

KOSTKA NUMBERS AND FOURIER DUALITY

MICHAEL FINKELBERG, ALEXANDER POSTNIKOV, AND VADIM SCHECHTMAN

To Yuri Ivanovich Manin on his 85th birthday with admiration

May 26, 2022

ABSTRACT. We relate the Fourier transform of perverse sheaves smooth along the coordinate hyperplane configuration in a complex vector space to the Deligne-Lusztig duality of unipotent representations of a general linear group over a finite field. A similar relation is established for arbitrary finite Coxeter groups.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1.	2
1.2. Acknowledgments	3
2. Hyperbolic sheaves over coordinate arrangements	3
2.1. Real coordinate arrangement	3
2.2. Complex coordinate arrangement	4
2.3. GGM sheaves	5
2.4. Fourier-Sato transform	5
2.5. Hyperbolic sheaves	6
2.6. Morita equivalence	6
2.7. Example $n = 1$	7
2.8. General n	8
2.9. Vanishing cycles at the origin: the Master complex	8
2.10. Explicit description of P	9
2.11. Amonodromic semisimple sheaves	9
3. Kostka numbers	10
3.1. Partitions and compositions	10
3.2. Young tableaux	11
3.3. Kostka numbers	11
3.4. Small Kostka numbers	12
3.5. Descents in Young tableaux	13
3.6. Skew Young diagrams	14
3.7. Young symmetrizers	14

3.8.	Induced modules and ribbon modules	15
3.9.	Kostka numbers for Coxeter groups	17
3.10.	Solomon's decomposition of $\mathbf{k}[W]$	18
3.11.	Descent basis	19
3.12.	Proof of Theorem 3.11.2	20
4.	Kostka sheaves	22
4.1.	The sheaf \mathcal{F} in GGM realization	22
4.2.	The sheaf \mathcal{F} in hyperbolic realization	22
4.3.	A functor $\text{Rep}(S_{n+1}) \rightarrow \text{Hyp}_n^{\text{ass}}(\text{Rep}(S_{n+1}))$	23
4.4.	Induced from parabolics as functions on flags	23
4.5.	Induction and restriction	24
4.6.	Parabolic complexes	24
4.7.	Comparison with the vanishing cycles master complex	25
4.8.	Relation to the permutohedron	25
4.9.	Warning	26
5.	From S_{n+1} to $\text{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{F}_q)$	26
5.1.	Flag spaces and induced representations	26
5.2.	Induction and restriction	27
5.3.	Unipotent representations of $\text{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{F}_q)$	28
	References	29

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. As soon as perverse sheaves were discovered about 40 years ago, there appeared a problem of concrete linear algebraic description of abelian categories of perverse sheaves smooth along some particular stratifications (“How to glue perverse sheaves”). One important case is a complex affine space stratified by a hyperplane arrangement. In case such an arrangement is *real*, a solution of this problem was suggested in [KS] (*hyperbolic sheaves*).

In the present note we study a most trivial example of a hyperplane arrangement: namely the one of coordinate hyperplanes. In this case another description of the category of perverse sheaves was proposed in [GGM] (*iterated vanishing/nearby cycles*). It turns out that already in this simplest example, the interplay between the two different descriptions of the same abelian category leads to some nontrivial combinatorial consequences.

Namely, we go even further along the route of simplification and consider semisimple perverse sheaves on \mathbb{C}^n with trivial monodromy, however with coefficients not in the category of vector spaces, but in the category \mathcal{C} of representations of the symmetric group S_{n+1} (over a field \mathbf{k} of characteristic 0). We consider a perverse sheaf \mathcal{F}_μ whose generic stalk is the irreducible S_{n+1} -module

V_μ (for a partition μ of $n + 1$), and whose hyperbolic stalks are equal to induction of V_μ to S_{n+1} from appropriate parabolic subgroups. When $\mu = (n + 1)$, so that $V_{(n+1)}$ is trivial, we call the multiplicities of simple perverse sheaves in $\mathcal{F}_{(n+1)}$ *small Kostka numbers* $\kappa_{\lambda,I}$. According to Theorem 3.5.1, $\kappa_{\lambda,I}$ is equal to the number of standard Young tableaux of shape λ with descent set I . We also discuss an extension of (small) Kostka numbers to an arbitrary finite Coxeter group W related to [So2].

The *hyperbolic calculus* developed in [FKS] allows to compute the Fourier-Sato transform of \mathcal{F}_μ . Namely, we have $\text{FT } \mathcal{F}_\mu \cong \mathcal{F}_{\mu^t}$ (transposed partition). Also, the hyperbolic calculus provides a *master complex* $\mathcal{M}as_\mu^\bullet$ computing the vanishing cycles $\Phi_0 \mathcal{F}_\mu$ of \mathcal{F}_μ at the origin. It turns out that $\Phi_0 \mathcal{F}_\mu \cong V_{\mu^t}$, and the master complex goes back at least to [So1].

For a prime power q , there is a Benson-Curtis isomorphism $\mathbf{k}[S_{n+1}] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}_{n+1}$ with the Iwahori-Hecke algebra. Thus \mathcal{C} is equivalent to the category \mathcal{C}_q of \mathcal{H}_{n+1} -modules. Under this equivalence, the master complex $\mathcal{M}as_\mu^\bullet$ corresponds to a complex ${}_q\mathcal{M}as_\mu^\bullet$ going back at least to [Kat]. According to *loc.cit.*, a similar complex exists for the Hecke algebra of an arbitrary finite Coxeter group.

Furthermore, \mathcal{C}_q is equivalent to the category of unipotent representations of $\text{GL}(n + 1, \mathbb{F}_q)$, and under this equivalence, ${}_q\mathcal{M}as_\mu^\bullet$ corresponds to the complex of [DL] defining the Alvis-Curtis-Deligne-Lusztig-Kawanaka duality [A, C, Kaw, DL].

1.2. Acknowledgments. This note was conceived in 2017. We are grateful to Anatol Kirillov and Mikhail Kapranov for the inspiring discussions. We also thank George Lusztig for bringing [So2] to our attention.

M.F. was partially funded within the framework of the HSE University Basic Research Program and the Russian Academic Excellence Project ‘5-100’.

2. HYPERBOLIC SHEAVES OVER COORDINATE ARRANGEMENTS

If (X, \leq) is a poset, we call a *bisheaf* on X with values in a category \mathcal{C} a couple $B = (\gamma, \delta)$ of functors

$$\gamma: X \rightarrow \mathcal{C}, \quad \delta: X^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

such that for all $x \in X$, $\gamma(x) = \delta(x) =: B(x)$. Thus, for all $x \leq y$ we have two arrows

$$\gamma_{x,y}: B(x) \rightleftarrows B(y) : \delta_{y,x}.$$

2.1. Real coordinate arrangement. Let $V = \mathbb{R}^n$ with coordinates x_1, \dots, x_n ;

$$\mathcal{H} = \{H_1, \dots, H_n\},$$

where $H_i \subset V$ is given by the equation $x_i = 0$.

\mathcal{S} is the corresponding stratification of V .

The strata of \mathcal{S} are in bijection with sequences

$$s = (s_1, \dots, s_n) \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^n,$$

where C_s is given by n equalities and inequalities: $x_i = 0$ if $s_i = 0$, and $s_i x_i > 0$ if $s_i = \pm 1$. So all the strata are cells, and in what follows we will refer to the strata as cells.

To put it differently, the cells are numbered by couples (I, ϵ) where I is a subset of $[n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$, and $\epsilon = (\epsilon_j) \in \{1, -1\}^{[n] \setminus I}$ is a collection of signs on the complement. We denote the corresponding cell by $C_{(I, \epsilon)}$.

We have $C_{(I, \epsilon)} \leq C_{(I', \epsilon')}$ (i.e. $C_{(I, \epsilon)}$ lies in the closure of $C_{(I', \epsilon')}$) if and only if

(a) $I \supset I'$, whence $\bar{I} := [n] \setminus I \subset \bar{I}'$,

and

(b) $\epsilon_j = \epsilon'_j$ for all $j \in \bar{I}$.

In other words, (I, ϵ) is obtained from (I', ϵ') by replacing some elements ϵ'_j in the sequence ϵ' by 0's.

Consider two cells C_s and $C' = C_{s'}$ where the sequence s' is obtained from s by replacing some element $s_i = \pm 1$ by $s'_i = -s_i$. We call such two cells *neighbours*. Let $C'' = C_{s''}$ where s'' is obtained from s by replacing the element s_i by 0. This C'' is called *the wall* between C and C'' .

Following Tits, let us call *a gallery* a sequence C_0, \dots, C_r where all C_i, C_{i+1} are neighbours. We call C_0 and C_r *faraway neighbours*.

Each cell C of dimension p has 2^p faraway neighbours (including itself), whose union is dense in $L(C)$: *the flat* of C , the \mathbb{R} -vector space spanned by C .

We denote by C_I the positive cell $C_{(I, \epsilon)}$ with all $\epsilon_j = 1$. So, there are 2^n positive cells.

2.2. Complex coordinate arrangement. Let

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}} = \{H_1^{\mathbb{C}}, \dots, H_n^{\mathbb{C}}\}$$

be the complex coordinate arrangement in $V_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C}^n$, and let $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the corresponding stratification of $V_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Its strata are $S_I, I \subset [n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$, where

$$S_I = \{x \in V_{\mathbb{C}} \mid x_i = 0 \text{ for } i \in I, x_i \neq 0 \text{ for } i \notin I\}.$$

We write $S \leq S'$ iff $S \subset \bar{S}'$.

In the complex case $S_I \leq S_J$ iff $J \subset I$.

A complex stratum S_I with $|I| = p$ is of real codimension $2p$, and contains 2^{n-p} real cells $C_{(I, \epsilon)}$.

2.3. GGM sheaves. We have two linear algebra descriptions of the category $\mathcal{M}(V_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{C}}) = \text{Perv}(V_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{C}})$.

The first one is due to [GGM]. Namely, $\mathcal{M}(V_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{C}})$ is equivalent to a category GGM_n whose objects are certain bisheaves Φ on the poset $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{C}}$ with values in Vect , i.e.

— collections of 2^n spaces

$$\Phi(I) = \Phi(S_I) \in \text{Vect}, \quad I \subset [n],$$

— and *canonical* maps

$$u_{IJ}: \Phi(I) \rightarrow \Phi(J), \quad I \subset J,$$

and *variation* maps

$$v_{JI}: \Phi(J) \rightarrow \Phi(I), \quad I \subset J,$$

satisfying the transitivity for triples $I \subset J \subset K$.

They must satisfy the following further conditions.

For all $I, i \in I$ denote

$$v_i = v_{I, I \setminus \{i\}}: \Phi(I) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Phi(I \setminus \{i\}) \quad : u_{I \setminus \{i\}, I} = u_i.$$

Then the *mixed commutativity* condition: $uv = vu$ must hold, that is, for any $i, j \in I, i \neq j$, the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Phi(I \setminus \{i\}) & \xrightarrow{v_j} & \Phi(I \setminus \{i, j\}) \\ u_i \downarrow & & u_i \downarrow \\ \Phi(I) & \xrightarrow{v_j} & \Phi(I \setminus \{j\}) \end{array}$$

must commute.

Also, the *invertibility* condition of $1 + vu$ must hold, that is for all $i \in I \subset [n]$, the *monodromy* operator

$$T_i := 1 + v_i u_i: \Phi_I \rightarrow \Phi_I$$

must be invertible.

Note that $1 + v_i u_i$ is invertible if and only if $1 + u_i v_i$ is invertible.

This definition makes sense if we replace the coefficient category Vect by an arbitrary *additive* category \mathcal{C} ; let us denote the corresponding GGM category $\text{GGM}_n(\mathcal{C})$.

2.4. Fourier-Sato transform. The *Fourier-Sato transform* $\text{Perv}(V_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{C}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Perv}(V_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{C}})$ induces the same named auto-equivalence $\text{GGM}_n \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{GGM}_n$. More generally, for an arbitrary additive category \mathcal{C} , we have an auto-equivalence

$$\text{FT}: \text{GGM}_n(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{GGM}_n(\mathcal{C})$$

that sends an object $\Phi = (\Phi(I), u, v)$ to $\text{FT}(\Phi) = (\Phi'(I) := \Phi(\bar{I}), u', v')$. Here we set for $i \notin I \subset [n]$,

$$u'_i := -v_i: \Phi(\bar{I}) \rightarrow \Phi(\bar{I} \setminus \{i\}), \text{ and } v'_i := u_i(1 + v_i u_i)^{-1}: \Phi(\bar{I} \setminus \{i\}) \rightarrow \Phi(\bar{I}),$$

cf. [BK, Proposition 4.5] and [Mal, (II.2.1), (II.3.2)].

2.5. Hyperbolic sheaves. The second, ‘‘Möbius dual’’, linear algebraic description of $\mathcal{M}(V_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{C}})$ is a version of [KS]. The category $\mathcal{M}(V_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{C}})$ is equivalent to a category Hyp_n of *hyperbolic sheaves*.

An object of Hyp_n is a bisheaf E with values in Vect on the poset of real strata \mathcal{S} , i.e.

- a collection of 3^n spaces $E(C) = E(I, \epsilon) \in \text{Vect}$, $C \in \mathcal{S}$,
- maps $\gamma_{CC'}: E(C) \rightarrow E(C')$, $\delta_{C'C}: E(C) \rightarrow E(C')$, $C \leq C'$.

These maps must satisfy the following conditions:

Idempotence: For $C \leq C'$,

$$\gamma_{CC'} \delta_{C'C} = \text{Id}_{E(C')}.$$

Let C, C' be any pair of cells. There exists a cell $C'' \leq C$ and $C'' \leq C'$, for example $C'' = \{0\}$. Define a map

$$\phi_{CC'} = \gamma_{C''C} \delta_{CC''}.$$

Due to the idempotence axiom this map does not depend on a choice of C'' .

Invertibility: If $\dim C = \dim C' = p$, and C, C' belong to the same linear subspace $L \subset V$ of dimension p then $\phi_{CC'}$ is invertible.

The invertibility axiom is equivalent to a seemingly weaker requirement:

Weak invertibility: The map $\phi_{CC'} = \gamma_{C''C} \delta_{CC''}$ is an isomorphism for all neighbours.

Mixed commutativity: $\gamma\delta = \delta\gamma$. That is, for $C \leq D$, $C' \leq D'$, $C \leq C'$, $D \leq D'$, the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E(C') & \xrightarrow{\delta} & E(D') \\ \gamma \downarrow & & \gamma \downarrow \\ E(C) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & E(D) \end{array}$$

must commute.

This definition makes sense if we replace the coefficient category Vect by an arbitrary category \mathcal{C} ; let us denote the corresponding category $\text{Hyp}_n(\mathcal{C})$.

2.6. Morita equivalence. We have equivalences of categories $L_h: \mathcal{M}(V_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{C}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hyp}_n$ (‘‘hyperbolic, or big localization’’) and $L_g: \mathcal{M}(V_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{C}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{GGM}_n$ (‘‘vanishing cycles, or small localization’’), so there should exist equivalences of quiver

categories

$$P: \text{Hyp}_n \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{GGM}_n, \quad Q: \text{GGM}_n \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hyp}_n.$$

Below we will construct equivalences

$$(2.6.1) \quad P: \text{Hyp}_n(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{GGM}_n(\mathcal{C}), \quad Q: \text{GGM}_n(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hyp}_n(\mathcal{C})$$

for an arbitrary additive category \mathcal{C} .

2.7. Example $n = 1$. The construction below is a version of [KS, 9.A].

An object of $\text{Hyp}_1(\mathcal{C})$ is a triple $E = (E_0, E_\pm)$ of objects of \mathcal{C} , and 4 maps

$$\gamma_\pm: E_0 \rightarrow E_\pm, \quad \delta_\pm: E_\pm \rightarrow E_0$$

such that $\gamma_\pm \delta_\mp$ are isomorphisms.

An object of $\text{GGM}_1(\mathcal{C})$ is a couple $\Phi, \Psi \in (\mathcal{C})$ and 2 maps

$$v: \Phi \xleftrightarrow{u} \Psi$$

such that $t := 1 + vu$ is an isomorphism.

Let $E \in \text{Hyp}_1(\mathcal{C})$; we set $\Psi := E_+$, $\Phi := \text{Ker} \gamma_-$, and v is the composition

$$\Phi \hookrightarrow E_0 \xrightarrow{\gamma_+} \Psi,$$

while u is the composition

$$\Psi \xrightarrow{\delta_+} E_0 \xrightarrow{1 + \delta_- \gamma_-} \Phi.$$

This way we get an object

$$P(E) = (\Phi, \Psi, v, u) \in \text{GGM}_1(\mathcal{C}).$$

In the opposite direction, given $G = (\Phi, \Psi, v, u) \in \text{GGM}_1(\mathcal{C})$ with $t := 1 + vu$, we define

$$Q(G) = (E_0, E_\pm, \gamma_\pm, \delta_\pm) \in \text{Hyp}_1(\mathcal{C})$$

by

$$E_+ = E_- = \Psi; \quad E_0 = \Phi \oplus \Psi;$$

where δ_- (resp. γ_-) is given by the obvious inclusion (resp., projection), whereas

$$\gamma_+(x, y) = -v(x) + y,$$

and

$$\delta_+(y) = (u(y), t(y)).$$

2.8. **General n .** We note that

$$\mathrm{GGM}_n(\mathcal{C}) \cong \mathrm{GGM}_1(\mathrm{GGM}_{n-1}(\mathcal{C})), \text{ and } \mathrm{Hyp}_n(\mathcal{C}) \cong \mathrm{Hyp}_1(\mathrm{Hyp}_{n-1}(\mathcal{C})).$$

Whence we define P_n, Q_n by induction: P_n being given as the composition

$$(2.8.1) \quad \mathrm{Hyp}_n(\mathcal{C}) \cong \mathrm{Hyp}_1(\mathrm{Hyp}_{n-1}(\mathcal{C})) \xrightarrow{P_1} \mathrm{GGM}_1(\mathrm{Hyp}_{n-1}(\mathcal{C})) \\ \xrightarrow{P_{n-1}} \mathrm{GGM}_1(\mathrm{GGM}_{n-1}(\mathcal{C})) \cong \mathrm{GGM}_n(\mathcal{C}),$$

and similarly for Q_n .

2.9. **Vanishing cycles at the origin: the Master complex.** We will give an explicit description of the equivalence $P: \mathrm{Hyp}_n \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{GGM}_n$ via vanishing cycles. Given $E = (E(C), \gamma, \delta) \in \mathrm{Hyp}_n$, we are going to describe the corresponding GGM-sheaf $P(E) = (\Phi(I), u, v)$.

First let us describe its vanishing cycles at the origin, $\Phi_0 := \Phi([n])$. Geometrically, let $M \in \mathcal{M}(V_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{C}})$ be the perverse sheaf corresponding to E ; the sheaf of vanishing cycles $\Phi_f(M)$ corresponding to a function $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ is a scyscraper concentrated at 0, and Φ_0 is its stalk at 0:

$$\Phi_0 = \Phi_f(M)_0.$$

Algebraically the procedure of computing $\Phi_f(M)$ is described in [FKS], for an arbitrary real hyperplane arrangement. For the coordinate arrangement it reduces to the following.

We consider the positive cells C_I , and denote $E(I) := E(C_I)$. Let $\mathcal{S}^+ \subset \mathcal{S}$ denote the subset of positive cells.

Let

$$\mathrm{Sub}_n^i = \{I \subset [n] : |I| = n - i\} \cong \mathcal{S}_i^+ := \{C \in \mathcal{S}^+ \mid \dim C = i\}.$$

We consider a complex

$$(2.9.1) \quad \Phi^\bullet(E): 0 \rightarrow E([n]) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{I \in \mathcal{S}_1^+} E(I) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow E(\emptyset) \rightarrow 0,$$

concentrated in degrees $0, \dots, n$.

The differentials are $\pm\gamma$. More precisely, if $J = I \sqcup j$, then the JI matrix coefficient of the differential is $(-1)^s \gamma_{C(J)C(I)}$, where $s = |\{i \in I : i < j\}|$.

Proposition 2.9.1. *The complex $\Phi^\bullet(E)$ is acyclic in positive degrees, and*

$$\Phi_0 = H^0(\Phi^\bullet(E)).$$

This is a particular case of [FKS, Theorem 2.3]. This complex $\Phi^\bullet(E)$ will be called the *Master complex of vanishing cycles* for a hyperbolic sheaf $E \in \mathrm{Hyp}_n$.

2.10. Explicit description of P . Similarly, for an arbitrary $I \subset [n]$, $|I| = p$, let $f_I(x) = \sum_{i \in I} x_i$. The sheaf of vanishing cycles

$$\Phi_{f_I}(M)$$

is supported on the closure of the stratum $\overline{S(I)}$, and $\Phi(I)$ is the stalk of $\Phi_{f_I}(M)$ at C_I . It is computed via an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Phi(I) \rightarrow E(I) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{I' \subset I, |I'|=p-1} E(I') \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow E(\emptyset) \rightarrow 0,$$

where the differentials are $\pm\gamma$.

In particular, $\Phi(I) \subset E(I)$, $\Phi(I \setminus \{i\}) \subset E(I \setminus \{i\})$, and the variation map $v_i: \Phi(I) \rightarrow \Phi(I \setminus \{i\})$ (notation of §2.3) is induced by

$$\gamma_{C_I C_{I \setminus \{i\}}}: E(I) \rightarrow E(I \setminus \{i\}).$$

Finally, the canonical map $u_i: \Phi(I \setminus \{i\}) \rightarrow \Phi(I)$ is induced by the composition

$$E(I \setminus \{i\}) \xrightarrow{\delta_{C_{I \setminus \{i\}} C_I}} E(I) \xrightarrow{1+\delta-\gamma_-} E(I),$$

where $E(I) = E(C_I) = E(C_{I,\epsilon}) \xrightarrow{\gamma_-} E(C_{I \setminus \{i\}, \epsilon'}) \xrightarrow{\delta_-} E(C_{I,\epsilon}) = E(C_I) = E_I$, and ϵ' consists of all positive signs except for one negative sign of i .

Remark 2.10.1. We will not give an explicit description of the equivalence $Q: \text{GGM}_n \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hyp}_n$; we just mention that for $\mathcal{G} = (\Phi(I), u, v)$, the *positive* hyperbolic stalks of the corresponding $E = Q(\mathcal{G}) = (E(C), \gamma, \delta) \in \text{Hyp}_n$ are given by

$$E(I) = \bigoplus_{J \subset I} \Phi(J)$$

(“Takeuchi formula”, cf. [KS, 4.C.(1)] and [T]).

2.11. Amonodromic semisimple sheaves. Fix a semisimple abelian category \mathcal{C} . The category of perverse sheaves $\mathcal{M}_e(V_{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{C}}) = \text{Perv}_e(V_{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{C}})$ with coefficients in \mathcal{C} contains a full semisimple subcategory $\text{Perv}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{ass}}(V_{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{C}})$ formed by the direct sums of constant sheaves along the strata closures. Under the equivalences $\text{Perv}_e(V_{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{C}}) \simeq \text{Hyp}_n(\mathcal{C})$ and $\text{Perv}_e(V_{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{C}}) \simeq \text{GGM}_n(\mathcal{C})$ the subcategory $\text{Perv}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{ass}}(V_{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{C}}) \subset \text{Perv}_e(V_{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{C}})$ corresponds to the full semisimple subcategories $\text{Hyp}_n^{\text{ass}}(\mathcal{C}) \subset \text{Hyp}_n(\mathcal{C})$ and $\text{GGM}_n^{\text{ass}}(\mathcal{C}) \subset \text{GGM}_n(\mathcal{C})$.

Namely, $\text{GGM}_n^{\text{ass}}(\mathcal{C}) \subset \text{GGM}_n(\mathcal{C})$ consists of all GGM sheaves $(\Phi(I), u, v)$ as in §2.3 with all $u_{IJ} = v_{JI} = 0$.

The description of $\text{Hyp}_n^{\text{ass}}(\mathcal{C}) \subset \text{Hyp}_n(\mathcal{C})$ is a little bit more elaborate. Given two cells $C_{(I,\epsilon)} \leq C_{(I',\epsilon')}$ as in §2.1, we define the *reflected* cell $C_{(I'',\epsilon'')}$ as follows. Recall from §2.1 that (I, ϵ) is obtained from (I', ϵ') by replacing some elements ϵ'_j in the sequence ϵ' by 0's. Now to obtain (I'', ϵ'') we replace these elements by the opposite ones (i.e. change their signs). In particular, $I'' = I'$, and $\dim C_{(I',\epsilon')} = \dim C_{(I'',\epsilon'')}$.

Finally, a hyperbolic sheaf $(E(C), \gamma, \delta)$ lies in $\text{Hyp}_n^{\text{ass}}(\mathcal{C})$ if for any cells $C_{(I,\epsilon)} \leq C_{(I',\epsilon')}$ and the corresponding reflected cell $C_{(I'',\epsilon'')}$ we have

$$(2.11.1) \quad \text{Ker} \gamma_{C_{(I,\epsilon)} C_{(I',\epsilon')}} = \text{Ker} \gamma_{C_{(I,\epsilon)} C_{(I'',\epsilon'')}} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Im} \delta_{C_{(I',\epsilon')} C_{(I,\epsilon)}} = \text{Im} \delta_{C_{(I'',\epsilon'')} C_{(I,\epsilon)}}.$$

The equivalence $P: \text{Hyp}_n^{\text{ass}}(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{GGM}_n^{\text{ass}}(\mathcal{C})$ takes $(E(C), \gamma, \delta)$ to $(\Phi(I), 0, 0)$, where $\Phi(I) := \bigcap_{J \not\subseteq I} \text{Ker} \gamma_{C_I C_J}$.

The inverse equivalence $Q: \text{GGM}_n^{\text{ass}}(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hyp}_n^{\text{ass}}(\mathcal{C})$ takes $(\Phi(I), 0, 0)$ to $(E(C), \gamma, \delta)$, where $E(C_{(I,\epsilon)}) := \bigoplus_{J \subset I} \Phi(J)$, while γ are given by the natural embeddings of direct summands, and δ are given by the natural projections onto direct summands.

Remark 2.11.1. The autoequivalence of §2.4

$$\text{FT}: \text{GGM}_n(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{GGM}_n(\mathcal{C})$$

induces an involutive autoequivalence

$$(2.11.2) \quad \text{FT}: \text{GGM}_n^{\text{ass}}(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{GGM}_n^{\text{ass}}(\mathcal{C}), \quad (\Phi(I), 0, 0) \mapsto (\Phi(\bar{I}), 0, 0).$$

The corresponding involutive autoequivalence

$$(2.11.3) \quad \text{FT}: \text{Hyp}_n^{\text{ass}}(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hyp}_n^{\text{ass}}(\mathcal{C})$$

is a particular case of [FKS, Theorem 4.15].

3. KOSTKA NUMBERS

In the next section we will study the categories of monodromic semisimple perverse sheaves $\text{Hyp}_n^{\text{ass}}(\mathcal{C})$, $\text{GGM}_n^{\text{ass}}(\mathcal{C})$ for the category $\mathcal{C} = \text{Rep}(S_{n+1})$ of representations of the symmetric group S_{n+1} on $n+1$ letters over a field \mathbf{k} of characteristic 0.

In this section we prepare some basic material about Young tableaux, Kostka numbers, and $\text{Rep}(S_{n+1})$. We also discuss a generalization of Kostka numbers to a finite Coxeter group W .

3.1. Partitions and compositions. A *partition* λ of m is a sequence of integers

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k), \quad \lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k > 0, \quad \sum \lambda_i = m.$$

The *Young diagram* of a partition λ is the set $\{(i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq \lambda_i\}$. The elements (i, j) of a Young diagram, called *boxes*, are arranged on the plane and indexed as elements of a matrix, i.e., i is the row index of box (i, j) and j is its column index. Slightly abusing notation, we identify a partition λ with its Young diagram and denote the latter by the same letter λ . For example, we have

$$\lambda = (3, 3, 1) = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Let \mathfrak{P}_m be the set of partitions of m , equivalently, the set of Young diagrams with m boxes, and let $\mathfrak{P} := \bigcup_{m \geq 0} \mathfrak{P}_m$.

A *composition* β of m is a sequence positive integers

$$\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k) \text{ with } \sum_i \beta_i = m.$$

Let \mathfrak{Q}_m be the set of all compositions of m . We have an obvious inclusion

$$(3.1.1) \quad \iota: \mathfrak{P}_m \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{Q}_m$$

with a left inverse $\mathfrak{Q}_m \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}_m$, that associates to a composition its weakly decreasing permutation.

3.2. Young tableaux. For a Young diagram $\lambda \in \mathfrak{P}$, a *semi-standard Young tableau* T of *shape* λ is a filling of boxes of λ by positive integers such that the entries are weakly increasing along each row and strictly increasing along each column of T . The *weight* of T is a nonnegative integer sequence $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k)$, where β_i is the number of i 's in T . (Here we identify weights β obtained from each other by adding 0's at the end: $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k) = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k, 0, \dots, 0)$.) A *standard Young tableau* T a semi-standard Young tableau of weight $\beta = (1, \dots, 1)$. We denote by $\text{SSYT}(\lambda, \beta)$ the set of all semi-standard Young tableaux of shape λ and weight β . Also $\text{SYT}(\lambda)$ denotes the set of all standard Young tableaux of shape λ . For example, here is a semi-standard Young tableau $T \in \text{SSYT}((4, 3, 1), (2, 2, 3, 1))$ and a standard Young tableau $T' \in \text{SYT}((4, 3, 1))$:

$$T = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & 3 & 3 & \\ \hline 4 & & & \\ \hline \end{array} \quad T' = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 4 & 7 \\ \hline 3 & 5 & 6 & \\ \hline 8 & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Clearly, $\# \text{SSYT}(\lambda, \beta) = \# \text{SSYT}(\lambda, \beta')$, where β' is the composition obtained from weight β by removing all 0's.

3.3. Kostka numbers. For a partition λ and a composition β , the *Kostka number* $K_{\lambda, \beta}$ is defined as the number of semi-standard Young tableaux of shape λ and weight β :

$$K_{\lambda, \beta} := \# \text{SSYT}(\lambda, \beta).$$

The Kostka numbers $K_{\lambda, \beta}$ are known to be invariant under permutations of parts of β . Thus, without loss of generality, the Kostka numbers can be labelled by a pair of partitions $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{P}$, $K_{\lambda \mu} = K_{\lambda, \iota(\mu)}$, where ι denotes the inclusion (3.1.1). If $\mu = \lambda$, the only semi-standard Young tableau of the same shape and weight λ is obtained by filling the i th row of λ all all i 's, for $i = 1, 2, \dots$. So $K_{\lambda \lambda} = 1$.

3.4. Small Kostka numbers. Let us fix a nonnegative integer n . Let $[n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$, and let $\text{Sub}_n := 2^{[n]}$ denote the set of subsets $I \subset [n]$. The set Sub_n is in bijection with the set \mathfrak{Q}_{n+1} of compositions of $n+1$.

Lemma 3.4.1. *The following two maps $\varrho = \varrho_n: \text{Sub}_n \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{Q}_{n+1}$ and $\varrho^{-1}: \mathfrak{Q}_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Sub}_n$ are bijective and inverse to each other:*

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho: I = \{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_r\} &\mapsto \beta = (i_1, i_2 - i_1, \dots, i_r - i_{r-1}, n+1 - i_r), \\ \varrho^{-1}: \beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k) &\mapsto I = \{\beta_1, \beta_1 + \beta_2, \dots, \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_{k-1}\}. \end{aligned}$$

For example, $\varrho([n]) = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$ and $\varrho(\emptyset) = (n+1)$.

Definition 3.4.2. For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{P}_{n+1}$ and $I \in \text{Sub}_n$, the *small Kostka number* $\kappa_{\lambda, I}$ is defined by

$$\kappa_{\lambda, I} := \sum_{J \subset I} (-1)^{|J| - |I|} K_{\lambda, \varrho(J)}.$$

Proposition 3.4.3. *For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{P}_{n+1}$ and $I \in \text{Sub}_n$, we have*

$$(3.4.1) \quad K_{\lambda, \varrho(I)} = \sum_{J \subset I} \kappa_{\lambda, J},$$

or, equivalently, $K_{\lambda, \beta} = \sum_{J \subset \varrho^{-1}(\beta)} \kappa_{\lambda, J}$. This formula defines the numbers $\kappa_{\lambda, I}$ uniquely.

Proof. The claim follows from the Möbius inversion formula. \square

Example 3.4.4. Let $n = 2$ and $\lambda = (2, 1) \in \mathfrak{P}_3$. We have $K_{\lambda, \rho(\emptyset)} = K_{\lambda, (3)} = 0$, $K_{\lambda, \rho(\{1\})} = K_{\lambda, (1, 2)} = 1$, $K_{\lambda, \rho(\{2\})} = K_{\lambda, (2, 1)} = 1$, $K_{\lambda, \rho(\{1, 2\})} = K_{\lambda, (1, 1, 1)} = 2$. Thus the small Kostka numbers are $\kappa_{\lambda, \emptyset} = 0$, $\kappa_{\lambda, \{1\}} = 1 - 0 = 1$, $\kappa_{\lambda, \{2\}} = 1 - 0 = 1$, $\kappa_{\lambda, \{1, 2\}} = 2 - 1 - 1 + 0 = 0$.

Remark 3.4.5. For $n \leq 4$, all small Kostka numbers $\kappa_{\lambda, I}$ are either 0 or 1. For $n \geq 5$, the numbers $\kappa_{\lambda, I}$ can be greater than 1. For example, for $n = 3k - 1$, we have $\kappa_{(2k-1, k, 1), \{k, 2k\}} = k$.

For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{P}_{n+1}$, let λ^t denote the *conjugate partition* of λ , i.e., the partition whose Young diagram is obtained from λ by transposition. For $I \in \text{Sub}_n$, let $\bar{I} := [n] \setminus I$.

Theorem 3.4.6. (1) *The small Kostka numbers $\kappa_{\lambda, I}$ are non-negative integers.*

(2) *The small Kostka numbers have the symmetry $\kappa_{\lambda, I} = \kappa_{\lambda^t, \bar{I}}$.*

This theorem follows from a combinatorial and a representation-theoretical interpretations of the small Kostka numbers $\kappa_{\lambda, I}$ given below, see Theorem 3.5.1 and Corollary 3.8.3.

3.5. Descents in Young tableaux. For a standard Young tableau $T \in \text{SYT}(\lambda)$ of shape $\lambda \in \mathfrak{P}_{n+1}$, we say that $i \in [n]$ is a *descent* of T if the entry $i+1$ is located in T below the entry i , i.e., the row containing $i+1$ is below the row containing i . The *descent set* $\text{Des}(T) := \{i \in [n] \mid i \text{ is a descent of } T\} \in \text{Sub}_n$, the set of all descents of T .

Let T^t denote the standard Young tableau obtained by transposing T . Clearly, the descent set of T^t is the complement to the descent set of T : $\text{Des}(T^t) = [n] \setminus \text{Des}(T)$. For example, for

$$T = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 4 & 8 \\ \hline 3 & 5 & 7 & \\ \hline 6 & & & \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad T^t = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 & 6 \\ \hline 2 & 5 & \\ \hline 4 & 7 & \\ \hline 8 & & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

we have $\text{Des}(T) = \{2, 4, 5\}$ and $\text{Des}(T^t) = [7] \setminus \{2, 4, 5\} = \{1, 3, 6, 7\}$.

Theorem 3.4.6 follows immediately from the following claim.

Theorem 3.5.1. *The small Kostka number $\kappa_{\lambda, I}$ equals the number of standard Young tableaux of shape λ with descent set $\text{Des}(T) = I$.*

Proof. Define the *standardization map*

$$\text{std} : \text{SSYT}(\lambda, \beta) \rightarrow \text{SYT}(\lambda)$$

as follows. For a semi-standard Young tableau T of weight $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k)$, the *standardization* $\text{std}(T)$ of T is the standard Young tableau obtained from T by replacing its β_i entries i by the integers in the interval $[\beta_1 + \dots + \beta_{i-1} + 1, \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_i]$ arranged in the increasing order from left to right, for $i = 1, \dots, k$. For example, we have

$$T = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & 3 & 3 & \\ \hline 4 & & & \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \mapsto \quad \text{std}(T) = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 4 & 7 \\ \hline 3 & 5 & 6 & \\ \hline 8 & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

The standardization map gives a bijection between the set $\text{SSYT}(\lambda, \beta)$ of all semi-standard Young tableaux T of shape λ and weight β and the subset of standard Young tableaux $T' \in \text{SYT}(\lambda)$ that have no descents in the union of intervals

$$[1, \beta_1 - 1] \cup [\beta_1 + 1, \beta_1 + \beta_2 - 1] \cup \dots \cup [\beta_1 + \dots + \beta_{k-1} + 1, \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_k - 1],$$

or, equivalently, $\text{Des}(T') \subset \varrho^{-1}(\beta) = \{\beta_1, \beta_1 + \beta_2, \dots, \beta_1 + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_{k-1}\}$:

$$\text{std} : \text{SSYT}(\lambda, \beta) \xrightarrow{\sim} \{T' \in \text{SYT}(\lambda) \mid \text{Des}(T') \subset \varrho^{-1}(\beta)\}.$$

Let $\tilde{\kappa}_{\lambda, I}$ be the number of standard Young tableaux of shape λ with descent set I . We obtain

$$K_{\lambda, \beta} = \sum_{J \subset \varrho^{-1}(\beta)} \tilde{\kappa}_{\lambda, J},$$

which is exactly equation (3.4.1) that defines the small Kostka numbers. Thus $\kappa_{\lambda,I} = \tilde{\kappa}_{\lambda,I}$, as needed. \square

3.6. Skew Young diagrams. For two Young diagrams $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{Y}$ such that $\lambda \supseteq \mu$, i.e., λ contains μ as a subset, the *skew Young diagram* λ/μ is the set-theoretic difference of the Young diagrams λ and μ . For example, for $\lambda = (3, 3, 1)$ and $\mu = (2, 1)$, we have

$$\lambda/\mu = \begin{array}{c} \square \\ \square \quad \square \\ \square \quad \square \quad \square \\ \square \end{array}$$

Usual Young diagrams can be considered as a special case of skew Young diagrams λ/μ with $\mu = \emptyset$.

A *connected component* of a skew Young diagram λ/μ is a connected component of the graph on the set of boxes $(i, j) \in \lambda/\mu$ with the edge set $\{((i, j), (i', j')) \mid |i - i'| + |j - j'| = 1\}$. For example, the above skew Young diagram has two connected components.

We consider two special types of skew Young diagrams: horizontal strips and ribbons.¹ A skew Young diagram λ/μ is a *horizontal strip* if each connected component of λ/μ consists of a single row of boxes. A skew Young diagram is a *ribbon* if it contains no 2×2 square and has exactly one connected component.

For a composition $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k)$, let $\text{hstrip}(\beta)$ be the horizontal strip whose i th row contains β_i boxes, for $i = 1, \dots, k$ (with rows touching each other at the corners). Also let $\text{ribbon}(\beta)$ be the ribbon whose i th row contains β_i boxes, for $i = 1, \dots, k$. For example,

$$\text{hstrip}((2, 3, 1, 2)) = \begin{array}{c} \square \quad \square \\ \square \quad \square \quad \square \\ \square \quad \square \quad \square \quad \square \\ \square \quad \square \end{array}, \quad \text{ribbon}((2, 3, 1, 2)) = \begin{array}{c} \square \quad \square \\ \square \quad \square \quad \square \\ \square \quad \square \quad \square \quad \square \\ \square \quad \square \end{array}$$

3.7. Young symmetrizers. Let $\mathbf{k}[S_{n+1}]$ be the group algebra of the symmetric group S_{n+1} . Let λ/μ be a skew Young diagram with $n + 1$ boxes. Let T be any filling of boxes of λ/μ by $1, \dots, n + 1$ (without repetitions), i.e., T is any bijection $[n + 1] \xrightarrow{\sim} \{\text{boxes of } \lambda/\mu\}$. (Here T is not necessarily a standard Young tableau.) Let $R(T)$ (resp., $C(T)$) denote the subgroup of S_{n+1} consisting of all permutations preserving the rows (resp., the columns) of T .

The *Young symmetrizer* $y_T \in \mathbf{k}[S_{n+1}]$ is defined as

$$a_T = \sum_{w \in R(T)} w, \quad b_T = \sum_{w \in C(T)} \epsilon(w) w, \quad \text{and} \quad y_T = b_T a_T.$$

¹Ribbons appear in the literature under many different names. They are MacMahon's zigzag diagrams, see [Mac]. They are also called rim hooks, border strips, etc.

Here $\epsilon(w) \in \{1, -1\}$ denotes the sign of permutation $w \in S_{n+1}$.

The left ideal

$$(3.7.1) \quad L_T := \mathbf{k}[S_{n+1}] \cdot y_T \subset \mathbf{k}[S_{n+1}]$$

is a representation of S_{n+1} .

If T and T' have the same shape λ/μ , then $y_T = y_{T'} \cdot u$, for some $u \in S_{n+1}$. Thus $L_T \cong L_{T'}$ are isomorphic S_{n+1} -modules.

The *Specht module* $V_{\lambda/\mu}$ is defined (up to an isomorphism) as

$$V_{\lambda/\mu} := L_T,$$


for any filling T of the shape λ/μ . A choice of T gives an embedding of the Specht module $V_{\lambda/\mu}$ as a left submodule L_T of the group algebra $\mathbf{k}[S_{n+1}]$.

For $\mu = \emptyset$, the Specht modules V_λ , $\lambda \in \mathfrak{P}_{n+1}$, are exactly (the isomorphism classes of) all irreducible representations of the symmetric group S_{n+1} . We have the following decomposition of the group algebra $\mathbf{k}[S_{n+1}]$ into a direct sum of left submodules:

$$(3.7.2) \quad \mathbf{k}[S_{n+1}] = \bigoplus_{T \in \text{SYT}_{n+1}} L_T = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{P}_{n+1}} \left(\bigoplus_{T \in \text{SYT}(\lambda)} L_T \right),$$

where $\text{SYT}_{n+1} = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{P}_{n+1}} \text{SYT}(\lambda)$, see [We, Theorem 1.3.J].

3.8. Induced modules and ribbon modules. The *semitic filling* of a skew Young diagram λ/μ is the filling T of boxes of λ/μ by $1, 2, 3, \dots$ reading the boxes by rows right-to-left top-to-bottom. For example, here are the semitic fillings of the horizontal strip $\text{hstrip}((2, 3, 1, 2))$ and the ribbon $\text{ribbon}((2, 3, 1, 2))$:



For a composition $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k)$ of $n+1$, define the *induced module* $M_\beta := L_T$, where T is the semitic filling of the horizontal strip $\text{hstrip}(\beta)$. Equivalently,

$$(3.8.1) \quad M_\beta := \mathbf{k}[S_{n+1}] \cdot \left(\sum_{w \in S_{\beta_1} \times \dots \times S_{\beta_k}} w \right) \cong \text{Ind}_{S_{\beta_1} \times \dots \times S_{\beta_k}}^{S_{n+1}} \mathbf{k},$$

where the direct product of groups $S_{\beta_1} \times \dots \times S_{\beta_k}$ is standardly embedded as a subgroup of S_{n+1} . For instance, $M_{(1, \dots, 1)} = \mathbf{k}[S_{n+1}]$ is the regular representation, and $M_{(n+1)} = \mathbf{k}$ is the trivial representation of S_{n+1} .

It is well-known, cf. [Kos] and [FH, Corollary 4.39], that the Kostka number $K_{\lambda, \beta}$ is exactly the multiplicity of the irreducible S_{n+1} -module V_λ in the induced

module M_β :

$$(3.8.2) \quad K_{\lambda,\beta} = \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{S_{n+1}}(M_\beta, V_\lambda), \quad \text{equivalently,} \quad M_\beta \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{P}_{n+1}} V_\lambda^{\oplus K_{\lambda,\beta}}.$$

Define the *ribbon module* R_β as

$$(3.8.3) \quad R_\beta := L_{T'},$$

where T' is the semitic filling of $\operatorname{ribbon}(\beta)$.

Recall the bijection $\varrho : I \mapsto \beta$ between subsets $I \in \operatorname{Sub}_n$ and compositions $\beta \in \mathfrak{Q}_{n+1}$, see Lemma 3.4.1. We can also label induced modules and ribbon modules by subsets $I \in \operatorname{Sub}_n$:

$$(3.8.4) \quad M_I := M_{\varrho(I)} \quad \text{and} \quad R_I := R_{\varrho(I)}.$$

Solomon [So2, Theorem 2] constructed a decomposition of the group algebra of a finite Coxeter group, see Theorem 3.10.1 below. For the symmetric group S_{n+1} , this decomposition can be described, as follows.

Theorem 3.8.1. [So2, Theorem 2] *The group algebra $\mathbf{k}[S_{n+1}]$ decomposes into a direct sum of ribbon modules:*

$$(3.8.5) \quad \mathbf{k}[S_{n+1}] = \bigoplus_{I \in \operatorname{Sub}_n} R_I.$$

More generally, any induced module M_I decomposes into a direct sum of ribbon modules.

Theorem 3.8.2. *For $I \in \operatorname{Sub}_n$, the induced S_{n+1} -module M_I decomposes into a directed sum of ribbon modules:*

$$(3.8.6) \quad M_I = \bigoplus_{J \subset I} R_J,$$

This theorem is a special case of Corollary 3.11.3 below. Note that here we treat all modules as concrete left submodules of the group algebra $\mathbf{k}[S_{n+1}]$ (and not as equivalence classes of representations of S_{n+1}).

Corollary 3.8.3. *For a partition $\lambda \in \mathfrak{P}_{n+1}$ and a subset $I \in \operatorname{Sub}_n$, the small Kostka number $\kappa_{\lambda,I}$ is the multiplicity of the irreducible S_{n+1} -module V_λ in the ribbon module R_I :*

$$\kappa_{\lambda,I} = \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{S_{n+1}}(R_I, V_\lambda), \quad \text{equivalently,} \quad R_I \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{P}_{n+1}} V_\lambda^{\oplus \kappa_{\lambda,I}}.$$

Proof. Let $\tilde{\kappa}_{\lambda,I}$ be the multiplicity of V_λ in R_I . Taking multiplicities of V_λ in all terms of the decomposition (3.8.6), we get $K_{\lambda,\varrho(I)} = \sum_{J \subset I} \tilde{\kappa}_{\lambda,J}$, which is exactly equation (3.4.1) that defines the small Kostka numbers. Thus $\kappa_{\lambda,I} = \tilde{\kappa}_{\lambda,I}$, as needed. \square

Remark 3.8.4. For any skew Young diagram λ/μ , the multiplicities of irreducible representations V_ν of the symmetric group in the Specht module $V_{\lambda/\mu}$ are called the *Littlewood-Richardson coefficients* $c_{\mu\nu}^\lambda$:

$$V_{\lambda/\mu} \cong \bigoplus_{\nu} V_{\nu}^{\otimes c_{\mu\nu}^\lambda}.$$

The Littlewood-Richardson rule is a combinatorial rule for the $c_{\mu\nu}^\lambda$. The combinatorial interpretation of the small Kostka numbers (Theorem 3.5.1) can be shown to be equivalent to the special case of the Littlewood-Richardson rule when λ/μ is a ribbon.

Theorem 3.5.1, decomposition (3.7.2), and Corollary 3.8.3 imply the following claim. For $I \in \text{Sub}_n$, let $\text{SYT}(\lambda, I)$ be the set of all standard-Young tableaux T of shape λ with $n + 1$ boxes with descent set $\text{Des}(T) = I$. Also let $\text{SYT}_I := \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{P}_{n+1}} \text{SYT}(\lambda, I)$. According to Theorem 3.5.1, $\kappa_{\lambda, I} = \#\text{SYT}(\lambda, I)$.

Corollary 3.8.5. *Let $I \in \text{Sub}_n$. The ribbon module R_I is isomorphic to the direct sum of irreducible submodules of $\mathbf{k}[S_{n+1}]$:*

$$R_I \cong \bigoplus_{T \in \text{SYT}_I} L_T.$$

The induced module M_I is isomorphic to the direct sum of irreducible submodules of $\mathbf{k}[S_{n+1}]$:

$$M_I \cong \bigoplus_{J \subset I} \left(\bigoplus_{T \in \text{SYT}_J} L_T \right).$$

3.9. Kostka numbers for Coxeter groups. The symmetric group S_{n+1} is a Coxeter group. Some of the above constructions can be extended to an arbitrary finite Coxeter group W .

Let W be a finite Coxeter group of rank n with Coxeter generators s_1, \dots, s_n . Let $\mathbf{k}[W]$ be the group algebra of W over a field \mathbf{k} of characteristic 0.

For a subset $I \subset [n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$, let W_I denote the parabolic subgroup of W generated by s_i , for $i \in I$. In particular, $W_{[n]} = W$ and $W_\emptyset = \{1\}$.

For $I \subset [n]$, define the *induced module* M_I as the following left W -submodule of the group algebra $\mathbf{k}[W]$

$$(3.9.1) \quad M_I := \mathbf{k}[W] \left(\sum_{w \in \bar{I}} w \right) \cong \text{Ind}_{W_{\bar{I}}}^W \mathbf{k},$$

where, as usual, $\bar{I} := [n] \setminus I$.

If $W = S_{n+1}$, then the induced module M_I is exactly the module $M_\beta \cong \text{Ind}_{S_{\beta_1} \times \dots \times S_{\beta_k}}^{S_{n+1}} \mathbf{k}$, where $\beta = \varrho(I)$, from §3.8.

By analogy with (3.8.2) and Definition 3.4.2, we define the W -Kostka numbers and the small W -Kostka numbers, as follows.

Definition 3.9.1. Let V be any irreducible representation of W , and let $I \in \text{Sub}_n$ be any subset of $[n]$. The W -Kostka number $K_{V,I}$ is the multiplicity of V in the induced module M_I :

$$(3.9.2) \quad K_{V,I} := \dim \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}[W]}(M_I, V).$$

The *small W -Kostka number* $\kappa_{V,I}$ is the alternating sum of K -Kostka numbers:

$$(3.9.3) \quad \kappa_{V,I} := \sum_{J \subset I} (-1)^{|J|-|I|} K_{V,J}.$$

Using Möbius inversion, we can express the K -Kostka numbers in terms of the small W -Kostka numbers as

$$(3.9.4) \quad K_{V,I} = \sum_{J \subset I} \kappa_{V,J}.$$

Let \mathbf{k}_ϵ be the *sign representation* of W , which is the 1-dimensional $\mathbf{k}[W]$ -module, where each Coxeter generator acts as $s_i : x \mapsto -x$. For a W -module V , let

$$V^t := V \otimes \mathbf{k}_\epsilon.$$

The following claim generalizes Theorem 3.4.6.

Theorem 3.9.2. (1) *The small W -Kostka numbers $\kappa_{V,I}$ are non-negative integers.*

(2) *The small Kostka numbers have the symmetry $\kappa_{V,I} = \kappa_{V^t, \bar{I}}$.*

This theorem follows from Corollary 3.11.4 and Lemma 3.11.5 below. It would be nice to find a simple combinatorial rule like Theorem 3.5.1 for calculation of the small W -Kostka numbers (e.g. when W is a Weyl group of type B or D).

3.10. Solomon's decomposition of $\mathbf{k}[W]$. Define the following elements of the group algebra $\mathbf{k}[W]$

$$a_I := \sum_{w \in W_I} w \quad \text{and} \quad b_I := \sum_{w \in W_I} \epsilon(w) w,$$

where $\epsilon(w) = (-1)^{\ell(w)}$ is the sign of $w \in W$ and $\ell(w) := \min\{l \mid w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_l}\}$ is the length of w .

Notice the induced module M_I defined by (3.9.1) is $M_I = \mathbf{k}[W] a_{\bar{I}}$.

Define the *ribbon W -module* R_I as

$$(3.10.1) \quad R_I := \mathbf{k}[W] b_I a_{\bar{I}}.$$

If $W = S_{n+1}$, then the ribbon W -module R_I is exactly the ribbon module (3.8.3) from §3.8.

Theorem 3.10.1. [So2, Theorem 2] *The group algebra $\mathbf{k}[W]$ decomposes into a direct sum of 2^n ribbon modules:*

$$\mathbf{k}[W] = \bigoplus_{I \in \text{Sub}_n} R_I.$$

3.11. Descent basis. Let us give a \mathbf{k} -linear basis of $\mathbf{k}[W]$ which agrees with Solomon's decomposition.

The (right) *descent set* $\text{Des}(w)$ of an element $w \in W$ is

$$\text{Des}(w) := \{i \in I \mid \ell(ws_i) < \ell(w)\}.$$

For $w \in W$, define the following element of the group algebra

$$(3.11.1) \quad d_w := w b_{\text{Des}(w)} a_{[n] \setminus \text{Des}(w)} \in \mathbf{k}[W].$$

Example 3.11.1. For $W = S_3 = \{1, s_1, s_2, s_1s_2, s_2s_1, s_1s_2s_1\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_1 &= 1 + s_1 + s_2 + s_1s_2 + s_2s_1 + s_1s_2s_1, \\ d_{s_1} &= s_1(1 - s_1)(1 + s_2) = -1 + s_1 - s_2 + s_1s_2, \\ d_{s_2} &= s_2(1 - s_2)(1 + s_1) = -1 - s_1 + s_2 + s_2s_1, \\ d_{s_1s_2} &= s_1s_2(1 - s_2)(1 + s_1) = -1 - s_1 + s_1s_2 + s_1s_2s_1, \\ d_{s_2s_1} &= s_2s_1(1 - s_1)(1 + s_2) = -1 - s_2 + s_2s_1 + s_1s_2s_1, \\ d_{s_1s_2s_1} &= -1 + s_1 + s_2 - s_1s_2 - s_2s_1 + s_1s_2s_1. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.11.2. (1) *The set of elements $\{d_w \mid w \in W\}$ is a \mathbf{k} -linear basis of the group algebra $\mathbf{k}[W]$.*

(2) *For any $I \in \text{Sub}_n$, the set of elements $\{d_w \mid w \in W \text{ such that } \text{Des}(w) = I\}$ is a \mathbf{k} -linear basis of the ribbon module R_I . In particular,*

$$\dim R_I = \#\{w \in W \mid \text{Des}(w) = I\}.$$

(3) *For any $I \in \text{Sub}_n$, the set of elements $\{d_w \mid w \in W \text{ such that } \text{Des}(w) \subset I\}$ is a \mathbf{k} -linear basis of the induced module M_I . In particular,*

$$\dim M_I = \#\{w \in W \mid \text{Des}(w) \subset I\}.$$

A proof of this theorem is given in §3.12 below. It is immediate from the definitions that $d_w \in R_I$ if $\text{Des}(w) = I$, and that $d_w \in M_I$ if $\text{Des}(w) \subset I$.

Corollary 3.11.3. *For $I \in \text{Sub}_n$, we have the decomposition of the induced module M_I into a direct sum of ribbon modules:*

$$M_I = \bigoplus_{J \subset I} R_J.$$

Corollary 3.11.4. *For an irreducible W -representation V and $I \in \text{Sub}_n$, the small W -Kostka number $\kappa_{V,I}$ is the multiplicity of V in the ribbon module R_I :*

$$\kappa_{V,I} = \dim \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}[W]}(V, R_I).$$

Proof. Let $\tilde{\kappa}_{V,I}$ be the multiplicity of V in R_I . By Corollary 3.11.3,

$$K_{V,I} := \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}[W]}(V, M_I) = \sum_{J \subset I} \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}[W]}(V, R_J) = \sum_{J \subset I} \tilde{\kappa}_{V,I},$$

which is exactly equation (3.9.4) defining the small Kostka numbers. Thus $\tilde{\kappa}_{V,I} = \kappa_{V,I}$. \square

Recall that \mathbf{k}_ϵ is the sign representation of W .

Lemma 3.11.5. *We have the isomorphism of W -modules:*

$$R_I \otimes \mathbf{k}_\epsilon \cong R_{\bar{I}}.$$

Proof. By [So2, Lemma 12], we have the isomorphism $\mathbf{k}[W] b_J a_I \cong \mathbf{k}[W] a_I b_J$. Thus $R_I \otimes \mathbf{k}_\epsilon = \mathbf{k}[W] a_I b_{\bar{I}} \cong \mathbf{k}[W] b_{\bar{I}} a_I = R_{\bar{I}}$. \square

Now Theorem 3.9.2 follows from Corollary 3.11.4 and Lemma 3.11.5.

3.12. Proof of Theorem 3.11.2. For $I \subset [n]$, define the (right) *symmetrization* and the *antisymmetrization operators* Sym_I and Asym_I acting on $\mathbf{k}[W]$ by

$$\operatorname{Sym}_I: f \mapsto f a_I \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{Asym}_I: f \mapsto f b_I$$

Let $\operatorname{Im}(\operatorname{Sym}_I), \operatorname{Ker}(\operatorname{Sym}_I), \operatorname{Im}(\operatorname{Asym}_I), \operatorname{Ker}(\operatorname{Asym}_I) \subset \mathbf{k}[W]$ be the images and kernels of these operators.

Lemma 3.12.1. *The ribbon module R_I is isomorphic to the quotient module*

$$R_I \cong \operatorname{Im}(\operatorname{Asym}_I) / (\operatorname{Ker}(\operatorname{Sym}_{\bar{I}}) \cap \operatorname{Im}(\operatorname{Asym}_I)).$$

More precisely, the map $\operatorname{Sym}_{\bar{I}}$ restricted to $\operatorname{Im}(\operatorname{Asym}_I)$ induces the isomorphism between $\operatorname{Im}(\operatorname{Asym}_I) / (\operatorname{Ker}(\operatorname{Sym}_{\bar{I}}) \cap \operatorname{Im}(\operatorname{Asym}_I))$ and R_I .

Proof. We have $R_I := \mathbf{k}[W] b_I a_{\bar{I}} = \{f a_{\bar{I}} \mid f \in \operatorname{Im}(\operatorname{Asym}_I)\} = \{\operatorname{Sym}_{\bar{I}}(f) \mid f \in \operatorname{Im}(\operatorname{Asym}_I)\}$. \square

For $i \in [n]$, define the subspaces $S^i := \{f \in \mathbf{k}[W] \mid f s_i = f\}$ and $A^i := \{f \in \mathbf{k}[W] \mid f s_i = -f\}$ of the group algebra $\mathbf{k}[W]$. Clearly, $S^i = \operatorname{Im}(\operatorname{Sym}_{\{i\}}) = \operatorname{Ker}(\operatorname{Asym}_{\{i\}})$ and $A^i = \operatorname{Im}(\operatorname{Asym}_{\{i\}}) = \operatorname{Ker}(\operatorname{Sym}_{\{i\}})$.

Lemma 3.12.2. *The image of the symmetrization operator Sym_I is the intersection of subspaces:*

$$\operatorname{Im}(\operatorname{Sym}_I) = \bigcap_{i \in I} S^i,$$

and the kernel of Sym_I is the linear span of subspaces:

$$\operatorname{Ker}(\operatorname{Sym}_I) = \operatorname{Span}_{i \in I} A^i.$$

Similarly, the image and the kernel of the antisymmetrization operator Asym_I are

$$\text{Im}(\text{Asym}_I) = \bigcap_{i \in I} A^i \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ker}(\text{Asym}_I) = \text{Span}_{i \in I} S^i.$$

Proof. An element $f \in \mathbf{k}[W]$ belongs to the image of Sym_I if and only if $f w = f$, for any $w \in W_I$. Equivalently, we should have $f s_i = f$, for all $i \in I$, that is, $f \in \bigcap_{i \in I} S^i$.

An element $g = \sum_{w \in W} g_w w \in \mathbf{k}[W]$ belongs to the kernel of Sym_I if and only if the sum of its coefficients g_w over any right W_I -coset C in W is zero: $\sum_{w \in C} g_w = 0$. Such g should be a linear combination of the elements $w - w s_i$, for $w \in W$ and $i \in I$. This follows from the fact that the right W_I -cosets in W are exactly the connected components of the graph on set of vertices W with the set of edges $\{(w, w s_i) \mid w \in W, i \in I\}$. For fixed $i \in I$, the elements $w - w s_i$, for $w \in W$, span the subspace A^i . Thus g should belong to the linear span of the subspaces A^i over all $i \in I$.

The claim about the image and the kernel of Asym_I is proved analogously. \square

For $w \in W$, let

$$c_w := w b_{\text{Des}(w)} \in \mathbf{k}[W].$$

Lemma 3.12.3. *The set $\{c_w \mid w \in W\}$ is a \mathbf{k} -linear basis of $\mathbf{k}[W]$.*

Proof. Notice that w is the unique maximal by length element in the expansion of c_w . Thus the set of elements $\{c_w \mid w \in W\}$ is related to the standard linear basis $\{w \mid w \in W\}$ of $\mathbf{k}[W]$ by a triangular matrix with 1's on the diagonal. Thus $\{c_w \mid w \in W\}$ is a linear basis of $\mathbf{k}[W]$. \square

Lemma 3.12.4. *In the linear basis $\{c_w \mid w \in W\}$, the subspace A^i is the coordinate subspace of $\mathbf{k}[W]$ spanned by the basis elements c_w , for all w such that $i \in \text{Des}(w)$:*

$$A^i = \text{Span}_{w \mid i \in \text{Des}(w)} c_w.$$

Moreover, for any $I \subset [n]$, $\text{Im}(\text{Asym}_I)$ and $\text{Ker}(\text{Sym}_I)$ are the coordinate subspaces given by

$$\text{Im}(\text{Asym}_I) = \text{Span}_{w \mid I \subset \text{Des}(w)} c_w \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ker}(\text{Sym}_I) = \text{Span}_{w \mid I \cap \text{Des}(w) \neq \emptyset} c_w.$$

Proof. Clearly, $c_w \in A^i$ if $i \in \text{Des}(w)$. Since $\#\{w \in W \mid i \in \text{Des}(w)\} = |W|/2 = \dim A^i$, we deduce that the basis elements c_w such that $i \in \text{Des}(w)$ span the subspace A^i . Now the claims about $\text{Im}(\text{Asym}_I)$ and $\text{Ker}(\text{Sym}_I)$ follow from Lemma 3.12.2. \square

Now we can prove part (2) of Theorem 3.11.2. By Lemmas 3.12.1 and 3.12.4, the map $\text{Sym}_{\bar{I}}$, restricted to $\text{Span}\{c_w \mid I \subset \text{Des}(w)\}$, induces the isomorphism

$$\left(\text{Span}_{w \mid I \subset \text{Des}(w)} c_w \right) / \left(\text{Span}_{w \mid I \subset \text{Des}(w), \bar{I} \cap \text{Des}(w) \neq \emptyset} c_w \right) \xrightarrow{\sim} R_I.$$

Thus the elements $\text{Sym}_{\bar{I}}(c_w)$, for all $w \in W$ such that $\text{Des}(w) = I$, form a linear basis of R_I . This is exactly the claim of Theorem 3.11.2(2), because $\text{Sym}_{\bar{I}}(c_w) = w b_{\text{Des}(w)} a_{\bar{I}} =: d_w$.

Part (1) of Theorem 3.11.2 follows from part (2) and Solomon's decomposition (Theorem 3.10.1).

To prove part (3) Theorem 3.11.2, notice that $d_w \in M_I$, for all $w \in W$ such that $\text{Des}(w) \subset I$. Such w 's are exactly the minimal length coset representatives for the right cosets $W/W_{\bar{I}}$. So we get $|W|/|W_{\bar{I}}|$ linearly independent elements d_w in the space $M_I \cong \text{Ind}_{W_{\bar{I}}}^W \mathbf{k}$ of dimension $|W|/|W_{\bar{I}}|$. Thus they form a \mathbf{k} -linear basis of M_I .

4. KOSTKA SHEAVES

Let $\mathcal{C} = \text{Rep}(S_{n+1}) = \mathbf{k}[S_{n+1}]\text{-mod}$ denote the category of finite dimensional representations of S_{n+1} over \mathbf{k} , that is the category of finite dimensional left $\mathbf{k}[S_{n+1}]$ -modules. The key object of this section is a semisimple amonodromic perverse sheaf \mathcal{F} smooth along the coordinate stratification of \mathbb{C}^n . Namely, it is a direct sum of constant sheaves \mathcal{F}_I on the strata closures \bar{S}_I , $I \subset \text{Sub}_n$. Finally,

$$\mathcal{F}_I = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{P}_{n+1}} V_{\lambda}^{\oplus \kappa_{\lambda, I}}[n - |I|].$$

4.1. The sheaf \mathcal{F} in GGM realization. The corresponding amonodromic semisimple GGM sheaf with values in \mathcal{C} is

$$\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}^{(n)} \in \text{GGM}_n^{\text{ass}}(\text{Rep}(S_{n+1})).$$

By definition, for any $I \in \text{Sub}_n$ we have $\mathcal{P}(I) = R_I$, the ribbon module defined by (3.8.3) and (3.8.4).

4.2. The sheaf \mathcal{F} in hyperbolic realization. The corresponding amonodromic semisimple hyperbolic sheaf with values in \mathcal{C} is

$$\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}^{(n)} \in \text{Hyp}_n^{\text{ass}}(\text{Rep}(S_{n+1})).$$

Explicitly, by §2.11 its hyperbolic stalks are

$$\mathcal{Q}(C_{(I, \epsilon)}) = \bigoplus_{J \subset I} R_J.$$

Therefore, by Theorem 3.8.2, they are isomorphic to the induced modules

$$(4.2.1) \quad \mathcal{Q}(C_{(I, \epsilon)}) = \mathcal{Q}(I) \simeq M_I = M_{\varrho(I)}$$

We recall that ϱ denotes the isomorphism $\varrho: \text{Sub}_n \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{Q}_{n+1}$ of Lemma 3.4.1, so that $\varrho(I) = \alpha$ is a composition of $n+1$, and M_α is the S_{n+1} -module induced from the trivial representation of the subgroup $S_\alpha \subset S_{n+1}$.

4.3. A functor $\text{Rep}(S_{n+1}) \rightarrow \text{Hyp}_n^{\text{ass}}(\text{Rep}(S_{n+1}))$. More generally, for any $M \in \text{Rep}(S_{n+1})$ we define a hyperbolic sheaf

$$\mathcal{Q}_M = (M \otimes \mathcal{Q}(C_{(I,\epsilon)}), \text{Id}_M \otimes \gamma, \text{Id}_M \otimes \delta).$$

This way we get a “localization” functor

$$\mathcal{Q}: \text{Rep}(S_{n+1}) \rightarrow \text{Hyp}_n^{\text{ass}}(\text{Rep}(S_{n+1})).$$

Theorem 4.3.1. *For $M \in \text{Rep}(S_{n+1})$, we have an isomorphism $\text{FT } \mathcal{Q}_M \simeq \mathcal{Q}_{M \otimes \mathbf{k}_\epsilon}$.*

Proof. It suffices to construct an isomorphism $\text{FT } \mathcal{Q} \simeq \mathcal{Q} \otimes \mathbf{k}_\epsilon$. Equivalently, in the GGM realization, we have to construct an isomorphism $\text{FT } \mathcal{P} \simeq \mathcal{P} \otimes \mathbf{k}_\epsilon$. The existence of the desired isomorphism follows immediately from the isomorphism $V_\lambda \otimes \mathbf{k}_\epsilon \simeq V_{\lambda^t}$, Theorem 3.4.6, and the formula for Fourier-Sato transform in §2.4. \square

4.4. Induced from parabolics as functions on flags. Let us call a *type* a sequence of integers $\chi = (\chi_1, \dots, \chi_p)$ with

$$1 \leq \chi_1 < \dots < \chi_p \leq n+1.$$

Notation: $\{\chi\} = \{\chi_1, \dots, \chi_p\}$.

The number $p = \ell(\chi)$ will be called the length of χ . The set of types of length p will be denoted $\mathcal{T}yp_p$; this set contains $\binom{n+1}{p}$ elements.

To each type corresponds a composition of $n+1$

$$(4.4.1) \quad \alpha(\chi) = (\chi_1, \chi_2 - \chi_1, \dots, n+1 - \chi_p) \in \mathfrak{Q}_{n+1}$$

A *flag of type* χ is a chain I_\bullet of subsets $I_1 \subset \dots \subset I_p \subset [n+1]$ with $|I_i| = \chi_i$, and p is the length of I_\bullet .

We denote by $F\ell_\chi$ the set of all flags of type χ , and by $F\ell_p$ the set of all flags of length p .

The set $F\ell_\chi$ is acted upon from the left by S_{n+1} and is isomorphic to $F\ell_\chi \cong S_{n+1}/S_\chi$, where a parabolic subgroup S_χ is the stabilizer of the standard flag

$$[\chi_1] \subset \dots \subset [\chi_p].$$

We have

$$S_\chi \cong S_{\alpha_1} \times S_{\alpha_2} \times \dots \times S_{\alpha_{p+1}} = S_\alpha,$$

where $\alpha = \alpha(\chi)$, cf. (4.4.1).

Let M_χ denote the space of maps of sets

$$\text{Maps}_{\text{Sets}}(F\ell_\chi, \mathbf{k}) = \{f: F\ell_\chi \rightarrow \mathbf{k}\};$$

it is an S_{n+1} -representation isomorphic to M_α introduced §3.8.

This realization of the modules M_α is convenient for describing some natural morphisms between them.

For instance, there are $(n+1)!$ flags of length $n+1$, all of them having type $\chi_0 = (1, 2, \dots, n+1)$. The corresponding representation M_{χ_0} is the regular one. We postulate that there is a single type \emptyset of length zero, and define M_\emptyset to be the trivial representation k .

4.5. Induction and restriction. For two types χ, θ we write $\theta \subset \chi$ if $\{\theta\} \subset \{\chi\}$.

We have obvious maps $\partial_{\chi\theta}: Fl_\chi \rightarrow Fl_\theta$, wherefrom we obtain the “restriction”, or pullback, morphisms in $\text{Rep}(S_{n+1})$

$$r_{\theta\chi} = \partial_{\chi\theta}^*: M_\theta \rightarrow M_\chi.$$

Their conjugate are “induction”, or pushout, morphisms

$$i_{\chi\theta} = \partial_{\chi\theta*}: M_\chi \rightarrow M_\theta$$

are explicitly defined as follows: for $f \in M_\chi$, $I_\bullet \in Fl_\theta$,

$$(4.5.1) \quad i_{\chi\theta}(f)(I_\bullet) = \sum_{J_\bullet \in \partial_{\chi\theta}^{-1}(I_\bullet)} f(J_\bullet)$$

4.6. Parabolic complexes. These are two dual complexes of length n in $\text{Rep}(S_{n+1})$.

The *master complex* going back at least to [Kat, (1.2)] (specialized to the case when the Weyl group $W = S_{n+1}$, the module M is trivial, and $q = 1$) is

$$(4.6.1) \quad \text{Mas}^\bullet: 0 \rightarrow M_{\chi_0} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\chi:|\chi|=n-1} M_\chi \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\chi:|\chi|=1} M_\chi \rightarrow M_\emptyset \rightarrow 0$$

The differentials

$$d_p: \bigoplus_{\chi:|\chi|=p} M_\chi \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\chi:|\chi|=p-1} M_\chi$$

are induced by the maps $i_{\chi\theta}$ with appropriate signs. More precisely, their nonzero matrix elements are the maps $d_{\chi\chi'}: M_\chi \rightarrow M_{\chi'}$ with $\chi' \subset \chi$, $|\chi'| = |\chi| - 1$. Given a type χ of length p , there are p subtypes $\chi' = \partial_i \chi$, $1 \leq i \leq p$, of length $p-1$.

By definition, $d_{\chi, \partial_i \chi} = (-1)^i i_{\chi, \partial_i \chi}$. We consider the “master complex” (4.6.1) as concentrated in degrees $[0, n]$.

The *conjugate master complex* is a similar one with differentials induced by the restriction maps $r_{\chi', \chi}$, $|\chi'| = |\chi| - 1$ (with signs):

$$(4.6.2) \quad \text{Mas}'^\bullet: 0 \rightarrow M_\emptyset \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\chi:|\chi|=1} M_\chi \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow M_{\chi_0} \rightarrow 0$$

By [Kat, (#) at page 942] applied to the case when the Weyl group $W = S_{n+1}$, the module M is trivial, and $q = 1$,

$$(4.6.3) \quad H^i(\text{Mas}^\bullet) = 0, \quad i > 0,$$

and the only nonzero cohomology is

$$(4.6.4) \quad H^0(\mathcal{M}as^\bullet) = \mathbf{k}_\epsilon,$$

the sign representation.

Corollary 4.6.1. $H^i(\mathcal{M}as'^\bullet) = 0$, $i > 0$.

4.7. Comparison with the vanishing cycles master complex. Recall the complex $\Phi^\bullet(\mathcal{Q})$ introduced in (2.9.1) for arbitrary hyperbolic sheaf (E, γ, δ) .

Theorem 4.7.1. *There exists an isomorphism of complexes in $\text{Rep}(S_{n+1})$*

$$(4.7.1) \quad \mathcal{M}as^\bullet \xrightarrow{\sim} \Phi^\bullet(\mathcal{Q}).$$

Proof. We know already that the individual terms of the above complexes are isomorphic, see (4.2.1). Both of them start with the regular representation $M_{[n]} = M_{(11\dots 1)} = \mathbf{k}[S_{n+1}]$. By (4.6.4), $H^0(\mathcal{M}as^\bullet) = \mathbf{k}_\epsilon$.

On the other hand, $H^0(\Phi^\bullet(\mathcal{Q})) \cong \mathbf{k}_\epsilon$. Indeed, the Euler characteristic of $\Phi^\bullet(\mathcal{Q})$ is \mathbf{k}_ϵ e.g. by [So1, Theorem 2], and $H^{>0}(\Phi^\bullet(\mathcal{Q})) = 0$ by Proposition 2.9.1.

We will apply the following elementary

Lemma 4.7.2. *Let \mathcal{C} be a semisimple category, $A \subset B, A' \subset B'$ objects of \mathcal{C} . If $A \cong A'$ and $B \cong B'$ then $A/B \cong A'/B'$. \square*

We can reformulate the lemma as follows. Choose an isomorphism $\phi_A: A \xrightarrow{\sim} A'$. Since \mathcal{C} is semisimple, there are objects C, C' such that $B \cong A \oplus C$ and $B' \cong A' \oplus C'$. According to the lemma, $C \cong C'$. Whence there exists an isomorphism $\phi_B: B \xrightarrow{\sim} B'$ making the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & B \\ \phi_A \downarrow & & \phi_B \downarrow \\ A' & \longrightarrow & B' \end{array}$$

commutative.

Now we can construct the desired isomorphism $\mathcal{M}as^\bullet \xrightarrow{\sim} \Phi^\bullet(\mathcal{Q})$ inductively from left to right, using the isomorphism $H^0(\Phi^\bullet(\mathcal{Q}^{(n)})) \cong \mathbf{k}_\epsilon$ and the acyclicity of both complexes in positive degrees. \square

4.8. Relation to the permutohedron. We present a geometric interpretation of the master complex of vanishing cycles $\Phi^\bullet(\mathcal{Q})$, cf. [Kat, So1, Wi].

Proposition 4.8.1. *The complex $\Phi^\bullet(\mathcal{Q})$ is isomorphic, as a complex of \mathbf{k} -vector spaces, to the complex $C^\bullet(\text{Perm}_n)$ of cochains of the n -th permutohedron.*

For instance, let $n = 2$. The complex $\Phi^\bullet(\mathcal{Q}) = \Phi^\bullet(\mathcal{Q}^{(2)})$ has the form

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{k}[S_3] \rightarrow \mathbf{k}[S'] \oplus \mathbf{k}[S''] \rightarrow \mathbf{k} \rightarrow 0.$$

Here $S', S'' \cong S_2$ are the subgroups of S_3 generated by transpositions $s_1 = (12)$ and $s_2 = (23)$ respectively. So the dimensions of its terms are 6, 6, 1, and it is isomorphic to the complex of cochains of the hexagon Perm_2 .

Proof. Our complex $\Phi^\bullet(\mathcal{Q})$ has the form

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{k}[W] \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in S} \mathbf{k}[W/W_{\{i\}}] \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\{i,j\} \subset S} \mathbf{k}[W/W_{\{i,j\}}] \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathbf{k} \rightarrow 0,$$

where $W = S_{n+1}$, and for a subset $I \subset S$ of the set of Coxeter generators, $W_I \subset W$ denotes the subgroup generated by s_i , $i \in I$.

On the other hand, consider the root arrangement in \mathbb{R}^S ; the permutohedron P_W is a polygon dual to this arrangement. Thus, its vertices are in one-to-one correspondence with the chambers that form a set on which W acts simply transitively, i.e. after choosing a fundamental chamber C we can identify this set with W , i.e. with a base of $\mathbf{k}[W]$.

Next, the edges of P_W are in bijection with walls; there are n walls M_i on the boundary of C which correspond to simple reflections s_i ; the stabilizer of M_i being the subgroup $W_{\{i\}}$. So the set of walls is in bijection with $\coprod_{i \in S} W/W_{\{i\}}$, etc. \square

4.9. Warning. One might think that the maps $i_{\chi\theta}$ and $r_{\theta\chi}$ give rise to a hyperbolic sheaf, but this is not so: the collinearity axiom does not hold, as the example of S_3 already shows.

Namely, let $n = 2$. Consider the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_{(1)} & \xrightarrow{r} & M_\emptyset \\ i \downarrow & & i \downarrow \\ M_{(12)} & \xrightarrow{r} & M_{(2)}. \end{array}$$

Let $f \in M_{(1)}$, i.e. f is a function from one-element subsets of $[3]$ to \mathbf{k} . Then $r(f) = \sum_{i=1}^3 f(i)$, and $ir(f)$ is the constant function with value $r(f)$.

On the other hand, $i(f)(\{i\} \subset \{i,j\}) = f(i)$, and $ir(f)(\{i,j\}) = f(i) + f(j)$. Thus, $ir \neq ri$, the square is not commutative.

5. FROM S_{n+1} TO $\text{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{F}_q)$

We denote $G = G_{n+1} = \text{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

5.1. Flag spaces and induced representations. We fix a vector space $U = \mathbb{F}_q^{n+1}$. For a type $\chi = (\chi_1, \dots, \chi_p) \in \mathcal{T}yp_p$ (notation of §4.4), a flag of type χ is a chain of linear subspaces

$$F_\bullet: 0 = U_0 \subset U_1 \subset \dots \subset U_p \subset U;$$

such that $\dim U_i = \chi_i$. We will call p the length of F_\bullet .

We denote by $F\ell_\chi(q)$ the set of all flags of type χ , and by $F\ell_p(q)$ the set of all flags of length p .

The set $F\ell_\chi(q)$ is acted upon from the left by G and is a homogeneous set $F\ell_\chi(q) \cong G/P_\chi$, where P_χ is the standard parabolic subgroup, the stabilizer of the standard flag $\mathbb{F}_q^{\chi_1} \subset \dots \subset \mathbb{F}_q^{\chi_p}$.

For $\chi = (1, 2, \dots, n, n+1)$, we have $P_\chi = B$, the standard Borel.

Let $M_\chi(q)$ denote the space of maps of sets

$$\text{Maps}_{\text{Sets}}(F\ell_\chi(q), \mathbf{k}) = \{f: F\ell_\chi(q) \rightarrow \mathbf{k}\};$$

it is a G -module isomorphic to $\text{Ind}_{P_\chi}^G \mathbf{k}$.

5.2. Induction and restriction. We have the natural q -analogues of the master complex and its conjugate of §4.6.

Let $\theta \subset \chi$ be two types. We have obvious maps

$$\partial_{\chi\theta}: F\ell_\chi(q) \rightarrow F\ell_\theta(q),$$

wherefrom the restriction, or pullback, morphisms in $\text{Rep}(G)$

$$r_{\theta\chi} = \partial_{\chi\theta}^*: M_\theta(q) \rightarrow M_\chi(q).$$

Their conjugate are induction, or pushout, morphisms

$$i_{\chi\theta} = \partial_{\chi\theta*}: M_\chi(q) \rightarrow M_\theta(q),$$

that are explicitly defined as follows: for $f \in M_\chi(q)$, $F_\bullet \in F\ell_\theta$,

$$(5.2.1) \quad i_{\chi\theta}(f)(F_\bullet) = \sum_{F'_\bullet \in \partial_{\chi\theta}^{-1}(F_\bullet)} f(F'_\bullet).$$

Proceeding as in §4.6 we obtain a parabolic complex

$$(5.2.2) \quad \text{DL}(\mathbf{k})^\bullet: 0 \rightarrow M_{\chi_0}(q) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\chi: |\chi|=n-1} M_\chi(q) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow M_\theta(q) \rightarrow 0$$

This is nothing but the Deligne-Lusztig complex [DL, (1.2)] for the case of trivial representation $E = \mathbf{k}$ of $\text{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{F}_q)$. According to [DL, Theorem in §2],

$$(5.2.3) \quad H^i(\text{DL}(\mathbf{k})^\bullet) = 0, \quad i > 0.$$

The only nonzero cohomology is $H^0(\text{DL}(\mathbf{k})^\bullet) = \text{St}$, the Steinberg module [St].

5.2.1. *Example $n = 1$.* Consider the following hyperbolic sheaf $E \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C}, 0)$ with values in $\text{Rep}(\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{F}_q))$:

$$E_0 = \text{Ind}_B^G \mathbf{k} = \text{Maps}(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbf{k}), \quad E_+ = E_- = \mathbf{k}.$$

We have

$$\text{Ind}_B^G \mathbf{k} \cong \mathbf{k} \oplus \text{St}.$$

The Fourier-Sato transform $\text{FT}(E)$ has the hyperbolic stalks

$$E_0^\vee = E_0 = \text{Ind}_B^G \mathbf{k}, \quad E_+^\vee = E_-^\vee = \text{St}.$$

5.2.2. *Example $n = 2$.* We have $4 = 2^2$ standard parabolics G, P_1, P_2, B with

$$G/G = \{*\}, G/P_1 = \mathbb{P}^2, G/P_2 = \mathbb{P}^{2\vee}.$$

The real stratification $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R}}$ of \mathbb{R}^2 has 4 2-dimensional cells C_i^{\pm} , $i = 1, 2$; and 4 1-dimensional cells ℓ_i^{\pm} , $i = 1, 2$, and the 0-dimensional cell $\{0\}$.

We define a hyperbolic sheaf $E \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C}^2, \mathcal{S})$ with hyperbolic stalks

$$E_{C_i^{\pm}} = \mathbf{k},$$

$$E_{\ell_1^{\pm}} = \text{Ind}_{P_1}^G \mathbf{k} = \text{Map}(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathbf{k}), \quad E_{\ell_2^{\pm}} = \text{Ind}_{P_2}^G \mathbf{k} = \text{Map}(\mathbb{P}^{2\vee}, \mathbf{k}),$$

$$E_0 = \text{Ind}_B^G \mathbf{k} = \text{Map}(G/B, \mathbf{k}).$$

We have the decomposition into irreducibles

$$E_0 \cong \mathbf{k} \oplus \text{St}' \otimes \mathbf{k}^2 \oplus \text{St}.$$

We have $\dim \text{St}' = q^2 + q$, $\dim \text{St} = q^3$, and $|G/B| = q^3 + 2q^2 + 2q + 1$.

The Fourier-Sato transform $\text{FT}(E)$ has hyperbolic stalks

$$E_0^{\vee} = E_{C_i^{\pm}}, \quad E_{\ell_1^{\pm}}^{\vee} = E_{\ell_2^{\pm}}, \quad E_{\ell_2^{\pm}}^{\vee} = E_{\ell_1^{\pm}}, \quad E_{C_i^{\pm}}^{\vee} = E_0.$$

5.3. Unipotent representations of $\text{GL}(n + 1, \mathbb{F}_q)$. Let \mathcal{H}_{n+1} denote the Iwahori-Hecke algebra of S_{n+1} at q . There is an isomorphism [BC] of algebras $\mathbf{k}[S_{n+1}] \simeq \mathcal{H}_{n+1}$. At the price of extending \mathbf{k} by \sqrt{q} , one can choose an explicit isomorphism of [Lus]. It gives rise to an equivalence of semisimple abelian categories $\mathcal{C} = \text{Rep}(S_{n+1}) \cong \text{Rep}(\mathcal{H}_{n+1}) =: \mathcal{C}_q$. Under this equivalence, the master complex Mas^{\bullet} goes to the complex ${}_q\text{Mas}^{\bullet}$ of [Kat, (1.2)] (specialized to the case when the Weyl group $W = S_{n+1}$, and the representation M is trivial).

Furthermore, \mathcal{C}_q is canonically equivalent to the semisimple abelian category $\mathcal{C}_G := \text{Rep}_{\text{unip}}(G_{n+1})$ of unipotent representations of $\text{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{F}_q)$: direct sums of constituents of the natural representation of $\text{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ in the space of functions on the flag variety. Under this equivalence, ${}_q\text{Mas}^{\bullet}$ goes to the Deligne-Lusztig complex [DL] $\text{DL}(\mathbf{k})$ of the trivial G -module \mathbf{k} .

More generally, composing the functor \mathcal{Q} of §4.3 with the above equivalence $\mathcal{C}_G \simeq \mathcal{C}$, we obtain a functor

$$\mathcal{Q}^G: \text{Rep}_{\text{unip}}(G_{n+1}) \rightarrow \text{Hyp}_n^{\text{ass}}(\text{Rep}_{\text{unip}}(G_{n+1})).$$

Now given a unipotent representation $K \in \text{Rep}_{\text{unip}}(G_{n+1})$, we consider the complex of vanishing cycles $\Phi^{\bullet}(\mathcal{Q}^G(K))$ of (2.9.1). Then it follows from Theorem 4.7.1 that $\Phi^{\bullet}(\mathcal{Q}^G(K))$ is isomorphic to the Deligne-Lusztig complex $\text{DL}(K)$. Finally, it follows from Theorem 4.3.1 that we have an isomorphism $\text{FT } \mathcal{Q}^G(K) \simeq \mathcal{Q}^G(K^{\vee})$, where K^{\vee} stands for the Deligne-Lusztig dual of K .

REFERENCES

- [A] D. Alvis, *The duality operation in the character ring of a finite Chevalley group*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **1** (1979), 907–911.
- [BC] C. T. Benson, C. W. Curtis, *On the degrees and rationality of certain characters of finite Chevalley groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **165** (1972), 251–273.
- [BK] R. Bezrukavnikov, M. Kapranov, *Microlocal sheaves and quiver varieties*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6) **25** (2016), no. 2–3, 473–516.
- [C] C. W. Curtis, *Truncation and duality in the character ring of a finite group of Lie type*, J. Algebra **62** (1980), 320–332.
- [DL] P. Deligne, G. Lusztig, *Duality for representations of a reductive group over a finite field*, J. Algebra **74** (1982), 284–291.
- [FH] W. Fulton, J. Harris, *Representation Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **129** (1991).
- [FKS] M. Finkelberg, M. Kapranov, V. Schechtman, *Fourier transform on hyperplane arrangements*, [arXiv:1712.0742](https://arxiv.org/abs/1712.0742).
- [GGM] A. Galligo, M. Granger, Ph. Maisonobe, *\mathcal{D} -modules et faisceaux pervers dont le support singulier est un croisement normal*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **35** (1985), 1–48.
- [Kat] S.-I. Kato, *Duality for representations of a Hecke algebra*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **19** (1993), 941–946.
- [Kaw] N. Kawanaka, *Fourier transforms of nilpotently supported invariant functions on a simple Lie algebra over a finite field*, Invent. Math. **69** (1982), no. 3, 411–435.
- [Kos] C. Kostka, *Über den Zusammenhang zwischen einigen Formen von symmetrischen Funktionen*, J. reine und angew. Math. **93** (1882), 89–123.
- [KS] M. Kapranov, V. Schechtman, *Perverse sheaves over real hyperplane arrangements*, Ann. Math. **183** (2016), 619–679.
- [Lus] G. Lusztig, *On a Theorem of Benson and Curtis*, J. Algebra **71** (1981), 490–498.
- [Mac] P. A. MacMahon, *Combinatory Analysis*, Cambridge 1915–1916.
- [Mal] B. Malgrange, *Equations Différentielles à Coefficients Polynomiaux*, Progress in Math. **96**, Birkhäuser, Boston (1991).
- [So1] L. Solomon, *The orders of finite Chevalley groups*, J. Algebra **3** (1966), 376–393.
- [So2] L. Solomon, *A Decomposition of the Group Algebra of a Finite Coxeter Group*, J. Algebra **9** (1968), 220–239.
- [St] R. Steinberg, *A geometric approach to the theory of representations of the full linear group over a Galois field*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **71** (1951), no. 2, 274–282.
- [T] K. Takeuchi, *Dimension formula for hyperfunction solutions to holonomic \mathcal{D} -modules*, Adv. Math. **180** (2003), 134–145.
- [We] H. Weyl, *The classical groups, their invariants and representations*, Princeton Landmarks in Mathematics, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ (1997), 15th printing, Princeton Paperbacks.
- [Wi] E. Witt, *Spiegelungsgruppen und Aufzählung halbeinfacher Liecher Ringe*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **14** (1941), 289–322.

NATIONAL RESEARCH UNIVERSITY HIGHER SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS, RUSSIAN FEDERATION, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 6 USACHEVA ST, 119048 MOSCOW;
SKOLKOVO INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY;
INSTITUTE FOR THE INFORMATION TRANSMISSION PROBLEMS

Email address: `finklberg@gmail.com`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, 77 MASSACHUSETTS AVE, CAMBRIDGE MA 02139, USA

Email address: `apost@math.mit.edu`

INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE TOULOUSE, UNIVERSITÉ PAUL SABATIER, 118 ROUTE DE NARBONNE, 31062 TOULOUSE, FRANCE

Email address: `schechtman@math.ups-tlse.fr`