

Tropical invariants for binary quintics and reduction types of Picard curves

Paul Alexander Helminck, Yassine El Maazouz and Enis Kaya

June 2, 2022

Abstract

In this paper, we express the reduction types of Picard curves in terms of tropical invariants associated to binary quintics. These invariants are connected to Picard modular forms using recent work by Cléry and van der Geer. We furthermore give a general framework for tropical invariants associated to group actions on arbitrary varieties. The previous problem fits in this general framework by mapping the space of binary forms to symmetrized versions of the Deligne–Mumford compactification $\overline{M}_{0,n}$. We conjecture that the techniques introduced here can be used to find tropical invariants for binary forms of any degree.

1 Introduction

Invariant theory studies quantities in geometry that are invariant under group actions. This theory sparked many developments in commutative algebra, leading to the Hilbert basis theorem and many other results. In this paper, we study invariants of binary forms $f(x, z) = a_0x^n + a_1x^{n-1}z + \cdots + a_nz^n$ defined over an algebraically closed field K of characteristic 0. The group in question is $\mathrm{GL}_2(K)$ and it acts on these binary forms through *Möbius transformations*; that is

$$f^\sigma(x, z) = f(ax + bz, cx + dz), \quad \text{for } \sigma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(K).$$

By comparing the entries of f to those of f^σ , this then also gives an action of $\mathrm{GL}_2(K)$ on $K[a_i]$. The invariants for this action are homogeneous polynomials H in the a_i such that $H^\sigma = \det(\sigma)^k H$ for some k . By well-known results from commutative algebra, these form a finitely generated subring of $K[a_i]$ called the ring of invariants. There are algorithms that can explicitly calculate the generators of this ring and a full list of generators is known for all binary forms of degree $n \leq 10$. These generators satisfy the pleasant property that two separable binary forms are projectively equivalent if and only if the values of the generators are projectively equivalent. This gives a strong connection between algebra on the one hand and geometry on the other.

We now turn to the non-archimedean side of this story and consider a complete non-archimedean algebraically closed field K of characteristic zero with non-trivial valuation $v: K^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Let $f(x, z)$ be a separable binary form of degree n over K . The zeroes of this form give a canonical metric tree on n leaves by connecting the corresponding points in the Berkovich analytification of \mathbb{P}^1 . There are only finitely many phylogenetic types for any given degree n (see Figure 1 for the case $n = 5$), and the possible types give rise to a partition of the space of all non-archimedean binary forms of degree n . Since the tree is invariant under projective isomorphisms, this also partitions the space of all invariants. A natural question now arises: what are the equations for these partitions?




Figure 1: The three types of unmarked phylogenetic trees with 5 leaves

There are two instances where we know the equations: $n = 4$ and $n = 6$. For $n = 4$ there are two tree types: a trivial one with four leaves connected to a single vertex and a non-trivial one. We can distinguish between these two using the valuation of the j -invariant of the quartic. Namely, a quartic has trivial tree type if and only if $v(j) \geq 0$, see [Sil09, Chapter VII]. For $n = 6$, there are seven tree types and one can distinguish between them using the valuations of Igusa invariants, see [Liu93] and [Hel21b]. Our main goal in this paper is to fill up this gap and find the invariants for *binary quintics*, that is, for $n = 5$.

For quintics, there are three tree types; see Figure 1. We wish to distinguish between these tree types using the valuations of suitable invariants. To that end, we start with a set of generators I_4, I_8, I_{12}, I_{18} for the ring of invariants together with the discriminant Δ . The valuations of these invariants are not sufficient to determine the tree type of the quintic, so we introduce a new invariant H , giving the set $S = \{I_4, I_8, I_{12}, I_{18}, \Delta, H\}$. In our first theorem, we show that the valuations of these invariants determine the tree type of a quintic. We call the valuations of these invariants the *tropical invariants* of the quintic. For technical reasons, we assume for the remainder of this section that the residue characteristic p of K is not equal to 2, 3, 11. Note however that Remark 31 explains how to deal with the case where $p = 11$.

Theorem 1 (Tree types of binary quintics). *Let f be a separable binary quintic over K . Then, the tree type of f is determined by the tropical invariants as follows:*

- (I) *The tree is of Type I if and only if $8v(I) - \deg(I)v(\Delta) \geq 0$ for all $I \in S$.*
- (II) *The tree is of Type II if and only if $v(\Delta) - 2v(I_4) > 0$ or $9v(\Delta) - 4v(I_{18}) > 0$, and $12v(I) - \deg(I)v(H) \geq 0$ for all $I \in S$.*
- (III) *The tree is of Type III if and only if $v(\Delta) - 2v(I_4) > 0$ and $v(H) - 3v(I_4) > 0$.*

Finding the equations for $n = 4$ and $n = 6$ is partially motivated by applications to reduction types of hyperelliptic curves. For $n = 5$, the motivation comes from Picard curves X , which are smooth plane quartics of the form

$$y^3 \ell(x, z) = q(x, z),$$

where $\deg(\ell(x, z)) = 1$ and $\deg(q(x, z)) = 4$. We are interested in obtaining the *minimal skeleton* of the Berkovich analytification of such a curve, which codifies the different possible semistable models for X . The results in [Hel22] show that this skeleton can be recovered from the *marked tree type* of the quintic $f(x, z) = \ell(x, z) \cdot q(x, z)$. More precisely, this quintic has five distinct roots, giving a metric tree with five leaves, and the root of $\ell(x, z)$ gives the marking. We can assume by a projective transformation that this marked point is ∞ . There are exactly five marked tree types, see Figure 2, giving rise to five reduction types of Picard curves. In terms of invariant theory, the natural object to consider here is the binary $(4, 1)$ -form $(q(x, z), \ell(x, z))$. These binary $(4, 1)$ -forms similarly have a finitely generated ring of invariants and in our second theorem we show that we can find a set of invariants for $(4, 1)$ -forms that distinguish between the five marked tree types.

This set consists of the set S from Theorem 1 together with a new set $S' = \{j_2, j_3, j_5, j_6, j_9\}$ of $(4, 1)$ -invariants. As above, we call the valuations of these invariants the *tropical invariants* of the $(4, 1)$ -form.




Figure 2: Tree types of binary $(4, 1)$ -forms

Theorem 2 (Tree types of $(4, 1)$ -forms). *Let (q, ℓ) be a $(4, 1)$ -form over K such that the associated binary quintic $f = q \cdot \ell$ is separable. The tree type of (q, ℓ) is determined by the tropical invariants as follows:*

- (I) *If f has tree Type I, then (q, ℓ) also has Type I.*
- (II) *If f has tree Type II, then (q, ℓ) has Type II.1 (resp. Type II.2) if and only if the quantity $5v(j_2) - 2v(j_5)$ is strictly positive (resp. zero).*
- (III) *If f has tree Type III, then (q, ℓ) has Type III.1 (resp. Type III.2) if and only if the quantity $5v(j_2) - 2v(j_5)$ is strictly positive (resp. zero).*

To determine the skeleton of a Picard curve, we also need to know the associated weights and edge lengths. The weights of the skeleton are completely determined by Theorem 2, but the lengths are not. In our third theorem, we give formulas for the edge lengths of a $(4, 1)$ -form in terms of its tropical invariants. For trees of Type II and Type III.2, we are able to give these in terms of invariants of quintics. For trees of Type III.1, we express the marked edge lengths in terms of $(4, 1)$ -invariants. This difference is quite natural, since there is a natural symmetry on trees of Type III.2.

Theorem 3 (Edge lengths). *The non-trivial edge lengths of the trees in Theorem 2 are given by the tropical invariants as follows:*

- (I) *If f has Type I, then there are no non-trivial edges.*
- (II) *If f has Type II, then there is only one edge e_1 . Its length, both in the cases of unmarked and marked trees, is given by*

$$L(e_1) = \max \left(\frac{1}{2}(v(\Delta) - 2v(I_4)), \frac{1}{3}(2v(\Delta) - v(I_{18})) \right).$$

(III) If f has Type III, then there are two edges e_1 and e_2 . Assume the length of e_1 is less than or equal to that of e_2 . The unmarked edge lengths are given by

$$\begin{aligned} L(e_1) &= \min\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(v(I_{18}) - \frac{9}{2}v(I_4)\right), \frac{1}{4}(v(\Delta) - 2v(I_4))\right), \\ L(e_2) &= v(\Delta) - 2v(I_4) - 2L(e_1). \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

If (q, ℓ) has Type III.1, then we write e_1 for the edge adjacent to the marked point and e_2 for the other edge. The edge lengths are then given by

$$\begin{aligned} L(e_1) &= \frac{1}{10}(5v(j_2) - 2v(j_5)), \\ L(e_2) &= \frac{1}{2}(v(\Delta) - 2v(I_4)) - L(e_1). \end{aligned}$$

For trees of Type III.2, they are as in (1).

Combining these theorems, we then immediately obtain a description of the reduction types of Picard curves in terms of quintic and $(4, 1)$ -invariants.




Figure 3: Reduction types of Picard curves

Corollary 4 (Reduction types of Picard curves). *The reduction type of the Picard curve $y^3\ell(x, z) = q(x, z)$ is completely determined by the tropical invariants of the $(4, 1)$ -form (q, ℓ) . The tree types given in Figure 2 correspond to the reduction types in Figure 3.*

We thus obtain a description of the moduli space of tropical Picard curves in terms of invariants of quintics and $(4, 1)$ -forms. The work in [CvdG21] shows that these invariants in fact give rise to Picard modular forms. This is completely analogous to the cases $n = 4$ and $n = 6$ mentioned above. For instance, for $n = 4$ we have the invariants c_4 and c_6 corresponding to Eisenstein modular forms for $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. The j -invariant is a rational function in terms of these modular forms and the classical criterion an elliptic curve E has (potential) good reduction if and only if its j -invariant is non-negative expresses the tropical moduli space in terms of these modular forms. For $n = 6$ the Igusa invariants similarly give rise to modular forms (see [vdGee21, Section 6]) and the criteria given in [Liu93] and [Hel21b] again express the tropical moduli space in terms of modular forms. We view our results as extensions of those for Picard curves.

1.1 Comparison with existing literature

The results obtained in this paper can be seen as a natural continuation of [Liu93] and [Hel21b]. In the first, criteria for the seven reduction types of curves of genus two were given in terms of the Igusa invariants. In [Hel21b], this result was extended to arbitrary complete non-archimedean fields and an easier proof was given. This paper in turn was based on [Hel22], where skeleta of general superelliptic curves are studied. In the latter, it was shown that one can recover the skeleton from tropicalizations of certain functions in the coefficients of $f(x)$. The key difference between this paper and the latter is that the functions we give here are projective invariants of binary forms. This allows us to interpret the criteria in terms of Picard modular forms, giving a stronger connection to various moduli spaces in the literature.

We also define a general notion of a set of tropical invariants using tools from non-archimedean geometry. A notion that seems distantly related to this one appears in [KM19], where spherical varieties and invariant valuations are studied. We do not restrict ourselves to spherical varieties, as we can phrase everything in terms of G -invariant subsets of the analytification of an algebraic variety for some group G . This removes any reliance on auxiliary objects such as Gröbner bases or graded algebras.

From a geometric point of view, our paper fits into the literature as follows. Suppose that we have a group action on a variety that admits a geometric quotient. This quotient admits many possible compactifications and for every compactification we obtain a natural definition of a tropical invariant. For instance, for separable binary forms we can compactify the space using either stable binary forms (see [MFK94]) or the symmetrized Deligne–Mumford compactification $\overline{M}_{0,n}/S_n$. We are mostly interested in the latter, since this has direct applications to reduction types of Picard curves. That is, if we write $\overline{\mathcal{N}}$ for the space of admissible $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ -coverings with ramification signature $(4, 1)$, then there is a natural map $\overline{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow \overline{M}_{0,5}/S_4 \times S_1$ sending a covering to its branch locus and this map respects the boundary loci. Recent work by Cléry and van der Geer [CvdG21] shows that this map can be used to connect $(4, 1)$ -invariants to Picard modular forms, mirroring the classical case of elliptic curves. In a sense, our results can be seen as giving logarithmic equations near the boundary of $\overline{\mathcal{N}}$. For future work, it would be interesting to see how tropical invariants of binary forms are connected to other moduli spaces.

1.2 Code

Several results in this article were found or proved by symbolic computations. The codes and computations are made available at

$$\text{https://mathrepo.mis.mpg.de/TropicalInvariantsPicardCurves/index.html.} \quad (2)$$

Our code is implemented in SageMath [The22].

1.3 Outline

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review some background on invariant theory for binary forms, and we discuss Picard curves and modular forms. Section 3 introduces and discusses the notion of tropical invariants. Finally, we prove our main results, and in particular discuss the edge lengths (or thickness of singular points), in Section 4.

1.4 Notation

Throughout this paper, unless explicitly stated otherwise, we will use the following notation:

- K – Complete, non-archimedean and algebraically closed valued field of characteristic 0.
- v – Non-trivial valuation on K .
- R – The valuation ring of K .
- \mathfrak{m} – The unique maximal ideal of R .
- k – The residue field R/\mathfrak{m} .
- p – The residue characteristic of K ; that is $p = \text{char}(k)$.
- \mathbb{A}^n – Affine space over K of dimension n .
- \mathbb{P}^n – Projective space over K of dimension n .
- $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ – $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$.

In Section 4, we will require that $p \neq 2, 3, 11$.

Acknowledgements

This work started during the first and second named authors' visit to the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in the Sciences. The authors thank the aforementioned institute for the generous hospitality and welcoming environment. The authors are grateful to Bernd Sturmfels for illuminating discussions.

2 Background

In this section, we review the necessary background and preliminaries on invariant theory for binary forms and $(4, 1)$ -forms. We also recall some notions on Picard curves and modular forms.

2.1 Invariant theory of binary forms

In recall some facts and results from invariant theory of binary forms. For detailed treatments of invariant theory, we refer the reader to [Stu08; DK15; Pop14]. Fix an algebraically closed field K such that $\text{char}(K) = 0$.

2.1.1 A group action on binary forms

Let $n \geq 0$ be a fixed integer. Let $A = K[a_0, \dots, a_n]$ be the polynomial ring in $n + 1$ variables. We view A as a graded ring with the standard grading $\deg(a_i) = 1$. Let V_n be the A -submodule of $A[x, z]$ consisting of homogeneous polynomials in x and z of total degree n . The reductive group $G := \text{SL}_2(K)$ acts (as a right action) on the A -module V_n as follows:

$$g^\sigma(x, z) := g(ax + bz, cx + dz), \quad \text{for } g \in V_n \text{ and } \sigma = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in G.$$

Definition 5. [Universal binary form] We define the *universal* binary form f of degree n over K as the binary form given by

$$f(x, z) = a_0x^n + a_1x^{n-1}z + \dots + a_nz^n \in V_n.$$

A binary form over K of degree n is obtained by non-trivially specializing the coefficients to K .

We obtain an action of G on A by sending a_i to the coefficient $c_i(f^\sigma)$ of $x^{n-i}z^i$ in f^σ for $0 \leq i \leq n$. This means that G acts on A as follows:

$$F^\sigma(a_0, \dots, a_n) := F(c_0(f^\sigma), \dots, c_n(f^\sigma)), \quad \text{for } F \in A \text{ and } \sigma \in G. \quad (3)$$

Example 6. When $n = 2$, from the definition

$$f^\sigma(x, z) = f(ax + bz, cx + dz), \quad \text{for } \sigma = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in G$$

we get

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_0(f^\sigma) \\ c_1(f^\sigma) \\ c_2(f^\sigma) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a^2 & ac & c^2 \\ 2ab & ad + bc & 2cd \\ b^2 & bd & d^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We can then see how σ acts on the generators a_0, a_1, a_2 of $A = K[a_0, a_1, a_2]$ and we have

$$a_0^\sigma = a^2 a_0 + a c a_1 + c^2 a_2, \quad a_1^\sigma = 2 a b a_0 + (a d + b c) a_1 + 2 c d a_2 \quad \text{and} \quad a_2^\sigma = b^2 a_0 + b d a_1 + d^2 a_2.$$

Definition 7. [Separable binary forms] A binary form is said to be *separable* if its discriminant does not vanish.

Definition 8. [Invariants of binary forms] A homogeneous polynomial $F \in A$ is called *G-invariant* if

$$F^\sigma = F, \quad \text{for all } \sigma \in G.$$

We denote the graded ring generated by all homogeneous G -invariant polynomials by A^G .

Remark 9. Notice that $\text{GL}_2(K)$ acts on A as in Equation (3), and since K is algebraically closed, a homogeneous polynomial $F \in A$ is SL_2 -invariant if and only if for any $\sigma \in \text{GL}_2$ we have

$$F^\sigma = \det(\sigma)^{\deg(F)} F.$$

We say that a homogeneous polynomial $F \in A$ is GL_2 -invariant if it satisfies the identity above. This immediately implies that the ring of invariants for GL_2 and SL_2 are the same. We will use these interchangeably.

Since $G = \text{SL}_2(K)$ is reductive, it is a well known fact from invariant theory that the ring A^G is finitely generated over K ; see, for example, [DK15, Corollary 2.2.11]. To find generators of A^G we can use the notion of transvectants, or *Überschiebung*, which we explain briefly here. Let $g \in V_m$ and $h \in V_n$ with $m \geq n$ and let r be an integer with $0 \leq r \leq n$. We define the bilinear map

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_r : V_m \times V_n \rightarrow V_{m+n-2r}, \quad (g, h) \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^i \binom{r}{i} \frac{\partial^r g}{\partial^{r-i} x \partial^i z} \frac{\partial^r h}{\partial^i x \partial^{r-i} z}.$$

It turns out that this map is G -invariant, i.e.,

$$\langle g^\sigma, h^\sigma \rangle_r = \langle g, h \rangle_r, \quad \text{for } (g, h) \in V_m \times V_n \text{ and } \sigma \in G.$$

The quantity $\langle g, h \rangle_r$ is called the r -th *transvectant* of g and h . Notice that when $n = m = r$, the transvectant $\langle g, h \rangle_r$ has degree 0 in x, z so $\langle g, h \rangle_r \in A^G$. A theorem by Gordan [Gor68] shows that one can obtain all invariants by taking (iterated if necessary) transvectants, so this makes the set generators of A^G explicit, at least in theory. In practice, the generators have only been found for binary forms of degrees up to 10; see [Pop14, Chapter 1] or [DK15, Example 2.1.2].

Example 10. 1. For binary quadrics the invariant ring A^G is generated by $\langle f, f \rangle_2$, which is equal to the discriminant of f .

2. For binary cubics, the invariant ring is again generated by the discriminant

$$\Delta = \langle \langle f, f \rangle_2, \langle f, f \rangle_2 \rangle_2.$$

3. For binary quartics $n = 4$, the invariant ring A^G is generated by two algebraically independent invariants I_2 and I_3 of degrees 2 and 3 respectively. In terms of transvectants, they are given by

$$I_2 = \langle f, f \rangle_4 \quad \text{and} \quad I_3 = \langle f, \langle f, f \rangle_2 \rangle_4.$$

4. For binary quintics, the invariant ring A^G is generated by four generators I_4, I_8, I_{12} and I_{18} of degrees 4, 8, 12 and 18, respectively. These are not algebraically independent, as I_{18}^2 can be expressed in terms of the other three. We refer the reader to Section 2.1.2 for a more detailed exposition in this case.

2.1.2 Invariants of binary quintics

As discussed in Example 10, the ring of invariants for quintic binary forms is generated by four elements I_4, I_8, I_{12} and I_{18} of degrees 4, 8, 12 and 18, respectively. Let f be the universal quintic

$$f(x, z) = a_0x^5 + a_1x^4z + a_2x^3z^2 + a_3x^2z^3 + a_4xz^4 + a_5z^5.$$

The four invariants I_4, I_8, I_{12} and I_{18} can be obtained by taking transvectants of f in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} I_4 &= \langle f^2, f^2 \rangle_{10}, & I_8 &= \langle f^4, f^4 \rangle_{20}, \\ I_{12} &= \langle f^6, f^6 \rangle_{30}, & I_{18} &= \langle \langle f^5, f^6 \rangle_{10}, f^7 \rangle_{35}. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Note that these transvectants are different from the ones considered in [Pop14, Section 4.4]¹. They still yield generators however, as one easily checks using the Poincaré series. The discriminant Δ of f can be expressed in terms of the previous invariants as

$$\Delta = c_0I_4^2 + c_1I_8 \tag{5}$$

where c_0, c_1 are the constants

$$c_0 = -1/2746158938062848000000, \quad c_1 = 1/46987474647852089270599680000000.$$

Note that $\deg(\Delta) = 8$.

In Section 3, we will assume that K is a complete non-archimedean field and associate a metric tree to a binary form over K . This tree is invariant under the action of GL_2 . For quintics, there are three different types, see Figure 1. The invariants I_4, I_8, I_{12}, I_{18} and the discriminant Δ are however not enough to distinguish between the different types, as we will see in Example 30. In order to distinguish tree types, we need to introduce another invariant, which we call the *H-invariant*.

Definition 11. [*H-invariant*] The *H-invariant* of the quintic $f = a_0x^5 + a_1x^4z + \dots + a_5z^5$ is defined as follows:

$$H = \beta I_{12} - 396\alpha^3 I_4^3, \tag{6}$$

where α and β are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= 2^{-17} \cdot 3^{-7} \cdot 5^{-3} \cdot 7^{-1}, \\ \beta &= 2^{-50} \cdot 3^{-27} \cdot 5^{-14} \cdot 7^{-7} \cdot 11^{-4} \cdot 13^{-3} \cdot 17^{-1} \cdot 19^{-1} \cdot 23^{-1} \cdot 29^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

¹The universal binary form used in the literature is of the shape $f = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} a_j x^{n-j} z^j$. In this paper we use $f = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j x^{n-j} z^j$, since in our field K some binomial coefficients $\binom{n}{j}$ might have a positive valuation. Since $\text{char}(K) = 0$, the invariant theory remains unchanged.

This invariant is of degree 12 and constructed to satisfy the following property:

$$H(0, 0, 1, 0, a_4, a_5) \quad \text{is equal to the discriminant of the cubic} \quad x^3 + a_4x + a_5.$$

The motivation behind creating this invariant is as follows. For binary quintics, there are three unmarked tree types, see Figure 1. For the two non-trivial ones, we choose a non-trivial vertex of valency two. By applying a projective linear transformation, we can ensure that the roots are grouped as $\{\infty, \lambda_1\}$ and $\{0, 1, \lambda_2\}$. The leading coefficients of the corresponding binary form have positive valuation, so it will reduce to a cubic. The discriminant of this cubic then distinguishes between the two remaining types.

2.1.3 Invariants of $(4, 1)$ -forms

Let $B = K[b_0, \dots, b_4, c_0, c_1]$ be a polynomial ring. For an integer $n \geq 0$, we denote by W_n the space of homogeneous polynomials in $B[x, z]$ of degree n . Here, we are interested in $(4, 1)$ -binary forms, which are the elements (q, ℓ) of $W_4 \oplus W_1$. There is a natural group action of $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(K)$ on $(4, 1)$ -forms as follows

$$(q(x, z), \ell(x, z))^\sigma = (q^\sigma(x, z), \ell^\sigma(x, z)) = (q(\sigma(x, z)), \ell(\sigma(x, z))).$$

Definition 12. [**Universal $(4, 1)$ -form**] We define the *universal* $(4, 1)$ -form (q, ℓ) as

$$q(x, z) = b_0x^4 + b_1x^3z + \dots + b_4z^4 \quad \text{and} \quad \ell(x, z) = c_0x + c_1z.$$

The action of G on (q, ℓ) defines an action of G on B where $\sigma \in G$ acts on B by sending $b_0, \dots, b_4, c_0, c_1$ to the coefficients of $(q, \ell)^\sigma$. We denote by B^G the ring of G -invariant polynomials in B . This ring is again finitely generated since G is reductive. It has 5 generators j_2, j_3, j_5, j_6 and j_9 of respective degrees 2, 3, 5, 6 and 9, and they can be obtained using transvectants as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} j_2 &= \langle q, q \rangle_4, & j_3 &= \langle \langle q, q \rangle_2, q \rangle_4, \\ j_5 &= \langle q, \ell^4 \rangle_4, & j_6 &= \langle \langle q, q \rangle_2, \ell^4 \rangle_4, \\ j_9 &= \langle \langle q, \langle q, q \rangle_2 \rangle_1, \ell^6 \rangle_6. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

We refer the reader to [Pop14, Section 5.4.1] for more details.

The invariants $I_4, I_8, I_{12}, I_{18}, \Delta, H$ for binary quintics and the invariants j_2, j_3, j_5, j_6, j_9 for $(4, 1)$ -forms are polynomials with rational coefficients. Scaling these invariants, we may assume that their coefficients are integer and are coprime; recall that $\mathrm{char}(K) = 0$. The reason behind this is that we are working with a valued field K in which integer scalars might have a positive valuation. This justifies the following assumption:

Assumption 13. We scale all the invariants so that their coefficients are coprime integers, and we do not change the notation. These scaled invariants are computed in (2), and are the ones used in our results.

Write $B_{4,1} = B$ for the coefficient ring corresponding to $(4, 1)$ -forms and B_5 for the coefficient ring corresponding to quintics. The universal $(4, 1)$ -form (q, ℓ) gives a canonical quintic $f = q \cdot \ell$. From this, we obtain an injective ring homomorphism

$$B_5 \rightarrow B_{4,1}.$$

This ring homomorphism is $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(K)$ -equivariant, so we obtain an induced injective ring homomorphism

$$B_5^G \rightarrow B_{4,1}^G$$

of invariants. We identify B_5^G with its image, so that we have an inclusion $B_5^G \subset B_{4,1}^G$. The generators from Section 2.1.2 can then be expressed in terms of the j_i explicitly as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} I_4 &= \frac{2}{3}j_2j_6 - \frac{1}{2}j_3j_5, \\ I_8 &= \frac{14}{9}j_2^2j_6^2 + \frac{22}{27}j_2^3j_5^2 + \frac{5}{27}j_3^2j_5^2 - \frac{14}{9}j_2j_3j_5j_6, \\ I_{12} &= \frac{4400}{243}j_2^3j_6^3 - \frac{11}{243}j_3^2j_6^3 - \frac{242}{9}j_2^2j_3j_5j_6^2 + \frac{2479}{81}j_2^4j_5^2j_6 + \frac{692}{81}j_2j_3^2j_5^2j_6 \\ &\quad + \frac{7156}{243}j_2^3j_3j_5^3 - \frac{92}{243}j_3^3j_5^3, \\ I_{18} &= -\frac{625}{729}j_2^6j_5^3j_9 - \frac{512}{729}j_2^3j_3^2j_5^3j_9 - \frac{4}{729}j_2^3j_3j_6^3j_9 + \frac{1}{729}j_3^3j_6^3j_9 + \frac{1}{3}j_2^4j_3j_5^2j_6j_9 \\ &\quad + \frac{4}{243}j_2^5j_5j_6^2j_9 - \frac{1}{243}j_2^2j_3^2j_5j_6^2j_9. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

For Δ and H , we use Equations (5) and (6). We will use these identifications in Section 2.3.1 to assign modular forms to the various invariants.

Finally, we summarize the invariants used in Theorems 1, 2 and 3, and their respective degrees as follows:

Invariant	I_4	I_8	I_{12}	I_{18}	Δ	H
Degree	4	8	12	18	8	12

Invariant	j_2	j_3	j_5	j_6	j_9
Degree	2	3	5	6	9

Table 1: Invariants of binary quintics (on the left) and (4,1)-forms (on the right) together with their degrees.

2.2 Picard curves

Let K denote a field of characteristic 0. A Picard curve X over K is a smooth projective curve of genus 3 in \mathbb{P}^2 given by an equation of the form

$$y^3\ell(x, z) = q(x, z),$$

where q and ℓ are homogeneous polynomials of degrees 4 and 1 respectively in $K[x, z]$. Here the smoothness of X is equivalent to the separability of the quintic $q(x, z) \cdot \ell(x, z)$. For a Picard curve, the five distinct roots of this quintic are the branch points of the $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ -covering of \mathbb{P}^1 induced by the rational map

$$\pi: X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1, \quad [x : y : z] \mapsto [x : z].$$

Either using the fact that X is smooth or an explicit computation, we find that it gives a morphism, so that it is defined everywhere. The Galois group of π is $G = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ and it acts as follows on X . Let $\zeta \in K$ be a primitive third root of unity. There is then an automorphism

$$\alpha : [x : y : z] \mapsto [x : \zeta y : z]$$

of order three and the quotient map $X \rightarrow X/\langle \alpha \rangle = \mathbb{P}^1$ is π . For each of the five points P_i in the zero set of the quintic $q(x, z) \cdot \ell(x, z)$, there is a unique point Q_i lying over P_i . The four points

Q_1, \dots, Q_4 corresponding to the quartic $q(x, z)$ differ from the remaining point Q_5 in the sense that α acts by ζ on the tangent spaces of Q_1, \dots, Q_4 and as ζ^2 on the tangent space of Q_5 . This gives a second, and equivalent, definition of a Picard curve as a $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ -covering of \mathbb{P}^1 branched over five points with a specified action of $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ on the tangent spaces of the ramification points; see [AP07]. This rigidification can also be given in terms of differential forms, see [CvdG21, Section 5].

2.3 Picard modular forms and invariants of binary forms

We now give an overview of several results in the theory of Picard modular forms. We then review [CvdG21], in which Picard modular forms are connected to invariants of $(4, 1)$ -forms. This subsection is mostly motivational, as it describes our reasons for studying reduction types of Picard curves in terms of invariants of binary $(4, 1)$ -forms. As such, we will not define many of the corresponding technical concepts so the reader may wish to skip this section on a first reading. We refer to [AP07], [CvdG13], [BvdG20], [Hol95], [Fin98], [CvdG21] and [CvdG22] for a more detailed account.

2.3.1 The complex analytic theory

We start with the complex analytic side of moduli of Picard curves. The Jacobian of a Picard curve over \mathbb{C} is a principally polarized abelian variety. It has complex multiplication by $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\rho]$, where $\rho = e^{2\pi i/3}$ and $K = \mathbb{Q}(\rho)$. If we write \mathcal{N} for the complex moduli space of Picard curves and X_Γ for the moduli space of principally polarized abelian varieties with complex multiplication by \mathcal{O}_K , then we have the Torelli morphism

$$\mathcal{N} \rightarrow X_\Gamma,$$

which assigns to any Picard curve C its Jacobian $J(C)$. The space X_Γ is a Shimura variety, a fact we will explain in some detail here. We will mostly follow [Fin98]. Let

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and consider the group

$$G = \{g \in \mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{C}) : \exists \eta(g) \in \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } \bar{g}^\top Hg = \eta(g)H\}.$$

This is the group of similitudes of H . We in fact have that $\eta(g) \neq 0$, since

$$\eta(g)^3 = \det(\eta(g)I) = \det(\bar{g}^\top HgH^{-1}) = N_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}}(\det(g)) \neq 0.$$

Using $(\bar{g}_1 \bar{g}_2)^\top = \bar{g}_2^\top \bar{g}_1^\top$, we then also easily find that $\eta : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^*$ is a group homomorphism. We set

$$U = \ker(\eta), \quad \Gamma = U \cap \mathcal{O}_K^{3 \times 3}.$$

The group Γ is the Picard modular group. For any $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K \setminus \{0\}$, we have the congruence subgroups

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\alpha) &= \{g \in \Gamma : g = I \pmod{\alpha}\}, \\ \Gamma_1(\alpha) &= \{g \in \Gamma(\alpha) : \det(g) = 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

For us, α will be $\sqrt{-3}$. The group Γ now acts on $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C})$ and on the symmetric domain

$$\mathcal{B} = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid |z_1|^2 + 2\operatorname{Re}(z_2) < 0\}$$

through the embedding $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C})$ given by $(z_1, z_2) \mapsto [z_2 : 1 : z_1]$. The arithmetic quotient $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{B}$ gives the moduli space X_Γ of principally polarized abelian varieties of dimension three with complex multiplication by \mathcal{O}_K .

To define the associated modular forms, we introduce the factor of automorphy

$$j_1(g, b) = g_{2,1}v + g_{2,2} + g_{2,3}u,$$

where $b = (u, v)$ and $g = (g_{i,j})$. For any (discrete) subgroup Γ_0 of U , we then define the scalar-valued Picard modular forms of weight k with respect to Γ_0 to be

$$M_k(\Gamma_0, \chi) = \{f : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ holomorphic} \mid f(g(b)) = \chi(g)j_1(g, b)^k f(b) \quad \forall g \in \Gamma_0\}.$$

Here $\chi : \Gamma_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ is a character, i.e., a homomorphism of groups. If no character is mentioned, then $\chi = \operatorname{id}$. The graded ring of modular forms with respect to Γ_0 and χ is

$$M(\Gamma_0, \chi) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} M_k(\Gamma_0, \chi^k).$$

We now recall some results on these rings of modular forms, see [CvdG21, Section 2] and [Fin98, Section 1]. We have

$$M(\Gamma(\sqrt{-3})) = \mathbb{C}[\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \varphi_2], \tag{9}$$

where the φ_i are algebraically independent modular forms of weight 3. These can be defined using theta constants, see [Fin98, Proposition 1]. For $\Gamma_1(\sqrt{-3})$, we have

$$M(\Gamma_1(\sqrt{-3})) = \mathbb{C}[\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \zeta]/(h), \tag{10}$$

where

$$h = \zeta^3 + \frac{\rho}{i37\sqrt{3}}\varphi_0\varphi_1\varphi_2(\varphi_1 - \varphi_0)(\varphi_2 - \varphi_0)(\varphi_2 - \varphi_1)$$

and ζ has weight 6.

2.3.2 Connecting invariants of binary forms and modular forms

In [CvdG21], invariants of binary $(4, 1)$ -forms are connected to Picard modular forms. We will illustrate this connection here and see what modular forms the invariants given in Sections 2.1.2 and 2.1.3 correspond to.

A more general ring \mathbb{M} of Teichmüller modular forms is studied in [CvdG21]. They can however be expressed in terms of vector-valued Picard modular forms by the results in [CvdG21, Section 8]. We have not defined vector-valued Picard modular forms here since the modular forms associated to invariants of binary forms are scalar-valued, but they can be defined in a similar way by introducing another factor of automorphy $j_2(g, b)$, see [CvdG21, Section 2].

Recall the form ζ of weight 6. We write $\mathcal{C}(V_4 \oplus V_1)$ for the set of covariants of $(4, 1)$ -forms. These can be interpreted as invariants of $(4, 1, 1)$ -forms. This is a general (and classical) fact in invariant theory: the covariants of $\mathcal{V} = V_{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{n_r}$ can be identified with the invariants of $\mathcal{V} \oplus V_1$; see, for example, [Pop14, Remark 2.1.2]. The arguments in [CvdG21, Section 10] now show that there are ring homomorphisms

$$\mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(V_4 \oplus V_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}[1/\zeta]$$

whose composition is the identity. In particular, every invariant of a $(4, 1)$ -form gives a Picard modular form. We write ν for the second map. There are non-trivial invariants that are sent to constant modular forms by ν , so we have to be slightly careful here. For instance, the discriminant of $q(x, z)$ is sent to a constant, see [CvdG21, Remark 16.3]. We will show here that the invariants we use in this paper are sent to non-constant modular forms.

To that end, we use the presentation of the ring of modular forms for $M(\Gamma_1(\sqrt{-3}))$ given in Equation (9) together with the explicit images of the generators j_i . We first define a set of Eisenstein series E_i of weights i in terms of the φ_i :

$$\begin{aligned} E_6 &= \varphi_0^2 + \varphi_1^2 + \varphi_2^2 - \frac{2}{3}(\varphi_0\varphi_1 + \varphi_0\varphi_2 + \varphi_1\varphi_2), \\ E_9 &= (-\varphi_0 + \varphi_1 + \varphi_2)(\varphi_0 - \varphi_1 + \varphi_2)(\varphi_0 + \varphi_1 - \varphi_2), \\ E_{12} &= -\frac{1}{3}(\varphi_0 + \varphi_1 + \varphi_2)(-3\varphi_0 + \varphi_1 + \varphi_2)(\varphi_0 - 3\varphi_1 + \varphi_2)(\varphi_0 + \varphi_1 - 3\varphi_2). \end{aligned}$$

These can then be used to give the following cusp forms

$$\chi_{12} = (E_6^2 - E_{12})/5184, \quad \chi_{18} = (E_6^3 - E_9^2)/3888.$$

By [CvdG21, Proposition 16.1], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(j_2) &= \frac{c_1^4}{6\gamma^4} \frac{\chi_{12}}{\zeta^2}, \quad \nu(j_3) = \frac{c_1^6}{864\gamma^6} \frac{\chi_{18} - E_6\chi_{12}}{\zeta^3}, \quad \nu(j_5) = \frac{3c_1^4}{70}\zeta, \\ \nu(j_6) &= -\frac{c_1^6}{5040\gamma^2} E_6, \quad \text{and} \quad \nu(j_9) = \frac{c_1^9}{798336\gamma^3} E_9. \end{aligned}$$

where

$$c_1 = \frac{\Gamma(1/3)^3 e^{-17i\pi/18}}{2\pi},^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma = 2\pi/\sqrt{3}.$$

Using Equation (8) and the polynomial presentation given in Equation (10), we then easily find that the quintic invariants I_i are sent to non-zero (meromorphic) modular forms by ν . The explicit computations are provided in (2). Moreover, their orders with respect to ζ are exactly $-\deg(I_i)/2$. We thus see that the invariants we use in this paper give rise to non-trivial meromorphic Picard modular forms.

To conclude this section, we review the algebraic theory behind modular forms in two remarks. In the first remark, we briefly discuss modular forms for $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. In the second remark, we discuss the corresponding notions for Picard curves.

Remark 14. Consider the moduli stack of elliptic curves $\mathcal{M}_{1,1}$ over \mathbb{Z} . This has a natural Deligne–Mumford compactification $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$ given by stable elliptic curves over \mathbb{Z} . For every stable elliptic curve $E \rightarrow S$ with section $s : S \rightarrow E$, we can pull back the sheaf of Kähler differentials $\Omega_{E/S}$ along the section s to obtain an invertible \mathcal{O}_S -module $\omega_{E/S}$. A modular form f of weight k with respect to $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is then a function that assigns to every stable elliptic curve E/S an element of $H^0(S, \omega_{E/S}^k)$ in a way that is compatible with Cartesian diagrams of elliptic curves, see [Edi97]. Another way to define this is by saying that it is a section of the Hodge bundle on the stack $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$. It is now straightforward to check, using these definitions, that for elliptic curves given by Weierstrass equations with invariant differential ω , the functions $c_4\omega^4$ and $c_6\omega^6$ are sections of this line bundle.

²Here, Γ refers to the Euler Gamma function, i.e., $\Gamma(1/3) = \int_0^\infty s^{1-\frac{1}{3}} e^{-s} ds$. This is the only place where Γ does not denote a congruence subgroup.

Here c_4 and c_6 are as in [Sil09, Chapter III]. These correspond to the Eisenstein series E_4 and E_6 of weights 4 and 6, respectively.

We now explain how the notion of a Tate curve allows us to assign a power series expansion to any modular form. Consider the Tate curve E_{Tate} defined over $\mathbb{Z}[[q]]$ with invariant differential dt/t . For any modular form f as above, we define $f(q) = \sum a_n(f)q^n$ through the equation

$$f(E_{\text{Tate}}) = f(q)dt^k/t^k.$$

This construction also works for more general modular curves over congruence subgroups. It is essential to the study of modular curves in many ways; we refer the reader to [Edi97] and [Gro90] for a detailed account. Note that this construction requires a thorough understanding of the behavior of elliptic curves near the boundary locus $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{1,1}$. In a sense, this paper can be seen as making steps towards a similar description for Picard curves. A problem that arises here is that the curves in the boundary are not Mumford curves, so that their Jacobians do not admit a standard analytic uniformization analogous to the one for Tate curves. They do however admit a more general Raynaud uniformization, see [Pap13] and [BR15]. It would be interesting to see whether this uniformization can be made explicit for the various reduction types considered here.

Remark 15. We now discuss the algebraic theory for Picard modular forms. One can define a relative notion of a Picard curve for any scheme S defined over $\mathbb{Z}[1/3, \rho]$, where $\rho = e^{2\pi i/3}$; see [AP07]. The stacks \mathcal{N} and $\overline{\mathcal{N}}$ from [CvdG22] can thus be defined more generally over $\mathbb{Z}[1/3, \rho]$. We can define Teichmüller modular forms by pulling back the Hodge bundle \mathbb{E} on \mathcal{A}_3 under the Torelli map

$$\mathcal{N}^{\text{ct}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_3.$$

Here \mathcal{N}^{ct} is the stack consisting of admissible Picard curves whose Jacobian is an abelian variety. These correspond to the curves in Figure 3 of Type I, II.2 and III.2. The sections of the Hodge bundle then in fact naturally extend to sections over $\overline{\mathcal{N}}$ by the argument in [CFvdG20, Proposition 14.1], so Teichmüller modular forms become sections of the Hodge bundle on this compactified stack. To connect invariants to Teichmüller modular forms in the more general set-up, we need an algebraic definition of ζ , which does not seem to be present in the literature yet. By a simple base-change argument, we can however use all of the results in [CvdG22] for fields or rings that contain \mathbb{C} , for instance $\mathbb{C}((t))$ or, non-canonically, \mathbb{C}_p .

3 Tropical invariants for general group actions

In this section, we define the notion of a set of tropical invariants for general group actions. The main underlying idea is that they separate orbits that have the same limit points in some toric compactification. For binary forms, this gives us the notion of a set of tropical invariants. For Picard curves, there are two interesting types of binary forms: quintics and $(4, 1)$ -forms. We will see in Section 4 that we can give a tropical set of invariants for these forms.

We assume throughout this section that K is a complete, non-archimedean and algebraically closed field K of characteristic 0 with valuation ring R and residue field k .

3.1 Compactifications and tropicalizations

Let U be an irreducible variety over K with Berkovich analytification U^{an} . We can define tropical separating sets for partitions of subsets of U^{an} as follows.

Definition 16. [Separating set] Let $U^{\text{an}} \supset S = \bigsqcup S_i$ be a partition of a subset S of U^{an} into disjoint subsets and let $\phi : U \rightarrow X(\Delta)$ be an embedding of U into a toric variety $X(\Delta)$ with fan Δ . We say that ϕ is *separating* for the partition if $\text{trop}(\phi(S_i)) \cap \text{trop}(\phi(S_j)) = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$, where $\text{trop}(\cdot)$ is the natural tropicalization map associated to the toric variety $X(\Delta)$. If the embedding is given by the global sections of a line bundle on U and ϕ is separating for a partition, then we call the sections a *tropical separating set* for the partition. If the sections of the line bundle give a morphism to $X(\Delta) = \mathbb{P}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$, then we say that they are a *projective tropical separating set*.

Example 17. If we take $S = \{P_1\} \cup \{P_2\}$ for two points $P_1, P_2 \in U^{\text{an}}$, then the proof of [Pay09, Theorem 1.1] shows that we can find an embedding that separates these points.

The partitions of U^{an} we are interested in are given using compactifications of U as follows. Let $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$ be a proper flat R -scheme and let $U \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be an open immersion. Consider the reduction map

$$\text{red} : U^{\text{an}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_s \tag{11}$$

as in [Gub13, Section 4], where \mathcal{X}_s is the special fiber of \mathcal{X} . We now obtain a partition of U^{an} by taking the inverse image under $\text{red}(\cdot)$ of a partition of \mathcal{X}_s .

3.2 Tropical invariants

We start with the definition of tropical weighted projective space.

Definition 18. [Tropical weighted projective space] Let $(\mathbb{T}\mathbb{A}^n)^* = \overline{\mathbb{R}}^n \setminus \{(\infty, \dots, \infty)\}$, the punctured tropical affine plane. For a fixed n -tuple of natural numbers (a_1, \dots, a_n) , we define an action of \mathbb{R} on $(\mathbb{T}\mathbb{A}^n)^*$ as follows:

$$\lambda \odot (x_1, \dots, x_n) := (x_1, \dots, x_n) + \lambda \cdot (a_1, \dots, a_n).$$

The (set-theoretic) quotient of $(\mathbb{T}\mathbb{A}^n)^*$ by this action is called the *tropical weighted projective space*, and is denoted by $\mathbb{T}\mathbb{P}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$.

Now, let U/K be an irreducible variety and let G/K be a group scheme acting on U . Suppose that there exists a geometric quotient $V = U/G$ for U and G . The notion of a tropical separating from Section 3.1 now allows us to define a set of tropical invariants.

Definition 19. [Tropical invariants] Let $V^{\text{an}} \supset S = \bigsqcup S_i$ be a partition of a subset S of V^{an} . A set of *tropical invariants* for the partition is a tropical separating set for the partition. If the tropical invariants give an embedding into a weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$, then we call this a set of *tropical projective invariants*. The image of the tropicalization is the space $\mathbb{T}\mathbb{P}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$.

Since points of V^{an} correspond to orbits in U^{an} , we find that a set of tropical invariants separates a partition of a subset of U^{an} that is stable under the action of G .

Example 20. Consider the ring $K[x, y]$. The group $S_2 \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ acts on $K[x, y]$ by $x \mapsto y$ and $y \rightarrow x$. The invariant ring is $K[xy, x + y]$ and, on the level of schemes, the map $\text{Spec}(K[x, y]) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(K[xy, x + y])$ gives the geometric quotient. Consider the standard embedding of $\mathbb{A}^2 = \text{Spec}(K[x, y])$ into \mathbb{P}^2 . The group action then extends to \mathbb{P}^2 . The boundary is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 and we decompose \mathbb{P}^2 into

$$\overline{S}_0 = \{[x : y : z] \mid z \neq 0\}, \overline{S}_1 = \{[1 : 1 : 0]\} \text{ and } \overline{S}_2 = \{[x : y : 0] \mid x \neq y\}.$$

This induces a partition

$$S_0 \sqcup S_1 \sqcup S_2 = (K[xy, x + y])^{\text{an}}$$

since the group action preserves the \overline{S}_i . We now note that the standard embedding

$$\text{Spec}(K[xy, x + y]) = \mathbb{A}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$$

is not tropically separating since $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$. If we however consider the embedding into \mathbb{P}^3 given by $\{xy, x + y, (x - y)^2, 1\}$, then this does form a tropical set of invariants.

We will see many more examples of tropical invariants in Sections 3.3 and 3.4.

3.3 Moduli of tropical curves

We now review moduli of stable curves of genus zero. The functor $\mathcal{M}_{0,n} : \mathbf{Sch} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$ from the category of schemes to the category of sets, defined by

$$\mathcal{M}_{0,n}(S) = \{\text{smooth curves } C \rightarrow S \text{ of genus zero with } n \text{ marked points}\} / \sim \quad (12)$$

for $n \geq 3$ is representable by a scheme $M_{0,n}$. We refer to this as the moduli space of smooth n -marked curves of genus zero. There is a natural compactification of this space, given by replacing smooth curves by stable curves in (12). We denote the corresponding scheme by $\overline{M}_{0,n}$. It is proper and flat over $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$. The boundary locus $\Delta = \overline{M}_{0,n} \setminus M_{0,n}$ corresponds to non-smooth stable curves.

The group S_n acts on the above moduli spaces by permuting the marked points. Since S_n is finite and $M_{0,n}$ and $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ are quasi-projective, there exist geometric quotients $M_{0,n}/S_n$ and $\overline{M}_{0,n}/S_n$ which fit into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_{0,n} & \longrightarrow & \overline{M}_{0,n} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M_{0,n}/S_n & \longrightarrow & \overline{M}_{0,n}/S_n \end{array}$$

The scheme $\overline{M}_{0,n}/S_n$ is again proper over \mathbb{Z} . The geometric points of $M_{0,n}/S_n$ (resp. $\overline{M}_{0,n}/S_n$) can be identified with smooth (resp. stable) curves of genus 0 with n unordered points.

We can also describe their abstract tropicalizations as in [ACP15, Section 4]. We start with the original set-up without quotients. Consider phylogenetic trees with n marked leaves, together with a length function ℓ on the non-leaves. These are the points of the tropical moduli space $M_{0,n}^{\text{trop}}$. It can be given the structure of a generalized cone complex as in [ACP15, Section 2]. It can also be given as the tropicalization of the Plücker map as in [MS15, Theorem 6.4.12]. We obtain an abstract tropicalization map

$$\text{trop} : M_{0,n}^{\text{an}} \rightarrow M_{0,n}^{\text{trop}}$$

by the following procedure. A point $P \in M_{0,n}^{\text{an}}$ can be represented by an L -valued point of $M_{0,n}$, and we use the natural map

$$M_{0,n}(L) \rightarrow \overline{M}_{0,n}(L) = \overline{M}_{0,n}(R_L) \quad (13)$$

to obtain a stable model $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R_L)$ with special fiber $\mathcal{X}_s \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k_L)$. Here, L is a complete valued field extension of K with valuation ring R_L and residue field k_L . Let T be the marked dual

intersection graph of \mathcal{X}_s , together with the natural edge length function ℓ induced from \mathcal{X} . Note that there is a unique leaf for every marked point. We set $\text{trop}(P) = (T, \ell)$.

We now define an action of S_m on $M_{0,n}^{\text{trop}}$, which gives rise to a natural quotient space $M_{0,n}^{\text{trop}}/S_m$. We view an element of $M_{0,n}^{\text{trop}}$ as a metric tree (T, ℓ) , together with an injection $i : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow L(T)$, where $L(T)$ is the set of (infinite) leaves of T . Let M be a set of order $m \leq n$ and let $M \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$ be an injection. By permuting the leaves indexed by M , we then obtain an action of S_m on $M_{0,n}^{\text{trop}}$, where the latter is viewed as an object in the category of generalized cone complexes. We now note that categorical quotients in the category of generalized cone complex exist since arbitrary finite colimits exist, see [ACP15, Remark 2.6.1]. From this, we obtain the following definition of $M_{0,n}^{\text{trop}}/S_m$.

Definition 21. Let $M \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$ be a given injection inducing an action of S_m on $M_{0,n}^{\text{trop}}$. The space $M_{0,n}^{\text{trop}}/S_m$ is the categorical quotient of $M_{0,n}^{\text{trop}}$ under the action of S_m .

Suppose that $M = \{1, \dots, n\}$. Set-theoretically, the points of $M_{0,n}^{\text{trop}}/S_n$ correspond to unmarked phylogenetic metric trees with n leaves. As a topological space, it has a natural stratification in terms of unmarked tree types. A similar interpretation also holds for other markings.

Example 22. There are three non-trivial marked tree types for $n = 4$, giving three cones $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. The types with edge lengths zero are all identified, so we glue these cones together to obtain $M_{0,4}^{\text{trop}}$, which is a standard tropical line. The three cones are permuted by S_4 , giving the quotient $M_{0,4}^{\text{trop}}/S_4 = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$.

Example 23. Let $n = 5$. Then, there are exactly three unmarked types: I, II and III; they are depicted in Figure 1. Type III corresponds to a folded positive orthant of dimension 2, since the automorphism group of the underlying graph is $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Figure 4 represents the space $M_{0,5}^{\text{trop}}/S_5$.




Figure 4: The space $M_{0,5}^{\text{trop}}/S_5$

Example 24. Consider the natural injection $M = \{1, \dots, 4\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, 5\}$, giving rise to an action of S_4 on $M_{0,5}^{\text{trop}}$. We view the quotient $M_{0,5}^{\text{trop}}/S_4$, which is represented in Figure 5, as the moduli space of phylogenetic trees with 4 unmarked leaves and 1 marked leaf. There are five different corresponding phylogenetic tree types: I, II.1, II.2, III.1 and III.2; see Figure 2.




Figure 5: The space $M_{0,5}^{\text{trop}}/S_4$

In Figures 4 and 5, the (possibly folded) positive orthants are glued with respect to degeneration of the corresponding tree types, which is shown in Figure 6. We refer to [Mar20, Section 2] and [Cha17, Section 4] for more details.




Figure 6: Degenerations of trees

We can again introduce an abstract tropicalization map as follows. An L -valued point of $M_{0,n}^{\text{an}}/S_n$ for L a valued field corresponds to a smooth curve of genus 0 with n unordered points. We then obtain a phylogenetic metric tree as before, but we now forget the markings, giving an element of $M_{0,n}^{\text{trop}}/S_n$. All in all, this gives a map

$$\text{trop} : M_{0,n}^{\text{an}}/S_n \rightarrow M_{0,n}^{\text{trop}}/S_n. \quad (14)$$

Remark 25. The map in Equation (14) in particular gives a partition of $M_{0,n}^{\text{an}}/S_n$ by considering the inverse images of the loci of unmarked tree types. We will use this partition to obtain a partition of the space of binary forms in Section 3.4. We note that the partition of $M_{0,n}^{\text{an}}/S_n$ is a special instance of the partitions we considered in Section 3.1 since the construction in Equation (13) gives the reduction map from Equation (11).

3.4 Trees and tropical binary forms

Let $V = V_{k_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{k_r}$ be the standard SL_2 -module and write A^G for the ring of invariants. We consider $\text{Proj}(A^G)$, where the grading is induced by the degree of an invariant. We are interested

in the affine open $U = D_+(\Delta)$ for Δ the discriminant. The set of L -valued points $U(L)$ of U can be identified with tuples of binary forms (f_1, \dots, f_r) over L without common zeros and up to GL_2 -equivalence. Here $\deg(f_i) = k_i$.

We now consider an L -valued point of U . This gives a set of binary forms (f_1, \dots, f_r) over L . Consider the zero sets $Z(f_i)$ of the f_i . Note that every zero set gives a well-defined L -valued point of the moduli space $M_{0,k_i}/S_{k_i}$ of k_i unordered points on the projective line. Indeed, taking a different equivalent binary form changes the zero set by the action of GL_2 , so that the induced map

$$(\mathbb{P}^1, Z(f)) \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^1, Z(f^\sigma))$$

is an isomorphism, which means that we obtain the same point in $M_{0,k_i}/S_{k_i}$. In other words, we have a set-theoretic map

$$U \rightarrow \prod M_{0,k_i}/S_{k_i}.$$

The partition on the Berkovich analytification of $\prod M_{0,k_i}/S_{k_i}$ given in Remark 25 induces a partition of U^{an} . We use this as our definition of a tropical invariant of a binary form.

Definition 26. [Tropical invariants of binary forms] A set of *tropical invariants* of a set of binary forms of degree n is a tropical separating set for the partition of U induced from the maps $U \rightarrow M_{0,n}^{\mathrm{an}}/S_n \rightarrow M_{0,n}^{\mathrm{trop}}/S_n$, see Equation (14).

For a given set of invariants h_i , we obtain a rational map $U \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(a_0, \dots, a_n)$, where $\deg(h_i) = a_i$. If the h_i include a set of generators of the ring of invariants, then this is automatically a morphism since the set of generators generate the nullcone. If the set of generators is a projective tropical separating set, then we call this a set of *projective tropical invariants*.

Example 27. For residue characteristics not equal to 2, the tropical invariants of a binary quartic are given by c_4 , c_6 and Δ ; see [Sil09, Chapter VII]. These are furthermore weighted projective invariants, giving a tropicalization map to $\mathbb{TP}(4, 6, 12)$.

Example 28. For residue characteristics not equal to 2, the tropical invariants of a binary sextic are given by the Igusa invariants from [Hel21b].

Example 29. In Section 4, we will show that the invariants introduced in the introduction form a set of tropical invariants for binary quintics and $(4, 1)$ -forms. These then also give the reduction types of Picard curves when the residue characteristic is not 3.

As promised in Section 2.1.2, we now show that the invariants I_4 , I_8 , I_{12} , I_{18} and Δ are not enough to distinguish between the unmarked trees of a quintic.

Example 30. Let $K = \mathbb{C}\{\{t\}\}$ be the field of Puiseux series over the complex numbers with $v(t) = 1$. Consider the two quintics given by

$$\begin{aligned} f_2 &= xz(x-z)(x-t^2z)(x-2z), \\ f_3 &= xz(x-z)(x-tz)(x-(1+2t)z). \end{aligned}$$

The tree of f_2 is of Type II and the tree of f_3 is of Type III. However, in both cases, the tropical invariants are the same:

$$[v(I_4) : v(I_8) : v(I_{12}) : v(I_{18}) : v(\Delta)] = [0 : 0 : 0 : 2 : 4].$$

We thus see that we cannot distinguish between the two tree types using these invariants. This also implies that we cannot distinguish the various reduction types of Picard curves using these invariants. They are however enough to decide whether a tree is of Type I or not.

The results in this paper show that there exists a set of projective tropical invariants for quintics and $(4, 1)$ -forms. In general, we conjecture that there exists a finite set of projective tropical invariants for any set of binary forms. Moreover, there should be a practical algorithm that can calculate these tropical invariants.

4 Proofs of the main results

In this final section, we prove the main results stated in the introduction, namely Theorem 1, Theorem 2, Theorem 3 and Corollary 4. Some parts of the proofs rely on computing the invariants explicitly. The computations are made available in (2).

Recall that our base field K is a non-archimedean, complete and algebraically closed valued field of characteristic 0 with associated data (v, R, \mathfrak{m}, k) . Moreover, the residue characteristic p is different from 2, 3 and 11.

Remark 31. If the residue characteristic of K is 11, then the second condition in Theorem 1 does not characterize trees of Type II. In this case, to obtain condition for Type II, we simply need to check that the conditions for Type I and Type III are not satisfied.

4.1 Universal families

We first explain the general idea of the proofs. For a given binary quintic or $(4, 1)$ -form, we can apply a projective transformation $\sigma \in \mathrm{GL}_2(K)$ to rearrange the roots. This has the following effect on the tree and the invariants:

1. If Σ is the (marked or unmarked) tree, then σ induces an isometry $\sigma : \Sigma \rightarrow \sigma(\Sigma)$ outside the type-1 points by the results in [BPR13, Section 2.3]. Hence the tree is unchanged.
2. The transformation σ changes an invariant I by $\det(\sigma)^{\deg(I)}$. In particular, we find that the projective tropical invariants are unchanged; see Remark 9.

For a given binary quintic or $(4, 1)$ -form, we can apply a projective transformation so that the resulting binary form is

$$f(x, z) = xz(x - z)(x - \lambda_1 z)(x - \lambda_2 z).$$

Here, we send the marked point to ∞ . By examining the type of the tree, we then obtain various conditions on the λ_i which we use to write down universal families for a given tree type. Here by universal, we mean that every binary form with a given tree type occurs in the given family. We then perform calculations on the universal families, which directly give the proofs for our main theorems. We can make this more precise as follows. For a given tree type, we fix a *universal algebra* $A = R[t_i, \mu_i]$ with $\lambda_i \in A$. This contains all the necessary parameters for the universal family. We then consider R -valued points $\psi : A \rightarrow R$ such that $v(\psi(t_i)) > 0$. Such an R -valued point corresponds to a specific binary form of the given type. We will also write $v(t_i) > 0$ if ψ is understood. To calculate with reductions, we work in A/I , where $I = \mathfrak{m} + (t_1)$. We will also just refer to this as working modulo \mathfrak{m} . We note that all the invariants are elements of the universal algebra A . In particular, for any specialization $\psi : A \rightarrow R$, we have that $\psi(I) \in R$. We write $I \in A^\times$ if for every specialization ψ as above, we have that $v(\psi(I)) = 0$.

In the proofs of the main theorems, we will use the universal families from Table 2; see Figure 7 for the corresponding trees.

Type	λ_1	λ_2	Conditions
I	μ_1	μ_2	$\bar{\mu}_i \neq 0, 1$ and $\bar{\mu}_1 \neq \bar{\mu}_2$
II.1	$t_1\mu_1$	$t_1\mu_2$	$v(t_1) > 0$, $\bar{\mu}_i \neq 0$ and $\bar{\mu}_1 \neq \bar{\mu}_2$
II.2	$t_1\mu_1$	μ_2	$v(t_1) > 0$, $\bar{\mu}_i \neq 0$ and $\bar{\mu}_2 \neq 1$
III.1	$t_1\mu_1$	$t_1t_2\mu_2$	$v(t_i) > 0$ and $\bar{\mu}_i \neq 0$
III.2	$t_1\mu_1$	$1 + t_2\mu_2$	$v(t_i) > 0$, $\bar{\mu}_i \neq 0$ and $v(t_1) \leq v(t_2)$

Table 2: The chosen universal families




Figure 7: Trees corresponding to the universal families in Table 2

4.2 Proof of Theorem 1

In this subsection, we prove Theorem 1. In the proofs, we are free to choose the universal family of either II.1 or II.2, and similarly for III.1 and III.2. We do the necessity of the statement first and finish with the sufficiency in Section 4.2.4.

4.2.1 Type I

Computing the reduction modulo \mathfrak{m} of the discriminant Δ , we get

$$\bar{\Delta} = \bar{\lambda}_1^2 \bar{\lambda}_2^2 (\bar{\lambda}_1 - 1)^2 (\bar{\lambda}_2 - 1)^2 (\bar{\lambda}_1 - \bar{\lambda}_2)^2.$$

So we deduce that $v(\Delta) = 0$, hence the condition $8v(I) - \deg(I)v(\Delta) \geq 0$ is satisfied for any $I \in S$.

4.2.2 Type II

Consider the universal family for Type II.1 in Table 2. We calculate the invariants $I \in S$ and find that they are divisible by $t_1^{\deg I/2}$. The reduction of $H/t_1^{\deg(H)/2}$ modulo \mathfrak{m} is

$$\overline{H/t_1^{\deg(H)/2}} = -22\bar{\mu}_1^2\bar{\mu}_2^2(\bar{\mu}_1 - \bar{\mu}_2)^2.$$

Since $p \neq 2, 11$, we find that the latter is non-zero. We thus obtain

$$v(H) = \deg(H)v(t_1)/2 = 6v(t_1), \quad \text{and} \quad v(I) \geq \deg(I)v(t_1)/2 \quad \text{for } I \in S \setminus \{H\}.$$

Therefore,

$$12v(I) - \deg(I)v(H) \geq 0 \text{ for all } I \in S.$$

On the other hand, computing the reduction modulo \mathfrak{m} of I_4/t_1^2 and I_{18}/t_1^9 , we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{I_4/t_1^2} &= -2(\overline{\mu_1^2} - \overline{\mu_1\mu_2} + \overline{\mu_2^2}), \\ \overline{I_{18}/t_1^9} &= -\overline{\mu_1^2\mu_2^2}(\overline{\mu_1} - 2\overline{\mu_2})(\overline{\mu_1} + \overline{\mu_2})(2\overline{\mu_1} - \overline{\mu_2})(\overline{\mu_1} - \overline{\mu_2})^2. \end{aligned}$$

It is not so hard to check that, since $p \neq 3$, the quantities $\overline{I_4/t_1^2}$ and $\overline{I_{18}/t_1^9}$ cannot be simultaneously zero. We thus find that

$$v(I_4) = 2v(t_1) \quad \text{or} \quad v(I_{18}) = 9v(t_1).$$

Our computations give $v(\Delta/t_1^6) \geq 0$, so we deduce that $v(\Delta) > 4v(t_1)$ and thus

$$v(\Delta) - 2v(I_4) > 0 \quad \text{or} \quad 9v(\Delta) - 4v(I_{18}) > 0.$$

Combining these, we obtain the statement of the theorem.

4.2.3 Type III

Consider the universal family for Type III.2 in Table 2. We compute the reduction of I_4 modulo \mathfrak{m} to obtain

$$\overline{I_4} = -2.$$

So, since $p \neq 2$, we deduce that $v(I_4) = 0$. Computing Δ and H , we obtain that Δ is divisible by $t_1^2 t_2^2$ and $\overline{H} = 0$. So we deduce that

$$v(\Delta) - 2v(I_4) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad v(H) - 3v(I_4) > 0.$$

4.2.4 Finishing the proof

Finally, we check sufficiency. Suppose that the condition in (I) is satisfied. In particular,

$$8v(I_4) - 4v(\Delta) \geq 0 \text{ and } 8v(I_{18}) - 18v(\Delta) \geq 0.$$

But these imply that

$$v(\Delta) - 2v(I_4) \leq 0 \text{ and } 9v(\Delta) - 4v(I_{18}) \leq 0,$$

so the conditions in (II) and (III) can not be satisfied. Now suppose that the conditions in (II) are satisfied. The condition

$$v(\Delta) - 2v(I_4) > 0 \text{ or } 9v(\Delta) - 4v(I_{18}) > 0$$

gives

$$8v(I_4) - \deg(I_4)v(\Delta) < 0 \text{ or } 8v(I_{18}) - \deg(I_{18})v(\Delta) < 0,$$

and therefore, the condition in (I) can not be satisfied. On the other hand, the inequality $12v(I_4) - 4v(H) \geq 0$ implies $v(H) - 3v(I_4) \leq 0$, which means that the conditions in (III) can not be satisfied either. Finally, suppose that the conditions in (III) are satisfied. Hence,

$$8v(I_4) - \deg(I_4)v(\Delta) < 0 \text{ and } 12v(I_4) - \deg(I_4)v(H) < 0,$$

so that the conditions in (I) and (II) can not be satisfied. This finishes the proof.

4.3 Proof of Theorem 2

In this subsection, we prove Theorem 2. The strategy is the same as in Section 4.2: we first calculate the invariants for each universal family and show that the given inequalities hold. This gives the necessity of the conditions. The sufficiency in this case is trivial, so this concludes the proof. We start with trees of Type II.1 since the marking does not matter for trees of Type I.

4.3.1 Type II.1

We calculate j_2 and find that it is divisible by t_1^2 . Computing the reductions modulo \mathfrak{m} of j_5 we obtain $\bar{j}_5 = 1$. We then deduce that $5v(j_2) - 2v(j_5) > 0$.

4.3.2 Type II.2

We calculate the reductions of j_2 and j_5 modulo \mathfrak{m} and find $\bar{j}_2 = \bar{\mu}_2^2$ and $\bar{j}_5 = 1$. So we deduce that $5v(j_2) - 2v(j_5) = 0$.

4.3.3 Type III.1

We find that j_2 is divisible by t_1^2 . Calculating the reduction of j_5 modulo \mathfrak{m} , we obtain $\bar{j}_5 = 1$. This implies $5v(j_2) - 2v(j_5) > 0$.

4.3.4 Type III.2

We compute the reductions of j_2 and j_5 modulo \mathfrak{m} and find $\bar{j}_2 = \bar{j}_5 = 1$. This implies $5v(j_2) - 2v(j_5) = 0$.

4.4 Proof of Theorem 3

For trees of Type I, there is nothing to prove.

4.4.1 Type II

We assume that the quintic f has tree Type II and use the universal family for Type II.1 in Table 2.

We compute the invariants Δ, I_4 and I_{18} , and find that $\Delta \in t_1^6 A$, $I_4 \in t_1^2 A$ and $I_{18} \in t_1^9 A$, where A is the universal algebra in Section 4.1. Computing the reductions, we see

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\Delta/t_1^6} &= \bar{\mu}_1^2 \bar{\mu}_2^2 (\bar{\mu}_1 - \bar{\mu}_2)^2, \\ \overline{I_4/t_1^2} &= -2 (\bar{\mu}_1^2 - \bar{\mu}_1 \bar{\mu}_2 + \bar{\mu}_2^2), \\ \overline{I_{18}/t_1^9} &= -\bar{\mu}_1^2 \bar{\mu}_2^2 (\bar{\mu}_1 + \bar{\mu}_2) (\bar{\mu}_1 - 2\bar{\mu}_2) (2\bar{\mu}_1 - \bar{\mu}_2) (\bar{\mu}_1 - \bar{\mu}_2)^2.\end{aligned}$$

Notice that, since $p \neq 3$, the two quantities $\overline{I_4/t_1^2}$ and $\overline{I_{18}/t_1^9}$ cannot vanish simultaneously. So we get

$$v(\Delta) = 6v(t_1) \quad \text{and} \quad (v(I_4) = 2v(t_1) \quad \text{or} \quad v(I_{18}) = 9v(t_1)).$$

So we deduce that

$$v(t_1) = \frac{1}{2} (v(\Delta) - 2v(I_4)) \quad \text{or} \quad v(t_1) = \frac{1}{3} (2v(\Delta) - v(I_{18})),$$

and the valuation of t_1 , the length of the unique non-trivial edge, is then the maximum of these two quantities, i.e.,

$$L(e_1) = \max \left(\frac{1}{2} (v(\Delta) - 2v(I_4)), \frac{1}{3} (2v(\Delta) - v(I_{18})) \right).$$

4.4.2 Type III

Before we give the proof of Theorem 3 for trees of Type III, we shortly discuss the various formulas occurring in that theorem. For a tree of Type III, we can only recover the edge lengths from the quintic invariants up to a permutation of the edges, see Example 23. A set of representatives of the edges here is given by (e_1, e_2) with $L(e_1) \leq L(e_2)$. For trees of Type III.2, this symmetry continues to hold, so the formulas do not change. For trees of Type III.1, there is no such symmetry, meaning that we can single out the edge next to the marked point. See Section 4.4.3 for the formulas in this case.

Now suppose that f has tree Type III. Computing the invariants Δ, I_4 and I_{18} , we obtain³

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &= \mathbf{t_1^2 t_2^2} \mu_1^2 \mu_2^2 (t_2 \mu_2 + 1)^2 (-t_1 \mu_1 + t_2 \mu_2 + 1)^2 (t_1 \mu_1 - 1)^2, \\ I_{18} &= (\mathbf{\mu_1 t_1} - \mathbf{\mu_2 t_2})(\mathbf{\mu_1 t_1} + \mathbf{\mu_2 t_2})(-\mu_1 t_1 + \mu_2 t_2 + 2)(\mu_2^2 t_2^2 - \mu_1 t_1 + 2\mu_2 t_2 + 1) \\ &\quad (\mu_2^2 t_2^2 + \mu_1 t_1 - 1)(-2\mu_1 \mu_2 t_1 t_2 + \mu_2^2 t_2^2 - \mu_1 t_1 + 2\mu_2 t_2 + 1)(\mu_1 \mu_2 t_1 t_2 - \mu_2 t_2 - 1) \\ &\quad (\mu_1 \mu_2 t_1 t_2 + \mu_2 t_2 + 1)(\mu_1 \mu_2 t_1 t_2 - \mu_1 t_1 + 1)(\mu_1 \mu_2 t_1 t_2 + \mu_1 t_1 - 1) \\ &\quad (\mu_1 \mu_2 t_1 t_2 + \mu_1 t_1 - 2\mu_2 t_2 - 1)(\mu_1 \mu_2 t_1 t_2 + 2\mu_1 t_1 - \mu_2 t_2 - 1)(-\mu_1^2 t_1^2 + \mu_2 t_2 + 1) \\ &\quad (-\mu_1^2 t_1^2 + 2\mu_1 \mu_2 t_1 t_2 + 2\mu_1 t_1 - \mu_2 t_2 - 1)(\mu_1^2 t_1^2 - 2\mu_1 t_1 + \mu_2 t_2 t_2 + 1) \end{aligned}$$

and $I_4 \in A$ with $\bar{I}_4 = -2$. So we deduce that

$$v(\Delta) = 2v(t_1) + 2v(t_2) \quad \text{and} \quad v(I_4) = 0.$$

If $v(t_1) < v(t_2)$, then we get $v(I_{18}) = 2v(t_1)$ and this gives

$$L(e_1) = v(t_1) = \frac{1}{2} \left(v(I_{18}) - \frac{9}{2}v(I_4) \right).$$

If, on the other hand, $v(t_1) = v(t_2)$, then we have

$$L(e_1) = v(t_1) = \frac{1}{4} (v(\Delta) - 2v(I_4)).$$

Therefore, in both cases, we get

$$L(e_1) = v(t_1) = \min \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(v(I_{18}) - \frac{9}{2}v(I_4) \right), \frac{1}{4} (v(\Delta) - 2v(I_4)) \right).$$

The length of the second edge is $v(t_2)$ and can be computed using Δ as

$$L(e_2) = v(t_2) = \frac{1}{2} (v(\Delta) - 2v(I_4)) - v(t_1).$$

Hence we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} L(e_1) &= \min \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(v(I_{18}) - \frac{9}{2}v(I_4) \right), \frac{1}{4} (v(\Delta) - 2v(I_4)) \right), \\ L(e_2) &= \frac{1}{2} (v(\Delta) - 2v(I_4)) - L(e_1). \end{aligned}$$

³The bold-faced factors have positive valuation, the remaining factors have valuation 0.

4.4.3 Type III.1

Now let (q, ℓ) be a $(4, 1)$ -form with tree Type III.1. Let e_1 be the edge adjacent to ∞ and e_2 the second edge in the tree Type III.1, see Figure 2. Using the universal family for Type III.1 and computing the invariants we find $j_2 \in t_1^2 A^\times$, $j_5 = 1$, $\Delta \in t_1^6 t_2^2 A$ and $I_4 \in t_1^2 A^\times$ and

$$\overline{j_2/t_1^2} = \overline{\mu_1^2}, \quad \overline{\Delta/(t_1^6 t_2^2)} = \overline{\mu_1^4 \mu_2^2}, \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{I_4/t_1^2} = -2\overline{\mu_1^2}.$$

So we deduce that

$$L(e_1) = v(t_1) = \frac{1}{10}(5v(j_2) - 2v(j_5)),$$

and

$$L(e_2) = v(t_2) = \frac{1}{2}(v(\Delta) - 2v(I_4)) - L(e_1).$$

4.5 Proof of Corollary 4

We now recall from [Hel22] how the reduction type of a Picard curve $y^3 \ell(x, z) = q(x, z)$ can be recovered from the $(4, 1)$ -marked tree of (q, ℓ) . We refer the reader to [Hel22, Section 1.2] for the definition of the reduction type of a curve. We note here that our assumption that K is algebraically closed is not restrictive. Namely, if we are interested in the reduction type of a curve over a complete discretely valued field K , then its reduction type is completely determined by the reduction type of the base change over \overline{K} , see [Hel22, Remark 3.6]. Finally, we note that the notion of an edge length used here is the same as the notion of *thickness* used in other sources.

Let X be a Picard curve over K . The branch locus B of the covering

$$X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$$

given by $[x : y : z] \mapsto [x : z]$ is the zero locus of $q \cdot \ell$. The minimal skeleton of the marked curve $(\mathbb{P}^{1, \text{an}}, B)$ is then the $(4, 1)$ -marked tree of (q, ℓ) . By applying a projective transformation, we can assume that the zero of ℓ is ∞ .

For tame coverings, we have that the inverse image of a skeleton is a skeleton (see [Hel22, Theorem 3.1] or [Hel21a, Theorem 1.1]), so we obtain a map

$$\Sigma' \rightarrow \Sigma,$$

where Σ is the $(4, 1)$ -marked tree and Σ' is its inverse image under the morphism of Berkovich analytifications $X^{\text{an}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1, \text{an}}$. Consider the dehomogenized polynomial $q = q(x, 1)$. The criteria in [Hel22, Section 3.1] allow us to reconstruct Σ' explicitly in terms of the piecewise-linear function $-\log|q|$ on $\mathbb{P}^{1, \text{an}} \setminus B$. This function can in turn be obtained from potential theory. We then find that over an edge in Σ , there are three edges if and only if the slope of $-\log|q|$ is divisible by three. If it is not divisible by three, then the length of an edge has to be divided by three. That is, the expansion factor $d_{e'/e}$ in this case is three. This data is enough to determine the skeleton for Picard curves, as the weights of the vertices are determined by the Riemann–Hurwitz conditions. The resulting graphs can be found in Figure 3.

Proof of Corollary 4. By Theorem 2, the $(4, 1)$ -marked tree type of (q, ℓ) is determined by the tropical invariants. The edge lengths of the $(4, 1)$ -marked tree type are then given by Theorem 3. To obtain the edge lengths for the curve X , we use the formula

$$d_{e'/e} L(e') = L(e),$$

where $d_{e'/e}$ is the expansion factor, see [ABBR15, Definition 2.4, Theorem 4.23]. \square

Remark 32. By combining our results on invariants and reduction types and the material from Section 2.3.1, we find that the different semistable loci in the moduli space of Picard curves are determined by conditions on Picard modular forms.

References

- [ABBR15] O. Amini, M. Baker, E. Brugallé, and J. Rabinoff, “Lifting harmonic morphisms I: Metrized complexes and Berkovich skeleta,” *Res. Math. Sci.*, vol. 2, Art. 7, 67, 2015. DOI: [10.1186/s40687-014-0019-0](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40687-014-0019-0).
- [ACP15] D. Abramovich, L. Caporaso, and S. Payne, “The tropicalization of the moduli space of curves,” *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)*, vol. 48, no. 4, pp. 765–809, 2015. DOI: [10.24033/asens.2258](https://doi.org/10.24033/asens.2258).
- [AP07] J. D. Achter and R. Pries, “The integral monodromy of hyperelliptic and trielliptic curves,” *Math. Ann.*, vol. 338, no. 1, pp. 187–206, 2007. DOI: [10.1007/s00208-006-0072-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00208-006-0072-0).
- [BPR13] M. Baker, S. Payne, and J. Rabinoff, “On the structure of non-Archimedean analytic curves,” in *Tropical and non-Archimedean geometry*, ser. Contemp. Math. Vol. 605, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2013, pp. 93–121. DOI: [10.1090/conm/605/12113](https://doi.org/10.1090/conm/605/12113).
- [BR15] M. Baker and J. Rabinoff, “The skeleton of the Jacobian, the Jacobian of the skeleton, and lifting meromorphic functions from tropical to algebraic curves,” *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, no. 16, pp. 7436–7472, 2015. DOI: [10.1093/imrn/rnu168](https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnu168).
- [BvdG20] J. Bergström and G. van der Geer, “Picard modular forms and the cohomology of local systems on a picard modular surface,” 2020. DOI: [10.48550/arXiv.2012.07673](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2012.07673).
- [CFvdG20] F. Cléry, C. Faber, and G. van der Geer, “Concomitants of ternary quartics and vector-valued Siegel and Teichmüller modular forms of genus three,” *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, vol. 26, no. 4, Paper No. 55, 39, 2020. DOI: [10.1007/s00029-020-00581-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00029-020-00581-7).
- [Cha17] M. Chan, “Lectures on tropical curves and their moduli spaces,” in *Moduli of curves*, ser. Lect. Notes Unione Mat. Ital. Vol. 21, Springer, Cham, 2017, pp. 1–26. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-319-59486-6_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-59486-6_1).
- [CvdG13] F. Cléry and G. van der Geer, “Generators for modules of vector-valued Picard modular forms,” *Nagoya Math. J.*, vol. 212, pp. 19–57, 2013. DOI: [10.1215/00277630-2324006](https://doi.org/10.1215/00277630-2324006).
- [CvdG21] —, “Generating Picard modular forms by means of invariant theory,” 2021. DOI: [10.48550/arXiv.2110.00849](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2110.00849).
- [CvdG22] —, “Modular forms of degree 2 and curves of genus 2 in characteristic 2,” *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, no. 7, pp. 5204–5218, 2022. DOI: [10.1093/imrn/rnaa239](https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnaa239).
- [DK15] H. Derksen and G. Kemper, *Computational invariant theory*. Springer, Heidelberg, 2015, vol. 130, pp. xxii+366, Invariant Theory and Algebraic Transformation Groups, VIII. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-662-48422-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-48422-7).
- [Edi97] B. Edixhoven, “Serre’s conjecture,” in *Modular forms and Fermat’s last theorem (Boston, MA, 1995)*, Springer, New York, 1997, pp. 209–242. DOI: [10.1007/978-1-4612-1974-3_7](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-1974-3_7).
- [Fin98] T. Finis, “Some computational results on Hecke eigenvalues of modular forms on a unitary group,” *Manuscripta Math.*, vol. 96, no. 2, pp. 149–180, 1998. DOI: [10.1007/s002290050059](https://doi.org/10.1007/s002290050059).

- [Gor68] P. Gordan, “Beweis, dass jede covariante und invariante einer binären form eine ganze function mit numerischen coefficienten einer endlichen anzahl solcher formen ist,” *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, vol. 69, pp. 323–354, 1868. DOI: [10.1515/crll.1868.69.323](https://doi.org/10.1515/crll.1868.69.323).
- [Gro90] B. H. Gross, “A tameness criterion for Galois representations associated to modular forms (mod p),” *Duke Math. J.*, vol. 61, no. 2, pp. 445–517, 1990. DOI: [10.1215/S0012-7094-90-06119-8](https://doi.org/10.1215/S0012-7094-90-06119-8).
- [Gub13] W. Gubler, “A guide to tropicalizations,” in *Algebraic and combinatorial aspects of tropical geometry*, ser. Contemp. Math. Vol. 589, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2013, pp. 125–189. DOI: [10.1090/conm/589/11745](https://doi.org/10.1090/conm/589/11745).
- [Hel21a] P. A. Helminck, *Skeletal filtrations of the fundamental group of a non-archimedean curve*, 2021. DOI: [10.48550/arXiv.1808.03541](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1808.03541).
- [Hel21b] —, *Tropical Igusa Invariants*, 2021. DOI: [10.48550/arXiv.1604.03987](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1604.03987).
- [Hel22] —, “Invariants for trees of non-archimedean polynomials and skeleta of superelliptic curves,” *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 2022. DOI: [10.1007/s00209-021-02959-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00209-021-02959-5).
- [Hol95] R.-P. Holzapfel, *The ball and some Hilbert problems*, ser. Lectures in Mathematics ETH Zürich. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1995, pp. viii+160, Appendix I by J. Estrada Sarlabous. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-0348-9051-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-0348-9051-9).
- [KM19] K. Kaveh and C. Manon, “Gröbner theory and tropical geometry on spherical varieties,” *Transform. Groups*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 1095–1145, 2019. DOI: [10.1007/s00031-019-09536-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00031-019-09536-5).
- [Liu93] Q. Liu, “Courbes stables de genre 2 et leur schéma de modules,” *Math. Ann.*, vol. 295, no. 2, pp. 201–222, 1993. DOI: [10.1007/BF01444884](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01444884).
- [Mar20] H. Markwig, “Tropical curves and covers and their moduli spaces,” *Jahresber. Dtsch. Math.-Ver.*, vol. 122, no. 3, pp. 139–166, 2020. DOI: [10.1365/s13291-020-00215-z](https://doi.org/10.1365/s13291-020-00215-z).
- [MFK94] D. Mumford, J. Fogarty, and F. Kirwan, *Geometric invariant theory*, Third, ser. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (2) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (2)]. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994, vol. 34, pp. xiv+292. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-642-57916-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-57916-5).
- [MS15] D. Maclagan and B. Sturmfels, *Introduction to tropical geometry*, ser. Graduate Studies in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2015, vol. 161, pp. xii+363. DOI: [10.1090/gsm/161](https://doi.org/10.1090/gsm/161).
- [Pap13] M. Papikian, “Non-Archimedean uniformization and monodromy pairing,” in *Tropical and non-Archimedean geometry*, ser. Contemp. Math. Vol. 605, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2013, pp. 123–160. DOI: [10.1090/conm/605/12114](https://doi.org/10.1090/conm/605/12114).
- [Pay09] S. Payne, “Analytification is the limit of all tropicalizations,” *Math. Res. Lett.*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 543–556, 2009. DOI: [10.4310/MRL.2009.v16.n3.a13](https://doi.org/10.4310/MRL.2009.v16.n3.a13).
- [Pop14] M. I. Popoviciu Draisma, “Invariants of binary forms,” en, 2014. DOI: [10.5451/UNIBAS-006268669](https://doi.org/10.5451/UNIBAS-006268669).
- [Sil09] J. H. Silverman, *The arithmetic of elliptic curves*, Second, ser. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, Dordrecht, 2009, vol. 106, pp. xx+513. DOI: [10.1007/978-0-387-09494-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-09494-6).

- [Stu08] B. Sturmfels, *Algorithms in invariant theory*, Second, ser. Texts and Monographs in Symbolic Computation. SpringerWienNewYork, Vienna, 2008, pp. vi+197. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-211-77417-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-211-77417-5).
- [The22] The Sage Developers, *Sagemath, the Sage Mathematics Software System (Version 9.5)*, 2022.
- [vdGee21] G. van der Geer, *Siegel modular forms of degree two and three and invariant theory*, 2021. DOI: [10.48550/arXiv.2102.02245](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2102.02245).

Authors' addresses:

Paul Alexander Helminck, Department of Mathematical Sciences Durham University, Upper Mountjoy Campus, Stockton Road, Durham DH1 3LE. paul.a.helminck@durham.ac.uk

Yassine El Maazouz, U.C. Berkeley, Department of statistics, 335 Evans Hall # 3860, Berkeley CA 94720, U.S.A. yassine.el-maazouz@berkeley.edu

Enis Kaya, Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in the Sciences, Inselstraße 22, 04103, Leipzig, Germany. enis.kaya@mis.mpg.de