

# FINAL STATE OBSERVABILITY ESTIMATES AND COST-UNIFORM APPROXIMATE NULL-CONTROLLABILITY FOR BI-CONTINUOUS SEMIGROUPS

KARSTEN KRUSE  AND CHRISTIAN SEIFERT 

**ABSTRACT.** We consider final state observability estimates for bi-continuous semigroups on Banach spaces, i.e. for every initial value, estimating the state at a final time  $T > 0$  by taking into account the orbit of the initial value under the semigroup for  $t \in [0, T]$ , measured in a suitable norm. We state a sufficient criterion based on an uncertainty relation and a dissipation estimate and provide two examples of bi-continuous semigroups which share a final state observability estimate, namely the Gauß–Weierstraß semigroup and the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck semigroup on the space of bounded continuous functions. Moreover, we generalise the duality between cost-uniform approximate null-controllability and final state observability estimates to the setting of locally convex spaces for the case of bounded and continuous control functions, which seem to be new even for the Banach spaces case.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $(S_t)_{t \geq 0}$  a semigroup on  $X$ , i.e.  $S_0 = I$  and  $S_{t+s} = S_t S_s$  for all  $t, s \geq 0$ . Moreover, let  $Y$  be another Banach space and  $C \in \mathcal{L}(X; Y)$ , a so-called observation operator, and  $T > 0$ . Then  $(S_t)_{t \geq 0}$  satisfies a *final state observability estimate* w.r.t. some (Banach) space  $\mathcal{Z}$  of functions on  $[0, T]$  with values in  $Y$ , if there exists  $C_{\text{obs}} \geq 0$  such that

$$\|S_T x\|_X \leq C_{\text{obs}} \|CS_{(\cdot)}x\|_{\mathcal{Z}} \quad (x \in X).$$

Put differently, we can estimate the norm of the final state  $S_T x$  by just taking into account the observations  $CS_t x$  for  $t \in [0, T]$ . Typical applications stem from evolution equations on some function space over (a subset of)  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , where  $C$  is a restriction operator to a suitable subset  $\Omega$  of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  (or of the subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  the functions are defined on) such that we want to control the final state on all of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  by just measuring the evolution on the subset  $\Omega$ . Final state observability estimates have been studied in various contexts due to its relation to null-controllability, see e.g. [1, 3, 8, 9, 17, 20, 22, 24, 28] and references therein.

Classically, the space  $\mathcal{Z}$  is some  $L_r$ -space with  $r \in [1, \infty]$  (when working in Hilbert spaces, one usually chooses  $r = 2$ ), and then the final state observability estimate yields the form

$$\|S_T x\|_X \leq \begin{cases} C_{\text{obs}} \left( \int_0^T \|CS_t x\|_Y^r dt \right)^{1/r} & \text{if } r \in [1, \infty), \\ C_{\text{obs}} \text{ess sup}_{t \in [0, T]} \|CS_t x\|_Y & \text{if } r = \infty, \end{cases} \quad (x \in X).$$

*Date:* June 2, 2022.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 93C20, 93C25, 47N70, Secondary 47D06, 46A70.

*Key words and phrases.* final state observability estimate, bi-continuous semigroups, cost-uniform approximate null-controllability, Saks space, mixed topology.

K. Kruse acknowledges the support by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) within the Research Training Group GRK 2583 “Modeling, Simulation and Optimization of Fluid Dynamic Applications”.

Clearly, in order to formulate this final state observability estimate (i.e. to have a well-defined right-hand side) we need some regularity of the semigroup. Indeed, we require measurability of  $t \mapsto \|CS_t x\|_Y$  for all  $x \in X$ . Of course, strong continuity of  $(S_t)_{t \geq 0}$  yields continuity of these mappings and is therefore sufficient, but also weaker regularities are suitable. In [1], dual semigroups of strongly continuous semigroups were considered which yield sufficient regularity.

In this short note we aim at two types of results. First, we consider final state observability estimates for so-called bi-continuous semigroups, see e.g. [15, 16], which are not strongly continuous for the norm-topology on  $X$  but only for a weaker topology. Note that dual semigroups are a special case of bi-continuous ones when considering the weak\* topology. There are classical examples of bi-continuous semigroups such as the Gauß–Weierstraß semigroup on  $C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , the space of bounded continuous functions (on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ), as well as the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck semigroup on  $C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Second, we relate cost-uniform approximate null-controllability of a control system sharing only weak continuity properties such as bi-continuity with a final state observability estimate for the dual system, thus generalising the well-known duality in Hilbert and Banach spaces [3, 5, 24, 28]. Since this demands to work in Hausdorff locally convex spaces, we here focus on continuous control functions which results in the space  $\mathcal{Z}$  above being a space of vector measures.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we review bi-continuous semigroups and then turn to final-state observability estimates in Section 3 together with two examples in Section 4. Final state observability estimates are then related with cost-uniform approximate null-controllability via duality, which we will exploit in our context in Section 5.

## 2. BI-CONTINUOUS SEMIGROUPS

In this short section we recall some notation and definitions from the theory of bi-continuous semigroups that we need in subsequent sections. For a vector space  $X$  over the field  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$  with a Hausdorff locally convex topology  $\tau_X$  we denote by  $(X, \tau_X)'$  the topological linear dual space and just write  $X' := (X, \tau_X)'$  if  $(X, \tau_X)$  is a Banach space. We use the symbol  $\mathcal{L}(X; Y) := \mathcal{L}((X, \|\cdot\|_X); (Y, \|\cdot\|_Y))$  for the space of continuous linear operators from a Banach space  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$  to a Banach space  $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$  and denote by  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}(X; Y)}$  the operator norm on  $\mathcal{L}(X; Y)$ . If  $X = Y$ , we set  $\mathcal{L}(X) := \mathcal{L}(X; X)$ .

**Definition 2.1** ([4, I.3.2 Definition]). Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$  be a normed space and  $\tau_X$  a Hausdorff locally convex topology on  $X$ .

- (a) The triple  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X, \tau_X)$  is called a *Saks space* if  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$  is a Banach space,  $\tau_X \subseteq \tau_{\|\cdot\|_X}$  and  $(X, \tau_X)'$  is norming for  $X$  where  $\tau_{\|\cdot\|_X}$  denotes the  $\|\cdot\|_X$ -topology.
- (b) The *mixed topology*  $\gamma_X := \gamma(\|\cdot\|_X, \tau_X)$  is the finest linear topology on  $X$  that coincides with  $\tau_X$  on  $\|\cdot\|_X$ -bounded sets and such that  $\tau_X \subseteq \gamma_X \subseteq \tau_{\|\cdot\|_X}$ .

The mixed topology is actually Hausdorff locally convex and the definition given above is equivalent to the one from the literature [27, Section 2.1] by [27, Lemmas 2.2.1, 2.2.2].

**Definition 2.2.** We call a Saks space  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X, \tau_X)$  *sequentially complete* if  $(X, \gamma_X)$  is sequentially complete.

Due to [27, Corollary 2.3.2] a Saks space  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X, \tau_X)$  is sequentially complete if and only if  $(X, \tau_X)$  is sequentially complete on  $\|\cdot\|_X$ -bounded sets, i.e. every  $\|\cdot\|_X$ -bounded  $\tau_X$ -Cauchy sequence converges in  $X$ . In combination with [12, Remark 2.3 (c)] this yields that a triple  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X, \tau_X)$  fulfils [16, Assumptions 1] if and only if it is a sequentially complete Saks space.

**Definition 2.3** ([16, Definition 3]). Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X, \tau_X)$  be a sequentially complete Saks space. Let  $(S_t)_{t \geq 0}$  in  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  be a semigroup on  $X$ . We say that  $(S_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is (locally)  $\tau_X$ -bi-continuous if

- (a) it is exponentially bounded, i.e. there exist  $M \geq 1$  and  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\|S_t\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq M e^{\omega t}$  for all  $t \geq 0$ ,
- (b)  $(S_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a  $C_0$ -semigroup on  $(X, \tau_X)$ , i.e. for all  $x \in X$  the mapping  $[0, \infty) \ni t \mapsto T_t x \in (X, \tau_X)$  is continuous,
- (c) for every  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $X$  and  $x \in X$  with  $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|x_n\|_X < \infty$  and  $\tau_X\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$  we have

$$\tau_X\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_t(x_n - x) = 0$$

(locally) uniformly for  $t \in [0, \infty)$ .

As in the case of  $C_0$ -semigroups on Banach spaces we can define generators for bi-continuous semigroups.

**Definition 2.4** ([6, Definition 1.2.6]). Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X, \tau_X)$  be a sequentially complete Saks space and  $(S_t)_{t \geq 0}$  a locally  $\tau_X$ -bi-continuous semigroup on  $X$ . The generator  $(-A, D(A))$  is defined by

$$D(A) := \left\{ x \in X \mid \tau_X\text{-}\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{S_t x - x}{t} \text{ exists in } X \text{ and } \sup_{t \in (0,1]} \frac{\|S_t x - x\|_X}{t} < \infty \right\},$$

$$-Ax := \tau_X\text{-}\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{S_t x - x}{t}, \quad x \in D(A).$$

**Remark 2.5.** There is no common agreement whether to use the here presented definition of a generator or its negative. Throughout the entire paper we will stick to the definition made above, i.e.  $-A$  is the generator.

### 3. FINAL STATE OBSERVABILITY ESTIMATES FOR BI-CONTINUOUS SEMIGROUPS

The final state observability estimate rests on the following abstract theorem. It provides a sufficient criterion stating that an abstract uncertainty principle (also called spectral inequality), see (UP), together with a dissipation estimate, see (DISS), yields a final state observability estimate, and has its roots in [17], see also [1, 8, 20, 22].

**Theorem 3.1** ([1, Theorem A.1]). *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be Banach spaces,  $C \in \mathcal{L}(X; Y)$ ,  $(S_t)_{t \geq 0}$  a semigroup on  $X$ ,  $M \geq 1$  and  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\|S_t\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq M e^{\omega t}$  for all  $t \geq 0$ , and assume that for all  $x \in X$  the mapping  $t \mapsto \|CS_t x\|_Y$  is measurable. Further, let  $(P_\lambda)_{\lambda > 0}$  in  $\mathcal{L}(X)$ ,  $r \in [1, \infty]$ ,  $d_0, d_1, d_3, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, T > 0$  with  $\gamma_1 < \gamma_2$ , and  $d_2 \geq 1$ , and assume that*

$$\forall x \in X \quad \forall \lambda > 0: \quad \|P_\lambda x\|_X \leq d_0 e^{d_1 \lambda^{\gamma_1}} \|CP_\lambda x\|_Y \quad (\text{UP})$$

and

$$\forall x \in X \quad \forall \lambda > 0 \quad \forall t \in (0, T/2]: \quad \|(I - P_\lambda)S_t x\|_X \leq d_2 e^{-d_3 \lambda^{\gamma_2} t^{\gamma_3}} \|x\|_X. \quad (\text{DISS})$$

Then there exists  $C_{\text{obs}} \geq 0$  such that for all  $x \in X$  we have

$$\|S_T x\|_X \leq \begin{cases} C_{\text{obs}} \left( \int_0^T \|CS_t x\|_Y^r dt \right)^{1/r} & \text{if } r \in [1, \infty), \\ C_{\text{obs}} \text{ess sup}_{t \in [0, T]} \|CS_t x\|_Y & \text{if } r = \infty. \end{cases}$$

**Remark 3.2.** The constant  $C_{\text{obs}}$  is explicit in all parameters and of the form

$$C_{\text{obs}} = \frac{C_1}{T^{1/r}} \exp \left( \frac{C_2}{T^{\frac{\gamma_1 \gamma_3}{\gamma_2 - \gamma_1}}} + C_3 T \right),$$

with  $T^{1/r} = 1$  if  $r = \infty$ , and suitable constants  $C_1, C_2, C_3 \geq 0$  depending on the parameters; see [1, Theorem A.1] as well as [9, Theorem 2.1].

In order to obtain a version of this theorem for bi-continuous semigroups, we need to argue on measurability of  $[0, \infty) \ni t \mapsto \|CS_t x\|_Y$  for all  $x \in X$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X, \tau_X)$  be a Saks space,  $(S_t)_{t \geq 0}$  a locally  $\tau_X$ -bi-continuous semigroup on  $X$ ,  $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y, \tau_Y)$  a Saks space,  $C: X \rightarrow Y$  linear and sequentially  $\tau_X$ - $\tau_Y$ -continuous on  $\|\cdot\|_X$ -bounded sets, and  $x \in X$ . Then  $[0, \infty) \ni t \mapsto \|CS_t x\|_Y$  is measurable.*

*Proof.* Since  $[0, \infty) \ni t \mapsto |\langle y', CS_t x \rangle|$  is continuous for all  $y' \in (Y, \tau_Y)'$  by the assumptions on  $C$  and the exponential boundedness of  $(S_t)_{t \geq 0}$ , and  $\|CS_t x\|_Y = \sup\{|\langle y', CS_t x \rangle| \mid y' \in (Y, \tau_Y)', \|y'\|_{Y'} \leq 1\}$  for all  $t \geq 0$ , we obtain that  $[0, \infty) \ni t \mapsto \|CS_t x\|_Y$  is lower semi-continuous and hence measurable.  $\square$

In view of Lemma 3.3, we can apply Theorem 3.1 to obtain the following.

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X, \tau_X)$  be a Saks space,  $(S_t)_{t \geq 0}$  a locally  $\tau_X$ -bi-continuous semigroup on  $X$ ,  $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y, \tau_Y)$  a Saks space,  $C \in \mathcal{L}(X; Y)$  such that  $C$  is also sequentially  $\tau_X$ - $\tau_Y$ -continuous on  $\|\cdot\|_X$ -bounded sets. Further, let  $\lambda^* \geq 0$ ,  $(P_\lambda)_{\lambda > \lambda^*}$  a family in  $\mathcal{L}(X)$ ,  $r \in [1, \infty]$ ,  $d_0, d_1, d_3, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, T > 0$  with  $\gamma_1 < \gamma_2$ , and  $d_2 \geq 1$ , and assume that*

$$\forall x \in X \quad \forall \lambda > \lambda^*: \quad \|P_\lambda x\|_X \leq d_0 e^{d_1 \lambda^{\gamma_1}} \|CP_\lambda x\|_Y \quad (\text{UP}')$$

and

$$\forall x \in X \quad \forall \lambda > \lambda^* \quad \forall t \in (0, T/2]: \quad \|(I - P_\lambda)S_t x\|_X \leq d_2 e^{-d_3 \lambda^{\gamma_2} t^{\gamma_3}} \|x\|_X. \quad (\text{DISS}')$$

Then there exists  $C_{\text{obs}} \geq 0$  such that for all  $x \in X$  we have

$$\|S_T x\|_X \leq \begin{cases} C_{\text{obs}} \left( \int_0^T \|CS_t x\|_Y^r dt \right)^{1/r} & \text{if } r \in [1, \infty), \\ C_{\text{obs}} \text{ess sup}_{t \in [0, T]} \|CS_t x\|_Y & \text{if } r = \infty. \end{cases}$$

#### 4. TWO EXAMPLES OF BI-CONTINUOUS SEMIGROUPS

In this section we consider final state observability for two important examples: the Gauß–Weierstraß semigroup on  $C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck semigroup on  $C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . We begin with the study of restriction operators on  $C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , restricting functions to suitable subsets, and relate this to an abstract uncertainty principle.

**4.1. Restriction Operators on  $C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and the uncertainty principle.** Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  be non-empty,  $C: C_b(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow C_b(\Omega)$  the restriction operator defined by  $Cf := f|_\Omega$  for  $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then  $C \in \mathcal{L}(C_b(\mathbb{R}^d); C_b(\Omega))$ . Let  $\tau_{\text{co}}$  be the compact-open topology on  $C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$  (as well as on  $C_b(\Omega)$ ).

**Lemma 4.1.**  $C: (C_b(\mathbb{R}^d), \tau_{\text{co}}) \rightarrow (C_b(\Omega), \tau_{\text{co}})$  is continuous.

*Proof.* The map  $C$  is clearly linear. Due to [21, Theorem 46.8] the compact-open topology  $\tau_{\text{co}}$  on  $C_b(Z)$  for a Hausdorff topological space  $Z$  is given by the system of seminorms

$$p_K^Z(f) := \sup_{x \in K} |f(x)| \quad (f \in C_b(Z))$$

for compact  $K \subseteq Z$ . Let  $K \subseteq \Omega$  be compact in the relative topology. Then  $K$  is compact in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  as well and

$$p_K^\Omega(Cf) = \sup_{x \in K} |f|_\Omega(x)| = \sup_{x \in K} |f(x)| = p_K^{\mathbb{R}^d}(f) \quad (f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)),$$

which means that  $C$  is continuous.  $\square$

We now use the operator  $C$  to provide an uncertainty principle based on the well-known Logvinenko–Sereda theorem. Let  $\eta \in C_c[0, \infty)$ ,  $\mathbb{1}_{[0, 1/2]} \leq \eta \leq \mathbb{1}_{[0, 1]}$ . For  $\lambda > 0$  let  $\chi_\lambda: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\chi_\lambda := \eta(|\cdot|/\lambda)$ , and  $P_\lambda \in \mathcal{L}(C_b(\mathbb{R}^d))$  be defined by  $P_\lambda f := (\mathcal{F}^{-1}\chi_\lambda) * f$ , where  $\mathcal{F}$  denotes the Fourier transformation. By Young’s inequality and scaling properties of the Fourier transformation, we have

$$\|P_\lambda\| \leq \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\chi_\lambda\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\chi_1\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \quad (\lambda > 0).$$

Note that for all  $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\lambda > 0$  we have  $\mathcal{F}P_\lambda f = \chi_\lambda \mathcal{F}f$  and therefore  $\text{spt } \mathcal{F}P_\lambda f \subseteq B[0, \lambda] \subseteq [-\lambda, \lambda]^d$ , where  $B[0, \lambda] := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid |x| \leq \lambda\}$  is the closed ball around 0 with radius  $\lambda$ .

**Definition 4.2.** Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ . Then  $\Omega$  is called *thick* if  $\Omega$  is measurable and there exist  $L \in (0, \infty)^d$  and  $\rho \in (0, 1]$  such that

$$\lambda^d(\Omega \cap (x + (0, L))) \geq \rho \lambda^d((0, L)) \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^d),$$

where  $\lambda^d$  denotes the  $d$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure, and  $(0, L) := \prod_{j=1}^d (0, L_j)$  is the hypercube with sidelengths contained in  $L$ .

Thus, a measurable set  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  is thick (with parameters  $L$  and  $\rho$ ) provided the portion of  $\Omega$  in every hypercube with sidelengths contained in  $L$  is at least  $\rho$ .

By the Logvinenko–Sereda theorem (see [11, 18]), if  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  is a thick set, then there exist  $d_0, d_1 > 0$  such that

$$\|P_\lambda f\|_{C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq d_0 e^{d_1 \lambda} \|CP_\lambda f\|_{C_b(\Omega)} \quad (\lambda > 0, f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)). \quad (\text{LS})$$

Thus, (LS) yields an estimate of the form (UP’).

**4.2. The Gauß–Weierstraß semigroup on  $C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .** Let  $k: (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be given by

$$k_t(x) := k(t, x) := \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{d/2}} e^{-|x|^2/(4t)} \quad (t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d),$$

the so-called *Gauß–Weierstraß kernel*. For  $t \geq 0$  we define  $S_t \in \mathcal{L}(C_b(\mathbb{R}^d))$  by

$$S_t f := \begin{cases} f & t = 0, \\ k_t * f & t > 0. \end{cases}$$

Note that by Young’s inequality and the fact that  $\|k_t\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)} = 1$  for all  $t > 0$  we have  $\|S_t f\|_{C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \|f\|_{C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)}$  for  $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $t \geq 0$ . It is easy to see that  $(S_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a semigroup, which is called the *Gauß–Weierstraß semigroup*. Let  $\tau_{\text{co}}$  be the compact-open topology on  $C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then  $(S_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is locally  $\tau_{\text{co}}$ -bi-continuous; see e.g. [16, Examples 6 (a)].

For  $\lambda > 0$  let  $P_\lambda \in \mathcal{L}(C_b(\mathbb{R}^d))$  be defined as in Subsection 4.1. By [1, Proposition 3.2], there exist  $d_2 \geq 1$  and  $d_3 > 0$  such that

$$\|(I - P_\lambda)S_t f\|_{C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq d_2 e^{-d_3 \lambda^2 t} \|f\|_{C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)} \quad (\lambda > 0, t \geq 0, f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)), \quad (\text{DISS(GW)})$$

i.e. a dissipation estimate (DISS’) is fulfilled.

Thus, if  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  is thick, then (LS) and (DISS(GW)) provide the estimates (UP’) and (DISS’) and so Theorem 3.4 yields a final state observability estimate for the Gauß–Weierstraß semigroup on  $C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

**4.3. The Ornstein–Uhlenbeck semigroup on  $C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .** Let  $M: (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be given by

$$M_t(x, y) := M(t, x, y) := \frac{1}{\pi^{d/2}(1 - e^{-2t})^{d/2}} e^{-|y - e^{-t}x|^2 / (1 - e^{-2t})} \quad (t > 0, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d),$$

the so-called *Mehler kernel*. For  $t \geq 0$  we define  $S_t \in \mathcal{L}(C_b(\mathbb{R}^d))$  by

$$S_t f := \begin{cases} f & t = 0, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} M_t(\cdot, y) f(y) dy & t > 0. \end{cases}$$

Since  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} M_t(\cdot, y) dy = 1$  for all  $t > 0$ , we have  $\|S_t f\|_{C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \|f\|_{C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)}$  for  $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $t \geq 0$ . It is not difficult to see that  $(S_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a semigroup, which is called the *Ornstein–Uhlenbeck semigroup*. Let  $\tau_{\text{co}}$  be the compact-open topology on  $C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then  $(S_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is locally  $\tau_{\text{co}}$ -bi-continuous on  $C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ; see e.g. [15, Proposition 3.10].

Define  $k: (0, 1) \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$k_s(x) := \frac{1}{\pi^{d/2}(1 - s^2)^{d/2}} e^{-|x|^2 / (1 - s^2)}.$$

Let  $s \in (0, 1)$ . Then we obtain

$$M_{\ln \frac{1}{s}}(\frac{1}{s}x, y) = \frac{1}{\pi^{d/2}(1 - s^2)^{d/2}} e^{-|y - x|^2 / (1 - s^2)} = k_s(x - y) \quad (x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d).$$

Hence,

$$(S_{\ln \frac{1}{s}} f)(\frac{1}{s} \cdot) = k_s * f \quad (f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)).$$

For  $\lambda > 0$  let  $P_\lambda \in \mathcal{L}(C_b(\mathbb{R}^d))$  as in Subsection 4.2. Since

$$\mathcal{F}k_s(\xi) = e^{-(1-s^2)|\xi|^2/4} =: h_s(\xi) \quad (\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d),$$

for  $\lambda > 0$  and  $s \in (0, 1)$  we conclude that

$$((I - P_\lambda)S_{\ln \frac{1}{s}} f)(\frac{1}{s} \cdot) = (I - P_{\lambda/s})(S_{\ln \frac{1}{s}} f)(\frac{1}{s} \cdot) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}((1 - \chi_{\lambda/s})h_s) * f \quad (f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)).$$

**Lemma 4.3.** *There exist  $d_2 \geq 1$  and  $d_3 > 0$  such that for  $\lambda > 0$  and  $s \in (0, 1)$  we have*

$$\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}((1 - \chi_\lambda)h_s)\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq d_2 e^{-d_3 \lambda^2 (1 - s^2)}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $s \in (0, 1)$ , and define

$$\sigma_{s, \lambda} := (1 - \chi_{\sqrt{1-s^2}\lambda})h_s\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-s^2}} \cdot\right) = (1 - \chi_{\sqrt{1-s^2}\lambda})e^{-|\cdot|^2/4}.$$

Then by a linear substitution we obtain

$$\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}((1 - \chi_\lambda)h_s)\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\sigma_{s, \lambda}\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ ,  $|\alpha| \leq d + 1$ . Then

$$|\partial^\alpha \sigma_{s, \lambda}| \leq \mathbb{1}_{\{|\cdot| \geq \sqrt{1-s^2}\lambda/2\}} \left| \partial^\alpha e^{-|\cdot|^2/4} \right| + \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d, \beta < \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} |\partial^{\alpha-\beta} (1 - \chi_{\sqrt{1-s^2}\lambda})| \left| \partial^\beta e^{-|\cdot|^2/4} \right|.$$

There exists  $K \geq 0$  such that for all  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$  with  $\beta \leq \alpha$  and all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$  we have

$$\left| \partial^\beta e^{-|\cdot|^2/4}(\xi) \right| \leq K(1 + |\xi|)^{|\beta|} e^{-|\xi|^2/4}.$$

Let

$$C_1 := \sup_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d, \beta \leq \alpha, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d} K(1 + |\xi|)^{|\beta|} e^{-|\xi|^2/16}.$$

Then, for  $\beta \leq \alpha$  and  $|\xi| \geq \sqrt{1-s^2}\lambda/2$  we have

$$\left| \partial^\beta e^{-|\cdot|^2/4}(\xi) \right| \leq C_1 e^{-|\xi|^2/16} e^{-(1-s^2)\lambda^2/32}.$$

Further, for  $\beta < \alpha$  and  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \partial^{\alpha-\beta} (1 - \chi_{\sqrt{1-s^2}\lambda})(\xi) \right| \\ & \leq (\sqrt{1-s^2}\lambda)^{-|\alpha-\beta|} \left| \partial^{\alpha-\beta} \chi_1 \left( \frac{\xi}{\sqrt{1-s^2}\lambda} \right) \right| \mathbb{1}_{\{|\xi| \leq \sqrt{1-s^2}\lambda\}}(\xi) \\ & \leq C_2 (\sqrt{1-s^2}\lambda)^{-|\alpha-\beta|} \mathbb{1}_{\{|\xi| \leq \sqrt{1-s^2}\lambda\}}(\xi) \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_2 := \max_{\beta < \alpha} \|\partial^{\alpha-\beta} \chi_1\|_{C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ . Hence, there exists  $C \geq 0$  (which is independent of  $s$  and  $\lambda$ ) such that if  $\sqrt{1-s^2}\lambda \geq 1$ , then for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$  we have

$$|\partial^\alpha \sigma_{s,\lambda}| \leq C e^{-|\xi|^2/16} e^{-(1-s^2)\lambda^2/32}.$$

Therefore, increasing  $C$ , for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  we obtain

$$|x^\alpha \mathcal{F}^{-1} \sigma_{s,\lambda}(x)| = |\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\partial^\alpha \sigma_{s,\lambda})(x)| \leq C e^{-(1-s^2)\lambda^2/32}. \quad (1)$$

By choosing  $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$  and  $\alpha := (d+1)e_j$  for the  $j$ -th canonical unit vector  $e_j$ , we observe  $\|x\|_\infty^{d+1} |\mathcal{F}^{-1} \sigma_{s,\lambda}(x)| \leq C e^{-(1-s^2)\lambda^2/32}$  and hence

$$|\mathcal{F}^{-1} \sigma_{s,\lambda}(x)| \leq C e^{-(1-s^2)\lambda^2/32} |x|^{-d-1} \quad (2)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ , where we increased  $C$ .

Therefore, if  $\sqrt{1-s^2}\lambda \geq 1$ , we can conclude by (1) for  $\alpha = 0$  and (2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}((1-\chi\lambda)h_s)\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)} &= \|\mathcal{F}^{-1} \sigma_{s,\lambda}\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ &\leq C e^{-(1-s^2)\lambda^2/32} \left( \int_{B[0,1]} 1 \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B[0,1]} |x|^{-d-1} \, dx \right) \\ &\leq C e^{-(1-s^2)\lambda^2/32}, \end{aligned}$$

where we increased  $C$  again.

It remains to prove the estimate for the case  $\sqrt{1-s^2}\lambda < 1$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\chi\lambda h_s)\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)} &= \|\mathcal{F}^{-1} \chi\lambda * \mathcal{F}^{-1} h_s\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ &\leq \|\mathcal{F}^{-1} \chi\lambda\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|k_s\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \|\mathcal{F}^{-1} \chi_1\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from scaling properties of the Fourier transform and the fact that  $k_s$  is normalized in  $L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

Thus, for  $\sqrt{1-s^2}\lambda < 1$  we obtain

$$\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\chi\lambda h_s)\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \|\mathcal{F}^{-1} \chi_1\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)} e^{1/32} e^{-(1-s^2)\lambda^2/32},$$

which ends the proof.  $\square$

In view of Lemma 4.3, we obtain the dissipation estimate (DISS') as follows. Note that for  $t \geq 0$  we have  $e^{2t} - 1 \geq 2t$ . Let  $t > 0$  and  $\lambda > 0$ , and set  $s := e^{-t} \in (0, 1)$ . Then, for  $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , Young's inequality and Lemma 4.3 yield

$$\begin{aligned} \|(I - P_\lambda)S_t f\|_{C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)} &= \left\| \left( (I - P_\lambda)S_{\ln \frac{1}{s}} f \right) \left( \frac{1}{s} \cdot \right) \right\|_{C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ &\leq \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}((1-\chi\lambda/s)h_s)\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|f\|_{C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ &\leq d_2 e^{-d_3 \lambda^2 s^{-2}(1-s^2)} \|f\|_{C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)} = d_2 e^{-d_3 \lambda^2 (e^{2t}-1)} \\ &\leq d_2 e^{-2d_3 \lambda^2 t} \|f\|_{C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \quad (\text{DISS(OU)}) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  is thick and  $C: C_b(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow C_b(\Omega)$  is the restriction mapping as in Subsection 4.2, then (LS) and (DISS(OU)) provide the estimates (UP') and (DISS') and so Theorem 3.4 yields a final state observability estimate for the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup on  $C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

## 5. COST-UNIFORM APPROXIMATE NULL-CONTROLLABILITY AND DUALITY

In this section we want to show that cost-uniform approximate null-controllability is equivalent to final state observability of the dual system, which is known in the setting of norm-strongly continuous semigroups; see [3, 5, 24, 28]. In the bi-continuous setting this needs a bit of preparation so that we can formulate the corresponding definitions. Since we work in Hausdorff locally convex spaces, the choice of the “correct” integral may be delicate. We therefore provide the duality for continuous control functions, and thus relate it to a final state observability estimate of the dual system w.r.t. a space of vector measures.

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X, \tau_X)$  be a Saks space and  $T > 0$ . We set

$$C_{\tau,b}([0, T]; X) := \{f \in C([0, T]; (X, \tau_X)) \mid \|f\|_\infty := \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|f(t)\|_X < \infty\}$$

where  $C([0, T]; (X, \tau_X))$  is the space of continuous functions from  $[0, T]$  to  $(X, \tau_X)$ .

**Remark 5.2.** Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X, \tau_X)$  be a Saks space and  $T > 0$ .

- (a) Since the mixed topology  $\gamma_X$  coincides with  $\tau_X$  on  $\|\cdot\|_X$ -bounded sets by [27, Lemma 2.2.1], and a subset of  $X$  is  $\|\cdot\|_X$ -bounded if and only if it is  $\gamma_X$ -bounded by [27, Corollary 2.4.1] we have

$$C_{\tau,b}([0, T]; X) = C_b([0, T]; (X, \gamma_X)) = C([0, T]; (X, \gamma_X)).$$

We define two topologies on this space. First, the one given by the norm

$$\|f\|_\infty := \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|f(t)\|_X \quad (f \in C_{\tau,b}([0, T]; X)).$$

Second, the Hausdorff locally convex topology  $\gamma_\infty$  induced by the directed system of seminorms given by

$$p_{\gamma_\infty}(f) := \sup_{t \in [0, T]} p_{\gamma_X}(f(t)) \quad (f \in C_{\tau,b}([0, T]; X))$$

for  $p_{\gamma_X} \in \mathcal{P}_{\gamma_X}$  where  $\mathcal{P}_{\gamma_X}$  is a directed system of seminorms that induces the mixed topology  $\gamma_X$ . Clearly,  $\gamma_\infty$  is coarser than the  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ -topology.

- (b) We note that a subset  $B \subseteq C_{\tau,b}([0, T]; X)$  is  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ -bounded if and only if it is  $\gamma_\infty$ -bounded since a subset of  $X$  is  $\|\cdot\|_X$ -bounded if and only if it is  $\gamma_X$ -bounded by [27, 2.4.1 Corollary]. So  $((C_{\tau,b}([0, T]; X), \gamma_\infty)', \tau_b)$  is a topological subspace of  $C_{\tau,b}([0, T]; X)' = ((C_{\tau,b}([0, T]; X), \|\cdot\|_\infty)', \|\cdot\|_{C_{\tau,b}([0, T]; X)'})'$  where  $\tau_b$  denotes the topology of uniform convergence on  $\gamma_\infty$ -bounded sets. In the following we use the notation  $\|y'\|_{(C_{\tau,b}([0, T]; X), \gamma_\infty)'} := \|y'\|_{C_{\tau,b}([0, T]; X)'}$  for all  $y' \in (C_{\tau,b}([0, T]; X), \gamma_\infty)'$ .

**Proposition 5.3.** Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X, \tau_X)$  be a sequentially complete Saks space,  $(S_t)_{t \geq 0}$  a locally  $\tau_X$ -bi-continuous semigroup on  $X$  and  $T > 0$ . Let  $v \in C_{\tau,b}([0, T]; X)$  and set

$$f: [0, T] \rightarrow X, \quad f(t) := S_{T-t}v(t).$$

Then  $f \in C_{\tau,b}([0, T]; X)$ .

*Proof.* We denote by  $\mathcal{P}_{\tau_X}$  a system of directed seminorms that generates the topology  $\tau_X$  on  $X$ . Let  $(t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $[0, T]$  that converges to  $t \in [0, T]$  and set  $x_n := v(t_n) - v(t)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is  $\|\cdot\|_X$ -bounded and  $\tau_X$ - $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = 0$  due to our assumptions on  $v$ . We have for  $p \in \mathcal{P}_{\tau_X}$  that

$$\begin{aligned} p(f(t_n) - f(t)) &= p(S_{T-t_n}v(t_n) - S_{T-t}v(t)) \\ &\leq p(S_{T-t_n}v(t_n) - S_{T-t_n}v(t)) + p(S_{T-t_n}v(t) - S_{T-t}v(t)) \\ &\leq p(S_{T-t_n}x_n) + p((S_{T-t_n} - S_{T-t})v(t)) \end{aligned}$$



$$\leq \sup_{s \in [0, T]} p(S_s x_n) + p((S_{T-t_n} - S_{T-t})v(t)).$$

Combining our estimate above with the local bi-equicontinuity and  $\tau_X$ -strong continuity of the semigroup, we deduce that  $(f(t_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $f(t)$  in  $(X, \tau_X)$ . Hence  $f \in C([0, T]; (X, \tau_X))$ . Furthermore, as the semigroup is exponentially bounded, there are  $M \geq 1$  and  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$  such that for all  $t \in [0, T]$

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(t)\|_X &= \|S_{T-t}v(t)\|_X \leq \|S_{T-t}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \|v(t)\|_X \leq M e^{\omega(T-t)} \|v(t)\|_X \\ &\leq M e^{|\omega|T} \|v(t)\|_X, \end{aligned}$$

which yields that  $f$  is  $\|\cdot\|_X$ -bounded on  $[0, T]$  because  $v([0, T])$  is  $\|\cdot\|_X$ -bounded.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.4.** *Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X, \tau_X)$  be a sequentially complete Saks space,  $(S_t)_{t \geq 0}$  a locally  $\tau_X$ -bi-continuous semigroup on  $X$ ,  $(U, \|\cdot\|_U, \tau_U)$  a Saks space, and  $B \in \mathcal{L}(U; X)$  such that  $B$  is also sequentially  $\tau_U$ - $\tau_X$ -continuous on  $\|\cdot\|_U$ -bounded sets. Let  $T > 0$ ,  $u \in C_{\tau, b}([0, T]; U)$  and set  $f: [0, T] \rightarrow X$ ,  $f(t) := S_{T-t}Bu(t)$ . Then  $f$  is  $\tau_X$ -Pettis integrable and  $\gamma_X$ -Pettis integrable and both integrals coincide.*

*Proof.* The statement follows from [13, 3.7 Proposition (a)] and Proposition 5.3 because the map  $v: t \mapsto Bu(t)$  belongs to  $C_{\tau, b}([0, T]; X)$ .  $\square$

Now, we have everything at hand to formulate the definition of cost-uniform approximate null-controllability in the bi-continuous setting. Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X, \tau_X)$  be a sequentially complete Saks space,  $(U, \|\cdot\|_U, \tau_U)$  a Saks space,  $(S_t)_{t \geq 0}$  a locally  $\tau_X$ -bi-continuous semigroup on  $X$  with generator  $(-A, D(A))$ , and  $B \in \mathcal{L}(U; X)$  such that  $B$  is also sequentially  $\tau_U$ - $\tau_X$ -continuous on  $\|\cdot\|_U$ -bounded sets, and  $T > 0$ . We consider the linear control system

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}(t) &= -Ax(t) + Bu(t) \quad (t > 0), \\ x(0) &= x_0 \in X, \end{aligned} \tag{ConSys}$$

where  $u \in C_{\tau, b}([0, T]; U)$ . The function  $x$  is called *state function* and  $u$  is called *control function*. The unique mild solution of (ConSys) is given by Duhamel's formula

$$x(t) = S_t x_0 + \int_0^t S_{t-r} Bu(r) dr \quad (t \in [0, T])$$

due to [16, Proposition 11 (a)] and Proposition 5.4. Let  $\mathcal{P}_{\tau_X}$  be a directed system of seminorms that induces the topology  $\tau_X$ .

**Definition 5.5.** We say that (ConSys) is *cost-uniform approximately  $\tau_X$ -null-controllable in time  $T$  via  $C_{\tau, b}([0, T]; U)$*  if there exists  $C \geq 0$  such that for all  $x_0 \in X$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $p_{\tau_X} \in \mathcal{P}_{\tau_X}$  there exists  $u \in C_{\tau, b}([0, T]; U)$  with  $\|u\|_U \leq C \|x_0\|_X$  such that  $p_{\tau_X}(x(T)) \leq \varepsilon$ .

We note that this definition of cost-uniform approximate  $\tau_X$ -null-controllability does not depend on the choice of  $\mathcal{P}_{\tau_X}$ .

**Remark 5.6.** We can analogously define the notion of cost-uniform approximate  $\gamma_X$ -null-controllability in time  $T$  via  $C_{\tau, b}([0, T]; U)$  by using  $p_{\gamma_X} \in \mathcal{P}_{\gamma_X}$  instead of  $p_{\tau_X} \in \mathcal{P}_{\tau_X}$ . In view of Proposition 5.13 and Remark 5.14 these two notions are equivalent.

Next, we prepare the definition of final state observability of the dual system where we need to clarify which kind of duality we have to use.

**Definition 5.7.** Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X, \tau_X)$  be a Saks space and  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$  the scalar field of  $X$ .

- (a) We call  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X, \tau_X)$  *C-sequential* if  $(X, \gamma_X)$  is C-sequential, i.e. every convex sequentially open subset of  $(X, \gamma_X)$  is already open (see [23, p. 273]).
- (b) We call  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X, \tau_X)$  a *Mazur space* if  $(X, \gamma_X)$  is a Mazur space, i.e.

$$X'_\gamma := (X, \gamma_X)' = \{x' : X \rightarrow \mathbb{K} \mid x' \text{ linear and } \gamma_X\text{-sequentially continuous}\}$$

(see [26, p. 40]).

Examples of C-sequential Saks spaces can be found in [12, Example 2.4, Remarks 3.19, 3.20, Corollary 3.23].

**Remark 5.8.** Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X, \tau_X)$  be a Saks space.

- (a) If  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X, \tau_X)$  is C-sequential, then it is a Mazur space by [26, Theorem 7.4] (cf. [13, 4.6 Proposition (b)]).
- (b) The space

$$X^\circ := \{x' \in X' \mid x' \text{ } \tau_X\text{-sequentially continuous on } \|\cdot\|_X\text{-bounded sets}\}$$

is a closed linear subspace of the norm dual  $X'$  and hence a Banach space with norm given by  $\|x^\circ\|_{X^\circ} := \|x^\circ\|_{X'}$  for  $x^\circ \in X^\circ$  due to [7, Proposition 2.1] (note that the proof of [7, Proposition 2.1] does not use [7, Hypothesis A (ii)] which is the sequential completeness of  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X, \tau_X)$ ). We have  $X^\circ = X'_\gamma$  if and only if  $(X, \gamma_X)$  is a Mazur space by [13, 4.5 Remark].

Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$  and  $(U, \|\cdot\|_U)$  be Banach spaces. We recall that the dual operator  $B'$  of an element  $B \in \mathcal{L}(U; X)$  is defined by  $\langle B'x', u \rangle := \langle x', Bu \rangle$  for  $x' \in X'$  and  $u \in U$ .

**Proposition 5.9.** *Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X, \tau_X)$  be a sequentially complete C-sequential Saks space and  $(S_t)_{t \geq 0}$  a locally  $\tau_X$ -bi-continuous semigroup on  $X$ . Then the operators given by  $S_t^\circ x^\circ := S'_t x^\circ$  for  $t \geq 0$  and  $x^\circ \in X^\circ$  belong to  $\mathcal{L}(X^\circ)$  and form a  $\tau_c(X^\circ, (X, \|\cdot\|_X))$ -strongly continuous, exponentially bounded semigroup on  $X^\circ$  where  $\tau_c(X^\circ, (X, \|\cdot\|_X))$  denotes the topology of uniform convergence on  $\|\cdot\|_X$ -compact sets.*

*Proof.* Since  $(X, \gamma_X)$  is C-sequential, in particular Mazur by Remark 5.8 (a),  $(S_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is quasi- $\gamma_X$ -equicontinuous and  $X^\circ = X'_\gamma$  by [12, Theorem 3.17 (a)] and Remark 5.8 (b). In particular,  $S_t^\circ$  is the  $\gamma_X$ -dual map of  $S_t$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . It follows that  $S_t^\circ \in \mathcal{L}(X^\circ)$  for all  $t \geq 0$  and  $(S_t^\circ)_{t \geq 0}$  is a  $\sigma(X^\circ, X)$ -strongly continuous semigroup. As  $\sigma(X^\circ, X)$  and the mixed topology  $\gamma^\circ := \gamma(\|\cdot\|_{X^\circ}, \sigma(X^\circ, X))$  coincide on  $\|\cdot\|_{X^\circ}$ -bounded sets by Definition 2.1 (b),  $(S_t^\circ)_{t \geq 0}$  is also  $\gamma^\circ$ -strongly continuous. Due to [12, Proposition 3.22 (a)] we have  $\gamma^\circ = \tau_c(X^\circ, (X, \|\cdot\|_X))$ . Furthermore,  $(S_t^\circ)_{t \geq 0}$  is exponentially bounded (w.r.t.  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}(X^\circ)}$ ) because  $(S_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is exponentially bounded.  $\square$

The semigroup  $(S_t^\circ)_{t \geq 0}$  in the setting of Proposition 5.9 resembles a bi-continuous semigroup. For instance, we note that the generator  $(-A^\circ, D(A^\circ))$  of  $(S_t^\circ)_{t \geq 0}$  from Proposition 5.9 is given by

$$D(A^\circ) = \left\{ x^\circ \in X^\circ \mid \tau_c(X^\circ, (X, \|\cdot\|_X))\text{-} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{S_t^\circ x^\circ - x^\circ}{t} \text{ exists in } X^\circ \right\},$$

$$-A^\circ x = \tau_c(X^\circ, (X, \|\cdot\|_X))\text{-} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{S_t^\circ x^\circ - x^\circ}{t} \quad (x^\circ \in D(A^\circ))$$

and fulfils

$$D(A^\circ) = \left\{ x^\circ \in X^\circ \mid \sigma(X^\circ, X)\text{-} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{S_t^\circ x^\circ - x^\circ}{t} \text{ ex. in } X^\circ, \sup_{t \in (0,1]} \frac{\|S_t^\circ x^\circ - x^\circ\|_{X^\circ}}{t} < \infty \right\},$$

$$-A^\circ x = \sigma(X^\circ, X)\text{-} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{S_t^\circ x^\circ - x^\circ}{t} \quad (x^\circ \in D(A^\circ))$$

by [4, I.1.10 Proposition] for the mixed topology  $\gamma^\circ = \tau_c(X^\circ, (X, \|\cdot\|_X))$  and the exponential boundedness of  $(S_t^\circ)_{t \geq 0}$  (cf. [13, p. 5] in the bi-continuous setting). What is missing for bi-continuity are sequential completeness of the Saks space  $(X^\circ, \|\cdot\|_{X^\circ}, \sigma(X^\circ, X))$  and (local) bi-equicontinuity.

**Remark 5.10.** Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X, \tau_X)$  be a sequentially complete Saks space and  $(S_t)_{t \geq 0}$  a  $\tau_X$ -bi-continuous semigroup on  $X$  with generator  $(-A, D(A))$ . If

- (i)  $X^\circ \cap \{x' \in X' \mid \|x'\|_{X'} \leq 1\}$  is sequentially complete w.r.t.  $\sigma(X^\circ, X)$ ,
- (ii) every  $\|\cdot\|_{X'}$ -bounded  $\sigma(X^\circ, X)$ -null sequence in  $X^\circ$  is  $\tau_X$ -equicontinuous on  $\|\cdot\|_X$ -bounded sets,

(see [7, Hypothesis B and C]), then  $(X^\circ, \|\cdot\|_{X^\circ}, \sigma(X^\circ, X))$  is a sequentially complete Saks space and  $(S_t^\circ)_{t \geq 0}$  is a locally  $\sigma(X^\circ, X)$ -bi-continuous semigroup on  $X^\circ$  by [7, Proposition 2.4] with generator  $(-A^\circ, D(A^\circ))$  fulfilling

$$\begin{aligned} D(A^\circ) &= \{x^\circ \in X^\circ \mid \exists y^\circ \in X^\circ \forall x \in D(A) : \langle -Ax, x^\circ \rangle = \langle x, y^\circ \rangle\}, \\ -A^\circ x^\circ &= y^\circ \quad (x^\circ \in D(A^\circ)), \end{aligned}$$

by [2, Lemma 1].

We refer to [13, 4.10 Example] for examples of sequentially complete Saks spaces satisfying (i) and (ii) of Remark 5.10.

**Proposition 5.11.** Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X, \tau_X)$  and  $(U, \|\cdot\|_U, \tau_U)$  be Saks spaces, and  $B \in \mathcal{L}(U; X)$  such that  $B$  is also sequentially  $\tau_U$ - $\tau_X$ -continuous on  $\|\cdot\|_U$ -bounded sets. Then  $B^\circ := B'|_{X^\circ} \in \mathcal{L}(X^\circ; U^\circ)$  and is also  $\sigma(X^\circ, X)$ - $\sigma(U^\circ, U)$ -continuous.

*Proof.* Let  $x^\circ \in X^\circ$ ,  $u \in U$  and  $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  a  $\|\cdot\|_U$ -bounded sequence in  $U$  that  $\tau_U$ -converges to  $u$ . Since  $B \in \mathcal{L}(U; X)$  and  $B$  is also sequentially  $\tau_U$ - $\tau_X$ -continuous on  $\|\cdot\|_U$ -bounded sets, we have that  $(Bu_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is  $\|\cdot\|_X$ -bounded and  $\tau_X$ -convergent to  $Bu$ . This implies

$$\langle B^\circ x^\circ, u \rangle = \langle x^\circ, Bu \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x^\circ, Bu_n \rangle,$$

yielding  $B^\circ x^\circ \in U^\circ$  and the  $\sigma(X^\circ, X)$ - $\sigma(U^\circ, U)$ -continuity of  $B^\circ$ . Furthermore, we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|B^\circ x^\circ\|_{U^\circ} &= \|B^\circ x^\circ\|_{U'} = \sup_{\|u\|_U \leq 1} |\langle B^\circ x^\circ, u \rangle| = \sup_{\|u\|_U \leq 1} |\langle x^\circ, Bu \rangle| \leq \sup_{\|u\|_U \leq 1} \|Bu\|_X \|x^\circ\|_{X^\circ} \\ &= \|B\|_{\mathcal{L}(U; X)} \|x^\circ\|_{X^\circ} \end{aligned}$$

and thus  $B^\circ \in \mathcal{L}(X^\circ; U^\circ)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 5.12.** Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X, \tau_X)$  and  $(U, \|\cdot\|_U, \tau_U)$  be Saks spaces,  $B \in \mathcal{L}(U; X)$ . Consider the following assertions:

- (a)  $B$  is  $\tau_U$ - $\tau_X$ -continuous on  $\|\cdot\|_U$ -bounded sets.
- (b)  $B$  is sequentially  $\tau_U$ - $\tau_X$ -continuous on  $\|\cdot\|_U$ -bounded sets.

Then (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b) holds. Moreover, if  $(U, \|\cdot\|_U, \tau_U)$  is C-sequential, then (b) $\Rightarrow$ (a) holds.

*Proof.* The implication (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b) is obviously true. Let assertion (b) hold. It follows from [27, Theorem 2.3.1] that  $B$  is sequentially  $\gamma_U$ - $\tau_X$ -continuous. Hence (a) holds by [26, Theorem 7.4] if  $(U, \gamma_U)$  is C-sequential.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.13.** Let  $(V, \|\cdot\|_V)$ ,  $(W, \|\cdot\|_W)$  be Banach spaces,  $(Z, \|\cdot\|_Z, \tau_Z)$  a Saks space,  $\mathcal{P}_{\gamma_Z}$  a directed system of seminorms that induces the topology  $\gamma_Z$ ,  $F \in \mathcal{L}(V; Z)$  and  $G \in \mathcal{L}(W; Z)$ . Then the following assertions are equivalent:

- (a) There exists  $c_1 \geq 0$  such that  $\|F'z'\|_{V'} \leq c_1 \|G'z'\|_{W'}$  for all  $z' \in Z'_\gamma$ .
- (b) There exists  $c_2 \geq 0$  such that

$$\{Fv \mid v \in V, \|v\|_V \leq 1\} \subseteq \overline{\{Gw \mid w \in W, \|w\|_W \leq c_2\}}^{\gamma_Z}.$$

(c) *There exists  $c_3 \geq 0$  such that for all  $v \in V$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $p_{\gamma_Z} \in \mathcal{P}_{\gamma_Z}$  there is  $w \in W$  with  $\|w\|_W \leq c_3 \|v\|_V$  such that  $p_{\gamma_Z}(Fv + Gw) \leq \varepsilon$ .*

Moreover, we can choose  $c_1 = c_2 = c_3$ .

*Proof.* First, we note that if  $M$  and  $N$  are convex sets in  $Z$ , then we have  $N \subseteq \overline{M}^{\gamma_Z}$  if and only if

$$\sup_{z \in M} \operatorname{Re}\langle z', z \rangle \leq \sup_{z \in N} \operatorname{Re}\langle z', z \rangle \quad (3)$$

for all  $z' \in Z'_\gamma$  by [3, p. 220]. Second, let  $z' \in Z'_\gamma$ . For every  $z \in Z$  there is  $\lambda_z \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|\lambda_z| \leq 1$  such that  $|\langle z', z \rangle| = \operatorname{Re}\langle z', \lambda_z z \rangle$ . Thus, if  $M$  and  $N$  are additionally circled sets, then (3) is equivalent to

$$\sup_{z \in M} |\langle z', z \rangle| \leq \sup_{z \in N} |\langle z', z \rangle|$$

for all  $z' \in Z'_\gamma$ . Hence, setting  $N := \{Fv \mid v \in V, \|v\|_V \leq 1\}$ ,  $M := \{Gw \mid w \in W, \|w\|_W \leq c_2\}$  and observing that  $N, M \subseteq Z$  are convex, circled sets, we obtain the equivalence of (a) and (b), and that we can choose  $c_1 = c_2$ .

Let us turn to the equivalence of (b) and (c). For  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $p_{\gamma_Z} \in \mathcal{P}_{\gamma_Z}$  we set  $U_{\varepsilon, p_{\gamma_Z}} := \{z \in Z \mid p_{\gamma_Z}(z) \leq \varepsilon\}$ . For any  $M \subseteq Z$  we have

$$\overline{M}^{\gamma_Z} = \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0, p_{\gamma_Z} \in \mathcal{P}_{\gamma_Z}} M + U_{\varepsilon, p_{\gamma_Z}} \quad (4)$$

(see e.g. [10, 2.1.4 Proposition]). Let assertion (b) hold,  $v \in V$  with  $v \neq 0$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $p_{\gamma_Z} \in \mathcal{P}_{\gamma_Z}$ . Then there are  $\tilde{w} \in W$  with  $\|\tilde{w}\|_W \leq c_2$  and  $z \in Z$  with  $p_{\gamma_Z}(z) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\|v\|_V}$  such that  $F\left(-\frac{v}{\|v\|_V}\right) = G\tilde{w} + z$  by (b) and (4). From writing

$$-Fv = \|v\|_V F\left(\frac{-v}{\|v\|_V}\right) = \|v\|_V (G\tilde{w} + z) = G(\|v\|_V \tilde{w}) + \|v\|_V z,$$

setting  $w := \|v\|_V \tilde{w}$ , and using  $\|w\|_W = \|v\|_V \|\tilde{w}\|_W \leq c_2 \|v\|_V$  and

$$p_{\gamma_Z}(Fv + Gw) = p_{\gamma_Z}(-\|v\|_V z) = \|v\|_V p_{\gamma_Z}(z) \leq \|v\|_V \frac{\varepsilon}{\|v\|_V} = \varepsilon,$$

we conclude that (c) holds (the case  $v = 0$  is obvious).

Now, let assertion (c) hold. Let  $v \in V$  with  $\|v\|_V \leq 1$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $p_{\gamma_Z} \in \mathcal{P}_{\gamma_Z}$ . Then there is  $\tilde{w} \in W$  with  $\|\tilde{w}\|_W \leq c_3$  such that  $p_{\gamma_Z}(Fv + G\tilde{w}) \leq \varepsilon$ . Setting  $w := -\tilde{w}$ , using  $\|w\|_W = \|\tilde{w}\|_W \leq c_3$  and  $Fv = Gw + Fv + G\tilde{w}$ , we see that (b) holds due to (4). The proof of the equivalence of (b) and (c) also shows that we can choose  $c_2 = c_3$ .  $\square$

The proof of the equivalence of (a) and (b) is just an adaption of the proof of [3, Theorem 2.2, (iii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iv)].

**Remark 5.14.** Let  $(Z, \|\cdot\|_Z, \tau_Z)$  be a Saks space. Since the mixed topology  $\gamma_Z$  coincides with  $\tau_Z$  on  $\|\cdot\|_Z$ -bounded sets, we may equivalently replace the  $\gamma_Z$ -closure by the  $\tau_Z$ -closure in Proposition 5.13 (b) and thus  $\mathcal{P}_{\gamma_Z}$  in (c) by a directed system of seminorms  $\mathcal{P}_{\tau_Z}$  that induces the topology  $\tau_Z$ , too.

**Proposition 5.15.** *Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X, \tau_X)$  be a sequentially complete  $C$ -sequential Saks space,  $(U, \|\cdot\|_U, \tau_U)$  a Saks space,  $(S_t)_{t \geq 0}$  a locally  $\tau_X$ -bi-continuous semigroup on  $X$  and  $B \in \mathcal{L}(U; X)$  such that  $B$  is also  $\tau_U$ - $\tau_X$ -continuous on  $\|\cdot\|_U$ -bounded sets.*

- (a)  $(S_t B)_{t \geq 0}$  is quasi- $\gamma_U$ - $\gamma_X$ -equicontinuous.
- (b) Let  $T > 0$  and  $\mathcal{B}^T: C_{\tau, b}([0, T]; U) \rightarrow X$  be given by

$$\mathcal{B}^T u := \int_0^T S_{T-t} B u(t) dt.$$

- Then  $\mathcal{B}^T \in \mathcal{L}(C_{\tau,b}([0, T]; U); X) = \mathcal{L}((C_{\tau,b}([0, T]; U), \|\cdot\|_\infty); (X, \|\cdot\|_X))$  and  $\mathcal{B}^T$  is also  $\gamma_\infty$ - $\gamma_X$ -continuous.
- (c) Let  $\mathcal{B}^{T^\circ} x^\circ := \mathcal{B}^{T'} x^\circ$  for  $x^\circ \in X^\circ$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}^{T^\circ} x^\circ \in (C_{\tau,b}([0, T]; U), \gamma_\infty)'$  for all  $x^\circ \in X^\circ$ .

*Proof.* (a) Let  $M \subseteq U$  be a  $\|\cdot\|_U$ -bounded set. Then the restriction  $B|_M: M \rightarrow X$  of  $B$  to  $M$  is  $\tau_U|_M$ - $\tau_X$ -continuous. Since  $B \in \mathcal{L}(U; X)$ , the set  $B(M)$  is  $\|\cdot\|_X$ -bounded. Since the mixed topology  $\gamma_X$  coincides with  $\tau_X$  on  $\|\cdot\|_X$ -bounded sets by Definition 2.1 (b), it follows that  $B|_M$  is  $\tau_U|_M$ - $\gamma_X$ -continuous, yielding that  $B$  is  $\gamma_U$ - $\gamma_X$ -continuous by [4, I.1.7 Corollary]. Due to [12, Theorem 3.17 (a)]  $(S_t B)_{t \geq 0}$  is quasi- $\gamma_X$ -equicontinuous, proving part (a).

(b) Let  $\mathcal{P}_{\gamma_X}$  and  $\mathcal{P}_{\gamma_U}$  be directed systems of seminorms that induce the mixed topologies  $\gamma_X$  and  $\gamma_U$ , respectively. For  $p_{\gamma_X} \in \mathcal{P}_{\gamma_X}$  we set  $V_{p_{\gamma_X}} := \{x \in X \mid p_{\gamma_X}(x) < 1\}$  and denote its polar set by  $V_{p_{\gamma_X}}^\circ := \{x' \in X'_\gamma \mid \forall x \in V_{p_{\gamma_X}} : |x'(x)| \leq 1\}$ . It follows from part (a) that there are  $C \geq 0$  and  $p_{\gamma_U} \in \mathcal{P}_{\gamma_U}$  such that for all  $u \in C_{\tau,b}([0, T]; U)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} p_{\gamma_X}(\mathcal{B}^T u) &= \sup_{x' \in V_{p_{\gamma_X}}^\circ} \left| \langle x', \int_0^T S_{T-t} B u(t) dt \rangle \right| \leq \sup_{x' \in V_{p_{\gamma_X}}^\circ} \int_0^T |\langle x', S_{T-t} B u(t) \rangle| dt \\ &\leq T \sup_{x' \in V_{p_{\gamma_X}}^\circ} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\langle x', S_{T-t} B u(t) \rangle| = T \sup_{t \in [0, T]} p_{\gamma_X}(S_{T-t} B u(t)) \quad (5) \\ &\stackrel{(a)}{\leq} CT \sup_{t \in [0, T]} p_{\gamma_U}(u(t)) \end{aligned}$$

where we used [19, Proposition 22.14] in the first and second to last equation to get from  $p_{\gamma_X}$  to  $\sup_{x' \in V_{p_{\gamma_X}}^\circ}$  and back. Thus  $\mathcal{B}^T$  is  $\gamma_\infty$ - $\gamma_X$ -continuous. Furthermore, since  $X'_\gamma$  is norming for  $X$  by [14, Lemma 5.5 (a)], we may choose  $\mathcal{P}_{\gamma_X}$  such that  $\|x\|_X = \sup_{p_{\gamma_X} \in \mathcal{P}_{\gamma_X}} p_{\gamma_X}(x)$  for all  $x \in X$  by [12, Remark 2.2 (c)]. Due to our previous estimates and the exponential boundedness of  $(S_t)_{t \geq 0}$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{B}^T u\|_X &= \sup_{p_{\gamma_X} \in \mathcal{P}_{\gamma_X}} p_{\gamma_X}(\mathcal{B}^T u) \stackrel{(5)}{\leq} T \sup_{p_{\gamma_X} \in \mathcal{P}_{\gamma_X}} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} p_{\gamma_X}(S_{T-t} B u(t)) \\ &= T \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|S_{T-t} B u(t)\|_X \leq T \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|S_{T-t}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \|B u(t)\|_X \\ &\leq T M e^{|\omega|T} \|B\|_{\mathcal{L}(U; X)} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u(t)\|_U, \end{aligned}$$

yielding  $\mathcal{B}^T \in \mathcal{L}(C_{\tau,b}([0, T]; U); X)$ .

(c) It follows from  $X^\circ = X'_\gamma$  by Remark 5.8 (a) and part (b) that  $\mathcal{B}^{T^\circ}$  is the  $\gamma_X$ - $\gamma_\infty$ -dual map of  $\mathcal{B}^T$  and hence  $\mathcal{B}^{T^\circ} x^\circ \in (C_{\tau,b}([0, T]; U), \gamma_\infty)'$  for all  $x^\circ \in X^\circ$ .  $\square$

Now, we are ready to write down the dual system of (ConSys) and to phrase the kind of final state observability of this dual system we are seeking for. Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X, \tau_X)$  be a sequentially complete C-sequential Saks space,  $(U, \|\cdot\|_U, \tau_U)$  a Saks space,  $(S_t)_{t \geq 0}$  a locally  $\tau_X$ -bi-continuous semigroup on  $X$  with generator  $(-A, D(A))$ , and  $B \in \mathcal{L}(U; X)$  such that  $B$  is  $\tau_U$ - $\tau_X$ -continuous on  $\|\cdot\|_U$ -bounded sets, and  $T > 0$ . Using Proposition 5.9 and Proposition 5.11, the dual system of (ConSys) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}(t) &= -A^\circ x(t) \quad (t > 0), \\ y(t) &= B^\circ x(t) \quad (t > 0), \\ x(0) &= x_0 \in X^\circ. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{ObsSys})$$

**Definition 5.16.** We say that (ObsSys) satisfies a *final state observability estimate* in  $(C_{\tau,b}([0,T];U), \gamma_\infty)'$  if there exists  $C_{\text{obs}} \geq 0$  such that

$$\|S_T^\circ x^\circ\|_{X^\circ} \leq C_{\text{obs}} \left\| \mathcal{B}^{T^\circ} x^\circ \right\|_{(C_{\tau,b}([0,T];U), \gamma_\infty)'}$$

for all  $x^\circ \in X^\circ$ .

We spend the remaining part of this section with proving that cost-uniform approximate  $\tau_X$ -null-controllability in time  $T$  via  $C_{\tau,b}([0,T];U)$  of (ConSys) is equivalent to a final state observability estimate of (ObsSys) in  $(C_{\tau,b}([0,T];U), \gamma_\infty)'$ , and that the latter space is actually a certain space of vector measures.

Let  $\Omega$  be a locally compact Hausdorff space,  $(U, \vartheta_U)$  a locally convex Hausdorff space and  $\mathcal{P}_{\vartheta_U}$  a directed system of seminorms that induces  $\vartheta_U$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{B}(\Omega)$  the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\Omega$ , by  $M(\Omega)$  the space of all bounded complex (or real) Borel measures on  $\Omega$ , and by  $M(\Omega; (U, \vartheta_U)')$  the space of all finitely additive vector measures  $\nu: \mathcal{B}(\Omega) \rightarrow (U, \vartheta_U)'$ , i.e.  $\nu(N_1 \cup N_2) = \nu(N_1) + \nu(N_2)$  for all disjoint  $N_1, N_2 \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ , such that

- (i)  $\nu(\cdot)u \in M(\Omega)$  for all  $u \in U$ , and
- (ii) there exist  $p \in \mathcal{P}_{\vartheta_U}$  and  $C \geq 0$  such that

$$\sup_{(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{U}_p)} \left| \sum_{(N,u) \in (\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{U}_p)} \nu(N)u \right| \leq C$$

where the supremum is taken over all finite partitions  $\mathcal{N}$  of  $\Omega$  into disjoint Borel sets and all finite sets  $\mathcal{U}_p$  in  $U$  such that  $p(u) \leq 1$  for all  $u \in \mathcal{U}_p$ .

Let  $(U, \|\cdot\|_U, \tau_U)$  be a Saks space and  $T > 0$ . By [25, Theorem 1], the compactness of  $[0, T]$  and Remark 5.2 (a) the map

$$\Theta_\gamma: M([0, T]; U'_\gamma) \rightarrow (C_{\tau,b}([0, T]; U), \gamma_\infty)', \quad \Theta_\gamma(\nu)(u) := \int_0^T u(t) d\nu,$$

is a linear isomorphism. By the same theorem in combination with [25, Lemma 4] the map

$$\Theta_{\|\cdot\|}: M([0, T]; U') \rightarrow (C_{\tau,b}([0, T]; U), \|\cdot\|_\infty)', \quad \Theta_{\|\cdot\|}(\nu)(u) := \int_0^T u(t) d\nu,$$

is a topological isomorphism w.r.t. the semivariation norm on  $M([0, T]; U')$  and the dual norm on  $(C_{\tau,b}([0, T]; U), \|\cdot\|_\infty)'$  and

$$\left\| \Theta_{\|\cdot\|}(\nu) \right\|_{(C_{\tau,b}([0, T]; U), \|\cdot\|_\infty)'} = \|\nu\|_{\text{var}} \quad (\nu \in M([0, T]; U'))$$

where the semivariation norm is given by

$$\|\nu\|_{\text{var}} := \sup_{(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{U}_{\|\cdot\|_U})} \left| \sum_{(N,u) \in (\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{U}_{\|\cdot\|_U})} \nu(N)u \right| \quad (\nu \in M([0, T]; U'))$$

and the supremum is taken over all  $(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{U}_{\|\cdot\|_U})$  as in (ii) above with  $p$  replaced by  $\|\cdot\|_U$ . We note that it follows, e.g. from Remark 5.2 (b), that  $M([0, T]; U'_\gamma)$  is a topological subspace of  $M([0, T]; U')$  (if equipped with the relative topology).

**Theorem 5.17.** *Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X, \tau_X)$  be a sequentially complete  $C$ -sequential Saks space,  $(U, \|\cdot\|_U, \tau_U)$  a Saks space,  $(S_t)_{t \geq 0}$  a locally  $\tau_X$ -bi-continuous semigroup on  $X$  and  $B \in \mathcal{L}(U; X)$  such that  $B$  is also  $\tau_U$ - $\tau_X$ -continuous on  $\|\cdot\|_U$ -bounded sets. For  $T > 0$  we have  $B^\circ S_{(\cdot)}^\circ x^\circ \odot \lambda \in M([0, T]; U'_\gamma)$  and*

$$\left\| \mathcal{B}^{T^\circ} x^\circ \right\|_{(C_{\tau,b}([0, T]; U), \|\cdot\|_\infty)'} = \left\| B^\circ S_{(\cdot)}^\circ x^\circ \odot \lambda \right\|_{\text{var}} \quad (x^\circ \in X^\circ)$$

where

$$(B^\circ S_{(\cdot)}^\circ x^\circ \odot \lambda)(N)u := \int_N \langle B^\circ S_t^\circ x^\circ, u \rangle dt \quad (N \in \mathcal{B}([0, T]), u \in U)$$

for the Lebesgue measure  $\lambda$ .

*Proof.* First, we recall that  $X^\circ = X'_\gamma$  by Remark 5.8 (a) as the C-sequential space  $(X, \gamma_X)$  is Mazur. Let  $x^\circ \in X^\circ$ . Due to Proposition 5.15 (b) and Proposition 5.9  $\mathcal{B}^T$  is  $\gamma_\infty$ - $\gamma_X$ -continuous and

$$\langle \mathcal{B}^T x^\circ, u \rangle = \int_0^T \langle x^\circ, S_{T-t} B u(t) \rangle dt = \int_0^T \langle B^\circ S_{T-t}^\circ x^\circ, u(t) \rangle dt$$

for all  $u \in C_{\tau, b}([0, T]; U)$ .

For  $N \in \mathcal{B}([0, T])$  and  $u \in U$  we define  $(B^\circ S_{(\cdot)}^\circ x^\circ \odot \lambda)(N)u := \int_N \langle B^\circ S_t^\circ x^\circ, u \rangle dt$  and show that  $B^\circ S_{T-(\cdot)}^\circ x^\circ \odot \lambda \in M([0, T]; U'_\gamma)$ . By the proof of Proposition 5.15 (b) there are  $C \geq 0$  and  $p_{\gamma_U} \in \mathcal{P}_{\gamma_U}$  such that

$$|\langle B^\circ S_{T-t}^\circ x^\circ, u \rangle| = |\langle x^\circ, S_{T-t} B u \rangle| \leq C p_{\gamma_U}(u)$$

for all  $t \in [0, T]$  and all  $u \in U$ . In combination with the continuity of the map  $t \mapsto \langle B^\circ S_{T-t}^\circ x^\circ, u \rangle$  on  $[0, T]$  by Proposition 5.9 and Proposition 5.11 this implies that  $B^\circ S_{T-(\cdot)}^\circ x^\circ \odot \lambda: \mathcal{B}([0, T]) \rightarrow U'_\gamma$  is a well-defined finitely additive vector measure and that

$$(B^\circ S_{T-(\cdot)}^\circ x^\circ \odot \lambda)(\cdot)u = \int_{(\cdot)} \langle B^\circ S_{T-t}^\circ x^\circ, u \rangle dt \quad (u \in U)$$

belongs to  $M(\Omega)$ . Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be a finite partition of  $[0, T]$  into disjoint Borel sets and  $\mathcal{U}_{p_{\gamma_U}}$  a finite subset of  $U$  such that  $p_{\gamma_U}(u) \leq 1$  for all  $u \in \mathcal{U}_{p_{\gamma_U}}$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{(N, u) \in (\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{U}_{p_{\gamma_U}})} (B^\circ S_{T-(\cdot)}^\circ x^\circ \odot \lambda)(N)u \right| &= \left| \sum_{(N, u) \in (\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{U}_{p_{\gamma_U}})} \int_N \langle B^\circ S_{T-t}^\circ x^\circ, u \rangle dt \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{(N, u) \in (\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{U}_{p_{\gamma_U}})} \lambda(N) C p_{\gamma_U}(u) \\ &\leq C \sum_{N \in \mathcal{N}} \lambda(N) = C \lambda([0, T]) = CT, \end{aligned}$$

yielding  $B^\circ S_{T-(\cdot)}^\circ x^\circ \odot \lambda \in M([0, T]; U'_\gamma)$ . Analogously,  $B^\circ S_{(\cdot)}^\circ x^\circ \odot \lambda \in M([0, T]; U'_\gamma)$ .

Finally, since

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{B}^T x^\circ, u \rangle &= \int_0^T \langle B^\circ S_{T-t}^\circ x^\circ, u(t) \rangle dt = \int_0^T u(t) d(B^\circ S_{T-(\cdot)}^\circ x^\circ \odot \lambda) \\ &= \Theta_\gamma(B^\circ S_{T-(\cdot)}^\circ x^\circ \odot \lambda)(u) = \Theta_{\|\cdot\|}(B^\circ S_{T-(\cdot)}^\circ x^\circ \odot \lambda)(u) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $u \in C_{\tau, b}([0, T]; U)$ , it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \mathcal{B}^T x^\circ \right\|_{(C_{\tau, b}([0, T]; U), \|\cdot\|_\infty)'} &= \left\| \Theta_{\|\cdot\|}(B^\circ S_{T-(\cdot)}^\circ x^\circ \odot \lambda) \right\|_{(C_{\tau, b}([0, T]; U), \|\cdot\|_\infty)'} \\ &= \left\| B^\circ S_{T-(\cdot)}^\circ x^\circ \odot \lambda \right\|_{var}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be a finite partition of  $[0, T]$  and  $\mathcal{U}_{\|\cdot\|_U}$  a finite set in  $U$  such that  $\|u\|_U \leq 1$  for all  $u \in \mathcal{U}_{\|\cdot\|_U}$ . Then  $T - \mathcal{N} := \{T - N \mid N \in \mathcal{N}\}$  is also a finite partition of  $[0, T]$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(N, u) \in (\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{U}_{\|\cdot\|_U})} (B^\circ S_{T-(\cdot)}^\circ x^\circ \odot \lambda)(N)u &= \sum_{(N, u) \in (\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{U}_{\|\cdot\|_U})} \int_N \langle B^\circ S_{T-t}^\circ x^\circ, u \rangle dt \\ &= - \sum_{(T-N, u) \in (T-\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{U}_{\|\cdot\|_U})} \int_{T-N} \langle B^\circ S_t^\circ x^\circ, u \rangle dt. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\left\| \mathcal{B}^{T^\circ} x^\circ \right\|_{(C_{\tau,b}([0,T];U), \|\cdot\|_\infty)'} = \left\| B^\circ S_{T-\cdot}^\circ x^\circ \odot \lambda \right\|_{var} = \left\| B^\circ S_{(\cdot)}^\circ x^\circ \odot \lambda \right\|_{var}. \quad \square$$

**Theorem 5.18.** *Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X, \tau_X)$  be a sequentially complete  $C$ -sequential Saks space,  $(U, \|\cdot\|_U, \tau_U)$  a Saks space,  $(S_t)_{t \geq 0}$  a locally  $\tau_X$ -bi-continuous semigroup on  $X$  and  $B \in \mathcal{L}(U; X)$  such that  $B$  is also  $\tau_U$ - $\tau_X$ -continuous on  $\|\cdot\|_U$ -bounded sets, and  $T > 0$ . Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (a) *The system in (ConSys) is cost-uniform approximately  $\tau_X$ -null-controllable in time  $T$  via  $C_{\tau,b}([0, T]; U)$ .*
- (b) *The system in (ObsSys) satisfies a final state observability estimate in  $(C_{\tau,b}([0, T]; U), \gamma_\infty)'$ .*
- (c) *There exists  $C_{\text{obs}} \geq 0$  such that*

$$\forall x^\circ \in X^\circ : \quad \|S_T^\circ x^\circ\|_{X^\circ} \leq C_{\text{obs}} \|B^\circ S_{(\cdot)}^\circ x^\circ \odot \lambda\|_{var}.$$

*Proof.* This statement follows from the equivalence of (a) and (c) in Proposition 5.13 with  $V := Z := X$ ,  $W := C_{\tau,b}([0, T]; U)$ ,  $F := S_T$  and  $G := \mathcal{B}^T$  in combination with Theorem 5.17, Remark 5.14 and  $X^\circ = X'_\gamma$ .  $\square$

Even in the setting of Banach spaces, i.e.  $\tau_X = \tau_{\|\cdot\|_X}$ ,  $\tau_U = \tau_{\|\cdot\|_U}$ , where we have  $C_{\tau,b}([0, T]; U) = C([0, T]; U)$  and  $(C_{\tau,b}([0, T]; U), \gamma_\infty)' = C([0, T]; U)' = M([0, T]; U')$  as well as  $X^\circ = X'$ , the results of Theorem 5.18 seem to be new.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] C. Bombach, D. Gallaun, C. Seifert, and M. Tautenhahn. Observability and null-controllability for parabolic equations in  $L_p$ -spaces. arXiv: 2005.14503v2, 2021.
- [2] C. Budde. Positive Miyadera–Voigt perturbations of bi-continuous semigroups. *Positivity*, 25(3):1107–1129, 2021. doi:10.1007/s11117-020-00806-1.
- [3] O. Carja. On constraint controllability of linear systems in Banach spaces. *J. Optim. Theory Appl.*, 56(2):215–225, 1988. doi:10.1007/BF00939408.
- [4] J.B. Cooper. *Saks spaces and applications to functional analysis*. North-Holland Math. Stud. 28. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1978.
- [5] R.G. Douglas. On majorization, factorization, and range inclusion of operators on Hilbert space. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 17(2):413–415, 1966. doi:10.1090/S0002-9939-1966-0203464-1.
- [6] B. Farkas. *Perturbations of bi-continuous semigroups*. PhD thesis, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, 2003.
- [7] B. Farkas. Adjoint bi-continuous semigroups and semigroups on the space of measures. *Czech. Math. J.*, 61(2):309–322, 2011. doi:10.1007/s10587-011-0076-0.
- [8] D. Gallaun, C. Seifert, and M. Tautenhahn. Sufficient criteria and sharp geometric conditions for observability in Banach spaces. *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, 58(4):2639–2657, 2020. doi:10.1137/19M1266769.
- [9] D. Gallaun, J. Meichsner, and C. Seifert. Final state observability in Banach spaces with applications to Subordination and Semigroups induced by Lévy processes. arXiv: 2202.05835v1, 2022.
- [10] H. Jarchow. *Locally convex spaces*. Math. Leitfäden. Teubner, Stuttgart, 1981. doi:10.1007/978-3-322-90559-8.
- [11] O. Kovrijkine. Some results related to the Logvinenko–Sereda theorem. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 129(10):3037–3047, 2001. doi:10.1090/S0002-9939-01-05926-3.



- [12] K. Kruse and F.L. Schwenninger. On equicontinuity and tightness of bi-continuous semigroups. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 509(2):1–27, 2022. doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2021.125985.
- [13] K. Kruse and F.L. Schwenninger. Sun dual theory for bi-continuous semigroups, 2022. arXiv: 2203.12765v2.
- [14] K. Kruse, J. Meichsner, and C. Seifert. Subordination for sequentially equicontinuous equibounded  $C_0$ -semigroups. *J. Evol. Equ.*, 21(2):2665–2690, 2021. doi:10.1007/s00028-021-00700-7.
- [15] F. Kühnemund. *Bi-continuous semigroups on spaces with two topologies: Theory and applications*. PhD thesis, Eberhard-Karls-Universität Tübingen, 2001.
- [16] F. Kühnemund. A Hille–Yosida theorem for bi-continuous semigroups. *Semigroup Forum*, 67(2):205–225, 2003. doi:10.1007/s00233-002-5000-3.
- [17] G. Lebeau and L. Robbiano. Contrôle exact de l'équation de la chaleur. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 20(1–2):335–356, 1995. doi:10.1080/03605309508821097.
- [18] V.N. Logvinenko and Ju.F. Sereda. Equivalent norms in spaces of entire functions of exponential type. *Teor. Funkts., Funkts. Anal. Prilozh.*, 20:102–111, 1974.
- [19] R. Meise and D. Vogt. *Introduction to functional analysis*. Oxf. Grad. Texts Math. 2. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1997.
- [20] L. Miller. A direct Lebeau–Robbiano strategy for the observability of heat-like semigroups. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B*, 14(4):1465–1485, 2010. doi:10.3934/dcdsb.2010.14.1465.
- [21] J.R. Munkres. *Topology*. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NY, 2nd edition, 2000.
- [22] I. Nakić, M. Täufer, M. Tautenhahn, and I. Veselić. Sharp estimates and homogenization of the control cost of the heat equation on large domains. *ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var.*, 26(54):26 pages, 2020. doi:10.1051/cocv/2019058.
- [23] R.F. Snipes. C-sequential and S-bornological topological vector spaces. *Math. Ann.*, 202(4):273–283, 1973. doi:10.1007/BF01433457.
- [24] A. Vieru. On null controllability of linear systems in Banach spaces. *Systems Control Lett.*, 54(4):331–337, 2005. doi:10.1016/j.sysconle.2004.09.004.
- [25] J. Wells. Bounded continuous vector-valued functions on a locally compact space. *Michigan Math. J.*, 12(1):119–126, 1965. doi:10.1307/mmj/1028999252.
- [26] A. Wilansky. Mazur spaces. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 4(1):39–53, 1981. doi:10.1155/S0161171281000021.
- [27] A. Wiweger. Linear spaces with mixed topology. *Studia Math.*, 20(1):47–68, 1961. doi:10.4064/sm-20-1-47-68.
- [28] X. Yu, K. Liu, and P. Chen. On null controllability of linear systems via bounded control functions. In *Proceedings of the 2006 American Control Conference*, pages 1458–1461. IEEE, Piscataway, 2006. doi:10.1109/ACC.2006.1656423.

(Karsten Kruse, Christian Seifert) HAMBURG UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, AM SCHWARZENBERG-CAMPUS 3, 21073 HAMBURG, GERMANY  
*Email address:* karsten.kruse@tuhh.de

*Email address:* christian.seifert@tuhh.de