

# Distribution of primes of split reductions for abelian surfaces

Tian Wang

ABSTRACT. Let  $A$  be an absolutely simple abelian surface defined over a number field  $K$  with a commutative (geometric) endomorphism ring. Let  $\pi_{A,\text{split}}(x)$  denote the number of primes  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $K$  such that each prime has absolute norm bounded by  $x$ , of good reduction for  $A$ , and the reduction of  $A$  at  $\mathfrak{p}$  splits. It is known that the density of such primes is zero. Under a Generalized Riemann Hypothesis (GRH) for Dedekind zeta functions and certain Galois image assumptions, we prove that  $\pi_{A,\text{split}}(x) \ll_{A,K} x^{\frac{41}{42}} \log x$  if the endomorphism ring of  $A$  is trivial;  $\pi_{A,\text{split}}(x) \ll_{A,E,K} \frac{x^{\frac{11}{12}}}{(\log x)^{\frac{1}{3}}}$  if  $A$  has real multiplication by  $E$ ;  $\pi_{A,\text{split}}(x) \ll_{A,E,K} x^{\frac{2}{3}} (\log x)^{\frac{1}{3}}$  if  $A$  has complex multiplication by  $E$ . These results improve the bounds by D. Zywina in 2008 and J. Achter in 2012. Under other credible conjectures, we improve the first two bounds to  $x^{\frac{5}{6}} \log x$  and  $x^{\frac{2}{3}} \log x$ , respectively. As an application, we also obtain upper bounds for the number of extremal primes for abelian surfaces.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $A$  be an absolutely simple abelian variety of dimension  $g$  defined over a number field  $K$ . Let the integer  $N_A$  denote the absolute norm of the conductor of  $A$ . Recall that for any prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $K$  such that  $\mathfrak{p} \nmid N_A$ , the reduction of  $A$  modulo  $\mathfrak{p}$ , denoted by  $\overline{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , is an abelian variety defined over the finite residue field  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  of  $\mathfrak{p}$ . An immediate question to ask is if  $\overline{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  splits (over  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ ), i.e., whether  $\overline{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is isogenous over  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  to the product of non-trivial abelian subvarieties.

It turns out that there is a strong connection between the (upper) density of primes  $\mathfrak{p}$  such that  $\overline{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  splits and the properties of the  $\overline{K}$ -endomorphism ring  $\text{End}_{\overline{K}}(A)$ . In particular, Murty and Patankar [MuPa08, Theorem 5.1, p. 3] conjectured that the density of primes  $\mathfrak{p}$  such that  $\overline{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  splits is zero if and only if  $\text{End}_{\overline{K}}(A)$  is commutative in light of the following observations. It is known that if  $\text{End}_{\overline{K}}(A) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$  and the dimension of  $A$  is 2, 6 or odd, the density of primes  $\mathfrak{p}$  such that  $\overline{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  splits is zero based on the result of Chavdarov [Ch97, Corollary 6.10, p. 179]; If  $A$  has complex multiplication, Murty and Patankar [MuPa08, Theorem 3.1, p. 12] proved an analogous result; if  $\text{End}_{\overline{K}}(A)$  is isomorphic to an indefinite quaternion division algebra, then for each prime  $\mathfrak{p} \nmid N_A$ ,  $\overline{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  splits [Ta66, Theorem 2, p. 140]. Conversely, if an absolutely simple abelian

---

*Key words and phrases:* Abelian surfaces, champion primes, endomorphism rings, distribution of primes, reduction type, square sieve

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 11G10, 11G25, 11N05 (Primary), 11N36, 11N45

variety  $A/K$  associated to a cusp form of weight 2 admits a positive density of primes  $\mathfrak{p}$  such that  $\overline{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  splits, then  $\text{End}_{\overline{K}}(A)$  is not commutative [**MuPa08**, Theorem 4.1, p. 17].

Related studies on the relationship between the reductions of an absolutely simple abelian variety and its endomorphism ring were pursued in [**Zy08**, **Ac09**, **EIElHaKo09**, **Ac12**, **Zy14**, **AcHo17**, **CoMaSiVo19**, **ShTa20**, **CoLoVo21**]. In particular, Achter proved one direction of the Murty-Patankar Conjecture [**Ac09**, Theorem B], and he also related this conjecture to known instances of the Mumford-Tate Conjecture [**Ac09**, Theorem A]. Building on the result, Zywina [**Zy14**, Theorem 1.2, p.2] proved the other direction under the assumption of the Mumford-Tate Conjecture.

We will focus on the case of an absolutely simple abelian surface  $A/K$ , where more information is known due to the validity of the Mumford-Tate Conjecture for abelian surfaces defined over a finitely generated subfield of the complex numbers (e.g., [**Lo16**, Corollary 4.5, p.12]). From the discussions above, if  $\text{End}_{\overline{K}}(A)$  is commutative, then for almost all primes  $\mathfrak{p}$ ,  $\overline{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  does not split into a product of two elliptic curves. Therefore, it is natural to understand the exceptional primes, namely, the prime such that  $\overline{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  splits. Recently, Shankar and Tang [**ShTa20**] and Shankar, Shankar, Tang, and Tayou [**ShShTaTa22**] proved that an absolutely simple abelian surface defined over a number field has infinitely many exceptional primes, though the density of such primes is zero.

Motivated by the Lang-Trotter philosophy [**LaTr76**], Achter and Howe [**AcHo17**, Conjecture 1.1, p.2] predicted that for a principally polarized absolutely simple abelian surface  $A/K$  with trivial endomorphism ring, i.e.,  $\text{End}_{\overline{K}}(A) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ , there exists a constant  $C_A > 0$  depending only on  $A$ , such that as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\pi_{A,\text{split}}(x) := \#\{N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{p}) \leq x : \mathfrak{p} \nmid N_A, \overline{A}_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ splits}\} \sim C_A \frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\log x}.$$

Furthermore, upper bounds for the number of the exceptional primes of absolutely simple abelian varieties were given in the work of Achter [**Ac12**, Theorem B, p.2] and Zywina [**Zy08**, Theorem 1.2.13, p.11]. They related a prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $K$  such that  $\overline{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  splits with the characteristic polynomial of the Frobenius endomorphism  $\pi_{A,\mathfrak{p}}$  of  $\overline{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  that is reducible. Let  $A/K$  be an absolutely simple abelian variety of dimension  $g$  and with a commutative endomorphism ring. Assuming the Mumford-Tate Conjecture for  $A$  and the GRH for Dedekind zeta functions, they obtained the bound

$$(1) \quad \pi_{A,\text{split}}(x) \ll_{A,K} x^{1 - \frac{1}{4d+2r+2}} (\log x)^{\frac{2}{2d+r+1}},$$

where  $d$  and  $r$  correspond to the dimension and the rank of the Mumford-Tate group of  $A$ .

By Albert's classification of endomorphism algebras [**Mu70**, p. 203], if  $A$  is an abelian surface, then  $\text{End}_{\overline{K}}(A)$  is either trivial or isomorphic to an order in a real quadratic field or an order in a CM quartic field. In particular, from (1), we obtain the following bounds:

- (a) if  $\text{End}_{\overline{K}}(A) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $\pi_{A,\text{split}}(x) \ll_{A,K} x^{\frac{51}{52}} (\log x)^{\frac{1}{13}}$ ;
- (b) if  $\text{End}_{\overline{K}}(A)$  is isomorphic to an order in a real quadratic field, then  $\pi_{A,\text{split}}(x) \ll_{A,K} x^{\frac{35}{36}} (\log x)^{\frac{1}{9}}$ ;
- (c) if  $\text{End}_{\overline{K}}(A)$  is isomorphic to an order in a CM quartic field, then  $\pi_{A,\text{split}}(x) \ll_{A,K} x^{\frac{19}{20}} (\log x)^{\frac{1}{5}}$ .

By a careful description of the primes counted by  $\pi_{A,\text{split}}(x)$  due to Maisner and Nart [MaNa02] and a counting method due to Cojocaru and David [CoDa08], we obtain upper bounds for  $\pi_{A,\text{split}}(x)$  that surpass (a), (b), and (c) under GRH, and get the best-known bounds under extra credible hypotheses, as follows.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $A/K$  be an absolutely simple abelian surface with  $\text{End}_{\overline{K}}(A) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ .*

(i) *Assuming GRH for Dedekind zeta functions, we have that for all sufficiently large  $x$ ,*

$$\pi_{A,\text{split}}(x) \ll_{A,K} x^{\frac{41}{42}} \log x.$$

(ii) *Assuming GRH for Dedekind zeta functions and Artin's Holomorphic Conjecture (AHC) for Galois extensions of number fields, we have that for all sufficiently large  $x$ ,*

$$\pi_{A,\text{split}}(x) \ll_{A,K} x^{\frac{21}{22}} \log x.$$

(iii) *Assuming GRH for Dedekind zeta functions, AHC and Pair Correlation Conjecture (PCC) for Galois extensions of number fields, we have that for all sufficiently large  $x$ ,*

$$\pi_{A,\text{split}}(x) \ll_{A,K} x^{\frac{5}{6}} \log x.$$

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $A/K$  be an absolutely simple abelian surface such that  $\text{End}_{\overline{K}}(A) = \text{End}_K(A)$  is isomorphic to an order in a real quadratic field  $E$ .*

(i) *Assuming GRH for Dedekind zeta functions, we have that for all sufficiently large  $x$ ,*

$$\pi_{A,\text{split}}(x) \ll_{A,E,K} \frac{x^{\frac{11}{12}}}{(\log x)^{\frac{2}{3}}}.$$

(ii) *Assuming GRH for Dedekind zeta functions and AHC for Galois extensions of number fields, we have that for all sufficiently large  $x$ ,*

$$\pi_{A,\text{split}}(x) \ll_{A,E,K} \frac{x^{\frac{6}{7}}}{(\log x)^{\frac{3}{7}}}.$$

(iii) *Assuming GRH for Dedekind zeta functions, AHC and PCC for Galois extensions of number fields, we have that for all sufficiently large  $x$ ,*

$$\pi_{A,\text{split}}(x) \ll_{A,E,K} x^{\frac{2}{3}} \log x.$$

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $A/K$  be an absolutely simple abelian surface such that  $\text{End}_{\overline{K}}(A) = \text{End}_K(A)$  is isomorphic to an order in a quadric CM field  $E$ . Assume that  $E \subseteq K$  and that the kernel of the homomorphism  $\phi : T_{E'} \rightarrow T_E$  defined in [Ri80, p. 85] is connected, where  $T_E$  and  $T_{E'}$  are algebraic tori defined by  $E$  and its reflex field  $E'$ , respectively. Assuming GRH for Dedekind zeta functions, we have that for sufficiently large  $x$ ,*

$$\pi_{A,\text{split}}(x) \ll_{A,E,K} x^{\frac{2}{3}} (\log x)^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

We make a few remarks on the theorems. Firstly, the bounds in Theorem 1 actually hold for the following counting function

$$(2) \quad \pi'_{A, \text{split}}(x) := \#\{N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{p}) \leq x : \mathfrak{p} \nmid N_A, \overline{A}_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ splits over } \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\mathfrak{p}}\}.$$

Next, the assumptions on  $\text{End}_{\overline{K}}(A)$  and  $\phi$  in Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 are needed to get an explicit description of the  $\ell$ -adic Galois image of  $A$  for all sufficiently large prime  $\ell$ . In Theorem 3, we do not consider the bounds under AHC and PCC because, by our approach, the bound remains the same assuming these conjectures. Lastly, if  $K = \mathbb{Q}$  and we are in the setting of Theorem 3, it is known that  $\text{End}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}(A) = \text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A)$  never holds. So Theorem 3 is non-trivial only if  $K \neq \mathbb{Q}$ .

The proofs of Theorem 1, Theorem 2, and Theorem 3 are in Subsection 5.2, Subsection 5.3, and Subsection 5.4, respectively. An essential step toward proving the theorems relies on a characterization of an absolutely simple abelian surface defined over a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  via the characteristic polynomial of its corresponding Frobenius endomorphism, which is discussed in Section 4. Then in Section 5, we interpret the prescribed properties of the characteristic polynomials as Chebotarev conditions in some well-chosen number field extensions associated to  $A$  so that we can apply various versions of the effective Chebotarev Density Theorem successfully.

More specifically, when  $\text{End}_{\overline{K}}(A) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ , we apply the square sieve rather than the large sieve used by Achter and Zywinia. The square sieve can be applied naturally because of an obvious square condition in the description of the characteristic polynomials of the associated Frobenius endomorphisms.

When  $\text{End}_{\overline{K}}(A) = \text{End}_K(A)$  is an order in a real quadratic field or a CM quartic  $E$ , we take the advantage of the real multiplication and prove that if  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime such that  $\overline{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  splits, then the corresponding characteristic polynomial of the Frobenius endomorphism is a square, which is more precise than the reducibility condition used by Achter and Zywinia. This allows us to obtain better upper bounds.

As an application, we consider an arbitrary absolutely simple abelian surface  $A/K$ . Then, the reduction of  $A$  at  $\mathfrak{p}$  may not be the Jacobian of a genus 2 curve (see [Ru90, Theorem 1.2, p.352]). However, as an application of Theorem 1, we show that the reduction of the surface at most of the primes is isogenous over the prime field to the Jacobian of a genus two (smooth projective) curve. Namely, if  $A/K$  is an absolutely simple abelian surface, then we have

$$(3) \quad \#\{N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{p}) \leq x : \mathfrak{p} \nmid N_A, \overline{A}_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ is not } \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ isogenous to the Jacobian of a genus 2 curve}\} \ll \pi'_{A, \text{split}}(x).$$

In particular, if  $A/K$  is an abelian surface with a trivial endomorphism ring, then the number of primes  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $K$  with  $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{p}) \leq x$  such that  $\overline{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  isogenous to the Jacobian of a genus two curve has the same bounds appearing in Theorem 1.

For another application, we consider the distribution of primes  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $K$ , of good reduction for an absolutely simple abelian surface  $A/K$  with a commutative endomorphism ring, such that the number of  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -points of  $\overline{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , reaches the extremal values  $(q + 1 \pm \lfloor 2\sqrt{q} \rfloor)^2$ , where  $q = |\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}|$  and  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  denote the largest integer less than

$x$ . Investigation of primes with a similar property was first made by Serre [Se83] for algebraic curves over finite fields. Recall that in the setting of elliptic curves over the rationals, such primes are called *extremal primes*. We derive an upper bound for the number of extremal primes for abelian surfaces from our main theorems, and a result of [AuHaLa13]. The statement is as follows.

COROLLARY 4. *Let  $A/K$  be an absolutely simple abelian surface. Then, we have that*

$$\#\{N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{p}) \leq x : \mathfrak{p} \nmid N_A, |\overline{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})| = (q + 1 \pm \lfloor 2\sqrt{q} \rfloor)^2\} \ll \pi_{A, \text{split}}(x),$$

where  $q = |\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}|$ . In particular, under the same settings and assumptions as in Theorem 1, Theorem 2, and Theorem 3, the exact bounds hold for the number of extremal primes, respectively.

In the last section, we discuss the split reductions for absolutely simple abelian surfaces of type II and IV according to Albert's classification and consider the split reductions from a computational perspective.

### Notation

- Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a finite set. We use  $|\mathcal{A}|$  and  $\#\mathcal{A}$  to denote the cardinality of  $\mathcal{A}$ .
- Given two real functions  $f_1, f_2$ , we write  $f_1 \ll f_2$  (or  $f_1 = O(f_2)$ ) if there exist positive constants  $C$  and  $x_0$  such that  $|f_1(x)| \leq C |f_2(x)|$  for all  $x > x_0$ . We denote by  $f_1 \ll_D f_2$  (or  $f_1 = O_D(f_2)$ ), if  $f_1 \ll f_2$  and the O-constant  $C$  depends on the property  $D$ . We denote by  $f_1 \asymp f_2$  if  $f_1 \ll f_2$  and  $f_2 \ll f_1$ . Finally, we say  $f_1 \sim f_2$  if  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_1(x)}{f_2(x)} = 1$ .
- We denote  $p$  and  $\ell$  as rational primes. We define  $\pi(x)$  to be the number of primes  $p$  such that  $p$  is no larger than a positive real number  $x > 2$ . Recall the Prime Number Theorem asserts that

$$\pi(x) \sim \text{li}(x) \sim \frac{x}{\log x},$$

where  $\text{li}(x) := \int_2^x \frac{1}{\log t} dt$ .

- For any integer  $n$ , let  $v_p(n)$  denote the  $p$ -adic valuation of  $n$ . More specifically, if there is a decomposition  $n = p^a m$ , where  $a$  is a non-negative integer and if  $p$  and  $m$  are coprime, we have  $v_p(n) = a$ . If  $n$  is a positive integer, we denote by  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  the ring of congruence classes modulo  $n$ . If  $n = p$  is a prime, we also write  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  as  $\mathbb{F}_p$  and write  $\mathbb{Z}_p := \varprojlim_n \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$  as the ring of  $p$ -adic integers. If  $n = q$  is a prime power, we denote by  $\mathbb{F}_q$  the finite field with  $q$  elements.
- For a fixed integer  $a$ , we use the standard notation  $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right)$  for the Legendre symbol. If  $p \mid n$  and  $a \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ , we keep the notation  $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right)$  which is defined as  $\left(\frac{\hat{a}}{p}\right)$ , where  $\hat{a} \in \mathbb{Z}$  is any preimage of  $a$  under the canonical reduction  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ .
- Given a nonzero unitary commutative ring  $R$ , we denote its group of multiplicative units as  $R^\times$ . For an integer  $n \geq 1$ , we use  $M_{n \times n}(R)$  to denote the collection of  $n \times n$  matrices with entries in  $R$ . We denote by  $I_n$  the identity matrix in  $M_{n \times n}(R)$  and by  $\text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in M_{n \times n}(R)$  the diagonal matrix with diagonal entries  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$ . For any  $M \in M_{n \times n}(R)$ , we denote by  $M^t$ ,  $\text{tr } M$ , and

$\det M$  for the transpose of  $M$ , the trace of  $M$ , and the determinant of  $M$ , respectively. For any  $M \in M_{n \times n}(R)$ , we use  $\text{char}_M(X) := \det(I - XM) \in R[X]$  to denote the characteristic polynomial of  $M$ . We use the following standard notation for the general linear group:

$$\text{GL}_n(R) = \{M \in M_{n \times n}(R) : \det M \in R^\times\}.$$

- Let  $K$  be a number field and let  $\mathcal{O}_K$  be the ring of integers of  $K$ . We denote the absolute degree  $[K : \mathbb{Q}]$  of  $K$  by  $n_K$  and by  $d_K \in \mathbb{Z}$  the absolute discriminants of  $K$ . For each ideal  $I \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ , we denote the absolute norm of the ideal  $I$  in  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  by  $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(I)$  or  $N(I)$  if  $K$  is obvious from the context. We say  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime in  $K$  if  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime ideal in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . We say  $\mathfrak{p}$  has degree 1 if its absolute norm is a prime, i.e.,  $N(\mathfrak{p}) = p$ .
- We say that  $K$  satisfies Generalized Riemann Hypothesis (GRH) if for any complex value  $\rho$  in the critical strip  $0 < \text{Re}(\rho) < 1$  and is a zero of the Dedekind zeta function  $\zeta_K(s)$  of  $K$ , we have  $\text{Re}(\rho) = \frac{1}{2}$ .
- We write  $L/K$  to represent a finite extension of number fields. We write  $\text{disc}(L/K) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$  to denote the relative discriminant ideal of  $L/K$ . If  $L/K$  is Galois, we use  $\text{Gal}(L/K)$  to denote its Galois group. For each normal subgroup  $N$  of  $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ , we write  $L^N$  to denote the fixed field of  $L$  by  $N$ . By Galois theory,  $L^N/K$  is a Galois extension with  $\text{Gal}(L^N/K) \simeq \text{Gal}(L/K)/N$ . We say the Artin's Holomorphic Conjecture (AHC) holds for the Galois extension  $L/K$  if all the Artin L-functions attached to non-trivial irreducible characters of  $\text{Gal}(L/K)$  are holomorphic on the complex plane.
- Let  $B$  be an abelian variety defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . We say  $B$  splits (or  $B$  is not simple) if  $B$  is isogenous over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  to the product of non-trivial abelian subvarieties that are defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . We say  $B$  splits over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$  (or  $B$  is not absolutely simple) if  $B$  is isogenous over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$  to a product of non-trivial abelian subvarieties.

**Acknowledgments.** I would like to thank Alina Carmen Cojocaru for introducing the Murty-Patankar Conjecture to me. I am thankful to Manjul Bhargava for hosting me at Princeton University to carry out part of this work, and to Yunqing Tang for the simulating discussions while I was there. I appreciate the helpful remark made by Ananth Shankar and Tonghai Yang for Theorem 3, and the comments by Auden Hinz and Jacob Mayle to improve the exposition of the manuscript.

## 2. Effective Chebotarev Density Theorem

Let  $L/K$  be a Galois extension of number fields with Galois group  $G$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a non-empty set in  $G$  that is invariant under conjugation. We denote  $\Sigma_K, \Sigma_L$  as the set of all prime ideals of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  and  $\mathcal{O}_L$ , respectively.

For each unramified prime  $\mathfrak{p} \in \Sigma_K$ , we write  $\left(\frac{L/K}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \subseteq G$  for the Artin symbol of  $L/K$  at  $\mathfrak{p}$ . We set

$$P(L/K) := \{p \text{ rational prime} : \exists \mathfrak{p} \in \Sigma_K, \text{ such that } \mathfrak{p} \mid p, \text{ and } \mathfrak{p} \mid \text{disc}(L/K)\},$$

$$M(L/K) := [L : K] d_K^{\frac{1}{n_K}} \prod_{p \in P(L/K)} p.$$

The well-known Chebotarev Density Theorem states that as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\pi_{\mathcal{C}}(x, L/K) := \#\{\mathfrak{p} \in \Sigma_K : \mathfrak{p} \text{ unramified, } N(\mathfrak{p}) \leq x, \left(\frac{L/K}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{C}\} \sim \frac{|\mathcal{C}|}{|G|} \text{li}(x).$$

An effective version of the theorem with an explicit error term was proved by Lagarias and Odlyzko [LaOd77] in the 1970s, which was then reformulated by Serre in the 1980s [Se81]. Later on, Murty-Murty-Saradha [MuMuSa88] derived a better error term under GRH for Dedekind zeta functions and AHC for finite Galois extensions of number fields. Under the extra Pair Correlation Conjecture (PCC) for the Artin L-functions attached to irreducible characters of  $G$  (see Section 3 of [MuMuWo18]), M.R. Murty and V.K. Murty obtained a better error term of  $\pi_{\mathcal{C}}(x, L/K)$  which was refined by Murty-Murty-Wong [MuMuWo18] afterward. The following theorem summarizes the aforementioned results.

**THEOREM 5.** *Let  $L/K$  be a finite Galois extension of number fields with Galois group  $G$  and let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a subset of  $G$  that is invariant under conjugation.*

(i) *Assuming GRH for the Dedekind zeta function of  $L$ , we have that as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,*

$$\pi_{\mathcal{C}}(x, L/K) = \frac{|\mathcal{C}|}{|G|} \text{li}(x) + O\left(\frac{|\mathcal{C}|}{|G|} x^{\frac{1}{2}} (\log |d_L| + n_L \log x)\right).$$

(ii) *Assuming GRH for the Dedekind zeta function of  $L$  and AHC for Artin L-functions associated to  $L/K$ , we have that as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,*

$$\pi_{\mathcal{C}}(x, L/K) = \frac{|\mathcal{C}|}{|G|} \text{li}(x) + O\left(|\mathcal{C}|^{\frac{1}{2}} x^{\frac{1}{2}} n_K \log(M(L/K)x)\right).$$

(iii) *Assuming GRH for the Dedekind zeta function of  $L$ , AHC and PCC for Artin L-functions associated to  $L/K$ , we have that as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,*

$$\pi_{\mathcal{C}}(x, L/K) = \frac{|\mathcal{C}|}{|G|} \text{li}(x) + O\left(|\mathcal{C}|^{\frac{1}{2}} n_K^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{|G^{\#}|}{|G|}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log(M(L/K)x)\right),$$

where  $G^{\#}$  denotes the set of conjugacy classes of  $G$ .

**PROOF.** Statement (i) is stated in [Se81, Théorème 4, p. 133], (ii) is a reformulation of [MuMuSa88, Corollary 3.7, p. 265], and (iii) is stated in [MuMuWo18, Theorem 1.2, p. 402].  $\square$

We also record the following lemma due to Hensel on the discriminant of finite number field extensions.

LEMMA 6. *We use the same setting and notation as above.*

$$\log |N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\text{disc}(L/K))| \leq ([L : \mathbb{Q}] - [K : \mathbb{Q}]) \left( \sum_{p \in P(L/K)} \log p \right) + [L : \mathbb{Q}] \log[L : K]$$

PROOF. See [Se81, Proposition 5, p. 129]. □

### 3. Counting subsets of finite general symplectic groups

The main goal of this section is to introduce some distinguished sets related to finite general symplectic groups and count their cardinalities. The results will be used while applying the effective Chebotarev Density Theorem.

**3.1. Background.** Fix an integer  $g \geq 1$ . Later, we will restrict our attention to the case where  $g = 2$ . Let  $R$  be a nonzero unitary commutative ring. We write  $J := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_g \\ -I_g & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and the general symplectic group

$$\text{GSp}_{2g}(R) := \{M \in \text{GL}_{2g}(R) : \exists \mu(M) \in R^\times, \text{ such that } M^t J M = \mu(M) J\}.$$

For each  $M \in \text{GSp}_{2g}(R)$ , the element  $\mu(M) \in R^\times$  is called the multiplier of  $M$ . Recall that taking multipliers in  $\text{GSp}_{2g}(R)$  gives rise to a multiplicative character. Fix a positive integer  $m$  and an element  $\gamma \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times$ , we write

$$G(m) := \text{GSp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}),$$

$$G^\gamma(m) := \{M \in G(m) : \mu(M) = \gamma\},$$

$$\mathbb{G}(m) := \{(M_1, M_2) \in \text{GL}_g(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}) \times \text{GL}_g(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}) : \det M_1 = \det M_2\},$$

$$\Lambda(m) := \{\lambda I_{2g} : \lambda \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times\},$$

$$P(m) := G(m)/\Lambda(m),$$

$$\mathbb{P}(m) := \mathbb{G}(m)/\Lambda(m),$$

$$\mathbb{T}(m) := \{\text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_g, \lambda'_1, \dots, \lambda'_g) : \prod_{1 \leq i \leq g} \lambda_i = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq g} \lambda'_i, \lambda_i, \lambda'_i \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times, 1 \leq i \leq g\}$$

We write  $G(m)^\#, P(m)^\#, \mathbb{G}(m)^\#$  and  $\mathbb{P}(m)^\#$  to denote the set of conjugacy classes of the groups  $G(m)$ ,  $P(m)$ ,  $\mathbb{G}(m)$  and  $\mathbb{P}(m)$ , respectively.

Recall that for  $M \in G^\gamma(m)$ , the characteristic polynomial of  $M$  is a reciprocal polynomial in  $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})[X]$ :

$$\text{char}_M(X) = X^{2g} + a_1 X^{2g-1} + \dots + a_{g-1} X^{g+1} + a_g X^g + \gamma a_{g-1} X^{g-1} + \dots + \gamma^{g-1} a_1 X + \gamma^g,$$

for some  $a_1, \dots, a_g \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ . For an  $N = (N_1, N_2) \in \mathbb{G}(m)$ , the characteristic polynomial of  $N$  is a reducible polynomial in  $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})[X]$ :

$$\text{char}_N(X) = (X^g + b_1 X^{g-1} + \dots + d)(X^g + c_1 X^{g-1} + \dots + d),$$

where  $b_i, c_i \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq g-1$  and  $d = \det N_1 \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times$ .

Conversely, given a polynomial  $f(X) \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})[X]$  having the form described above, the following lemmas provide essential features of  $f(X)$  that will be applied in the proofs of Proposition 10 and Proposition 11 at the end of this section.

LEMMA 7. *Let  $\ell$  be an odd prime and let  $\gamma \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^\times$ . Denote by*

$$f(X) = X^4 + a_1X^3 + a_2X^2 + \gamma a_1X + \gamma^2 \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})[X], \quad \Delta_f := a_1^2 - 4a_2 + 8\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}.$$

Then, we have:

- (i) if  $\left(\frac{\Delta_f}{\ell}\right) = 1$ , then  $f(X)$  splits into a product of two distinct quadratic polynomials in  $(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})[X]$ .
- (ii) if  $\left(\frac{\Delta_f}{\ell}\right) = 0$ , then  $f(X)$  splits into the square of a quadratic polynomial in  $(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})[X]$ .

PROOF. (i) If  $\left(\frac{\Delta_f}{\ell}\right) = 1$ , then by definition, there is an element  $u \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^\times$  such that  $u^2 = a_1^2 - 4a_2 + 8\gamma$ . We denote by  $2^{-1}$  the inverse of 2 in  $(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^\times$ . Then a direct computation shows that

$$f(X) = (X^2 + 2^{-1}(a_1 + u)X + \gamma)(X^2 + 2^{-1}(a_1 - u)X + \gamma) \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})[X].$$

The statement follows by noting that  $2^{-1}(a_1 + u) \neq 2^{-1}(a_1 - u)$ .

- (ii) If  $\left(\frac{\Delta_f}{\ell}\right) = 0$ , then  $a_1^2 - 4a_2 + 8\gamma = 0$ . In this case, one can check the following factorization holds:

$$f(X) = (X^2 + 2^{-1}a_1X + \gamma)^2 \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})[X].$$

□

LEMMA 8. *Let  $m$  be a positive square-free integer such that  $2, 3 \nmid m$ . For  $\gamma \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times$ , we denote by the reciprocal polynomial*

$$f(X) = X^{2g} + a_1X^{2g-1} + \dots + a_{g-1}X^{g+1} + a_gX^g + \gamma a_{g-1}X^{g-1} + \dots + \gamma^{g-1}a_1X + \gamma^g \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})[X].$$

Then,

$$(4) \quad \#\{M \in G^\gamma(m) : \text{char}_M(X) = f(X)\} \asymp m^{2g^2}.$$

Furthermore, if  $m = \ell$  is a prime number, then

$$(5) \quad \#\{M \in G^\gamma(\ell) : \text{char}_M(X) = f(X)\} = \ell^{2g^2} + O(\ell^{2g^2-1}).$$

PROOF. If  $m = \ell$  is a prime number such that  $\ell \geq 5$ , then the result is already proved in [Ch97, Theorem 3.5, p. 159].

If  $m$  is a square-free positive integer, we write its prime decomposition as  $m = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} \ell_i$ . By the Chinese Remainder Theorem, we have an isomorphism of groups  $G(m) \simeq \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} G(\ell_i)$ . For  $M \in G(m)$  and a fixed  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , we write  $M_i \in G(\ell_i)$  to denote the image of  $M$  under the projection from  $G(m)$  to  $G(\ell_i)$ . Then  $M_i \equiv M \pmod{\ell_i}$  and  $\text{char}_M(X) \equiv \text{char}_{M_i}(X) \pmod{\ell_i}$ .

Let  $\gamma_i \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell_i\mathbb{Z})^\times$  be the unique element such that  $\gamma \equiv \gamma_i \pmod{\ell_i}$  and  $\mu(M_i) = \gamma_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Based on the observations above, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \#\{M \in G^\gamma(m) : \text{char}_M(X) = f(X)\} &= \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} \#\{M_i \in G(\ell_i)^{\gamma_i} : \text{char}_{M_i}(X) \equiv f(X) \pmod{\ell_i}\} \\ &= \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} (\ell_i^{2g^2} + O(\ell_i^{2g^2-1})) \asymp m^{2g^2}. \end{aligned}$$

□

LEMMA 9. Let  $\ell \geq 5$  be a prime. Then for any polynomial  $f(X) = X^2 + a_1X + a_2 \in \mathbb{F}_\ell[X]$ , we have

$$(6) \quad \#\{N \in \text{GL}_g(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}) : \text{char}_N(X) = f(X)\} = \ell^{g^2-g} + O(\ell^{g^2-g-1}).$$

PROOF. This is a direct result from [Ch97, Theorem 3.9, p. 162].

□

**3.2. Counting results in  $\text{GSp}_4$ .** For the rest of this section, we mainly focus on subgroups of  $G(m) = \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$ . Recall that for any  $M \in G(m)$ , the characteristic polynomial of  $M$  can be written as

$$\text{char}_M(X) = X^4 + a_1X^3 + a_2X^2 + \gamma a_1X + \gamma^2 \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})[X],$$

where  $\gamma = \mu(M)$ . We define the *discriminant* of  $M$  as the discriminant of the polynomial  $\text{char}_M(X)$ :

$$(7) \quad \Delta_M := a_1^2 - 4a_2 + 8\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}.$$

For any  $N = (N_1, N_2) \in \mathbb{G}(m)$ , where  $N_1, N_2 \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$ , recall that the characteristic polynomial of  $N$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{char}_N(X) &= \text{char}_{N_1}(X) \text{char}_{N_2}(X) \\ &= (X^2 + b_1X + d)(X^2 + c_1X + d) \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})[X], \quad b_1, c_1 \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}, d = \det N_1 \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times. \end{aligned}$$

Next, fixed an odd prime  $\ell$ , we introduce some subsets of  $G(\ell)$  that are invariant under conjugation by elements of  $G(\ell)$ . We denote by

$$(8) \quad \mathcal{C}^0(\ell) := \{M \in G(\ell) : \Delta_M = 0\}.$$

For  $-2 \leq i \leq 6$ , we write

$$(9) \quad \mathcal{C}_i^1(\ell) := \{M \in G(\ell) : \text{char}_M(X) = X^4 + a_1X^3 + a_2X^2 + \gamma a_1X + \gamma^2 \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})[X], a_2 = i\gamma\},$$

$$(10) \quad \mathcal{C}^2(\ell) := \{M \in G(\ell) : \text{char}_M(X) = X^4 + a_1X^3 + a_2X^2 + \gamma a_1X + \gamma^2 \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})[X], a_1 = 0\},$$

$$(11) \quad \mathcal{C}^3(\ell) := \{M \in G(\ell) : \text{char}_M(X) = X^4 + a_1X^3 + a_2X^2 + \gamma a_1X + \gamma^2 \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})[X], a_1^2 = \gamma + a_2\},$$

$$(12) \quad \mathcal{C}^4(\ell) := \{M \in G(\ell) : \text{char}_M(X) = X^4 + a_1X^3 + a_2X^2 + \gamma a_1X + \gamma^2 \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})[X], a_1^2 = 2a_2\},$$

$$(13) \quad \mathcal{C}^5(\ell) := \{M \in G(\ell) : \text{char}_M(X) = X^4 + a_1X^3 + a_2X^2 + \gamma a_1X + \gamma^2 \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})[X], a_1^2 = 3(a_2 - \gamma)\}.$$

The reason to introduce these unions of conjugacy classes will be clearer after Section 4.

Afterwards, we define the following subsets of  $P(\ell)$  and  $\mathbb{P}(\ell)$  that are invariant under conjugation. We observe that for any  $\lambda \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^\times$  and  $M \in G^\gamma(\ell)$ ,

$$\text{char}_{\lambda M}(X) = X^4 + \lambda a_1 X^3 + \lambda^2 a_2 X^2 + (\gamma \lambda^2) \lambda a_1 X + (\gamma \lambda^2)^2.$$

From the definition of (7), we have

$$(14) \quad \left( \frac{\Delta_{\lambda M}}{\ell} \right) = \left( \frac{\lambda^2 \Delta_M}{\ell} \right) = \left( \frac{\Delta_M}{\ell} \right).$$

We also observe that for any  $\lambda \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^\times$  and any  $N = (N_1, N_2) \in \mathbb{G}(\ell)$ ,

$$(15) \quad \text{tr } \lambda N_i = \lambda \text{tr } N_i, \quad \det \lambda N_i = \lambda^2 \det N_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 2.$$

Now for any  $g \in P(\ell)$  and  $(g_1, g_2) \in \mathbb{P}(\ell)$ , we denote their liftings in  $G(\ell)$  and  $\mathbb{G}(\ell)$  as  $\hat{g}$  and  $(\hat{g}_1, \hat{g}_2)$ , respectively. Note that any two distinct liftings of  $g$  and  $(g_1, g_2)$  differ by the multiplication of a scalar matrix in  $\Lambda(\ell)$ . Thus based on the observations (14) and (15), the following unions of conjugacy classes are well defined:

$$(16) \quad \mathcal{C}_1(\ell) := \{g \in P(\ell) : \left( \frac{\Delta_{\hat{g}}}{\ell} \right) = 1\},$$

$$(17) \quad \mathcal{C}_0(\ell) := \{g \in P(\ell) : \left( \frac{\Delta_{\hat{g}}}{\ell} \right) = 0\},$$

$$(18) \quad \mathcal{C}_{-1}(\ell) := \{g \in P(\ell) : \left( \frac{\Delta_{\hat{g}}}{\ell} \right) = -1\},$$

$$(19) \quad \mathcal{C}_1^{RM}(\ell) := \{(g_1, g_2) \in \mathbb{P}(\ell) : \text{tr } \hat{g}_1 = \text{tr } \hat{g}_2\},$$

$$(20) \quad \mathcal{C}^{CM}(\ell) := \{(g_1, g_2) \in \mathbb{T}(\ell)/\Lambda(\ell) : \text{tr } \hat{g}_1 = \text{tr } \hat{g}_2\}.$$

Now we are ready to state the counting propositions that will be used later when we apply various versions of the Chebotarve Density Theorem.

PROPOSITION 10. *Let  $m$  be a positive square-free integer such that  $2, 3 \nmid m$ . Let  $\ell \geq 5$  be a prime.*

(i) *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})| &\asymp m^{11}, \quad |P(m)| \asymp m^{10}, \\ |G(\ell)| &= \ell^{11} + O(\ell^{10}), \quad |P(\ell)| = \ell^{10} + O(\ell^8). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) *There exist a constant  $C_\ell$  that is uniformly bounded such that*

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{C}_1(\ell)| &= \frac{1}{2} \ell^{10} + C_\ell \ell^9 + O(\ell^8), \\ |\mathcal{C}_0(\ell)| &= \ell^9 + O(\ell^8), \\ |\mathcal{C}_{-1}(\ell)| &= \frac{1}{2} \ell^{10} - (1 + C_\ell) \ell^9 + O(\ell^8), \end{aligned}$$

(iii) We have  $|G^\#(m)| \ll m^3$  and  $|P^\#(m)| \ll m^2$ .

(iv) We have  $|\mathcal{C}_i^1(\ell)| \ll \ell^{10}$  for  $-2 \leq i \leq 6$  and  $|\mathcal{C}^j(\ell)| \ll \ell^{10}$  for  $j = 0$  and  $2 \leq j \leq 5$ .

PROOF. (i) The formula  $|\mathrm{GSp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})| = (\ell-1) \prod_{i=1}^g (\ell^{2i}-1)\ell^{2i-1}$  is known in [Om78, Theorem 3.1.2, p. 35] and yields

$$|G(\ell)| = \ell^4(\ell-1)(\ell^2-1)(\ell^4-1) = \ell^{11} + \mathrm{O}(\ell^{10}).$$

It follows that  $|P(\ell)| = \ell^4(\ell^2-1)(\ell^4-1) = \ell^{10} + \mathrm{O}(\ell^8)$ .

Since  $m$  is a square-free positive integer, we can write the prime decomposition of  $m$  as  $m = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} \ell_i$ . By the Chinese Remainder Theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |G(m)| &= \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} |G(\ell_i)| \asymp \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} \ell_i^{11} = m^{11}, \\ |P(m)| &= \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} |P(\ell_i)| \asymp \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} \ell_i^{10} = m^{10}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) By Lemma 7, the value of  $\left(\frac{\Delta_{\hat{g}}}{\ell}\right)$  reflects the decomposition type of the characteristic polynomial of  $\hat{g}$  in  $(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})[X]$ . Combining this with Lemma 8, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{C}_1(\ell)| &= \sum_{\substack{g \in P(\ell) \\ \left(\frac{\Delta_{\hat{g}}}{\ell}\right)=1}} 1 = \frac{1}{\ell-1} \sum_{\substack{\hat{g} \in G(\ell) \\ \left(\frac{\Delta_{\hat{g}}}{\ell}\right)=1}} 1 = \frac{1}{\ell-1} \sum_{\gamma \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^\times} \sum_{\substack{\hat{g} \in G^\gamma(\ell) \\ \left(\frac{\Delta_{\hat{g}}}{\ell}\right)=1}} 1 \\ &= \frac{1}{\ell-1} \sum_{\gamma \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^\times} \sum_{\substack{f(X)=(X^2+\beta_1 X+\gamma)(X^2+\beta_2 X+\gamma) \\ f(X) \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})[X], \beta_1 \neq \beta_2}} \#\{\hat{g} \in G^\gamma(\ell) : \mathrm{char}_{\hat{g}}(X) = f(X)\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\ell-1} \cdot (\ell-1) \cdot \frac{\ell(\ell-1)}{2} \cdot (\ell^8 + \mathrm{O}(\ell^7)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \ell^{10} + C_\ell \ell^9 + \mathrm{O}(\ell^8), \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_\ell = \mathrm{O}(1)$ .

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{C}_0(\ell)| &= \frac{1}{\ell-1} \sum_{\gamma \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^\times} \sum_{\substack{\hat{g} \in G^\gamma(\ell) \\ \left(\frac{\Delta_{\hat{g}}}{\ell}\right)=0}} 1 \\ &= \frac{1}{\ell-1} \sum_{\gamma \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^\times} \sum_{\substack{f(X)=(X^2+\beta X+\gamma)^2 \\ f(X) \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})[X]}} \#\{\hat{g} \in G^\gamma(\ell) : \mathrm{char}_{\hat{g}}(X) = f(X)\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\ell-1} \cdot (\ell-1) \cdot \ell \cdot (\ell^8 + \mathrm{O}(\ell^7)) \\ &= \ell^9 + \mathrm{O}(\ell^8). \end{aligned}$$

From the observation  $|P(\ell)| = |\mathcal{C}_1(\ell)| + |\mathcal{C}_0(\ell)| + |\mathcal{C}_{-1}(\ell)|$  and  $|P(\ell)| = \ell^{10} + \mathrm{O}(\ell^8)$  from part (i), we obtain  $|\mathcal{C}_{-1}(\ell)| = \frac{1}{2} \ell^{10} - (1 + C_\ell) \ell^9 + \mathrm{O}(\ell^8)$ .

(iii) The upper bound for  $|G^\#(\ell)|$  can be derived from [Wa63, (iii), p. 36] and [Ga70, (2), p.1]. To obtain an upper bound for  $|P(\ell)^\#|$ , we proceed as follows. Note that each conjugacy class in  $P(\ell)$  may be viewed as the equivalence class of  $\Lambda(\ell)$ -orbits of conjugacy classes in  $G^\#(\ell)$ . Now fix an arbitrary element  $\mathcal{C} \in G^\#(\ell)$ . If there is an element  $b \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^\times$  such that  $(bI_{2g})\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}$ , then, by comparing determinants, we have  $b^4 = 1$ . So  $b$  may take at most 4 elements. By the orbit-stabilizer theorem in group theory, each  $\Lambda_{2g}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ -orbit of  $G^\#(\ell)$  contains at least  $\frac{|\Lambda(\ell)|}{4}$  conjugacy classes. Therefore,

$$|P(\ell)^\#| \leq \frac{|G^\#(\ell)|}{\frac{|\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}|^\times}{4}} \ll \ell^2.$$

By the Chinese Remainder Theorem, we get  $|G^\#(m)| \ll m^3$  and  $|P^\#(m)| \ll m^2$ .

(iv) The sizes of the unions of conjugacy classes can be estimated almost identically by applying Lemma 8. Therefore, we only give the proof to bound  $|\mathcal{C}^0(\ell)|$ .

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{C}^0(\ell)| &= \sum_{a_1 \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\gamma \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^\times} \#\{M \in G^\gamma(\ell) : \text{char}_M(X) = X^4 + a_1X^3 + a_2X^2 + \gamma a_1X + \gamma^2, 4a_2 = a_1^2 + 8\gamma\} \\ &\ll \sum_{a_1 \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\gamma \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^\times} \ell^8 = (\ell - 1)\ell^9. \end{aligned}$$

□

PROPOSITION 11. *Let  $\ell \geq 5$  be a prime.*

- (i) *We have  $|\mathbb{G}(\ell)| = \ell^7 + O(\ell^6)$ ,  $|\mathbb{P}(\ell)| = \ell^6 + O(\ell^4)$ .*
- (ii) *We have  $|\mathcal{C}_1^{RM}(\ell)| = \ell^5 + O(\ell^4)$ .*
- (iii) *We have  $|\mathbb{G}^\#(\ell)| \ll \ell^3$  and  $|\mathbb{P}^\#(\ell)| \ll \ell^2$ .*

PROOF. (i) Note that by definition,

$$\mathbb{G}(\ell) = \frac{|\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})|^2}{|(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^\times|} = (\ell - 1)^3 \ell^2 (\ell + 1)^2 = \ell^7 + O(\ell^6).$$

Thus, the first part can be deduced immediately. The second part follows from the observation that

$$|\mathbb{P}(\ell)| = \frac{|\mathbb{G}(\ell)|}{|\Lambda(\ell)|} = \frac{(\ell - 1)^3 \ell^2 (\ell + 1)^2}{\ell - 1} = \ell^6 + O(\ell^4).$$

(ii) From Lemma 9, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{C}_1^{RM}(\ell)| &= \sum_{\substack{g=(g_1, g_2) \in \mathbb{P}(\ell) \\ \text{tr } \hat{g}_1 = \text{tr } \hat{g}_2}} 1 = \frac{1}{\ell-1} \sum_{\substack{g=(\hat{g}_1, \hat{g}_2) \in \mathbb{G}(\ell) \\ \text{tr } \hat{g}_1 = \text{tr } \hat{g}_2}} 1 \\
&= \frac{1}{\ell-1} \sum_{d \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^\times} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}} \#\{\hat{g}_1 \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}) : \text{char}_{\hat{g}_1}(X) = X^2 + tX + d\} \\
&\quad \cdot \#\{\hat{g}_2 \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}) : \text{char}_{\hat{g}_2}(X) = X^2 + tX + d\} \\
&= \frac{1}{\ell-1} \cdot (\ell-1) \cdot \ell \cdot (\ell^2 + \text{O}(\ell))^2 \\
&= \ell^5 + \text{O}(\ell^4).
\end{aligned}$$

(iii) Observe that  $\mathbb{G}(\ell) \subseteq \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}) \times \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$ . We can deduce from the results on the number of conjugacy classes for subgroups of general linear groups (see Lemma 2.1, p. 3027 and Corollary 3.7 (1), p. 3033 in [FuGu12]) that

$$|\mathbb{G}(\ell)^\#| \ll \frac{\ell^4}{h},$$

where  $h = \ell - 1$  is the index of  $\mathbb{G}(\ell)$  in  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}) \times \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$ . Thus,  $|\mathbb{G}(\ell)^\#| \ll \ell^3$ .

Similarly, applying the isomorphism of groups  $\mathbb{P}(\ell) \simeq \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}) \times \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$  and [FuGu12, Corollary 3.7, (2), p. 3033], we get  $|\mathbb{P}(\ell)^\#| \ll \ell^2$ .

□

PROPOSITION 12. *Let  $\ell \geq 5$  be a prime.*

- (i) *We have  $|\mathbb{T}(\ell)| = \ell^3 + \text{O}(\ell^2)$ .*
- (ii) *We have  $|\mathcal{C}^{CM}(\ell)| \ll \ell$ .*

PROOF. (i) Note that by definition,

$$|\mathbb{T}(\ell)| = \frac{|(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^\times|^4}{|(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^\times|} = (\ell-1)^3 = \ell^3 + \text{O}(\ell^2).$$

(ii) We denote

$$\mathcal{C}_1^{CM}(\ell) := \{g = (g_1, g_2) \in \mathbb{T}(\ell) : \text{tr } \hat{g}_1 = \text{tr } \hat{g}_2\}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{C}_1^{CM}(\ell)| &= \sum_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^\times} \#\{(\lambda'_1, \lambda'_2) \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z} : \lambda'_1 + \lambda'_2 = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2, \lambda'_1 \lambda'_2 = \lambda_1 \lambda_2\} \\
&\leq 2(\ell-1)^2 \ll \ell^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathcal{C}^{CM}(\ell)$  is the image of  $\mathcal{C}_1^{CM}(\ell)$  in  $T(\ell)/\Lambda(\ell)$ , we obtain

$$|\mathcal{C}^{CM}(\ell)| = \frac{|\mathcal{C}_1^{CM}(\ell)|}{|\Lambda(\ell)|} \ll \frac{\ell^2}{\ell-1} \ll \ell.$$

□

#### 4. Characterization of absolutely simple abelian varieties over finite fields

**4.1.  $q$ -Weil polynomials.** Let  $A/K$  be an abelian variety of dimension  $g$ . Let  $N_A$  denote the absolute norm of the conductor of  $A$ . For each positive integer  $m$ , set  $A[m] \subseteq A(\overline{K})$  to be the  $m$ -torsion subgroup of  $A$ . This is a free  $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$ -module of rank  $2g$ . For each prime  $\ell$ , denote by  $T_\ell(A) := \varprojlim_n A[\ell^n]$  the  $\ell$ -adic Tate module of  $A$ . This is a free  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ -module of rank  $2g$ . The actions of the absolute Galois group  $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$  on  $A[m]$  and  $T_\ell(A)$  induce the mod- $m$  Galois representation and  $\ell$ -adic Galois representation respectively as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\rho}_{A,m} &: \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A[m]), \\ \rho_{A,\ell} &: \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(T_\ell(A)).\end{aligned}$$

We fix a minimal  $K$ -polarization of  $A$  and let  $\ell$  be a prime larger than the degree of the polarization. The existence of Weil pairing on  $A$  imposes the restriction that  $\text{Im } \bar{\rho}_{A,\ell} \subseteq \text{GSp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$  and  $\text{Im } \rho_{A,\ell} \subseteq \text{GSp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$  (see [Lom16, pp. 32-33]). We say that  $A/K$  has *large Galois image* if there exists a constant  $C_K(A)$  depending only on  $A$  and  $K$ , such that for each integer  $m$  with  $(m, C_K(A)) = 1$ , the residual modulo  $m$  Galois representation  $\bar{\rho}_{A,m}$  has image isomorphic to  $\text{GSp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$ .

For each prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $K$  with  $\mathfrak{p} \nmid N_A$ , denote by  $\overline{A}_\mathfrak{p}$  be the reduction of  $A$  modulo  $\mathfrak{p}$ . We write  $\text{Frob}_\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$  to denote the Frobenius conjugacy class at  $\mathfrak{p}$ . For any prime  $\ell$  such that  $\mathfrak{p} \nmid \ell$ , by the Néron-Ogg-Shafarevich criteria for abelian varieties [SeTa68], the  $\ell$ -adic Galois representation is unramified at  $\mathfrak{p}$ . We denote the characteristic polynomial of  $\rho_{A,\ell}(\text{Frob}_\mathfrak{p})$  by

$$P_{A,\mathfrak{p}}(X) = X^{2g} + a_{1,\mathfrak{p}}(A)X^{2g-1} + \dots + a_{g-1,\mathfrak{p}}(A)X^{g+1} + a_{g,\mathfrak{p}}(A)X^g + qa_{g-1,\mathfrak{p}}(A)X^{g-1} + \dots + q^{g-1}a_{1,\mathfrak{p}}(A)X + q^g,$$

where  $q = N(\mathfrak{p})$ . This is a monic  $q$ -Weil polynomial in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  and is independent of the choice of  $\ell$ .

Let  $B$  be an abelian variety defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and let  $\pi_B$  be its Frobenius endomorphism. Recall that there is a unique polynomial  $P_B(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  which interpolates the degree of endomorphisms  $n - \pi_B$  for all integers  $n$  (see [WaMi71, p. 57]). For simplicity, we call it *the characteristic polynomial of  $B$* . The following result shows that the characteristic polynomial of an abelian variety defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  determines its  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -isogeny class.

**THEOREM 13.** *Let  $B_1, B_2$  be abelian varieties defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Then  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are isogenous over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  if and only if  $P_{B_1}(X) = P_{B_2}(X)$ .*

**PROOF.** See [Ta66, Theorem 1 (c), p. 139]. □

We recall that a  $q$ -Weil polynomial of degree 4 is a monic integral polynomial whose complex roots are  $q$ -Weil numbers. The following result describes absolutely simple abelian surfaces via the coefficients of their characteristic polynomials.

LEMMA 14. Let  $q$  be a rational prime and let  $f(X) = X^4 + a_1X^3 + a_2X^2 + qa_1X + q^2 \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ . Then  $f(X)$  is a  $q$ -Weil polynomial if and only if

$$|a_1| \leq 4\sqrt{q}, \quad 2|a_1|\sqrt{q} - 2p \leq a_2 \leq \frac{a_1^2}{4} + 2q.$$

PROOF. See [MaNa02, Lemma 2.1, p. 323]. □

**4.2. Absolutely simple abelian surfaces over finite fields.** Now we go back to the setting of abelian surfaces. Masiner and Nart [MaNa02] gave a complete description of an absolutely simple abelian surface over finite field via its characteristic polynomial.

LEMMA 15. Let  $f(X) = X^4 + a_1X^3 + a_2X^2 + qa_1X + q^2 \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  be a  $q$ -Weil polynomial and let

$$\Delta_f := a_1^2 - 4a_2 + 8q, \quad \delta_f := (a_2 + 2q)^2 - 4qa_1^2.$$

Then there exists an absolutely simple abelian surface  $B$  defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  such that  $P_B(X) = f(X)$  if and only if

- (i)  $\Delta_f$  is not a square in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , and
- (ii) either  $v_p(a_2) = 0$ ,  $a_1^2 \notin \{0, q + a_2, 2a_2, 3(a_2 - q)\}$ , or  $v_p(a_1) = 0$ ,  $v_p(a_2) \geq \frac{\delta_f}{2}$  and  $\delta_f$  is not a square in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

PROOF. See [MaNa02, Theorem 2.15, p. 326]. □

We now state the main lemmas of this section. Let  $A/K$  be an abelian surface. For a prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $K$  such that  $\mathfrak{p} \nmid N_A$ , let

$$(21) \quad P_{\overline{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}}(X) = X^4 + a_{1,\mathfrak{p}}X^3 + a_{2,\mathfrak{p}}X^2 + qa_{1,\mathfrak{p}}X + q^2 \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$$

be the characteristic polynomial of  $\overline{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , where  $q = N(\mathfrak{p})$ . It is well known that  $P_{A,\mathfrak{p}}(X) = P_{\overline{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}}(X)$  and we use  $P_{A,\mathfrak{p}}(X)$  instead of  $\overline{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  from now on. We also denote following invariants of  $P_{A,\mathfrak{p}}(X)$ :

$$\Delta_{A,\mathfrak{p}} := a_{1,\mathfrak{p}}^2 - 4a_{2,\mathfrak{p}} + 8q, \quad \delta_{A,\mathfrak{p}} := (a_{2,\mathfrak{p}} + 2q)^2 - 4qa_{1,\mathfrak{p}}^2.$$

The first lemma is a general criterion for the primes  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $K$  such that  $\mathfrak{p} \nmid N_A$  and that the reduction of an abelian surface  $A/K$  at  $\mathfrak{p}$  is not absolutely simple. In particular, this applies to the case where  $\text{End}_{\overline{K}}(A) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ .

LEMMA 16. Let  $A/K$  be an abelian surface. If there is a prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $K$  of degree 1 such that  $\mathfrak{p} \nmid N_A$  and that  $\overline{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is not absolutely simple, then either  $\Delta_{A,\mathfrak{p}}$  is a square in  $\mathbb{Z}$  or one of the following is satisfied:

- (1)  $a_{2,\mathfrak{p}} \in \{ip : -2 \leq i \leq 6, i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ ,
- (2)  $a_{1,\mathfrak{p}}^2 \in \{0, p + a_{2,\mathfrak{p}}, 2a_{2,\mathfrak{p}}, 3(a_{2,\mathfrak{p}} - p)\}$ .

PROOF. As  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime of degree 1,  $\overline{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is an abelian variety defined over the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Fix a prime  $\mathfrak{p} \nmid N_A$ . If  $\overline{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is not absolutely simple, then it is not  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -isogenous to any absolutely simple abelian surfaces defined over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . By Theorem 13,  $P_{A,\mathfrak{p}}(X)$  is not the characteristic polynomial of any absolutely

simple abelian surfaces over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . So from Lemma 15, either  $\Delta_{A,p}$  is a square or  $p$  satisfies (1) or (2). In fact, by Lemma 14 we note the bounds  $-2p \leq a_{2,p} \leq 6p$  hold. To get (1), we note that since  $a_{2,p}$  is an integer, the condition  $v_p(a_{2,p}) \neq 0$  is equivalent to (1). □

We denote by  $E := \text{End}_{\overline{K}}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ . When  $E$  is a real quadratic field, there is an easier necessary condition for degree 1 primes  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $K$  such that the reduction  $\overline{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathbb{F}_p$  splits. The following result is well-known to experts, but we write a proof for lack of references.

LEMMA 17. *Let  $A/K$  be an abelian surface with  $\text{End}_{\overline{K}}(A)$  isomorphic to an order in  $E$ . For a prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $K$  of degree 1 and  $\mathfrak{p} \nmid N_A$ , if  $\overline{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  splits, then either*

- (1)  $P_{A,\mathfrak{p}}(X) = (X^2 + b_1X + p)^2 \in \mathbb{Z}[X], |b_1| \leq 2\sqrt{p}$ , or
- (2)  $P_{A,\mathfrak{p}}(X) = (X^2 + b_1X + p)(X^2 - b_1X + p) \in \mathbb{Z}[X], |b_1| \leq 2\sqrt{p}$ .

*If moreover  $\text{End}_{\overline{K}}(A) = \text{End}_K(A)$  and  $\overline{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  splits, then  $\overline{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  splits into the product of an elliptic curve. In particular,  $P_{A,\mathfrak{p}}(X) = (X^2 + b_1X + p)^2 \in \mathbb{Z}[X], |b_1| \leq 2\sqrt{p}$ .*

PROOF. By [Si92, Proposition 4.3, p. 258], there exist a field extension  $K'/K$  with  $[K' : K] \leq 2$  such that  $\text{End}_{\overline{K'}}(A) = \text{End}_{K'}(A)$ . For a prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $K$  of degree 1 such that  $\mathfrak{p} \nmid N_A$  and  $\overline{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  splits, we denote by  $\mathfrak{p}'$  a prime in  $K'$  lying above  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Then, we have  $[\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}'} : \mathbb{F}_p] = 1$  or  $2$ . Recall that there is an injection of endomorphism rings:

$$\text{End}_{K'}(A) \hookrightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}'}}(\overline{A}_{\mathfrak{p}'}).$$

In particular,  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}'}}(\overline{A}_{\mathfrak{p}'}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$  contains the field  $E$ . If  $\overline{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is not simple, then there exist two elliptic curves  $E_1, E_2$  defined over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  such that  $\overline{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is isogenous over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  to  $E_1 \times E_2$ . Suppose  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are not  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}'}$ -isogenous, then there is an isomorphism of endomorphism rings

$$\text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}'}}(\overline{A}_{\mathfrak{p}'}) \simeq \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}'}}(E_1) \times \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}'}}(E_2).$$

Recall that  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}'}}(E_1)$  and  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}'}}(E_2)$  are orders in an imaginary quadratic field or an order in a definite quaternion algebra. This contradicts with  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}'}}(\overline{A}_{\mathfrak{p}'})$  is an order in a real quadratic fields. Therefore,  $\overline{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -isogenous to  $E_1 \times E_2$  where  $E_1, E_2$  are  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}'}$ -isogenous.

First, we assume  $[\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}'} : \mathbb{F}_p] = 2$ . Then,  $\overline{A}_{\mathfrak{p}'}$  is an abelian variety defined over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$  and isogenous to the square of an elliptic curve. So the polynomial  $P_{A,\mathfrak{p}'}(X)$  has repeated roots. In particular,  $\Delta_{A,\mathfrak{p}'} = 0$ . By [MaNa02, Lemma 2.13, p. 325], we have

$$a_{1,\mathfrak{p}}^2 \Delta_{A,\mathfrak{p}} = \Delta_{A,\mathfrak{p}'} = 0.$$

If  $a_{1,\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0$ , then we get  $\Delta_{A,\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ , so  $P_{A,\mathfrak{p}}(X) = (X^2 + b_1X + p)^2 \in \mathbb{Z}[X], |b_1| \leq 2\sqrt{p}$ . If  $a_{1,\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ , then  $P_{A,\mathfrak{p}}(X) = X^4 + a_{2,\mathfrak{p}}X^2 + p^2$ . But since  $P_{A,\mathfrak{p}}(X)$  splits into the product of quadratic polynomials, it has to be of the form  $P_{A,\mathfrak{p}}(X) = (X^2 + b_1X + p)(X^2 - b_1X + p) \in \mathbb{Z}[X], |b_1| \leq 2\sqrt{p}$ .

Next, we assume  $[\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}'} : \mathbb{F}_p] = 1$ . Then  $\overline{A}_{\mathfrak{p}'}$  is an abelian variety defined over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , and the polynomial  $P_{A,\mathfrak{p}'}(X)$  has repeated roots. Hence  $P_{A,\mathfrak{p}}(X) = P_{A,\mathfrak{p}'}(X) = (X^2 + b_1X + p)^2 \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ ,  $|b_1| \leq 2\sqrt{p}$ . The case where  $\text{End}_{\overline{K}}(A) = \text{End}_K(A)$  follows from this discussion.  $\square$

We now suppose  $E := \text{End}_{\overline{K}}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$  is a CM quartic field. In particular,  $E := \text{End}_{\overline{K}}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$  contains a real quadratic field. If we also assume  $\text{End}_{\overline{K}}(A) = \text{End}_K(A)$ , then we can use the proof for Lemma 17 to obtain the following result.

LEMMA 18. *Let  $A/K$  be an abelian surface with  $\text{End}_{\overline{K}}(A) = \text{End}_K(A)$  isomorphic to an order in  $E$ . For a prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $K$  of degree 1 and  $\mathfrak{p} \nmid N_A$ , if  $\overline{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  splits, then  $P_{A,\mathfrak{p}}(X) = (X^2 + b_1X + p)^2 \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ ,  $|b_1| \leq 2\sqrt{p}$ .*

## 5. Proof of the Theorems and Corollaries

**5.1. The square sieve.** In this section, we introduce the square sieve method which will be applied to the problem of split reductions of abelian surfaces. The set we consider at the end of this section will be the dominant term for the counting function in Theorem 1.

We first recall the square sieve for multisets.

THEOREM 19. *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a finite set of non-zero integers (not necessarily distinct) and let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a set of distinct rational primes. Set*

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}) := \{\alpha \in \mathcal{A} : \alpha \text{ is a square}\}.$$

Then

$$|\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A})| \leq \frac{|\mathcal{A}|}{|\mathcal{P}|} + \max_{\substack{\ell_1 \neq \ell_2 \\ \ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathcal{P}}} \left| \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \left( \frac{\alpha}{\ell_1 \ell_2} \right) \right| + \frac{2}{|\mathcal{P}|} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{\substack{\ell \in \mathcal{P} \\ \gcd(\alpha, \ell) \neq 1}} 1 + \frac{1}{|\mathcal{P}|^2} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \left( \sum_{\substack{\ell \in \mathcal{P} \\ \gcd(\alpha, \ell) \neq 1}} 1 \right)^2.$$

PROOF. See [CoDa08, Theorem 18, p. 1557].  $\square$

We keep the notation introduced in the previous section. Let  $A/K$  be an abelian surface with large Galois image. Let  $N_A$  be the absolute norm of the conductor of  $A$ . Let  $C_K(A)$  be the constant that only depends on  $A$  and  $K$  such that for each integer  $m$  with  $(m, C_K(A)) = 1$ ,  $\bar{\rho}_{A,m}(\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)) = G(m)$ . For any prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $K$  such that  $\mathfrak{p} \nmid N_A$ , recall the characteristic polynomial  $P_{A,\mathfrak{p}}(X)$  of  $\overline{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  defined in (21). The *discriminant* of this polynomial is defined as

$$(22) \quad \Delta_{A,\mathfrak{p}} = a_{1,\mathfrak{p}}^2 - 4a_{2,\mathfrak{p}} + 8q.$$

Fix a real number  $x > 0$ . In the application of Theorem 19, we consider the following sets:

- We denote by  $\mathcal{A} := \{\Delta_{A,\mathfrak{p}} : \mathfrak{p} \text{ is a prime in } K, N(\mathfrak{p}) = p \leq x, \mathfrak{p} \nmid N_A\}$ . Here we view  $\mathcal{A}$  as a multiset, namely, if there are  $\mathfrak{p}_1 \neq \mathfrak{p}_2$  such that  $\Delta_{A,\mathfrak{p}_1} = \Delta_{A,\mathfrak{p}_2}$ , we view  $\Delta_{A,\mathfrak{p}_1}, \Delta_{A,\mathfrak{p}_2}$  as distinct elements in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Inside  $\mathcal{A}$ , we only consider discriminants  $\Delta_{A,\mathfrak{p}}$  where the prime ideal has degree 1. Then, the Prime Number Theorem implies that as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $|\mathcal{A}| \sim \frac{x}{\log x}$ .
- Let  $z = z(x) > 0$  be a real number depending on  $x$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{P} := \{\ell \text{ prime} : \max\{C_K(A), z\} < \ell < 2z, \ell \nmid N_A\}$ . We will view the set as a set of parameters and choose  $z = z(x)$  later to optimize our bounds. Notice that for each  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  consisting of prime divisors in  $\mathcal{P}$ ,  $\text{Im } \bar{\rho}_{A,m} = G(m)$ . Again, the Prime Number Theorem implies that, for sufficiently large  $z$ ,  $|\mathcal{P}| \asymp \frac{z}{\log z}$ .
- We denote by  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}) := \{\Delta_{A,\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathcal{A} : \Delta_{A,\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0 \text{ is a square in } \mathbb{Z}\}$ , viewed also as a multiset. Then  $|\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A})| = \#\{N(\mathfrak{p}) = p \leq x : \mathfrak{p} \nmid N_A, \Delta_{A,\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0 \text{ is a square in } \mathbb{Z}\}$ .
- For any  $\Delta_{A,\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0$  and  $\Delta_{A,\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathcal{A}$ , we denote by  $\nu_{\mathcal{P}}(\Delta_{A,\mathfrak{p}}) := \sum_{\substack{\ell \in \mathcal{P} \\ \ell | \Delta_{A,\mathfrak{p}}}} 1$ .

We now apply the square sieve to estimate  $|\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A})|$ , which is going to be the main term in the estimation of  $\pi_{A,\text{split}}(x)$  when  $\text{End}_{\overline{K}}(A) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ .

PROPOSITION 20. *We keep the same notation as above, then*

$$|\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A})| \ll \frac{x \log z}{z} + \frac{x(\log x)(\log z)^2}{z^2} + \max_{\substack{\ell_1 \neq \ell_2 \\ \ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathcal{P}}} \left| \sum_{\substack{N(\mathfrak{p})=p \leq x \\ \mathfrak{p} \nmid N_A}} \left( \frac{\Delta_{A,\mathfrak{p}}}{\ell_1 \ell_2} \right) \right|.$$

PROOF. By Lemma 14, we have the bound  $|\Delta_{A,\mathfrak{p}}| \leq 32p$ . Thus, by the partial summation formula,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{P}|} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{\substack{\ell \in \mathcal{P} \\ \ell | \alpha}} 1 &= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{P}|} \sum_{\substack{N(\mathfrak{p})=p \leq x \\ \mathfrak{p} \nmid N_A}} \sum_{\substack{\ell \in \mathcal{P} \\ \ell | \Delta_{A,\mathfrak{p}}}} 1 \ll \frac{1}{|\mathcal{P}|} \sum_{\substack{N(\mathfrak{p})=p \leq x \\ \mathfrak{p} \nmid N_A}} \nu_{\mathcal{P}}(\Delta_{A,\mathfrak{p}}) \\ &\ll \frac{\log z}{z} \sum_{\substack{N(\mathfrak{p})=p \leq x \\ \mathfrak{p} \nmid N_A}} \log p \ll \frac{\log z}{z} \cdot x. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\frac{1}{|\mathcal{P}|^2} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \left( \sum_{\substack{\ell \in \mathcal{P} \\ \ell | \alpha}} 1 \right)^2 \ll \frac{1}{|\mathcal{P}|^2} \sum_{\substack{N(\mathfrak{p})=p \leq x \\ \mathfrak{p} \nmid N_A}} \nu_{\mathcal{P}}(\Delta_{A,\mathfrak{p}})^2 \ll \left( \frac{\log z}{z} \right)^2 \cdot x \log x.$$

The result follows from Theorem 19 and the observation that

$$\max_{\substack{\ell_1 \neq \ell_2 \\ \ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathcal{P}}} \left| \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \left( \frac{\alpha}{\ell_1 \ell_2} \right) \right| = \max_{\substack{\ell_1 \neq \ell_2 \\ \ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathcal{P}}} \left| \sum_{\substack{N(\mathfrak{p})=p \leq x \\ \mathfrak{p} \nmid N_A}} \left( \frac{\Delta_{A,\mathfrak{p}}}{\ell_1 \ell_2} \right) \right|.$$

□

**5.2. Proof of Theorem 1.** Let  $A/K$  be an absolutely simple abelian surface with  $\text{End}(A_{\overline{K}}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ . We denote by  $N_A$  the absolute norm of the conductor of  $A$ .

By Lemma 16, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_{A,\text{split}}(x) &\leq \pi'_{A,\text{split}}(x) \\
&= \#\{N(\mathfrak{p}) \leq x : \mathfrak{p} \nmid N_A, \overline{A}_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ is not absolutely simple}\} \\
&= \#\{N(\mathfrak{p}) \leq x : \mathfrak{p} \text{ has degree 1, } \mathfrak{p} \nmid N_A, \overline{A}_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ is not absolutely simple}\} \\
&\quad + \#\{N(\mathfrak{p}) \leq x : \mathfrak{p} \text{ has degree greater than 1, } \mathfrak{p} \nmid N_A, \overline{A}_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ is not absolutely simple}\} \\
(23) \quad &\leq \#\{N(\mathfrak{p}) = p \leq x : \mathfrak{p} \nmid N_A, \Delta_{A,\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0 \text{ is a square in } \mathbb{Z}\} \\
(24) \quad &+ \#\{N(\mathfrak{p}) = p \leq x : \mathfrak{p} \nmid N_A, \Delta_{A,\mathfrak{p}} = 0\} \\
(25) \quad &+ \#\{N(\mathfrak{p}) = p \leq x : \mathfrak{p} \nmid N_A, a_{2,\mathfrak{p}} = ip, -2 \leq i \leq 6, i \in \mathbb{Z}\} \\
(26) \quad &+ \#\{N(\mathfrak{p}) = p \leq x : \mathfrak{p} \nmid N_A, a_{1,\mathfrak{p}} = 0\} \\
(27) \quad &+ \#\{N(\mathfrak{p}) = p \leq x : \mathfrak{p} \nmid N_A, a_{1,\mathfrak{p}} = p + a_{2,\mathfrak{p}}\} \\
(28) \quad &+ \#\{N(\mathfrak{p}) = p \leq x : \mathfrak{p} \nmid N_A, a_{1,\mathfrak{p}}^2 = 2a_{2,\mathfrak{p}}\} \\
(29) \quad &+ \#\{N(\mathfrak{p}) = p \leq x : \mathfrak{p} \nmid N_A, a_{1,\mathfrak{p}} = 3(a_{2,\mathfrak{p}} - p)\} \\
(30) \quad &+ \#\{N(\mathfrak{p}) \leq x : \mathfrak{p} \text{ has degree greater than 1}\}
\end{aligned}$$

We will see soon that the equation (23) is the main term. To bound (23), we apply the square sieve together with variations of the effective Chebotarev Density Theorem for properly chosen subfield extensions of the division fields of  $A$  over  $K$ . To bound (24)-(29), we use various effective Chebotarev Density Theorem for division fields of  $A$  over  $K$ . For the equation (30), by partial summation formula it is easy to obtain the bound  $x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log x$ .

By recalling the notation in Subsection 5.1, we observe that the prime counting function in (23) is equal to  $|\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A})|$ . By Proposition 20, for any fixed primes  $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathcal{P}$  such that  $\ell_1 \neq \ell_2$ , it remains to evaluate

$$\left| \sum_{\substack{N(\mathfrak{p})=p \leq x \\ \mathfrak{p} \nmid N_A}} \left( \frac{\Delta_{A,\mathfrak{p}}}{\ell_1 \ell_2} \right) \right|. \text{ We write}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S(\ell_1 \ell_2) &:= \sum_{\substack{N(\mathfrak{p})=p \leq x \\ \mathfrak{p} \nmid N_A}} \left( \frac{\Delta_{A,\mathfrak{p}}}{\ell_1 \ell_2} \right) = \sum_{\substack{N(\mathfrak{p})=p \leq x, \mathfrak{p} \nmid N_A \\ \left(\frac{\Delta_{A,\mathfrak{p}}}{\ell_1}\right)\left(\frac{\Delta_{A,\mathfrak{p}}}{\ell_2}\right)=1}} 1 - \sum_{\substack{N(\mathfrak{p})=p \leq x, \mathfrak{p} \nmid N_A \\ \left(\frac{\Delta_{A,\mathfrak{p}}}{\ell_1}\right)\left(\frac{\Delta_{A,\mathfrak{p}}}{\ell_2}\right)=-1}} 1, \\
&= \pi_{A,1}(x) - \pi_{A,-1}(x),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$(31) \quad \pi_{A,1}(x) := \#\{N(\mathfrak{p}) = p \leq x : \mathfrak{p} \nmid N_A, \left(\frac{\Delta_{A,\mathfrak{p}}}{\ell_1}\right) \left(\frac{\Delta_{A,\mathfrak{p}}}{\ell_2}\right) = 1\},$$

$$(32) \quad \pi_{A,-1}(x) := \#\{N(\mathfrak{p}) = p \leq x : \mathfrak{p} \nmid N_A, \left(\frac{\Delta_{A,\mathfrak{p}}}{\ell_1}\right) \left(\frac{\Delta_{A,\mathfrak{p}}}{\ell_2}\right) = -1\}.$$

We now bound (31) and (32) by Chebotarev counting functions. Fix a prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $K$  of degree 1 and  $\mathfrak{p} \nmid \ell_1 \ell_2 N_A$ . Notice that by Serre's Open Image Theorem for abelian varieties [Se86, Théorème 3], we conclude that  $A/K$  has large Galois image, following the definition in Section 4. Therefore, for any  $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathcal{P}$ ,  $\text{Im } \bar{\rho}_{A,\ell_1\ell_2} \simeq \text{Gal}(K(A[\ell_1\ell_2])/K) \simeq \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Z}/\ell_1\ell_2\mathbb{Z})$ . Moreover, the matrix  $\bar{\rho}_{A,\ell_1\ell_2} \left( \left( \frac{K(A[\ell_1\ell_2])/K}{\mathfrak{p}} \right) \right)$  has characteristic polynomial equal to

$$P_{A,\mathfrak{p}}(X) \equiv X^4 + a_{1,\mathfrak{p}}X^3 + a_{2,\mathfrak{p}}X^2 + pa_{1,\mathfrak{p}}X + p^2 \pmod{\ell_1\ell_2}.$$

In particular, the following relation between the discriminants holds:

$$(33) \quad \Delta_{\bar{\rho}_{A,\ell_1\ell_2} \left( \left( \frac{K(A[\ell_1\ell_2])/K}{\mathfrak{p}} \right) \right)} \equiv \Delta_{A,\mathfrak{p}} \pmod{\ell_1\ell_2}.$$

To find appropriate Chebotarev counting functions to estimate  $\pi_{A,1}(x)$  and  $\pi_{A,-1}(x)$ , it is natural to consider the Galois extension  $K(A[\ell_1\ell_2])/K$  with Galois group  $G(\ell_1\ell_2)$ , and to take the following conjugacy classes of  $G(\ell_1\ell_2)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}'_+(\ell_1\ell_2) &:= \{g \in G(\ell_1\ell_2) : \left(\frac{\Delta_g}{\ell_1}\right) \left(\frac{\Delta_g}{\ell_2}\right) = 1\}, \\ \mathcal{C}'_-(\ell_1\ell_2) &:= \{g \in G(\ell_1\ell_2) : \left(\frac{\Delta_g}{\ell_1}\right) \left(\frac{\Delta_g}{\ell_2}\right) = -1\}, \end{aligned}$$

respectively for the estimation of  $\pi_{A,1}(x)$  and  $\pi_{A,-1}(x)$ .

Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{A,1}(x) &= \pi_{\mathcal{C}'_+(\ell_1\ell_2)}(x, K(A[\ell_1\ell_2])/K) + O(x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log x), \\ \pi_{A,-1}(x) &= \pi_{\mathcal{C}'_-(\ell_1\ell_2)}(x, K(A[\ell_1\ell_2])/K) + O(x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log x). \end{aligned}$$

However, motivated by the strategy employed by Murty-Murty-Saradha [MuMuSa88] and Cojocaru-David [CoDa08], we search for a subfield extension of  $K(A[\ell_1\ell_2])/K$  for better error terms. We find that working over the field extension  $K(A[\ell_1\ell_2])^{\wedge(\ell_1\ell_2)}/K$ , where  $\text{Gal}(K(A[\ell_1\ell_2])^{\wedge(\ell_1\ell_2)}/K) \simeq P(\ell_1\ell_2)$ , provides us with a better bound. Fixing a lifting  $\hat{g} \in G(\ell_1\ell_2)$  of  $g$  for each  $g \in P(\ell_1\ell_2)$  and recalling the relation (14), we define the modified conjugacy classes in  $P(\ell_1\ell_2)$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_+(\ell_1\ell_2) &:= \{g \in P(\ell_1\ell_2) : \left(\frac{\Delta_{\hat{g}}}{\ell_1}\right) \left(\frac{\Delta_{\hat{g}}}{\ell_2}\right) = 1\}, \\ \mathcal{C}_-(\ell_1\ell_2) &:= \{g \in P(\ell_1\ell_2) : \left(\frac{\Delta_{\hat{g}}}{\ell_1}\right) \left(\frac{\Delta_{\hat{g}}}{\ell_2}\right) = -1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly,  $\mathcal{C}_\pm(\ell_1\ell_2)$  are the images of  $\mathcal{C}'_\pm(\ell_1\ell_2)$  under the projection  $G(\ell_1\ell_2)/\Lambda(\ell_1\ell_2) \rightarrow P(\ell_1\ell_2)$ . Note that by (14), we have

$$\Lambda(\ell_1\ell_2)\mathcal{C}'_+(\ell_1\ell_2) \subseteq \mathcal{C}'_+(\ell_1\ell_2), \quad \Lambda(\ell_1\ell_2)\mathcal{C}'_-(\ell_1\ell_2) \subseteq \mathcal{C}'_-(\ell_1\ell_2).$$

Therefore, a functorial comparison result between the Chebotarev counting functions [Zy15, Lemma 2.6 (ii)] gives:

$$\pi_{\mathcal{C}'_+(\ell_1\ell_2)}(x, K(A[\ell_1\ell_2])/K) = \pi_{\mathcal{C}_+(\ell_1\ell_2)}(x, K(A[\ell_1\ell_2])^{\Lambda(\ell_1\ell_2)}/K)$$

and

$$\pi_{\mathcal{C}'_-(\ell_1\ell_2)}(x, K(A[\ell_1\ell_2])/K) = \pi_{\mathcal{C}_-(\ell_1\ell_2)}(x, K(A[\ell_1\ell_2])^{\Lambda(\ell_1\ell_2)}/K).$$

Observe that if a prime  $\mathfrak{p} \nmid \ell_1\ell_2 N_A$  is counted by  $\pi_{A,1}(x)$  and  $\pi_{A,-1}(x)$ , then by (33), we have

$$\bar{\rho}_{A,\ell_1\ell_2} \left( \left( \frac{K(A[\ell_1\ell_2])/K}{\mathfrak{p}} \right) \right) \subseteq \mathcal{C}'_+(\ell_1\ell_2)$$

and

$$\bar{\rho}_{A,\ell_1\ell_2} \left( \left( \frac{K(A[\ell_1\ell_2])/K}{\mathfrak{p}} \right) \right) \subseteq \mathcal{C}'_-(\ell_1\ell_2),$$

respectively. The converse is also true for degree 1 primes. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} S(\ell_1\ell_2) &= \pi_{A,1}(x) - \pi_{A,-1}(x) \\ (34) \quad &= \pi_{\mathcal{C}_+(\ell_1\ell_2)}(x, K(A[\ell_1\ell_2])^{\Lambda(\ell_1\ell_2)}/K) - \pi_{\mathcal{C}_-(\ell_1\ell_2)}(x, K(A[\ell_1\ell_2])^{\Lambda(\ell_1\ell_2)}/K) + O(x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log x). \end{aligned}$$

We note that two major steps are involved in advancing the upper bounds. One is splitting the character sum  $S(\ell_1\ell_2)$  into two parts. The crucial benefit for this process is that we will get a cancellation of the main term based on the following observations obtained by invoking Proposition 10 (ii) and the Chinese Remainder Theorem:

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{C}_+(\ell_1\ell_2)| &= |\mathcal{C}_1(\ell_1)||\mathcal{C}_1(\ell_2)| + |\mathcal{C}_{-1}(\ell_1)||\mathcal{C}_{-1}(\ell_2)| \\ (35) \quad &= \frac{1}{2}(\ell_1\ell_2)^{10} - \frac{1}{2}\ell_1^9\ell_2^{10} - \frac{1}{2}\ell_1^{10}\ell_2^9 + O((\ell_1\ell_2)^9), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{C}_-(\ell_1\ell_2)| &= |\mathcal{C}_1(\ell_1)||\mathcal{C}_{-1}(\ell_2)| + |\mathcal{C}_{-1}(\ell_1)||\mathcal{C}_1(\ell_2)| \\ (36) \quad &= \frac{1}{2}(\ell_1\ell_2)^{10} - \frac{1}{2}\ell_1^9\ell_2^{10} - \frac{1}{2}\ell_1^{10}\ell_2^9 + O((\ell_1\ell_2)^9). \end{aligned}$$

Another one is considering the subextension  $K(A[\ell_1\ell_2])^{\Lambda(\ell_1\ell_2)}/K$  of  $K(A[\ell_1\ell_2])$ , which leads to a smaller size of the conjugacy classes in  $\text{Gal}(K(A[\ell_1\ell_2])^{\Lambda(\ell_1\ell_2)}/K)$ , hence a smaller error term in the effective Chebotarev Density Theorem.

We are ready to give the proof of Theorem 1.

PROOF. (1) Let  $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathcal{P}$  as before. Applying the observations above and invoking Proposition 20, (35), (36), Theorem 5 (i) with  $L = K(A[\ell_1\ell_2])^{\Lambda(\ell_1\ell_2)}$ ,  $G = P(\ell_1\ell_2)$ , and  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}^\pm(\ell_1\ell_2)$ , Proposition

10 (i) with  $m = \ell_1 \ell_2$ , Lemma 6, and the criterion of Néron-Ogg-Shafarevich for abelian varieties, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A})| &\ll \frac{x \log z}{z} + \frac{x(\log x)(\log z)^2}{z^2} + x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log x \\
&+ \max_{\substack{\ell_1 \neq \ell_2 \\ \ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathcal{P}}} \left| \pi_{\mathcal{C}_+(\ell_1 \ell_2)}(x, K(A[\ell_1 \ell_2])^{\wedge(\ell_1 \ell_2)}/K) - \pi_{\mathcal{C}_-(\ell_1 \ell_2)}(x, K(A[\ell_1 \ell_2])^{\wedge(\ell_1 \ell_2)}/K) \right| \\
&\ll \frac{x \log z}{z} + \frac{x(\log x)(\log z)^2}{z^2} + x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log x + \max_{\substack{\ell_1 \neq \ell_2 \\ \ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathcal{P}}} \left| \left( \frac{|\mathcal{C}_+(\ell_1 \ell_2)|}{|P(\ell_1 \ell_2)|} - \frac{|\mathcal{C}_-(\ell_1 \ell_2)|}{|P(\ell_1 \ell_2)|} \right) \text{li}(x) \right| \\
&+ (|\mathcal{C}_+(\ell_1 \ell_2)| + |\mathcal{C}_-(\ell_1 \ell_2)|) x^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{\log |d_{K(A[\ell_1 \ell_2])^{\wedge(\ell_1 \ell_2)}}|}{|P(\ell_1 \ell_2)|} + \log(x) \right) \\
&\ll_K \frac{x \log z}{z} + \frac{x(\log x)(\log z)^2}{z^2} + x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log x + \max_{\substack{\ell_1 \neq \ell_2 \\ \ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathcal{P}}} \left| \frac{x}{\ell_1 \ell_2 \log x} + (\ell_1 \ell_2)^{10} x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log(\ell_1 \ell_2 N_A x) \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that since  $z < \ell_1, \ell_2 < 2z$ ,

$$|\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A})| \ll_{A,K} \frac{x \log z}{z} + \frac{x(\log x)(\log z)^2}{z^2} + \frac{x}{z^2(\log x)} + z^{20} x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log x.$$

By taking  $z = z(x) \sim x^{\frac{1}{42}}$ , we obtain that as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$|\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A})| \ll_{A,K} x^{\frac{41}{42}} \log x.$$

Let  $\ell > C_K(A)$  be a prime. The estimations for (24)-(29) are similar, and are direct applications of Proposition 10 (i) and (iv), Theorem 5 (i) by taking  $L = K(A[\ell])$ ,  $G = G(\ell)$ , and the union of conjugacy classes  $\mathcal{C}$  to be (8)-(9). Then as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , each of the terms in (24)-(29) is bounded by

$$O_K \left( \frac{x}{\ell \log x} + \ell^{10} x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log(\ell N_A x) \right).$$

By taking  $\ell = \ell(x) \asymp \frac{x^{\frac{1}{22}}}{(\log x)^{\frac{2}{11}}}$ , we obtain that each of the terms in (24)-(29) is bounded by  $O_{A,\epsilon} \left( \frac{x^{\frac{21}{22}}}{(\log x)^{\frac{2}{11}}} \right)$ . In all, as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\pi_{A,\text{split}}(x) \ll_{A,\epsilon} x^{\frac{41}{42}} \log x.$$

- (2) Let  $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathcal{P}$  as before. Invoking Proposition 20, (35), (36), Theorem 5 (ii) with  $L = K(A[\ell_1 \ell_2])^{\wedge(\ell_1 \ell_2)}$ ,  $G = P(\ell_1 \ell_2)$ , and  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}^\pm(\ell_1 \ell_2)$ , Proposition 10 (i) with  $m = \ell_1 \ell_2$ , Lemma 6, and the criterion of

Néron-Ogg-Shafarevich for abelian varieties, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{S}(A)| &\ll \frac{x \log z}{z} + \frac{x(\log x)(\log z)^2}{z^2} + x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log x \\
&+ \max_{\substack{\ell_1 \neq \ell_2 \\ \ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathcal{P}}} \left| \pi_{\mathcal{C}_+(\ell_1 \ell_2)}(x, K(A[\ell_1 \ell_2])^{\Lambda(\ell_1 \ell_2)}/K) - \pi_{\mathcal{C}_-(\ell_1 \ell_2)}(x, K(A[\ell_1 \ell_2])^{\Lambda(\ell_1 \ell_2)}/K) \right| \\
&\ll \frac{x \log z}{z} + \frac{x(\log x)(\log z)^2}{z^2} + x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log x + \max_{\substack{\ell_1 \neq \ell_2 \\ \ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathcal{P}}} \left| \left( \frac{|\mathcal{C}_+(\ell_1 \ell_2)|}{|P(\ell_1 \ell_2)|} - \frac{|\mathcal{C}_-(\ell_1 \ell_2)|}{|P(\ell_1 \ell_2)|} \right) \text{li}(x) \right| \\
&+ \left( |\mathcal{C}_+(\ell_1 \ell_2)|^{\frac{1}{2}} + |\mathcal{C}_-(\ell_1 \ell_2)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log \left( M(K(A[\ell_1 \ell_2])^{\Lambda(\ell_1 \ell_2)}/K)x \right) \\
&\ll_K \frac{x \log z}{z} + \frac{x(\log x)(\log z)^2}{z^2} + x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log x + \max_{\substack{\ell_1 \neq \ell_2 \\ \ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathcal{P}}} \left| \frac{x}{\ell_1 \ell_2 \log x} + (\ell_1 \ell_2)^5 x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log((\ell_1 \ell_2) N_A x) \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that since  $z < \ell_1, \ell_2 < 2z$ ,

$$|\mathcal{S}(A)| \ll_{A,K} \frac{x \log z}{z} + \frac{x(\log x)(\log z)^2}{z^2} + \frac{x}{z^2(\log x)} + z^{10} x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log x.$$

By taking  $z = z(x) \sim x^{\frac{1}{22}}$ , we obtain that as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$|\mathcal{S}(A)| \ll_{A,K} x^{\frac{21}{22}} \log x.$$

Let  $\ell > C_K(A)$  be a prime. Similarly as before, by applying Proposition 10 (i) and (iv) and Theorem 5 (ii) by taking  $L = K(A[\ell])$ ,  $G = G(\ell)$ , and the union of conjugacy classes  $\mathcal{C}$  to be (8)-(9), we get the following bound for (24)-(29):

$$\text{O}_K \left( \frac{x}{\ell \log x} + \ell^5 x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log(\ell N_A x) \right).$$

Taking  $\ell = \ell(x) \asymp \frac{x^{\frac{1}{12}}}{(\log x)^{\frac{1}{3}}}$ , we obtain that each of the terms in (24)-(29) is bounded by  $\text{O}_{A,K} \left( \frac{x^{\frac{11}{12}}}{(\log x)^{\frac{2}{3}}} \right)$ .

Thus, as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\pi_{A,\text{split}}(x) \ll_{A,K} x^{\frac{21}{22}} \log x.$$

- (3) Let  $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathcal{P}$  as before. Invoking Proposition 20, the observations (35) and (36), Theorem 5 (iii) with  $L = K(A[\ell_1 \ell_2])^{\Lambda(\ell_1 \ell_2)}$ ,  $G = P(\ell_1 \ell_2)$ , and  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}^\pm(\ell_1 \ell_2)$ , Proposition 10 (i) and (iii) with

$m = \ell_1 \ell_2$ , Lemma 6, and the criterion of Néron-Ogg-Shafarevich for abelian varieties, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{S}(A)| &\ll \frac{x \log z}{z} + \frac{x(\log x)(\log z)^2}{z^2} + x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log x \\
&+ \max_{\substack{\ell_1 \neq \ell_2 \\ \ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathcal{P}}} \left| \pi_{\mathcal{C}_+(\ell_1 \ell_2)}(x, K(A[\ell_1 \ell_2])^{\Lambda(\ell_1 \ell_2)}/K) - \pi_{\mathcal{C}_-(\ell_1 \ell_2)}(x, K(A[\ell_1 \ell_2])^{\Lambda(\ell_1 \ell_2)}/K) \right| \\
&\ll \frac{x \log z}{z} + \frac{x(\log x)(\log z)^2}{z^2} + x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log x + \max_{\substack{\ell_1 \neq \ell_2 \\ \ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathcal{P}}} \left| \left( \frac{|\mathcal{C}_+(\ell_1 \ell_2)|}{|P(\ell_1 \ell_2)|} - \frac{|\mathcal{C}_-(\ell_1 \ell_2)|}{|P(\ell_1 \ell_2)|} \right) \text{li}(x) \right| \\
&+ \left( |\mathcal{C}_+(\ell_1 \ell_2)|^{\frac{1}{2}} + |\mathcal{C}_-(\ell_1 \ell_2)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \left( \frac{|P(\ell_1 \ell_2)|^{\#}}{|P(\ell_1 \ell_2)|} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log \left( M(K(A[\ell_1 \ell_2])^{\Lambda(\ell_1 \ell_2)}/K)x \right) \\
&\ll_K \frac{x \log z}{z} + \frac{x(\log x)(\log z)^2}{z^2} + x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log x + \max_{\substack{\ell_1 \neq \ell_2 \\ \ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathcal{P}}} \left| \frac{x}{\ell_1 \ell_2 \log x} + (\ell_1 \ell_2) x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log((\ell_1 \ell_2) N_A x) \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that since  $z < \ell_1, \ell_2 < 2z$ ,

$$|\mathcal{S}(A)| \ll_{A,K} \frac{x \log z}{z} + \frac{x(\log x)(\log z)^2}{z^2} + \frac{x}{z^2(\log x)} + z^2 x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log x.$$

By taking  $z = z(x) \sim x^{\frac{1}{6}}$ , we obtain that as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$|\mathcal{S}(A)| \ll_{A,K} x^{\frac{5}{6}} \log x.$$

Let  $\ell > C_K(A)$  be a prime. By applying Proposition 10 (i) and (iv), Theorem 5 (i) by taking  $L = K(A[\ell])$ ,  $G = G(\ell)$ , and the union of conjugacy classes  $\mathcal{C}$  to be (8)-(9), we get the following bound for (24)-(29):

$$O_K \left( \frac{x}{\ell \log x} + \ell x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log(\ell N_A x) \right).$$

Taking  $\ell = \ell(x) \asymp \frac{x^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\log x}$ , we obtain that each of the terms in (24)-(29) is bounded by  $O_{A,K}(x^{\frac{3}{4}})$ .

Thus, as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\pi_{A,\text{split}}(x) \ll_{A,K} x^{\frac{5}{6}} \log x.$$

□

**5.3. Proof of Theorem 2.** Let  $A/K$  be an absolutely simple abelian surface with  $\text{End}_{\overline{K}}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} = \text{End}_K(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \simeq E$ , where  $E$  is a real quadratic field. Then, by Lemma 17,

$$\begin{aligned}
(37) \quad \pi_{A,\text{split}}(x, t) &= \#\{N(\mathfrak{p}) \leq x : \mathfrak{p} \nmid N_A, \overline{A}_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ splits}\} \\
&\ll \#\{N(\mathfrak{p}) = p \leq x : \mathfrak{p} \nmid N_A, P_{A,p}(X) = (X^2 + b_1 X + p)^2, |b_1| \leq 2\sqrt{p}, b_1 \in \mathbb{Z}\} \\
&+ x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log x.
\end{aligned}$$

By [Lo16, Remark 1.6, p. 29], there is an explicit constant  $C'_K(A)$  such that for any prime  $\ell > C'_K(A)$  that splits in  $E$ , we have  $\text{Im } \overline{\rho}_{A,\ell} = \mathbb{G}(\ell)$ . We fix such a prime  $\ell = \ell(x)$  such that  $\ell > C'_K(A)$  and splits in  $E$ .

We bound the equation (37) by a Chebotarev counting function as follows. As in the previous subsection, we work over the subfield extension  $K(A[\ell])^{\Lambda(\ell)}/K$  rather than  $K(A[\ell])/K$ . We define

$$(38) \quad \mathcal{C}'_1{}^{RM}(\ell) := \{(g_1, g_2) \in \mathbb{G}(\ell) : \text{tr } g_1 = \text{tr } g_2\},$$

Recall the union conjugacy class  $\mathcal{C}_1^{RM}(\ell)$  defined in (19) is the image of  $\mathcal{C}'_1{}^{RM}(\ell)$  in  $\mathbb{P}(\ell)$ . Note that by (15),  $\Lambda(\ell)\mathcal{C}'_1{}^{RM}(\ell) \subseteq \mathcal{C}'_1{}^{RM}(\ell)$ . Again, we invoke [Zy15, Lemma 2.6 (ii)] and obtain

$$\pi_{\mathcal{C}'_1{}^{RM}(\ell)}(x, K(A[\ell])/K) = \pi_{\mathcal{C}_1^{RM}(\ell)}(x, K(A[\ell])^{\Lambda(\ell)}/K).$$

Note that if  $\mathfrak{p} \nmid \ell N_A$  is counted by (37) then  $\bar{\rho}_{A,\ell} \left( \left( \frac{K(A[\ell])/K}{\mathfrak{p}} \right) \right)$  is contained in  $\mathcal{C}'_1{}^{RM}(\ell)$ . Thus, we obtain

$$(39) \quad \begin{aligned} \pi_{A,\text{split}}(x) &\ll \pi_{\mathcal{C}'_1{}^{RM}(\ell)}(x, K(A[\ell])/K) + x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log x \\ &= \pi_{\mathcal{C}_1^{RM}(\ell)}(x, K(A[\ell])^{\Lambda(\ell)}/K) + x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log x. \end{aligned}$$

Based on the observations above, we have the following proof of Theorem 2.

PROOF. (1) Let  $\ell$  be a prime such that  $\ell > C'_K(A)$  and  $\ell$  is unramified and splits in  $E$ . Invoking (42), Theorem 5 (i) with  $L = K(A[\ell])^{\Lambda(\ell)}$  and  $G = \mathbb{P}(\ell)$ , Proposition 11 (i) and (ii), Lemma 6 and the criterion of Néron-Ogg-Shafarevich for abelian varieties, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{A,\text{split}}(x) &\ll x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log x + \frac{|\mathcal{C}_1^{RM}(\ell)|}{|\mathbb{P}(\ell)|} \text{li}(x) + |\mathcal{C}_1^{RM}(\ell)| x^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{\log |d_{K(A[\ell])^{\Lambda(\ell)}}|}{|\mathbb{P}(\ell)|} + \log x \right) \\ &\ll_K \frac{x}{\ell \log x} + \ell^5 x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log(\ell N_A x). \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $\ell = \ell(x) \asymp_{K,E} \frac{x^{\frac{1}{12}}}{(\log x)^{\frac{1}{3}}}$ , we obtain that as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\pi_{A,\text{split}}(x) \ll_{A,K,E} \frac{x^{\frac{11}{12}}}{(\log x)^{\frac{2}{3}}}.$$

(2) Let  $\ell$  be a prime as before. Invoking (42), Theorem 5 (ii) with  $L = K(A[\ell])^{\Lambda(\ell)}$  and  $G = \mathbb{P}(\ell)$ , Proposition 11 (i) and (ii), Lemma 6 and the the criterion of Néron-Ogg-Shafarevich for abelian varieties, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{A,\text{split}}(x) &\ll x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log x + \frac{|\mathcal{C}_1^{RM}(\ell)|}{|\mathbb{P}(\ell)|} \text{li}(x) + |\mathcal{C}_1^{RM}(\ell)|^{\frac{1}{2}} x^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \log(M(K(A[\ell])^{\Lambda(\ell)}/K)x) \right) \\ &\ll_K \frac{x}{\ell \log x} + \ell^{\frac{5}{2}} x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log(\ell N_A x). \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $\ell = \ell(x) \asymp_{K,E} \frac{x^{\frac{1}{7}}}{(\log x)^{\frac{1}{4}}}$ , we obtain that as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\pi_{A,\text{split}}(x) \ll_{A,K,E} \frac{x^{\frac{6}{7}}}{(\log x)^{\frac{2}{7}}}.$$

(3) Let  $\ell$  be a prime as before. Invoking (42), Theorem 5 (iii) with  $L = K(A[\ell])^{\Lambda(\ell)}$  and  $G = \mathbb{P}(\ell)$ , Proposition 11 (i), (ii) and (iii), Lemma 6 and the the criterion of Néron-Ogg-Shafarevich for abelian varieties, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{A,\text{split}}(x) &\ll x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log x + \frac{|C_1^{RM}(\ell)|}{|\mathbb{P}(\ell)|} \text{li}(x) + |C_1^{RM}(\ell)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{|\mathbb{P}^\#(\ell)|}{|\mathbb{P}(\ell)|} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log \left( M(K(A[\ell])^{\Lambda(\ell)}/K)x \right) \\ &\ll_K \frac{x}{\ell \log x} + \ell^{\frac{1}{2}} x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log(\ell N_A x). \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $\ell = \ell(x) \asymp_{K,E} \frac{x^{\frac{1}{3}}}{(\log x)^{\frac{4}{3}}}$ , we obtain that as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\pi_{A,\text{split}}(x) \ll_{A,K,E} x^{\frac{2}{3}} \log x.$$

□

**5.4. Proof of Theorem 3.** Let  $A/K$  be an absolutely simple abelian surface with  $\text{End}_{\overline{K}}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} = \text{End}_K(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \simeq E$ , where  $E$  is a CM quartic field. Then, by Lemma 18,

$$\begin{aligned} (40) \quad \pi_{A,\text{split}}(x, t) &= \#\{N(\mathfrak{p}) \leq x : \mathfrak{p} \nmid N_A, \overline{A}_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ splits}\} \\ &\ll \#\{N(\mathfrak{p}) = p \leq x : \mathfrak{p} \nmid N_A, P_{A,\mathfrak{p}}(X) = (X^2 + b_1 X + p)^2, |b_1| \leq 2\sqrt{p}, b_1 \in \mathbb{Z}\} \\ &\quad + x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log x. \end{aligned}$$

By the assumptions on  $\phi : T_{E'} \rightarrow T_E$  and  $E \subseteq K$  in the theorem, there is a constant  $C''_K(A) > 0$  [BaGaKr03, Theorem A, p. 118, Example 1, p. 120] such that for any prime  $\ell = \ell(x) > C''_K(A)$  that splits in  $E$ , we have  $\text{Im } \bar{\rho}_{A,\ell} = \mathbb{T}(\ell)$ . Fix a prime  $\ell = \ell(x) > C''_K(A)$  that splits in  $E$ . We bound the equation (40) by a Chebotarev counting functions as follows. Consider the subfield extension  $K(A[\ell])^{\Lambda(\ell)}/K$  and denote

$$(41) \quad \mathcal{C}'^{CM}(\ell) := \{(g_1, g_2) \in \mathbb{T}(\ell) : \text{tr } g_1 = \text{tr } g_2\}.$$

Note the union conjugacy classes  $\mathcal{C}^{CM}(\ell)$  defined in (20) is the image of  $\mathcal{C}'^{CM}(\ell)$  in  $\mathbb{T}(\ell)/\Lambda(\ell)$ . Observe that by (15),  $\Lambda(\ell)\mathcal{C}'^{CM}(\ell) \subseteq \mathcal{C}'^{CM}(\ell)$ . Again, we invoke [Zy15, Lemma 2.6 (ii)] and obtain

$$\pi_{\mathcal{C}'^{CM}(\ell)}(x, K(A[\ell])/K) = \pi_{\mathcal{C}^{CM}(\ell)}(x, K(A[\ell])^{\Lambda(\ell)}/K).$$

Note that if  $\mathfrak{p} \nmid \ell N_A$  is counted by (40), then  $\bar{\rho}_{A,\ell} \left( \left( \frac{K(A[\ell])/K}{\mathfrak{p}} \right) \right)$  is contained in  $\mathcal{C}'^{CM}(\ell)$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (42) \quad \pi_{A,\text{split}}(x) &\ll \pi_{\mathcal{C}'^{CM}(\ell)}(x, K(A[\ell])/K) + x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log x \\ &= \pi_{\mathcal{C}^{CM}(\ell)}(x, K(A[\ell])^{\Lambda(\ell)}/K) + x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log x. \end{aligned}$$

We now give the proof of Theorem 3 based on the observations above.

PROOF. Let  $\ell$  be a prime such that  $\ell \nmid N_A$  and that  $\ell$  splits in  $E$ . Since Artin's Holomorphic Conjecture holds for the abelian extension  $K(A[\ell])^{\Lambda(\ell)}/K$ , we invoke Theorem 5 (ii) with  $L = K(A[\ell])^{\Lambda(\ell)}$  and  $G = \mathbb{T}(\ell)/\Lambda(\ell)$ , Proposition 12, Lemma 6 and the criterion of Néron-Ogg-Shafarevich for abelian varieties, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{A,\text{split}}(x) &\ll \frac{|C^{CM}(\ell)|}{|\mathbb{T}(\ell)/\Lambda(\ell)|} \text{li}(x) + |C^{CM}(\ell)|^{\frac{1}{2}} x^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \log(M(K(A[\ell])^{\Lambda(\ell)}/K)x) \right) + x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log x \\ &\ll_K \frac{x}{\ell \log x} + \ell^{\frac{1}{2}} x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log(\ell N_A x). \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $\ell = \ell(x) \asymp_{K,E} \frac{x^{\frac{1}{3}}}{(\log x)^{\frac{4}{3}}}$ , we obtain that as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\pi_{A,\text{split}}(x) \ll_{A,K,E} x^{\frac{2}{3}} (\log x)^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

□

### 5.5. Proof of (3) and Corollary 4.

PROOF OF (3). Recall from [MaNa02, Theorem 4.3, p. 332] that every absolutely simple abelian surface defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -isogenous to the Jacobian of a smooth projective curve of genus two. Thus, for any prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $K$  with  $N(\mathfrak{p}) \leq x$  and  $\mathfrak{p} \nmid N_A$ , if  $\overline{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is not isogenous to the Jacobian of a smooth projective curve,  $\mathfrak{p}$  is counted by  $\pi'_{A,\text{split}}(x)$ . So the inequality (3) holds. Moreover, if  $\text{End}_{\overline{K}}(A) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$  by the remark after (2), we get the same bounds as in Theorem 1. □

PROOF OF COROLLARY 4. For a prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $K$  such that  $N(\mathfrak{p}) \leq x$  and that  $\mathfrak{p} \nmid N_A$ , the extremal values that  $|\overline{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})|$  can attain are the numbers  $(q + 1 \pm \lfloor 2\sqrt{q} \rfloor)^2$ , where  $q = |\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}|$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be an extremal prime of  $A$ . By [AuHaLa13, Corollary 2.2, p. 205, Corollary 2.14, p. 213], the characteristic polynomial of  $\overline{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is

$$P_{A,\mathfrak{p}}(X) = (X^2 \pm \lfloor 2\sqrt{q} \rfloor X + q)^2 = X^4 \pm 2\lfloor 2\sqrt{q} \rfloor X^3 + (2q + \lfloor 2\sqrt{q} \rfloor^2) X^2 \pm 2q\lfloor 2\sqrt{q} \rfloor X + q^2.$$

In particular,  $a_{1,\mathfrak{p}} = \pm 2\lfloor 2\sqrt{q} \rfloor \notin \{0, \pm\sqrt{q}, \pm\sqrt{5q}, \pm\sqrt{2q}, \pm 2\sqrt{q}\}$  and  $a_{2,\mathfrak{p}} = 2q + \lfloor 2\sqrt{q} \rfloor^2 \notin \{0, \pm q, \pm 2q, 3q\}$ , and  $\Delta_{A,\mathfrak{p}} = 0$  is a square. Combining these results with [MaNa02, Theorem 2.9, p. 324], we conclude that  $\overline{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is not simple. Thus, as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\#\{N(\mathfrak{p}) \leq x : \mathfrak{p} \nmid N_A, |\overline{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})| = (q + 1 \pm \lfloor 2\sqrt{q} \rfloor)^2, q = |\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}|\} \ll \pi_{A,\text{split}}(x).$$

The results follow. □

## 6. Final remark

**6.1. Endomorphism rings of abelian surfaces of type II and type IV.** We make a few quick observations for absolutely simple abelian surfaces of type II and type IV in Albert’s classification.

If  $A/K$  is absolutely simple of type II and  $\text{End}_{\overline{K}}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$  is isomorphic to an indefinite quaternion algebra over  $K$ , then by the Murty-Patankar Conjecture, the density of primes  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $K$  such that  $\overline{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  splits is not zero. Actually, by [Ta66, Theorem 2 (d), p. 140] we have  $\pi_{A,\text{split}}(x) \sim \frac{x}{\log x}$ .

If  $A/K$  is absolutely simple of type IV, then  $\text{End}_{\overline{K}}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$  is isomorphic to a quartic CM field  $K$ . In particular, there is a zero density of primes  $\mathfrak{p}$  such that  $\overline{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  splits since  $\text{End}_{\overline{K}}(A)$  is commutative. If  $K$  is a cyclic or a non-cyclic primitive quartic CM field, then  $\text{End}_{\overline{K}}(A)$  is not isomorphic to the maximal order of  $K$ . Because otherwise, by [Go97, Theorem 1, p. 36, Theorem 2, p. 37] and the Chebotarev Density Theorem, there is a positive density set of primes  $\mathfrak{p}$  such that  $\overline{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is not simple, a contradiction.

**6.2. Effectiveness in computing endomorphism rings.** Let  $A$  be an abelian surface defined over a number field  $K$ . Then, by [Lo19, Proposition 2.18, p. 11], there is an effective computable constant  $C$  depending on  $A$  and  $K$  such that if the good reduction  $\overline{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  splits for any prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $K$  with norm bound  $C$ , then either  $A$  splits over  $K$  or  $\text{End}_{\overline{K}}(A)$  is an order in a quaternion algebra. An interesting question is to give  $C$  explicitly in terms of invariants of  $A$ , which is useful to determine the endomorphism ring of  $A$ .

## References

- [Ac09] J.D. Achter, *Split reductions of simple abelian varieties*, Math. Res. Lett. 16, 2009, no. 2, pp.199–213.
- [Ac12] J.D. Achter, *Explicit bounds for split reductions of simple abelian varieties*, J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux 24, 2012, no. 1, pp. 41–55.
- [AcHo17] J.D. Achter and E.W. Howe, *Split abelian surfaces over finite fields and reductions of genus-2 curves*, Algebra Number Theory 11, 2017, no. 1, pp. 39–76.
- [AuHaLa13] Y. Aubry, S. Haloui and G. Lachaud, *On the number of points on abelian and Jacobian varieties over finite fields*, Acta Arith. 160, 2013, no. 3, pp. 201–241.
- [BaGaKr03] G. Banaszak, W. Gajda, P. Krasoń, *On Galois representations for abelian varieties with complex and real multiplications*, J. Number Theory 100, 2003, no. 1, pp. 117–132.
- [Ch97] N. Chavdarov, *The generic irreducibility of the numerator of the zeta function in a family of curves with large monodromy*, Duke Mathematical Journal 87.1, 1997, pp 151–180.
- [CoDa08] A.C. Cojocaru and C. David, *Frobenius fields for elliptic curves*, Amer. J. Math. 130, 2008, no. 6, pp. 1535–1560.
- [CoLoVo21] E. Costa, D. Lombardo and J. Voight, *Identifying central endomorphisms of an abelian variety via Frobenius endomorphisms*, Res. Number Theory 7, 2021, no. 3, pp. 1–14.
- [CoMaSiVo19] E. Costa, N. Mascot, J. Sijsling and J. Voight, *Rigorous computation of the endomorphism ring of a Jacobian*, Math. Comp. 88, 2019, no. 317, pp. 1303–1339.
- [DaGaMaPrTu20] C. David, A. Gafni, A. Malik, N. Prabhu, and C.L. Turnage-Butterbaugh, *Extremal primes for elliptic curves without complex multiplication*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 148, 2020, no. 3, pp. 929–943.
- [ElElHaKo09] J.S. Ellenberg, C. Elsholtz, C. Hall, and E. Kowalski *Non-simple abelian varieties in a family: geometric and analytic approaches*, Journal of the London Mathematical Society 80, no. 1, 2009, pp. 135-154.

- [FuGu12] J. Fulman and R. Guralnick, *Bounds on the number and sizes of conjugacy classes in finite Chevalley groups with applications to derangements*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 364, 2012, no. 6, pp. 3023–3070.
- [Ga70] P.X. Gallagher, *The number of conjugacy classes in a finite group*, Math. Z. 118, 1970, pp. 175–179.
- [GiJa18] L. Giberson and K. James, *An average asymptotic for the number of extremal primes of elliptic curves*, Acta Arith. 183, 2018, no. 2, pp. 145–165.
- [Go97] E. Goren, *On certain reduction problems concerning abelian surfaces*, Manuscripta Math. 94, 1997, no. 1, pp. 33–43.
- [JaPo17] K. James, P. Pollack, *Extremal primes for elliptic curves with complex multiplication*, J. Number Theory 172, 2017, pp. 383–391.
- [JaTrTrWeZa16] K. James, B. Tran, M. Trinh, P. Wertheimer and D. Zantout, *Extremal primes for elliptic curves*, J. Number Theory 164, 2016, pp. 282–298.
- [Ka21] B. Kadets, *Estimates for the number of rational points on simple abelian varieties over finite fields*, Math. Z. 297, 2021, pp. 465–473.
- [LaOd77] J. Lagarias and A.M. Odlyzko, *Effective versions of the Chebotarev density theorem*, Algebraic number fields:  $L$ -functions and Galois properties (Proc. Sympos., Univ. Durham, Durham, 1975), Academic Press, London, 1977, pp. 409–464.
- [LaTr76] S. Lang and H. Trotter, *Frobenius distributions in  $GL_2$ -extensions*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 504. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1976.
- [LiLa97] M.W. Liebeck and P. László, *Upper bounds for the number of conjugacy classes of a finite group*, J. Algebra 198, 1997, no. 2, 538–562.
- [Lo16] D. Lombardo, *On the  $\ell$ -adic Galois representations attached to nonsimple abelian varieties*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 66, 2016, no. 3, pp. 1217–1245.
- [Lom16] D. Lombardo, *Explicit surjectivity of Galois representations for abelian surfaces and  $GL_2$ -varieties*, J. Algebra 460, 2016, pp. 26–59.
- [Lo19] D. Lombardo, *Computing the geometric endomorphism ring of a genus-2 Jacobian*, Math. Comp. 88, 2019, no. 316, pp. 889–929.
- [MaNa02] D. Maisner and E. Nart, *Abelian surfaces over finite fields as Jacobians, With an appendix by Everett W. Howe*, Experiment. Math. 11, 2002, no. 3, pp. 321–337.
- [Mu70] D. Mumford, *Abelian varieties*, Oxford University Press, 1970.
- [MuMuSa88] M.R. Murty, V.K. Murty, and N. Saradha, *Modular forms and the Chebotarev density theorem*, Amer. J. Math. 110, 1988, no. 2, pp. 253–281.
- [MuMuWo18] M.R. Murty, V.K. Murty and P. Wong, *The Chebotarev density theorem and the pair correlation conjecture*, J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. 33, 2018, no. 4, pp. 399–426.
- [MuPa08] V.K. Murty and V.M. Patankar, *Splitting of abelian varieties*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN, No. 12, 2008, Art. ID rnn033.
- [Om78] O.T. O’Meara, *Symplectic groups (Vol. 16)*, Mathematical Surveys, No. 16. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1978.
- [Oo08] F. Oort, *Abelian varieties over finite fields*, Higher-dimensional geometry over finite fields, 123–188, NATO Sci. Peace Secur. Ser. D Inf. Commun. Secur., 16, IOS, Amsterdam, 2008.
- [Ri80] K.A. Ribet, *Division fields of abelian varieties with complex multiplication*, Mém. Soc. Math. France no. 2, 1980, pp. 75–94.

- [Ru90] H-G. Rück, *Abelian surfaces and Jacobian varieties over finite fields*, *Compositio Math.* 76, no. 3, 1990, pp. 351–366.
- [Se81] J-P. Serre, *Quelques applications du théorème de densité de Chebotarev*, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* no. 54, 1981, pp. 323–401.
- [Se83] J-P. Serre, *Sur le nombre des points rationnels d’une courbe algébrique sur un corps fini*, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* 296, 1983, no. 9, pp. 397–402.
- [Se86] J-P. Serre, *Lettre à Marie-France Vignéras du 10/2/1986*, in *Oeuvres. Collected Papers, Vol. IV, 1985-1998*, 2nd edition, Springer Verlag, Heidelberg, 2003, pp. 38–55.
- [SeTa68] J-P. Serre and J. Tate, *Good reduction of abelian varieties*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* 88, 1968, pp. 492–517.
- [ShTa20] A.N. Shankar and Y. Tang, *Exceptional splitting of reductions of abelian surfaces*, *Duke Math. J.* 169, 2020, no. 3, pp. 397–434.
- [ShShTaTa22] A.N. Shankar, A. Shankar, Y. Tang, and S. Tayou, *Exceptional jumps of Picard ranks of reductions of K3 surfaces over number fields*, In *Forum of Mathematics, Pi*, Vol. 10, Cambridge University Press, 2022, No. e21, 49 pp.
- [Si92] A. Silverberg, *Fields of definition for homomorphisms of abelian varieties*, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 77, 1992, no. 3, pp. 253–262.
- [Ta66] J. Tate, *Endomorphisms of abelian varieties over finite fields*, *Invent. Math.* 2, 1966, pp. 134–144.
- [Wa63] G.E. Wall, *On the conjugacy classes in the unitary, symplectic and orthogonal groups*, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* 3, 1963, pp. 1–62.
- [Wa69] W.C. Waterhouse, *Abelian varieties over finite fields*, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* 2, 1969, pp. 521–560.
- [WaMi71] W.C. Waterhouse and J.S. Milne, *Abelian varieties over finite fields*, 1969 Number Theory Institute (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XX, State Univ. New York, Stony Brook, N.Y., 1969), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI 56, 1971, pp. 53–64.
- [Zy08] D. Zywina, *The large sieve and Galois representations*, Thesis (Ph.D.)—University of California, Berkeley, 2008.
- [Zy14] D. Zywina, *The splitting of reductions of an abelian variety*, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, 2014, no. 18, pp. 5042–5083.
- [Zy15] D. Zywina, *Bounds for the Lang-Trotter Conjectures*, in *SCHOLAR – a scientific celebration highlighting open lines of arithmetic research*, *Contemporary Mathematics* 655, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2015, pp. 235–256.

(Tian Wang)

- DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STATISTICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT CHICAGO, 851 S MORGAN ST, 322 SEO, CHICAGO, 60607, IL, USA;

*Email address*, Tian Wang: [twang213@uic.edu](mailto:twang213@uic.edu)