

PURE TRANSCENDENTAL, IMMEDIATE VALUATION RING EXTENSIONS AS LIMITS OF SMOOTH ALGEBRAS

DORIN POPESCU

ABSTRACT. We show that a pure transcendental, immediate extension of valuation rings $V \subset V'$ is a filtered union of smooth V -subalgebras of V' .

Key words : immediate extensions, pseudo convergent sequences, pseudo limits, smooth morphisms, complete intersection algebras.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 13F30, Secondary 13A18,13F20,13B40.

INTRODUCTION

An immediate extension of valuation rings is an extension inducing trivial extensions on residue fields and group value extensions. In the idea of Zariski's Uniformization Theorem [14], we state in [9, Theorem 2] the following theorem:

Theorem 1. *If $V \subset V'$ is an immediate extension of valuation rings containing \mathbf{Q} then V' is a filtered direct limit of smooth V -algebras.*

In the Noetherian case a morphism of rings is a filtered direct limit of smooth algebras iff it is a regular morphism (see [7], [12]). An extension of the above theorem stated in [10, Theorem 2] is the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *Let $V \subset V'$ be an extension of valuation rings containing \mathbf{Q} , $\Gamma \subset \Gamma'$ the value group extension of $V \subset V'$ and $\text{val} : \Gamma' \rightarrow K'^*$ the valuation of V' . Then V' is a filtered direct limit of smooth V -algebras if and only if the following statements hold*

- (1) *for each $q \in \text{Spec } V$ the ideal qV' is prime,*
- (2) *for any prime ideals $q_1, q_2 \in \text{Spec } V$ such that $q_1 \subset q_2$ and $\text{height}(q_2/q_1) = 1$ and any $x' \in q_2V' \setminus q_1'$ there exists $x \in V$ such that $\text{val}(x') = \text{val}(x)$, where $q_1' \in \text{Spec } V'$ is the prime ideal corresponding to the maximal ideal of $V_{q_1} \otimes_V V'$, that is the maximal prime ideal of V' lying on q_1 .*

It is well known that if the fraction field extension of an immediate extension $V \subset V'$ is finite and $p > 0$ then V' could be not a filtered direct limit of smooth V -algebras as shows [8, Example 3.13] inspired from [6] (see also [8, Remark 6.10]). However, B. Antieau, R. Datta [1, Theorem 4.1.1] showed that every perfect valuation ring of characteristic $p > 0$ is a filtered union of its smooth \mathbf{F}_p -subalgebras. This result is an application of [13, Theorem 1.2.5] which relies on some results from [3].

The goal of this paper is to give a form of Theorem 1 in a special case of characteristic > 0 .

Theorem 3. *Let $V \subset V'$ be an immediate extension of valuation rings and $K \subset K'$ its fraction field extension. If $K' = K(x)$ for some algebraically independent system of elements x over K then V' is a filtered union of its smooth V -subalgebras.*

The proof is given in the last section using Theorem 13 in characteristic $\neq 2$ and Theorem 16 in characteristic 2. Theorem 13 is based on Lemma 12. The case of characteristic 2 given in Theorem 16 (actually its proof goes in characteristic $\neq 3$) is more difficult and needs Lemma 15, which is similar to Lemma 12.

Corollary 17 of the above theorem is a form of a local uniformization (see [14]) in a special case of characteristic > 0 . An application of the above theorem is given in Corollary 18.

We owe thanks to some anonymous referees who hinted mistakes in some previous forms of Lemmas 8, 9.

1. SOME EXTENSIONS OF AN OSTROWSKI'S LEMMA

Let V be a valuation ring, λ be a fixed limit ordinal and $v = \{v_i\}_{i < \lambda}$ a sequence of elements in V indexed by the ordinals i less than λ . Then v is *pseudo convergent* if

$\text{val}(v_i - v_{i''}) < \text{val}(v_{i'} - v_{i''})$ for $i < i' < i'' < \lambda$ (see [4], [11]). A *pseudo limit* of v is an element $v \in V$ with

$\text{val}(v - v_i) < \text{val}(v - v_{i'})$ (that is, $\text{val}(v - v_i) = \text{val}(v_i - v_{i'})$ for $i < i' < \lambda$). We say that v is

- (1) *algebraic* if some $f \in V[T]$ satisfies $\text{val}(f(v_i)) < \text{val}(f(v_{i'}))$ for large enough $i < i' < \lambda$;
- (2) *transcendental* if each $f \in V[T]$ satisfies $\text{val}(f(v_i)) = \text{val}(f(v_{i'}))$ for large enough $i < i' < \lambda$.

The following lemma is a variant of Ostrowski's lemma ([6, page 371, IV and III], see also [11, (II,4), Lemma 8]).

Lemma 4. (*Ostrowski*) *Let β_1, \dots, β_m be any elements of an ordered abelian group G , λ a limit ordinal and let $\{\gamma_s\}_{s < \lambda}$ be a well-ordered, monotone increasing set of elements of G , without a last element. Let t_1, \dots, t_m , be distinct integers. Then there exists an ordinal $\nu < \lambda$ such that $\beta_i + t_i \gamma_s$ are different for all $s > \nu$. In particular, there exists an integer $1 \leq r \leq m$ such that*

$$\beta_i + t_i \gamma_s > \beta_r + t_r \gamma_s$$

for all $i \neq r$ and $s > \nu$. Moreover, if the integers t_1, \dots, t_m , are not distinct but $\beta_i \neq \beta_j$, $i \neq j$ whenever $t_i = t_j$ then the above statements still hold, that is there exists an ordinal $\nu < \lambda$ such that $\beta_i + t_i \gamma_s$ are different for all $s > \nu$.

Proof. The proof from the quoted papers gives the second statement even when the integers t_i are not necessarily positive. The first statement follows applying iteratively the second one.

In the last statement apply induction on m . Assume $t_i = t_j$ for some $i \neq j$ but $\beta_i \neq \beta_j$. By induction hypothesis we may assume that $\beta_e + t_e \gamma_s$ are different for all $e \neq i$ and $s > \nu_1$ for some $\nu_1 < \lambda$. Similarly, we may get $\beta_n + t_n \gamma_s$ are different for

all $n \neq j$ and $s > \nu_2$ for some $\nu_2 < \lambda$. As $\beta_i \neq \beta_j$ we see that $\beta_i + t_i \gamma_s \neq \beta_j + t_j \gamma_s$ and so $\beta_e + t_e \gamma_s$ are different for all $1 \leq e \leq m$ and $s > \nu = \max\{\nu_1, \nu_2\}$. \square

The following lemma is given by Ostrowski ([6, page 371, IV and III], see also [11, (II,4), Lemma 9]) and we need it next.

Lemma 5. *If $\{v_j\}$ is a pseudo convergent sequence in K , and $f \in K[X]$ is a polynomial, then $\{f(v_j)\}$ is ultimately pseudo convergent.*

We also need the following lemma which follows from [5, Lemma 5.2].

Lemma 6. *Assume that $(v_j)_j$ is a pseudo-convergent sequence in V with a pseudo limit x in an extension V' of V but with no pseudo limit in V . Let $f \in V[X]$ be a polynomial. Then $(f(v_j))_j$ is ultimately pseudo-convergent and $f(x)$ is a pseudo limit of it.*

Next we give some small extensions of Lemma 4 for the case of two monotone increasing sets.

Lemma 7. *Let β_0, β_1, c be some elements of an ordered abelian group G , and let $(\gamma_{0,j_0})_{j_0 < \lambda}$ be a well-ordered, monotone increasing set of elements ≥ 0 of G , without a last element and let $(\gamma_{1,j_0})_{j_0 < \lambda}$ be defined by $\gamma_{1,j_0} = \gamma_{0,j_0} + c$ for $j_0 < \lambda$. Then there exist a subset $A \subset [1, \lambda)$ and a map $\sigma : A \rightarrow [1, \lambda)$ such that the elements*

(1)

$$P_{0,j_0} = \beta_0 + \gamma_{0,j_0},$$

(2)

$$P_{1,j_1} = \beta_1 + \gamma_{1,j_1}$$

are different for all $j_0, j_1 < \lambda$ with $j_1 \neq \sigma(j_0)$ if $j_0 \in A$.

Proof. If $P_{0,j_0} \neq P_{1,j_1}$ for all $j_0, j_1 < \lambda$ then take $A = \emptyset$ and there exists nothing to show. Otherwise, let A be the set of all $j_0 < \lambda$ such that there exists $j_1 < \lambda$ with $P_{0,j_0} = P_{1,j_1}$ (we may have $A = [1, \lambda)$). Let $j_0 \in A$ and j_1 be such that $P_{0,j_0} = P_{1,j_1}$. If $P_{0,j'_0} = P_{1,j'_1}$ for some $(j'_0, j'_1) \neq (j_0, j_1)$ then we get $\gamma_{0,j'_0} - \gamma_{0,j_0} = \gamma_{1,j'_1} - \gamma_{1,j_1} = \gamma_{0,j'_1} - \gamma_{0,j_1}$. Clearly, for fix j'_0 there exists at most one such j'_1 because $(\gamma_{0,j_0})_{j_0 < \lambda}$ is monotone increasing. Thus we can define $\sigma(j_0) = j_1$, $\sigma(j'_0) = j'_1$. Clearly, A, σ work. \square

Lemma 8. *Let $\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_{0,1}$ be some elements of an ordered abelian group G , and let $(\gamma_{0,j_0})_{j_0 < \lambda_0}$, $(\gamma_{1,j_1})_{j_1 < \lambda_1}$ be two well-ordered, monotone increasing sets of elements ≥ 0 of G , without a last element. Then there exist two ordinals $\rho_0 < \lambda_0$, $\rho_1 < \lambda_1$, a subset $A \subset [1, \lambda_0)$ and a map $\sigma : A \rightarrow [1, \lambda_1)$ such that the elements*

(1)

$$P_{0,j_0} = \beta_0 + \gamma_{0,j_0},$$

(2)

$$P_{1,j_1} = \beta_1 + \gamma_{1,j_1}$$

(3)

$$P_{0,1,j_0,j_1} = \beta_{0,1} + \gamma_{0,j_0} + \gamma_{1,j_1}$$

are different for all $\rho_0 < j_0 < \lambda_0$, $\rho_1 < j_1 < \lambda_1$ with $j_1 \neq \sigma(j_0)$ if $j_0 \in A$. In particular, for all two ordinals $\nu_0 < \lambda_0$, $\nu_1 < \lambda_1$, there exist $\nu_0 < j_0 < \lambda_0$, $\nu_1 < j_1 < \lambda_1$ such that P_{0,j_0} , P_{1,j_1} , $P_{0,1,j_0,j_1}$ are different.

Proof. As above, let A be the set of all $j_0 < \lambda_0$ such that there exists $j_1 < \lambda_1$ with $P_{0,j_0} = P_{1,j_1}$. Let $j_0 \in A$ and j_1 be such that $P_{0,j_0} = P_{1,j_1}$. If $P_{0,j'_0} = P_{1,j'_1}$ for some $(j'_0, j'_1) \neq (j_0, j_1)$ then we get $\gamma_{0,j'_0} - \gamma_{0,j_0} = \gamma_{1,j'_1} - \gamma_{1,j_1}$. Clearly, for fix j'_0 there exists at most one such j'_1 because $(\gamma_{1,j_1})_{j_1 < \lambda_1}$ is monotone increasing. Define $\sigma(j_0) = j_1$, $\sigma(j'_0) = j'_1$.

Now, assume that $P_{0,j_0} = P_{0,1,j_0,j_1}$. Then $\gamma_{1,j_1} = \beta_0 - \beta_{0,1}$. As (γ_{1,j_1}) is monotone increasing we see that $\gamma_{1,j'_1} \neq \beta_0 - \beta_{0,1}$ for $j'_1 > j_1$, so for j'_1 large. In this way, we find ν_0, ν_1 , which work together with A, σ . \square

As an application of Lemma 8 we get the following lemma.

Lemma 9. *Let $V \subset V'$ be an immediate extension of valuation rings, K, K' the fraction fields of V, V' , $\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}'$ the maximal ideals of V, V' , $y_0 \in V'$ and $y_1 = y_0^2$. Assume that $K' = K(y_0)$ and $y_e, 0 \leq e \leq 1$ is a pseudo limit of a pseudo convergent sequence $v_e = (v_{e,j_e})_{j_e < \lambda_e}$ over V , which has no pseudo limits in K . Set $y_{e,j_e} = (y_e - v_{e,j_e}) / (v_{e,j_{e+1}} - v_{e,j_e})$, $0 \leq e \leq 1$. Then for every polynomial $g \in V[Y_0, Y_1]$ with $\deg_{Y_i} g \leq 1$, $i = 0, 1$ and every ordinals $\nu_0 < \lambda_0$, $\nu_1 < \lambda_1$ there exist some $\nu_0 < j_0 < \lambda_0$, $\nu_1 < j_1 < \lambda_1$, $c \in V \setminus \{0\}$ and a polynomial $g_1 \in V[Y_{0,j_0}, Y_{1,j_1}]$ such that*

$$g(y_0, y_1) = cg_1(y_{0,j_0}, y_{1,j_1})$$

and

$$g_1(y_{0,j_0}, y_{1,j_1}) = g_1'' \prod_{e \in \sigma} y_{e,j_e} + g_1' = c' y_\sigma$$

for some $c' \in V \setminus \{0\}$, $\sigma \subset \{0, 1\}$, some $g_1'', g_1' \in V[Y_{0,j_0}, Y_{1,j_1}]$ with

$$g_1''(y_{0,j_0}, y_{1,j_1}) \in 1 + (\mathfrak{m}' \cap V[y_{0,j_0}, y_{1,j_1}]),$$

$$g_1'(y_{0,j_0}, y_{1,j_1}) - g_1'(0) \in \mathfrak{m}' \cap V[y_{0,j_0}, y_{1,j_1}]$$

and an unit $y_\sigma \in V'$.

Proof. Let $g = b_0 Y_0 + b_1 Y_1 + b_2 Y_0 Y_1 + b_3 \in V[Y_0, Y_1]$ for $b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3 \in V$ and $j_0 < \lambda_0$, $j_1 < \lambda_1$ and fix some ordinals $\nu_0 < \lambda_0, \nu_1 < \lambda_1$. By Taylor expansion we have $g(y_0, y_1) =$

$$\begin{aligned} &g(v_{0,j_0}, v_{1,j_1}) + (b_0 + b_2 v_{1,j_1})(y_0 - v_{0,j_0}) + (b_1 + b_2 v_{0,j_0})(y_1 - v_{1,j_1}) + b_2(y_0 - v_{0,j_0})(y_1 - v_{1,j_1}) = \\ &g(v_{0,j_0}, v_{1,j_1}) + (b_0 + b_2 v_{1,j_1})(v_{0,j_0+1} - v_{0,j_0})y_{0,j_0} + (b_1 + b_2 v_{0,j_0})(v_{1,j_1+1} - v_{1,j_1})y_{1,j_1} + \\ &b_2(v_{0,j_0+1} - v_{0,j_0})(v_{1,j_1+1} - v_{1,j_1})y_{0,j_0}y_{1,j_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Set $\gamma_0 = \text{val}((b_0 + b_2 v_{1,j_1})(v_{0,j_0+1} - v_{0,j_0}))$, $\gamma_1 = \text{val}((b_1 + b_2 v_{0,j_0})(v_{1,j_1+1} - v_{1,j_1}))$, $\gamma_2 = \text{val}(b_2(v_{0,j_0+1} - v_{0,j_0})(v_{1,j_1+1} - v_{1,j_1}))$. Note that y_{0,j_0}, y_{1,j_1} are units in V' and $\text{val}(g(y_0, y_1))$ is mainly different from γ_i , $0 \leq i \leq 2$ because these γ_i increase with j_0, j_1 . Also see that $\beta_0 = \text{val}(b_0 + b_2 v_{1,j_1})$, $\beta_1 = \text{val}(b_1 + b_2 v_{0,j_0})$ are constant for j_0, j_1 large because v_e have no pseudo limit in K since in this case the minimal degree of a polynomial $h \in V[Y]$ such that $\text{val}(h(v_{e,j_e})) < \text{val}(h(v_{e,j_{e+1}}))$ for j_e large,

is ≥ 2 by the proof of [4, (Theorem 3)]. Essentially is that the total degree of each $\partial g/\partial Y_i$ is ≤ 1 , $0 \leq i \leq 1$. By Lemma 8 applied for $\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_{0,1} = \text{val}(b_2)$ and $\gamma'_{0,j_0} = \text{val}(v_{0,j_0+1} - v_{0,j_0})$, $\gamma'_{1,j_1} = \text{val}(v_{1,j_1+1} - v_{1,j_1})$ we get that these γ_i are different for some high enough $j_0 > \nu_0, j_1 > \nu_1$. Thus we have either

$$(+)\quad \text{val}(g(y_0, y_1)) = \text{val}(g(v_{0,j_0}, v_{1,j_1})),$$

or $\text{val}(g(v_{0,j_0}, v_{1,j_1})) = \gamma_i$ for some $0 \leq i \leq 2$. If (+) holds then $\text{val}(g(v_{0,j_0}, v_{1,j_1})) < \gamma_i$ and for $c = g(v_{0,j_0}, v_{1,j_1})$ we have $g(y_0, y_1) = cg_1(y_{0,j_0}, y_{1,j_1})$ for some polynomial $g_1 \in V[Y_{0,j_0}, Y_{1,j_1}]$ with $g_1(y_{0,j_0}, y_{1,j_1}) \in (1 + (\mathfrak{m}' \cap V[y_{0,j_0}, y_{1,j_1}]))$. Then take $\sigma = \emptyset$, $g''_1 = g_1, g'_1 = 0, c' = 1$. In general we may have $\text{val}(g(y_0, y_1)) \neq \text{val}(g(v_{0,j_0}, v_{1,j_1}))$ for any j_0, j_1 , in spite of what happens for one variable y .

Assume that (+) does not hold, γ_1 is the minimum between these γ_e and so $\text{val}(g(y_0, y_1)) > \gamma_1$. Then $g(y_0, y_1) = cg_1(y_{0,j_0}, y_{1,j_1})$ where $c = (b_1 + b_2 v_{0,j_0})(v_{1,j_1+1} - v_{1,j_1})$ and $g_1 \in V[Y_{0,j_0}, Y_{1,j_1}]$ is a polynomial with $\deg_{Y_e} g_1 \leq 1, e = 0, 1$ of the form $g''_1 Y_{1,j_1} + g'_1$ for $g''_1, g'_1 \in V[Y_{0,j_0}]$ with $g''_1(y_{0,j_0}) \in 1 + (\mathfrak{m}' \cap V[y_{0,j_0}])$ and $g'_1(y_{0,j_0}) - g'_1(0) \in (\mathfrak{m}' \cap V[y_{0,j_0}])$. Then take $\sigma = \{1\}$ because γ_1 is the minimum among γ_e . Note that $\text{val}(c) < \text{val}(g(y_0, y_1))$ because $\gamma_1 < \text{val}(g(y_0, y_1))$ and so $g_1(y_{0,j_0}, y_{1,j_1}) = c'y'_1$ for some $c' \in \mathfrak{m}$ with $\text{val}(c') = \text{val}(g(y_0, y_1)) - \text{val}(c)$ and some unit y'_σ from V' . Similarly, we treat the case when γ_0 is the minimum between γ_e .

Next assume that (+) does not hold and γ_2 is the minimum between γ_e . As above, for

$$c = b_2(v_{0,j_0+1} - v_{0,j_0})(v_{1,j_1+1} - v_{1,j_1})$$

we get $g(y_0, y_1) = cg_1(y_{0,j_0}, y_{1,j_1})$, where $g_1 \in V[Y_{0,j_0}, Y_{1,j_1}]$ is a polynomial with $\deg_{Y_e} g_1 \leq 1, e = 0, 1$ of the form $(Y_{0,j_0} + a_1)Y_{1,j_1} + g'_1$ for some polynomial $g'_1 \in V[Y_{0,j_0}, Y_{1,j_1}]$, $g'_1 = a_0 Y_{0,j_0} + a_2, a_0, a_1, a_2 \in V$. Note that $a_0, a_1 \in \mathfrak{m}$ because γ_2 is the minimum among γ_i . Take $\sigma = \{1\}$, $g''_1 = Y_{0,j_0} + a_1$. As above choose $c' \in \mathfrak{m}$ with $\text{val}(c') = \text{val}(g(y_0, y_1)) - \text{val}(c)$ and an unit y'_1 of V' such that $g_1(y_{0,j_0}, y_{1,j_1}) = c'y'_1$. \square

2. SOME EXTENSIONS OF LEMMA 9 FOR SEVERAL (y_e)

The lemma bellow is an easy extension of Lemma 8.

Lemma 10. *Let $m \in \mathbf{N}$, S a set of nonempty subsets of $\{0, \dots, m\}$, $(\beta_\sigma)_{\sigma \in S}$ some elements of an ordered abelian group G , $(t_e)_{0 \leq e \leq m}$ some positive integers and let $(\gamma_{e,j_e})_{j_e < \lambda_e, 0 \leq e \leq m}$ be some well-ordered, monotone increasing sets of elements ≥ 0 of G , without a last element. For some ordinals $(j_e)_{0 \leq e \leq m}$ with $j_e < \lambda_e, 0 \leq e \leq m$ and $\sigma \in S$ let $P_{\sigma,j_\sigma} = \beta_\sigma + \sum_{e \in \sigma} t_e \gamma_{e,j_e}$, where $j_\sigma = (j_e)_{e \in \sigma}$. Then for any ordinals $(\rho_e)_{0 \leq e \leq m}$, $\rho_e < \lambda_e$ there exist some ordinals $(j_e)_{0 \leq e \leq m}$ with $\rho_e < j_e < \lambda_e$ such that the elements P_{σ,j_σ} are different.*

Proof. We use induction on m , the case $m = 0$ being trivial. Assume $m > 0$ and choose some ordinals $(\rho_e)_{0 \leq e \leq m}$, $\rho_e < \lambda_e$. Let S' be the set of all $\sigma \in S$ which contains m and set $\delta_{\sigma',j_{\sigma'}} = \beta_{\sigma'} + \sum_{e \in \sigma', e < m} t_e \gamma_{e,j_e}$ for $\sigma' \in S', j_{\sigma'} = (j_e)_{e \in \sigma'}$. By induction hypothesis there exist $\rho_0 < j_0 < \lambda_0, \dots, \rho_{m-1} < j_{m-1} < \lambda_{m-1}$ such that $P_{\sigma,j_\sigma}, \delta_{\sigma',j_{\sigma'}}$ are different for any $\sigma \in S$ which does not contain m and $\sigma' \in S'$. Fix

these j_e , $e < m$. By Lemma 4 the elements $\delta_{\sigma', j_{\sigma'}} + t_m \gamma_{m, j_m}$ are all different for j_m large enough (note that all $\delta_{\sigma', j_{\sigma'}}$ are different), in particular for some $j_m > \nu_m$, where $\rho_m < \nu_m < \lambda_m$. Increasing j_m (if necessary) we may assume also that $P_{\sigma', j_{\sigma'}}$, $\sigma' \in S'$ are different from the fixed $P_{\sigma'', j_{\sigma''}}$, $\sigma'' \in S \setminus S'$ because (γ_{m, j_m}) is increasing. \square

Lemma 11. *Let $V \subset V'$ be an immediate extension of valuation rings, K, K' the fraction fields of V, V' , $\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}'$ the maximal ideals of V, V' , $y_0 \in V'$ and by recurrence set $y_{e+1} = y_e^2$ for $0 \leq e < m$ for some $m \in \mathbf{N}$. Assume that $K' = K(y_0)$ and y_e , $0 \leq e \leq m$ is a pseudo limit of a pseudo convergent sequence $v_e = (v_{e, j_e})_{j_e < \lambda_e}$ over V , which has no pseudo limits in K . Set $y_{e, j_e} = (y_e - v_{e, j_e}) / (v_{e, j_{e+1}} - v_{e, j_e})$. Then for every polynomial $g \in V[Y_0, \dots, Y_m]$ such that $\deg_{Y_i} g \leq 1$ for all $0 \leq i \leq m$ and $\partial g / \partial Y_i$, $0 \leq i \leq m$ has at most one monomial (that is, it has the form $a \Pi_e Y_e$ for some $a \in V$ and several e) and every ordinals $\nu_i < \lambda_i$, $0 \leq i \leq m$ there exist $\sigma \subset \{0, \dots, m\}$, some $\nu_0 < j_0 < \lambda_0, \dots, \nu_m < j_m < \lambda_m$, $c \in V \setminus \{0\}$ and a polynomial $g_1 \in V[Y_{0, j_0}, \dots, Y_{m, j_m}]$ such that*

$$g(y_0, \dots, y_m) = c g_1(y_{0, j_0}, \dots, y_{m, j_m})$$

and

$$g_1(y_{0, j_0}, \dots, y_{m, j_m}) = g_1'' \Pi_{e \in \sigma} y_{e, j_e} + g_1' = c' y_\sigma$$

for some $c' \in V \setminus \{0\}$, some $g_1'', g_1' \in V[Y_{0, j_0}, \dots, Y_{m, j_m}]$ with

$$g_1''(y_{0, j_0}, \dots, y_{m, j_m}) \in 1 + (\mathfrak{m}' \cap V[y_{0, j_0}, \dots, y_{m, j_m}]),$$

$$g_1'(y_{0, j_0}, \dots, y_{m, j_m}) - g_1'(0) \in \mathfrak{m}' \cap V[(y_{e, j_e})_{e \notin \sigma}]$$

and an unit $y_\sigma \in V'$. Moreover, it holds either $g_1'' = g_1$ (so $g_1' = 0$), or $g_1'' = 1$.

Proof. By Taylor expansion we have

$$g(y_0, \dots, y_m) = \sum_{\tau \subset \{0, \dots, m\}} (\partial g^{|\tau|} / \partial Y_\tau)(v_{0, j_0}, \dots, v_{m, j_m}) \Pi_{e \in \tau} (y_e - v_{e, j_e}),$$

where $Y_\tau = (Y_e)_{e \in \tau}$ and $|\tau|$ denotes the cardinal of τ . Since the value of a monomial in (v_{e, j_e}) is constant for (j_e) large we conclude by our hypothesis that $\beta_\tau = \text{val}((\partial g^{|\tau|} / \partial Y_\tau)(v_{0, j_0}, \dots, v_{m, j_m}))$ is constant for (j_e) large when $\tau \neq \emptyset$. Set $\gamma'_{e, j_e} = \text{val}(v_{e, j_{e+1}} - v_{e, j_e})$. By Lemma 10 applied to β_τ , (γ'_{e, j_e}) , we see that

$$\gamma_\tau = \text{val}(\partial g^{|\tau|} / \partial Y_\tau)(v_{0, j_0}, \dots, v_{m, j_m}) \Pi_{e \in \tau} (y_e - v_{e, j_e})$$

are different for all $\tau \neq \emptyset$ if (j_e) are large. Also note that $\text{val}(g(y_0, \dots, y_m))$ is different from γ_τ , $\tau \neq \emptyset$ because the first one is constant but the second one increases. It follows that we have either

$$(+') \quad \text{val}(g(y_0, \dots, y_m)) = \text{val}(g(v_{0, j_0}, \dots, v_{m, j_m})),$$

or $\text{val}(g(v_{0, j_0}, \dots, v_{m, j_m})) = \gamma_\tau$ for some $\tau \neq \emptyset$ and j_0, \dots, j_m . If $(+')$ holds then $\text{val}(g(v_{0, j_0}, \dots, v_{m, j_m})) < \gamma_\tau$, $\tau \neq \emptyset$ and for $c = \text{val}(g(v_{0, j_0}, \dots, v_{m, j_m}))$ we have $g(y_0, \dots, y_m) = c g_1(y_{0, j_0}, \dots, y_{m, j_m})$ for some polynomial $g_1 \in V[Y_{0, j_0}, \dots, Y_{m, j_m}]$ with $g_1(y_{0, j_0}, \dots, y_{m, j_m}) \in (1 + (\mathfrak{m}' \cap V[y_{0, j_0}, \dots, y_{m, j_m}]))$. Take $\sigma = \emptyset$, $g_1'' = g_1$,

$g'_1 = 0$, $c' = 1$. In general we may have $\text{val}(g(y_0, \dots, y_m)) \neq \text{val}(g(v_{0,j_0}, \dots, v_{m,j_m}))$ for any j_0, \dots, j_m .

Assume that (+') does not hold, γ_σ is the minimum between these γ_τ , $\tau \neq \emptyset$ and so $\text{val}(g(y_0, \dots, y_m)) > \gamma_\sigma$. Then $g(y_0, \dots, y_m) = cg_1(y_{0,j_0}, \dots, y_{m,j_m})$, where

$$c = \text{val}((\partial g^{|\sigma|} / \partial Y_\sigma)(v_{0,j_0}, \dots, v_{m,j_m}) \prod_{e \in \sigma} (v_{e,j_{e+1}} - v_{e,j_e}))$$

and $g_1 \in V[Y_{0,j_0}, \dots, Y_{m,j_m}]$ is a polynomial of the form $g''_1 \prod_{e \in \sigma} y_{e,j_e} + g'_1$ for $g''_1, g'_1 \in V[Y_{0,j_0}, \dots, Y_{m,j_m}]$ with

$$g''_1(y_{0,j_0}, \dots, y_{m,j_m}) \in 1 + (\mathfrak{m}' \cap V[y_{0,j_0}, \dots, y_{m,j_m}]),$$

$$g'_1(y_{0,j_0}, \dots, y_{m,j_m}) - g'_1(0) \in (\mathfrak{m}' \cap V[y_{0,j_0}, \dots, y_{m,j_m}]),$$

because γ_σ is the minimum. We have $\text{val}(c) < \text{val}(g(y_0, \dots, y_m))$ because $\gamma_\sigma < \text{val}(g(y_0, \dots, y_m))$ and so $g_1(y_{0,j_0}, \dots, y_{m,j_m}) = c'y_\sigma$ for some $c' \in \mathfrak{m}$ with $\text{val}(c') = \text{val}(g(y_0, \dots, y_m)) - \text{val}(c)$ and some unit y_σ from V' . Note that $g''_1 = 1$ and g'_1 has no monomials containing some y_{e,j_e} with $e \in \sigma$ because otherwise $\partial g / \partial Y_e$ would have more than one monomial for some e , which is false. \square

The strong restriction " $\partial g / \partial Y_i$ has at most one monomial" from above is lifted below.

Lemma 12. *Let $V \subset V'$ be an immediate extension of valuation rings, K, K' the fraction fields of V, V' , $\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}'$ the maximal ideals of V, V' , $y_0 \in V'$ and by recurrence set $y_{e+1} = y_e^2$ for $0 \leq e < m$ for some $m \in \mathbf{N}$. Assume that $K' = K(y_0)$ and y_e , $0 \leq e \leq m$ is a pseudo limit of a pseudo convergent sequence $v_e = (v_{e,j_e})_{j_e < \lambda_e}$ over V , which has no pseudo limits in K . Set $y_{e,j_e} = (y_e - v_{e,j_e}) / (v_{e,j_{e+1}} - v_{e,j_e})$. Then for every polynomial $g \in V[Y_0, \dots, Y_m]$ such that $\deg_{Y_i} g \leq 1$ for all $0 \leq i \leq m$ and every ordinals $\nu_i < \lambda_i$, $0 \leq i \leq m$ there exist $\sigma \subset \{0, \dots, m\}$, some $\nu_0 < j_0 < \lambda_0, \dots, \nu_m < j_m < \lambda_m$, $c \in V \setminus \{0\}$ and a polynomial $g_1 \in V[Y_{0,j_0}, \dots, Y_{m,j_m}]$ such that*

$$g(y_0, \dots, y_m) = cg_1(y_{0,j_0}, \dots, y_{m,j_m})$$

and

$$g_1(y_{0,j_0}, \dots, y_{m,j_m}) = g''_1 \prod_{e \in \sigma} y_{e,j_e} + g'_1 = c'y_\sigma$$

for some $c' \in V \setminus \{0\}$, some $g''_1, g'_1 \in V[Y_{0,j_0}, \dots, Y_{m,j_m}]$ with

$$g''_1(y_{0,j_0}, \dots, y_{m,j_m}) \in 1 + (\mathfrak{m}' \cap V[y_{0,j_0}, \dots, y_{m,j_m}]),$$

$$g'_1(y_{0,j_0}, \dots, y_{m,j_m}) - g'_1(0) \in \mathfrak{m}' \cap V[(y_{e,j_e})_{e \notin \sigma}]$$

and an unit $y_\sigma \in V'$.

Proof. Fix g . Then g has the form

$$g = \sum_{\tau \subset \{0, \dots, m\}} a_\tau \prod_{e \in \tau} Y_e,$$

$a_\tau \in V$. Let S_e be the set of all $\tau \subset \{0, \dots, m\}$ containing e , $0 \leq e \leq m$. Introduce new variables $(Y_{e,\tau})$, $0 \leq e \leq m$, $\tau \in S_e$. and let $\rho : V[(Y_{e,\tau})] \rightarrow V[Y_0, \dots, Y_m]$ be

the map given by $Y_{e,\tau} \rightarrow Y_e$. Define

$$G = \sum_{\tau \subset \{0, \dots, m\}} a_\tau \prod_{e \in \tau} Y_{e,\tau}.$$

We have $\rho(G) = g$. Note that $y_{e,\tau} = y_e$ is a pseudo limit of $v_{e,\tau} = v_e$.

Clearly, $\partial G / \partial Y_{e,\tau}$ has at most one monomial for all $0 \leq e \leq m$, $\tau \in S_e$ and we may apply Lemma 11. Thus for some ordinals $\nu_i < \lambda_i$, $0 \leq i \leq m$ there exist a set Δ of some (e, τ) , some $\nu_0 < j_{0,\tau} < \lambda_0$, $\tau \in S_0$, \dots , $\nu_m < j_{m,\tau} < \lambda_m$, $\tau \in S_m$, $c \in V \setminus \{0\}$ and a polynomial $G_1 \in V[(Y_{e,\tau,j_{e,\tau}})_{e,\tau}]$ such that

$$G((y_{e,\tau}))_{e,\tau} = cG_1((y_{e,\tau,j_{e,\tau}}))_{e,\tau}$$

and

$$G_1((y_{e,\tau,j_{e,\tau}}))_{e,\tau} = G_1'' \prod_{(e,\tau) \in \Delta} y_{e,\tau,j_{e,\tau}} + G_1' = c'y_\Delta$$

for some $c' \in V \setminus \{0\}$, Δ a set of (e, τ) , some $G_1'', G_1' \in V[(Y_{e,\tau,j_{e,\tau}}))_{e,\tau}]$ with

$$G_1''((y_{e,\tau,j_{e,\tau}}))_{e,\tau} \in 1 + (\mathfrak{m}' \cap V[(y_{e,\tau,j_{e,\tau}}))_{e,\tau}]),$$

$$G_1'((y_{e,\tau,j_{e,\tau}}))_{e,\tau} - G_1'(0) \in \mathfrak{m}' \cap V[(y_{e,\tau,j_{e,\tau}})_{(e,\tau) \notin \Delta}]$$

and an unit $y_\Delta \in V'$. Note that $(e, \tau), (e, \tau') \in \Delta$ implies $\tau = \tau'$ because otherwise $\deg_{Y_e} g > 1$, which is false. Also we have either $G_1'' = G_1$, or $G_1'' = 1$ because $\partial G / \partial Y_{e,\tau}$ has at most one monomial for all $0 \leq e \leq m$, $\tau \in S_e$ (see also the proof of Lemma 11).

Let j_e be the maximum between $j_{e,\tau}$ when $\tau \in S_e$. If $j_{e,\tau} < j_e$ then we get

$$y_e - v_{e,\tau,j_{e,\tau}} = (v_{e,\tau,j_{e,\tau}+1} - v_{e,\tau,j_{e,\tau}})y_{e,\tau,j_{e,\tau}},$$

$$y_e - v_{e,\tau,j_e} = (v_{e,\tau,j_e+1} - v_{e,\tau,j_e})y_{e,\tau,j_e}.$$

Since

$$\text{val}(v_{e,\tau,j_e} - v_{e,\tau,j_{e,\tau}}) = \text{val}(v_{e,\tau,j_{e,\tau}+1} - v_{e,\tau,j_{e,\tau}}) < \text{val}(v_{e,\tau,j_e+1} - v_{e,\tau,j_e})$$

we get $y_{e,\tau,j_{e,\tau}} = d_{e,\tau,j_e} + b_{e,\tau,j_e}y_{e,\tau,j_e}$ for an unit $d_{e,\tau,j_e} \in V$ and some $b_{e,\tau,j_e} \in \mathfrak{m}$. We may replace $y_{e,\tau,j_{e,\tau}}$ by $d_{e,\tau,j_e} + b_{e,\tau,j_e}y_{e,\tau,j_e}$ in G_1 . Nothing important appears if this $y_{e,\tau,j_{e,\tau}}$ is from G_1' , that is if $(e, \tau) \notin \Delta$. If $(e, \tau) \in \Delta$ then this replacement changes $\prod_{(e,\tau) \in \Delta} y_{e,\tau,j_{e,\tau}}$ by $d_{e,\tau,j_e} \prod_{(e',\tau') \in \Delta, e' \neq e} y_{e',\tau',j_{e',\tau'}}$ (as above $(e, \tau), (e, \tau') \in \Delta$ implies $\tau = \tau'$) and the term $b_{e,\tau,j_e}y_{e,\tau,j_e} \prod_{(e',\tau') \in \Delta, e' \neq e} y_{e',\tau',j_{e',\tau'}}$ belongs now to the new G_1' as $b_{e,\tau,j_e} \in \mathfrak{m}$. Then c changes by cd_{e,τ,j_e} and we may assume now that $(e, \tau) \notin \Delta$. Using this procedure we eliminate step by step (e, τ) from Δ for which $j_{e,\tau} < j_e$. So we may assume above that $j_{e,\tau} = j_e$ for all $(e, \tau) \in \Delta$ and moreover $j_{e,\tau} = j_e$ for all (e, τ) .

Applying the map $\rho_1 : V[(Y_{e,\tau,j_e})] \rightarrow V[(Y_{e,j_e})]$ induced by ρ we see that $g_1(y_{0,j_0}, \dots, y_{m,j_m}) = \rho_1(G_1)$, $g_1'' = \rho_1(G_1'')$, $g_1' = \rho_1(G_1')$ satisfy

$$g(y_0, \dots, y_m) = \rho(G) = cg_1(y_{0,j_0}, \dots, y_{m,j_m})$$

and

$$g_1(y_{0,j_0}, \dots, y_{m,j_m}) = g_1'' \prod_{e \in \sigma} y_{e,j_e} + g_1'$$

for the set $\sigma \subset \{0, \dots, m\}$ of those e such that there exists τ with $(e, \tau) \in \Delta$ (could be just one τ). We get

$$\begin{aligned} g_1''(y_{0,j_0}, \dots, y_{m,j_m}) &\in 1 + (\mathfrak{m}' \cap V[y_{0,j_0}, \dots, y_{m,j_m}]), \\ g_1'(y_{0,j_0}, \dots, y_{m,j_m}) - g_1'(0) &\in \mathfrak{m}' \cap V[y_{0,j_0}, \dots, y_{m,j_m}]. \end{aligned}$$

As usual for $c' \in V$ with $\text{val}(c') = \text{val}(g(y_0, \dots, y_m)) - \text{val}(c)$ we have $g_1(y_{0,j_0}, \dots, y_{m,j_m}) = c'y_\sigma$ for some unit $y_\sigma \in V'$. \square

3. PURE TRANSCENDENTAL, IMMEDIATE EXTENSIONS OF VALUATION RINGS OF CHARACTERISTIC $\neq 2$

Theorem 13. *Let V' be an immediate extension of a valuation ring V of characteristic $\neq 2$, $\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}'$ the maximal ideals of V, V' , $K \subset K'$ their fraction field extension and $y_0 \in V$ a transcendental element over K with $K' = K(y_0)$. Then V' is a filtered union of its smooth V -subalgebras.*

Proof. Set $y_e = y_0^{2^e}$, $e \in \mathbf{N}$. By [4, Theorem 1] y_e is a pseudo limit of a pseudo convergent sequence $v_e = (v_{e,j_e})_{j_e < \lambda_e}$, which has no pseudo limits in K .

For all $e \in \mathbf{N}$ and each $j_e < \lambda_e$, we set

$$y_{e,j_e} = (y_e - v_{e,j_e}) / (v_{e,j_{e+1}} - v_{e,j_e}),$$

so that, y_{e,j_e} is an unit in V' .

Let j_0, \dots, j_n , $j_i < \lambda_i$ and $A_{j_0, \dots, j_n} = V[y_{0,j_0}, \dots, y_{n,j_n}]$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} q_{n,j_n} &= v_{n,j_n} + (v_{n,j_{n+1}} - v_{n,j_n})Y_{n,j_n} \in V[Y_{n,j_n}], \\ G_{j_n, j_{n+1}} &= (q_{n,j_n})^2 - q_{n+1, j_{n+1}} \in V[Y_{n,j_n}, Y_{n+1, j_{n+1}}] \end{aligned}$$

for $n \geq 0$. We have

$$q_{n,j_n}(y_{n,j_n}) = y_n = (y_{n-1})^2 = (q_{n-1, j_{n-1}}(y_{n-1, j_{n-1}}))^2,$$

that is $G_{j_{n-1}, j_n}(y_{n-1, j_{n-1}}, y_{n, j_n}) = 0$.

Then G_{j_{n-1}, j_n} has the form $\nu_{j_{n-1}, j_n} g_{j_{n-1}, j_n}$ for some nonzero element $\nu_{j_{n-1}, j_n} \in V$ and some primitive polynomial $g_{j_{n-1}, j_n} \in V[Y_{n, j_n}, Y_{n-1, j_{n-1}}]$. Note that y_n is a pseudo limit of the pseudo convergent sequence $v_{n-1}^2 = (v_{n-1, j_{n-1}}^2)_{j_{n-1} < \lambda_{n-1}}$ by [5, Lemma 5.2] (see here Lemma 6). Usually, v_{n-1}^2 has a pseudo limit in V and we may assume that v_n completes v_{n-1}^2 . Then we may assume that

$$(*) \quad \text{val}(v_{n-1, j_{n-1}+1}^2 - v_{n-1, j_{n-1}}^2) \leq \text{val}(v_{n, j_{n+1}} - v_{n, j_n})$$

for j_{n-1}, j_n high enough.

Clearly, $G_{j_n, j_{n+1}}$ has modulo the free term the form

$$(v_{n, j_{n+1}} - v_{n, j_n})^2 Y_{n, j_n}^2 + 2v_{n, j_n} (v_{n, j_{n+1}} - v_{n, j_n}) Y_{n, j_n} - (v_{n+1, j_{n+1}+1} - v_{n+1, j_{n+1}}) Y_{n+1, j_{n+1}}.$$

Note that $\text{val}(v_{n, j_{n+1}} - v_{n, j_n}) \geq \min\{\text{val}(v_{n, j_{n+1}}), \text{val}(v_{n, j_n})\} = \text{val}(v_{n, j_n})$ for j_n large (actually the inequality is strict because the left side increases and the right side not for j_n large) and similarly $\text{val}((v_{n, j_{n+1}} + v_{n, j_n}) \geq \text{val}(v_{n, j_n})$. Since 2 is invertible by hypothesis, it follows that

$$\text{val}((v_{n, j_{n+1}} - v_{n, j_n})^2) > \text{val}(2v_{n, j_n}(v_{n, j_{n+1}} - v_{n, j_n}))$$

and also

$$\text{val}(2v_{n,j_n}(v_{n,j_{n+1}} - v_{n,j_n})) \leq \text{val}(v_{n,j_{n+1}}^2 - v_{n,j_n}^2) \leq \text{val}(v_{n+1,j_{n+1}+1} - v_{n+1,j_{n+1}})$$

by (*) for j_n, j_{n+1} large. As $v_{j_n, j_{n+1}} = (v_{n,j_{n+1}} - v_{n,j_n})v_{n,j_n}$ divides all the coefficients of the variables in $G_{j_n, j_{n+1}}$ we see from above that it must divide also its free term.

We have $g_{j_n, j_{n+1}}(y_{n,j_n}, y_{n+1, j_{n+1}}) = 0$ and the coefficient of Y_{n,j_n} in $g_{j_n, j_{n+1}}$ is a unit (we may assume to be 1), the coefficient of Y_{n,j_n}^2 being from \mathfrak{m} . Set

$$C_{j_0, \dots, j_n} = V[Y_{0,j_0}, \dots, Y_{n,j_n}] / (g_{j_0, j_1}, \dots, g_{j_{n-1}, j_n})$$

and let $\rho_{j_0, \dots, j_n} : C_{j_0, \dots, j_n} \rightarrow A_{j_0, \dots, j_n}$ be the map given by $Y_{e, j_e} \rightarrow y_{e, j_e}$.

Note that

$$(v_{n, j_{n+1}} - v_{n, j_n})y_{n, j_n} = (v_{n, j'_n} - v_{n, j_n}) + (v_{n, j'_n+1} - v_{n, j'_n})y_{n, j'_n}$$

and as

$$\text{val}(v_{n, j'_n} - v_{n, j_n}) = \text{val}(v_{n, j_{n+1}} - v_{n, j_n}) < \text{val}(v_{n, j'_n+1} - v_{n, j'_n})$$

for $j_n < j'_n$ we get $y_{n, j_n} = \alpha_{j_n, j'_n} + \beta_{j_n, j'_n} y_{n, j'_n}$ for some unit $\alpha_{j_n, j'_n} \in V$ and non unit $\beta_{j_n, j'_n} \in V$. Thus $A_{j_0, \dots, j_n} \subset A_{j'_0, \dots, j'_n}$ if $j_e \leq j'_e$, $0 \leq e \leq n$ and so the union A of A_{j_0, \dots, j_n} is filtered. Similarly we have some canonical maps $C_{j_0, \dots, j_n} \rightarrow C_{j'_0, \dots, j'_n}$, $j_e \leq j'_e$, $0 \leq e \leq n$ given by $Y_{e, j_e} \rightarrow (\alpha_{j_e, j'_e} + \beta_{j_e, j'_e} Y_{e, j'_e})$. These give together with the canonical maps $C_{j_0, \dots, j_n} \rightarrow C_{j_0, \dots, j_{n+1}}$ a filtered direct system and there exists a map ρ from its limit C to A extending ρ_{j_0, \dots, j_n} . Note that $(C_{j_0, \dots, j_n})_{\rho^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}'^*)}$ is etale over $V[Y_{n, j_n}]$ because $\det((\partial g_{j_i, j_{i+1}} / \partial Y_{r, j_r})_{1 \leq i, r \leq n-1})$ is not in $\rho^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}'^*)$. Therefore, $(C_{j_0, \dots, j_n})_{\rho^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}'^*)}$ is smooth over V and so C is a filtered direct limit of some smooth V -algebras.

A nonzero element of C is induced by a polynomial $h \in V[Y_{0, j_0}, \dots, Y_{r, j_r}]$, which is not necessarily with $\deg_{Y_{e, j_e}} h \leq 1$, $0 \leq e \leq s$ because the polynomials $g_{j_e, j_{e+1}}$ of degree 2 are not monic in Y_{e, j_e} . So the division of h by them could be not possible. However, multiplying h with a certain $d \in V \setminus \{0\}$ this division could be done and after several divisions we see that such dh is induced in C by a polynomial $F \in V[Y_{0, j_0}, \dots, Y_{m, j_m}]$ for some $m \geq r$ with $\deg_{Y_{e, j_e}} F \leq 1$, $0 \leq e \leq m$ modulo the ideal $I_{j_0, \dots, j_m} = (g_{j_0, j_1}, \dots, g_{j_{m-1}, j_m})$ of $V[Y_{0, j_0}, \dots, Y_{m, j_m}]$ defining C_{j_0, \dots, j_m} . Assume that $F \neq 0$. By Lemma 12 there exist t_0, \dots, t_m , $t_i \geq j_i$, $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $\tau \subset \{0, \dots, m\}$ such that $F = cF_1$, where $c \in V \setminus \{0\}$ and F_1 has the form $\tilde{F}_1'' \Pi_{r \in \tau} Y_{r, t_r} + F'_1$, for a polynomial $F'_1 \in V[(Y_{l, t_l})_l]$ with $F'_1 - F'_1(0) \in \mathfrak{m}V[(Y_{l, t_l})_l]$ and a polynomial $\tilde{F}_1'' \in (1 + (\mathfrak{m}V[(Y_{l, t_l})_l]))$. Choose $e \in \tau$. Set $F_1'' = \tilde{F}_1'' \Pi_{r \in \tau, r \neq e} Y_{r, t_r}$. Then F_1 has the form $F_1'' Y_{e, t_e} + F'_1$. We denote $\Pi_{r \in \tau, r \neq e} Y_{r, t_r} = 1$ if $\tau = \{e\}$. We consider $F \in V[Y_{0, t_0}, \dots, Y_{m, t_m}]$ via the map $C_{j_0, \dots, j_m} \rightarrow C_{t_0, \dots, t_m}$. Moreover, for the unit $\alpha_e = F_1''((y_{l, t_l})_l)$ of V' we have

$$(**) \quad \alpha_e y_{e, t_e} + F_1''((y_{l, t_l})_{l \neq e}) = c' z_0$$

for some $c' \in V \setminus \{0\}$ and an unit z_0 of V' . Thus $\rho_{t_1, \dots, t_m}(F) = cc' z_0 \neq 0$. Then $F \notin \text{Ker } \rho_{t_1, \dots, t_m}$ and so $F \notin \text{Ker } \rho$.

Remains to show that if $dh \in I_{t_0, \dots, t_m}$ then $h \in I_{t_0, \dots, t_m}$. Let $q_{e, t_e} \in V$ be an invertible element such that $\text{val}(y_{e, t_e} - q_{e, t_e}) > 0$, $0 \leq e \leq n$. Changing from y_{e, t_e} to $x_{e, t_e} = y_{e, t_e} - q_{e, t_e}$ we get the same problem but for the case when $\text{val}(x_{e, t_e}) > 0$. We

pass from Y_{e,t_e} to $X_{e,t_e} + q_{e,t_e}$ (note that by this transformation the coefficient of X_{e,t_e} remains invertible, assumed even 1, in this new $g_{t_e,t_{e+1}}$, the advantage being now that we may consider the Henselization H of C in $(\mathfrak{m}, (X_{0,t_0}, \dots, X_{m,t_m}))$. For a moment, we preserve the same denotation, that is we denote X_{e,t_e} still by Y_{e,t_e} . By the Implicit Function Theorem the polynomials $g_{t_e,t_{e+1}}$ have roots in H , that is there exists μ_{e,t_e} in the Henselization $D_{e+1,t_{e+1}}$ of $V[Y_{e+1,t_{e+1}}]$ such that $g_{t_e,t_{e+1}}(\mu_{e,t_e}, Y_{e+1,t_{e+1}}) = 0$. Note that $\mu_{e,t_e} \in \mathfrak{m}D_{e+1,t_{e+1}}$. Then $g_{t_e,t_{e+1}} = (Y_{e,t_e} - \mu_{e,t_e})(b_{e,e+1,2}Y_{e,t_e} + \beta_{e,t_e})$ for some $\beta_{e,t_e} \in D_{e+1,t_{e+1}}$. It follows that $\beta_{e,t_e} = 1 + \mu_{e,t_e}b_{e,e+1,2} \in (1 + \mathfrak{m}H)$. Thus β_{e,t_e} and so $b_{e,e+1,2}Y_{e,t_e} + \beta_{e,t_e}$ induce invertible elements in H because $b_{e,e+1,2} \in \mathfrak{m}$ since it is the coefficient of Y_{e,t_e}^2 in $g_{t_e,t_{e+1}}$. Therefore, $I_{t_0,\dots,t_m}H$ is generated by the polynomials $Y_{e,t_e} - \mu_{e,t_e}$ and so $dh \in I_{t_0,\dots,t_m}H$ implies $h \in I_{t_0,\dots,t_m}H$. Then $h \in (V[Y_{0,t_0}, \dots, Y_{m,t_m}] \cap I_{t_0,\dots,t_m}H) = I_{t_0,\dots,t_m}$ and the restriction of ρ to C_{t_0,\dots,t_m} is injective. Thus ρ is injective too.

Therefore, $A_{\mathfrak{m}' \cap A}$ is a filtered union of smooth V -subalgebras of V . Very likely $z_0 \notin A_{\mathfrak{m}' \cap A}$ and so $A_{\mathfrak{m}' \cap A} \neq V'$.

Consider again F, F_1, F'_1, F''_1, z_0 as above. Set $z_s = z_0^{2^s}$, $s \in \mathbf{N}$. By [4, Theorem 1] z_s is a pseudo limit of a pseudo convergent sequence $w_s = (w_{s,i_s})_{i_s < \nu_s}$, which has no pseudo limits in K .

For all $s \in \mathbf{N}$ and each $i_s < \nu_s$, we set

$$z_{s,i_s} = (z_s - w_{s,i_s}) / (w_{s,i_{s+1}} - w_{s,i_s}),$$

so that z_{s,i_s} is an unit in V' . We get similarly some $(g_F)_{i_s,i_{s+1}}$ for (z_{s,i_s}) as $g_{j_e,j_{e+1}}$. The polynomial $(g_F)_{i_s,i_{s+1}}$ is also of degree 2 and its coefficient of Z_{s,i_s}^2 is from \mathfrak{m} , but its coefficient of Z_{s,i_s} is invertible.

We want to construct a V -subalgebra A_F of V' which is a filtered union of smooth V -subalgebras of V' and contains y_0, z_0 . If there exist no elements y_{l,t_l} , $l > e$ which appear in F''_1, F'_1 then introduce

$$y_{e,t_e} = (\alpha_e)^{-1}(c'_0 z_0 - F'_1((y_{l,t_l})_{l \neq e}))$$

from (**) in $g_{e-1,e}$ and we get a relation \hat{g}_e in

$$(V[Y_{0,t_0}, \dots, Y_{e-1,t_{e-1}}, Z_0])_{\mathfrak{m}V[Y_{0,t_0}, \dots, Y_{e-1,t_{e-1}}, Z_0]}.$$

Note that in \hat{g}_e the coefficient of $Y_{e-1,t_{e-1}}$ is an unit from V and the coefficient of $Y_{e-1,t_{e-1}}^2$ is from \mathfrak{m} (as in $g_{e-1,e}$).

Let C_F be the factor algebra of

$$(V[Y_{0,t_0}, \dots, Y_{e-1,t_{e-1}}, Z_0, \dots, Z_s, \dots])_{\mathfrak{m}V[Y_{0,t_0}, \dots, Y_{e-1,t_{e-1}}, Z_0, \dots, Z_s, \dots]}$$

by the ideal generated by $g_{0,1}, \dots, g_{e-2,e-1}, \hat{g}_e, (g_F)_{i_0,i_1}, \dots, (g_F)_{i_s,i_{s+1}}, \dots$. Clearly, C_F is a filtered direct limit of smooth V -algebras. As above using the Henselization, the canonical map

$$\rho_F : C_F \rightarrow A_F = (V[y_{0,t_0}, \dots, y_{e-1,t_{e-1}}, z_0, \dots, z_s, \dots])_{\mathfrak{m}' \cap V[y_{0,t_0}, \dots, y_{e-1,t_{e-1}}, z_0, \dots, z_s, \dots]}$$

is an isomorphism and A_F is a filtered union of smooth V -subalgebras of V' and contains y_0, z_0 .

Assume that there exist elements y_{l,t_l} , $l > e$ which appear in F''_1, F'_1 , let us say k is the maximum of such l , that is $k > e$. Introducing

$$y_{e,t_e} = (\alpha_e)^{-1}(c'_0 z_0 - F'_1((y_{l,t_l})_{l \neq e}))$$

from (**) in $g_{t_e, t_{e+1}}(y_{e,t_e} y_{e+1, t_{e+1}})$, we get a relation R_F of degree 2 in some $(y_{l,t_l})_{l \neq e}$ and z_0 . In R_F we get also some monomials of type $(y_{l,t_l}^2)_{l \neq e}$ which can be replaced after a multiplication with an element from $V \setminus \{0\}$ with monomials in $(y_{l,t_l})_{l \neq e}$ using some $g_{t_l, t_{l+1}}$. But y_{e,t_e} could still appear in this new relation R_F from $g_{t_{e-1}, t_e}(y_{e-1, t_{e-1}} y_{e,t_e})$ and can be replaced as above. So we get a relation R_F , where y_{l,t_l} , $l \neq e$ enter only in power ≤ 1 and y_{e,t_e} does not appear at all. Certainly, z_0^2 appears in R_F but we may replace it by z_1 . The common divisor of all monomials in $(y_{l,t_l})_{l \neq e}$ and z_0, z_1 must divide also the free term of R_F because we have $R_F = 0$. Dividing R_F by this divisor we may assume that in R_F the coefficient of one of monomials in $(y_{l,t_l})_{l \neq e}, z_0, z_1$ is a unit in V' . Therefore, the new R_F has the form $\alpha_e^{-2} h_F((y_{l,t_l})_{l \neq e}, z_0, z_1)$ for some polynomial $h_F \in V[(Y_{l,t_l})_{l \neq e}, Z_0, Z_1]$ with $\deg_{Y_{l,t_l}} h_F \leq 1$ for all $l \in \mathbf{N}$ and $\deg_{Z_0} h_F, \deg_{Z_1} h_F \leq 1$.

Unfortunately, one could be several monomials in h_F with a unit coefficient in V . Applying Lemma 12 as above, we may pass to some new t_l , $l \neq e$ and some $i_0 < \nu_0$, $i_1 < \nu_1$ such that after dividing by some constant from V the new h_F has for some $e' \neq e$ the form $(h_F)''_1 Y_{e', t_{e'}} + (h_F)'_1$ for a polynomial $(h_F)'_1 \in V[(Y_{l,t_l})_{l \neq e, e'}, Z_{0, i_0}, Z_{1, i_1}]$ with

$$(h_F)_1 - (h_F)'_1(0) \in \mathfrak{m}V[(Y_{l,j_l})_{l \neq e, e'}, Z_{0, i_0}, Z_{1, i_1}]$$

and a polynomial $(h_F)''_1 \in (\prod_{r \in \tau, r \neq e, e'} Y_{r, t_r} + (\mathfrak{m} \cap V[(Y_{l,j_l})_{l \neq e, e'}, Z_{0, i_0}, Z_{1, i_1}]])$ for some finite subset τ of $\mathbf{N} \cup \{0, 1\}$ containing e' . Note that in $(h_F)''_1, (h_F)'_1$ will appear $y_{e+1, t_{e+1}}$ but in our assumption $k \geq$ than the maximum l such that y_{l,t_l} is present in $(h_F)''_1, (h_F)'_1$.

It is also possible to have similarly h_F of the form $(h_F)''_1 Z_{s, i_s} + (h_F)'_1$ for some $s \in \{0, 1\}$. If $s = 0$ then let C_F be the factor algebra of

$$V[Y_{0, t_0}, \dots, Y_{k, t_k}, Z_0, \dots, Z_s, \dots]_{\mathfrak{m} \cap V[Y_{0, t_0}, \dots, Y_{k, t_k}, Z_0, \dots, Z_s, \dots]}$$

by the ideal generated by $g_{0,1}, \dots, g_{k-1, k}, \hat{g}_0, (g_F)_{1,2}, \dots, (g_F)_{i_s, i_{s+1}}, \dots$, where \hat{g} is given by $Z_{0, i_0} + (h_F)''_1^{-1} (h_F)'_1$.

Note that factorising by \hat{g}_0 means to replace z_0 by other elements. Again C_F is a filtered limit of smooth V -algebras and the canonical map

$$\rho_F : C_F \rightarrow A_F = (V[y_{0, t_0}, \dots, y_{k, t_k}, z_0, \dots, z_s, \dots])_{\mathfrak{m}' \cap V[y_{0, t_0}, \dots, y_{k, t_k}, z_1, \dots, z_s, \dots]}$$

is an isomorphism. Similarly, we treat the case $s = 1$.

Applying the above procedures in several steps we arrive either in case we replace a z as above, or in the case when for a new $e = k$ the only y_{l,t_l} present in (**) are $\leq e$, case solved above. This is because each step one y_{l,t_l} , $l \leq k$ in the new (**) is out.

Therefore, given h inducing a nonzero element of C we get $c_1 \in V \setminus \{0\}$ and an unit $z_0 \in A_F$ with $h(y_{0, j_0}, \dots, y_{r, j_r}) = c_1 z_0$. Choose now another polynomial $h_1 \in V[Y_{0, j_0}, \dots, Y_{r', j_{r'}}]$, inducing a nonzero element of C and correspondingly a polynomial L as F for h . Using a new system of units (z'_{s, i_s}) of V' we get repeating

the above procedure a filtered union $A_{F,L} = (A_F)_L$ of smooth V -subalgebras of V' . By recurrence we get in this way a filtered union A_{F,L_1,\dots,L_m} of smooth V -subalgebras of V' for some polynomials L_1, \dots, L_m inducing nonzero elements in C .

The family A_{F,L_1,\dots,L_m} given by all finite subsets of polynomials of type above is filtered and we claim that V' is their union \mathcal{A} . Indeed, let $f_1(y_0)/f_2(y_0)$ be a rational function from V' (a general element of V' has the form $f_1(y_0)/f_2(y_0)$ with $f_i \in V[Y_0]$ and $\text{val}(f_1(y_0)) \geq \text{val}(f_2(y_0))$). As above we may assume $f_i(y_0) = h_i(y_{0,j_0}, \dots, y_{n,j_n}) = d_i u_i$, $i = 1, 2$ for some $h_i \in V[Y_{0,j_0}, \dots, Y_{n,j_n}]$, $d_i \in V \setminus \{0\}$ and some units $u_i \in A_{F_1, F_2}$ for some F_1, F_2 correspondingly to h_i . Then $\text{val}(d_1) \geq \text{val}(d_2)$ because $f_1(y_0)/f_2(y_0) \in V'$ and so $f_1(y_0)/f_2(y_0) = (d_1/d_2)u_1u_2^{-1} \in A_{F_1, F_2} \subset \mathcal{A}$. \square

4. ANOTHER TYPE OF LEMMA 12

Lemma 14. *Let $V \subset V'$ be an immediate extension of valuation rings, K, K' the fraction fields of V, V' , $\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}'$ the maximal ideals of V, V' , $y_0 \in V'$ and by recurrence set $y_{e+1} = y_e^3$ for $0 \leq e < m$ for some $m \in \mathbf{N}$. Assume that $K' = K(y_0)$ and y_e , $0 \leq e \leq m$ is a pseudo limit of a pseudo convergent sequence $v_e = (v_{e,j_e})_{j_e < \lambda_e}$ over V , which has no pseudo limits in K . Set $y_{e,j_e} = (y_e - v_{e,j_e})/(v_{e,j_{e+1}} - v_{e,j_e})$. Then for every polynomial $g \in V[Y_0, \dots, Y_m]$ such that $\deg_{Y_i} g \leq 2$ for all $0 \leq i \leq m$ and $\partial g / \partial Y_i$, $0 \leq i \leq m$ has at most one monomial (that is, it has the form $a \Pi_e Y_e^{s_e}$ for some $a \in V$, several e and some $0 \leq s_e \leq 2$) and every ordinals $\nu_i < \lambda_i$, $0 \leq i \leq m$ there exist $r = (r_0, \dots, r_m) \in \{0, 1, 2\}^{m+1}$, some $\nu_0 < j_0 < \lambda_0, \dots, \nu_m < j_m < \lambda_m$, $c \in V \setminus \{0\}$ and a polynomial $g_1 \in V[Y_{0,j_0}, \dots, Y_{m,j_m}]$ such that*

$$g(y_0, \dots, y_m) = c g_1(y_{0,j_0}, \dots, y_{m,j_m})$$

and

$$g_1(y_{0,j_0}, \dots, y_{m,j_m}) = g_1'' \Pi_{e=1}^m y_{e,j_e}^{r_e} + g_1' = c' z$$

for some $c' \in V \setminus \{0\}$, some $g_1'', g_1' \in V[Y_{0,j_0}, \dots, Y_{m,j_m}]$ with

$$g_1''(y_{0,j_0}, \dots, y_{m,j_m}) \in 1 + (\mathfrak{m}' \cap V[y_{0,j_0}, \dots, y_{m,j_m}]),$$

$$g_1'(y_{0,j_0}, \dots, y_{m,j_m}) - g_1'(0) \in \mathfrak{m}' \cap V[(y_{e,j_e})_{e \notin \sigma}]$$

and an unit $y_\sigma \in V'$. Moreover, it holds either $g_1'' = g_1$ (so $g_1' = 0$), or $g_1'' = 1$.

Proof. We follow the proof of Lemma 11. By Taylor expansion

$$g(y_0, \dots, y_m) = \sum_{r=(r_0,\dots,r_m) \in \{0,1,2\}^{m+1}} (\partial g^{|r|} / \partial Y_r)(v_{0,j_0}, \dots, v_{m,j_m}) \Pi_{e=0}^m (y_e - v_{e,j_e})^{r_e},$$

where $\partial Y_r = \partial Y_0^{r_0} \dots \partial Y_m^{r_m}$ and $|r| = \sum_{0 \leq e \leq m} r_e$ ¹. Since the value of a monomial in (v_{e,j_e}) is constant for (j_e) large we conclude by our hypothesis that $\beta_r =$

¹The polynomials $\partial g^{|r|} / \partial Y_r \in R[Y]$, $Y = (Y_0, \dots, Y_m)$ for $g \in R[Y]$, make sense for any ring R and one constructs the Taylor expansion in the universal case of a polynomial \mathbf{Z} -algebra R

$\text{val}((\partial g^{|r|}/\partial Y_r)(v_{0,j_0}, \dots, v_{m,j_m}))$ is constant for (j_e) large. Set $\gamma'_{e,j_e} = \text{val}(v_{e,j_{e+1}} - v_{e,j_e})$. By Lemma 10 applied to $\beta_r, (\gamma'_{e,j_e})$, we see that

$$\gamma_r = \text{val}(\partial g^{|r|}/\partial Y_r)(v_{0,j_0}, \dots, v_{m,j_m}) \prod_{e=0}^m (y_e - v_{e,j_e})^{r_e}$$

are different for all $r \in \{0, 1, 2\}^{m+1}$ if (j_e) are large. Also note that $\text{val}(g(y_0, \dots, y_m))$ is different from $\gamma_r, |r| > 0$ because the first one is constant but the second one increases. It follows that we have either

$$(+'') \quad \text{val}(g(y_0, \dots, y_m)) = \text{val}(g(v_{0,j_0}, \dots, v_{m,j_m})),$$

or $\text{val}(g(v_{0,j_0}, \dots, v_{m,j_m})) = \gamma_r$ for some r with $|r| > 0$ and j_0, \dots, j_m . If $(+'')$ holds then $\text{val}(g(v_{0,j_0}, \dots, v_{m,j_m})) < \gamma_r, |r| > 0$ and for $c = \text{val}(g(v_{0,j_0}, \dots, v_{m,j_m}))$ we have $g(y_0, \dots, y_m) = cg_1(y_{0,j_0}, \dots, y_{m,j_m})$ for some polynomial $g_1 \in V[Y_{0,j_0}, \dots, Y_{m,j_m}]$ with $g_1(y_{0,j_0}, \dots, y_{m,j_m}) \in (1 + (\mathfrak{m}' \cap V[y_{0,j_0}, \dots, y_{m,j_m}]])$. Take $r = (0, \dots, 0), g_1'' = g_1, g_1' = 0, c' = 1$. In general we may have $\text{val}(g(y_0, \dots, y_m)) \neq \text{val}(g(v_{0,j_0}, \dots, v_{m,j_m}))$ for any j_0, \dots, j_m .

Assume that $(+'')$ does not hold, γ_r is the minimum between these $\gamma_s, s \neq (0, \dots, 0)$ and so $\text{val}(g(y_0, \dots, y_m)) > \gamma_r$. Then $g(y_0, \dots, y_m) = cg_1(y_{0,j_0}, \dots, y_{m,j_m})$, where

$$c = \text{val}((\partial g^{|r|}/\partial Y_r)(v_{0,j_0}, \dots, v_{m,j_m}) \prod_{e=0}^m (v_{e,j_{e+1}} - v_{e,j_e})^{r_e})$$

and $g_1 \in V[Y_{0,j_0}, \dots, Y_{m,j_m}]$ is a polynomial of the form $g_1'' \prod_{e=0}^m y_{e,j_e}^{r_e} + g_1'$ for $g_1'', g_1' \in V[Y_{0,j_0}, \dots, Y_{m,j_m}]$ with

$$g_1''(y_{0,j_0}, \dots, y_{m,j_m}) \in 1 + (\mathfrak{m}' \cap V[y_{0,j_0}, \dots, y_{m,j_m}]),$$

$$g_1'(y_{0,j_0}, \dots, y_{m,j_m}) - g_1'(0) \in (\mathfrak{m}' \cap V[y_{0,j_0}, \dots, y_{m,j_m}]),$$

because γ_r is the minimum. We have $\text{val}(c) < \text{val}(g(y_0, \dots, y_m))$ because $\gamma_r < \text{val}(g(y_0, \dots, y_m))$ and so $g_1(y_{0,j_0}, \dots, y_{m,j_m}) = c'z$ for some $c' \in \mathfrak{m}$ with $\text{val}(c') = \text{val}(g(y_0, \dots, y_m)) - \text{val}(c)$ and some unit z from V' . Note that $g_1'' = 1$. \square

Lemma 15. *Let $V \subset V'$ be an immediate extension of valuation rings, K, K' the fraction fields of V, V' , $\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}'$ the maximal ideals of V, V' , $y_0 \in V'$ and by recurrence set $y_{e+1} = y_e^3$ for $0 \leq e < m$ for some $m \in \mathbf{N}$. Assume that $K' = K(y_0)$ and $y_e, 0 \leq e \leq m$ is a pseudo limit of a pseudo convergent sequence $v_e = (v_{e,j_e})_{j_e < \lambda_e}$ over V , which has no pseudo limits in K . Set $y_{e,j_e} = (y_e - v_{e,j_e}) / (v_{e,j_{e+1}} - v_{e,j_e})$. Then for every polynomial $g \in V[Y_0, \dots, Y_m]$ with $\deg_{Y_i} g \leq 2$ for all $0 \leq i \leq m$ and every ordinals $\nu_i < \lambda_i, 0 \leq i \leq m$ there exist $r = (r_0, \dots, r_m) \in \{0, 1, 2\}^{m+1}$, some $\nu_0 < j_0 < \lambda_0, \dots, \nu_m < j_m < \lambda_m, c \in V \setminus \{0\}$ and a polynomial $g_1 \in V[Y_{0,j_0}, \dots, Y_{m,j_m}]$ such that*

$$g(y_0, \dots, y_m) = cg_1(y_{0,j_0}, \dots, y_{m,j_m})$$

and

$$g_1(y_{0,j_0}, \dots, y_{m,j_m}) = g_1'' \prod_{e=0}^m y_{e,j_e}^{r_e} + g_1' = c'z$$

for some $c' \in V \setminus \{0\}$, some $g_1'', g_1' \in V[Y_{0,j_0}, \dots, Y_{m,j_m}]$ with

$$g_1''(y_{0,j_0}, \dots, y_{m,j_m}) \in 1 + (\mathfrak{m}' \cap V[y_{0,j_0}, \dots, y_{m,j_m}]),$$

$$g_1'(y_{0,j_0}, \dots, y_{m,j_m}) - g_1'(0) \in \mathfrak{m}' \cap V[y_{0,j_0}, \dots, y_{m,j_m}]$$

and an unit $z \in V'$.

Proof. Fix g . Then g has the form

$$g = \sum_{s=(s_0, \dots, s_m) \in \{0,1,2\}^{m+1}} a_s \Pi_{e=0}^m Y_e^{s_e},$$

$a_s \in V$. Introduce new variables $(Y_{e,s})$, $0 \leq e \leq m$, $s = (s_0, \dots, s_m) \in \{0,1,2\}^{m+1}$ and let $\rho : V[(Y_{e,s})_{e,s}] \rightarrow V[Y_0, \dots, Y_m]$ be the map given by $Y_{e,s} \rightarrow Y_e$. Define

$$G = \sum_{s=(s_0, \dots, s_m) \in \{0,1,2\}^{m+1}} a_s \Pi_{e=0}^m Y_{e,s}^{s_e}.$$

We have $\rho(G) = g$. Note that $y_{e,s} = y_e$ is a pseudo limit of $v_{e,s} = v_e$.

Clearly, $\partial G / \partial Y_{e,s}$ has at most one monomial for all $0 \leq e \leq m$, $s = (s_0, \dots, s_m) \in \{0,1,2\}^{m+1}$ and we may apply Lemma 14. Thus for some ordinals $\nu_i < \lambda_i$, $0 \leq i \leq m$ there exist some $\nu_0 < j_{0,r} < \lambda_0, \dots, \nu_m < j_{m,r} < \lambda_m$, $r = (r_0, \dots, r_m) \in \{0,1,2\}^{m+1}$, $c \in V \setminus \{0\}$ and a polynomial $G_1 \in V[(Y_{e,s,j_{e,s}})_{e,s}]$ such that

$$G((y_{e,s})_{e,s}) = cG_1((y_{e,s,j_{e,s}})_{e,s})$$

and

$$G_1((y_{e,s,j_{e,s}})_{e,s}) = G_1'' \Pi_{e=0}^m y_{e,r,j_{e,r}}^{r_e} + G_1' = c'z$$

for some $c' \in V \setminus \{0\}$, some $G_1'', G_1' \in V[(Y_{e,s,j_{e,s}})_{e,s}]$ with

$$G_1''((y_{e,s,j_{e,s}})_{e,s}) \in 1 + (\mathfrak{m}' \cap V[(y_{e,s,j_{e,s}})_{e,s}]),$$

$$G_1'((y_{e,s,j_{e,s}})_{e,s}) - G_1'(0) \in \mathfrak{m}' \cap V[(y_{e,s,j_{e,s}})_{s \neq r}]$$

and an unit $z \in V'$. Note that we have either $G_1'' = G_1$, or $G_1'' = 1$ because $\partial G / \partial Y_{e,s}$ has at most one monomial for all $0 \leq e \leq m$, $s = (s_0, \dots, s_m) \in \{0,1,2\}^{m+1}$.

Let j_e be the maximum between $j_{e,s}$ when $s = (s_0, \dots, s_m) \in \{0,1,2\}^{m+1}$. If $j_{e,s} < j_e$ then we get

$$y_e - v_{e,s,j_{e,s}} = (v_{e,s,j_{e,s}+1} - v_{e,s,j_{e,s}})y_{e,s,j_{e,s}},$$

$$y_e - v_{e,s,j_e} = (v_{e,s,j_e+1} - v_{e,s,j_e})y_{e,s,j_e}.$$

Since

$$\text{val}(v_{e,s,j_e} - v_{e,s,j_{e,s}}) = \text{val}(v_{e,s,j_{e,s}+1} - v_{e,s,j_{e,s}}) < \text{val}(v_{e,s,j_e+1} - v_{e,s,j_e})$$

we get $y_{e,s,j_{e,s}} = d_{e,s,j_{e,s}} + b_{e,s,j_{e,s}}y_{e,s,j_e}$ for an unit $d_{e,s,j_{e,s}} \in V$ and some $b_{e,s,j_{e,s}} \in \mathfrak{m}$.

We may replace $y_{e,s,j_{e,s}}$ by $d_{e,s,j_{e,s}} + b_{e,s,j_{e,s}}y_{e,s,j_e}$ in G_1 . Nothing important appears if this $y_{e,s,j_{e,s}}$ is from G_1' , that is if $s \neq r$. If $s = r$ then this replacement changes $\Pi_{e=0}^m y_{e,r,j_{e,r}}^{r_e}$ by $d_{e,r,j_e} \Pi_{e'=0, e' \neq e}^m y_{e',r,j_{e',r}}^{r_{e'}}$, the difference belongs now to the new G_1' as $b_{e,r,j_e} \in \mathfrak{m}$. Then c changes by cd_{e,r,j_e} and we may assume now that $r_e = 0$. Using this procedure we may consider step by step $r_e = 0$ when $j_{e,r} < j_e$. So we may assume above that $j_{e,r} = j_e$ for all e and moreover $j_{e,s} = j_e$ for all e, s .

Applying the map $\rho_1 : V[(Y_{e,s,j_e})] \rightarrow V[(Y_{e,j_e})]$ induced by ρ we see that $g_1(y_{0,j_0}, \dots, y_{m,j_m}) = \rho_1(G_1)$, $g_1'' = \rho_1(G_1'')$, $g_1' = \rho_1(G_1')$ satisfy

$$g(y_0, \dots, y_m) = \rho(G) = cg_1(y_{0,j_0}, \dots, y_{m,j_m})$$

and

$$g_1(y_{0,j_0}, \dots, y_{m,j_m}) = g_1'' \prod_{e=0}^m y_{e,j_e}^{r_e} + g_1'.$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned} g_1''(y_{0,j_0}, \dots, y_{m,j_m}) &\in 1 + (\mathfrak{m}' \cap V[y_{0,j_0}, \dots, y_{m,j_m}]), \\ g_1'(y_{0,j_0}, \dots, y_{m,j_m}) - g_1'(0) &\in \mathfrak{m}' \cap V[y_{0,j_0}, \dots, y_{m,j_m}]. \end{aligned}$$

As usual for $c' \in V$ with $\text{val}(c') = \text{val}(g(y_0, \dots, y_m)) - \text{val}(c)$ we have $g_1(y_{0,j_0}, \dots, y_{m,j_m}) = c'z$ for some unit $z \in V'$. \square

5. PURE TRANSCENDENTAL, IMMEDIATE EXTENSIONS OF VALUATION RINGS OF CHARACTERISTIC 2

The theorem below is a variant of Theorem 13 in characteristic 2 using Lemma 15 instead Lemma 12.

Theorem 16. *Let V' be an immediate extension of a valuation ring V of characteristic 2, $\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}'$ the maximal ideals of V, V' , $K \subset K'$ their fraction field extension and $y_0 \in V$ a transcendental element over K with $K' = K(y_0)$. Then V' is a filtered union of its smooth V -subalgebras.*

Proof. We follow the proof of Theorem 13. Set $y_e = y_0^{3^e}$, $e \in \mathbf{N}$. By [4, Theorem 1] y_e is a pseudo limit of a pseudo convergent sequence $v_e = (v_{e,j_e})_{j_e < \lambda_e}$, which has no pseudo limits in K .

For all $e \in \mathbf{N}$ and each $j_e < \lambda_e$, we set

$$y_{e,j_e} = (y_e - v_{e,j_e}) / (v_{e,j_{e+1}} - v_{e,j_e}),$$

so that, y_{e,j_e} is an unit in V' .

Let j_0, \dots, j_n , $j_i < \lambda_i$ and $A_{j_0, \dots, j_n} = V[y_{0,j_0}, \dots, y_{n,j_n}]$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} q_{n,j_n} &= v_{n,j_n} + (v_{n,j_{n+1}} - v_{n,j_n})Y_{n,j_n} \in V[Y_{n,j_n}], \\ G_{j_n, j_{n+1}} &= (q_{n,j_n})^3 - q_{n+1, j_{n+1}} \in V[Y_{n,j_n}, Y_{n+1, j_{n+1}}] \end{aligned}$$

for $n \geq 0$. We have

$$q_{n,j_n}(y_{n,j_n}) = y_n = (y_{n-1})^3 = (q_{n-1, j_{n-1}}(y_{n-1, j_{n-1}}))^3,$$

that is $G_{j_{n-1}, j_n}(y_{n-1, j_{n-1}}, y_{n, j_n}) = 0$.

Then G_{j_{n-1}, j_n} has the form $\nu_{j_{n-1}, j_n} g_{j_{n-1}, j_n}$ for some nonzero element $\nu_{j_{n-1}, j_n} \in V$ and some primitive polynomial $g_{j_{n-1}, j_n} \in V[Y_{n, j_n}, Y_{n-1, j_{n-1}}]$. Note that y_n is a pseudo limit of the pseudo convergent sequence $v_{n-1}^3 = (v_{n-1, j_{n-1}}^3)_{j_{n-1} < \lambda_{n-1}}$ by [5, Lemma 5.2] (see here Lemma 6). Usually, v_{n-1}^3 has a pseudo limit in V and we may assume that v_n completes v_{n-1}^3 . Then we may assume that

$$(*) \quad \text{val}(v_{n-1, j_{n-1}+1}^3 - v_{n-1, j_{n-1}}^3) \leq \text{val}(v_{n, j_{n+1}} - v_{n, j_n})$$

for j_{n-1}, j_n high enough.

Clearly, $G_{j_n, j_{n+1}}$ has modulo the free term the form

$$\begin{aligned} &(v_{n, j_{n+1}} - v_{n, j_n})^3 Y_{n, j_n}^3 + 3v_{n, j_n} (v_{n, j_{n+1}} - v_{n, j_n})^2 Y_{n, j_n}^2 + \\ &3v_{n, j_n}^2 (v_{n, j_{n+1}} - v_{n, j_n}) Y_{n, j_n} - (v_{n+1, j_{n+1}+1} - v_{n+1, j_{n+1}}) Y_{n+1, j_{n+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\text{val}(v_{n,j_{n+1}} - v_{n,j_n}) \geq \min\{\text{val}(v_{n,j_{n+1}}), \text{val}(v_{n,j_n})\} = \text{val}(v_{n,j_n})$$

for j_n large (actually the inequality is strict because the left side increases and the right side not for j_n large) and similarly $\text{val}((v_{n,j_{n+1}} + v_{n,j_n}) \geq \text{val}(v_{n,j_n})$. Since 3 is invertible by hypothesis, it follows that

$$\text{val}((v_{n,j_{n+1}} - v_{n,j_n})^3) > \text{val}(3v_{n,j_n}(v_{n,j_{n+1}} - v_{n,j_n})^2) > \text{val}(3v_{n,j_n}^2(v_{n,j_{n+1}} - v_{n,j_n}))$$

and also

$$\text{val}(3v_{n,j_n}^2(v_{n,j_{n+1}} - v_{n,j_n})) \leq \text{val}(v_{n,j_{n+1}}^3 - v_{n,j_n}^3) \leq \text{val}(v_{n+1,j_{n+1}+1} - v_{n+1,j_n+1})$$

by (*) for j_n, j_{n+1} large. As $v_{j_n, j_{n+1}} = (v_{n,j_{n+1}} - v_{n,j_n})v_{n,j_n}^2$ divides all the coefficients of the variables in $G_{j_n, j_{n+1}}$ we see from above that it must divide also its free term.

We have $g_{j_n, j_{n+1}}(y_{n,j_n}, y_{n+1, j_{n+1}}) = 0$ and the coefficient of Y_{n,j_n} in $g_{j_n, j_{n+1}}$ is a unit (we may assume to be 1), the coefficients of Y_{n,j_n}^2 and Y_{n,j_n}^3 being from \mathfrak{m} . Set

$$C_{j_0, \dots, j_n} = V[Y_{0,j_0}, \dots, Y_{n,j_n}] / (g_{j_0, j_1}, \dots, g_{j_{n-1}, j_n})$$

and let $\rho_{j_0, \dots, j_n} : C_{j_0, \dots, j_n} \rightarrow A_{j_0, \dots, j_n}$ be the map given by $Y_{e, j_e} \rightarrow y_{e, j_e}$.

Note that

$$(v_{n, j_{n+1}} - v_{n, j_n})y_{n, j_n} = (v_{n, j'_n} - v_{n, j_n}) + (v_{n, j'_n+1} - v_{n, j'_n})y_{n, j'_n}$$

and as

$$\text{val}(v_{n, j'_n} - v_{n, j_n}) = \text{val}(v_{n, j_{n+1}} - v_{n, j_n}) < \text{val}(v_{n, j'_n+1} - v_{n, j'_n})$$

for $j_n < j'_n$ we get $y_{n, j_n} = \alpha_{j_n, j'_n} + \beta_{j_n, j'_n} y_{n, j'_n}$ for some unit $\alpha_{j_n, j'_n} \in V$ and non unit $\beta_{j_n, j'_n} \in V$ as in the proof of Theorem 13 and we can consider the inclusions $A_{j_0, \dots, j_n} \subset A_{j'_0, \dots, j'_n}$ if $j_e \leq j'_e$, $0 \leq e \leq n$ and their filtered union A . Also we consider the maps $C_{j_0, \dots, j_n} \rightarrow C_{j'_0, \dots, j'_n}$, $j_e \leq j'_e$, $0 \leq e \leq n$ given by $Y_{e, j_e} \rightarrow (\alpha_{j_e, j'_e} + \beta_{j_e, j'_e} Y_{e, j'_e})$ the canonical map ρ from the limit C to A extending ρ_{j_0, \dots, j_n} . Note that $(C_{j_0, \dots, j_n})_{\rho^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}'')}$ is etale over $V[Y_{n, j_n}]$ because $\det((\partial g_{j_i, j_{i+1}} / \partial Y_{r, j_r})_{1 \leq i, r \leq n-1})$ is not in $\rho^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}'')$. Therefore, $(C_{j_0, \dots, j_n})_{\rho^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}'')}$ is smooth over V and C is a filtered direct limit of some smooth V -algebras.

A nonzero element of C is induced by a polynomial $h \in V[Y_{0, j_0}, \dots, Y_{s, j_s}]$. Multiplying h with a certain $d \in V \setminus \{0\}$ we get after several divisions with some $g_{j_i, j_{i+1}}$ a polynomial $F \in V[Y_{0, j_0}, \dots, Y_{m, j_m}]$ for some $m \geq s$ with $\deg_{Y_{e, j_e}} F \leq 2$, $0 \leq e \leq m$, which is dh modulo the ideal $I_{j_0, \dots, j_m} = (g_{j_0, j_1}, \dots, g_{j_{m-1}, j_m})$ of $V[Y_{0, j_0}, \dots, Y_{m, j_m}]$ defining C_{j_0, \dots, j_m} . Assume that $F \neq 0$. By Lemma 15 there exist t_0, \dots, t_m , $t_i \geq j_i$, $1 \leq e \leq m$ and $q = (q_0, \dots, q_m) \in \{0, 1, 2\}^{m+1}$ such that $F = cF_1$, where $c \in V \setminus \{0\}$ and F_1 has the form $\tilde{F}_1'' \prod_{n=0}^m Y_{n, t_n}^{q_n} + F_1'$, for a polynomial $F_1' \in V[(Y_{l, t_l})_l]$ with $F_1' - F_1'(0) \in \mathfrak{m}V[(Y_{l, t_l})_l]$ and a polynomial $\tilde{F}_1'' \in (1 + \mathfrak{m}V[(Y_{l, t_l})_l])$. Choose $0 \leq e \leq m$ such that $q_e > 0$. Set $F_1'' = \tilde{F}_1'' \prod_{r \in \tau, r \neq e} Y_{r, t_r}$. Then F_1 has the form $F_1'' Y_{e, t_e} + F_1'$. Denote $\prod_{n=0, n \neq e}^m Y_{n, t_n}^{q_n} = 1$ if $q_n = 0$ for $n \neq e$. We consider $F \in V[Y_{0, t_0}, \dots, Y_{m, t_m}]$ via the map $C_{j_0, \dots, j_m} \rightarrow C_{t_0, \dots, t_m}$. Moreover, for the unit $\alpha_e = F_1''((y_{l, t_l})_l)$ of V' we have either

$$(***) \quad \alpha_e y_{e, t_e} + F_1''((y_{l, t_l})_{l \neq e}) = c' z_0, \quad \text{or}$$

$$(***) \quad \alpha_e y_{e,t_e}^2 + F_1'((y_{l,t_l})_{l \neq e}) = c' z_0,$$

for some $c' \in V \setminus \{0\}$ and an unit z_0 of V' . Thus $\rho_{t_1, \dots, t_m}(F) = cc' z_0 \neq 0$. Then $F \notin \text{Ker } \rho_{t_1, \dots, t_m}$ and so $F \notin \text{Ker } \rho$.

Remains to show that if $dh \in I_{t_0, \dots, t_m}$ then $h \in I_{t_0, \dots, t_m}$. Let $q_{e,t_e} \in V$ be an invertible element such that $\text{val}(y_{e,t_e} - q_{e,t_e}) > 0$, $0 \leq e \leq n$. As in the proof of Theorem 13, we change from y_{e,t_e} to $x_{e,t_e} = y_{e,t_e} - q_{e,t_e}$ we get the same problem but for the case when $\text{val}(x_{e,t_e}) > 0$. For a moment we preserve the same denotation, that is we denote x_{e,t_e} by y_{e,t_e} . Now we consider the Henselization H of C in $(\mathfrak{m}, (Y_{0,t_0}, \dots, Y_{m,t_m}))$, where Y_{e,t_e} stands for X_{e,t_e} . By the Implicit Function Theorem the polynomials $g_{t_e, t_{e+1}}$ have roots in H , that is there exists μ_{e,t_e} in the Henselization $D_{e+1, t_{e+1}}$ of $V[Y_{e+1, t_{e+1}}]$ such that $g_{t_e, t_{e+1}}(\mu_{e,t_e}, Y_{e+1, t_{e+1}}) = 0$.

Assume that

$$g_{t_e, t_{e+1}} = b_{e,e+1,3} Y_{e,t_e}^3 + b_{e,e+1,2} Y_{e,t_e}^2 + Y_{e,t_e} + b_{e,e+1,0}$$

with $b_{e,e+1,3}, b_{e,e+1,2} \in \mathfrak{m}$ and $b_{e,e+1,0} \in V[Y_{e+1, t_{e+1}}]$. Note that $\mu_{e,t_e} \in \mathfrak{m} D_{e+1, t_{e+1}}$. Thus $g_{t_e, t_{e+1}} = (Y_{e,t_e} - \mu_{e,t_e})(b_{e,e+1,3} Y_{e,t_e}^2 + u_1 Y_{e,t_e} + u_0)$ for some $u_0, u_1 \in D_{e+1, t_{e+1}}$. It follows that $u_1 \in \mathfrak{m} D_{e+1, t_{e+1}}$ and u_0 is invertible in $D_{e+1, t_{e+1}}$ and so $b_{e,e+1,3} Y_{e,t_e}^2 + u_1 Y_{e,t_e} + u_0$ is invertible in H . Therefore, $I_{t_0, \dots, t_m} H$ is generated by the polynomials $Y_{e,t_e} - \mu_{e,t_e}$ and $dh \in I_{t_0, \dots, t_m} H$ implies $h \in I_{t_0, \dots, t_m} H$. Thus $h \in (V[Y_{0,t_0}, \dots, Y_{m,t_m}] \cap I_{t_0, \dots, t_m} H) = I_{t_0, \dots, t_m}$ and so the restriction of ρ to C_{t_0, \dots, t_m} is injective and ρ is injective too.

Therefore, $A_{\mathfrak{m}' \cap A}$ is a filtered union of smooth V -subalgebras of V . Very likely $z_0 \notin A_{\mathfrak{m}' \cap A}$ and so $A_{\mathfrak{m}' \cap A} \neq V'$.

Consider again F, F_1, F_1', F_1'', z_0 as above. If above the only nonzero components of q are 2 then we cannot arrange e such that $(***)$ holds. In this bad case a multiple with $F_1''^2 \in (C \setminus \rho^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}'))$ of $g_{t_e, t_{e+1}}$ could be divided in $C \setminus \rho^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}')$ by F_1 considered as polynomial in Y_{e,t_e} , the rest being a polynomial \tilde{F}_1 of degree ≤ 1 in Y_{e,t_e} . Apply again Lemma 15 to \tilde{F}_1 and the new \tilde{q} will have the e component ≤ 1 . In case this component is 1 then we may reduce to the case $(***)$. Otherwise, we may repeat the procedure and finally we can assume that the new F_1 has the form $F_1'' Y_{e,t_e} + F_1'$ for some e , that is we reduce to the case $(***)$. Note that after these transformation the dh is still a multiple of z_0 with an element from $V \setminus \{0\}$.

Set $z_s = z_0^{3^s}$, $s \in \mathbf{N}$. By [4, Theorem 1] z_s is a pseudo limit of a pseudo convergent sequence $w_s = (w_{s,i_s})_{i_s < \nu_s}$, which has no pseudo limits in K .

For all $s \in \mathbf{N}$ and each $i_s < \nu_s$, we set

$$z_{s,i_s} = (z_s - w_{s,i_s}) / (w_{s,i_s+1} - w_{s,i_s}),$$

so that z_{s,i_s} is an unit in V' . We get similarly some $(g_F)_{i_s, i_{s+1}}$ for (z_{s,i_s}) as $g_{j_e, j_{e+1}}$. The polynomial $(g_F)_{i_s, i_{s+1}}$ is also of degree 3 and its coefficients of Z_{s,i_s}^2 and Z_{s,i_s}^3 are from \mathfrak{m} , but its coefficient of Z_{s,i_s} is invertible.

We want to construct a V -subalgebra A_F of V' which is a filtered union of smooth V -subalgebras of V' and contains y_0, z_0 . If there exist no elements y_{l,t_l} , $l > e$ which

appear in F_1'', F_1' then introduce

$$y_{e,t_e} = (\alpha_e)^{-1}(c'_0 z_0 - F_1'((y_{l,t_l})_{l \neq e}))$$

from $(***)$ in $g_{e-1,e}$ and we get a relation \hat{g}_e in

$$(V[Y_{0,t_0}, \dots, Y_{e-1,t_{e-1}}, Z_0])_{\mathfrak{m}V[Y_{0,t_0}, \dots, Y_{e-1,t_{e-1}}, Z_0]}.$$

Note that in \hat{g}_e the coefficient of $Y_{e-1,t_{e-1}}$ is an unit from V and the coefficients of $Y_{e-1,t_{e-1}}^2, Y_{e-1,t_{e-1}}^3$ are from \mathfrak{m} (as in $g_{e-1,e}$).

Let C_F be the factor algebra of

$$(V[Y_{0,t_0}, \dots, Y_{e-1,t_{e-1}}, Z_0, \dots, Z_s, \dots])_{\mathfrak{m}V[Y_{0,t_0}, \dots, Y_{e-1,t_{e-1}}, Z_0, \dots, Z_s, \dots]}$$

by the ideal generated by $g_{0,1}, \dots, g_{e-2,e-1}, \hat{g}_e, (g_F)_{i_0, i_1}, \dots, (g_F)_{i_s, i_{s+1}}, \dots$. Clearly, C_F is a filtered direct limit of smooth V -algebras. As above using the Henselization, the canonical map

$$\rho_F : C_F \rightarrow A_F = (V[y_{0,t_0}, \dots, y_{e-1,t_{e-1}}, z_0, \dots, z_s, \dots])_{\mathfrak{m}' \cap V[y_{0,t_0}, \dots, y_{e-1,t_{e-1}}, z_0, \dots, z_s, \dots]}$$

is an isomorphism and A_F is a filtered union of smooth V -subalgebras of V' and contains y_0, z_0 .

Assume that there exist elements y_{l,t_l} , $l > e$ which appear in F_1'', F_1' , let us say k is the maximum of such l , that is $k > e$. Introducing

$$y_{e,t_e} = (\alpha_e)^{-1}(c'_0 z_0 - F_1'((y_{l,t_l})_{l \neq e}))$$

from $(***)$ in $g_{t_e, t_{e+1}}(y_{e,t_e} y_{e+1, t_{e+1}})$, we get a relation R_F of degree ≤ 3 in each variable $(y_{l,t_l})_{l \neq e}$ and z_0 . We would like to reduce to the case when the degree in all (y_{l,t_l}) in R_F is ≤ 1 . This is complicated and makes the difference with the proof of Theorem 13, where the degree of $g_{t_l, t_{l+1}}$ was 2 and not 3 as it is now. Using some divisions with rest between R_f and some $g_{t_e, t_{e+1}}(y_{e,t_e} y_{e+1, t_{e+1}})$ multiplied by elements from $V \setminus \{0\}$ we may achieve this goal.

More precisely, in R_F we get also some monomials of type $(y_{l,t_l}^3)_{l \neq e}$ which can be replaced after a multiplication with an element from $V \setminus \{0\}$ with monomials in $(y_{l,t_l})_{l \neq e}$ using some $g_{t_l, t_{l+1}}$. But y_{e,t_e}^2 could appear in this new relation R_F and dividing a multiplication of some $g_{t_l, t_{l+1}}$ we get as rest a relation R_F where y_{e,t_e} enters just in power ≤ 1 . Repeating this procedure, we may get a relation R_F , where y_{l,t_l} , $l \neq e$ enters only in power ≤ 1 and y_{e,t_e} does not appear at all. Certainly, z_0^2 appears in R_F but we may replace it by z_1 . The common divisor of the coefficients of all monomials in $(y_{l,t_l})_{l \neq e}$ and z_0, z_1 must divide also the free term of R_F because we have $R_F = 0$. Dividing R_F by this divisor we may assume that in R_F the coefficient of one of monomials in $(y_{l,t_l})_{l \neq e}$, z_0, z_1 is a unit in V' . Therefore, the new R_F has the form $\alpha_e^{-3} h_F((y_{l,t_l})_{l \neq e}, z_0, z_1)$ for some polynomial $h_F \in V[(Y_{l,t_l})_{l \neq e}, Z_0, Z_1]$ with $\deg_{Y_{l,t_l}} h_F \leq 1$ for all $l \in \mathbf{N}$ and $\deg_{Z_0} h_F, \deg_{Z_1} h_F \leq 1$.

Unfortunately, one could be several monomials in h_F with a unit coefficient in V . Applying Lemma 12 as above, we may pass to some new t_l , $l \neq e$ and some $i_0 < \nu_0$, $i_1 < \nu_1$ such that after dividing by some constant from V the new h_F has for some $e' \neq e$ the form $(h_F)''_1 Y_{e', t_{e'}} + (h_F)'_1$ for a polynomial $(h_F)'_1 \in V[(Y_{l,t_l})_{l \neq e, e'}, Z_{0, i_0}, Z_{1, i_1}]$ with

$$(h_F)_1 - (h_F)'_1(0) \in \mathfrak{m}V[(Y_{l,t_l})_{l \neq e, e'}, Z_{0, i_0}, Z_{1, i_1}]$$

and a polynomial $(h_F)_1'' \in (\prod_{r \in \tau, r \neq e, e'} Y_{r, t_r} + (\mathfrak{m} \cap V[(Y_{l, j_l})_{l \neq e, e'}, Z_{0, i_0}, Z_{1, i_1}]])$ for some finite subset τ of $\mathbf{N} \cup \{0, 1\}$ containing e' . Note that in $(h_F)_1''$, $(h_F)_1'$ will appear $y_{e+1, t_{e+1}}$ but in our assumption $k \geq$ than the maximum l such that y_{l, t_l} is present in $(h_F)_1''$, $(h_F)_1'$.

It is also possible to have similarly h_F of the form $(h_F)_1'' Z_{s, i_s} + (h_F)_1'$ for some $s \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. If $s = 0$ then let C_F be the factor algebra of

$$V[Y_{0, t_0}, \dots, Y_{k, t_k}, Z_0, \dots, Z_s, \dots]_{\mathfrak{m} \cap V[Y_{0, t_0}, \dots, Y_{k, t_k}, Z_0, \dots, Z_s, \dots]}$$

by the ideal generated by $g_{0,1}, \dots, g_{k-1,k}, \hat{g}_0, (g_F)_{1,2}, \dots, (g_F)_{i_s, i_{s+1}}, \dots$, where \hat{g} is given by $Z_{0, i_0} + (h_F)_1''^{-1} (h_F)_1'$. Note that factorising by \hat{g}_0 means to replace z_0 by the other elements. Again C_F is a filtered limit of smooth V -algebras and the canonical map

$$\rho_F : C_F \rightarrow A_F = (V[y_{0, t_0}, \dots, y_{k, t_k}, z_1, \dots, z_s, \dots])_{\mathfrak{m}' \cap V[y_{0, t_0}, \dots, y_{k, t_k}, z_0, \dots, z_s, \dots]}$$

is an isomorphism. Similarly, we treat the cases $s = 1, 2$.

Applying the above procedures in several steps we arrive either in case we replace a z as above, or in the case when for a new $e = k$ the only y_{l, t_l} present in $(***)$ are $\leq e$, case solved above. This is because each step one y_{l, t_l} , $l \leq k$ in the new $(***)$ is out.

Therefore, given h inducing a nonzero element of C we get $c_1 \in V \setminus \{0\}$ and an unit $z_0 \in A_F$ with $h(y_{0, j_0}, \dots, y_{r, j_r}) = c_1 z_0$. Choose now a polynomial $L \in V[(Y_{l, t_l})_{l \neq e}, (Z_s)_{s_1}]$ of degree ≤ 1 in each variable, inducing a nonzero element of C . Using a new system of units (z'_{s, i_s}) of V' we get repeating the above procedure a filtered union $A_{F, L} = (A_F)_L$ of smooth V -subalgebras of V' and by recurrence we get a filtered union A_{F, L_1, \dots, L_n} of smooth V -subalgebras of V' for some polynomials L_1, \dots, L_n .

The family A_{F, L_1, \dots, L_n} given by all finite subsets of polynomials of type above is filtered and we claim that V' is their union \mathcal{A} . Indeed, let $f_1(y_0)/f_2(y_0)$ be a rational function from V' . As above we may assume $f_i(y_0) = h_i(y_{0, j_0}, \dots, y_{n, j_n}) = d_i u_i$, $i = 1, 2$ for some $h_i \in V[Y_{0, j_0}, \dots, Y_{n, j_n}]$, $d_i \in V \setminus \{0\}$ and some units $u_i \in A_{F_1, F_2}$ for some F_1, F_2 correspondingly to h_i . Then $\text{val}(d_1) \geq \text{val}(d_2)$ because $f_1(y_0)/f_2(y_0) \in V'$ and so $f_1(y_0)/f_2(y_0) = (d_1/d_2)u_1 u_2^{-1} \in A_{F_1, F_2} \subset \mathcal{A}$. \square

6. PURE TRANSCENDENTAL, IMMEDIATE EXTENSIONS OF VALUATION RINGS

Proof of Theorem 3

Firstly assume K'/K is a field extension of finite type, let us say $K' = K(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ for some elements $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ of K' algebraically independent over K . Set $V_i = V' \cap K(x_1, \dots, x_i)$, $1 \leq i < n$ and $V_0 = V$, $V_n = V'$. Then V_{i+1} is a filtered union of its smooth V_i -algebras for all $0 \leq i < n$ by Theorems 13, 16 and we are done. In general, express K' as a filtered union of some subfields $(K_i)_i$ of K' which are pure transcendental, finite type field extensions of K . Then V' is a filtered increasing union of $V' \cap K_i$.

Corollary 17. *Let V be a valuation ring containing its residue field k with a finitely generated value group Γ and K its fraction field. Assume that $K = k(x, y)$ for some*

algebraically independent elements $x = (x_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}, y = (y_j)_{1 \leq j \leq m}$ over k such that $\text{val}(y)$ is a basis in Γ . Then V is a filtered union of its smooth k -subalgebras.

Proof. By [9, Lemma 27 (1)] we see that V is an immediate extension of a valuation ring V_0 which is a filtered union of localizations of its polynomial k -subalgebras (see [2, Theorem 1, in VI (10.3)], or [9, Lemma 26 (1)]). In fact, important here is that there exists a cross-section $s : \Gamma \rightarrow K^*$, since Γ is finitely generated and $V_0 = V \cap k(s(\Gamma))$ (a *cross-section* of V is a section in the category of abelian groups of the valuation map $\text{val} : K^* \rightarrow \Gamma$). In our case, $V_0 = V \cap k(y)$ and s is defined by $\text{val}(y) \rightarrow y$. Now it is enough to apply Theorem 3 for $V_0 \subset V$. \square

Corollary 18. *Let $V \subset V'$ be an immediate extension of valuation rings and $K \subset K'$ its fraction field extension. Assume that V is Henselian and $K' = K(x)$ for some algebraically independent elements x over K . Then any finite system of polynomials over V , which has a solution in V' , has also one in V .*

For the proof apply [10, Proposition 18] after using Theorem 3.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Antieau and R. Datta, *Valuation rings are derived splinters*, Math. Zeitschrift, **299**, (2021, 827-851, arxiv/AG:2002.010627v1).
- [2] N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de mathématique. Algèbre commutative*, chap. I-VII, Hermann (1961, 1964, 1965); chap. VIII-X, Springer (2006, 2007) (French).
- [3] A. J. de Jong, *Smoothness, semi-stability and alterations*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1996), no. 83, 51–93.
- [4] I. Kaplansky, *Maximal fields with valuations*, Duke Math. J. **9** (1942), 303-321.
- [5] F. V. Kuhlmann, I. Vlahu *The relative approximation degree in valued function fields*, Math. Z., **276**, (2014), 203–235.
- [6] A. Ostrowski, *Untersuchungen zur arithmetischen Theorie der Körper*, Math. Z. **39** (1935), no. 1, 321-404.
- [7] D. Popescu, *General Neron Desingularization and approximation*, Nagoya Math. J., **104**, (1986), 85-115.
- [8] D. Popescu, *Algebraic extensions of valued fields*, J. Algebra **108**, (1987), no. 2, 513-533.
- [9] D. Popescu, *Néron desingularization of extensions of valuation rings with an Appendix by Kęstutis Česnavičius*, in "Transcendence in Algebra, Combinatorics, Geometry and Number Theory", Eds. Alin Bostan, Kilian Raschel, Springer Proceedings in Mathematics and Statistics 373, 275-307, arxiv/AC:1910.09123v4.
- [10] D. Popescu, *Extensions of valuation rings containing \mathbf{Q} as limits of smooth algebras*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie, **65(113)**, (2022), No 2, 253-262, arxiv/AC:2011.05724v3
- [11] O. F. G. Schilling, *The theory of valuations*, Mathematical Surveys, Number IV, American Math. Soc., (1950).
- [12] R. Swan, *Neron-Popescu desingularization*, in "Algebra and Geometry", Ed. M. Kang, International Press, Cambridge, (1998), 135-192.

- [13] M. Temkin, *Tame distillation and desingularization by p -alterations*, Ann. of Math. (2) 186 (2017), no. 1, 97–126.
- [14] O. Zariski, *Local uniformization on algebraic varieties*, Ann. of Math. **41** (1940), 852-896,

SIMION STOILOW INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF THE ROMANIAN ACADEMY, RESEARCH UNIT 5, UNIVERSITY OF BUCHAREST, P.O. BOX 1-764, BUCHAREST 014700, ROMANIA, EMAIL: dorin.popescu@imar.ro