

An explicit Selberg mean-value result with applications

MICHAELA CULLY-HUGILL
 School of Science, UNSW Canberra
 Australia ACT 2612

ADRIAN W. DUDEK
 Wacal Road, Mothar Mountain
 Australia QLD 4570

June 2, 2022

Abstract

This paper gives an explicit version of Selberg's mean-value estimate under the Riemann hypothesis (RH) [20]. Two applications are given in short-interval results: one for primes, and one for Goldbach numbers. Under RH and for all $x \geq 2$, we find at least one prime in $(y, y + 37 \log^2 y]$ for at least half the $y \in [x, 2x]$, and at least one Goldbach number in $(x, x + 864 \log^2 x]$.

1 Introduction

Selberg's 1943 paper [20] features conditional and unconditional estimates for the asymptotic behaviour of the prime number theorem in short intervals, $(x, x + h)$ with $h = o(x)$. They are reached via the relationship between Chebyshev's prime counting functions, $\theta(x)$ and $\psi(x)$, and the Riemann zeta-function, $\zeta(s)$. A key waypoint in Selberg's method is an estimate for

$$J(x, \delta) = \int_1^x |\theta(y + \delta y) - \theta(y) - \delta y|^2 dy$$

for $\delta \in (0, 1]$, to gauge the mean value of $\theta(x)$ in short intervals. There has been much interest in this integral since Selberg's paper, for its connection to the prime number theorem and prime gaps, and for estimates on the zeros of $\zeta(s)$ and Montgomery's pair-correlation function.

Assuming the Riemann hypothesis (RH), the best estimate for $J(x, \delta)$ is

$$J(x, \delta) \ll \delta x^2 \log^2 x, \tag{1}$$

for all $\delta \in [1/x, 1]$, from Selberg [20]¹. Saffari and Vaughan gave a similar result in Lemma 5 of [19], but used an averaging technique with the Riemann–von Mangoldt explicit formula. Unconditionally, one of the best results for $J(x, \delta)$ to date is from Zaccagnini [25] of $J(x, \delta) \ll x^3 \delta^2$ for $\delta \in [x^{-5/6-\epsilon(x)}, 1]$ with $\epsilon(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

Selberg’s result (1) is actually deduced from an estimate for a similar integral over 1 to δ^{-1} : see equation (13) in [20]. This integral has itself been separately studied, in part because it allows a better illustration of the relationship between the size of the interval and the asymptotic behaviour of the integral. For more details on this see, e.g., the introduction of [2]. Another useful reference is Zaccagnini’s review paper [26], which gives a survey of the literature surrounding $J(x, \delta)$. Also see Goldston et al. [10] for a version of Selberg’s proof of (1) for $\psi(x)$.

The primary goal of this paper is to prove the following explicit version of (1).

Theorem 1. *Assuming RH, for all $x \geq e^{12}$ and any $\delta \in (0, 10^{-8}]$ we have*

$$\int_1^x |\theta(y + \delta y) - \theta(y) - \delta y|^2 dy < 18\delta x^2 \log^2 x.$$

Estimates for the mean value of the prime number theorem in intervals can be used to comment on the measure of sets that define intervals which contain primes. It is usually said that an estimate holds for ‘almost all’ $y \in (x, 2x)$ if the exceptional set has measure $o(x)$ (see footnote 4 on page 161 of [20] for Selberg’s definition). Under RH, Selberg’s estimate implies that almost all intervals $[x, x + h \log^2 x]$ contain a prime for any positive increasing function $h = h(x)$ (stated in [24, Cor. 2]). For comparison, the best unconditional result is from [13], of primes in almost all intervals of the form $[x, x + x^{\frac{1}{20}+\epsilon}]$, for any $\epsilon > 0$.

With an explicit estimate for $J(x, \delta)$, we can explicitly determine the measure of the set for which there are primes in an interval defined over that set. Moreover, Theorem 1 allows us to do so for any interval wider than $O(\log y)$. To demonstrate how this can be done, we prove the following corollary in Section 3.

Corollary 1. *Assuming RH, the set of $y \in [x, 2x]$ for which there is at least one prime in $(y, y + 37 \log^2 y]$ has a measure of at least $x/2$ for all $x \geq 2$.*

Similar statements to Corollary 1 can be made of other short intervals. We chose this particular interval to make a comparison with a well-known conjecture² of Cramér [3], that

¹This estimate is given in the second display equation on page 172 of [20].

²Although the basis for this conjecture has been called into question, it is still considered likely that Cramer’s conjecture is true for powers of $\log p_n$ above 2. See [12, pg. 23] and [18].

the upper bound on gaps between consecutive primes, $p_{n+1} - p_n$, should be $O(\log^2 p_n)$. This would predict Corollary 1 to be true for all $y \in [x, 2x]$. Another comparison can be made with the result of Goldston, Pintz, and Yıldırım [11, Thm. 1], that for any $\lambda > 0$ there is a positive proportion (dependent on λ) of $y \in [x, 2x]$ for which $(y, y + \lambda \log y]$ contains a prime.

Selberg's result has also been used to deduce interval results for Goldbach numbers, defined as sums of two odd primes. Montgomery and Vaughan [16, Thm. 2] proved that, assuming RH, there exists a $C > 0$ such that the interval $(x, x + C \log^2 x)$ contains a Goldbach number for sufficiently large x . It is worth noting that a similar statement was proved without (1) by Languasco and Perelli [15, Cor. 1]. Other interval results for Goldbach numbers include those under certain assumptions, such as in Corollary 3 of [8] for intervals $(x, x + C \log x)$, and estimates on the average number of Goldbach numbers in intervals [9]. We prove the following explicit version of Montgomery and Vaughan's result in Section 4.

Theorem 2. *Assuming RH, for all $x \geq 2$ there exists a Goldbach number in the interval $(x, x + 864 \log^2 x)$.*

We note that this result and that of Corollary 1 were verified for smaller x by the computations of Oliveira e Silva, Herzog, and Pardi [17].

2 An explicit version of Selberg's result

2.1 Preliminary lemmas

In this section we prove Theorem 1. Here and hereafter, let $s = \sigma + it$ and let $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$ denote any non-trivial zero of $\zeta(s)$. Selberg's proof of (1) requires a mean-value estimate for the logarithmic derivative of $\zeta(s)$ on RH. In particular, Lemma 4 of [20] states that for sufficiently large T and $\sigma \in (1/2, 3/4]$,

$$\int_0^T \left| \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(\sigma + it) \right|^2 dt = O\left(\frac{T}{(\sigma - 1/2)^2}\right). \quad (2)$$

There does not appear to be an explicit version of (2), but Selberg's proof is effective. See also an estimate for a similar integral from Farmer [7, Lem. 2]. There are explicit estimates for $\zeta'(s)/\zeta(s)$ in the critical strip, such as in [21, Cor. 1(b)] of the order $O((\log t)^{2(1-\sigma)}(\log \log t)^2)$, or Lemma 2.8 of [6] of $O(\log^2 t)$, but these would not give an estimate of the form (2). We will make (2) explicit in Lemma 4, by way of an explicit version of [20, Lem. 3] in Lemma 3. The latter will need Lemmas 1 and 2.

Lemma 1. *(Karatsuba, & Korolëv [14, Lem. 2]) For $|\sigma| \leq 2$ and $|t| \geq 10$,*

$$\left| \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s) - \sum_{\rho} \frac{1}{s - \rho} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \log |t| + 3. \quad (3)$$

Lemma 2. (Selberg [20, Lem. 2]) For $x > 1$, and $\Lambda(n)$ denoting the von Mangoldt function,

$$\Lambda_x(n) = \begin{cases} \Lambda(n), & 1 \leq n \leq x \\ \Lambda(n) \frac{\log(x^2/n)}{\log x}, & x \leq n \leq x^2. \end{cases}$$

Then, for any $s \neq 1$ and not a zero of $\zeta(s)$,

$$-\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s) = \sum_{n < x^2} \frac{\Lambda_x(n)}{n^s} + \frac{x^{1-s} - x^{2(1-s)}}{(1-s)^2 \log x} - \frac{1}{\log x} \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{-2q-s} - x^{-2(2q+s)}}{(2q+s)^2} \quad (4)$$

$$- \frac{1}{\log x} \sum_{\rho} \frac{x^{\rho-s} - x^{2(\rho-s)}}{(s-\rho)^2}.$$

Lemma 3. Assume RH. For $x \geq x_0 \geq 3$, $|t| \geq 10$, $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{\log x} \leq \sigma \leq 1$, and $\alpha \geq 0.722$,

$$\left| \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s) \right| \leq A_2 \left| \sum_{n < x^2} \frac{\Lambda_x(n)}{n^s} \right| + \frac{A_1 A_2 (\log |t| + 6)}{2(\sigma - \frac{1}{2}) \log x} + \frac{A_1 A_2 x}{t^2 \log x} + \frac{A_2 c_1 e^{-\alpha}}{t^2 x^{\frac{5}{2}} \log x}.$$

where $A_1 = e^{-\alpha} + e^{-2\alpha}$, $A_2 = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - A_1}$, and $c_1 = 5/4$ for all $x \geq 3$.

Proof. We assume RH throughout, so $\rho = \frac{1}{2} + i\gamma$. Starting with Lemma 2, let Z_i for $i = 1, 2, 3$ denote the last three terms on the RHS of (4). For interest, we follow a similar proof to that of Lemma 2 in [22]. Using (4) we will denote

$$F(s, x) = \left| \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s) + \sum_{n < x^2} \frac{\Lambda_x(n)}{n^s} \right| \leq |Z_1| + |Z_2| + |Z_3| \quad (5)$$

for $x > 1$, $1/2 < \sigma \leq 1$, and $|t| > 0$. We have

$$|Z_1| = \left| \frac{x^{1-s} - x^{2(1-s)}}{(1-s)^2 \log x} \right| \leq \frac{x^{2-2\sigma} + x^{1-\sigma}}{t^2 \log x},$$

and, using the sum of a geometric series,

$$\begin{aligned} |Z_2| &= \left| \frac{1}{\log x} \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{-(2q+s)} - x^{-2(2q+s)}}{(2q+s)^2} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\log x} \left(\frac{x^{-(2+\sigma)} + x^{-2(2+\sigma)}}{t^2 + 4} + \frac{1}{t^2 + 16} \sum_{q=2}^{\infty} (x^{-(2q+\sigma)} + x^{-2(2q+\sigma)}) \right) \\ &\leq \frac{c_1}{(t^2 + 4)x^{2+\sigma} \log x}, \end{aligned}$$

where $c_1 = 1 + 2/(x_0^2 - 1)$ for $x \geq x_0 \geq 3$. For Z_3 we will use Lemma 1 and

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{1}{s - \rho} \right\} = \frac{\sigma - \frac{1}{2}}{(\sigma - \beta)^2 + (t - \gamma)^2}.$$

To begin,

$$\begin{aligned} |Z_3| &= \left| \frac{1}{\log x} \sum_{\rho} \frac{x^{\rho-s} - x^{2(\rho-s)}}{(s - \rho)^2} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}-\sigma} + x^{1-2\sigma}}{\log x} \sum_{\gamma} \frac{1}{(\sigma - \beta)^2 + (t - \gamma)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since (3) implies that for $|t| \geq 10$

$$\sum_{\rho} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{1}{s - \rho} \right\} \leq \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s) \right\} + \frac{1}{2} \log |t| + 3,$$

and $\operatorname{Re}(s) \leq |s|$ for all s , we have

$$|Z_3| \leq \frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}-\sigma} + x^{1-2\sigma}}{(\sigma - \frac{1}{2}) \log x} \left(\left| \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s) \right| + \frac{1}{2} \log |t| + 3 \right).$$

Substituting these bounds into (5), we have

$$F(s, x) \leq \frac{x^{2-2\sigma} + x^{1-\sigma}}{t^2 \log x} + \frac{c_1}{(t^2 + 4)x^{2+\sigma} \log x} + \frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}-\sigma} + x^{1-2\sigma}}{(\sigma - \frac{1}{2}) \log x} \left(\left| \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s) \right| + \frac{1}{2} \log |t| + 3 \right)$$

for $x \geq x_0$, $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma \leq 1$, and $|t| \geq 10$. If we further impose $\sigma \geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{\log x}$ with some $\alpha > 0$, the bound simplifies to

$$F(s, x) \leq \frac{e^{-2\alpha}x + e^{-\alpha}\sqrt{x}}{t^2 \log x} + \frac{c_1 e^{-\alpha}}{(t^2 + 4)x^{5/2} \log x} + \frac{e^{-\alpha} + e^{-2\alpha}}{(\sigma - \frac{1}{2}) \log x} \left(\left| \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s) \right| + \frac{1}{2} \log |t| + 3 \right).$$

Let $A_1 = e^{-\alpha} + e^{-2\alpha}$ and $A_2 = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - A_1}$. Assuming $\alpha > A_1$, which is satisfied for $\alpha \geq 0.722$, the above can be rearranged and simplified to

$$\left| \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s) \right| \leq A_2 \left| \sum_{n < x^2} \frac{\Lambda_x(n)}{n^s} \right| + \frac{A_1 A_2 (\log |t| + 6)}{2(\sigma - \frac{1}{2}) \log x} + \frac{A_1 A_2 x}{t^2 \log x} + \frac{A_2 c_1 e^{-\alpha}}{t^2 x^{\frac{5}{2}} \log x}.$$

□

Lemma 4. *Assume RH. For $T \geq T_0$, $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{\log T} \leq \sigma \leq \frac{3}{4}$, and $\alpha \geq 0.722$,*

$$\int_0^T \left| \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(\sigma + it) \right|^2 dt \leq \frac{A_4 T}{(\sigma - 1/2)^2}, \quad (6)$$

where A_4 is dependent on α , and given in (11). For $T_0 = 10^3$ we can take $A_4 = 0.576$ with $\alpha = 37$, or for $T_0 = 10^8$ we can take $A_4 = 0.535$ with $\alpha = 26$.

Proof. Using the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, Lemma 3 implies

$$\left| \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(\sigma + it) \right|^2 \leq 2A_2^2 \left| \sum_{n < x^2} \frac{\Lambda_x(n)}{n^s} \right|^2 + \frac{2A_1^2 A_2^2 (\log |t| + 6)^2}{(\sigma - \frac{1}{2})^2 \log^2 x} + \frac{8A_1^2 A_2^2 x^2}{t^4 \log^2 x} + \frac{8A_2^2 c_1^2 e^{-2\alpha}}{t^4 x^5 \log^2 x}, \quad (7)$$

over the same range of variables and with the same constants as defined in Lemma 3. As (7) holds over $|t| \geq 10$, it can be integrated over $t \in [10, T]$, for some $T > 10$. For the first term,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{10}^T \left| \sum_{n < x^2} \frac{\Lambda_x(n)}{n^s} \right|^2 dt &= (T - 10) \sum_{n < x^2} \frac{\Lambda_x(n)^2}{n^{2\sigma}} + \sum_{\substack{m, n < x^2 \\ m \neq n}} \frac{\Lambda_x(m) \Lambda_x(n)}{(mn)^\sigma} \int_{10}^T \left(\frac{n}{m} \right)^{it} dt \\ &< T \sum_{n < x^2} \frac{\Lambda_x(n)^2}{n^{2\sigma}} + 2 \sum_{\substack{m, n < x^2 \\ m \neq n}} \frac{\Lambda_x(m) \Lambda_x(n)}{(mn)^\sigma |\log(m/n)|}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The first sum in (8) can be bounded with

$$\sum_{n < x^2} \frac{\Lambda_x(n)^2}{n^{2\sigma}} < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n) \log n}{n^{2\sigma}} = \frac{d}{ds} \left[\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s) \right]_{s=2\sigma}.$$

For non-trivial zeros ρ , and all $s \in \mathbb{C}$, it is known that (e.g. see (8) and (9) in [4, p. 80])

$$\frac{d}{ds} \left(\frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} \right) = \frac{1}{(s-1)^2} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(s+2n)^2} - \sum_{\rho} \frac{1}{(s-\rho)^2}. \quad (9)$$

Thus, for $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n < x^2} \frac{\Lambda_x(n)^2}{n^{2\sigma}} &< \frac{1}{(2\sigma-1)^2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2\sigma+2n)^2} + \sum_{\gamma} \frac{1}{|2\sigma-1/2+i\gamma|^2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4(\sigma-1/2)^2} + \frac{\pi^2}{8} - 1 + \sum_{\gamma} \frac{1}{\gamma^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\sum_{\gamma} 1/\gamma^2 < c_0 = 0.04621$, computed in [1, Cor. 1].

For the second sum in (8) we can use $\log \lambda > 1 - \lambda^{-1}$ over $\lambda > 1$, so for $\sigma > 1/2$,

$$\sum_{\substack{m, n < x^2 \\ m \neq n}} \frac{\Lambda_x(m) \Lambda_x(n)}{(mn)^\sigma |\log(m/n)|} < \log^2 x \sum_{\substack{m, n < x^2 \\ m \neq n}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{mn}} + \frac{1}{|m-n|} \right). \quad (10)$$

Note that the bound on $|\log(m/n)|$ holds for both $m > n$ and $n > m$ because of the symmetry in the resulting expression. For $x \geq 1$, partial summation gives

$$\sum_{n < x^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} < 2x,$$

and the Euler–Maclaurin formula gives

$$\sum_{\substack{m,n < x^2 \\ m \neq n}} \frac{1}{|m-n|} = 2 \sum_{m < x^2} \sum_{n < m} \frac{1}{m-n} < 2 \sum_{m < x^2} \sum_{k < x^2} \frac{1}{k} < 4x^2 \log x + 2\gamma x^2 + 1,$$

whence we have

$$\sum_{\substack{m,n < x^2 \\ m \neq n}} \frac{\Lambda_x(m)\Lambda_x(n)}{(mn)^\sigma |\log(m/n)|} < 4x^2 \log^3 x + (4 + 2\gamma)x^2 \log^2 x + \log^2 x.$$

Returning to (8), we have

$$\int_{10}^T \left| \sum_{n < x^2} \frac{\Lambda_x(n)}{n^s} \right|^2 dt < T \left(\frac{1}{4(\sigma - 1/2)^2} + A_3 \right) + 8c_2 x^2 \log^3 x,$$

where $A_3 = \pi^2/8 - 1 + c_0$ and $c_2 = 2.25$ for $x \geq 3$. Using this in (7) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{A_2^2} \int_{10}^T \left| \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(\sigma + it) \right|^2 dt &\leq \frac{T}{2(\sigma - \frac{1}{2})^2} + 2A_3 T + 16c_2 x^2 \log^3 x \\ &\quad + \frac{2A_1^2}{(\sigma - \frac{1}{2})^2 \log^2 x} \int_{10}^T (\log t + 6)^2 + 8 \left(\frac{A_1^2 x^2}{\log^2 x} + \frac{c_1^2 e^{-2\alpha}}{x^5 \log^2 x} \right) \int_{10}^T \frac{1}{t^4} dt \\ &\leq \frac{T}{2(\sigma - \frac{1}{2})^2} + 2A_3 T + 16c_2 x^2 \log^3 x + \frac{2A_1^2 c_3 T \log^2 T}{(\sigma - \frac{1}{2})^2 \log^2 x} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{375} \left(\frac{A_1^2 x^2}{\log^2 x} + \frac{c_1^2 e^{-2\alpha}}{x^5 \log^2 x} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $c_3 = 1 + \frac{10}{\log T_0} + \frac{26}{\log^2 T_0}$ for all $T \geq T_0 > 10$. We will now take $x = T^\nu$, and for $x \geq x_0$ and $T \geq T_0$, this will be for any $\nu \geq \log x_0 / \log T_0$. The previous bound becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{A_2^2} \int_{10}^T \left| \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(\sigma + it) \right|^2 dt &\leq \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2A_1^2 c_3}{\nu^2} \right) \frac{T}{(\sigma - \frac{1}{2})^2} + 2A_3 T + 16c_2 \nu^3 T^{2\nu} \log^3 T \\ &\quad + \frac{A_1^2 T^{2\nu}}{375 \nu^2 \log^2 T} + \frac{c_1^2 T^{1-5\nu}}{375 e^{2\alpha} \nu^2 \log^2 T}. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to estimate the integral over $t \in [0, 10]$. By the maximum modulus principle,

$$\left| \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s) \right| \leq \max_{z \in \delta S} \left| \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(z) \right|,$$

where δS is the boundary of $S := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \frac{1}{2} < \sigma \leq \frac{3}{4}, 0 \leq t \leq 10\}$. This implies

$$\int_0^{10} \left| \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(\sigma + it) \right|^2 dt \leq 10 \cdot 4.7^2 < 215.$$

Therefore, for all $T \geq T_0$ and $1/2 < \sigma \leq 3/4$ we have

$$\int_0^T \left| \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(\sigma + it) \right|^2 dt \leq \frac{A_4 T}{(\sigma - \frac{1}{2})^2},$$

where

$$A_4 = A_2^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2A_1^2 c_3}{\nu^2} + \frac{A_3}{8} + \left(c_2 \nu^3 \log^3 T_0 + \frac{A_1^2/6000}{\nu^2 \log^2 T_0} \right) \frac{1}{T_0^{1-2\nu}} + \frac{c_1^2 e^{-2\alpha}/6000}{\nu^2 T_0^{5\nu} \log^2 T_0} \right) + \frac{215}{16T_0}, \quad (11)$$

for any $\nu \in [\log x_0 / \log T_0, 1/2)$ and $T_0 \geq \exp(\frac{3}{1-2\nu})$. The latter condition is needed to ensure the $T^{2\nu-1} \log^3 T$ term is decreasing for all $T \geq T_0$. Optimising ν and α with $x_0 = 3$ and $T_0 = 10^3$, we can take $A_4 = 0.576$ with $\nu = 0.1591$ and $\alpha = 37$. Alternatively, with $T_0 = 10^8$ we can take $A_4 = 0.535$ with $\nu = 0.0597$ and $\alpha = 26$. \square

For any choice of $T_0 \geq 10^8$, A_4 is within 10^{-6} of its limit. Larger x_0 does not reduce A_4 — in fact, we see the opposite. A smaller x_0 allows smaller admissible ν , which reduces the terms with a factor of T^ν . As a result, the optimal value of ν in both cases is its lower limit. One of the most direct ways to reduce A_4 would be the use of a smaller upper bound on σ .

2.2 Proof of Theorem 1

To begin, let

$$\theta_0(x) = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} (\theta(x + \varepsilon) + \theta(x - \varepsilon)),$$

so $\theta_0(x) = \theta(x)$ except when x is prime, and let $G(y, \delta) = \theta(y + \delta y) - \theta(y) - \delta y$ for any $\delta \in (0, 1]$. By Perron's formula, we can write, for $x > 1$, $s = \sigma + it$, and prime p ,

$$\theta_0(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{2-i\infty}^{2+i\infty} \frac{x^s}{s} \left\{ \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p^s} \right\} ds. \quad (12)$$

As the integral is over $\sigma \geq 2$, the sum can be re-written as

$$\sum_p \frac{\log p}{p^s} = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^s} - \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p^{rs}} = -\frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} - g(s).$$

As $g(s)$ is convergent for $\sigma > 1/2$, it can be bounded over this region with³

$$\begin{aligned} |g(s)| &= \left| \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p^s(p^s - 1)} \right| \leq \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p^\sigma(p^\sigma - 1)} \leq c_4 \sum_{p \geq 17} \frac{\log p}{p^{2\sigma}} + \sum_{2 \leq p < 17} \frac{\log p}{p^\sigma(p^\sigma - 1)} \\ &\leq c_4 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^{2\sigma}} + \sum_{2 \leq p < 17} \left(\frac{\log p}{p^\sigma(p^\sigma - 1)} - \frac{c_4 \log p}{p^{2\sigma}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

³Splitting the sum at $p = 17$ is a relatively arbitrary choice.

where $c_4 = \sqrt{17}/(\sqrt{17} - 1) \approx 1.321$. This simplifies to

$$|g(s)| < -c_4 \frac{\zeta'(2\sigma)}{\zeta(2\sigma)} + 1.383.$$

By Delange's theorem [5], and the second display equation on p. 334 of [5], we have

$$-\frac{\zeta'(\sigma)}{\zeta(\sigma)} < \frac{1}{\sigma - 1}$$

for all $\sigma > 1$. Hence, for $\sigma \in (1/2, 3/4]$ and $c_5 = 1.006$ we can use

$$|g(s)| < \frac{c_4}{2\sigma - 1} + 1.383 < \frac{c_5}{\sigma - \frac{1}{2}}. \quad (13)$$

Returning to (12), we will move the line of integration to some $\sigma \in (1/2, 3/4]$. Part of this process involves evaluating a closed contour integral of the integrand in (12) over at most $1/2 < \operatorname{Re}(s) \leq 2$ and all t . The only pole of the integrand in this region is at $s = 1$. Therefore, by Cauchy's residue theorem,

$$\theta_0(x) - x = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x^s}{s} \left\{ \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} + g(s) \right\} dt. \quad (14)$$

We will use (14) to set up an expression for the error term of $\theta(x)$ in intervals. Let κ be defined such that $e^\kappa = 1 + \delta$, meaning $0 < \kappa \leq \log 2$. For $\tau > 0$, (14) implies

$$\frac{\theta_0(e^{\kappa+\tau}) - \theta_0(e^\tau) - \delta e^\tau}{e^{\sigma\tau}} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{\kappa s} - 1}{s} e^{it\tau} \left(\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s) + g(s) \right) dt.$$

By Plancherel's theorem (see e.g. [23, Thm. 2, p.69])

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\theta_0(e^{\kappa+\tau}) - \theta_0(e^\tau) - \delta e^\tau}{e^{\sigma\tau}} \right|^2 d\tau = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{e^{\kappa s} - 1}{s} \right|^2 \left| \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s) + g(s) \right|^2 dt. \quad (15)$$

Since $\theta_0(x) = \theta(x)$ almost everywhere, this statement is equally true for $\theta(x)$. As $|z|^2 = z\bar{z}$ for any complex z , the integrals in (15) are symmetric around 0, which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \left| \frac{G(e^\tau, \delta)}{e^{\sigma\tau}} \right|^2 d\tau &< \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \left| \frac{e^{\kappa s} - 1}{s} \right|^2 \left(\left| \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} \right|^2 + |g(s)|^2 \right) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{(2^k-1)/\delta}^{(2^{k+1}-1)/\delta} \left| \frac{e^{\kappa s} - 1}{s} \right|^2 \left(\left| \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} \right|^2 + |g(s)|^2 \right) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

The first factor in the integrand can be bounded in two different ways:

$$\left| \frac{e^{\kappa s} - 1}{s} \right| = \left| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\kappa^{n+1} s^n}{(n+1)!} \right| \leq e^{\frac{3}{4}\kappa} \kappa, \quad (17)$$

$$\left| \frac{e^{\kappa s} - 1}{s} \right| \leq \frac{e^{\frac{3}{4}\kappa} + 1}{t}. \quad (18)$$

We will use (17) for the $k = 0$ term in (16), and (18) for the other terms, so as to have a convergent sum. Incorporating Lemma 4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \left| \frac{G(e^\tau, \delta)}{e^{\sigma\tau}} \right|^2 d\tau &< \frac{(A_4 + c_5)e^{\frac{3}{2}\kappa}\kappa^2}{\pi\delta(\sigma - \frac{1}{2})^2} + \frac{(e^{\frac{3}{4}\kappa} + 1)^2\delta}{\pi(\sigma - \frac{1}{2})^2} \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{A_4(2^{k+1} - 1) + c_5 \cdot 2^k}{(2^k - 1)^2} \\ &\leq ((1 + 10^{-3})(A_4 + c_5) + (4 + 10^{-2})(A_4A_5 + c_5A_6)) \frac{\delta}{\pi(\sigma - \frac{1}{2})^2} \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

for $\delta \leq T_0^{-1} \leq 10^{-3}$, where $A_5 = 4.35..$ and $A_6 = 2.74..$ are the two convergent sums in (19). In using Lemma 4 we assumed $(2^{k+1} - 1)/\delta \geq T_0$, which is true over $k \geq 0$ with $\delta \leq T_0^{-1}$.

We can now choose σ to minimise the final bound. By the upper bound on σ in Lemma 4, we can take $\sigma = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{\log(1/\delta)}$ for $\delta \leq \min(e^{-4\alpha}, T_0^{-1})$. This substitution results in

$$\int_0^\infty \left| \frac{G(e^\tau, \delta)}{e^{\sigma\tau}} \right|^2 d\tau = \int_1^\infty \left| \frac{G(y, \delta)}{y^{\sigma+\frac{1}{2}}} \right|^2 dy = \int_1^\infty \left| \frac{G(y, \delta)}{y^{1+\frac{\alpha}{\log(1/\delta)}}} \right|^2 dy > \frac{1}{e^{\alpha b}} \int_1^{1/\delta^b} \left| \frac{G(y, \delta)}{y} \right|^2 dy$$

for $y > 1$ and any $b > 0$. With the same bounds on parameters, we can conclude

$$\int_1^{1/\delta^b} \left| \frac{G(y, \delta)}{y} \right|^2 dy < e^{\alpha(b-1)} A_7 \delta \log^2(1/\delta) \quad (20)$$

for

$$A_7 = \frac{e^\alpha}{\alpha^2 \pi} ((1 + 10^{-3})(A_4 + c_5) + (4 + 10^{-2})(A_4A_5 + c_5A_6)).$$

Note that this is an explicit form of (13) in [20]. This particular result is more precise than Theorem 1 for a specific choice of δ . To reach Theorem 1, we instead take $\sigma = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{\log x}$ in (19), for $x \geq e^{4\alpha}$ (by the upper bound on σ), which implies

$$\int_0^\infty \left| \frac{G(e^\tau, \delta)}{e^{\sigma\tau}} \right|^2 d\tau > \frac{1}{e^{\alpha x^2}} \int_1^x |G(y, \delta)|^2 dy,$$

and hence

$$\int_1^x |G(y, \delta)|^2 dy < A_7 \delta x^2 \log^2 x \quad (21)$$

for $\delta \leq T_0^{-1}$. Using $T_0 = 10^8$ in (11) to optimise over α and ν , we can take $A_7 = 18$ with $\alpha = 2.9$ and $\nu = 0.25$, such that (21) holds for all $x \geq e^{12}$.

3 Primes in some short intervals

Theorem 1 can be used to find an explicit estimate for the exceptional set of primes in short intervals of length h where $h/\log y \rightarrow \infty$. This is demonstrated in Corollary 1.

Corollary 1. *Assuming RH, the set of $y \in [x, 2x]$ for which there is at least one prime in $(y, y + 37 \log^2 y]$ has a measure of at least $x/2$ for all $x \geq 2$.*

Proof. Let $\delta_1 = \frac{\lambda \log^2(2x)}{2x}$ for $x > 1$ and $\lambda > 0$. We will use the alternative version of Theorem 1 from the previous section, stated in (20), which is under RH. Taking $\delta = \delta_1$ in (20),

$$\int_1^{\delta_1^{-b}} \left| \frac{G(y, \delta)}{y} \right|^2 dy < A_7 \frac{\lambda \log^2(2x)}{2x} \log^2 \left(\frac{2x}{\lambda \log^2(2x)} \right) \quad (22)$$

for some $b > 1$, A_7 defined after (20), and x such that $\delta_1 \leq \min(e^{-4\alpha}, 10^{-8})$. The restriction on b allows us to write

$$\int_1^{\delta_1^{-b}} \left| \frac{\theta(y + \delta y) - \theta(y) - \delta y}{y} \right|^2 dy > \int_x^{2x} \left| \frac{\theta(y + \delta y) - \theta(y) - \delta y}{y} \right|^2 dy.$$

for $\delta_1 < (2x)^{-\frac{1}{b}}$. Therefore, (22) implies

$$\int_x^{2x} |\theta(y + \delta y) - \theta(y) - \delta y|^2 dy < 2A_7 x \log^2(2x) \log^2 \left(\frac{2x}{\log^2(2x)} \right) < 2A_7 x \log^4 x$$

over $\delta_1 < \min\{e^{-4\alpha}, 10^{-8}, (2x)^{-\frac{1}{b}}\}$. This bound allows us to state that for a subset of $y \in [x, 2x]$ of measure $\geq (1 - g)x$ with $g \in (0, 1)$, and sufficiently large x ,

$$|\theta(y + \delta y) - \theta(y) - \delta y|^2 < B \log^4 y. \quad (23)$$

for some $B > 0$. To justify this, suppose for a contradiction that there exists a subset I of $y \in [x, 2x]$ of measure $\geq gx$ for which

$$|\theta(y + \delta y) - \theta(y) - \delta y|^2 \geq B \log^4 y.$$

This would imply

$$\int_x^{2x} |\theta(y + \delta y) - \theta(y) - \delta y|^2 dy \geq B \log^4 x \int_I dy = Bgx \log^4 x.$$

This will be a contradiction for $B \geq 2A_7/g$. Therefore, for $x \leq y \leq 2x$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(y + \lambda \log^2 y) - \theta(y) - \lambda \log^2 y &\geq \theta \left(y + \frac{\lambda \log^2(2x)}{2x} y \right) - \theta(y) - \frac{\lambda \log^2(2x)}{2x} y \\ &\quad + \frac{\lambda \log^2(2x)}{2x} y - \log^2 y \\ &> \left(-\sqrt{B} + \frac{\lambda}{2} - 1 \right) \log^2 y, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that there will be at least one prime in the interval $(y, y + \lambda \log^2 y]$ for

$$\lambda - \sqrt{B} + \frac{\lambda}{2} - 1 = \frac{3\lambda}{2} - \sqrt{2A_7/g} - 1 > 0$$

for $B = 2A_7/g$. We can conclude that, under the assumption of RH, the set of $y \in [x, 2x]$ for which there are primes in $(y, y + \lambda \log^2 y]$ has measure $\geq (1-g)x$ for $\lambda > \frac{2}{3} \left(\sqrt{\frac{2A_7}{g}} + 1 \right)$. For $g = 1/2$, we want to choose b such that we find the smallest λ for which the result holds over $x \geq 4 \cdot 10^{18}$. With $b = 2.671$, we can take $A_7 = 732$ with parameters $\alpha = 1.78$ and $\nu = 0.3$, and hence have $\lambda = 37$.

The computations in Section 2.2 of [17] confirm that this interval contains a prime for all $2 \leq y \leq 4 \cdot 10^{18}$. More specifically, the calculations in Section 2.2.1 of [17] show that there is a prime in $(y, y + 2.09 \log^2 y]$ for all $2 \leq y \leq 4 \cdot 10^{18}$. \square

The trade-off in this type of result is between the length of the interval, the size of the exceptional set, and the range for which the result holds. Corollary 1 was built first on the asymptotic length of the interval, then the desired measure of the exceptional set, and lastly the constant in the interval was calculated based on the smallest x for which we wanted the result to hold. Alternatively, it would have been possible to first set the constant in the interval, then calculate the measure of the exceptional set. If wanting to consider an asymptotically larger interval of $O(\log^2 y)$, the exceptional set would be asymptotically smaller than x , and could be given explicitly using Theorem 1 and the working in [20, p. 11].

4 An explicit bound for Goldbach numbers

A Goldbach number is an even positive integer that can be written as the sum of two odd primes. With Theorem 1, we can prove Theorem 2, restated here.

Theorem 2. *Assume RH. For all $x \geq 2$ there exists a sum of two prime numbers in the interval $(x, x + 864 \log^2 x]$.*

Under RH, and for $x \geq e^{12}$, $\delta \leq 10^{-8}$, any $a \in [e^{-12}, 1)$, and $A = 18$, Theorem 1 implies

$$\int_{ax}^x |\theta(t + \delta t) - \theta(t) - \delta t|^2 dt \leq A\delta x^2 \log^2 x. \quad (24)$$

This proof largely follows that of Theorem 2 in Section 9 of [16], except we will parameterise certain values, and optimise. Suppose the interval $(x, x + h]$ contains no sum of two primes for $1 \leq h \leq x$. Then for each y satisfying $ax \leq y \leq x$, at least one of the two intervals

$$\left(y, y + \frac{1}{2}h \right], \left(x - y, x - y + \frac{1}{2}h \right] \quad (25)$$

will not contain a prime number.

Both of these intervals can be written in the form

$$\left(\frac{x}{2} + \frac{kh}{2}, \frac{x}{2} + \frac{(k+1)h}{2} \right],$$

for $(2a-1)xh^{-1} \leq k \leq xh^{-1}$ and $0 < a < 1/2$. If we only consider k in the interval $K = [(2a-1)xh^{-1} + 1, (1-2a)xh^{-1} - 1]$ then there exist pairs of $k \in K$ which give pairs of intervals of the form (25) which both lie in $(ax, x-ax]$. As the intervals in (25) are symmetric, the set $(ax, x-ax]$ can be covered with pairs of intervals given by $(1-2a)x/h$ different values of k . Moreover, at least $(1-2a)x/h$ of these intervals will not contain a prime.

As these these intervals span $h/2$, we can write that for $\delta \leq hx^{-1}/2$,

$$|\theta(t + \delta t) - \theta(t) - \delta t| = \delta t$$

on a set I of $t \in (ax, x-ax]$ of measure $(\frac{1}{2} - a)x$, for any $a \in (0, 1/2)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{ax}^x |\theta(t + \delta t) - \theta(t) - \delta t|^2 dt &> \int_I |\theta(t + \delta t) - \theta(t) - \delta t|^2 dt = \delta^2 \int_I t^2 dt \\ &> \delta^2 \int_{ax}^{x/2} t^2 dt = \frac{\delta^2 x^3}{3} \left(\frac{1}{8} - a^3 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\delta = hx^{-1}/2$ with $h = C \log^2 x$, this statement contradicts (24) for

$$C \geq \frac{6A}{\frac{1}{8} - a^3}$$

and all x satisfying

$$\frac{\log^2 x}{x} \leq \frac{2}{10^8 C}.$$

The lower bound on C is minimised at the smallest $a = e^{-12}$, meaning we can take $C = 48A$. With $A = 18$, we can conclude that there must exist at least one Goldbach number in $(x, x+864 \log^2 x]$ for all $x \geq 5 \cdot 10^{13}$. The computation in [17] confirms the Goldbach conjecture up to $4 \cdot 10^{18}$, so this interval must also contain a Goldbach number for all $x \geq 2$.

Acknowledgements

Many thanks to Tim Trudgian, Aleks Simonič, and Daniel Johnston for their advice and suggestions.

References

- [1] R. P. Brent, D. J. Platt, and T. S. Trudgian, “Accurate estimation of sums over zeros of the Riemann zeta-function,” *Math. Comp.*, vol. 90, no. 332, pp. 2923–2935, 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1090/mcom/3652>
- [2] E. Carneiro, V. Chandee, A. Chirre, and M. B. Milinovich, “On Montgomery’s pair correlation conjecture: A tale of three integrals,” *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, no. (Early online publishing), 2022.
- [3] H. Cramér, “On the order of magnitude of the difference between consecutive prime numbers,” *Acta Arith.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 23–46, 1936. [Online]. Available: <http://eudml.org/doc/205441>
- [4] H. Davenport, *Multiplicative Number Theory*. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1980, vol. 74, second edition.
- [5] H. Delange, “Une remarque sur la dérivée logarithmique de la fonction zêta de Riemann,” *Colloq. Math.*, vol. 53, no. 2, pp. 333–335, 1987.
- [6] A. W. Dudek, “An explicit result for primes between cubes,” *Funct. Approx. Comment. Math.*, vol. 55, no. 2, pp. 177–197, 2016.
- [7] D. W. Farmer, “Mean values of ζ'/ζ and the Gaussian unitary ensemble hypothesis,” *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, no. 2, pp. 71–82, 1995. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1155/S1073792895000067>
- [8] D. A. Goldston, “Linnik’s theorem on Goldbach numbers in short intervals,” *Glasgow Math. J.*, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 285–297, 1990.
- [9] D. A. Goldston and A. I. Suriajaya, “On an Average Goldbach Representation Formula of Fujii,” *Preprint on arXiv:2110.14250v1*, 2021.
- [10] D. A. Goldston, S. M. Gonek, and H. L. Montgomery, “Mean values of the logarithmic derivative of the Riemann zeta-function with applications to primes in short intervals,” *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, vol. 537, pp. 105–126, 2001.
- [11] D. A. Goldston, J. Pintz, and C. Y. Yıldırım, “Positive proportion of small gaps between consecutive primes,” *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, vol. 79, no. 3-4, pp. 433–444, 2011.
- [12] A. Granville, “Harald Cramér and the distribution of prime numbers,” *Scand. Actuar. J.*, no. 1, pp. 12–28, 1995, Harald Cramér Symposium (Stockholm, 1993).

- [13] C. Jia, “Almost all short intervals containing prime numbers,” *Acta Arith.*, vol. 76, no. 1, pp. 21–84, 1996.
- [14] A. A. Karatsuba and M. A. Korolöv, “The argument of the Riemann zeta function,” *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, vol. 60, no. 3(363), pp. 41–96, 2005.
- [15] A. Languasco and A. Perelli, “On Linnik’s theorem on Goldbach numbers in short intervals and related problems,” *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, vol. 44, no. 2, pp. 307–322, 1994.
- [16] H. L. Montgomery and R. C. Vaughan, “The exceptional set in Goldbach’s problem,” *Acta Arith.*, vol. 27, pp. 353–370, 1975.
- [17] T. Oliveira e Silva, S. Herzog, and S. Pardi, “Empirical verification of the even Goldbach conjecture and computation of prime gaps up to $4 \cdot 10^{18}$,” *Math. Comp.*, vol. 83, no. 288, pp. 2033–2060, 2014.
- [18] J. Pintz, “Cramér vs. Cramér. On Cramér’s probabilistic model for primes,” *Funct. Approx. Comment. Math.*, vol. 37, no. part 2, pp. 361–376, 2007.
- [19] B. Saffari and R. C. Vaughan, “On the fractional parts of x/n and related sequences. II,” *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, vol. 27, no. 2, pp. v, 1–30, 1977.
- [20] A. Selberg, “On the normal density of primes in small intervals, and the difference between consecutive primes,” *Arch. Math. Naturvid.*, vol. 47, no. 6, pp. 87–105, 1943.
- [21] A. Simonič, “Estimates for L-functions in the critical strip under GRH with effective applications,” *Preprint on arXiv:2201.10771v1*, 2022.
- [22] —, “On explicit estimates for $S(t)$, $S_1(t)$, and $\zeta(1/2 + it)$ under the Riemann Hypothesis,” *J. Number Theory*, vol. 231, pp. 464–491, 2022.
- [23] N. Wiener, *The Fourier Integral and Certain of its Applications*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1988.
- [24] D. Wolke, “On the explicit formula of Riemann-von Mangoldt, II,” *J. London Math. Soc.*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 406–416, 1983.
- [25] A. Zaccagnini, “Primes in almost all short intervals,” *Acta Arith.*, vol. 84, no. 3, pp. 225–244, 1998.
- [26] —, “The Selberg integral and a new pair-correlation function for the zeros of the Riemann zeta-function,” *Riv. Math. Univ. Parma (N.S.)*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 133–151, 2016.