pp. 349–366.
- [FoMu95] É. Fouvry and M.R. Murty, *Supersingular primes common to two elliptic curves*, Number theory (Paris, 1992-1993), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series 215, Cambridge University Press, 1995, pp. 91–102.
- [He02] K. Hensel, *Über die Entwicklung der algebraischen Zahlen in Potenzreihen*, Mathematische Annalen 55, 1902, pp. 301–336.
- [Ho68] T. Honda, *Isogeny classes of abelian varieties over finite fields*, Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan 20, 1968, pp. 83–95.

- [Ka09] N.M. Katz, *Lang-Trotter revisited*, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society 46, No. 3, 2009, pp. 413–457.
- [LaOd77] J. Lagarias and A. Odlyzko, *Effective versions of the Chebotarev density theorem*, in: A. Fröhlich (Ed.), Algebraic Number Fields, Academic Press, New York, 1977, pp. 409–464.
- [La83] S. Lang, *Abelian varieties*, Springer-Verlag, New York - Berlin, 1983.
- [LaTr76] S. Lang and H. Trotter, *Frobenius distributions in GL_2 -extensions*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 504, Springer Verlag, Berlin - New York, 1976.
- [Lo16] D. Lombardo, *An explicit open image theorem for products of elliptic curves*, Journal of Number Theory 168, 2016, pp. 386–412.
- [MuMuSa88] M.R. Murty, V.K. Murty, and N. Saradha, *Modular forms and the Chebotarev density theorem*, Amer. J. Math. 110, No. 2, 1988, pp. 253–281.
- [Mu97] V.K. Murty, *Modular forms and the Chebotarev density theorem II*, Analytic number theory (Kyoto, 1996), London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Series 247, Cambridge University Press, 1997, pp. 287–308.
- [Mu99] V.K. Murty, *Frobenius distributions and Galois representations*, Automorphic forms, automorphic representations, and arithmetic (Fort Worth, TX, 1996), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 66, Part 1, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1999, pp. 193–211.
- [Oo08] F. Oort, *Abelian varieties over finite fields. Higher-dimensional geometry over finite fields*, NATO Sci. Peace Secur. Ser. D Inf. Commun. Secur., 16, IOS, Amsterdam, 2008, pp. 123–188.
- [Se72] J-P. Serre, *Propriétés galoisiennes des points d'ordre fini des courbes elliptiques*, Inventiones Math. 15, No. 4, 1972, pp. 259–331.
- [Se81] J-P. Serre, *Quelques applications du théorème de densité de Chebotarev*, Publ. Math. I. H. E. S., No. 54, 1981, pp. 123–201.
- [SeTa68] J-P. Serre and J. Tate, *Good reduction of abelian varieties*, Annals of Mathematics 88, 1968, pp. 492–517; Oeuvres/Collected Papers OO, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1985, pp. 472–497.
- [ThZa17] J. Thorner and A. Zaman, *A Chebotarev variant of the Brun-Titchmarsh theorem and bounds for the Lang-Trotter conjectures*, International Mathematics Research Notices 16, 2018, pp. 4991–5027.
- [To55] H. Toyama, *A note on the different of the composed field*, Kodai Math. Sem. Rep. 7(2), 1955, pp. 43–44.
- [Wan90] D. Wan, *On the Lang-Trotter conjecture*, Journal of Number Theory 35, 1990, pp. 247–268.
- [Wat69] W.C. Waterhouse, *Abelian varieties over finite fields*, Ann. Sc. Ec. Norm. Sup. 2, 1969, pp. 521–560.
- [Zy15] D. Zywinia, *Bounds for the Lang-Trotter Conjectures*, in *SCHOLAR – a scientific celebration highlighting open lines of arithmetic research*, Contemporary Mathematics 655, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2015, pp. 235–256.

(Alina Carmen Cojocaru)

- DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STATISTICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT CHICAGO, 851 S MORGAN ST, 322 SEO, CHICAGO, 60607, IL, USA;
- INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS “SIMION STOILOW” OF THE ROMANIAN ACADEMY, 21 CALEA GRIVITEI ST, BUCHAREST, 010702, SECTOR 1, ROMANIA

Email address, Alina Carmen Cojocaru: cojocaru@uic.edu

(Tian Wang)

- DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STATISTICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT CHICAGO, 851 S
MORGAN ST, 322 SEO, CHICAGO, 60607, IL, USA;

Email address, Tian Wang: `twang213@uic.edu`