

MDS and AMDS symbol-pair codes constructed from repeated-root codes

Xiuxin Tang^a, Rong Luo^{a,*}

^a*School of Mathematics, Southwest Jiaotong University, Chengdu, 610031, China*

Abstract

Symbol-pair codes introduced by Cassuto and Blaum in 2010 are designed to protect against the pair errors in symbol-pair read channels. One of the central themes in symbol-error correction is the construction of maximal distance separable (MDS) symbol-pair codes that possess the largest possible pair-error correcting performance. Based on repeated-root cyclic codes, we construct two classes of MDS symbol-pair codes for more general generator polynomials and also give a new class of almost MDS (AMDS) symbol-pair codes with the length lp . In addition, we derive all MDS and AMDS symbol-pair codes with length $3p$, when the degree of the generator polynomials is no more than 10. The main results are obtained by determining the solutions of certain equations over finite fields.

Keywords: MDS symbol-pair codes, AMDS symbol-pair codes, Minimum symbol-pair distance, Repeated-root cyclic codes

1. Introduction

Cassuto and Blaum (2010) proposed a new coding framework called symbol-pair codes to combat symbol-pair errors over symbol-pair read channels in [1] with the development of high-density data storage technologies. For example, Blu-ray disc is a high-density data storage symbol-pair read channels for the practical application. The seminal works [1]–[3] established relationships between an error-correcting code's minimum Hamming distance and the minimum symbol-pair distance, discovered methods for code construction and decoding, and obtained lower and upper bounds on code size. If a code C over \mathbb{F}_p^n with length n contains M elements and has the minimum symbol-pair distance d_p , then C is referred as an $(n, M, d_p)_p$ symbol-pair code. Finding symbol-pair codes with high symbol-pair error correcting performance has become a significant theoretical challenge.

In 2012, Chee et al. [4] established the Singleton-type bound on symbol-pair codes. Similar to the classical codes, the symbol-pair codes meeting the Singleton-type bound are called MDS symbol-pair codes and almost MDS symbol-pair codes are denoted AMDS symbol-pair codes. MDS symbol-pair codes are the most useful and interesting symbol-pair codes due to their optimality. Many researchers used various mathematical tools to try to obtain MDS symbol-pair

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: XiuxinTang@my.swjtu.edu.cn (Xiuxin Tang), luorong@swjtu.edu.cn (Rong Luo)

codes. Constructing MDS symbol-pair codes is thus important both in theory and in practice. However, because determining the exact values of symbol-pair distances of constacyclic codes is a very complicated and difficult task in general, little work has been done on it.

In 2013, Chee et al. [5] obtained some MDS symbol-pair codes from classical MDS codes. Between 2015 and 2018, researchers [6][7][8] constructed some MDS symbol-pair codes with minimum symbol-pair distance 5 and 6 from constacyclic codes. In 2017, Chen et al. [9] proposed to construct MDS symbol-pair codes by repeated-cyclic codes and obtained some length $3p$ MDS symbol-pair codes with symbol-pair distance 6 to 8 and MDS $(lp, 5)_p$ symbol-pair codes. In the next few years, MDS symbol-pair codes with some new parameters were found by using repeated-root codes over \mathbb{F}_p . In 2018, Dinh et al. [10] presented all MDS symbol-pair codes with prime power lengths by repeated-root constacyclic codes. Two years later, Dinh et al. [11] also constructed a families of MDS symbol-pair codes with length $2p^s$. In the next 2019 to 2021, Zhao [12] [13] constructed some MDS symbol-pair codes using repeated-root constacyclic codes. In 2022, Ma et al. [14] obtained MDS $(3p, 10)_p$ and MDS $(3p, 12)_p$ symbol-pair codes.

Inspired by these works, in order to obtain longer and more flexible code length, as well as a larger minimum symbol-pair distance, this paper proves that there are more general generator polynomials for MDS $(lp, 6)_p$ and MDS $(lp, 5)_p$ symbol-pair codes by using repeated-cyclic codes. Furthermore, the parameter AMDS $(lp, 7)_p$ symbol-pair codes are obtained by using repeated-cyclic codes. For length $n = 3p$, this paper gives all MDS and AMDS symbol-pair codes from repeated-root cyclic codes $\mathcal{C}_{(r_1, r_2, r_3)}$, when the degree of the generator polynomial $g_{(r_1, r_2, r_3)}(x)$ is no more than 10, i.e., $\deg(g_{(r_1, r_2, r_3)}(x)) \leq 10$.

The rest of this paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some basic notations and results on symbol-pair codes. In Section 3, we derive some new classes of MDS symbol-pair codes and AMDS symbol-pair codes from repeated-root cyclic codes. In section 4, we conclude the paper.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce some notations and auxiliary tools on symbol-pair codes, which will be used to prove our main results in the sequel. We denote that \mathbb{F}_p and \mathbb{F}_q are finite fields, where p is an odd prime and $q = p^m$. Then we denote \mathbb{F}_p^* is the cyclic group $\mathbb{F}_p \setminus \{0\}$. Let

$$\mathbf{x} = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$$

be a vector in \mathbb{F}_p^n . Then the symbol-pair read vector of \mathbf{x} is

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}(\mathbf{x}) = [(x_0, x_1), (x_1, x_2), \dots, (x_{n-1}, x_0)].$$

Similar to the Hamming weight $\omega_H(\mathbf{x})$ and Hamming distance $D_H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$. The symbol-pair weight $\omega_p(\mathbf{x})$ of the symbol-pair vector \mathbf{x} is defined as

$$\omega_p(\mathbf{x}) = |\{i | (x_i, x_{i+1}) \neq (0, 0)\}|.$$

The symbol-pair distance $D_p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ between any two vectors \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} is

$$D_p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = |\{i | (x_i, x_{i+1}) \neq (y_i, y_{i+1})\}|.$$

The minimum symbol-pair distance of a code \mathcal{C} is

$$d_p = \min \{D_p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}\},$$

and we denote $(n, k, d_p)_p$ a symbol-pair code with length n , dimension k and minimum symbol-pair distance d_p over \mathbb{F}_p . For any code C of length n with $0 < d_H(C) < n$, there is an important inequality between $d_H(C)$ and $d_p(C)$ in [2]:

$$d_H(C) + 1 \leq d_p(C) \leq 2d_H(C).$$

In this paper, we always regard the codeword \mathbf{c} in C as the corresponding polynomial $c(x)$. The following lemmas will be applied in our later proofs.

Similar to classical error-correcting codes, the size of symbol-pair codes satisfies the following Singleton bound. The symbol-pair code achieving the Singleton bound is called a maximum distance separable (MDS) symbol-pair code.

Lemma 2.1. ([4]) *If C is a symbol-pair code with length n and minimum symbol-pair distance d_p over \mathbb{F}_q , we call an $(n, d_p)_p$ symbol-pair code of size q^{n-d_p+2} maximum distance separable (MDS) and an $(n, d_p)_p$ symbol-pair code of size q^{n-d_p+1} almost maximum distance separable (AMDS) for $q \geq 2$.*

Lemma 2.2. ([5]) *Let $q \geq 2$ and $2 \leq d_p \leq n$. If C is a symbol-pair code with length n and minimum symbol-pair distance d_p over \mathbb{F}_q , then $|C| \leq q^{n-d_p+2}$.*

In some cases, the bound of minimum symbol-pair distance can be improved.

Lemma 2.3. ([9]) *Let C be an $[n, k, d_H]$ constacyclic code over \mathbb{F}_q with $2 \leq d_H \leq n$. Then we have the following $d_p(C) \geq d_H + 2$ if and only if C is not an MDS code.*

The next lemma will be used by the later proof of Proposition 3.14.

Lemma 2.4. ([9]) *Let $n = 3p$ with $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. If $C_{(3,2,1)}$ is the cyclic code in $\mathbb{F}_p[x] / \langle x^n - 1 \rangle$ generated by*

$$g_{(3,2,1)}(x) = (x-1)^3(x-\omega)^2(x-\omega^2),$$

then $C_{(3,2,1)}$ is an MDS $(3p, 8)_p$ symbol-pair code, where ω is a primitive 3-th root of unity in \mathbb{F}_p .

Researchers constructed some MDS symbol-pair codes with minimum symbol-pair distance 6 by repeated-root cyclic codes. The next lemma will be used by several parts of Theorem 3.1.

Lemma 2.5.

- [10] $C = \langle (x-1)^4 \rangle$ is an MDS symbol-pair code.
- [11] $C = \langle (x-1)^i(x+1)^j \rangle$ is an MDS symbol-pair code with $d_p = 6$ over \mathbb{F}_p , where $|i-j| \leq 2$ and $i, j \leq p-1$.
- [12] $C = \langle (x-1)^3(x-\omega) \rangle$ is an MDS $(lp, 6)_p$ symbol-pair code over \mathbb{F}_p , where ω is a primitive l -th root of unity in \mathbb{F}_p .

The method for calculating the minimum Hamming distance about repeated-cyclic codes is given in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.6. ([15]) *Let C be a repeated-root cyclic code with length lp^e over \mathbb{F}_q generated by $g(x) = \prod m_i^{e_i}(x)$, where l and e are positive integers with $\gcd(l, p) = 1$. Then we have*

$$d_H(C) = \min \{ P_t \cdot d_H(\overline{C}_t) \mid 0 \leq t \leq lp^e \},$$

where $P_t = \omega_H((x-1)^t)$ and $\overline{C}_t = \left\langle \prod_{e_i > t} m_i(x) \right\rangle_3$.

3. Constructions of MDS and AMDS Symbol-Pair Codes

In this section, we propose some MDS and AMDS symbol-pair codes by repeated-root cyclic codes over \mathbb{F}_p , where p is an odd prime and \mathbb{F}_p is a p -ary finite field.

3.1. MDS and AMDS Symbol-Pair Codes with length lp

In this subsection, we prove that there exist more general generator polynomials about MDS $(lp, 6)_p$ and MDS $(lp, 5)_p$ symbol-pair codes. Furthermore, the parameter AMDS $(lp, 7)_p$ symbol-pair codes are obtained by using repeated-cyclic codes.

Let $C_{(r_1, r_2, r_3)}$ be the repeated-root cyclic code over \mathbb{F}_p and the generator polynomial of $C_{(r_1, r_2, r_3)}$ is

$$g_{(r_1, r_2, r_3)}(x) = (x-1)^{r_1} (x+1)^{r_2} (x-\omega)^{r_3},$$

where ω is a primitive l -th root of unity in \mathbb{F}_p and $r_1 + r_2 + r_3 = 4$. Dinh [10] [11] discussed all cases of $l = 1$ and $l = 2$, here we focus on the case of $l > 2$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $C_{(r_1, r_2, r_3)}$ be an MDS symbol-pair code with $d_p = 6$, if r_1, r_2 and r_3 meet the conditions in Table 1.*

Table 1: MDS symbol-pair codes of Theorem 3.1

| r_1 | r_2 | r_3 | $(n, d_p)_p$ | Reference or Proposition |
|-------|-------|-------|--------------|--------------------------|
| 4 | 0 | 0 | $(p, 6)_p$ | Reference [10] |
| 3 | 0 | 1 | $(lp, 6)_p$ | Reference [12] |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | $(klp, 6)_p$ | Proposition 3.2 |
| 2 | 0 | 2 | $(lp, 6)_p$ | Proposition 3.4 |

* When l even, $(klp, 6)_p = (lp, 6)_p$; When l odd, $(klp, 6)_p = (2lp, 6)_p$.

* Let l be an odd number or $l \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, if $(r_1, r_2, r_3) \in S$ and $S = \{(0, 1, 3), (0, 2, 2), (0, 3, 1)\}$.

The proof of Theorem 3.1 needs Propositions 3.2 to Proposition 3.4. For the case of generator polynomials with three factors $(x-1)$, $(x+1)$ and $(x-\omega)$, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $C_{(r_1, r_2, r_3)}$ be an MDS symbol-pair code with $d_p = 6$, if $(r_1, r_2, r_3) \in S_1$ and $S_1 = \{(2, 1, 1), (1, 2, 1), (1, 1, 2)\}$.*

Proof. When $(r_1, r_2, r_3) = (2, 1, 1)$, let $C_{(2, 1, 1)}$ be the cyclic code over \mathbb{F}_p and generated by

$$g_{(2, 1, 1)}(x) = (x-1)^2 (x+1) (x-\omega).$$

By Lemma 2.6, let $\bar{g}_t(x)$ be the generator polynomials of \bar{C}_t .

- If $t = 0$, then we have

$$\bar{g}_0(x) = (x-1)(x+1)(x-\omega).$$

It is easy to verify that the minimum Hamming distance is 3 in \bar{C}_0 and $P_0 = 1$. Therefore, this indicates $P_0 \cdot d_H(\bar{C}_0) = 3$.

- If $t = 1$, then we have $\bar{g}_1(x) = (x-1)$ and $P_1 = 2$. Thus, one can derive that $P_1 \cdot d_H(\bar{C}_1) = 4$.
 - If $2 \leq t \leq p-1$, then we have $\bar{g}_t(x) = 1$ and $P_t \geq 2$. This implies that $P_t \cdot d_H(\bar{C}_t) \geq 3$.
- Therefore, it can be verified that C is an $[lp, lp-4, 3]$ repeated-root cyclic code over \mathbb{F}_p . Lemma 2.3 yields that $d_p \geq 5$, since C is not an MDS cyclic code.

If $c \in C_{(2,1,1)}$ has $\omega_p = 5$ with $\omega_H = 4$, then its certain cyclic shift must have the form

$$(\star, \star, \star, \star, 0_s),$$

where each \star denotes an element in \mathbb{F}_p^* and 0_s is all-zero vector with length s . Without loss of generality, suppose that the constant term of $c(x)$ is 1. We denote that

$$c(x) = 1 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + a_3x^3.$$

This is a contradiction for $\deg(c(x)) \geq \deg(g_{(2,1,1)}(x))$.

If $c \in C_{(2,1,1)}$ has $\omega_p = 5$ with $\omega_H = 3$, then its certain cyclic shift must have the form

$$(\star, \star, 0_{s_1}, \star, 0_{s_2}),$$

where each \star denotes an element in \mathbb{F}_p^* and $0_{s_1}, 0_{s_2}$ are all-zero vectors with lengths s_1 and s_2 respectively. Without loss of generality, suppose that the constant term of $c(x)$ is 1. We denote that

$$c(x) = 1 + a_1x + a_2x^t.$$

When t is even, it can be verified that

$$\begin{cases} 1 + a_1 + a_2 = 0, \\ 1 - a_1 + a_2 = 0, \end{cases}$$

since $c(1) = c(-1) = 0$. This is impossible, since $a_1 \neq 0$ and $p \neq 2$.

Similarly, if t is odd, one can obtain that

$$\begin{cases} 1 + a_1 + a_2 = 0, \\ 1 - a_1 - a_2 = 0, \end{cases}$$

which contradicts p odd.

Let $y = -x, z = \frac{x}{\omega}$, we can deduce the following results by deforming it,

$$\begin{aligned} g_{(2,1,1)}(x) &= (x-1)^2(x+1)(x-\omega) \\ &= (y+1)^2(y-1)(y+\omega) \\ &= g_{(1,2,1)}(y) \\ &= \omega^4 \left(z - \frac{1}{\omega}\right)^2 \left(z + \frac{\omega}{\omega}\right) \left(z - \frac{\omega}{\omega}\right) \\ &= \omega^4 g_{(1,1,2)}(z). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have $C_{(2,1,1)} = C_{(1,2,1)} = C_{(1,1,2)}$.

□

We have the following two propositions, when the generator polynomials only have two of these three factors $(x-1)$, $(x+1)$ and $(x-\omega)$.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $C_{(r_1, r_2, r_3)}$ be an MDS symbol-pair code with $d_p = 6$, if $(r_1, r_2, r_3) \in S_2$ and $S_2 = \{(1, 0, 3), (0, 3, 1), (0, 1, 3)\}$.*

Proof. When $(r_1, r_2, r_3) = (1, 0, 3)$, let $C_{(1,0,3)}$ be a repeated-root cyclic code over \mathbb{F}_p generated by

$$g_{(1,0,3)}(x) = (x-1)(x-\omega)^3.$$

By Lemma 2.6, we can derive $d_H = 3$ and Lemma 2.3 implies that $d_p \geq 5$. With arguments similar to the proof of Proposition 3.2, there are no codewords of $C_{(1,0,3)}$ with $\omega_H = 4$ such that the 4 nonzero terms appear with consecutive coordinates. Next we show that there are no codewords of $C_{(1,0,3)}$ with $\omega_H = 3$ in the form

$$(\star, \star, 0_{s_1}, \star, 0_{s_2}),$$

where each \star denotes an element in \mathbb{F}_p^* and $0_{s_1}, 0_{s_2}$ are all-zero vectors with lengths s_1 and s_2 respectively. Without loss of generality, suppose that the constant term of $c(x)$ is 1. We denote that

$$c(x) = 1 + a_1x + a_2x^l.$$

Then $c^{(1)}(\omega) = c^{(2)}(\omega) = 0$ induces that $t-1 = kp$ for some positive integers $k \leq l-2$, together with $c(\omega) = 0$, one can immediately get

$$\begin{cases} 1 + a_1\omega + a_2\omega^{k+1} = 0, \\ a_1 + a_2\omega^k = 0. \end{cases}$$

By solving the system, we can derive a contradiction, since p is an odd prime.

For the case of $(r_1, r_2, r_3) \in S_2$, with similar to the proof of Proposition 3.2, we can deduce $C_{(1,0,3)} = C_{(0,3,1)} = C_{(0,1,3)}$. □

Proposition 3.4. *$C_{(r_1, r_2, r_3)}$ is an MDS symbol-pair code with $d_p = 6$, when $(r_1, r_2, r_3) \in S_3$ and $S_3 = \{(2, 0, 2), (0, 2, 2)\}$.*

Proof. When $(r_1, r_2, r_3) = (2, 0, 2)$, let $C_{(2,0,2)}$ be a cyclic code over \mathbb{F}_p generated by

$$g_{(2,0,2)}(x) = (x-1)^2(x-\omega)^2.$$

By Lemma 2.6, we can derive that the minimum Hamming distance of $C_{(2,0,2)}$ is $d_H = 3$ and Lemma 2.3 implies $d_p \geq 5$. With arguments similar to the proof of Proposition 3.2, there are no codewords of $C_{(2,0,2)}$ with $\omega_H = 4$ such that the 4 nonzero terms appear with consecutive coordinates. Next, we show that there are no codewords of $C_{(2,0,2)}$ with $\omega_H = 3$ in the form

$$(\star, \star, 0_{s_1}, \star, 0_{s_2}),$$

where each \star denotes an element in \mathbb{F}_p^* and $0_{s_1}, 0_{s_2}$ are all-zero vectors with lengths s_1 and s_2 respectively. Without loss of generality, suppose that the constant term of $c(x)$ is 1. We denote that

$$c(x) = 1 + a_1x + a_2x^l.$$

However, by $c^{(1)}(1) = c^{(1)}(\omega) = 0$, we can deduce that l is a divisor of $t - 1$. Then combined with $c(1) = c(\omega) = 0$, we have

$$\begin{cases} 1 + a_1 + a_2 = 0, \\ 1 + a_1\omega + a_2\omega = 0. \end{cases}$$

This implies $\omega = 1$, which is impossible, since $\omega^l = 1$ and $l > 2$.

When $(r_1, r_2, r_3) = (0, 2, 2)$, with similar to the proof of Proposition 3.2, we can deduce $\mathcal{C}_{(2,0,2)} = \mathcal{C}_{(0,2,2)}$. □

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1 from Proposition 3.2 to Proposition 3.4, Remark 3.5 to Remark 3.6 and Lemma 2.5. Therefore, we find all MDS symbol-pair codes containing these three factors $(x - 1)$, $(x + 1)$ and $(x - \omega)$ with minimum symbol-pair distance 6. In fact, Lemma 2.5 is a special form of Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 3.4 for $d_p = 6$, where ω is a $\frac{p-1}{2}$ -th primitive element in \mathbb{F}_p .

Remark 3.5. When l even, factor $(x + 1)$ is a divisor of $x^l - 1$; when l odd, factor $(x + 1)$ is a divisor of $x^{2l} - 1$.

Remark 3.6. If $l \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $(r_1, r_2, r_3) \in S$ for $S = \{(0, 1, 3), (0, 2, 2), (0, 3, 1)\}$, the minimum Hamming distance of $\mathcal{C}_{(r_1, r_2, r_3)}$ is 2.

In what follows, we obtain more general generator polynomials for symbol-pair codes with length $n = lp$ and minimum symbol-pair distance 5 or 6.

If m_1 and m_2 are two positive integers, then $\text{lcm}[m_1, m_2]$ is the lowest common multiple of m_1 and m_2 , as well as $\text{gcd}(m_1, m_2)$ is the greatest common divisor of m_1 and m_2 . Let C_a and C_b be the repeated-root cyclic codes over \mathbb{F}_p and the generator polynomial of C_a and C_b are

$$g_a(x) = (x - \omega_0^{t_1})^{r_1} (x - \omega_0^{t_2})^{r_2}$$

and

$$g_b(x) = (x - 1)^2 (x - \omega_0^{t_1}) (x - \omega_0^{t_2})$$

respectively, where $t_1 \geq t_2$, $\text{ord}(\omega_0^{t_1}) = m_1$, $\text{ord}(\omega_0^{t_2}) = m_2$, $\text{lcm}[m_1, m_2] = l$, $\text{gcd}(t_1 - t_2, l) = 1$, $3 \leq r_1 + r_2 \leq 4$ and ω_0 is the primitive element in \mathbb{F}_p .

Corollary 3.7. Let C_a be an MDS symbol-pair code, if $r_1 \neq 0$ and $r_2 \neq 0$.

Proof. There are three cases that need to be discussed, $(r_1, r_2) = (2, 1)$, $(1, 3)$ and $(2, 2)$. When $(r_1, r_2) = (1, 2)$ and $(3, 1)$ is satisfied, it is similar to $(r_1, r_2) = (2, 1)$ and $(1, 3)$.

Case I. For the case of $(r_1, r_2) = (2, 1)$, if there exists a nonzero codeword with $\omega_H = 2$ in C_a , without loss of generality, suppose that the constant term of $c(x)$ is 1. We denote that

$$c(x) = 1 + a_1 x^t,$$

where $a_1 \neq 0$ and $t \neq 0$. It follows from $c(\omega_0^{t_1}) = c(\omega_0^{t_2}) = 0$ that

$$\begin{cases} 1 + a_1 \omega_0^{tt_1} = 0, \\ 1 + a_1 \omega_0^{tt_2} = 0. \end{cases}$$

By solving the system, we have $\omega_0^{t(t_1-t_2)} = 1$. Together with $c^{(1)}(\omega_0^{t_1}) = 0$ and $\gcd(t_1 - t_2, l) = 1$, one can immediately get lp is a divisor of t , which contradicts with the code length lp .

Thus, combined with Lemma 2.6, the minimum Hamming distance of C_a is $d_H = 3$. By Lemma 2.3, we have C_a is an MDS $(lp, 5)_p$ symbol-pair code.

Case II. For the case of $(t_1, t_2) = (3, 1)$, we have the generator polynomial

$$g_a(x) = (x - \omega_0^{t_1})^3 (x - \omega_0^{t_2}).$$

By the proof of **Case I**, since **Case II** is a subcode of **Case I**, we can draw the conclusion that the minimum Hamming distance of C_a is 3 in **Case II**, when $(t_1, t_2) = (3, 1)$.

If there is a codeword with Hamming weight 3 and symbol-pair weight 5. Then its certain cyclic shift must be the following form

$$(\star, \star, 0_{s_1}, \star, 0_{s_2}),$$

where each \star denotes an element in \mathbb{F}_p^* and $0_{s_1}, 0_{s_2}$ are all-zero vectors with lengths s_1 and s_2 respectively. Then we have a codeword polynomial

$$c(x) = 1 + a_1x + a_2x^t.$$

However, it follows from $c^{(1)}(\omega_0^{t_1}) = c^{(2)}(\omega_0^{t_1}) = 0$ that

$$\begin{cases} a_1 + ta_2\omega_0^{(t-1)t_1} = 0, \\ t(t-1)a_2\omega_0^{(t-2)t_1} = 0. \end{cases}$$

By solving the system, we have $p \mid t-1$. Then $c^{(1)}(\omega_0^{t_1}) = c(\omega_0^{t_1}) = 0$ indicates

$$\begin{cases} 1 + a_1\omega_0^{t_1} + a_2\omega_0^{t_1 t} = 0, \\ a_1 + a_2\omega_0^{t_1(t-1)} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then, we can derive a contradiction, since p is an odd prime.

Therefore, there does not exist a nonzero codeword with Hamming weight 3 and symbol-pair weight 5. Then, C_a is an MDS $(lp, 6)_p$ symbol-pair code, when $(r_1, r_2) = (3, 1)$.

Case III. For the case of $(t_1, t_2) = (2, 2)$, similarly, we have the generator polynomial

$$g_a(x) = (x - \omega_0^{t_1})^2 (x - \omega_0^{t_2})^2,$$

by the proof of **Case I**, we can draw the conclusion that the minimum Hamming distance of C_a is $d_H = 3$. Similar to **Case II**, there is no codeword with Hamming weight 4 and symbol-pair weight 5.

If there exists a codeword with Hamming weight 3 and symbol-pair weight 5, the codeword certain cyclic shift must have a form

$$(\star, \star, 0_{s_1}, \star, 0_{s_2}),$$

where each \star denotes an element in \mathbb{F}_p^* and $0_{s_1}, 0_{s_2}$ are all-zero vectors with lengths s_1 and s_2 respectively. Without loss of generality, suppose that the constant term of $c(x)$ is 1. We denote that

$$c(x) = 1 + a_1x + a_2x^t.$$

However, $c^{(1)}(\omega_0^{t_1}) = c^{(1)}(\omega_0^{t_2}) = 0$ induces that

$$\begin{cases} a_1 + ta_2\omega_0^{(t-1)t_1} = 0, \\ a_1 + ta_2\omega_0^{(t-1)t_2} = 0. \end{cases}$$

By solving the system, we have $\omega_0^{(t-1)(t_1-t_2)} = 1$, since $t \neq kp$, otherwise $a_1 = 0$. Together with $\gcd(t_1 - t_2, l) = 1$, one can immediately get $l \mid t - 1$ and $a_1 + ta_2 = 0$. Combined with

$$c(\omega_0^{t_1}) = c(\omega_0^{t_2}) = 0,$$

we have

$$\begin{cases} 1 + a_1\omega_0^{t_1} + a_2\omega_0^{t_1} = 0, \\ 1 + a_1\omega_0^{t_2} + a_2\omega_0^{t_2} = 0, \end{cases}$$

which implies $a_1 + a_2 = 0$. Thus, we have $p \mid t - 1$, which contradicts the code length lp .

As a consequence, we prove that there no exists a codeword with Hamming weight 3 and symbol-pair weight 5. Then, C_a is an MDS $(lp, 6)_p$ symbol-pair code, when $(r_1, r_2) = (2, 2)$ and $\gcd(t_1 - t_2, l) = 1$. □

Corollary 3.8. C_b is an MDS $(lp, 6)_6$ symbol-pair code.

Proof. By Lemma 2.6 and Lemma 2.3 the minimum Hamming distance of C_b is 3 and the minimum symbol-pair distance $d_p(C_b) \geq 5$, for the generator ploynomial C_b is

$$g_b(x) = (x - 1)^2 (x - \omega_0^{t_1}) (x - \omega_0^{t_2}).$$

Using techniques similar to those used in the proof of Proposition 3.2, we see that there are no codewords of C_b with Hamming weight 4 such that the 4 nonzero terms appear with consecutive coordinates.

If there exists a codeword with Hamming weight 3 and symbol-pair weight 5, the codeword certain cyclic shift must have a form

$$(\star, \star, 0_{s_1}, \star, 0_{s_2}),$$

where each \star denotes an element in \mathbb{F}_p^* and $0_{s_1}, 0_{s_2}$ are all-zero vectors with lengths s_1 and s_2 respectively. Without loss of generality, suppose that the constant term of $c(x)$ is 1. We denote that

$$c(x) = 1 + a_1x + a_2x^t.$$

It follows from $c(1) = c^{(1)}(1) = 0$ that

$$\begin{cases} 1 + a_1 + a_2 = 0, \\ a_1 + ta_2 = 0, \end{cases}$$

By solving the system, we have $a_1 = \frac{-t}{t-1}$ and $a_2 = \frac{1}{t-1}$. Then combined with $c(1) = c(\omega_0^{t_1}) = 0$, we have

$$t = \omega_0^{t_1(t-1)} + \omega_0^{t_1(t-2)} + \dots + \omega_0^{t_1} + 1.$$

By $a_1 = \frac{-t}{t-1}$, $a_2 = \frac{1}{t-1}$ and $c(\omega_0^{t_1}) = 0$, one can obtain that

$$t - 1 - t\omega_0^{t_1} + \omega_0^{t_1 t} = 0.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega_0^{t_1(t-1)} + \omega_0^{t_1(t-2)} + \dots + \omega_0^{t_1} + \omega_0^{t_1 t} + \omega_0^{t_1(t-1)} + \omega_0^{t_1(t-2)} + \dots + \omega_0^{t_1} + \omega_0^{t_1 t} \\ & = 2\omega_0^{t_1}(\omega_0^{t_1(t-1)} + \omega_0^{t_1(t-2)} + \dots + \omega_0^{t_1}) = 2t\omega_0^{t_1} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction for $c^{(1)}(1) = 0$.

This completes the proof of the Corollary 3.8. \square

Remark 3.9. Let ω_0 be the primitive l -th root of unity in \mathbb{F}_p , we can deduce

- $C_a = \langle (x - \omega_0^{t_1})^2 (x - \omega_0^{t_2}) \rangle = \langle (x - 1)^2 (x - \omega) \rangle$;
- $C_a = \langle (x - \omega_0^{t_1})^2 (x - \omega_0^{t_2})^2 \rangle = \langle (x - 1)^2 (x - \omega)^2 \rangle$;
- $C_a = \langle (x - \omega_0^{t_1})^3 (x - \omega_0^{t_2}) \rangle = \langle (x - 1)^3 (x - \omega) \rangle$,

Repeated-root cyclic code $C = \langle (x - 1)^2 (x - \omega) \rangle$ is proposed in Chen [9]. In this paper, $C = \langle (x - 1)^3 (x - \omega) \rangle$ and $C = \langle (x - 1)^2 (x - \omega)^2 \rangle$ are two cases in Theorem 3.1.

We use an example to illustrate that the repeated-root cyclic codes of the generator polynomials with the same forms in the above Corollary 3.7 are not all MDS symbol-pair codes.

Example 3.10. Let C and be a repeated-root cyclic code over \mathbb{F}_5 and the generator polynomial of C is

$$g(x) = (x - 2)^2 (x - 3),$$

where $\omega = 3$ is a primitive element in \mathbb{F}_5 and $2 = 3^3$. Then we have the minimum Hamming distance $d_H = 2$ by a magma program. Therefore, C is not an MDS symbol-pair code.

Similarly, when the generator polynomial of C is one of

$$g(x) = (x - 2)^3 (x - 3)$$

and

$$g(x) = (x - 2)^2 (x - 3)^2,$$

C is still not an MDS symbol-pair code, since minimum Hamming distance is $d_H = 2$.

Now we present a new class of AMDS symbol-pair codes with the minimum symbol-pair distance 7.

Let C_1 be the cyclic codes over \mathbb{F}_p . The generator polynomial of C_1 is

$$g_1(x) = (x - 1)^4 (x - \omega) (x - \omega^2),$$

where ω is a primitive l -th root of unity in \mathbb{F}_p .

Theorem 3.11. C_1 is an AMDS $(lp, 7)_p$ symbol-pair code, if l odd and $l \geq 3$.

Proof. C_1 is the cyclic code over \mathbb{F}_p generated by

$$g_1(x) = (x - 1)^4 (x - \omega) (x - \omega^2).$$

By Lemma 2.6, one can derive that C_1 is an $[lp, lp - 6, 4]$ repeated-root cyclic codes code over \mathbb{F}_p . Lemma 2.3 yields that $d_p \geq 6$, since C_1 is not an MDS cyclic code. To prove that C_1 is an AMDS symbol-pair code with the minimum symbol-pair distance 7, it is sufficient to verify that there is no a codeword in C_1 with the symbol-pair weight 6.

If there are codewords in C_1 with Hamming weight 5 and symbol-pair weight 6, then its certain cyclic shift must have the form

$$(\star, \star, \star, \star, \star, 0_s),$$

where each \star denotes an element in \mathbb{F}_p^* and 0_s is all-zero vector of length s . Without loss of generality, suppose that the constant term of $c(x)$ is 1. We denote that

$$c(x) = 1 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + a_3x^3 + a_4x^4,$$

This leads to $\deg(c(x)) = 4 < 6 = \deg(g(x))$.

If $c \in C$ has the symbol-pair weight 6 with the Hamming weight 4, then its certain cyclic shift must have the forms

$$(\star, \star, \star, 0_{s_1}, \star, 0_{s_2})$$

or

$$(\star, \star, 0_{s_1}, \star, \star, 0_{s_2}),$$

where each \star denotes an element in \mathbb{F}_p^* and $0_{s_1}, 0_{s_2}$ are all-zero vectors with lengths s_1 and s_2 respectively.

Case I. For the case of

$$(\star, \star, \star, 0_{s_1}, \star, 0_{s_2}),$$

without loss of generality, we denote a codeword polynomial

$$c(x) = 1 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + a_3x^t.$$

It follows from $c^{(1)}(1) = c^{(2)}(1) = 0$ that

$$\begin{cases} a_1 + 2a_2 + ta_3 = 0, \\ 2a_2 + t(t-1)a_3 = 0. \end{cases}$$

By solving the system, we have $t(t-2)a_3 = a_1$. By $c^{(2)}(1) = 0$, we can conclude that

$$\begin{cases} t-2 \neq kp, k < l, \\ t-1 \neq kp, k < l, \\ t \neq kp, k < l. \end{cases}$$

This is a contradiction for $c^{(3)}(1) = 0$ and $a_3 \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$.

Case II. For the case of

$$(\star, \star, 0_{s_1}, \star, \star, 0_{s_2}),$$

without loss of generality, we denote

$$c(x) = 1 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + a_3x^t + a_4x^{t+1}.$$

It follows from $c(1) = c^{(1)}(1) = 0$ that

$$\begin{cases} 1 + a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = 0, \\ a_1 + ta_2 + (t+1)a_3 = 0, \end{cases}$$

one can derive that

$$(t-1)a_2 + ta_3 - 1 = 0.$$

By $c^{(2)}(1) = 0$, we have

$$t(t-1)a_2 + t(t+1)a_3 = 0.$$

This leads to $t(a_3 + 1) = 0$. Therefore, we have $t = kp, 0 < k < l$ or $a_3 = -1$.

If $t = kp, 0 < k < l$, then

$$\begin{cases} 1 + a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = 0, \\ a_1 + a_3 = 0. \end{cases}$$

This indicates $a_1 = -a_3$ and $a_2 = -1$. Combined with $c(\omega) = c(\omega^2) = 0$, we have

$$\begin{cases} a_1\omega(\omega^t - 1) = 1 - \omega^t, \\ a_1\omega^2(\omega^{2t} - 1) = 1 - \omega^{2t}. \end{cases}$$

By solving the system, we have $\omega^{2t} = 1$, which contradicts l odd.

If $a_3 = -1$, by $c(1) = 0$, we can obtain that $a_1 = -a_2$. Combined with $c(\omega) = c(\omega^2) = 0$, we have

$$\begin{cases} a_1\omega(\omega^{t-1} - 1) = 1 - \omega^{t+1}, \\ a_1\omega^2(\omega^{2t-2} - 1) = 1 - \omega^{2t+2}. \end{cases}$$

Since ω is a primitive l -th root of unity, then

$$\omega(\omega^{t-1} + 1)(1 - \omega^{t+1}) = 1 - \omega^{2t+2}.$$

This implies that $\omega^t = 1$. Thus, $a_1 = -1, a_2 = 1$. By

$$t(t-1)a_2 + t(t+1)a_3 = 0,$$

we have $2t = kp$, which contradicts $\omega^t = 1$.

In order to prove that C_1 is an AMDS symbol-pair code, we need to find a codeword with the symbol-pair weight 7. Since

$$c(x) = (x^p - 1)(x^{p-1} - 1) = x^{2p-1} - x^p - x^{p-1} + 1$$

is a codeword of C_1 and $\omega_p(c(x)) = 7$, C_1 is an AMDS $(lp, 7)_p$ symbol-pair code. \square

3.2. MDS and AMDS Symbol-Pair Codes with length $3p$

In this subsection, we obtain all MDS symbol-pair codes of $d_p \leq 12$ and all AMDS symbol-pair codes of $d_p < 12$ from repeated-root cyclic codes with length $3p$. Furthermore, we discuss all minimum symbol-pair distance of the repeated-root cyclic codes with code length of $3p$, when the degree of generator polynomials $\deg(g_{(r_1, r_2, r_3)}(x)) \leq 10$.

Let $C_{(r_1, r_2, r_3)}$ be the repeated-root cyclic code over \mathbb{F}_p and the generator polynomial of $C_{(r_1, r_2, r_3)}$ is

$$g_{(r_1, r_2, r_3)}(x) = (x-1)^{r_1}(x-\omega)^{r_2}(x-\omega^2)^{r_3}.$$

where ω is a primitive 3-th root of unity in \mathbb{F}_p and $r_i \leq p-1, i = 1, 2, 3$.

Remark 3.12. Let $C_{(r_1, r_2, r_3)} = \langle (x-1)^{r_1}(x-\omega)^{r_2}(x-\omega^2)^{r_3} \rangle$ have the same minimum symbol-pair distance, if the exponents of the three factors of the generator polynomial can be swapped.

Proof. We first prove that such repeated-root cyclic codes

$$\tilde{C} = \langle (x-\omega^i)^{r_1}(x-\omega^{i+1})^{r_2}(x-\omega^{i+2})^{r_3} \rangle$$

are the same codes for $i = 0, 1, 2$.

Without loss of generality, suppose that

$$\tilde{g}_1(x) = (x-1)^{r_1}(x-\omega)^{r_2}(x-\omega^2)^{r_3},$$

$$\tilde{g}_2(x) = (x-1)^{r_3}(x-\omega)^{r_1}(x-\omega^2)^{r_2}$$

and

$$\tilde{g}_3(x) = (x-1)^{r_2}(x-\omega)^{r_3}(x-\omega^2)^{r_1}.$$

We denote that $\tilde{g}_1(x)$, $\tilde{g}_2(x)$ and $\tilde{g}_3(x)$ represent the generator polynomials of \tilde{C}_1 , \tilde{C}_2 and \tilde{C}_3 , respectively.

Let $y = \frac{x}{\omega^2}$, $z = \frac{x}{\omega}$, for the generator polynomial $\tilde{g}_1(x)$ of \tilde{C}_1 , we can deduce the following results by deforming it.

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}_1(x) &= (x-1)^{r_1}(x-\omega)^{r_2}(x-\omega^2)^{r_3} \\ &= \omega^{2(r_1+r_2+r_3)} \left(\frac{x}{\omega^2} - \frac{1}{\omega^2}\right)^{r_1} \left(\frac{x}{\omega^2} - \frac{\omega}{\omega^2}\right)^{r_2} \left(\frac{x}{\omega^2} - \frac{\omega^2}{\omega^2}\right)^{r_3} \\ &= \omega^{2(r_1+r_2+r_3)} (y-\omega)^{r_1} (y-\omega^2)^{r_2} (y-1)^{r_3} \\ &= \omega^{2(r_1+r_2+r_3)} \tilde{g}_2(y) \\ &= \omega^{r_1+r_2+r_3} \left(\frac{x}{\omega} - \frac{1}{\omega}\right)^{r_1} \left(\frac{x}{\omega^2} - \frac{\omega}{\omega}\right)^{r_2} \left(\frac{x}{\omega^2} - \frac{\omega^2}{\omega}\right)^{r_3} \\ &= \omega^{r_1+r_2+r_3} (z-\omega^2)^{r_1} (z-1)^{r_2} (z-\omega)^{r_3} \\ &= \omega^{r_1+r_2+r_3} \tilde{g}_3(z). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, repeated-root cyclic codes \tilde{C} are the same codes for $i = 0, 1, 2$.

Next, since ω and ω^2 are primitive 3-th root of unity in \mathbb{F}_p , we have \tilde{C}_1 and \tilde{C}_4 have the same minimum symbol-pair distance, where the generator polynomial of \tilde{C}_4 is

$$\tilde{g}_4(x) = (x-1)^{r_1}(x-\omega)^{r_3}(x-\omega^2)^{r_2}.$$

Therefore, all \tilde{C} have the same minimum symbol-pair distance, when the exponents of the three factors exchanged with each other. \square

The above Remark 3.12 shows that the exponential positions of the three factors $x-1$, $x-\omega$ and $x-\omega^2$ of the generator polynomial of $C_{(r_1, r_2, r_3)}$ have the same minimum symbol-pair distance. Without loss of generality, suppose that

$$p-1 \geq r_1 \geq r_2 \geq r_3 \geq 0$$

in the next part of this subsection. Then we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.13. $C_{(r_1, r_2, r_3)}$ is an MDS symbol-pair codes over \mathbb{F}_p , if one of the following two conditions is true

1. $r_1 \leq 5$, $0 \leq r_2 - r_3 \leq 1$ and $r_1 = r_2 + r_3$,
2. $r_1 < 5$, $0 \leq r_2 - r_3 \leq 1$ and $r_1 = r_2 + r_3 + 1$.

Researchers in [9] and [14] given some proofs of Theorem 3.13, and the Theorem 3.1 in the previous paper also includes some proofs. Here we only need to prove that $C_{(4,2,1)}$ is an MDS symbol-pair code.

Proposition 3.14. $C_{(4,2,1)}$ is an MDS $(3p, 9)_p$ symbol-pair code.

Proof. Since $C_{(4,2,1)} = \langle (x-1)^4(x-\omega)^2(x-\omega^2) \rangle$, for any codeword $c \in C_{(4,2,1)}$, we have

$$c(1) = c(\omega) = c(\omega^2) = c^{(1)}(1) = c^{(1)}(\omega) = c^{(2)}(1) = c^{(3)}(1) = 0.$$

By Lemma 2.6, $C_{(4,2,1)}$ is a $[3p, 3p-7, 5]$ cyclic code over \mathbb{F}_p . Since $C_{(4,2,1)}$ is a subcode of Lemma 2.4, we have $d_p \geq 8$.

To prove that $C_{(4,2,1)}$ is an MDS $(3p, 9)_p$ symbol-pair code, it suffices to verify that there does not exist codeword in $C_{(4,2,1)}$ with symbol-pair weight 8. Then we have three cases to discuss.

Case I. If there are codewords with Hamming weight 5 and symbol-pair weight 8, then its certain cyclic shift must be one of the following forms

$$(\star, \star, \mathbf{0}_{s_1}, \star, \star, \mathbf{0}_{s_2}, \star, \mathbf{0}_{s_3})$$

or

$$(\star, \star, \star, \mathbf{0}_{s_1}, \star, \mathbf{0}_{s_2}, \star, \mathbf{0}_{s_3}),$$

where each \star denotes an element in \mathbb{F}_p^* and $\mathbf{0}_{s_1}, \mathbf{0}_{s_2}, \mathbf{0}_{s_3}$ are all-zero vectors with lengths s_1, s_2 and s_3 respectively.

Subcase 1.1. For the case of

$$(\star, \star, \mathbf{0}_{s_1}, \star, \star, \mathbf{0}_{s_2}, \star, \mathbf{0}_{s_3}),$$

without loss of generality, suppose that the constant term of $c(x)$ is 1. We denote that

$$c(x) = 1 + a_1x + a_2x^l + a_3x^{l+1} + a_4x^t.$$

When $t \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $l \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, it follows from $c(1) = c(\omega) = c(\omega^2) = 0$ that

$$\begin{cases} 1 + a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 = 0, \\ 1 + a_1\omega + a_2 + a_3\omega + a_4 = 0, \\ 1 + a_1\omega^2 + a_2 + a_3\omega^2 + a_4 = 0. \end{cases}$$

By solving the system, we have $a_1 = -a_3$. However, $c^{(1)}(1) = c^{(1)}(\omega) = 0$ induces that

$$\begin{cases} a_1 + ta_2 + (t+1)a_3 + la_4 = 0, \\ a_1 + ta_2\omega^2 + (t+1)a_3 + la_4\omega^2 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Together with $a_1 = -a_3$, one can immediately get can get $t(1 - \omega^2)a_3 = 0$, which is impossible, since $t \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and the code length is $3p$.

When $l \equiv i \pmod{3}$ and $t \equiv j \pmod{3}$, $i, j = 0, 1, 2$, values in all i and j of **Subcase 1.1** are shown in the following Table 2.

Table 2: Summary of **Subcase 1.1**

| i | j | Conditions | Results | Contradictory |
|-----|-----|-----------------|---|-------------------------------|
| 0 | 0 | [[1], [2]] | $t(1 - \omega^2)a_3 = 0$ | $t \leq 3p - 2$ |
| 0 | 1 | [[1], [2], [3]] | $\omega^2 - 1 = 0$ | $\omega^3 = 1$ |
| 0 | 2 | [[1], [3]] | $a_1 + a_3 + a_4 = 0,$ $a_1 + a_3 - a_4 = 0$ | $a_4 \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$ |
| 1 | 0 | [[1], [3]] | $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = 0,$ $a_1 + a_2 - a_3 = 0$ | $a_3 \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$ |
| 1 | 1 | [[1], [3]] | $3 = 0$ | $p \neq 3$ |
| 1 | 2 | [[1], [3]] | $3 = 0$ | $p \neq 3$ |
| 2 | 0 | [[1], [3]] | $a_1 - a_2 = 0,$ $a_1 + a_2 = 0$ | $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$ |
| 2 | 1 | [[1], [3]] | $a_1 + a_2 + a_4 = 0,$ $a_1 - a_2 + a_4 = 0$ | $a_2 \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$ |
| 2 | 2 | [[1], [3]] | $a_1 + a_2 + a_4 = 0,$ $a_1 - a_2 - a_4 = 0$ | $a_1 \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$ |

* Conditions [[1], [2]] and [[3]] represent $c(1) = c(\omega) = c(\omega^2) = 0$, $c^{(1)}(1) = c^{(1)}(\omega) = 0$ and $c(1) + c(\omega) + c(\omega^2) = 0$, respectively.

Subcase 1.2. For the subcase of

$$(\star, \star, \star, 0_{s_1}, \star, 0_{s_2}, \star, 0_{s_3}),$$

without loss of generality, suppose that the constant term of $c(x)$ is 1. We denote that

$$c(x) = 1 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + a_3x^l + a_4x^t.$$

Suppose that $l \equiv i \pmod{3}$ and $t \equiv j \pmod{3}$, $i, j = 0, 1, 2$, similar to **Subcase 1.1**, we summarize all i and j of **Subcase 1.2** in the following Table 3.

Case II. If there are codewords with Hamming weight 6 and symbol-pair weight 8, then its certain cyclic shift must be one of the following forms

$$(\star, \star, \star, \star, \star, 0_{s_1}, \star, 0_{s_2}),$$

$$(\star, \star, \star, \star, 0_{s_1}, \star, \star, 0_{s_2})$$

or

$$(\star, \star, \star, 0_{s_1}, \star, \star, \star, 0_{s_2}),$$

where each \star denotes an element in \mathbb{F}_p^* and $0_{s_1}, 0_{s_2}$ are all-zero vectors with lengths s_1 and s_2 respectively.

Table 3: Summary of **Subcase 1.2**

| i | j | Conditions | Results | Contradictory |
|-----|-----|--------------|---|-------------------------------|
| 0 | 0 | [[1]], [[2]] | $a_1 - a_2 = 0,$ $a_1 + a_2 = 0$ | $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$ |
| 0 | 1 | [[1]], [[2]] | $a_1 - a_2 + a_4 = 0,$ $a_1 + a_2 + a_4 = 0$ | $a_2 \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$ |
| 0 | 2 | [[1]], [[2]] | $a_1 + a_2 + a_4 = 0,$ $a_1 - a_2 - a_4 = 0$ | $a_1 \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$ |
| 1 | 1 | [[1]], [[2]] | $3 = 0$ | $p \neq 3$ |
| 1 | 2 | [[1]], [[2]] | $3 = 0$ | $p \neq 3$ |
| 2 | 2 | [[1]], [[2]] | $3 = 0$ | $p \neq 3$ |

* Conditions [[1]] and [[2]] represent $c(1) = c(\omega) = c(\omega^2) = 0$ and $c(1) + c(\omega) + c(\omega^2) = 0$, respectively.

Subcase 2.1. For the subcase of

$$(\star, \star, \star, \star, \star, 0_{s_1}, \star, 0_{s_2}),$$

without loss of generality, suppose that the constant term of $c(x)$ is 1. We denote

$$c(x) = 1 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + a_3x^3 + a_4x^4 + a_5x^t.$$

When $t \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, it can be derived from

$$\begin{cases} c(1) = c(\omega) = c(\omega^2) = 0, \\ c(1) + c(\omega) + c(\omega^2) = 0, \end{cases}$$

that

$$\begin{cases} a_1 + a_2 + a_4 = 0, \\ a_1 - a_2 + a_4 = 0, \end{cases}$$

which is impossible, since $a_2 \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$ and p is an odd prime.

When $t \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, with arguments similar to $t \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, a contradiction can be obtained from

$$\begin{cases} c(1) = c(\omega) = c(\omega^2) = 0, \\ c(1) + c(\omega) + c(\omega^2) = 0. \end{cases}$$

When $t \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, it follows from $c(1) = c(\omega) = c(\omega^2) = 0$ that

$$\begin{cases} 1 + a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5 = 0, \\ 1 + a_1\omega + a_2\omega^2 + a_3 + a_4\omega + a_5\omega^2 = 0, \\ 1 + a_1\omega^2 + a_2\omega + a_3 + a_4\omega^2 + a_5\omega = 0. \end{cases}$$

By solving the system, we have $1 + a_3 = 0, a_1 + a_4 = 0, a_2 + a_5 = 0$. Then $c^{(1)}(1) = c^{(1)}(\omega) = 0$ indicates

$$\begin{cases} a_1 + 2a_2 + 3a_3 + 4a_4 + ta_5 = 0, \\ a_1 + 2a_2\omega + 3a_3\omega^2 + 4a_4 + ta_5\omega^2 = 0, \end{cases}$$

which means that $a_5 = \frac{3a_3\omega^2}{t-2} = \frac{3a_4\omega}{t-2}$ (since $t \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, then p is not a divisor of $t-2$, otherwise $t-2 \geq 3p$).

By $c^{(2)}(1) = 0$, we have $t = 3 + 2\omega$. Together with $a_5 = \frac{3a_3\omega^2}{t-2} = \frac{3a_4\omega}{t-2}$ and $c^{(3)}(1) = 0$, one can derive that

$$6 + 24\omega + 3t(t-1)\omega^2 = 0.$$

Then we have

$$2 + 8\omega + (3 + 2\omega)(2 + 2\omega)\omega^2 = 0.$$

Combining with $\omega^2 = -1 - \omega$, we can obtain $3\omega^2 = 0$. This is impossible.

Subcase 2.2. For the subcase of

$$(\star, \star, \star, \star, 0_{s_1}, \star, \star, 0_{s_2}),$$

without loss of generality, suppose that the constant term of $c(x)$ is 1. We denote that

$$c(x) = 1 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + a_3x^3 + a_4x^t + a_5x^{t+1}.$$

When $t \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $t \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, with arguments similar to the previous $t \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ of **Subcase 2.1**, a contradiction can be derived from $c(1) = c(\omega) = c(\omega^2) = 0$ again.

When $t \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, with arguments similar to $t \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ of **Subcase 2.1**, $1 + a_3 = 0, a_1 + a_4 = 0$, and $a_2 + a_5 = 0$ can be obtained from $c(1) = c(\omega) = c(\omega^2) = 0$.

Then $a_5 = a_4\omega = \frac{3a_3\omega^2}{t-1}$ can be derived from $c^{(1)}(1) = c^{(1)}(\omega) = 0$. $c^{(2)}(1) = 0$ means $t = -\omega$.

Finally, combined with $c^{(3)}(1) = 0$, we have $\omega^2 - \omega = 0$, a contradiction again.

Subcase 2.3. For the subcase of

$$(\star, \star, \star, 0_{s_1}, \star, \star, \star, 0_{s_2}),$$

without loss of generality, suppose that the constant term of $c(x)$ is 1. We denote that

$$c(x) = 1 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + a_3x^t + a_4x^{t+1} + a_5x^{t+2}.$$

When $t \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, it follows from $c(1) = c(\omega) = c(\omega^2) = 0$ that

$$\begin{cases} 1 + a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5 = 0, \\ 1 + a_1\omega + a_2\omega^2 + a_3 + a_4\omega + a_5\omega^2 = 0, \\ 1 + a_1\omega^2 + a_2\omega + a_3 + a_4\omega^2 + a_5\omega = 0. \end{cases}$$

By solving the system, we have $1 + a_3 = 0, a_1 + a_4 = 0, a_2 + a_5 = 0$. Then $c^{(1)}(1) = c^{(1)}(\omega) = 0$ indicates

$$\begin{cases} a_1 + 2a_2 + ta_3 + (t+1)a_4 + (t+2)a_5 = 0, \\ a_1 + 2a_2\omega + ta_3\omega^2 + (t+1)a_4 + (t+2)a_5\omega = 0, \end{cases}$$

which means that $a_5 = a_4\omega = a_3\omega^2$. Then $c^{(2)}(1) = 0$ implies that

$$2a_2 + t(t-1)a_3 + t(t+1)a_4 + (t+1)(t+2)a_5 = 0,$$

which implies $t(3\omega^2 + \omega - 1) = 0$. Since $t \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, $\omega = -\omega^2 - 1$ and the code length $3p$, we have $2(\omega^2 - 1) = 0$, a contradiction.

When $t \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, with arguments similar to the $t \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ of **Subcase 2.3**, by

$$\begin{cases} c(1) = c(\omega) = c(\omega^2) = 0, \\ c^{(1)}(1) = c^{(1)}(\omega) = 0, \end{cases}$$

we have $a_4 = a_3\omega = \frac{a_5\omega^2(t+2)}{t-1}$. Together with $c^{(2)}(1) = 0$, $\omega^2 - \omega = 0$ can be derived, which is impossible.

When $t \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, similarly, we can derive $a_5 = a_4\omega = \frac{a_3\omega^2(t-2)}{t+1}$ from

$$\begin{cases} c(1) = c(\omega) = c(\omega^2) = 0, \\ c^{(1)}(1) = c^{(1)}(\omega) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then, together with $c^{(2)}(1) = 0$, we have $t = p + 1$. It follows from $c^{(1)}(1) = c^{(1)}(\omega) = 0$ that

$$\begin{cases} (t-1)a_3 + (t+1)a_4 + (t+1)a_5 = 0, \\ (t-1)a_3\omega + (t+1)a_4\omega^2 + (t+1)a_5 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Combined with $t = p + 1$, we have $\omega^2 = 1$, which contradicts that ω is primitive 3-th root of unity in \mathbb{F}_p .

Case III. If there are codewords in \mathcal{C} with Hamming weight 7 and symbol-pair weight 8, then its certain cyclic shift must have the form

$$(\star, \star, \star, \star, \star, \star, \star, 0_s),$$

where each \star denotes an element in \mathbb{F}_p^* and 0_s is all-zero vector of length s . Without loss of generality, suppose that the constant term of $c(x)$ is 1. We denote

$$c(x) = 1 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + a_3x^3 + a_4x^4 + a_5x^5 + a_6x^6.$$

This leads to $\deg(c(x)) = 6 < 7 = \deg(g(x))$.

As a result, $\mathcal{C}_{(4,2,1)}$ is an MDS $(3p, 9)_p$ symbol-pair code. \square

Based on Reference [9], [12], [14], Remark 3.12, Proposition 3.14 to Proposition 3.16 and Corollary 3.17 in this paper, all known MDS symbol-pair codes with $n - k \leq 10$ from repeated-root cyclic codes $\mathcal{C}_{(r_1, r_2, r_3)}$, which are listed in the following Table 4.

Next, we will explain that there is no MDS symbol pair code except in Table 4, when the degree of generator polynomials $g_{(r_1, r_2, r_3)}(x)$ does not exceed 10.

Similarly, all AMDS symbol-pair codes with $d_p < 12$ can be deduced from the following propositions. We list all AMDS symbol-pair codes in the following Table 5.

In what follows, let's determine the minimum symbol-pair distance for $\mathcal{C}_{(r_1, r_2, r_3)}$ in the previous paper by using the following propositions.

Proposition 3.15.

1. The minimum distance of $\mathcal{C}_{(0, r_2, 0)}$ is 4, when $2 \leq r_2 \leq p - 1$.
2. The minimum distance of $\mathcal{C}_{(2, 1, 0)}$ is 5.
3. The minimum distance of $\mathcal{C}_{(r_1, r_2, 0)}$ is 6, when $r_1 + r_2 \geq 4, r_2 \geq 1$.
4. The minimum distance of $\mathcal{C}_{(2, r_2, r_3)}$ is 6, when $2 \leq r_2 + r_3 \leq 4$.

Table 4: All MDS symbol-pair codes with length $3p$ for $d_p \leq 12$

| r_1 | r_2 | r_3 | $(n-k, d_p)_p$ | Reference or Proposition |
|-------|-------|-------|----------------|--------------------------|
| 0 | 2 | 0 | $(2, 4)_p$ | Trivially($r_1 = 0$) |
| 2 | 1 | 0 | $(3, 5)_p$ | Reference [9] |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | $(4, 6)_p$ | Reference [9] |
| 3 | 1 | 0 | $(4, 6)_p$ | Reference [12] |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | $(5, 7)_p$ | Reference [9] |
| 3 | 2 | 1 | $(6, 8)_p$ | Reference [9] |
| 4 | 2 | 2 | $(8, 10)_p$ | Reference [14] |
| 5 | 3 | 2 | $(10, 12)_p$ | Reference [14] |
| 2 | 2 | 0 | $(4, 6)_p$ | Proposition 3.4 |
| 4 | 2 | 1 | $(7, 9)_p$ | Proposition 3.14 |

* These MDS symbol-pair codes are constructed by repeated-root cyclic codes.

Proof. For $C_{(0, r_2, 0)} = \langle (x - \omega)^{r_2} \rangle$, Lemma 2.6 shows $d_H(C_{(0, r_2, 0)}) = 2$, then one can obtain $d_p(C_{(0, r_2, 0)}) = 4$ by Lemma 2.3.

Corollary 3.7 proves the minimum distance of $C_{(2, 1, 0)}$ is $d_p = 5$.

Since $C_{(r_1, r_2, 0)}$ is a subcode of Theorem 3.7 and Lemma 2.6 means $d_H(C_{(r_1, r_2, 0)}) = 3$. Then we have $d_p(C_{(r_1, r_2, 0)}) = 6$.

Since $C_{(2, r_2, r_3)}$ is a subcode of $C_{(2, 1, 1)}$ and $C_{(2, 1, 1)}$ is an MDS symbol-pair code with the minimum symbol-pair distance 6, when $2 \leq r_1 + r_2 \leq 4$ and $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$. Then we can deduce $d_p(C_{(2, r_2, r_3)}) = 6$. \square

We can obtain all MDS and AMDS symbol-pair codes with length $3p$ with a minimum symbol-pair distance of 4 to 6 using Proposition 3.15. Then, we check for MDS and AMDS symbol-pair codes with a symbol pair distance of 7 to 12.

Proposition 3.16.

1. The minimum distance of $C_{(r_1, 1, 1)}$ is 7, when $3 \leq r_1 \leq p - 1$.
2. The minimum distance of $C_{(3, r_2, r_3)}$ is 8, when $3 \leq r_2 + r_3 \leq 6, r_3 \geq 1$.
3. The minimum distance of $C_{(r_1, r_2, 1)}$ is 9, when $2 \leq r_2 \leq r_1 \leq p - 1, r_1 \geq 4$.
4. The minimum distance of $C_{(r_1, r_2, r_3)}$ is 10, when r_1, r_2 and r_3 meet any of the following two conditions
 - $4 \leq r_1 \leq p - 1$ and $r_2 = r_3 = 2$,
 - $r_1 = 4$ and $4 \leq r_2 + r_3 \leq 8, r_3 \geq 1$.

Proof. In reference [9], $C_{(2, 1, 1)}$, $C_{(3, 1, 1)}$ and $C_{(3, 2, 1)}$ are MDS symbol-pair codes with symbol-pair distances of 6, 7 and 8, respectively. Reference [14] proved $C_{(4, 2, 2)}$ and $C_{(5, 3, 2)}$ are MDS symbol-pair codes with symbol-pair distances of 10 and 12, respectively.

Table 5: All AMDS symbol-pair codes with length $3p$ for $d_p < 12$

| r_1 | r_2 | r_3 | $(n-k, d_p)_p$ | Reference or Proposition |
|-------|-------|-------|----------------|--------------------------|
| 4 | 3 | 2 | $(9, 10)_p$ | Reference [14] |
| 0 | 3 | 0 | $(3, 4)_p$ | Proposition 3.15 |
| 2 | 2 | 1 | $(5, 6)_p$ | Proposition 3.15 |
| 3 | 2 | 0 | $(5, 6)_p$ | Proposition 3.15 |
| 3 | 2 | 2 | $(7, 8)_p$ | Proposition 3.16 |
| 3 | 3 | 1 | $(7, 8)_p$ | Proposition 3.16 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | $(5, 6)_p$ | Proposition 3.15 |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | $(6, 7)_p$ | Proposition 3.16 |
| 4 | 3 | 1 | $(8, 9)_p$ | Proposition 3.16 |
| 5 | 2 | 1 | $(8, 9)_p$ | Proposition 3.16 |
| 5 | 2 | 2 | $(9, 10)_p$ | Proposition 3.16 |

* These AMDS symbol-pair codes are constructed by repeated-root cyclic codes.

1. Since $C_{(r_1,1,1)}$ is a subcode of $C_{(3,1,1)}$, we have $d_p(C_{(r_1,1,1)}) \geq 7$. Then the minimum symbol-pair distance $d_p(C_{(r_1,1,1)}) = 7$ can be obtained by $\omega_p(c(x)) = 7$, where

$$c(x) = 1 - x - x^p + x^{2p+1}$$

is a codeword of $C_{(r_1,1,1)}$.

2. Reference [9] proved that $C_{(3,2,1)}$ is an MDS $(3p, 8)_p$ symbol-pair code. Since $3 \leq r_2 + r_3 \leq 6$, we have $C_{(3,r_2,r_3)}$ is subcode of $C_{(3,2,1)}$ and $d_p(C_{(3,r_2,r_3)}) \geq 8$. By Lemma 2.6, we can deduce that the minimum Hamming distance of $C_{(3,r_2,r_3)}$ is 4, which implies $d_p(C_{(3,r_2,r_3)}) \leq 8$. Therefore, the minimum distance of $C_{(3,r_2,r_3)}$ is $d_p = 8$, when $3 \leq r_2 + r_3 \leq 6, r_3 \geq 1$.
3. With arguments similar as the proof of case, since $C_{(4,2,1)}$ is an MDS symbol-pair code with the minimum symbol-pair distance 9, we can deduce that the minimum symbol-pair distance of $C_{(r_1,r_2,1)}$ is $d_p(C_{(r_1,r_2,1)}) \geq 9$. Next, we prove that there are symbol-pair codewords with symbol-pair weight $d_p = 9$ in $C_{(r_1,r_2,1)}$.

For the codeword

$$c(x) = (x-1)^p(x-\omega)^p(x-\omega^2) = x^{2p+1} - \omega^2x^{2p} + \omega^2x^{p+1} - \omega x^p + \omega x - 1,$$

it is easy to verify that $c(x)$ is a codeword polynomial of $C_{(r_1,r_2,1)}$ with the symbol-pair weight 9. Thus, we have $d_p(C_{(r_1,r_2,1)}) = 9$.

4. For $4 \leq r_1 \leq p-1$ and $r_2 = r_3 = 2$, with arguments similar as the proof of case, since $C_{(4,2,2)}$ is an MDS symbol-pair code with $d_p = 10$ and $C_{(r_1,2,2)}$ is a subcode of $C_{(4,2,2)}$, we have $d_p(C_{(r_1,2,2)}) \geq 10$. Note that the codeword polynomial

$$c(x) = 1 - x^2 + 2x^{p+1} + x^{p+2} - x^{2p} - 2x^{2p+1}$$

is a codeword of $C_{(r_1,2,2)}$ and $\omega_p(c(x)) = 10$. Therefore, we derive the minimum symbol-pair distance of $C_{(r_1,2,2)}$ is 10.

For $r_1 = 4$ and $4 \leq r_2 + r_3 \leq 8$, since $C_{(4,r_2,r_3)}$ is a subcode of $C_{(4,2,2)}$, we have $d_p(C_{(4,r_2,r_3)}) \geq 10$. However, Lemma 2.6 shows that $d_H(C_{(4,r_2,r_3)}) = 5$, which implies that $d_p(C_{(4,r_2,r_3)}) \leq 10$. Thus, we can deduce $d_p(C_{(4,r_2,r_3)}) = 10$.

□

According to Proposition 3.16, we can obtain all MDS and AMDS symbol-pair codes with length $3p$ with a minimum symbol-pair distance of 7 to 10. We can also deduce that $C_{(r_1,r_2,r_3)}$ is an MDS symbol-pair code with $d_p = 12$, iff $(r_1, r_2, r_3) = (5, 3, 2)$. Furthermore, there dose not exist codeword with a minimum symbol pair distance of 11, when the degree of the generator polynomials $\deg(g_{(r_1,r_2,r_3)}(x))$ are 9 and 10. The following corollary can be drawn.

Corollary 3.17. *The repeated-root cyclic code $C_{(r_1,r_2,r_3)}$ must not be the MDS and the AMDS symbol-pair code with a minimum symbol-pair distance 11.*

Based on the above Proposition 3.14 to Proposition 3.16, Corollary 3.17 and Remark 3.12, we complete the proof of Theorem 3.13. In addition, we also explain that the MDS symbol-pair codes in Table 4 and the AMDS symbol-pair codes in Table 5 are all cases that meet the requirements.

Proposition 3.16 shows that the condition does not satisfy Theorem 3.13, when $r \geq 5$ and $r_1 = r_2 + r_3 + 1$. Next, we use an example to illustrate that the conditions of Theorem 3.13 are also no longer applicable, when $r > 5$ and $r_1 = r_2 + r_3$.

Example 3.18. *Let C and be a repeated-root cyclic code over \mathbb{F}_7 and the generator ploynomial of C is*

$$g(x) = (x-1)^6(x-2)^3(x-4)^3,$$

where $\omega = 2$ is a 3-th primitive element in \mathbb{F}_7 and $2^2 = 4$.

Then we have the minimum Hamming distance $d_H = 7$ by a magma progarm. Reference [14] shows the symbol-pair distance $d_p \geq 12$. The magma program also shows that both vectors

$$\mathbf{a} = [001000000663334445111]$$

and

$$\mathbf{b} = [000000100111544433366]$$

are in C . Let $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}$, we have

$$\mathbf{c} = [001000100004101101400],$$

which is also in C . We can easily deduce $\omega_p(\mathbf{c}) = 13$. Therefore, C is not an MDS symbol-pair code.

4. Conclusion

In this paper, employing repeated-root cyclic codes, some new classes of MDS and AMDS symbol-pair codes over \mathbb{F}_p with lengths lp and $3p$ are provided. We give some more general generator polynomials about MDS $(lp, 5)_p$ and $(lp, 6)_p$ symbol-pair codes. We also present a class of AMDS $(lp, 7)_p$ symbol-pair codes. For length $3p$, we provide all MDS symbol-pair codes with $d_p \leq 12$ and also provide all AMDS symbol-pair codes with $d_p < 12$.

References

- [1] Y. Cassuto and M. Blaum, Codes for symbol-pair read channels, in Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. Inf. Theory (ISIT2010) 988-992.
- [2] Y. Cassuto and M. Blaum, Codes for symbol-pair read channels, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory 57(12)(2011) 8011–8020.
- [3] Y. Cassuto and S. Litsyn, Symbol-pair codes: Algebraic constructions and asymptotic bounds, in Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. Inf. Theory, (ISIT2011) 2348–2352.
- [4] Y. M. Chee, H. M. Kiah, and C. Wang, “Maximum distance separable symbol-pair codes, in Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. Inf. Theory (ISIT2012) 2886-2890.
- [5] Y. M. Chee, L. Ji, H. M. Kiah, C. Wang, and J. Yin, Maximum distance separable codes for symbol-pair read channels, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory 59(11)(2013) 7259-7267.
- [6] X. Kai, S. Zhu, and P. Li, A construction of new MDS symbol-pair codes, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory 61(11)(2015) 5828-5834.
- [7] X. Kai, S. Zhu, Y. Zhao, H. Luo, and Z. Chen, New MDS symbol-pair codes from repeated-root codes, IEEE Commun. Letters 22(3)(2018) 462-465.
- [8] S. Li and G. Ge, Constructions of maximum distance separable symbol-pair codes using cyclic and constacyclic codes, Des. Codes Cryptogr 84(3)(2017) 359-372.
- [9] B. Chen, L. Lin, and H. Liu, Constacyclic symbol-pair codes: lower bounds and optimal constructions, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory 63(12)(2017) 7661-7666.
- [10] H. Q. Dinh, B. T. Nguyen, A. K. Singh, and S. Sriboonchitta, On the symbol-pair distance of repeated-root constacyclic codes of prime power lengths, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory 64(4)(2018) 2417–2430.
- [11] H. Q. Dinh, B. T. Nguyen and S. Sriboonchitta , MDS Symbol-Pair Cyclic Codes of Length $2p^s$ over \mathbb{F}_{p^m} , IEEE Transactions on Information Theory 66(1)(2020) 240-262.
- [12] W. Zhao, Research on algebraic theory of several types of error-correcting codes, Thesis (Ph.D.), South China University of Technology, 2019.
- [13] W. Zhao, S. Yang, K. W. Shum and X. Tang, Repeated-Root Constacyclic Codes With Pair-Metric, IEEE Commun. Letters 25(8)(2021), 2502-2506.
- [14] J. Ma and J. Luo, MDS symbol-pair codes from repeated-root cyclic codes, Des. Codes Cryptogr 90(1)(2022) 121-137.
- [15] G. Castagnoli, J. L. Massey, P. A. Schoeller, and N. von Seemann, On repeated-root cyclic codes, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory 37(2)(1991) 337-342.