

# ALGEBRAICITY OF RATIOS OF SPECIAL VALUES OF RANKIN–SELBERG L-FUNCTIONS AND APPLICATIONS TO DELIGNE’S CONJECTURE

SHIH-YU CHEN

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove new cases of Blasius’ and Deligne’s conjectures on the algebraicity of critical values of tensor product  $L$ -functions and symmetric power  $L$ -functions associated to modular forms. We also prove an algebraicity result on critical values of Rankin–Selberg  $L$ -functions for  $\mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_2$  in the unbalanced case, which extends the previous results of Furusawa and Morimoto for  $\mathrm{SO}(V) \times \mathrm{GL}_2$ . These results are applications of our main result on the algebraicity of ratios of special values of Rankin–Selberg  $L$ -functions. Our second main result is to prove an automorphic analogue of Blasius’ conjecture on the behavior of critical values of motivic  $L$ -functions upon twisting by Artin motives of rank one. We consider Rankin–Selberg  $L$ -functions twisted by finite order Hecke characters.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Betti–Whittaker periods for $\mathrm{GL}_n$	7
3. Period relations	15
4. Proof of main results	21
5. Applications to Deligne’s conjecture	31
References	48

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In [Del79], Deligne proposed a remarkable conjecture on the algebraicity of critical values of  $L$ -functions of motives, in terms of the periods obtained by comparing the Betti and de Rham realizations of the motives. In the introduction, we recall two families of motivic  $L$ -functions associated to elliptic modular forms, and state our results toward Deligne’s conjecture for these  $L$ -functions. We begin with the symmetric power  $L$ -functions of modular forms. Let

$$f(\tau) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_f(m)q^m \in S_{\kappa}(N, \omega), \quad q = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\tau}$$

be a normalized elliptic modular newform of weight  $\kappa \geq 2$ , level  $N$ , and with nebentypus  $\omega$ . For each prime  $p \nmid N$ , denote by  $\alpha_p, \beta_p$  the Satake parameters of  $f$  at  $p$  and put

$$A_p = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_p & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_p \end{pmatrix}.$$

Recall that  $\alpha_p, \beta_p$  are the roots of the Hecke polynomial  $X^2 - a_f(p)X + p^{\kappa-1}\omega(p)$ . For  $n \geq 1$ , the symmetric  $n$ -th power  $L$ -function  $L(s, \mathrm{Sym}^n(f))$  is defined by an Euler product

$$L(s, \mathrm{Sym}^n(f)) = \prod_p L_p(s, \mathrm{Sym}^n(f)), \quad \mathrm{Re}(s) > 1 + \frac{n(\kappa-1)}{2}.$$

Here the Euler factors are defined by

$$L_p(s, \mathrm{Sym}^n(f)) = \det(\mathbf{1}_{n+1} - \mathrm{Sym}^n(A_p) \cdot p^{-s})^{-1}$$

for  $p \nmid N$ , where  $\mathrm{Sym}^n : \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$  is the symmetric  $n$ -th power representation. By the result of Barnet-Lamb, Geraghty, Harris, and Taylor [BLGHT11, Theorem B], the symmetric power  $L$ -functions admit

meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane and satisfy functional equations relating  $L(s, \text{Sym}^n(f))$  to  $L(1+n(\kappa-1)-s, \text{Sym}^n(f^\vee))$ . Associated to  $f$ , we have a pure motive  $M_f$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of rank 2 with coefficients in the Hecke field  $\mathbb{Q}(f)$  of  $f$ , which was constructed by Deligne [Del71] and Scholl [Sch90], such that

$$L(M_f, s) = (L(s, \sigma f))_{\sigma: \mathbb{Q}(f) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}}.$$

Denote by  $\delta(M_f), c^\pm(M_f) \in (\mathbb{Q}(f) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C})^\times / \mathbb{Q}(f)^\times$  the Deligne's periods of  $M_f$  (cf. [Del79, (1.7.2) and (1.7.3)]). Under the canonical isomorphism  $\mathbb{Q}(f) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} \cong \prod_{\sigma: \mathbb{Q}(f) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}$ , we write

$$\delta(M_f) = (\delta(\sigma f))_{\sigma: \mathbb{Q}(f) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}}, \quad c^\pm(M_f) = (c^\pm(\sigma f))_{\sigma: \mathbb{Q}(f) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}}.$$

In [Del79, § 7], Deligne explicitly computed the periods  $c^\pm(\text{Sym}^n M_f)$  in terms of  $\delta(M_f)$  and  $c^\pm(M_f)$ . In particular, we have the following conjecture on the algebraicity of critical values of symmetric power  $L$ -functions.

**Conjecture A** (Deligne, Conjecture 5.10). *For a critical point  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  for  $L(s, \text{Sym}^n(f))$ , we have*

$$\sigma \left( \frac{L(m, \text{Sym}^n(f))}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{d_n^{(-1)^m m}} \cdot c^{(-1)^m}(\text{Sym}^n(f))} \right) = \frac{L(m, \text{Sym}^n(\sigma f))}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{d_n^{(-1)^m m}} \cdot c^{(-1)^m}(\text{Sym}^n(\sigma f))}, \quad \sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}).$$

Here  $d_n^+ = \frac{n}{2} + 1$ ,  $d_n^- = \frac{n}{2}$  (resp.  $d_n^\pm = \frac{n \pm 1}{2}$ ) if  $n$  is even (resp. odd) and

$$c^\pm(\text{Sym}^n(f)) = \begin{cases} \delta(f)^{r(r \pm 1)/2} \cdot (c^+(f) \cdot c^-(f))^{r(r+1)/2} & \text{if } n = 2r, \\ \delta(f)^{r(r+1)/2} \cdot c^\pm(f)^{(r+1)(r+2)/2} \cdot c^\mp(f)^{r(r+1)/2} & \text{if } n = 2r + 1. \end{cases}$$

For  $n = 1$ , the conjecture is known, as explained by Deligne in [Del79, § 7]. For  $n = 2$ , the conjecture was proved by Sturm [Stu80], [Stu89]. For  $n = 3$ , the conjecture was proved by Garrett and Harris [GH93] assuming  $\kappa \geq 5$ . We extend the result of Garrett and Harris to  $\kappa = 3, 4$  in [Che22a]. For  $n = 4, 6$ , Morimoto prove the algebraicity of the ratio in [Mor21] assuming  $\kappa \geq 6$  and  $\omega$  is trivial. Based on similar idea as in *loc. cit.*, we prove the conjecture for  $n = 4$  in [Che23] assuming  $\kappa \geq 3$ . For  $n = 5, 6$ , we prove the conjecture assuming  $\kappa \geq 6$  in [Che22c] and [Che21]. We prove in this paper the following theorem regarding Deligne's conjecture for arbitrary  $n$ :

**Theorem A** (Theorem 5.11). *Conjecture A holds when  $\kappa$  is odd and  $\kappa \geq 5$ .*

As another example of Deligne's conjecture on critical values of motivic  $L$ -functions, we consider tensor product  $L$ -functions of modular forms. Let  $n$  be a positive integer and  $f_i \in S_{\kappa_i}(N_i, \omega_i)$  be a normalized elliptic modular newform for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Define the tensor product  $L$ -function  $L(s, f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n)$  by an Euler product

$$L(s, f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n) = \prod_p L_p(s, f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n), \quad \text{Re}(s) > 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\kappa_i - 1}{2}.$$

Here the Euler factors are given by

$$L_p(s, f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n) = \det(\mathbf{1}_{2^n} - \otimes_{i=1}^n A_{i,p} \cdot p^{-s})^{-1}$$

for  $p \nmid N_1 \cdots N_n$ , where  $A_{i,p}$  is a 2 by 2 semisimple matrix whose eigenvalues are Satake parameters of  $f_i$  at  $p$ . Conjecturally, it admits meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane and satisfies a functional equation relating  $L(s, f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n)$  to  $L(1 + \sum_{i=1}^n (\kappa_i - 1) - s, f_1^\vee \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n^\vee)$ , where  $f_i^\vee \in S_{\kappa_i}(N_i, \omega_i^{-1})$  is the normalized newform dual to  $f_i$ . In [Bla87], Blasius proposed the following conjecture on the algebraicity of special values of the tensor product  $L$ -function, which is a refinement of Deligne's conjecture [Del79] for tensor product motives associated to  $f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , let  $G(\omega_i)$  be the Gauss sum of  $\omega_i$  and  $\|f_i\|$  be the Petersson norm of  $f_i$  defined by

$$\|f_i\| = \text{vol}(\Gamma_0(N_i) \backslash \mathfrak{H})^{-1} \int_{\Gamma_0(N_i) \backslash \mathfrak{H}} |f_i(\tau)|^2 y^{\kappa_i - 2} d\tau.$$

**Conjecture B** (Blasius, Conjecture 5.7). *Assume  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  be a critical point for  $L(s, f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n)$ . Then the tensor product  $L$ -function is holomorphic at  $s = m$  and we have*

$$\sigma \left( \frac{L(m, f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n)}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{2^{n-1}m} \cdot c(f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n)} \right) = \frac{L(m, \sigma f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma f_n)}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{2^{n-1}m} \cdot c(\sigma f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma f_n)}, \quad \sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}).$$

Here

$$c(f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n) = (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{2^{n-2} \sum_{i=1}^n (1-\kappa_i)} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n G(\omega_i)^{2^{n-2}} \cdot (\pi^{\kappa_i} \cdot \|f_i\|)^{2^{n-2}-t_i}$$

with  $t_i$  equal to the cardinality of the set

$$\left\{ (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \in \{\pm 1\}^n \mid 2(\kappa_i - 1) < \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j(\kappa_j - 1), \quad \varepsilon_i = 1 \right\}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

For  $n = 2$ , we have the pioneering result of Shimura in [Shi76]. For  $n = 3$ , the conjecture was considered by various authors and is partially proved, notably we have the results of Harris–Kudla [HK91], Garrett–Harris [GH93], and Furusawa–Morimoto [FM14], [FM16]. We prove in this paper the following theorem regarding Blasius’ conjecture for arbitrary  $n$ :

**Theorem B** (Theorem 5.8). *Conjecture B holds if the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (1)  $\kappa_1 + \cdots + \kappa_n \equiv n \pmod{2}$ .
- (2)  $|\sum_{i=1}^n (\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon'_i)(\kappa_i - 1)| \geq 6$  for all  $(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$  and  $(\varepsilon'_1, \dots, \varepsilon'_n)$  in  $\{\pm 1\}^n$ .
- (3) If  $n \geq 5$ , then  $N_1 = \cdots = N_{n-2} = 1$ .

Besides Theorems A and B, in Theorem 5.5 below we also prove an automorphic analogue of Deligne’s conjecture [Del79] on critical values of Rankin–Selberg  $L$ -functions of essentially self-dual cuspidal automorphic representations of  $\mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_2$ , which extends the previous results of Furusawa and Morimoto [FM14], [FM16] for  $\mathrm{SO}(V) \times \mathrm{GL}_2$ . These theorems are proved by mathematical induction. In the induction step, a key ingredient is our main result Theorem 1.2 which we shall now introduce.

**1.1. Algebraicity of ratios of critical Rankin–Selberg  $L$ -values.** Denote by  $\mathbb{A}$  the ring of adèles of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . For isobaric automorphic representations  $\Sigma$  and  $\Pi$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$  and  $\mathrm{GL}_{n'}(\mathbb{A})$ , respectively, let  $L(s, \Sigma \times \Pi)$  be the Rankin–Selberg  $L$ -function of  $\Sigma \times \Pi$ . We denote by  $L^{(\infty)}(s, \Sigma \times \Pi)$  the  $L$ -function obtained by excluding the archimedean factor. These  $L$ -functions play important roles in number theory. The analytic properties were studied by various authors (cf. [JS81a], [JS81b], [JPSS83], [Sha81], [MW89], and [CPS04]). In particular,  $L(s, \Sigma \times \Pi)$  admits meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane and satisfies a functional equation relating  $L(s, \Sigma \times \Pi)$  to  $L(1-s, \Sigma^\vee \times \Pi^\vee)$ . Besides its analytic properties, the algebraic aspect is also an important subject of study. In this paper, we study the algebraicity of ratios of special values of Rankin–Selberg  $L$ -functions. Following Clozel in [Clo90], we consider *algebraic* automorphic representations of general linear groups. For an algebraic automorphic representation  $\Pi$ , we denote by  ${}^\sigma \Pi = \Pi_\infty \otimes {}^\sigma \Pi_f$  its  $\sigma$ -conjugate for  $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ . It is conjectured that these conjugates are automorphic as well, which was proved in *loc. cit.* for regular algebraic automorphic representations. In [HR20], Harder and Raghuram prove a striking result on the algebraicity of ratios of critical values of a fixed Rankin–Selberg  $L$ -function. More precisely, let  $\Sigma$  and  $\Pi$  be regular algebraic (cohomological) cuspidal automorphic representations of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$  and  $\mathrm{GL}_{n'}(\mathbb{A})$ , respectively. Assume  $n$  and  $n'$  are both even, they show that

$$\sigma \left( \frac{L(m_0, \Sigma \times \Pi)}{L(m_1, \Sigma \times \Pi)} \right) = \frac{L(m_0, {}^\sigma \Sigma \times {}^\sigma \Pi)}{L(m_1, {}^\sigma \Sigma \times {}^\sigma \Pi)}$$

for all  $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$  and all critical points  $m_0, m_1$  for  $L(s, \Sigma \times \Pi)$  with  $L(m_1, \Sigma \times \Pi) \neq 0$ . If  $n$  is even and  $n'$  is odd, then the ratios are expressed in terms of the relative periods of  $\Sigma$  (cf. [HR20, Definition 5.3]). The result is compatible with Deligne’s conjecture [Del79] on critical values of motivic  $L$ -functions, and it reduces the study of algebraicity of critical values to that of the rightmost or leftmost critical values. As a different aspect of ratios of critical values, we consider ratios of product of different Rankin–Selberg  $L$ -functions at a fixed critical point. Under reasonable assumptions, we expect these ratios to be algebraic and propose the following conjecture:

**Conjecture 1.1.** *Let  $\Sigma, \Sigma'$  (resp.  $\Pi, \Pi'$ ) be algebraic automorphic representations of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$  (resp.  $\mathrm{GL}_{n'}(\mathbb{A})$ ) such that*

$$\Sigma_\infty = \Sigma'_\infty, \quad \Pi_\infty = \Pi'_\infty.$$

*Let  $m_0 \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{n+n'}{2}$  be a critical point for  $L(s, \Sigma \times \Pi)$  such that  $L(m_0, \Sigma \times \Pi') \cdot L(m_0, \Sigma' \times \Pi) \neq 0$ . Then, for  $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ , we have*

$$\sigma \left( \frac{L(m_0, \Sigma \times \Pi) \cdot L(m_0, \Sigma' \times \Pi')}{L(m_0, \Sigma \times \Pi') \cdot L(m_0, \Sigma' \times \Pi)} \right) = \frac{L(m_0, {}^\sigma \Sigma \times {}^\sigma \Pi) \cdot L(m_0, {}^\sigma \Sigma' \times {}^\sigma \Pi')}{L(m_0, {}^\sigma \Sigma \times {}^\sigma \Pi') \cdot L(m_0, {}^\sigma \Sigma' \times {}^\sigma \Pi)}.$$

The conjecture is also compatible with Deligne’s conjecture, as will be explained in §4.5 below. The conjecture can be verified in some special cases when  $\Sigma$  and  $\Pi$  are regular algebraic and algebraicity results for  $\mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_{n'}$  are known. For instance, it holds for  $n = n' = 2$  by the result of Shimura [Shi76]. It also holds for  $n' = n - 1$  by the result of Raghuram [Rag10] (in fact, a stronger version where  $\Pi, \Pi'$  can be isobaric, see Theorem 4.1) under the assumptions that  $\Sigma, \Sigma'$  are cuspidal and  $(\Sigma_\infty, \Pi_\infty)$  is balanced. In practice, compared with known methods in the literature, Conjecture 1.1 provides another approach to prove algebraicity results for automorphic/motivic  $L$ -functions. For instance, we have apply Conjecture 1.1 for  $\mathrm{GL}_4 \times \mathrm{GL}_3$  in [Che22c] to prove Deligne’s conjecture for symmetric fifth  $L$ -functions of modular forms. Applications to Deligne’s conjecture for motivic  $L$ -functions of higher degree are given in §5 below. The following theorem is the main result of this paper. We prove Conjecture 1.1 for cohomological tamely isobaric automorphic representations (i.e. regular algebraic automorphic representations with essentially tempered archimedean components) under some assumptions. Given a cohomological tamely isobaric automorphic representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$ , we can associate a tuple of integers  $(\underline{\kappa}; \mathbf{w})$ , called the infinity type of the representation, which is determined by its archimedean component (cf. §2.1). Note that  $\underline{\kappa} = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$  with  $\kappa_1 \geq \dots \geq \kappa_r \geq 2$  and  $r = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ , and some parity condition is imposed on the infinity type (cf. (2.1)). We say  $\underline{\kappa}$  is  $N$ -regular if  $\kappa_i - \kappa_j \geq N$  for all  $1 \leq i < j \leq r$ . The assumptions in our main result are some parity and regularity conditions on the infinity types. More precisely, our main result is as follows:

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $\Sigma$  and  $\Pi$  be cohomological tamely isobaric automorphic representations of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$  and  $\mathrm{GL}_{n'}(\mathbb{A})$  with infinity types  $(\underline{\kappa}; \mathbf{w})$  and  $(\underline{\ell}; \mathbf{u})$ , respectively. Then Conjecture 1.1 holds if the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (1)  $nn'$  is even and  $\mathbf{w} + \mathbf{u} \equiv n + n' \pmod{2}$ .
- (2)  $\min\{\kappa_i, \ell_j\} \geq 3$  if  $n$  and  $n'$  are even,  $\min\{\kappa_i, \ell_j\} \geq 5$  if  $n$  or  $n'$  is odd, and  $\underline{\kappa} \sqcup \underline{\ell}$  is  $(4 + 2\delta)$ -regular, where  $\delta = 0$  if  $\mathbf{w}$  or  $\mathbf{u}$  is odd and  $\delta = 1$  otherwise.

Here  $\underline{\kappa} \sqcup \underline{\ell}$  is the  $(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{n'}{2} \rfloor)$ -tuple in descending order with entries from  $\underline{\kappa}$  and  $\underline{\ell}$ .

We consider yet another aspect of ratios of critical values. We have the following conjecture on the algebraicity of ratios of critical values of Rankin–Selberg  $L$ -functions twisted by finite order Hecke characters. It is an automorphic analogue of Blasius’ conjecture [Bla97] on the behavior of critical values of motivic  $L$ -functions upon twisting by Artin motives of rank one.

**Conjecture 1.3.** *Let  $\Sigma$  and  $\Pi$  be cohomological cuspidal automorphic representations of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$  and  $\mathrm{GL}_{n'}(\mathbb{A})$ , respectively. Assume  $nn'$  is even. Let  $m_0, m_1 \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{n+n'}{2}$  be critical points for  $L(s, \Sigma \times \Pi)$ , and  $\chi$  a finite order Hecke character of  $\mathbb{A}^\times$  such that  $L(m_1, \Sigma \times \Pi) \neq 0$  and  $(-1)^{m_0 - m_1} = \chi_\infty(-1)$  if  $n$  or  $n'$  is odd. Then, for  $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma \left( \frac{L(m_0, \Sigma \times \Pi \otimes \chi)}{(\sqrt{-1})^{(m_0 - m_1)nn'/2} \cdot G(\chi)^{nn'/2} \cdot L(m_1, \Sigma \times \Pi)} \right) \\ &= \frac{L(m_0, \sigma\Sigma \times \sigma\Pi \otimes \sigma\chi)}{(\sqrt{-1})^{(m_0 - m_1)nn'/2} \cdot G(\sigma\chi)^{nn'/2} \cdot L(m_1, \sigma\Sigma \times \sigma\Pi)}. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $G(\chi)$  is the Gauss sum of  $\chi$ .

Similarly as above, the conjecture is known for  $\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathrm{GL}_2$  and  $\mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}$  in the balanced case. When  $n' = 1$  and  $\Sigma$  is symplectic (i.e. essentially self-dual with non-zero twisted Shalika model), the conjecture follows from the results of Grobner–Raghuram [GR14] and Jiang–Sun–Tian [JST21] when either  $m_0 = m_1$  or  $m_0 - m_1$  is even. Following theorem is our second main result. Without the essential self-duality assumption, we prove Conjecture 1.3 under some parity and regularity conditions on the infinity types. More precisely:

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $\Sigma$  and  $\Pi$  be cohomological cuspidal automorphic representations of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$  and  $\mathrm{GL}_{n'}(\mathbb{A})$  with infinity types  $(\underline{\kappa}; \mathbf{w})$  and  $(\underline{\ell}; \mathbf{u})$ , respectively. Write  $r = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$  and  $r' = \lfloor \frac{n'}{2} \rfloor$ . Then Conjecture 1.3 holds if either the conditions in Theorem 1.2 are satisfied or the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (1)  $\kappa_1 > \ell_1$  and for each  $1 \leq i \leq r - 1$  (resp.  $i = r$ ), there exists at most one  $1 \leq j \leq r'$  such that  $\kappa_i > \ell_j > \kappa_{i+1}$  (resp.  $\kappa_r > \ell_j$ ).
- (2) If  $n$  is even, then  $\ell_{r'} > \kappa_r \geq 3$  when  $\min\{|\kappa_i - \ell_j|\}$  is even and  $\ell_{r'} > \kappa_r \geq 6$  when  $\min\{|\kappa_i - \ell_j|\}$  is odd.

- (3) If  $n$  is odd and  $\ell_{r'} > \kappa_r$  (resp.  $\kappa_r > \ell_{r'}$ ), then  $\kappa_r \geq 5$  (resp.  $\ell_{r'} \geq 3$ ) when  $\min\{|\kappa_i - \ell_j|\}$  is even and  $\kappa_r \geq 11$  (resp.  $\ell_{r'} \geq 6$ ) when  $\min\{|\kappa_i - \ell_j|\}$  is odd.
- (4) If  $\min\{|\kappa_i - \ell_j|\}$  is even (resp.  $\min\{|\kappa_i - \ell_j|\}$  is odd), then  $\min\{|\kappa_i - \ell_j|\} \geq 2$  (resp.  $\min\{|\kappa_i - \ell_j|\} \geq 5$ ) and  $\underline{\kappa}$  is 4-regular (resp. 10-regular).
- (5) If  $n$  and  $n'$  are both even, then  $\mathfrak{u}$  is odd.

When  $n' = 1$ , we replace  $\min\{|\kappa_i - \ell_j|\}$  by  $\min\{|\kappa_i - 1|\}$  in the above conditions.

We have the following remark on the conditions in Theorems 1.2 and 1.4.

**Remark 1.5.** Condition (1) in Theorem 1.2 is equivalent to the existence of integers  $t_1, t_2$  such that the isobaric sum  $(\Sigma \otimes |_{\mathbb{A}}^{t_1/2}) \boxplus (\Pi^\vee \otimes |_{\mathbb{A}}^{t_2/2})$  is cohomological and tamely isobaric. Condition (2) in Theorem 1.2 is necessary for the existence of a cohomological cuspidal automorphic representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_{n+n'+1}(\mathbb{A})$  such that Raghuram's result [Rag10] is applicable to the corresponding Rankin–Selberg  $L$ -function for  $\mathrm{GL}_{n+n'+1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{n+n'}$ . Conditions (1)-(5) in Theorem 1.4 are slightly artificial in nature. It guarantees the existence of cohomological tamely isobaric automorphic representation  $\Pi'$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_{n-n'-1}(\mathbb{A})$  and integers  $t_1, t_2$  such that  $\Psi = (\Pi \otimes |_{\mathbb{A}}^{t_1/2}) \boxplus (\Pi' \otimes |_{\mathbb{A}}^{t_2/2})$  is cohomological and tamely isobaric and Raghuram's result [Rag10] is applicable to  $L(s, \Sigma \times \Psi)$ . If we assume  $\Sigma$  and  $\Sigma'$  are cuspidal, then Conjecture 1.1 also holds under conditions (1)-(5) in Theorem 1.4. The main difficulty to lift these conditions is to generalize Raghuram's result to cohomological tamely isobaric automorphic representations. We refer to [GH16, §6.6] for a discussion.

**1.2. Outline of the proof.** Theorem A and Theorem B with  $n$  odd are proved base on mathematical induction. The strategy is as follows:

- Show that the motivic  $L$ -function in question is equal to (a factor of) a Rankin–Selberg  $L$ -function  $L(s, \Sigma \times \Pi)$  for some cohomological tamely isobaric automorphic representations  $\Sigma$  and  $\Pi$ .
- Choose auxiliary tamely isobaric automorphic representations  $\Sigma'$  and  $\Pi'$  with  $\Sigma_\infty = \Sigma'_\infty$  and  $\Pi_\infty = \Pi'_\infty$ , such that the Rankin–Selberg  $L$ -functions  $L(s, \Sigma \times \Pi')$ ,  $L(s, \Sigma' \times \Pi)$ , and  $L(s, \Sigma' \times \Pi')$  decompose into product of motivic  $L$ -functions in question with smaller degree.
- By Theorem 1.2 and the induction hypothesis, we are reduced to the cases for  $\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathrm{GL}_1$ ,  $\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathrm{GL}_2$ , and  $\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathrm{GL}_2$  which are already known thanks to [Shi76], [Shi77], and [GH93].

When  $n$  is even, it is observed by Morimoto [Mor21] that symmetric even power  $L$ -functions are related to Asai  $L$ -functions over CM-fields. We prove Conjecture A for  $n = 2r$  based on the case for symmetric odd power  $L$ -functions, together with the algebraicity results of Liu [Liu21] for twisted standard  $L$ -functions for  $\mathrm{GSp}_{2r}$  and Grobner–Lin [GL21] for Rankin–Selberg  $L$ -functions for  $\mathrm{GL}_{r+1} \times \mathrm{GL}_r$  over CM-fields. In [GL21], the algebraicity result is equivariant over the Galois closure of the CM-field. We prove the Galois-equivariance over  $\mathbb{Q}$  for critical values of the symmetric power  $L$ -functions by varying the base change to CM-fields of the functorial lift of symmetric powers.

The main ingredients in the proof of Theorem 1.2 are:

- The result of Raghuram [Rag10] on the algebraicity of critical values of Rankin–Selberg  $L$ -functions for  $\mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}$ .
- An analogue of the result [GL21, Theorem 2.6] of Grobner and Lin on period relations for the Betti–Whittaker periods over CM-fields.

For the second ingredient, we follow the idea in [GL21, §2] and carry out the details when the base field is  $\mathbb{Q}$  (actually, can be any totally real number fields). More precisely, in Theorem 3.2, we prove period relations for the Betti–Whittaker periods of a cohomological tamely isobaric automorphic representation in terms of the Betti–Whittaker periods of its summands and critical values of Rankin–Selberg  $L$ -functions. For instance, let  $\Sigma$  and  $\Pi$  be cohomological tamely isobaric automorphic representations of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$  and  $\mathrm{GL}_{n'}(\mathbb{A})$  respectively with both  $n$  and  $n'$  are even. The parity condition (1) in Theorem 1.2 implies that we may assume the isobaric sum  $\Sigma \boxplus \Pi^\vee$  is cohomological and tamely isobaric. Then Theorem 3.2 gives the period relation

$$p(\Sigma \boxplus \Pi^\vee, \pm) \sim G(\omega_\Pi)^{-n} \cdot L^{(\infty)}(1, \Sigma \times \Pi) \cdot p(\Sigma, \pm(-1)^{n'/2}) \cdot p(\Pi^\vee, \pm(-1)^{n/2}),$$

where  $p(\cdot, \pm)$  refers to the Betti–Whittaker periods. By the regularity condition (2) in Theorem 1.2, we prove in Lemma 4.6 the existence of an auxiliary cohomological cuspidal automorphic representation  $\Psi$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_{n+n'+1}(\mathbb{A})$  such that the result of Raghuram can be applied to the Rankin–Selberg  $L$ -function  $L(s, \Psi \times$

$(\Sigma \boxplus \Pi^\vee)$ ) which has at least two critical points. Then we will conclude that

$$L^{(\infty)}(1, \Sigma \times \Pi) \sim \frac{L^{(\infty)}(m + \frac{1}{2}, \Psi \times \Sigma) \cdot L^{(\infty)}(m + \frac{1}{2}, \Psi \times \Pi^\vee)}{q_\infty(m) \cdot G(\omega_\Sigma \omega_\Pi^{-n-1}) \cdot p(\Psi, \varepsilon_m) \cdot p(\Sigma, \varepsilon'_m) \cdot p(\Pi^\vee, \varepsilon''_m)}$$

for any critical points  $m + \frac{1}{2}$  for  $L(s, \Psi \times (\Sigma \boxplus \Pi^\vee))$ . Here  $q_\infty(m) \in \mathbb{C}^\times, \varepsilon_m, \varepsilon'_m, \varepsilon''_m \in \{\pm 1\}$  depend only on  $m, \Psi_\infty, \Sigma_\infty, \Pi_\infty$ . It then follows that Conjecture 1.1 holds for the critical point  $m_0 = 1$ . The result for other critical points is a consequence of this special case together with the result of Harder and Raghuram [HR20]. For Theorem 1.4, the proof is similar but slightly more complicated. There is one more ingredient which is the result of Raghuram and Shahidi [RS08] on the period relations for the Betti–Whittaker periods upon twisting by algebraic Hecke characters.

This paper is organized as follows. In §2, we recall the definition of the Betti–Whittaker periods of cohomological tamely isobaric automorphic representations. The definition depends on choice of generators in relative Lie algebraic cohomology groups. We specify a canonical choice in §2.5. In §3, we prove the period relations for the Betti–Whittaker periods in Theorem 3.2. In §4, we prove our main results Theorems 1.2 and 1.4. In §5, we give applications of Theorem 1.2 to Deligne’s conjecture for some motivic  $L$ -functions. This section is logically independent of §2–§4 except for some notation in §2.1 and some preliminaries on Deligne’s conjecture in §4.5. Readers interested in the applications can start with §5.

**1.3. Notations.** Let  $\mathbb{A}$  be the ring of adèles of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $\mathbb{A}_f$  be the finite part of  $\mathbb{A}$ , and  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} = \prod_p \mathbb{Z}_p$  be its maximal compact subring. Let  $\psi = \otimes_v \psi_v$  be the standard additive character of  $\mathbb{Q} \backslash \mathbb{A}$  defined so that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_p(x) &= e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}x} \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{Z}[p^{-1}], \\ \psi_\infty(x) &= e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}x} \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

For each place  $v$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , let  $|\cdot|_v$  be the absolute value on  $\mathbb{Q}_v$  normalized so that  $|p|_p = p^{-1}$  if  $v = p$  is a prime number and  $|\cdot|_\infty = |\cdot|$  is the ordinary absolute value on  $\mathbb{R}$  if  $v = \infty$ . Let  $|\cdot|_{\mathbb{A}} = \prod_v |\cdot|_v$  be the normalized absolute value on  $\mathbb{A}$ .

Let  $\chi$  be an algebraic Hecke character of  $\mathbb{A}^\times$ . We denote by  $G(\chi)$  the Gauss sum of  $\chi$  defined by

$$G(\chi) = \prod_p \varepsilon(0, \chi_p, \psi_p),$$

where  $\varepsilon(s, \chi_p, \psi_p)$  is the  $\varepsilon$ -factor of  $\chi_p$  with respect to  $\psi_p$  defined in [Tat79]. For  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ , let  ${}^\sigma\chi$  the unique algebraic Hecke character of  $\mathbb{A}^\times$  such that  ${}^\sigma\chi(a) = \sigma(\chi(a))$  for  $a \in \mathbb{A}_f^\times$ . It is easy to verify that

$$(1.1) \quad \sigma(G(\chi)) = {}^\sigma\chi(u_\sigma)G({}^\sigma\chi),$$

where  $u_\sigma \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times$  is the unique element such that  $\sigma(\psi(x)) = \psi(u_\sigma x)$  for  $x \in \mathbb{A}_f$ .

We denote by  $W_n$  the Weyl group of  $\text{GL}_n$  with respect to the standard maximal torus consisting of diagonal matrices. We will take permutation matrices in  $\text{GL}_n$  as representatives of elements in  $W_n$ . For an ordered partition  $(n_1, \dots, n_k)$  of  $n$ , let  $P_{(n_1, \dots, n_k)}$  by the standard parabolic subgroup of  $\text{GL}_n$  defined by

$$P_{(n_1, \dots, n_k)} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} & \cdots & g_{1k} \\ 0 & g_{22} & \cdots & g_{2k} \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & g_{kk} \end{pmatrix} \middle| g_{ii} \in \text{GL}_{n_i}, g_{ij} \in M_{n_i, n_j} \text{ for } 1 \leq i < j \leq k \right\}.$$

For a standard parabolic subgroup  $P$  of  $\text{GL}_n$ , we denote by  $U_P$  and  $M_P$  the unipotent radical and standard Levi subgroup consisting of block-diagonal matrices of  $P$ , respectively. Let  $W_n^P$  be the minimal coset representatives of  $W_{M_P} \backslash W_n$ , where  $W_{M_P}$  is the Weyl group of  $M_P$ .

The following notation will appear only in the proof of algebraicity results: Let  $(a_\sigma)_{\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})}$  and  $(b_\sigma)_{\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})}$  be families of complex numbers index by  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $b_\sigma \neq 0$  for all  $\sigma$ . We write  $a_{\text{id}} \sim b_{\text{id}}$  if

$$\sigma \left( \frac{a_{\text{id}}}{b_{\text{id}}} \right) = \frac{a_\sigma}{b_\sigma}$$

for all  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ .

## 2. BETTI–WHITTAKER PERIODS FOR $\mathrm{GL}_n$

**2.1. Cohomological representations.** We identify the set of dominant integral weights for  $\mathrm{GL}_n$  (with respect to its standard maximal torus consisting of diagonal matrices and the standard Borel subgroup consisting of upper triangular matrices) with the set of  $n$ -tuples  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  such that  $\mu_1 \geq \dots \geq \mu_n$ . For a dominant integral weight  $\mu$ , we denote by  $M_\mu$  the irreducible algebraic representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q})$  with highest weight  $\mu$ . Let  $M_{\mu, \mathbb{C}} = M_\mu \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$  be the base change to a representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ .

Let  $K_n^\circ$  and  $K_n$  be closed subgroups of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$  defined by

$$K_n^\circ = \mathbb{R}_+ \cdot \mathrm{SO}(n), \quad K_n = \mathbb{R}_+ \cdot \mathrm{O}(n).$$

Here we regard the set  $\mathbb{R}_+$  of positive real numbers as the topological connected component of the center of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ . We denote by  $\mathfrak{g}_n$  and  $\mathfrak{k}_n$  the Lie algebras of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$  and  $K_n$ , respectively. Let  $\Pi$  be an irreducible admissible  $(\mathfrak{g}_n, \mathrm{O}_n(\mathbb{R}))$ -module. Recall  $\Pi$  is cohomological if there exists some irreducible algebraic representation  $M_{\mathbb{C}}$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$  such that the  $(\mathfrak{g}_n, K_n^\circ)$ -cohomology is non-zero:

$$H^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}_n, K_n^\circ; \Pi \otimes M_{\mathbb{C}}) \neq 0.$$

In this case, we say  $\Pi$  is cohomological with coefficients in  $M_{\mathbb{C}}$ . Moreover, if we assume further that  $\Pi$  is essentially tempered, then  $M_{\mathbb{C}}$  is uniquely determined. Indeed, an irreducible admissible, cohomological, essentially tempered  $(\mathfrak{g}_n, \mathrm{O}_n(\mathbb{R}))$ -module is realized as the space of  $\mathrm{O}_n(\mathbb{R})$ -finite vectors of an induced representation of the form

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{Ind}_{P_{(2, \dots, 2)}(\mathbb{R})}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})} (D_{\kappa_1} \otimes \dots \otimes D_{\kappa_r}) \otimes |\cdot|^{\mathfrak{w}/2} & \text{if } n = 2r, \\ \mathrm{Ind}_{P_{(2, \dots, 2, 1)}(\mathbb{R})}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})} (D_{\kappa_1} \otimes \dots \otimes D_{\kappa_r} \otimes \mathrm{sgn}^\delta) \otimes |\cdot|^{\mathfrak{w}/2} & \text{if } n = 2r + 1, \end{cases}$$

for some  $\kappa_1 > \dots > \kappa_r \geq 2$  and  $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$  if  $n$  is odd, such that

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} \kappa_1 \equiv \dots \equiv \kappa_r \equiv \mathfrak{w} \pmod{2} & \text{if } n = 2r, \\ \kappa_1 \equiv \dots \equiv \kappa_r \equiv \mathfrak{w} + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{2} & \text{if } n = 2r + 1. \end{cases}$$

Here  $D_\kappa$  is the discrete series representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  with weight  $\kappa \geq 2$ . We write  $\underline{\kappa} = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_r)$  and call  $(\underline{\kappa}; \mathfrak{w})$  the infinity type of  $\Pi$ . When  $n$  is odd, we also define the signature  $\varepsilon(\Pi)$  of  $\Pi$  by

$$(2.2) \quad \varepsilon(\Pi) = (-1)^{r + \delta + \mathfrak{w}/2}.$$

In this case,  $\Pi$  is cohomological with coefficients in the uniquely determined algebraic representation  $M_{\mu, \mathbb{C}}$  with

$$\mu = \left( \frac{1-n}{2}, \frac{3-n}{2}, \dots, \frac{n-1}{2} \right) - \left( \frac{\mathfrak{w}}{2}, \dots, \frac{\mathfrak{w}}{2} \right) + \begin{cases} \left( \frac{\kappa_1-1}{2}, \dots, \frac{\kappa_r-1}{2}, \frac{1-\kappa_r}{2}, \dots, \frac{1-\kappa_1}{2} \right) & \text{if } n = 2r, \\ \left( \frac{\kappa_1-1}{2}, \dots, \frac{\kappa_r-1}{2}, 0, \frac{1-\kappa_r}{2}, \dots, \frac{1-\kappa_1}{2} \right) & \text{if } n = 2r + 1. \end{cases}$$

Moreover,  $\bullet = b_n = \lfloor \frac{n^2}{4} \rfloor$  is the least non-vanishing degree of the  $(\mathfrak{g}_n, K_n^\circ)$ -cohomology for  $\Pi \otimes M_{\mu, \mathbb{C}}$ . We have

$$H^{b_n}(\mathfrak{g}_n, K_n^\circ; \Pi \otimes M_{\mu, \mathbb{C}}) = \left( \Pi \otimes \bigwedge^{b_n} (\mathfrak{g}_{n, \mathbb{C}} / \mathfrak{k}_{n, \mathbb{C}})^* \otimes M_{\mu, \mathbb{C}} \right)^{K_n^\circ}$$

and

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{b_n}(\mathfrak{g}_n, K_n^\circ; \Pi \otimes M_{\mu, \mathbb{C}}) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Note that  $\pi_0(\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})) = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R}) / \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})^\circ \cong \mathrm{O}_n(\mathbb{R}) / \mathrm{SO}_n(\mathbb{R})$  naturally acts on the  $(\mathfrak{g}_n, K_n^\circ)$ -cohomology groups. For  $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$ , we denote by  $H^{b_n}(\mathfrak{g}_n, K_n^\circ; \Pi \otimes M_{\mu, \mathbb{C}})[\varepsilon]$  the  $\varepsilon$ -isotypic space under the action of  $\pi_0(\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R}))$ . The  $\varepsilon$ -isotypic space is one-dimensional when  $n$  is even, and is non-zero for  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\Pi)$  when  $n$  is odd. In § 2.5 below, we will specify a canonical choice of generator of the  $\varepsilon$ -isotypic space under the Whittaker realization of  $\Pi$ .

**2.2. Tamely isobaric automorphic representations.** Let  $\Pi$  be an isobaric automorphic representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$ . We have

$$\Pi = \Pi_1 \boxplus \cdots \boxplus \Pi_k$$

for some cuspidal automorphic representation  $\Pi_i$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_{n_i}(\mathbb{A})$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Following [?], we say  $\Pi$  is *tamely isobaric* if there exists  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\Pi_i \otimes |\cdot|_{\mathbb{A}}^s$  is unitary for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . In this case,  $\Pi$  is fully induced (cf. [Ber84] and [Vog86]). We recall the realization of  $\Pi$  in the space  $\mathcal{A}(\mathrm{GL}_n)$  of automorphic forms on  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$  using cuspidal Eisenstein series as follows: Let  $P = P_{(n_1, \dots, n_k)}$  be the standard parabolic subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_n$  of type  $(n_1, \dots, n_k)$ . Let  $I_P^{\mathrm{GL}_n}(\otimes_{i=1}^k \Pi_i)$  be the space of smooth and  $\mathrm{O}_n(\mathbb{R})$ -finite functions  $h : U_P(\mathbb{A})M_P(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that for all  $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$ , the function

$$M_P(\mathbb{A}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad m \longmapsto \rho_P(m)^{-1} \cdot h(mg)$$

is a cusp form in  $V_{\Pi_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{\Pi_k}$ . Here  $\rho_P$  is the square-root of the modulus character of  $P(\mathbb{A})$ , and  $V_{\Pi_i}$  is the space of  $\Pi_i$  realized in the space of cusp forms on  $\mathrm{GL}_{n_i}(\mathbb{A})$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . It is clear that  $I_P^{\mathrm{GL}_n}(\otimes_{i=1}^k \Pi_i)$  can be identified with the space of  $\mathrm{O}_n(\mathbb{R})$ -finite functions in the induced representation  $\mathrm{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{A})}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})}(\otimes_{i=1}^k \Pi_i)$ , which we denote by  $\mathrm{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{A})}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})}(\otimes_{i=1}^k \Pi_i)_{\mathrm{O}_n(\mathbb{R})}$ . For  $h \in I_P^{\mathrm{GL}_n}(\otimes_{i=1}^k \Pi_i)$  and  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) \in \mathbb{C}^k$ , let  $h_\lambda \in I_P^{\mathrm{GL}_n}(\otimes_{i=1}^k (\Pi_i \otimes |\cdot|_{\mathbb{A}}^{\lambda_i}))$  defined by

$$(2.3) \quad h_\lambda(g) = \prod_{i=1}^k |\det m_i|_{\mathbb{A}}^{\lambda_i} \cdot h(g)$$

for  $g = umk \in U_P(\mathbb{A})M_P(\mathbb{A})(\mathrm{O}_n(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{GL}_n(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}))$  with  $m = \mathrm{diag}(m_1, \dots, m_k)$ . We define the Eisenstein series

$$E(g, h_\lambda) = \sum_{\gamma \in P(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q})} h_\lambda(\gamma g),$$

which converges absolutely when  $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)$  is sufficiently large for  $1 \leq i < j \leq k$ . By Langlands' work [Lan76], it admits meromorphic continuation to  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^k$  (cf. [MW95, § IV.1.8]). Moreover, since  $\Pi$  is tamely isobaric, the Eisenstein series is holomorphic at  $\lambda = 0$  (cf. [MW95, § IV.1.11]). We then realize  $\Pi$  in  $\mathcal{A}(\mathrm{GL}_n)$  as the image of the  $(\mathfrak{g}_n, \mathrm{O}_n(\mathbb{R})) \times \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_f)$ -equivariant injective homomorphism

$$(2.4) \quad I_P^{\mathrm{GL}_n}(\otimes_{i=1}^k \Pi_i) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}(\mathrm{GL}_n), \quad h \longmapsto E(h) := E(h_\lambda)|_{\lambda=0}.$$

For an automorphic form  $\varphi$  on  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$ , recall the constant term of  $\varphi$  along  $P$  is defined by

$$\varphi_P(g) = \int_{U_P(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash U_P(\mathbb{A})} \varphi(g) du^{\mathrm{Tam}}, \quad g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}).$$

Here  $du^{\mathrm{Tam}}$  is the Tamagawa measure on  $U_P(\mathbb{A})$ . For  $h \in I_P^{\mathrm{GL}_n}(\otimes_{i=1}^k \Pi_i)$  and  $w \in W_n$  such that  $wM_Pw^{-1} = M_P$ , let

$$M_w^P(h) : U_P(\mathbb{A})M_P(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

be the function defined so that  $M_w^P(h)(g)$  is the evaluation at  $\lambda = 0$  of the meromorphic function defined by the intertwining integral

$$\int_{(U_P(\mathbb{A}) \cap wU_P(\mathbb{A})w^{-1}) \backslash U_P(\mathbb{A})} h_\lambda(w^{-1}ug) du^{\mathrm{Tam}}$$

when  $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)$  is sufficiently large for  $1 \leq i < j \leq k$  (cf. [MW95, § II.1.6]). Note that the meromorphic function is holomorphic at  $\lambda = 0$ , since  $\Pi$  is tamely isobaric (cf. [MW95, § IV.1.11]). The constant term of the Eisenstein series  $E(h)$  along  $P$  is given by (cf. [MW95, § II.1.7])

$$(2.5) \quad E_P(h) = \sum_{\substack{w \in W_n^P \cap (W_n^P)^{-1} \\ wM_Pw^{-1} = M_P}} M_w^P(h).$$

For  $w \in W_n^P \cap (W_n^P)^{-1}$  with  $wM_Pw^{-1} = M_P$ , there exists a unique permutation  $\tau_w$  on  $\{1, \dots, k\}$  such that

$$(2.6) \quad w \cdot \mathrm{diag}(m_1, \dots, m_k) \cdot w^{-1} = \mathrm{diag}(m_{\tau_w(1)}, \dots, m_{\tau_w(k)}), \quad \mathrm{diag}(m_1, \dots, m_k) \in M_P.$$

One can easily verify that the association  $w \mapsto \tau_w$  is injective. We have an  $((\mathfrak{g}_n, \mathrm{O}_n(\mathbb{R})) \times \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_f))$ -equivariant isomorphism

$$M_w^P : I_P^{\mathrm{GL}_n}(\otimes_{i=1}^k \Pi_i) \longrightarrow I_P^{\mathrm{GL}_n}(\otimes_{i=1}^k \Pi_{\tau_w(i)}).$$



**2.3. Whittaker functionals.** Let  $U_n$  be the standard maximal unipotent subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_n$  consisting of upper unipotent matrices. Let  $\psi_{U_n} : U_n(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash U_n(\mathbb{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be the additive character defined by

$$\psi_{U_n}(u) = \psi(u_{12} + u_{23} + \cdots + u_{n-1,n}), \quad u = (u_{ij}) \in U_n(\mathbb{A}).$$

For each place  $v$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , let  $\psi_{U_n,v}$  be the local component of  $\psi_{U_n}$  at  $v$ . Let  $\psi_{U_n,f} = \bigotimes_p \psi_{U_n,p}$ .

Let  $\Pi = \Pi_1 \boxplus \cdots \boxplus \Pi_k$  be a tamely isobaric automorphic representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$  as in §2.2. For an automorphic form  $\varphi \in \Pi$ , the Whittaker function  $W(\varphi)$  with respect to  $\psi_U$  is defined by

$$(2.7) \quad W(g, \varphi) = \int_{U_n(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash U_n(\mathbb{A})} \varphi(ug) \overline{\psi_{U_n}(u)} du^{\mathrm{Tam}}, \quad g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$$

Here  $du^{\mathrm{Tam}}$  is the Tamagawa measure on  $U_n(\mathbb{A})$ . Let  $\mathcal{W}(\Pi)$  be the space of Whittaker functions of  $\Pi$ . We say  $\Pi$  is globally generic if  $\mathcal{W}(\Pi)$  is non-zero. Since  $\Pi$  is fully induced,  $\Pi$  is locally generic everywhere. For each place  $v$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , let  $\mathcal{W}(\Pi_v)$  be the space of Whittaker functions of  $\Pi_v$  with respect to  $\psi_{U_n,v}$ . When  $v = p$  is a finite place such that  $\Pi_p$  is unramified, let  $W_{\Pi_p}^\circ \in \mathcal{W}(\Pi_p)$  be the  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ -invariant Whittaker function normalized so that  $W_{\Pi_p}^\circ(\mathbf{1}_n) = 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{W}(\Pi_f) = \prod_p \mathcal{W}(\Pi_p)$  be the restricted product with respect to  $W_{\Pi_p}^\circ$  for unramified  $p$ . Assume  $\Pi$  is globally generic. Then  $\mathcal{W}(\Pi) = \prod_v \mathcal{W}(\Pi_v)$ . For  $W_\infty \in \mathcal{W}(\Pi_\infty)$  and  $W_f \in \mathcal{W}(\Pi_f)$ , there exists a unique automorphic form  $\varphi \in \Pi$  such that

$$W(\varphi) = W_\infty \cdot W_f.$$

In this case, we obtain a  $((\mathfrak{g}_n, \mathrm{O}_n(\mathbb{R})) \times \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_f))$ -equivariant isomorphism

$$(2.8) \quad \mathcal{W}(\Pi_\infty) \otimes \mathcal{W}(\Pi_f) \longrightarrow \Pi.$$

Similar notations also apply to  $\Pi_i$  by replacing  $U_n$  with  $U_{n_i}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ .

Recall  $P = P_{(n_1, \dots, n_k)}$ . For  $f \in \mathrm{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{A})}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})} (\bigotimes_{i=1}^k \mathcal{W}(\Pi_i))_{\mathrm{O}_n(\mathbb{R})}$  and  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) \in \mathbb{C}^k$ , let  $f_\lambda \in \mathrm{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{A})}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})} (\bigotimes_{i=1}^k \mathcal{W}(\Pi_i \otimes | \cdot |^{\lambda_i}))_{\mathrm{O}_n(\mathbb{R})}$  be defined as in (2.3), and  $\mathbb{W}(f_\lambda)$  be the Whittaker function of the induced representation  $\mathrm{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{A})}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})} (\bigotimes_{i=1}^k (\Pi_i \otimes | \cdot |^{\lambda_i}))_{\mathrm{O}_n(\mathbb{R})}$  defined by

$$\mathbb{W}(g, f_\lambda) = \int_{w_P \overline{U}_P(\mathbb{A}) w_P^{-1}} f_\lambda(w_P^{-1}ug)(\mathbf{1}_{n_1}, \dots, \mathbf{1}_{n_k}) \overline{\psi_{U_n}(u)} du, \quad g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}).$$

Here  $\overline{U}_P$  is the transpose of  $U_P$ ,  $w_P \in W_n$  is the Weyl element given by

$$w_P = \begin{pmatrix} & & & \mathbf{1}_{n_k} \\ & & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \\ \mathbf{1}_{n_1} & & & \end{pmatrix},$$

and  $du = \prod_v du_v$  is the Haar measure on  $w_P \overline{U}_P(\mathbb{A}) w_P^{-1}$  so that  $\mathrm{vol}(w_P \overline{U}_P(\mathbb{Z}_p) w_P^{-1}, du_p) = 1$  if  $v = p$  is finite and  $du_\infty$  is the product measure of the Lebesgue measures on  $\mathbb{R}$  if  $v = \infty$ . Note that the integral converges absolutely when  $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)$  is sufficiently large for  $1 \leq i < j \leq k$  and admits holomorphic continuation to  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^k$  (cf. [Sha10, §3]). For each place  $v$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $f_v \in \mathrm{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{Q}_v)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_v)} (\bigotimes_{i=1}^k \mathcal{W}(\Pi_{i,v}))$ , let  $\mathbb{W}(f_v, \lambda)$  be the Whittaker function of  $\mathrm{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{Q}_v)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_v)} (\bigotimes_{i=1}^k (\Pi_{i,v} \otimes | \cdot |^{\lambda_i}))$  defined in a similar way as above. For  $h \in I_P^{\mathrm{GL}_n} (\bigotimes_{i=1}^k \Pi_i)$ , let  $f(h) \in \mathrm{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{A})}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})} (\bigotimes_{i=1}^k \mathcal{W}(\Pi_i))_{\mathrm{O}_n(\mathbb{R})}$  defined by composing with the global Whittaker functional (2.7) with  $U_n$  replaced by  $U_n \cap M_P$ , that is, for  $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$ ,  $f(h)(g) \in \bigotimes_{i=1}^k \mathcal{W}(\Pi_i)$  is given by

$$f(h)(g)(m) = \rho_P(m)^{-1} \int_{(U_n \cap M_P)(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash (U_n \cap M_P)(\mathbb{A})} h(umg) \overline{\psi_{U_n}(u)} du^{\mathrm{Tam}}, \quad m \in M_P(\mathbb{A}).$$

We then have the following equality between Whittaker functions as holomorphic functions in  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^k$  outside the singularities of  $E(h_\lambda)$ :

$$(2.9) \quad W(E(h_\lambda)) = \mathbb{W}(f(h_\lambda)).$$

**Lemma 2.1.** *Assume  $\Pi_i \neq \Pi_j$  if  $i \neq j$ . Then  $\Pi$  is globally generic.*

*Proof.* Let  $S$  be a finite set of places of  $\mathbb{Q}$  consisting of  $\infty$  such that  $\Pi_p$  is unramified for all  $p \notin S$ . For  $p \notin S$ , let  $f_p^\circ$  be the  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ -invariant section in  $\mathrm{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{Q}_p)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(\otimes_{i=1}^k \mathcal{W}(\Pi_{i,p}))$  normalized so that  $f_p^\circ(\mathbf{1}_n) = \otimes_{i=1}^k W_{\Pi_{i,p}}^\circ$ . By [Sha10, Proposition 7.1.4], we have

$$\mathbb{W}(\mathbf{1}_n, f_{p,\lambda}^\circ) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} L(1 + \lambda_i - \lambda_j, \Pi_{i,p} \times \Pi_{j,p}^\vee)^{-1}.$$

For any place  $v$ , the equivariant map

$$\mathbb{W} : \mathrm{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{Q}_v)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_v)}(\otimes_{i=1}^k \mathcal{W}(\Pi_{i,v})) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(\Pi_v), \quad \mathbb{W}(f_v) = \mathbb{W}(f_{v,\lambda})|_{\lambda=0}$$

is an isomorphism by [Wal92, Theorem 15.4.1] and [Sha10, Corollaries 3.4.9 and 3.6.11] (for  $v = \infty$ , we take  $O_n(\mathbb{R})$ -finite part on the left-hand side). For  $v \in S$ , fix  $f_v \in \mathrm{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{Q}_v)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_v)}(\otimes_{i=1}^k \mathcal{W}(\Pi_{i,v}))$  ( $O_n(\mathbb{R})$ -finite if  $v = \infty$ ) such that  $\mathbb{W}(\mathbf{1}_n, f_v) \neq 0$ . We then define  $h \in I_P^{\mathrm{GL}_n}(\otimes_{i=1}^k \Pi_i)$  be the unique section such that  $f(h) = (\otimes_{v \in S} f_v) \otimes (\otimes_{p \notin S} f_p^\circ)$ . By (2.9), we deduce that

$$W(\mathbf{1}_n, E(h)) = \prod_{v \in S} \mathbb{W}(\mathbf{1}_n, f_v) \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} L^S(1 + \lambda_i - \lambda_j, \Pi_i \times \Pi_j^\vee)^{-1}|_{\lambda=0}.$$

By our assumption,  $L(s, \Pi_i \times \Pi_j^\vee)$  is holomorphic and non-vanishing at  $s = 1$  for  $1 \leq i < j \leq k$  (cf. [JS81b, Propositions 3.3 and 3.6] and [Sha81, Theorem 5.2]). We conclude that  $W(\mathbf{1}_n, E(h)) \neq 0$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**2.4. Betti–Whittaker periods.** Let  $\Pi = \Pi_1 \boxplus \cdots \boxplus \Pi_k$  be a tamely isobaric automorphic representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$  as in §2.2. Recall  $\rho_P$  is the square-root of the modulus character of  $P(\mathbb{A})$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , let  $\rho_i$  be the restriction of  $\rho_P$  to the factor  $\mathrm{GL}_{n_i}$  of the Levi component  $M_P \cong \mathrm{GL}_{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}_{n_k}$ , and we abbreviate  $\Pi_i \rho_i$  for  $\Pi_i \otimes \rho_i$ . Assume further that  $\Pi$  is cohomological, that is,  $\Pi_\infty$  is cohomological. By [BW00, III, Theorem 3.3],  $\Pi_i \rho_i$  is cohomological for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . It then follows from Clozel’s purity lemma [Clo90, Lemme 4.9] that  $\Pi_{i,\infty}$  is essentially tempered for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Therefore,  $\Pi_\infty$  is essentially tempered. As we recalled in §2.1, there exists a unique irreducible algebraic representation  $M_\mu$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q})$  such that the  $(\mathfrak{g}_n, K_n^\circ)$ -cohomology is non-zero:

$$H^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}_n, K_n^\circ; \Pi_\infty \otimes M_{\mu,\mathbb{C}}) \neq 0.$$

By the description of  $\Pi_\infty$  in §2.1, we also see that  $\Pi_{i,\infty} \not\cong \Pi_{j,\infty}$  if  $i \neq j$ . In particular,  $\Pi$  is globally generic by Lemma 2.1.

**2.4.1. Rational structure via Whittaker model.** Let  $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , let  $^\sigma(\Pi_i \rho_i)$  be the irreducible admissible  $((\mathfrak{g}_n, O_n(\mathbb{R})) \times \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_f))$ -module defined by

$$^\sigma(\Pi_i \rho_i) = (\Pi_{i,\infty} \rho_{i,\infty}) \otimes ^\sigma(\Pi_{i,f} \rho_{i,f}).$$

Since  $\Pi_i \rho_i$  is cohomological,  $^\sigma(\Pi_i \rho_i)$  is cohomological and cuspidal by the result of Clozel [Clo90, Théorème 3.19]. Let  $^\sigma \Pi$  be the isobaric automorphic representation defined by

$$^\sigma \Pi = \boxplus_{i=1}^k ^\sigma(\Pi_i \rho_i) \rho_i^{-1}.$$

It is clear that  $^\sigma \Pi$  is cohomological and tamely isobaric. Moreover, we have  $(^\sigma \Pi)_f = ^\sigma(\Pi_f)$  (cf. [Gro18, Lemma 1.2]). We write  $^\sigma \Pi_f = (^\sigma \Pi)_f$ . Let  $\mathbb{Q}(\Pi)$  be the rationality field of  $\Pi$  which is the fixed field of  $\{\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C}) \mid ^\sigma \Pi = \Pi\}$ . Note that  $\mathbb{Q}(\Pi)$  is equal to the composite of the rationality fields of  $\mathbb{Q}(\Pi_i)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . In particular,  $\mathbb{Q}(\Pi)$  is a number field. Let  $t_\sigma : \mathcal{W}(\Pi_f) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(^\sigma \Pi_f)$  be the  $\sigma$ -linear  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_f)$ -equivariant isomorphism defined by

$$(2.10) \quad t_\sigma W(g) = \sigma(W(\mathrm{diag}(u_\sigma^{-n+1}, u_\sigma^{-n+2}, \dots, 1)g)), \quad g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_f).$$

Here  $u_\sigma \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times$  is the unique element such that  $\sigma(\psi(x)) = \psi(u_\sigma x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{A}_f$ . We thus obtain a  $\mathbb{Q}(\Pi)$ -rational structure on  $\mathcal{W}(\Pi_f)$  given by taking the Galois invariants over  $\mathbb{Q}(\Pi)$ :

$$(2.11) \quad \mathcal{W}(\Pi_f)^{\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(\Pi))} = \{W \in \mathcal{W}(\Pi_f) \mid t_\sigma W = W \text{ for } \sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(\Pi))\}.$$

Note that  $\mathcal{W}(\Pi_f)^{\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(\Pi))}$  is non-zero by the newform theory for  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_f)$  [JPSS81], the existence of the Kirillov model for  $\Pi_f$  [BZ76, Theorem 5.20], and [Wal85, Lemme I.1].

2.4.2. *Rational structure via sheaf cohomology.* Consider the topological space

$$(2.12) \quad \mathcal{S}_n = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}) / K_n^\circ.$$

The algebraic representation  $M_\mu$  defines a sheaf  $\mathcal{M}_\mu$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector spaces on  $\mathcal{S}_n$  (cf. [HR20, § 2.2.8]). We denote by

$$H^\bullet(\mathcal{S}_n, \mathcal{M}_\mu)$$

the sheaf cohomology groups of  $\mathcal{M}_\mu$ . It naturally endowed with an action of  $\pi_0(\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})) \times \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_f)$  (cf. [HR20, § 2.3]). When we go to the transcendental level, the base change  $M_{\mu, \mathbb{C}} = M_\mu \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$  gives a sheaf  $\mathcal{M}_{\mu, \mathbb{C}}$  of  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces on  $\mathcal{S}_n$  and we have

$$(2.13) \quad H^\bullet(\mathcal{S}_n, \mathcal{M}_{\mu, \mathbb{C}}) = H^\bullet(\mathcal{S}_n, \mathcal{M}_\mu) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}.$$

At the transcendental level, via the de Rham complex, the cohomology groups  $H^\bullet(\mathcal{S}_n, \mathcal{M}_{\mu, \mathbb{C}})$  are canonically isomorphic to the  $(\mathfrak{g}_n, K_n^\circ)$ -cohomology groups of  $C^\infty(\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})) \otimes M_{\mu, \mathbb{C}}$ . Moreover, we have the celebrated result of Franke [Fra98, Theorem 18] which shows that we can replace the space of smooth functions on  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$  by the space  $\mathcal{A}(\mathrm{GL}_n)$  of automorphic forms on  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$ . The homomorphism (2.4) then induces a  $\pi_0(\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})) \times \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_f)$ -equivariant homomorphism

$$(2.14) \quad \Psi_\Pi^\bullet : H^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}_n, K_n^\circ; \Pi \otimes M_{\mu, \mathbb{C}}) \longrightarrow H^\bullet(\mathcal{S}_n, \mathcal{M}_{\mu, \mathbb{C}}).$$

We mention that the image of  $\Psi_\Pi$  lies in the Eisenstein cohomology (resp. cuspidal cohomology) if  $k \geq 2$  (resp.  $k = 1$ ). For  $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ , we have the  $\sigma$ -linear isomorphism  $M_{\mu, \mathbb{C}} \rightarrow M_{\mu, \mathbb{C}}$  defined by

$$\mathbf{v} \otimes z \longmapsto \mathbf{v} \otimes \sigma(z)$$

for  $\mathbf{v} \otimes z \in M_{\mu, \mathbb{C}} = M_\mu \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$ . It naturally induces a  $\sigma$ -linear  $\pi_0(\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})) \times \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_f)$ -equivariant isomorphism

$$\sigma^* : H^\bullet(\mathcal{S}_n, \mathcal{M}_{\mu, \mathbb{C}}) \longrightarrow H^\bullet(\mathcal{S}_n, \mathcal{M}_{\mu, \mathbb{C}}).$$

Following the proof of [Gro18, Propositions 1.6 and 1.7] (based on the result of Grobner [Gro13, Theorem 4] which is a refinement of the result of Franke [Fra98, Theorem 14]), we deduce that  $\Psi_\Pi^{b_n}$  is injective and the image of  $\sigma^* \circ \Psi_\Pi^{b_n}$  is equal to the image of  $\Psi_{\sigma\Pi}^{b_n}$ . We will write  $\Psi_\Pi^{b_n} = \Psi_\Pi$  for brevity. This induces a  $\sigma$ -linear  $\pi_0(\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})) \times \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_f)$ -equivariant isomorphism

$$\sigma^* : H^{b_n}(\mathfrak{g}_n, K_n^\circ; \Pi \otimes M_{\mu, \mathbb{C}}) \longrightarrow H^{b_n}(\mathfrak{g}_n, K_n^\circ; \sigma\Pi \otimes M_{\mu, \mathbb{C}}).$$

Let  $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$  if  $n$  is even, and  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\Pi_\infty)$  if  $n$  is odd. We then obtain a  $\mathbb{Q}(\Pi)$ -rational structure on  $H^{b_n}(\mathfrak{g}_n, K_n^\circ; \Pi \otimes M_{\mu, \mathbb{C}})[\varepsilon]$  given by taking the Galois invariants over  $\mathbb{Q}(\Pi)$ :

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} & H^{b_n}(\mathfrak{g}_n, K_n^\circ; \Pi \otimes M_{\mu, \mathbb{C}})[\varepsilon]^{\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(\Pi))} \\ &= \{ \omega \in H^{b_n}(\mathfrak{g}_n, K_n^\circ; \Pi \otimes M_{\mu, \mathbb{C}})[\varepsilon] \mid \sigma^* \omega = \omega \text{ for } \sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(\Pi)) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we fix a generator

$$[\Pi_\infty]^\varepsilon \in H^{b_n}(\mathfrak{g}_n, K_n^\circ; \mathcal{W}(\Pi_\infty) \otimes M_{\mu, \mathbb{C}})[\varepsilon].$$

Let

$$\Phi_\Pi^\varepsilon : \mathcal{W}(\Pi_f) \longrightarrow H^{b_n}(\mathfrak{g}_n, K_n^\circ; \Pi \otimes M_{\mu, \mathbb{C}})[\varepsilon]$$

be the  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_f)$ -equivariant isomorphism defined as follows: For  $W \in \mathcal{W}(\Pi_f)$ , we have

$$[\Pi_\infty]^\varepsilon \otimes W \in H^{b_n}(\mathfrak{g}_n, K_n^\circ; \mathcal{W}(\Pi_\infty) \otimes \mathcal{W}(\Pi_f) \otimes M_{\mu, \mathbb{C}})[\varepsilon].$$

Then  $\Phi_\Pi^\varepsilon(W)$  is the image of  $[\Pi_\infty]^\varepsilon \otimes W$  under the  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_f)$ -equivariant isomorphism induced by the isomorphism (2.8). Comparing the  $\mathbb{Q}(\Pi)$ -rational structures given by (2.11) and (2.15), we have the following lemma/definition of the Betti–Whittaker periods of  $\Pi$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$  if  $n$  is even, and  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\Pi_\infty)$  if  $n$  is odd. There exists  $p(\Pi, \varepsilon) \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ , unique up to  $\mathbb{Q}(\Pi)^\times$ , such that*

$$\frac{\Phi_\Pi^\varepsilon(\mathcal{W}(\Pi_f)^{\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(\Pi))})}{p(\Pi, \varepsilon)} = H^{b_n}(\mathfrak{g}_n, K_n^\circ; \Pi \otimes M_{\mu, \mathbb{C}})[\varepsilon]^{\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(\Pi))}.$$

Moreover, we can normalize the periods so that

$$\sigma^* \left( \frac{\Phi_\Pi^\varepsilon(W)}{p(\Pi, \varepsilon)} \right) = \frac{\Phi_{\sigma\Pi}^\varepsilon(t_\sigma W)}{p(\sigma\Pi, \varepsilon)}, \quad \sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C}).$$

It is clear that the Betti–Whittaker period  $p(\Pi, \varepsilon)$  of  $\Pi$  depends on the choice of  $[\Pi_\infty]^\varepsilon$ . In §2.5 below, we will specify a canonical choice of generator. When  $n$  is odd, for brevity we will also write

$$(2.16) \quad p(\Pi) = p(\Pi, \varepsilon).$$

In the following theorem, we recall the result of Raghuram and Shahidi [RS08] on the period relations for Betti–Whittaker periods upon twisting by algebraic Hecke characters.

**Theorem 2.3** (Raghuram–Shahidi). *Let  $\Pi$  be a cohomological tamely isobaric automorphic representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$  and  $\chi$  an algebraic Hecke character of  $\mathbb{A}^\times$ . Let  $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$  if  $n$  is even, and  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\Pi_\infty)$  if  $n$  is odd. Assume the generators  $[\Pi_\infty]^\varepsilon$  and  $[\Pi_\infty \otimes \chi_\infty]^{\varepsilon \cdot \varepsilon(\chi_\infty)}$  satisfy the compatibility relation in Lemma 2.5 below. For  $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ , we have*

$$\sigma \left( \frac{p(\Pi \otimes \chi, \varepsilon \cdot \varepsilon(\chi_\infty))}{G(\chi)^{n(n-1)/2} \cdot p(\Pi, \varepsilon)} \right) = \frac{p(\sigma\Pi \otimes \sigma\chi, \varepsilon \cdot \varepsilon(\chi_\infty))}{G(\sigma\chi)^{n(n-1)/2} \cdot p(\sigma\Pi, \varepsilon)}.$$

**Remark 2.4.** The result of Raghuram and Shahidi is stated for cuspidal  $\Pi$ . Nonetheless, the proof goes without change for general case. One can also deduce the general case from the cuspidal one by using the period relation in Theorem 3.2 below.

**2.5. Choices of generators for relative Lie algebra cohomology.** Let  $\Pi$  be an irreducible admissible essentially tempered  $(\mathfrak{g}_n, \mathcal{O}_n(\mathbb{R}))$ -module. Assume  $\Pi$  is cohomological with coefficients in  $M_{\mu, \mathbb{C}}$ . Let  $\mathcal{W}(\Pi)$  be the space of Whittaker functions of  $\Pi$  with respect to  $\psi_{U_n, \infty}$ . Let  $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$  if  $n$  is even, and  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\Pi)$  if  $n$  is odd. The aim of this section is to fix a canonical choice of generator of  $H^{b_n}(\mathfrak{g}_n, K_n^\circ; \mathcal{W}(\Pi) \otimes M_{\mu, \mathbb{C}})[\varepsilon]$ .

We begin with the case  $n = 1$ . Then  $\Pi = \chi = \mathrm{sgn}^\delta |\cdot|^{w/2}$  for some  $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $w \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ . In this case  $\varepsilon = (-1)^{\delta+w/2}$ , and  $M_\mu = \mathbb{Q}$  with  $\mathrm{GL}_1(\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}^\times$  acts by  $(-\frac{w}{2})$ -th power multiplication. Note that  $\mathcal{W}(\chi)$  consisting of functions  $W : \mathbb{R}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $W(a) = \chi(a)W(1)$ . Let  $W_\chi \in \mathcal{W}(\chi)$  be the Whittaker function normalized so that  $W_\chi(1) = 1$ . Then we define the class

$$[\chi]^\varepsilon \in H^0(\mathfrak{g}_1, K_1^\circ; \mathcal{W}(\chi) \otimes M_{\mu, \mathbb{C}})[\varepsilon]$$

by

$$(2.17) \quad [\chi]^\varepsilon = W_\chi \otimes 1 \otimes 1.$$

Here we identify  $\bigwedge^0(\mathfrak{g}_{1, \mathbb{C}}/\mathfrak{k}_{1, \mathbb{C}})^*$  with  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Secondly, we consider the case  $n = 2$ . Then  $\Pi = D_\kappa \otimes |\cdot|^{w/2}$  for some  $\kappa \geq 2$  and  $w \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\kappa \equiv w \pmod{2}$ . In this case,  $M_\mu$  can be realized as the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space consisting of homogeneous polynomials over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of degree  $\kappa - 2$  in variables  $x, y$ , and  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$  acts on it by

$$(g \cdot P)(x, y) = (\det g)^{(-\kappa+2-w)/2} \cdot P((x, y)g).$$

Let  $Y_\pm \in \mathfrak{g}_{2, \mathbb{C}}/\mathfrak{k}_{2, \mathbb{C}}$  defined by

$$Y_\pm = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \sqrt{-1} \pm \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes 1,$$

and  $\{Y_+^*, Y_-^*\} \subset (\mathfrak{g}_{2, \mathbb{C}}/\mathfrak{k}_{2, \mathbb{C}})^*$  be the corresponding dual basis. Let  $W_H^\pm \in \mathcal{W}(\Pi)$  be the Whittaker function of weight  $\pm\kappa$  under the action of  $\mathrm{SO}_2(\mathbb{R})$  and normalized so that

$$W_H^\pm(\mathrm{diag}(a, 1)) = |a|^{(\kappa+w)/2} e^{-2\pi|a|} \cdot \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{R}_+}(\pm a).$$

We define the class

$$[\Pi]^\varepsilon \in H^1(\mathfrak{g}_2, K_2^\circ; \mathcal{W}(\Pi) \otimes M_{\mu, \mathbb{C}})[\varepsilon]$$

by

$$(2.18) \quad [\Pi]^\varepsilon = W_H^+ \otimes Y_+^* \otimes (\sqrt{-1}x + y)^{\kappa-2} + \varepsilon \cdot (\sqrt{-1})^w \cdot W_H^- \otimes Y_-^* \otimes (x + \sqrt{-1}y)^{\kappa-2}.$$

Note that the normalization here differs from the one in [RT11, (3.6) and (3.7)] by a rational number.

Now we consider general  $n$ . Assume there exist a standard parabolic subgroup  $P$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_n$  of type  $(n_1, \dots, n_k)$  and irreducible admissible essentially tempered  $(\mathfrak{g}_{n_i}, \mathcal{O}_{n_i}(\mathbb{R}))$ -module  $\Pi_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$  such that

$$(2.19) \quad \Pi \cong \mathrm{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{R})}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})} (\otimes_{i=1}^k \Pi_i)_{\mathcal{O}_n(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Then  $\Pi_i \rho_i$  is cohomological with coefficients in some  $M_{\mu_i, \mathbb{C}}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Also the essentially temperedness of  $\Pi$  implies that  $\Pi_1, \dots, \Pi_k$  are simultaneously unitary up to a common twist. Moreover, by the result of Borel and Wallach [BW00, III, Theorem 3.3] (also known as Delorme's lemma in [Har, Theorem 9.2.1]), there exists a unique Weyl element  $w \in W_n$  such that  $(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k) = w \cdot (\mu + \rho_n) - \rho_n$ , and we have a canonical  $\pi_0(\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R}))$ -equivariant isomorphism

$$(2.20) \quad \begin{aligned} I_{\otimes_{i=1}^k \mathcal{W}(\Pi_i)} : H^{b_n}(\mathfrak{g}_n, K_n^\circ; \mathrm{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{R})}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})} (\otimes_{i=1}^k \mathcal{W}(\Pi_i))_{O_n(\mathbb{R})} \otimes M_{\mu, \mathbb{C}}) \\ \longrightarrow \left( \bigotimes_{i=1}^k H^{b_{n_i}}(\mathfrak{g}_{n_i}, K_{n_i}^\circ; \mathcal{W}(\Pi_i \rho_i) \otimes M_{\mu_i, \mathbb{C}}) \right)^{\pi_0(K_n^{M_P})}. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\rho_n$  is half the sum of positive roots for  $\mathrm{GL}_n$  and  $K_n^{M_P} = K_n^\circ \cap P(\mathbb{R})$ . Note that the tensor product on the right-hand side is naturally a  $\pi_0(M_P(\mathbb{R}))$ -module. After taking the  $\pi_0(K_n^{M_P})$ -invariant part, it is a  $\pi_0(\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R}))$ -module by the short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \pi_0(K_n^{M_P}) \longrightarrow \pi_0(M_P(\mathbb{R})) \longrightarrow \pi_0(\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})) \longrightarrow 1.$$

By taking the  $\varepsilon$ -isotypic component on both sides, we obtain the isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\otimes_{i=1}^k \mathcal{W}(\Pi_i)}^\varepsilon : H^{b_n}(\mathfrak{g}_n, K_n^\circ; \mathrm{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{R})}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})} (\otimes_{i=1}^k \mathcal{W}(\Pi_i))_{O_n(\mathbb{R})} \otimes M_{\mu, \mathbb{C}})[\varepsilon] \\ \longrightarrow \left( \bigotimes_{i=1}^k H^{b_{n_i}}(\mathfrak{g}_{n_i}, K_{n_i}^\circ; \mathcal{W}(\Pi_i \rho_i) \otimes M_{\mu_i, \mathbb{C}}) \right)[\varepsilon]. \end{aligned}$$

Recall the equivariant isomorphism  $\mathbb{W} : \mathrm{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{R})}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})} (\otimes_{i=1}^k \mathcal{W}(\Pi_i))_{O_n(\mathbb{R})} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(\Pi)$  defined in §2.3. This in term defines a  $\pi_0(\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R}))$ -equivariant isomorphism

$$\mathbb{W}^* : H^{b_n}(\mathfrak{g}_n, K_n^\circ; \mathrm{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{R})}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})} (\otimes_{i=1}^k \mathcal{W}(\Pi_i))_{O_n(\mathbb{R})} \otimes M_{\mu, \mathbb{C}}) \longrightarrow H^{b_n}(\mathfrak{g}_n, K_n^\circ; \mathcal{W}(\Pi) \otimes M_{\mu, \mathbb{C}}).$$

We define the class

$$[\Pi]^\varepsilon \in H^{b_n}(\mathfrak{g}_n, K_n^\circ; \mathcal{W}(\Pi) \otimes M_{\mu, \mathbb{C}})$$

by

$$(2.21) \quad [\Pi]^\varepsilon = \mathbb{W}^* \circ \left( I_{\otimes_{i=1}^k \mathcal{W}(\Pi_i)}^\varepsilon \right)^{-1} \left( \otimes_{i=1}^k [\Pi_i \rho_i]^\varepsilon \right)$$

for some specific choices of  $P$ ,  $\Pi_i$ , and  $[\Pi_i \rho_i]^\varepsilon$  described as follows: Let  $(\underline{\kappa}; \mathfrak{w})$  be the infinity type of  $\Pi$  with  $\underline{\kappa} = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_r)$ . Let

$$P = \begin{cases} P_{(2, \dots, 2)} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ P = P_{(2, \dots, 2, 1)} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \quad k = \begin{cases} r & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ r + 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Define  $\Pi_i = D_{\kappa_i} \otimes |\cdot|^{\mathfrak{w}/2}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r$ . When  $n$  is odd, let  $\Pi_{r+1}$  be the algebraic character of  $\mathbb{R}^\times$  such that  $\Pi_{r+1}(-1) = (-1)^r \omega_\Pi(-1)$  and  $|\Pi_{r+1}| = |\cdot|^{\mathfrak{w}/2}$ . The generators  $[\Pi_1 \rho_1]^\varepsilon, \dots, [\Pi_k \rho_k]^\varepsilon$  are the ones fixed in (2.17) and (2.18) for  $n = 1, 2$ .

For an algebraic character  $\chi$  of  $\mathbb{R}^\times$ , let  $A_\chi : \mathcal{W}(\Pi) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(\Pi \otimes \chi)$  be the isomorphism defined by

$$A_\chi(W)(g) = \chi(\det g) \cdot W(g), \quad g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R}).$$

Let  $u \in \mathbb{Z}$  be the integer such that  $|\chi| = |\cdot|^u$  and we identify the representation spaces of  $M_\mu$  and  $M_{\mu - (u, \dots, u)}$ . Then the isomorphism

$$A_\chi \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id} : \mathcal{W}(\Pi) \otimes \bigwedge^{b_n} (\mathfrak{g}_{n, \mathbb{C}} / \mathfrak{k}_{n, \mathbb{C}})^* \otimes M_{\mu, \mathbb{C}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}(\Pi \otimes \chi) \otimes \bigwedge^{b_n} (\mathfrak{g}_{n, \mathbb{C}} / \mathfrak{k}_{n, \mathbb{C}})^* \otimes M_{\mu - (u, \dots, u), \mathbb{C}}$$

induces an isomorphism

$$A_\chi^* : H^{b_n}(\mathfrak{g}_n, K_n^\circ; \mathcal{W}(\Pi) \otimes M_{\mu, \mathbb{C}}) \longrightarrow H^{b_n}(\mathfrak{g}_n, K_n^\circ; \mathcal{W}(\Pi \otimes \chi) \otimes M_{\mu - (u, \dots, u), \mathbb{C}}).$$

By construction,  $A_\chi^*$  sends  $\varepsilon$ -isotypic component to  $\varepsilon \cdot \varepsilon(\chi)$ -isotypic component. Moreover, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $\chi$  be an algebraic character of  $\mathbb{R}^\times$ . We have*

$$A_\chi^*([\Pi]^\varepsilon) = [\Pi \otimes \chi]^{\varepsilon \cdot \varepsilon(\chi)}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $P$  and  $\Pi_1, \dots, \Pi_k$  be as in (2.21). Let

$$B_\chi : \text{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{R})}^{\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})}(\otimes_{i=1}^k \mathcal{W}(\Pi_i)) \rightarrow \text{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{R})}^{\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})}(\otimes_{i=1}^k \mathcal{W}(\Pi_i \otimes \chi))$$

be the isomorphism defined by  $B_\chi(f)(g) = \chi(\det g) \cdot f(g)$ . Similarly it induces an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} B_\chi^* : H^{b_n}(\mathfrak{g}_n, K_n^\circ; \text{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{R})}^{\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})}(\otimes_{i=1}^k \mathcal{W}(\Pi_i))_{\mathcal{O}_n(\mathbb{R})} \otimes M_{\mu, \mathbb{C}}) \\ \longrightarrow H^{b_n}(\mathfrak{g}_n, K_n^\circ; \text{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{R})}^{\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})}(\otimes_{i=1}^k \mathcal{W}(\Pi_i \otimes \chi))_{\mathcal{O}_n(\mathbb{R})} \otimes M_{\mu-(u, \dots, u), \mathbb{C}}). \end{aligned}$$

By the construction of the isomorphism  $I_{\otimes_{i=1}^k \mathcal{W}(\Pi_i)}$  (cf. [BW00, III, § 3.4]), we have

$$(\otimes_{i=1}^k A_\chi^*) \circ I_{\otimes_{i=1}^k \mathcal{W}(\Pi_i)} = I_{\otimes_{i=1}^k \mathcal{W}(\Pi_i \otimes \chi)} \circ B_\chi^*.$$

Also it is clear that  $A_\chi \circ \mathbb{W} = \mathbb{W} \circ B_\chi$  as isomorphisms from  $\text{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{R})}^{\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})}(\otimes_{i=1}^k \mathcal{W}(\Pi_i))_{\mathcal{O}_n(\mathbb{R})}$  to  $\mathcal{W}(\Pi \otimes \chi)$  (note that  $\det w_P = 1$ ). Thus we have

$$A_\chi^* \circ \mathbb{W}^* = \mathbb{W}^* \circ B_\chi^*.$$

Therefore, we conclude that

$$A_\chi^* \circ \mathbb{W}^* \circ \left( I_{\otimes_{i=1}^k \mathcal{W}(\Pi_i)} \right)^{-1} = \mathbb{W}^* \circ \left( I_{\otimes_{i=1}^k \mathcal{W}(\Pi_i \otimes \chi)} \right)^{-1} \circ (\otimes_{i=1}^k A_\chi^*).$$

By the definition of  $[H]^\varepsilon$ , to prove the assertion, it then suffices to prove it for  $n = 1, 2$ . For  $n = 1, 2$ , the assertion follows immediately from our constructions (2.17) and (2.18) (cf. [RT11, Lemma 3.5]). This completes the proof.  $\square$

The following lemma is one of the crucial ingredients in the proof of the period relation in Theorem 3.2.

**Lemma 2.6.** *Assume (2.19) holds. We have*

$$\mathbb{W}^* \circ \left( I_{\otimes_{i=1}^k \mathcal{W}(\Pi_i)}^\varepsilon \right)^{-1} (\otimes_{i=1}^k [\Pi_i \rho_i]^\varepsilon) = C \cdot [H]^\varepsilon$$

for some constant  $C \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$ .

*Proof.* Note that the isomorphisms  $I_{\otimes_{i=1}^k \mathcal{W}(\Pi_i)}$  and  $\mathbb{W}^*$  commute with parabolic induction in stages. Therefore, by the definition of  $[H]^\varepsilon, [\Pi_1 \rho_1]^\varepsilon, \dots, [\Pi_k \rho_k]^\varepsilon$ , to prove the assertion of the lemma, we are reduced to prove the following assertion: Let  $\Sigma$  be an irreducible admissible essentially tempered  $(\mathfrak{g}_N, \mathcal{O}_N(\mathbb{R}))$ -module such that

$$\Sigma \cong \text{Ind}_{P_{(n_1, n_2)}(\mathbb{R})}^{\text{GL}_N(\mathbb{R})}(\Sigma_1 \otimes \Sigma_2)_{\mathcal{O}_N(\mathbb{R})}$$

for some irreducible admissible essentially tempered  $(\mathfrak{g}_{n_i}, \mathcal{O}_{n_i}(\mathbb{R}))$ -module  $\Sigma_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$  with  $n_1 + n_2 = N$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2.22) \quad \mathbb{W}^* \circ \left( I_{\mathcal{W}(\Sigma_1) \otimes \mathcal{W}(\Sigma_2)}^{\varepsilon'} \right)^{-1} \left( [\Sigma_1 | |^{n_2/2}]^{\varepsilon'} \otimes [\Sigma_2 | |^{-n_1/2}]^{\varepsilon'} \right) \\ = C \cdot \mathbb{W}^* \circ \left( I_{\mathcal{W}(\Sigma_2) \otimes \mathcal{W}(\Sigma_1)}^{\varepsilon'} \right)^{-1} \left( [\Sigma_2 | |^{n_1/2}]^{\varepsilon'} \otimes [\Sigma_1 | |^{-n_2/2}]^{\varepsilon'} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for some  $C \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$ . Here  $\varepsilon' \in \{\pm 1\}$  if  $N$  is even and  $\varepsilon' = \varepsilon(\Sigma)$  if  $N$  is odd. (It is clear that we can even assume  $N \leq 4$ .) Write  $P = P_{(n_1, n_2)}$  and  $Q = P_{(n_2, n_1)}$ . To prove (2.22), we begin with the intertwining operator

$$M^Q : \text{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{R})}^{\text{GL}_N(\mathbb{R})}(\mathcal{W}(\Sigma_1) \otimes \mathcal{W}(\Sigma_2)) \longrightarrow \text{Ind}_{Q(\mathbb{R})}^{\text{GL}_N(\mathbb{R})}(\mathcal{W}(\Sigma_2) \otimes \mathcal{W}(\Sigma_1)), \quad f \longmapsto M^Q(f)$$

so that  $M^Q(f)(g)$  is the evaluation at  $\lambda = 0$  of the meromorphic function defined by the integral

$$\int_{U_Q(\mathbb{R})} f_\lambda \left( \begin{pmatrix} & \mathbf{1}_{n_1} \\ \mathbf{1}_{n_2} & \end{pmatrix} ug \right) du$$

when  $\text{Re}(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)$  is sufficiently large. Let  $(M^Q)^*$  be the corresponding isomorphism for the relative Lie algebra cohomology group in bottom degree  $b_N$ . Consider the following diagram of equivariant isomorphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{R})}^{\text{GL}_N(\mathbb{R})}(\mathcal{W}(\Sigma_1) \otimes \mathcal{W}(\Sigma_2))_{\mathcal{O}_N(\mathbb{R})} & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{W}} & \mathcal{W}(\Sigma) \\ \downarrow M^Q & \nearrow \mathbb{W} & \\ \text{Ind}_{Q(\mathbb{R})}^{\text{GL}_N(\mathbb{R})}(\mathcal{W}(\Sigma_2) \otimes \mathcal{W}(\Sigma_1))_{\mathcal{O}_N(\mathbb{R})} & & \end{array}$$

As a special case of the result of Shahidi on local coefficients in [Sha85, Theorem 3.1], we have

$$\mathbb{W} = \epsilon \cdot \gamma(0, \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2^\vee, \psi_\infty) \cdot \mathbb{W} \circ M^Q$$

for some  $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$ . Here  $\gamma(s, \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2^\vee, \psi_\infty)$  is the  $\gamma$ -factor of  $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2^\vee$  with respect to  $\psi_\infty$ . We refer to [Sha10, Remark 5.1.3] for the appearance of  $\epsilon$ . This induces an isomorphism at the level of relative Lie algebra cohomology groups in degree  $b_N$ :

$$\mathbb{W}^* = \epsilon \cdot \gamma(0, \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2^\vee, \psi_\infty) \cdot \mathbb{W}^* \circ (M^Q)^*.$$

Therefore, (2.22) holds if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} & \gamma(0, \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2^\vee, \psi_\infty) \cdot (M^Q)^* \circ \left( I_{\mathcal{W}(\Sigma_1) \otimes \mathcal{W}(\Sigma_2)}^{\epsilon'} \right)^{-1} \left( [\Sigma_1 | \cdot]^{n_2/2 \epsilon'} \otimes [\Sigma_2 | \cdot]^{-n_1/2 \epsilon'} \right) \\ &= C \cdot \left( I_{\mathcal{W}(\Sigma_2) \otimes \mathcal{W}(\Sigma_1)}^{\epsilon'} \right)^{-1} \left( [\Sigma_2 | \cdot]^{n_1/2 \epsilon'} \otimes [\Sigma_1 | \cdot]^{-n_2/2 \epsilon'} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for some  $C \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$ . The above equality was proved independently by Harder [HR20, Theorem 8.7] and Weselmann [HR20, §9.6.12] with  $\gamma(0, \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2^\vee, \psi_\infty)$  replaced by  $(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{-n_1 n_2/2}$ . Finally, an immediate computation, together with the condition that  $\Sigma, \Sigma_1, \Sigma_2$  are essentially tempered, shows that

$$\gamma(0, \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2^\vee, \psi_\infty) \in (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{-n_1 n_2/2} \cdot \mathbb{Q}^\times.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 2.7.** In [GL21, §1.5.3], analogous generators have been defined for  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ . In the first paragraph of [GL21, p.884], it says that the generators are independent of the ordering in the tensor products " $\otimes_{i=1}^k$ ". Unfortunately, this is not the case, as we have shown in the above proof. The ordering in the tensor products do matter, and is a consequence of the effect of archimedean intertwining operators on the relative Lie algebra cohomology groups (cf. [HR20, Theorem 8.7 and §9.6.12] for  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ ). For  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ , we refer to [Rag22, Proposition 4.31] for analogous result on the effect of archimedean intertwining operators.

### 3. PERIOD RELATIONS

Let  $\Pi = \Pi_1 \boxplus \cdots \boxplus \Pi_k$  be a cohomological tamely isobaric automorphic representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$ , where  $\Pi_i$  is a cuspidal automorphic representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_{n_i}(\mathbb{A})$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Let  $P$  be the standard parabolic subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_n$  of type  $(n_1, \dots, n_k)$ . We denote by  ${}^a\mathrm{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{A})}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})}$  the algebraic induction (without the normalizing factor  $\rho_P$ ). Then we have

$$\Pi \cong {}^a\mathrm{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{A})}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})} (\Pi_1 \rho_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \Pi_k \rho_k)_{\mathrm{O}_n(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Recall here the subscript refers to the  $\mathrm{O}_n(\mathbb{R})$ -finite part, and  $\Pi_i \rho_i$  is defined as in §2.4 for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . The main result of this section is Theorem 3.2 which is an analogue of the result [GL21, Theorem 2.6] of Grobner and Lin. We prove a period relation between the Betti–Whittaker period of  $\Pi$  and the Betti–Whittaker periods of  $\Pi_1 \rho_1, \dots, \Pi_k \rho_k$  together with critical values of some Rankin–Selberg  $L$ -functions. The idea of the proof is to give a cohomological interpretation of the Langlands–Shahidi method for the Rankin–Selberg  $L$ -functions. We begin with the following lemma on the Galois-equivariance property of the local Whittaker integral  $\mathbb{W}$  defined in §2.3 at finite places.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $p$  be a prime number. For  $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ , we have the equality of  $\sigma$ -linear isomorphisms from  ${}^a\mathrm{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{Q}_p)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)} (\otimes_{i=1}^k \mathcal{W}(\Pi_i \rho_i)_p)$  to  $\mathcal{W}(\sigma \Pi_p)$  as follows:*

$$t_\sigma \circ \mathbb{W} = \left( \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} \sigma \omega_{(\Pi_j \rho_j)_p}(u_{\sigma, p})^{-n_i} \right) \cdot \mathbb{W} \circ {}^a\mathrm{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{Q}_p)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)} (\otimes_{i=1}^k t_\sigma).$$

Here  $t_\sigma$  is the  $\sigma$ -linear isomorphism defined in (2.10), and  $u_{\sigma, p} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$  is the unique element such that  $\sigma(\psi_p(x)) = \psi_p(u_{\sigma, p} x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ .

*Proof.* We drop the subscript  $p$  for brevity. Since the Whittaker integrals  $\mathbb{W}$  commute with parabolic induction in stages, we may assume  $k = 2$ . For  $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$  and  $N \geq 1$ , let  $d_{\sigma, N} = \mathrm{diag}(u_\sigma^{-N+1}, u_\sigma^{-N+2}, \dots, 1) \in$

$\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ . Let  $f \in {}^a\mathrm{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{Q}_p)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(\mathcal{W}(\Pi_1\rho_1) \otimes \mathcal{W}(\Pi_2\rho_2))$  and  $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . By [Sha10, Theorem 3.4.7], the Whittaker integral  $\mathbb{W}(g, f)$  is a stable integral, that is, there exists a sufficiently small integer  $m$  such that

$$\mathbb{W}(g, f) = \int_{M_{n_2, n_1}(p^m\mathbb{Z}_p)} f\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{1}_{n_1} \\ \mathbf{1}_{n_2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_{n_2} & x \\ 0 & \mathbf{1}_{n_1} \end{pmatrix} g\right) (\mathbf{1}_{n_1}, \mathbf{1}_{n_2}) \overline{\psi(x_{n_2,1})} dx.$$

For  $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ , since  $M_{n_2, n_1}(p^m\mathbb{Z}_p)$  is compact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (t_\sigma \circ \mathbb{W})(g, f) \\ &= \int_{M_{n_2, n_1}(p^m\mathbb{Z}_p)} \sigma\left(f\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{1}_{n_1} \\ \mathbf{1}_{n_2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_{n_2} & x \\ 0 & \mathbf{1}_{n_1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_\sigma^{-n_1} \cdot d_{\sigma, n_2} & 0 \\ 0 & d_{\sigma, n_1} \end{pmatrix} g\right) (\mathbf{1}_{n_1}, \mathbf{1}_{n_2})\right) \overline{\psi(u_\sigma x_{n_2,1})} dx \\ &= \int_{M_{n_2, n_1}(p^m\mathbb{Z}_p)} \sigma\left(f\left(\begin{pmatrix} d_{\sigma, n_1} & 0 \\ 0 & u_\sigma^{-n_1} \cdot d_{\sigma, n_2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{1}_{n_1} \\ \mathbf{1}_{n_2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_{n_2} & u_\sigma^{n_1} \cdot d_{\sigma, n_2}^{-1} x d_{\sigma, n_1} \\ 0 & \mathbf{1}_{n_1} \end{pmatrix} g\right) (\mathbf{1}_{n_1}, \mathbf{1}_{n_2})\right) \overline{\psi(u_\sigma x_{n_2,1})} dx \\ &= \sigma_{\omega_{\Pi_2\rho_2}}(u_\sigma)^{-n_1} \cdot \int_{M_{n_2, n_1}(p^m\mathbb{Z}_p)} \sigma\left(f\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{1}_{n_1} \\ \mathbf{1}_{n_2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_{n_2} & y \\ 0 & \mathbf{1}_{n_1} \end{pmatrix} g\right) (d_{\sigma, n_1}, d_{\sigma, n_2})\right) \overline{\psi(y_{n_2,1})} dy \\ &= \sigma_{\omega_{\Pi_2\rho_2}}(u_\sigma)^{-n_1} \cdot \mathbb{W}\left(g, {}^a\mathrm{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{Q}_p)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(t_\sigma \otimes t_\sigma)(f)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Here in the third equality we make a change of variable from  $u_\sigma^{n_1} \cdot d_{\sigma, n_2}^{-1} x d_{\sigma, n_1}$  to  $y$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $\Pi = \Pi_1 \boxplus \cdots \boxplus \Pi_k$  be a cohomological tamely isobaric automorphic representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$ . Let  $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$  if  $n$  is even, and  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\Pi_\infty)$  if  $n$  is odd. For  $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma\left(\frac{p(\Pi, \varepsilon)}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} G(\omega_{\Pi_j\rho_j})^{n_i} L^{(\infty)}(1, \Pi_i \times \Pi_j^\vee) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^k p(\Pi_i\rho_i, \varepsilon)}\right) \\ &= \frac{p(\sigma\Pi, \varepsilon)}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} G(\sigma\omega_{\Pi_j\rho_j})^{n_i} L^{(\infty)}(1, \sigma(\Pi_i\rho_i)\rho_i^{-1} \times \sigma(\Pi_j^\vee\rho_j^{-1})\rho_j) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^k p(\sigma(\Pi_i\rho_i), \varepsilon)}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Recall the topological space  $\mathcal{S}_n$  in (2.12). Let  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_n$  be the Borel–Serre compactification of  $\mathcal{S}_n$  (cf. [BS73] and [Roh96]) and  $\partial_P$  be the boundary component of  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_n$  associated to a standard parabolic subgroup  $P$ . Let  $M_\mu$  be an irreducible algebraic representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q})$  and  $\mathcal{M}_\mu$  be the sheaf of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector spaces on  $\mathcal{S}_n$  associated to  $M_\mu$  (cf. § 2.4.2). The closed embedding  $\partial_P\mathcal{S}_n \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{S}}_n$  defines the  $\pi_0(\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})) \times \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_f)$ -equivariant restriction map

$$\mathfrak{r}_P : H^{b_n}(\mathcal{S}_n, \mathcal{M}_\mu) \longrightarrow H^{b_n}(\partial_P\mathcal{S}_n, \mathcal{M}_\mu).$$

We denote by  $\mathfrak{r}_{P, \mathbb{C}}$  the corresponding map at the transcendental level (cf. (2.13)). Then we have

$$(3.1) \quad \sigma^* \circ \mathfrak{r}_{P, \mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{r}_{P, \mathbb{C}} \circ \sigma^*, \quad \sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C}).$$

For the boundary cohomology, we have a canonical  $\pi_0(\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})) \times \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_f)$ -equivariant isomorphism (cf. [HR20, Proposition 4.3])

$$I_{\partial_P} : H^{b_n}(\partial_P\mathcal{S}_n, \mathcal{M}_\mu) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\substack{w \in W_n^P \\ q_1 + \cdots + q_k = b_n - \ell(w)}} {}^a\mathrm{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{A}_f)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_f)} \left[ \left( \bigotimes_{i=1}^k H^{q_i}(\mathcal{S}_{n_i}, \mathcal{M}_{\mu_w, i}) \right)^{\pi_0(K_n^{MP})} \right],$$

where  $(\mu_{w,1}, \dots, \mu_{w,k}) = w \cdot (\mu + \rho_n) - \rho_n$  for  $w \in W_n^P$ . Recall  $K_n^{MP} = K_n^\circ \cap P(\mathbb{R})$ . We denote by  $I_{\partial_P, \mathbb{C}}$  the corresponding map at the transcendental level, and it is clear that

$$(3.2) \quad {}^a\mathrm{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{A}_f)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_f)} (\bigotimes_{i=1}^k \sigma^*) \circ I_{\partial_P, \mathbb{C}} = I_{\partial_P, \mathbb{C}} \circ \sigma^*, \quad \sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C}).$$

We now assume  $P = P_{(n_1, \dots, n_k)}$  and  $M_\mu$  is the unique algebraic representation such that  $\Pi_\infty$  is cohomological with coefficients in  $M_{\mu, \mathbb{C}}$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , let  $M_{\mu_i}$  be the irreducible algebraic representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_{n_i}(\mathbb{Q})$  such that  $(\Pi_i\rho_i)_\infty$  is cohomological with coefficients in  $M_{\mu_i, \mathbb{C}}$ . Let  $w_0 \in W_n^P$  be the unique Kostant



representative such that  $(\mu_{w_0,1}, \dots, \mu_{w_0,k}) = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k)$ . We denote by  $H^{bn}(\mathcal{S}_n, \mathcal{M}_{\mu, \mathbb{C}})[\varepsilon \times \Pi_f]$  the image of  $H^{bn}(\mathfrak{g}_n, K_n^\circ; \Pi \otimes M_{\mu, \mathbb{C}})[\varepsilon]$  under  $\Psi_\Pi$  in (2.14). We define the  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_f)$ -equivariant homomorphism

$$\mathfrak{r}_\Pi^\varepsilon : H^{bn}(\mathcal{S}_n, \mathcal{M}_{\mu, \mathbb{C}})[\varepsilon \times \Pi_f] \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{q_1 + \dots + q_k = b_n - \ell(w)} {}^a \mathrm{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{A}_f)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_f)} \left[ \left( \bigotimes_{i=1}^k H^{q_i}(\mathcal{S}_{n_i}, \mathcal{M}_{\mu_i}) \right)^{\pi_0(K_n^{MP})} \right]$$

by restrict the homomorphism  $I_{\partial_P} \circ \mathfrak{r}_P$  to  $H^{bn}(\mathcal{S}_n, \mathcal{M}_{\mu, \mathbb{C}})[\varepsilon \times \Pi_f]$ , followed by the projection to the summand index by  $w_0$ . Then  $\mathfrak{r}_\Pi^\varepsilon$  is injective with image equal to

$${}^a \mathrm{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{A}_f)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_f)} \left( \bigotimes_{i=1}^k H^{bn_i}(\mathcal{S}_{n_i}, \mathcal{M}_{\mu_i, \mathbb{C}})[\varepsilon \times (\Pi_i \rho_i)_f] \right).$$

Indeed, given  $\omega \in H^{bn}(\mathcal{S}_n, \mathcal{M}_{\mu, \mathbb{C}})[\varepsilon \times \Pi_f]$ , we have

$$(\Psi_\Pi)^{-1}(\omega) = \sum_{\alpha \in I, \beta \in J} E(h_{\alpha, \beta}) \otimes X_\alpha^* \otimes \mathbf{v}_\beta$$

for some index sets  $I, J$ ,  $h_{\alpha, \beta} \in I_P^{\mathrm{GL}_n}(\bigotimes_{i=1}^k \Pi_i)$ ,  $X_\alpha^* \in \bigwedge^{bn}(\mathfrak{g}_{n, \mathbb{C}}/\mathfrak{k}_{n, \mathbb{C}})^*$ , and  $\mathbf{v}_\beta \in M_{\mu, \mathbb{C}}$ . By [Sch83, Satz 1.10] (see also [Har, §9.2.1]) and the formula for constant term in (2.5), the class  $\mathfrak{r}_{P, \mathbb{C}}(\omega)$  is then represented by

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{\substack{w \in W_n^P \cap (W_n^P)^{-1} \\ w M_P w^{-1} = M_P}} \sum_{\alpha \in I, \beta \in J} M_w^P(h_{\alpha, \beta}) \otimes X_\alpha^* \otimes \mathbf{v}_\beta.$$

For  $w \in W_n^P \cap (W_n^P)^{-1}$  with  $w M_P w^{-1} = M_P$ , there exists a unique  $w' \in W_n^P$  such that  $(\Pi_{\tau_w(i)} \rho_i)_\infty$  is cohomological with coefficients in  $M_{\mu_{w', i}, \mathbb{C}}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Here  $\tau_w$  is the permutation defined in (2.6). It is clear that the association  $w \mapsto w'$  is injective and we have  $1 \mapsto w_0$  by definition. If  $w \mapsto w'$ , then we have the  $\pi_0(\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})) \times \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_f)$ -equivariant isomorphism (cf. (2.20))

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\bigotimes_{i=1}^k \Pi_{\tau_w(i)}} : H^{bn}(\mathfrak{g}_n, K_n^\circ; I_P^{\mathrm{GL}_n}(\bigotimes_{i=1}^k \Pi_{\tau_w(i)}) \otimes M_{\mu, \mathbb{C}}) \\ \longrightarrow {}^a \mathrm{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{A}_f)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_f)} \left[ \left( \bigotimes_{i=1}^k H^{bn_i}(\mathfrak{g}_{n_i}, K_{n_i}^\circ; \Pi_{\tau_w(i)} \rho_i \otimes M_{\mu_{w', i}, \mathbb{C}}) \right)^{\pi_0(K_n^{MP})} \right] \end{aligned}$$

by [BW00, III, Theorem 3.3]. We denote by  $I_{\bigotimes_{i=1}^k \Pi_{\tau_w(i)}}^\varepsilon$  the isomorphism obtained by restriction to the  $\varepsilon$ -isotypic components on both sides. By (3.3) and the constructions of  $I_{\partial_P, \mathbb{C}}$  and  $I_{\bigotimes_{i=1}^k \Pi_{\tau_w(i)}}$ , we see that the image of  $I_{\partial_P, \mathbb{C}} \circ \mathfrak{r}_{P, \mathbb{C}}(\omega)$  in the summand indexed by  $w'$  is equal to

$${}^a \mathrm{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{A}_f)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_f)} (\bigotimes_{i=1}^k \Psi_{\Pi_{\tau_w(i)} \rho_i}) \circ I_{\bigotimes_{i=1}^k \Pi_{\tau_w(i)}}^\varepsilon \left( \sum_{\alpha \in I, \beta \in J} M_w^P(h_{\alpha, \beta}) \otimes X_\alpha^* \otimes \mathbf{v}_\beta \right).$$

On the other hand, if  $w' \in W_n^P$  is not in the image of the above association, then  $I_{\partial_P, \mathbb{C}} \circ \mathfrak{r}_{P, \mathbb{C}}(\omega)$  has zero contribution to the summand indexed by  $w'$ . For our purpose here, we can consider the projection of  $I_{\partial_P} \circ \mathfrak{r}_P$  to an arbitrary summand indexed by some  $w' \in W_n^P$  which lies in the image of the association  $w \mapsto w'$ . We choose  $w' = w_0$  to ease the use of notation. In conclusion, we have the following commutative diagram of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_f)$ -equivariant isomorphisms:

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H^{bn}(\mathfrak{g}_n, K_n^\circ; \Pi \otimes M_{\mu, \mathbb{C}})[\varepsilon] & \xrightarrow{\Psi_\Pi} & H^{bn}(\mathcal{S}_n, \mathcal{M}_{\mu, \mathbb{C}})[\varepsilon \times \Pi_f] \\ \downarrow (E^*)^{-1} & & \downarrow \mathfrak{r}_\Pi^\varepsilon \\ H^{bn}(\mathfrak{g}_n, K_n^\circ; I_P^{\mathrm{GL}_n}(\bigotimes_{i=1}^k \Pi_i) \otimes M_{\mu, \mathbb{C}})[\varepsilon] & & \\ \downarrow I_{\bigotimes_{i=1}^k \Pi_i}^\varepsilon & & \\ {}^a \mathrm{Ind} \left( \bigotimes_{i=1}^k H^{bn_i}(\mathfrak{g}_{n_i}, K_{n_i}^\circ; \Pi_i \rho_i \otimes M_{\mu_i, \mathbb{C}})[\varepsilon] \right) & \xrightarrow{{}^a \mathrm{Ind}(\bigotimes_{i=1}^k \Psi_{\Pi_i \rho_i})} & {}^a \mathrm{Ind} \left( \bigotimes_{i=1}^k H^{bn_i}(\mathcal{S}_{n_i}, \mathcal{M}_{\mu_i, \mathbb{C}})[\varepsilon \times (\Pi_i \rho_i)_f] \right) \end{array}$$

Here in the diagram  ${}^a \mathrm{Ind}$  is the abbreviation for  ${}^a \mathrm{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{A}_f)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_f)}$ , and  $E^*$  is the isomorphism induced from the automorphic realization (2.4) of  $\Pi$ .

To prove the period relation, let  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$  and consider the following diagram (non-commutative):

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{W}(\Pi_f) & \xrightarrow{\Psi_{\Pi} \circ \Phi_{\Pi}^{\varepsilon}} & H^{bn}(\mathcal{S}_n, \mathcal{M}_{\mu, \mathbb{C}})[\varepsilon \times \Pi_f] \\ \uparrow \mathcal{W} & & \downarrow \tau_{\Pi}^{\varepsilon} \\ {}^a\text{Ind}\left(\otimes_{i=1}^k \mathcal{W}((\Pi_i \rho_i)_f)\right) & \xrightarrow{{}^a\text{Ind}\left(\otimes_{i=1}^k (\Psi_{\Pi_i \rho_i} \circ \Phi_{\Pi_i \rho_i}^{\varepsilon})\right)} & {}^a\text{Ind}\left(\otimes_{i=1}^k H^{bn_i}(\mathcal{S}_{n_i}, \mathcal{M}_{\mu_i, \mathbb{C}})[\varepsilon \times (\Pi_i \rho_i)_f]\right) \\ \swarrow t_{\sigma} & & \searrow \sigma^* \\ \mathcal{W}(\sigma \Pi_f) & \xrightarrow{\Psi_{\sigma \Pi} \circ \Phi_{\sigma \Pi}^{\varepsilon}} & H^{bn}(\mathcal{S}_n, \mathcal{M}_{\mu, \mathbb{C}})[\varepsilon \times \sigma \Pi_f] \\ \uparrow \mathcal{W} & & \downarrow \tau_{\sigma \Pi}^{\varepsilon} \\ {}^a\text{Ind}\left(\otimes_{i=1}^k \mathcal{W}(\sigma(\Pi_i \rho_i)_f)\right) & \xrightarrow{{}^a\text{Ind}\left(\otimes_{i=1}^k (\Psi_{\sigma(\Pi_i \rho_i)} \circ \Phi_{\sigma(\Pi_i \rho_i)}^{\varepsilon})\right)} & {}^a\text{Ind}\left(\otimes_{i=1}^k H^{bn_i}(\mathcal{S}_{n_i}, \mathcal{M}_{\mu_i, \mathbb{C}})[\varepsilon \times \sigma(\Pi_i \rho_i)_f]\right) \end{array}$$

By definition and normalization of the Betti–Whittaker periods of  $\Pi$  and  $\sigma \Pi$  in Lemma 2.2, the period relation holds if and only if there exists  $C \in \mathbb{Q}^{\times}$  such that the up face of the diagram is commutative after we multiply  $\Psi_{\Pi} \circ \Phi_{\Pi}^{\varepsilon}$  and  $\Psi_{\sigma \Pi} \circ \Phi_{\sigma \Pi}^{\varepsilon}$  by

$$C \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} G(\omega_{\Pi_j \rho_j})^{-n_i} L^{(\infty)}(1, \Pi_i \times \Pi_j^{\vee})^{-1} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^k p(\Pi_i \rho_i, \varepsilon)^{-1}$$

and

$$C \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} G(\sigma \omega_{\Pi_j \rho_j})^{-n_i} L^{(\infty)}(1, \sigma(\Pi_i \rho_i) \rho_i^{-1} \times \sigma(\Pi_j^{\vee} \rho_j^{-1}) \rho_j)^{-1} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^k p(\sigma(\Pi_i \rho_i), \varepsilon)^{-1},$$

respectively. We prove this later assertion by consider the remaining five faces of diagram (3.5) as follows:

**Right face:** The right face of the diagram is commutative by (3.1) and (3.2).

**Down face:** By definition and normalization of the Betti–Whittaker periods of  $\Pi_i \rho_i$  and  $\sigma(\Pi_i \rho_i)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , the down face of the diagram is commutative after we multiply  ${}^a\text{Ind}\left(\otimes_{i=1}^k (\Psi_{\Pi_i \rho_i} \circ \Phi_{\Pi_i \rho_i}^{\varepsilon})\right)$  and  ${}^a\text{Ind}\left(\otimes_{i=1}^k (\Psi_{\sigma(\Pi_i \rho_i)} \circ \Phi_{\sigma(\Pi_i \rho_i)}^{\varepsilon})\right)$  by  $\prod_{i=1}^k p(\Pi_i \rho_i, \varepsilon)^{-1}$  and  $\prod_{i=1}^k p(\sigma(\Pi_i \rho_i), \varepsilon)^{-1}$ , respectively.

**Left face:** We abbreviate  ${}^a\text{Ind}_p$  for  ${}^a\text{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{Q}_p)}^{\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)}$  for each prime number  $p$ . When  $\Pi_p$  is unramified, let  $f_{\Pi_p}^{\circ} \in {}^a\text{Ind}_p(\otimes_{i=1}^k \mathcal{W}((\Pi_i \rho_i)_p))$  be the  $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ -invariant section normalized so that  $f_{\Pi_p}^{\circ}(\mathbf{1}_n) = \otimes_{i=1}^k W_{(\Pi_i \rho_i)_p}^{\circ}$  (see the notation in § 2.3). Then we have

$$\mathbb{W}(\mathbf{1}_n, f_{\Pi_p}^{\circ}) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} L(1, \Pi_{i,p} \times \Pi_{j,p}^{\vee})^{-1}.$$

by [Sha10, Proposition 7.1.4]. Note that  ${}^a\text{Ind}\left(\otimes_{i=1}^k \mathcal{W}((\Pi_i \rho_i)_f)\right)$  is generated by sections of the form

$$f = \otimes_p f_p$$

such that  $f_p = f_{\Pi_p}^{\circ}$  for almost all  $p$ . We fix such section  $f$  and consider the effect of the homomorphisms in the left face of the diagram. Let  $g \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_f)$ . There is a finite set  $S$  of finite places of  $\mathbb{Q}$  such that  $\Pi_p$  is unramified,  $f_p = f_{\Pi_p}^{\circ}$ , and  $g_p \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  for all  $p \notin S$ . Then we have

$$\mathbb{W}(g, f) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} L^{S \cup \{\infty\}}(1, \Pi_i \times \Pi_j^{\vee})^{-1} \cdot \prod_{p \in S} \mathbb{W}(g_p, f_p).$$

It then follows from (1.1) and Lemma 3.1 that

$$t_\sigma \circ \mathbb{W}(g, f) = \sigma(L^{S \cup \{\infty\}}(1, \Pi_i \times \Pi_j^\vee)^{-1}) \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} \frac{G(\sigma \omega_{\Pi_j \rho_j})^{n_i}}{\sigma(G(\omega_{\Pi_j \rho_j}))^{n_i}} \cdot \prod_{p \notin S} \mathbb{W}(g_p, {}^a \text{Ind}_p(\otimes_{i=1}^k t_\sigma)(f_p)).$$

On the other hand, we have

$${}^a \text{Ind}(\otimes_{i=1}^k t_\sigma)(f) = \bigotimes_p {}^a \text{Ind}_p(\otimes_{i=1}^k t_\sigma)(f_p)$$

and  ${}^a \text{Ind}_p(\otimes_{i=1}^k t_\sigma)(f_p) = f_{\sigma \Pi_p}^\circ$  for  $p \notin S$  by definition. Therefore, similarly we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{W}(g, {}^a \text{Ind}(\otimes_{i=1}^k t_\sigma)(f)) \\ &= \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} L^{S \cup \{\infty\}}(1, \sigma(\Pi_i \rho_i) \rho_i^{-1} \times \sigma(\Pi_j^\vee \rho_j^{-1}) \rho_j)^{-1} \cdot \prod_{p \in S} \mathbb{W}(g_p, {}^a \text{Ind}_p(\otimes_{i=1}^k t_\sigma)(f_p)). \end{aligned}$$

Note that for all prime numbers  $p$ , we have (cf. [Rag10, Proposition 3.17] and [Mor14, Corollary 5.5])

$$\sigma(L(1, \Pi_{i,p} \times \Pi_{j,p}^\vee)) = L(1, \sigma(\Pi_i \rho_i)_p \rho_{i,p}^{-1} \times \sigma(\Pi_j \rho_j)_p^\vee \rho_{j,p}).$$

We thus conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & t_\sigma \circ \left( \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} G(\omega_{\Pi_j \rho_j})^{n_i} L^{(\infty)}(1, \Pi_i \times \Pi_j^\vee) \cdot \mathbb{W} \right) \\ &= \left( \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} G(\sigma \omega_{\Pi_j \rho_j})^{n_i} L^{(\infty)}(1, \sigma(\Pi_i \rho_i) \rho_i^{-1} \times \sigma(\Pi_j^\vee \rho_j^{-1}) \rho_j) \cdot \mathbb{W} \right) \circ {}^a \text{Ind}_p(\otimes_{i=1}^k t_\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

**Front and back faces:** We show that there exists  $C \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$  such that the front and back faces of the diagram are commutative after we multiply  $\Psi_\Pi \circ \Phi_\Pi^\varepsilon$  and  $\Psi_{\sigma \Pi} \circ \Phi_{\sigma \Pi}^\varepsilon$  by  $C$ . For the back face of the diagram, by the commutative diagram in (3.4), it suffices to consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{W}(\Pi_f) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_\Pi^\varepsilon} & H^{bn}(\mathfrak{g}_n, K_n^\circ; \Pi \otimes M_{\mu, \mathbb{C}})[\varepsilon] \\ \uparrow \mathbb{W} & & \downarrow (E^*)^{-1} \\ & & H^{bn}(\mathfrak{g}_n, K_n^\circ; I_P^{\text{GL}n}(\otimes_{i=1}^k \Pi_i) \otimes M_{\mu, \mathbb{C}})[\varepsilon] \\ & & \downarrow I_{\otimes_{i=1}^k \Pi_i}^\varepsilon \\ {}^a \text{Ind}(\otimes_{i=1}^k \mathcal{W}((\Pi_i \rho_i)_f)) & \xrightarrow{{}^a \text{Ind}(\otimes_{i=1}^k \Phi_{\Pi_i \rho_i}^\varepsilon)} & {}^a \text{Ind}(\otimes_{i=1}^k H^{bn_i}(\mathfrak{g}_{n_i}, K_{n_i}^\circ; \Pi_i \rho_i \otimes M_{\mu_i, \mathbb{C}})[\varepsilon]) \end{array}$$

By the definition of  $\Phi_\Pi^\varepsilon$  and  $\Phi_{\Pi_i \rho_i}^\varepsilon$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , we can further separate the diagram into two parts. First consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^{bn}(\mathfrak{g}_n, K_n^\circ; \mathcal{W}(\Pi) \otimes M_{\mu, \mathbb{C}})[\varepsilon] & \xrightarrow{\quad} & H^{bn}(\mathfrak{g}_n, K_n^\circ; \Pi \otimes M_{\mu, \mathbb{C}})[\varepsilon] \\ \downarrow (W^*)^{-1} & & \downarrow (E^*)^{-1} \\ H^{bn}(\mathfrak{g}_n, K_n^\circ; \text{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{A})}^{\text{GL}n(\mathbb{A})}(\otimes_{i=1}^k \mathcal{W}(\Pi_i))_{\mathcal{O}_n(\mathbb{R})} \otimes M_{\mu, \mathbb{C}})[\varepsilon] & \xrightarrow{\quad} & H^{bn}(\mathfrak{g}_n, K_n^\circ; I_P^{\text{GL}n}(\otimes_{i=1}^k \Pi_i) \otimes M_{\mu, \mathbb{C}})[\varepsilon] \\ \downarrow I_{\otimes_{i=1}^k \mathcal{W}(\Pi_i, \infty)}^\varepsilon & & \downarrow I_{\otimes_{i=1}^k \Pi_i}^\varepsilon \\ {}^a \text{Ind}(\otimes_{i=1}^k H^{bn_i}(\mathfrak{g}_{n_i}, K_{n_i}^\circ; \mathcal{W}(\Pi_i \rho_i) \otimes M_{\mu_i, \mathbb{C}})[\varepsilon]) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & {}^a \text{Ind}(\otimes_{i=1}^k H^{bn_i}(\mathfrak{g}_{n_i}, K_{n_i}^\circ; \Pi_i \rho_i \otimes M_{\mu_i, \mathbb{C}})[\varepsilon]) \end{array}$$

Here the horizontal isomorphisms are induced by (2.8), and  $W^*$  is induced by the equivariant isomorphism

$$\mathbb{W} : \text{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{A})}^{\text{GL}n(\mathbb{A})}(\otimes_{i=1}^k \mathcal{W}(\Pi_i))_{\mathcal{O}_n(\mathbb{R})} \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}(\Pi), \quad f \longmapsto \mathbb{W}(f) := \mathbb{W}(f_\lambda)|_{\lambda=0}.$$

defined in §2.3. It is clear that the lower square of the diagram is commutative. By (2.9) (evaluating at  $\lambda = 0$ ), the upper square of the diagram is also commutative. We are reduced to consider the following

diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{W}(\Pi_f) & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & H^{bn}(\mathfrak{g}_n, K_n^\circ; \mathcal{W}(\Pi) \otimes M_{\mu, \mathbb{C}})[\varepsilon] \\
\uparrow \mathfrak{w} & & \downarrow (\mathbb{W}^*)^{-1} \\
& & H^{bn}(\mathfrak{g}_n, K_n^\circ; \text{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{A})}^{\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})}(\otimes_{i=1}^k \mathcal{W}(\Pi_i))_{\mathcal{O}_n(\mathbb{R})} \otimes M_{\mu, \mathbb{C}})[\varepsilon] \\
& & \downarrow I_{\otimes_{i=1}^k \mathcal{W}(\Pi_i, \infty)}^\varepsilon \\
{}^a\text{Ind}(\otimes_{i=1}^k \mathcal{W}(\Pi_i \rho_i)_f)) & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & {}^a\text{Ind}\left(\otimes_{i=1}^k H^{bn_i}(\mathfrak{g}_{n_i}, K_{n_i}^\circ; \mathcal{W}(\Pi_i \rho_i) \otimes M_{\mu_i, \mathbb{C}})[\varepsilon]\right)
\end{array}$$

Here the upper and lower horizontal isomorphisms are defined respectively by

$$W \mapsto [\Pi_\infty]^\varepsilon \otimes W, \quad f \mapsto (\otimes_{i=1}^k [(\Pi_i \rho_i)_\infty]^\varepsilon) \otimes f.$$

We then deduce from Lemma 2.6 that there exists  $C \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$  such that the above diagram is commutative if we multiply the upper horizontal isomorphism by  $C$ . Similarly, the same constant  $C$  also makes the front face of diagram (3.5) commutative.

Based on the above discussion, we conclude that the up face of diagram (3.5) is commutative after multiply  $\Psi_\Pi \circ \Phi_\Pi^\varepsilon$  and  $\Psi_{\sigma\Pi} \circ \Phi_{\sigma\Pi}^\varepsilon$  by the prescribed complex numbers. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 3.3.** The factor  $\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} G(\omega_{\Pi_i \rho_j})^{n_i}$  in the period relation is unfortunately missing in the statement of the analogous result [GL21, Theorem 2.6]. This is because the equality in [GL21, p.894] (between (2.8) and (2.9) in that page) does not hold unless we multiply this factor, which is a consequence of Lemma 3.1.

The following corollary is actually equivalent to Theorem 3.2. We state it in a form which is more convenient to use in the proof of Theorem 1.4.

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $\Sigma$  and  $\Pi$  be cohomological tamely isobaric automorphic representations of  $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$  and  $\text{GL}_{n'}(\mathbb{A})$  with infinity types  $(\underline{k}; \mathfrak{w})$  and  $(\underline{\ell}; \mathfrak{u})$ , respectively. We assume  $\underline{k} \sqcup \underline{\ell}$  is 1-regular,  $n$  is even, and*

$$\begin{cases} \mathfrak{w} + \mathfrak{u} = 0 & \text{if } n' \text{ is even,} \\ \mathfrak{w} + \mathfrak{u} = 1 & \text{if } n' \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

*Then we have the following period relations: Let  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ . If  $n'$  is even, then*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sigma \left( \frac{p(\Sigma \boxplus \Pi^\vee, \pm)}{G(\omega_\Pi)^{-n} \cdot L^{(\infty)}(1, \Sigma \times \Pi) \cdot p(\Sigma, \pm(-1)^{n'/2}) \cdot p(\Pi^\vee, \pm(-1)^{n/2})} \right) \\
&= \frac{p(\sigma\Sigma \boxplus \sigma\Pi^\vee, \pm)}{G(\sigma\omega_\Pi)^{-n} \cdot L^{(\infty)}(1, \sigma\Sigma \times \sigma\Pi) \cdot p(\sigma\Sigma, \pm(-1)^{n'/2}) \cdot p(\sigma\Pi^\vee, \pm(-1)^{n/2})}.
\end{aligned}$$

*If  $n'$  is odd, then*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sigma \left( \frac{p((\Sigma \otimes |_{\mathbb{A}}^{-1/2}) \boxplus \Pi^\vee)}{G(\omega_\Pi)^{-n} \cdot L^{(\infty)}(\frac{1}{2}, \Sigma \times \Pi) \cdot p(\Sigma, (-1)^{(n+n'-1)/2} \varepsilon(\Pi_\infty)) \cdot p(\Pi^\vee)} \right) \\
&= \frac{p((\sigma\Sigma \otimes |_{\mathbb{A}}^{-1/2}) \boxplus \sigma\Pi^\vee)}{G(\sigma\omega_\Pi)^{-n} \cdot L^{(\infty)}(\frac{1}{2}, \sigma\Sigma \times \sigma\Pi) \cdot p(\sigma\Sigma, (-1)^{(n+n'-1)/2} \varepsilon(\Pi_\infty)) \cdot p(\sigma\Pi^\vee)}.
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Put  $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$  with  $\delta \equiv n' \pmod{2}$ . Let  $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$  if  $n'$  is even, and  $\varepsilon = (-1)^{n/2} \varepsilon(\Pi_\infty)$  if  $n'$  is odd. The assumptions on  $\underline{k} \sqcup \underline{\ell}$ ,  $n$ ,  $\mathfrak{w}$ ,  $\mathfrak{u}$  imply that the isobaric sum  $(\Sigma \otimes |_{\mathbb{A}}^{-\delta/2}) \boxplus \Pi^\vee$  is cohomological and tamely isobaric. By Theorem 3.2, we have

$$p((\Sigma \otimes |_{\mathbb{A}}^{-\delta/2}) \boxplus \Pi^\vee, \varepsilon) \sim G(\omega_\Pi)^{-n} \cdot L^{(\infty)}(1 - \frac{\delta}{2}, \Sigma \times \Pi) \cdot p(\Sigma \otimes |_{\mathbb{A}}^{(n'-\delta)/2}, \varepsilon) \cdot p(\Pi^\vee \otimes |_{\mathbb{A}}^{-n/2}, \varepsilon).$$

By Theorem 2.3, we have

$$p(\Sigma \otimes |_{\mathbb{A}}^{(n'-\delta)/2}, \varepsilon) \sim p(\Sigma, (-1)^{(n'-\delta)/2} \varepsilon), \quad p(\Pi^\vee \otimes |_{\mathbb{A}}^{-n/2}, \varepsilon) \sim p(\Pi^\vee, (-1)^{n/2} \varepsilon).$$

Recall our convention (2.16). This completes the proof.  $\square$

#### 4. PROOF OF MAIN RESULTS

The aim of this section is to prove our main results Theorems 1.2 and 1.4. In § 4.5, we show that Conjecture 1.1 is compatible with Deligne's conjecture.

**4.1. Rankin–Selberg  $L$ -functions.** Let  $\Sigma$  and  $\Pi$  be isobaric automorphic representations of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$  and  $\mathrm{GL}_{n'}(\mathbb{A})$ , respectively. Denote by  $L(s, \Sigma \times \Pi)$  the Rankin–Selberg  $L$ -function for  $\Sigma \times \Pi$ . Assume  $\Sigma$  and  $\Pi$  are algebraic. A critical point for  $L(s, \Sigma \times \Pi)$  is a half-integer  $m_0 \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{n+n'}{2}$  such that the archimedean local factors  $L(s, \Sigma_\infty \times \Pi_\infty)$  and  $L(1-s, \Sigma_\infty^\vee \times \Pi_\infty^\vee)$  are holomorphic at  $s = m_0$ . For instance, if  $\Sigma$  and  $\Pi$  are cohomological and tamely isobaric with infinity types  $(\underline{\kappa}; \mathbf{w})$  and  $(\underline{\ell}; \mathbf{u})$ , respectively, and  $n$  is even, then the set of critical points are given by (cf. [HR20, Proposition 7.7])

$$(4.1) \quad \left\{ m_0 \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{n'}{2} \mid \frac{2 - d(\underline{\kappa}, \underline{\ell}) - \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{u}}{2} \leq m_0 \leq \frac{d(\underline{\kappa}, \underline{\ell}) - \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{u}}{2} \right\},$$

where

$$d(\underline{\kappa}, \underline{\ell}) = \begin{cases} \min\{|\kappa_i - \ell_j|\} & \text{if } n' \text{ is even,} \\ \min\{|\kappa_i - \ell_j|, |\kappa_i - 1|\} & \text{if } n' \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

In this case,  $L(s, \Sigma \times \Pi)$  admits critical points if and only if  $d(\underline{\kappa}, \underline{\ell}) \geq 1$ . Also, it admits a central critical point if and only if  $d(\underline{\kappa}, \underline{\ell}) \geq 1$  and  $\mathbf{w} + \mathbf{u} \equiv n + n' + 1 \pmod{2}$ . Moreover, when it admits critical points, the cuspidal summands of  $\Sigma$  and  $\Pi^\vee$  are non-isomorphic, therefore  $L(s, \Sigma \times \Pi)$  are holomorphic (and non-vanishing) at (non-central) critical points.

**4.2. Some algebraicity results and an existence lemma.** In this section, we recall some algebraicity results for Rankin–Selberg  $L$ -functions for  $\mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}$ , which is a key ingredient in our proof of main results. Then we prove a result on the existence of cohomological cuspidal automorphic representations, which will also be used in the proof. We begin with the following result of Raghuram [Rag10], where the algebraicity is expressed in terms of Betti–Whittaker periods. We refer to the introduction of *loc. cit.* for a brief survey on algebraicity results for  $\mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}$  in the literature.

**Theorem 4.1** (Raghuram). *Let  $\Sigma$  and  $\Pi$  be cohomological tamely isobaric automorphic representations of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$  and  $\mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{A})$  with infinity types  $(\underline{\kappa}; \mathbf{w})$  and  $(\underline{\ell}; \mathbf{u})$ , respectively. Assume  $\Sigma$  is cuspidal and  $(\Sigma_\infty, \Pi_\infty)$  is balanced, that is,*

$$\begin{cases} \kappa_1 > \ell_1 > \kappa_2 > \ell_2 > \cdots > \ell_{r-1} > \kappa_r & \text{if } n = 2r, \\ \kappa_1 > \ell_1 > \kappa_2 > \ell_2 > \cdots > \kappa_r > \ell_r & \text{if } n = 2r + 1. \end{cases}$$

*Then there exist non-zero complex numbers  $p(m, \Sigma_\infty \times \Pi_\infty)$  defined for critical points  $m + \frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$  for  $L(s, \Sigma \times \Pi)$  such that*

$$\sigma \left( \frac{L^{(\infty)}(m + \frac{1}{2}, \Sigma \times \Pi)}{p(m, \Sigma_\infty \times \Pi_\infty) \cdot G(\omega_\Pi) \cdot p(\Sigma, \varepsilon_m) \cdot p(\Pi, \varepsilon'_m)} \right) = \frac{L^{(\infty)}(m + \frac{1}{2}, \sigma\Sigma \times \sigma\Pi)}{p(m, \Sigma_\infty \times \Pi_\infty) \cdot G(\omega_{\sigma\Pi}) \cdot p(\sigma\Sigma, \varepsilon_m) \cdot p(\sigma\Pi, \varepsilon'_m)}$$

*for all  $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ . Here  $\varepsilon_m = \varepsilon(\Sigma_\infty)$  if  $n$  is odd,  $\varepsilon'_m = \varepsilon(\Pi_\infty)$  if  $n$  is even, and  $\varepsilon_m \varepsilon'_m = (-1)^{n+m}$ .*

**Remark 4.2.** The theorem was subject to a non-vanishing hypothesis [Rag10, Hypothesis 3.10] which was proved by Sun in [Sun17]. Also the theorem was stated for cuspidal  $\Sigma$  and  $\Pi$  in [Rag10]. For tamely isobaric  $\Pi$ , the proof goes without change, except we consider the image of  $H^{b_{n-1}}(\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}, K_{n-1}^\circ; \Pi \otimes M_{\mu, \mathbb{C}})$  in  $H^{b_{n-1}}(\mathcal{S}_{n-1}, \mathcal{M}_{\mu, \mathbb{C}})$  instead of the cohomology with compact support when  $\Pi$  is cuspidal. We refer to [?, § 6] for a general base field (see also [GH16], [Gro18] when the base field is a CM-field).

**Remark 4.3.** As the notation suggests, the number  $p(m, \Sigma_\infty \times \Pi_\infty)$  depends only on the archimedean components  $\Sigma_\infty$  and  $\Pi_\infty$ . More precisely, it depends on the choice of generators  $[\Sigma_\infty]^{\varepsilon_m}$  and  $[\Pi_\infty]^{\varepsilon'_m}$ . In [?], Li, Liu, and Sun prove the following archimedean period relation: For critical points  $m_1 + \frac{1}{2}, m_2 + \frac{1}{2}$ , we have

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{p(m_1, \Sigma_\infty \times \Psi_\infty)}{p(m_2, \Sigma_\infty \times \Psi_\infty)} \in (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{(m_1 - m_2)n(n-1)/2} \cdot \mathbb{Q}^\times.$$

When the critical points are non-central, the above period relation also follows immediately from the result of Harder and Raghuram [HR20, Theorem 7.21]. See also the result of Januszewski [Jan19].

In [RT11], the above theorem was further refined by Raghuram and Tanabe when  $n = 2$ . We recall it in the first assertion of the following theorem. The second assertion is a refinement of the main result in [BR17] for  $\mathrm{GL}_2$ . It is a period relation between product of Betti–Whittaker periods and Petersson norm of normalized newforms on  $\mathrm{GL}_2$ . We refer to [Che22b, Lemma 4.1] for a proof.

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $\Pi$  be a cohomological cuspidal automorphic representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$  with infinity type  $(\kappa; \mathbf{w})$ .*

- (1) (Raghuram–Tanabe) *Let  $\chi$  be a finite order Hecke character of  $\mathbb{A}^\times$  and  $m + \frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$  a critical point for  $L(s, \Pi \otimes \chi)$ . For  $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma \left( \frac{L^{(\infty)}(m + \frac{1}{2}, \Pi \otimes \chi)}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{m+(\kappa+\mathbf{w})/2} \cdot G(\chi) \cdot p(\Pi, (-1)^m \chi_\infty(-1))} \right) \\ &= \frac{L^{(\infty)}(m + \frac{1}{2}, \sigma\Pi \otimes \sigma\chi)}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{m+(\kappa+\mathbf{w})/2} \cdot G(\sigma\chi) \cdot p(\sigma\Pi, (-1)^m \chi_\infty(-1))}. \end{aligned}$$

- (2) *For  $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ , we have*

$$\sigma \left( \frac{p(\Pi, +) \cdot p(\Pi, -)}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1}) \cdot (\sqrt{-1})^{\mathbf{w}} \cdot G(\omega_\Pi) \cdot \|f_\Pi\|} \right) = \frac{p(\sigma\Pi, +) \cdot p(\sigma\Pi, -)}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1}) \cdot (\sqrt{-1})^{\mathbf{w}} \cdot G(\sigma\omega_\Pi) \cdot \|f_{\sigma\Pi}\|}.$$

Here  $f_\Pi$  is the normalized newform of  $\Pi$  and  $\|f_\Pi\|$  is the Petersson norm of  $f_\Pi$  defined by

$$(4.3) \quad \|f_\Pi\| = \int_{\mathbb{A}^\times \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})} |f_\Pi(g)|^2 \det g|_{\mathbb{A}}^{-\mathbf{w}} dg^{\mathrm{Tam}},$$

where  $dg^{\mathrm{Tam}}$  is the Tamagawa measure on  $\mathbb{A}^\times \backslash \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$ .

**Remark 4.5.** We also have the pioneering result due to Shimura in [Shi77], where the Betti–Whittaker periods are replaced by some rightmost critical values. We recall the comparison between the Betti–Whittaker periods of  $\Pi$  and the Deligne’s periods of the rank 2 motive associated to  $\Pi$  in (4.20).

The following lemma is on the existence of cohomological cuspidal automorphic representations of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$ . When  $n$  is even, we refer to [RW04, Theorem A.1] for the construction using automorphic induction from algebraic Hecke characters over CM-fields. We give a proof for the existence when  $n$  is odd.

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $(\underline{\kappa}; \mathbf{w})$  be the infinity type of an irreducible admissible, cohomological, essentially tempered  $(\mathfrak{g}_n, \mathrm{O}_n(\mathbb{R}))$ -module such that  $\underline{\kappa}$  is 4-regular and  $\min\{\kappa_i\} \geq 5$  if  $n$  is odd. Then there exists a cohomological cuspidal automorphic representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$  with infinity type  $(\underline{\kappa}; \mathbf{w})$ .*

*Proof.* Assume  $n = 2r + 1$  is odd. Recall the parity condition (2.1) in this case. We prove the existence based on Arthur’s multiplicity formula [Art13] and the existence theorem of Shin [Shi12] applied to  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2r}$ . Let  $\pi$  and  $\tau$  be irreducible admissible representations of  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2r}(\mathbb{Q}_2)$  and  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2r}(\mathbb{Q}_3)$  satisfying the following conditions:

- The Langlands parameter  $\phi_\pi : W_{\mathbb{Q}_2} \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}_{2r+1}(\mathbb{C})$  of  $\pi$  factors through the Weil group  $W_{\mathbb{Q}_2}$  and is irreducible as a  $(2r + 1)$ -dimensional representation of  $W_{\mathbb{Q}_2}$ .
- $\tau$  is tempered and unramified.

For the existence of  $\pi$ , we refer to the construction of Bushnell and Henniart in [BH11, Corollary 3.3]. Let  $\lambda$  be a dominant integral weight for  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2r}$ , with respect to its standard maximal torus and Borel subgroup, given by  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r)$  with

$$\lambda_i = \frac{\kappa_i - 1}{2} + i - (r + 1), \quad 1 \leq i \leq r.$$

Then our assumption on  $\underline{\kappa}$  is equivalent to the condition that  $\lambda$  is regular, that is,  $\lambda_1 > \dots > \lambda_r > 0$ . Denote by  $\xi_\lambda$  the irreducible algebraic representation of  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2r}(\mathbb{C})$  with highest weight  $\lambda$ . By the existence theorem [Shi12, Theorem 5.7] with  $S = \{2, 3\}$  and  $\xi = \xi_\lambda$ , there exists a cuspidal automorphic representation  $\Pi$  of  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2r}(\mathbb{A})$  satisfying the following conditions:

- $\Pi_2 = \pi$ .
- $\Pi_3$  is induced from the Borel subgroup with inducing data equivalent to that of  $\tau$  up to twist by unramified unitary characters of  $(\mathbb{Q}_3^\times)^r$ .
- $\Pi_\infty$  is cohomological with coefficients in  $\xi_\lambda$ .

Since  $\lambda$  is regular, the set of irreducible admissible, cohomological representations of  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2r}(\mathbb{R})$  with coefficients in  $\xi_\lambda$  is a  $L$ -packet for  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2r}(\mathbb{R})$  consisting of discrete series representations. Therefore, the local Langlands transfer of  $\Pi_\infty$  to  $\mathrm{GL}_{2r+1}(\mathbb{R})$  must be cohomological and tempered, as verified in [RS18]. More explicitly, the local transfer has infinity type  $(\underline{\kappa}; 0)$  by [RS18, Proposition 5.2]. The global Arthur parameter of  $\Pi$  is of the form

$$\Psi = \Psi_1[d_1] \boxplus \cdots \boxplus \Psi_k[d_k]$$

for some self-dual cuspidal automorphic representation  $\Psi_i$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_{m_i}(\mathbb{A})$  and some  $d_i \geq 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$  satisfying certain conditions. By Arthur's multiplicity formula [Art13, Theorems 1.5.1 and 1.5.2], it suffices to show that  $d_1 = \cdots = d_k = 1$  and  $k = 1$ . Indeed, this would imply that  $\Psi_1$  is the global functorial lift of  $\Pi$  to  $\mathrm{GL}_{2r+1}(\mathbb{A})$  whose archimedean component is cohomological with infinity type  $(\underline{\kappa}; 0)$ . Thus  $\Psi_1 \otimes | \cdot |_{\mathbb{A}}^{w/2}$  is cohomological with infinity type  $(\underline{\kappa}; \mathbf{w})$ . Since  $\Pi_3$  is tempered and unramified, we must have  $d_1 = \cdots = d_k = 1$  by [Moe09, Proposition 4.4]. Therefore, for each place  $v$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , the localization of  $\Psi$  at  $v$  is equal to the local  $L$ -parameter of  $\Pi$  at  $v$ . In particular, we have  $\phi_\pi = \phi_{\Psi_{1,2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \phi_{\Psi_{k,2}}$ , where  $\Psi_{i,2}$  refers to the local component of  $\Psi_i$  at 2. We thus conclude from the irreducibility of  $\phi_\pi$  that  $k = 1$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**4.3. Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Let  $\Sigma, \Sigma'$  (resp.  $\Pi, \Pi'$ ) be algebraic automorphic representations of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$  (resp.  $\mathrm{GL}_{n'}(\mathbb{A})$ ) such that

$$\Sigma_\infty = \Sigma'_\infty, \quad \Pi_\infty = \Pi'_\infty.$$

Let  $(\underline{\kappa}; \mathbf{w})$  and  $(\underline{\ell}; \mathbf{u})$  be the infinity types of  $\Sigma$  and  $\Pi$ , respectively. Consider the following assertion:

For all critical points  $m_0 \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{n+n'}{2}$  for  $L(s, \Sigma \times \Pi)$  with  $L(m_0, \Sigma \times \Pi') \cdot L(m_0, \Sigma' \times \Pi) \neq 0$ , we have

$$(4.4) \quad \frac{L(m_0, \Sigma \times \Pi) \cdot L(m_0, \Sigma' \times \Pi')}{L(m_0, \Sigma \times \Pi') \cdot L(m_0, \Sigma' \times \Pi)} \sim 1.$$

In this section, we prove assertion (4.4) under conditions (1) and (2) in Theorem 1.2. By condition (1), we may assume  $n$  is even and, after twisting  $\Sigma$  and  $\Pi$  by integral powers of  $| \cdot |_{\mathbb{A}}$ , we have

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{u} = 0 & \text{if } n' \text{ is even,} \\ \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{u} = 1 & \text{if } n' \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Put  $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$  with  $\delta \equiv n' \pmod{2}$ . Consider an isobaric automorphic representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_{n+n'}(\mathbb{A})$  defined by

$$\tau(\Sigma, \Pi) = (\Sigma \otimes | \cdot |_{\mathbb{A}}^{-\delta/2}) \boxplus \Pi^\vee.$$

Note that it is cohomological and tamely isobaric by condition (1), and the archimedean component  $\tau(\Sigma, \Pi)_\infty$  has infinity type  $(\underline{\kappa} \sqcup \underline{\ell}, \mathbf{w} - \delta)$ . We write

$$\underline{\kappa} \sqcup \underline{\ell} = (\kappa'_1, \dots, \kappa'_{r'}), \quad r' = \lfloor \frac{n+n'}{2} \rfloor.$$

Let  $r = \lfloor \frac{n+n'+1}{2} \rfloor$  and define  $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$  by

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_i = \kappa'_i + 2 & \text{if } \mathbf{w} \text{ or } \mathbf{u} \text{ is odd,} \\ \lambda_i = \kappa'_i + 3 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq r'$  and  $\lambda_r = \kappa'_{r'} - 2$  if  $n'$  is odd. Note that  $\lambda_r \geq 5$  when  $n'$  is even by our assumption on  $\kappa'_{r'}$  in condition (2). Also the parity condition (1) implies that  $(\underline{\lambda}; \delta)$  is the infinity type of an irreducible admissible, cohomological, essentially tempered  $(\mathfrak{g}_{n+n'+1}, \mathrm{O}_{n+n'+1}(\mathbb{R}))$ -module. By Lemma 4.6, there exists a cohomological cuspidal automorphic representation  $\Psi$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_{n+n'+1}(\mathbb{A})$  with infinity type  $(\underline{\lambda}; \delta)$ . It is clear that the Rankin–Selberg  $L$ -function  $L(s, \Psi \times \tau(\Sigma, \Pi))$  admits exactly 2 non-central critical points (cf. (4.1)), and  $(\Psi_\infty, \tau(\Sigma, \Pi)_\infty)$  is balanced by the definition of  $\underline{\lambda}$ . Fix a non-central critical point  $m + \frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$  for  $L(s, \Psi \times \tau(\Sigma, \Pi))$ . By Theorem 4.1, we have

$$L^{(\infty)}(m + \frac{1}{2}, \Psi \times \tau(\Sigma, \Pi)) \sim p(m, \Psi_\infty \times \tau(\Sigma, \Pi)_\infty) \cdot G(\omega_\Sigma \omega_\Pi^{-1}) \cdot p(\Psi, \varepsilon_m) \cdot p(\tau(\Sigma, \Pi), \varepsilon'_m),$$

where  $\varepsilon_m = \varepsilon(\Psi_\infty)$  if  $n'$  is even,  $\varepsilon'_m = \varepsilon(\tau(\Sigma, \Pi)_\infty)$  if  $n'$  is odd, and  $\varepsilon_m \varepsilon'_m = (-1)^{n'+1+m}$ . By the period relation in Theorem 3.2, we have

$$p(\tau(\Sigma, \Pi), \varepsilon'_m) \sim G(\omega_\Pi)^{-n} \cdot L^{(\infty)}(1 - \frac{\delta}{2}, \Sigma \times \Pi) \cdot p(\Sigma \otimes | \cdot |_{\mathbb{A}}^{(n'-\delta)/2}, \varepsilon'_m) \cdot p(\Pi^\vee \otimes | \cdot |_{\mathbb{A}}^{-n/2}, \varepsilon'_m).$$

Note that

$$L(s, \Psi \times \tau(\Sigma, \Pi)) = L(s - \frac{\delta}{2}, \Psi \times \Sigma) \cdot L(s, \Psi \times \Pi^\vee).$$

Therefore, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & L^{(\infty)}(1 - \frac{\delta}{2}, \Sigma \times \Pi) \\ & \sim \frac{L^{(\infty)}(m + \frac{1-\delta}{2}, \Psi \times \Sigma) \cdot L^{(\infty)}(m + \frac{1}{2}, \Psi \times \Pi^\vee)}{p(m, \Psi_\infty \times \tau(\Sigma, \Pi)_\infty) \cdot G(\omega_\Sigma \omega_\Pi^{-n-1}) \cdot p(\Psi, \varepsilon_m) \cdot p(\Sigma \otimes | \cdot |_{\mathbb{A}}^{(n'-\delta)/2}, \varepsilon'_m) \cdot p(\Pi^\vee \otimes | \cdot |_{\mathbb{A}}^{-n/2}, \varepsilon'_m)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $L^{(\infty)}(m + \frac{1}{2}, \Psi \times \tau(\Sigma, \Pi))$  is non-zero, it then follows immediately from the assumptions  $\Sigma_\infty = \Sigma'_\infty$  and  $\Pi_\infty = \Pi'_\infty$  that assertion (4.4) holds for the critical point  $m_0 = 1 - \frac{\delta}{2}$ . Note that condition (1) implies that all critical points for  $L(s, \Sigma \times \Pi)$  are non-central (cf. (4.1)). In particular, all critical values are non-zero. Therefore, by the result of Harder and Raghuram [HR20, Theorem 7.21], we then conclude that (4.4) holds for all critical points. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

**4.4. Proof of Theorem 1.4.** Let  $\Sigma$  and  $\Pi$  be cohomological cuspidal automorphic representations of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$  and  $\mathrm{GL}_{n'}(\mathbb{A})$  with infinity types  $(\underline{\kappa}; \mathbf{w})$  and  $(\underline{\ell}; \mathbf{u})$ , respectively. Consider the following assertion:

Assume  $nn'$  is even. Let  $\chi$  be a finite order Hecke character of  $\mathbb{A}^\times$ . Let  $m_0, m_1 \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{n+n'}{2}$  be critical points for  $L(s, \Sigma \times \Pi)$  such that  $L(m_1, \Sigma \times \Pi) \neq 0$  and  $(-1)^{m_0 - m_1} = \chi_\infty(-1)$  if  $n$  or  $n'$  is odd. Then we have

$$(4.5) \quad \frac{L^{(\infty)}(m_0, \Sigma \times \Pi \otimes \chi)}{L^{(\infty)}(m_1, \Sigma \times \Pi)} \sim (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{(m_0 - m_1)nn'/2} \cdot G(\chi)^{nn'/2}.$$

In this section, we prove this assertion under two different sets of assumptions. Firstly we prove it under conditions (1)-(5) in Theorem 1.4. By conditions (2)-(4),  $L(s, \Sigma \times \Pi)$  admits at least two (resp. four) non-central critical points if  $\min\{|\kappa_i - \ell_j|\}$  is even (resp. odd). Therefore, to prove (4.5) holds for all  $m_0, m_1$ , it suffices to show that it holds for some non-central critical points  $m_0, m_1$  with given parity. Indeed, let  $m_0, m_1$  be non-central critical points such that (4.5) holds. Let  $m'_0 \in m_0 + 2\mathbb{Z}$  and  $m'_1 \in m_1 + 2\mathbb{Z}$  be other critical points such that  $L(m'_1, \Sigma \times \Pi) \neq 0$ . By the result of Harder and Raghuram [HR20, Theorem 7.21], we have

$$\frac{L^{(\infty)}(m_0, \Sigma \times \Pi \otimes \chi)}{L^{(\infty)}(m'_0, \Sigma \times \Pi \otimes \chi)} \sim (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{(m_0 - m'_0)nn'/2}, \quad \frac{L^{(\infty)}(m_1, \Sigma \times \Pi)}{L^{(\infty)}(m'_1, \Sigma \times \Pi)} \sim (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{(m_1 - m'_1)nn'/2}.$$

Thus (4.5) also holds for the critical points  $m'_0, m'_1$ .

Let  $I$  be the subset of  $\{1 \leq i \leq r\}$  consisting of  $1 \leq i \leq r-1$  such that there is no  $j$  with  $\kappa_i > \ell_j > \kappa_{i+1}$ , and also contains  $r$  if  $n$  is odd and  $\ell_r > \kappa_r$ . By conditions (1)-(3), it is clear that  $n > n'$  and

$$\#I = \begin{cases} \frac{n-n'-1}{2} & \text{if } n \text{ or } n' \text{ is odd,} \\ \frac{n-n'-2}{2} & \text{if } n \text{ is even and } n' \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $(\ell'_i)_{i \in I}$  be a sequence of integers chosen such that:

- If  $1 \leq i \leq r-1$  and  $i \in I$ , then  $\kappa_i > \ell'_i > \kappa_{i+1}$  and  $\ell'_i \equiv \mathbf{u} + n' \pmod{2}$ .
- If  $r \in I$ , then  $\ell'_r = \begin{cases} \kappa_r - 2 & \text{if } \min\{|\kappa_i - \ell_j|\} \text{ is even,} \\ \kappa_r - 5 & \text{if } \min\{|\kappa_i - \ell_j|\} \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$
- $\min\{|\kappa_i - \ell'_j|\} = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } \min\{|\kappa_i - \ell_j|\} \text{ is even,} \\ 5 & \text{if } \min\{|\kappa_i - \ell_j|\} \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$

The existence of  $\ell'_i$  is guaranteed by conditions (3) and (4). For  $i \in I$ , let  $\Pi'_i$  be a cohomological cuspidal automorphic representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$  with infinity type

$$\begin{cases} (\ell'_i; \mathbf{u}) & \text{if } n' \text{ is even,} \\ (\ell'_i; \mathbf{u} + 1) & \text{if } n' \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$



Let  $\Pi'$  be a cohomological tamely isobaric automorphic representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_{n-n'-1}(\mathbb{A})$  defined by

$$\Pi' = \begin{cases} \boxplus_{i \in I} \Pi'_i & \text{if } n \text{ or } n' \text{ is odd,} \\ \boxplus_{i \in I} (\Pi'_i \otimes | \cdot |_{\mathbb{A}}^{-1/2}) \boxplus | \cdot |_{\mathbb{A}}^{(u-1)/2} & \text{if } n \text{ is even and } n' \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Here we have use condition (5) when  $n$  and  $n'$  are both even. For finite order Hecke characters  $\chi, \eta$  of  $\mathbb{A}^\times$ , let  $\Psi(\chi, \eta)$  be a cohomological tamely isobaric automorphic representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{A})$  defined by

$$\Psi(\chi, \eta) = \begin{cases} (\Pi \otimes \chi) \boxplus (\Pi' \otimes \eta) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } n' \text{ is even,} \\ (\Pi \otimes \chi) \boxplus (\Pi' \otimes \eta | \cdot |_{\mathbb{A}}^{-1/2}) & \text{if } n \text{ is even and } n' \text{ is odd,} \\ (\Pi \otimes \chi | \cdot |_{\mathbb{A}}^{-1/2}) \boxplus (\Pi' \otimes \eta) & \text{if } n \text{ is even and } n' \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

When  $\chi = \eta = 1$ , we write  $\Psi(1, 1) = \Psi$ . Recall the convention (2.16). By the period relations in Theorem 2.3 and Corollary 3.4, we have the following period relations:

- If  $n$  is odd and  $n'$  is even, then

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} p(\Psi(\chi, \eta), \pm) &\sim G(\chi)^{n'(n'-1)/2} \cdot G(\eta)^{(n-n'-1)(n+n'-2)/2} \cdot G(\omega_{\Pi'})^{n'} \cdot L^{(\infty)}(1, \Pi \times (\Pi')^\vee \otimes \chi\eta^{-1}) \\ &\times p(\Pi, \pm(-1)^{(n-n'-1)/2} \chi_\infty(-1)) \cdot p(\Pi', \pm(-1)^{n'/2} \eta_\infty(-1)). \end{aligned}$$

- If  $n$  is even and  $n'$  is odd, then

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} p(\Psi(\chi, \eta)) &\sim G(\chi)^{n'(2n-n'-3)/2} \cdot G(\eta)^{(n-n'-1)(n-n'-2)/2} \cdot G(\omega_\Pi)^{n-n'-1} \cdot L^{(\infty)}(\tfrac{1}{2}, \Pi' \times \Pi^\vee \otimes \chi^{-1}\eta) \\ &\times p(\Pi) \cdot p(\Pi', (-1)^{(n-2)/2} \varepsilon(\Pi_\infty) \chi_\infty \eta_\infty(-1)). \end{aligned}$$

- If  $n$  is even and  $n'$  is even, then

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} p(\Psi(\chi, \eta)) &\sim G(\chi)^{n'(n'-1)/2} \cdot G(\eta)^{(n-n'-1)(n+n'-2)/2} \cdot G(\omega_{\Pi'})^{n'} \cdot L^{(\infty)}(\tfrac{1}{2}, \Pi \times (\Pi')^\vee \otimes \chi\eta^{-1}) \\ &\times p(\Pi, (-1)^{(n-2)/2} \varepsilon(\Pi'_\infty) \chi_\infty \eta_\infty(-1)) \cdot p(\Pi'). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by Theorems 4.1 and 2.3, for critical point  $m + \frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$  for  $L(s, \Sigma \times \Psi)$ , we have

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{aligned} &L^{(\infty)}(m + \tfrac{1}{2}, \Sigma \times \Psi(\chi, \eta)) \\ &\sim p(m, \Sigma_\infty \times \Psi_\infty) \cdot G(\omega_\Pi \omega_{\Pi'}) \\ &\times \begin{cases} G(\chi)^{n'} \cdot G(\eta)^{n-n'-1} \cdot p(\Sigma) \cdot p(\Psi(\chi, \eta), (-1)^{1+m} \varepsilon(\Sigma_\infty)) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd, } n' \text{ is even,} \\ G(\chi^{-1}\eta)^{n-n'-1} \cdot G(\chi)^{n(n-1)/2} \cdot p(\Sigma, (-1)^m \varepsilon(\Psi_\infty) \chi_\infty(-1)) \cdot p(\Psi(1, \chi^{-1}\eta)) & \text{if } n \text{ is even, } n' \text{ is odd,} \\ G(\chi\eta^{-1})^{n'} \cdot G(\eta)^{n(n-1)/2} \cdot p(\Sigma, (-1)^m \varepsilon(\Psi_\infty) \eta_\infty(-1)) \cdot p(\Psi(\chi\eta^{-1}, 1)) & \text{if } n \text{ is even, } n' \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Fix a finite order Hecke character  $\chi$  of  $\mathbb{A}^\times$ . Let  $m_1 + \frac{1}{2}, m_2 + \frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$  be non-central critical points for  $L(s, \Sigma \times \Psi)$  such that  $(-1)^{m_1-m_2} = \chi_\infty(-1)$  if  $n$  or  $n'$  is odd. We consider the ratio

$$\frac{L^{(\infty)}(m_1 + \tfrac{1}{2}, \Sigma \times \Psi(\chi, \eta))}{L^{(\infty)}(m_2 + \tfrac{1}{2}, \Sigma \times \Psi)}.$$

- Assume  $n$  is odd and  $n'$  is even. By (4.2), (4.6), (4.9), and the condition  $(-1)^{m_1-m_2} = \chi_\infty(-1)$ , we have

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} &\frac{L^{(\infty)}(m_1 + \tfrac{1}{2}, \Sigma \times \Pi \otimes \chi) \cdot L^{(\infty)}(m_1 + \tfrac{1}{2}, \Sigma \times \Pi' \otimes \eta)}{L^{(\infty)}(m_2 + \tfrac{1}{2}, \Sigma \times \Pi) \cdot L^{(\infty)}(m_2 + \tfrac{1}{2}, \Sigma \times \Pi')} \\ &\sim (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{(m_1-m_2)n(n-1)/2} \cdot G(\chi)^{n'(n'+1)/2} \cdot G(\eta)^{(n+n')(n-n'-1)/2} \\ &\times \frac{L^{(\infty)}(1, \Pi \times (\Pi')^\vee \otimes \chi\eta^{-1}) \cdot p(\Pi', (-1)^{1+m_1+n'/2} \varepsilon(\Sigma_\infty) \eta_\infty(-1))}{L^{(\infty)}(1, \Pi \times (\Pi')^\vee) \cdot p(\Pi', (-1)^{1+m_2+n'/2} \varepsilon(\Sigma_\infty))}. \end{aligned}$$

By [HR20, Theorem 7.21] and Theorem 3.2, we have

$$\frac{L^{(\infty)}(m_1 + \tfrac{1}{2}, \Sigma \times \Pi')}{L^{(\infty)}(m_2 + \tfrac{1}{2}, \Sigma \times \Pi')} \sim (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{(m_1-m_2)n(n-n'-1)/2} \cdot \frac{p(\Pi', (-1)^{1+m_1+n'/2} \varepsilon(\Sigma_\infty))}{p(\Pi', (-1)^{1+m_2+n'/2} \varepsilon(\Sigma_\infty))}.$$

In particular, by taking  $\eta = 1$  in (4.10), we have

$$\frac{L^{(\infty)}(m_1 + \frac{1}{2}, \Sigma \times \Pi \otimes \chi)}{L^{(\infty)}(m_2 + \frac{1}{2}, \Sigma \times \Pi)} \sim (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{(m_1-m_2)nn'/2} \cdot G(\chi)^{n'(n'+1)/2} \cdot \frac{L^{(\infty)}(1, \Pi \times (\Pi')^\vee \otimes \chi)}{L^{(\infty)}(1, \Pi \times (\Pi')^\vee)}.$$

When  $n' = 2$ , by the result of Shimura [Shi76, Theorem 3], we have

$$\frac{L^{(\infty)}(1, \Pi \times (\Pi')^\vee \otimes \chi)}{L^{(\infty)}(1, \Pi \times (\Pi')^\vee)} \sim G(\chi)^{n-3}.$$

Therefore, when  $n' = 2$ , we have

$$(4.11) \quad \frac{L^{(\infty)}(m_1 + \frac{1}{2}, \Sigma \times \Pi \otimes \chi)}{L^{(\infty)}(m_2 + \frac{1}{2}, \Sigma \times \Pi)} \sim (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{(m_1-m_2)n} \cdot G(\chi)^n.$$

On the other hand, by taking  $\eta = \chi$  in (4.10) and the condition  $(-1)^{m_1-m_2} = \chi_\infty(-1)$ , we have

$$(4.12) \quad \frac{L^{(\infty)}(m_1 + \frac{1}{2}, \Sigma \times \Pi \otimes \chi) \cdot L^{(\infty)}(m_1 + \frac{1}{2}, \Sigma \times \Pi' \otimes \chi)}{L^{(\infty)}(m_2 + \frac{1}{2}, \Sigma \times \Pi) \cdot L^{(\infty)}(m_2 + \frac{1}{2}, \Sigma \times \Pi')} \sim (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{(m_1-m_2)n(n-1)/2} \cdot G(\chi)^{n(n-1)/2}.$$

- Assume  $n$  is even and  $n'$  is odd. By (4.2), (4.7), (4.9), and the condition  $(-1)^{m_1-m_2} = \chi_\infty(-1)$ , we have

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{L^{(\infty)}(m_1 + \frac{1}{2}, \Sigma \times \Pi \otimes \chi) \cdot L^{(\infty)}(m_1, \Sigma \times \Pi' \otimes \eta)}{L^{(\infty)}(m_2 + \frac{1}{2}, \Sigma \times \Pi) \cdot L^{(\infty)}(m_2, \Sigma \times \Pi')} \\ & \sim (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{(m_1-m_2)n(n-1)/2} \cdot G(\chi^{-1}\eta)^{(n-n')(n-n'-1)/2} \cdot G(\chi)^{n(n-1)/2} \\ & \times \frac{L^{(\infty)}(\frac{1}{2}, \Pi' \times \Pi^\vee \otimes \chi^{-1}\eta) \cdot p(\Pi', (-1)^{(n-2)/2}\varepsilon(\Pi_\infty)\chi_\infty\eta_\infty(-1))}{L^{(\infty)}(\frac{1}{2}, \Pi' \times \Pi^\vee) \cdot p(\Pi', (-1)^{(n-2)/2}\varepsilon(\Pi_\infty))}. \end{aligned}$$

By [HR20, Theorem 7.21], we have

$$\frac{L^{(\infty)}(m_1, \Sigma \times \Pi')}{L^{(\infty)}(m_2, \Sigma \times \Pi')} \sim (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{(m_1-m_2)n(n-n'-1)/2}.$$

When  $n' = 1$ , by Theorems 4.4 and 3.2, we have

$$\frac{L^{(\infty)}(\frac{1}{2}, \Pi' \times \Pi^\vee \otimes \chi^{-1}) \cdot p(\Pi', (-1)^{(n-2)/2}\varepsilon(\Pi_\infty)\chi_\infty(-1))}{L^{(\infty)}(\frac{1}{2}, \Pi' \times \Pi^\vee) \cdot p(\Pi', (-1)^{(n-2)/2}\varepsilon(\Pi_\infty))} \sim G(\chi^{-1})^{(n-2)/2}.$$

Therefore, when  $n' = 1$ , we have

$$(4.14) \quad \frac{L^{(\infty)}(m_1 + \frac{1}{2}, \Sigma \times \Pi \otimes \chi)}{L^{(\infty)}(m_2 + \frac{1}{2}, \Sigma \times \Pi)} \sim (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{(m_1-m_2)n/2} \cdot G(\chi)^{n/2}.$$

On the other hand, by taking  $\eta = \chi$  in (4.13), we have

$$(4.15) \quad \frac{L^{(\infty)}(m_1 + \frac{1}{2}, \Sigma \times \Pi \otimes \chi) \cdot L^{(\infty)}(m_1, \Sigma \times \Pi' \otimes \chi)}{L^{(\infty)}(m_2 + \frac{1}{2}, \Sigma \times \Pi) \cdot L^{(\infty)}(m_2, \Sigma \times \Pi')} \sim (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{(m_1-m_2)n(n-1)/2} \cdot G(\chi)^{n(n-1)/2}.$$

- Assume  $n$  is even and  $n'$  is even. By (4.2), (4.8), and (4.9), we have

$$(4.16) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{L^{(\infty)}(m_1, \Sigma \times \Pi \otimes \chi) \cdot L^{(\infty)}(m_1 + \frac{1}{2}, \Sigma \times \Pi' \otimes \eta)}{L^{(\infty)}(m_2, \Sigma \times \Pi) \cdot L^{(\infty)}(m_2 + \frac{1}{2}, \Sigma \times \Pi')} \\ & \sim (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{(m_1-m_2)n(n-1)/2} \cdot G(\chi\eta^{-1})^{n'(n'+1)/2} \cdot G(\eta)^{n(n-1)/2} \cdot \frac{p(\Sigma, (-1)^{m_1}\varepsilon(\Psi_\infty)\eta_\infty(-1))}{p(\Sigma, (-1)^{m_2}\varepsilon(\Psi_\infty))} \\ & \times \frac{L^{(\infty)}(\frac{1}{2}, \Pi \times (\Pi')^\vee \otimes \chi\eta^{-1}) \cdot p(\Pi, (-1)^{(n-2)/2}\varepsilon(\Pi'_\infty)\chi_\infty\eta_\infty(-1))}{L^{(\infty)}(\frac{1}{2}, \Pi \times (\Pi')^\vee) \cdot p(\Pi, (-1)^{(n-2)/2}\varepsilon(\Pi'_\infty))}. \end{aligned}$$

By [HR20, Theorem 7.21] and Theorem 3.2, we have

$$\frac{L^{(\infty)}(m_1 + \frac{1}{2}, \Sigma \times \Pi')}{L^{(\infty)}(m_2 + \frac{1}{2}, \Sigma \times \Pi')} \sim (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{(m_1-m_2)n(n-n'-1)/2} \cdot \frac{p(\Sigma, (-1)^{m_1+n'/2}\varepsilon(\Pi'_\infty))}{p(\Sigma, (-1)^{m_2+n'/2}\varepsilon(\Pi'_\infty))}.$$

When  $n' = 2$ , by [Shi76, Theorem 3] and Theorems 4.4 and 3.2, we have

$$\frac{L^{(\infty)}(\frac{1}{2}, \Pi \times (\Pi')^\vee \otimes \chi) \cdot p(\Pi, (-1)^{(n-2)/2} \varepsilon(\Pi'_\infty) \chi_\infty(-1))}{L^{(\infty)}(\frac{1}{2}, \Pi \times (\Pi')^\vee) \cdot p(\Pi, (-1)^{(n-2)/2} \varepsilon(\Pi'_\infty))} \sim G(\chi)^{n-3}.$$

Note that  $\varepsilon(\Psi_\infty) = (-1)^{n'/2} \varepsilon(\Pi'_\infty)$ . Therefore, when  $n' = 2$ , we have

$$(4.17) \quad \frac{L^{(\infty)}(m_1, \Sigma \times \Pi \otimes \chi)}{L^{(\infty)}(m_2, \Sigma \times \Pi)} \sim (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{(m_1-m_2)n} \cdot G(\chi)^n.$$

On the other hand, by taking  $\eta = \chi$  in (4.16), we have

$$(4.18) \quad \frac{L^{(\infty)}(m_1, \Sigma \times \Pi \otimes \chi) \cdot L^{(\infty)}(m_1 + \frac{1}{2}, \Sigma \times \Pi' \otimes \chi)}{L^{(\infty)}(m_2, \Sigma \times \Pi) \cdot L^{(\infty)}(m_2 + \frac{1}{2}, \Sigma \times \Pi')} \\ \sim (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{(m_1-m_2)n(n-1)/2} \cdot G(\chi)^{n(n-1)/2} \cdot \frac{p(\Sigma, (-1)^{m_1} \varepsilon(\Psi_\infty) \chi_\infty(-1))}{p(\Sigma, (-1)^{m_2} \varepsilon(\Psi_\infty))}.$$

By (4.11), (4.14), and (4.17), we see that (4.5) holds if  $n' = 1, 2$ . Note that conditions (1)-(5) are satisfied by the infinity types of  $\Sigma$  and each summand of  $\Pi'$ . In particular, since  $\Pi'$  is an isobaric sum of cuspidal automorphic representations of  $\mathbb{A}^\times$  and  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$ , we conclude that (4.5) also holds for  $L(s, \Sigma \times \Pi')$ . Therefore, by (4.12) and (4.15), we see that (4.5) holds if  $n$  or  $n'$  is odd. Assume  $n$  and  $n'$  are both even. It follows from (4.18) that (4.5) holds if  $(-1)^{m_1-m_2} = \chi_\infty(-1)$ . If  $(-1)^{m_1-m_2} = -\chi_\infty(-1)$ , then

$$\frac{L^{(\infty)}(m_2 + \varepsilon + \frac{1}{2}, \Sigma \times \Pi')}{L^{(\infty)}(m_2 + \frac{1}{2}, \Sigma \times \Pi')} \sim (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{\varepsilon n(n-n'-1)/2} \cdot \frac{p(\Sigma, (-1)^{m_1+n'/2} \varepsilon(\Pi'_\infty) \chi_\infty(-1))}{p(\Sigma, (-1)^{m_2+n'/2} \varepsilon(\Pi'_\infty))}.$$

Here  $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$  is chosen so that  $m_2 + \varepsilon + \frac{1}{2}$  is a non-central critical point for  $L(s, \Sigma \times \Pi')$ . Also we have

$$\frac{L^{(\infty)}(m_1 + \frac{1}{2}, \Sigma \times \Pi' \otimes \chi)}{L^{(\infty)}(m_2 + \varepsilon + \frac{1}{2}, \Sigma \times \Pi')} \sim (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{(m_1-m_2-\varepsilon)n(n-n'-1)/2} \cdot G(\chi)^{n(n-n'-1)/2}.$$

Therefore, in this case (4.5) also follows from (4.18). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.4 if conditions (1)-(5) are satisfied.

Now we show that (4.5) holds if conditions in Theorem 1.2 are satisfied. By condition (1) in Theorem 1.2, without lose of generality we may assume  $n$  is even and

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{u} = 0 & \text{if } n' \text{ is even,} \\ \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{u} = 1 & \text{if } n' \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

For a finite order Hecke character  $\chi$  of  $\mathbb{A}^\times$ , let  $\Pi'(\chi)$  be a cohomological tamely isobaric automorphic representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_{n+n'}(\mathbb{A})$  defined by

$$\Pi'(\chi) = \begin{cases} (\Sigma \otimes \chi) \boxplus \Pi^\vee & \text{if } n' \text{ is even,} \\ (\Sigma \otimes \chi|_{\mathbb{A}^{-1/2}}) \boxplus \Pi^\vee & \text{if } n' \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

When  $\chi = 1$ , we write  $\Pi'(1) = \Pi'$ . By condition (2) in Theorem 1.2 and Lemma 4.6, as in the proof of Theorem 1.2 in § 4.3, there exists a cohomological cuspidal automorphic representation  $\Psi$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_{n+n'+1}(\mathbb{A})$  such that  $(\Psi_\infty, \Pi'_\infty)$  is balanced and  $L(s, \Psi \times \Pi')$  admits exactly 2 non-central critical points. By Theorems 2.3 and 4.1, and Corollary 3.4, for critical point  $m + \frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$  for  $L(s, \Psi \times \Pi')$ , we have

$$(4.19) \quad L^{(\infty)}(m + \frac{1}{2}, \Psi \times \Pi'(\chi)) \\ \sim p(m, \Psi_\infty \times \Pi'_\infty) \cdot G(\omega_\Sigma) \cdot G(\omega_\Pi)^{-n-1} \cdot G(\chi)^{n(n+1)/2} \cdot \begin{cases} L^{(\infty)}(1, \Sigma \times \Pi \otimes \chi) & \text{if } n' \text{ is even,} \\ L^{(\infty)}(\frac{1}{2}, \Sigma \times \Pi \otimes \chi) & \text{if } n' \text{ is odd} \end{cases} \\ \times \begin{cases} p(\Psi) \cdot p(\Sigma, (-1)^{1+m+n'/2} \varepsilon(\Psi_\infty) \chi_\infty(-1)) \cdot p(\Pi^\vee, (-1)^{1+m+n/2} \varepsilon(\Psi_\infty)) & \text{if } n' \text{ is even,} \\ p(\Psi, (-1)^m \varepsilon(\Pi'_\infty)) \cdot p(\Sigma, (-1)^{(n+n'-1)/2} \varepsilon(\Pi_\infty) \chi_\infty(-1)) \cdot p(\Pi^\vee) & \text{if } n' \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Note that

$$L(s, \Psi \times \Pi'(\chi)) = \begin{cases} L(s, \Psi \times \Sigma \otimes \chi) \cdot L(s, \Psi \times \Pi^\vee) & \text{if } n' \text{ is even,} \\ L(s - \frac{1}{2}, \Psi \times \Sigma \otimes \chi) \cdot L(s, \Psi \times \Pi^\vee) & \text{if } n' \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Assume  $n'$  is even. Let  $m_1 + \frac{1}{2}, m_2 + \frac{1}{2}$  be critical points for  $L(s, \Psi \times \Pi')$  such that  $(-1)^{m_1 - m_2} = \chi_\infty(-1)$ . By (4.19), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{L^{(\infty)}(m_1 + \frac{1}{2}, \Psi \times \Sigma \otimes \chi) \cdot L^{(\infty)}(m_1 + \frac{1}{2}, \Psi \times \Pi^\vee)}{L^{(\infty)}(m_2 + \frac{1}{2}, \Psi \times \Sigma) \cdot L^{(\infty)}(m_2 + \frac{1}{2}, \Psi \times \Pi^\vee)} \\ & \sim (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{(m_1 - m_2)(n + n')(n + n' + 1)/2} \cdot G(\chi)^{n(n+1)/2} \cdot \frac{L^{(\infty)}(1, \Sigma \times \Pi \otimes \chi)}{L^{(\infty)}(1, \Sigma \times \Pi)} \cdot \frac{p(\Pi^\vee, (-1)^{1+m_1+n/2}\varepsilon(\Psi_\infty))}{p(\Pi^\vee, (-1)^{1+m_2+n/2}\varepsilon(\Psi_\infty))}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the infinity types of  $\Psi$  and  $\Sigma$  satisfy conditions (1)-(5) in Theorem 1.4, we have

$$\frac{L^{(\infty)}(m_1 + \frac{1}{2}, \Psi \times \Sigma \otimes \chi)}{L^{(\infty)}(m_2 + \frac{1}{2}, \Psi \times \Sigma)} \sim (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{(m_1 - m_2)n(n+n'+1)/2} \cdot G(\chi)^{n(n+n'+1)/2}.$$

Also, by the result of Harder and Raghuram [HR20, Theorem 7.21], we have

$$\frac{L^{(\infty)}(m_1 + \frac{1}{2}, \Psi \times \Pi^\vee)}{L^{(\infty)}(m_2 + \frac{1}{2}, \Psi \times \Pi^\vee)} \sim (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{(m_1 - m_2)n'(n+n'+1)/2} \cdot \frac{p(\Pi^\vee, (-1)^{1+m_1+n/2}\varepsilon(\Psi_\infty))}{p(\Pi^\vee, (-1)^{1+m_2+n/2}\varepsilon(\Psi_\infty))}.$$

Therefore, we conclude that

$$\frac{L^{(\infty)}(1, \Sigma \times \Pi \otimes \chi)}{L^{(\infty)}(1, \Sigma \times \Pi)} \sim G(\chi)^{nn'/2}.$$

Thus (4.5) holds for the critical points  $m_0 = m_1 = 1$  of  $L(s, \Sigma \times \Pi)$ . The general cases then follow from this special case together with [HR20, Theorem 7.21]. Finally, assume  $n'$  is odd. By (4.19), we have

$$\frac{L^{(\infty)}(m, \Psi \times \Sigma \otimes \chi)}{L^{(\infty)}(m, \Psi \times \Sigma)} \sim G(\chi)^{n(n+1)/2} \cdot \frac{L^{(\infty)}(\frac{1}{2}, \Sigma \times \Pi \otimes \chi)}{L^{(\infty)}(\frac{1}{2}, \Sigma \times \Pi)} \cdot \frac{p(\Sigma, (-1)^{(n+n'-1)/2}\varepsilon(\Pi_\infty)\chi_\infty(-1))}{p(\Sigma, (-1)^{(n+n'-1)/2}\varepsilon(\Pi_\infty))}$$

for any critical point  $m + \frac{1}{2}$  for  $L(s, \Psi \times \Pi')$ . Since the infinity types of  $\Psi$  and  $\Sigma$  satisfy conditions (1)-(5) in Theorem 1.4, we have

$$\frac{L^{(\infty)}(m, \Psi \times \Sigma \otimes \chi)}{L^{(\infty)}(m, \Psi \times \Sigma)} \sim G(\chi)^{n(n+n'+1)/2}.$$

When  $\chi_\infty(-1) = -1$ , by [HR20, Theorem 7.21], we have

$$\frac{L^{(\infty)}(\frac{1}{2}, \Sigma \times \Pi)}{L^{(\infty)}(-\frac{1}{2}, \Sigma \times \Pi)} \sim (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{nn'/2} \cdot \frac{p(\Sigma, (-1)^{(n+n'+1)/2}\varepsilon(\Pi_\infty))}{p(\Sigma, (-1)^{(n+n'-1)/2}\varepsilon(\Pi_\infty))}.$$

We thus conclude that

$$\frac{L^{(\infty)}(\frac{1}{2}, \Sigma \times \Pi \otimes \chi)}{L^{(\infty)}(\frac{1}{2}, \Sigma \times \Pi)} \sim G(\chi)^{nn'/2}$$

if  $\chi_\infty(-1) = 1$ , and

$$\frac{L^{(\infty)}(\frac{1}{2}, \Sigma \times \Pi \otimes \chi)}{L^{(\infty)}(-\frac{1}{2}, \Sigma \times \Pi)} \sim (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{nn'/2} \cdot G(\chi)^{nn'/2}$$

if  $\chi_\infty(-1) = -1$ . Hence (4.5) holds for the special cases  $m_0 = m_1 = \frac{1}{2}$  or  $m_0 = \frac{1}{2}, m_1 = -\frac{1}{2}$ . The general cases then follow from these special cases together with [HR20, Theorem 7.21]. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.4.

**4.5. Compatibility with Deligne's conjecture.** In this section, we verify in Lemma 4.10 that Conjecture 1.1 is compatible with Deligne's conjecture [Del79], based on Clozel's conjecture [Clo90] and Yoshida's computation of motivic periods [Yos01]. Let  $M$  be a motive over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with coefficients in a number field  $\mathbb{E}$ . Associated to  $M$ , we have the Betti realization  $H_B(M)$ , the de Rham realization  $H_{dR}(M)$ , and the  $l$ -adic realization  $H_l(M)$  for each finite places  $l$  of  $\mathbb{E}$ . The dual motive  $M^\vee$  of  $M$  is also over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{E}$  whose realizations are dual to that of  $M$ . The Betti realization  $H_B(M)$  is a finite dimensional  $\mathbb{E}$ -vector space equipped with an action of archimedean Frobenius  $F_\infty$  and a Hodge decomposition:

$$H_B(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} = \bigoplus_{p, q \in \mathbb{Z}} H_B^{p, q}(M),$$

where  $H_B^{p, q}(M)$  is a free  $\mathbb{E} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$ -module of rank  $h_M^{p, q}$  such that  $F_\infty(H_B^{p, q}(M)) = H_B^{q, p}(M)$ . Let  $H_B^\pm(M)$  be the  $\pm$ -eigenspace of  $H_B(M)$  under the action of  $F_\infty$ , and write  $d^\pm(M) = \dim_{\mathbb{E}} H_B^\pm(M)$ . We say  $M$  is *pure* of

weight  $w$  if  $H_B^{p,q}(M)$  is non-zero only when  $p + q = w$ . We say  $M$  is *regular* if the non-zero Hodge numbers are all equal to 1. When  $M$  is pure of weight  $w$ , the archimedean local factor of  $M$  is defined by

$$L_\infty(M, s) = \prod_{p < \frac{w}{2}} \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s - p)^{h_M^{p, w-p}} \cdot \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } w \text{ is odd,} \\ \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s - \frac{w}{2})^{h_M^{w/2, w/2, +}} \cdot \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s - \frac{w}{2} + 1)^{h_M^{w/2, w/2, -}} & \text{if } w \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Here  $h_M^{w/2, w/2, +}$  and  $h_M^{w/2, w/2, -}$  are the rank of the eigenspaces of  $H_B^{w/2, w/2}(M)$  under the action of  $F_\infty$  with eigenvalues  $(-1)^{w/2}$  and  $(-1)^{w/2+1}$ , respectively, and

$$\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s) = \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(\frac{s}{2}), \quad \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s) = 2(2\pi)^{-s} \Gamma(s).$$

A critical point for  $M$  is an integer  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $L_\infty(M, s)$  and  $L_\infty(M^\vee, 1 - s)$  are holomorphic at  $s = m$ . Note that  $h_M^{p,q} = h_{M^\vee}^{-q, -p}$  and  $h_M^{w/2, w/2, \pm} = h_{M^\vee}^{-w/2, -w/2, \pm}$  if  $w$  is even. Therefore,  $M$  admits critical points if and only if  $h_M^{w/2, w/2, +} = 0$  or  $h_M^{w/2, w/2, -} = 0$  when  $w$  is even. The de Rham realization  $H_{dR}(M)$  is a finite dimensional  $\mathbb{E}$ -vector space equipped with a decreasing Hodge filtration  $\{F_{dR}^i(M)\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ . We have the comparison isomorphism

$$I_\infty : H_B(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow H_{dR}(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$$

such that  $I_\infty(F_\infty \otimes c) = \text{id} \otimes c$  and  $I_\infty(\bigoplus_{p \geq i} H_B^{p,q}(M)) = F_{dR}^i(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Assume  $M$  is pure and admits critical points. Then there are subspaces  $F^\pm(M)$  of  $H_{dR}(M)$  from the Hodge filtration such that  $\dim_{\mathbb{E}} F^\pm(M) = d^\pm(M)$ . In this case,  $I_\infty$  induces isomorphisms

$$I_\infty^\pm : H_B^\pm(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} \hookrightarrow H_B(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow H_{dR}(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} \rightarrow H_{dR}(M)/F^\mp(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}.$$

With respect to fixed  $\mathbb{E}$ -rational bases on both sides, the Deligne's periods  $c^\pm(M)$  are defined by

$$c^\pm(M) := \det(I_\infty^\pm) \in (\mathbb{E} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C})^\times.$$

The  $\ell$ -adic realizations  $\{H_\ell(M)\}_\ell$  forms a strictly compatible system of Galois representations of  $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$  on  $\mathbb{E}_\ell$ -vector spaces  $H_\ell(M)$ . Under assumptions [Del79, § 1.2.1] on rationality and independency of local factors, we can define the  $\mathbb{E} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$ -valued  $L$ -function  $L(M, s)$  of  $M$ . Note that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{E}} H_B(M) = \dim_{\mathbb{E}} H_{dR}(M) = \dim_{\mathbb{E}_\ell} H_\ell(M).$$

This common dimension is denoted by  $d(M)$ , called the rank of  $M$ . In [Del79, Conjecture 2.8], Deligne proposed the following:

**Conjecture 4.7** (Deligne). *Assume  $M$  is pure and admits critical points. For a critical point  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  for  $L(M, s)$ , we have*

$$\frac{L(M, m)}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{d^{(-1)^m(M)m}} \cdot c^{(-1)^m(M)}} \in \mathbb{E}.$$

An automorphic representation  $\Pi \cong \bigotimes_v \Pi_v$  of  $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$  is called *algebraic* if it is isobaric and the infinitesimal character of  $\Pi_\infty$  belongs to  $(\mathbb{Z} + \frac{n-1}{2})^n$ . For  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ , let  ${}^\sigma \Pi$  be the irreducible admissible representation of  $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$  so that  ${}^\sigma \Pi_\infty = \Pi_\infty$  and  ${}^\sigma \Pi_p$  is the  $\sigma$ -conjugate of  $\Pi_p$  for each prime number  $p$ . The rationality field  $\mathbb{Q}(\Pi)$  of  $\Pi$  is define to be the fixed field of  $\{\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}) \mid {}^\sigma \Pi \cong \Pi\}$ . Clozel conjectured in [Clo90] that if  $\Pi$  is algebraic, then  ${}^\sigma \Pi$  is automorphic for all  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(\Pi)$  is a number field. The assertion was proved in *loc. cit.* when  $\Pi$  is regular algebraic (cohomological) and cuspidal, based on the study of cuspidal cohomology of the locally symmetric spaces associated to  $\text{GL}_n$ . Moreover, we have the following conjecture proposed by Clozel in [Clo90, Conjecture 4.5]:

**Conjecture 4.8** (Clozel). *Let  $\Pi$  be an algebraic cuspidal automorphic representation of  $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$ . There exists an absolutely irreducible, pure motive  $M_\Pi$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of rank  $n$  with coefficients in a number field  $\mathbb{E}$  containing  $\mathbb{Q}(\Pi)$  satisfying the following properties:*

- (1) *Let  $\phi_{\Pi_\infty}$  be the Langlands parameter associated to  $\Pi_\infty$  by the local Langlands correspondence. Write*

$$\phi_{\Pi_\infty} = (h_1 \cdot \phi_{\kappa_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus h_r \cdot \phi_{\kappa_r} \oplus h_+ \cdot \mathbf{1} \oplus h_- \cdot \text{sgn}) \otimes | \cdot |^{w/2}$$

*for some  $\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_r \geq 2$ ,  $w \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and some multiplicities  $h_1, \dots, h_r, h_\pm$ , where  $\phi_\kappa$  corresponds to the discrete series representation of  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  with weight  $\kappa \geq 2$ . Then  $M_\Pi$  is pure of weight  $-w - n + 1$*

and the hodge numbers are given by

$$\begin{aligned} h_{M_{II}}^{(-\kappa_i - w - n + 2)/2, (\kappa_i - w - n)/2} &= h_{M_{II}}^{(\kappa_i - w - n)/2, (-\kappa_i - w - n + 2)/2} = h_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq r, \\ h_{M_{II}}^{(-w - n + 1)/2, (-w - n + 1)/2, \pm} &= h_{\pm}. \end{aligned}$$

(2) Let  $p \neq l$  be prime numbers and  $\mathfrak{l}$  be a finite place of  $\mathbb{E}$  lying over  $l$ . Then we have

$$WD \left( (H_{\mathfrak{l}}(M_{II}) \otimes_{\mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{l}}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathfrak{l}}) |_{\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p)} \right)^{F-ss} \cong \iota_l^{-1} \text{rec}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \left( \Pi_p \otimes | \cdot |_p^{(n-1)/2} \right).$$

Here  $WD(\cdot)$  denotes the associated Weil–Deligne representation,  $F-ss$  denotes the Frobenius semisimplification,  $\text{rec}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  denotes the local Langlands correspondence, and  $\iota_l : \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathfrak{l}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is an isomorphism.

In particular, we have  $L_{\infty}(M_{II}, s) = L(s + \frac{n-1}{2}, \Pi_{\infty})$  and

$$L(M_{II}, s) = \left( L^{(\infty)}(s + \frac{n-1}{2}, \sigma \Pi) \right)_{\sigma: \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}}.$$

**Remark 4.9.** The conjectural motive  $M_{II}$  was constructed by Deligne [Del71] and Scholl [Sch90] when  $n = 2$  and  $II$  is regular algebraic. Under the canonical isomorphism  $\mathbb{E} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} \cong \prod_{\sigma: \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}$ , we write

$$c^{\pm}(M_{II}) = (c^{\pm}(\sigma \Pi))_{\sigma: \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}}.$$

In this case, Conjecture 4.7 is also known (cf. [Del79, § 7]). In particular, we have the following period relation between the Deligne’s periods and the Betti–Whittaker periods of  $II$ :

$$(4.20) \quad \sigma \left( \frac{c^{\pm}(II)}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{(\kappa+w)/2} \cdot p(II, \pm)} \right) = \frac{c^{\pm}(\sigma \Pi)}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{(\kappa+w)/2} \cdot p(\sigma \Pi, \pm)}, \quad \sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$$

where  $(\kappa; w)$  is the infinity type of  $II$ . Here we need to use the existence of non-zero twisted central critical values when there is only one critical value which is zero (cf. [Roh89]).

Let  $II$  be an algebraic automorphic representation of  $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$  with isobaric summands  $\Pi_1, \dots, \Pi_k$ . Then  $\Pi_i \otimes | \cdot |_{\mathbb{A}}^{(n-n_i)/2}$  is algebraic cuspidal by definition for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , where  $n_i$  is the degree of  $\Pi_i$ . It is clear that  $\Pi_{\infty}$  is essentially tempered if and only if  $II$  is tamely isobaric. Let  $M_{II}$  be the conjectural motive over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of rank  $n$  with coefficients in some number field containing  $\prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Q}(\Pi_i \otimes | \cdot |_{\mathbb{A}}^{(n-n_i)/2})$  defined by

$$M_{II} = M_{\Pi_1 \otimes | \cdot |_{\mathbb{A}}^{(n-n_1)/2}} \oplus \dots \oplus M_{\Pi_k \otimes | \cdot |_{\mathbb{A}}^{(n-n_k)/2}}.$$

Then  $M_{II}$  is pure if and only if  $II$  is tamely isobaric.

**Lemma 4.10.** *If Conjectures 4.7 and 4.8 hold, then Conjecture 1.1 holds.*

*Proof.* The assertion is a direct consequence of a result of Yoshida in [Yos01]. We use freely the terminology therein. Let  $M$  and  $N$  be pure motives over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with coefficients in a number field  $\mathbb{E}$ . Denote by  $X_M \in M_{d(M), d(M)}(\mathbb{E} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C})$  and  $X_N \in M_{d(N), d(N)}(\mathbb{E} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C})$  the period matrices of  $M$  and  $N$ , respectively (well-defined up to  $\mathbb{E}^{\times}$ ). In [Yos01, Proposition 12], Yoshida explicitly computed the Deligne’s periods  $c^{\pm}(M \otimes N)$  of the tensor motive  $M \otimes N$ , and show that there exist polynomial functions  $f^{\pm}$  and  $g^{\pm}$  on  $M_{d(M), d(M)}$  and  $M_{d(N), d(N)}$  respectively whose types are determined by the Hodge numbers of  $M$  and  $N$  (including the ranks of  $\pm$ -eigenspaces of the middle Hodge types), such that

$$c^{\pm}(M \otimes N) \in f^{\pm}(X_M) g^{\pm}(X_N) \cdot \mathbb{E}^{\times}.$$

Therefore, if  $M'$  and  $N'$  are another pure motives over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{E}$  such that the Hodge numbers of  $M'$  and  $N'$  are equal to that of  $M$  and  $N$ , respectively, then we have

$$(4.21) \quad \frac{c^{\pm}(M \otimes N) \cdot c^{\pm}(M' \otimes N')}{c^{\pm}(M \otimes N') \cdot c^{\pm}(M' \otimes N)} \in \frac{f^{\pm}(X_M) g^{\pm}(X_N) \cdot f^{\pm}(X_{M'}) g^{\pm}(X_{N'})}{f^{\pm}(X_M) g^{\pm}(X_{N'}) \cdot f^{\pm}(X_{M'}) g^{\pm}(X_N)} \cdot \mathbb{E}^{\times} = \mathbb{E}^{\times}.$$

Let  $\Sigma, \Sigma'$  (resp.  $\Pi, \Pi'$ ) be algebraic automorphic representations of  $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$  (resp.  $\text{GL}_{n'}(\mathbb{A})$ ) such that

$$\Sigma_{\infty} = \Sigma'_{\infty}, \quad \Pi_{\infty} = \Pi'_{\infty}.$$

When  $\Sigma$  and  $\Pi$  are tamely isobaric (then so are  $\Sigma'$  and  $\Pi'$ ), we see that Conjecture 1.1 follows from Conjecture 4.7 and (4.21) by taking

$$M = M_{\Sigma}, \quad M' = M_{\Sigma'}, \quad N = M_{\Pi}, \quad N' = M_{\Pi'}.$$

The general case follows from this special case by decomposing  $\Sigma, \Sigma', \Pi, \Pi'$  into sums of algebraic tamely isobaric automorphic representations according to the exponents of the summands.  $\square$

## 5. APPLICATIONS TO DELIGNE'S CONJECTURE

This section is devoted to the applications of Theorem 1.2 to Conjecture 4.7. In general, Deligne's conjecture is widely open. It is known for motives of rank 1 associated to algebraic Hecke characters over totally real or CM fields (cf. [Bla86]), and for motives associated to cohomological cuspidal automorphic representations of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$  (cf. [Del79, § 7], [Sch90]). Recently, Grobner, Harris, and Lin [GHL21] proved the conjecture for tensor product of two motives associated to cohomological conjugate self-dual cuspidal automorphic representations over CM-fields under various assumptions (see also [GL21]). For some other known cases in the literature, we have results for Rankin–Selberg  $L$ -functions for  $\mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_2$  in the unbalanced case, tensor product  $L$ -functions for  $\mathrm{GL}_2$ , and symmetric power  $L$ -functions for  $\mathrm{GL}_2$  recalled in § 5.3, § 5.4, and § 5.5 respectively. In these known cases, the conjecture is proven based on integral representation of the  $L$ -function in question, and a cohomological interpretation of the integral representation (or algebraicity of the Eisenstein series involved in the construction). As applications of our main result Theorem 1.2, we provide another approach to prove Deligne's conjecture for these motives in higher rank cases where integral representation of the  $L$ -functions are not available. The main results of this section are Theorems 5.5, 5.8, and 5.11 which are proved base on mathematical induction. The strategy is as follows:

- Show that the automorphic/motivic  $L$ -function in question is equal to (a factor of) a Rankin–Selberg  $L$ -function  $L(s, \Sigma \times \Pi)$  for some cohomological tamely isobaric automorphic representations  $\Sigma$  and  $\Pi$ .
- Choose auxiliary tamely isobaric automorphic representations  $\Sigma'$  and  $\Pi'$  with  $\Sigma_\infty = \Sigma'_\infty$  and  $\Pi_\infty = \Pi'_\infty$ , such that the Rankin–Selberg  $L$ -functions  $L(s, \Sigma \times \Pi')$ ,  $L(s, \Sigma' \times \Pi)$ , and  $L(s, \Sigma' \times \Pi')$  decompose into product of automorphic/motivic  $L$ -functions in question with smaller degree.
- By Theorem 1.2 and the induction hypothesis, we are reduced to the cases for  $\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathrm{GL}_1$ ,  $\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathrm{GL}_2$ , and  $\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathrm{GL}_2$  which are already known thanks to [Shi76], [Shi77], and [GH93]. In the proof of Theorem 5.5, we also need the results [Har97], [Har21], and [GL16] for  $\mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_1$  over CM-fields.

We recall in § 5.1 and § 5.2 some notation and algebraicity results.

**5.1. Automorphic tensor products.** For automorphic representations  $\Pi_1$  and  $\Pi_2$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_n \mathbb{A}$  and  $\mathrm{GL}_{n'}(\mathbb{A})$ , we denote by  $\Pi_1 \boxtimes \Pi_2$  the automorphic induction of  $\Pi_1$  and  $\Pi_2$ . It is an irreducible admissible  $(\mathfrak{g}_{nn'}, \mathcal{O}_{nn'}(\mathbb{R})) \times \mathrm{GL}_{nn'}(\mathbb{A})$ -module defined by the restricted tensor product

$$\Pi_1 \boxtimes \Pi_2 = \bigotimes_v \Pi_{1,v} \boxtimes \Pi_{2,v}.$$

Here  $\Pi_{1,v} \boxtimes \Pi_{2,v}$  is the irreducible admissible representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_{nn'}(\mathbb{Q}_v)$  (resp.  $(\mathfrak{g}_{nn'}, \mathcal{O}_{nn'}(\mathbb{R}))$ -module) if  $v$  is finite (resp. archimedean) defined by the local Langlands correspondence with respect to the tensor representation

$$\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{GL}_{n'}(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{nn'}(\mathbb{C}).$$

As special cases of the Langlands functoriality conjecture, automorphic tensor products are expect to be automorphic. Thanks to the results of Ramakrishnan [Ram00], Dieulefait [Die20], and Newton–Thorne [NT21a], [NT21b], all the automorphic tensor products appear in § 5 will be automorphic and tamely isobaric.

**5.2. Cohomological cuspidal automorphic representations of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$  of CM-type.** Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be an imaginary quadratic extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $c \in \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{Q})$  be the non-trivial automorphism. We identify  $\mathbb{K}_\infty$  with  $\mathbb{C}$  by the natural inclusion  $\mathbb{K} \subset \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\chi$  be a Hecke character of  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^\times$ . Assume  $\chi$  is algebraic, that is, there exist  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$\chi_\infty(z) = z^{a\bar{z}^b}.$$

In this case, let  $\mathbb{K}(\chi)$  be the number field generated over  $\mathbb{K}$  by the values of  $\chi$  on the finite ideles  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K},f}^\times$ . As in [HK91, Appendix] and [Har93, § 1], we denote by

$$p(\chi, \tau) \in \mathbb{C}^\times / \mathbb{K}(\chi)^\times$$

the CM-period of  $\chi$  defined with respect to the CM-type  $\{\tau\} \subset \text{Gal}(\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{Q})$ . Moreover, we normalize the family of periods  $\{p(\sigma\chi, \sigma \circ \tau)\}_{\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})}$  in a compatible way as in [Har93, Lemma 1.3 and Remark 1.3.1]. Then, when  $a \neq b$ , we have

$$(5.1) \quad \sigma \left( \frac{L^{(\infty)}(m, \chi)}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^m \cdot p(\chi, \tau_\chi)} \right) = \frac{L^{(\infty)}(m, \sigma\chi)}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^m \cdot p(\sigma\chi, \tau_\chi)}$$

for all critical points  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  for  $L(s, \chi)$  and  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{K})$ . Here  $\tau_\chi = \text{id}$  (resp.  $\tau_\chi = c$ ) if  $a < b$  (resp.  $a > b$ ). More generally, we have the following result on the algebraicity of twisted standard  $L$ -functions for  $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}})$  due to Harris and Guerberoff–Lin. We only state the result in the unbalanced case.

**Theorem 5.1** (Harris [Har97], [Har21], Guerberoff–Lin [GL16]). *Let  $\Psi$  be a cohomological conjugate self-dual cuspidal automorphic representation of  $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}})$  with central character  $\omega_\Psi$ . Let  $\{z^{a_i} \bar{z}^{-a_i}\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  be the infinity type of  $\Psi$ . We assume the following assumption is satisfied:*

- *There exists a  $n$ -dimensional non-degenerate definite Hermitian space  $V$  over  $\mathbb{K}$  such that  $\Psi$  descends to an automorphic representation of  $U(V)(\mathbb{A})$ .*

Let  $\chi$  be an algebraic Hecke character of  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^\times$  with infinity type  $z^{a_i} \bar{z}^b$  such that  $2a_i + a - b > 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . For a critical point  $m_0 \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{n-1}{2}$  and  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{K})$ , we have

$$\sigma \left( \frac{L^{(\infty)}(m_0, \Psi \otimes \chi)}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{nm_0} \cdot p(\tilde{\omega}_\Psi, c) \cdot p(\tilde{\chi}, c)^n} \right) = \frac{L^{(\infty)}(m_0, \sigma\Psi \otimes \sigma\chi)}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{nm_0} \cdot p(\tilde{\omega}_{\sigma\Psi}, c) \cdot p(\sigma\tilde{\chi}, c)^n}.$$

Here  $L(s, \Psi \otimes \chi)$  is the standard  $L$ -function of  $\Psi \otimes \chi$  and  $\tilde{\omega}_\Psi = (\omega_\Psi^c)^{-1}$ ,  $\tilde{\chi} = (\chi^c)^{-1}$ .

**Remark 5.2.** Let  $V$  be a definite Hermitian space over  $\mathbb{K}$ . By Arthur’s multiplicity formula for  $U(V)(\mathbb{A})$  announced by Kaletha–Mínguez–Shin–White in [KMSW, Theorem\* 1.7.1], the obstruction to descending  $\Psi$  to  $U(V)(\mathbb{A})$  is purely local. In particular, if either  $n$  is odd or  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , then the assumption always holds. When  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ , the assumption holds if we assume further that there exists a prime  $p$  such that  $\Psi_p$  is a discrete series representation and  $p$  is non-split in  $\mathbb{K}$ .

For an algebraic Hecke character  $\chi$  of  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^\times$  with infinity type  $z^{a_i} \bar{z}^b$ , we denote by  $I_{\mathbb{K}}(\chi |_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}}^{1/2})$  the automorphic induction of  $\chi |_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}}^{1/2}$  to  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$ . Recall that the central character of  $I_{\mathbb{K}}(\chi |_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}}^{1/2})$  is equal to  $\omega_{\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{Q}}\chi|_{\mathbb{A}^\times} |_{\mathbb{A}}$ , where  $\omega_{\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{Q}}$  is the quadratic Hecke character of  $\mathbb{A}^\times$  associated to  $\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{Q}$  by class field theory. If  $a \neq b$ , then  $I_{\mathbb{K}}(\chi |_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}}^{1/2})$  is cohomological cuspidal with infinity type

$$(|a - b| + 1; a + b + 1).$$

In the following lemma, we recall a period relation for cohomological cuspidal automorphic representations of  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$  of CM-type.

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $\chi$  be an algebraic Hecke characters of  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^\times$  with infinity types  $z^{a_i} \bar{z}^b$  such that  $a \neq b$ . For  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{K})$ , we have*

$$\sigma \left( \frac{p(I_{\mathbb{K}}(\chi |_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}}^{1/2}), \pm)}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{-\max\{a, b\}} \cdot p(\tilde{\chi}, \tau_\chi)} \right) = \frac{p(I_{\mathbb{K}}(\sigma\chi |_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}}^{1/2}), \pm)}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{-\max\{a, b\}} \cdot p(\sigma\tilde{\chi}, \tau_\chi)}.$$

Here  $\tau_\chi = \text{id}$  (resp.  $\tau_\chi = c$ ) if  $a < b$  (resp.  $a > b$ ).

*Proof.* Note that for any finite order Hecke character  $\xi$  of  $\mathbb{A}^\times$ , we have

$$L(s, \chi \cdot (\xi \circ \mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{Q}})) = L(s - \frac{1}{2}, I_{\mathbb{K}}(\chi |_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}}^{1/2}) \otimes \xi).$$

On the other hand, by [Har93, Proposition 1.4] and [Har97, (1.10.10)], we have

$$\sigma \left( \frac{p(\tilde{\chi} \cdot (\xi \circ \widetilde{\mathbb{N}}_{\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{Q}}), \tau)}{G(\xi) \cdot p(\tilde{\chi}, \tau)} \right) = \frac{p(\sigma\tilde{\chi} \cdot (\sigma\xi \circ \widetilde{\mathbb{N}}_{\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{Q}}), \sigma \circ \tau)}{G(\sigma\xi) \cdot p(\sigma\tilde{\chi}, \sigma \circ \tau)}$$

for all  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$  and  $\tau \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{Q})$ . The assertion then follows immediately from Theorem 4.4-(1) and (5.1) by choosing  $\xi$  so that all the critical values of  $L(s, \chi \cdot (\xi \circ \mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{Q}}))$  are non-zero (cf. [Roh89]).  $\square$



**5.3. Rankin–Selberg  $L$ -functions for  $\mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_2$ .** Let  $\Sigma$  and  $\Pi$  be cohomological cuspidal automorphic representations of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$  and  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$  with infinity types  $(\underline{\kappa}; \mathbf{w})$  and  $(\kappa'; \mathbf{w}')$ , respectively. Let  $f_\Pi$  be the normalized newform of  $\Pi$  in the sense of Casselman [Cas73], and  $\|f_\Pi\|$  be its Petersson norm defined as in (4.3). In [Yos94, Proposition 3.1], Yoshida explicitly computed the (conjectural) Deligne’s periods of the tensor product motive  $M_\Sigma \otimes M_\Pi$  when  $n$  is even and  $\kappa' > \kappa_1$ . The resulting formula is expressed in terms of  $G(\omega_\Sigma)$  and the motivic periods  $c^\pm(\Pi)$  associated to  $\Pi$  (cf. Remark 4.9), which is irrelevant to the existence of  $M_\Sigma$ . We have the following refinement of Conjecture 4.7 for  $M_\Sigma \otimes M_\Pi$ :

**Conjecture 5.4.** *Assume  $\kappa' > \kappa_1$ . Put  $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$  with  $\delta \equiv n \pmod{2}$ . For a critical point  $m + \frac{\delta}{2} \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{n}{2}$  and  $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ , we have*

$$\sigma \left( \frac{L^{(\infty)}(m + \frac{\delta}{2}, \Sigma \times \Pi)}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{nm} \cdot q^{(-1)^m(\Sigma \times \Pi)}} \right) = \frac{L^{(\infty)}(m + \frac{\delta}{2}, \sigma\Sigma \times \sigma\Pi)}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{nm} \cdot q^{(-1)^m(\sigma\Sigma \times \sigma\Pi)}}.$$

Here

$$q^\pm(\Sigma \times \Pi) = (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \delta + n(\mathbf{w} + \kappa' + \mathbf{w}')/2} \cdot (\sqrt{-1})^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \mathbf{w}'} \cdot G(\omega_\Sigma) \cdot G(\omega_\Pi)^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \cdot \|f_\Pi\|^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \\ \times \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{-(\kappa' + \mathbf{w}')/2} \cdot c^{\pm\varepsilon}(\Pi) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

and  $\varepsilon = (-1)^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + \mathbf{w}'/2} \omega_{\Sigma, \infty}(-1)$  if  $n$  is odd.

In [FM14] and [FM16], Furusawa and Morimoto proved algebraicity results for  $\mathrm{SO}(V) \times \mathrm{GL}_2$ , which imply Conjecture 5.4 under the following global and regularity assumptions:

- A twist of  $\Sigma$  by some integral power of  $|\cdot|_{\mathbb{A}}^{1/2}$  is self-dual and descends to an automorphic representation of  $\mathrm{SO}(V)(\mathbb{A})$  for some anisotropic quadratic space  $V$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . In particular,  $n$  must be even and  $\Sigma$  is essentially self-dual.
- $\kappa' \geq \kappa_1 + \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} V + 2$ .

We prove the conjecture for essentially self-dual  $\Sigma$  under some parity and regularity conditions on the infinity types, and a local condition when  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ . More precisely:

**Theorem 5.5.** *Conjecture 5.4 holds if the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (1)  $\Sigma$  is essentially self-dual.
- (2) If  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ , then  $\Sigma_p$  is an essentially discrete series representation for some prime  $p$ .
- (3)  $\mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}' \equiv n \pmod{2}$ .
- (4)  $\min\{\kappa_i\} \geq 3$  if  $n$  is even,  $\min\{\kappa_i\} \geq 5$  if  $n$  is odd, and  $\underline{\kappa} \sqcup \kappa'$  is  $(4 + 2\delta)$ -regular, where  $\delta = 0$  if  $\mathbf{w}$  or  $\mathbf{w}'$  is odd and  $\delta = 1$  otherwise.

*Proof.* Put  $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$  with  $\delta \equiv n \pmod{2}$  and  $r = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ . We rewrite  $q^\pm(\Sigma \times \Pi)$  in terms of the Betti–Whittaker periods of  $\Pi$  (cf. (4.20)):

$$q^\pm(\Sigma \times \Pi) = (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{r\delta + n(\mathbf{w} + \kappa' + \mathbf{w}')/2} \cdot (\sqrt{-1})^{r\mathbf{w}'} \cdot G(\omega_\Sigma) \cdot G(\omega_\Pi)^r \cdot \|f_\Pi\|^r \\ \times \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ p(\Pi, \pm(-1)^{r+\mathbf{w}'/2} \omega_{\Sigma, \infty}(-1)) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

We choose auxiliary cohomological tamely isobaric automorphic representations  $\Sigma'$  and  $\Pi'$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$  and  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$  respectively as follows:

- For  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , let  $\Pi_i$  be a cohomological cuspidal automorphic representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$  with infinity type  $(\kappa_i; \mathbf{w} + \delta)$ . If  $n$  is odd, let  $\xi$  be a finite order Hecke character of  $\mathbb{A}^\times$  such that  $\xi_\infty(-1) = (-1)^r \omega_{\Sigma, \infty}(-1)$ . We define  $\Sigma'$  by

$$\Sigma' = (\Pi_1 \otimes |\cdot|_{\mathbb{A}}^{-\delta/2}) \boxplus \cdots \boxplus (\Pi_r \otimes |\cdot|_{\mathbb{A}}^{-\delta/2}) \boxplus \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ \xi | \cdot |_{\mathbb{A}}^{\mathbf{w}'/2} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

- Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be an imaginary quadratic extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$  such that if  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ , then there exists a prime number  $p$  which is inert in  $\mathbb{K}$  and  $\Sigma_p$  is an essentially discrete series representation. We then choose  $\Pi' = I_{\mathbb{K}}(\chi' | \cdot |_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}}^{1/2})$  for some algebraic Hecke character  $\chi'$  of  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^\times$  with infinity type  $z^{(\kappa' + \mathbf{w}' - 2)/2} \bar{z}^{-(\kappa' + \mathbf{w}')/2}$ .

Let  $m + \frac{\delta}{2} \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{n}{2}$  be a critical point for  $L(s, \Sigma \times \Pi)$ . By Theorem 4.4 and the result of Shimura [Shi76, Theorem 3], Conjecture 5.4 is known when  $n = 1, 2$ . Therefore, a direct computation shows that

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{aligned} L^{(\infty)}(m + \frac{\delta}{2}, \Sigma' \times \Pi') &\sim (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{nm} \cdot q^{(-1)^m}(\Sigma' \times \Pi'), \\ L^{(\infty)}(m + \frac{\delta}{2}, \Sigma' \times \Pi) &\sim (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{nm} \cdot q^{(-1)^m}(\Sigma' \times \Pi). \end{aligned}$$

By condition (1) in Theorem 5.5, we have  $\Sigma^\vee = \Sigma \otimes \chi$  for some algebraic Hecke character  $\chi$  of  $\mathbb{A}^\times$ . Fix an algebraic Hecke character  $\eta$  of  $\mathbb{A}_\mathbb{K}^\times$  such that  $\eta_\infty(z) = z^{-\mathbf{w}}$  and  $\eta|_{\mathbb{A}^\times} = \chi$  (cf. [CHT08, Lemma 4.1.4]). Let  $\text{BC}_\mathbb{K}(\Sigma)$  be the base change of  $\Sigma$  to  $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_\mathbb{K})$ . Put  $\Psi = \text{BC}_\mathbb{K}(\Sigma) \otimes \eta$ . Then  $\Psi$  is cohomological, conjugate self-dual, and tamely isobaric. Moreover, the infinity type of  $\Psi$  is given by  $\{z^{a_i} \bar{z}^{-a_i}\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  with

$$a_i = \frac{\kappa_i - 1 - \mathbf{w}}{2}, \quad a_i + a_{n-i+1} = -\mathbf{w}$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq r$  and  $a_{r+1} = -\frac{\mathbf{w}}{2}$  if  $n$  is odd. By the adjointness between automorphic induction and base change, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L(s, \Sigma \times \Pi') &= L(s + \frac{1}{2}, \text{BC}_\mathbb{K}(\Sigma) \otimes \chi') \\ &= L(s + \frac{1}{2}, \Psi \otimes \eta^{-1} \chi'). \end{aligned}$$

We assume further that  $\mathbb{K}$  is chosen so that  $\text{BC}_\mathbb{K}(\Sigma)$  is cuspidal. Note that the assumption in Theorem 5.1 holds for  $\text{BC}_\mathbb{K}(\Sigma)$  (cf. Remark 5.2). It then follows from the assumption  $\kappa' > \kappa_1$  and Theorem 5.1 that

$$L^{(\infty)}(m + \frac{\delta}{2}, \Sigma \times \Pi') \sim_{\mathbb{K}} (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{n(m+(\delta+1)/2)} \cdot p(\tilde{\omega}_\Psi, c) \cdot p(\tilde{\eta}^{-1} \chi', c)^n.$$

Here  $\sim_{\mathbb{K}}$  means the ratio is equivariant under  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{K})$  (recall the notation in § 1.3, here we replace  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$  by  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{K})$ ). By Theorem 4.4-(2) and Lemma 5.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(\chi', c)^n &\sim_{\mathbb{K}} (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{r+n(\kappa'+\mathbf{w}'-2)/2} \cdot (\sqrt{-1})^{r\mathbf{w}'} \cdot G(\omega_{\Pi'})^r \cdot \|f_{\Pi'}\|^r \\ &\times \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ p(\Pi', \pm) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

By [Har93, Proposition 1.4-(b)], for algebraic Hecke characters  $\chi_1, \chi_2$  of  $\mathbb{A}_\mathbb{K}^\times$ , we have

$$p(\chi_1 \chi_2, \tau) \sim_{\mathbb{K}} p(\chi_1, \tau) \cdot p(\chi_2, \tau), \quad \tau \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{Q}).$$

In particular, since  $\omega_\Psi = (\omega_\Sigma \circ \text{N}_{\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{Q}}) \cdot \eta^n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(\tilde{\omega}_\Psi, c) \cdot p(\tilde{\eta}^{-1}, c)^n &\sim_{\mathbb{K}} p(\overline{(\omega_\Sigma \circ \text{N}_{\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{Q}})}, c) \\ &\sim_{\mathbb{K}} (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{n\mathbf{w}/2} \cdot G(\omega_\Sigma). \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used [Har97, (1.10.9) and (1.10.10)]. We thus conclude that

$$(5.3) \quad L^{(\infty)}(m + \frac{\delta}{2}, \Sigma \times \Pi') \sim_{\mathbb{K}} (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{nm} \cdot q^{(-1)^m}(\Sigma \times \Pi').$$

Note that conditions (3) and (4) imply that the conditions in Theorem 1.2 are satisfied. Therefore, by (5.2), (5.3), and Theorem 1.2, we have

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{aligned} L^{(\infty)}(m + \frac{\delta}{2}, \Sigma \times \Pi) &\sim_{\mathbb{K}} (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{nm} \cdot \frac{q^{(-1)^m}(\Sigma \times \Pi') \cdot q^{(-1)^m}(\Sigma' \times \Pi)}{q^{(-1)^m}(\Sigma' \times \Pi')} \\ &= (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{nm} \cdot q^{(-1)^m}(\Sigma \times \Pi). \end{aligned}$$

We take another imaginary quadratic extension  $\mathbb{K}'$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$  satisfying the same assumptions as above such that  $\mathbb{K} \cdot \mathbb{Q}(\Sigma, \Pi) \cap \mathbb{K}' \cdot \mathbb{Q}(\Sigma, \Pi) = \mathbb{Q}(\Sigma, \Pi)$ . Then (5.4) also holds for  $\mathbb{K}'$ . In particular, we see that

$$(5.5) \quad \frac{L^{(\infty)}(m + \frac{\delta}{2}, \Sigma \times \Pi)}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{nm} \cdot q^{(-1)^m}(\Sigma \times \Pi)} \in \mathbb{Q}(\Sigma, \Pi).$$

Assume  $\mathbb{K} \cap \mathbb{Q}(\Sigma, \Pi) = \mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ . Write  $\sigma|_{\mathbb{K}\mathbb{Q}(\Sigma, \Pi)^{\text{Gal}}} = \sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2$  for some  $\sigma_1 \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{K}\mathbb{Q}(\Sigma, \Pi)^{\text{Gal}}/\mathbb{K})$  and  $\sigma_2 \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{K}\mathbb{Q}(\Sigma, \Pi)^{\text{Gal}}/\mathbb{Q}(\Sigma, \Pi))$ . Then we have

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma \left( \frac{L^{(\infty)}(m + \frac{\delta}{2}, \Sigma \times \Pi)}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{nm} \cdot q^{(-1)^m}(\Sigma \times \Pi)} \right) &= \sigma_1 \left( \frac{L^{(\infty)}(m + \frac{\delta}{2}, \Sigma \times \Pi)}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{nm} \cdot q^{(-1)^m}(\Sigma \times \Pi)} \right) \\ &= \frac{L^{(\infty)}(m + \frac{\delta}{2}, \sigma_1 \Sigma \times \sigma_1 \Pi)}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{nm} \cdot q^{(-1)^m}(\sigma_1 \Sigma \times \sigma_1 \Pi)} \\ &= \frac{L^{(\infty)}(m + \frac{\delta}{2}, \sigma \Sigma \times \sigma \Pi)}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{nm} \cdot q^{(-1)^m}(\sigma \Sigma \times \sigma \Pi)}. \end{aligned}$$

Here the first and second equalities follow from (5.5) and (5.4), respectively. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 5.6.** If we assume the validity of Conjecture 1.1, then we can prove Conjecture 5.4 under assumptions (1) and (2), and the regularity condition that  $\underline{\kappa} \sqcup \kappa'$  is 2-regular.

**5.4. Tensor product  $L$ -functions for  $\text{GL}_2$ .** Let  $\Pi_1, \dots, \Pi_n$  be cohomological cuspidal automorphic representations of  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , let  $(\kappa_i; \mathbf{w}_i)$  be the infinity type of  $\Pi_i$ . Let  $f_{\Pi_i}$  be the normalized newform of  $\Pi_i$ , and  $\|f_{\Pi_i}\|$  be its Petersson norm defined as in (4.3). We consider the automorphic tensor product  $\Pi_1 \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \Pi_n$  of  $\Pi_1, \dots, \Pi_n$ . As a special instance of Langlands functoriality conjecture, we expect  $\Pi_1 \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \Pi_n$  to be automorphic and tamely isobaric. For  $n = 2$ , the functoriality was proved by Ramakrishnan [Ram00]. For  $n \geq 3$ , Dieulefait [Die20] proved the functoriality under the assumptions that  $\Pi_i$  has level 1 for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and the infinitesimal character of  $\Pi_{1,\infty} \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \Pi_{n,\infty}$  is regular. Consider the tensor product  $L$ -function defined by the Euler product

$$L(s, \Pi_1 \times \dots \times \Pi_n) = \prod_v L(s, \Pi_{1,v} \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \Pi_{n,v}),$$

which converges absolutely for  $\text{Re}(s) > 1 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{w}_i$ . A critical point for  $L(s, \Pi_1 \times \dots \times \Pi_n)$  is a half-integer in  $\mathbb{Z} + \frac{n}{2}$  which is not a pole of the archimedean local factors  $L(s, \Pi_{1,\infty} \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \Pi_{n,\infty})$  and  $L(1-s, \Pi_{1,\infty}^\vee \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \Pi_{n,\infty}^\vee)$ . The tensor product  $L$ -function admits critical points if and only if

$$(5.7) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i (\kappa_i - 1) \neq 0$$

for all  $(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \in \{\pm 1\}^n$ . In [Bla87], when  $n \geq 2$ , Blasius explicitly computed Deligne's period of the tensor product motive  $M_{\Pi_1} \otimes \dots \otimes M_{\Pi_n}$  in terms of the Petersson norms  $\|f_{\Pi_1}\|, \dots, \|f_{\Pi_n}\|$ . More precisely, we have the following refinement of Conjecture 4.7 for  $M_{\Pi_1} \otimes \dots \otimes M_{\Pi_n}$ :

**Conjecture 5.7** (Blasius). *Assume  $n \geq 2$ . Put  $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$  with  $\delta \equiv n \pmod{2}$ . The tensor product  $L$ -function admits meromorphic continuation to  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  and is entire if it admits critical points. For a critical point  $m + \frac{\delta}{2} \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{n}{2}$  and  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ , we have*

$$(5.8) \quad \sigma \left( \frac{L^{(\infty)}(m + \frac{\delta}{2}, \Pi_1 \times \dots \times \Pi_n)}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{2^{n-1}m} \cdot q(\Pi_1 \times \dots \times \Pi_n)} \right) = \frac{L^{(\infty)}(m + \frac{\delta}{2}, \sigma \Pi_1 \times \dots \times \sigma \Pi_n)}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{2^{n-1}m} \cdot q(\sigma \Pi_1 \times \dots \times \sigma \Pi_n)}.$$

Here

$$q(\Pi_1 \times \dots \times \Pi_n) = (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{2^{n-2}(\delta + \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{w}_i)} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n G(\omega_{\Pi_i})^{2^{n-2}} \cdot (\pi^{\kappa_i} \cdot \|f_{\Pi_i}\|)^{2^{n-2-t_i}}$$

with  $t_i$  equal to the cardinality of the set

$$(5.9) \quad \left\{ (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \in \{\pm 1\}^n \mid 2(\kappa_i - 1) < \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j (\kappa_j - 1), \quad \varepsilon_i = 1 \right\}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

For  $n = 2$ , the conjecture was proved by Shimura in [Shi76, Theorem 3]. When  $n = 3$ , there are two cases according to  $\kappa_1 + \kappa_2 + \kappa_3 \geq 2 \max\{\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \kappa_3\}$  or  $2 \max\{\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \kappa_3\} > \kappa_1 + \kappa_2 + \kappa_3$ . The former (resp. later) case is called the balanced (resp. unbalanced) case. In the balanced case, the conjecture was proved by Garrett and Harris in [GH93] under some conditions which were lifted by the author in [Che22a]. We refer to the introduction of [Che22a] for a survey of known results in this case. In the unbalanced case, the conjecture is partially proved. In [HK91], Harris and Kudla prove the case for central critical values when

$\omega_{\Pi_1}\omega_{\Pi_2}\omega_{\Pi_3} = 1$ . In [FM14] and [FM16], as an application to their main result, Furusawa and Morimoto proved the conjecture under some local and global assumptions. Assume  $\kappa_1 > \kappa_2 + \kappa_3$ , by applying Theorem 5.5 to  $\Pi = (\Pi_2 \boxtimes \Pi_3) \otimes |_{\mathbb{A}}^{-1/2}$  and  $\Pi' = \Pi_1$ , the conjecture holds under the following conditions:

$$(5.10) \quad \mathbf{w}_1 + \mathbf{w}_2 + \mathbf{w}_3 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \quad \kappa_1 \geq \kappa_2 + \kappa_3 + 3 \geq |\kappa_2 - \kappa_3| + 9 \geq 11.$$

For arbitrary  $n \geq 2$ , we prove the conjecture under some parity and regularity conditions on the infinity types, together with an assumption on functoriality if  $n \geq 5$ . More precisely:

**Theorem 5.8.** *Assume  $n \geq 2$ . Conjecture 5.7 holds if the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (1)  $\mathbf{w}_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{w}_n \equiv n \pmod{2}$ .
- (2)  $|\sum_{i=1}^n (\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon'_i)(\kappa_i - 1)| \geq 6$  for all  $(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$  and  $(\varepsilon'_1, \dots, \varepsilon'_n)$  in  $\{\pm 1\}^n$ .
- (3)  $\Pi_1 \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \Pi_k$  is automorphic and tamely isobaric for all  $1 \leq k \leq n-2$ .

In particular, condition (3) holds if either  $n \leq 4$  or  $\Pi_1, \dots, \Pi_{n-2}$  have level 1.

*Proof.* First note that condition (3) implies that  $L(s, \Pi_1 \times \cdots \times \Pi_n)$  is entire. Indeed, by (5.7), the isobaric summands of  $\Pi_1 \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \Pi_{n-2}$  and  $\Pi_{n-1}^\vee \boxtimes \Pi_n^\vee$  must be non-isomorphic. For the algebraicity assertion (5.8), we will prove it by induction on  $n$ . As we mentioned in §5.4, it holds unconditionally when  $n = 2$  and holds for  $n = 3$  under conditions (1) and (2) in the unbalanced case. Now let  $n \geq 4$  and assume (5.8) holds for  $n-1$ . We choose some auxiliary automorphic representations  $\Pi(\underline{\varepsilon}), \Pi'_{n-1}, \Pi''_{n-1}$  as follows:

- For  $\underline{\varepsilon} \in \{\pm 1\}^{n-2}/\{\pm 1\}$ , let  $\Pi(\underline{\varepsilon})$  be a cohomological cuspidal automorphic representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$  with infinity type

$$\left( \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \varepsilon_i (\kappa_i - 1) \right| + 1; \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \mathbf{w}_i + 1 + \delta \right).$$

- Let  $\Pi'_{n-1}$  and  $\Pi''_{n-1}$  be cohomological cuspidal automorphic representations of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$  with infinity types

$$(\kappa_{n-1} + \kappa_n - 1; \mathbf{w}_{n-1} + \mathbf{w}_n - 1), \quad (|\kappa_{n-1} - \kappa_n| + 1; \mathbf{w}_{n-1} + \mathbf{w}_n - 1)$$

respectively.

Let  $\Sigma$  and  $\Sigma'$  be cohomological tamely isobaric automorphic representations of  $\mathrm{GL}_{2^{n-2}}(\mathbb{A})$  defined by

$$\Sigma = (\Pi_1 \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \Pi_{n-2}) \otimes |_{\mathbb{A}}^{(1+\delta)/2}, \quad \Sigma' = \bigoplus_{\underline{\varepsilon} \in \{\pm 1\}^{n-2}/\{\pm 1\}} \Pi(\underline{\varepsilon}).$$

Let  $\Pi$  and  $\Pi'$  be cohomological tamely isobaric automorphic representations of  $\mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{A})$  defined by

$$\Pi = (\Pi_{n-1} \boxtimes \Pi_n) \otimes |_{\mathbb{A}}^{-1/2}, \quad \Pi' = \Pi'_{n-1} \boxplus \Pi''_{n-1}.$$

Then we have  $\Sigma_\infty = \Sigma'_\infty$  and  $\Pi_\infty = \Pi'_\infty$ . Put  $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$  with  $\delta \equiv n \pmod{2}$ . Let  $m + \frac{\delta}{2} \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{n}{2}$  be a critical point for  $L(s, \Pi_1 \times \cdots \times \Pi_n)$ . It is clear that we have the following equality and factorization:

$$\begin{aligned} L(s, \Sigma \times \Pi) &= L(s + \frac{\delta}{2}, \Pi_1 \times \cdots \times \Pi_n), \\ L(s, \Sigma \times \Pi') &= L(s + \frac{1+\delta}{2}, \Pi_1 \times \cdots \times \Pi_{n-2} \times \Pi'_{n-1}) \cdot L(s + \frac{1+\delta}{2}, \Pi_1 \times \cdots \times \Pi_{n-2} \times \Pi''_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Recall the sequence  $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  defined in (5.9) with respect to  $(\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n)$ . Similarly, let  $(t'_1, \dots, t'_{n-1})$  and  $(t''_1, \dots, t''_{n-1})$  in  $\mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$  defined with respect to  $(\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_{n-2}, \kappa_{n-1} + \kappa_n - 1)$  and  $(\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_{n-2}, |\kappa_{n-1} - \kappa_n| + 1)$ , respectively. It is clear that conditions (1)-(3) in Theorem 5.8 are satisfied for the tensor product  $L$ -functions  $L(s, \Pi_1 \times \cdots \times \Pi_{n-2} \times \Pi'_{n-1})$  and  $L(s, \Pi_1 \times \cdots \times \Pi_{n-2} \times \Pi''_{n-1})$ . Therefore, by induction hypothesis, we have

$$(5.11) \quad \begin{aligned} &L^{(\infty)}(m, \Sigma \times \Pi') \\ &\sim (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{2^{n-1}m + 2^{n-2}(\delta + \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{w}_i)} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n-2} G(\omega_{\Pi_i})^{2^{n-2}} \cdot (\pi^{\kappa_i} \cdot \|f_{\Pi_i}\|)^{2^{n-2} - t'_i - t''_i} \\ &\times G(\omega_{\Pi'_{n-1}})^{2^{n-3}} \cdot G(\omega_{\Pi''_{n-1}})^{2^{n-3}} \cdot (\pi^{\kappa_{n-1} + \kappa_n - 1} \cdot \|f_{\Pi'_{n-1}}\|)^{2^{n-3} - t'_{n-1}} \cdot (\pi^{|\kappa_{n-1} - \kappa_n| + 1} \cdot \|f_{\Pi''_{n-1}}\|)^{2^{n-3} - t''_{n-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have the following factorizations:

$$\begin{aligned} L(s, \Sigma' \times \Pi') &= \prod_{\underline{\varepsilon} \in \{\pm 1\}^{n-2}/\{\pm 1\}} L(s, \Pi(\underline{\varepsilon}) \times \Pi'_{n-1}) \cdot L(s, \Pi(\underline{\varepsilon}) \times \Pi''_{n-1}), \\ L(s, \Sigma' \times \Pi) &= \prod_{\underline{\varepsilon} \in \{\pm 1\}^{n-2}/\{\pm 1\}} L(s - \frac{1}{2}, \Pi(\underline{\varepsilon}) \times \Pi_{n-1} \times \Pi_n). \end{aligned}$$

For each  $\underline{\varepsilon}$ , it is clear that conditions (1) and (2) hold for the triple product  $L$ -function  $L(s, \Pi(\underline{\varepsilon}) \times \Pi_{n-1} \times \Pi_n)$ . Therefore, apply (5.8) to  $n = 2, 3$ , we have

(5.12)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{L^{(\infty)}(m, \Sigma' \times \Pi')}{L^{(\infty)}(m, \Sigma' \times \Pi)} &\sim \frac{G(\omega_{\Pi'_{n-1}})^{2^{n-3}} \cdot G(\omega_{\Pi''_{n-1}})^{2^{n-3}}}{G(\omega_{\Pi_{n-1}})^{2^{n-2}} \cdot G(\omega_{\Pi_n})^{2^{n-2}}} \\ &\times \prod_{\underline{\varepsilon} \in \{\pm 1\}^{n-2}/\{\pm 1\}} \frac{(\pi^{\kappa_{n-1} + \kappa_n - 1} \cdot \|f_{\Pi'_{n-1}}\|)^{1-t'_{n-1}(\underline{\varepsilon})} \cdot (\pi^{|\kappa_{n-1} - \kappa_n| + 1} \cdot \|f_{\Pi''_{n-1}}\|)^{1-t''_{n-1}(\underline{\varepsilon})}}{(\pi^{\kappa_{n-1}} \cdot \|f_{\Pi_{n-1}}\|)^{2-t_{n-1}(\underline{\varepsilon})} \cdot (\pi^{\kappa_n} \cdot \|f_{\Pi_n}\|)^{2-t_n(\underline{\varepsilon})}}. \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} t_{n-1}(\underline{\varepsilon}) &= \#\left\{ (\varepsilon', \varepsilon_n) \in \{\pm 1\}^2 \mid \kappa_{n-1} - 1 < \varepsilon' \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \varepsilon_i (\kappa_i - 1) \right| + \varepsilon_n (\kappa_n - 1) \right\}, \\ t_n(\underline{\varepsilon}) &= \#\left\{ (\varepsilon', \varepsilon_{n-1}) \in \{\pm 1\}^2 \mid \kappa_n - 1 < \varepsilon' \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \varepsilon_i (\kappa_i - 1) \right| + \varepsilon_{n-1} (\kappa_{n-1} - 1) \right\}, \\ t'_{n-1}(\underline{\varepsilon}) &= \#\left\{ \varepsilon' \in \{\pm 1\} \mid \kappa_{n-1} + \kappa_n - 2 < \varepsilon' \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \varepsilon_i (\kappa_i - 1) \right| \right\}, \\ t''_{n-1}(\underline{\varepsilon}) &= \#\left\{ \varepsilon' \in \{\pm 1\} \mid |\kappa_{n-1} - \kappa_n| < \varepsilon' \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \varepsilon_i (\kappa_i - 1) \right| \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, it is clear from definition that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\underline{\varepsilon} \in \{\pm 1\}^{n-2}/\{\pm 1\}} t_{n-1}(\underline{\varepsilon}) &= t_{n-1}, & \sum_{\underline{\varepsilon} \in \{\pm 1\}^{n-2}/\{\pm 1\}} t_n(\underline{\varepsilon}) &= t_n, \\ \sum_{\underline{\varepsilon} \in \{\pm 1\}^{n-2}/\{\pm 1\}} t'_{n-1}(\underline{\varepsilon}) &= t'_{n-1}, & \sum_{\underline{\varepsilon} \in \{\pm 1\}^{n-2}/\{\pm 1\}} t''_{n-1}(\underline{\varepsilon}) &= t''_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

We thus conclude from (5.11) and (5.12) that (5.8) holds for  $L^{(\infty)}(m + \frac{\delta}{2}, \Pi_1 \times \cdots \times \Pi_n)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 5.9.** If we assume the validity of Conjecture 1.1, then we can prove that Conjecture 5.7 holds for all non-central critical points under assumption (3).

**5.5. Symmetric power  $L$ -functions for  $\mathrm{GL}_2$ .** Let  $\Pi$  be a cohomological cuspidal automorphic representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$  with infinity type  $(\kappa; \mathbf{w})$ . For  $n \geq 1$ , let  $\mathrm{Sym}^n \Pi$  be the functorial lift of  $\Pi$  to  $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{A})$  with respect to the symmetric  $n$ -th power representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ . The existence of the lifts was proved recently by Newton and Thorne in [NT21a] and [NT21b] (we refer to *loc. cit.* for a survey of known cases for  $n \leq 8$ ). Note that  $\mathrm{Sym}^n \Pi$  is cohomological (cf. [Rag10, Theorem 5.3]) and tamely isobaric with infinity type

$$\left( ((n-2i)(\kappa-1)+1)_{0 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor}; n\mathbf{w} \right).$$

Moreover, it is cuspidal if and only if  $\Pi$  is not of CM-type. In [Del79, Proposition 7.7], Deligne explicitly computed the motivic periods of  $\mathrm{Sym}^n M_\Pi$  in terms of  $c^\pm(M_\Pi) = (c^\pm(\sigma\Pi))_{\sigma: \mathbb{Q}(\Pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}}$ . In particular, when  $n$  is odd, we have the following refinement of Conjecture 4.7 for  $\mathrm{Sym}^n M_\Pi$ :

**Conjecture 5.10** (Deligne). *Put  $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$  with  $\delta \equiv n \pmod{2}$  and  $r = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ . Let  $\chi$  be a finite order Hecke character of  $\mathbb{A}^\times$ . For a critical point  $m + \frac{\delta}{2} \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{\delta}{2}$  for the twisted symmetric  $n$ -th power  $L$ -function*

$L(s, \text{Sym}^n \Pi \otimes \chi)$  and  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ , we have

$$\sigma \left( \frac{L^{(\infty)}(m + \frac{\delta}{2}, \text{Sym}^n \Pi \otimes \chi)}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{d_n^\pm m} \cdot G(\chi)^{d_n^\pm} \cdot q^\pm(\text{Sym}^n \Pi)} \right) = \frac{L^{(\infty)}(m + \frac{\delta}{2}, \text{Sym}^{n\sigma} \Pi \otimes \sigma\chi)}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{d_n^\pm m} \cdot G(\sigma\chi)^{d_n^\pm} \cdot q^\pm(\text{Sym}^{n\sigma} \Pi)}.$$

Here  $\pm = (-1)^{m+r} \chi_\infty(-1)$ ,  $d_n^+ = r + 1$ ,  $d_n^- = r$  (resp.  $d_n^\pm = r + 1$ ) if  $n$  is even (resp. odd), and

$$q^\pm(\text{Sym}^n \Pi) = \begin{cases} (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{r(\pm 1)w/2 - r(r+1)/2} \cdot G(\omega_\Pi)^{r(\pm 1)/2} \cdot (c^\pm(\Pi) \cdot c^\mp(\Pi))^{r(r+1)/2} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{r(r+1)(w-1)/2} \cdot G(\omega_\Pi)^{r(r+1)/2} \cdot c^\pm(\Pi)^{(r+1)(r+2)/2} \cdot c^\mp(\Pi)^{r(r+1)/2} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

To compare the statements in Conjectures A and 5.10, note that if  $f$  is the normalized elliptic newform associated to  $\Pi$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(M_f) &= ((2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{1-\kappa} \cdot G(\sigma\omega_\Pi))_{\sigma: \mathbb{Q}(\Pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}}, \\ c^\pm(M_f) &\in (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{-(\kappa+w)/2} \cdot c^{\pm(-1)^{(\kappa+w)/2}}(M_\Pi) \cdot \mathbb{Q}(\Pi)^\times, \\ L(s, \text{Sym}^n(f)) &= L^{(\infty)}(s - \frac{\kappa+w-1}{2}n, \text{Sym}^n \Pi). \end{aligned}$$

We refer to the paragraph below Conjecture A for a brief survey on the results in the literature toward Conjecture 5.10 when  $1 \leq n \leq 6$ . For arbitrary  $n$ , our result is as follows:

**Theorem 5.11.** *Conjecture 5.10 holds when  $\kappa$  is odd and  $\kappa \geq 5$ .*

**Remark 5.12.** If we assume the validity of Conjecture 1.1, then we can prove Conjecture 5.10 for all  $\kappa \geq 4$ .

**Remark 5.13.** As a consequence of the theorem, we obtain period relations between the Betti–Whittaker periods of the symmetric power liftings of  $\Pi$  and the motivic periods of  $\Pi$ . We refer to [Che22c, Conjecture 3.4] for the precise statement.

We divide the proof of Theorem 5.11 into two parts. In §5.5.1, we first prove the conjecture when  $n$  is odd. Based this case, we prove in §5.5.2 for the case when  $n$  is even.

5.5.1. *Symmetric odd power  $L$ -functions for  $\text{GL}_2$ .* As in the previous sections, we prove Theorem 5.11 when  $n = 2r + 1$  by induction on  $r$  using Theorem 1.2. In the induction step, we will encounter critical values of Rankin–Selberg  $L$ -functions for  $\text{Sym}^{r'} \Pi \times \Pi'$  for  $r' = r, r \pm 1, r - 2$  and some cohomological cuspidal automorphic representation  $\Pi'$  of  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$ . Based on the same strategy, the algebraicity of these critical values can be obtained. The precise statement is as follows.

**Theorem 5.14.** *Let  $\Pi'$  be a cohomological cuspidal automorphic representation of  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$  with infinity type  $(\kappa'; w')$ . Let  $n \geq 0$ . Put  $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$  with  $\delta \equiv n + 1 \pmod{2}$ . Assume the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (1)  $w \equiv w' \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ .
- (2)  $\kappa' - 1 > (n - 2)(\kappa - 1)$  and  $\min\{|n(\kappa - 1) - (\kappa' - 1)|, |(n - 2)(\kappa - 1) - (\kappa' - 1)|\} \geq 4$ .

Then, for a critical point  $m + \frac{\delta}{2} \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{n+1}{2}$  for  $L(s, \text{Sym}^n \Pi \times \Pi')$  and  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ , we have

$$\sigma \left( \frac{L^{(\infty)}(m + \frac{\delta}{2}, \text{Sym}^n \Pi \times \Pi')}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{(n+1)m} \cdot q^{(-1)^m}(\text{Sym}^n \Pi \times \Pi')} \right) = \frac{L^{(\infty)}(m + \frac{\delta}{2}, \text{Sym}^{n\sigma} \Pi \times \sigma\Pi')}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{(n+1)m} \cdot q^{(-1)^m}(\text{Sym}^{n\sigma} \Pi \times \sigma\Pi')}.$$

Here  $q^\pm(\text{Sym}^n \Pi \times \Pi')$  are defined as follows:

- (i) If  $\kappa' - 1 \geq n(\kappa - 1) + 4$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} q^\pm(\text{Sym}^n \Pi \times \Pi') &= (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor \delta + (n+1)(nw + \kappa' + w')/2} \cdot (\sqrt{-1})^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor w'} \\ &\quad \times G(\omega_\Pi)^{n(n+1)/2} \cdot G(\omega_{\Pi'})^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor} \cdot \|f_{\Pi'}\|^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor} \\ &\quad \times \begin{cases} p(\Pi', \pm(-1)^{n/2}) & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

(ii) If  $n(\kappa - 1) - 4 \geq \kappa' - 1 \geq (n - 2)(\kappa - 1) + 4$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} q^\pm(\mathrm{Sym}^n \Pi \times \Pi') &= (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \delta + n\kappa + n(n+1)w/2 + ((n-1)\kappa' + (n+1)w')/2} \cdot (\sqrt{-1})^{nw + \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor w'} \\ &\quad \times G(\omega_\Pi)^{n(n+1)/2} \cdot G(\omega_{\Pi'})^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor} \cdot \|f_\Pi\|^n \cdot \|f_{\Pi'}\|^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} \\ &\quad \times \begin{cases} p(\Pi', \pm(-1)^{n/2}) & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The assertion will be proved by induction on  $n$ . Therefore,  $\Pi$  will be fixed and  $\Pi'$  varies with  $n$ . When  $n = 1$ , Cases (i) and (ii) are special cases of Conjecture 5.7 and are proved by Shimura [Shi76, Theorem 3]. When  $n = 2$ , Case (i) is a special case of Theorem 5.8. When  $n = 2$ , Case (ii) is a direct consequence of the result of Garrett and Harris [GH93] on triple product  $L$ -functions for  $\mathrm{GL}_2$  in the balanced case (cf. [Mor21, Theorem 6]). Note that assumptions therein can be further relaxed due to our main result in [Che22a]. In fact, we only need to assume  $\kappa' - 1 \geq 2(\kappa - 1) + 2$  in this case. In the induction step, the arguments for Cases (i) and (ii) are similar, we only give details for Case (ii) and leave it to the readers to verify Case (i). We stress that in the induction step, we use only induction hypothesis and Theorem 1.2 in Case (i). Whereas in Case (ii), we also need the result for Case (i). Let  $n \geq 3$  and assume the assertion for Case (ii) holds for all  $n - 1 \geq n' \geq 1$ . Let  $\Pi'$  and  $\delta$  be as in the assertion with  $w'$  odd and

$$n(\kappa - 1) - 4 \geq \kappa' - 1 \geq (n - 2)(\kappa - 1) + 4.$$

We choose some auxiliary automorphic representations  $\Pi_j$ ,  $\Pi'_1$ ,  $\Pi'_2$ , and  $\chi$  as follows:

- For  $0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{n-3}{2} \rfloor$ , let  $\Pi_j$  be a cohomological cuspidal automorphic representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$  with infinity type

$$((n - 1 - 2j)(\kappa - 1) + 1; (n - 1)w + 1 - \delta).$$

- If  $n$  is even and  $j = \frac{n-2}{2}$ , let

$$\Pi_j = \Pi \otimes | \cdot |_{\mathbb{A}}^{(n-2)w/2}.$$

- Let  $\Pi'_1$  and  $\Pi'_2$  be cohomological cuspidal automorphic representations of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$  with infinity types

$$(\kappa + \kappa' - 1; w + w' - 1), \quad (|\kappa - \kappa'| + 1; w + w' - 1)$$

respectively.

- When  $n$  is odd, let  $\chi$  be a finite order Hecke character of  $\mathbb{A}^\times$  such that

$$\chi_\infty(-1) = (-1)^{(n-1)(1+w)/2}.$$

Let  $\Sigma$  and  $\Sigma'$  be cohomological tamely isobaric automorphic representations of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma &= \mathrm{Sym}^{n-1} \Pi, \\ \Sigma' &= \begin{cases} \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor} \Pi_j & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor} (\Pi_j \otimes | \cdot |_{\mathbb{A}}^{-1/2}) \boxplus \chi | \cdot |_{\mathbb{A}}^{(n-1)w/2} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\Psi$  and  $\Psi'$  be cohomological tamely isobaric automorphic representations of  $\mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{A})$  defined by

$$\Psi = (\Pi \boxtimes \Pi') \otimes | \cdot |_{\mathbb{A}}^{-1/2}, \quad \Psi' = \Pi'_1 \boxplus \Pi'_2.$$

Then we have  $\Sigma_\infty = \Sigma'_\infty$  and  $\Psi_\infty = \Psi'_\infty$ . Let  $m + \frac{\delta}{2} \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{n+1}{2}$  be a critical point for  $L(s, \mathrm{Sym}^n \Pi \times \Pi')$ . Then  $m + \frac{\delta+1}{2}$  is critical for  $L(s, \Sigma \times \Psi)$ . Indeed, we have

$$(\mathrm{Sym}^{n-1} \Pi) \boxtimes \Pi = \mathrm{Sym}^n \Pi \boxplus (\mathrm{Sym}^{n-2} \Pi \otimes \omega_\Pi).$$

In particular, we have the factorization of  $L$ -function:

$$L(s, \Sigma \times \Psi) = L(s - \frac{1}{2}, \mathrm{Sym}^n \Pi \times \Pi') \cdot L(s - \frac{1}{2}, \mathrm{Sym}^{n-2} \Pi \times \Pi' \otimes \omega_\Pi).$$

Also it is clear that

$$L(s, \Sigma \times \Psi') = L(s, \mathrm{Sym}^{n-1} \Pi \times \Pi'_1) \cdot L(s, \mathrm{Sym}^{n-1} \Pi \times \Pi'_2).$$

Applying result for Case (i) to  $L(m + \frac{\delta}{2}, \text{Sym}^{n-2} \Pi \times \Pi' \otimes \omega_\Pi)$  and  $L(m + \frac{1+\delta}{2}, \text{Sym}^{n-1} \Pi \times \Pi'_1)$ , we obtain

$$(5.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{L(m + \frac{\delta+1}{2}, \Sigma \times \Psi)}{L(m + \frac{\delta+1}{2}, \Sigma \times \Psi')} &\sim \frac{L^{(\infty)}(m + \frac{\delta}{2}, \text{Sym}^n \Pi \times \Pi')}{L^{(\infty)}(m + \frac{1+\delta}{2}, \text{Sym}^{n-1} \Pi \times \Pi'_2)} \\ &\times (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{-m + \lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor - (n(\kappa+w) + \kappa' + w')/2} \cdot (\sqrt{-1})^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor (1+w)} \\ &\times G(\omega_{\Pi'})^{\delta + \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} \cdot G(\omega_{\Pi'_1})^{-\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \cdot \|f_{\Pi'}\|^{\delta + \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} \cdot \|f_{\Pi'_1}\|^{-\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \\ &\times \begin{cases} p(\Pi', (-1)^{m+n/2})^{-1} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ p(\Pi'_1, (-1)^{m+(n-1)/2})^{-1} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Here, when  $n$  is even, we also use Theorem 4.4-(2) to replace  $p(\Pi', (-1)^{m+(n-2)/2})$  by

$$(2\pi\sqrt{-1}) \cdot (\sqrt{-1})^{w'} \cdot G(\omega_{\Pi'}) \cdot p(\Pi', (-1)^{m+n/2})^{-1} \cdot \|f_{\Pi'}\|.$$

On the other hand, we have the following factorizations:

$$\begin{aligned} L(s, \Sigma' \times \Psi') &= \prod_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor} L(s + \frac{\delta-1}{2}, \Pi_j \times (\Pi'_1 \boxplus \Pi'_2)) \cdot \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ L(s + \frac{(n-1)w}{2}, (\Pi'_1 \boxplus \Pi'_2) \otimes \chi) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \\ L(s, \Sigma' \times \Psi) &= \prod_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor} L(s + \frac{\delta-2}{2}, \Pi_j \times \Pi \times \Pi') \cdot \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ L(s + \frac{(n-1)w-1}{2}, \Pi \times \Pi' \otimes \chi) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

When  $n$  is even and  $j = \frac{n-2}{2}$ , we have

$$L(s, \Pi_j \times \Pi \times \Pi') = L(s + \frac{(n-2)w}{2}, \text{Sym}^2 \Pi \times \Pi') \cdot L(s + \frac{(n-2)w}{2}, \Pi' \otimes \omega_\Pi).$$

Therefore, by Theorem 5.5 for  $L(s + \frac{(n-2)w}{2}, \text{Sym}^2 \Pi \times \Pi')$  (Case (i) with  $n = 2$ ) and Theorem 4.4 for  $L(s + \frac{(n-2)w}{2}, \Pi' \otimes \omega_\Pi)$ , we see that Conjecture 5.7 holds for  $L(s, \Pi_j \times \Pi \times \Pi')$ . For  $0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{n-3}{2} \rfloor$ , the conditions in (5.10) are satisfied, thus Conjecture 5.7 also holds for  $L(s, \Pi_j \times \Pi \times \Pi')$ . We also have algebraicity results for

$$L(m + \delta, \Pi_j \times (\Pi'_1 \boxplus \Pi'_2)), \quad L(m + \frac{(n-1)w}{2}, \Pi \times \Pi' \otimes \chi) \quad (\text{when } n \text{ is odd})$$

and

$$L(m + \frac{(n-1)w+1}{2}, (\Pi'_1 \boxplus \Pi'_2) \otimes \chi) \quad (\text{when } n \text{ is odd}),$$

by [Shi76, Theorem 3] and Theorem 4.4, respectively. A tedious computation then shows that

$$(5.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{L(m + \frac{\delta+1}{2}, \Sigma' \times \Psi')}{L(m + \frac{\delta+1}{2}, \Sigma' \times \Psi)} &\sim (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{\delta-2} \cdot (\sqrt{-1})^{nw'+1} \\ &\times G(\omega_\Pi \omega_{\Pi'})^{-n} \cdot G(\omega_{\Pi'_1} \omega_{\Pi'_2})^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \cdot \|f_\Pi\|^{-1} \cdot \|f_{\Pi'}\|^{-n+1} \cdot \|f_{\Pi'_1}\|^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \cdot \|f_{\Pi'_2}\|^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1} \\ &\times \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ p(\Pi'_1, (-1)^{m+(n-1)/2}) \cdot p(\Pi'_2, (-1)^{m+(n-1)/2}) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Note that our assumptions (1) and (2) imply that the conditions in Theorem 1.2 on the infinity types of  $\Sigma$  and  $\Psi$  are satisfied. Therefore, by Theorem 1.2 we have

$$(5.15) \quad \frac{L(m + \frac{\delta+1}{2}, \Sigma \times \Psi) \cdot L(m + \frac{\delta+1}{2}, \Sigma' \times \Psi')}{L(m + \frac{\delta+1}{2}, \Sigma \times \Psi') \cdot L(m + \frac{\delta+1}{2}, \Sigma' \times \Psi)} \sim 1.$$



We then deduce from (5.13)-(5.15) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{L^{(\infty)}(m + \frac{\delta}{2}, \text{Sym}^n \Pi \times \Pi')}{L^{(\infty)}(m + \frac{1+\delta}{2}, \text{Sym}^{n-1} \Pi \times \Pi'_2)} \\ & \sim (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{m - \lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor - \delta + 2 + (n(\kappa+w) + \kappa' + w')/2} \cdot (\sqrt{-1})^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor (1+w) + nw' + 1} \\ & \times G(\omega_\Pi)^n \cdot G(\omega_{\Pi'})^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor} \cdot G(\omega_{\Pi'_2})^{-\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \cdot \|f_\Pi\| \cdot \|f_{\Pi'}\|^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} \cdot \|f_{\Pi'_2}\|^{-\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1} \\ & \times \begin{cases} p(\Pi', (-1)^{m+n/2}) & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ p(\Pi'_2, (-1)^{m+(n-1)/2})^{-1} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

A straightforward computation shows that the right-hand side is equal, up to a sign  $\pm 1$  depending only on  $n, w, w'$ , to

$$\frac{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{(n+1)m} \cdot q^{(-1)^m} (\text{Sym}^n \Pi \times \Pi')}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{n(m+\delta)} \cdot q^{(-1)^{m+\delta}} (\text{Sym}^{n-1} \Pi \times \Pi'_2)}.$$

By induction hypothesis, we have

$$L^{(\infty)}(m + \frac{1+\delta}{2}, \text{Sym}^{n-1} \Pi \times \Pi'_2) \sim (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{n(m+\delta)} \cdot q^{(-1)^{m+\delta}} (\text{Sym}^{n-1} \Pi \times \Pi'_2).$$

We thus conclude that

$$L^{(\infty)}(m + \frac{\delta}{2}, \text{Sym}^n \Pi \times \Pi') \sim (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{(n+1)m} \cdot q^{(-1)^m} (\text{Sym}^n \Pi \times \Pi').$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 5.15.** Since  $\text{Sym}^n \Pi$  is essentially self-dual, the result for Case (i) is a special case of Conjecture 5.4. Contrary to condition (2) in Theorem 5.5, here we do not need to assume the local condition on finite place.

**Remark 5.16.** The assertion in Theorem 5.14 also holds under the following assumptions:

- (1)'  $w \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  and  $w' \equiv n + 1 \pmod{2}$ .
- (2)'  $\kappa' - 1 > (n - 2)(\kappa - 1)$  and  $\min\{|n(\kappa - 1) - (\kappa' - 1)|, |(n - 2)(\kappa - 1) - (\kappa' - 1)|\} \geq 6$ .

Indeed, (1)' and (2)' imply that the conditions in Theorem 1.2 are satisfied by  $\text{Sym}^{n-1} \Pi$  and  $(\Pi \boxtimes \Pi') \otimes |_{\mathbb{A}}^{-1/2}$ .

Now we begin the proof of Theorem 5.11 when  $n = 2r + 1$ . We rewrite  $q^\pm(\text{Sym}^{2r+1} \Pi)$  in terms of the Betti-Whittaker periods of  $\Pi$  (cf. (4.20)):

$$\begin{aligned} q^\pm(\text{Sym}^{2r+1} \Pi) &= (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{(r+1)((r+1)\kappa + (2r+1)w - r)/2} \cdot G(\omega_\Pi)^{r(r+1)/2} \\ &\times p(\Pi, \pm(-1)^r)^{(r+1)(r+2)/2} \cdot p(\Pi, \mp(-1)^r)^{r(r+1)/2}. \end{aligned}$$

We prove the assertion by induction on  $r$ . When  $r = 1$ , Conjecture 5.10 was proved in [GH93, Theorem 6.2] and [Che22a, Theorem 1.6] under the assumption that  $\kappa \geq 3$ . Let  $r \geq 2$  and assume Conjecture 5.10 holds for all  $r - 1 \geq r' \geq 1$ . Put  $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$  with  $\delta \equiv r \pmod{2}$ . Let  $\Pi'$  and  $\Pi''$  be cohomological cuspidal automorphic representations of  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$  with infinity types

$$\begin{aligned} (\kappa'; w') &= ((r + 1)(\kappa - 1) + 1; (r + 1)w + \delta), \\ (\kappa''; w'') &= (r(\kappa - 1) + 1; rw + 1 - \delta). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\Sigma$  and  $\Sigma'$  be cohomological tamely isobaric automorphic representations of  $\text{GL}_{r+2}(\mathbb{A})$  defined by

$$\Sigma = \text{Sym}^{r+1} \Pi, \quad \Sigma' = (\text{Sym}^{r-1} \Pi \otimes |_{\mathbb{A}}^w) \boxplus (\Pi' \otimes |_{\mathbb{A}}^{-\delta/2}).$$

Let  $\Psi$  and  $\Psi'$  be cohomological tamely isobaric automorphic representations of  $\text{GL}_{r+1}(\mathbb{A})$  defined by

$$\Psi = \text{Sym}^r \Pi \otimes \chi, \quad \Psi' = (\text{Sym}^{r-2} \Pi \otimes \chi |_{\mathbb{A}}^w) \boxplus (\Pi'' \otimes |_{\mathbb{A}}^{(\delta-1)/2}).$$

Then we have  $\Sigma_\infty = \Sigma'_\infty$  and  $\Psi_\infty = \Psi'_\infty$ . Let  $m + \frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$  be a critical point for  $L(s, \text{Sym}^{2r+1} \Pi \otimes \chi)$ . Then it is also a critical point for  $L(s, \Sigma \times \Psi)$ . Indeed, we have

$$\text{Sym}^{r+1} \Pi \boxtimes \text{Sym}^r \Pi = \bigoplus_{j=0}^r \text{Sym}^{2(r-j)+1} \Pi \otimes \omega_\Pi^j.$$

In particular, we have

$$L(s, \Sigma \times \Psi) = \prod_{j=0}^r L(s, \text{Sym}^{2(r-j)+1} \Pi \otimes \omega_{\Pi}^j \chi).$$

Similarly, we have

$$L(s, \Sigma' \times \Psi) = \prod_{j=0}^{r-1} L(s + \mathbf{w}, \text{Sym}^{2(r-j)-1} \Pi \otimes \omega_{\Pi}^j \chi) \cdot L(s - \frac{\delta}{2}, \text{Sym}^r \Pi \times \Pi' \otimes \chi).$$

By Theorem 5.14, we have

$$L^{(\infty)}(m + \frac{1-\delta}{2}, \text{Sym}^r \Pi \times \Pi' \otimes \chi) \sim (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{(r+1)m} \cdot q^{(-1)^m} (\text{Sym}^r \Pi \times \Pi' \otimes \chi).$$

Note that in this case, conditions (1) and (2) in Theorem 5.14 are equivalent to  $\mathbf{w}$  is odd and  $\kappa \geq 5$ . Put

$$\varepsilon = (-1)^m \chi_{\infty}(-1).$$

By induction hypothesis, we have

$$\prod_{j=0}^{r-1} \frac{L^{(\infty)}(m + \frac{1}{2}, \text{Sym}^{2(r-j)-1} \Pi \otimes \omega_{\Pi}^{j+1} \chi)}{L^{(\infty)}(m + \mathbf{w} + \frac{1}{2}, \text{Sym}^{2(r-j)-1} \Pi \otimes \omega_{\Pi}^j \chi)} \sim G(\omega_{\Pi})^{r(r+1)/2} \cdot \prod_{j=0}^{r-1} \frac{q^{\varepsilon} (\text{Sym}^{2(r-j)-1} \Pi)}{q^{-\varepsilon} (\text{Sym}^{2(r-j)-1} \Pi)}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$(5.16) \quad \frac{L(m + \frac{1}{2}, \Sigma \times \Psi)}{L(m + \frac{1}{2}, \Sigma' \times \Psi)} \sim \frac{L^{(\infty)}(m + \frac{1}{2}, \text{Sym}^{2r+1} \Pi \otimes \chi)}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{(r+1)m} \cdot q^{(-1)^m} (\text{Sym}^r \Pi \times \Pi' \otimes \chi)} \\ \times G(\omega_{\Pi})^{r(r+1)/2} \cdot \prod_{j=0}^{r-1} \frac{q^{\varepsilon} (\text{Sym}^{2(r-j)-1} \Pi)}{q^{-\varepsilon} (\text{Sym}^{2(r-j)-1} \Pi)}.$$

On the other hand, we also have

$$\text{Sym}^{r+1} \Pi \boxtimes \text{Sym}^{r-2} \Pi = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{r-2} \text{Sym}^{2(r-j)-1} \Pi \otimes \omega_{\Pi}^j.$$

Hence we have the following factorizations:

$$L(s, \Sigma' \times \Psi') = \prod_{j=0}^{r-2} L(s + 2\mathbf{w}, \text{Sym}^{2(r-j)-3} \Pi \otimes \omega_{\Pi}^j \chi) \cdot L(s - \frac{1}{2}, \Pi' \times \Pi'') \\ \times L(s + \mathbf{w} + \frac{\delta-1}{2}, \text{Sym}^{r-1} \Pi \times \Pi'') \cdot L(s + \mathbf{w} - \frac{\delta}{2}, \text{Sym}^{r-2} \Pi \times \Pi' \otimes \chi), \\ L(s, \Sigma \times \Psi') = \prod_{j=0}^{r-2} L(s + \mathbf{w}, \text{Sym}^{2(r-j)-1} \Pi \otimes \omega_{\Pi}^j \chi) \cdot L(s + \frac{\delta-1}{2}, \text{Sym}^{r+1} \Pi \times \Pi'').$$

By Theorem 5.14, we have

$$\frac{L^{(\infty)}(m, \Pi' \times \Pi'') \cdot L^{(\infty)}(m + \mathbf{w} + \frac{\delta}{2}, \text{Sym}^{r-1} \Pi \times \Pi'') \cdot L^{(\infty)}(m + \mathbf{w} + \frac{1-\delta}{2}, \text{Sym}^{r-2} \Pi \times \Pi' \otimes \chi)}{L^{(\infty)}(m + \frac{\delta}{2}, \text{Sym}^{r+1} \Pi \times \Pi'')} \\ \sim (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{(r-1)m+(2r-1)\mathbf{w}} \\ \times \frac{q^{(-1)^m} (\Pi' \times \Pi'') \cdot q^{(-1)^{m+1}} (\text{Sym}^{r-1} \Pi \times \Pi'') \cdot q^{(-1)^{m+1}} (\text{Sym}^{r-2} \Pi \times \Pi' \otimes \chi)}{q^{(-1)^m} (\text{Sym}^{r+1} \Pi \times \Pi'')}.$$

By induction hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \prod_{j=0}^{r-2} \frac{L^{(\infty)}(m + 2w + \frac{1}{2}, \text{Sym}^{2(r-j)-3} \Pi \otimes \omega_{\Pi}^j \chi)}{L^{(\infty)}(m + w + \frac{1}{2}, \text{Sym}^{2(r-j)-1} \Pi \otimes \omega_{\Pi}^j \chi)} \\
&= \frac{L^{(\infty)}(m + 2w + \frac{1}{2}, \Pi \otimes \omega_{\Pi}^{r-2} \chi)}{L^{(\infty)}(m + w + \frac{1}{2}, \text{Sym}^{2r-1} \Pi \otimes \chi)} \cdot \prod_{j=0}^{r-3} \frac{L^{(\infty)}(m + 2w + \frac{1}{2}, \text{Sym}^{2(r-j)-3} \Pi \otimes \omega_{\Pi}^j \chi)}{L^{(\infty)}(m + w + \frac{1}{2}, \text{Sym}^{2(r-j)-3} \Pi \otimes \omega_{\Pi}^{j+1} \chi)} \\
&\sim (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{(1-r)m+(\kappa+w)/2} \cdot G(\omega_{\Pi})^{-(r-1)(r-2)/2} \cdot G(\chi)^{1-r} \cdot \frac{p(\Pi, \varepsilon)}{q^{-\varepsilon}(\text{Sym}^{2r-1} \Pi)} \cdot \prod_{j=0}^{r-3} \frac{q^{\varepsilon}(\text{Sym}^{2(r-j)-3} \Pi)}{q^{-\varepsilon}(\text{Sym}^{2(r-j)-3} \Pi)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.17) \quad & \frac{L(m + \frac{1}{2}, \Sigma' \times \Psi')}{L(m + \frac{1}{2}, \Sigma \times \Psi')} \sim (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{(2r-1)w+(\kappa+w)/2} \cdot G(\omega_{\Pi})^{-(r-1)(r-2)/2} \cdot G(\chi)^{1-r} \\
& \times \frac{q^{(-1)^m}(\Pi' \times \Pi'') \cdot q^{(-1)^{m+1}}(\text{Sym}^{r-1} \Pi \times \Pi'') \cdot q^{(-1)^{m+1}}(\text{Sym}^{r-2} \Pi \times \Pi' \otimes \chi)}{q^{(-1)^m}(\text{Sym}^{r+1} \Pi \times \Pi'')} \\
& \times \frac{p(\Pi, \varepsilon)}{q^{-\varepsilon}(\text{Sym}^{2r-1} \Pi)} \cdot \prod_{j=0}^{r-3} \frac{q^{\varepsilon}(\text{Sym}^{2(r-j)-3} \Pi)}{q^{-\varepsilon}(\text{Sym}^{2(r-j)-3} \Pi)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $w$  is odd and  $\kappa \geq 5$ , the conditions in Theorem 1.2 on the infinity types of  $\Sigma$  and  $\Psi$  are satisfied. By Theorem 1.2, we have

$$(5.18) \quad \frac{L(m + \frac{1}{2}, \Sigma \times \Psi) \cdot L(m + \frac{1}{2}, \Sigma' \times \Psi')}{L(m + \frac{1}{2}, \Sigma' \times \Psi) \cdot L(m + \frac{1}{2}, \Sigma \times \Psi')} \sim 1.$$

We thus deduce from (5.16)-(5.18) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& L^{(\infty)}(m + \frac{1}{2}, \text{Sym}^{2r+1} \Pi \otimes \chi) \\
&\sim (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{(r+1)m-(2r-1)w-(\kappa+w)/2} \cdot G(\omega_{\Pi})^{-2r+1} \cdot G(\chi)^{r-1} \\
&\times \frac{q^{(-1)^m}(\text{Sym}^{r+1} \Pi \times \Pi'') \cdot q^{(-1)^m}(\text{Sym}^r \Pi \times \Pi' \otimes \chi)}{q^{(-1)^m}(\Pi' \times \Pi'') \cdot q^{(-1)^{m+1}}(\text{Sym}^{r-1} \Pi \times \Pi'') \cdot q^{(-1)^{m+1}}(\text{Sym}^{r-2} \Pi \times \Pi' \otimes \chi)} \\
&\times \frac{q^{-\varepsilon}(\text{Sym}^{2r-1} \Pi)}{p(\Pi, \varepsilon)} \cdot \prod_{j=0}^{r-3} \frac{q^{-\varepsilon}(\text{Sym}^{2(r-j)-3} \Pi)}{q^{\varepsilon}(\text{Sym}^{2(r-j)-3} \Pi)} \cdot \prod_{j=0}^{r-1} \frac{q^{-\varepsilon}(\text{Sym}^{2(r-j)-1} \Pi)}{q^{\varepsilon}(\text{Sym}^{2(r-j)-1} \Pi)}.
\end{aligned}$$

By a direct computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{q^{\pm}(\text{Sym}^{r+1} \Pi \times \Pi'') \cdot q^{\pm}(\text{Sym}^r \Pi \times \Pi' \otimes \chi)}{q^{\pm}(\Pi' \times \Pi'') \cdot q^{\mp}(\text{Sym}^{r-1} \Pi \times \Pi'') \cdot q^{\mp}(\text{Sym}^{r-2} \Pi \times \Pi' \otimes \chi)} \\
&\sim (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{-r+(r+1)\kappa+4rw} \cdot G(\omega_{\Pi})^{3r-1} \cdot G(\chi)^2 \cdot p(\Pi, +)^{r+1} \cdot p(\Pi, -)^{r+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\frac{q^{\pm}(\text{Sym}^{2j-1} \Pi) \cdot q^{\pm}(\text{Sym}^{2j+1} \Pi)}{q^{\mp}(\text{Sym}^{2j-1} \Pi) \cdot q^{\mp}(\text{Sym}^{2j+1} \Pi)} = \frac{p(\Pi, \pm(-1)^j)}{p(\Pi, \mp(-1)^j)}.$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \prod_{j=0}^{r-3} \frac{q^{-\varepsilon}(\text{Sym}^{2(r-j)-3} \Pi)}{q^{\varepsilon}(\text{Sym}^{2(r-j)-3} \Pi)} \cdot \prod_{j=0}^{r-1} \frac{q^{-\varepsilon}(\text{Sym}^{2(r-j)-1} \Pi)}{q^{\varepsilon}(\text{Sym}^{2(r-j)-1} \Pi)} = \prod_{j=1}^{r-1} \frac{q^{-\varepsilon}(\text{Sym}^{2j-1} \Pi) \cdot q^{-\varepsilon}(\text{Sym}^{2j+1} \Pi)}{q^{\varepsilon}(\text{Sym}^{2j-1} \Pi) \cdot q^{\varepsilon}(\text{Sym}^{2j+1} \Pi)} \\
&= \begin{cases} \frac{p(\Pi, \varepsilon)}{p(\Pi, -\varepsilon)} & \text{if } r \text{ is even,} \\ 1 & \text{if } r \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

We conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} L^{(\infty)}\left(m + \frac{1}{2}, \text{Sym}^{2r+1} \Pi \otimes \chi\right) &\sim (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{(r+1)m-r+((2r+1)\kappa+(4r+1)\mathbf{w})/2} \cdot G(\omega_\Pi)^r \cdot G(\chi)^{r+1} \\ &\times q^{-\varepsilon} (\text{Sym}^{2r-1} \Pi) \cdot p(\Pi, (-1)^r \varepsilon)^{r+1} \cdot p(\Pi, (-1)^{r+1} \varepsilon)^r \\ &= (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{(r+1)m} \cdot G(\chi)^{r+1} \cdot q^\varepsilon (\text{Sym}^{2r+1} \Pi). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 5.11 when  $n$  is odd.

5.5.2. *Symmetric even power  $L$ -functions for  $\text{GL}_2$ .* In this section, we prove Theorem 5.11 for symmetric even power  $L$ -functions by induction. The proof is based on Theorem 5.11 for symmetric odd powers, together with some algebraicity results of Liu [Liu21] and Grobner–Lin [GL21] which we shall now recall. Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a totally real number field with  $[\mathbb{F} : \mathbb{Q}] = d$ . We have the following result due to Liu [Liu21] on the algebraicity of critical values of the twisted standard  $L$ -functions for  $\text{GSp}_{2n}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}})$ .

**Theorem 5.17** (Liu). *Let  $\Psi$  be a cohomological cuspidal automorphic representation of  $\text{GSp}_{2n}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}})$  such that  $\Psi_v$  is a holomorphic discrete series representation for each  $v \mid \infty$ . There exists a family of non-zero complex numbers  $(\Omega(\sigma\Psi))_{\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})}$  satisfying the following property: Let  $\chi$  be a finite order Hecke character of  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}}^\times$  with parallel signature and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$  be a critical point of the twisted standard  $L$ -function  $L(s, \Psi, \text{std} \otimes \chi)$  such that  $m \neq 1$  if  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q}$  and  $\chi^2 = 1$ . For  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ , we have*

$$\sigma \left( \frac{L^S(m, \Psi, \text{std} \otimes \chi)}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{(n+1)dm} \cdot G(\chi|_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}}^\times})^{n+1} \cdot \Omega(\Psi)} \right) = \frac{L^S(m, \sigma\Psi, \text{std} \otimes \sigma\chi)}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{(n+1)dm} \cdot G(\sigma\chi|_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}}^\times})^{n+1} \cdot \Omega(\sigma\Psi)}.$$

Here  $S$  is a sufficiently large set of places containing the archimedean places. Moreover, there exists a number field  $\mathbb{E}(\Psi)$  containing the rationality field of  $\Psi$  such that  $\Omega(\sigma\Psi) = \Omega(\Psi)$  if  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{E}(\Psi))$ .

**Remark 5.18.** The result in [Liu21, Corollary 0.0.2] is stated for  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q}$  with  $\text{GSp}_{2n}$  and  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$  replaced by  $\text{Sp}_{2n}$  and  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N))$  for some cyclotomic field  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$ . The generalization to arbitrary  $\mathbb{F}$  is straightforward. By considering the Hilbert–Siegel modular varieties associated to  $\text{GSp}_{2n, \mathbb{F}}$  instead of the connected Shimura varieties associated to  $\text{Sp}_{2n, \mathbb{F}}$ , we can prove the full Galois equivariance property over  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$  by following the standard arguments as in [GL16, §3] for standard  $L$ -functions of unitary groups. The breakthrough is the explicit computation of the archimedean doubling local zeta integrals due to Liu.

**Remark 5.19.** Other results in the literature on the algebraicity of twisted standard  $L$ -functions were mainly for scalar-valued Hilbert–Siegel cusp forms (cf. [Har81], [Stu81], [Miz91], [Shi00], [BS00]). For our purpose here, we need to consider vector-valued Hilbert–Siegel cusp forms. We refer to [Koz00] for certain vector-valued cases and [PSS20] for  $n = 2$  and  $\text{sgn}(\chi) = -1$ . We also refer to the recent result [HPSS21] under some parity conditions on  $m$  and  $\chi$ .

Let  $\mathbb{E}/\mathbb{F}$  be a totally imaginary quadratic extension with non-trivial automorphism  $c \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{E}/\mathbb{F})$ . Let  $\omega_{\mathbb{E}/\mathbb{F}}$  be the quadratic Hecke character of  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}}^\times$  associated to  $\mathbb{E}/\mathbb{F}$  by the class field theory. For each archimedean place  $v$  of  $\mathbb{F}$ , we fix an embedding  $\iota_v : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  associated to  $v$ . Let  $\Sigma$  be a cohomological cuspidal automorphic representation of  $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{E}})$ . For each archimedean place  $v$  of  $\mathbb{F}$ , the embedding  $\iota_v$  determines an isomorphism

$$\Sigma_v \simeq \text{Ind}_{B_n(\mathbb{C})}^{\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})} (\chi_{\kappa_{1,v}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \chi_{\kappa_{n,v}}) \otimes |\cdot|_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathbf{w}/2}$$

for some integers  $\kappa_{1,v} > \cdots > \kappa_{n,v}$  and  $\mathbf{w}$  such that

$$\kappa_{1,v} \equiv \cdots \equiv \kappa_{n,v} \equiv n + 1 + \mathbf{w} \pmod{2}.$$

Here  $B_n$  is the standard Borel subgroup of  $\text{GL}_n$  and  $\chi_\kappa(z) = (z/\bar{z})^{\kappa/2}$  for  $\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We write  $\underline{\kappa}_v = (\kappa_{1,v}, \dots, \kappa_{n,v})$  and call  $(\underline{\kappa}_v; \mathbf{w})$  the infinity type of  $\Sigma_v$ . Note that  $\mathbf{w}$  is independent of the choice of  $v$ . Assume further that  $\Sigma$  is essentially conjugate self-dual, that is, there exists a Hecke character  $\chi$  of  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}}^\times$  with parallel signature  $\text{sgn}(\chi)$  such that

$$\Sigma^c = \Sigma^\vee \otimes \chi \circ \mathbf{N}_{\mathbb{E}/\mathbb{F}}.$$

Note that  $\chi$  is necessary algebraic. After replacing  $\chi$  by  $\omega_{\mathbb{E}/\mathbb{F}} \cdot \chi$  if necessary, we may assume that  $\text{sgn}(\chi) = (-1)^\mathbf{w}$ . In this case, the twisted Asai  $L$ -function

$$L(s, \Sigma, \text{As}^{(-1)^n} \otimes \chi^{-1})$$

is holomorphic and non-vanishing at  $s = 1$  (cf. [Mok15, Corollary 2.5.9]). We have the following result of Grobner and Lin [GL21, Theorem C] on the algebraicity of the critical values of Rankin–Selberg  $L$ -functions for  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{E}}) \times \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{E}})$  in terms of critical values of twisted Asai  $L$ -functions.

**Theorem 5.20** (Grobner–Lin). *Let  $\Sigma$  and  $\Sigma'$  be cohomological essentially conjugate self-dual cuspidal automorphic representations of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{E}})$  and  $\mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{E}})$ , respectively. For each archimedean place  $v$  of  $\mathbb{F}$ , let  $(\underline{\kappa}_v; \mathbf{w})$  and  $(\underline{\kappa}'_v; \mathbf{w}')$  be the infinity types of  $\Sigma_v$  and  $\Sigma'_v$ , respectively. Let  $\chi$  and  $\chi'$  be algebraic Hecke characters of  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\times}$  with parallel signatures  $(-1)^{\mathbf{w}}$  and  $(-1)^{\mathbf{w}'}$  respectively such that*

$$\Sigma^c = \Sigma^{\vee} \otimes \chi \circ \mathrm{N}_{\mathbb{E}/\mathbb{F}}, \quad (\Sigma')^c = (\Sigma')^{\vee} \otimes \chi' \circ \mathrm{N}_{\mathbb{E}/\mathbb{F}}.$$

Assume for each archimedean place  $v$  of  $\mathbb{F}$ , the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1)  $\underline{\kappa}_v$  and  $\underline{\kappa}'_v$  are 6-regular.
- (2)  $(\Sigma_v, \Sigma'_v)$  is balanced, that is,

$$\kappa_{1,v} > \kappa'_{1,v} > \kappa_{2,v} > \kappa'_{2,v} > \cdots > \kappa'_{n-1,v} > \kappa_{n,v}.$$

Then, for a critical point  $m + \frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$  for  $L(s, \Sigma \times \Sigma')$  and  $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{E}^{\mathrm{Gal}})$ , we have

$$\sigma \left( \frac{L^{(\infty)}(m + \frac{1}{2}, \Sigma \times \Sigma')}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{n(n-1)dm} \cdot q(\Sigma \times \Sigma')} \right) = \frac{L^{(\infty)}(m + \frac{1}{2}, \sigma\Sigma \times \sigma\Sigma')}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{n(n-1)dm} \cdot q(\sigma\Sigma \times \sigma\Sigma')}.$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} q(\Sigma \times \Sigma') &= (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{n(n-1)d(\mathbf{w}+\mathbf{w}')/2 - n(n+1)d/2} \cdot G(\chi|_{\mathbb{A}^{\times}})^{n(n-1)/2} \cdot G((\chi')|_{\mathbb{A}^{\times}})^{(n-1)(n-2)/2} \cdot G(\omega_{\Sigma'}|_{\mathbb{A}^{\times}}) \\ &\quad \times L^{(\infty)}(1, \Sigma, \mathrm{As}^{(-1)^n} \otimes \chi^{-1}) \cdot L^{(\infty)}(1, \Sigma', \mathrm{As}^{(-1)^{n-1}} \otimes (\chi')^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 5.21.** In [GL21], the result is proved when  $\Sigma$  and  $\Sigma'$  are conjugate self-dual. The general case can be proved in a similar way. For the (conjectural)  $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ -equivariant version, one need to modify the period  $q(\Sigma \times \Sigma')$  by multiplying certain integral powers of  $G(\omega_{\mathbb{E}/\mathbb{F}})$  and square of the absolute discriminant of  $\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q}$ . We refer to [Che23] for the case  $\mathrm{GL}_3 \times \mathrm{GL}_2$  based on explicit computations.

Let  $\Pi$  be a cohomological cuspidal automorphic representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$  with infinity type  $(\kappa; \mathbf{w})$ . We assume  $\Pi$  is non-CM,  $\kappa$  is odd and  $\kappa \geq 5$ . Then the symmetric power lifts of  $\Pi$  are all cuspidal. Now we begin the proof of Theorem 5.11 when  $n = 2r$ . We prove the assertion by induction on  $r$ . Note that Conjecture 5.10 make sense even when  $n = 0$ . In this case, it is just Deligne’s conjecture for finite order Hecke characters of  $\mathbb{A}^{\times}$ , which is well-known. Let  $r \geq 1$  and assume Conjecture 5.10 holds for  $r - 1 \geq r' \geq 0$ . We begin with the following proposition which is a consequence of Theorem 5.17 and the existence of weak automorphic descent from  $\mathrm{GL}_{2r+1}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}})$  to  $\mathrm{GSp}_{2r}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}})$  with respect to the symmetric  $2r$ -th representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ .

**Proposition 5.22.** *Assume  $\mathbb{F}$  is chosen so that  $\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q}$  is cyclic of prime degree and the base change  $\mathrm{BC}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathrm{Sym}^{2r} \Pi)$  to  $\mathrm{GL}_{2r+1}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}})$  is cuspidal. Fix a non-trivial Hecke character  $\omega_{\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q}}$  of  $\mathbb{A}^{\times}$  which is trivial on  $\mathrm{N}_{\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\times})$ . Let  $\chi_1, \chi_2$  be finite order Hecke characters of  $\mathbb{A}^{\times}$  with  $\chi_{1,\infty}(-1) = \chi_{2,\infty}(-1)$ . For a critical point  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} - r\mathbf{w}$  for  $L(s, \mathrm{Sym}^{2r} \Pi \otimes \chi_1)$  and  $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ , we have*

$$\prod_{i=1}^d \sigma \left( \frac{L^{(\infty)}(m, \mathrm{Sym}^{2r} \Pi \otimes \chi_1 \omega_{\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q}}^i) \cdot G(\chi_1)^{-r-1}}{L^{(\infty)}(m, \mathrm{Sym}^{2r} \Pi \otimes \chi_2 \omega_{\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q}}^i) \cdot G(\chi_2)^{-r-1}} \right) = \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{L^{(\infty)}(m, \mathrm{Sym}^{2r} \sigma\Pi \otimes \sigma\chi_1 \omega_{\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q}}^i) \cdot G(\sigma\chi_1)^{-r-1}}{L^{(\infty)}(m, \mathrm{Sym}^{2r} \sigma\Pi \otimes \sigma\chi_2 \omega_{\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q}}^i) \cdot G(\sigma\chi_2)^{-r-1}}.$$

*Proof.* Note that  $\mathrm{BC}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathrm{Sym}^{2r} \Pi) \otimes \omega_{\Pi}^{-r} \circ \mathrm{N}_{\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q}}$  is self-dual with trivial central character. Indeed, since  $\Pi^{\vee} = \Pi \otimes \omega_{\Pi}^{-1}$ , it is easy to see that  $\mathrm{Sym}^{2r} \Pi \otimes \omega_{\Pi}^{-r}$  is self-dual with trivial central character. Moreover, we have the factorization of symmetric square  $L$ -function of  $\mathrm{BC}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathrm{Sym}^{2r} \Pi) \otimes \omega_{\Pi}^{-r} \circ \mathrm{N}_{\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q}}$ :

$$L(s, \mathrm{BC}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathrm{Sym}^{2r} \Pi) \otimes \omega_{\Pi}^{-r} \circ \mathrm{N}_{\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q}}, \mathrm{Sym}^2) = \prod_{i=0}^r L(s, \mathrm{BC}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathrm{Sym}^{4i} \Pi) \otimes \omega_{\Pi}^{-2i} \circ \mathrm{N}_{\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q}}).$$

Since the twisted symmetric power  $L$ -functions are holomorphic and non-zero at  $s = 1$  by [BLGG11, Corollary 7.1.5], we see that  $L(s, \mathrm{BC}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathrm{Sym}^{2r} \Pi) \otimes \omega_{\Pi}^{-r} \circ \mathrm{N}_{\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q}}, \mathrm{Sym}^2)$  has a pole at  $s = 1$  contributed from the factor  $i = 0$ . By Arthur’s multiplicity formula [Art13, Theorem 1.5.2], the self-dual cuspidal automorphic representation  $\mathrm{BC}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathrm{Sym}^{2r} \Pi) \otimes \omega_{\Pi}^{-r} \circ \mathrm{N}_{\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q}}$  descends, with respect to the standard representation  $\mathrm{SO}_{2r+1}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2r+1}(\mathbb{C})$ ,

weakly to an irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation  $\Psi'$  of  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2r}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}})$  such that  $\Psi'_v$  is a discrete series representation for all  $v \mid \infty$ . Moreover, the descent is strong at  $v \mid \infty$  since  $\mathrm{Sym}^{2r} \Pi_v$  is essentially tempered for  $v \mid \infty$ . By the results of Patrikis [Pat19, Corollary 3.1.6 and Proposition 3.1.14], there exists a cohomological cuspidal automorphic representation  $\Psi$  of  $\mathrm{GSp}_{2r}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}})$  satisfying the following conditions:

- For all  $v \mid \infty$ ,  $\Psi_v$  is a holomorphic discrete series representation such that  $\Psi_v|_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2r}(\mathbb{F}_v)}$  contains  $\Psi'_v$ .
- $\Psi'$  is a weak functorial lift of  $\Psi$  with respect to the  $L$ -homomorphism  $\mathrm{GSpin}_{2r+1}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}_{2r+1}(\mathbb{C})$ .

In particular, for any finite order Hecke character  $\chi$  of  $\mathbb{A}^{\times}$ , the set of critical points for  $L(s, \Psi, \mathrm{std} \otimes \chi \circ \mathrm{N}_{\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q}})$  and  $L(s, \mathrm{Sym}^{2r} \Pi \otimes \omega_{\Pi}^{-r} \chi)$  are equal and we have

$$L^S(s, \Psi, \mathrm{std} \otimes \chi \circ \mathrm{N}_{\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q}}) = \prod_{i=1}^d L^S(s, \mathrm{Sym}^{2r} \Pi \otimes \omega_{\Pi}^{-r} \chi \omega_{\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q}}^i)$$

for some sufficiently large finite set  $S$  of places containing  $\infty$ . The assertion then follows immediately from Theorem 5.17 and our assumption  $\mathbb{F} \neq \mathbb{Q}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Assume  $\mathbb{F}$  is chosen so that the assumptions in Proposition 5.22 are satisfied, and let  $\mathbb{K}$  be an imaginary quadratic extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$  such that the base change  $\mathrm{BC}_{\mathbb{K}\mathbb{F}}(\mathrm{Sym}^r \Pi)$  and  $\mathrm{BC}_{\mathbb{K}\mathbb{F}}(\mathrm{Sym}^{r-1} \Pi)$  are cuspidal. We apply Theorem 5.20 to  $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{E}^{\mathrm{Gal}} = \mathbb{K}\mathbb{F}$  and the cohomological essentially conjugate self-dual automorphic representations  $\Sigma$  and  $\Sigma'$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_{r+1}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}\mathbb{F}})$  and  $\mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}\mathbb{F}})$ , respectively, defined by

$$\Sigma = \mathrm{BC}_{\mathbb{K}\mathbb{F}}(\mathrm{Sym}^r \Pi), \quad \Sigma' = \mathrm{BC}_{\mathbb{K}\mathbb{F}}(\mathrm{Sym}^{r-1} \Pi).$$

More precisely, we have  $\Sigma^c = \Sigma$ ,  $(\Sigma')^c = \Sigma'$ , and

$$\Sigma = \Sigma^{\vee} \otimes \omega_{\Pi}^r \circ \mathrm{N}_{\mathbb{K}\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q}}, \quad \Sigma' = (\Sigma')^{\vee} \otimes \omega_{\Pi}^{r-1} \circ \mathrm{N}_{\mathbb{K}\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q}},$$

and the infinity types of  $\Sigma_v$  and  $\Sigma'_v$  for any archimedean place  $v$  of  $\mathbb{F}$  are given by

$$(((r-2i)(\kappa-1))_{0 \leq i \leq r}; r\mathbf{w}), \quad (((r-2i-1)(\kappa-1))_{0 \leq i \leq r-1}; (r-1)\mathbf{w}).$$

Thus the assumptions (1) and (2) in Theorem 5.20 are satisfied. Note that we have the factorizations of  $L$ -functions:

$$\begin{aligned} L(s, \Sigma \times \Sigma') &= \prod_{i=1}^d L(s, \mathrm{Sym}^r \Pi \times \mathrm{Sym}^{r-1} \Pi \otimes \omega_{\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q}}^i) \cdot L(s, \mathrm{Sym}^r \Pi \times \mathrm{Sym}^{r-1} \Pi \otimes \omega_{\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{Q}} \omega_{\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q}}^i) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^d \prod_{j=0}^{r-1} L(s, \mathrm{Sym}^{2(r-j)-1} \Pi \otimes \omega_{\Pi}^j \omega_{\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q}}^i) \cdot L(s, \mathrm{Sym}^{2(r-j)-1} \Pi \otimes \omega_{\Pi}^j \omega_{\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{Q}} \omega_{\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q}}^i), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} L(s, \Sigma, \mathrm{As}^{(-1)^{r+1}} \otimes \omega_{\Pi}^{-r} \circ \mathrm{N}_{\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q}}) &= L(s, \Sigma', \mathrm{As}^{(-1)^r} \otimes \omega_{\Pi}^{-r+1} \circ \mathrm{N}_{\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q}}) \\ &\quad \times \prod_{i=1}^d L(s, \mathrm{Sym}^{2r} \Pi \otimes \omega_{\Pi}^{-r} \omega_{\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{Q}}^{r+1} \omega_{\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q}}^i), \\ L(s, \Sigma', \mathrm{As}^{(-1)^r} \otimes \omega_{\Pi}^{-r+1} \circ \mathrm{N}_{\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q}}) &= \prod_{i=1}^d \prod_{j=0}^{r-1} L(s, \mathrm{Sym}^{2j} \Pi \otimes \omega_{\Pi}^{-j} \omega_{\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{Q}}^{j+1} \omega_{\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q}}^i). \end{aligned}$$

As we proved in § 5.5.1, Conjecture 5.10 holds for symmetric odd power  $L$ -functions of  $\Pi$ . Therefore, for any critical point  $m + \frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$  for  $L(s, \Sigma \times \Sigma')$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} L^{(\infty)}(m + \frac{1}{2}, \Sigma \times \Sigma') &\sim \left( (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{r(r+1)m+r(r-1)(r+1)\mathbf{w}/3} \cdot G(\omega_{\Pi})^{r(r-1)(r+1)/3} \cdot G(\omega_{\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{Q}})^{r(r+1)/2} \right)^d \\ &\quad \times \left( \prod_{j=0}^{r-1} q^+(\mathrm{Sym}^{2(r-j)-1} \Pi) \cdot q^-(\mathrm{Sym}^{2(r-j)-1} \Pi) \right)^d. \end{aligned}$$

By induction hypothesis, Conjecture 5.10 holds for  $\text{Sym}^{2j} \Pi$  with  $0 \leq j \leq r-1$ . Thus we have

$$L^{(\infty)}(1, \Sigma', \text{As}^{(-1)^r} \otimes \omega_{\Pi}^{-r+1} \circ \text{N}_{\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q}})^2 \sim \left( (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{r(r+1)-2r(r-1)(r+1)w/3} \cdot G(\omega_{\Pi})^{-2r(r-1)(r+1)/3} \right)^d \\ \times \left( \prod_{j=0}^{r-1} q^+(\text{Sym}^{2j} \Pi)^2 \right)^d.$$

Here we have use the obvious fact that  $G(\omega_{\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{Q}})^2 \sim 1$  and  $G(\omega_{\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q}})^d \sim 1$ . Combining with Theorem 5.20 (take  $m + \frac{1}{2}$  to be non-central), we deduce that

$$\prod_{i=1}^d L^{(\infty)}(1, \text{Sym}^{2r} \Pi \otimes \omega_{\Pi}^{-r} \omega_{\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{Q}}^{r+1} \omega_{\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q}}^i) \\ \sim_{\mathbb{K}\mathbb{F}} \left( (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{-r(r+1)w/2-(r+1)(r-2)/2} \cdot G(\omega_{\Pi})^{-r(r+1)/2} \cdot G(\omega_{\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{Q}})^{r(r+1)/2} \right)^d \\ \times \left( \prod_{j=0}^{r-1} \frac{q^+(\text{Sym}^{2j+1} \Pi) \cdot q^-(\text{Sym}^{2j+1} \Pi)}{q^+(\text{Sym}^{2j} \Pi)^2} \right)^d.$$

Here  $\sim_{\mathbb{K}\mathbb{F}}$  means the ratio is equivariant under  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{K}\mathbb{F})$  (recall the notation in §1.3, here we replace  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$  by  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{K}\mathbb{F})$ ). By the formula for the periods  $q^{\pm}$  of symmetric powers, the right-hand side of the above relation is equal to

$$\left( (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{(r+1)(1-rw)} \cdot G(\omega_{\Pi}^{-r})^{r+1} \cdot q^+(\text{Sym}^{2r} \Pi) \cdot G(\omega_{\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{Q}})^{r(r+1)/2} \right)^d.$$

On the other hand, for any finite order Hecke character  $\chi$  of  $\mathbb{A}^{\times}$  such that  $\chi_{\infty}(-1) = (-1)^{r+1}$ , by Proposition 5.22 we have

$$\prod_{i=1}^d L^{(\infty)}(1, \text{Sym}^{2r} \Pi \otimes \omega_{\Pi}^{-r} \omega_{\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{Q}}^{r+1} \omega_{\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q}}^i) \sim \prod_{i=1}^d L^{(\infty)}(1, \text{Sym}^{2r} \Pi \otimes \omega_{\Pi}^{-r} \chi \omega_{\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q}}^i) \cdot G(\chi \omega_{\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{Q}}^{r+1})^{-r-1}.$$

Note that  $G(\omega_{\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{Q}}) \sim_{\mathbb{K}} 1$  and  $G(\omega_{\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q}}) \sim_{\mathbb{F}} 1$ . We thus conclude that

$$(5.19) \quad \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{L^{(\infty)}(1, \text{Sym}^{2r} \Pi \otimes \omega_{\Pi}^{-r} \chi \omega_{\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q}}^i)}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{(r+1)(1-rw)} \cdot G(\omega_{\Pi}^{-r} \chi \omega_{\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q}})^{r+1} \cdot q^+(\text{Sym}^{2r} \Pi)} \sim_{\mathbb{K}\mathbb{F}} 1.$$

Similarly as in the proof of Proposition 5.22, there exists a cohomological cuspidal automorphic representation  $\Psi$  of  $\text{GSp}_{2r}(\mathbb{A})$  such that  $\Psi_{\infty}$  is a holomorphic discrete series representation and satisfies the following conditions: For any Hecke character  $\chi$  of  $\mathbb{A}^{\times}$ , we have

- $L(s, \Psi_{\infty}, \text{std} \otimes \chi_{\infty}) = L(s, \text{Sym}^{2r} \Pi_{\infty} \otimes \omega_{\Pi, \infty}^{-r} \chi_{\infty})$ .
- $L^S(s, \Psi, \text{std} \otimes \chi) = L^S(s, \text{Sym}^{2r} \Pi \otimes \omega_{\Pi}^{-r} \chi)$  for some sufficiently large set  $S$  of places containing  $\infty$ .

We fix one such  $\Psi$ , and let  $(\Omega(\sigma\Psi))_{\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})}$  and  $\mathbb{E}(\Psi)$  be as in the statement of Theorem 5.17. We enlarge  $\mathbb{E}(\Psi)$  so that it also contains  $\mathbb{Q}(\Pi)$ . Assume  $\chi$  is chosen so that  $\chi_{\infty}(-1) = (-1)^{r+1}$  and  $\chi^2 \notin \langle \omega_{\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q}} \rangle$ . By Theorem 5.17 and (5.19), we have

$$(5.20) \quad \left( \frac{\Omega(\Psi)}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{-r(r+1)w} \cdot q^+(\text{Sym}^{2r} \Pi)} \right)^d \sim_{\mathbb{K}\mathbb{F}} 1.$$

Choose other imaginary quadratic extension  $\mathbb{K}'/\mathbb{Q}$  and cyclic totally real extension  $\mathbb{F}'/\mathbb{Q}$  satisfy the similar conditions as above such that  $\mathbb{K}\mathbb{F}\mathbb{E}(\Psi) \cap \mathbb{K}'\mathbb{F}'\mathbb{E}(\Psi) = \mathbb{E}(\Psi)$ . Then (5.20) also holds under  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{K}'\mathbb{F}')$  and we deduce that its left-hand side belongs to  $\mathbb{E}(\Psi)$ . Assume that  $\mathbb{K}\mathbb{F} \cap \mathbb{E}(\Psi) = \mathbb{Q}$ , then similarly as in (5.6), we see that the equivalence (5.20) actually holds under  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$  rather than  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{K}\mathbb{F})$ . Taking  $d = 2, 3$ , we then obtain the period relation

$$\frac{\Omega(\Psi)}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{-r(r+1)w} \cdot q^+(\text{Sym}^{2r} \Pi)} \sim 1.$$

It then follows from Theorem 5.17 that Conjecture 5.10 holds for  $L^{(\infty)}(m, \text{Sym}^{2r} \Pi \otimes \omega_{\Pi}^{-r} \chi)$ , for any finite order Hecke character  $\chi$  of  $\mathbb{A}^{\times}$  and critical points  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$  for  $L(s, \text{Sym}^{2r} \Pi \otimes \omega_{\Pi}^{-r} \chi)$  such that  $m \neq 1$  if  $\chi_{\infty}(-1) = (-1)^{r+1}$  and  $\chi^2 = 1$ . Consider a quadratic Hecke character  $\chi$  of  $\mathbb{A}^{\times}$  such that  $\chi_{\infty}(-1) = (-1)^{r+1}$ .

To show that Conjecture 5.10 also holds for  $L^{(\infty)}(1, \text{Sym}^{2r} \Pi \otimes \omega_{\Pi}^{-r} \chi)$ , we consider (5.19) with  $d \neq 2$ . Since  $\omega_{\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q}}$  has odd order, Conjecture 5.10 holds for the critical values  $L^{(\infty)}(1, \text{Sym}^{2r} \Pi \otimes \omega_{\Pi}^{-r} \chi \omega_{\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q}}^i)$  with  $1 \leq i \leq d - 1$ . We thus deduce from (5.19) that

$$\frac{L^{(\infty)}(1, \text{Sym}^{2r} \Pi \otimes \omega_{\Pi}^{-r} \chi)}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{(r+1)(1-rw)} \cdot G(\omega_{\Pi}^{-r} \chi)^{r+1} \cdot q^+(\text{Sym}^{2r} \Pi)} \sim_{\mathbb{K}\mathbb{F}} 1.$$

By varying  $\mathbb{K}$  and  $\mathbb{F}$  and above, we see that Conjecture 5.10 holds for  $L^{(\infty)}(1, \text{Sym}^{2r} \Pi \otimes \omega_{\Pi}^{-r} \chi)$ . As for the left-half critical points (critical points  $m \leq 0$  for  $L(s, \text{Sym}^{2r} \Pi \otimes \omega_{\Pi}^{-r} \chi)$ ), it follows from the global functional equation for  $\text{GL}_{2r+1}(\mathbb{A})$  applied to the cuspidal automorphic representation  $\text{Sym}^{2r} \Pi$ . We refer to [Che23, Proposition A.4] for the precise statement. This completes the proof of Theorem 5.11.

## REFERENCES

- [Art13] J. Arthur. *The endoscopic classification of representations: orthogonal and symplectic groups*, volume 61 of *Colloquium Publications*. American Mathematical Society, 2013.
- [Ber84] J. Bernstein.  $P$ -invariant distributions on  $\text{GL}(N)$  and the classification of unitary representations of  $\text{GL}(N)$  (non-archimedean case). In *Lie group representations II*, volume 1041 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, pages 50–102. Springer, 1984.
- [BH11] C. J. Bushnell and G. Henniart. Self-dual representations of some dyadic groups. *Math. Ann.*, 351:67–80, 2011.
- [Bla86] D. Blasius. On the critical values of Hecke  $L$ -series. *Ann. of Math.*, 124:23–63, 1986.
- [Bla87] D. Blasius. Appendix to Orloff, Critical values of certain tensor product  $L$ -functions. *Invent. Math.*, 90:181–188, 1987.
- [Bla97] D. Blasius. Period relations and critical values of  $L$ -functions. *Pacific J. Math.*, 181(3):53–83, 1997.
- [BLGG11] T. Barnet-Lamb, T. Gee, and D. Geraghty. The Sato–Tate conjecture for Hilbert modular forms. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 24(2):411–469, 2011.
- [BLGHT11] T. Barnet-Lamb, D. Geraghty, M. Harris, and R. Taylor. A family of Calabi–Yau varieties and potential automorphy II. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, 47(1):29–98, 2011.
- [BR17] B. Balasubramanyam and A. Raghuram. Special values of adjoint  $L$ -functions and congruences for automorphic forms on  $\text{GL}(n)$  over a number field. *Amer. J. Math.*, 139(3):641–679, 2017.
- [BS73] A. Borel and J.-P. Serre. Corners and arithmetic groups. *Commen. Math. Helvetici*, 48:436–491, 1973.
- [BS00] S. Böcherer and C.-G. Schmidt.  $p$ -adic measures attached to Siegel modular forms. *Ann. de l’Institut Fourier*, 50(5):1375–1443, 2000.
- [BW00] A. Borel and N. Wallach. *Continuous cohomology, discrete subgroups, and representations of reductive groups, second edition*, volume 67 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. Amer. Math. Soc., 2000.
- [BZ76] I. N. Bernstein and A. V. Zelevinsky. Representations of the group  $\text{GL}(n, F)$  where  $F$  is a non-archimedean local field. *Russian Math. Surveys*, 31(3):1–68, 1976.
- [Cas73] W. Casselman. On some results of Atkin and Lehner. *Math. Ann.*, 201:301–314, 1973.
- [Che21] S.-Y. Chen. On Deligne’s conjecture for symmetric sixth  $L$ -functions of Hilbert modular forms. 2021. arXiv:2110.06261.
- [Che22a] S.-Y. Chen. Algebraicity of critical values of triple product  $L$ -functions in the balanced case. *Pacific J. Math.*, 2022. accepted, arXiv:2108.02111.
- [Che22b] S.-Y. Chen. Algebraicity of the near central non-critical values of symmetric fourth  $L$ -functions for Hilbert modular forms. *J. Number Theory*, 231:269–315, 2022.
- [Che22c] S.-Y. Chen. On Deligne’s conjecture for symmetric fifth  $L$ -functions of modular forms. *Forum Math.*, 34(3):831–849, 2022.
- [Che23] S.-Y. Chen. On Deligne’s conjecture for symmetric fourth  $L$ -functions of Hilbert modular forms. *Adv. Math.*, 2023. accepted, arXiv:2101.07507.
- [CHT08] L. Clozel, M. Harris, and R. Taylor. Automorphy for some  $l$ -adic lifts of automorphic mod  $l$  Galois representations. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, 108:1–181, 2008.
- [Clo90] L. Clozel. Motifs et Formes Automorphes: Applications du Principe de Fonctorialité. In *Automorphic Forms, Shimura Varieties, and  $L$ -functions, Vol. I*, Perspectives in Mathematics, pages 77–159, 1990.
- [CPS04] J. W. Cogdell and I. I. Piatetski-Shapiro. Remarks on Rankin–Selberg convolutions. In *Contributions to Automorphic Forms, Geometry, and Number Theory*, pages 256–278. Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, 2004.
- [Del71] P. Deligne. Formes modulaires et représentations  $l$ -adiques. In *Séminaire Bourbaki 1968/69*, volume 179 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, pages 139–172. Springer-Verlag, 1971.
- [Del79] P. Deligne. Valeurs de fonctions  $L$  et périodes d’intégrales. In *Automorphic Forms, Representations and  $L$ -Functions*, volume 33, Part 2 of *Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics*, pages 313–346. Amer. Math. Soc., 1979.
- [Die20] L. V. Dieulefait. Automorphy of  $m$ -fold tensor products of  $\text{GL}(2)$ . *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.*, 36(2):407–434, 2020.
- [FM14] M. Furusawa and K. Morimoto. On special values of certain  $L$ -functions. *Amer. J. Math.*, 136(5):1385–1407, 2014.
- [FM16] M. Furusawa and K. Morimoto. On special values of certain  $L$ -functions, II. *Amer. J. Math.*, 138(4):1117–1166, 2016.
- [Fra98] J. Franke. Harmonic analysis in weighted  $L_2$ -spaces. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér.*, 31(4):181–279, 1998.



- [GH93] P. Garrett and M. Harris. Special values of triple product  $L$ -functions. *Amer. J. Math.*, 115(1):161–240, 1993.
- [GH16] H. Grobner and M. Harris. Whittaker periods, motivic periods, and special values of tensor product  $L$ -functions. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 15(4):711–769, 2016.
- [GHL21] H. Grobner, M. Harris, and J. Lin. Deligne’s conjecture for automorphic motives over CM-fields. 2021. arXiv:1802.02958.
- [GL16] L. Guerberoff and J. Lin. Galois equivariance of critical values of  $L$ -functions for unitary groups. 2016. arXiv:1612.09590.
- [GL21] H. Grobner and J. Lin. Special values of  $L$ -functions and the refined Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture. *Amer. J. Math.*, 143(3):859–937, 2021.
- [GR14] H. Grobner and A. Raghuram. On the arithmetic of Shalika models and the critical values of  $L$ -functions for  $GL_{2n}$  (with appendix by W. T. Gan). *Amer. J. Math.*, 136(3):675–728, 2014.
- [Gro13] H. Grobner. Residues of Eisenstein series and the automorphic cohomology of reductive groups. *Compos. Math.*, 149:1061–1090, 2013.
- [Gro18] H. Grobner. Rationality for isobaric automorphic representations: the CM-case. *Monatsh. Math.*, 187:79–94, 2018.
- [Har] G. Harder. *Cohomology of arithmetic groups*. Book in preparation. Preliminary version available at: <http://www.math.uni-bonn.de/people/harder/Manuscripts/buch/>.
- [Har81] M. Harris. Special values of zeta functions attached to Siegel modular forms. *Ann. Sci. de l’Ecole Norm. Supérieure*, 14(1):77–120, 1981.
- [Har93] M. Harris.  $L$ -functions of  $2 \times 2$  unitary groups and factorization of periods of Hilbert modular forms. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 6(3):637–719, 1993.
- [Har97] M. Harris.  $L$ -functions and periods of polarized regular motives. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 483:75–161, 1997.
- [Har21] M. Harris. Shimura varieties for unitary groups and the doubling method. In *Relative Trace Formulas*, Simons Symposia, pages 217–251. Springer, 2021.
- [HK91] M. Harris and S. S. Kudla. The central critical value of a triple product  $L$ -function. *Ann. of Math.*, 133:605–672, 1991.
- [HPSS21] S. Horinaga, A. Pitale, A. Saha, and R. Schmidt. The special values of the standard  $L$ -functions for  $GSp_{2n} \times GL_1$ . *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 2021. DOI:10.1090/tran/8617.
- [HR20] G. Harder and A. Raghuram. *Eisenstein cohomology for  $GL_N$  and the special values of Rankin–Selberg  $L$ -functions*, volume 203 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, 2020.
- [Jan19] F. Januszewski. On period relations for automorphic  $L$ -functions I. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 371(9):6547–6580, 2019.
- [JPSS81] H. Jacquet, I. I. Piatetski-Shapiro, and J. Shalika. Conducteur des représentations du groupe linéaire. *Math. Ann.*, 256:199–214, 1981.
- [JPSS83] H. Jacquet, I. I. Piatetski-Shapiro, and J. A. Shalika. Rankin-Selberg convolutions. *Amer. J. Math.*, 105(2):367–464, 1983.
- [JS81a] H. Jacquet and J. A. Shalika. On Euler products and the classification of automorphic forms I. *Amer. J. Math.*, 103(3):499–558, 1981.
- [JS81b] H. Jacquet and J. A. Shalika. On Euler products and the classification of automorphic forms II. *Amer. J. Math.*, 103(4):777–815, 1981.
- [JST21] D. Jiang, B. Sun, and F. Tian. Period relations for standard  $L$ -functions of symplectic type. 2021.
- [KMSW] T. Kaletha, A. Minguez, S. W. Shin, and P.-J. White. Endoscopic classification of representations: inner forms of unitary groups. arXiv:1409.3731.
- [Koz00] N. Kozima. On special values of standard  $L$ -functions attached to vector valued Siegel modular forms. *Kodai Math. J.*, 23:255–265, 2000.
- [Lan76] R. P. Langlands. *On the functional equation satisfied by Eisenstein series*, volume 544 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, 1976.
- [Liu21] Z. Liu. The doubling Archimedean zeta integrals for  $p$ -adic interpolation. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 28(1):145–173, 2021.
- [Miz91] S. Mizumoto. Poles and residues of standard  $L$ -functions attached to Siegel modular forms. *Math. Ann.*, 289:589–612, 1991.
- [Moe09] C. Moeglin. Comparaison des paramètres de Langlands et des exposants à l’intérieur d’un paquet d’Arthur. *J. Lie Theory*, 19(4):797–840, 2009.
- [Mok15] C.-P. Mok. *Endoscopic classification of representations of quasi-split unitary groups*, volume 235 of *Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society*. American Mathematical Society, 2015.
- [Mor14] K. Morimoto. On  $L$ -functions for quaternion unitary groups of degree 2 and  $GL(2)$  (with an Appendix by M. Furusawa and A. Ichino). *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 2014(7):1729–1832, 2014.
- [Mor21] K. Morimoto. On algebraicity of special values of symmetric 4-th and 6-th power  $L$ -functions for  $GL(2)$ . *Math. Z.*, 299:1331–1350, 2021.
- [MW89] C. Moeglin and J.-L. Waldspurger. Le spectre résiduel de  $GL(n)$ . *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér.*, 22(4):605–674, 1989.
- [MW95] C. Moeglin and J.-L. Waldspurger. *Spectral Decomposition and Eisenstein Series*, volume 113 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [NT21a] J. Newton and J. A. Thorne. Symmetric power functoriality for holomorphic modular forms. *Publ. Math. IHES*, 134:1–116, 2021.
- [NT21b] J. Newton and J. A. Thorne. Symmetric power functoriality for holomorphic modular forms, II. *Publ. Math. IHES*, 134:117–152, 2021.

- [Pat19] S. Patrikis. *Variations on a theorem of Tate*, volume 258 of *Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society*. American Mathematical Society, 2019.
- [PSS20] A. Pitale, A. Saha, and R. Schmidt. On the standard  $L$ -function for  $\mathrm{GSp}_{2n} \times \mathrm{GL}_1$  and algebraicity of symmetric fourth  $L$ -values for  $\mathrm{GL}_2$ . *Ann. Math. Qué.*, 2020. DOI:10.1007/s40316-020-00134-6.
- [Rag10] A. Raghuram. On the special values of certain Rankin–Selberg  $L$ -functions and applications to odd symmetric power  $L$ -functions of modular forms. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, (2):334–372, 2010.
- [Rag22] A. Raghuram. Eisenstein cohomology for  $\mathrm{GL}_N$  and the special values of Rankin–Selberg  $L$ -functions over a totally imaginary number field. 2022. arXiv:2207.03393.
- [Ram00] D. Ramakrishnan. Modularity of the Rankin–Selberg  $L$ -series, and multiplicity one for  $\mathrm{SL}(2)$ . *Ann. of Math.*, 152:45–111, 2000.
- [Roh89] D. Rohrlich. Nonvanishing of  $L$ -functions for  $\mathrm{GL}(2)$ . *Invent. Math.*, 97:381–403, 1989.
- [Roh96] J. Rohlfs. Projective limits of locally Symmetric spaces and cohomology. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 479:149–182, 1996.
- [RS08] A. Raghuram and F. Shahidi. On certain period relations for cusp forms on  $\mathrm{GL}_n$ . *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 2008. doi:10.1093/imrn/rnn077.
- [RS18] A. Raghuram and M. Sarnobat. Cohomological representations and functorial transfer from classical groups. In *Cohomology of arithmetic groups*, volume 245 of *Springer Proceedings in Mathematics and Statistics*, pages 157–176. Springer, 2018.
- [RT11] A. Raghuram and N. Tanabe. Note on the arithmetic of Hilbert modular forms. *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.*, 26(3):261–319, 2011.
- [RW04] D. Ramakrishnan and S. Wang. A cuspidality criterion for the functorial product on  $\mathrm{GL}(3) \times \mathrm{GL}(2)$  with a cohomological application. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 2004(27):1355–1394, 2004.
- [Sch83] J. Schwermer. *Kohomologie arithmetisch definierter Gruppen und Eisensteinreihen*, volume 988 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [Sch90] A. J. Scholl. Motives for modular forms. *Invent. Math.*, 100:419–430, 1990.
- [Sha81] F. Shahidi. On certain  $L$ -functions. *Amer. J. Math.*, 103:297–355, 1981.
- [Sha85] F. Shahidi. Local coefficients as Artin factors for real groups. *Duke Math. J.*, 52(4):973–1007, 1985.
- [Sha10] F. Shahid. *Eisenstein Series and Automorphic  $L$ -Functions*, volume 58 of *Colloq. Publ.* Amer. Math. Soc., 2010.
- [Shi76] G. Shimura. The special values of the zeta functions associated with cusp forms. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, pages 783–804, 1976.
- [Shi77] G. Shimura. On the periods of modular forms. *Math. Ann.*, 229:211–221, 1977.
- [Shi00] G. Shimura. *Arithmeticity in the theory of automorphic forms*, volume 82 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, 2000.
- [Shi12] S. W. Shin. Automorphic Plancherel density theorem. *Israel J. Math.*, 192:83–120, 2012.
- [Stu80] J. Sturm. Special values of zeta functions, and Eisenstein series of half integral weight. *Amer. J. Math.*, 102(2):219–240, 1980.
- [Stu81] J. Sturm. The critical values of zeta functions associated to the symplectic group. *Duke Math. J.*, 48:327–350, 1981.
- [Stu89] J. Sturm. Evaluation of the symmetric square at the near center point. *Amer. J. Math.*, 111(4):585–598, 1989.
- [Sun17] B. Sun. The nonvanishing hypothesis at infinity for Rankin–Selberg convolutions. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 30(1):1–25, 2017.
- [Tat79] J. Tate. Number theoretic background. In *Automorphic forms, representations, and  $L$ -functions*, volume 33, Part 2 of *Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics*, pages 3–26. Amer. Math. Soc., 1979.
- [Vog86] D. Vogan. The unitary dual of  $\mathrm{GL}(n)$  over an archimedean field. *Invent. Math.*, 83:449–505, 1986.
- [Wal85] J.-L. Waldspurger. Quelques propriétés arithmétiques de certaines formes automorphes sur  $\mathrm{GL}(2)$ . *Compos. Math.*, 54:121–171, 1985.
- [Wal92] N. Wallach. *Real reductive groups II*, volume 132 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Academic Press Inc., 1992.
- [Yos94] H. Yoshida. On the zeta functions of Shimura varieties and periods of Hilbert modular forms. *Duke Math. J.*, 75(1):121–191, 1994.
- [Yos01] H. Yoshida. Motives and Siegel modular forms. *Amer. J. Math.*, 123(6):1171–1197, 2001.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, ACADEMIA SINICA, 6F, ASTRONOMY-MATHEMATICS BUILDING, NO. 1, SEC. 4, ROOSEVELT ROAD, TAIPEI 10617, TAIWAN, ROC

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KITASHIRAKAWA OIWAKE-CHO, SAKYO-KU, KYOTO 606-8502, JAPAN  
*Email address:* sychen.math@gmail.com