

# Zero-free half-planes of the $\zeta$ -function via spaces of analytic functions

Aditya Ghosh  
Kobi Kremnizer  
S. Waleed Noor  
Charles F. Santos

July 28, 2022

## Abstract

In this article we introduce a general approach for deriving zero-free half-planes for the Riemann zeta function  $\zeta$  by identifying topological vector spaces of analytic functions with specific properties. This approach is applied to weighted  $\ell^2$  spaces and classical Hardy spaces  $H^p$  ( $0 < p \leq 2$ ). As a consequence precise conditions are obtained for the existence of zero-free half planes for the  $\zeta$ -function.

## 0 Introduction

The Riemann Hypothesis (RH) is equivalent to a completeness problem in  $L^2(0, 1)$ . This was first stated in the 1950's by Nyman [8] and Beurling [4]:

**Theorem 0.1** Define  $g_t(x) := \rho\left(\frac{1}{tx}\right) - \frac{1}{t}\rho\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$  for  $t \geq 1$  (where  $\rho(x)$  denotes the fractional part of  $x$ ). If we denote  $\nu := \text{span}\{g_t \mid t \geq 1\}$  and  $\mathbb{1}_{(0,1)}$  the characteristic function of  $(0, 1)$ , then the following statements are equivalent:

1. RH
2.  $\mathbb{1}_{(0,1)}$  belongs to the closure of  $\nu$  in  $L^2(0, 1)$ .
3.  $\nu$  is dense in  $L^2(0, 1)$

A remarkable strengthening by Báez-Duarte [2] in 2003 showed it is enough to restrict  $\nu$  from  $\{t \geq 1\}$  to the countable set  $\mathbb{N}$  in condition 2. The reader is directed to an article by Bagchi [3] that collects these results of Nyman, Beurling and Báez-Duarte and their proofs in one place. Recently Noor [7] transferred these ideas to the Hardy Space  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ . Here, the RH is equivalent to the constant function  $\mathbf{1}$  being in the closed linear span of certain elements  $\{h_k \mid k \geq 2\}$  in  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ . Noor [7] also showed that

$$\sum_{k=2}^n \mu(k)(I - S)h_k \rightarrow 1 - z \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

in  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ , where  $S$  is the shift operator and  $\mu$  the Möbius function. As a consequence, Noor proved a weak form of the RH by relating it with invertibility of  $(I - S)$  in the weaker compact-open topology on  $\mathbb{D}$ . The goal of this paper is to generalize this idea to other spaces of analytic functions and establish criteria that would guarantee zero-free regions for the  $\zeta$ -function.

The plan of the paper is the following. After a section of preliminaries, our *functional* approach to obtaining zero-free half-planes for  $\zeta$  is introduced in Section 2. This approach entails finding topological vector spaces of analytic functions  $X$  that satisfy a *checklist* of conditions. This general framework is then applied to the weighted Hardy spaces (unitarily equivalent to weighted  $\ell^2$  spaces) in Section 3. After considering some concrete examples in Subsection 3.1, we obtain numerical conditions on the weights that guarantee

zero-free regions for  $\zeta$  in Subsection 3.2. In Subsection 3.3, we see that for non-trivial zero free half-planes the required weights can exhibit very extreme behavior. In Section 4, we apply this approach to the classical Hardy spaces  $H^p$  for  $0 < p \leq 2$ . In Subsection 4.1, we show that the checklist is completely satisfied for  $H^p$  with  $0 < p < 1$ . And in Subsection 4.2 all but one condition is satisfied for  $H^p$  with  $1 \leq p \leq 2$ . More precisely, we show that

$$1 \in \overline{\text{span}}_{H^p} \{h_k \mid k \geq 2\} \implies \zeta(s) \neq 0 \text{ for } \Re(s) > 1/p$$

for  $\Re(s) > 1/p$  (see Theorem 4.8) where the  $h_k$  are defined in Section 1. For  $0 < p < 1$  we prove that  $\text{span}\{h_k \mid k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq 2\}$  is dense in  $H^p$  (see Theorem 4.6). Since convergence in  $H^p$  implies convergence in the compact-open topology, this is a strengthening of one of the main results of Noor [7, Theorem 10].

## 1 Preliminaries

**Definition 1.1** The Hardy-Hilbert space  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  consists of all holomorphic function on the unit disk  $\mathbb{D}$  such that the norm

$$\|f\| := \left( \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |f(rz)|^2 dm(z) \right)^{1/2} < \infty \quad (1)$$

where  $m$  is the normalized Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{T}$ . The space  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  is a Hilbert space which inherits its inner product from the sequence space  $\ell^2$ :

$$H^2(\mathbb{D}) = \left\{ f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \mid (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^2 \right\}. \quad (2)$$

The functions  $h_k$  in  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  were defined in [7] as

$$h_k(z) := \frac{1}{k} \frac{1}{1-z} \log \left( \frac{1+z+\dots+z^{k-1}}{k} \right), \quad k \geq 2.$$

Actually this definition of  $h_k$  differs from that of [7] by the factor  $1/k$ . The  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  version of Báez-Duarte's result in [7] plays a central role in this work.

**Theorem 1.2** The following statements are equivalent:

1. RH holds true,

2.  $\mathbf{1}$  belongs to the closure of  $\text{span}\{h_k \mid k \geq 2\}$  in  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ , and
3.  $\text{span}\{h_k \mid k \geq 2\}$  is dense in  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ .

where  $\mathbf{1}$  is the constant function.

Let  $S = M_z$  denote the shift operator on  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  of multiplication by  $z$ . Then Noor [7, Lemma 11] also proved that

$$\left\| \sum_{k=2}^n \mu(k)(I - S)h_k - (1 - z) \right\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (3)$$

and as a consequence it was established that

$$\overline{\text{span}}_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}\{(I - S)h_k \mid k \geq 2\} = H^2(\mathbb{D}). \quad (4)$$

It is important to note that  $I - S$  is not an invertible operator on  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  (but has dense range). If it were invertible, then  $(I - S)^{-1}$  applied to (3) or (4) would prove the RH by Theorem 1.2.

It is useful to locate the  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  version of Báez-Duarte's theorem among the cornucopia of spaces where it appears in the literature (see Figure 1). The space  $\mathcal{A}$  is the Hilbert space of analytic functions  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  and  $g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$  defined on  $\mathbb{D}$  for which the inner product is given by

$$\langle f, g \rangle := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n \bar{b}_n}{(n+1)(n+2)}.$$

Then the maps  $T : H^2(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  (defined in Figure 1) and  $\Psi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$

$$\Psi : (x(1), x(2), \dots) \longmapsto \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x(n+1)z^n$$

are isometric isomorphisms, where  $\mathcal{H}$  is the weighted  $\ell^2$ -space corresponding to the coefficients of functions in  $\mathcal{A}$ . See [7] for more details on  $T$  and  $\Psi$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the closed subspace of  $L^2(0, 1)$  consisting of functions almost everywhere constant on the intervals  $[\frac{1}{n+1}, \frac{1}{n})$  for  $n \geq 1$ , and  $H^2(\Omega)$  the Hardy space of analytic function  $F$  on the half-plane  $\Omega := \{s \in \mathbb{C} : \Re(s) > 1/2\}$  such that

$$\|F\|^2 := \sup_{\sigma > 0} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |F(\sigma + it)|^2 dt < \infty.$$

The maps  $U : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  and the Fourier-Mellin transform  $\mathcal{F} : L^2(0, 1) \rightarrow H^2(\Omega)$  are also isometric isomorphisms. See [3] for more details on  $U$  and  $\mathcal{F}$ .




Figure 1: Spaces and isometries between them.

## 2 A Functional Approach

In this section we outline our approach to finding zero free half-planes for  $\zeta$  using more general spaces of analytic functions, but that contain  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ . We begin by looking more carefully at the situation in  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ .

From Figure 1, we see that there is a chain of isometries:

$$H^2(\mathbb{D}) \xrightarrow[\cong]{T} \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow[\cong]{\Psi^{-1}} \mathcal{H} \xrightarrow[\cong]{U^{-1}} \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow[\text{not onto}]{\mathcal{F}} H^2(\Omega) \quad (5)$$

We denote the composition of these isometries to be  $\Lambda: H^2(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow H^2(\Omega)$ . Since  $H^2(\Omega)$  is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space, the evaluation functionals  $E_s: H^2(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  for each  $s \in \Omega$  are bounded. So if we define the functionals  $\Lambda^{(s)} := E_s \circ \Lambda: H^2(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , then we get

**Lemma 2.1**  $\Lambda^{(s)}$  is bounded on  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  for  $\Re(s) > 1/2$ .

The principal feature of these functionals is the property that

$$\Lambda^{(s)}(h_k) = G_k(s) = -\frac{\zeta(s)}{s}(k^{-s} - k^{-1}) \quad (6)$$

which can be seen from Figure 1. By (5) one can also check that

$$\Lambda^{(s)}(1) = -\frac{1}{s}, \quad \Lambda^{(s)}(z^k) = f_k(s) := -\frac{1}{s}((k+1)^{1-s} - k^{1-s}). \quad (7)$$

Indeed, since  $T(z^k) = kz^{k-1} - \frac{z^k}{1-z}$  we have the sequence

$$s_k := \Psi^{-1}T(z^k) = (0, \dots, 0, k, -1, -1, \dots) \in \mathcal{H}.$$

where the  $k$ -th term of  $s_k$  is  $k$ . Now  $p_k := U^{-1}s_k$  belongs to  $\mathcal{M}$  such that  $p_k(x) = s_k(n)$  for all  $x \in [\frac{1}{n+1}, \frac{1}{n})$  and its Mellin transform is

$$\mathcal{F}(p_k)(s) = \int_{\frac{1}{k+1}}^{\frac{1}{k}} kx^{s-1} dx - \int_0^{\frac{1}{k+1}} x^{s-1} dx = f_k(s).$$

Therefore  $\Lambda^{(s)}(z^k) = f_k(s)$  and  $\Lambda^{(s)}$  is uniquely determined by these values.

The following growth estimate for  $f_k(s)$  will be used frequently.

**Proposition 2.2** For each  $\Re(s) > 0$ , we have  $|f_k(s)| \asymp k^{-\Re(s)}$  for all  $k \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* By the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus we have

$$|(k+1)^{1-s} - k^{1-s}| = |1-s| \left| \int_k^{k+1} y^{-s} dy \right| \asymp |1-s| k^{-\Re(s)} \quad (8)$$

and the growth estimate easily follows.  $\square$

The following *checklist* of conditions summarizes our abstract approach. Denote by  $\Omega_r$  the half-plane  $\{s \in \mathbb{C} \mid \Re(s) > r\}$ .

Suppose a topological vector space  $X$  of analytic functions satisfies the following conditions:

- (C1)  $z^k \in X$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  form a Schauder basis of  $X$ .
- (C2)  $H^2(\mathbb{D}) \subseteq X$  with the relative topology weaker than that of  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ .
- (C3) 1 belongs to the closure of  $\text{span}\{h_k \mid k \geq 2\}$  in  $X$ .
- (C4) There exists  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  such that the functionals  $\Lambda^{(s)} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} z^k &\mapsto f_k(s) = -\frac{1}{s} \left( (k+1)^{1-s} - k^{1-s} \right) && (k \in \mathbb{N}^*) \\ 1 &\mapsto -\frac{1}{s} \end{aligned}$$

are bounded on  $X$  for all  $s \in \Omega_r$ .

By **(C1)** and **(C2)** it is clear that  $\Lambda^{(s)}$  is determined by its values on  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ . Therefore by **(C3)**, **(C4)** and (6) it follows that  $\Lambda^{(s)}(1) = -1/s$  can be approximated pointwise by linear combinations of

$$\Lambda^{(s)}(h_k) = -\frac{\zeta(s)}{s}(k^{-s} - k^{-1})$$

for all  $s \in \Omega_r$ . Since  $1/s$  has no zeros in  $s \in \Omega_r$  the same must hold for  $\zeta(s)$ .

**Theorem 2.3** Suppose a space of analytic functions  $X$  satisfies the *checklist*. Then  $\zeta(s) \neq 0$  for all  $s \in \Omega_r$ .

In practice the condition **(C3)** can be difficult to verify. However, we note that **(C3)** will hold if the operator  $I - S$  is invertible on  $X$ . This is because the approximation (3) holds in  $X$  by **(C2)**, that is

$$\left\| \sum_{k=2}^n \mu(k)(I - S)h_k - (1 - z) \right\|_X \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (9)$$

We therefore call the invertibility of  $I - S$  on  $X$  the **Easy (C3)** condition.

### 3 Weighted $\ell^2$ sequence spaces

In this section our goal is to apply the functional approach of Section 2 to spaces  $X$  of analytic functions with Taylor series coefficients in some weighted  $\ell^2$  space. We begin with some illustrative examples.

#### 3.1 Some examples

**Example 3.1** (Smaller disks) Recall the definition 1.1 of  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ . We can restrict the supremum to  $0 < r < \epsilon$  to get a Hardy Space on the smaller disk  $\mathbb{D}_\epsilon := B(0; \epsilon)$  where  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ .

$$H^2(\mathbb{D}_\epsilon) := \left\{ f \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D}_\epsilon) \mid \sup_{0 < r < \epsilon} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta < \infty \right\} \quad (10)$$

It is a quick check to see this is equivalent to the weighted  $\ell^2$  definition:

$$H^2(\mathbb{D}_\epsilon) = \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \mid (a_n \epsilon^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^2 \right\} \quad (11)$$

Comparing with the Checklist, **(C1)** certainly holds as  $(z/\epsilon)^k$  form an orthonormal basis. From (10), we see that  $H^2(\mathbb{D}) \subseteq H^2(\mathbb{D}_\epsilon)$  and **(C2)** holds. The **Easy (C3)** condition also follows by definition 10:

$$\left\| \frac{1}{1-z} f(z) \right\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D}_\epsilon)} \leq \frac{1}{1-\epsilon} \|f(z)\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D}_\epsilon)}$$

The problem arises in **(C4)**. That is because  $\Lambda^{(s)}$  is bounded on  $H^2(\mathbb{D}_\epsilon)$  if and only if  $\Lambda^{(s)}(z^k/\epsilon^k) = f_k(s)/\epsilon^k$  forms an  $\ell^2$  sequence. Since  $|f_k(s)| \asymp k^{-\Re(s)}$  by Proposition 2.2, this requires that

$$\left( (1/\epsilon)^k k^{-\Re(s)} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}^*} \in \ell^2 \quad \text{where } (1/\epsilon) > 1$$

However, there are no values of  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  for which this is true as the sequence above is unbounded.  $\square$

In the next example we see that **(C4)** does hold.

**Example 3.2** For  $\alpha > 0$ , consider the space of analytic functions

$$X := \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \mid (a_n n^{-\alpha})_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} \in \ell^2 \right\} \quad (12)$$

with the inner product  $\langle \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n \rangle = a_0 \bar{b}_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \bar{b}_n n^{-2\alpha}$ . Condition **(C1)** holds as  $(n^\alpha z^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} \cup \{1\}$  forms an orthonormal basis for  $X$ . Also, **(C2)** holds as  $H^2(\mathbb{D}) \subseteq X$  and the norm on  $X$  is dominated by the  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  norm. Condition **(C4)** also holds:  $\Lambda^{(s)}$  is bounded on  $X$  if and only if  $\Lambda^{(s)}(n^\alpha z^n) = n^\alpha f_n(s) \in \ell^2$ . Since  $|f_n(s)| \asymp n^{-\Re(s)}$ , we require that

$$\left( n^\alpha n^{-\Re(s)} \right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} \in \ell^2 \quad \text{where } \alpha > 0$$

This holds for  $\Re(s) > \frac{1}{2} + \alpha$ . By Theorem 2.3, it follows that if **(C3)** holds for any  $0 < \alpha < 1/2$  then we obtain a non-trivial zero free half-plane for  $\zeta$ . But verifying **(C3)** for any such  $\alpha$  is not easy. So lets consider **Easy (C3)** instead. Define the functions  $f_\delta(z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^\delta z^m$  for some  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $f_\delta \in X$  if and only if  $\delta < \alpha - \frac{1}{2}$ . Since  $(I - S)^{-1}$  is formally the operator of multiplication by  $\frac{1}{1-z}$ , we have

$$(I - S)^{-1} f_\delta = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n \cdot \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^\delta z^m.$$



By the ratio test we see that the infinite sum has radius of convergence 1. Collecting the coefficient of  $z^k$  we see that  $(I - S)^{-1}f_\delta = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k z^k$  where  $c_k = \sum_{l=1}^k l^\delta$ . We can bound this sum from below as follows

$$c_k = \sum_{l=1}^k l^\delta \geq \int_0^k x^\delta dx = \frac{k^{\delta+1}}{\delta+1}.$$

Hence for  $(I - S)^{-1}f_\delta \in X$  we must necessarily have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{2(\delta+1)} k^{-2\alpha} < \infty$$

or equivalently  $\delta < \alpha - \frac{3}{2}$ . Hence if we choose  $\delta$  such that  $\alpha - \frac{3}{2} < \delta < \alpha - \frac{1}{2}$ , then  $f_\delta \in X$  but  $(I - S)^{-1}f_\delta \notin X$ . Therefore **Easy (C3)** fails for  $X$ .  $\square$

## 3.2 Analysis of weights

We now consider the general setting of weighted  $\ell^2$  sequence spaces.

**Definition 3.3** For  $w_n \geq 1$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ), we define the following Hilbert space:

$$X = \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \mid (a_n/w_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^2 \right\} \quad (13)$$

where  $X$  is equipped with the inner product

$$\left\langle \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n \right\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \bar{b}_n / w_n^2.$$

Clearly  $X$  is isometrically isomorphic to a weighted  $\ell^2$ -space.

It follows from the definition that  $(z^k w_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  forms an orthonormal basis for  $X$ . As  $w_n \geq 1$ , the norm of  $X$  is dominated by that of  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  and  $H^2(\mathbb{D}) \subset X$ . Therefore **(C1)** and **(C2)** both hold. We shall therefore focus on **Easy (C3)** and **(C4)**.

The examples we considered in Subsection 3.1 are:

1.  $w_n = 1$  : Corresponds to  $X = H^2(\mathbb{D})$ . Here  $(I - S)^{-1}$  is not invertible, but  $\Lambda^{(s)}$  is bounded for  $\Re(s) > 1/2$ .

2.  $w_n = (1/\epsilon)^n$  for  $0 < \epsilon < 1$  : Corresponds to  $H^2(\mathbb{D}_\epsilon)$  in Example 3.1. Here  $I - S$  is invertible, but  $\Lambda^{(s)}$  is not bounded for any  $s$ .
3.  $w_n = n^\alpha$ ,  $\alpha > 0$  : Corresponds to  $X$  in Example 3.2. Here  $I - S$  is not invertible, but  $\Lambda^{(s)}$  is bounded for  $\Re(s) > 1/2 + \alpha$ .




Figure 2: Weights and Conditions

A general tendency can be observed as depicted by Figure 2. If the decay rates of weights are too fast (left strip), we do not have a half-plane where  $\Lambda^{(s)}$  is bounded. If the decay rates are too slow (right strip), then we do not have  $(I - S)^{-1}$  as a bounded operator. Ideally we would like to obtain weights that belong between these extremes (central strip).

The next result characterizes the weights required for  $X$  to satisfy (C4).

**Proposition 3.4** Given a weighted Hardy space  $X$  as in 13 and  $s \in \mathbb{C}$ , the functional  $\Lambda^{(s)}$  is bounded on  $X$  if and only if

$$\left( \frac{w_k}{k^{\Re(s)}} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}^*} \in \ell^2. \quad (14)$$

*Proof.* The monomials  $(z^k w_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  form an orthonormal basis for  $X$ . Hence  $\Lambda^{(s)}$  is bounded on  $X$  precisely when  $\Lambda^{(s)}(z^k w_k) = w_k f_k(s)$  forms an  $\ell^2$  sequence. Therefore the estimate  $|f_k(s)| \asymp k^{-\Re(s)}$  (Proposition 2.2) implies that this is equivalent to

$$\left( \frac{w_k}{k^{\Re(s)}} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}^*} \in \ell^2.$$

This concludes the result. □

The following is a necessary condition for **Easy (C3)** to hold.

**Proposition 3.5** Given a Hilbert Space  $X$  as in 13, let

$$r_m := \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \frac{w_n^2}{w_m^2}.$$

If  $I - S$  is invertible on  $X$ , then  $(r_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a bounded sequence.

*Proof.* Suppose the operator  $(I - S)^{-1}$  is well defined and bounded, with operator norm  $C > 0$ . For each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , consider  $(I - S)^{-1}z^m$  in the equation above. Then

$$\|(I - S)^{-1}z^m\|_X^2 = r_m/w_m^2 = r_m\|z^m\|_X^2$$

By definition of the operator norm of  $(I - S)^{-1}$ ,  $r_m \leq C^2$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$   $\square$

The next table includes a collection of weights that have been tested.

Table 1: Weights  $w_n$  and their classifications

Weight $w_n$	$I - S$ invertible	$\Lambda^{(s)}$ bounded	Strip
1	$\times$	$\checkmark$	Right
$n^\alpha$	$\times$	$\checkmark$	Right
$n^\alpha + (\log n)^\beta$	$\times$	$\checkmark$	Right
$\exp((\log n)^{1+\alpha}), \alpha > 0$	$\times$	$\times$	-
$\exp(n^\alpha), 0 < \alpha < 1$	$\times$	$\times$	-
$(1/\epsilon)^n$	$\checkmark$	$\times$	Left
$\exp(n^\alpha), \alpha > 1$	$\checkmark$	$\times$	Left

### 3.3 Extremal behavior of weights

Suppose we have weights  $w_n$  for a space  $X$  that satisfies both conditions **Easy (C3)** and **(C4)** (thus giving a zero-free half plane  $\Re(s) > r$ ). We are only interested in  $\frac{1}{2} < r < 1$  since  $\zeta(s)$  has no zeroes for  $r = \Re(s) \geq 1$ . The following result shows that such weights  $w_n$  necessarily exhibit extremely divergent behavior.

**Proposition 3.6** Given  $w_n$  as above, let  $(n_i) \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  be a subsequence such that  $\sum \frac{1}{n_i} = \infty$ . Then,

$$\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{w_{n_i}}{n_i^{r-\frac{1}{2}}} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{w_{n_i}}{n_i^{r-\frac{1}{2}}} = \infty \quad (15)$$

In particular,  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{w_{n_i}}{n_i^{r-\frac{1}{2}}}$  does not exist for any such subsequence  $(n_i)$ .

*Proof.* We prove by contradiction for each half of the result.

Suppose,  $\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{w_{n_i}}{n_i^{r-\frac{1}{2}}} = C > 0$ . Then for any  $C' < C$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $i > N$ ,  $\frac{w_{n_i}}{n_i^{r-\frac{1}{2}}} > C'$ . Thus,

$$\sum_{i=N}^{\infty} \left( \frac{w_{n_i}}{n_i^r} \right)^2 > C'^2 \sum_{i=N}^{\infty} \left( \frac{n_i^{r-\frac{1}{2}}}{n_i^r} \right)^2 = C'^2 \sum_{i=N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_i} = \infty$$

Hence we contradict Proposition 3.4 since  $(w_n/n^r)_{n>0} \in \ell^2$ .

Now suppose,  $\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{w_{n_i}}{n_i^{r-\frac{1}{2}}} < +\infty$ . Then, there is  $C'' > 0$  and  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $i > M$ ,  $\frac{w_{n_i}}{n_i^{r-\frac{1}{2}}} < C''$ . This gives,

$$\sum_{i=N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{w_{n_i}^2} > \frac{1}{C''^2} \sum_{i=N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_i^{2r-1}} > \frac{1}{C''^2} \sum_{i=N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_i} = \infty$$

Hence we contradict Proposition 3.5 since  $r_N$  is finite. □

We note that Proposition 3.6 highlights a tension between **Easy (C3)** and **(C4)** which is supported by Figure 2 and Table 1.

## 4 The Classical Hardy spaces $H^p$

In this section we consider the spaces  $H^p$  ( $p > 0$ ) consisting of functions  $f$  holomorphic in  $\mathbb{D}$  for which

$$\|f\|_p^p := \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |f(rz)|^p dm(z) < \infty$$

where  $m$  is normalized Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{T}$ . The text of Duren [5] is a classical reference. The  $H^p$  spaces are Banach spaces for  $p \geq 1$  and complete metric spaces for  $0 < p < 1$ . By Fatou's theorem, any  $f \in H^p$  has radial limits a.e. on  $\mathbb{T}$  with respect to  $m$ . Using  $f$  to also denote the radial limit function, we have

$$\|f\|_p^p = \int_{\mathbb{T}} |f(z)|^p dm(z).$$

The case  $p = 2$  gives us the Hardy-Hilbert space  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  as defined in 1.1. In this section we will focus on  $0 < p \leq 2$ . It is well-known that the monomials form a basis for  $H^p$ , that  $H^p \subset H^q$  for  $p > q$  and that the topology of  $H^p$  weakens as  $p$  decreases. Therefore the conditions **(C1)** and **(C2)** in the *checklist* are satisfied for  $X = H^p$  with  $0 < p \leq 2$ . In this section we will see that **(C3)** is also satisfied for  $0 < p < 1$  and **(C4)** for all  $0 < p \leq 2$ . A proof of **(C3)** for any  $1 < p \leq 2$  would therefore imply that  $\zeta(s) \neq 0$  for  $\Re(s) > 1/p$  which would be a breakthrough. The case  $p = 2$  being the RH.

## 4.1 Density in $H^p$ for $0 < p < 1$

The main goal of this subsection is to show that  $\text{span}\{h_k \mid k \geq 2\}$  is dense in  $H^p$  for  $0 < p < 1$ . Since convergence in  $H^p$  implies convergence in the compact-open topology, this result strengthens considerably one of the main results of [7, Theorem 10]. This will be achieved by showing that condition **(C3)** of the checklist (Section 2) is satisfied for these  $H^p$  spaces. We shall need the following result from Duren [5, Theorem 6.1].

**Theorem 4.1** Let  $1 \leq q \leq 2$  and  $p$  satisfying  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ . If  $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence in  $\ell^q$ , then  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  defines a function in  $H^p$  satisfying

$$\|f\|_p \leq \|(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\|_q.$$

We first extend the validity of equation (3) to all  $H^p$  spaces with  $0 < p < \infty$ . Denote the  $\ell^q$  norm of  $f(z) = \sum_n a_n z^n$  by  $\|f\|_{\ell^q} = (\sum_n |a_n|^q)^{1/q}$ .

**Lemma 4.2** For all  $0 < p < \infty$ , we have

$$\sum_{k=2}^n \frac{\mu(k)}{k} (I - S)h_k \rightarrow 1 - z \quad \text{in } H^p \quad (16)$$

*Proof.* First note that we already have the result for  $0 < p \leq 2$  by (3) which corresponds to  $2 \leq q < \infty$ . Therefore by Theorem 4.1 it is enough to prove

that  $\sum_{k=2}^n \frac{\mu(k)}{k}(I - S)h_k \rightarrow 1 - z$  in the  $\ell^q$  sense for  $1 < q < 2$ . We have

$$\sum_{k=2}^n \frac{\mu(k)}{k}(I - S)h_k(z) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\mu(k)}{k} [\log(1 - z^k) - \log(1 - z) - \log k] \quad (17)$$

The Taylor coefficients of  $\log(1 - z) = -\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} z^j/j$  belong to  $\ell^q$  for  $1 < q < 2$ , which implies the same for  $\log(1 - z^k) = -\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} z^{jk}/j$ . Therefore the Taylor coefficients of (17) belong to  $\ell^q$  for each  $n \geq 2$ . We shall need the following relations involving the Möbius function

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(k)}{k} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(k) \log k}{k} = -1 \quad (18)$$

(see [1, Thm. 4.16] and [6, p. 185, Exercise 16]). This implies that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\mu(k)}{k} [-\log(1 - z) - \log k] \rightarrow 1$$

in  $\ell^q$  norm as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence by (17) it suffices to prove that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\mu(k)}{k} \log(1 - z^k) \rightarrow -z \quad \text{in the } \ell^q \text{ sense.} \quad (19)$$

By [7, eq. (4.6)] we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\mu(k)}{k} \log(1 - z^k) + z = - \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{z^j}{j} \sum_{\substack{d|j \\ 1 \leq d \leq n}} \mu(d)$$

with

$$\left| \sum_{\substack{d|j \\ 1 \leq d \leq n}} \mu(d) \right| \leq \sum_{\substack{d|j \\ 1 \leq d \leq n}} 1 = \sigma(j),$$

where  $\sigma(n)$  denotes the number of divisors of  $n$ . Since  $\sigma(n) = o(n^\epsilon)$  for every  $\epsilon > 0$  [1, p. 296], we get

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\mu(k)}{k} \log(1 - z^k) + z \right\|_{\ell^q}^q = \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^q} \left| \sum_{\substack{d|j \\ 1 \leq d \leq n}} \mu(d) \right|^q \leq \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma(j)^q}{j^q} \rightarrow 0$$

since  $\sigma(j) \lesssim j^\epsilon$  where  $\epsilon > 0$  can be chosen small enough so that  $q - \epsilon q > 1$ . This proves (19) and hence the result.  $\square$

The operator  $(I - S)^{-1} = M_{\frac{1}{1-z}}$  is unbounded on all  $H^p$  spaces but it may still be bounded between different  $H^p$  spaces.

**Lemma 4.3** For each  $0 < q < 1$  exists  $p > 0$  sufficiently large such that the operator  $M_{\frac{1}{1-z}}$  from  $H^p$  to  $H^q$  is bounded.

*Proof.* We shall need the reverse Hölder's inequality: Let  $0 < r < 1$  and  $s$  satisfying  $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$  (so that  $s < 0$ ). For any non-negative  $f \in L^r(\mathbb{T})$  and  $g \in L^s(\mathbb{T})$  with  $\int g^s dm > 0$ ,

$$\int fg dm \geq \left( \int f^r dm \right)^{1/r} \left( \int g^s dm \right)^{1/s}.$$

Choose any  $0 < q < p$  (not necessarily conjugate exponents) and let  $h \in H^p$ . Define  $r := q/p < 1$  (hence  $s < 0$ ),  $f(z) := |h(z)/(z-1)|^p$  and  $g(z) := |1-z|^p$ . So we have

$$\int f^r dm = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left| \frac{h(z)}{z-1} \right|^q dm, \quad \int g^s dm = \int_{\mathbb{T}} |1-z|^{ps} dm, \quad \int fg dm = \|h\|_p^p.$$

Therefore we get

$$\left( \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left| \frac{h(z)}{z-1} \right|^q dm \right)^{1/r} \leq \|h\|_p^p \left( \int_{\mathbb{T}} |1-z|^{ps} dm \right)^{-1/s}. \quad (20)$$

For the right side of (20) to be finite, we need  $\int_{\mathbb{T}} |1-z|^{ps} dm < \infty$  keeping in mind that  $s < 0$ . This occurs precisely when

$$ps > -1 \iff -\frac{1}{s} > p \iff \frac{1}{r} > 1+p \iff \frac{q}{p} < \frac{1}{1+p} \iff q < \frac{p}{1+p}.$$

So we necessarily have  $0 < q < 1$ . Hence we conclude that for any  $0 < q < 1$  exists  $p > 0$  large enough satisfying  $q < \frac{p}{1+p}$  for which (20) gives

$$\left\| \frac{h}{1-z} \right\|_q \leq C_{p,q} \|h\|_p \quad \forall h \in H^p \quad (21)$$

where constant  $C_{p,q} > 0$  depends only on  $p$  and  $q$ . This proves the lemma.  $\square$

We are now ready to prove **(C3)** for  $X = H^q$  with  $0 < q < 1$ .

**Proposition 4.4**  $\sum_{k=2}^n \mu(k) h_k \rightarrow 1$  in  $H^q$  for  $0 < q < 1$ .

*Proof.* For any  $0 < q < 1$  there exists a  $p > 0$  large enough so that  $M_{\frac{1}{1-z}}$  is bounded from  $H^p$  to  $H^q$  by Lemma 4.3. Therefore applying  $M_{\frac{1}{1-z}}$  to the approximation  $\sum_{k=2}^n \frac{\mu(k)}{k} (I - S)h_k \rightarrow 1 - z$  in  $H^p$  from Lemma 4.2 gives the result.  $\square$

The condition **(C4)** also holds in this case.

**Proposition 4.5**  $\Lambda^{(s)}$  is bounded on  $H^p$  for  $0 < p < 1$  if  $\Re(s) > \frac{1}{p}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in H^p$  for  $0 < p < 1$ . Then  $|a_n| \leq C n^{1/p-1} \|f\|_{H^p}$  for some constant  $C > 0$  by [5, Theorem 6.4]. Hence by Lemma 2.2

$$|\Lambda^{(s)} f| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n| |f_n(s)| \leq C \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^{1/p-1-\Re(s)} \|f\|_{H^p}$$

So,  $\Lambda^{(s)}$  is bounded on  $H^p$  if  $\Re(s) > \frac{1}{p}$ .  $\square$

Therefore the checklist is completely satisfied for  $H^p$  with  $0 < p < 1$  giving the known zero-free half-plane  $\Re(s) > 1$ . We finally arrive at the main result.

**Theorem 4.6**  $\text{span}(h_k)_{k \geq 2}$  is dense in  $H^p$  for all  $0 < p < 1$ .

*Proof.* We need to show that the weighted composition operators

$$W_n f(z) = (1 + z + \cdots + z^{n-1}) f(z^n), \quad n \geq 1$$

introduced in [7] are bounded in  $H^p$ . The Littlewood Subordination Theorem [5, Theorem 1.7] states that if  $\varphi \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D})$ , then

$$|\varphi(z)| \leq |z| \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{D} \implies \int_{\mathbb{T}} |f \circ \varphi|^p dm \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}} |f|^p dm$$

for all  $p \in (0, \infty]$ . So the operator  $f \mapsto f \circ \varphi$  with  $\varphi(z) = z^n$  is bounded on  $H^p$  as is the multiplication operator  $f \mapsto \psi f$  where  $\psi(z) = 1 + z + \cdots + z^{n-1}$ . Therefore similar to [7, Section 3], the bounded semigroup  $(W_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  leaves  $\overline{\text{span}}_{H^p} \{h_k \mid k \geq 2\}$  invariant and it contains the constant 1 by Proposition 4.4 for  $0 < p < 1$ . But 1 is a cyclic vector for  $(W_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  since  $\text{span}(W_n 1)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  contains all analytic polynomials and is hence dense in  $H^p$  for  $0 < p < 1$ .  $\square$



## 4.2 Zero free half-planes via $H^p$ spaces

Our main goal here is to show that condition **(C4)** holds for  $H^p$  with  $1 \leq p \leq 2$  and therefore that proving **(C3)** immediately provides nontrivial zero free half-planes for  $\zeta$ . Recall that for each  $s \in \mathbb{C}$ , the linear functionals  $\Lambda^{(s)} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  are formally defined by

$$\Lambda^{(s)}(z^n) = f_n(s) = -\frac{1}{s}((n+1)^{1-s} - n^{1-s})$$

where  $|f_n(s)| \asymp n^{-\Re(s)}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by Proposition 2.2.

**Proposition 4.7** If  $1 \leq p \leq 2$ , then  $\Lambda^{(s)}$  is bounded on  $H^p$  for  $\Re(s) > \frac{1}{p}$  and is bounded on  $H^1$  for  $\Re(s) \geq 1$ .

To prove this we need the following results from Duren's book [5]:

(a) ([5, Theorem 3.15]) If  $f(z) = \sum a_n z^n \in H^1$ , then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n|}{n+1} \leq \pi \|f\|_1.$$

(b) ([5, Theorem 6.3]) If  $(a_n)$  is a sequence such that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^{q-2} |a_n|^q < \infty$$

for some  $2 \leq q < \infty$ , then  $f(z) = \sum a_n z^n \in H^q$ .

(c) ([5, Theorem 7.3]) For  $1 < p < \infty$ , each  $\phi \in (H^p)^*$  is representable in the form

$$\phi(f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{i\theta}) \overline{g(e^{i\theta})} d\theta \quad \text{for } f \in H^p$$

by a unique  $g \in H^q$  where  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f(z) = \sum a_n z^n \in H^p$  and let  $p \in (1, 2]$ . Define the functions

$$k_s(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n(s) z^n \quad \text{for each } s \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Then  $q = \frac{p}{p-1} \geq 2$  and we get

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^{q-2} |f_n(s)|^q \leq C \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^{q-2-q\Re(s)} < \infty$$

if  $q - 2 - q\Re(s) < -1$  or equivalently if  $\Re(s) > \frac{q-1}{q} = \frac{1}{p}$ . So (b) implies that  $k_s \in H^q$  for  $\Re(s) > \frac{1}{p}$ . Therefore the functional  $\phi_s$  defined by

$$\phi_s(f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{i\theta}) \overline{k_s(e^{i\theta})} d\theta$$

is bounded on  $H^p$  for  $\Re(s) > \frac{1}{p}$  by (c). Now since  $k_s \in H^q \subset H^2$  for  $q \geq 2$ , we see that

$$\phi_s(z^n) = \langle z^n, k_s \rangle = \overline{f_n(\bar{s})} = f_n(s) = \Lambda^{(s)}(z^n)$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and hence  $\Lambda^{(s)} = \phi_s$  and  $\Lambda^{(s)} \in (H^p)^*$  for  $\Re(s) > \frac{1}{p}$  when  $p \in (1, 2]$ . For  $p = 1$  and  $\Re(s) \geq 1$ , (a) gives

$$|\Lambda^{(s)} f| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n| |f_n(s)| \leq \frac{|a_0|}{|s|} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n|}{n^{\Re(s)}} \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2|a_n|}{n+1} \leq 2\pi \|f\|_1$$

and hence  $\Lambda^{(s)}$  is bounded on  $H^1$  for  $\Re(s) \geq 1$ .  $\square$

Therefore proving condition (C3) for  $H^p$  with  $1 < p \leq 2$  will lead to nontrivial zero free half-planes for  $\zeta$ .

**Theorem 4.8** For any  $1 < p \leq 2$ , we have

$$1 \in \overline{\text{span}}_{H^p} \{h_k \mid k \geq 2\} \implies \zeta(s) \neq 0 \text{ for } \Re(s) > 1/p.$$

Note that the case  $p = 1$  gives the known zero-free half-plane  $\Re(s) \geq 1$ .

## References

- [1] T. M. APOSTOL, *Introduction to analytic number theory*, Undergraduate texts in mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1976.
- [2] L. BÁEZ-DUARTE, *A strengthening of the Nyman-Beurling criterion for the Riemann hypothesis*, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei, Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Nat., IX. Ser., Rend. Lincei, Mat. Appl., 14 (2003), pp. 5–11.
- [3] B. BAGCHI, *On Nyman, Beurling and Baez-Duarte's Hilbert space reformulation of the Riemann hypothesis*, in Proceedings of the Indian Academy of Sciences-Mathematical Sciences, vol. 116, Springer, 2006, pp. 137–146.
- [4] A. BEURLING, *A closure problem related to the Riemann zeta-function*, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 41 (1955), p. 312.
- [5] P. L. DUREN, *Theory of  $H^p$  Spaces*, Academic press, 1970.
- [6] H. L. MONTGOMERY AND R. C. VAUGHAN, *Multiplicative number theory I : classical theory [electronic resource]*, Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics ; 97, Cambridge, 2007.
- [7] S. W. NOOR, *A Hardy space analysis of the Bález-Duarte criterion for the RH*, Advances in Mathematics, 350 (2019), pp. 242–255.
- [8] B. NYMAN, *On some groups and semigroups of translations*, PhD thesis, Thesis, Uppsala, 1950.