

HECKE'S THEOREM ON THE DIFFERENT FOR 3-MANIFOLDS

WILL SAWIN AND MARK SHUSTERMAN

ABSTRACT. Hecke has shown that the different of an extension of number fields is a square in the class group. We prove an analog for branched covers of closed 3-manifolds saying that the branch divisor is a square in the first homology group.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let E/F be an extension of number fields, let \mathcal{O}_E be the ring of integers of E , and let $\text{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_E)$ be the class group of \mathcal{O}_E . One associates to the extension E/F the different $\mathcal{D}_{E/F}$, an ideal in \mathcal{O}_E , see [Ser79, Chapter 3]. Hecke has shown that as an element of $\text{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_E)$, the different $\mathcal{D}_{E/F}$ is a square, namely there exists an ideal class $J \in \text{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_E)$ such that $J^2 = \mathcal{D}_{E/F}$ in $\text{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_E)$. Hecke's proof uses a reciprocity formula for Gauss sums, see [Arm67] and [Fro78] for a proof and a discussion of related results.

An analog of Hecke's theorem for finite separable extensions of fields of fractions of Dedekind domains fails in general, see [FST62]. However, there exists an analog in case E/F is a finite separable extension of function fields of curves over finite fields of odd characteristic, see [Arm67]. Another geometric analog of Hecke's theorem, based on similarities between the inverse of the different and the canonical bundle on a curve, is the theory of theta characteristics.

In this work we consider an analog of Hecke's theorem for 3-manifolds, as suggested by arithmetic topology. We refer to [Mor12] for the analogy between rings of integers and primes on the one hand, and 3-manifolds and knots on the other hand. The analog of $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_F)$ is a closed (not necessarily oriented) 3-manifold M . The map $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_E) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_F)$ is replaced by a cover $\pi: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$ branched over a link $L \subset M$, so \widetilde{M} is a closed 3-manifold and $\pi^{-1}(M \setminus L)$ is a covering space of $M \setminus L$. The inverse image of L under π is a link \widetilde{L} in \widetilde{M} .

For a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of \mathcal{O}_E we denote by $e_{\mathfrak{p}}$ its ramification index, namely the largest positive integer e for which \mathfrak{p}^e contains $\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathcal{O}_F$. We view $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_E) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_F)$ as branched over the primes of \mathcal{O}_E that ramify, so \widetilde{L} is our analog for $\mathcal{R}_{E/F} = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_E) : e_{\mathfrak{p}} > 1\}$. The analogy is perhaps closest in case $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_E) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_F)$ is tamely ramified, namely $e_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is coprime to $|\mathcal{O}_E/\mathfrak{p}|$ for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_E)$. In this case the different of E/F is given by

$$\mathcal{D}_{E/F} = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{R}_{E/F}} \mathfrak{p}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}-1}.$$

The prime ideals in $\mathcal{R}_{E/F}$ are analogous to the components of the link \widetilde{L} . For each component \widetilde{K} of this link, let the ramification index $e_{\widetilde{K}}$ be the number of times the image under π of a small loop around \widetilde{K} wraps around $\pi(\widetilde{K})$. An analog of $\text{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_E)$ is $H_1(\widetilde{M}, \mathbb{Z})$, and a homology class is a square if and only if its image in

$$H_1(\widetilde{M}, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \cong H_1(\widetilde{M}, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$$

vanishes. Our analogy of $\mathcal{D}_{E/F}$, or rather of its class in $\text{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_E)/\text{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_E)^2$, is the branch divisor

$$\mathcal{D}_{\pi} = \sum_{\widetilde{K} \text{ a component of } \widetilde{L}} (e_{\widetilde{K}} - 1)[\widetilde{K}] \in H_1(\widetilde{M}, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$$

W.S. served as a Clay Research Fellow while working on this paper.

of π . Since we are working with $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -coefficients, it is not necessary to fix an orientation of \widetilde{K} , nor is the sign of $e_{\widetilde{K}}$ significant.

Theorem 1.1. *Let \widetilde{M} and M be closed 3-manifolds, and let $\pi: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$ be a cover branched over a link in M . Then the branch divisor \mathcal{D}_π represents the trivial class in $H_1(\widetilde{M}, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$.*

2. A CENTRAL EXTENSION OF THE HYPEROCTAHEDRAL GROUP

Let n be a positive integer, and let S_n be the symmetric group. Recall the hyperoctahedral group

$$B_n = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n \rtimes S_n$$

where S_n acts on $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$ by permuting the coordinates.

Let H_n be the group consisting of pairs $(a, b) \in (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ with group law

$$(a_1, b_1)(a_2, b_2) = (a_1 + a_2, b_1 + b_2 + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_{1,i} a_{2,j}).$$

A straightforward computation shows that this law is associative, and that the inverse of (a, b) is

$$(a, b + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j).$$

Projection onto the first factor exhibits H_n as a central extension of $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$ by $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

For $1 \leq i \leq n$ we denote by e_i the i th unit vector in $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$, set $x_i = (e_i, 0) \in H_n$, and $\epsilon = (0, 1) \in H_n$. We denote the unit element $(0, 0) \in H_n$ by 1. We can check that

$$(2.1) \quad x_i^2 = \epsilon^2 = 1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

that

$$(2.2) \quad x_i x_j = \epsilon x_j x_i, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n, \quad i \neq j,$$

and that

$$(2.3) \quad \epsilon x_i = x_i \epsilon, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Furthermore, the relations in Eq. (2.1), Eq. (2.2), and Eq. (2.3) among the generators $x_1, \dots, x_n, \epsilon$ define the group H_n since using these relations every word in $x_1, \dots, x_n, \epsilon$ can be brought to the form $x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_k} \epsilon^\delta$ with $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \leq n$ and $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$.

We therefore have an action of S_n on H_n by automorphisms via

$$\sigma(x_i) = x_{\sigma(i)}, \quad \sigma(\epsilon) = \epsilon, \quad \sigma \in S_n, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Let $G_n = H_n \rtimes S_n$ be the semidirect product defined using this action. Since $\epsilon \in H_n$ is central and S_n -invariant, it lies in the center of G_n , so

$$G_n / \langle \epsilon \rangle = G_n / \{1, \epsilon\} \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n \rtimes S_n = B_n.$$

We see that G_n is a central extension of B_n by $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. We denote by β_n the class in $H^2(B_n, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ corresponding to this extension.

Let $\sigma, \tau \in B_n$ be two elements that commute, let $\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\tau}$ be lifts to G_n , and define

$$\phi(\sigma, \tau) = [\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\tau}] = \tilde{\sigma} \tilde{\tau} \tilde{\sigma}^{-1} \tilde{\tau}^{-1} \in \langle \epsilon \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}.$$

Since G_n is a central extension of B_n , the above is indeed independent of the choice of lifts. As every element in $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is its own inverse, we see that

$$(2.4) \quad \phi(\sigma, \tau) = [\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\tau}] = [\tilde{\tau}, \tilde{\sigma}]^{-1} = [\tilde{\tau}, \tilde{\sigma}] = \phi(\tau, \sigma).$$

We denote by

$$C_{B_n}(\sigma) = \{\tau \in B_n : \sigma\tau = \tau\sigma\}$$

the centralizer of σ in B_n .

Proposition 2.1. *For every $\sigma \in B_n$ the map that sends $\tau \in C_{B_n}(\sigma)$ to $\phi(\sigma, \tau)$ is a homomorphism.*

Proof. For every $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in C_{B_n}(\sigma)$ we have

$$\phi(\sigma, \tau_1 \tau_2) = \tilde{\sigma} \tilde{\tau}_1 \tilde{\tau}_2 \tilde{\sigma}^{-1} \tilde{\tau}_2^{-1} \tilde{\tau}_1^{-1}, \quad \phi(\sigma, \tau_1) \phi(\sigma, \tau_2) = \tilde{\sigma} \tilde{\tau}_1 \tilde{\sigma}^{-1} \tilde{\tau}_1^{-1} [\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\tau}_2]$$

so after cancelling $\tilde{\sigma} \tilde{\tau}_1$, it remains to check that

$$\tilde{\tau}_2 \tilde{\sigma}^{-1} \tilde{\tau}_2^{-1} \tilde{\tau}_1^{-1} = \tilde{\sigma}^{-1} \tilde{\tau}_1^{-1} [\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\tau}_2].$$

After multiplying by $\tilde{\sigma}$ from the left, we just need to check that $[\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\tau}_2]$ commutes with $\tilde{\tau}_1$. This is indeed the case because $[\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\tau}_2]$ lies in the central subgroup $\{1, \epsilon\}$ of G_n . \square

Corollary 2.2. *For every $\tau \in B_n$ the map that sends $\sigma \in C_{B_n}(\tau)$ to $\phi(\sigma, \tau)$ is a homomorphism.*

Proof. For $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in C_{B_n}(\tau)$ we get from Eq. (2.4) and Proposition 2.1 that

$$\phi(\sigma_1 \sigma_2, \tau) = \phi(\tau, \sigma_1 \sigma_2) = \phi(\tau, \sigma_1) \phi(\tau, \sigma_2) = \phi(\sigma_1, \tau) \phi(\sigma_2, \tau).$$

as required. \square

Proposition 2.3. *For a k -cycle $\sigma = (i_1 \dots i_k) \in S_n \leq B_n$, and*

$$\tau = e_{i_1} + \dots + e_{i_k} \in (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n \leq B_n$$

we have $\phi(\sigma, \tau) = \epsilon^{k-1}$. For every $\alpha \in S_n \leq B_n$ with $\alpha(i_1) = i_1, \dots, \alpha(i_k) = i_k$ we have $\phi(\alpha, \tau) = 1$.

Proof. We take $\tilde{\sigma} = (i_1 \dots i_k)$, $\tilde{\tau} = x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_k}$ and get that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\sigma, \tau) &= \tilde{\sigma} \tilde{\tau} \tilde{\sigma}^{-1} \cdot \tilde{\tau}^{-1} = \sigma(x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_k}) \cdot (x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_k})^{-1} = x_{\sigma(i_1)} \dots x_{\sigma(i_k)} \cdot (x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_k})^{-1} \\ &= x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_k} x_{i_1} \cdot (x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_k})^{-1} = \epsilon^{k-1} x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_k} \cdot (x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_k})^{-1} = \epsilon^{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha$ we see that

$$\phi(\alpha, \tau) = \tilde{\alpha} \tilde{\tau} \tilde{\alpha}^{-1} \cdot \tilde{\tau}^{-1} = \alpha(x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_k}) \cdot (x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_k})^{-1} = x_{\alpha(i_1)} \dots x_{\alpha(i_k)} \cdot (x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_k})^{-1} = 1.$$

as claimed. \square

Corollary 2.4. *Let $\sigma \in S_n \leq B_n$ whose disjoint cycles are*

$$C_1 = (i_{1,1} \dots i_{1,d_1}), \dots, C_j = (i_{j,1} \dots i_{j,d_j}), \quad \sum_{r=1}^j d_r = n,$$

and let $\tau \in C_{B_n}(\sigma)$. Then there exists a (unique) choice of $\tau' \in C_{S_n}(\sigma)$ and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_j \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$(2.5) \quad \tau = \tau' v, \quad v = \sum_{r=1}^j \lambda_r (e_{i_{r,1}} + \dots + e_{i_{r,d_r}})$$

and

$$\phi(\sigma, \tau) = \epsilon^{\sum_{r=1}^j \lambda_r (d_r - 1)}.$$

Proof. The ability to express τ as in Eq. (2.5) is immediate from the definition of the group law in B_n . From Proposition 2.1, Corollary 2.2, and Proposition 2.3 we therefore get that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\sigma, \tau) &= \phi\left(\sigma, \tau' \cdot \sum_{r=1}^j \lambda_r (e_{i_{r,1}} + \dots + e_{i_{r,d_r}})\right) = \phi(\sigma, \tau') \cdot \prod_{r=1}^j \phi(\sigma, e_{i_{r,1}} + \dots + e_{i_{r,d_r}})^{\lambda_r} \\ &= [\sigma, \tau'] \cdot \prod_{r=1}^j \prod_{s=1}^j \phi(C_s, e_{i_{r,1}} + \dots + e_{i_{r,d_r}})^{\lambda_r} = 1 \cdot \prod_{r=1}^j \epsilon^{\lambda_r (d_r - 1)} = \epsilon^{\sum_{r=1}^j \lambda_r (d_r - 1)} \end{aligned}$$

as required. \square

We keep the notation of Corollary 2.4 and denote by O_1, \dots, O_z the orbits of the action by conjugation of the subgroup of S_n generated by τ' on $\{C_1, \dots, C_j\}$. For $1 \leq y \leq z$ we let $I_y \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ be the set of all indices that appear in one of the cycles in O_y , and define the permutation $\tau'_y \in S_n$ by

$$\tau'_y(i) = \begin{cases} \tau'(i) & i \in I_y \\ i & i \notin I_y. \end{cases}$$

We have a disjoint union

$$\bigcup_{y=1}^z I_y = \{1, \dots, n\}$$

hence $\tau' = \tau'_1 \cdots \tau'_z$ and the permutations τ'_1, \dots, τ'_z commute. We put

$$\tau_y = \tau'_y v_y, \quad v_y = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq j \\ C_r \in O_y}} \lambda_r (e_{i_{r,1}} + \cdots + e_{i_{r,d_r}})$$

and get that

$$(2.6) \quad \tau = \tau'_1 v_1 \cdots \tau'_z v_z$$

where the factors $\tau'_1 v_1, \dots, \tau'_z v_z$ commute.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

It suffices to show, for each $\alpha \in H^1(\widetilde{M}, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, that the pairing of the branch divisor \mathcal{D}_π with α vanishes, namely

$$\sum_{\widetilde{K} \text{ a component of } \widetilde{L}} (e_{\widetilde{K}} - 1) \langle [\widetilde{K}], \alpha \rangle = 0$$

or equivalently

$$\sum_{K \text{ a component of } L} \sum_{\widetilde{K} \text{ a component of } \pi^{-1}(K)} (e_{\widetilde{K}} - 1) \langle [\widetilde{K}], \alpha \rangle = 0.$$

Associated to α is a degree two covering space $N \rightarrow \widetilde{M}$. Let n be the degree of $\pi: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$ which is locally constant away from L , thus constant. Away from L , we get that N is a degree 2 covering space of a degree n covering space, hence has monodromy group contained in the wreath product

$$S_2 \wr S_n = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \wr S_n = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n \rtimes S_n = B_n.$$

We thus have a map $H^2(B_n, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(M \setminus L, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, and we denote by $\gamma \in H^2(M \setminus L, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ the image of β_n .

Consider a tubular neighborhood Q of L and let $S = \partial Q$ be its boundary, a union of tori. Each such torus T corresponds to a unique component K of L - the boundary of a tubular neighborhood of K is T . Since S bounds a 3-manifold in $M \setminus L$, i.e. the complement of the tubular neighborhood Q , our cohomology class γ integrates to 0 on S . It follows that

$$\sum_{T \text{ a component of } S} \int_T \gamma = 0.$$

It is therefore sufficient to prove that

$$(3.1) \quad \int_T \gamma = \sum_{\widetilde{K} \text{ a component of } \pi^{-1}(K)} (e_{\widetilde{K}} - 1) \langle [\widetilde{K}], \alpha \rangle.$$

Since T is a torus, a covering of T with monodromy B_n , i.e. a homomorphism from $\pi_1(T)$ to B_n , is given by a pair of elements $m, \ell \in B_n$ that commute, where m represents a meridian and ℓ represents a longitude. From the standard cell decomposition of the torus, we can see that

$$\int_T \gamma = \phi(m, \ell).$$

Since the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -covering $N \rightarrow \widetilde{M}$ is unbranched over every component \widetilde{K} of $\pi^{-1}(K)$, the monodromy of the meridian m does not swap the two components of the covering, and therefore m is (up to conjugation) contained in $S_n \leq B_n$.

We shall use here the notation of Corollary 2.4 and the paragraph following it for $\sigma = m$ and $\tau = \ell$, in particular we write $\ell = \ell'v$ as in Eq. (2.5). The components of $\pi^{-1}(K)$ are naturally in bijection with the orbits of the action by conjugation of the subgroup of S_n generated by ℓ' on the set of disjoint cycles $\{C_1, \dots, C_j\}$ of m . We denote by $O_{\widetilde{K}}$ the orbit corresponding to a component \widetilde{K} of $\pi^{-1}(K)$. As in Eq. (2.6), we can write

$$\ell = \prod_{\widetilde{K}} \ell_{\widetilde{K}}, \quad \ell_{\widetilde{K}} = \ell'_{\widetilde{K}} v_{\widetilde{K}}, \quad v_{\widetilde{K}} = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq j \\ C_r \in O_{\widetilde{K}}}} \lambda_r (e_{i_{r,1}} + \dots + e_{i_{r,d_r}}).$$

We denote the number of cycles in $O_{\widetilde{K}}$ by $t_{\widetilde{K}}$, note that each such cycle is of length $e_{\widetilde{K}}$, and set

$$d_{\widetilde{K}} = \#\{1 \leq r \leq j : C_r \in O_{\widetilde{K}}, \lambda_r = 1\}.$$

It follows from Corollary 2.4 that $\phi(m, \ell_{\widetilde{K}}) \equiv (e_{\widetilde{K}} - 1)d_{\widetilde{K}} \pmod{2}$, so from Corollary 2.2 we get that

$$\phi(m, \ell) = \sum_{\widetilde{K} \text{ a component of } \pi^{-1}(K)} \phi(m, \ell_{\widetilde{K}}) \equiv \sum_{\widetilde{K} \text{ a component of } \pi^{-1}(K)} (e_{\widetilde{K}} - 1)d_{\widetilde{K}} \pmod{2}.$$

It is therefore enough to show that $d_{\widetilde{K}} \equiv \langle [\widetilde{K}], \alpha \rangle \pmod{2}$.

Let C be a longitude curve in a tubular neighborhood of \widetilde{K} . Then $[C] = [\widetilde{K}]$ as homology classes in $H_1(\widetilde{M}, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, so it suffices to show that $d_{\widetilde{K}} \equiv \langle [C], \alpha \rangle \pmod{2}$. The projection of $[C]$ to T is $a[m] + t_{\widetilde{K}}[\ell]$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, the action of C on the covering space $N \rightarrow M$ is given by $m^a \ell^{t_{\widetilde{K}}}$. We have

$$m^a \ell^{t_{\widetilde{K}}} = m^a (\ell'v)^{t_{\widetilde{K}}} = m^a \ell'^{t_{\widetilde{K}}} \cdot (v + \ell'(v) + \dots + \ell'^{t_{\widetilde{K}}-1}(v)).$$

The pairing $\langle [C], \alpha \rangle$ is nonzero if and only if the monodromy along C of the covering $N \rightarrow \widetilde{M}$ is nontrivial, which happens if and only if the action of $m^a \ell'^{t_{\widetilde{K}}}$ sends one branch of this covering to the other, and that occurs if and only if the k th entry of $v + \ell'(v) + \dots + \ell'^{t_{\widetilde{K}}-1}(v)$ is nonzero for some (equivalently, every) index $1 \leq k \leq n$ that belongs to one of the cycles in $O_{\widetilde{K}}$. It is therefore sufficient to show that

$$d_{\widetilde{K}} \equiv (v + \ell'(v) + \dots + \ell'^{t_{\widetilde{K}}-1}(v))_k \pmod{2}.$$

We have

$$(v + \ell'(v) + \dots + \ell'^{t_{\widetilde{K}}-1}(v))_k = v_k + \ell'(v)_k + \dots + \ell'^{t_{\widetilde{K}}-1}(v)_k = v_k + v_{\ell^{-1}(k)} + \dots + v_{\ell'^{-t_{\widetilde{K}}+1}(k)}.$$

By the orbit-stabilizer theorem, each of the $t_{\widetilde{K}}$ cycles in $O_{\widetilde{K}}$ contains exactly one of the $t_{\widetilde{K}}$ elements $k, \ell^{-1}(k), \dots, \ell'^{-t_{\widetilde{K}}+1}(k)$. Thus, from Eq. (2.5) we get that

$$v_k + v_{\ell^{-1}(k)} + \dots + v_{\ell'^{-t_{\widetilde{K}}+1}(k)} = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq j \\ C_r \in O_{\widetilde{K}}}} \lambda_r \equiv d_{\widetilde{K}} \pmod{2},$$

as desired.

REFERENCES

- [Arm67] J. V. Armitage, *On a Theorem of Hecke in Number Fields and Function Fields*, *Inventiones math.* 2, 238–246 (1967).
- [Fro78] A. Fröhlich, *On parity problems*, *Séminaire de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux (1978)*: 1–8.
- [FST62] A. Fröhlich, J-P. Serre, J. Tate, *A different with an odd class*, *J. für die reine u. angew. Math.* 209, 6–7 (1962).
- [Mor12] M. Morishita, *Knots and Primes*, An introduction to arithmetic topology, Universitext. Springer, London, 2012.
- [Ser79] J-P. Serre, *Local Fields*, GTM 67, Springer-Verlag, 1979.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY, NEW YORK, NY 10027, USA
Email address: `sawin@math.columbia.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HARVARD UNIVERSITY, 1 OXFORD STREET, CAMBRIDGE, MA 02138, USA
Email address: `mshusterman@math.harvard.edu`