

WEAK RAMANUJAN PROPERTY OF THE STANDARD NON-UNIFORM ARITHMETIC QUOTIENT OF PGL_4

SOONKI HONG AND SANGHOON KWON

ABSTRACT. Let F be a field of formal series over a finite field and \mathcal{B}_d be the affine building associated to $PGL_d(F)$. Given a lattice Γ in $PGL_d(F)$, the complex arising as a quotient $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{B}_d$ is called weakly Ramanujan if every non-trivial discrete simultaneous spectrum of the colored adjacency operators A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{d-1} acting on $L^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{B}_d)$ is contained in the simultaneous spectrum of those operators acting on $L^2(\mathcal{B})$. In this paper, we prove that the standard non-uniform arithmetic quotient $PGL_4(\mathbb{F}_q[t]) \backslash \mathcal{B}_4$ of $PGL_4(F)$ is weakly Ramanujan.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries: Buildings and colored adjacency operators	5
3. $PGL(4, \mathbb{F}_q[t])$ quotient of the building \mathcal{B}_4	7
4. Calculation of natural weights	10
5. Simultaneous eigenfunctions of the weighted adjacency operators	15
6. Automorphic spectra of the weighted adjacency operators	16
Appendix A. Simultaneous eigenfunctions via recurrence relation	22
References	27

1. INTRODUCTION

Ramanujan graphs are optimal expanding graphs from a spectral point of view. They can be constructed as quotients of the Bruhat-Tits tree associated to $PGL(2, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ by congruence subgroups of uniform lattices of $PGL(2, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ (see [LPS]), using Ramanujan conjecture for classical modular forms. More examples were given by Morgenstern [Mo1], replacing \mathbb{Q}_p by $\mathbb{F}_q((t^{-1}))$. One significant difference between $PGL(2, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ and $PGL_2(\mathbb{F}_q((t^{-1})))$ is that $PGL(2, \mathbb{F}_q((t^{-1})))$ has a non-uniform lattice $\Gamma = PGL(2, \mathbb{F}_q[t])$. For congruence subgroups Λ of Γ , the quotient graphs are infinite but the edges and vertices come with suitable weights w so that the total volume associated to the weight

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 20E42, 20G25; Secondary 47A25.

is finite. Under these weights on vertices and edges, the adjacency operator A on the $(q+1)$ -regular tree \mathcal{T}_{q+1} induces the weighted adjacency operator A_X on the quotient $X = \Lambda \backslash \mathcal{T}_{q+1}$.

In [Mo2], the author defined *Ramanujan diagrams* as such weighted objects satisfying the similar bound for non-trivial spectra of A_X . A *diagram* is a pair (X, w) where X is a bipartite graph and $w: V \cup E \rightarrow \{\frac{1}{n}: n = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ is the *weight function* satisfying

$$\sum_{v \in VX} w(v) < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{w(u)}{w(e)}, \frac{w(v)}{w(e)} \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } e = (u, v) \in EX.$$

Let f, g be functions on VX and set

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{v \in V} f(v) \overline{g(v)} w(v)$$

which defines $L_w^2(X)$ as a Hilbert space. A diagram (X, w) is called a Ramanujan diagram if every non-trivial spectrum of the weight adjacency operator A_X on $L_w^2(X)$ is contained in the interval $[-2\sqrt{q}, 2\sqrt{q}]$. For example, the diagram $(PGL(2, \mathbb{F}_q[t]) \backslash \mathcal{T}_{q+1}, w)$ with the weights

$$w(v) = \frac{1}{|\Gamma_v|} \quad \text{and} \quad w(e) = \frac{1}{|\Gamma_e|}$$

is a Ramanujan diagram since the adjacency operator on $L_w^2(PGL(2, \mathbb{F}_q[t]) \backslash \mathcal{T}_{q+1})$ has discrete spectrum $\pm(q+1)$ and continuous spectrum $[-2\sqrt{q}, 2\sqrt{q}]$ (see Figure 1).




FIGURE 1. Spectrum of A_X on $L_w^2(PGL(2, \mathbb{F}_q[t]) \backslash \mathcal{T}_{q+1})$

The authors in [CSZ] suggested a generalization of the notion of Ramanujan graphs to the simplicial complexes obtained as finite quotients of the Bruhat-Tits building associated to $PGL(d, F)$ for a non-Archimedean local field F . Let \mathcal{B} be the building associated to $PGL(d, F)$. The *colored adjacency operator* $A_j: L^2(\mathcal{B}_d) \rightarrow L^2(\mathcal{B}_d)$ is defined for $f \in L^2(\mathcal{B}_d)$ by

$$A_j f(x) = \sum_{\substack{y \sim x \\ \tau(y) = \tau(x) + j}} f(y),$$

where $y \sim x$ implies that there is an edge between y and x in \mathcal{B}_d and $\tau: V\mathcal{B}_d \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ is a color function (see Section 2 for the precise definition). Let \mathcal{S}^d be the simultaneous spectrum of the colored adjacency operators (A_1, \dots, A_{d-1}) on $L^2(V\mathcal{B}_d)$, which may be

computed explicitly as a subset of \mathbb{C}^{d-1} (see Theorem 2.11 of [LSV1]). In fact, if the cardinality of the residue field of F is q , then \mathcal{S}^d is equal to the set $\sigma(S)$ for

$$S = \{(z_1, \dots, z_d) : |z_1| = \dots = |z_d| = 1 \text{ and } z_1 z_2 \cdots z_d = 1\}$$

and the map $\sigma: S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{d-1}$ given by $(z_1, \dots, z_d) \mapsto (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{d-1})$ where

$$\lambda_k = q^{\frac{k(d-k)}{2}} \sigma_k(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_d).$$

A finite complex X arising as a quotient of \mathcal{B}_d is called *Ramanujan* if every non-trivial automorphic spectrum $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{d-1})$ of $A_{X,j}$ acting on $L^2(VX)$ is contained in the simultaneous spectrum \mathcal{S}^d of A_j on $L^2(V\mathcal{B}_d)$. In [LSV1], [LSV2], [Li] and [Sar], the authors constructed higher dimensional Ramanujan complexes arising as finite quotients of $PGL(d, F)$.

In [Sam], the author investigated non-uniform Ramanujan quotients of the Bruhat-Tits building \mathcal{B}_d associated to $PGL(d, \mathbb{F}_q((t^{-1})))$, generalizing the finite Ramanujan complexes. She proved using the representation-theoretic argument that if $d > 2$, then for $G = PGL(d, \mathbb{F}_q((t^{-1})))$, $\Gamma = PGL(d, \mathbb{F}_q[t])$ and \mathcal{B}_d the Bruhat-Tits building of G , the quotient $\Lambda \backslash \mathcal{B}_d$ is not Ramanujan for any finite index subgroup Λ of Γ . This mainly comes from the following fact: the Ramanujan conjecture in positive characteristic for PGL_d for $d > 2$, achieved by Lafforgue, gives bounds on the cuspidal spectrum, but the other parts of the spectrum do not satisfy the same bounds as the cuspidal spectrum. See Figure 2 for the spectrum of A_1 on $PGL(3, \mathbb{F}_q[t]) \backslash \mathcal{B}_3$.




FIGURE 2. Spectrum of A_1 on $L_w^2(PGL(3, \mathbb{F}_q[t]) \backslash \mathcal{B}_3)$

From a representation-theoretic perspective, one may consider the property of the complex $\Lambda \backslash \mathcal{B}_d$ being *weakly Ramanujan*. Given a lattice Γ in $PGL_d(\mathbb{F}_q((t^{-1})))$, the complex arising as the quotient $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{B}_d$ is called weakly Ramanujan if every non-trivial *discrete* simultaneous spectrum of the colored adjacency operators with natural weights $A_{w,1}, A_{w,2}, \dots, A_{w,d-1}$ acting on $L_w^2(\Gamma \backslash V\mathcal{B}_d)$ is contained in the simultaneous spectrum \mathcal{S}^d of A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{d-1} on $L^2(V\mathcal{B}_d)$. For every prime d with $d \geq 3$, it is known that $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{B}_d$ is weakly Ramanujan for every congruence subgroup Γ of $PGL_d(\mathbb{F}_q[t])$. On the other hand, when d is not a prime, there are infinitely many non-uniform quotients $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{B}_d$ which are not weakly Ramanujan.

In this paper, we explore the simplest non-prime case. We give a combinatorial characterization of the automorphic spectrum of the natural weighted adjacency operator on the non-uniform simplicial complex $PGL(4, \mathbb{F}_q[t]) \backslash \mathcal{B}_4$ and prove that it is indeed weakly Ramanujan.

Theorem 1.1. *The standard non-uniform arithmetic quotient $PGL_4(\mathbb{F}_q[t]) \backslash \mathcal{B}_4$ of the building for $PGL(4, \mathbb{F}_q((t^{-1})))$ is weakly Ramanujan.*

The following theorem describes the automorphic simultaneous spectrum of $A_{w,i}$, which yields Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.2. *If $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$ is a simultaneous spectrum of A_i , then (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) belongs to one of the following cases:*

- $(q\sqrt{q}e^{\frac{k\pi}{2}i}, \sqrt{q}e^{\frac{k\pi}{2}i}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}e^{\frac{k\pi}{2}i}, \frac{1}{q\sqrt{q}}e^{\frac{k\pi}{2}i}), k = 0, 1, 2, 3; \leftarrow$ *trivial spectrum*
- $(qe^{i\theta}, e^{i\theta}, e^{-3i\theta}, \frac{1}{q}e^{i\theta}), \theta \in \mathbb{R};$
- $(\sqrt{q}e^{i\theta_1}, \sqrt{q}e^{-i\theta_1}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}e^{i\theta_1}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}e^{-i\theta_1}), \theta_1 \in \mathbb{R};$
- $(\sqrt{q}e^{i\theta_1}, e^{i\theta_2}, e^{-i(\theta_2+2\theta_1)}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}e^{i\theta_1}), \theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathbb{R};$
- $(e^{i\theta_1}, e^{i\theta_2}, e^{i\theta_3}, e^{-i(\theta_1+\theta_2+\theta_3)}), \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3 \in \mathbb{R}.$

In fact, there is no non-trivial discrete automorphic simultaneous spectrum of $A_{w,i}$ on $L_w^2(PGL_4(\mathbb{F}_q[t]) \backslash \mathcal{B}_4)$. We remark that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of 4-tuples $\{(|z_1|, |z_2|, |z_3|, |z_4|)\}$ and the set of integer partitions of 4 by matching $(q^{\frac{n-1}{2}}, q^{\frac{n-3}{2}}, \dots, q^{\frac{1-n}{2}})$ to n . Namely,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(q\sqrt{q}, \sqrt{q}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}, \frac{1}{q\sqrt{q}}\right) &\longleftrightarrow 4 \\
\left(q, 1, \frac{1}{q}, q\right) &\longleftrightarrow 3+1 \\
\left(\sqrt{q}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}, \sqrt{q}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}\right) &\longleftrightarrow 2+2 \\
\left(\sqrt{q}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}, 1, 1\right) &\longleftrightarrow 2+1+1 \\
(1, 1, 1, 1) &\longleftrightarrow 1+1+1+1
\end{aligned}$$

We believe that this is also true for all higher dimensional cases. In particular, the standard non-uniform arithmetic quotient $PGL_d(\mathbb{F}_q[t]) \backslash \mathcal{B}_d$ is weakly Ramanujan for all $d \geq 3$.

2. PRELIMINARIES: BUILDINGS AND COLORED ADJACENCY OPERATORS

Let F be a non-Archimedean local field with a discrete valuation ν and \mathcal{O}_F be the valuation ring of F with the uniformizer π . Let G be the projective general linear group $PGL(d, F)$ over F given by

$$PGL(d, F) = GL(d, F) / \{\lambda I : \lambda \in F^\times\}$$

and denote by W the image of the map $g \rightarrow g\{\lambda I : \lambda \in F^\times\}$ from $GL(d, \mathcal{O}_F)$ to $PGL(d, F)$. Two \mathcal{O}_F -modules L and L' of rank d are said to be equivalent if $L = sL'$ for some $s \in F^\times$. Each coset gW in G/W can be interpreted as an equivalence class of \mathcal{O}_F -lattices, i.e., \mathcal{O}_F -modules of rank d .

The Bruhat-Tits building \mathcal{B}_d associated to $PGL(d, F)$ is the $(d-1)$ -dimensional contractible simplicial complex constructed as follows. The set of vertices $\mathcal{B}_d^{(0)}$ is the set of equivalence classes $[L]$ of \mathcal{O}_F -lattices. More generally, the set $\mathcal{B}_d^{(k)}$ of k -simplices is the set of $k+1$ -vertices $[L_0], [L_1], \dots, [L_k]$ satisfying

$$(2.1) \quad \pi L'_1 \subset L'_k \subset L'_{k-1} \subset \dots \subset L'_2 \subset L'_1$$

for some $L'_i \in [L_i]$. A maximal set of mutually adjacent vertices has cardinality d and they form a $(d-1)$ -dimensional simplicial complex, called *chamber*. The chambers sharing a fixed vertex correspond bijectively to the complete flags in $(\mathcal{O}/\pi\mathcal{O})^d$.

The group $PGL(d, F)$ acts on \mathcal{B}_d by left translation, preserving k -dimensional facets for all $0 \leq k \leq d-1$. Since the group W is the stabilizer of the vertex $[\mathcal{O}_F^d]$, the set $\mathcal{B}_d^{(0)}$ of vertices identified with the quotient space G/W and we may denote by $[L_g]$ the vertex of \mathcal{B}_d corresponding to the coset gW . Each vertex $[L_g]$ has a *color* given by $\text{ord}_\pi \det(g) \bmod d$. Equivalently, the color τ from $\mathcal{B}_d^{(0)}$ to $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ is defined by

$$(2.2) \quad \tau([L]) := \log_q[\mathcal{O}_F^d : \pi^i L],$$

for sufficiently large positive integer i satisfying $\pi^i L \subset \mathcal{O}_F^d$. Since $[\pi^i L : \pi^{i+1} L] = d$, the color of a vertex $[L]$ does not depend on the choice of a lattice in $[L]$. Adjacent vertices have different colors, and in particular, the vertices in a chamber exhaust all possible colors.

Let $L^2(\mathcal{B}_d)$ be the space of functions f on \mathcal{B}_d satisfying

$$\|f\|_2 := \left(\sum_{x \in \mathcal{B}_d^{(0)}} |f(x)|^2 \right)^{1/2} < \infty.$$

The *colored adjacency operator* A_i on $L^2(\mathcal{B}_d)$ is defined for any L^2 -function f by

$$(2.3) \quad A_i f(x) := \sum_{\substack{y \sim x \\ \tau(y) = \tau(x) + i}} f(y),$$

where $y \sim x$ implies that y is a neighborhood x . These operators are bounded, commutative, and the operators A_i and A_{d-i} are the adjoint of each other. Consequently, each A_i is a normal operator.

Generalizing the idea of [Mo2], the colored adjacency operators with weights are introduced in [Sam]. If Γ is discrete subgroup of $PGL(d, F)$, then $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{B}_d$ is a finite complex. Although the color function defined on $\mathcal{B}_d^{(0)}$ may not be preserved by Γ , the colors defined on the set $\mathcal{B}_d^{(1)}$ of edges by

$$\tau(x, y) = \tau(x) - \tau(y) \pmod{d}$$

are preserved by Γ . Thus, the operators A_i defined by (2.3) induce $A_{X,i}$ on the space of functions on $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{B}_d$. If Γ acts on \mathcal{B}_d with torsions, the induced operators on the quotient should come with weights involving the cardinality of the stabilizer of the vertices and edges.

Let X be a (not necessarily finite) simplicial complex. Denote by $V = V(X)$ and $E = E(X)$ the set of vertices and edges of X , respectively. Let (u, v) be the edge connecting u and v .

Definition 2.1. A function $w : V \cup E \rightarrow (0, 1]$ is called a *weight function* if $\frac{w(e)}{w(v)} \geq 1$ for any $v \in V$ and $e \in E$ with $v \in e$. The function $\theta(u, v) := \frac{w(e)}{w(u)}$ is called the *entering degree* of $e = (u, v)$ to u . The *in-degree* of a vertex u is defined for any $u \in V$, by $\text{in-degree}(u) := \sum_{(u,v) \in E} \theta(u, v)$. A simplicial complex X with a weight function w is k -regular if $\text{in-degree}(u) = k$ for any $u \in V$.

Fix a weight function w . A measure μ on V is defined by $\mu(S) = \sum_{v \in S} w(v)$ for any $S \subset V$. The measure μ allows to define L^2 -norm of a function f by

$$\|f\|_{2,w} := \left(\sum_{u \in V} |f(u)|^2 w(u) \right)^{1/2}.$$

Denote $L_w^2(X)$ be the space of functions f on V satisfying $\|f\|_{2,w} < \infty$.

Definition 2.2. The *weighted adjacency operators* $A_{w,i}$ on $L_w^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{B}_d)$ is defined for any $f \in L_w^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{B}_d)$ by

$$A_{w,i} f(u) := \sum_{\substack{(u,v) \in E \\ \tau(v) = \tau(u) + i}} \frac{w(u, v)}{w(u)} f(v).$$

With respect to the weight w given by

$$w(v) = \frac{1}{|\Gamma_v|} \quad \text{and} \quad w(e) = \frac{1}{|\Gamma_e|},$$

the colored adjacency operators A_i on \mathcal{B}_d induce the weighted adjacency operators $A_{w,i}$ on $L_w^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{B}_d)$.

The *simultaneous spectrum* \mathcal{S}^d of A_1, \dots, A_{d-1} is the subset of d -tuples $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{d-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^{d-1}$ for which there exists a sequence $\{f_n\}$ of L^2 -functions with $\|f_n\|_2 = 1$ such that for any $i = 1, \dots, d-1$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A_i f_n - \lambda_i f_n\|_2 = 0.$$

According to MacDonald [Ma], the spectrum of A_i for $1 \leq i \leq d-1$ on \mathcal{B}_d is $q^{i(d-i)}\Omega_{d,i}$ where

$$\Omega_{d,i} = \{\sigma_i(z_1, \dots, z_d) : |z_1| = \dots = |z_d| = 1 \text{ and } z_1 z_2 \cdots z_d = 1\}$$

and $\sigma_i(z_1, \dots, z_d) = \sum_{1 \leq k_1 < \dots < k_i \leq d} z_{k_1} z_{k_2} \cdots z_{k_i}$ is the i -th elementary symmetric polynomial.

3. $PGL(4, \mathbb{F}_q[t])$ QUOTIENT OF THE BUILDING \mathcal{B}_4

Let \mathbb{F}_q be a finite field of order q . Let $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ and $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ be the ring of polynomials and the field of rational functions over \mathbb{F}_q , respectively. Consider the absolute value $\|\cdot\|$ of $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ given by

$$\left\| \frac{f}{g} \right\| := q^{\deg(f) - \deg(g)}.$$

The completion F of $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|$ is the field of formal Laurent series in t^{-1}

$$\mathbb{F}_q((t^{-1})) := \left\{ \sum_{n=-N}^{\infty} a_n t^{-n} : N \in \mathbb{Z}, a_n \in \mathbb{F}_q \right\}$$

and the valuation ring \mathcal{O}_F of $\mathbb{F}_q((t^{-1}))$ is the subring of power series

$$\mathbb{F}_q[[t^{-1}]] := \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n t^{-n} : n \in \mathbb{Z}, a_n \in \mathbb{F}_q \right\}.$$

Let $G := PGL(4, \mathbb{F}_q((t^{-1})))$, $W := PGL(4, \mathbb{F}_q[[t^{-1}]])$ and $\Gamma := PGL(4, \mathbb{F}_q[t])$. In this section, we give an explicit description of the standard fundamental domain for $\Gamma \backslash G/W$ and the weighted adjacency operators $A_{w,i}$ on $L_w^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{B}_4)$ induced from the operators A_i on $L^2(\mathcal{B}_4)$, generalizing [HK].

Let $x_{\ell, m, n}$ be the diagonal matrix $\text{diag}(t^\ell, t^m, t^n, 1)$. Using Lemma 3.1 and the proof of Lemma 3.2 in [HK], we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *For any $g \in G$, there exists a unique 3-tuple (ℓ, m, n) of integers with $\ell \geq m \geq n \geq 0$ such that*

$$g \in \Gamma x_{\ell, m, n} W.$$

Proof. We note that every $g \in G$ may be written by

$$\begin{pmatrix} t_0^\ell & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} \\ 0 & t^{m_0} & a_{23} & a_{24} \\ 0 & 0 & t^{n_0} & a_{34} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & t^{k_0} \end{pmatrix} w$$

for some $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}_q((t^{-1}))$, matrix $w \in W$ and non-negative integers ℓ_0, m_0, n_0, k_0 . By multiplying suitable γ on the left if necessary, we may assume that $\ell_0 \geq m_0 \geq n_0 \geq k_0$.

By similar argument of the proof of Lemma 3.2 in [HK], we may find either a pair $(\gamma_1, w_1) \in \Gamma \times W$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} t_0^\ell & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} \\ 0 & t^{m_0} & a_{23} & a_{24} \\ 0 & 0 & t^{n_0} & a_{34} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & t^{k_0} \end{pmatrix} = \gamma_1 \begin{pmatrix} t_1^\ell & 0 & \star & \star \\ 0 & t^{m_1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & t^{n_1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & t^{k_1} \end{pmatrix} w_1$$

or a pair $(\gamma_2, w_2) \in \Gamma \times W$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} t_0^\ell & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} \\ 0 & t^{m_0} & a_{23} & a_{24} \\ 0 & 0 & t^{n_0} & a_{34} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & t^{k_0} \end{pmatrix} = \gamma_2 \begin{pmatrix} t_2^\ell & 0 & 0 & \star \\ 0 & t^{m_2} & 0 & \star \\ 0 & 0 & t^{n_2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & t^{k_2} \end{pmatrix} w_2$$

for some $\ell_i \geq m_i \geq n_i \geq k_i$. In both cases, by applying Lemma 3.2 in [HK] to the suitable 3×3 block, we may find γ and w for which each case reduces to

$$\gamma \begin{pmatrix} t^\ell & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & t^m & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & t^n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & t^k \end{pmatrix} w.$$

This completes the proof of the Lemma. \square

Let $v_{\ell, m, n}$ be the vertex of the quotient complex $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{B}_d$ corresponds to $\Gamma x_{\ell, m, n} W$. By definition of the color (see Equation 2.2), we have

$$\tau(v_{\ell, m, n}) = \ell + m + n \pmod{4}$$

for every $v_{\ell, m, n} \in \mathcal{B}_d^{(0)}$.

Let us denote by $V_{\ell,m,n}$ the set of all vertices adjacent to $v_{\ell,m,n}$ and let

$$V_{\ell,m,n}^i = \{v \in V_{\ell,m,n} : \tau(v) = \tau(v_{\ell,m,n}) + i\}.$$

Using (2.1) and Lemma 3.1, we have the following figure which presents a part of a fundamental domain for $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{B}_4$ and the table which describes the neighborhoods of vertices.




FIGURE 3. A fundamental domain for $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{B}_4$

and

	$i = 1$	$i = 2$	$i = 3$
$V_{0,0,0}^i$	$v_{1,0,0}$	$v_{1,1,0}$	$v_{1,1,1}$
$V_{\ell,0,0}^i$	$v_{\ell,1,0}, v_{\ell+1,0,0}$	$v_{\ell,1,1}, v_{\ell+1,1,0}$	$v_{\ell-1,0,0}, v_{\ell+1,1,1}$
$V_{\ell,\ell,0}^i$	$v_{\ell,\ell,1}, v_{\ell+1,\ell,0}$	$v_{\ell-1,\ell-1,0}, v_{\ell+1,\ell+1,0}$	$v_{\ell,\ell-1,0}, v_{\ell+1,\ell+1,1}$
$V_{\ell,\ell,\ell}^i$	$v_{\ell-1,\ell-1,\ell-1}, v_{\ell+1,\ell,\ell}$	$v_{\ell,\ell-1,\ell-1}, v_{\ell+1,\ell+1,\ell}$	$v_{\ell,\ell,\ell-1}, v_{\ell+1,\ell+1,\ell+1}$
$V_{\ell,m,0}^i$	$v_{\ell,m,1}, v_{\ell,m+1,0},$ $v_{\ell+1,m,0}$	$v_{\ell-1,m-1,0}, v_{\ell,m+1,1},$ $v_{\ell+1,m,1}, v_{\ell+1,m+1,0}$	$v_{\ell-1,m,0}, v_{\ell,m-1,0},$ $v_{\ell+1,m+1,1}$
$V_{\ell,m,m}^i$	$v_{\ell-1,m-1,m-1}, v_{\ell,m+1,m},$ $v_{\ell+1,m,m}$	$v_{\ell-1,m,m-1}, v_{\ell,m-1,m-1},$ $v_{\ell,m+1,m+1}, v_{\ell+1,m+1,m}$	$v_{\ell-1,m,m}, v_{\ell,m,m-1},$ $v_{\ell+1,m+1,m+1}$

	$i = 1$	$i = 2$	$i = 3$
$V_{\ell,\ell,m}^i$	$v_{\ell-1,\ell-1,m-1}, v_{\ell,\ell,m+1},$ $v_{\ell+1,\ell,m}$	$v_{\ell-1,\ell-1,m}, v_{\ell,\ell-1,m-1},$ $v_{\ell+1,\ell,m+1}, v_{\ell+1,\ell+1,m}$	$v_{\ell,\ell-1,m}, v_{\ell,\ell,m-1},$ $v_{\ell+1,\ell+1,m+1}$
$V_{\ell,m,n}^i$	$v_{\ell-1,m-1,n-1}, v_{\ell,m,n+1},$ $v_{\ell,m+1,n}, v_{\ell+1,m,n}$	$v_{\ell-1,m-1,n}, v_{\ell-1,m,n-1},$ $v_{\ell,m-1,n-1}, v_{\ell,m+1,n+1}$ $v_{\ell+1,m,n+1}, v_{\ell+1,m+1,n}$	$v_{\ell-1,m,n}, v_{\ell,m-1,n},$ $v_{\ell,m,n-1}, v_{\ell+1,m+1,n+1}$

Let $\Gamma_{\ell,m,n}$ be the stabilizer of a vertex $x_{\ell,m,n}W$ in \mathcal{B}_d . The weight function w is defined by

$$w(v_{\ell,m,n}) := \frac{(q-1)^3 q^6}{|\Gamma_{\ell,m,n}|} \text{ and } w(e) := \frac{(q-1)^3 q^6}{|\Gamma_{\ell,m,n} \cap \Gamma_{\ell',m',n'}|},$$

where $e = (v_{\ell,m,n}, v_{\ell',m',n'})$ and $|S|$ is the cardinality of a set S .

4. CALCULATION OF NATURAL WEIGHTS

We compute the values of the weight function w in this section.

Lemma 4.1. *The cardinality of $\Gamma_{0,0,0}$ is $(q-1)^3(q^3 + q^2 + q + 1)(q^2 + q + 1)(q+1)q^6$. For any $m > 0$,*

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} |\Gamma_{\ell,0,0}| &= (q-1)^3(q^2 + q + 1)(q+1)q^{3\ell+6} \\ |\Gamma_{\ell,\ell,0}| &= (q-1)^3(q+1)^2q^{4\ell+6} \\ |\Gamma_{\ell,\ell,\ell}| &= (q-1)^3(q^2 + q + 1)(q+1)q^{3\ell+6}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since $\gamma x_{\ell,m,n}W = x_{\ell,m,n}W$ if and only if $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\ell,m,n}$, we have

$$\Gamma_{\ell,m,n} = \{\gamma \in \Gamma : x_{\ell,m,n}^{-1} \gamma x_{\ell,m,n} \in W\}.$$

Obviously, $\Gamma_{0,0,0} = \Gamma \cap PGL(4, \mathcal{O}_F) = PGL(4, \mathbb{F}_q)$ and

$$|\Gamma_{0,0,0}| = \frac{(q^4 - 1)(q^4 - q)(q^4 - q^2)(q^4 - q^3)}{q - 1} = (q-1)^3(q^3 + q^2 + q + 1)(q^2 + q + 1)(q+1)q^6.$$

Let $P^n(t)$ be the space of polynomials of degree less and equal to n . For any $m > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\ell,0,0} &= \left\{ A = (a_{ij}) \in \Gamma : \begin{array}{l} a_{21}=a_{31}=a_{41}=0, a_{12}, a_{13}, a_{14} \in P^\ell(t), \\ a_{11}, a_{22}, a_{23}, a_{24}, a_{32}, a_{33}, a_{34}, a_{42}, a_{43}, a_{44} \in \mathbb{F}_q \end{array} \right\} / \{\lambda I : \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times\} \\ \Gamma_{\ell,\ell,0} &= \left\{ A = (a_{ij}) \in \Gamma : \begin{array}{l} a_{31}=a_{32}=a_{41}=a_{42}=0, a_{13}, a_{14}, a_{23}, a_{24} \in P^\ell(t) \\ a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{21}, a_{22}, a_{33}, a_{34}, a_{43}, a_{44} \in \mathbb{F}_q \end{array} \right\} / \{\lambda I : \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q\} \\ \Gamma_{\ell,\ell,\ell} &= \left\{ A = (a_{ij}) \in \Gamma : \begin{array}{l} a_{41}=a_{42}=a_{43}=0, a_{14}, a_{24}, a_{34} \in P^\ell(t), \\ a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{13}, a_{21}, a_{22}, a_{23}, a_{31}, a_{32}, a_{33}, a_{44} \in \mathbb{F}_q \end{array} \right\} / \{\lambda I : \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since for any $A \in \Gamma_{\ell,0,0}$, a_{11} is nonzero and the vectors (a_{22}, a_{32}, a_{42}) , (a_{23}, a_{33}, a_{43}) and (a_{24}, a_{34}, a_{44}) are linearly independent,

$$|\Gamma_{\ell,0,0}| = \frac{(q-1)(q^3 - 1)(q^3 - q)(q^3 - q^2)q^{3\ell+3}}{q - 1} = (q-1)^3(q^2 + q + 1)(q+1)q^{3\ell+6}.$$

Similarly, $|\Gamma_{\ell,\ell,\ell}| = (q-1)^3(q^2+q+1)(q+1)q^{3\ell+6}$.

For any $A \in \Gamma_{\ell,\ell,0}$, two matrices $\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} a_{33} & a_{34} \\ a_{43} & a_{44} \end{pmatrix}$ are invertible. Using this,

$$|\Gamma_{\ell,\ell,0}| = \frac{(q^2-1)^2(q^2-q)^2q^{4\ell+4}}{q-1} = (q-1)^3(q+1)^2q^{4\ell+6}.$$

□

Lemma 4.2. For any $\ell > m > 0$,

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} |\Gamma_{\ell,m,0}| &= (q-1)^3(q+1)q^{3\ell+m+6} \\ |\Gamma_{\ell,m,m}| &= (q-1)^3(q+1)q^{3\ell+6} \\ |\Gamma_{\ell,\ell,m}| &= (q-1)^3(q+1)q^{4\ell-m+6}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Similar to the proof of Lemma 4.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\ell,m,0} &= \left\{ A = (a_{ij}) \in \Gamma : \begin{array}{l} a_{21}=a_{31}=a_{32}=a_{41}=a_{42}=0, a_{12} \in P^{\ell-m}(t), a_{13}, a_{14} \in P^\ell(t), \\ a_{23}, a_{24} \in P^m(t), a_{11}, a_{22}, a_{33}, a_{34}, a_{43}, a_{44} \in \mathbb{F}_q \end{array} \right\} / \{\lambda I : \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times\} \\ \Gamma_{\ell,m,m} &= \left\{ A = (a_{ij}) \in \Gamma : \begin{array}{l} a_{21}=a_{31}=a_{41}=a_{42}=a_{43}=0, a_{12}, a_{13} \in P^{\ell-m}(t), a_{14} \in P^\ell(t), \\ a_{24}, a_{34} \in P^m(t), a_{11}, a_{22}, a_{23}, a_{32}, a_{33}, a_{43}, a_{44} \in \mathbb{F}_q \end{array} \right\} / \{\lambda I : \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times\} \\ \Gamma_{\ell,\ell,m} &= \left\{ A = (a_{ij}) \in \Gamma : \begin{array}{l} a_{31}=a_{32}=a_{41}=a_{42}=a_{43}=0, a_{13}, a_{23} \in P^{\ell-m}(t), \\ a_{14}, a_{24} \in P^\ell(t), a_{34} \in P^m(t), a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{21}, a_{22}, a_{33}, a_{44} \in \mathbb{F}_q \end{array} \right\} / \{\lambda I : \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times\}. \end{aligned}$$

Following the method in the proof of Lemma 4.1, we have (4.2). □

Lemma 4.3.

$$(4.3) \quad |\Gamma_{\ell,m,n}| = (q-1)^3q^{3\ell+m-n+6}$$

Proof. Since

$$\Gamma_{\ell,m,n} = \left\{ A = (a_{ij}) \in \Gamma : \begin{array}{l} a_{21}=a_{31}=a_{32}=a_{41}=a_{42}=a_{43}=0, a_{12} \in P^{\ell-m}, \\ a_{13} \in P^{\ell-n}(t), a_{14} \in P^\ell(t), a_{23} \in P^{m-n}, a_{24} \in P^m(t), a_{34} \in P^n(t), \\ a_{11}, a_{22}, a_{33}, a_{44} \in \mathbb{F}_q \end{array} \right\} / \{\lambda I : \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times\},$$

the equation (4.3) holds. □

Lemma 4.4. For any $f \in L_w^2(X)$,

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} A_{w,1}f(v_{0,0,0}) &= (q^3 + q^2 + q + 1)f(v_{1,0,0}) \\ A_{w,2}f(v_{0,0,0}) &= (q^4 + q^3 + 2q^2 + q + 1)f(v_{1,1,0}) \\ A_{w,3}f(v_{0,0,0}) &= (q^3 + q^2 + q + 1)f(v_{1,1,1}) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It follows from the proof of Lemma 4.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} |\Gamma_{0,0,0} \cap \Gamma_{1,0,0}| &= (q-1)^3(q^2+q+1)(q+1)q^6 \\ |\Gamma_{0,0,0} \cap \Gamma_{1,1,0}| &= (q-1)^3(q+1)^2q^6 \\ |\Gamma_{0,0,0} \cap \Gamma_{1,1,1}| &= (q-1)^3(q^2+q+1)(q+1)q^6. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\frac{w(v_{0,0,0},v_{1,0,0})}{w(v_{0,0,0})} = q^3 + q^2 + q + 1$, $\frac{w(v_{0,0,0},v_{1,1,0})}{w(v_{0,0,0})} = q^4 + q^3 + 2q^2 + q + 1$ and $\frac{w(v_{0,0,0},v_{1,1,1})}{w(v_{0,0,0})} = q^3 + q^2 + q + 1$. Thus we have (4.4). \square

For any $\ell > 0$, we have the following lemmas

Lemma 4.5. For any $f \in L_w^2(X)$ and $\ell > 0$,

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} A_{w,1}f(v_{\ell,0,0}) &= (q^3 + q^2 + q)f(v_{\ell,1,0}) + f(v_{\ell+1,0,0}) \\ A_{w,2}f(v_{\ell,0,0}) &= (q^4 + q^3 + q^2)f(v_{\ell,1,1}) + (q^2 + q + 1)f(v_{\ell+1,1,0}) \\ A_{w,3}f(v_{\ell,0,0}) &= q^3f(v_{\ell-1,0,0}) + (q^2 + q + 1)f(v_{\ell+1,1,1}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It follows from the proof of Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} |\Gamma_{\ell,0,0} \cap \Gamma_{\ell,1,0}| &= (q-1)^3(q+1)q^{3\ell+5} \\ |\Gamma_{\ell,0,0} \cap \Gamma_{\ell,1,1}| &= (q-1)^3(q+1)q^{3\ell+4} \\ |\Gamma_{\ell,0,0} \cap \Gamma_{\ell+1,0,0}| &= (q-1)^3(q^2+q+1)(q+1)q^{3\ell+6} \\ |\Gamma_{\ell,0,0} \cap \Gamma_{\ell+1,1,0}| &= |\Gamma_{\ell,0,0} \cap \Gamma_{\ell+1,1,1}| = (q-1)^3(q+1)q^{3\ell+6}. \end{aligned}$$

Similar to the proof of Lemma 4.4, we have (4.5). \square

Lemma 4.6. For any $f \in L_w^2(X)$ and $\ell > 0$,

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} A_{w,1}f(v_{\ell,\ell,0}) &= (q^3 + q^2)f(v_{\ell,\ell,1}) + (q+1)f(v_{\ell+1,\ell,0}) \\ A_{w,2}f(v_{\ell,\ell,0}) &= q^4f(v_{\ell-1,\ell-1,0}) + q(q+1)^2f(v_{\ell+1,\ell,1}) + f(v_{\ell+1,\ell+1,0}) \\ A_{w,3}f(v_{\ell,\ell,0}) &= (q^3 + q^2)f(v_{\ell,\ell-1,0}) + (q+1)f(v_{\ell+1,\ell+1,1}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} |\Gamma_{\ell,\ell,0} \cap \Gamma_{\ell,\ell-1,0}| &= |\Gamma_{\ell,\ell,0} \cap \Gamma_{\ell,\ell,1}| = (q-1)^3(q+1)q^{4\ell+4} \\ |\Gamma_{\ell,\ell,0} \cap \Gamma_{\ell+1,\ell,0}| &= |\Gamma_{\ell,\ell,0} \cap \Gamma_{\ell+1,\ell+1,1}| = (q-1)^3(q+1)q^{4\ell+6} \\ |\Gamma_{\ell,\ell,0} \cap \Gamma_{\ell+1,\ell,1}| &= (q-1)^3q^{4\ell+5} \\ |\Gamma_{\ell,\ell,0} \cap \Gamma_{\ell+1,\ell+1,0}| &= (q-1)^3(q+1)^2q^{4\ell+6}. \end{aligned}$$

Similar to the proof of Lemma 4.4, we have (4.6). \square

Lemma 4.7. For any $f \in L_w^2(X)$,

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} A_{w,1}f(v_{\ell,\ell,\ell}) &= q^3f(v_{\ell-1,\ell-1,\ell-1}) + (q^2 + q + 1)f(v_{\ell+1,\ell,\ell}) \\ A_{w,2}f(v_{\ell,\ell,\ell}) &= (q^4 + q^3 + q^2)f(v_{\ell,\ell-1,\ell-1}) + (q^2 + q + 1)f(v_{\ell+1,\ell+1,\ell}) \\ A_{w,3}f(v_{\ell,\ell,\ell}) &= (q^3 + q^2 + q)f(v_{\ell,\ell,\ell-1}) + f(v_{\ell+1,\ell+1,\ell+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Using Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\Gamma_{\ell,\ell,\ell} \cap \Gamma_{\ell,\ell-1,\ell-1}| &= (q-1)^3(q+1)q^{3\ell+4} \\ |\Gamma_{\ell,\ell,\ell} \cap \Gamma_{\ell,\ell,\ell-1}| &= (q-1)^3(q+1)q^{3\ell+5} \\ |\Gamma_{\ell,\ell,\ell} \cap \Gamma_{\ell+1,\ell,\ell}| &= |\Gamma_{\ell,\ell,\ell} \cap \Gamma_{\ell+1,\ell+1,\ell}| = (q-1)^3(q+1)q^{3\ell+6} \\ |\Gamma_{\ell,\ell,\ell} \cap \Gamma_{\ell+1,\ell+1,\ell+1}| &= (q-1)^3(q^2+q+1)(q+1)q^{3\ell+6}. \end{aligned}$$

Using this, we have (4.7). □

For any $\ell > m > 0$, we have the following lemmas.

Lemma 4.8. For any $f \in L_w^2(X)$,

$$\begin{aligned} (4.8) \quad A_{w,1}f(v_{\ell,m,0}) &= (q^3 + q^2)f(v_{\ell,m,1}) + qf(v_{\ell,m+1,0}) + f(v_{\ell+1,m,0}) \\ A_{w,2}f(v_{\ell,m,0}) &= q^4f(v_{\ell-1,m-1,0}) + (q^3 + q^2)f(v_{\ell,m+1,1}) \\ &\quad + (q^2 + q)f(v_{\ell+1,m,1}) + f(v_{\ell+1,m+1,0}) \\ A_{w,3}f(v_{\ell,m,0}) &= q^3f(v_{\ell-1,m,0}) + q^2f(v_{\ell,m-1,0}) + (q+1)f(v_{\ell+1,m+1,1}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Using Lemma 4.1, Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\Gamma_{\ell,m,0} \cap \Gamma_{\ell,m,1}| &= |\Gamma_{\ell,m,0} \cap \Gamma_{\ell,m+1,1}| = (q-1)^3q^{3\ell+m+4} \\ |\Gamma_{\ell,m,0} \cap \Gamma_{\ell,m+1,0}| &= (q-1)^3(q+1)q^{3\ell+m+5} \\ |\Gamma_{\ell,m,0} \cap \Gamma_{\ell+1,m,0}| &= |\Gamma_{\ell,m,0} \cap \Gamma_{\ell+1,m+1,0}| = (q-1)^3(q+1)q^{3\ell+m+6} \\ |\Gamma_{\ell,m,0} \cap \Gamma_{\ell-1,m-1,0}| &= (q-1)^3(q+1)q^{3\ell+m+2} \\ |\Gamma_{\ell,m,0} \cap \Gamma_{\ell+1,m,1}| &= (q-1)^3q^{3\ell+m+5} \\ |\Gamma_{\ell,m,0} \cap \Gamma_{\ell+1,m+1,1}| &= (q-1)^3q^{3\ell+m+6}. \end{aligned}$$

Using this, we have (4.8). □

Lemma 4.9. For any $f \in L_w^2(X)$,

$$\begin{aligned} (4.9) \quad A_{w,1}f(v_{\ell,m,m}) &= q^3f(v_{\ell-1,m-1,m-1}) + (q^2 + q)f(v_{\ell,m+1,m}) + f(v_{\ell+1,m,m}) \\ A_{w,2}f(v_{\ell,m,m}) &= (q^4 + q^3)f(v_{\ell-1,m,m-1}) + q^2f(v_{\ell,m-1,m-1}) \\ &\quad + q^2f(v_{\ell,m+1,m+1}) + (q+1)f(v_{\ell+1,m+1,m}) \\ A_{w,3}f(v_{\ell,m,m}) &= q^3f(v_{\ell-1,m,m}) + (q^2 + q)f(v_{\ell,m,m-1}) + f(v_{\ell+1,m+1,m+1}) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Using Lemma 4.1 , Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Gamma_{\ell,m,m} \cap \Gamma_{\ell-1,m-1,m-1}| &= (q-1)^3(q+1)q^{3\ell+3} \\
|\Gamma_{\ell,m,m} \cap \Gamma_{\ell-1,m,m-1}| &= (q-1)^3q^{3\ell+3} \\
|\Gamma_{\ell,m,m} \cap \Gamma_{\ell-1,m,m}| &= (q-1)^3(q+1)q^{3\ell+3} \\
|\Gamma_{\ell,m,m} \cap \Gamma_{\ell,m-1,m-1}| &= (q-1)^3(q+1)q^{3\ell+4} \\
|\Gamma_{\ell,m,m} \cap \Gamma_{\ell,m,m-1}| &= |\Gamma_{\ell,m,m} \cap \Gamma_{\ell,m+1,m}| = (q-1)^3q^{3\ell+5} \\
|\Gamma_{\ell,m,m} \cap \Gamma_{\ell+1,m,m}| &= (q-1)^3(q+1)q^{3\ell+6} \\
|\Gamma_{\ell,m,m} \cap \Gamma_{\ell+1,m+1,m}| &= (q-1)^3q^{3\ell+6}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using this, we have (4.9). □

Lemma 4.10. For any $f \in L_w^2(X)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.10) \quad A_{w,1}f(v_{\ell,\ell,m}) &= q^3f(v_{\ell-1,\ell-1,m-1}) + q^2f(v_{\ell,\ell,m+1}) + (q+1)f(v_{\ell+1,\ell,m}) \\
A_{w,2}f(v_{\ell,\ell,m}) &= q^4f(v_{\ell-1,\ell-1,m}) + (q^3 + q^2)f(v_{\ell,\ell-1,m-1}) \\
&\quad + (q^2 + q)f(v_{\ell+1,\ell,m+1}) + f(v_{\ell+1,\ell+1,m}) \\
A_{w,3}f(v_{\ell,\ell,m}) &= (q^3 + q^2)f(v_{\ell,\ell-1,m}) + qf(v_{\ell,\ell,m-1}) + f(v_{\ell+1,\ell+1,m+1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Using Lemma 4.1 , Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Gamma_{\ell,\ell,m} \cap \Gamma_{\ell-1,\ell-1,m-1}| &= (q-1)^3(q+1)q^{4\ell-m+3} \\
|\Gamma_{\ell,\ell,m} \cap \Gamma_{\ell-1,\ell-1,m}| &= (q-1)^3(q+1)q^{4\ell-m+2} \\
|\Gamma_{\ell,\ell,m} \cap \Gamma_{\ell,\ell-1,m-1}| &= (q-1)^3q^{4\ell-m+4} \\
|\Gamma_{\ell,\ell,m} \cap \Gamma_{\ell,\ell-1,m}| &= (q-1)^3q^{4\ell-m+4} \\
|\Gamma_{\ell,\ell,m} \cap \Gamma_{\ell,\ell,m+1}| &= (q-1)^3(q+1)q^{4\ell-m+4} \\
|\Gamma_{\ell,\ell,m} \cap \Gamma_{\ell+1,\ell,m}| &= (q-1)^3q^{4\ell-m+6} \\
|\Gamma_{\ell,\ell,m} \cap \Gamma_{\ell+1,\ell,m+1}| &= (q-1)^3q^{4\ell-m+5}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using this, we have (4.10). □

For any $\ell > m > n > 0$, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.11. For any $f \in L_w^2(X)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.11) \quad A_{w,1}f(v_{\ell,m,n}) &= q^3f(v_{\ell-1,m-1,n-1}) + q^2f(v_{\ell,m,n+1}) + qf(v_{\ell,m+1,n}) + f(v_{\ell+1,m,n}) \\
A_{w,2}f(v_{\ell,m,n}) &= q^4f(v_{\ell-1,m-1,n}) + q^3f(v_{\ell-1,m,n-1}) + q^2f(v_{\ell,m-1,n-1}) \\
&\quad + q^2f(v_{\ell,m+1,n+1}) + qf(v_{\ell+1,m,n+1}) + f(v_{\ell+1,m+1,n}) \\
A_{w,3}f(v_{\ell,m,n}) &= q^3f(v_{\ell-1,m,n}) + q^2f(v_{\ell,m-1,n}) + qf(v_{\ell,m,n-1}) + f(v_{\ell+1,m+1,n+1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Using Lemma 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Gamma_{\ell,m,n} \cap \Gamma_{\ell-1,\ell-1,m-1}| &= (q-1)^3(q+1)q^{3\ell+m-n+3} \\
|\Gamma_{\ell,m,n} \cap \Gamma_{\ell-1,m-1,n}| &= (q-1)^3(q+1)q^{3\ell+m-n+2} \\
|\Gamma_{\ell,m,n} \cap \Gamma_{\ell-1,m,n-1}| &= (q-1)^3q^{3\ell+m-n+3} \\
|\Gamma_{\ell,m,n} \cap \Gamma_{\ell,m-1,n-1}| &= (q-1)^3q^{3\ell+m-n+4} \\
|\Gamma_{\ell,m,n} \cap \Gamma_{\ell,m,n+1}| &= (q-1)^3(q+1)q^{3\ell+m-n+4} \\
|\Gamma_{\ell,m,n} \cap \Gamma_{\ell,m+1,n}| &= (q-1)^3q^{3\ell+m-n+5} \\
|\Gamma_{\ell,m,n} \cap \Gamma_{\ell+1,m,n}| &= (q-1)^3q^{3\ell+m-n+6}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using this, we have (4.11). \square

5. SIMULTANEOUS EIGENFUNCTIONS OF THE WEIGHTED ADJACENCY OPERATORS

In this section, we summarize the results about simultaneous eigenfunctions of the weighted adjacency operators $A_{w,1}, A_{w,2}, A_{w,3}$ in the space of arbitrary functions on $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{B}_4^{(0)}$. Among the simultaneous eigenvalues $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$, only those for which the corresponding eigenfunction f satisfies $f(v_{\ell,m,n})w(v_{\ell,m,n}) = O(1)$ can be an automorphic spectrum (see Section 6). Detailed calculation will be presented in Appendix A.

Let

$$\sigma_k(z_1, \dots, z_d) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq d} z_{i_1} z_{i_2} \cdots z_{i_k}$$

be the k -th elementary symmetric polynomial. We note that for any given 3-tuple $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) \in \mathbb{C}^3$, there exist $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) \in \mathbb{C}^4$ such that $z_1 z_2 z_3 z_4 = 1$ and

$$(5.1) \quad \lambda_1 = q\sqrt{q}\sigma_1(\mathbf{z}), \quad \lambda_2 = q^2\sigma_2(\mathbf{z}), \quad \lambda_3 = q\sqrt{q}\sigma_3(\mathbf{z}).$$

In this section, we assume $z_i \neq z_j$ for any i, j . By Lemma 4.5, the eigenfunction $f = f_{\mathbf{z}}$ of simultaneous eigenvalue λ_i of $A_{w,i}$ satisfies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_1 f(v_{\ell+1,0,0}) &= (q^3 + q^2 + q)f(v_{\ell+1,1,0}) + f(v_{\ell+2,0,0}) \\
\lambda_2 f(v_{\ell,0,0}) &= (q^4 + q^3 + q^2)f(v_{\ell,1,1}) + (q^2 + q + 1)f(v_{\ell+1,1,0}) \\
\lambda_3 f(v_{\ell-1,0,0}) &= q^3 f(v_{\ell-2,0,0}) + (q^2 + q + 1)f(v_{\ell,1,1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $a_{\ell,m,n} = f(v_{\ell,m,n})/q^{\ell+m+n}$. Using above equation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.2) \quad \sqrt{q}\sigma_1(\mathbf{z})a_{\ell+1,0,0} &= (q^3 + q^2 + q)a_{\ell+1,1,0} + a_{\ell+2,0,0} \\
q\sigma_2(\mathbf{z})a_{\ell,0,0} &= (q^5 + q^4 + q^3)a_{\ell,1,1} + (q^3 + q^2 + q)a_{\ell+1,1,0} \\
q^{3/2}\sigma_3(\mathbf{z})a_{\ell-1,0,0} &= q^2 a_{\ell-2,0,0} + (q^5 + q^4 + q^3)a_{\ell,1,1}.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$(5.3) \quad a_{\ell+2,0,0} - q^{1/2}\sigma_1(\mathbf{z})a_{\ell+1,0,0} + q\sigma_2(\mathbf{z})a_{\ell,0,0} - q^{3/2}\sigma_3(\mathbf{z})a_{\ell-1,0,0} + q^2\sigma_4(\mathbf{z})a_{\ell-2,0,0} = 0.$$

Let

$$S = \{\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) \in \mathbb{C}^4 : \overline{\sigma_1(\mathbf{z})} = \sigma_3(\mathbf{z}), \sigma_2(\mathbf{z}) \in \mathbb{R}, z_1 z_2 z_3 z_4 = 1\}.$$

and $\lambda_i = q^{i(4-i)/2}\sigma_i(\mathbf{z})$. Since $A_{w,i}^* = A_{w,4-i}$ for all $i = 1, 2, 3$, it follows that every automorphic simultaneous spectrum $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$ is parametrized by \mathbf{z} in S .

Let $D = (q+1)(q^2+q+1)(q^3+q^2+q+1)$ and

$$C_{ijk} = \frac{(z_i - qz_k)(z_i - qz_j)(z_i - qz_\ell)(z_j - qz_k)(z_j - qz_\ell)(z_k - qz_\ell)}{D(z_i - z_k)(z_i - z_j)(z_i - z_\ell)(z_j - z_k)(z_j - z_\ell)(z_k - z_\ell)}.$$

For example,

$$C_{123} = \frac{(z_1 - qz_2)(z_1 - qz_3)(z_1 - qz_4)(z_2 - qz_3)(z_2 - qz_4)(z_3 - qz_4)}{D(z_1 - z_2)(z_1 - z_3)(z_1 - z_4)(z_2 - z_3)(z_2 - z_4)(z_3 - z_4)}.$$

The following theorem describes explicitly the simultaneous eigenfunction of given eigenvalues.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$ be an element of \mathbb{C}^3 indexed by the equation (5.1) with respect to (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) . If f is the corresponding simultaneous eigenfunction satisfying $A_i f = \lambda_i f$, then*

$$f(v_{\ell,m,n}) = \sum_{\tau \in S_4} C_{\tau(1)\tau(2)\tau(3)} \sqrt{q}^{3\ell+m-n} z_{\tau(1)}^\ell z_{\tau(2)}^m z_{\tau(3)}^n.$$

We give the proof of the above theorem in Appendix A.

6. AUTOMORPHIC SPECTRA OF THE WEIGHTED ADJACENCY OPARTORS

In this section, we investigate the automorphic spectra of the weighted adjacency operators $A_{w,1}, A_{w,2}, A_{w,3}$ on $L_w^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{B}_4)$. We will prove that there are no discrete spectrum except trivial eigenvalues and present all the simultaneous automorphic spectra of $A_{w,i}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

We recall that \mathcal{S}_4 is the subset of \mathbb{C}^3 containing $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$ given by

$$\lambda_1 = q\sqrt{q}(z_1 + z_2 + z_3 + z_4)$$

$$\lambda_2 = q^2(z_1 z_2 + z_1 z_3 + z_1 z_4 + z_2 z_3 + z_2 z_4 + z_3 z_4)$$

$$\lambda_3 = q\sqrt{q} \left(\frac{1}{z_1} + \frac{1}{z_2} + \frac{1}{z_3} + \frac{1}{z_4} \right)$$

for $|z_1| = |z_2| = |z_3| = |z_4| = z_1 z_2 z_3 z_4 = 1$.

Meanwhile, $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$ is an automorphic simultaneous spectrum of $A_{w,1}, A_{w,2}, A_{w,3}$ on $L_w^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{B}_4)$ if and only if there exists a sequence $\{f_n\}$ of $L_w^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{B}_4)$ with $\|f_n\|_{2,w} = 1$ such that for any $i = 1, 2, 3$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A_{w,i}f_n - \lambda_i f_n\|_{2,w} = 0.$$

For such $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$, we may characterize a necessary condition for the increasing rate of the corresponding simultaneous eigenfunction. More precisely, if $A_i f = \lambda_i f$ holds for all $i = 1, 2, 3$, then $f(v_{\ell,m,n})w(v_{\ell,m,n})$ is bounded.

For the convenience of the reader, we start to deal with the $PGL(2, \mathbb{F}_q((t^{-1})))$ case. Although the below result is already mentioned in [Ef] via Plancherel decomposition, we present another explicit argument which can be applied to generalizing the statement to high-dimensional cases.

Lemma 6.1. *Let A be the natural weighted adjacency operator on $L_w^2(PGL(2, \mathbb{F}_q[t]) \backslash \mathcal{B}_2)$ given by*

$$Af(v_0) = (q+1)f(v_1) \quad \text{and} \quad Af(v_n) = qf(v_{n-1}) + f(v_{n+1}) \quad \text{for } n \geq 1.$$

If λ is a spectrum of A and $Af = \lambda f$ (f is not necessarily in L^2), then $f(v_n) = O(q^{n/2})$.

Proof. If λ is a spectrum, then there is $h \in L_w^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{B}_2)$ with $\|h\|_{2,w} = 1$ such that $Ah(v_n) - \lambda h(v_n) = \delta(v_n)$ with $\|\delta\|_{2,w} < \epsilon$. Assume that f is an eigenfunction of A with eigenvalue λ and $f(v_0) = h(v_0)$. By induction on n we have

$$f(v_n) = h(v_n) - \frac{1}{q+1}a_n\delta(v_0) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a_{n-k}\delta(v_k)$$

where $\{a_n\}$ is a sequence defined by $a_1 = 1, a_2 = \lambda, a_{n+1} = \lambda a_n - q a_{n-1}$. This yields

$$\frac{f(v_n)}{q^{n/2}} = \frac{h(v_n)}{q^{n/2}} - \frac{a_n\delta(v_0)}{q^{n/2}(q+1)} - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{a_{n-k}}{q^{(n-k)/2}} \frac{\delta(v_k)}{q^{k/2}}.$$

If $f(v_n) \notin O(q^{n/2})$, then there exist $c_1 > 0$ and $R > 1$ such that $\left| \frac{f(v_n)}{q^{n/2}} \right| > c_1 R^n$ for all $n \geq 1$ due to the linear recurrence relation. Since the sequence $\{a_n\}$ satisfies the same linear recurrence relation (with different initial values), there exists $c_2 > 0$ such that $\left| \frac{a_n}{q^{n/2}} \right| \leq c_2 R^n$. Meanwhile, $h(v_n) = o(q^{n/2})$ since $h \in L_w^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{B}_2)$. Also, it holds from the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality that

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left| \frac{a_{n-k}\delta(v_k)}{q^{n/2}} \right| \right)^2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left| \frac{a_{n-k}^2}{q^{n-k}} \right| \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{|\delta(v_k)|^2}{q^k}.$$

These implies that there exist $c_1, c_3 > 0$ such that $c_1 R^n \leq \epsilon + c_3 R^n \epsilon$ holds for arbitrary large n and arbitrary small $\epsilon > 0$, which is a contradiction. Hence, we have $f(v_n) \in O(q^{n/2})$. \square

By similar argument, we get the following necessary condition for simultaneous eigenfunction.

Lemma 6.2. *If $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$ is an automorphic simultaneous spectrum of $A_{w,1}, A_{w,2}, A_{w,3}$ and f is the corresponding simultaneous eigenfunction, then*

$$\{|f(v_{\ell,m,n})w(v_{\ell,m,n})| : \ell \geq m \geq n \geq 0\}$$

is bounded.

Let $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)$ be a point in S for which $z_i \neq z_j$ for every $i \neq j$. Suppose that $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$ parametrized by Equation (5.1) is a simultaneous spectrum of $A_{w,i}$. Lemma 6.2 implies that C_{ijk} needs to be zero whenever $|z_i^\ell z_j^m z_k^n| > 1$ for some ℓ, m, n . We prove that the converse also holds.

Proposition 6.3. *Let $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)$ be a point in S for which $z_i \neq z_j$ for every $i \neq j$. Assume also that if $|z_i^\ell z_j^m z_k^n| > 1$ for some $\ell \geq m \geq n \geq 0$, then $C_{ijk} = 0$. Then $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$ parametrized by Equation (5.1) is a simultaneous spectrum of $A_{w,i}$.*

Proof. Suppose that $|z_i^\ell z_j^m z_k^n| < 1$ for any i, j, k with $C_{ijk} \neq 0$. Then it is not difficult to see that $\|f_{\mathbf{z}}\|_{2,w} < \infty$.

Now consider the case when $|z_i^\ell z_j^m z_k^n| = 1$ for some i, j, k with $C_{ijk} \neq 0$. In this case, $f_{\mathbf{z}}$ is not contained in $L_w^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{B}_4)$. Define a function $f_{\mathbf{z}}^\epsilon$ by

$$f_{\mathbf{z}}^\epsilon(v_{\ell,m,n}) = (1 - \epsilon)^\ell f_{\mathbf{z}}(v_{\ell,m,n}).$$

By assumption, $|f_{\mathbf{z}}(v_{\ell,m,n})|^2 w(v_{\ell,m,n}) \leq C := \sum |C_{ijk}|$ and $f_{\mathbf{z}}^\epsilon$ is L^2 . We claim that for any $\epsilon \in (0, 1/2)$, the following inequality holds:

$$\|A_{w,i} f_{\mathbf{z}}^\epsilon - \lambda_i f_{\mathbf{z}}^\epsilon\|_2 \leq \epsilon(1 - \epsilon)^{-1}(q^4 + q^3 + 2q^2 + q + 1) \|f_{\mathbf{z}}^\epsilon\|_2.$$

From the above inequality, we have

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\|A_{w,i} f_{\mathbf{z}}^\epsilon - \lambda_i f_{\mathbf{z}}^\epsilon\|_2}{\|f_{\mathbf{z}}^\epsilon\|_2} = 0.$$

Let us prove the claim. Since $f_{\mathbf{z}}$ is the eigenfunction of the eigenvalue λ_i associated to $A_{w,i}$, we have $\lambda_i f_{\mathbf{z}}^\epsilon(v_{\ell,m,n}) = (1 - \epsilon)^\ell A_{w,i} f_{\mathbf{z}}(v_{\ell,m,n})$. By the definition of $A_{w,i}$, the

following equations hold for $i = 1$:

$$A_{w,1}f_{\mathbf{z}}^{\epsilon}(v_{0,0,0}) - \lambda_1 f_{\mathbf{z}}^{\epsilon}(v_{0,0,0}) = -\epsilon(q^3 + q^2 + q + 1)f_{\mathbf{z}}(v_{1,0,0})$$

$$A_{w,1}f_{\mathbf{z}}^{\epsilon}(v_{\ell,0,0}) - \lambda_1 f_{\mathbf{z}}^{\epsilon}(v_{\ell,0,0}) = -\epsilon(1 - \epsilon)^{\ell} f_{\mathbf{z}}(v_{\ell+1,0,0})$$

$$A_{w,1}f_{\mathbf{z}}^{\epsilon}(v_{\ell,\ell,0}) - \lambda_1 f_{\mathbf{z}}^{\epsilon}(v_{\ell,\ell,0}) = -\epsilon(1 - \epsilon)^{\ell}(q + 1)f_{\mathbf{z}}(v_{\ell+1,\ell,0})$$

$$A_{w,1}f_{\mathbf{z}}^{\epsilon}(v_{\ell,\ell,\ell}) - \lambda_1 f_{\mathbf{z}}^{\epsilon}(v_{\ell,\ell,\ell}) = \epsilon(1 - \epsilon)^{\ell-1} q^3 f_{\mathbf{z}}(v_{\ell-1,\ell-1,\ell-1}) \\ - \epsilon(1 - \epsilon)^{\ell}(q^2 + q + 1)f_{\mathbf{z}}(v_{\ell+1,\ell,\ell})$$

$$A_{w,1}f_{\mathbf{z}}^{\epsilon}(v_{\ell,m,0}) - \lambda_1 f_{\mathbf{z}}^{\epsilon}(v_{\ell,m,0}) = -\epsilon(1 - \epsilon)^{\ell} f_{\mathbf{z}}(v_{\ell+1,m,0})$$

$$A_{w,1}f_{\mathbf{z}}^{\epsilon}(v_{\ell,m,m}) - \lambda_1 f_{\mathbf{z}}^{\epsilon}(v_{\ell,m,m}) = \epsilon(1 - \epsilon)^{\ell-1} q^3 f_{\mathbf{z}}(v_{\ell+1,m,0}) - \epsilon(1 - \epsilon)^{\ell} f_{\mathbf{z}}(v_{\ell+1,m,m})$$

$$A_{w,1}f_{\mathbf{z}}^{\epsilon}(v_{\ell,\ell,m}) - \lambda_1 f_{\mathbf{z}}^{\epsilon}(v_{\ell,\ell,m}) = \epsilon(1 - \epsilon)^{\ell+1} q^3 f_{\mathbf{z}}(v_{\ell-1,\ell-1,m-1}) - \epsilon(1 - \epsilon)^{\ell} f_{\mathbf{z}}(v_{\ell+1,\ell,m})$$

$$A_{w,1}f_{\mathbf{z}}^{\epsilon}(v_{\ell,m,n}) - \lambda_1 f_{\mathbf{z}}^{\epsilon}(v_{\ell,m,n}) = \epsilon(1 - \epsilon)^{\ell-1} q^3 f_{\mathbf{z}}(v_{\ell-1,m,0}) - \epsilon(1 - \epsilon)^{\ell} f_{\mathbf{z}}(v_{\ell+1,m,n}).$$

This proves the claim when $i = 1$. Similar argument holds for $i = 2$ and 3 . \square

From the above crucial observation, we may describe all the automorphic simultaneous spectrum of $A_{w,i}$.

Theorem 6.4. *Let $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)$ be a point in S satisfying $|z_1| \geq |z_2| \geq |z_3| \geq |z_4|$ and $z_i \neq z_j$ for any $1 \leq i < j \leq 4$. If the corresponding $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$ is a simultaneous spectrum of A_i , then (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) belongs to one of the following cases:*

- $(q\sqrt{q}e^{\frac{k\pi}{2}i}, \sqrt{q}e^{\frac{k\pi}{2}i}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}e^{\frac{k\pi}{2}i}, \frac{1}{q\sqrt{q}}e^{\frac{k\pi}{2}i}), k \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$,
- $(qe^{i\theta}, e^{i\theta}, e^{-3i\theta}, \frac{1}{q}e^{i\theta})$ with $e^{4i\theta} \neq 1$,
- $(\sqrt{q}e^{i\theta}, \pm\sqrt{q}e^{-i\theta}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}e^{i\theta}, \pm\frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}e^{-i\theta})$ with $e^{i\theta} \neq 1$,
- $(\sqrt{q}e^{i\theta}, e^{i\phi}, e^{-i(\phi+2\theta)}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}e^{i\theta})$, or
- $(e^{i\theta_1}, e^{i\theta_2}, e^{i\theta_3}, e^{-i(\theta_1+\theta_2+\theta_3)})$.

Proof. If $|z_1| = 1$, we have $\mathbf{z} = (e^{i\theta_1}, e^{i\theta_2}, e^{i\theta_3}, e^{-i(\theta_1+\theta_2+\theta_3)})$. The rest part of the proof follows from two below lemmata.

Lemma 6.5. *Suppose that $z_i \neq z_j$ for any i, j , $|z_1| > 1$ and $|z_1| > |z_2| \geq |z_3| \geq |z_4|$. If $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$ is a simultaneous spectrum of A_i , then (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) belongs to one of the following cases:*

- $(q\sqrt{q}e^{\frac{k\pi}{2}i}, \sqrt{q}e^{\frac{k\pi}{2}i}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}e^{\frac{k\pi}{2}i}, \frac{1}{q\sqrt{q}}e^{\frac{k\pi}{2}i}), k \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$,
- $(qe^{i\theta}, e^{i\theta}, e^{-3i\theta}, \frac{1}{q}e^{i\theta})$ with $e^{4i\theta} \neq 1$, or
- $(\sqrt{q}e^{i\theta}, e^{i\phi}, e^{-i(\phi+2\theta)}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}e^{i\theta})$.

Proof. Note that

$$f(v_{\ell,0,0}) = \sqrt{q}^{3\ell} \sum_{\tau \in S_4} C_{\tau(1)\tau(2)\tau(3)} z_{\tau(1)}^{\ell}.$$

If the coefficient of z_1^ℓ is nonzero, then the sequence $|f_{\mathbf{z}}(v_{\ell,0,0})|^2 w(v_{\ell,0,0})$ goes to infinity as $\ell \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$ is a simultaneous spectrum of $A_{w,i}$ only if

$$\sum_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{2,3,4\}^2 \\ i \neq j}} C_{1ij} = \frac{(z_1 - qz_2)(z_1 - qz_3)(z_1 - qz_4)}{(z_1 - z_2)(z_1 - z_3)(z_1 - z_4)(q^3 + q^2 + q + 1)} = 0.$$

Hence, it follows that either $z_1 = qz_2$, $z_1 = qz_3$ or $z_1 = qz_4$ holds.

Case 1. $z_1 = qz_2$: In this case, C_{1ij} , C_{31i} , C_{341} , C_{41i} and C_{431} are zero. Since $|z_1 z_2 z_3| = |z_4|^{-1} > 1$, $|f_{\mathbf{z}}(v_{\ell,\ell,\ell})|^2 w(v_{\ell,\ell,\ell})$ diverges as $\ell \rightarrow \infty$ when $C_{213} + C_{231} + C_{321} \neq 0$. The numerator of $C_{213} + C_{231} + C_{321}$ is of the form

$$(z_1 - qz_4)(z_2 - qz_1)(z_2 - qz_4)(z_3 - qz_4)(z_3 - qz_2)(z_2 - z_3)(q^2 + q + 1).$$

Under the assumption, $(z_1 - qz_4)$, $(z_2 - qz_1)$, $(z_3 - qz_2)$ and $(z_2 - z_3)$ are nonzero. Thus $C_{213} + C_{231} + C_{321} = 0$ if and only if $z_2 = qz_4$ or $z_3 = qz_4$.

If $z_2 = qz_4$, then C_{342} , C_{421} , C_{423} and C_{432} are not equal to zero. In this case, $|z_3| > 1$ implies $|f_{\mathbf{z}}(v_{\ell,0,0})|^2 w(v_{\ell,0,0})$ diverges as $\ell \rightarrow \infty$ and $|z_3| < 1$ implies $|f_{\mathbf{z}}(v_{\ell,\ell,\ell})|^2 w(v_{\ell,\ell,\ell})$ diverges as $\ell \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, we have $|z_1 z_2 z_4| = |z_3| = 1$ and

$$(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) = (qe^{i\theta}, e^{i\theta}, e^{-3i\theta}, \frac{1}{q}e^{i\theta}).$$

Such a 4-tuple \mathbf{z} satisfies

$$|z_3^\ell z_4^m z_2^n|, |z_4^\ell z_2^m z_1^n|, |z_4^\ell z_2^m z_3^n|, |z_4^\ell z_3^m z_2^n| \leq 1$$

for every $\ell \geq m \geq n \geq 0$. Hence, by Proposition 6.3 the $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$ corresponding to \mathbf{z} is a simultaneous spectrum of $A_{w,i}$.

Now let us consider the case $z_3 = qz_4$. The coefficients C_{214} , C_{241} , C_{243} , C_{421} , C_{423} and C_{432} are remaining. Since $z_1 z_2 z_3 z_4 = 1$, $|z_2 z_4| = \frac{1}{q} \geq |z_3 z_4|$. This implies that if C_{214} is nonzero, then $|f_{\mathbf{z}}(v_{\ell,\ell,0})|^2 w(v_{\ell,\ell,0})$ diverges as $\ell \rightarrow \infty$. Thus C_{214} needs to be zero. This is possible if and only if $z_2 = qz_3$, so we have

$$(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) = \left(q\sqrt{q}e^{\frac{k\pi}{2}i}, \sqrt{q}e^{\frac{k\pi}{2}i}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}e^{\frac{k\pi}{2}i}, \frac{1}{q\sqrt{q}}e^{\frac{k\pi}{2}i} \right).$$

Since $f_{\mathbf{z}}(v_{\ell,m,n}) = C_{432} z_4^\ell z_3^m z_2^n$, it follows that $f_{\mathbf{z}} \in L^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{B}_4)$.

Case 2. $z_1 = qz_3$: In this case, C_{1ij} , C_{21i} , C_{241} , C_{41i} and C_{421} are zero. Similar to Case 1, $C_{231} + C_{312} + C_{321}$ needs to be zero. The numerator of $C_{231} + C_{312} + C_{321}$ is of the form

$$(z_1 - qz_4)(z_2 - qz_4)(z_3 - qz_4)(z_3 - qz_1)(z_2 - qz_3)(z_2 - z_3)(q^2 + q + 1).$$

Under the assumption, either $z_3 = qz_4$ or $z_2 = qz_4$ should hold. If $z_2 = qz_4$, then it is of the form $(q^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha}e^{i\theta}, q^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha}e^{-i\theta}, q^{-\frac{1}{2}+\alpha}e^{i\theta}, q^{-\frac{1}{2}-\alpha}e^{-i\theta})$ for some $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. We may exclude this case since $C_{314} \neq 0$ while $|z_3^\ell z_1^\ell z_4^0| > 1$.

Hence, it follows that $z_1 = qz_3 = q^2z_4$. Following the method in the above case, we have $\mathbf{z} = (qe^{i\theta}, e^{-3i\theta}, e^{i\theta}, \frac{1}{q}e^{i\theta})$. The only nonzero coefficients are $C_{243}, C_{423}, C_{431}$. For any $\ell \geq m \geq n$, the terms satisfy that

$$|z_2^\ell z_4^m z_3^n|, |z_4^\ell z_2^m z_3^n|, |z_4^\ell z_3^m z_1^n|, |z_4^\ell z_3^m z_2^n| \leq 1.$$

By Proposition 6.3, the $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$ corresponding to \mathbf{z} is a simultaneous spectrum of $A_{w,i}$.

Case 3. $z_1 = qz_4$: In this case, $C_{1ij}, C_{213}, C_{214}, C_{231}, C_{312}, C_{314}$ and C_{321} are equal to zero and the remaining coefficients are nonzero. Since $C_{234} + C_{241} + C_{243}$ is nonzero, if $|z_2| > 1$, then $|f(v_{\ell,0,0})|^2 w(v_{\ell,0,0})$ diverges as $\ell \rightarrow \infty$. Thus $|z_2| = 1$. Similarly, if $|z_3| < 1$, then $|f(v_{\ell,\ell,\ell})|^2 w(v_{\ell,\ell,\ell})$ diverges as $\ell \rightarrow \infty$ since $C_{241} + C_{412} + C_{421}$ is nonzero. Hence we have $|z_3| = 1$. This yields

$$(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) = \left(\sqrt{q}e^{i\theta}, e^{i\phi}, e^{-i(2\theta+\phi)}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}e^{i\theta} \right).$$

For any $\ell \geq m \geq n \geq 0$, the inequality $|z_i^\ell z_j^m z_k^n| \leq 1$ holds whenever $C_{ijk} \neq 0$. \square

Lemma 6.6. *Suppose that $z_i \neq z_j$ for any i, j , $|z_1| > 1$ and $|z_1| = |z_2| \geq |z_3| \geq |z_4|$. If $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$ is a simultaneous spectrum of A_i , then (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) is of the form $(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) = (\sqrt{q}e^{i\theta}, \pm\sqrt{q}e^{-i\theta}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}e^{i\theta}, \pm\frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}e^{-i\theta})$ with $e^{i\theta} \neq 1$.*

Proof. Following the proof of Lemma 6.5, we consider the case when $z_1 = qz_3$ or $z_1 = qz_4$. Let us consider the case that $z_1 = qz_3$. Since the numerator of $C_{231} + C_{234} + C_{243}$ is of the form

$$(z_2 - qz_1)(z_2 - qz_3)(z_2 - qz_4)(z_3 - qz_1)(z_4 - qz_3)(z_3 - z_4)(q^2 + q + 1),$$

$|f(v_{\ell,0,0})|^2 w(v_{\ell,0,0})$ diverges as ℓ goes to infinity unless $z_2 = qz_4$. Thus we have

$$(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) = \left(\sqrt{q}e^{i\theta}, \pm\sqrt{q}e^{-i\theta}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}e^{i\theta}, \pm\frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}e^{-i\theta} \right)$$

with $e^{i\theta} \neq 1$. The coefficients $C_{314}, C_{341}, C_{342}, C_{423}, C_{431}$ and C_{432} are nonzero and the rest coefficients are zero. For any $\ell \geq m \geq n$, the terms satisfy that

$$|z_3^\ell z_1^m z_4^n|, |z_3^\ell z_4^m z_1^n|, |z_3^\ell z_4^m z_2^n|, |z_4^\ell z_2^m z_3^n|, |z_4^\ell z_3^m z_1^n|, |z_4^\ell z_3^m z_2^n| \leq 1.$$

In the case when $z_1 = qz_4$, we have

$$(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) = (\sqrt{q}e^{i\theta}, \pm\sqrt{q}e^{-i\theta}, \pm\frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}e^{-i\theta}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}e^{i\theta})$$

with $e^{i\theta} \neq 1$. □

The last case is that $|z_1| > 1$ and $|z_1| = |z_2| = |z_3|$. We also have that $z_1 = qz_4$. However, $\overline{z_1 + z_2 + z_3 + z_4} \neq z_1^{-1} + z_2^{-1} + z_3^{-1} + z_4^{-1}$. Under the assumption, $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$ is not simultaneous eigenvalue. This proves Theorem 6.4. □

APPENDIX A. SIMULTANEOUS EIGENFUNCTIONS VIA RECURRENCE RELATION

In this appendix, we give the proof of Theorem 5.1 as well as some remarks on the multiple root cases.

Theorem A.1. *Let $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$ be an element of \mathbb{C}^3 indexed by the equation (5.1) with respect to (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) . If f is the corresponding simultaneous eigenfunction satisfying $A_i f = \lambda_i f$, then*

$$f(v_{\ell, m, n}) = \sum_{\tau \in S_4} C_{\tau(1)\tau(2)\tau(3)} \sqrt{q}^{3\ell+m-n} z_{\tau(1)}^\ell z_{\tau(2)}^m z_{\tau(3)}^n.$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.5, the eigenfunction $f = f_{\mathbf{z}}$ of simultaneous eigenvalue λ_i of $A_{w,i}$ satisfies that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 f(v_{\ell+1,0,0}) &= (q^3 + q^2 + q)f(v_{\ell+1,1,0}) + f(v_{\ell+2,0,0}) \\ \lambda_2 f(v_{\ell,0,0}) &= (q^4 + q^3 + q^2)f(v_{\ell,1,1}) + (q^2 + q + 1)f(v_{\ell+1,1,0}) \\ \lambda_3 f(v_{\ell-1,0,0}) &= q^3 f(v_{\ell-2,0,0}) + (q^2 + q + 1)f(v_{\ell,1,1}). \end{aligned}$$

Let $a_{\ell, m, n} = f(v_{\ell, m, n})/q^{\ell+m+n}$. Using above equation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{q}\sigma_1(\mathbf{z})a_{\ell+1,0,0} &= (q^3 + q^2 + q)a_{\ell+1,1,0} + a_{\ell+2,0,0} \\ \text{(A.1)} \quad q\sigma_2(\mathbf{z})a_{\ell,0,0} &= (q^5 + q^4 + q^3)a_{\ell,1,1} + (q^3 + q^2 + q)a_{\ell+1,1,0} \\ q^{3/2}\sigma_3(\mathbf{z})a_{\ell-1,0,0} &= q^2 a_{\ell-2,0,0} + (q^5 + q^4 + q^3)a_{\ell,1,1}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\text{(A.2)} \quad a_{\ell+2,0,0} - q^{1/2}\sigma_1(\mathbf{z})a_{\ell+1,0,0} + q\sigma_2(\mathbf{z})a_{\ell,0,0} - q^{3/2}\sigma_3(\mathbf{z})a_{\ell-1,0,0} + q^2\sigma_4(\mathbf{z})a_{\ell-2,0,0} = 0.$$

The sequence $a_{\ell,0,0}$ is of the form

$$a_{\ell,0,0} = \sum_i A_{i,0,0} q^{\ell/2} z_i^\ell.$$

By Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 4.5,

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{1,0,0} &= \frac{\lambda_1}{q(q^3 + q^2 + q + 1)} = \frac{\sqrt{q}\sigma_1(\mathbf{z})}{q^3 + q^2 + q + 1} \\
a_{2,0,0} &= \frac{\lambda_1}{q}a_{1,0,0} - (q^3 + q^2 + q)a_{1,1,0} = \frac{q\sigma_1(\mathbf{z})^2}{q^3 + q^2 + q + 1} - \frac{q\sigma_2(\mathbf{z})}{q^2 + 1} \\
a_{3,0,0} &= \frac{\lambda_1}{q}a_{2,0,0} - (q^3 + q^2 + q)a_{2,1,0} \\
&= \frac{\lambda_1}{q}a_{2,0,0} - \frac{\lambda_2}{q}a_{1,0,0} + (q^5 + q^4 + q^3)a_{1,1,1} \\
&= \frac{q^{3/2}\sigma_1(\mathbf{z})^3}{q^3 + q^2 + q + 1} - \frac{q^{3/2}\sigma_1(\mathbf{z})\sigma_2(\mathbf{z})}{q^2 + 1} - \frac{q^{3/2}\sigma_1(\mathbf{z})\sigma_2(\mathbf{z})}{q^3 + q^2 + q + 1} + \frac{q^{3/2}(q^2 + q + 1)\sigma_3(\mathbf{z})}{q^3 + q^2 + q + 1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{1,0,0} + A_{2,0,0} + A_{3,0,0} + A_{4,0,0} &= 1 \\
z_1 A_{1,0,0} + z_2 A_{2,0,0} + z_3 A_{3,0,0} + z_4 A_{4,0,0} &= a_{1,0,0}/\sqrt{q} \\
z_1^2 A_{1,0,0} + z_2^2 A_{2,0,0} + z_3^2 A_{3,0,0} + z_4^2 A_{4,0,0} &= a_{2,0,0}/q \\
z_1^3 A_{1,0,0} + z_2^3 A_{2,0,0} + z_3^3 A_{3,0,0} + z_4^3 A_{4,0,0} &= a_{3,0,0}/q\sqrt{q},
\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$A_{i,0,0} = \frac{(z_i - qz_k)(z_i - qz_j)(z_i - qs_l)}{(z_i - z_k)(z_i - z_j)(z_i - s_l)(q^3 + q^2 + q + 1)},$$

where i, j, k, l are distinct numbers in $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. From the first and the third equation of (4.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\lambda_1}{q}a_{\ell+1,m+1,0} &= (q^3 + q^2)a_{\ell+1,m+1,1} + qa_{\ell+1,m+2,0} + a_{\ell+2,m+1,0} \\
\frac{\lambda_3}{q}a_{\ell,m,0} &= qa_{\ell-1,m,0} + a_{\ell,m-1,0} + (q^3 + q^2)a_{\ell+1,m+1,1}.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$(A.3) \quad a_{\ell+2,m+1,0} + qa_{\ell+1,m+2,0} - \frac{\lambda_1}{q}a_{\ell+1,m+1,0} + \frac{\lambda_3}{q}a_{\ell,m,0} - qa_{\ell-1,m,0} - a_{\ell,m-1,0} = 0.$$

Denote

$$a_{\ell,m,0} = A_{1,m,0}(\sqrt{q}z_1)^\ell + A_{2,m,0}(\sqrt{q}z_2)^\ell + A_{3,m,0}(\sqrt{q}z_3)^\ell + A_{4,m,0}(\sqrt{q}z_4)^\ell.$$

By (A.3), $A_{i,m}$ satisfies that

$$qA_{i,m+1,0}z_i^2 + q^{3/2}A_{i,m+2,0}z_i - \frac{\lambda_1}{\sqrt{q}}A_{i,m+1,0}z_i + \frac{\lambda_3}{q}A_{i,m,0} - \sqrt{q}A_{i,m,0}z_i^{-1} - A_{i,m-1,0} = 0.$$

For any distinct numbers $i, j, k, l \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, we have

$$q^{3/2}A_{i,m+2,0} - q(z_j + z_k + s_l)A_{i,m+1,0} + \sqrt{q}(z_j z_k + z_k s_l + s_l z_j)A_{i,m,0} - A_{i,m-1,0}z_i^{-1} = 0.$$

Thus the sequence $A_{i,m,0}$ is for the form

$$A_{i,m,0} = B_{i,j,0} \left(\frac{z_j}{\sqrt{q}} \right)^m + B_{i,k,0} \left(\frac{z_k}{\sqrt{q}} \right)^m + B_{i,l,0} \left(\frac{s_l}{\sqrt{q}} \right)^m.$$

Applying the first equation of (A.1), we have $A_{i,1,0} := \frac{z_j+z_k+s_l}{\sqrt{q}(q^2+q+1)}A_{i,0,0}$. By the third equation of (4.5) and the first equation of (4.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\lambda_3}{q^2}a_{1,0,0} &= a_{0,0,0} + (q^3 + q^2 + q)a_{2,1,1} \\ \frac{\lambda_1}{q}a_{2,1,0} &= (q^3 + q^2)a_{2,1,1} + qa_{2,2,0} + a_{3,1,0}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$a_{2,2,0} = \frac{\lambda_1}{q^2}a_{2,1,0} - \frac{\lambda_3(q+1)}{q^4+q^3+q^2}a_{1,0,0} + \frac{q+1}{q^2+q+1}a_{0,0,0} - \frac{1}{q}a_{3,1,0}$$

For any distinct numbers $i, j, k, l \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, the above equation shows that

$$qz_i^2A_{i,2,0} = \frac{\lambda_1}{q}z_i^2A_{i,1,0} - \frac{\lambda_3(q+1)}{q\sqrt{q}(q^2+q+1)}z_iA_{i,0,0} + \frac{q+1}{q^2+q+1}A_{i,0,0} - \sqrt{q}z_i^3A_{i,1,0}.$$

For any distinct numbers $i, j, k, l \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} qA_{i,2,0} &= \frac{\lambda_1}{q}A_{i,1,0} - \frac{\lambda_3(q+1)}{q\sqrt{q}(q^2+q+1)}z_i^{-1}A_{i,0,0} + \frac{q+1}{q^2+q+1}z_i^{-2}A_{i,0,0} - \sqrt{q}z_iA_{i,1,0} \\ &= \left\{ \frac{(z_i+z_j+z_k+s_l)(z_i+z_j+s_l)}{q^2+q+1} - \frac{(q+1)(z_jz_k+z_js_l+z_ks_l+z_i^{-2})}{q^2+q+1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{q+1}{q^2+q+1}z_i^{-2} - \frac{z_i(z_j+z_k+s_l)}{q^2+q+1} \right\} A_{i,0,0} \\ &= \frac{(z_j+z_k+s_l)^2 - (q+1)(z_jz_k+z_js_l+z_ks_l)}{q^2+q+1} A_{i,0,0}. \end{aligned}$$

The second equation above follows from $A_{i,1,0} := \frac{z_j+z_k+s_l}{\sqrt{q}(q^2+q+1)}A_{i,0,0}$ and $z_1z_2z_3z_4 = 1$.

Since

$$\begin{aligned} (A.4) \quad & B_{i,j,0} + B_{i,k,0} + B_{i,l,0} = A_{i,0,0} \\ & z_jB_{i,j,0} + z_kB_{i,k,0} + s_lB_{i,l,0} = \sqrt{q}A_{i,1,0} \\ & z_j^2B_{i,j,0} + z_k^2B_{i,k,0} + s_l^2B_{i,l,0} = qA_{i,2,0}, \end{aligned}$$

we have that

$$B_{i,j,0} = \frac{(z_j - qz_k)(z_j - qs_l)}{(z_j - z_k)(z_j - s_l)(q^2 + q + 1)}A_{i,0,0}.$$

Denote $A_{i,m,n} = \sum_{i \neq j} B_{i,j,n} \sqrt{q}^{-m} z_j^m$. It follows from the first equation of (4.11) that

$$\lambda_1 a_{\ell,m,n} = a_{\ell-1,m-1,n-1} + q^3 a_{\ell,m,n+1} + q^2 a_{\ell,m+1,n} + qa_{\ell+1,m,n}.$$

The above equation shows that for any distinct numbers $i, j, k, l \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$,

$$\lambda_1 B_{i,j,n} = B_{i,j,n-1} z_i^{-1} z_j^{-1} + q^3 B_{i,j,n+1} + q^{3/2} B_{i,j,n} z_j + q^{3/2} B_{i,j,n} z_i.$$

Since $z_1 z_2 z_3 z_4 = 1$,

$$q^3 B_{i,j,n+1} - q^{3/2} (z_k + s_l) B_{i,j,n} + z_k s_l B_{i,j,n-1} = 0.$$

Thus the sequence $B_{i,j,n}$ is of the form

$$B_{i,j,n} = C_{ijk} \left(\frac{z_k}{q\sqrt{q}} \right)^n + C_{i,j,l} \left(\frac{z_i}{q\sqrt{q}} \right)^n.$$

By the second equation of (4.9), we have

$$\frac{\lambda_2}{q^2} a_{l,m,0} = a_{l-1,m-1,0} + (q^3 + q^2) a_{l,m+1,1} + (q^2 + q) a_{l+1,m,1} + a_{l+1,m+1,0}.$$

Using this, we have for any distinct $i, j, k, l \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$

$$\sigma_2(\mathbf{z}) B_{i,j,0} = z_k s_l B_{i,j,0} + q^{3/2} (q^2 + q) (z_i + z_j) B_{i,j,1} + z_i z_j B_{i,j,0}.$$

Thus we have

$$B_{i,j,1} = \frac{z_k + s_l}{q^{3/2}(q+1)} B_{i,j,0}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} C_{ijk} + C_{i,j,l} &= B_{i,j,0} \\ z_k C_{ijk} + s_l C_{i,j,l} &= q^{3/2} B_{i,j,1}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$C_{ijk} = \frac{z_k - q s_l}{(z_k - s_l)(q+1)} B_{i,j,0}$$

and

$$a_{l,m,n} = \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{Z}_4} C_{\tau(1),\tau(2),\tau(3)} \sqrt{q}^{\ell-m-3n} s_{\tau(1)}^\ell s_{\tau(2)}^m s_{\tau(3)}^n.$$

This completes the proof of the Theorem. \square

Now let us find eigenfunctions when the characteristic equation (A.2) has multiple root.

Proposition A.2. *Suppose that $z_1 = z_2$ and z_1, z_3 and z_4 are distinct. The eigenfunction of simultaneous eigenvalues $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$ indexed by (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) is*

(A.5)

$$\begin{aligned} f(v_{l,m,n}) &= \sqrt{q}^{3\ell+m-n} \sum_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{3,4\}^2 \\ i \neq j}} \{ D_{11i} z_1^{\ell+m} z_i^n + D_{1i1} z_1^{\ell+n} z_i^m + D_{1ij} z_1^\ell z_i^m z_j^n + D_{i11} z_i^\ell z_1^{m+n} \\ &\quad + D_{i1j} z_i^\ell z_1^m z_j^n + D_{ij1} z_i^\ell z_j^m z_1^n \}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{11i} &= \frac{(-1)^i (z_1 - qz_3)^2 (z_1 - qz_4)^2 (z_i - qz_j) \{q + 1 + (\ell - m)(1 - q)\}}{(z_1 - z_3)^2 (z_1 - z_4)^2 (z_3 - z_4)(q + 1)(q^2 + q + 1)(q^3 + q^2 + q + 1)} \\
D_{1i1} &= \frac{(-1)^j (z_1 - qz_j)^2 (z_i - qz_j)}{(z_1 - z_3)^2 (z_1 - z_4)^2 (z_3 - z_4)(q + 1)(q^2 + q + 1)(q^3 + q^2 + q + 1)} \\
&\quad \times [\{q + 1 + (\ell - n)(1 - q)\} (z_1 - qz_i)(z_i - qz_1) + (1 - q)(1 - q^2) z_1 z_i] \\
D_{1ij} &= \frac{(-1)^i (z_i - qz_j)}{(z_1 - z_3)^2 (z_1 - z_4)^2 (z_3 - z_4)(q + 1)(q^2 + q + 1)(q^3 + q^2 + q + 1)} \\
&\quad \times [\{q + 1 + \ell(1 - q)\} (z_1 - qz_3)(z_3 - qz_1)(z_1 - qz_4)(z_4 - qz_1) \\
&\quad + (1 - q)(1 - q^2) \{(z_1 - qz_3)(z_3 - qz_1) z_1 z_4 + (z_1 - qz_4)(z_4 - qz_1) z_1 z_3\}] \\
D_{i11} &= \frac{(-1)^i (z_i - qz_1)^2 (z_1 - qz_j)^2 (z_i - qz_j) [q + 1 + (m - n)(1 - q)]}{(z_1 - z_3)^2 (z_1 - z_4)^2 (z_3 - z_4)(q + 1)(q^2 + q + 1)(q^3 + q^2 + q + 1)} \\
D_{i1j} &= \frac{(-1)^j (z_i - z_1)^2 (z_i - z_j)}{(z_1 - z_3)^2 (z_1 - z_4)^2 (z_3 - z_4)(q + 1)(q^2 + q + 1)(q^3 + q^2 + q + 1)} \\
&\quad \times [\{1 + q + m(1 - q)\} (z_1 - z_j)(z_j - z_1) + (1 - q)(1 - q^2) z_1 z_j] \\
D_{ij1} &= \frac{(-1)^i (z_3 - qz_1)^2 (z_4 - qz_1)^2 (z_i - qz_j) [q + 1 + n(1 - q)]}{(z_1 - z_3)^2 (z_1 - z_4)^2 (z_3 - z_4)(q + 1)(q^2 + q + 1)(q^3 + q^2 + q + 1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since for any $\ell \geq m \geq n$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{z_2 \rightarrow z_1} C_{12i} z_1^\ell z_2^m z_i^n + C_{21i} z_2^\ell z_1^m z_i^n &= C_{11i} z_1^{\ell+m} z_i^n \\
\lim_{z_2 \rightarrow z_1} C_{1i2} z_1^\ell z_i^m z_2^n + C_{2i1} z_2^\ell z_i^m z_1^n &= C_{1i1} z_1^{\ell+n} z_i^m \\
\lim_{z_2 \rightarrow z_1} C_{1ij} z_1^\ell z_i^m z_j^n + C_{2ij} z_2^\ell z_i^m z_j^n &= D_{1ij} z_1^\ell z_i^m z_j^n \\
\lim_{z_2 \rightarrow z_1} C_{i12} z_i^\ell z_1^m z_2^n + C_{i21} z_i^\ell z_2^m z_1^n &= D_{i11} z_i^\ell z_1^{m+n} \\
\lim_{z_2 \rightarrow z_1} C_{i1j} z_i^\ell z_1^m z_j^n + C_{i2j} z_i^\ell z_2^m z_j^n &= D_{i1j} z_i^\ell z_1^m z_j^n \\
\lim_{z_2 \rightarrow z_1} C_{ij1} z_i^\ell z_j^m z_1^n + C_{ij2} z_i^\ell z_j^m z_2^n &= D_{ij1} z_i^\ell z_j^m z_1^n,
\end{aligned}$$

we have (A.5). □

Proposition A.3. *Suppose that $z_1 = z_2, z_3 = z_4$ and z_1 and z_3 are distinct. The eigenfunction of simultaneous eigenvalues $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$ indexed by (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) is*

$$(A.6) \quad f(v_{\ell, m, n}) = \sqrt{q}^{3\ell+m-n} \sum_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,3\}^2 \\ i \neq j}} \{F_{ijj} z_i^{\ell+m} z_j^n + F_{iji} z_i^{\ell+n} z_j^m + F_{ijj} z_i^\ell z_j^{m+n}\},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{ij} &= \frac{(z_i - qz_j)^4 \{1 + q + (\ell - m)(1 - q)\} \{1 + q + n(1 - q)\}}{(z_1 - z_3)^4 (q + 1)(q^2 + q + 1)(q^3 + q^2 + q + 1)} \\
F_{131} &= \frac{-(z_1 - qz_3)^3 (z_3 - qz_1) \{1 + q + (\ell - n)(1 - q)\} \{1 + q + m(1 - q)\}}{(z_1 - z_3)^4 (q + 1)(q^2 + q + 1)(q^3 + q^2 + q + 1)} \\
&\quad - \frac{(1 - q)(1 - q^2)(z_1 - qz_3)^2 \{2 + 2q + (\ell + m - n + 1)(1 - q)\} z_1 z_3}{(z_1 - z_3)^4 (q + 1)(q^2 + q + 1)(q^3 + q^2 + q + 1)} \\
&\quad - \frac{2(1 - q)^2 (1 - q^2)(z_1 - qz_3) z_1 z_3^2}{(z_1 - z_3)^4 (q + 1)(q^2 + q + 1)(q^3 + q^2 + q + 1)} \\
F_{313} &= \frac{-(z_3 - qz_1)^3 (z_1 - qz_3) \{1 + q + (\ell - n)(1 - q)\} \{1 + q + m(1 - q)\}}{(z_1 - z_3)^4 (q + 1)(q^2 + q + 1)(q^3 + q^2 + q + 1)} \\
&\quad - \frac{(1 - q)(1 - q^2)(z_3 - qz_1)^2 \{2 + 2q + (\ell + m - n - 1)\} z_1 z_3}{(z_1 - z_3)^4 (q + 1)(q^2 + q + 1)(q^3 + q^2 + q + 1)} \\
&\quad - \frac{2(1 - q)^2 (1 - q^2)(z_3 - qz_1) z_1 z_3^2}{(z_1 - z_3)^4 (q + 1)(q^2 + q + 1)(q^3 + q^2 + q + 1)} \\
F_{ij} &= \frac{(z_1 - qz_3)^2 (z_3 - qz_1)^2 \{1 + q + \ell(1 - q)\} \{1 + q + (m - n)(1 - q)\}}{(z_1 - z_3)^4 (q + 1)(q^2 + q + 1)(q^3 + q^2 + q + 1)} \\
&\quad + \frac{2(1 - q)(1 - q^2)(z_1 - qz_3)(z_3 - qz_1) \{1 + q + (m - n)(1 - q)\} z_1 z_3}{(z_1 - z_3)^4 (q + 1)(q^2 + q + 1)(q^3 + q^2 + q + 1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since for any $\ell \geq m \geq n$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{z_4 \rightarrow z_3} D_{113} z_1^{\ell+m} z_3^n + D_{114} z_1^{\ell+m} z_4^n &= F_{113} z_1^{\ell+m} z_3^n \\
\lim_{z_4 \rightarrow z_3} D_{131} z_1^{\ell+n} z_3^m + D_{141} z_1^{\ell+n} z_4^m &= F_{131} z_1^{\ell+n} z_3^m \\
\lim_{z_4 \rightarrow z_3} D_{133} z_1^\ell z_3^{m+n} + D_{144} z_1^\ell z_4^{m+n} &= F_{133} z_1^\ell z_3^{m+n} \\
\lim_{z_4 \rightarrow z_3} D_{311} z_1^{m+n} z_3^\ell + D_{411} z_1^{m+n} z_4^\ell &= F_{311} z_1^{m+n} z_3^\ell \\
\lim_{z_4 \rightarrow z_3} D_{313} z_1^m z_3^{\ell+n} + D_{414} z_1^m z_4^{\ell+n} &= F_{313} z_1^m z_3^{\ell+n} \\
\lim_{z_4 \rightarrow z_3} D_{331} z_1^n z_3^{\ell+m} + D_{441} z_1^n z_4^{\ell+m} &= F_{331} z_1^n z_3^{\ell+m},
\end{aligned}$$

we have (A.6). □

REFERENCES

- [CM] D. I. Cartwright and W. Młotkowski, *Harmonic analysis for groups acting on triangle buildings*, Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society **56**, (1994) 345-383
- [CSZ] D. I. Cartwright, P. Solé and A. Zuk, *Ramanujan Geometries of type \tilde{A}_n* , Discrete Math. **269**, (2003) 35-43
- [CMS] D. I. Cartwright, W. Młotkowski and T. Steger, *Property (T) and \tilde{A}_2 groups*, Annales de l'institut Fourier **44** (1994), 213-248.

- [Ef] I. Efrat, *Automorphic spectra of $PGL(2)$* , L'Enseignement Mathématique **37** (1991) 31-43.
- [HK] S. Hong and S. Kwon, *Spectrum of weight adjacency operator on non-uniform arithmetic quotient of PGL_3* . preprint, [arXiv:2108.01275]
- [Ka] M.-H. Kang, *Riemann Hypothesis and strongly Ramanujan complexes from GL_n* , J. Number Theory **161** (2016), 281-297. (doi:10.1016/j.jnt.2015.09.002)
- [KLW] M.-H. Kang, W.-C. W. Li and C.-J. Wang, *The zeta function of complexes from $PGL(3)$: A representation-theoretic approach*, Israel Journal of Mathematics **177** (2010) 335-348
- [Li] W.-C. W. Li, *Ramanujan hypergraphs*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **14** (2004) 380-399. (doi:10.1007/s00039-004-0461-z)
- [Lu] A. Lubotzky, *Discrete groups, expanding graphs and invariant measures*, Progress in Mathematics Birkhauser Basel **125** (1994)
- [LPS] A. Lubotzky, R. Philips and P. Sarnak, *Ramanujan graphs*, Combinatorica **8** (1988), 261-277.
- [LSV1] A. Lubotzky, B. Samuels and U. Vishne, *Ramanujan complexes of type \tilde{A}_d* , Israel J. Math. **149** (2005), 267-299.
- [LSV2] A. Lubotzky, B. Samuels and U. Vishne, *Explicit constructions of Ramanujan complexes of type \tilde{A}_d* , European J. Combinatorics **26** (6) (2005), 965-993.
- [Ma] I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, second edition. Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford (1995)
- [Mo1] M. Morgenstern, *Existence and explicit constructions of $(q + 1)$ -regular Ramanujan graphs for every prime power q* , J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **62** (1994), 44-62
- [Mo2] M. Morgenstern, *Ramanujan diagrams*, SIAM J. Discrete Math. **7** (4) (1994), 560-570.
- [Pe] G. K. Pedersen, *Analysis Now*, Graduate texts in Mathematics, **118**. Springer-Verlag New York (1989).
- [Sam] B. Samuels, *On non-uniform Ramanujan complexes*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **137** (9) (2009) 2869-2877.
- [Sar] A. Sarveniazi, *Explicit construction of a Ramanujan $(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{d-1})$ -regular hypergraph*, Duke Math. J. **139** (2007), 141-171.
- [So] C. Soulé, *Chevalley groups over polynomial rings*, Homological Group Theory (London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, pp. 359-368). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press (1979)

SOONKI HONG

Current address: Department of Mathematical Education, Catholic Kwandong University, Gangneung 25601, Republic of Korea

Email address: soonki.hong@snu.ac.kr

SANGHOON KWON*

Current address: Room 506 Department of Mathematical Education, Catholic Kwandong University, Gangneung 25601, Republic of Korea

Email address: shkwon1988@gmail.com, skwon@cku.ac.kr